# A Torsional Two-Component Description of the Motion of Dirac Particles at Early Stages of the Cosmic Evolution

J. G. Cardoso\*

Department of Mathematics

Centre for Technological Sciences-UDESC

Joinville 89219-710 SC

Brazil.

#### Abstract

It is assumed that the non-singular big-bang birth of the Universe as set forth by Einstein-Cartan's theory particularly brought about the appearance of the cosmic microwave and dark energy backgrounds, dark matter, gravitons as well as of Dirac particles. On account of this assumption, a two-component description of the motion of quarks and leptons prior to the occurrence of hadronization is presented within the framework of the torsionful  $\varepsilon$ -formalism of Infeld and van der Waerden. The relevant field equations are settled on the basis of the implementation of conjugate minimal coupling covariant derivative operators that carry additively typical potentials for the cosmic backgrounds such as geometrically specified in a previous work. It appears that the derivation of the wave equations which control the spacetime propagation of Dirac fields at very early stages of the cosmic evolution, must be tied up with the applicability of certain subsidiary relations. The wave equations themselves suggest that quarks and leptons interact not only with both of the cosmic backgrounds, but also with dark matter. Nevertheless, it becomes manifest that the inner structure of the framework allowed for does not give rise at all to any interaction between gravitons and Dirac particles. The overall formulation ascribes an intrinsically non-geometric character to Dirac's theory, in addition to exhibiting a formal evidence that dark energy and dark matter must have partaken of a cosmic process of hadronization.

<sup>\*</sup>jorge.cardoso@udesc.br

#### 1 Introduction

An important physical property of Einstein-Cartan's theory [1-3] is related to the fact that it yields torsional cosmological models which describe a non-singular big-bang birth of the Universe [4,5]. This feature is seemingly in contrast to the context of Einstein's theory of general relativity, which gives rise to isotropic and homogeneous cosmological models that predict the occurrence of unavoidably singular gravitational collapses [6-11]. Because of the characteristic asymmetry borne by the Ricci tensor for any torsionful world affine connexion, the right-hand sides of Einstein-Cartan's field equations always carry asymmetric energy-momentum tensors. The skew parts of such tensors were originally identified [12-14] with sources for densities of intrinsic angular momentum of matter which presumably generate spacetime torsion locally. What has indeed ensured the consistency of the construction of the aforesaid torsional cosmological models, which are sometimes designated as Trautman-Kopczyński cosmological models, stems from the establishment [15] that Einstein-Cartan's equations admit a twoparameter family of spherically symmetric solutions of the Friedmann type, which supply a lower bound for the final radius of a gravitationally collapsing cloud of dust. Thus, according to these torsional models, the Universe has expanded from a spherically symmetric state having a finite radius. One of the central results arising in Ref. [15] is that the torsional cosmological models of the Universe must not be spatially homogeneous inasmuch as the classical Friedmann homogeneity property gets lost when torsion is brought into the spacetime geometry. This result apparently exhibits a contextual compatibility with the work of Ref. [16] which shows explicitly that if the Friedmann cosmological principle such as stated classically is allowed for within the realm of Einstein-Cartan's theory, then all the components of the torsion tensor shall turn out to vanish identically. Furthermore, it has really provided us with the most significant physical meaning of the correspondence principle that interrelates Einstein-Cartan's theory and General Relativity. Based upon a concept of microscopically produced spacetime torsion, the work of Ref. [17] has proposed some torsional mechanisms that solve naturally the cosmological spatial flatness and horizon problems, whilst showing that Dirac particles may have generated a small amount of torsion immediately after the occurrence of the big-bang, which could contribute to a gravitational repulsion that prevents the cosmological singularity to occur.

Amongst the elementary spacetime properties of Einstein-Cartan's theory, there is a striking feature of this theory which bears a deeper mathematical character, namely, the fact that any spacetime endowed with a

torsionful affinity admits a local spinor structure in much the same way as in the case of generally relativistic spacetimes [18,19]. This admissibility has supported the construction of an essentially unique torsional extension [20] of the famous two-component spinor  $\gamma \varepsilon$ -formalisms of Infeld and van der Waerden for general relativity [21-23], which was primarily aimed at proposing a local description whereby dark energy should be presumptively looked upon as a torsional cosmic background [24]. Dark energy fields were thus defined as uncharged spin-one massive fields which come from contracted spin-affine pieces that amount to gauge invariant Proca potentials. Roughly speaking, such invariant spin-affine contributions account for the underlying spacetime torsionfulness, and thereby also enter additively together with contracted spin-affine pieces for the cosmic microwave background into overall contracted spin affinities. A notable result arising in this framework is that, in contradistinction to dark energy, the cosmic microwave background may propagate alone in torsionless regions of the Universe. It was realized, in effect, that any torsional affine potential must be accompanied by a suitable torsionless spin-affine contribution in such a way that the propagation of the dark energy background has to be geometrically united together with that of the cosmic microwave background, whence the cosmic backgrounds had to come about together during the birth of the Universe. However, it had become manifest thereabout that one background may propagate in spacetime as if the other were absent, such that they should not be taken to interact with one another. Accordingly, it turns out that the propagation of the cosmic microwave background in regions of the Universe where the values of torsion are negligible, can be described alone within the torsionless  $\gamma \varepsilon$ -framework.

More recently, it has been suggested [25] that the torsionful extension of the generally relativistic  $\gamma\varepsilon$ -formalisms referred to before, should supply a physical description of wave functions for dark matter in terms of uncharged spin-one massive fields which were supposedly produced together with gravitons. This suggestion stemmed from the belief that wave functions for dark matter arise together with ones for gravitons in the irreducible expansion for one of the Witten curvature spinors of a torsionful spin affinity [20, 26]. It was then pointed out that a geometric formulation of the dynamics of dark matter might be accomplished out of the combination of Einstein-Cartan's theory with the torsionful  $\gamma\varepsilon$ -framework, which would possibly explain some of the currently observed features of the Universe. Likewise, a reasonable spinor version of Einstein-Cartan's equations [25] has exhibited a purely microscopic identification as dark matter of the densities of spinning matter that are theoretically associated to the local production of spacetime torsion.

In the present paper, we allow for the view that the non-singular bigbang creation of the Universe as described by the cosmological models of Trautman-Kopczyński [4,5,15], had produced a highly torsional hot state which brought about from the beginning the appearance of the cosmic microwave and dark energy backgrounds, dark matter, gravitons as well as of Dirac particles. A two-component description of the motion of quarks and leptons prior to the occurrence of hadronization is then formulated within the framework of the torsionful  $\varepsilon$ -formalism. The relevant field equations are settled on the basis of the implementation of conjugate minimal coupling covariant derivative operators that appropriately carry affine potentials for the cosmic backgrounds such as geometrically specified in Ref. [24]. It thus appears that the derivation of the wave equations which control the spacetime propagation of Dirac fields at very early stages of the cosmic evolution, must be bound up with the appositeness of subsidiary relations that take up typical Maxwell and Proca potentials, with the equations themselves suggesting that quarks and leptons interact not only with both of the cosmic backgrounds, but also with dark matter. Nonetheless, we will see that the inner structure of the framework to be taken into consideration herein does not give rise at all to any interaction between gravitons and Dirac particles. It shall be seen that the overall formulation ascribes an intrinsically non-geometric character to Dirac's theory, in addition to exhibiting a formal evidence that dark energy and dark matter must have partaken of a cosmic process of hadronization.

All the world and spinor conventions adhered to in Ref. [20], will be adopted throughout the work. In particular, vertical bars surrounding an index block will mean that the indices singled out should not be absorbed by a symmetry operation, while symmetrizations and skew-symmetrizations over index blocks will be denoted by round and square brackets surrounding the indices sorted out by the symmetry operations, respectively. For organizational reasons, we will have to recall in Sections 2 and 3 the world version of Einstein-Cartan's theory along with a brief review of the works of Refs. [24,25]. Upon developing Section 3, we shall take for granted the same calculational techniques as the ones built up in Ref. [24], but these techniques will be alluded to in Section 4. Insofar as the  $\varepsilon$ -formalism version of Dirac wave functions must be identified with spin-vector densities of adequate weights, some fragments of the theory of geometric spin densities for the generalized Weyl gauge group [20,22] shall be allowed for at the outset. It will become clear that the only need for placing minimal coupling covariant derivative operators into the field dynamics, relies crucially on this identification. The presentation of Section 3 will make it feasible to draw

up some remarks in Section 5, which are related to our broader interest in bringing forward two-component patterns for earlier cosmic interaction couplings that involve Dirac particles. We will use the natural system of units wherein  $c = \hbar = 1$ .

## 2 Spacetime Torsion and Einstein-Cartan's Theory

In the context of Einstein-Cartan's theory, any spacetime carries a symmetric metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  together with a torsionful covariant derivative operator  $\nabla_{\mu}$  that fulfills the metric compatibility condition  $\nabla_{\mu}g_{\lambda\sigma}=0$ . For convenience,  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is usually required to bear the local signature (+--). The Riemann tensor  $R_{\mu\nu\lambda}{}^{\sigma}$  of  $\nabla_{\mu}$  occurs in either of the expansions

$$D_{\mu\nu}u^{\alpha...\beta} = R_{\mu\nu\tau}{}^{\alpha}u^{\tau...\beta} + \dots + R_{\mu\nu\tau}{}^{\beta}u^{\alpha...\tau}$$
 (1)

and

$$D_{\mu\nu}w_{\lambda...\sigma} = -R_{\mu\nu\lambda}{}^{\tau}w_{\tau...\sigma} - \dots - R_{\mu\nu\sigma}{}^{\tau}w_{\lambda...\tau}, \tag{2}$$

where  $u^{\alpha...\beta}$  and  $w_{\lambda...\sigma}$  are some world tensors, and

$$D_{\mu\nu} = 2(\nabla_{[\mu}\nabla_{\nu]} + T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda}\nabla_{\lambda}). \tag{3}$$

Hence, the operator  $D_{\mu\nu}$  obeys the Leibniz rule. When acting on a world-spin scalar h, it gives  $D_{\mu\nu}h = 0$  by definition. The object  $T_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$  is the torsion tensor of  $\nabla_{\mu}$ . It just amounts to the skew part  $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^{\lambda}$  of the world affinity  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$  of  $\nabla_{\mu}$  and, then, satisfies the relation

$$T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} = T_{[\mu\nu]}{}^{\lambda},\tag{4}$$

which thus yields the property  $D_{\mu\nu}=D_{[\mu\nu]}$ . For the corresponding Ricci tensor and scalar, one has

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\lambda\nu}{}^{\lambda}, \ R = R^{\lambda\sigma}g_{\lambda\sigma}.$$
 (5)

The tensor  $R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$  possesses skewness in the indices of the pairs  $\mu\nu$  and  $\lambda\sigma$ , but the traditional index-pair symmetry  $R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu}$  ceases holding because of the applicability of the cyclic identity [20]

$${}^*R^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu\lambda} + 2\nabla^{\lambda*}T_{\lambda\mu\nu} + 4{}^*T_{\mu}{}^{\lambda\tau}T_{\lambda\tau\nu} = 0, \tag{6}$$

where

$${}^*R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}}e_{\mu\nu\rho\tau}R^{\rho\tau}{}_{\lambda\sigma} \tag{7}$$

and

$$^*T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}}e_{\mu\nu\rho\tau}T^{\rho\tau\lambda},\tag{8}$$

define first-left duals [3], with  $\mathfrak g$  accordingly denoting the determinant of  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $e_{\mu\nu\rho\tau}$  standing for one of the invariant world Levi-Civitta densities. Thus, the Ricci tensor of  $\nabla_{\mu}$  bears asymmetry whilst the gravitational Bianchi identity should now read

$$\nabla^{\rho*} R_{\rho\mu\lambda\sigma} + 2^* T_{\mu}{}^{\rho\tau} R_{\rho\tau\lambda\sigma} = 0. \tag{9}$$

From the metric compatibility condition for  $\nabla_{\mu}$ , we can deduce the equivalent relations [24]

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mu}g_{\lambda\sigma} - 2T_{\mu(\lambda\sigma)} = 0 \tag{10}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mu} + T_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \log(-\mathfrak{g})^{1/2}, \tag{11}$$

where  $T_{\mu} = T_{\mu\lambda}^{\lambda}$ , for instance, and

$$\Gamma_{(\mu\lambda)\sigma} \doteq \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda\sigma},$$
 (12)

with  $\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mu}$  amounting to the covariant derivative operator for  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda\sigma}$ . Thus, putting into effect the secondary metric condition

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\lambda} g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{13}$$

implies that  $T_{\mu}=0$  everywhere in spacetime and, consequently,

$$T_{\mu\nu\lambda} = T_{[\mu\nu\lambda]},\tag{14}$$

whence  $T_{\mu\nu\lambda}$  will turn out to possess only four independent components in case the condition (13) is actually implemented. Under this affine circumstance, one may recover the characteristic 24 torsional components by making use of the contortion tensor for  $T_{\mu\nu\lambda}$  while identifying  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda\sigma}$  with a Christoffel connexion.

The tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  comes into play as a solution to Einstein-Cartan's equations<sup>1</sup>

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = -\kappa E_{\mu\nu},\tag{15}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The quantity  $\kappa$  is still identified with Einstein's gravitational constant of general relativity.

whilst  $T_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$  is locally related [3] to the spin density of matter  $S_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$  present in spacetime through

$$T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} = -\kappa (S_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} - S_{[\mu}g_{\nu]}{}^{\lambda}), \tag{16}$$

with  $S_{\mu} \doteq S_{\mu\sigma}{}^{\sigma}$ . Equation (16) leads right away to the trace relation

$$T_{\mu} = \frac{\kappa}{2} S_{\mu},\tag{17}$$

whence we can write down the supplementary relationship

$$-\kappa S_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} = T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} - 2T_{[\mu}g_{\nu]}{}^{\lambda}. \tag{18}$$

It follows that, working out the relation (6), produces the equation [25]

$$\nabla_{\lambda} T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} + 2(\nabla_{[\mu} T_{\nu]} + T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} T_{\lambda}) = \kappa E_{[\mu\nu]}, \tag{19}$$

which amounts to the same thing as

$$(\nabla_{\lambda} + \kappa S_{\lambda}) S_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} = -E_{[\mu\nu]}. \tag{20}$$

It can therefore be said that the skew part of  $E_{\mu\nu}$  is a source for  $S_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ , and thence also for  $T_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ . Hence, setting  $T_{\mu} = 0$ , leads to the reduced equations<sup>2</sup>

$$\nabla_{\lambda} T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} = \kappa E_{[\mu\nu]}, \ \nabla_{\lambda} S_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} = -E_{[\mu\nu]}, \tag{21}$$

which obviously agree with the particular relation

$$T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda} = -\kappa S_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda}. \tag{22}$$

### 3 Cosmic Backgrounds and Dark Matter

We shall now review briefly the descriptions of the cosmic backgrounds and dark matter as suggested in Refs. [24,25]. This procedure will afford a necessary basis for the settlement of Sections 4 and 5.

The key curvature object for the  $\varepsilon$ -formalism is a gauge invariant spintensor density  $C_{\mu\nu CD}$  of weight -1. By definition, it occurs in the configuration [20]

$$D_{\mu\nu}\alpha^D = C_{\mu\nu M}{}^D\alpha^M, \tag{23}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Equations (21) and (22) play a significant role in the work of Ref. [25] in that they are involved in the proposal of a simpler expression for the mass of dark matter.

where  $\alpha^D$  amounts to an arbitrary spin vector and  $D_{\mu\nu}$  is given by Eq. (3). The contracted piece  $C_{\mu\nu M}{}^M$  possesses the additivity property

$$C_{\mu\nu M}{}^{M} = -2i(\widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu}^{(T)}),$$
 (24)

where

$$\widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \doteq 2\partial_{[\mu}\Phi_{\nu]}, \ F_{\mu\nu}^{(T)} \doteq 2\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]},$$
 (25)

with  $\Phi_{\mu}$  and  $A_{\mu}$  being affine potentials subject to the gauge behaviours<sup>3</sup>

$$\Phi'_{\mu} = \Phi_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}\Theta, \ A'_{\mu} = A_{\mu}. \tag{26}$$

In the description proposed in Ref. [24],  $\Phi_{\mu}$  is assumably taken as a potential for the cosmic microwave background, while  $A_{\mu}$  is considered thereabout as a Proca potential for the dark energy background. The world form of the first half of the overall set of field equations, appears as a combination of the first half of Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla^{\mu}\widetilde{F}_{\mu\lambda} + 2T^{\mu}\widetilde{F}_{\mu\lambda} - T^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda}\widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{27}$$

with the first half of Proca's equations

$$\nabla^{\mu} F_{\mu\lambda}^{(T)} + 2 T^{\mu} F_{\mu\lambda}^{(T)} - T^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda} F_{\mu\nu}^{(T)} + m^2 A_{\lambda} = 0, \tag{28}$$

where m is the mass of  $A_{\mu}$ . Both of the second halves come forth as the corresponding Bianchi identities, which may be symbolically expressed as the dual statement

$$\nabla^{\mu*} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\lambda} = -2^* T_{\lambda}{}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{29}$$

with the kernel letter  $\mathcal{F}$  standing for either  $\widetilde{F}$  or  $F^{(T)}$ .

The spinor decompositions of the bivectors carried by Eq. (24) thus provide wave functions for the cosmic backgrounds, according to the scheme

$$\widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \mapsto (\phi_{AB}, \phi_{A'B'}), \ F^{(T)} \mapsto (\psi_{AB}, \psi_{A'B'}),$$
 (30)

where the entries of the pairs  $(\phi_{AB}, \phi_{A'B'})$  and  $(\psi_{AB}, \psi_{A'B'})$  amount to symmetric massless and massive spin-one uncharged wave functions of opposite handednesses, respectively, which obey the field-potential relations (see Eq. (37) below)

$$\phi_{AB} = -\nabla_{(A}^{C'} \Phi_{B)C'} + 2\tau_{AB}{}^{\mu} \Phi_{\mu}$$
 (31)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The quantity  $\Theta$  stands for the Weyl gauge parameter. In Ref.[22], this parameter is shown to be a world-spin invariant such that  $\partial_{\mu}\Theta = \nabla_{\mu}\Theta$ .

and

$$\psi_{AB} = -\nabla_{(A}^{C'} A_{B)C'} + 2\tau_{AB}^{\mu} A_{\mu}. \tag{32}$$

The pertinent spinor field equations arise when the transcription of Eqs. (27)-(29) is carried through. For the unprimed wave functions, say, we then have (for full details, see Ref. [24])

$$\nabla_{B'}^A \phi_{AB} + \mathcal{M}_{BB'} = 0 \tag{33}$$

and

$$\nabla_{B'}^{A}\psi_{AB} + M_{BB'} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}A_{BB'} = 0, \tag{34}$$

along with the individual terms

$$\mathcal{M}_{BB'} = 2(T_{B'}^{A}\phi_{AB} - \tau^{AM}_{BB'}\phi_{AM}) \tag{35}$$

and

$$M_{BB'} = 2(T_{B'}^A \psi_{AB} - \tau^{AM}_{BB'} \psi_{AM}), \tag{36}$$

with the  $\tau T$ -factors of (35) and (36) entering the world-spinor relationships

$$T_{\lambda\sigma}^{\mu} \mapsto (\tau_{AB}^{\mu}, \tau_{A'B'}^{\mu}), \ T_{\mu} \mapsto T_{AA'}.$$
 (37)

To derive the wave equations that control the propagation in spacetime of the cosmic backgrounds [24], one has to call for the gravitational correspondence

$$R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \mapsto (X_{ABCD}, \Xi_{A'B'CD}),$$
 (38)

with the XΞ-spinors showing up as the Witten curvature spinors for  $\nabla_{\mu}$ . In this connection, one must appeal to the symbolic algebraic and valence-reduction devices given in Ref. [3], to obtain the expansion [20]

$$X_{ABCD} = \Psi_{ABCD} - \varepsilon_{(A|(C\xi_{D})|B)} - \frac{1}{3} \varkappa \varepsilon_{A(C} \varepsilon_{D)B}, \tag{39}$$

together with the definitions<sup>4</sup>

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = X_{(ABCD)}, \ \xi_{AB} = X^{M}_{(AB)M}, \ \varkappa = X_{LM}^{LM},$$
 (40)

with the  $\Psi$ -spinor standing for a typical wave function for gravitons, and  $\varkappa$  being a complex-valued world-spin invariant. It was suggested in Ref. [25] that the gravitational interaction between the  $\phi\psi$ -fields and torsion, such as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The objects  $(\varepsilon_{AB}, \varepsilon_{A'B'})$  are the only covariant metric spinors for the formalism being allowed for [22], and satisfy covariant constancy requirements of the type  $\nabla_{\mu}\varepsilon_{AB} = 0$ .

prescribed by Eqs. (35) and (36), generates a partial amount of mass for each of the cosmic backgrounds. When the condition (13) is effectively accounted for, the information on the whole acquired masses may be supplied from the explicit derivatives carried by the Klein-Gordon patterns

$$\mu_{CMB}^2 \phi_{AB} = -4\nabla_{\mu} (\phi_{C(A} \tau_{B)}^{C}{}^{\mu}) - 2\nabla_{(A}^{C'} \mathcal{M}_{B)C'}$$
(41)

 $\mathrm{and}^5$ 

$$\mu_{DE}^2 \psi_{AB} = -4\nabla_{\mu} (\psi_{C(A} \tau_{B)}^{C \mu}) - 2\nabla_{(A}^{C'} M_{B)C'}. \tag{42}$$

Provided that, for example,

$$(\nabla_{\mu}\psi_{C(A)}\tau_{B)}^{C\mu} = \nabla_{\mu}(\psi_{C(A}\tau_{B)}^{C\mu}) - \xi_{(A}^{C}\psi_{B)C}, \tag{43}$$

the  $\varepsilon$ -formalism wave equations for the cosmic backgrounds turn out to be set up as the invariant statements [24,25]

$$(\Box + \mu_{CMB}^2 + \frac{4}{3}\varkappa)\phi_{AB} - 2\Psi_{AB}{}^{CD}\phi_{CD} + 2\xi_{(A}^C\phi_{B)C} = 0$$
 (44)

and

$$(\Box + \mu_{eff}^2 + \frac{4}{3}\varkappa)\psi_{AB} - 2\Psi_{AB}{}^{CD}\psi_{CD} + 2\xi_{(A}^C\psi_{B)C} = 2m^2\tau_{AB}{}^{\mu}A_{\mu}, \quad (45)$$

with the effective-mass term

$$\mu_{eff} = \sqrt{m^2 + \mu_{DE}^2}. (46)$$

The principal attitude taken in Ref. [25] involves identifying the world field equation for dark matter as the following version of the Bianchi identity (9)

$$\nabla^{\rho} * R^{\lambda}{}_{[\rho\sigma]\lambda} = -2 * T^{\lambda\rho\tau} R_{\tau[\rho\sigma]\lambda}. \tag{47}$$

By utilizing the relationship<sup>6</sup>

$${}^*R^{\lambda}{}_{[\rho\sigma]\lambda} \mapsto {}^*R^{DD'}{}_{(BC)[B'C']DD'} + \text{c.c.}, \tag{48}$$

along with the expression [20]

$$*R_{AA'BB'CC'DD'} = [(-i)(\varepsilon_{A'B'}\varepsilon_{C'D'}X_{ABCD} - \varepsilon_{AB}\varepsilon_{C'D'}\Xi_{A'B'CD})] + c.c.,$$
(49)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The work of Ref. [25] shows us that the relation  $\nabla_{\mu}\tau_{AB}^{\mu} = \xi_{AB}$  holds whenever the condition (13) is implemented. This relation constitutes the spinor version of the first of Eqs. (21).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>The symbol "c.c." denotes here as elsewhere an overall complex conjugate piece

after invoking Eq. (39), one gets the uncharged decomposition

$$*R^{DD'}_{(BC)[B'C']DD'} + \text{c.c.} = -i(\varepsilon_{B'C'}\xi_{BC} - \text{c.c.}).$$
 (50)

The entries of the pair  $(\xi_{AB}, \xi_{A'B'})$  were then thought of as constituting wave functions of opposite handednesses for dark matter. Thus, the pieces of Eq. (47) undergo the correspondences

$$\nabla^{\rho} * R^{\lambda}{}_{[\rho\sigma]\lambda} \mapsto -i(\nabla^{B}_{C'}\xi_{BC} - \text{c.c.})$$
(51)

and

$$-2^*T^{\lambda\rho\tau}R_{\tau[\rho\sigma]\lambda} \mapsto -i(\varepsilon^{DB}\tau^{D'B'AA'} - \text{c.c.})(\varepsilon_{B'C'}R_{AA'(BC)L'}{}^{L'}{}_{DD'} + \text{c.c.}),$$
(52)

with the unprimed X-contributions carried by the  $\varepsilon R$ -pieces of (52) being conveniently added together such that

$$X_{A(BC)D} = \Psi_{ABCD} - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{AD}\xi_{BC} + \frac{1}{6}\varkappa\varepsilon_{A(B}\varepsilon_{C)D}$$
 (53)

and

$$X_{LAD}^{L} = -(\xi_{AD} - \frac{1}{2} \varkappa \varepsilon_{AD}). \tag{54}$$

Equation (47) therefore gets translated into

$$\nabla_{C'}^{B} \xi_{BC} + m_{CC'} = \sigma_{CC'}^{(X)} + \sigma_{CC'}^{(\Xi)}, \tag{55}$$

with

$$m_{CC'} = (T_{C'}^A - 3\tau^{AB}_{BC'})\xi_{AC} + \frac{3}{2}\tau^{AB}_{CC'}\xi_{AB}.$$
 (56)

The  $\sigma$ -pieces of Eq. (55) are sources for  $\xi_{BC}$ , which are given by certain couplings between torsion kernels and  $\varkappa\Psi\Xi$ -curvatures [25]. Such as in the description of the cosmic backgrounds, the m-terms borne by the field equations for  $\xi_{BC}$  and  $\xi_{B'C'}$  emerge strictly from the gravitational interaction between torsion kernels and the fields themselves, while likewise carrying the information on part of the masses acquired by the fields. The information on the whole masses of the  $\xi$ -fields had been attained with the help of the differential techniques supplied in Ref. [24] in conjunction with the procedures for deriving the corresponding wave equations and, in fact, turned out to be of the same form as those given by Eqs. (41) and (42). In the geometric situation posed by the condition (13), the wave equation for  $\xi_{AB}$  comes up, then, as the invariant statement [25]

$$(\Box + \mu_{DM}^2 + \frac{4}{3}\varkappa)\xi_{AB} - 2\Psi_{AB}{}^{CD}\xi_{CD} = -2(\nabla_{(A}^{C'}\sigma_{B)C'}^{(X)} + \nabla_{(A}^{C'}\sigma_{B)C'}^{(\Xi)}), \quad (57)$$

with the device

$$\mu_{DM}^2 \xi_{AB} = -4\nabla_{\mu} (\xi_{C(A} \tau_{B)}^{C\mu}) - 2\nabla_{(A}^{C'} m_{B)C'}. \tag{58}$$

#### 4 Dirac Particles

In either formalism, a Dirac system can be defined as the conjugate field pairs borne by the set

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{ (\zeta^A, \chi_{A'}), (\chi_A, \zeta^{A'}) \}. \tag{59}$$

All fields of this set possess the same rest mass M which is, then, taken to be invariant under complex conjugation. The entries of each pair bear the opposite helicity values +1/2 and -1/2, but such values get reversed when we pass from one pair to the other. Additionally, the fields of either pair carry the same electric charge, with the charge of one pair being opposite to the charge of the other pair. In the  $\varepsilon$ -formalism, the unprimed and primed elements of the former pair appear as gauge invariant spin-vector densities of weight +1/2 and antiweight -1/2, respectively, with the values of the weight and antiweight for the latter pair being the other way about. Whereas the weight and antiweight for the pair  $(\zeta_A, \chi^{A'})$  thus appear as -1/2 and +1/2, the ones for  $(\chi^A, \zeta_{A'})$  are +1/2 and -1/2, but the electric charge of either pair of  $\mathfrak{D}$  remains unaltered under any lowering and raising of indices.<sup>7</sup>

The gauge characterization of any  $\varepsilon$ -formalism Dirac wave function matches some of the geometric situations described in Ref. [24], which invariantly entail the absence of  $\phi\psi$ -contributions from expansions that involve the differential operator

$$\check{D}_{AB} = -\nabla_{(A}^{C'} \nabla_{B)C'} + 2\tau_{AB}{}^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}, \tag{60}$$

with

$$D_{\mu\nu} \mapsto (\check{D}_{AB}, \check{D}_{A'B'}).$$
 (61)

Towards obtaining the wave equations that could expectedly bring out the couplings of Dirac fields with the cosmic backgrounds, we shall consequently have to utilize an adequate minimal coupling covariant derivative operator along with its conjugate. In what follows, we will utilize the configurations exhibited in the foregoing Sections along with  $\check{D}$ -derivatives to elaborate upon the main aim of our work. We will carry out the formulation of Dirac's theory for the pair  $(\zeta^A, \chi_{A'})$ , in connection with our present purposes. One shall be able to derive the field and wave equations for the other pairs by taking complex conjugations and invoking the covariant constancy of the  $\varepsilon$ -spinors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>By definition, M is also invariant under any lowering and raising of indices.

Instead of allowing for the Weyl gauge group, which yields [22] uninteresting invariant derivatives of the form

$$\nabla'_{\mu}\zeta'^{A} = \nabla_{\mu}\zeta^{A}, \ \nabla'_{\mu}\chi'_{A'} = \nabla_{\mu}\chi_{A'}, \tag{62}$$

we must implement non-geometric gauge transformations like<sup>8</sup>

$$\zeta'^{A} = e^{-i\check{e}\theta}\zeta^{A}, \ \chi'_{A'} = e^{-i\check{e}\theta}\chi_{A'}, \ \breve{A}'_{\mu} = \breve{A}_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}\theta, \tag{63}$$

where  $\check{e}$  stands for the charge of the field pair being considered,  $\theta$  is a world-spin invariant, and  $\check{A}_{\mu}$  amounts to the sum of the potentials that occur in Eq. (25), that is to say,

$$\check{A}_{\mu} = \Phi_{\mu} + A_{\mu}.$$
(64)

Hence, by defining the operator

$$d_{\mu} = \nabla_{\mu} - i\breve{e}\breve{A}_{\mu},\tag{65}$$

we obtain the covariant differential patterns

$$d'_{\mu}\zeta'^{A} = e^{-i\check{e}\theta}d_{\mu}\zeta^{A} \tag{66}$$

and

$$d'_{\mu}\chi'_{A'} = e^{-i\check{e}\theta}d_{\mu}\chi_{A'},\tag{67}$$

whence Dirac's field equations are invariantly stated as

$$(\nabla_{BB'} - i\check{e}\check{A}_{BB'})\zeta^B = -\mu\chi_{B'} \tag{68}$$

and

$$(\nabla^{BB'} - i\check{e}\check{A}^{BB'})\chi_{B'} = \mu\zeta^B,\tag{69}$$

where  $\mu = M/\sqrt{2}$  is the normalized rest mass.

For deriving the relevant wave equations systematically, it is expedient to introduce the operator

$$\delta_{\mu\nu} = d_{\mu}d_{\nu},\tag{70}$$

which, upon acting on any symbolic field quantity  $\Upsilon$  of charge  $\breve{e}$ , gives the parts

$$\eth_{[\mu\nu]}\Upsilon = (\nabla_{[\mu}\nabla_{\nu]} + i\breve{e}T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda}\breve{A}_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{4}\breve{e}C_{\mu\nu M}{}^{M})\Upsilon \tag{71}$$

and

$$\eth_{(\mu\nu)}\Upsilon = (\nabla_{(\mu}\nabla_{\nu)} - 2i\check{e}\check{A}_{(\mu}\nabla_{\nu)})\Upsilon - i\check{e}(d_{(\mu}\check{A}_{\nu)})\Upsilon, \tag{72}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>We remind that the natural system of units has been adopted by us.

where Eqs. (24) and (25) have been employed together with the equality

$$i\breve{e}\nabla_{(\mu}\breve{A}_{\nu)} + \breve{e}^2\breve{A}_{\mu}\breve{A}_{\nu} = i\breve{e}d_{(\mu}\breve{A}_{\nu)}.$$
 (73)

Supposing that  $\Upsilon' = e^{-i\check{e}\theta}\Upsilon$ , and performing some straightforward computations, we thus establish the covariant behaviours

$$\eth'_{[\mu\nu]}\Upsilon' = e^{-i\check{e}\theta}\eth_{[\mu\nu]}\Upsilon, \ \eth'_{(\mu\nu)}\Upsilon' = e^{-i\check{e}\theta}\eth_{(\mu\nu)}\Upsilon. \tag{74}$$

If the relations (31) and (32) are called upon together with Eq. (60), we may then spell out the following derivative expressions for  $\zeta^C$ 

$$\eth_{(AB)A'}^{A'}\zeta^{C} = [-\check{D}_{AB} + 2\tau_{AB}^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu} + i\check{e}(\phi_{AB} + \psi_{AB} - 2\tau_{AB}^{\mu}\check{A}_{\mu})]\zeta^{C}$$
 (75)

and

$$\eth_{[AB]A'}^{A'}\zeta^C = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{AB}[(2i\check{e}\check{A}^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu} - \Box)\zeta^C + (i\check{e}d_{\mu}\check{A}^{\mu})\zeta^C],\tag{76}$$

with Eq. (76) yielding the relation

$$\eth_{\mu}{}^{\mu}\zeta^{C} = (\Box - 2i\check{e}\check{A}^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu})\zeta^{C} - (i\check{e}d_{\mu}\check{A}^{\mu})\zeta^{C},\tag{77}$$

which agrees with (72). So, by contracting the indices B and C of the configurations (75) and (76), likewise invoking Eqs. (68) and (69), we write the wave equation

$$\eth^{AA'}{}_{BA'}\zeta^B + \mu^2\zeta^A = 0, \tag{78}$$

which, in view of (74), behaves covariantly under the transformations (63), namely,

$$\eth'^{AA'}{}_{BA'}\zeta'^B + \mu^2\zeta'^A = e^{-i\check{e}\theta}(\eth^{AA'}{}_{BA'}\zeta^B + \mu^2\zeta^A). \tag{79}$$

Because  $\zeta^A$  is a contravariant one-index spin tensor density of weight 1/2, the  $\check{D}$ -derivative that occurs in Eq. (75) amounts to the gravitational configuration

$$\check{D}_{AB}\zeta^C = X_{ABD}{}^C\zeta^D, \tag{80}$$

which produces the  $\Psi$ -free expansion

$$\check{D}_{AB}\zeta^{B} = -\xi_{AB}\zeta^{B} - \frac{1}{2}\varkappa\zeta^{B}\varepsilon_{BA},\tag{81}$$

since the coupling  $\Psi_{ABD}{}^C\zeta^D$  gets annihilated when the indices B and C are contracted. The  $\tau\nabla$ -piece of (75) can be naively worked out [25] by taking account of the geometric circumstance stipulated by Eq. (13), in which case we can write the particular device

$$\tau_{AB}^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}\zeta^{C} = \nabla_{\mu}(\tau_{AB}^{\mu}\zeta^{C}) - \xi_{AB}\zeta^{C}, \tag{82}$$

in a way similar to (43). Equation (78) can therefore be written out explicitly when the configurations (75)-(82) are combined together. We have, in effect,

$$(\Box + 2\mu^2 + \varkappa)\zeta^A + 4\nabla_{\mu}(\tau_B^{A\mu}\zeta^B) + 2i\breve{e}(\phi_B^A + \psi_B^A)\zeta^B - 2\xi_B^A\zeta^B = 0, \quad (83)$$

along with the subsidiary relation

$$i\breve{e}\breve{A}^{\mu}(\nabla_{\mu}\zeta^{A} + 2\tau_{B\mu}^{A}\zeta^{B}) + \frac{1}{2}i\breve{e}(d_{\mu}\breve{A}^{\mu})\zeta^{A} = 0, \tag{84}$$

which gives rise to the coupled gauge conditions

$$i\check{e}\nabla^{\mu}\theta(\nabla_{\mu}\zeta^{A} + 2\tau_{B\mu}^{A}\zeta^{B}) = 0$$
 (85)

 $and^9$ 

$$\Box \theta - i \breve{e} \nabla^{\mu} \theta (\nabla_{\mu} \theta) = 0. \tag{86}$$

For  $\chi_{A'}$ , we have the invariant wave equation

$$\eth_{AA'}{}^{AB'}\chi_{B'} + \mu^2 \chi_{A'} = 0, \tag{87}$$

as well as the formulae

$$\eth_{A}^{(A'B')A}\chi_{C'} = [\check{D}^{A'B'} - 2\tau^{A'B'\mu}\nabla_{\mu} - i\check{e}(\phi^{A'B'} + \psi^{A'B'} - 2\tau^{A'B'\mu}\check{A}_{\mu})]\chi_{C'}$$
(88)

and

$$\eth_A^{[A'B']A} \chi_{C'} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{A'B'} [(\Box - 2i\check{e}\check{A}^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu})\chi_{C'} - (i\check{e}d_{\mu}\check{A}^{\mu})\chi_{C'}], \tag{89}$$

with Eq. (89) implying that

$$\eth_{\mu}{}^{\mu}\chi_{C'} = (\Box - 2i\check{e}\check{A}^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu})\chi_{C'} - (i\check{e}d_{\mu}\check{A}^{\mu})\chi_{C'}. \tag{90}$$

Hence, by using the  $\chi$ -version of (82) together with the contracted derivative

$$\check{D}^{A'B'}\chi_{B'} = -\xi^{A'B'}\chi_{B'} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\varkappa}\varepsilon^{A'B'}\chi_{B'},\tag{91}$$

and fitting pieces together afterwards, we arrive at the wave equation

$$(\Box + 2\mu^2 + \overline{\varkappa})\chi_{A'} - 4\nabla_{\mu}(\tau_{A'}^{B'\mu}\chi_{B'}) - 2i\check{e}(\phi_{A'}^{B'} + \psi_{A'}^{B'})\chi_{B'} + 2\xi_{A'}^{B'}\chi_{B'} = 0, (92)$$

which must be constrained to the relation

$$i\check{e}\check{A}^{\mu}(\nabla_{\mu}\chi_{A'} - 2\tau_{A'\mu}^{B'}\chi_{B'}) + \frac{1}{2}i\check{e}(d_{\mu}\check{A}^{\mu})\chi_{A'} = 0.$$
 (93)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Equation (85) enters the description as a gauge condition for  $\zeta^A$  while Eq. (86) amounts to a gauge condition for  $\check{A}^{\mu}$ . These conditions assure the gauge invariance of the relation (84).

Evidently, Eq. (93) reinstates the pattern (86) whilst supplying the  $\chi$ -condition

$$i\tilde{e}\nabla^{\mu}\theta(\nabla_{\mu}\chi_{A'} - 2\tau_{A'\mu}^{B'}\chi_{B'}) = 0, \tag{94}$$

whence it bears invariance under the transformations (63).

It should be emphasized that the above-derived wave equations display only the couplings between the cosmic backgrounds and the  $\zeta\chi$ -fields allowed for, which come about as a consequence of the implementation of the operator  $d_{\mu}$ . Of course, the adoption of the relations (84) and (93) must be aggregated into the formulation of Eqs. (83) and (92), while the choices (85), (86) and (94) guarantee that the invariance of Eqs. (78) and (87) under (63) may be carried over to (83) and (92).

#### 5 Concluding Remarks and Outlook

The descriptions we have presented in Sections 3 and 4 tell us that it might seem suggestive to say that any Dirac field had acquired an effective mass soon after the occurrence of the big-bang just on an equal interacting footing to the cosmic backgrounds and dark matter. Indeed, the wave equations (83) and (92) may be reinstated so as to carry the contributions

$$m_{\zeta}^{2}\zeta^{A} = 2\mu^{2}\zeta^{A} + 4\nabla_{\mu}(\tau_{B}^{A\mu}\zeta^{B}) \tag{95}$$

and

$$m_{\chi}^2 \chi_{A'} = 2\mu^2 \chi_{A'} - 4\nabla_{\mu} (\tau_{A'}^{B'\mu} \chi_{B'}).$$
 (96)

Since every one-index spin object bears an invariant nullity, it becomes impossible to deduce from these contributions any symbolic expression for either of  $m_{\zeta}$  and  $m_{\chi}$  that does not mix up charges. For  $m_{\zeta}$ , for instance, it follows that the uncharged expression

$$m_{\zeta} = \sqrt{\frac{[2\mu^2 \zeta^A + 4\nabla_{\mu}(\tau_B^{A\mu}\zeta^B)]\chi_A}{\zeta^B \chi_B}},\tag{97}$$

along with the reality requirement  $m_{\zeta}^2 > 0$ , can be used for carrying out a direct estimation procedure. Hence, the  $\zeta \chi$ -effective masses will bear invariance under the transformations (63) if the orthogonality conditions

$$i\breve{e}\tau_A^{B\mu}\zeta^A\chi_B\nabla_\mu\theta = 0 \tag{98}$$

and

$$i\tilde{e}\tau_{B'}^{A'\mu}\chi_{A'}\zeta^{B'}\nabla_{\mu}\theta = 0, \tag{99}$$

are taken into account. Therefore, the gauge choices (85) and (94) may ultimately be simplified to

$$i\check{e}\nabla^{\mu}\theta(\chi_{A}\nabla_{\mu}\zeta^{A}) = 0 \tag{100}$$

and

$$i\tilde{e}\nabla^{\mu}\theta(\zeta^{A'}\nabla_{\mu}\chi_{A'}) = 0.$$
 (101)

It is the expansion (39) which points out that gravitons and dark matter were produced together by a torsional big-bang. Whence, as remarked in Ref. [25], it may be said that the earliest states of very high density of spinning matter must have constituted a non-conformally flat regime of the cosmic evolution, regardless of whether account of Eqs. (43) and (82) is taken or not. The absence from the derivatives (81) and (91) of couplings between gravitons and Dirac particles nevertheless allows us to assert that the propagation of quarks and leptons was not affected in any way by any later occurrence of vanishing wave functions for gravitons. Only macroscopically do Dirac particles interact with gravitational fields as wave functions for gravitons carry a microscopic character even at a classical level. We can conclude that, if the framework we have developed actually bears a sufficient degree of elementarity, then one could expect that gravitons may enter a unified interaction model of particle physics only through couplings which are equivalent to the uncharged bosonic patterns

$$\Psi_{AB}{}^{CD}B_{CD}, \ \Psi_{MN(AB}\Psi_{CD)}{}^{MN}.$$

One of the noteworthy outcomes we have obtained, which holds up a considerable deal of importance, is the accomplishment of a theoretical indication whereby dark energy and dark matter must have taken part in a process that somehow carried forward the early spacetime torsionfulness to the interior of hadrons during the nucleosynthesis era, through couplings that typically look like

$$\xi_{(A}^C \psi_{B)C}, \ \check{e} \psi_B^A \zeta^B, \ \xi_B^A \zeta^B.$$

Remarkably enough, this indication conforms to the fact that Einstein-Cartan's theory identifies just as dark matter the densities of spinning matter which bring about the local production of spacetime torsion. At least in principle, our field and wave equations can not recover the standard magnitudes of the coupling constants for the interactions between hadronic constituents. However, while attributing by means of the transformations (63) an intrinsically non-geometric character to Dirac's theory, our work has exhibited a formal evidence that dark bosons had partaken of a torsion-preserving hadronic

formation. Thus, from our point of view, the dynamics which takes place in the interior of hadrons must have kept the cosmic torsionfulness during the occurrence of hadronization, in such a manner that both of  $\psi_{AB}$  and  $\xi_{AB}$  could bear stability as they interact inside the hadronic environment via  $\xi_{(A}^C\psi_{B)C}$ . On the other hand, the couplings  $\check{e}\psi_B^A\zeta^B$  and  $\xi_B^A\zeta^B$  could eventually be regarded as states for composite heavy charged fermions. It might be expected, also, that both dark bosons would rapidly fade away whenever there occurs a process which produces a loss of environmental spacetime torsion. Under these conditions, the torsionless index-pair symmetry  $X_{ABCD} = X_{CDAB}$  would start holding, whilst  $\psi_{AB}$  and  $\xi_{AB}$  would both turn out to vanish identically. As we believe, the Einstein-Cartan ascription to dark matter of the spinning matter that locally produces spacetime torsion, may likewise enable one to construct a complete scenario of the very early stages of the evolution of the Universe, which involves the concept of torsion at a microscopic level.

### References

- [1] A. Trautman, *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics Vol.* 2, ed. by J. P. Françoise G.L. Naber and Tsou S.T., (Oxford Elsevier, 2006), 189.
- [2] F. W. Hehl et al., Rev. Mod. Phys., Vol. 48, No. 3, 1976, 393.
- [3] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time Vol.* 1, (Cambridge University Press, 1984).
- [4] A. Trautman, Proc. Convegno di Relativita (Rome, 1972).
- [5] A. Trautman, Nature Physical Science, Vol. 242, No. 114, 1973, 7.
- [6] J. R. Oppenheimer and H. Snyder, Phys. Rev., **56**, 1939, 455.
- [7] R. Penrose, Phys. Rev. Lett., 14, 1965, 57.
- [8] S. W. Hawking, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A, **295**, 1966, 490.
- [9] S.W. Hawking and R. Penrose, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A, 314, 1970, 529.
- [10] V. Belinski, The Cosmological Singularity (Cambridge University Press, 2017).
- [11] M. Bartelmann, Rev. Mod. Phys., Vol. 82, 2010, 331.

- [12] D. W. Sciama, On the Analogy Between Charge and Spin in General Relativity In: Recent Developments in General Relativity (Pergamon and PWN, Oxford 1962).
- [13] D. W. Sciama, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 54, 1958, 72.
- [14] T. W. Kibble, Jour. Math. Phys., 2, 1961, 212.
- [15] W. Kopczyński, Phys. Lett., **39** A, 1972, 219.
- [16] M. Tsamparlis, Phys. Letters 75A, 1979, 27.
- [17] N. J. Poplawski, Phys. Lett. B, Vol. 694, No. 3, 2010, 181.
- [18] R. Geroch, Jour. Math. Phys. 9, 1968, 1739.
- [19] R. Geroch, Jour. Math. Phys. 11, 1970, 343.
- [20] J. G. Cardoso, Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 10, 2015, 130.
- [21] L. Infeld and B. L. Van der Waerden, Sitzber. Akad. Wiss., Physik-math. Kl. 9, 1933, 380.
- [22] J. G. Cardoso, The Classical Two-Component Spinor Formalisms for General Relativity I, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras 22, 2012, 955.
- [23] J. G. Cardoso, The Classical Two-Component Spinor Formalisms for General Relativity II, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras 22, 2012, 985.
- [24] J. G. Cardoso, De Gruitter Open Phys., 13, 2015, 339.
- [25] J. G. Cardoso, Eur. Phys. Jour. C, 83, 2023, 212.
- [26] L. Witten, Phys. Rev. 1, 1959, 357.