

Electron- K -Phonon Interaction In Twisted Bilayer Graphene

Chao-Xing Liu*,^{1,2} Yulin Chen,³ Ali Yazdani,² and B. Andrei Bernevig*,^{2,4,5}

¹*Department of Physics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA*

²*Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544*

³*Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3PU, United Kingdom*

⁴*Donostia International Physics Center, P. Manuel de Lardizabal 4, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastian, Spain*

⁵*IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain*

(Dated: March 29, 2023)

We develop an analytic theory to describe the interaction between electrons and K -phonons and study its influence on superconductivity in the *bare bands* of twisted bilayer graphene (TBG). We find that, due to symmetry and the two-center approximation, only one optical K phonon ($\sim 160\text{meV}$) of graphene is responsible for inter-valley electron-phonon interaction. This phonon has recently been found in angular-resolved photo-emission spectroscopy to be responsible for replicas of the TBG flat bands. By projecting the interaction to the TBG flat bands, we perform the full symmetry analysis of phonon-mediated attractive interaction and pairing channels in the Chern basis, and show that several channels are guaranteed to have gapless order parameters. From the linearized gap equations, we find that the highest T_c pairing induced by this phonon is a singlet gapped s-wave inter-Chern-band order parameter, followed closely by a gapless nematic d-wave intra-Chern-band order parameter. We justify these results analytically, using the topological heavy fermion mapping of TBG which has allowed us to obtain an analytic form of phonon-mediated attractive interaction and to analytically solve the linearized and $T = 0$ gap equations. For the intra-Chern-band channel, the nematic state with nodes is shown to be stabilized in the chiral flat band limit. While the flat band Coulomb interaction can be screened sufficiently enough - around Van-Hove singularities - to allow for electron-phonon based superconductivity, it is unlikely that this effect can be maintained in the lower density of states excitation bands around the correlated insulator states.

Introduction - Superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene (TBG) appears within its phase diagram around the correlated insulator states [1–19]. Amongst the mechanisms suggested for superconductivity are the phonons, spin fluctuations, skyrmions, and others [20–44]. Based on a recent experiment that suggest a strong coupling between the graphene K -phonon and the flat bands in TBG [45], we perform a comprehensive analysis of the electron- K -phonon (e-K-ph) interaction and the resulting phonon-mediated superconductivity on the bare flat bands of TBG. We develop an exhaustive numerical, analytical, and symmetry based description of the e-K-ph interaction in TBG and the symmetry classifications of the order parameter, and find the competing singlet gapped inter-Chern-band channel and nematic gapless intra-Chern-band channel. Armed with the heavy-fermion description of TBG[46–54], the form factors of the K -phonon induced attractive interaction can be analytically computed and matched well to a full numerical calculations. An analysis of the Coulomb screening shows that, due to the high density of states (DOS) of flat bands, the Coulomb interaction might be strongly renormalized down near the Van Hove singularities. However, it remains unclear if the Hartree-Fock bands of the correlated insulator, with the lower DOS, can provide a similar result.

Model Hamiltonian for electron-phonon interaction in TBG - We consider the deformation potential type of theory, described by a tight-binding (TB) model for the electron Hamiltonian with the hopping parameters depending on the atom positions $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_\alpha^l = \mathbf{R}^l + \tau_\alpha^l + \mathbf{u}^l(\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l)$ with a displacement field $\mathbf{u}^l(\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l = \mathbf{R}^l + \tau_\alpha^l)$, where \mathbf{R}^l and

τ_α^l label the lattice vector and the sublattice atom position ($\alpha = A, B$) at the layer l , respectively. By treating \mathbf{u} as a perturbation, we expand the intra-layer Hamiltonian up to the linear order in \mathbf{u} (Supplementary Material (SM) Sec. II [55]). We only keep \mathbf{u} -independent terms for the inter-layer Hamiltonian for TBG, thus focusing on intra-layer electron-phonon (e-ph) interaction in this work. As only the Dirac bands appear around the Fermi energy close to $\pm \mathbf{K}_D = \pm \frac{4\pi}{3a_0}(1, 0)$ in Brillouin zone (BZ) with lattice constant a_0 in graphene, we also expand the Hamiltonian around $\eta \mathbf{K}_D$ ($\eta = \pm$ labelling two valleys) and focus on Dirac electrons around two valleys. Our full Hamiltonian consists of three parts

$$H = H_{el} + H_{ph} + H_{eph}. \quad (1)$$

Here H_{el} describes the Dirac electrons located at valley $\eta = \pm$ momentum $\eta \mathbf{K}_D$ that are coupled through inter-layer tunnelings and is given by the Bistritzer-MacDonald (BM) model [56] (SM Sec. V [55]),

$$\hat{H}_{el} = \sum_{\eta s} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \text{MBZ}} \sum_{\alpha \alpha'} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}'} h_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha, \mathbf{Q}'\alpha'}^{(\eta)}(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta, s}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}', \alpha', \eta, s} \quad (2)$$

where $c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta, s}$ is the fermion annihilation operator \mathbf{k} is a momentum in the Moiré Brillouin zone (MBZ) (Fig. 1b), α is the sublattice index, and s is spin. The vector \mathbf{Q} belongs to the lattice set $\mathcal{Q}_{l\eta} = \{l\eta \mathbf{q}_2 + n_1 \mathbf{b}_{M1} + n_2 \mathbf{b}_{M2} \mid n_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where l is the layer index, $\mathbf{q}_2 = k_\theta(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $\mathbf{b}_{M1} = k_\theta(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$, $\mathbf{b}_{M2} = k_\theta(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ and $k_\theta = 2|\mathbf{K}_D| \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$ with θ the twist angle. $h_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha, \mathbf{Q}'\alpha'}^{(\eta)}(\mathbf{k})$ is given in SM Sec. V.A [55]. \hat{H}_{el} exhibits C_{6v} and

time-reversal symmetries, generated by valley-switching $\pi/6$ -rotation along z axis (\hat{C}_{6z}), time reversal (\hat{T}), and π -rotation along y axis (\hat{C}_{2y}), and valley-preserving π -rotation along x axis (\hat{C}_{2x}), and the composite anti-unitary $C_{2z}T$. In addition, \hat{H}_{el} has a unitary particle-hole (\hat{P}) symmetry, as well as a chiral symmetry \hat{C} in the limit with vanishing AA region hopping ($w_0 = 0$) [19]. A full discussion of symmetry of BM model [57, 58] is found in SM Sec.V.B [55].

H_{ph} describes the intra-layer in-plane phonon modes. Out-of-plane phonon modes are decoupled from Dirac electrons for intra-layer e-ph interaction. The dynamical matrix for a single layer graphene is derived in SM Sec.III [55] based both on symmetry considerations and the microscopic model, up to the next nearest neighbor

interaction. The resulting in-plane phonon dispersion in Fig. 1a reproduces that in literature [59–63] (SM Sec.III and IV.B [55]). The phonon modes at Γ and $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$ can induce intra-valley and inter-valley e-ph interactions, respectively. In this paper we focus on the $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$ phonons; the Γ phonons will be derived in [64]. At $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$, we have one A_1 ($\sim 160\text{meV}$), one A_2 ($\sim 140\text{meV}$) and one 2D E mode ($\sim 150\text{meV}$) of C_{3v} group. Based on the deformation potential theory, we derive the e-ph interaction H_{eph} by expanding the TB Hamiltonian treating both the momentum and phonon displacement field \mathbf{u} as perturbations. For e-ph interaction, we only keep the dominant zeroth-order in momentum for the $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$ phonons. We find, due to both symmetry and the two-center approximation (SM Sec.II.E [55]), that only the A_1 phonons at \mathbf{K}_D can scatter an electron from \mathbf{K}_D to $-\mathbf{K}_D$ [63]. The corresponding Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{inter-vall}^{op,A_1} \approx \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{2N_G M \omega_{A_1}}} \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}, \tilde{\mathbf{k}}', \eta, \alpha\beta} (b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \tilde{\mathbf{k}} - \tilde{\mathbf{k}}', A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D - \tilde{\mathbf{k}} + \tilde{\mathbf{k}}', A_1}^\dagger) c_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D, \alpha}^\dagger (\sigma_x)_{\alpha\beta} c_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}' - \eta\mathbf{K}_D, \beta}, \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}$ is the electron momentum away from $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$, N_G is the number of atomic unit cells, M is the atomic mass, ω_{A_1} is the A_1 phonon frequency, and b and c are phonon and electron annihilation operators. The material dependent parameter γ_3 can be derived from the hopping potential as $\gamma_3 = 2i \sum_{\mathbf{G}} e^{i(\tau_A - \tau_B) \cdot \mathbf{G}} (\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{K}_D)_y t(\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{K}_D, 0) \approx 17\text{eV} / \text{\AA}$, where \mathbf{G} is the reciprocal lattice vector and $t(\mathbf{q})$ is the Fourier transform of the π -bond hopping function between two carbon p_z orbitals in graphene (Eq. 6 in SM Sec.I [55]). Our next step is to re-write the electron momentum $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}$ into the MBZ by $\tilde{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}$ with $\mathbf{k} \in \text{MBZ}$, so that $c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta, s} = c_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \tilde{\mathbf{k}}, \alpha, l, s}$ and $\sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \rightarrow \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \text{MBZ}} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}}$, where we have added the spin index s and layer index l . Finally, we project the e-ph interaction $H_{inter-vall}^{op,A_1}$ into the flat bands of the BM Hamiltonian as

$$H_{inter-vall}^{op,A_1} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}} G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta n n' l} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, n, \eta, s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', n', -\eta, s} (b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, l, A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D - \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, l, A_1}^\dagger) \quad (4)$$

where the summation includes $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', n, n', \eta, s, l, \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}$, $\gamma_{\mathbf{k}, n, \eta, s}^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_\alpha} u_{\mathbf{Q}_\alpha; n\eta}(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}_\alpha, \eta, \alpha, s}^\dagger$ with $u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta}^n$ the eigenstates of $h_{\mathbf{Q}_\alpha, \mathbf{Q}'_\alpha}^{(\eta)}(\mathbf{k})$. The matrix element

$$G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta n n' l} = \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{2M\omega_{A_1}}} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}, \alpha\beta} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta}^{n*} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^x u_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta} - \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, \beta, -\eta}^{n'} \quad (5)$$

characterizes the e-ph interaction strength for TBG and can be evaluated numerically (and later analytically), as shown in SM Sec. VI.F [55]. We focus on two flat bands (per valley per spin) of TBG, labelled by $n = \pm$. Instead of the eigen-state basis, we work on the so-called "Chern-

band" basis, defined by

$$u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta}^{e_Y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta}^{n=+} + i e_Y u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta}^{n=-}) \quad (6)$$

with $e_Y = \pm 1$. $u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta}^{e_Y}$ carries the Chern number ± 1 . On the Chern-band basis, the expressions for e-ph interaction can be obtained by replacing the n, n' indices in Eqs. (4) and (5) with e_Y, e'_Y indices and $u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta}^n$ in Eq. (5) with $u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta}^{e_Y}$. Discrete symmetries can constrain the form of the function $G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e'_Y l}$, as discussed in SM Sec.VI.D [55]. In particular, in the chiral limit $w_0 = 0$ one can show that $G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e'_Y l} = \delta_{e_Y, e'_Y} G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e_Y l}$ has diagonal form on the Chern-band basis.

Phonon-mediated Electron-electron Interaction and Symmetry Classification of Superconducting Pairing Channels - We next apply the Schrieffer-Wolff transformation [65] to integrate out the phonon modes and obtain the phonon-mediated electron-electron (el-el) interaction [25, 32]. We focus on the Cooper pair channel of the attractive interaction, which takes the form

$$H_{ee} = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', s, s_1, e_Y, e'_Y} V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_Y, e'_Y} \gamma_{\mathbf{k} e_Y \eta s}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k} e'_Y, -\eta s_1} \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}' e'_Y, \eta s_1} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}' e_Y, -\eta s}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_Y, e'_Y} = \frac{1}{N_0 \omega_{A_1}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_M, l} G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', -l\eta \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta, e_Y, l} G_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}', l\eta \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{G}_M}^{-\eta, e'_Y, l}$$

with \mathbf{G}_M the Moiré reciprocal lattice vectors, N_M the number of Moiré unit cells and N_0 the number of atomic unit cells in one Moiré unit cell ($N_G = N_0 \times N_M$). Discrete symmetries constrain the form of the interaction

parameter $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e_Y'}$. The ones leaving the momentum (\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') unchanged are: (1) $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P}$: $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e_Y'} = V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta,e_Y,e_Y'}$; (2) $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}$: $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e_Y'} = V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,-e_Y,-e_Y'}^*$; and (3) the combination of index reshuffling and \hat{P} symmetry: $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e_Y'} = V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta,e_Y',e_Y}$. These three symmetry operations reduce the number of the independent components of the V -function for a fixed (\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') from 8 complex parameters to 1 real ($V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{+,+-}$) and 1 complex parameter ($V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{+,++}$). Other discrete symmetries, including \hat{P} , reshuffling, hermicity, \hat{C}_{3z} and \hat{C}_{2z} , relate the V -function at different (\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') . In particular, \hat{C}_{3z} guarantees $V_{\mathbf{K}_M,0}^{\eta,e_Y,e_Y'} = 0$ for the intra-Chern-band channels. The projected Coulomb interaction into the flat bands of the BM model possesses a large $U(4) \times U(4)$ spin-valley continuous symmetry [18, 57, 66]. the el-el interaction (7) breaks this symmetry down to the $U(2)_{e_Y=+} \times U(2)_{e_Y=-}$ in the chiral limit and further to a total spin $SU(2)$ together with a valley charge $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ (SM Sec.VI.E [55]).

At the mean field level, the attractive interaction (7) is decomposed into the fermion bilinear form $H_\Delta = \hat{\Delta} + \hat{\Delta}^\dagger$ with

$$\hat{\Delta} = \sum \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_{Y_1},\eta,s_1}^\dagger \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1}s_1,e_{Y_2}s_2}^\eta \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_{Y_2},-\eta,s_2}^\dagger, \quad (8)$$

where the summation above includes the indices $\mathbf{k}, e_{Y_1}, e_{Y_2}, s_1, s_2, \eta$ and the gap function

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1}s_1,e_{Y_2}s_2}^\eta = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_{Y_1}e_{Y_2}} \langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'e_{Y_2}\eta s_2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_{Y_1}-\eta s_1} \rangle. \quad (9)$$

Since the interaction V -function does not involve spin, we can decompose $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1}s_1,e_{Y_2}s_2}^\eta = \sum_{S,M} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1}e_{Y_2}}^{\eta,SM} \mathcal{S}_{s_1s_2}^{SM}$, where $S = 0$ for spin singlet and $S = 1$ ($M = -S, \dots, S$) for spin triplet (SM Sec.VI.G.1 [55]).

The gap function can be classified according to the discrete symmetries. The C_{6v} group includes four 1D irreducible representations (irreps), e.g. $A_{1,2}$ and $B_{1,2}$, and two 2D irreps, $E_{1,2}$. 1D irreps $A_{1,2}$ and $B_{1,2}$ channels differ by their \hat{C}_{2z} eigen-values, $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = +1$ for $A_{1,2}$ and $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = -1$ for $B_{1,2}$. Combining \hat{C}_{2z} and reshuffling symmetries leads to $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1},e_{Y_2}}^\eta = \lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_2},e_{Y_1}}^\eta$ for spin singlet and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1},e_{Y_2}}^\eta = -\lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_2},e_{Y_1}}^\eta$ for spin triplet. Thus, for intra-Chern-band pairing ($e_{Y_1} = e_{Y_2}$), the $A_{1,2}$ channel must be spin singlet while the $B_{1,2}$ channel must be spin triplet. Furthermore, the rotation \hat{C}_{3z} ensures the existence of nodes at \mathbf{K}_M for the gap function of any 1D irrep intra-Chern-band channel ($\Delta_{\mathbf{K}_M;e_Y,e_Y}^\eta = 0$), while the inter-Chern-band channel does not have such constraint. The 2D irreps E_1 and E_2 have different \hat{C}_{2z} eigen-values, $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = +1$ for E_2 and $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = -1$ for E_1 , similarly to the 1D irrep case. Consequently, the E_2 channel must be spin singlet while the E_1 channel must be spin triplet for intra-Chern-band pairings. \hat{C}_{3z} guarantees nodes at Γ_M for both intra- and inter-Chern-band channels, and it requires additional

nodes at \mathbf{K}_M for the inter-Chern-band channels for both 2D $E_{1,2}$ pairings. Besides discrete symmetries, the continuous $U(2)_{e_Y=1} \times U(2)_{e_Y=-1}$ spin symmetry in the chiral limit guarantees the singlet and triplet pairings of inter-Chern-band channel to be degenerate in the chiral flat band limit. The full symmetry analysis of the gap functions can be found in SM Sec.VI.G [55].




FIG. 1. (a) Phonon dispersion of graphene. The irreps for phonon modes at Γ and \mathbf{K}_D are labelled. Inset: BZ of graphene. (b) MBZ of TBG. (c) and (d) shows the momentum dependence of the normalized gap function $|\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|$ for the inter-Chern-band A_1 singlet (or the A_2 triplet) channel and intra-Chern-band pairing 2D E_2 singlet channel, respectively. The inset in (c) shows the $|\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|$ along the dashed line $k_y = 0$ for both the inter-Chern-band (red) and intra-Chern-band (blue) channels. The momenta $\Gamma_M, \mathbf{K}_M, \mathbf{M}_M$ are labelled in MBZ in (b) and (d).

Gap Equations and Self-consistent Solution of Pairing Channels - The linearized gap equation (LGE) for the attractive interaction (7) can be derived by evaluating $\langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'e_{Y_2}\eta s_2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_{Y_1}-\eta s_1} \rangle$ in Eq. (9) and expanding it to linear order of the gap function. In the chiral flat band limit, e.g. the band width is much smaller than the critical temperature T_c , the LGE is derived as

$$2k_B T \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1}e_{Y_2}}^{\eta,SM} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_{Y_1}e_{Y_2}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}';e_{Y_1}e_{Y_2}}^{-\eta,SM}. \quad (10)$$

This is eigen-equation problem for the matrix $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_{Y_1}e_{Y_2}}$: the T_c is determined by the largest eigen-value and the symmetry of the gap function is determined by that of its eigenvector. As mentioned, the only two independent components of the V -function (complex $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{+,++}$ and real $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{+,+-}$) leads to two independent LGEs for the intra- and inter-Chern-band channels, respectively. The form of the LGE suggests that all the gap functions are doubly degenerate at T_c in the flat-band limit. They belong either to two degenerate 1D irreps or one 2D irrep. We first

numerically solve these two LGEs from Eq. (341), and find the forms of the gap functions with the largest eigenvalues, as shown in Fig. 1. Our numerical calculations show $k_B T_c \sim 0.21 meV$ for the inter-Chern-band channel, slightly larger than $k_B T_c \sim 0.16 meV$ for the intra-Chern-band channel. For the inter-Chern-band channels, the gap function is almost a constant in Fig. 1a, featuring a fully gapped s-wave pairing with even \hat{C}_{2z} -parity (A_1 or A_2 irrep). In the chiral flat-band limit, spin singlet and triplet pairings are degenerate, as required by the continuous $U(2) \times U(2)$ spin symmetry (SM Sec.VI.E [55]). Including kinetic energy splits this degeneracy and makes the spin singlet A_1 irrep channel to have the highest T_c . For the intra-Chern-band channel, one can see nodes appear at the Γ_M in Fig. 1b. As our previous symmetry analysis shows that the gap function should have nodes at \mathbf{K}_M for the 1D irrep ($A_{1,2}, B_{1,2}$) and Γ_M for the 2D irrep ($E_{1,2}$), numerical results should correspond to a 2D irrep. Numerically analyzing the symmetry property of the gap function suggests that the intra-Chern-band channel belongs to the 2D E_2 irrep with spin singlet. Full numerical results are discussed in SM Sec.VI.H.2 and 3 [55].

Our results for the intra-Chern-band channels reveal a d-wave character of the gap. Using the heavy fermion formalism of TBG [46, 64], we analytically obtain $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e_Y}$:

$$V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e_Y} = U_{e_Y,\mathbf{k}}^* U_{e_Y,\mathbf{k}'}; \quad U_{e_Y,\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\sqrt{V_0}}{k^2 + b^2} k_{e_Y}^2, \quad (11)$$

with $k_{e_Y} = k_x + i e_Y k_y$ ($e_Y = \pm$). This interaction allows us to solve the LGE analytically to obtain the T_c ,

$$k_B T_c = \frac{\tilde{V}_0}{2}, \quad \tilde{V}_0 = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} V_0 \frac{k^4}{(k^2 + b^2)^2}, \quad (12)$$

where V_0 and b are material dependent parameters. The corresponding self-consistent gap function takes the d-wave form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k},e_Y e_Y}^{+,00} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k},e_Y e_Y}^{-,00} \end{pmatrix} = \Delta_{e_Y} \frac{k_{e_Y}^2}{k^2 + b^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

with $e_Y = \pm$ and Δ_{e_Y} a parameter to be determined. Time reversal, if exists, requires $(\Delta_{\mathbf{k};--}^{+,00}, \Delta_{\mathbf{k};--}^{-,00}) = (\Delta_{\mathbf{k};++}^{+,00}, \Delta_{\mathbf{k};++}^{-,00})^*$. The d-wave nature of the gap function suggests the possibility of the nodal superconductivity. However, one should note that the single-particle Hamiltonian is *not* diagonal in the Chern-band basis. The Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) spectrum must be checked with kinetic energy added. The BdG Hamiltonian for the intra-Chern-band pairing is block diagonal and one block $\mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{+,+}$ on the basis $(\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,+}, s=\uparrow, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,-}, s=\downarrow)$ reads

$$H_{BdG}^{+,+}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} h_+(\mathbf{k}) & \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \\ (\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^+)^{\dagger} & -h_-^*(-\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

with $h_{\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = (d_{0,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) - \mu)\zeta^0 + d_{x,\eta}(\mathbf{k})\zeta^x$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^+ = \text{Diag}[\Delta_{\mathbf{k},++}^{+,00}, \Delta_{\mathbf{k},--}^{+,00}]$. Here $d_{0,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = (\epsilon_{+,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) +$

$\epsilon_{-,\eta}(\mathbf{k}))/2$ and $d_{x,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = (\epsilon_{+,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) - \epsilon_{-,\eta}(\mathbf{k}))/2$, where $\epsilon_{\pm,\eta}(\mathbf{k})$ are the eigen-energies for the two low-energy flat bands (per valley per spin) of the BM model \hat{H}_{el} (2). The corresponding energy spectrum can possess nodes when the pairing amplitudes of two Chern-band channels are equal, $|\Delta_{e_Y=+}| = |\Delta_{e_Y=-}| = \Delta_0$, which corresponds to the Euler pairing discussed in Ref.[32, 67]. Point nodes appear at the location defined by two conditions (1) $\cos((\Phi_{\mathbf{k},-} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k},+})/2) = 0$, where $\Phi_{\mathbf{k},e_Y} = \varphi_{e_Y} - 2e_Y\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$ with $\Delta_{e_Y} = \Delta_0 e^{i\varphi_{e_Y}}$ and $k_{e_Y} = k e^{i e_Y \theta_{\mathbf{k}}}$; and (2) $d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2 = (d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu)^2 + \Delta_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2$ with $\Delta_{0,\mathbf{k}} = \Delta_0 \frac{k^2}{k^2 + b^2}$, as discussed in SM Sec.VI.H.5 [55]. The first condition determines the momentum angle for the nodes while the second gives the momentum amplitude, thus together fixing the location of point nodes in the 2D momentum space. We next solve the self-consistent gap equation at zero temperature for the interaction form (363). With the gap function ansatz $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_Y} = \Delta_{e_Y} \frac{k_{e_Y}^2}{k^2 + b^2}$, we find a self-consistent gap equation

$$\Delta_{e_Y} = \frac{V_0}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',e_{Y_1}} \frac{k_{e_Y}'^2}{k'^2 + b^2} u_{-\mathbf{k}',e_Y e_{Y_1}} w_{-\mathbf{k}',e_Y e_{Y_1}}^*, \quad (15)$$

where $\psi_{\mathbf{k},e_{Y_1}} = (u_{\mathbf{k},\pm,e_{Y_1}}, w_{\mathbf{k},\pm,e_{Y_1}})$ ($e_{Y_1} = \pm$) are the eigen-wave functions with the positive eigen-energies of the BdG Hamiltonian $H_{BdG}^{+,+}(\mathbf{k})$ (14). Fig. 2A shows the chemical potential dependence of the gap functions and the condensation energy. The Euler pairing $|\Delta_+| = |\Delta_-|$ is always energetically favored for a non-flat band width $\sim 0.3 meV$, quite different from chiral d-wave pairing in doped graphene [26, 68, 69]. For the chemical potential μ below $0.1 meV$, a nodal superconductor phase with four point nodes (Fig. 2B) located at the positions determined by two conditions discussed above [32]. With increasing μ , four nodes move towards Γ_M and eventually a gapped superconductor phase (Fig. 2C) appears for $\mu > 0.1 meV$.

The energy scale of the Coulomb interaction in TBG is $\sim 24 meV$ [57], much larger than the estimated energy scale of e-K-ph mediated attractive interaction $\sim 0.3 meV$ [70]. Near the Van-Hove singularities of flat bands, the screening can significantly reduce the Coulomb interaction to a similar order as e-K-ph mediated interaction due to the large DOS (SM Sec.VI.H.7 [55]), thus making superconductivity from this mechanism possible. If, however, the DOS is that of the Hartree-Fock bands of correlated insulators, the screening might not be enough to reduce the Coulomb interaction. Hence, superconductivity from this K -phonon flat bare band mechanism could appear only when the correlated insulator states are suppressed.

Conclusion - In conclusion, we develop a theory for the projected e-K-ph interaction of the flat bands and the resulting superconductor pairing channels in TBG. We find the inter-Chern-band s-wave singlet pairing and the intra-Chern-band d-wave nematic singlet pairing have highest T_c , and the T_c of inter-Chern-band channel is slightly higher than the intra-Chern-band channel. The




FIG. 2. (A) The superconductor order parameter amplitudes $|\Delta_{\pm}|$ (red circles and blue crosses) and the ground state energy (black dots) as a function of μ . The superconducting phase has nodes in the shadowed regime. (B) and (C) show the BdG spectrum with and without nodes at $\mu = 0.04 \text{ meV}$ and 0.14 meV , respectively. The single-particle bandwidth is set around 0.3 meV .

intra-Chen-band channel can have nodes in a large parameter regime. From the estimate of the screened Coulomb interaction, we argue that this mechanism requires the correlated insulators to be suppressed.

Acknowledgement – We would like to acknowledge Biao Lian, Xi Dai and Zhida Song for the helpful discussion. B.A.B.’s heavy fermion in twisted bilayer research was supported by the DOE Grant No. DE-SC0016239. BAB’s sabbatical support also comes from the Simons Investigator Grant No. 404513, the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation through Grant No. GBMF8685 towards the Princeton theory program, the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation’s EPiQS Initiative (Grant No. GBMF11070), Office of Naval Research (ONR Grant No. N00014-20-1-2303), and the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement no. 101020833). CXL also acknowledges the support through the Penn State MRSEC–Center for Nanoscale Science via NSF award DMR-2011839. AY acknowledges support from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation’s EPiQS initiative grant GBMF9469, DOE- BES grant DE-FG02-07ER46419, NSF-DMR-1904442, ARO MURI (W911NF-21-2-0147), and ONR N00012-21-1-2592. CXL and AY also acknowledges the support from the NSF-MERSEC (Grant No. MER- SEC DMR 2011750). YLC acknowledges the support from the Oxford-ShanghaiTech collaboration project and the Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project (grant 2018SHZDZX02).

- [1] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, A. Demir, S. Fang, S. L. Tomarken, J. Y. Luo, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, *et al.*, *Nature* **556**, 80 (2018).
- [2] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, *Nature* **556**, 43 (2018).
- [3] X. Lu, P. Stepanov, W. Yang, M. Xie, M. A. Aamir, I. Das, C. Urgell, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, G. Zhang, *et al.*, *Nature* **574**, 653 (2019).
- [4] E. Codecido, Q. Wang, R. Koester, S. Che, H. Tian, R. Lv, S. Tran, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, F. Zhang, *et al.*, *Science Advances* **5**, eaaw9770 (2019).
- [5] M. Yankowitz, S. Chen, H. Polshyn, Y. Zhang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, D. Graf, A. F. Young, and C. R. Dean, *Science* **363**, 1059 (2019).
- [6] Y. Cao, D. Rodan-Legrain, J. M. Park, N. F. Yuan, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, R. M. Fernandes, L. Fu, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, *science* **372**, 264 (2021).
- [7] M. Oh, K. P. Nuckolls, D. Wong, R. L. Lee, X. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and A. Yazdani, *Nature* **600**, 240 (2021).
- [8] X. Liu, Z. Wang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, O. Vafek, and J. Li, *Science* **371**, 1261 (2021).
- [9] Y. Saito, J. Ge, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and A. F. Young, *Nature Physics* **16**, 926 (2020).
- [10] H. S. Arora, R. Polski, Y. Zhang, A. Thomson, Y. Choi, H. Kim, Z. Lin, I. Z. Wilson, X. Xu, J.-H. Chu, *et al.*, *Nature* **583**, 379 (2020).
- [11] E. Y. Andrei and A. H. MacDonald, *Nature materials* **19**, 1265 (2020).
- [12] J. Liu and X. Dai, *Nature Reviews Physics* **3**, 367 (2021).
- [13] Y. H. Kwan, G. Wagner, T. Soejima, M. P. Zaletel, S. H. Simon, S. A. Parameswaran, and N. Bultinck, *Physical Review X* **11**, 041063 (2021).
- [14] K. P. Nuckolls, R. L. Lee, M. Oh, D. Wong, T. Soejima, J. P. Hong, D. Călugăru, J. Herzog-Arbeitman, B. A. Bernevig, K. Watanabe, *et al.*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.00024 (2023).
- [15] D. Călugăru, N. Regnault, M. Oh, K. P. Nuckolls, D. Wong, R. L. Lee, A. Yazdani, O. Vafek, and B. A. Bernevig, *Physical review letters* **129**, 117602 (2022).
- [16] J. Kang, B. A. Bernevig, and O. Vafek, *Physical review letters* **127**, 266402 (2021).
- [17] J. Kang and O. Vafek, *Physical Review X* **8**, 031088 (2018).
- [18] J. Kang and O. Vafek, *Physical review letters* **122**, 246401 (2019).
- [19] O. Vafek and J. Kang, *Physical Review Letters* **125**, 257602 (2020).
- [20] E. Khalaf, S. Chatterjee, N. Bultinck, M. P. Zaletel, and A. Vishwanath, *Science advances* **7**, eabf5299 (2021).
- [21] E. Khalaf, P. Ledwith, and A. Vishwanath, *Physical Review B* **105**, 224508 (2022).
- [22] H. C. Po, L. Zou, A. Vishwanath, and T. Senthil, *Physical Review X* **8**, 031089 (2018).
- [23] Y.-Z. You and A. Vishwanath, *npj Quantum Materials*

- 4, 1 (2019).
- [24] B. Lian, Z. Wang, and B. A. Bernevig, Physical review letters **122**, 257002 (2019).
 - [25] F. Wu, A. H. MacDonald, and I. Martin, Physical review letters **121**, 257001 (2018).
 - [26] F. Wu, Physical Review B **99**, 195114 (2019).
 - [27] F. Wu, E. Hwang, and S. D. Sarma, Physical Review B **99**, 165112 (2019).
 - [28] F. Wu and S. D. Sarma, Physical Review B **99**, 220507 (2019).
 - [29] D. V. Chichinadze, L. Classen, and A. V. Chubukov, Physical Review B **101**, 224513 (2020).
 - [30] R. M. Fernandes and L. Fu, Physical review letters **127**, 047001 (2021).
 - [31] Y. Wang, J. Kang, and R. M. Fernandes, Physical Review B **103**, 024506 (2021).
 - [32] J. Yu, M. Xie, F. Wu, and S. D. Sarma, arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.02353 (2022).
 - [33] G. Sharma, M. Trushin, O. P. Sushkov, G. Vignale, and S. Adam, Physical Review Research **2**, 022040 (2020).
 - [34] H. Isobe, N. F. Yuan, and L. Fu, Physical Review X **8**, 041041 (2018).
 - [35] B. Roy and V. Juričić, Physical Review B **99**, 121407 (2019).
 - [36] T. J. Peltonen, R. Ojajärvi, and T. T. Heikkilä, Physical Review B **98**, 220504 (2018).
 - [37] D. M. Kennes, J. Lischner, and C. Karrasch, Physical Review B **98**, 241407 (2018).
 - [38] J. Gonzalez and T. Stauber, Physical review letters **122**, 026801 (2019).
 - [39] T. Cea and F. Guinea, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **118**, e2107874118 (2021).
 - [40] G. Shavit, E. Berg, A. Stern, and Y. Oreg, Physical Review Letters **127**, 247703 (2021).
 - [41] C.-C. Liu, L.-D. Zhang, W.-Q. Chen, and F. Yang, Physical review letters **121**, 217001 (2018).
 - [42] M. Fidrysiak, M. Zegrodnik, and J. Spalek, Physical Review B **98**, 085436 (2018).
 - [43] Y.-Z. Chou, Y.-P. Lin, S. D. Sarma, and R. M. Nandkishore, Physical Review B **100**, 115128 (2019).
 - [44] V. Kozii, H. Isobe, J. W. Venderbos, and L. Fu, Physical Review B **99**, 144507 (2019).
 - [45] Cheng Chen, Kevin P. Nuckolls, Shuhan Ding, Wangqian Miao, Dillon Wong, Myungchul Oh, Ryan L. Lee, Shanmei He, Cheng Peng, Ding Pei, Yiwei Li, Shihao Zhang, Jianpeng Liu, Zhongkai Liu, Chris Jozwiak, Aaron Bostwick, Eli Rotenberg, Xi Dai, Chaoxing Liu, B. Andrei Bernevig, Yao Wang, Ali Yazdani, Yulin Chen, Strong Intervalley Electron-Phonon Coupling in Magic-Angle Twisted Bilayer Graphene, submitted.
 - [46] Z.-D. Song and B. A. Bernevig, Physical Review Letters **129**, 047601 (2022).
 - [47] D. Călugăru, M. Borovkov, L. L. Lau, P. Coleman, Z.-D. Song, and B. A. Bernevig, arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03429 (2023).
 - [48] H. Hu, G. Rai, L. Crippa, J. Herzog-Arbeitman, D. Călugăru, T. Wehling, G. Sangiovanni, R. Valenti, A. Tsvelik, and B. Bernevig, arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.04673 (2023).
 - [49] H. Hu, B. A. Bernevig, and A. M. Tsvelik, arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.04669 (2023).
 - [50] L. L. Lau and P. Coleman, arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.02670 (2023).
 - [51] A. Datta, M. J. Calderon, A. Camjayi, and E. Bascones, arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.13024 (2023).
 - [52] J. Yu, M. Xie, B. A. Bernevig, and S. D. Sarma, arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.04171 (2023).
 - [53] Y.-Z. Chou and S. D. Sarma, arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.15682 (2022).
 - [54] G.-D. Zhou and Z.-D. Song, arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.04661 (2023).
 - [55] See Supplemental Material at [URL] for details of the derivations of electron-phonon interaction in graphene and its projection to the flat bands of twisted bilayer graphene, symmetry analysis of phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction and irreducible superconductor pairing channels, the linearized and $T=0$ gap equations, the superconductor ground state energy and the Coulomb screening effect.
 - [56] R. Bistritzer and A. H. MacDonald, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **108**, 12233 (2011).
 - [57] B. A. Bernevig, Z.-D. Song, N. Regnault, and B. Lian, Physical Review B **103**, 205413 (2021).
 - [58] Z.-D. Song, B. Lian, N. Regnault, and B. A. Bernevig, Physical Review B **103**, 205412 (2021).
 - [59] A. C. Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, Reviews of modern physics **81**, 109 (2009).
 - [60] R. Sahoo and R. R. Mishra, Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics **114**, 805 (2012).
 - [61] E. Thingstad, A. Kamra, J. W. Wells, and A. Sudbø, Physical Review B **101**, 214513 (2020).
 - [62] J. Maultzsch, S. Reich, C. Thomsen, H. Requardt, and P. Ordejón, Physical review letters **92**, 075501 (2004).
 - [63] M. Mohr, J. Maultzsch, E. Dobardžić, S. Reich, I. Milošević, M. Damnjanović, A. Bosak, M. Krisch, and C. Thomsen, Physical Review B **76**, 035439 (2007).
 - [64] Liu, Chao-Xing and Bernevig, B Andrei, in preparation.
 - [65] J. R. Schrieffer and P. A. Wolff, Physical Review **149**, 491 (1966).
 - [66] N. Bultinck, E. Khalaf, S. Liu, S. Chatterjee, A. Vishwanath, and M. P. Zaletel, Physical Review X **10**, 031034 (2020).
 - [67] J. Yu, Y.-A. Chen, and S. D. Sarma, Physical Review B **105**, 104515 (2022).
 - [68] A. M. Black-Schaffer and C. Honerkamp, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **26**, 423201 (2014).
 - [69] R. Nandkishore, L. S. Levitov, and A. V. Chubukov, Nature Physics **8**, 158 (2012).
 - [70] Note that our calculation is performed in the chiral limit, so we may underestimate the electron-phonon coupling strength.
 - [71] Z. Song, Z. Wang, W. Shi, G. Li, C. Fang, and B. A. Bernevig, Physical review letters **123**, 036401 (2019).
 - [72] G. Tarnopolsky, A. J. Kruchkov, and A. Vishwanath, Physical review letters **122**, 106405 (2019).
 - [73] J. Ahn, S. Park, and B.-J. Yang, Physical Review X **9**, 021013 (2019).
 - [74] H. C. Po, L. Zou, T. Senthil, and A. Vishwanath, Physical Review B **99**, 195455 (2019).
 - [75] L. Savary, J. Ruhman, J. W. Venderbos, L. Fu, and P. A. Lee, Physical Review B **96**, 214514 (2017).
 - [76] M. Sigrist and K. Ueda, Reviews of Modern physics **63**, 239 (1991).

Supplementary Material for "Electron- K -Phonon Interaction In Twisted Bilayer Graphene"

CONTENTS

References	5
I. Notation	8
II. Electron-phonon interaction in a single layer graphene	9
A. Zero Displacement	9
B. Finite Out of-Plane Displacement	10
C. Finite In-Plane Displacement	10
D. Inter-valley Electron-Phonon Interaction with In-plane Phonons	11
1. Physical Meaning of the phonon Modes at \mathbf{K}_D	13
E. Symmetry properties of the Electron-Phonon Interaction	14
III. Phonons in a Single Layer Graphene	16
A. Dynamical Matrix	17
B. Dynamical Matrix and Phonon Modes at \mathbf{K}_D	18
IV. Electron and Phonon-Band Projected Electron-Phonon Hamiltonian	19
A. Projected Inter-Valley Electron-Phonon Interaction	19
B. Numerical Calculations of Electron-Phonon Interaction in a Single-Layer Graphene	20
V. Review of Bistritzer-MacDonald model for Twisted Bilayer Graphene	23
A. Basis and Single-particle Hamiltonian	23
B. Symmetries of the BM model	25
VI. Intra-Layer Inter-Valley Electron-phonon Interaction in Twisted Bilayer Graphene	26
A. Projected Intra-Layer Inter-Valley Electron-Phonon Interaction for the Flat Bands	26
B. Phonon-Mediated Electron-Electron Interaction for the Flat Bands	27
C. Gauge Fixing for Eigen-state Basis and Chern Band Basis	28
1. Eigen-state Basis	28
2. Gauge Fixing for Eigen-state Basis	29
3. Gauge Fixing for Chern band basis	31
4. The chiral symmetry at $w_0 = 0$	32
D. Discrete Symmetry Analysis of the Intra-Layer Inter-valley Electron-Phonon Interaction and the phonon-induced electron-electron interaction	33
E. Continuous Symmetry Analysis of the Intra-layer and Inter-valley Electron-Phonon Interaction and the phonon-induced electron-electron interaction	39
F. Numerical results for the phonon-induced electron-electron interaction	40
G. Symmetry Classification of Irreducible Pairing Channels	42
1. Discrete Symmetry analysis of the Gap Function	43
2. Continuous Symmetry Analysis of Irreducible Pairing Channels	46
H. Gap equation and Possible Pairing Channels for the Flat Bands	47
1. Linearized gap equation	47
2. Intra-Chern-band channels from linearized gap equation	52
3. Inter-Chern-band channels from linearized gap equation	55
4. Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian, full self-consistent gap equation and ground state energy	59
5. Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian for the Intra-Chern-band Channel	65
6. Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian for the Inter-Chern-band Channel	69
7. Estimate of screened Coulomb Interaction	70

I. NOTATION

In this section, we will first describe our notations for the whole paper. We denote $\mathbf{a}_1^l, \mathbf{a}_2^l$ as the un-strained, microscopic lattice vectors of the graphene layer l ($l = \pm$ for the top and bottom layers), while $\mathbf{G}_{1,2}^l$ are the corresponding reciprocal lattice vectors. $\mathbf{G}_a^l \cdot \mathbf{a}_b^l = 2\pi\delta_{ab}$. In the layer l , there are two sub-lattice positions τ_A^l, τ_B^l . We assume the graphene layer l is rotated by an in-plane angle $l\theta/2$ followed by a shift $l\frac{l_0}{2}\hat{z}$ along the z direction, so any vector \mathbf{v}^l is related to the un-rotated vector \mathbf{v} by $\mathbf{v}^l = \hat{R}_{\theta/2}^l \mathbf{v} + l\frac{l_0}{2}\hat{z} = l\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{z} \times \mathbf{v} + l\frac{l_0}{2}\hat{z}$, where $\hat{R}_{\theta/2}^l$ labels the rotation operator, \hat{z} is the out-of-plane unit vector and l_0 is the equilibrium distance between two graphene layers. Thus, we only need to give the values of all the un-rotated vectors below. Two primitive lattice vectors are $\mathbf{a}_1 = a_0(\frac{-1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$ and $\mathbf{a}_2 = -a_0(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$, where $a_0 = 2.46\text{\AA}$ is the lattice constant. The two primitive reciprocal lattice vectors spanning the Brillouin zone (BZ) are

$$\mathbf{G}_1 = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}a_0}(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}); \quad \mathbf{G}_2 = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}a_0}(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}). \quad (16)$$

Since the Fermi surface in graphene is around two K -valley, we also label them as $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$ with $\mathbf{K}_D = \frac{4\pi}{3a_0}(1, 0)$ and $\eta = \pm$. The atoms are at positions

$$\tau_A = \frac{a_0}{2}(1, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}), \quad \tau_B = \frac{a_0}{2}(1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}). \quad (17)$$

For two layers $l = \pm$, the atoms on position $\alpha = A, B$ in the top/bottom later reside at

$$\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l = \hat{R}_{\theta/2}^l \mathbf{R} + l\frac{l_0}{2}\hat{z} + \tau_\alpha^l = \mathbf{R}^l + \tau_\alpha^l \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{R} = m\mathbf{a}_1 + n\mathbf{a}_2$ is a unit cell vector.

The hopping between two atomic centers at a distance r is

$$t(\mathbf{r}_\parallel, z) = V_{pp\pi}(1 - (\frac{\mathbf{r}_\parallel \cdot \hat{z}}{r})^2)e^{-(r - \frac{a}{\sqrt{3}})/r_0} + V_{pp\sigma}(\frac{\mathbf{r}_\parallel \cdot \hat{z}}{r})^2e^{-\frac{r-l_0}{r_0}} \quad (19)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_\parallel = (x, y)$, r_0 characterizes the decaying length of atomic orbitals of carbon atoms, $a = a_0/\sqrt{3}$ is the distance between the A and B atoms, and $V_{pp\pi}$ and $V_{pp\sigma}$ are the hybridization parameters of π and σ bonds. We performed the Fourier transform to the in-plane coordinate of $t(\mathbf{r}_\parallel, z)$,

$$t_{\mathbf{q},z} = \frac{1}{S_0} \int d^2\mathbf{r}_\parallel e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_\parallel} t(\mathbf{r}_\parallel, z) \quad (20)$$

where S_0 is the unit cell area.




FIG. 3. Lattice and Brillouin zone for graphene.

In the discussion below, we mainly focus on the in-plane hopping term, and direct calculation gives

$$t_{\mathbf{q},0} = \frac{V_{pp\pi}e^{\frac{a_0}{\sqrt{3}r_0}}}{S_0} \frac{2\pi r_0^2}{(1 + (qr_0)^2)^{3/2}} \quad (21)$$

In the calculation we have used

$$r_0 = 0.184a_0; \quad V_{pp\pi} = -2.7eV; \quad S_0 = \frac{\sqrt{3}a_0^2}{2}; \quad |\mathbf{K}_D| = 1.7028\text{\AA}^{-1} \quad (22)$$

In the presence of a phonon field, the atom position should include the displacement field $\mathbf{u}^l(\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l)$ as

$$\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l = \mathbf{R}^l + \tau_\alpha^l \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_\alpha^l = \mathbf{R}^l + \tau_\alpha^l + \mathbf{u}^l(\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l) \quad (23)$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}^l(\mathbf{R}^l + \tau_\alpha^l) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}}^{l,\alpha} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{R}^l + \tau_\alpha^l)} \quad (24)$$

where the sum over \mathbf{p} extends of the graphene BZ and the N_G are the total number of atomic unit cells in graphene. Another important property of the phonon field is its periodic gauge. With the form of inversion Fourier transform

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{q}}^{l,\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{u}^l(\mathbf{R}^l + \tau_\alpha^l) e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{R}^l + \tau_\alpha^l)}, \quad (25)$$

we can see

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}}^\alpha = e^{-i\mathbf{G} \cdot \tau_\alpha} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{q}}^{l\alpha} \quad (26)$$

which is called the "periodic gauge".

Here we only introduce our notation for the single layer graphene, and the notation for the Moiré lattice for twisted bilayer graphene (TBG) will be introduced in Sec. V.

II. ELECTRON-PHONON INTERACTION IN A SINGLE LAYER GRAPHENE

On the basis states

$$|\psi_{\mathbf{p},\alpha}^l\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}^l} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{R}^l + \tau_\alpha^l)} |\psi(\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l + \mathbf{u}^l(\mathbf{R}^l + \tau_\alpha^l))\rangle, \quad (27)$$

the matrix Hamiltonian between the momentum \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}' in layers $a, b = 1, 2$ and orbitals $\alpha, \beta = A, B$ is given by

$$H_{ll'}^{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}') = \frac{1}{N_G} \sum_{\mathbf{R}^l, \mathbf{R}^{l'}} t(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_\alpha^l - \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha'}^{l'}) e^{-i(\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l \cdot \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{R}_{\alpha'}^{l'} \cdot \mathbf{p}')} \quad (28)$$

or

$$H_{ll'}^{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}') = \frac{S_0}{(2\pi)^2 N_G} \int d^2\mathbf{q} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{R}^l, \mathbf{R}^{l'}} e^{-i(\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{R}_{\alpha'}^{l'} \cdot (\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{q}))} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{R}_{\alpha'}^{l'}))} \right) t(\mathbf{q}, z_{ll'}) \quad (29)$$

where $z_{ll'}$ is the height difference between the layers l and l' . In this section, we first discuss the electron-phonon (e-ph) interaction in the same layer $l = l'$, and may drop the layer index l in some expressions for simplicity.

A. Zero Displacement

We have

$$\frac{1}{N_G} \sum_{\mathbf{R}^l} e^{-i\mathbf{R}^l \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})} = \sum_{\mathbf{G}^l} \delta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{G}^l} \quad (30)$$

where \mathbf{G}^l is a reciprocal lattice vector in the layer l .

For the layer $l = l'$, we have (since $z_{ll} = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} H_{0,ll}^{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}') &= \frac{S_0 N_G}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2\mathbf{q} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{G}_b^l} \left(\delta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{G}_a^l} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{G}_b^l} e^{-i\mathbf{G}_b^l \cdot \tau_{\alpha'}^l + i\mathbf{G}_a^l \cdot \tau_\alpha^l} \right) t(\mathbf{q}, 0) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{G}_b^l} \delta_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{p}'+\mathbf{G}_b^l} e^{-i\mathbf{G}_b^l \cdot \tau_{\alpha'}^l + i\mathbf{G}_a^l \cdot \tau_\alpha^l} t(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}_a^l, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $\mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{G}_b^l$ are two sets of reciprocal lattice vectors in the BZ of the layer l . The summation in Eq. (31) respects the symmetries of the problem. When we restrict \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}' to the same (first) BZ of graphene, we have $\delta_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{p}'+\mathbf{G}_b^l} = \delta_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'} \delta_{\mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{G}_b^l}$ and hence

$$H_{0ll}^{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}') = \delta_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a^l} e^{-i\mathbf{G}_a^l \cdot (\tau_{\alpha'}^l - \tau_\alpha^l)} t(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}_a^l, 0) \quad (32)$$

We then have the tight-binding Hamiltonian for the single layer graphene

$$t_{\mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\alpha'} = \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a^l} e^{-i\mathbf{G}_a^l \cdot (\tau_{\alpha'}^l - \tau_\alpha^l)} t(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}_a^l, 0), \quad (33)$$

which has a node at the \mathbf{K}_D point.

B. Finite Out of-Plane Displacement

For the out-of-plane displacement, we can use hopping $t(\mathbf{q}, z = u^z(\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l) - u^z(\mathbf{R}_\alpha'^l))$. With the small displacement approximation, we can linearize the expression in small u , and sum over graphene lattice, leading to the intra-layer Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\perp ll}^{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}') &= \frac{S_0}{(2\pi)^2 N_G} \int d^2\mathbf{q} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{R}_1^l, \mathbf{R}_2^l} e^{-i(\mathbf{R}_1^l \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{R}_2^l \cdot (\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{q}))} (u^z(\mathbf{R}_\alpha^l) - u^z(\mathbf{R}_\alpha'^l)) \partial_z t(\mathbf{q}, z)|_{z=0} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_G^{1/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}_1 \in BZ} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{G}_b^l} e^{i(\tau_\alpha^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_a^l - \tau_{\alpha'}^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_b^l)} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'+\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{G}_b^l, \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{G}_a^l} \\ &\quad \left(u_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{z, \alpha} \partial_z t(\mathbf{G}_a^l + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1, z)|_{z=0} - u_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{z, \alpha'} \partial_z t(\mathbf{G}_b^l + \mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}_1, z)|_{z=0} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

One can show that

$$\frac{\partial t(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0} = 0 \quad (35)$$

and hence there is no out-of-plane phonon coupling to the graphene electrons (this will also be derived by symmetry), and thus

$$H_{\perp ll}^{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}') = 0 \quad (36)$$

Thus, we only focus on in-plane phonon coupling below.

C. Finite In-Plane Displacement

For the in-plane displacement, we can use the Fourier transform form of the hopping term, $t(\mathbf{q}, z = 0)$. With the small displacement approximation, we can linearize \mathbf{u} , and sum over graphene lattice, leading to the intra-layer Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ll}^{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}') &= \frac{S_0}{(2\pi)^2 N_G} \int d^2\mathbf{q} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{R}_1^l, \mathbf{R}_2^l} e^{-i(\mathbf{R}_1^l \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{R}_2^l \cdot (\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{q}))} i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{R}_1^l) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{R}_2^l)) \right) t(\mathbf{q}, 0) \\ &= \frac{S_0 N_G^{1/2}}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2\mathbf{q} \times t(\mathbf{q}, 0) i\mathbf{q} \cdot \\ &\quad \sum_{\mathbf{p}_1 \in BZ} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{G}_b^l} \left(\delta_{-\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{G}_a^l} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{G}_b^l} e^{i(\tau_\alpha^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_a^l - \tau_{\alpha'}^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_b^l)} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l, \alpha} - \delta_{\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{G}_b^l} \delta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{G}_a^l} e^{i(\tau_\alpha^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_a^l - \tau_{\alpha'}^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_b^l)} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l, \alpha'} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $\mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{G}_b^l$ are two sets of reciprocal lattice vectors in the the BZ of layer l . Transforming the δ functions

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{-\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{G}_a^l} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{G}_b^l} &= \delta_{\mathbf{q}, \frac{\mathbf{G}_a^l + \mathbf{G}_b^l + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}_1}{2}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'+\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{G}_b^l, \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{G}_a^l} \\ \delta_{\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{G}_b^l} \delta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{G}_a^l} &= \delta_{\mathbf{q}, \frac{\mathbf{G}_a^l + \mathbf{G}_b^l + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}_1}{2}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'+\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{G}_b^l, \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{G}_a^l}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

we find the matrix elements of the intra-layer Hamiltonian,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{ll}^{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}') &= \frac{1}{N_G^{1/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}_1 \in BZ} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{G}_b^l} e^{i(\tau_\alpha^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_a^l - \tau_{\alpha'}^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_b^l)} \delta_{\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{G}_b^l, \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}_a^l} \\
&\left(i \frac{\mathbf{G}_a^l + \mathbf{G}_b^l + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}_1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l,\alpha} t\left(\frac{\mathbf{G}_a^l + \mathbf{G}_b^l + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}_1}{2}, 0\right) - i \frac{\mathbf{G}_a^l + \mathbf{G}_b^l + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}_1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l,\alpha'} t\left(\frac{\mathbf{G}_a^l + \mathbf{G}_b^l + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}_1}{2}, 0\right) \right) = \\
&= \frac{1}{N_G^{1/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}_1 \in BZ} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{G}_b^l} e^{i(\tau_\alpha^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_a^l - \tau_{\alpha'}^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_b^l)} \delta_{\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{G}_b^l, \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}_a^l} \\
&\left(i(\mathbf{G}_a^l + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l,\alpha} t(\mathbf{G}_a^l + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1, 0) - i(\mathbf{G}_b^l + \mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}_1) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l,\alpha'} t(\mathbf{G}_b^l + \mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}_1, 0) \right) \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

It should be noted that \mathbf{p}_1 summation in the above expression is over one BZ. For convenience, we can choose it within the first BZ. From the δ -function, we have $\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}_a^l - \mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{G}_b^l$, so if we keep the summation over \mathbf{G}_b^l , only one \mathbf{G}_a^l is allowed to make \mathbf{p}_1 in the first BZ. Actually the choice of \mathbf{G}_a^l will not influence the results. To see that, let's assume the choice of \mathbf{G}_{a0}^l can make $\mathbf{p}_{10} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}_{a0}^l - \mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{G}_b^l$ within the first BZ, and now we consider another choice $\mathbf{G}_a^l = \mathbf{G}_{a0}^l + \Delta\mathbf{G}^l$, so $\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}_a^l - \mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{G}_b^l = \mathbf{p}_{10} + \Delta\mathbf{G}^l$ is outside the first BZ. For the first term in Eq. (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{i(\tau_\alpha^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_a^l - \tau_{\alpha'}^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_b^l)} i(\mathbf{G}_a^l + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{l,\alpha} t(\mathbf{G}_a^l + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1, 0) \\
&= e^{i(\tau_\alpha^l \cdot (\mathbf{G}_{a0}^l + \Delta\mathbf{G}^l) - \tau_{\alpha'}^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_b^l)} i(\mathbf{G}_{a0}^l + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_{10}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}_{10} + \Delta\mathbf{G}^l}^{l,\alpha} t(\mathbf{G}_{a0}^l + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_{10}, 0) \\
&= e^{i(\tau_\alpha^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_{a0}^l - \tau_{\alpha'}^l \cdot \mathbf{G}_b^l)} i(\mathbf{G}_{a0}^l + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_{10}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}_{10}}^{l,\alpha} t(\mathbf{G}_{a0}^l + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_{10}, 0) \quad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the periodic gauge $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}_{10} + \Delta\mathbf{G}^l}^{l,\alpha} = e^{-i\tau_\alpha^l \cdot \Delta\mathbf{G}^l} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}_{10}}^{l,\alpha}$. From the above expression, one can see that the first term in Eq. (39) remains the same if one changes from the summation over \mathbf{p}_{10} to that over \mathbf{p}_1 . This means one just needs to pick one \mathbf{G}_a^l (e.g. one possible choice $\mathbf{G}_a^l = \mathbf{G}_b^l$), while which choice will not influence the results. Similar analysis can also be applied to the second term in Eq. (39).

Both in graphene and TBG, the electronic states with the energies around the Fermi energy is only close to the $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$ point where $\eta = \pm$ is the valley. Thus, one needs to expand the electron-phonon Hamiltonian around $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$. The electron momenta \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' in Eq. (39) can be expanded around the same valley ($\eta\mathbf{K}_D$ for a fixed η) or opposite valleys ($\eta\mathbf{K}_D$ and $-\eta\mathbf{K}_D$), corresponding to intra-valley and inter-valley e-ph interaction, respectively. In this work, we focus on inter-valley e-ph interaction.

D. Inter-valley Electron-Phonon Interaction with In-plane Phonons

In this section, we choose $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{k} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D$, $\mathbf{p}' = \mathbf{k}' - \eta\mathbf{K}_D$ where $k, k' \ll |\mathbf{K}_D|$, and correspondingly, we also need to decompose the phonon momentum into a large value (the difference between the two valleys) and a small value around that,

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{P}_1, \quad p \ll P_1 \quad (41)$$

where \mathbf{P}_1 determines the major part of phonon momentum. The momentum δ -function in $H_{ll}^{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}')$ becomes

$$\delta_{\mathbf{k} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{G}_a^l, \mathbf{k}' - \eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{G}_b^l} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p}} \delta_{2\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{G}_a^l - \mathbf{G}_b^l, \mathbf{P}_1}. \quad (42)$$

For the momentum \mathbf{p} in the first BZ, there are 3 possible values for \mathbf{P}_1 momenta

$$\mathbf{P}_1 = -\eta\mathbf{K}_D; -\eta(\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{G}_1); -\eta(\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{G}_2). \quad (43)$$

However, as discussed in Sec. II C, the summation over \mathbf{p}_1 in the Hamiltonian (39) is within one BZ and the choice of different BZs will not influence the result due to the periodic gauge of the phonon modes. Thus, we make the choice of $\mathbf{P}_1 = -\eta\mathbf{K}_D$ below, which corresponds to the K-phonon, and the Hamiltonian reads

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{ll}^{\eta\alpha, -\eta\alpha'}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}') &= \frac{i}{N_G^{1/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a^l} e^{i(\tau_\alpha^l - \tau_{\alpha'}^l) \cdot \mathbf{G}_a^l} e^{i\tau_{\alpha'}^l \cdot (\mathbf{P}_1 - 2\eta\mathbf{K}_D)} \\
&\left((\mathbf{G}_a^l + \eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{P}_1) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'}^{l,\alpha} t(\mathbf{G}_a^l + \eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{P}_1, 0) - (\mathbf{G}_a^l + \eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'}^{l,\alpha'} t(\mathbf{G}_a^l + \eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k}, 0) \right) \\
&= \frac{i}{N_G^{1/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a^l} e^{i(\tau_\alpha^l - \tau_{\alpha'}^l) \cdot \mathbf{G}_a^l} \left((\mathbf{G}_a^l + 2\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{u}_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'}^{l,\alpha} t(\mathbf{G}_a^l + 2\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k}', 0) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (\mathbf{G}_a^l + \eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'}^{l,\alpha'} t(\mathbf{G}_a^l + \eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k}, 0) \right), \quad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned} 3\mathbf{K}_D &= \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2 \\ e^{i\tau_A \cdot (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2)} &= e^{i\tau_B \cdot (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2)} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

We next parameterize the above expression and define

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0 &= \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a} t(\mathbf{G}_a + \eta\mathbf{K}_D, 0), \\ \gamma_1 &= \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a} (\widehat{\mathbf{G}_a + \eta\mathbf{K}_D})_x (\mathbf{G}_a + \eta\mathbf{K}_D)_x \frac{\partial t(\mathbf{q}, 0)}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=|\mathbf{K}_D + \eta\mathbf{G}_a|}, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\gamma_2 = \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a} e^{i(\tau_A - \tau_B) \cdot \mathbf{G}_a} (\widehat{\mathbf{G}_a + \mathbf{K}_D})_x (\mathbf{G}_a + \mathbf{K}_D)_x \frac{\partial t(\mathbf{q}, 0)}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=|\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{G}_a|} \quad (47)$$

and

$$\gamma_3 = 2i \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a} e^{i(\tau_A - \tau_B) \cdot \mathbf{G}_a} (\mathbf{G}_a + \mathbf{K}_D)_y t(\mathbf{G}_a + \mathbf{K}_D, 0). \quad (48)$$

We also define the acoustic and optical basis for the displacement field as

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}}^{l,\alpha} = \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}}^{l,ac} + (-1)^\alpha \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{p}}^{l,op} \quad (49)$$

where we define $(-1)^{\alpha=A(B)} = -1(+1)$.

With the above definitions, we can simplify the inter-valley e-ph Hamiltonian as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{||}^{\eta,-\eta}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}') &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{N_G}}(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1)(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'}^{l,ac} \sigma_0 - \mathbf{u}_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'}^{l,op} \sigma_z) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}}\gamma_3 \sigma_x (-u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}',y}^{l,op} - i\eta u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}',x}^{l,ac}) \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{\sqrt{N_G}}\gamma_2 [(-(k_x - k'_x)\sigma_x + \eta(k_y + k'_y)\sigma_y)u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}',x}^{l,ac} + ((k_y - k'_y)\sigma_x + \eta(k_x + k'_x)\sigma_y)u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}',y}^{l,ac}] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}}\gamma_2 [((k_x + k'_x)\sigma_y + \eta(k_y - k'_y)\sigma_x)u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}',x}^{l,op} + (-(k_y + k'_y)\sigma_y + \eta(k_x - k'_x)\sigma_x)u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}',y}^{l,op}], \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where the Pauli matrix σ is for the sublattice basis $((\sigma_i)_{\alpha,\alpha'})$ with $\alpha, \alpha' = A, B$.

In addition, we also define

$$v_F = \left| \sum_{\mathbf{G}_a} e^{i\mathbf{G}_a \cdot (\tau_A - \tau_B)} (\widehat{\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{G}_a})_x \frac{\partial t(\mathbf{q}, 0)}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=|\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{G}_a|} \right| \quad (51)$$

for the Fermi velocity of graphene.

All these parameters can be numerically evaluated and their values are listed in Table (I) for both the 3 \mathbf{G}_a and for 2000 (infinite) \mathbf{G}_a 's. One can see a large difference for the obtained values for all the parameters when keeping only 3 \mathbf{G}_a , as compared to keeping 2000 (infinite) \mathbf{G}_a 's. For example, when keeping of order 2000 \mathbf{G}_a 's, we find $\gamma_1 = 63.506$ and $\gamma_0 = -61.4306$, which almost cancel each other, and hence $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 = 2.07565$. For the first 3 \mathbf{G}_a 's approximation, $\gamma_0 = -22.7898$ and $\gamma_1 = 12.7393$, and hence $\gamma = -10.0505$, which is larger and of opposite sign as compared to keeping all \mathbf{G}_a . Here γ_0, γ_1 and γ_2 is in the unit of eV while γ_3 is in the unit of $eV/\text{\AA}$.

	Infinite	3 Shells	3/Infinite
v_f	5.229	7.3206	1.4
γ_0	-61.4306	-22.7898	0.371
γ_1	63.5062	12.7393	0.2006
$\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1$	2.07565	-10.0505	-4.8421
γ_2	6.7281	6.3917	0.95
γ_3	-17.088	-37.5936	2.2

TABLE I. Table for all the parameters in the Hamiltonian (50). Here γ_0, γ_1 and γ_2 is in the unit of eV while γ_3 is in the unit of $eV/\text{\AA}$.

In the above Hamiltonian (50), the term in the second line (γ_3 term) is zeroth order in \mathbf{k} while all the other terms linearly depend on \mathbf{k} . Thus, we below only keep the zeroth-order term for e-ph interaction for K-phonons.

1. *Physical Meaning of the phonon Modes at \mathbf{K}_D*

We now focus on the leading term of the inter-valley electron-phonon Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \eta, \alpha\beta} (-u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',y}^{l,op} - i\eta u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',x}^{l,ac}) c_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger (\sigma_x)_{\alpha\beta} c_{\mathbf{k}'-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\beta} \quad (52)$$

where the Hamiltonian is hermitian once the summation over η is performed. We take the Fourier transform form of the phonon field

$$\mathbf{u}_\alpha(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \eta\mathbf{K}_D) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i\eta\mathbf{K}_D \cdot (\mathbf{R} + \tau_\alpha)} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}') \cdot (\mathbf{R} + \tau_\alpha)} \mathbf{u}_\alpha(\mathbf{R} + \tau_\alpha). \quad (53)$$

We can see that the term $\eta\mathbf{K}_D \cdot \mathbf{R}$ is not periodic in \mathbf{R} , and this problem can be fixed by enlarging the unit cell. By choosing $\mathbf{R} = n\mathbf{a}_1 + m\mathbf{a}_2$, we have

$$\mathbf{K}_D \cdot \mathbf{R} = -\frac{2\pi}{3}(m+n). \quad (54)$$

By choosing

$$m+n = 3n_1 + s \quad (55)$$

with $s = 0, 1, 2$ and

$$\sum_{\mathbf{R}} = \sum_{m,n} = \sum_{n_1, m, s}, \quad (56)$$

We have

$$\mathbf{R}' = 3n_1\mathbf{a}_1 + m(\mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{a}_1), \quad \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}' + s\mathbf{a}_1, \quad (57)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\alpha(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \eta\mathbf{K}_D) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{s, \mathbf{R}'} e^{i\eta\mathbf{K}_D \cdot (s\mathbf{a}_1 + \tau_\alpha)} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}') \cdot (\mathbf{R}' + s\mathbf{a}_1 + \tau_\alpha)} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{R}' + s\mathbf{a}_1 + \tau_\alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_s e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}\eta(1-s)} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha s}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where we have defined the \mathbf{u} modes in the larger unit cell as

$$\mathbf{u}_{\alpha s}(\mathbf{q}) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}'} e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{R}' + s\mathbf{a}_1 + \tau_\alpha)} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{R}' + s\mathbf{a}_1 + \tau_\alpha). \quad (59)$$

For our choices of vectors, we note that

$$\tau_A \cdot \mathbf{K}_D = \tau_B \cdot \mathbf{K}_D = \frac{2\pi}{3} \quad (60)$$

The fact that they have the same value allows us to separate them once again into optical and acoustic combinations

$$u_{\alpha s} = u_{ac,s} + (-1)^\alpha u_{op,s} \quad (61)$$

and to have

$$\mathbf{u}_{ac/op}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \eta\mathbf{K}_D) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_s e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}\eta(1-s)} \mathbf{u}_{ac/op,s}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \quad (62)$$

And the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{3N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \eta, \alpha\beta} \sum_{s=1,2,3} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}\eta(1-s)} (-u_{op,s,y}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') - i\eta u_{ac,s,x}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')) c_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger (\sigma_x)_{\alpha\beta} c_{\mathbf{k}'-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\beta} \quad (63)$$

We may separate the valley index from electron momentum and introduce the field operator

$$\hat{\Psi}_{\mathbf{k},\alpha} = (c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}, c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha})^T \quad (64)$$

and the Pauli matrix τ for the valley index. The Hamiltonian is then written as

$$H = \frac{\gamma_3}{2\sqrt{3}N_G} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \hat{\Psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \sigma_x \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_{\alpha, s} (\mathbf{d}_{\alpha s}^r \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, s} - i \mathbf{d}_{\alpha s}^i \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, s}) \\ \sum_{\alpha, s} (\mathbf{d}_{\alpha s}^r \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, s} + i \mathbf{d}_{\alpha s}^i \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, s}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{\mathbf{k}'} \\ = \frac{\gamma_3}{2\sqrt{3}N_G} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \alpha, s} \hat{\Psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \sigma_x ((\mathbf{d}_{\alpha s}^r \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, s}) \tau_x + (\mathbf{d}_{\alpha s}^i \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, s}) \tau_y) \hat{\Psi}_{\mathbf{k}'}, \quad (65)$$

where we have used

$$\sum_s e^{i\eta\theta_s} (-u_{op, s, y} - i\eta u_{ac, s, x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s e^{i\eta\theta_s} (-(u_{B, s, y} - u_{A, s, y}) - i\eta(u_{A, s, x} + u_{B, s, x})) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, s} (\mathbf{d}_{\alpha s}^r \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, s} + i\eta \mathbf{d}_{\alpha s}^i \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, s}) \quad (66)$$

with

$$\mathbf{d}_{A, s}^r = \cos \theta_s \hat{e}_y + \sin \theta_s \hat{e}_x, \quad \mathbf{d}_{B, s}^r = -\cos \theta_s \hat{e}_y + \sin \theta_s \hat{e}_x, \\ \mathbf{d}_{A, s}^i = \sin \theta_s \hat{e}_y - \cos \theta_s \hat{e}_x, \quad \mathbf{d}_{B, s}^i = -\sin \theta_s \hat{e}_y - \cos \theta_s \hat{e}_x, \quad (67)$$

and $\theta_s = \frac{2\pi}{3}(1-s)$. Here $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha, s}$ is a function of $\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'$. The above e-ph Hamiltonian takes the same form as in Ref. [25].

Now let's focus on the vibration mode at \mathbf{K}_D ($\mathbf{k} \sim \mathbf{k}' \sim 0$), so $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha, s}(0) = \sqrt{3/N_G} \sum_{\mathbf{R}'} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{R}' + s\mathbf{a}_1 + \tau_\alpha)$. We can view $\mathbf{d}_{\alpha s}^{r(i)}$ as the vector, along which the (α, s) -atom vibrates. Explicitly, the \mathbf{d}^r -vectors are given by $\mathbf{d}_{A, 0}^r = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{e}_y + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\hat{e}_x$, $\mathbf{d}_{B, 0}^r = \frac{1}{2}\hat{e}_y + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\hat{e}_x$, $\mathbf{d}_{A, 1}^r = \hat{e}_y$, $\mathbf{d}_{B, 1}^r = -\hat{e}_y$, $\mathbf{d}_{A, 2}^r = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{e}_y - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\hat{e}_x$ and $\mathbf{d}_{B, 2}^r = \frac{1}{2}\hat{e}_y - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\hat{e}_x$, which can be depicted by the green arrows in Fig. 4b and correspond to the A_1 phonon mode. The vibration configuration of each atoms for the \mathbf{d}^i phonon mode is shown in Fig. 4c and corresponds to the A_2 mode (Here A_1 and A_2 corresponds to the irreducible representations of the C_{3v} group).




FIG. 4. (a) Enlarged unit cell for phonon modes at \mathbf{K}_D . (b) and (c) are for the A_1 and A_2 phonon modes at \mathbf{K}_D .

E. Symmetry properties of the Electron-Phonon Interaction

In this section, we will present a construction of the electron-phonon Hamiltonian from the symmetry principle. We now write all the symmetry operators on the electron and phonon modes (we consider the phonon as a field and

expand it around $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{C}_3 \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger \hat{C}_3^{-1} &= e^{i\eta\tau_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{G}_1} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger \\
\hat{M}_y \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger \hat{M}_y^{-1} &= \sum_\beta (\sigma_x)_{\beta\alpha} \hat{c}_{\hat{M}_y\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\beta}^\dagger \\
\hat{M}_x \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger \hat{M}_x^{-1} &= \hat{c}_{\hat{M}_x\mathbf{k}-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger \\
\hat{T} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger \hat{T}^{-1} &= \hat{c}_{-\mathbf{k}-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger \\
\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G},\alpha}^\dagger &= e^{i\tau_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{G}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\alpha}^\dagger \\
u_{\alpha i}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}) &= e^{-i\tau_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{G}} u_{\alpha i}(\mathbf{p}) \\
\hat{C}_3 u_{\alpha i}(\mathbf{k} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D) \hat{C}_3^{-1} &= e^{-i\eta\tau_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{G}_1} \sum_{i'} u_{\alpha i'}(\hat{C}_3\mathbf{k} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D) C_{3i'i} \\
\hat{M}_y u_{\alpha i}(\mathbf{k} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D) \hat{M}_y^{-1} &= \sum_{i'\beta} (\sigma_x)_{\beta\alpha} u_{\beta i'}(\hat{M}_y\mathbf{k} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D) M_{yi'i} \\
\hat{M}_x u_{\alpha i}(\mathbf{k} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D) \hat{M}_x^{-1} &= \sum_{i'} u_{\alpha i'}(\hat{M}_x\mathbf{k} - \eta\mathbf{K}_D) M_{xi'i} \\
\hat{T} u_{\alpha i}(\mathbf{k} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D) \hat{T}^{-1} &= u_{\alpha i}(-\mathbf{k} - \eta\mathbf{K}_D)
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

In the above, the matrix representations are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
C_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} \\
M_x &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
M_y &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

We now use the above symmetry transformation to construct the inter-valley electron-phonon Hamiltonian.

The generic form of the intra-valley electron-phonon Hamiltonian reads

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \eta; \alpha\beta\theta; j} F_{\alpha\beta\theta; j}^\eta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') c_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger u_{\theta j}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \eta\mathbf{K}_D) c_{\mathbf{k}'-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\beta} \tag{70}$$

where $F_{\alpha\beta\theta; j}^\eta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ is the generic inter-valley electron-phonon interaction parameter. We consider the case of $F_{\alpha\beta\theta; j}^\eta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ independent of \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' in order to obtain the leading term. However, we first obtain the symmetry conditions considering the entire functional dependence. Under the generic \hat{g} -symmetry transformations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{g} c_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger \hat{g}^{-1} &= \sum_{\eta', \alpha'} c_{\hat{g}\mathbf{k}+\eta'\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha'}^\dagger D_c(\hat{g})_{\eta'\alpha'; \eta\alpha} \\
\hat{g} u_{\alpha i}(\mathbf{p} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D) \hat{g}^{-1} &= \sum_{\alpha' i' \eta'} u_{\alpha' i'}(\hat{g}\mathbf{p} + \eta'\mathbf{K}_D) S_{i' i}^{\alpha' \eta'; \alpha \eta}(\hat{g})
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where $S_{i' i}^{\alpha' \eta'; \alpha \eta}$ is a combination of the space rotation and sublattice and valley rotation. The equation $\hat{g} H \hat{g}^{-1} = H$ gives

$$\sum_{\eta\alpha\beta\theta i} D_c(\hat{g})_{\eta'\alpha'; \eta\alpha} S_{i' i}^{\theta' - \eta'; \theta - \eta} D_c^*(\hat{g})_{-\eta'\beta'; -\eta\beta} F_{\alpha\beta\theta; i}^\eta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = F_{\alpha'\beta'\theta'; i'}^{\eta'}(\hat{g}\mathbf{k}, \hat{g}\mathbf{k}') \tag{72}$$

We now write the explicit form of the matrices and the constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{M}_y : D_{c\eta'\alpha'; \eta\alpha} &= \delta_{\eta'\eta} \sigma_{\alpha'\alpha}^x, \quad S_{i' i}^{\theta' \eta'; \theta \eta} = \sigma_{\theta' \theta}^x (-1)^{i+1} \delta_{i' i} \delta_{\eta' \eta} \\
&\Rightarrow F_{\alpha\beta\bar{\theta}; i}^\eta(\hat{M}_y\mathbf{k}, \hat{M}_y\mathbf{k}') = (-1)^{i+1} F_{\alpha\beta\theta; i}^\eta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \\
\hat{M}_x : D_{c\eta'\alpha'; \eta\alpha} &= \delta_{\eta'\bar{\eta}} \delta_{\alpha'\alpha}, \quad S_{i' i}^{\theta' \eta'; \theta \eta} = \delta_{\theta' \theta} (-1)^i \delta_{i' i} \delta_{\eta' \bar{\eta}} \\
&\Rightarrow F_{\alpha\beta\theta; i}^\eta(\hat{M}_x\mathbf{k}, \hat{M}_x\mathbf{k}') = (-1)^i F_{\alpha\beta\theta; i}^\eta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \\
\hat{C}_3 : D_{c\eta'\alpha'; \eta\alpha} &= \delta_{\eta'\eta} \delta_{\alpha'\alpha} e^{i\eta\tau_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{G}_1}; \quad S_{i' i}^{\theta' \eta'; \theta \eta} = e^{-i\eta\tau_\theta \cdot \mathbf{G}_1} \delta_{\eta'\eta} \delta_{\theta' \theta} C_{3i' i} \\
&\Rightarrow F_{\alpha\beta\theta; i'}^\eta(\hat{C}_3\mathbf{k}, \hat{C}_3\mathbf{k}') = e^{i\eta(\tau_\alpha + \tau_\beta + \tau_\theta) \cdot \mathbf{G}_1} \times \sum_i F_{\alpha\beta\theta; i}^\eta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') C_{3i' i} \\
\hat{T} : D_{c\eta'\alpha'; \eta\alpha} &= \delta_{\eta'\bar{\eta}} \delta_{\alpha'\alpha}, \quad S_{i' i}^{\theta' \eta'; \theta \eta} = \delta_{\theta' \theta} \delta_{i' i} \delta_{\eta' \bar{\eta}} \\
&\Rightarrow F_{\alpha\beta\theta; i}^\eta(-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}') = F_{\alpha\beta\theta; i}^{\eta*}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

The Hermiticity of the H_2 Hamiltonian requires

$$\text{Hermiticity: } F_{\alpha\beta\theta;i}^\eta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = F_{\beta\alpha\theta;i}^{\eta*}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) \quad (74)$$

We are aided by the following identity

$$e^{i\eta(\tau_\alpha + \tau_\beta + \tau_\theta) \cdot \mathbf{G}_1} = e^{i(-1)^\eta((-1)^\alpha + (-1)^\beta + (-1)^\theta) \frac{2\pi}{3}} \quad (75)$$

This is a simple system of linear equations to solve, giving rise to the *two* possible solutions. The first solution is given by

$$F_{\alpha\beta\theta x}^\eta = -\frac{\gamma_3}{2} \eta \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^x, \quad F_{\alpha\beta\theta y}^\eta = -\frac{\gamma_3}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^x (-1)^\theta \quad (76)$$

which corresponds to the inter-valley phonon contribution that we have obtained, $-\gamma_3(i\eta u_x^{ac} + u_y^{op})\sigma_x$.

The second solution is the missing term whose only nonzero components are

$$F_{\alpha\alpha\bar{\alpha};x}^\eta = i\eta; \quad F_{\alpha\alpha\bar{\alpha};y}^\eta = (-1)^\alpha \quad (77)$$

which would correspond to a new term in the Hamiltonian

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'\eta} c_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}'-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha} \times (i\eta u_{\bar{\alpha}x}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \eta\mathbf{K}_D) + (-1)^\alpha u_{\bar{\alpha}y}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \eta\mathbf{K}_D))$$

from which we can see two crucial properties of the extra terms

- First, it is diagonal in the electron sublattice index, hence it can only come from second nearest neighbor, or larger.
- Crucially, the sublattice index of the electron α and that of the phonon $\bar{\alpha}$ are opposite. It means that the phonon on one sublattice couples to the electron annihilation and creation operators on the other sublattice. Hence it is now clear why this term does not appear in our Hamiltonian or *in any other two-center approximation*. The two center approximation reads

$$t(R_\alpha + u_\alpha - R_\beta - u_\beta) c_{R_\alpha}^\dagger c_{R_\beta} \quad (78)$$

and hence the phonon sublattice index has to be either that of c^\dagger or that of c . It cannot be neither that of c^\dagger nor that of c . We hence see that the absence of this term is due to the two-center approximation of our Hamiltonian (and of most tight-binding Hamiltonians).

III. PHONONS IN A SINGLE LAYER GRAPHENE

In this section, we will discuss the phonon dynamical matrix and phonon eigen-modes. In real-space, in any dimensions, the dynamica matrix D reads

$$(D_{\mathbf{R}\alpha,\mathbf{R}',\alpha'})_{ij} \quad (79)$$

where \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}' are the unit cells, the α, α' are the atoms, and the $i, j \in x, y, z$ are the components in 3D. The presence of stability (the free energy is invariant to adding any constant vector \vec{a} to the displacements: $\mathbf{u}_{r\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{r\alpha} + \mathbf{a}$) gives

$$\sum_{\mathbf{R}',\alpha'} (D_{\mathbf{R}\alpha,\mathbf{R}',\alpha'})_{ij} = 0 \quad (80)$$

Which gives, in a spring model,

$$\sum_{\beta} D_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q}=0) = 0, \forall \alpha; \quad \sum_{\alpha} D_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q}=0) = 0, \forall \beta \quad (81)$$

Which guarantees the presence of an acoustic phonon mode.

A. Dynamical Matrix

The dynamical matrix can be obtained from the potential V of the system

$$(D_{\mathbf{R}\alpha,\mathbf{R}'\alpha'})_{ii'} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial u_{\mathbf{R}\alpha i} \partial u_{\mathbf{R}'\alpha' i'}} \quad (82)$$

As such, if there exists a symmetry \hat{g} of the system with representation matrix G_{ij} , we have the relation

$$D_{\hat{g}\mathbf{R},\hat{g}\alpha;\hat{g}\mathbf{R}',\hat{g}\alpha'} = G D_{\mathbf{R}\alpha,\mathbf{R}'\alpha'} G^{-1} \quad (83)$$

Next let's consider the constraint on the dynamical matrix from the symmetry operators, \hat{C}_3 , \hat{M}_x , \hat{M}_y and \hat{T} , with their representation matrices given in the above. Due to translation symmetry, $(D_{\mathbf{R}\alpha,\mathbf{R}'\alpha'})_{ii'} = (D_{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{R}_\alpha - \mathbf{R}'_{\alpha'}))_{ii'}$ only depends $\mathbf{R}_\alpha - \mathbf{R}'_{\alpha'}$. We only consider the nearest neighbor (nn) and next nearest neighbor (nnn) interaction. Three nn vectors are labelled as $\delta_{AB,\mu=1,2,3}^{nn} = (a_0/2, a_0/2\sqrt{3}), (-a_0/2, a_0/2\sqrt{3}), (0, -a_0/\sqrt{3})$ that satisfies $\hat{C}_3 \delta_i^{nn} = \delta_{i+1}^{nn}$, and six nnn vectors are $\delta_{\alpha\alpha,\mu=1,2,3}^{nnn} = -\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ and $-\delta_{\alpha\alpha,\mu=1,2,3}^{nnn}$ with $\hat{C}_3 \delta_i^{nnn} = \delta_{i+1}^{nnn}$. In the above expressions, we use the convention $\delta_4^{nn} = \delta_1^{nn}$ and $\delta_4^{nnn} = \delta_1^{nnn}$.

For the nn interaction that couples the A and B atoms, $\delta_{AB,3}^{nn} = (0, -a_0/\sqrt{3})$ remains the same under \hat{M}_x and thus

$$M_x D_{AB}(\delta_3^{nn}) M_x^{-1} = D_{AB}(\delta_3^{nn}), \quad (84)$$

which requires the diagonal form of $D_{AB}(\delta_3^{nn})$, given by

$$D_{AB}(\delta_3^{nn}) = - \begin{pmatrix} K_{0t} & 0 \\ 0 & K_{0r} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (85)$$

Other nn interaction terms can be related to $D_{AB}(\delta_3^{nn})$ through C_3 rotation,

$$D_{AB}(\delta_{i+1}^{nn}) = C_3 D_{AB}(\delta_i^{nn}) C_3^{-1}. \quad (86)$$

\hat{M}_y reverses the sign of $\delta_{AB,\mu}^{nn}$ and interchanges A and B sublattice, and thus

$$D_{BA}(-\delta_i^{nn}) = M_y D_{AB}(\delta_i^{nn}) M_y^{-1}. \quad (87)$$

For the nnn interaction, we first consider the matrix $D_{AA}(\delta_1^{nnn})$. Mirror \hat{M}_x requires

$$D_{AA}(-\delta_1^{nnn}) = M_x D_{AA}(\delta_1^{nnn}) M_x^{-1} \quad (88)$$

. Furthermore, the symmetric condition for the dynamical matrix gives rise to

$$D_{AA}(\delta_1^{nnn}) = (D_{AA}(-\delta_1^{nnn}))^T. \quad (89)$$

Thus, we have the condition

$$(D_{AA}(\delta_1^{nnn}))^T = M_x D_{AA}(\delta_1^{nnn}) M_x^{-1}, \quad (90)$$

which leads to the form

$$D_{AA}(\delta_1^{nnn}) = - \begin{pmatrix} K_{r1} & K_2 \\ -K_2 & K_{t1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (91)$$

$D_{AB}(\delta_{2,3}^{nnn})$ can be related to $D_{AB}(\delta_1^{nnn})$ from C_3 rotation,

$$D_{AB}(\delta_{i+1}^{nnn}) = C_3 D_{AB}(\delta_i^{nnn}) C_3^{-1}, \quad (92)$$

while $D_{AB}(-\delta_i^{nnn})$ can be related to $D_{AB}(\delta_i^{nnn})$ from mirror \hat{M}_x ,

$$D_{AB}(-\delta_i^{nnn}) = M_x D_{AB}(\delta_i^{nnn}) M_x^{-1}. \quad (93)$$

Finally, time reversal \hat{T} requires all the five parameters $K_{t0}, K_{r0}, K_{t1}, K_{r1}, K_2$ to be real. With all the components in the real space, we can transform the dynamical matrix to the momentum space, which is given by

$$D_{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R} + \tau_{\alpha} - \tau_{\alpha'})} D_{\alpha\alpha'}(\mathbf{R} + \tau_{\alpha} - \tau_{\alpha'}) \quad (94)$$

The explicit form of the dynamical matrix under the basis $(u_{Bx}, u_{By}, u_{Ax}, u_{Ay})^T$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathbf{k}) &= d_{ij}(k_x, k_y) \sigma_i \otimes \xi_j \\ d_{00}(k_x, k_y) &= (K_{r1} + K_{t1}) \left(-2 \cos\left(\frac{k_x}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}k_y}{2}\right) - \cos(k_x) + 3 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (3K_{r0} + 3K_{t0}) \\ d_{01}(k_x, k_y) &= \sqrt{3} \sin\left(\frac{k_x}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}k_y}{2}\right) (K_{r1} - K_{t1}) \\ d_{03}(k_x, k_y) &= -(K_{r1} - K_{t1}) \left(\cos(k_x) - \cos\left(\frac{k_x}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}k_y}{2}\right) \right) \\ d_{11}(k_x, k_y) &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} (K_{r0} - K_{t0}) \sin\left(\frac{k_x}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{k_y}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) \\ d_{21}(k_x, k_y) &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} (K_{r0} - K_{t0}) \sin\left(\frac{k_x}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{k_y}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) \\ d_{10}(k_x, k_y) &= -\frac{1}{2} (K_{r0} + K_{t0}) \left(2 \cos\left(\frac{k_x}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{k_y}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{k_y}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \right) \\ d_{20}(k_x, k_y) &= \frac{1}{2} (K_{r0} + K_{t0}) \left(2 \cos\left(\frac{k_x}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{k_y}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{k_y}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \right) \\ d_{13}(k_x, k_y) &= -\frac{1}{2} (K_{r0} - K_{t0}) \left(\cos\left(\frac{k_x}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{k_y}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{k_y}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \right) \\ d_{23}(k_x, k_y) &= \frac{1}{2} (K_{r0} - K_{t0}) \sin\left(\frac{k_y}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) \left(\cos\left(\frac{k_x}{2}\right) + 2 \cos\left(\frac{k_y}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) \right) \\ d_{32}(k_x, k_y) &= 4K_2 \sin\left(\frac{k_x}{2}\right) \left(\cos\left(\frac{k_x}{2}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}k_y}{2}\right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

where σ operates in the A, B sub-lattices while ξ operates in the x, y components. The eigen-equation of dynamical matrix is given by

$$D(\mathbf{k})\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = M\omega^2\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (96)$$

Below we focus on the dynamical matrix and the corresponding phonon modes at \mathbf{K}_D .

B. Dynamical Matrix and Phonon Modes at \mathbf{K}_D

Close to the \mathbf{K}_D point, the dynamical matrix is not solvable, so we first consider the eigen-states at the \mathbf{K}_D point

$$\begin{aligned} D(\eta\mathbf{K}_D) &= \frac{3}{2} (K_{r0} + 3K_{r1} + K_{t0} + 3K_{t1}) \sigma_0 \otimes \sigma_0 + \\ &+ \frac{3}{4} (K_{r0} - K_{t0}) (\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_3 + \eta \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1) - \\ &- \eta 3\sqrt{3} K_2 \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

Let's define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{3}{2} (K_{r0} + 3K_{r1} + K_{t0} + 3K_{t1}) \\ \alpha_2 &= \frac{3}{2} (K_{r0} - K_{t0}) \\ \alpha_3 &= 3\sqrt{3} K_2 \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

and the eigenvalues and eigenstates are

$$\begin{aligned} E_{E_-} &= \alpha_1 - \alpha_3; \quad E_{E_+} = \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 \\ E_{A_1} &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \\ E_{A_2} &= \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \\ \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{E_-} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (0, 0, \eta i, 1)^T, \quad \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{E_+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-\eta i, 1, 0, 0)^T, \\ \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{A_1} &= \frac{1}{2} (-\eta i, -1, -\eta i, 1)^T, \quad \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{A_2} = \frac{1}{2} (\eta i, 1, -\eta i, 1)^T \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

and the eigen-frequencies are related to eigenvalues by

$$\omega_r = \sqrt{E_r/M}, \quad r = E_{\pm}, A_2, A_1. \quad (100)$$

The projected Dynamical matrix into the basis of the doublet E_{\pm} is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{E_{\mp}} \left| D(\eta\mathbf{K}_D) \right| \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{E_{\mp}} \right\rangle = \\ & = E_{E_{-}} \sigma_0 + \frac{\alpha_2}{2\sqrt{3}} (k_y \sigma_y - \eta k_x \sigma_x) \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

The symmetry properties of these states are:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}_y \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{A_1} &= \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{A_1}; \quad \hat{M}_y \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{A_2} = -\epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{A_2} \\ \hat{M}_y \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{E_{-}} &= -\epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{E_{+}}, \quad \hat{M}_y \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{E_{+}} = -\epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{E_{-}} \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

The energies of the phonon bands away from $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$ are

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{A_{1,2}} \left| D(\eta\mathbf{K}_D) \right| \epsilon_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D}^{A_{1,2}} \right\rangle = \\ & = E_{A_{1,2}} + \frac{k^2}{8} (-6\sqrt{3}K_2 - K_{r0} - 3K_{r1} + K_{t0} - 3K_{t1}) \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

Hence the effective masses of phonons away from $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$ are the same for the $A_{1,2}$ modes.

IV. ELECTRON AND PHONON-BAND PROJECTED ELECTRON-PHONON HAMILTONIAN

Since the inter-valley phonons always have a finite frequency, we keep only the zeroth-order terms of momenta and neglect the rest for the inter-valley electron-phonon Hamiltonian

$$H_{||}^{\eta,-\eta}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \gamma_3 \sigma_x (-u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',y}^{l,op} - i\eta u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',x}^{l,ac}) \quad (104)$$

For the electron Dirac Hamiltonian $v_f(\eta k_x \sigma_x + k_y \sigma_y)$, we have the energies $E_{\pm} = \pm v_f k$ and the corresponding eigen-states

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k},\eta}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \pm \eta e^{-i\eta\phi} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e^{i\phi} = \frac{k_x + ik_y}{k} \quad (105)$$

The electron operator can also be expanded on the eigen-states with

$$c_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha} = \sum_{m=1,2} \psi_{\mathbf{k},\eta\alpha}^m \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\eta}^m. \quad (106)$$

For the phonon part, with the eigenstates of the dynamical matrix $\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}\alpha}^n$, we have that the displacements $b_{\mathbf{q}\alpha}$ become decomposed in phonon band basis

$$u_{\mathbf{q}t} = \sum_{n=1,2,3,4} \epsilon_{\mathbf{q}t}^n \frac{b_{\mathbf{q}n} + b_{-\mathbf{q}n}^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2M\omega_{\mathbf{q}n}}} \quad (107)$$

where $t = 1, 2, 3, 4$ corresponds to $u_{Bx}, u_{By}, u_{Ax}, u_{Ay}$, respectively, and n labels the energy eigenstates (from lower energy to higher energy).

Below we will project the electron-phonon Hamiltonian into the eigen-state basis of both electrons and phonons.

A. Projected Inter-Valley Electron-Phonon Interaction

We next consider the inter-valley e-ph interaction and focus on the k -independent terms.

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \gamma_3 \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \eta, \alpha\beta} (-u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',y}^{l,op} - i\eta u_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',x}^{l,ac}) c_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^{\dagger} (\sigma_x)_{\alpha\beta} c_{\mathbf{k}'-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\beta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{N_G}} \gamma_3 \sum_{m,n=\pm} \sum_{r=1}^4 \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \eta, \alpha\beta} \frac{b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',r} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}',r}^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2M\omega_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',r}}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\eta}^{\dagger} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}',-\eta}^m \\ &((\epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',2}^r - \epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',4}^r) + i\eta(\epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',1}^r + \epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',3}^r)) \psi_{\mathbf{k},\eta,\alpha}^{n*} (\sigma_x)_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{\mathbf{k}',-\eta,\beta}^m \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

Using the phonon eigen-states obtained at \mathbf{K}_D , we can obtain the approximate form of the couplings for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}'$ as

$$\begin{aligned} r = E_- : & ((\epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,2}^r - \epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,4}^r) + i\eta(\epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,1}^r + \epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,3}^r)) = 0 \\ r = E_+ : & ((\epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,2}^r - \epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,4}^r) + i\eta(\epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,1}^r + \epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,3}^r)) = 0 \\ r = A_2 : & ((\epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,2}^r - \epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,4}^r) + i\eta(\epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,1}^r + \epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,3}^r)) = 0 \\ r = A_1 : & ((\epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,2}^r - \epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,4}^r) + i\eta(\epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,1}^r + \epsilon_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,3}^r)) = -2 \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

Hence the electrons at the \mathbf{K}_D point only couple with the A_1 phonons, while the couplings to all other 3 phonon modes are proportional to the momentum $\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'$, being zero when $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}'$. With

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{\mathbf{k}\eta\alpha}^{n*} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^x \psi_{\mathbf{k}'-\eta\beta}^m = \frac{\eta}{2} (ne^{i\eta\phi} - me^{i\eta\phi'}) \quad (110)$$

We then have the inter-valley electron-phonon coupling

$$\begin{aligned} H_{inter-vall}^{op} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \gamma_3 \sum_{m,n=\pm} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\eta,\alpha\beta} \frac{b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}',A_1}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2M\omega_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',A_1}}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\eta}^{n\dagger} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}',-\eta}^m \psi_{\mathbf{k},\eta,\alpha}^{n*} (\sigma_x)_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{\mathbf{k}',-\eta,\beta}^m \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N_G}} \gamma_3 \sum_{m,n=\pm} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\eta} \frac{b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}',A_1}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{M(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\eta}^{n\dagger} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}',-\eta}^m \eta (ne^{i\eta\phi} - me^{i\eta\phi'}) \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

where ϕ, ϕ' are the angles of the momenta \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' .

- Intra-band ($m = n$) electrons have the matrix element

$$m = n : \eta n (e^{i\eta\phi} - e^{i\eta\phi'}) \quad (112)$$

this is zero for $\phi = \phi'$, i.e. for \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' being parallel, and is maximum for $\phi = \pi + \phi'$, i.e. for \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' being anti-parallel.

- Inter-band ($m \neq n$) electrons have the matrix element

$$m = -n : \eta n (e^{i\eta\phi} + e^{i\eta\phi'}) \quad (113)$$

this is zero for $\phi = \pi + \phi'$, i.e. for \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' antiparallel and is maximum for $\phi = \phi'$, i.e. for \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' parallel.

For further reference, we will also need the electron-phonon interaction on the sublattice basis, *not* projected into the graphene bands - as we will need to project it into the TBG bands, which are different from the graphene bands. This form of the inter-valley electron-phonon interaction reads

$$H_{inter-vall}^{op} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \gamma_3 \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\eta,\alpha\beta} \frac{b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}',A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}',A_1}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{M(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}}} c_{\mathbf{k}+\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\alpha}^\dagger (\sigma_x)_{\alpha\beta} c_{\mathbf{k}'-\eta\mathbf{K}_D,\beta}. \quad (114)$$

B. Numerical Calculations of Electron-Phonon Interaction in a Single-Layer Graphene

In this section, we will describe our numerical calculations of energy spectrum for both electrons and phonons in a single layer graphene. The energy spectrum for electrons and phonons are shown in Fig. 5(a) and (b), respectively, which are consistent with the literature [59–63]. The parameters for the calculation of energy spectrum of electrons and phonons are summarized in table II. For the phonon dispersion, we label four in-plane phonon modes as the modes 1, 2, 3 and 4, with their irreducible representations (irreps) at high symmetry momenta shown in table III. Here we only consider the C_{6v} symmetry group for the in-plane modes of graphene, as the z-direction symmetry will be lost when considering TBG. The phonon modes 1 and 2 are degenerate have zero energy at Γ , so they describe the acoustic phonon modes with E_1 irrep of C_{6v} , while the phonon modes 3 and 4 are degenerate at Γ and describe two optical phonon modes with E_2 irrep. These degeneracies are split away from Γ . At \mathbf{K}_1 (the same as \mathbf{K}_D), the modes 2 and 3 become degenerate, forming the E irrep, while the mode 1 belongs to A_2 irrep and the mode 4 to A_1 irrep, as shown in Fig. 5 (b).

For the numerical evaluation of electron-phonon interaction, we introduce the interaction parameter g as (Since we only consider a single-layer graphene here, we have dropped the layer index)

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ep} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}',\alpha\beta r} g_{\alpha\beta}^r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') c_{\mathbf{p},\alpha}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{p}',\beta} (b_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}',r} + b_{\mathbf{p}',-\mathbf{p},r}^\dagger) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}',nm,r} g_{nm}^s(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \gamma_{\mathbf{p}}^{n\dagger} \gamma_{\mathbf{p}'}^m (b_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}',r} + b_{\mathbf{p}',-\mathbf{p},r}^\dagger) \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$




FIG. 5. (a) Energy dispersion for electrons in single-layer graphene. Here the blue dashed lines are for the nearest neighbor approximation, while the red solid lines are for the full long-range hopping. The inset shows the Brillouin zone of graphene. Here K_1 is just K_D defined in the main text. (b) Phonon dispersion in single-layer graphene.

Quantity	Value
a_0	2.46\AA
r_0	$0.148a_0$
$V_{pp\pi}$	-2.7eV
K_{t0}	$2.94 \times 10^5 \text{cm}^{-2}$
K_{r0}	$5.15 \times 10^5 \text{cm}^{-2}$
K_{t1}	$-8.58 \times 10^4 \text{cm}^{-2}$
K_{r1}	$1.33 \times 10^5 \text{cm}^{-2}$
K_2	0

TABLE II. Table for parameters used for calculating energy spectrum of electrons and phonons in single-layer graphene.

Phonon modes	1	2	3	4
Γ (C_{6v})	E_1	E_1	E_2	E_2
K (C_{3v})	A_2	E	E	A_1

TABLE III. Symmetry representations for the in-plane phonons at high symmetry momenta Γ and \mathbf{K} in single-layer graphene.

where N_G is the number of unit cells, $\alpha, \beta = A, B$, n, m label the eigen-states of electrons and s labels the eigen-state of phonon modes. Here $g_{\alpha\beta}^r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ is in the sub-lattice basis for electrons while $g_{nm}^r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ is in the eigen-state basis. Explicitly, $g_{\alpha\beta}^r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ is given by

$$g_{\alpha\beta}^r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = i \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}',r}}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} e^{i\mathbf{G} \cdot (\tau_{\alpha} - \tau_{\beta})} (t(\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{G})(\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{G}) \cdot \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}',\alpha}^r - t(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G})(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}) \cdot \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}',\beta}^r) \quad (116)$$

which can be directly obtained from substituting the phonon eigen-mode expansion Eq. (107) into Eq. (39). Here we have recovered the constant \hbar for the correct unit.

$$g_{nm}^r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{\alpha,\mathbf{p}}^{n*} g_{\alpha\beta}^r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \psi_{\beta,\mathbf{p}'}^m. \quad (117)$$

With the expansion of the momentum around $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$, one can directly relate the function g to the parameters $\gamma_{1,2,3}$ defined in the early sections. We can give an estimate of the magnitude of g from the value of γ_3 as $|\gamma_3| \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{op,K}}} = |\gamma_3| a \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e a_B^2} \frac{1}{\hbar\omega_{op,K}} \frac{m_e}{M} \frac{a_B^2}{a^2}}$, where $\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e a_B^2}$ is Rydberg energy, $\hbar\omega_{op,K}$ is optical phonon energy at K , m_e/M is the mass ration between electron and carbon atom, a_B is the Bohr radius and $a = a_0/\sqrt{3}$ is the NN distance between two carbon

atoms in graphene. With $|\gamma_3| \sim 17\text{eV}/\text{\AA}$, $a \sim 1.42\text{\AA}$ and the dimensionless quantity $\sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e a_B^2} \frac{1}{\hbar\omega_{\text{op},\Gamma}} \frac{m_e}{M} \frac{a_B^2}{a^2}} \sim 0.02$, we find the magnitude of g is around 0.56 eV . To evaluate g numerically, it is more efficient to perform the calculation in the real space instead of the momentum space since the hopping function t decays exponentially in the real space, but only in power-law in the momentum space. To get a real space expression, we can use the identity

$$\sum_{\mathbf{G}} e^{i\mathbf{G} \cdot (\tau_\alpha - \tau_\beta)} i(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}) t(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{G}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{R} + \tau_\alpha - \tau_\beta)} \nabla t(\mathbf{r})|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{R}+\tau_\alpha-\tau_\beta}, \quad (118)$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha\beta}^r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{r,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}'} }} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \left(e^{-i\mathbf{p}' \cdot \delta_{\alpha\beta}} \epsilon_{\alpha,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}'}^r - e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \delta_{\alpha\beta}} \epsilon_{\beta,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}'}^r \right) \cdot \nabla t(\mathbf{r})|_{\mathbf{r}=\delta_{\alpha\beta}} \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{r,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}'} }} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{t(\delta_{\alpha\beta})}{r_0} \left(e^{-i\mathbf{p}' \cdot (\mathbf{R} + \tau_\alpha - \tau_\beta)} \epsilon_{\alpha,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}'}^r - e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{R} + \tau_\alpha - \tau_\beta)} \epsilon_{\beta,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}'}^r \right) \cdot \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{|\delta_{\alpha\beta}|}, \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

where $\delta_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{R} + \tau_\alpha - \tau_\beta$. This is the expression for our numerical simulations.




FIG. 6. The electron-phonon interaction parameter $g_{nm}^r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ as a function of \mathbf{p}' for a fixed $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{K}_1 + \delta\mathbf{p}$, where $\delta\mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{a_0}(0.001, 0)$. (a), (b), (c) and (d) are for four phonon modes $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$, respectively, and $n, m = \pm$. The red hexagon shows the Brillouin zone. Here the momenta \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' are in the unit of $1/a_0$ with $a_0 = 2.46\text{\AA}$.

Next let's discuss our numerical results in Figs. 6 and 7 based on the full expression Eq. (119). Fig. 6 shows $g_{nm}^r(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{K}_1 + \delta\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ ($\mathbf{K}_1 = \mathbf{K}_D$) as a function of \mathbf{p}' in the whole Brillouin zone for different phonon modes (a small $\delta\mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{a_0}(0.001, 0)$ is chosen to get rid of the degeneracy of electron states at the Dirac point). Since electron Fermi surface is only around $\pm\mathbf{K}_D$, we can focus on the corners of the Brillouin zone (BZ), which is shown by the red hexagon in Fig. 6. Furthermore, we focus on the inter-valley e-ph interaction, which means \mathbf{p}' around $\mathbf{K}'_1, \mathbf{K}'_2, \mathbf{K}'_3$, as we fix $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{K}_1 + \delta\mathbf{p}$ and the phonon momentum is $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'$. We first notice that the e-ph interaction for the phonon modes 1, 2 and 3 are approaching zero when the momentum \mathbf{p}' is close to $\mathbf{K}'_1, \mathbf{K}'_2, \mathbf{K}'_3$, which is consistent with our analytical solution (See Eq. 109) in Sec. IV A. Thus, only phonon modes 4 couple to electron for the K -phonons. The phonon mode 4 belongs to E_2 irrep of C_{6v} at Γ and A_1 irrep of C_{3v} at \mathbf{K}_D , and we show the zoom-in for \mathbf{p}' around \mathbf{K}'_2 (inter-valley scattering) in Fig. 7(a) and (b). Here (a) and (b) correspond to the parameter g in the sublattice basis and the eigen-state basis, respectively, for the electron part. On the sublattice basis, we find g is non-zero for the inter-sublattice components, while its value approaches zero for the intra-valley components, consistent with the analytical results shown in Eq. (114). On the eigen-state basis, we find g shows a singular behavior at \mathbf{K}'_2 for the inter-valley scattering. In Fig. 7(c), we also show the analytical results of inter-valley scattering from Eq. (111), which is consistent with the numerical results in Fig. 7 (b) on the eigen-state basis.




FIG. 7. Zoom in for the electron-phonon parameter $g(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ as a function of \mathbf{p}' with a fixed $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{K}_1 + \delta\mathbf{p}$ ($\delta\mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{a_0}(0.001, 0)$) for the phonon mode $r = 4$ (inter-valley scattering). (a) and (b) show the zoom in of $g_{\alpha\beta}^{r=4}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ ($\alpha, \beta = A, B$) and $g_{nm}^{r=4}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ ($n, m = \pm$) for \mathbf{p}' around \mathbf{K}'_2 . (c) shows the analytical solutions for the electron-phonon parameters $g_{nm}^{r=4}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ ($n, m = \pm$) for \mathbf{p}' around \mathbf{K}'_2 for the phonon mode 4 from Eq. (111). Here the momenta \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' are in the unit of $1/a_0$ with $a_0 = 2.46\text{\AA}$.

V. REVIEW OF BISTRITZER-MACDONALD MODEL FOR TWISTED BILAYER GRAPHENE

Here we first briefly review the Bistritzer-MacDonald (BM) model [56] of magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene (MATBG) by introducing our notations. Readers may refer to the supplementary materials of Ref. [71] for more details.

A. Basis and Single-particle Hamiltonian

As shown in Fig. 8(a), the low-energy states around the Dirac points at \mathbf{K}_D of the two graphene layers form the Moiré Brillouin zone (MBZ) in the valley \mathbf{K}_D . Similarly, those at the \mathbf{K}'_D momenta of the two layers form another MBZ in the valley \mathbf{K}'_D . Thus, in the BM model there are two independent sets of basis from two valleys. We use the index η ($= +$ for \mathbf{K}_D and $-$ for \mathbf{K}'_D) to label the two graphene valleys. We denote the basis as $c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta, s}$, where \mathbf{k} is a momentum in the MBZ, \mathbf{Q} takes values in the lattice shown in Fig. 8(b) (illustrated below), $\alpha = 1, 2$ represents the graphene sublattice, $\eta = \pm$ represents the graphene valley, and $s = \uparrow, \downarrow$ is the spin index. To construct the MBZ and the \mathbf{Q} lattices in Fig. 8(b), we define $\mathbf{q}_1 = \mathbf{K}_D^- - \mathbf{K}_D^+ = k_\theta(0, -1)$, $\mathbf{q}_2 = \hat{C}_{3z}\mathbf{q}_1 = \mathbf{K}_D^- + \mathbf{G}_1^2 - \mathbf{K}_D^+ - \mathbf{G}_1^1 = k_\theta(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $\mathbf{q}_3 = C_{3z}^2\mathbf{q}_1 = \mathbf{K}_D^- + \mathbf{G}_2^2 - \mathbf{K}_D^+ - \mathbf{G}_2^1 = k_\theta(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, where $k_\theta = 2|\mathbf{K}_D|\sin\frac{\theta}{2}$ and θ is the twist angle between two layers. Here \mathbf{K}_D^+ and \mathbf{K}_D^- are the \mathbf{K}_D momentum of the top and bottom layers. There are two types of \mathbf{Q} lattices in Fig. 8(b): the blue lattice $\mathcal{Q}_+ = \{\mathbf{q}_2 + n_1\mathbf{b}_{M1} + n_2\mathbf{b}_{M2} \mid n_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the red lattice $\mathcal{Q}_- = \{-\mathbf{q}_2 + n_1\mathbf{b}_{M1} + n_2\mathbf{b}_{M2} \mid n_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where $\mathbf{b}_{M1}, \mathbf{b}_{M2}$ are Moiré reciprocal lattice basis

$$\mathbf{b}_{M1} = \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_1 = k_\theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right), \quad \mathbf{b}_{M2} = \mathbf{q}_3 - \mathbf{q}_1 = k_\theta\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right). \quad (120)$$




FIG. 8. The moiré BZ and the \mathbf{Q} lattice. (a) The blue and red hexagons represent the BZ's of the top and bottom graphene layers, respectively. The blue and red dots represent the Dirac points (\mathbf{K}_D momentum) from the two layers. The vectors $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3$ connecting the Dirac points of the two layers span the moiré BZ in the valley \mathbf{K}_D (shaded with light green). Similarly, the Dirac points at the \mathbf{K}'_D momentum form another Moiré BZ in the valley \mathbf{K}'_D (shaded with light yellow). (b) We denote the blue and red \mathbf{Q} lattices as \mathcal{Q}_+ and \mathcal{Q}_- respectively. In the BM model, the states in the valley \mathbf{K}_D (\mathbf{K}'_D) of the top layer and in the valley \mathbf{K}'_D (\mathbf{K}_D) of the bottom layer contribute to the lattice \mathcal{Q}_+ (\mathcal{Q}_-).

For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_+$, the basis is defined as

$$(\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_+) \quad c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta, s}^\dagger = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{R} \in \text{top}} e^{i(\mathbf{K}_D^+ + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}) \cdot (\mathbf{R} + \tau_\alpha)} c_{\mathbf{R}, \alpha, s}^\dagger & \text{if } \eta = + \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}' \in \text{bottom}} e^{i(-\mathbf{K}_D^- + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}) \cdot (\mathbf{R}' + \tau'_\alpha)} c_{\mathbf{R}', \alpha, s}^\dagger & \text{if } \eta = - \end{cases}, \quad (121)$$

and for $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_-$, the basis is defined as

$$(\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_-) \quad c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta, s}^\dagger = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}' \in \text{bottom}} e^{i(\mathbf{K}_D^- + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}) \cdot (\mathbf{R}' + \tau'_\alpha)} c_{\mathbf{R}', \alpha, s}^\dagger & \text{if } \eta = + \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{R} \in \text{top}} e^{i(-\mathbf{K}_D^+ + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}) \cdot (\mathbf{R} + \tau_\alpha)} c_{\mathbf{R}, \alpha, s}^\dagger & \text{if } \eta = - \end{cases}. \quad (122)$$

Here N_G is the number of graphene unit cells in each layer, \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{R}' index graphene lattices in the top and bottom layers, respectively, τ_α and τ'_α are the sublattice vectors of the two layers, respectively, \mathbf{K}_D^+ and \mathbf{K}_D^- are the \mathbf{K}_D momentum of the top and bottom layers, respectively, and $c_{\mathbf{R}, \alpha, s}$ ($c_{\mathbf{R}', \alpha, s}$) is the fermion operator with spin s at the atom site $\mathbf{R} + \tau_\alpha$ ($\mathbf{R}' + \tau'_\alpha$). The \mathcal{Q}_+ (\mathcal{Q}_-) lattice is defined in such a way that $\eta \mathbf{K}_D^+ + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}$ ($\eta \mathbf{K}_D^- + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}$) with $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_+$ ($\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_-$) is the Dirac point position $\eta \mathbf{K}_D^+$ ($\eta \mathbf{K}_D^-$) when \mathbf{k} equals to the high symmetry point $\eta \mathbf{K}_M$ ($\eta \mathbf{K}'_M$) of the MBZ, as shown in Fig. 8(b).

The BM model is given by

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{\eta s} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \text{MBZ}} \sum_{\alpha \alpha'} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}'} h_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha, \mathbf{Q}'\alpha'}^{(\eta)}(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta, s}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}', \alpha', \eta, s} \quad (123)$$

where the single particle Hamiltonian reads

$$h_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha, \mathbf{Q}'\alpha'}^{(+)}(\mathbf{k}) = v_F(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}'} + \sum_{j=1}^3 [T_j]_{\alpha\alpha'} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}' \pm \mathbf{q}_j}, \quad h_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha, \mathbf{Q}'\alpha'}^{(-)}(\mathbf{k}) = h_{-\mathbf{Q}\alpha, -\mathbf{Q}'\alpha'}^{(+)*}(-\mathbf{k}), \quad (124)$$

$$T_j = w_0 \sigma_0 + w_1 \sigma_x \cos \frac{2\pi(j-1)}{3} + w_1 \sigma_y \sin \frac{2\pi(j-1)}{3}. \quad (125)$$

Here w_0 and w_1 are the interlayer couplings in the AA-stacking and AB-stacking regions, respectively. In this work, we adopt the parameters $v_F = 5.944 \text{ eV} \cdot \text{\AA}$, $k_\theta = 0.0312 \text{\AA}^{-1}$, $w_1 = 110 \text{ meV}$. The relation $h_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha, \mathbf{Q}'\alpha'}^{(-)}(\mathbf{k}) = h_{-\mathbf{Q}\alpha, -\mathbf{Q}'\alpha'}^{(+)*}(-\mathbf{k})$ is due to the time-reversal symmetry that transform the two valleys to each other. The single-particle Hamiltonian




FIG. 9. The band structure in the valley $\eta = +$ of TBG near the magic angle in the chiral limit ($w_0 = 0$). (a), (b) and (c) are for three twist angles $\theta = 1.063152^\circ$, $\theta = 1.06^\circ$ and $\theta = 1.05^\circ$. (d), (e) and (f) show the zoom in for the dispersion of the flat bands for these three angles. One can see the profiles of the dispersion look similar for these three angles, while the band width changes 0.02 meV to 2 meV. The other parameters of the BM model are given by $v_F = 5.944\text{eV} \cdot \text{\AA}$, $|\mathbf{K}| = 1.703\text{\AA}^{-1}$, $w_1 = 110\text{meV}$.

(upon to a unitary transformation [71]) is periodic with respect to the reciprocal lattice vectors \mathbf{b}_{M1} , \mathbf{b}_{M2} . The MBZ and high symmetry momenta are defined in Fig. 8(b). The corresponding real space unit cell and maximal Wyckoff positions are shown in Fig. 8(c). The 1a and 2c positions correspond to the AA-stacking and AB-stacking regions, respectively. We denote the real space lattice basis as \mathbf{a}_{M1} , \mathbf{a}_{M2} , they satisfy $\mathbf{a}_{Mi} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{Mj} = 2\pi\delta_{ij}$. We plot the band structures in the valley $\eta = +$ for different twisted angles around the magic angle in the chiral limit $w_0 = 0$ in Fig. 9.

The fact that the band structure is labeled by \mathbf{k} in the MBZ implies that \mathbf{k} labels the eigenvalues of translation operators of the Moiré lattice. We hence *define* the translation operator $T_{\mathbf{R}}$ as

$$T_{\mathbf{R}} c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta, s}^\dagger T_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} = e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta, s}^\dagger, \quad (126)$$

where $\mathbf{R} = n_1 \mathbf{a}_{M1} + n_2 \mathbf{a}_{M2}$, with $n_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a Moiré lattice vector. One should not confuse $T_{\mathbf{R}}$ with the time-reversal symmetry (\hat{T}) defined in the early section. We now verify Eq. (126) at the commensurate twist angles, where \mathbf{a}_{M1} and \mathbf{a}_{M2} are integer linear combinations of the microscopic graphene lattice vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$. $T_{\mathbf{R}}$ can be defined as translation acting on the atomic orbitals: $\mathbf{R}' \rightarrow \mathbf{R}' + \mathbf{R}$, leading to

$$T_{\mathbf{R}} c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, \eta, s}^\dagger T_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}' \in l} e^{i(\eta \mathbf{K}_D^l + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}) \cdot (\mathbf{R}' + \tau_\alpha)} c_{\mathbf{R}' + \mathbf{R}, \alpha, s}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}' \in l} e^{-i(\eta \mathbf{K}_D^l + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{R}} e^{i(\eta \mathbf{K}_D^l + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}) \cdot (\mathbf{R}' + \tau_\alpha)} c_{\mathbf{R}', \alpha, s}^\dagger, \quad (127)$$

where $l = \eta$ ($-\eta$) for $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_+$ (\mathcal{Q}_-). For commensurate angle, $\eta \mathbf{K}_D^l - \mathbf{Q}$ is a Moiré reciprocal lattice and hence $e^{-i(\eta \mathbf{K}_D^l + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{R}} = e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}}$. Then Eq. (126) is justified at commensurate angles. We emphasize that Eq. (126) is also well-defined even at non-commensurate angles.

B. Symmetries of the BM model

The single-particle Hamiltonian in each valley has the symmetry of the magnetic space group $P6'2'2$ (# 177.151 in the BNS setting), which is generated by $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}$, \hat{C}_{3z} , \hat{C}_{2x} and translation symmetries. Since the two valleys are related by time-reversal symmetry \hat{T} , the total system also has the \hat{C}_{2z} symmetry (product of $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}$ and \hat{T}). The full

crystalline symmetries of the two valleys form the space group $P622$ (#177), which is generated by \hat{C}_{6z} , \hat{C}_{2x} , and translation symmetries. Thus, these symmetry operators satisfy

$$[\hat{C}_{3z}, H_0] = [\hat{C}_{2z}, H_0] = [\hat{C}_{2x}, H_0] = [\hat{T}, H_0] = 0, \quad (128)$$

and we write the symmetry action on the fermion operators as

$$\hat{g} c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \eta, \alpha, s}^\dagger \hat{g}^{-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}' \alpha' \eta'} c_{\hat{g}\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}', \eta', \alpha', s}^\dagger [D(\hat{g})]_{\mathbf{Q}' \eta' \alpha', \mathbf{Q} \eta \alpha}. \quad (129)$$

The D matrices for the above discrete symmetries are given by

$$[D(C_{3z})]_{\mathbf{Q}' \eta' \beta, \mathbf{Q} \eta \alpha} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}', C_{3z} \mathbf{Q}} \delta_{\eta', \eta} (e^{i\eta \frac{2\pi}{3} \sigma_z})_{\beta \alpha} \quad [D(C_{2x})]_{\mathbf{Q}' \eta' \beta, \mathbf{Q} \eta \alpha} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}', C_{2x} \mathbf{Q}} \delta_{\eta', \eta} (\sigma_x)_{\beta \alpha}, \quad (130)$$

$$[D(C_{2z})]_{\mathbf{Q}' \eta' \beta, \mathbf{Q} \eta \alpha} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}', -\mathbf{Q}} \delta_{\eta', -\eta} (\sigma_x)_{\beta \alpha}, \quad [D(T)]_{\mathbf{Q}' \eta' \beta, \mathbf{Q} \eta \alpha} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}', -\mathbf{Q}} \delta_{\eta', -\eta} \delta_{\beta, \alpha}, \quad (131)$$

$$[D(C_{2z}T)]_{\mathbf{Q}' \eta' \beta, \mathbf{Q} \eta \alpha} = [D(C_{2z})D(T)]_{\mathbf{Q}' \eta' \beta, \mathbf{Q} \eta \alpha} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}', \mathbf{Q}} \delta_{\eta', \eta} (\sigma_x)_{\beta, \alpha}. \quad (132)$$

Here and below we will use $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ (σ_0) and $\tau_{x,y,z}$ (τ_0) for the Pauli (identity) matrices in the sub-lattice and valley spaces. For the sublattice, we choose $\alpha = A, B$ to be 1, 2 for σ matrix.

Besides the crystalline symmetries, the BM model also has a unitary particle-hole (PH) symmetry \hat{P} that anti-commutes with the single-particle Hamiltonian, $\{\hat{P}, H_0\} = 0$. \hat{P} transforms \mathbf{k} to $-\mathbf{k}$ and the corresponding D matrix reads

$$P c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \eta, \alpha, s}^\dagger P^{-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}' \eta' \beta} [D(P)]_{\mathbf{Q}' \eta' \beta, \mathbf{Q} \eta \alpha} c_{-\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}', \eta', \beta, s}^\dagger, \quad [D(P)]_{\mathbf{Q}' \eta' \beta, \mathbf{Q} \eta \alpha} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}', -\mathbf{Q}} \delta_{\eta', \eta} \delta_{\beta, \alpha} \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}, \quad (133)$$

where $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}} = \pm 1$ for $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_\pm$, respectively. Note that P transforms creation operators to creation operators (rather than annihilation operators), and maps sites $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_\pm$ into $-\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_\mp$. The PH transformation P satisfies

$$P^2 = -1, \quad [P, C_{3z}] = 0, \quad \{P, C_{2x}\} = 0, \quad \{P, C_{2z}\} = 0, \quad \{P, T\} = 0, \quad [P, C_{2z}T] = 0. \quad (134)$$

The particle-hole symmetry will be broken if the θ -dependence of the single-layer Hamiltonian or quadratic terms in \mathbf{k} of the single-layer Hamiltonian are taken into account.

Besides the above discrete symmetry, the BM model also possesses the continuous $U(2) \times U(2)$ spin-charge rotation symmetry, and the corresponding symmetry operators are given by

$$\hat{S}^{ab} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\tau^a)_{\eta\eta'} (s^b)_{ss'} c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \eta, \alpha, s}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \eta', \alpha, s'}, \quad (a = 0, z, \quad b = 0, x, y, z), \quad (135)$$

where τ and s are Pauli matrices for valley and spin degree of freedom.

If, furthermore, $w_0 = 0$, the model acquires an effective chiral symmetry \hat{C} that anti-commute with the single-particle Hamiltonian [72]. \hat{C} is referred to as the first chiral symmetry in Refs. [57, 58]. \hat{C} leaves \mathbf{k} invariant and its D matrix reads

$$[D(\hat{C})]_{\mathbf{Q}' \alpha' \eta', \mathbf{Q} \alpha \eta} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}', \mathbf{Q}} [\sigma_z]_{\alpha' \alpha} [\tau_0]_{\eta' \eta}. \quad (136)$$

In the first-quantized formalism the algebra between C and other symmetries are given by

$$C^2 = 1, \quad [C, T] = 0, \quad [C, C_{3z}] = 0, \quad \{C, C_{2x}\} = 0, \quad \{C, C_{2z}T\} = 0, \quad [C, P] = 0. \quad (137)$$

VI. INTRA-LAYER INTER-VALLEY ELECTRON-PHONON INTERACTION IN TWISTED BILAYER GRAPHENE

A. Projected Intra-Layer Inter-Valley Electron-Phonon Interaction for the Flat Bands

We define the short-hand notation for the \mathbf{Q} lattice in the layer $l = \pm$ (top/bottom) and valley $\eta = \pm$ as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{l\eta} = \{l\eta \mathbf{q}_2 + n_1 \mathbf{b}_{M1} + n_2 \mathbf{b}_{M2} \mid n_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad (138)$$

which is consistent with the definition in the previous Sec. V A. We have the equivalence between the operators $c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\alpha,\eta,s}$ in Eq. (121) in the MBZ and the ones $c_{\mathbf{k},\alpha,l,s}$ in a single layer graphene,

$$c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta},\alpha,\eta,s} = c_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D^l + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta},\alpha,l,s}, \quad k \in MBZ \quad (139)$$

where \mathbf{K}_D^l is the K momentum in the layer l .

With the electron-phonon Hamiltonian now summed over the two layers $l = \pm$, and with \mathbf{K}_D^l being the graphene Dirac momentum in the layer l , we have

$$H_{inter-vall}^{op} \approx \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{2N_G}\sqrt{M(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\eta,\alpha,\beta,l,s} (b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}',l,A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D - \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}',l,A_1}^\dagger) c_{\mathbf{k} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D, l, \alpha, s}^\dagger (\sigma_x)_{\alpha\beta} c_{\mathbf{k}' - \eta\mathbf{K}_D, l, \beta, s} \quad (140)$$

where we have added the layer $l = \pm$ index as well as the spin index in the electrons. In this summation, \mathbf{k} is summed over the vicinity of the Dirac node, over a radius much larger than the first MBZ (but much smaller than \mathbf{K}_D). We hence break the sum over the momentum into

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in MBZ} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}} \quad (141)$$

where the lattice $\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}$ depends on η, l for electrons around the $\eta\mathbf{K}_D$ point of the l layer, and find

$$\begin{aligned} H_{inter-vall}^{op} &\approx \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{2N_G}\sqrt{M(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}' \in MBZ} \sum_{l,\eta} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}, \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,s} \\ &(b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta} + \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}, l, A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D - \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta} - \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}, l, A_1}^\dagger) c_{\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}, l, \alpha, s}^\dagger (\sigma_x)_{\alpha\beta} c_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}, l, \beta, s} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{2N_G}\sqrt{M(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}' \in MBZ} \sum_{l,\eta} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}, \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,s} \\ &(b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta} + \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}, l, A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D - \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta} - \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}, l, A_1}^\dagger) c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta, s}^\dagger (\sigma_x)_{\alpha\beta} c_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}, \beta, -\eta, s} \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

We next project the above Hamiltonian into the flat bands of the BM model with the expansion

$$c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta, s} = \sum_{n=1,2} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta}^n \gamma_{\mathbf{k}n\eta s} \quad (143)$$

where $u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta}$ is the eigenstate of the BM model. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} H_{inter-vall}^{op} &\approx \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{2N_G}\sqrt{M(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}' \in MBZ} \sum_{n,n'=1,2} \sum_s \gamma_{\mathbf{k},n,\eta,s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}',n',-\eta,s} \\ &\sum_{l,\eta} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}} (b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta} + \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}, l, A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D - \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta} - \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}, l, A_1}^\dagger) \sum_{\alpha,\beta} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta}^{n*} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^x u_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta}, \beta, -\eta}^{n'}. \end{aligned} \quad (144)$$

By defining

$$\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta} - \mathbf{Q}'_{-l\eta} = \mathbf{Q}''_{-l\eta}, \quad (145)$$

the Inter-valley Hamiltonian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H_{inter-vall}^{op} &\approx \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{2N_G}\sqrt{M(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}' \in MBZ} \sum_{n,n'=1,2} \sum_{\eta,s} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},n,\eta,s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}',n',-\eta,s} \\ &\sum_l \sum_{\mathbf{Q}''_{-l\eta}} (b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{Q}''_{-l\eta}, l, A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D - \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{Q}''_{-l\eta}, l, A_1}^\dagger) \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta}^{n*} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^x u_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta} - \mathbf{Q}''_{-l\eta}, \beta, -\eta}^{n'}. \end{aligned} \quad (146)$$

B. Phonon-Mediated Electron-Electron Interaction for the Flat Bands

We rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} H_{inter-vall}^{op} &\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}' \in MBZ} \sum_{n,n'=1,2} \sum_{\eta,s} \sum_{l=\pm} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}} \times \\ &\times G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta n n' l} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},n,\eta,s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}',n',-\eta,s} (b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, l, A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D - \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, l, A_1}^\dagger) \\ G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta n n' l} &= \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{2M\omega_{A_1}}} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta}^{n*} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^x u_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta} - \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, \beta, -\eta}^{n'} \\ \omega_{A_1} &= \sqrt{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)/M} \end{aligned} \quad (147)$$

In the above expression, $\mathbf{Q}_{\pm l\eta} = \pm l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{G}_M$ and the summation is made over the \mathbf{G}_M .

With the electron Hamiltonian

$$H_{el} = \sum_{m,\mathbf{k} \in MBZ, \eta, s} E_{m\mathbf{k}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}m\eta s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}m\eta s} \quad (148)$$

and phonon Hamiltonian for the A_1 mode

$$H_{ph} = \sum_{\mathbf{q}, l} \omega_{A_1} b_{\mathbf{q}l, A_1}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{q}l, A_1} \quad (149)$$

we apply the transformation S

$$-H_{inter-vall}^{op} = [H_{el} + H_{ph}, S] \quad (150)$$

and obtain

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \in MBZ} \sum_{n, n'=1,2} \sum_{\eta, s} \sum_{l=\pm} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}} \times \\ \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, n, \eta, s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', n', -\eta, s} (A_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta n n' l} b_{-\eta \mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, l, A_1} - A_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{-\eta n' n l \star} b_{\eta \mathbf{K}_D - \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, l, A_1}^\dagger) \\ A_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta n n' l} = -\frac{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta n n' l}}{E_{n\mathbf{k}} - E_{n'\mathbf{k}'} - \omega_{A_1}} \quad (151)$$

One should note that it is important to keep $-\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}$ in $A_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{-\eta n' n l \star}$ and not rewrite it as $\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}$ since $-\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta} = l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{G}_M$ whereas $\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta} = l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{G}_M$. They hence differ by a Moiré reciprocal lattice vector, but since this vector is what we sum over, it is important to keep the correct form.

We then obtain the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction (we now expand explicitly the $\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}$ and perform the summation over \mathbf{G}_M)

$$H_{el-el} = \frac{1}{N_G} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1', \mathbf{G}_M, l, s, s_1} \frac{G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', -l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta n n' l} G_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1', l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{G}_M}^{-\eta n_1 n_1' l} \omega_{A_1}}{(E_{n_1 \mathbf{k}_1} - E_{n_1' \mathbf{k}_1'})^2 - \omega_{A_1}^2} \delta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', -\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1'} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, n, \eta, s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1, n_1, -\eta, s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1', n_1', \eta, s_1} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', n', -\eta, s} \quad (152)$$

Since the optical phonon frequency ω_{A_1} is much larger than the bandwidth of the flat bands in TBG, we neglect the latter. In the Cooper channel $\mathbf{k}_1 = -\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1' = -\mathbf{k}'$, we have

$$H_{el-el} = -\frac{1}{N_G} \frac{1}{\omega_{A_1}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{G}_M, l, s, s_1} G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', -l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta n n' l} G_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}', l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{G}_M}^{-\eta n_1 n_1' l} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, n, \eta, s}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, n_1, -\eta, s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}', n_1', \eta, s_1} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', n', -\eta, s}. \quad (153)$$

If one wants to include more bands in the system, one can extend the indices n, n' , although due to the larger dispersion of the remote bands, one might need to keep the kinetic energies.

It should be noted as the summation over \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' is within the MBZ, so we should split the factor N_G (which represents the total number of atomic unit cells) into two parts, $N_G = N_M \times N_0$, with N_M the total number of Moiré unit cell and N_0 the number of atomic unit cells in one Moiré unit cell. Consequently, we can rewrite the above Hamiltonian as

$$H_{el-el} = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', s, s_1} V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; -\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta n n', n_1 n_1'} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, n, \eta, s}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, n_1, -\eta, s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}', n_1', \eta, s_1} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', n', -\eta, s} \quad (154)$$

where

$$V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta n n', n_1 n_1'} = \frac{1}{N_0} \frac{1}{\omega_{A_1}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_M} \sum_l G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', -l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta n n', l} G_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1', l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{G}_M}^{-\eta n_1 n_1', l} \quad (155)$$

represents the effective attractive electron-electron interaction mediated by phonons in TBG.

C. Gauge Fixing for Eigen-state Basis and Chern Band Basis

1. Eigen-state Basis

The eigen-energy basis of the bands in the TBG is given by

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{k}, n, \eta, s}^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha} u_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha; n\eta}(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \eta, \alpha s}^\dagger, \quad (156)$$

where $u_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha;n\eta}(\mathbf{k})$ is the eigenstate of energy band n of the first quantized single-particle Hamiltonian $h_{\mathbf{Q},\mathbf{Q}'}^{(\eta)}(\mathbf{k})$ in valley η

$$\sum_{\mathbf{Q}',\beta} [h_{\mathbf{Q},\mathbf{Q}'}^{(\eta)}(\mathbf{k})]_{\alpha\beta} u_{\mathbf{Q}'\beta;n\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) u_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha;n\eta}(\mathbf{k}) , \quad (157)$$

where $\epsilon_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k})$ is the single-particle energy of the eigen-state $u_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha;n\eta}(\mathbf{k})$. In each valley and spin, we use integers $n > 0$ to label the n -th conduction band, and use integer $n < 0$ to label the $|n|$ -th valence band (thus $n \neq 0$). The lowest conduction and valence bands in each valley-spin flavor is thus labeled by $n = \pm 1$.

The BM Hamiltonian becomes

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{n\eta s} \epsilon_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) \gamma_{\mathbf{k}n\eta s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}n\eta s} . \quad (158)$$

Bloch periodicity and periodic gauge require

$$c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b}_{Mi},\mathbf{Q},\eta\alpha s}^\dagger = c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{b}_{Mi},\eta\alpha s}^\dagger \implies u_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha;n\eta}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{b}_{Mi}) = u_{\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{b}_{Mi},\alpha;n\eta}(\mathbf{k}) \implies \gamma_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b}_{Mi},n\eta s}^\dagger = \gamma_{\mathbf{k}n\eta s}^\dagger \quad (159)$$

and the \hat{C}_{2z} and \hat{P} symmetries require

$$\hat{C}_{2z} : \epsilon_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon_{n,-\eta}(-\mathbf{k}) , \quad \hat{P} : \epsilon_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = -\epsilon_{-n,\eta}(-\mathbf{k}) . \quad (160)$$

2. Gauge Fixing for Eigen-state Basis

We fix the gauge for the eigen-state basis of energy bands $\gamma_{\mathbf{k}n\eta s}^\dagger$ in Eq. (156) for the symmetry operators \hat{C}_{2z}, \hat{T} and \hat{P} . We denote the wave function $u_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha;n\eta}(\mathbf{k})$ as a column vector $u_{n\eta}(\mathbf{k})$ in the space of indices $\{\mathbf{Q}, \alpha\}$. A representation matrix $D(\hat{g})$ of an operation \hat{g} acts on a wave function $u_{n\eta'}(\mathbf{k})$, we denote the resulting wave function in the valley η for short as $[D(g)]_{\eta\eta'} u_{n\eta'}(\mathbf{k})$, the components of which are given by $\sum_{\mathbf{Q}'\beta\eta'} [D(g)]_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha\eta, \mathbf{Q}'\beta\eta'} u_{\mathbf{Q}'\beta;n\eta'}(\mathbf{k})$. For the symmetries \hat{C}_{2z}, \hat{T} and \hat{P} , the sewing matrices $B^{\hat{g}}(\mathbf{k})$ in the band and valley space are defined by

$$[D(\hat{C}_{2z})]_{\eta\eta'} u_{n\eta'}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_m [B^{C_{2z}}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} u_{m\eta}(-\mathbf{k}) , \quad [D(\hat{T})]_{\eta\eta'} u_{n\eta'}^*(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_m [B^T(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} u_{m\eta}(-\mathbf{k}) , \quad (161)$$

$$[D(\hat{P})]_{\eta\eta'} u_{n\eta'}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_m [B^P(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} u_{m\eta}(-\mathbf{k}) . \quad (162)$$

For non-degenerate wave function $u_{n\eta'}(\mathbf{k})$ in the valley η' , since \hat{C}_{2z} and \hat{T} commute with the \hat{H}_0 and flips the valley η , while \hat{P} anti-commutes with \hat{H}_0 and preserves the valley η , we generically have

$$[B^{C_{2z}}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} = \delta_{\eta, -\eta'} \delta_{m,n} e^{i\varphi_{n,\eta'}^{C_{2z}}(\mathbf{k})} , \quad [B^T(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} = \delta_{\eta, -\eta'} \delta_{m,n} e^{i\varphi_{n,\eta'}^T(\mathbf{k})} , \quad (163)$$

$$[B^P(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} = \delta_{\eta, \eta'} \delta_{-m,n} e^{i\varphi_{n,\eta'}^P(\mathbf{k})} .$$

Accordingly the energy band fermion operators transform as

$$\hat{g} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},n,\eta',s}^\dagger \hat{g}^{-1} = \sum_{m\eta} [B^g(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} \gamma_{\hat{g}\mathbf{k},m,\eta,s}^\dagger . \quad (164)$$

Since these three symmetries satisfy the relations

$$C_{2z}^2 = 1 , \quad T^2 = 1 , \quad P^2 = -1 , \quad \{P, C_{2z}\} = 0 , \quad \{P, T\} = 0 , \quad [C_{2z}, T] = 0 , \quad (165)$$

With the above notations, the symmetries \hat{C}_{2z}, \hat{T} and \hat{P} allows us to define

$$B^{C_{2z}}(-\mathbf{k}) B^{C_{2z}}(\mathbf{k}) = B^T(-\mathbf{k}) B^{T*}(\mathbf{k}) = -B^P(-\mathbf{k}) B^P(\mathbf{k}) = I , \quad B^P(-\mathbf{k}) B^{C_{2z}}(\mathbf{k}) = -B^{C_{2z}}(-\mathbf{k}) B^P(\mathbf{k}) , \quad (166)$$

$$B^P(-\mathbf{k}) B^T(\mathbf{k}) = -B^T(-\mathbf{k}) B^{P*}(\mathbf{k}) , \quad B^T(-\mathbf{k}) B^{C_{2z}*}(\mathbf{k}) = B^{C_{2z}}(-\mathbf{k}) B^T(\mathbf{k}) ,$$

where $B^{g*}(\mathbf{k})$ stands for the complex conjugation of matrix $B^g(\mathbf{k})$, and I is the identity matrix in the n, η space. We have two independent symmetry operations $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}$ (anti-unitary) and $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P}$ (unitary), which do not change \mathbf{k} . Their sewing matrices are defined by

$$[D(\hat{C}_{2z})D(\hat{T})]_{\eta\eta'}u_{n\eta'}^*(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_m [B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} u_{m\eta}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (167)$$

$$[D(\hat{P})D(\hat{C}_{2z})]_{\eta\eta'}u_{n\eta'}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_m [B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} u_{m\eta}(\mathbf{k}). \quad (168)$$

For non-degenerate eigenstates at momentum \mathbf{k} (non-degenerate within one valley), we have

$$[B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} = \delta_{\eta, \eta'} \delta_{m, n} e^{i\varphi_{n, \eta'}^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k})}, \quad [B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} = \delta_{-\eta, \eta'} \delta_{-m, n} e^{i\varphi_{n, \eta'}^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k})}, \quad (169)$$

where by definition we have $\varphi_{n, \eta'}^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k}) = \varphi_{n, \eta'}^T(\mathbf{k}) + \varphi_{n, -\eta'}^{C_{2z}}(-\mathbf{k})$, and $\varphi_{n, \eta'}^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k}) = \varphi_{n, \eta'}^{C_{2z}}(\mathbf{k}) + \varphi_{n, -\eta'}^P(-\mathbf{k})$. The sewing matrices of $C_{2z}T$ and $C_{2z}P$ are subject to the constraint that

$$(C_{2z}T)^2 = (C_{2z}P)^2 = 1, \quad [C_{2z}T, C_{2z}P] = 0, \quad (170)$$

and thus they satisfy

$$B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k})B^{C_{2z}T*}(\mathbf{k}) = [B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k})]^2 = I, \quad B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k})B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k}) = B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k})B^{C_{2z}P*}(\mathbf{k}). \quad (171)$$

We will now fix the gauge of the wave functions and sewing matrices of the \mathbf{k} preserving symmetry operations $C_{2z}T$ and $C_{2z}P$. By Eqs. (169) and (171), we make the \mathbf{k} -independent choices for the sewing matrices

$$[B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} = \delta_{\eta, \eta'} \delta_{m, n}, \quad [B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} = -\text{sgn}(n)\eta' \delta_{-\eta, \eta'} \delta_{-m, n}. \quad (172)$$

Accordingly, the symmetry actions on the band basis fermion operators are given by

$$(C_{2z}T)\gamma_{\mathbf{k}, n, \eta, s}^\dagger (C_{2z}T)^{-1} = \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, n, \eta, s}^\dagger, \quad (C_{2z}P)\gamma_{\mathbf{k}, n, \eta, s}^\dagger (C_{2z}P)^{-1} = -\text{sgn}(n)\eta\gamma_{\mathbf{k}, -n, -\eta, s}^\dagger. \quad (173)$$

This does not yet fix the entire phases of the energy basis at momentum \mathbf{k} , since the sewing matrices in Eq. (172) are invariant under the unitary transformation of wave functions $u_{n\eta}(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \text{sgn}(n)\eta u_{n\eta}(\mathbf{k})$ at each individual \mathbf{k} . To further fix this gauge freedom for different $\mathbf{k} \in \text{MBZ}$, we start by choosing a momentum $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0$ where the eigenstates within one valley are non-degenerate, and choose a gauge fixing of the eigen-state basis at \mathbf{k}_0 satisfying Eq. (172). We then fix the band basis of bands $\pm n$ at other $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{k}_0$ by requiring

$$f_{n, \eta}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) = \left| u_{n, \eta}^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q})u_{n, \eta}(\mathbf{k}) - u_{-n, \eta}^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q})u_{-n, \eta}(\mathbf{k}) \right| \quad (174)$$

to be a continuous function of \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{q} , and satisfy

$$\lim_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0} f_{n, \eta}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) = 0 \quad (175)$$

for all \mathbf{k} . This fixes the relative sign between wave functions $u_{n, \eta}(\mathbf{k})$ and $u_{-n, \eta}(\mathbf{k})$ in a way that is continuous in \mathbf{k} . We do not require the wave function $u_{n, \eta}(\mathbf{k})$ itself to be globally continuous in \mathbf{k} of the entire MBZ; locally $u_{n, \eta}(\mathbf{k})$ can always be chosen to be continuous in \mathbf{k} , provided $u_{n, \eta}(\mathbf{k})$ is non-degenerate at momentum \mathbf{k} .

Within the space of each pair of PH symmetric bands with band indices $n = \pm n_B$, if we use ζ^a and τ^a ($a = 0, x, y, z$) to denote the identity and Pauli matrices in the energy band $n = \pm n_B$ space and the valley space:

$$B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta^0 \tau^0, \quad B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k}) = -\zeta^y \tau^y. \quad (176)$$

For $n_B = 1$ (i.e., within the lowest conduction and valence bands $n = \pm 1$ per spin per valley) when \mathbf{k} is at \mathbf{K}_M or \mathbf{K}'_M point of the MBZ, the bands $n = +1$ and $n = -1$ are degenerate. In this case, we can still choose the eigenstate basis at \mathbf{K}_M or \mathbf{K}'_M point such that Eqs. (176) and (175) are satisfied.

We further fix the relative gauge between wave functions at momenta \mathbf{k} and $-\mathbf{k}$ by fixing the sewing matrices of \hat{C}_{2z} and \hat{P} . For \mathbf{k} not at the P -invariant momenta, which are Γ_M and the three equivalent M_M in TBG, we have

$$B^{C_{2z}}(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta^0 \tau^x, \quad B^T(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta^0 \tau^x, \quad B^P(\mathbf{k}) = -i\zeta^y \tau^z. \quad (177)$$

which are consistent with Eq. (176). With the continuous gauge condition, the sewing matrix $B^P(\mathbf{k})$ must have additional minus signs, i.e., $B^P(\mathbf{k}) = i\zeta^y \tau^z$, at an odd (even) number of the four P -invariant momenta if the two bands $n = \pm n_B$ have an odd (even) topological winding number protected by $C_{2z}T$; and at the other odd (even) P -invariant momenta $B^P(\mathbf{k})$ are $-i\zeta^y \tau^z$, same as those at generic momenta. Accordingly, the sewing matrices $B^{C_{2z}}(\mathbf{k})$ and $B^T(\mathbf{k})$ also have the additional minus at momenta where $B^P(\mathbf{k})$ has the minus sign. In this work, we choose $B^P(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_M}) = -i\zeta^y \tau^z$ and $B^P(\mathbf{k}_{M_M}) = i\zeta^y \tau^z$.

3. Gauge Fixing for Chern band basis

After the gauge fixing in Eqs. (176) and (175), we define a new irrep basis $\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,s}^{(n_B)\dagger}$

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,s}^{(n_B)\dagger} = \frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{k},n_B,\eta,s}^\dagger + ie_Y \gamma_{\mathbf{k},-n_B,\eta,s}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (e_Y = \pm 1). \quad (178)$$

For $n_B = 1$, we call them the Chern band basis within the lowest two bands $\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,s}^{(1)\dagger} = \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,s}^\dagger$, as given in Eq. (178), where $e_Y = \pm 1$.

The basis $\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,s}^{(n_B)\dagger}$ defines a band with well-defined Berry curvature; for fixed e_Y, η, s , Eq.(178) gives a band with Chern number

$$C_{e_Y,\eta,s}^{n_B} = e_Y e_{2,n_B}, \quad (179)$$

where $e_{2,n_B} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the Wilson loop winding number of the two bands $n = \pm n_B$, provided the pair of bands $n = \pm n_B$ are disconnected with other bands. The wave functions of the Chern basis in Eq. (178) are given by

$$u'_{e_Y,n_B,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{u_{+n_B,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) + ie_Y u_{-n_B,\eta}(\mathbf{k})}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (180)$$

Due to the continuous gauge condition, we see that $\lim_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0} u_{+n_B,\eta}^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) u_{+n_B,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = \lim_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0} u_{-n_B,\eta}^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) u_{-n_B,\eta}(\mathbf{k})$. Therefore, the Chern band wave functions satisfy the continuous condition

$$\lim_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0} |u'_{e_Y,n_B,\eta}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) u'_{e_Y,n_B,\eta}(\mathbf{k})| = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0} |u_{+n_B,\eta}^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) u_{+n_B,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) + e_Y e_Y' u_{-n_B,\eta}^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) u_{-n_B,\eta}(\mathbf{k})| = \delta_{e_Y, e_Y'}, \quad (181)$$

This allows us to define a continuous Berry curvature for the Chern band wave function $u'_{e_Y,n_B,\eta}(\mathbf{k})$.

We first focus in the valley $\eta = +$. The sewing matrix for $C_{2z}T$ restricted in the valley $\eta = +$ is given by $B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta^0$ (see Eq. (176)). Under this gauge, according to [73], the non-abelian Berry's connection $[\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k})]_{mn} = i u_{m,+}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \partial_{\mathbf{k}} u_{n,+}(\mathbf{k})$ will take the form

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k}) \\ -i\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (182)$$

The sign of wave functions $u_{n,+}(\mathbf{k})$ is fixed in such a way that $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k})$ is globally continuous in the MBZ excluding the Dirac nodes between the two bands $\pm n_B$ (recall that we assume the bands $\pm n_B$ are disconnected from other bands, and thus there can be Dirac nodes between them only if $n_B = 1$), which is always possible [73]. In particular, this way of sign fixing is consistent with Eq. (175), since the vanishing of the diagonal Berry's connection requires $\lim_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0} |u_{m,\eta}^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) u_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k})| = \delta_{m,n}$.

It is known that the Wilson loop winding number of two bands isolated from other bands is given by the Euler class [73]:

$$e_{2,n_B} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_i \oint_{\partial D_i} d\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\text{MBZ} - \sum_i D_i} d^2\mathbf{k} \Omega(\mathbf{k}), \quad (183)$$

where D_i is a sufficiently small region containing the i th Dirac point in the BZ, and $\Omega(\mathbf{k}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k})$.

With Eq. (182), we can derive the Berry connection of the irrep band basis $\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,+,s}^\dagger$ at \mathbf{k} away from Dirac points as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}'_{e_Y}(\mathbf{k}) &= i u'_{e_Y,n_B,+}(\mathbf{k}) \partial_{\mathbf{k}} u'_{e_Y,n_B,+}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} [u_{+n_B,+}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \partial_{\mathbf{k}} u_{+n_B,+}(\mathbf{k}) + ie_Y u_{+n_B,+}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \partial_{\mathbf{k}} u_{-n_B,+}(\mathbf{k}) - ie_Y u_{-n_B,+}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \partial_{\mathbf{k}} u_{+n_B,+}(\mathbf{k}) + u_{-n_B,+}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \partial_{\mathbf{k}} u_{-n_B,+}(\mathbf{k})] \\ &= e_Y \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k}). \end{aligned} \quad (184)$$

Furthermore, the Berry curvature can be shown to be non-divergent at the Dirac points between the two bands $n = \pm n_B$ (see proof in [58]). If $n_B > 1$, there are no Dirac points between bands $n = \pm n_B$. Therefore, by Eq. (183), we find the irrep band basis $\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,+,s}^{(n_B)\dagger}$ carries a Chern number given by Eq. (179). We also note that the \hat{C}_{2z} symmetry

maps the irrep band basis $\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,+}^{(n_B)\dagger}$ into $\gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_Y,-}^{(n_B)\dagger}$ (see Eq. (177)). Since \hat{C}_{2z} does not change the Chern number, we conclude that the Chern number of the Chern band basis $\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,s}^{(n_B)\dagger}$ in the MBZ is simply given by Eq. (179).

In particular, for the lowest two bands $n_B = 1$, the bands are topological and carry a winding number $e_2 = 1$ [71, 73, 74]. Therefore, for the Chern band basis (the irrep basis with $n_B = 1$) $\gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_Y,-}^\dagger$, we have Chern number

$$C_{e_Y,\eta,s} = e_Y, \quad (185)$$

thus the name ‘‘Chern band basis’’ within the lowest two bands (see [58] for a more careful treatment at the Dirac points at CNP, which does not change the conclusion).

Now we show that if e_{2,n_B} is odd, then the sign of the sewing matrix $B^P(\mathbf{k})$ *must* be \mathbf{k} -dependent: for $\eta = +$, $B^P(\mathbf{k})$ can be chosen as $-i\zeta^y$ at all the momenta except one or three of the P -invariant momenta, where $B^P(\mathbf{k})$ must be $i\zeta^y$. To see this, we assume $B^P(\mathbf{k}) = -i\chi(\mathbf{k})\zeta^y$, where $\chi(\mathbf{k}) = \pm 1$, and transform it into the Chern band basis (180). We obtain

$$B_{e_Y,e'_Y}^{P'}(\mathbf{k}) = u_{e_Y,n_B,+}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k})D(P)u'_{e_Y,n_B,+}(\mathbf{k}) = -i\chi(\mathbf{k})e_Y\delta_{e_Y,e'_Y}. \quad (186)$$

Therefore, \hat{P} leaves each branch of the Chern band basis, which has the Chern numbers $e_{2,n_B}e_Y$, invariant. $i\hat{P}$ can be equivalently thought as an inversion symmetry for each Chern band since it squares to 1 and changes \mathbf{k} to $-\mathbf{k}$. The ‘‘inversion’’ eigenvalues of the Chern band e_Y are given by $\chi(\mathbf{k})e_Y$ for \mathbf{k} being the P -invariant momentum. Due to the relation between Chern number and inversion eigenvalues, we have

$$(-1)^{C_{e_Y,\eta,s}^{n_B}} = \prod_K \chi(K), \quad (187)$$

where K indexes the four P -invariant momenta. Therefore, the right hand side must be -1 (1) if $C_{e_Y,\eta,s}^{n_B}$ is odd (even), implying $\chi(K) = -1$ at one or three (zero, two, or four) of the four P -invariant momenta. The sign of $B^P(\mathbf{k})$ in the other valley $\eta = -$ can be obtained from the constraint of $B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k})$ and $B^P(\mathbf{k})$.

4. The chiral symmetry at $w_0 = 0$

At $w_0 = 0$, the single-particle Hamiltonian of TBG acquires an additional unitary chiral symmetry \hat{C} , which satisfies the anti-commutation relation with the full single-particle Hamiltonian \hat{H}_0 in Eq. (123)

$$\{\hat{C}, \hat{H}_0\} = 0; \quad \hat{C}c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\eta,\alpha,s}^\dagger \hat{C}^{-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}'\eta'\beta} [D(\hat{C})]_{\mathbf{Q}'\eta'\beta,\mathbf{Q}\eta\alpha} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q}',\eta',\beta,s}^\dagger, \quad (188)$$

with the representation matrix

$$[D(\hat{C})]_{\mathbf{Q}'\eta'\beta,\mathbf{Q}\eta\alpha} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}',\mathbf{Q}}\delta_{\eta',\eta}(\sigma_z)_{\beta,\alpha}. \quad (189)$$

Note that \hat{C} preserves the electron momentum \mathbf{k} . Since \hat{C} flips the single-particle Hamiltonian \hat{H}_0 , it is not a commuting symmetry of TBG, but only reflects a relation between the positive and negative energy spectra. The transformation \hat{C} satisfies

$$\hat{C}^2 = 1, \quad \{\hat{C}, \hat{C}_{2z}\} = 0, \quad [\hat{C}, \hat{T}] = 0, \quad [\hat{C}, \hat{P}] = 0, \quad \{\hat{C}, \hat{C}_{2z}T\} = 0, \quad \{\hat{C}, \hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P}\} = 0. \quad (190)$$

When transformed into the energy band basis, the chiral symmetry \hat{C} implies

$$\epsilon_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = -\epsilon_{-n,\eta}(\mathbf{k}), \quad [D(\hat{C})]_{\eta\eta'} u_{n\eta'}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_m [B^C(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta,n\eta'} u_{m\eta}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (191)$$

where

$$[B^C(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta,n\eta'} = \delta_{\eta,\eta'}\delta_{-m,n}e^{i\varphi_{n,\eta'}^C(\mathbf{k})}; \quad \hat{C}\gamma_{\mathbf{k},n,\eta',s}^\dagger \hat{C}^{-1} = \sum_{m\eta} [B^C(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta,n\eta'} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},m,\eta,s}^\dagger. \quad (192)$$

By the relations $\{\hat{C}, \hat{C}_{2z}T\} = \{\hat{C}, \hat{C}_{2z}P\} = 0$, the sewing matrix of C satisfies

$$B^C(\mathbf{k})B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k}) = -B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k})B^{C*}(\mathbf{k}), \quad B^C(\mathbf{k})B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k}) = -B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k})B^C(\mathbf{k}). \quad (193)$$

Under the gauge fixing of Eq. (176), we have $B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta^0 \tau^0$, and $B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k}) = -\zeta^y \tau^y$. The only \mathbf{k} -independent gauge for sewing matrix of C in consistency with Eqs. (192) and (193) within each pair of bands $n = \pm n_B$ is then (up to a global minus sign)

$$B^C(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta^y \tau^z. \quad (194)$$

In particular, this \mathbf{k} -independent gauge fixing (194) of C automatically ensures the continuous gauge fixing condition (175), which is crucial for defining the irrep band basis in Eq. (178). To see this, note that Eq. (194) tells us that $u_{-n,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = \text{sgn}(n)\eta u_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k})$ for band $n = \pm n_B$, and thus we have

$$f_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) = \left| u_{n,\eta}^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) u_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) - u_{-n,\eta}^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) u_{-n,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) \right| = \left| u_{n,\eta}^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) u_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) [1 - \text{sgn}(n)^2 \eta^2] \right| = 0 \quad (195)$$

for any \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{q} , satisfying Eq. (175).

We also note that this gauge fixing of C is consistent with the gauge fixings of both C_{2z} and P separately in Eq. (177). Basically, the relations $\{\hat{C}, \hat{C}_{2z}\} = 0$ and $[\hat{C}, \hat{P}] = 0$ requires

$$B^C(-\mathbf{k}) B^{C_{2z}}(\mathbf{k}) = -B^{C_{2z}}(\mathbf{k}) B^C(\mathbf{k}), \quad B^C(-\mathbf{k}) B^P(\mathbf{k}) = B^P(\mathbf{k}) B^C(\mathbf{k}), \quad (196)$$

which is satisfied by Eq. (194)

D. Discrete Symmetry Analysis of the Intra-Layer Inter-valley Electron-Phonon Interaction and the phonon-induced electron-electron interaction

We transform the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction H_{el-el} into the Chern band basis and the Hamiltonian is written as

$$H_{el-el} = -\frac{1}{N_G \omega_{A_1}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}'_1, \mathbf{G}_M, l, s, s_1} G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', -l\eta \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta e_Y e_Y' l} G_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}'_1, l\eta \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{G}_M}^{-\eta e_{Y_1} e_{Y_1}' l} \delta_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}', -\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}'_1} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_Y \eta s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1 e_{Y_1} - \eta s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'_1, e_{Y_1}', \eta, s_1} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', e_Y', -\eta, s} \quad (197)$$

with

$$G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e_Y' l} = \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{2M\omega_{A_1}}} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}} \sum_{\alpha\beta} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta}^{e_Y \star} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^x u_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta} - \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, \beta, -\eta}^{e_Y'}. \quad (198)$$

Here we consider the chiral limit with $w_0 = 0$ and will discuss the constraint on the form of $G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e_Y' l}$, as well as $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}'_1}^{\eta e_Y e_Y', e_{Y_1} e_{Y_1}'}$, from different discrete symmetries.

- Chiral Symmetry \hat{C} :

$$[D(\hat{C})]_{\eta\eta'} u_{n\eta'}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_m [B^C(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} u_{m\eta}(\mathbf{k}), \quad B^C(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta^y \tau^z, \\ [B^C(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta, n\eta'} = -im\delta_{m, -n\eta} \delta_{\eta\eta'}, \quad [D(\hat{C})]_{\mathbf{Q}'\eta'\beta, \mathbf{Q}\eta\alpha} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}', \mathbf{Q}} \delta_{\eta', \eta} (\sigma_z)_{\beta, \alpha} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}', \mathbf{Q}} \delta_{\eta', \eta} (-1)^{\alpha+1} \delta_{\beta, \alpha} \quad (199)$$

which leads to

$$(-1)^{\alpha+1} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, n, \eta} = in\eta u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, -n, \eta} \implies \boxed{u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, n, \eta} = -in\eta (-1)^\alpha u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, -n, \eta}} \quad (200)$$

in the eigen-state basis. On the Chern band basis, we have

$$u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, e_Y, \eta} = \frac{u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, +, \eta} + ie_Y u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, -, \eta}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{-i\eta(-1)^\alpha u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, -, \eta} + ie_Y (-i)(-1)\eta(-1)^\alpha u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, +, \eta}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ = \frac{-i\eta(-1)^\alpha u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, -, \eta} - e_Y \eta(-1)^\alpha u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, +, \eta}}{\sqrt{2}} = -e_Y \eta(-1)^\alpha \frac{ie_Y u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, -, \eta} + u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, +, \eta}}{\sqrt{2}} = -e_Y \eta(-1)^\alpha u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, e_Y, \eta}. \quad (201)$$

This shows that, depending on the valley η and Chern number e_Y , the Chern band basis is fully sub-lattice polarized (in the chiral limit) and that the Chern number for $u_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{Q}\alpha e_Y \eta}$ is $e_Y = \eta(-1)^{\alpha+1}$. The sewing matrix in the Chern band basis can be obtained from

$$B_{e_{Y_1} \eta; e_Y \eta'}^g = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}, \alpha} u_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, e_{Y_1}, \eta}^* \sum_{\mathbf{Q}' \alpha'} [D(\hat{g})]_{\mathbf{Q}\eta\alpha; \mathbf{Q}'\eta' \alpha'} u_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{Q}' \alpha' e_Y \eta'} \quad (202)$$

which leads to

$$B_{e_{Y_1}\eta;e_Y\eta'}^C = e_Y\eta\delta_{e_{Y_1},e_Y}\delta_{\eta\eta'}. \quad (203)$$

From the above constraint equation for the wave function, the constraint equation for $G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e_Y' l}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e_Y' l} &= e_Y e_Y' G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e_Y' l} \\ \implies G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e_Y' l} &= \delta_{e_Y, e_Y'} G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e_Y l} =: \delta_{e_Y, e_Y'} G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y l} \end{aligned} \quad (204)$$

We only keep one e_Y index in the last equality. This greatly simplifies the form of the electron-electron interaction, which becomes

$$H_{el-el} = -\frac{1}{N_G\omega_{A_1}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_1',\mathbf{G}_M,l,s,s_1,e_Y,e_Y'} G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',-l\eta\mathbf{q}_2+\mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta e_Y l} G_{\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_1',l\eta\mathbf{q}_2-\mathbf{G}_M}^{-\eta e_{Y_1} l} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1,e_{Y_1},-\eta,s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1',e_{Y_1},\eta,s_1} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}',e_Y,-\eta,s}. \quad (205)$$

with

$$G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y l} = \frac{\gamma_3}{\sqrt{2M\omega_{A_1}}} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}} \sum_{\alpha\beta} u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta},\alpha,\eta}^{e_Y \star} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^x u_{\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}-\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta},\beta,-\eta}^{e_Y}. \quad (206)$$

• $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P}$:

$$\begin{aligned} [D(C_{2z}P)]_{\eta\eta'} u_{n\eta'}(\mathbf{k}) &= \sum_m [B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta,n\eta'} u_{m\eta}(\mathbf{k}), \quad B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k}) = -\zeta^y \tau^y \\ [B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta,n\eta'} &= m\eta\delta_{m,-n}\delta_{\eta,-\eta'}, \quad [D(\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P})]_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha\eta;\mathbf{Q}'\beta\eta'} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q},\mathbf{Q}'} \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}'}^x \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^x \delta_{\eta,-\eta'} \\ \implies \delta_{\eta,-\eta'} \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}^x \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^x u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\beta,n\eta'} &= m\eta\delta_{m,-n}\delta_{\eta,-\eta'} u_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{Q}\alpha m\eta} \implies \\ \boxed{u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\alpha,m\eta} &= m\eta \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}} u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\bar{\alpha},-m,-\eta}} \end{aligned} \quad (207)$$

On the Chern band basis, this gives

$$u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\alpha,e_Y,\eta} = -ie_Y\eta\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}} u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\bar{\alpha},e_Y,-\eta} \quad (208)$$

and thus

$$B_{e_{Y_1}\eta;e_Y\eta'}^{C_{2z}P} = -ie_Y\eta'\delta_{e_{Y_1},e_Y}\delta_{\eta,-\eta'}. \quad (209)$$

$\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P}$ can lead to the constraint

$$G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y l} = G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{-\eta e_Y -l} \quad (210)$$

where we have used $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}} = l\eta$; $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}_{l\eta}-\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}} = \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}} = -l\eta$.

• $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}$:

$$u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\beta,n,\eta} = \sigma_{\beta\alpha}^x u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\alpha,n,\eta}^\star \implies u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\alpha,e_Y,\eta} = u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\bar{\alpha},-e_Y\eta}^\star \quad (211)$$

and the sewing matrix is

$$B_{e_{Y_1}\eta;e_Y\eta'}^{C_{2z}T} = \delta_{e_{Y_1},-e_Y}\delta_{\eta\eta'} \quad (212)$$

This now gives the following transformation property

$$G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',-l\eta\mathbf{q}_2+\mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta e_Y l} = (G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',-l\eta\mathbf{q}_2+\mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta-e_Y l})^\star. \quad (213)$$

- Particle-hole symmetry \hat{P} : we next deal with the particle-hole symmetry, of which the gauge fixing cannot be done with a constant matrix over the entire MBZ as discussed in Sec. VIC 2 and VIC 3. We write the sewing matrix for \hat{P} as

$$B^P(\mathbf{k}) = e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}} i\zeta^y \tau^z, \quad (214)$$

where $e^{i\phi_{\Gamma_M}} = -1$, $e^{i\phi_{M_M}} = 1$ and $e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}} = -1$ for a generic \mathbf{k} . With

$$\sum_{\mathbf{Q}'\alpha'} [D(\hat{P})]_{\mathbf{Q}\eta\alpha; \mathbf{Q}'\eta'\alpha'} u_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{Q}'\alpha'n\eta'} = \sum_m B_{m\eta;n\eta'}^P u_{-\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, m, \eta} \quad (215)$$

and $B_{m\eta;n\eta'}^P = e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}} m\eta\delta_{m,-n}\delta_{\eta,\eta'}$, we obtain

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha n \eta'} = e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}} m\eta\delta_{m,-n}\delta_{\eta,\eta'} u_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{Q}, \alpha m \eta} \implies u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha -m \eta} = e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}} \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}} m\eta u_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{Q}, \alpha m \eta}. \quad (216)$$

on the eigen-state basis and

$$u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, e_Y, \eta} = ie_Y e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}} \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}} \eta u_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{Q}, \alpha, e_Y, \eta} \quad (217)$$

on the Chern band basis. The corresponding sewing matrix on the Chern band basis reads

$$B_{e_{Y_1}\eta; e_Y\eta'}^P = ie_Y \eta e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}} \delta_{e_{Y_1}, e_Y} \delta_{\eta\eta'}, \quad (218)$$

which leads to the constraint

$$G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q} - l\eta = -l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{G}}^{\eta e_Y l} = e^{i(\phi_{\mathbf{k}'} - \phi_{\mathbf{k}})} G_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q} l\eta = l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{G}}^{\eta e_Y - l}. \quad (219)$$

- \hat{C}_{3z} : The sewing matrix $B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k})$ satisfies the following conditions (we write the \mathbf{k} dependence on the sewing matrices of $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}$ and $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P}$ for completeness, although we know these matrices have been chosen \mathbf{k} -independent):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Unitarity: } B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k}) B^{C_{3z}\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) &= B^{C_{3z}\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta_0 \tau_0 \\ \hat{C}_{3z}^3 = 1: B^{C_{3z}}(\hat{C}_3^2 \mathbf{k}) B^{C_{3z}}(\hat{C}_3 \mathbf{k}) B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k}) &= \zeta_0 \tau_0 \\ \hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}: B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k}) B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k}) &= B^{C_{2z}T}(\hat{C}_{3z} \mathbf{k}) B^{C_{3z}\star}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P}: B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k}) B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k}) &= B^{C_{2z}P}(\hat{C}_{3z} \mathbf{k}) B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k}) \end{aligned} \quad (220)$$

It is easiest to solve the constraint from $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}$ first, since its sewing matrix is identity. Hence, the matrix elements of $B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k})$ are all real. We also know \hat{C}_{3z} does not change the valley and hence

$$B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k})_{m\eta;n\eta'} = A_{mn}^{\eta} \delta_{\eta,\eta'} \quad (221)$$

with the real matrix A_{mn}^{η} to be determined. The unitarity condition gives $AA^T = 1$ and hence A is a rotation matrix,

$$[B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta;n\eta'} = \delta_{\eta\eta'} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta^{\eta}(\mathbf{k}) & -\sin \theta^{\eta}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \sin \theta^{\eta}(\mathbf{k}) & \cos \theta^{\eta}(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (222)$$

Since $[B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k})]_{m\eta;n\eta'} = m\eta\delta_{m,-n}\delta_{\eta,-\eta'}$, the condition becomes

$$A_{r,-n}^{-\eta}(-n) = r A_{-r,n}^{\eta} \implies A_{1,1}^{-\eta} = A_{2,2}^{\eta}, \quad A_{1,2}^{-\eta} = -A_{2,1}^{\eta} \quad (223)$$

where $n, r = \pm$ translates into $r = 1, 2$ in the matrix. This condition implies the C_{3z} sewing matrix is the same at either of the valleys, namely

$$B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k})_{m\eta;n\eta'} = \delta_{\eta\eta'} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(\mathbf{k}) & -\sin \theta(\mathbf{k}) \\ \sin \theta(\mathbf{k}) & \cos \theta(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (224)$$

Finally $\hat{C}_{3z}^3 = 1$ implies

$$\theta(\mathbf{k}) + \theta(\hat{C}_{3z}\mathbf{k}) + \theta(\hat{C}_{3z}^2\mathbf{k}) = 2\pi n, \quad n \in Z \quad (225)$$

where n is an integer. On the eigen-state basis, this reads

$$e^{i\eta\frac{2\pi}{3}(-1)^{\alpha+1}} u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\alpha n\eta} = \sum_{m=1,2} A_{mn} u_{\hat{C}_3\mathbf{k},\hat{C}_3\mathbf{Q},\alpha m\eta} \quad (226)$$

while on the Chern band basis, this then gives

$$u_{\hat{C}_3\mathbf{k},\hat{C}_3\mathbf{Q},\alpha e_Y\eta} = e^{ie_Y\theta(\mathbf{k})} e^{i\eta\frac{2\pi}{3}(-1)^{\alpha+1}} u_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q},\alpha e_Y\eta}, \quad (227)$$

from which we find the sewing matrix of \hat{C}_{3z} on the Chern basis is diagonal

$$B_{e_{Y1}\eta,e_Y\eta'}^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k}) = e^{-ie_Y\theta(\mathbf{k})} \delta_{e_Y,e_{Y1}} \delta_{\eta\eta'}. \quad (228)$$

This can be understood from the fact that in the chiral limit, there exists a relation between the Chern number and the sublattice/valley polarization, $e_Y = \eta(-1)^{\alpha+1}$.

We can see that $\theta(\mathbf{k})$ cannot be constant over the whole MBZ. At the \hat{C}_{3z} -invariant points, i.e. $\mathbf{k} = \Gamma_M$, $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{K}_M$, or $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{K}'_M$, $e^{-ie_Y\theta(\mathbf{k})}$ is the \hat{C}_{3z} -eigenvalue, and there exists a relation between the Chern number and \hat{C}_{3z} -eigenvalues,

$$e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}C} = B(\Gamma_M)B(\mathbf{K}_M)B(\mathbf{K}'_M) = e^{-ie_Y(\theta(\Gamma_M)+\theta(\mathbf{K}_M)+\theta(\mathbf{K}'_M))}, \quad (229)$$

in which C is the Chern number and it should take $C = e_Y$ for the Chern band basis. The θ value at Γ_M can be obtained from the relation (225),

$$\theta(\Gamma_M) + \theta(\Gamma_M) + \theta(\Gamma_M) = 2\pi n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \theta(\Gamma_M) = 2\pi/3 \pmod{2\pi}. \quad (230)$$

So if we assume θ is a constant over the whole MBZ, we will find $e^{-ie_Y(\theta(\Gamma_M)+\theta(\mathbf{K}_M)+\theta(\mathbf{K}'_M))} = 1$, which would mean zero Chern number (mod 3) and not $e_Y = 1$ as it is. From the TBG, we know the \hat{C}_{3z} -eigenvalues of the flat bands is 1 at Γ , and $\exp(\pm 2\pi i/3)$ at $\mathbf{K}_M, \mathbf{K}'_M$ (for the degenerate point). When we separate the bands into Chern band basis, the \hat{C}_{3z} -eigenvalue at \mathbf{K}_M of the Chern band and that at \mathbf{K}'_M have to be the same (if they were opposite, and with eigenvalue 1 at Γ_M , we would get zero Chern number). We hence deduce

$$\theta(\Gamma_M) = 0 \pmod{2\pi n}; \quad \theta(\mathbf{K}_M) = \frac{2\pi}{3} \pmod{2\pi}; \quad \theta(\mathbf{K}'_M) = \frac{2\pi}{3} \pmod{2\pi} \quad (231)$$

which gives

$$e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}C} = B(\Gamma_M)B(\mathbf{K}_M)B(\mathbf{K}'_M) = e^{-ie_Y(\theta(\Gamma_M)+\theta(\mathbf{K}_M)+\theta(\mathbf{K}'_M))} = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}e_Y}, \quad (232)$$

leading to $C = e_Y$ as advertised.

The \hat{C}_{3z} symmetry gives a constraint on the G function,

$$G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}-l\eta}^{\eta e_Y l} = e^{ie_Y(\theta(\mathbf{k})-\theta(\mathbf{k}'))} G_{\hat{C}_{3z}\mathbf{k},\hat{C}_{3z}\mathbf{k}',\hat{C}_{3z}\mathbf{Q}-l\eta}^{\eta e_Y l} \quad (233)$$

- \hat{C}_{2x} : The sewing matrix for \hat{C}_{2x} takes the form

$$B_{m\eta;n\eta'}^{C_{2x}}(\mathbf{k}) = A_{mn}^\eta \delta_{\eta\eta'} \quad (234)$$

and we need to again determine the matrix A_{mn}^η . For $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}$,

$$\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}: B^{C_{2x}}(\mathbf{k})B^{C_{2z}T}(\mathbf{k}) = B^{C_{2z}T}(\hat{C}_{2x}\mathbf{k})B^{C_{2x}*}(\mathbf{k}) \implies A = A^* \quad (235)$$

and the unitarity condition requires $AA^T = 1$. Furthermore, for the chiral symmetry \hat{C} ,

$$\{C, C_{2x}\} = 0: B^{C_{2x}}(\mathbf{k})B^C(\mathbf{k}) = -B^C(\hat{C}_{2x}\mathbf{k})B^{C_{2x}}(\mathbf{k}) \implies A^\eta(\mathbf{k}) = \cos[\alpha^\eta(\mathbf{k})]\zeta_z + \sin[\alpha^\eta(\mathbf{k})]\zeta_x \quad (236)$$

with ζ being in $n = 1, 2$ band space and α^η being an angle (not to be confused for the orbital α). For $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P}, \hat{C}_{2x}\} = 0: B^{C_{2x}}(\mathbf{k})B^{C_{2z}P}(\mathbf{k}) &= -B^{C_{2z}P}(\hat{C}_{2x}\mathbf{k})B^{C_{2x}}(\mathbf{k}), \\ A_{m,-n}^{-\eta} &= -mnA_{m,n}^\eta \implies \alpha^\eta(\mathbf{k}) = \alpha^{-\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = \alpha(\mathbf{k}). \end{aligned} \quad (237)$$

For the \hat{C}_{3z} rotation,

$$B^{C_{2x}}(\hat{C}_{3z}^2 \mathbf{k}) B^{C_{3z}}(\hat{C}_{3z} \mathbf{k}) B^{C_{3z}}(\mathbf{k}) = B^{C_{3z}}(\hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{k}) B^{C_{2x}}(\mathbf{k}) \implies \alpha_{\hat{C}_{3z}^2 \mathbf{k}} - \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} - \theta_{\hat{C}_{3z} \mathbf{k}} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}} - \theta_{\hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{k}} = 0 \pmod{2\pi} \quad (238)$$

Putting all the above together, one can find the transformation for the Chern band basis

$$u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha_{e_Y \eta}} = e^{ie_Y \alpha(\mathbf{k})} u_{\hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{k}, \hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{Q}, \bar{\alpha}, -e_Y, \eta} \quad (239)$$

from which we see that

$$\alpha(\mathbf{k}) - \alpha(\hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{k}) = 0 \pmod{2\pi}. \quad (240)$$

The sewing matrix is then

$$B_{e_{Y_1} \eta; e_Y \eta'}^{C_{2x}} = \delta_{e_{Y_1}, -e_Y} e^{ie_Y \alpha(\mathbf{k})} \delta_{\eta \eta'}. \quad (241)$$

\hat{C}_{2x} imposes the following constraint

$$G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', Q-l\eta=-l\eta \mathbf{Q}_2 + \mathbf{G}}^{\eta, e_Y, l} = e^{ie_Y (\alpha(\mathbf{k}') - \alpha(\mathbf{k}))} G_{\hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{k}, \hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{k}', \hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{Q} - l\eta = \hat{C}_{2x}(-l\eta \mathbf{Q}_2 + \mathbf{G})}^{\eta, -e_Y, -l} \quad (242)$$

- Hermiticity: From the definition of $G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', -l\eta \mathbf{Q}_2 + \mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta n n' l}$, one can obtain the identity

$$G_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}, -l\eta \mathbf{Q}_2 + \mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta, n' n l \star} = G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', l\eta \mathbf{Q}_2 - \mathbf{G}_M}^{-\eta, n n' l} \quad (243)$$

which is required for the hermiticity condition $H_{el-el}^\dagger = H_{el-el}$.

We now define the F -function

$$F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = \frac{1}{N_0 \omega_{A_1}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_M, l} G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', -l\eta \mathbf{Q}_2 + \mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta, e_Y, l} G_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1', l\eta \mathbf{Q}_2 - \mathbf{G}_M}^{-\eta, e_Y', l} \quad (244)$$

so that the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction takes the form

$$H_{el-el} = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1', s, s_1, e_Y, e_Y'} F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1, e_{Y_1}, -\eta, s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1', e_{Y_1}, \eta, s_1} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', e_Y, -\eta, s} \quad (245)$$

We need to keep in mind that this term actually came from neglecting the one-body term in the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction, which is

$$H_{el-el} = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1', s, s_1, e_Y, e_Y'} F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', e_Y, -\eta, s} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1, e_{Y_1}, -\eta, s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1', e_{Y_1}, \eta, s_1} \quad (246)$$

We can apply all the symmetry operators to derive the constraint equations for the F -function, which are summarized as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}_{2z} \hat{P} : & \boxed{F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{-\eta, e_Y, e_Y'}} \\ \hat{C}_{2z} \hat{T} : & \boxed{F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, -e_Y, -e_Y'}^\star} \\ Hermiticity : & \boxed{F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = F_{\mathbf{k}_1', \mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}}^{\eta, e_Y', e_Y'}^\star} \\ Reshuffling : & \boxed{F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_Y', e_Y'}} \\ \hat{P} : & \boxed{F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = e^{i(\phi_{\mathbf{k}'} - \phi_{\mathbf{k}} + \phi_{\mathbf{k}_1'} - \phi_{\mathbf{k}_1})} F_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}'; -\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'}} \\ \hat{C}_{3z} : & \boxed{F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = e^{ie_Y (\theta(\mathbf{k}) - \theta(\mathbf{k}')) + ie_Y' (\theta(\mathbf{k}_1) - \theta(\mathbf{k}_1'))} F_{\hat{C}_{3z} \mathbf{k}, \hat{C}_{3z} \mathbf{k}'; \hat{C}_{3z} \mathbf{k}_1, \hat{C}_{3z} \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'}} \\ \hat{C}_{2x} : & \boxed{F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = e^{-ie_Y (\alpha(\mathbf{k}) - \alpha(\mathbf{k}')) - ie_Y' (\alpha(\mathbf{k}_1) - \alpha(\mathbf{k}_1'))} F_{\hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{k}, \hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{k}'; \hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{k}_1, \hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta, -e_Y, -e_Y'}} \end{aligned} \quad (247)$$

Since we have already derived all the symmetry constraint for the G -function, all the symmetry constraint on the F -function can be derived from the definition of F -function (Eq. 244). Here we only show an example of reshuffling symmetry.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}';\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}'_1}^{\eta e_Y, e'_Y} &= \sum_{\mathbf{G}_M} \sum_l G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',-l\eta\mathbf{q}_2+\mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta e_Y l} G_{\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}'_1,l\eta\mathbf{q}_2-\mathbf{G}_M}^{-\eta e'_Y l} \\ F_{\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}'_1;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta e'_Y, e_Y} &= \sum_{\mathbf{G}_M} \sum_l G_{\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}'_1,l\eta\mathbf{q}_2+\mathbf{G}_M}^{-\eta e'_Y l} G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',-l\eta\mathbf{q}_2-\mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta e_Y l} = F_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}';\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}'_1}^{\eta e_Y, e'_Y}. \end{aligned} \quad (248)$$

The above symmetry relations relate different components of the F -function and let's next count the number of independent parameters. Out of $2 \times 16 = 32$ complex numbers for each set of $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}'_1$ momenta, we are left with 2 complex numbers $F_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}';\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}'_1}^{++++}, F_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}';\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}'_1}^{++--}$ out of which we can obtain the entire set of values.

Next we consider the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction in the Cooper channel (similar to Eq. 154 but in the Chern band basis),

$$H_{el-el} = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',s,s_1,e_Y,e'_Y} V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_Y\eta s}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_{Y_1}-\eta s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}',e_{Y_1},\eta,s_1} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_Y,-\eta,s} \quad (249)$$

with

$$V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y} = F_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}';-\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_Y, e'_Y}. \quad (250)$$

From the above constraint equations for the F -function, we can easily extract the corresponding relations for $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y}$, which are listed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P} : & \boxed{V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y} = V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta,e_Y,e'_Y}} \\ \hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T} : & \boxed{V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y} = V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,-e_Y,-e'_Y}} \\ \text{Hermiticity} : & \boxed{V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y} = V_{-\mathbf{k}',-\mathbf{k}}^{\eta,e'_Y,e_Y}} \\ \text{Reshuffling} : & \boxed{V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y} = V_{-\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta,e'_Y,e_Y}} \\ \hat{P} : & \boxed{V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y} = V_{-\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y}} \\ \hat{C}_{3z} : & \boxed{V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y} = e^{ie_Y(\theta(\mathbf{k})-\theta(\mathbf{k}'))+ie'_Y(\theta(-\mathbf{k})-\theta(-\mathbf{k}'))} V_{\hat{C}_{3z}\mathbf{k},\hat{C}_{3z}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y}} \\ \hat{C}_{2x} : & \boxed{V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y} = e^{-ie_Y(\alpha(\mathbf{k})-\alpha(\mathbf{k}'))-ie'_Y(\alpha(-\mathbf{k})-\alpha(-\mathbf{k}'))} V_{\hat{C}_{2x}\mathbf{k},\hat{C}_{2x}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,-e_Y,-e'_Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (251)$$

We now have the constraint equations for $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{P}$ and $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}$ for the V potential at the same momentum. In addition, the combination of reshuffling and particle-hole symmetry also give one more constraint equation that does not change the momentum,

$$\text{Reshuffling and } \hat{P} : \boxed{V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,e'_Y} = V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta,e'_Y,e_Y}}. \quad (252)$$

Putting the above results together, we can explicitly show the following constraints for different components of the V potential at the same momentum

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{++++} &= V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{--++} = V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+-+-} = V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{--} \\ V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{++--} &= V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{--++} = V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+-+-} = V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{--} = V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+-+-} = V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{--++} = V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{++--} = V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{--} \end{aligned} \quad (253)$$

We notice that there are more constraints for the case of $e_Y \neq e'_Y$, provided by the combination of Reshuffling and P . This extra constraint is trivial for the case of $e_Y = e'_Y$ since the constraint from Reshuffling and P is the identical to that from $C_{2z}P$. Hence fundamentally, in the chiral limit, the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction at every \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' depends only on one complex parameter $V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{++++}$ and one *real* parameter $V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{++--}$.

For the above symmetry constraint results, we have two more comments, as discussed below.

- While we see the change of $e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}}$ in the sewing matrix of \hat{P} between $k = \Gamma_M$ and $k = M_M$, this does not help to determine the sign changes of the V potential. The reason is that, for the Cooper channel ($\mathbf{k}_1 = -\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'_1 = -\mathbf{k}'$), we have

$$F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; -\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_Y, e'_Y} = e^{i(\phi_{\mathbf{k}'} - \phi_{\mathbf{k}} + \phi_{-\mathbf{k}'} - \phi_{-\mathbf{k}})} F_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_Y, e'_Y} \quad (254)$$

We can also find a relation between $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\phi_{-\mathbf{k}}$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, e_Y, \eta} &= ie_Y e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}} \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}} \eta u_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{Q}, \bar{\alpha}, e_Y, \eta} \implies -ie_Y e^{-i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}} \eta = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}, \alpha} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}, \alpha, e_Y, \eta}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}} u_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{Q}, \bar{\alpha}, e_Y, \eta} \implies \\ &-ie_Y e^{-i\phi_{-\mathbf{k}}} \eta = -ie_Y e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}} \eta \implies e^{i(\phi_{\mathbf{k}} + \phi_{-\mathbf{k}})} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (255)$$

Thus, we have

$$F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; -\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_Y, e'_Y} = F_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_Y, e'_Y}, \quad (256)$$

which corresponds to the constraint equation for V potential from \hat{P} . Hence, the derivation here show that no sign change occurs because of the \hat{P} sewing matrix.

- We now analyze the constraint from \hat{C}_{3z} . First we put $\mathbf{k}' = 0$ and we have

$$V_{\mathbf{k}, 0}^{\eta, e_Y, e'_Y} = e^{ie_Y(\theta(\mathbf{k}) - 2\theta(0)) + ie'_Y \theta(-\mathbf{k})} V_{\hat{C}_3 \mathbf{k}, 0}^{\eta, e_Y, e'_Y} \quad (257)$$

Now notice that if we put $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{K}_M$ then $-\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{K}'_M$ but $\theta(\mathbf{K}_M) = \theta(\mathbf{K}'_M) = 2\pi/3 \pmod{2\pi}$, and we have ($\theta_0 = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$)

$$V_{\mathbf{K}_M, 0}^{\eta, e_Y, e'_Y} = e^{i(e_Y + e'_Y) \frac{2\pi}{3}} V_{\mathbf{K}_M, 0}^{\eta, e_Y, e'_Y} \quad (258)$$

(one notes that $V_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{k}'} = V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{G}} = V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}$). Hence, there are nodes for the channel with $e_Y = e'_Y$, but not for $e_Y = -e'_Y$, as shown below.

$$e_Y = e'_Y : V_{\mathbf{K}_M, 0}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y} = e^{ie_Y \frac{4\pi}{3}} V_{\mathbf{K}_M, 0}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y} \implies V_{\mathbf{K}_M, 0}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y} = 0. \quad (259)$$

E. Continuous Symmetry Analysis of the Intra-layer and Inter-valley Electron-Phonon Interaction and the phonon-induced electron-electron interaction

It is known that the projected Coulomb interaction Hamiltonian into the flat bands, in the chiral limit, enjoys a large $U(4) \times U(4)$ symmetry with generators

$$S_{e_Y}^{ab} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \eta s \eta' s'} \gamma_{\mathbf{k} e_Y \eta s}^\dagger \tau_{\eta \eta'}^a s_{ss'}^b \gamma_{\mathbf{k} e_Y \eta' s'}, \quad e_Y = \pm 1, \quad a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad (260)$$

However, this enlarged symmetry will be broken by the electron-phonon coupling. To see that, we calculate $[H_{el-el}, S_{e_{Y_2}}^{ab}]$ and with some tedious calculation, we find

$$\begin{aligned} [H_{el-el}, S_{e_{Y_2}}^{ab}] &= 2F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{\eta e_Y, e_{Y_2}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k} e_Y \eta s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}' e_Y \eta' s'} (\gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1 e_{Y_2} - \eta s_2}^\dagger \tau_{\eta, \eta_2}^a s_{s_2 s_1}^b \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1' e_{Y_2} \eta_2 s_1} - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1 e_{Y_2} \eta_2 s_2}^\dagger \tau_{\eta_2, -\eta}^a s_{s_2 s_1}^b \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1' e_{Y_2} \eta s_1}) + \\ &\quad + \text{one-body terms}, \end{aligned} \quad (261)$$

from which one can see that the two body terms only vanish for

$$a = 0, \quad \forall b \implies U_s(2)_{e_Y=1} \times U_s(2)_{e_Y=-1} \quad (262)$$

where s means spin. The electron-phonon coupling breaks the $U(4) \times U(4)$ symmetry in the chiral flat-band limit to a $U_s(2)_{e_Y=1} \times U_s(2)_{e_Y=-1}$ spin $U(2)$ symmetry in each Chern sector, with the generators

$$S_{e_Y}^b = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \eta s} \gamma_{\mathbf{k} e_Y \eta s}^\dagger s_{ss'}^b \gamma_{\mathbf{k} e_Y \eta s'}, \quad e_Y = \pm 1, \quad b = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad (263)$$

This symmetry is broken away from the chiral flat-band limit, leaving behind just the total $U(2)$ spin symmetry.

We also have $a = 3, b = 0$ (Valley $U(1)$ charge *per Chern sector*) for which $\tau_{\eta\eta'}^a = \eta\delta_{\eta\eta'}$. In this case, one can show

$$[H_{el-el}, S_{eY_2}^{30}] = -\frac{2}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}'_1, s, s_1, e_Y} \eta [F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}'_1}^{\eta e_Y, e_{Y_2}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', e_Y, -\eta s} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1, e_{Y_2}, -\eta, s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'_1, e_{Y_2}, \eta, s_1} - F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'; \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}'_1}^{\eta e_{Y_2}, e_Y} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_{Y_2}, \eta s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', e_{Y_2}, -\eta, s} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1, e_Y, -\eta, s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'_1, e_Y, \eta, s_1}]. \quad (264)$$

And we can see that Valley $U(1)$ charge per Chern band sector is *not* conserved. However, the *total* (summed over both chern sectors) $U(1)$ Valley charge

$$Q_v = \sum_{\mathbf{k} e_Y \eta s} \gamma_{\mathbf{k} e_Y \eta s}^\dagger \tau_{\eta\eta'}^z \gamma_{\mathbf{k} e_Y \eta s} \quad (265)$$

is a good quantum number; it remains so away from the chiral flat-band limit.

F. Numerical results for the phonon-induced electron-electron interaction

In this section, we will discuss our numerical results for the electron-phonon interaction and the phonon-induced electron-electron interaction in TBG and compare the results with the symmetry analysis of $V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2}$ in the previous section.

Our numerical calculation is based on the expression (119) for electron-phonon coupling $g_{\alpha\beta}^s(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ in one single layer and then project it into the flat band basis in TBG as

$$G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta n n' l s} = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}, \alpha\beta} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta}^{n*} g_{\alpha\beta}^{s, l}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') u_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta} - \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, \beta, -\eta}^{n'}, \quad (266)$$

with $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{k} + \eta\mathbf{K}_D - \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}$ and $\mathbf{p}' = \mathbf{k}' - \eta\mathbf{K}_D - \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta} + \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}$ and \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' is chosen within the Moiré Brillouin zone. The corresponding inter-valley electron-phonon interaction is then given by

$$H_{inter-vall} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_G}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \in MBZ} \sum_{n, n'=1,2} \sum_{\eta, s} \sum_{l=\pm} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}} G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta n n' l s} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, n, \eta, s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', n', -\eta, s} (b_{-\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, l, A_1} + b_{\eta\mathbf{K}_D - \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, l, A_1}^\dagger) \quad (267)$$

Since the Moiré Hamiltonian is expanded around \mathbf{K}_D , namely $|\mathbf{K}_D| \gg k, k', |\mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}|$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}^s(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ is a smooth function of \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' , we can approximate $g_{\alpha\beta}^s(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ by $g_{\alpha\beta}^s(\eta\mathbf{K}_D, -\eta\mathbf{K}_D)$ in our numerical calculations. We test this approximation by directly evaluating $g_{\alpha\beta}^s(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ and find that the derivation of $g_{\alpha\beta}^s(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ from $g_{\alpha\beta}^s(\eta\mathbf{K}_D, -\eta\mathbf{K}_D)$ is only within 5% at the relevant momentum scale of Moiré reciprocal lattice vectors. For $g_{\alpha\beta}^s(\eta\mathbf{K}_D, -\eta\mathbf{K}_D)$, only the phonon mode $s = A1$ give non-zero contribution, so we only keep $s = A1$ below and drop the s -index in calculating coupling strength

$$G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta n n' l} = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}, \alpha\beta} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta}^{n*} g_{\alpha\beta}^l(\eta\mathbf{K}_D, -\eta\mathbf{K}_D) u_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta} - \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, \beta, -\eta}^{n'}. \quad (268)$$

A similar expression of electron-phonon coupling parameter for the Chern band basis is given by

$$G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e_Y' l} = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}, \alpha\beta} u_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta}, \alpha, e_Y, \eta}^* g_{\alpha\beta}^l(\eta\mathbf{K}_D, -\eta\mathbf{K}_D) u_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{Q}'_{l\eta} - \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}, \beta, e_Y', -\eta}. \quad (269)$$

The corresponding phonon-induced electron-electron interaction in the Cooper pair channels is given by

$$H_{el-el} = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', s_1, s_2, e_Y, e_Y'} V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, e_Y', -\eta, s_2}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}', e_Y', \eta, s_2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}', e_Y, -\eta, s_1} \quad (270)$$

where

$$V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = \frac{1}{N_0} \frac{1}{\omega_{A_1}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_M} \sum_l G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', -l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{G}_M}^{\eta e_Y l} G_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}', l\eta\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{G}_M}^{-\eta e_Y' l}. \quad (271)$$

Here we have already added the required factors N_0 and N_M and divided the expression by ω_{A_1} so $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'}$ has the correct energy unit.

In the above expression, the phonon momentum is $\mathbf{q} = -\eta\mathbf{K}_D + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}$ and the e-ph coupling parameter $G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}}^{\eta e_Y e_Y' l}$ depends on the Moiré momentum points $\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}$. In Fig. 11 and 12, we plot $|G|$ as a function of different values of $|\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}|$ for the non-chiral and chiral limit, separately, on the eigen-state basis. In Fig. 13, we plot $|G|$ as a function of different values of $|\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}|$ in the chiral limit on the Chern-band basis. The corresponding lattice of $\mathbf{Q}_{-l\eta}$ and different shells are shown in Fig. 10(b). One can see that while $|G|$ generally decays away for large $|G|$'s in both cases, the decay rate is faster for the chiral limit. Furthermore, we notice that in the chiral limit on the Chern-band basis (Fig.13), $|G^{e_Y e_Y'}|$ is zero if $e_Y \neq e_Y'$, which has been derived in our analytical results, see Eq. 204. The detailed form of G function is quite complicated and thus, we, instead, show $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'}$ as a function of \mathbf{k}' in Fig. 14 for $\mathbf{k} = \Gamma_M, \mathbf{K}_M, M_M$. Several features are noticed: (1) Intra-Chern-band channel ($e_Y = e_Y' = \pm$) shows nodes at $\mathbf{k} = \Gamma_M, \mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{K}_M$, which is consistent with Eq. (259) from the symmetry analysis. (2) We notice that the interaction for the inter-Chern-band channels keeps its value over the whole MBZ while that for the intra-Chern-band channels drops quickly near the MBZ boundary due to the presence of the nodes.




FIG. 10. (a) The band dispersion at $\theta = 1.06^\circ$ with $w_0/w_1 = 0.8$. Other parameters are the same as in Fig. 9. (b) The Moiré BZ and the \mathbf{Q}_\pm lattice with the first three shells (dashed lines). The momentum k_x and k_y is in the unit of $k_\theta = 2|K_D|\sin(\frac{\theta}{2})$ with $\theta = 1.06^\circ$.




FIG. 11. The parameter $G_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}}^{\eta n m l}$ as a function of $|\mathbf{Q}|$ for the projection of the electron-phonon interaction for TBG with $w_0/w_1 = 0.8$. $|\mathbf{Q}|$ in the unit of $k_\theta = 2|K_D|\sin(\frac{\theta}{2})$. We choose $\mathbf{k} = \Gamma_M$ and $\mathbf{k}' = \Gamma_M, \mathbf{K}_M, M_M$ for (a), (b) and (c), respectively. The plot is on the eigen-state basis with $(n, m) = (-, -), (-, +), (+, -), (+, +)$ for red circles, blue stars, black plus signs, and green crosses, respectively.




FIG. 12. The parameter $G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', Q}^{\eta nm l}$ as a function of $|Q|$ for the projection of the electron-phonon interaction for TBG in the chiral limit ($w_0 = 0$). $|Q|$ in the unit of $k_\theta = 2|K_D| \sin(\frac{\theta}{2})$. We choose $\mathbf{k} = \Gamma_M$ and $\mathbf{k}' = \Gamma_M, \mathbf{K}_M, \mathbf{M}_M$ for (a), (b) and (c), respectively. The plot is on the eigen-state basis with $(n, m) = (-, -), (-, +), (+, -), (+, +)$ for red circles, blue stars, black plus signs, and green crosses, respectively.




FIG. 13. The parameter $G_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', Q}^{\eta e_Y e_Y' l}$ as a function of $|Q|$ for the projection of the electron-phonon interaction for TBG on the Chern-band basis in the chiral limit ($w_0 = 0$). $|Q|$ in the unit of $k_\theta = 2|K_D| \sin(\frac{\theta}{2})$. We choose $\mathbf{k} = \Gamma_M$ and $\mathbf{k}' = \Gamma_M, \mathbf{K}_M, \mathbf{M}_M$ for (a), (b) and (c), respectively. The plot is on the Chern-band basis with $(e_Y, e_Y') = (-, -), (-, +), (+, -), (+, +)$ for red circles, blue stars, black plus signs, and green crosses, respectively.

G. Symmetry Classification of Irreducible Pairing Channels

Since the phonon energy and the electron-phonon coupling are strong, the eige-state basis might not be the best basis to work in here. We hence work in the Chern band basis, for which the symmetry transformation properties are summarized as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{g} \gamma_{\mathbf{k} e_Y \eta' s}^\dagger \hat{g}^{-1} &= \sum_{e_{Y_1} \eta} B_{\eta e_{Y_1}; e_Y \eta'}^g \gamma_{\hat{g} \mathbf{k}, e_{Y_1} \eta s}^\dagger \\
 \hat{C} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger \hat{C}^{-1} &= e_Y \eta \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger \\
 \hat{C}_{2z} \hat{P} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger (\hat{C}_{2z} \hat{P})^{-1} &= i e_Y \eta \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, -\eta, s}^\dagger \\
 \hat{C}_{2z} \hat{T} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger (\hat{C}_{2z} \hat{T})^{-1} &= \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, -e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger \\
 \hat{P} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger \hat{P}^{-1} &= i e_Y \eta e^{i \phi_{\mathbf{k}}} \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger \\
 \hat{C}_{3z} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger \hat{C}_{3z}^{-1} &= e^{-i e_Y \theta(\mathbf{k})} \gamma_{\hat{C}_{3z} \mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger \\
 \hat{C}_{2x} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger \hat{C}_{2x}^{-1} &= e^{i e_Y \alpha(\mathbf{k})} \gamma_{\hat{C}_{2x} \mathbf{k}, -e_Y, \eta, s}^\dagger
 \end{aligned} \tag{272}$$




FIG. 14. $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{+e_Y, e'_Y}$ as a function of \mathbf{k}' for a fixed \mathbf{k} . Here $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{+e_Y, e'_Y}$ in unit of eV and \mathbf{k}' in the unit of $k_\theta = 2|K_D| \sin(\frac{\theta}{2})$. (a) and (b) are for $\mathbf{k} = \Gamma_M$; (c) and (d) are for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{M}_M$; (e) and (f) are for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{K}_M$.

1. Discrete Symmetry analysis of the Gap Function

The mean-field Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian for the inter-valley pairing can be written as

$$H_\Delta = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, e_{Y_1}, e_{Y_2}, s_1, s_2, \eta} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_{Y_1}, \eta, s_1}^\dagger \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_{Y_1} s_1, e_{Y_2} s_2}^\eta \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, e_{Y_2}, -\eta, s_2}^\dagger + h.c. \quad (273)$$

First we notice the reshuffling symmetry of the gap function,

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_{Y_1} s_1, e_{Y_2} s_2}^\eta = -\Delta_{-\mathbf{k}; e_{Y_2} s_2, e_{Y_1} s_1}^{-\eta}, \quad (274)$$

which can be obtained by interchanging two γ^\dagger operators and then relabelling the indices.

Because of the $SU(2)$ spin symmetry of the system, We can define

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_{Y_1} s_1, e_{Y_2} s_2}^\eta = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_{Y_1}, e_{Y_2}}^\eta \mathcal{S}_{s_1 s_2}. \quad (275)$$

Where \mathcal{S} is the spin matrix, which takes the form

$$\text{Singlet: } \mathcal{S}_{s_1 s_2} = (i s_y)_{s_1 s_2}; \quad \text{Triplet: } \mathcal{S}_{s_1 s_2} = ((\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{s}) i s_y)_{s_1 s_2}; \quad (276)$$

where \mathbf{d} is the three component d-vector for triplet pairing. We note that

$$\text{Singlet: } \mathcal{S}_{s_1 s_2} = -\mathcal{S}_{s_2 s_1}; \quad \text{Triplet: } \mathcal{S}_{s_1 s_2} = \mathcal{S}_{s_2 s_1}; \quad (277)$$

We now write down the basis function of the irreducible representation (irrep) of the C_{6v} group, which is the symmetry group of the TBG Hamiltonian with two valleys, in the table IV. The pairing Hamiltonian H_Δ can transform as a representation of the C_{6v} group. Thus, based on the table IV, we next consider the symmetry classification of the irreducible pairing channels [75, 76].

Let's first consider (spinless) \hat{C}_{2z} symmetry, which generally plays the role of inversion and allows us to identify the parity of different pairing channels. For \hat{C}_{2z} , the electron operator is transformed as

$$\hat{C}_{2z} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta s}^\dagger \hat{C}_{2z}^{-1} = e^{i\phi_{\mathbf{k}}} \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, e_Y, -\eta s}^\dagger \quad (278)$$

Rep Symbol	Basis Functions	Dim	C_{2z}	C_{2x}	C_{3z}
A_1	1, or $x^2 + y^2$, or z^2	1	1	1	1
A_2	z	1	1	-1	1
B_1	$y(3x^2 - y^2)$	1	-1	-1	1
B_2	$x(x^2 - 3y^2)$	1	-1	1	1
E_1	(x, y)	2	$-\sigma_0$	σ_z	$\exp(-i2\pi\sigma_y/3)$
E_2	$(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{2}, xy)$	2	σ_0	σ_z	$\exp(i2\pi\sigma_y/3)$

TABLE IV. Irreducible pairing channels.

From the table IV, We expect the pairing Hamiltonian H_Δ should transform as certain representations under C_{2z} , with the eigenvalue $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = \pm 1$,

$$\hat{C}_{2z} H_\Delta \hat{C}_{2z}^{-1} = \lambda_{C_{2z}} H_\Delta. \quad (279)$$

In the case of $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = 1$, the Hamiltonian is invariant under \hat{C}_{2z} . For the case of $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = -1$, the Hamiltonian is not invariant under \hat{C}_{2z} , as the gap term changes sign. However, it is invariant under \hat{C}_{2z} followed by a gauge transformation $c \rightarrow ic$, i.e. a projective representation. Hence, the spectrum is still \hat{C}_{2z} symmetric. With $\exp(i\phi_{\mathbf{k}} + i\phi_{-\mathbf{k}}) = 1$, the gap function then follows the relation

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1,e_2s_2}^\eta = \lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{-\mathbf{k};e_1s_1,e_2s_2}^{-\eta}. \quad (280)$$

Together with the reshuffling symmetry (274), we have

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1,e_2s_2}^\eta = -\lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_2s_2,e_1s_1}^\eta, \quad (281)$$

which leads to

$$\text{Singlet: } \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = \lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta; \quad \text{Triplet: } \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = -\lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta. \quad (282)$$

Next let's consider the (spinless) \hat{C}_{3z} symmetry. Since the 1-D irrep of C_{6v} have only eigenvalue 1 for \hat{C}_{3z} , the transformation of the pairing Hamiltonian is given by

$$\hat{C}_{3z} H_\Delta \hat{C}_{3z}^{-1} = H_\Delta, \quad (283)$$

which leads to

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = e^{-i(e_1\theta_{\mathbf{k}} + e_2\theta_{-\mathbf{k}})} \Delta_{\hat{C}_{3z}^{-1}\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta \quad (284)$$

irrespective of the triplet and singlet pairing channels. At the \mathbf{K}_M or \mathbf{K}'_M points, this gap then becomes

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{K}_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta = e^{-i(e_1\theta_{\mathbf{K}_M} + e_2\theta_{-\mathbf{K}_M})} \Delta_{\mathbf{K}_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta = e^{-i(e_1+e_2)\theta_{\mathbf{K}_M}} \Delta_{\mathbf{K}_M,e_1,e_2}^\eta \quad (285)$$

where we have used $\theta_{\mathbf{K}_M} = \theta_{-\mathbf{K}_M} = 2\pi/3$ for the TBG flat bands, as we previously showed. This means that any 1D irrep will have, for the pairing between the bands with equal Chern number (called "intra-Chern-band pairing" below),

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{K}_M;e_Y,e_Y} = 0 \quad (286)$$

No such condition exists for pairing between opposite Chern number bands $e_{Y_1} = -e_{Y_2}$ (called "inter-Chern-band pairing" below). Hence we have the following theorem: *The gap function of the 1D irrep pairing channel between two bands with the equal Chern number ± 1 (intra-Chern-band pairing) of the \hat{C}_{6v} symmetry group has nodes at $\mathbf{K}_M, \mathbf{K}'_M$.*

Based on the above discussion, we can summarize the 1D irrep pairing channels as the following.

- A_1, A_2 irrep channels:

$$\text{Singlet: } \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta; \quad \text{Triplet: } \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = -\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta; \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = e^{-i(e_1\theta_{\mathbf{k}} + e_2\theta_{-\mathbf{k}})} \Delta_{\hat{C}_{3z}^{-1}\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta. \quad (287)$$

For these irrep channels, the singlet pairing between the bands with the same Chern number has a node at \mathbf{K}_M , while the triplet pairing between the bands with the same Chern number vanishes identically as $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = 1$ for the A_1, A_2 pairing channels.

- B_1, B_2 irrep channels:

$$\text{Singlet: } \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = -\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta; \quad \text{Triplet: } \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta; \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = e^{-i(e_1\theta_{\mathbf{k}}+e_2\theta_{-\mathbf{k}})} \Delta_{\hat{C}_{3z}^{-1}\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta \quad (288)$$

For these representations, the triplet pairing between same Chern number bands has a zero at K_M , while the singlet pairing between the same Chern numbers vanishes identically as $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = -1$ for the B_1, B_2 pairing channels.

We now move to the $2D$ irrep pairing channels, which necessarily require two pairing terms ($H_{\Delta_1}, H_{\Delta_2}$) and two different gaps $\Delta_{1;\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}, \Delta_{2;\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}$. The pairing Hamiltonian is written as

$$\begin{aligned} H_\Delta &= H_{\Delta_1} + H_{\Delta_2} + H_{\Delta_1}^\dagger + H_{\Delta_2}^\dagger \\ H_{\Delta_1} &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, e_{Y_1}, e_{Y_2}, \eta} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_{Y_1}, \eta}^\dagger \Delta_{1;\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1}, e_{Y_2}}^\eta \mathcal{S} \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, e_{Y_2}, -\eta}^\dagger \\ H_{\Delta_2} &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, e_{Y_1}, e_{Y_2}, \eta} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_{Y_1}, \eta}^\dagger \Delta_{2;\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1}, e_{Y_2}}^\eta \mathcal{S} \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, e_{Y_2}, -\eta}^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (289)$$

Here we have suppressed the spin index and all the spin information is included in the \mathcal{S} function (singlet or triplet). According to the table IV, the \hat{C}_{2z} symmetry should give the constraints

$$\hat{C}_{2z} H_{\Delta_1} \hat{C}_{2z}^{-1} = \lambda_{C_{2z}} H_{\Delta_1}, \quad \hat{C}_{2z} H_{\Delta_2} \hat{C}_{2z}^{-1} = \lambda_{C_{2z}} H_{\Delta_2}; \quad \Delta_{1;\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = \pm \lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{1;\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta; \quad \Delta_{2;\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = \pm \lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{2;\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta \quad (290)$$

with $+$ for singlet pairing and $-$ for triplet pairing (and $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = -(+)$ for $E_1(E_2)$ irrep channels, respectively). One notices that (H_{Δ_1} and H_{Δ_2}) have to be both singlet or both triplet. The actual order parameter can be a combination of $aH_{\Delta_1} + bH_{\Delta_2}$, where a and b can be complex numbers. This breaks \hat{C}_{3z} symmetry and is hence nematic. Another possibility would be a time-reversal breaking order parameter, which can respect \hat{C}_{3z} . We now treat each of the two $2D$ irrep channels separately as follows.

- E_2 irrep channels: We have

$$\hat{C}_{3z} H_{\Delta_\alpha} \hat{C}_{3z}^{-1} = H_{\Delta_\beta} (e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}\sigma_y})_{\beta\alpha} \implies e^{-i(e_1\theta_{\mathbf{k}}+e_2\theta_{-\mathbf{k}})} \Delta_{\alpha;\hat{C}_{3z}^{-1}\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = \Delta_{\beta;\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta (e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}\sigma_y})_{\beta\alpha}, \quad (291)$$

where $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ indices represent the different pairing channels and σ is the corresponding Pauli matrix. For $\mathbf{k} = \Gamma_M$ with $\theta_{\Gamma_M} = 0$, the equation above becomes

$$\Delta_{2;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta = \sqrt{3}\Delta_{1;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta; \quad \Delta_{1;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta = -\sqrt{3}\Delta_{2;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta \implies \Delta_{1;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta = \Delta_{2;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta = 0 \quad (292)$$

Hence the nematic $2D$ irrep E_2 channel has a zero in the gap function at the Γ_M point for both the same and different Chern number pairing. This is different from the $1D$ irrep pairing channels. At \mathbf{K}_M , we have

$$\Delta_{1;\mathbf{K}_M;e_1,-e_1}^\eta = \Delta_{2;\mathbf{K}_M;e_1,-e_1}^\eta = 0; \quad ie_1 \Delta_{1;\mathbf{K}_M;e_1,e_1}^\eta = \Delta_{2;\mathbf{K}_M;e_1,e_1}^\eta \quad (293)$$

Hence the pairing between the bands with opposite Chern number is zero at \mathbf{K}_M , while the pairing between the bands with the same Chern number is nonzero and satisfies the constraint above. From \hat{C}_{2z} , the $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = 1$ for the E_2 representation and we have

$$\Delta_{1;\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = \pm \Delta_{1;\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta; \quad \Delta_{2;\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = \pm \Delta_{2;\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta, \quad (294)$$

where, again, we have \pm for singlet/triplet. We can then see that for same chern number pairing, there cannot be a triplet component.

- E_1 irrep channels: We have

$$\hat{C}_{3z} H_{\Delta_\alpha} \hat{C}_{3z}^{-1} = H_{\Delta_\beta} (e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}\sigma_y})_{\beta\alpha} \implies e^{-i(e_1\theta_{\mathbf{k}}+e_2\theta_{-\mathbf{k}})} \Delta_{\alpha;\hat{C}_{3z}^{-1}\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = \Delta_{\beta;\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta (e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}\sigma_y})_{\beta\alpha}. \quad (295)$$

For $\mathbf{k} = \Gamma_M$ and $\theta_{\Gamma_M} = 0$, the equation above becomes

$$\Delta_{2;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta = -\sqrt{3}\Delta_{1;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta; \quad \Delta_{1;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta = \sqrt{3}\Delta_{2;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta \implies \Delta_{1;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta = \Delta_{2;\Gamma_M;e_1,e_2}^\eta = 0. \quad (296)$$

Hence, similar as the E_2 irrep case, the nematic $2D$ irrep E_1 channel also has a zero in the gap function at the Γ_M point for both the same and different Chern number pairing. At K_M we have

$$\Delta_{1;\mathbf{K}_M;e_1,-e_1}^\eta = \Delta_{2;\mathbf{K}_M;e_1,-e_1}^\eta = 0; \quad ie_1 \Delta_{1;\mathbf{K}_M;e_1,e_1}^\eta = -\Delta_{2;\mathbf{K}_M;e_1,e_1}^\eta \quad (297)$$

Hence, the pairing between the bands with different Chern numbers is zero at \mathbf{K}_M while the pairing between the bands with the same Chern number is nonzero and satisfies the constraint above. From \hat{C}_{2z} , the $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = -1$ for the E_2 representation and we have

$$\Delta_{1;\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = \mp \Delta_{1;\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta; \quad \Delta_{2;\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta = \mp \Delta_{2;\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}^\eta \quad (298)$$

with \mp for singlet/triplet. We can then see that for the pairing between the bands with the same Chern number, there cannot be a singlet component.

Finally, let's discuss the constraint due to the presence of time reversal (TR) symmetry. It should be noted that TR may be spontaneously broken by the gap function, so the constraint derived below can only be applied to the TR invariant pairing. We can combine the spinless \hat{C}_{2z} with the *spinful* TR \hat{T} (both are independent symmetries of the TBG system), which gives

$$\hat{C}_{2z} T \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,s}^\dagger (\hat{C}_{2z} \hat{T})^{-1} = \sum_{s'} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},-e_Y,\eta,s'}^\dagger (i\mathcal{S}_y)_{s's}. \quad (299)$$

Applying this symmetry to the pairing Hamiltonian (273) implies that

$$\epsilon_{s'_1 s_1} (\Delta_{\mathbf{k};-e_{Y_1} s_1, -e_{Y_2} s_2}^\eta)^* \epsilon_{s'_2 s_2} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1} s'_1, e_{Y_2} s'_2}, \quad (300)$$

where $\epsilon_{s'_1 s_1}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol. Hence, for spin singlet and triplet, we have two transformations for the gap function,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Singlet: } \epsilon_{s'_1 s_1} (\Delta_{\mathbf{k};-e_{Y_1}, -e_{Y_2}}^\eta)^* \epsilon_{s_1 s_2} \epsilon_{s'_2 s_2} &= \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1}, e_{Y_2}}^\eta \epsilon_{s'_1 s'_2} \implies \boxed{(\Delta_{\mathbf{k};-e_{Y_1}, -e_{Y_2}}^\eta)^* = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1}, e_{Y_2}}^\eta} \\ \text{Triplet: } (\Delta_{\mathbf{k};-e_{Y_1}, -e_{Y_2}}^\eta)^* (\mathbf{d} \cdot \beta)_{s_1 s_2} &= \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1}, e_{Y_2}}^\eta (\mathbf{d} \cdot \beta)_{\bar{s}_1 \bar{s}_2} (-1)^{s_1 + s_2} \implies \boxed{(\Delta_{\mathbf{k};-e_{Y_1}, -e_{Y_2}}^\eta)^* = -\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_{Y_1}, e_{Y_2}}^\eta} \end{aligned} \quad (301)$$

where $s = 1, 2$ are \uparrow, \downarrow while $\bar{1} = 2$ and vice versa.

2. Continuous Symmetry Analysis of Irreducible Pairing Channels

Now let's consider the constraint from the continuous symmetry in the chiral limit on the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction in Eq. (270) when taking the mean field decomposition for different pairing channels.

In the chiral limit, we have an $SU(2)$ for each Chern number sector and we now separate the two $SU(2)$ operators per Chern number sector into

$$S_{e_Y}^+ = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\eta} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,\uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,\downarrow}, \quad S_{e_Y}^- = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\eta} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,\downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,\uparrow}, \quad (302)$$

which can be further linearly combined to form the total (tot) spin and relative (rel) spin raising and lowering operators,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{tot}^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(S_+^+ + S_-^+), \quad S_{tot}^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(S_+^- + S_-^-) \\ S_{rel}^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(S_+^+ - S_-^+), \quad S_{rel}^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(S_+^- - S_-^-). \end{aligned} \quad (303)$$

We first separate the gap function based on the total spin - singlet and triplet components. This will be the same even away from the chiral limit.

We now define the two-fermion pairing operators

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{k},\eta;e_1 s_1;e_2,s_2}^\dagger = \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,\eta s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_2,-\eta s_2}^\dagger \quad (304)$$

and decompose them into singlet and triplet channels,

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{k},\eta,e_1 s_1;e_2,s_2}^\dagger = \sum_{S=0,1;M=-S\dots S} C_{s_1 s_2}^{SM} \Pi_{\mathbf{k},\eta;e_1,e_2,S,M}^\dagger \quad (305)$$

where $C_{s_1 s_2}^{SM}$ are the Clebsh-Godron Coefficients. The operator form of the S, M pairing channel is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_2,0,0}^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+, \uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_2,-, \downarrow}^\dagger - \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+, \downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_2,-, \uparrow}^\dagger) \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_2,1,0}^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+, \uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_2,-, \downarrow}^\dagger + \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+, \downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_2,-, \uparrow}^\dagger) \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_2,1,1}^\dagger &= \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+, \uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_2,-, \uparrow}^\dagger \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_2,1,-1}^\dagger &= \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+, \downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_2,-, \downarrow}^\dagger.\end{aligned}\quad (306)$$

Besides the usual rotations within spin 1 by the raising and lowering total spin operators

$$S_{tot}^- \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_2,1,1}^\dagger |0\rangle = \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_2,1,0}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad (S_{tot}^-)^2 \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_2,1,1}^\dagger |0\rangle = \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_2,1,-1}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad (307)$$

we also have, for $e_1 = e_2$,

$$S_{rel}^- \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_1,1,1}^\dagger |0\rangle = e_1 \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_2,1,0}^\dagger |0\rangle; \quad (S_{rel}^-)^2 \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_1,1,1}^\dagger |0\rangle = \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_2,1,-1}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (308)$$

As such, we can see that the action of S_{rel}^- and S_{tot}^- on the pairing states with the same Chern number is identical (albeit some signs).

However, for $e_1 \neq e_2$, we have that the singlet and the triplet states are related, due to the relative (rel) $SU(2)$ we find:

$$S_{rel}^- \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,-e_1,1,1}^\dagger |0\rangle = -e_1 \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,-e_1,0,0}^\dagger |0\rangle; \quad S_{rel}^- \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,-e_1,0,0}^\dagger |0\rangle = e_1 \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,-e_1,1,-1}^\dagger |0\rangle; \quad (309)$$

with similar relations for $\Pi_{\mathbf{k},-;e_1,-e_1,S,M}^\dagger$ and hence the triplet pairing is actually related to the singlet pairing of the inter-Chern-band pairing, which implies the *inter-Chern-band singlet and triplet pairings to be degenerate*, in the chiral flat-band limit; while the intra-Chern-band triplet and singlet pairings do not need this degeneracy.

We now can rewrite the Hamiltonian (270) into the form

$$H_{el-el} = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \sum_{\eta,e_1,e_2,s_1,s_2} V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} \sum_{S=0,1} \sum_{M=-S\dots S} \Pi_{\mathbf{k},\eta;e_1,e_2,S,M}^\dagger \Pi_{\mathbf{k}',-\eta;e_1,e_2,S,M}. \quad (310)$$

With

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{k},\eta e_1 s_1;e_2,s_2}^\dagger = -\Pi_{-\mathbf{k},-\eta;e_2 s_2;e_1,s_1}^\dagger \quad (311)$$

we have

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{k},\eta;e_1,e_2,0,0}^\dagger = \Pi_{-\mathbf{k},-\eta;e_2,e_1,0,0}^\dagger; \quad \Pi_{\mathbf{k},\eta;e_1,e_2,1,M}^\dagger = -\Pi_{-\mathbf{k},-\eta;e_2,e_1,1,M}^\dagger, \quad M = -1, 0, 1 \quad (312)$$

Using the reshuffling property, we find that

$$H_{el-el} = -\frac{2}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \sum_{e_1,e_2,s_1,s_2} V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1 e_2} \sum_{S=0,1} \sum_{M=-S\dots S} \Pi_{\mathbf{k},+;e_1,e_2,S,M}^\dagger \Pi_{\mathbf{k}',-;e_1,e_2,S,M} \quad (313)$$

H. Gap equation and Possible Pairing Channels for the Flat Bands

1. Linearized gap equation

In this section, we will first drive the linearized gap equation for the TBG Hamiltonian and discuss the properties of the linearized gap equation.

Now let us consider the general interaction

$$H_{el-el} = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \sum_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} V_{\alpha,\beta,\mu,\nu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\alpha s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},\beta s_2}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}',\mu s_2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}',\nu s_1} \quad (314)$$

with composite index $\alpha = e_1, \eta; \beta = e_2, -\eta; \mu = e_2, \eta; \nu = e_1, -\eta$, and the interaction function V is defined as

$$V_{\alpha,\beta,\mu,\nu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = V_{e_1,\eta;e_2,-\eta;e_2,\eta;e_1,-\eta}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2}. \quad (315)$$

Next we define the gap function as

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1,e_2s_2}^\eta = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} \langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'e_2\eta s_2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_1-\eta s_1} \rangle, \quad (316)$$

or

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};\alpha s_1,\beta s_2} = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'\mu s_2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'\nu s_1} \rangle, \quad (317)$$

in terms of the notation α, β, μ, ν . With the above form of the gap function, we can perform the mean field decomposition of the interaction Hamiltonian,

$$H_{el-el} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{k};\alpha s_1,\beta s_2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\alpha s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},\beta s_2}^\dagger + \Delta_{\mathbf{k};\alpha s_1,\beta s_2}^* \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},\beta s_2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\alpha s_1} - \Delta_{\mathbf{k};\alpha s_1,\beta s_2} \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\alpha s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},\beta s_2}^\dagger \rangle \right). \quad (318)$$

We introduce the anomalous Green's function in the imaginary time τ as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\nu s_1,\mu s_2}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \langle T_\tau \gamma_{\mathbf{k}\nu s_1}(\tau) \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}\mu s_2}(0) \rangle, \quad (319)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ represents the thermal average, and its Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_{\nu s_1,\mu s_2}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i\omega_n} \mathcal{F}_{\nu s_1,\mu s_2}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) e^{-i\omega_n \tau}, \quad (320)$$

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$ and $i\omega_n = (2n+1)\pi/\beta$ is the Matsubara frequency.

The gap function can be related to the anomalous Green's function by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};\alpha s_1,\beta s_2} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}',\mu\nu} V_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}') \mathcal{F}_{\nu s_1,\mu s_2}(\mathbf{k}', 0) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',i\omega_n,\mu\nu} V_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}') \mathcal{F}_{\nu s_1,\mu s_2}(\mathbf{k}', i\omega_n). \quad (321)$$

With the above definition, we can derive the equation of motion for the anomalous Green's function, given by

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}_{\nu s_1,\mu s_2}(\mathbf{k}, \tau)}{d\tau} = -\sum_{\mu'} h_{\mathbf{k},\nu\mu'} \mathcal{F}_{\mu' s_1,\mu s_2}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) - 2 \sum_{\beta s'_1} \Delta_{\mathbf{k},\nu s_1,\beta s'_1} G_{\mu s_2,\beta s'_1}(-\mathbf{k}, -\tau), \quad (322)$$

where $h_{\mathbf{k},\nu\mu'}$ is the single-particle Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\alpha\beta,s} h_{\mathbf{k},\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\alpha,s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\beta,s} \quad (323)$$

and the Green's function G is defined as

$$G_{\alpha s_1,\beta s_2}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = -\langle T_\tau \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\alpha s_1}(\tau) \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\beta s_2}^\dagger(0) \rangle \quad (324)$$

and its Fourier transform is

$$G_{\alpha s_1,\beta s_2}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i\omega_n} G_{\alpha s_1,\beta s_2}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) e^{-i\omega_n \tau}. \quad (325)$$

In the frequency space, the equation of motion for the anomalous Green's function then reads

$$\sum_{\mu'} (i\omega_n \delta_{\nu\mu'} - h_{\mathbf{k},\nu\mu'}) \mathcal{F}_{\mu' s_1,\mu s_2}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = 2 \sum_{\mu' s'_1} \Delta_{\mathbf{k},\nu s_1,\mu' s'_1} G_{\mu s_2,\mu' s'_1}(-\mathbf{k}, -i\omega_n). \quad (326)$$

Next we can make the approximation of replacing the full Green's function G by the zero-order Green's function G_0 , in which the thermal average is only for the single-particle Hamiltonian H_0 . From the equation of motion, G_0 can be solved analytically and given by

$$G_{0;\alpha s_1,\beta s_2}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \delta_{s_1 s_2} [(i\omega_n - h_{\mathbf{k}})^{-1}]_{\alpha\beta} \quad (327)$$

Thus, up to the lowest order in the gap function Δ , we find the anomalous Green's function is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\nu s_1, \mu s_2}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = 2 \sum_{\alpha\beta, s'_1 s'_2} G_{0; \nu s_1, \alpha s'_1}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) \Delta_{\mathbf{k}, \alpha s'_1, \beta' s'_2} G_{0; \mu s_2, \beta' s'_2}(-\mathbf{k}, -i\omega_n). \quad (328)$$

Substituting the above form of \mathcal{F} into Eq. (321), we obtain the linearized gap equation

$$\Delta_{\alpha s_1 \beta s_2}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{2}{\beta N_M} \sum_{i\omega_n, \mathbf{k}', \mu, \nu} V_{\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') [G_0(\mathbf{k}', i\omega_n) \Delta(\mathbf{k}') G_0^T(-\mathbf{k}', -i\omega_n)]_{\nu s_1, \mu s_2}, \quad (329)$$

It should be noted that in the Chern-band basis, the single-particle Green's function is *not* diagonal, and the explicit form of the linearized gap equation on the Chern-band basis is written as

$$\Delta_{e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2; \mathbf{k}}^\eta = \frac{2}{\beta N_M} \sum_{i\omega_n, \mathbf{k}', e'_1, e'_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1 e_2} G_{0, e_1 e'_1}^{-\eta}(\mathbf{k}', i\omega_n) \Delta_{e'_1 s_1, e'_2 s_2; \mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta} G_{0, e_2 e'_2}^\eta(-\mathbf{k}', -i\omega_n), \quad (330)$$

It should be noted that the left hand side of the above equation is for gap function $\Delta_{e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2; \mathbf{k}}^\eta = \Delta_{e_1 \eta s_1, e_2 -\eta s_2}$, which has the opposite η value from $\Delta_{e'_1 s_1, e'_2 s_2; \mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta} = \Delta_{e'_1 -\eta s_1, e'_2 \eta s_2}$ on the right hand side of the equation. On the Chern-band basis, the single particle Hamiltonian reads

$$h_{\eta, \mathbf{k}} = (d_{0, \eta, \mathbf{k}} - \mu) \zeta^0 + d_{x, \eta, \mathbf{k}} \zeta^x, \quad (331)$$

with

$$d_{0, \eta, \mathbf{k}} = (\epsilon_{+, \eta, \mathbf{k}} + \epsilon_{-, \eta, \mathbf{k}})/2; \quad d_{x, \eta, \mathbf{k}} = (\epsilon_{+, \eta, \mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{-, \eta, \mathbf{k}})/2, \quad (332)$$

and $\epsilon_{n, \eta, \mathbf{k}}$ are the single-particle eigen-energies of the BM model \hat{H}_0 so they should be real. Time reversal symmetry requires

$$\epsilon_{n, \eta, \mathbf{k}} = \epsilon_{n, -\eta, -\mathbf{k}} \implies d_{0, -\eta, -\mathbf{k}} = d_{0, \eta, \mathbf{k}}; \quad d_{x, -\eta, -\mathbf{k}} = d_{x, \eta, \mathbf{k}} \implies h_{-\eta, -\mathbf{k}} = h_{\eta, \mathbf{k}}. \quad (333)$$

The corresponding single-particle Green function is given by

$$G_0^\eta(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = (i\omega_n - h_{\eta, \mathbf{k}})^{-1} = \sum_m \frac{P_{m, \mathbf{k}, \eta}}{i\omega_n - \xi_{m, \eta, \mathbf{k}}}, \quad (334)$$

where $m = \pm$, $\xi_{m, \eta, \mathbf{k}} = d_{0, \eta, \mathbf{k}} - \mu + m|d_{x, \eta, \mathbf{k}}|$ and the projection operator is defined as

$$P_{m, \mathbf{k}, \eta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + m \frac{d_{x, \eta, \mathbf{k}}}{|d_{x, \eta, \mathbf{k}}|} \zeta^x \right). \quad (335)$$

With such form of the single-particle Green's function, the linearized gap equation can be written as

$$\Delta_{e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2; \mathbf{k}}^\eta = \frac{2}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', m_1 m_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1 e_2} \left[P_{m_1, \mathbf{k}', -\eta} \Delta_{s_1 s_2, \mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta} P_{m_2, -\mathbf{k}', \eta}^T \right]_{e_1 e_2} T_{m_1 m_2 \mathbf{k}'}^\eta, \quad (336)$$

where the Mastubara frequency summation can be performed

$$T_{m_1 m_2 \mathbf{k}'}^\eta = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i\omega_n} \frac{1}{i\omega_n - \xi_{m_1, -\eta, \mathbf{k}'}} \frac{1}{-i\omega_n - \xi_{m_2, \eta, -\mathbf{k}'}} = -\frac{n_F(\xi_{m_1, -\eta, \mathbf{k}'}) - n_F(-\xi_{m_2, \eta, -\mathbf{k}'})}{\xi_{m_1, -\eta, \mathbf{k}'} + \xi_{m_2, \eta, -\mathbf{k}'}}. \quad (337)$$

In the flat band limit, we have $\xi_{\mathbf{k}, e_1 \eta} = \xi_{\mathbf{k}} \ll k_B T$, and up to the lowest order term, we find

$$T_{m_1 m_2 \mathbf{k}'}^\eta \approx \frac{\beta}{4}, \quad (338)$$

which is independent of $m_1, m_2, \mathbf{k}', \eta$. In this limit, the gap equation is simplified to

$$\Delta_{e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2; \mathbf{k}}^\eta = \frac{\beta}{2N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', m_1 m_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1 e_2} \left[P_{m_1, \mathbf{k}', -\eta} \Delta_{s_1 s_2, \mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta} P_{m_2, -\mathbf{k}', \eta}^T \right]_{e_1 e_2}. \quad (339)$$

Furthermore, one can show that

$$\sum_{m_1 m_2} P_{m_1, \mathbf{k}', -\eta} \Delta_{s_1 s_2, \mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta} P_{m_2, -\mathbf{k}', \eta}^T = \Delta_{s_1 s_2, \mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta}, \quad (340)$$

and thus in the flat band limit, the linearized gap equation is simplified as

$$2k_B T \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{\eta} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{-\eta}, \quad (341)$$

where we denote $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{\eta} = \Delta_{e_1 \eta s_1, e_2 -\eta s_2}(\mathbf{k})$. The above equation can be written in an eigen-problem form

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{\eta} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{-\eta} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1 e_2} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{\eta} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{-\eta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (342)$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1 e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} \\ V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta e_1 e_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (343)$$

needs to be diagonalized and possesses a off-diagonal form.

We can decompose the gap function into the orbital part and spin part. Let's denote the spin part as $\mathcal{S}_{s_1 s_2}^{SM}$, where $S = 0, 1$ and $M = -S, \dots, S$. Explicitly, $\mathcal{S}^{00} = i s_y$ for spin singlet and $\mathcal{S}^{1, \pm 1} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(s_x \pm i s_y) s_y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-s_z \mp s_0)$, $\mathcal{S}^{1, 0} = s_x$ for spin triplet, where s_0 is the identity matrix and $s_{x, y, z}$ are three Pauli matrices. Then we can decompose $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{\eta} = \sum_{S, M} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, SM} \mathcal{S}_{s_1 s_2}^{SM}$. Since $V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2}$ is independent of spin and $\text{Tr}((\mathcal{S}^{SM})^\dagger \mathcal{S}^{S'M'}) = 2\delta_{SS'}\delta_{MM'}$, the eigen-equation for the gap function is

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{-\eta, SM} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1 e_2} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; e_1 e_2}^{-\eta, SM} \end{pmatrix} \quad (344)$$

for any S, M .

Since we only have two independent $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2}$ for the fix \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' , namely one complex $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{++++}$ and one real $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{++-}$, we can explicitly write down two independent equations explicitly, namely,

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; ++}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; ++}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{+, ++} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; ++}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; ++}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{+, ++} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{++++} \\ V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{-++} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (345)$$

and

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; +-}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; +-}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{+, +-} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; +-}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; +-}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{+, +-} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{++-} \\ V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{-+-} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (346)$$

With the hermitian and reshuffling conditions, one can show $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = (V_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}}^{-\eta, e_Y, e_Y'})^*$, or more explicitly, $V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{-++} = (V_{\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{k}}^{++++})^*$ and $V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{-+-} = (V_{\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{k}}^{++-})^*$, so both equations are the eigen-equations for hermitian matrices $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{+, e_1 e_2}$, which can be solved numerically through diagonalization process.

Now let us discuss several properties of the gap function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, SM}$. Although some results discussed below have been derived in Sec. VI G 1 from the symmetry view of the gap function, we will show similar results can be obtained from the linearized gap equation (344). With the reshuffling symmetry Eq. (274), $\mathcal{S}_{s_1 s_2}^{00} = -\mathcal{S}_{s_2 s_1}^{00}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{s_1 s_2}^{1M} = \mathcal{S}_{s_2 s_1}^{1M}$, we have

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, 00} = \Delta_{-\mathbf{k}; e_2 e_1}^{-\eta, 00} \quad (347)$$

for spin singlet and

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, 1M} = -\Delta_{-\mathbf{k}; e_2 e_1}^{-\eta, 1M} \quad (348)$$

for spin triplet.

As well known for normal superconductors, when there is inversion symmetry, the orbital part of the gap function has definite parity, and we only have spin-singlet even-parity or spin-triplet odd-parity gap functions. There are two

differences for TBG: (1) There is no inversion symmetry for TBG and thus the *spinless* C_{2z} symmetry plays the role of inversion; and (2) Due to the existence of Chern-band index, spin-singlet odd-parity or triplet even-parity states can also exist. Below we will discuss these two points in details.

Let us first consider the \hat{C}_{2z} symmetry, which requires $V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} = V_{-\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta e_1 e_2}$. Thus, the gap equation (341) can be transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} 2k_B T \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, SM} &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; e_1 e_2}^{-\eta, SM} \rightarrow 2k_B T \Delta_{-\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{-\eta, SM} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}'}^{-\eta e_1 e_2} \Delta_{-\mathbf{k}'; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, SM} \\ &\rightarrow 2k_B T \Delta_{-\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{-\eta, SM} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} \Delta_{-\mathbf{k}'; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, SM} \end{aligned} \quad (349)$$

In the above derivation, we replace $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow -\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \rightarrow -\mathbf{k}', \eta \rightarrow -\eta$ in the first step and use C_{2z} symmetry in the second step. We can write the above equation into an eigen-equation form

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{-\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{-\eta, SM} \\ \Delta_{-\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, SM} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1 e_2} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{-\mathbf{k}'; e_1 e_2}^{-\eta, SM} \\ \Delta_{-\mathbf{k}'; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, SM} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (350)$$

which can be compared with Eq. (344), and one can see that

$$\Delta_{-\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{-\eta, SM} = \lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, SM} \quad (351)$$

with $|\lambda_{C_{2z}}| = 1$ for any S, M . If the above procedure is performed twice, we need to go back to the original equation, so $\lambda_{C_{2z}}^2 = 1$, which means $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = \pm 1$. This can be understood as the definite parity ± 1 for the gap function with respect to \hat{C}_{2z} symmetry.

We combine the anti-symmetry condition (Eq. (347) and (348)) with the definite parity of the orbital part Eq. (351) and obtain

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, 00} = \lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_2 e_1}^{\eta, 00} \quad (352)$$

for spin singlet and

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2}^{\eta, 1M} = -\lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_2 e_1}^{\eta, 1M} \quad (353)$$

for spin triplet.

Next let us consider the role of Chern-band index $e_{1,2}$ in the gap function. We decompose the gap function into

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{\eta} = \sum_{\mu, SM} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; \mu}^{\eta, SM} (\zeta^\mu)_{e_1 e_2} (\mathcal{S}^{SM})_{s_1 s_2}, \quad (354)$$

where $\mu = 0, x, y, z$ and ζ^μ are the identity and Pauli matrices for the Chern-band index. The total gap function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{\eta}$ needs to be anti-symmetric. For intra-band channels, ζ^μ should be ζ^0 or ζ^z , both of which are symmetric matrices. So to make the total gap function being anti-symmetric, the singlet channel $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; \mu}^{\eta, 00}$ can only be even-parity ($\lambda_{C_{2z}} = +$) and the triplet channel $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; \mu}^{\eta, 1M}$ can only be odd-parity ($\lambda_{C_{2z}} = -$) for intra-Chern-band pairings. This is consistent with the standard spin-singlet even-parity or spin-triplet odd-parity pairings.

For inter-Chern-band channels, ζ^μ can be symmetric ζ^x or anti-symmetric ζ^y . To make the total gap function anti-symmetric, the singlet channel $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; \mu}^{\eta, 00}$ can either be even-parity for $\mu = x$ as ζ^x is symmetric, or odd-parity for $\mu = y$ as ζ^y is anti-symmetric. Similarly, the triplet channel $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}; \mu}^{\eta, 1M}$ can be either even-parity for $\mu = y$ or odd-parity for $\mu = x$. Next we will decompose the linearized gap equation (341) into the ζ^x and ζ^y channels and show that they have the same form. We substitute the decomposition (354) into Eq. (341) and with the orthonormal relation $Tr(\zeta^\mu \zeta^\nu) = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}$, we find

$$4k_B T \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; \nu}^{\eta, SM} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mu} \left(\sum_{e_1 e_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} (\zeta^\mu)_{e_1 e_2} (\zeta^\nu)_{e_2 e_1} \right) \Delta_{\mathbf{k}', \mu}^{\eta, SM}. \quad (355)$$

For the inter-Chern-band channels, we take μ, ν to be x, y and find

$$\sum_{e_1 e_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} (\zeta^\mu)_{e_1 e_2} (\zeta^\mu)_{e_2 e_1} = V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta+-} + V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta-+} = 2V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta+-}, \quad \mu = x, y \quad (356)$$

$$\sum_{e_1 e_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} (\zeta^x)_{e_1 e_2} (\zeta^y)_{e_2 e_1} = \sum_{e_1 e_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} \delta_{e_1, -e_2} (-i) \epsilon_{e_2 e_1} = (-i)(-V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta+-} + V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta-+}) = 0 \quad (357)$$

and

$$\sum_{e_1 e_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta e_1 e_2} (\zeta^y)_{e_1 e_2} (\zeta^x)_{e_2 e_1} = 0, \quad (358)$$

where we have used $V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta+-} = V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta-+}$ from the constraints of the V function in Eqs. (253). With the above relations, we obtain

$$2k_B T \Delta_{\mathbf{k};\mu}^{\eta, SM} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mu} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta+-} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}', \mu}^{\eta, SM}, \quad \mu = x, y. \quad (359)$$

Thus, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};x}^{\eta, SM}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};y}^{\eta, SM}$ satisfy the same linearized gap equation. The largest eigen-value of the above gap equation, which determines the T_c for the inter-Chern-band channel, has a certain C_{2z} -parity, say even-parity, and then both singlet channel $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};\mu=x}^{\eta, 00}$ and triplet channel $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};\mu=y}^{\eta, 1M}$ can exist and are degenerate with the same T_c . This is consistent with the conclusion that singlet and triplet channels are degenerate for the inter-Chern-band pairings in the chiral flat-band limit from the continuous symmetry analysis in Sec. VI G 2.

2. Intra-Chern-band channels from linearized gap equation

Now let us discuss the intra-Chern-band channels. Besides Eq. (345), we have another linearized gap equation for $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\pm, --}$,

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};--}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k};--}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+, --} \\ V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{-, --} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}';--}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}';--}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (360)$$

which is directly related to Eq. (345) by symmetry. Since $C_{2z}T$ requires $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = (V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, -e_Y, -e_Y'})^*$, the matrix $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+, --}$ is just the complex conjugate of that in Eq. (345). Therefore, we expect (1) The eigen-equations (345) and (360) give the same T_c , and (2) the corresponding eigen-vectors are related by $\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};--}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k};--}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};++}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k};++}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix}^*$ up to an arbitrary phase factor, which can always be chosen to be 1. For the convenience of the notation, we define

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y e_Y'}^{SM} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_Y e_Y'}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_Y e_Y'}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix} \quad (361)$$

so the above relation can be simplified as

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}, --}^{SM} = (\Psi_{\mathbf{k}, ++}^{SM})^*. \quad (362)$$

Before we show the numerical results, we first consider some simplified version for the analytical results. Let us consider the phonon-mediated el-el interaction with the following form for the intra-Chern-band channel [46, 64]

$$V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_Y, e_Y'} = U_{0, e_Y, \mathbf{k}}^* U_{0, e_Y, \mathbf{k}'} + U_{1, e_Y, \mathbf{k}}^* U_{1, e_Y, \mathbf{k}'}; \quad U_{0, e_Y, \mathbf{k}} = \frac{\sqrt{A} b^2}{k^2 + b^2}; \quad U_{1, e_Y, \mathbf{k}} = \frac{\sqrt{V_0}}{k^2 + b^2} k_{e_Y}^2; \quad k_{e_Y} = k_x + i e_Y k_y, \quad (363)$$

where $e_Y = \pm$ and A , V_0 and b are material dependent parameters with their values $A = 0.44 meV$, $V_0 = 0.4 meV$ and $b = 0.185 k_\theta$ obtained from the heavy fermion model for TBG. We note that U_0 is independent of e_Y index (for s-wave) but the form of U_1 critically depends on e_Y index (for d-wave). Both U_0 and U_1 are independent of the valley η index. The corresponding linearized gap equation for intra-Chern-band channel is written as

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_Y e_Y}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_Y e_Y}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', \alpha} U_{\alpha, e_Y, \mathbf{k}'} U_{\alpha, e_Y, \mathbf{k}}^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; e_Y e_Y}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; e_Y e_Y}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (364)$$

where $\alpha = 0, 1$. The above decomposition form of the interaction allows us to define

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha, e_Y}^{\eta, SM} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} U_{\alpha, e_Y, \mathbf{k}'} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}', e_Y e_Y}^{\eta, SM} \quad (365)$$

for the intra-Chern-band channel and simplify the gap equation as

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y e_Y}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y e_Y}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\alpha} U_{\alpha, e_Y, \mathbf{k}}^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha, e_Y}^{+, SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha, e_Y}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (366)$$

Now we can introduce

$$\tilde{V}_{\beta\alpha, e_Y} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} U_{\beta, e_Y, \mathbf{k}} U_{\alpha, e_Y, \mathbf{k}}^*, \quad (367)$$

and transform the gap equation into an eigen-problem

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{\beta, e_Y}^{+, SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\beta, e_Y}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{V}_{\beta\alpha, e_Y} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha, e_Y}^{+, SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha, e_Y}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (368)$$

Crucially, we find that

$$\tilde{V}_{01, -e_Y} = \tilde{V}_{10, e_Y} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\sqrt{AV_0} b^2 k_{e_Y}^2}{(k^2 + b^2)^2} = 0 \quad (369)$$

after performing the angular integral of the momentum. Thus, two channels (s-wave and d-wave) are decoupled, $\tilde{V}_{\beta\alpha, e_Y} = \tilde{V}_{\alpha\alpha, e_Y} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$. Furthermore, we find

$$\tilde{V}_{00, e_Y} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{Ab^4}{(k^2 + b^2)^2}, \quad \tilde{V}_{11, e_Y} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{V_0 k^4}{(k^2 + b^2)^2}, \quad (370)$$

both of which are independent of the parameter e_Y , so we drop the index e_Y for $\tilde{V}_{\alpha\alpha}$ ($\alpha = 0, 1$) below.

Now the gap equation becomes

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha, e_Y}^{+, SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha, e_Y}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{V}_{\alpha\alpha} \\ \tilde{V}_{\alpha\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha, e_Y}^{+, SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha, e_Y}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (371)$$

with $e_Y = \pm$ and $\alpha = 0, 1$ for s-wave and d-wave channels, separately. This eigen-problem can be easily solved with two eigen-solutions $\pm \frac{\tilde{V}_{\alpha\alpha}}{2}$, and we only take the positive value one, namely

$$k_B T_{c, \alpha} = \frac{\tilde{V}_{\alpha\alpha}}{2}. \quad (372)$$

To get an estimate of T_c in each channel, We can change the momentum summation in Eq. (370) to the momentum integral with the cut-off $\sim k_{\theta}$ and obtain

$$\tilde{V}_{00} = \frac{S_M}{2\pi} \int_0^{k_{\theta}} k dk \frac{Ab^4}{(k^2 + b^2)^2}, \quad \tilde{V}_{11} = \frac{S_M}{2\pi} \int_0^{k_{\theta}} k dk \frac{V_0 k^4}{(k^2 + b^2)^2} \quad (373)$$

where $S_M = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a_M^2$, a_M is the Moire lattice constant

Numerical integral over the momentum gives $\tilde{V}_{00} \approx 0.018 meV$ and $\tilde{V}_{11} \approx 0.387 meV$, which give rise to $k_B T_{c,0} \approx 0.009 meV$ for s-wave channel and $k_B T_{c,1} \approx 0.193 meV$ for the d-wave channel. Based on this estimate, we conclude that the d-wave pairing channel will win and give the critical temperature

$$k_B T_c^{intra} = \frac{\tilde{V}_{11}}{2} \approx 0.193 meV \quad (374)$$

for the intra-Chern-band channel.

The corresponding normalized eigen-vector is $(\tilde{\Delta}_+^{+, SM}, \tilde{\Delta}_+^{-, SM}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1)$. With the normalized eigen-vector and Eq. (366), we have

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}, ++}^{SM} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}, ++}^{+, SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}, ++}^{-, SM} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\sqrt{V_0}}{\sqrt{2} \tilde{V}_{11} (k^2 + b^2)} (k_x - i k_y)^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (375)$$

We also consider the gap equation (360), which should give the same T_c , and the corresponding eigen-state is given by

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k},--}^{SM} = (\Psi_{\mathbf{k},++}^{SM})^* = \frac{\sqrt{V_0}}{\sqrt{2}\tilde{V}_{11}(k^2 + b^2)}(k_x + ik_y)^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (376)$$

according to Eq. (362). It should be noted that both $\Psi_{\mathbf{k},++}^{SM}$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{k},--}^{SM}$ have even parity, $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = 1$, so they can only form spin-singlet pairing ($S, M = 0, 0$ in the above gap function).

We emphasize that $\Psi_{\mathbf{k},++}^{SM}$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{k},--}^{SM}$ are two independent degenerate pairing channels with the same T_c , and they together form a 2D E_2 irreducible representation. To see that, we need to consider the operator form of the gap function and define

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta_{e_Y}} &= \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, s_1, s_2, \eta} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, \eta, s_1}^\dagger \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_Y e_Y}^{\eta, 00} (is_y)_{s_1 s_2} \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, e_Y, -\eta, s_2}^\dagger \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2V_0}}{\tilde{V}_0} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, s_1, s_2} \frac{k_{-e_Y}^2}{k^2 + b^2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y, +, s_1}^\dagger (is_y)_{s_1 s_2} \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, e_Y, -, s_2}^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (377)$$

where $e_Y = \pm$. Here $H_{\Delta_{e_Y}}$ only involves the creation operator terms, so the full gap Hamiltonian should be $H_{\Delta_{e_Y}} + H_{\Delta_{e_Y}}^\dagger$ to keep the Hamiltonian hermitian. Now we want to check the transformation property of $H_{\Delta_{e_Y}}$ under C_{3z} and C_{2x} (spinless). Since we are dealing with the continuous model around $\mathbf{k} = 0$ (excluding Moiré BZ boundary), we should be able to choose the phase factors $\theta(\mathbf{k}) = \alpha(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ for C_{3z} and C_{2x} in Eq. (272), so $C_{3z} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y \eta s}^\dagger C_{3z}^{-1} = \gamma_{C_{3z}\mathbf{k}, e_Y \eta s}^\dagger$ and $C_{2x} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y \eta s}^\dagger C_{2x}^{-1} = \gamma_{C_{2x}\mathbf{k}, -e_Y \eta s}^\dagger$. Then, one can show

$$C_{3z} H_{\Delta_{e_Y}} C_{3z}^{-1} = e^{ie_Y \frac{2\pi}{3}} H_{\Delta_{e_Y}}; C_{2x} H_{\Delta_{e_Y}} C_{2x}^{-1} = H_{\Delta_{-e_Y}}. \quad (378)$$

We further define

$$H_{\Delta_1} = H_{\Delta_+} + H_{\Delta_-}; H_{\Delta_2} = i(H_{\Delta_+} - H_{\Delta_-}) \quad (379)$$

and on this basis ($H_{\Delta_1}, H_{\Delta_2}$), we have

$$C_{3z} H_{\Delta_\alpha} C_{3z}^{-1} = \sum_\beta H_{\Delta_\beta} (e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}\sigma_y})_{\beta\alpha}; C_{2x} H_{\Delta_\alpha} C_{2x}^{-1} = \sum_\beta H_{\Delta_\beta} (\sigma_z)_{\beta\alpha}, \quad (380)$$

where σ is the Pauli matrix for two components of pairings. Since $(H_{\Delta_1}, H_{\Delta_2})$ is even under C_{2z} , $\lambda_{C_{2z}} = 1$, we conclude $(H_{\Delta_1}, H_{\Delta_2})$ belongs to the 2D E_2 irrep by comparing with the irrep table (Tab. IV). It should be emphasized that H_{Δ_α} ($\alpha = 1, 2$) *cannot* be written in the $k_x^2 - k_y^2$ or $k_x k_y$ form because of the Chern-band index. For example, the explicit form of H_{Δ_1} is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta_1} &= H_{\Delta_+} + H_{\Delta_-} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2V_0}}{\tilde{V}_0 N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, s_1, s_2} \frac{1}{k^2 + b^2} (is_y)_{s_1 s_2} \left(k_-^2 \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, +, +, s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, +, -, s_2}^\dagger + k_+^2 \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, -, +, s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, -, -, s_2}^\dagger \right), \end{aligned} \quad (381)$$

and it is clear that we cannot make the summation over k_-^2 and k_+^2 to get $k_x^2 - k_y^2$ form.

The above analytical solutions fit well with our numerical results as discussed below. The largest three eigen-values of the linearized gap equations (Eqs. 345 and 346) are shown in Tab. V. The largest eigen-value of the intra-Chern-band channel correspond to $k_B T_c = 0.16 meV$, which is quite close to the value of $k_B T_c \approx 0.19 meV$ obtained from the effective interaction discussed above.

Intra-Chern-band channel	0.16 meV	0.04 meV	0.0002 meV
Inter-Chern-band channel	0.21 meV	0.008 meV	0.0079 meV

TABLE V. $k_B T$ for the largest three eigen-values of the linearized gap equations (Eqs. 345 and 346). The largest one determines $k_B T_c$.

We also look at the eigen-vector of the intra-Chern-band channel with the largest eigen-value and show that our numerical result also gives the 2D E_2 irrep for this channel. Fig. 15 (a) shows the gap function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},++}^\eta$ as a function of

the momentum \mathbf{k} , in which the superconducting gap closes, thus revealing a nodal structure at Γ_M . This is consistent with the 2D E_2 irrep, as shown by Eq. (292) or Eq. (375).

To further confirm this conclusion, we test the transformation property of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},++}^\eta$ under C_{3z} rotation. From Eq. (378), we expect

$$e^{-ie_Y(\theta(\mathbf{k})+\theta(-\mathbf{k}))}\Delta_{\mathbf{k},e_Ye_Y}^\eta = e^{ie_Y\frac{2\pi}{3}}\Delta_{C_{3z}\mathbf{k},e_Ye_Y}^\eta \quad (382)$$

for the 2D E_2 irrep channel. We want to test the above expression numerically. We numerically calculate $\theta(\mathbf{k})$ from the eigen-state of the flat bands in the BM model and solve $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},++}^\eta$ from the linearized gap equation. With $\theta(\mathbf{k})$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},++}^\eta$, we can compute the phase factor $\Phi_+(\mathbf{k})$, defined as

$$e^{i\Phi_+(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{e^{-i(\theta(\mathbf{k})+\theta(-\mathbf{k}))}\Delta_{\mathbf{k},++}^\eta}{\Delta_{C_{3z}\mathbf{k},++}^\eta}, \quad (383)$$

which is shown as a function of \mathbf{k} in Fig. 15 (c). One can see that the phase Φ_+ is always $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ except around $\mathbf{k} \sim 0$, where $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},++}^\eta$ is zero. This confirms that our numerical results satisfy the transformation property Eq. (378) that corresponds to the 2D E_2 irrep pairing channel.

As a comparison, we also consider $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},++}^\eta$ with the next largest eigen-value, as shown in Fig. 15(b). In this case, we find a node existing at \mathbf{K}_M , which implies that the next highest T_c channel belongs to the 1D irrep. Indeed, Fig. 15(d) shows that $\Phi_+ \sim 0$ for the next highest T_c channel (except around \mathbf{K}_M where $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},++}^\eta \sim 0$), and thus we should have

$$C_{3z}H_{\Delta_{e_Y}}C_{3z}^{-1} = H_{\Delta_{e_Y}}; C_{2x}H_{\Delta_{e_Y}}C_{2x}^{-1} = H_{\Delta_{-e_Y}} \quad (384)$$

in this case. By performing the transformation (379), we obtain

$$C_{3z}H_{\Delta_\alpha}C_{3z}^{-1} = H_{\Delta_\alpha}; C_{2x}H_{\Delta_\alpha}C_{2x}^{-1} = \sum_\beta H_{\Delta_\beta}(\sigma_z)_{\beta\alpha}. \quad (385)$$

Thus, H_{Δ_1} and H_{Δ_2} belong to 1D A_1 and A_2 irreps, respectively, and they are degenerate.

To understand the above results, we come back to the gap equation. In the chiral flat band limit, as we have seen, the linearized gap equation that contains both channels of the intra-Chern-band pairing has the following diagonal form

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{++}^{SM} \\ \Psi_{--}^{SM} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{+,++} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{+,-,-} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{++}^{SM} \\ \Psi_{--}^{SM} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (386)$$

in which two opposite Chern-band channels do not mix with each other. This form of the el-el interaction can be traced back to the chiral symmetry and flat band approximation used in our derivation. With such diagonal form, the linearized gap equation shares a U(1) symmetry created by the generator σ_z , which acts on the basis of $(\Psi_{++}^{SM}, \Psi_{--}^{SM})$. On the other hand, C_{2x} symmetry acts as the Pauli matrix σ_x on the above basis (See Eq. 378). As $\{\sigma_x, \sigma_z\} = 0$ (anti-commutation relation), the eigen-state of the above eigen-problem Eq. (386) must be doubly degenerate.

3. Inter-Chern-band channels from linearized gap equation

Next we consider the inter-Chern-band channel, which is determined by the linearized gap equation (346). The gap function for the highest T_c as a function of \mathbf{k} is shown in Fig. 16(a), in which one can easily see that it is almost a constant over the whole Moiré BZ, so it is s-wave pairing (Fig. 16(b)). Furthermore, one can show the parity of C_{2z} for the gap function is $\lambda_{C_z} = 1$ and the phase of C_{3z} rotation Φ_{+-} is 0, so we expect this gap function belongs to the A_1 or A_2 irreps. As discussed in the early part, we should be able to construct both spin singlet and triplet pairings, which are degenerate, for the inter-Chern-band channels.

To see that more explicitly, we may consider the form of el-el from the heavy fermion model,

$$V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,e_Y,-e_Y} = U_{0,\mathbf{k}}^* U_{0,\mathbf{k}'} + U_{1,\mathbf{k}}^* U_{1,\mathbf{k}'}; U_{0,\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\sqrt{Ab^2}}{k^2 + b^2}; U_{1,\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\sqrt{V_0}k^2}{k^2 + b^2}. \quad (387)$$

The corresponding linearized gap equation for inter-Chern-band channel is then written as

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k},+-}^{+,SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k},+-}^{-,SM} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',\alpha} U_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}'} U_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}',+-}^{+,SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}',+-}^{-,SM} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (388)$$




FIG. 15. (a) and (b) depict the gap function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},++}^\eta$ for the largest ($k_B T_c = 0.16 \text{ meV}$) and next largest ($k_B T_c = 0.04 \text{ meV}$) eigen-values of the linearized gap equation, respectively, for the intra-Chern-band channels. (c) and (d) show the corresponding phase factor $\Phi_+(\mathbf{k})/\pi$ due to C_{3z} rotation of the gap function.

where $\alpha = 0, 1$. Now we define

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,+}^{\eta,SM} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} U_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}'} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}',+}^{\eta,SM} \quad (389)$$

and rewrite the linearized gap equation as

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k},+}^{+,SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k},+}^{-,SM} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\alpha} U_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,+}^{+,SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,+}^{-,SM} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (390)$$

By defining

$$\tilde{V}_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} U_{\beta,\mathbf{k}} U_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^*, \quad (391)$$

we obtain an eigen-problem

$$2k_B T \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{\beta,+}^{+,SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\beta,+}^{-,SM} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{V}_{\beta\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,+}^{+,SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,+}^{-,SM} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (392)$$

Explicitly, we have

$$2k_B T \tilde{\Psi}_{+-}^{SM} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{V}_{00} & 0 & \tilde{V}_{01} \\ \tilde{V}_{00} & 0 & \tilde{V}_{01} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{V}_{10} & 0 & \tilde{V}_{11} \\ \tilde{V}_{10} & 0 & \tilde{V}_{11} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\Psi}_{+-}^{SM}, \quad \tilde{\Psi}_{+-}^{SM} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{0,+}^{+,SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{0,-}^{-,SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{1,+}^{+,SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{1,-}^{-,SM} \end{pmatrix} \quad (393)$$

with

$$\tilde{V}_{00} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{Ab^4}{(k^2 + b^2)^2}, \quad \tilde{V}_{01} = \tilde{V}_{10} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\sqrt{AV_0} b^2 k^2}{(k^2 + b^2)^2}, \quad \tilde{V}_{11} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{V_0 k^4}{(k^2 + b^2)^2}. \quad (394)$$

We can see that $\tilde{V}_{00}, \tilde{V}_{11}, \tilde{V}_{01} > 0$. \tilde{V}_{00} and \tilde{V}_{11} are just the same as the corresponding parameters for the intra-Chern-band pairing. The key difference is that \tilde{V}_{01} is now non-zero, so two channels are coupled with each other since both are s-wave. We thus need to solve the whole 4×4 eigen-problem and the largest eigen-value gives T_c as

$$k_B T_c^{inter} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\tilde{V}_{00} + \tilde{V}_{11} + \sqrt{(\tilde{V}_{00} - \tilde{V}_{11})^2 + 4\tilde{V}_{01}^2} \right) \quad (395)$$

Compared to the intra-Chern-band pairing, one can show that

$$k_B T_c^{inter} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\tilde{V}_{00} + \tilde{V}_{11} + \sqrt{(\tilde{V}_{00} - \tilde{V}_{11})^2 + 4\tilde{V}_{01}^2} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \tilde{V}_{11} = k_B T_c^{intra}. \quad (396)$$

Numerical calculations give the same values for $\tilde{V}_{00} \approx 0.018 meV$ and $\tilde{V}_{11} \approx 0.387 meV$. In addition, one finds $\tilde{V}_{01} \approx 0.042 meV$. With all these values, the critical temperature for the inter-Chern-band channel

$$k_B T_c^{inter} \approx 0.196 meV \quad (397)$$

is slightly larger than that of the intra-Chern-band channel ($\sim 0.193 meV$).

Let us compare the T_c calculation from the linearized gap equation from the heavy fermion model with that from the numerical calculations of the full interaction model. We find that the inter-Chern-band s-wave pairing has a higher T_c than the intra-Chern-band d-wave pairing, which is the same for the heavy fermion model and the full interaction model. The heavy fermion model provides a simple explanation of this result. In the above derivation, one can see that both the $(U_{0,\mathbf{k}}^{inter})^* U_{0,\mathbf{k}'}^{inter}$ and $(U_{1,\mathbf{k}}^{inter})^* U_{1,\mathbf{k}'}^{inter}$ terms contribute to inter-Chern-band s-wave pairing, but only the $(U_{1,\mathbf{k}}^{intra})^* U_{1,\mathbf{k}'}^{intra}$ term contributes to the intra-Chern-band d-wave pairing (Here we add the label *inter* and *intra* to distinguish the interactions in different Chern-band channels). Since the interaction parameter in front of $(U_{1,\mathbf{k}}^{inter})^* U_{1,\mathbf{k}'}^{inter}$ term is the same as that for $(U_{1,\mathbf{k}}^{intra})^* U_{1,\mathbf{k}'}^{intra}$ term, the T_c of the inter-Chern-band s-wave pairing will always be larger than that of the intra-Chern-band d-wave pairing, as shown in Eq. (396).

The corresponding eigen-vector $\tilde{\Psi}_{+-}^{SM}$ for the inter-Chern-band pairing takes the form

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{+-}^{SM} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{0,+}^{+,SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{0,-}^{-,SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{1,+}^{+,SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{1,-}^{-,SM} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mathcal{N}}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\tilde{V}_{01} \\ 2\tilde{V}_{01} \\ \tilde{V}_- + \sqrt{\tilde{V}_-^2 + 4\tilde{V}_{01}^2} \\ \tilde{V}_- - \sqrt{\tilde{V}_-^2 + 4\tilde{V}_{01}^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (398)$$

where $\tilde{V}_- = -\tilde{V}_{00} + \tilde{V}_{11}$ and $\mathcal{N} = 2\sqrt{\tilde{V}_-^2 + 4\tilde{V}_{01}^2} \left(\tilde{V}_- + \sqrt{\tilde{V}_-^2 + 4\tilde{V}_{01}^2} \right)$. This gives rise to the gap function

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}^{+,SM} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}^{-,SM} \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{2k_B T_c^{inter}} \sum_{\alpha} U_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}^* \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,+}^{+,SM} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,-}^{-,SM} \end{pmatrix}, \\ &= f(|\mathbf{k}|) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(|\mathbf{k}|) = \frac{2\tilde{V}_{01} U_{0,\mathbf{k}}^* + (\tilde{V}_- + \sqrt{\tilde{V}_-^2 + \tilde{V}_{01}^2}) U_{1,\mathbf{k}}^*}{2k_B T_c^{inter} \sqrt{2\mathcal{N}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (399)$$

which is an s-wave pairing.

We can explicitly construct both singlet and triplet pairings as $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{\eta} = f(|\mathbf{k}|)(\zeta^x)_{e_1 e_2} (\mathcal{S}^{00})_{s_1 s_2}$ for singlet pairing and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1 s_1, e_2 s_2}^{\eta} = f(|\mathbf{k}|)(\zeta^y)_{e_1 e_2} (\mathcal{S}^{1M})_{s_1 s_2}$ for triplet pairing. Furthermore, \hat{C}_{2x} symmetry reverses the sign of




FIG. 16. (a) depicts the gap function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}^{\eta}$ for the largest eigen-values of the linearized gap equation ($k_B T_c = 0.21 meV$) for the inter-Chern-band channel. (c) shows the corresponding phase factor $\Phi_{+-}(\mathbf{k})/\pi$ due to C_{3z} rotation for the gap function.

e_Y on the Chern-band basis. Since $(\zeta^x)_{e_1,-e_1} = (\zeta^x)_{-e_1,e_1}$ and $(\zeta^y)_{e_1,-e_1} = -(\zeta^y)_{-e_1,e_1}$, the ζ^x spin singlet channel should belong to A_1 irrep while the ζ^y spin triplet channel belongs to A_2 irrep.

It should be emphasized that the degeneracy between singlet and triplet pairings for the inter-Chern-band channels only occurs in the chiral flat band limit. This degeneracy will be removed by a finite kinetic energy term. To see that, we need to go back to the LEG before taking the flat band approximation, namely Eqs. (336) and (337). Using the decomposition of the gap function (354) and $Tr(\zeta^\nu \zeta^\mu) = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}$, we have

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};\nu}^{\eta,SM} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', m_1 m_2, \mu, e_1 e_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1 e_2} [P_{m_1, \mathbf{k}', -\eta} \zeta^\mu P_{m_2, -\mathbf{k}', \eta}^T]_{e_1 e_2} (\zeta^\nu)_{e_2 e_1} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; \mu}^{-\eta, SM} T_{m_1 m_2 \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta}. \quad (400)$$

With the form of projector operator P in Eq. (335), we find

$$P_{m_1, \mathbf{k}', -\eta} \zeta^\mu P_{m_2, -\mathbf{k}', \eta}^T = \frac{1}{4} \left(\zeta^\mu + \frac{d_{x, \mathbf{k}', -\eta}}{|d_{x, \mathbf{k}', -\eta}|} (m_1 \zeta^x \zeta^\mu + m_2 \zeta^\mu \zeta^x) + m_1 m_2 \zeta^x \zeta^\mu \zeta^x \right). \quad (401)$$

Now let us focus on the inter-Chern-band channels, so $\mu, \nu = x, y$, and one can show, in this case, that only the terms ζ^μ and $\zeta^x \zeta^\mu \zeta^x$ in $P_{m_1, \mathbf{k}', -\eta} \zeta^\mu P_{m_2, -\mathbf{k}', \eta}^T$ can give a non-zero contribution to the LGE. We first consider $\mu = x, \nu = y$, so the right-hand side of the LGE is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', m_1 m_2, e_1 e_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1 e_2} (\zeta^x + m_1 m_2 \zeta^x)_{e_1 e_2} (\zeta^y)_{e_2 e_1} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; x}^{-\eta, SM} T_{m_1 m_2 \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta} \\ &= \frac{1}{4N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', m_1 m_2, e_1 e_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1 e_2} (1 + m_1 m_2) \delta_{e_1, -e_2} (-i) e_2 \delta_{e_2, -e_1} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; x}^{-\eta, SM} T_{m_1 m_2 \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta} \\ &= \frac{1}{4N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', m_1 m_2, e_1} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1, -e_1} (1 + m_1 m_2) i e_1 \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; x}^{-\eta, SM} T_{m_1 m_2 \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (402)$$

In the last step, we have used $\sum_{e_1} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1, -e_1} e_1 = V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, +, -} - V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, -, +} = 0$. Therefore, ζ^x and ζ^y channels can not be mixed with each other even when the kinetic energy term is included.

Next let's consider the LGE for $\mu = \nu = x$ (only for spin singlet), which can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; x}^{\eta, 00} &= \frac{1}{4N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', m_1 m_2, e_1 e_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, e_1 e_2} (1 + m_1 m_2) \zeta_{e_1 e_2}^x (\zeta^x)_{e_2 e_1} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; x}^{-\eta, 00} T_{m_1 m_2 \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', m_1 m_2} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, +, -} (1 + m_1 m_2) \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; x}^{-\eta, 00} T_{m_1 m_2 \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta} \\ &= \frac{2}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta, +, -} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'; x}^{-\eta, 00} T_{++ \mathbf{k}'}^{\eta} \end{aligned} \quad (403)$$

in the chiral limit, where we have used $T_{-m_1, -m_1, \mathbf{k}'}^\eta = T_{m_1 m_1 \mathbf{k}'}^\eta$. With Eq. (337), we have

$$T_{++\mathbf{k}'}^\eta = \frac{\tanh(\beta\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'}/2)}{2\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'}} \quad (404)$$

and the LGE becomes

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};x}^{\eta,00} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,+} \frac{\tanh(\beta\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'}/2)}{\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}';x}^{-\eta,00}. \quad (405)$$

Similar derivation can be applied to $\mu = \nu = y$ for spin triplet channel. One can show

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};y}^{\eta,1M} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', m_1} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,+} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}';y}^{-\eta,1M} T_{m_1, -m_1, \mathbf{k}'}^\eta. \quad (406)$$

To evaluate $T_{m_1, -m_1, \mathbf{k}'}^\eta$, one should first keep a finite μ and take $\mu \rightarrow 0$ at the end of the calculation, which gives

$$T_{m_1, -m_1, \mathbf{k}'}^\eta = \frac{\beta e^{\beta\xi_{m_1, -\eta, \mathbf{k}'}}}{(e^{\beta\xi_{m_1, -\eta, \mathbf{k}'}} + 1)^2}. \quad (407)$$

Thus,

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};y}^{\eta,1M} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\eta,+} \frac{\beta}{2 \cosh^2(\beta\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'})} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}';y}^{-\eta,1M}. \quad (408)$$

Now one can see that the LGEs for $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};x}^{\eta,00}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};y}^{\eta,1M}$ are different when the kinetic energy term is taken into account. One can easily check that both LGEs (405) and (408) reduce to Eq. (341) in the flat band limit. We may regard the band dispersion $\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'}$ as a perturbation (much smaller than T_c) and then we can perform the perturbation expansion of the kinetic energy dependent terms. We find

$$\frac{\tanh(\beta\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'}/2)}{\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'}} \approx \frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{1}{24} \beta^3 \xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'}^2 \quad (409)$$

and

$$\frac{\beta}{2 \cosh^2(\beta\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'})} \approx \frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{1}{8} \beta^3 \xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'}^2. \quad (410)$$

It is clear that the singlet channel $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};x}^{\eta,00}$ has a stronger effective interaction compared to the triplet channel $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};y}^{\eta,1M}$ as

$$\frac{\tanh(\beta\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'}/2)}{\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'}} > \frac{\beta}{2 \cosh^2(\beta\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'})} \quad (411)$$

in the limit $\xi_{+,-\eta,\mathbf{k}'} \ll k_B T_c$. Thus, we expect the singlet channel $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};x}^{\eta,00}$ has a higher T_c in the chiral non-flat limit for inter-Chern-band pairing channels.

4. Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian, full self-consistent gap equation and ground state energy

The above linearized gap equation only gives the behavior of superconductivity near the critical temperature T_c . We hope to understand more about the superconductivity property at zero temperature. For that purpose, we will derive the full self-consistent gap equation that works at zero temperature, as well as the ground state energy (or condensation energy) for superconductivity, based on the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian.

To do that, let's first write down the form of the BdG Hamiltonian on the Chern band basis,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^\pm &= \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in MBZ} \psi_{\pm, \mathbf{k}}^\dagger H_{BdG}^\pm(\mathbf{k}) \psi_{\pm, \mathbf{k}}, \\ \psi_{+, \mathbf{k}}^\dagger &= (\gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y = \pm, +, s = \uparrow \downarrow}^\dagger, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, e_Y = \pm, -, s = \uparrow \downarrow}^\dagger); \quad \psi_{-, \mathbf{k}}^\dagger = (\gamma_{\mathbf{k}, e_Y = \pm, -, s = \uparrow \downarrow}^\dagger, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}, e_Y = \pm, +, s = \uparrow \downarrow}^\dagger) \\ H_{BdG}^+(\mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} h_+(\mathbf{k}) \otimes s_0 & 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \mathcal{S} \\ 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \otimes \mathcal{S}^\dagger & -h_-^*(-\mathbf{k}) \otimes s_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ H_{BdG}^-(\mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} h_-(\mathbf{k}) \otimes s_0 & -2\Delta_{-\mathbf{k}}^T \otimes \mathcal{S}^T \\ -2\Delta_{-\mathbf{k}}^* \otimes \mathcal{S}^* & -h_+^*(-\mathbf{k}) \otimes s_0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (412)$$

where $h_{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ are the non-superconducting effective Hamiltonians for the flat bands at the valley $\eta = \pm$ in TBG, respectively, while $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k},e_1,e_2}^{\eta=\pm}$. $H_{BdG}^{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ are 8-by-8 matrices. The superconducting particle-hole symmetry $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ with $D(\hat{\mathcal{P}}) = \rho_x \zeta_0 s_0$, requires

$$-H_{BdG}^{-}(\mathbf{k}) = \rho_x \zeta_0 s_0 H_{BdG}^{+\star}(-\mathbf{k}) \rho_x \zeta_0 s_0, \quad (413)$$

where s , ζ and ρ are the identity and Pauli matrices for the spin, Chern-band basis and superconducting particle-hole basis. One should note that the superconducting particle-hole operator $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ is different from the unitary particle-hole symmetry operator \hat{P} for the non-superconducting TBG Hamiltonian.

It should be noted that $h_{\eta=\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ is a 2-by-2 matrix and does *not* possess a diagonal form on the Chern-band basis. From the relation (178) between the eigen-state basis and Chern-band basis, we can show that

$$h_{\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = (d_{0,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) - \mu)\zeta^0 + d_{x,\eta}(\mathbf{k})\zeta^x, \quad (414)$$

where

$$d_{0,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = (\epsilon_{+,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) + \epsilon_{-,\eta}(\mathbf{k}))/2; \quad d_{x,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = (\epsilon_{+,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) - \epsilon_{-,\eta}(\mathbf{k}))/2, \quad (415)$$

and $\epsilon_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k})$ are the single-particle eigen-energies of the BM model \hat{H}_0 so they should be real. Time reversal symmetry requires

$$\epsilon_{n,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon_{n,-\eta}(-\mathbf{k}) \implies d_{0,-\eta}(-\mathbf{k}) = d_{0,\eta}(\mathbf{k}); \quad d_{x,-\eta}(-\mathbf{k}) = d_{x,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) \implies h_{-\eta}(-\mathbf{k}) = h_{\eta}(\mathbf{k}). \quad (416)$$

As discussed above, the spin-singlet and triplet channels are decoupled and below we discuss the singlet and triplet channels, separately. We first consider the spin-singlet channels, for which the gap function in $H_{BdG}^{+}(\mathbf{k})$ has the form

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \mathcal{S} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes (is_y), \text{ or } \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1e_2s_2}^{+} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k},e_1e_2}(is_y)_{s_1s_2}, \quad (417)$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a 2×2 matrix on the Chern-band basis.

Since the single-particle Hamiltonian is diagonal in spin space, the Hamiltonian $H_{BdG}^{+}(\mathbf{k})$ with spin-singlet pairing has a block diagonal form

$$H_{BdG}^{+}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} h_{+}(\mathbf{k}) \otimes s_0 & 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes is_y \\ 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \otimes (-is_y) & -h_{-}^{*}(-\mathbf{k}) \otimes s_0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} h_{+}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 & 0 & 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \\ 0 & h_{+}(\mathbf{k}) & -2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 \\ 0 & -2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} & -h_{-}^{*}(-\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} & 0 & 0 & -h_{-}^{*}(-\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (418)$$

on the basis $(\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,+, \uparrow}^{\dagger}, \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,+, \downarrow}^{\dagger}, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,-, \uparrow}, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,-, \downarrow})$. Thus, we can consider two blocks separately,

$$H_{BdG}^{+, \lambda=\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} h_{+}(\mathbf{k}) & 2\lambda\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \\ 2\lambda\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} & -h_{-}^{*}(-\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (419)$$

Both blocks are 4-by-4 matrices, written on the basis $(\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,+, s=\uparrow}^{\dagger}, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,-, s=\downarrow})$ and $(\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,+, s=\downarrow}^{\dagger}, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,-, s=\uparrow})$ for the $\lambda = +$ and $-$ blocks, separately.

To determine the exact pairing form for the temperature well below T_c , we need to go back to the full form of the gap equation (316). Since $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1e_2s_2}^{+} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}(is_y)_{s_1s_2}$ for spin singlet, we have $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1\uparrow,e_2\downarrow}^{+} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1\downarrow,e_2\uparrow}^{+} = -\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}$. The gap equation explicitly reads

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2} = -\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1\downarrow,e_2\uparrow}^{+} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1e_2} \langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'e_2,+, \uparrow} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_1,-, \downarrow} \rangle \quad (420)$$

and

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1\uparrow,e_2\downarrow}^{+} = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1e_2} \langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'e_2,+, \downarrow} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_1,-, \uparrow} \rangle. \quad (421)$$

Let's look at the first equation and we need to evaluate $\langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'e_1,+, \uparrow} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_1,-, \downarrow} \rangle$ from the BdG Hamiltonian $H_{BdG}^{+,+}(\mathbf{k})$ since

$$\mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{+,+}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k},e_1e_2} (\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+, \uparrow}^{\dagger}, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_1,-, \downarrow}) [H_{BdG}^{+,+}(\mathbf{k})]_{e_1,e_2} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_2,+, \uparrow} \\ \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_2,-, \downarrow}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (422)$$

Now let's define

$$U_{\mathbf{k}} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\mathbf{k}} & v_{\mathbf{k}} \\ w_{\mathbf{k}} & r_{\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_{BdG}^{+,+}(\mathbf{k}) = U_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} U_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \quad (423)$$

where $u_{\mathbf{k}}, v_{\mathbf{k}}, w_{\mathbf{k}}, r_{\mathbf{k}}$ are two-by-two matrices and $D_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a diagonal matrix, $D_{\mathbf{k}} = \text{Diag}(E_1^+, E_2^+, E_1^-, E_2^-)$, with $E_n^+ > 0$ and $E_n^- < 0$ ($n = 1, 2$). Now let's define Bogoliubov quasi-particle operators

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+, \uparrow} \\ \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_1,-, \downarrow}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_n [U_{\mathbf{k}}]_{e_1 n} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\mathbf{k},n} \\ \beta_{-\mathbf{k},n}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} \quad (424)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{+,+} &= \sum_{\mathbf{k},e_1,e_2} (\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e_1}^{\dagger} \beta_{-\mathbf{k},e_1}) [D_{\mathbf{k}}]_{e_1,e_2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\mathbf{k},e_2} \\ \beta_{-\mathbf{k},e_2}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} \left(E_{\mathbf{k},n}^+ \alpha_{\mathbf{k},n}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{k},n} + (-E_{\mathbf{k},n}^-) \beta_{-\mathbf{k},n}^{\dagger} \beta_{-\mathbf{k},n} \right) + \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} E_{\mathbf{k},n}^-. \end{aligned} \quad (425)$$

The ground state of superconductors $|GS\rangle$ should be defined by $\alpha_{\mathbf{k},n} |GS\rangle = \beta_{\mathbf{k},n} |GS\rangle = 0$ with $n = 1, 2$, and $\alpha_{\mathbf{k},n}^{\dagger}$ and $\beta_{\mathbf{k},n}^{\dagger}$ create Bogoliubov quasi-particles with the energy $E_{\mathbf{k},n}^+$ and $-E_{\mathbf{k},n}^-$, respectively, both of which are positive numbers. More explicitly, we have

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+, \uparrow} = \sum_n (u_{\mathbf{k},e_1 n} \alpha_{\mathbf{k},n} + v_{\mathbf{k},e_1 n} \beta_{-\mathbf{k},n}^{\dagger}); \quad \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_1,-, \downarrow}^{\dagger} = \sum_n (w_{\mathbf{k},e_1 n} \alpha_{\mathbf{k},n} + r_{\mathbf{k},e_1 n} \beta_{-\mathbf{k},n}^{\dagger}). \quad (426)$$

From the second equation, we can obtain

$$\gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_1,-, \downarrow} = \sum_n (w_{\mathbf{k},e_1 n}^* \alpha_{\mathbf{k},n}^{\dagger} + r_{\mathbf{k},e_1 n}^* \beta_{-\mathbf{k},n}). \quad (427)$$

Now we can substitute these expressions into the gap equation (420) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2} &= \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1,e_2} \langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2,+, \uparrow} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}',e_1,-, \downarrow} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',nm} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1,e_2} \langle (u_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2 n} \alpha_{-\mathbf{k}',n} + v_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2 n} \beta_{\mathbf{k}',n}^{\dagger}) (w_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1 m}^* \alpha_{-\mathbf{k}',m}^{\dagger} + r_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1 m}^* \beta_{\mathbf{k}',m}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',n} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1,e_2} \left(u_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2 n} w_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1 n}^* (1 - f(E_{-\mathbf{k}',n}^+)) + v_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2 n} r_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1 n}^* f(-E_{-\mathbf{k}',n}^-) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (428)$$

where $f(E) = (e^{\beta E} + 1)^{-1}$ is the Fermi distribution function. At zero temperature, the self-consistent gap equation reads

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',n} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1,e_2} u_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2 n} w_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1 n}^*. \quad (429)$$

This self-consistent gap equation can be applied to both intra-Chern-band and inter-Chern-band channels for spin singlet state.

Similar procedure can be applied to the gap equation (421) and the BdG Hamiltonian $H_{BdG}^{+,\lambda=-}(\mathbf{k})$. From the form of the BdG Hamiltonian in Eq. (419), we notice that the $\lambda = \pm$ Hamiltonians are related to each other by a unitary transformation,

$$H_{BdG}^{+,-}(\mathbf{k}) = \rho_z H_{BdG}^{+,+}(\mathbf{k}) \rho_z. \quad (430)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{+,-}(\mathbf{k}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k},e_1,e_2} (\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+, \downarrow}^{\dagger} \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_1,-, \uparrow}) [H_{BdG}^{+,-}(\mathbf{k})]_{e_1,e_2} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_2,+, \downarrow} \\ \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_2,-, \uparrow}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k},e_1,e_2} (\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+, \downarrow}^{\dagger} \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_1,-, \uparrow}) [\rho_z U_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} U_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \rho_z]_{e_1,e_2} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_2,+, \downarrow} \\ \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_2,-, \uparrow}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (431)$$

Thus, the eigen-energies of $H_{BdG}^{+,-}(\mathbf{k})$ are the same as those of $H_{BdG}^{+,+}(\mathbf{k})$, while the Bogoliubov quasi-particle operators are defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_1,+,\downarrow} \\ -\gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_1,-,\uparrow}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \sum_n [U_{\mathbf{k}}]_{e_1 n} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\mathbf{k},n} \\ \beta_{-\mathbf{k},n}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \quad (432)$$

with the same U -matrix as in Eq. (423). With the above transformation, we find

$$\langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'e_2+\downarrow} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_1-\uparrow} \rangle = - \sum_n \left(u_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2 n} w_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1 n}^* (1 - f(E_{-\mathbf{k}',n}^+)) + v_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2 n} r_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1 n}^* f(-E_{\mathbf{k}',n}^-) \right) \quad (433)$$

and thus the gap equation (421) transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1 e_2} &= -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1 e_2} \langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'e_2+\downarrow} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_1-\uparrow} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',n} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1 e_2} \left(u_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2 n} w_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1 n}^* (1 - f(E_{-\mathbf{k}',n}^+)) + v_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2 n} r_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1 n}^* f(-E_{\mathbf{k}',n}^-) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (434)$$

which is the same as Eq. (428). Thus, both blocks give the same gap equation, which is required for the consistency of the theory.

We can also calculate the ground state energy or the condensation energy of superconductivity based on the current BdG formalism. The BdG Hamiltonian in Eq. (412) only contains the fermion bilinear terms, and to get the correct form of the ground state energy, one needs to keep all the constant terms for the Hamiltonian, which reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{tot} = \sum_{\eta=\pm} \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^\eta + \sum_{\mathbf{k},\eta,e} [h_{-\eta}(-\mathbf{k})]_{ee} - \sum_{\mathbf{k}\eta e_1 s_1 e_2 s_2} \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1 \eta s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2 - \eta s_2}^\dagger \rangle \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1 s_1 e_2 s_2}^\eta. \quad (435)$$

Our goal is to calculate the condensation energy, which is defined as

$$E_c = \langle \mathcal{H}_{tot} \rangle_s - \langle \mathcal{H}_{tot} \rangle_n, \quad (436)$$

where $\langle \mathcal{H}_{tot} \rangle_s = \langle GS | \mathcal{H}_{tot} | GS \rangle$ is the superconductor ground state energy, and $\langle \mathcal{H}_{tot} \rangle_n$ is the energy of the normal metallic state, which can be obtained from $\langle \mathcal{H}_{tot} \rangle_s$ by taking the superconductor gap function Δ to be zero. As the last two terms in Eq. (435) are constants, we first focus on calculating $\langle \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^\eta \rangle_s$. As shown in Eq. (418), H_{BdG}^+ has a block-diagonal form and thus we expect $\langle \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^+ \rangle_s = \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \langle \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{+,\lambda} \rangle_s$. In Eq. (425), we rewrite $\mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{+,\lambda}$ in terms of quadratic form of Bogoliubov quasi-particle operators $\alpha_{\mathbf{k},n}, \beta_{\mathbf{k},n}$. Since the superconductor ground state is defined by $\alpha_{\mathbf{k},n} |GS\rangle = \beta_{\mathbf{k},n} |GS\rangle = 0$, we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{+,+} \rangle_s = \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} E_{\mathbf{k},n}^-. \quad (437)$$

Furthermore, as the $\lambda = -$ block is related to $\lambda = +$ block by a unitary transformation (430) and thus the ground state energy of these two blocks are the same, $\langle \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{+,-} \rangle_s = \langle \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{+,+} \rangle_s$. In addition, one can show that $\mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{\eta=-}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{\eta=+}$ in the second quantization form, so $\langle \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{\eta=-} \rangle_s = \langle \mathcal{H}_{BdG}^{\eta=+} \rangle_s$.

The second term in Eq. (435) is related to single-particle Hamiltonian and from Eq. (414), we obtain

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k},\eta,e} [h_{-\eta}(-\mathbf{k})]_{ee} = 2 \sum_{\mathbf{k},\eta} (d_{0,-\eta}(-\mathbf{k}) - \mu) = -4\mu N_M + \sum_{\mathbf{k},\eta} (\epsilon_{+,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) + \epsilon_{-,\eta}(\mathbf{k})) = -4\mu N_M, \quad (438)$$

where we have used the property of unitary particle-hole symmetry that requires $\epsilon_{+,\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = -\epsilon_{-,\eta}(-\mathbf{k})$.

Now let's look at the last term, for which we need to evaluate $\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1 \eta s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2 - \eta s_2}^\dagger \rangle$. For spin singlet channel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{\mathbf{k}\eta e_1 s_1 e_2 s_2} \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1 \eta s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2 - \eta s_2}^\dagger \rangle \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1 s_1 e_2 s_2}^\eta &= - \sum_{\mathbf{k},e_1 s_1 e_2 s_2} (\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1 + s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2 - s_2}^\dagger \rangle \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1 s_1 e_2 s_2}^+ + \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1 - s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2 + s_2}^\dagger \rangle \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1 s_1 e_2 s_2}^-) \\ &= - \sum_{\mathbf{k},e_1 e_2} \left((\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1 + \uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2 - \downarrow}^\dagger \rangle - \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1 + \downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2 - \uparrow}^\dagger \rangle) \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1 e_2}^+ + (\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1 - \uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2 + \downarrow}^\dagger \rangle - \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1 - \downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2 + \uparrow}^\dagger \rangle) \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1 e_2}^- \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1,e_2s_2}^\eta = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta (isy)_{s_1s_2}$ for spin singlet, so that $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1\uparrow,e_2\downarrow}^\pm = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1\downarrow,e_2\uparrow}^\pm = -\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta$. With the Bogoliubov transformation (424) and (432), we find

$$\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1+\uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle = \sum_n v_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* r_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \quad (439)$$

$$\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1+\downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-\uparrow}^\dagger \rangle = -\sum_n v_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* r_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \quad (440)$$

$$\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1-\uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2+\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle = -\sum_n w_{-\mathbf{k},e_1n} u_{-\mathbf{k},e_2n}^* \quad (441)$$

$$\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1-\downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2+\uparrow}^\dagger \rangle = \sum_n w_{-\mathbf{k},e_1n} u_{-\mathbf{k},e_2n}^*, \quad (442)$$

which leads to

$$-\sum_{\mathbf{k}\eta e_1s_1e_2s_2} \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1\eta s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-\eta s_2}^\dagger \rangle \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1e_2s_2}^\eta = -2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}e_1e_2n} \left(v_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* r_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}^+ - w_{-\mathbf{k},e_1n} u_{-\mathbf{k},e_2n}^* \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}^- \right) \quad (443)$$

The unitary property $U_{\mathbf{k}} U_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger = I$ (I is an identity matrix) can lead to

$$\sum_n v_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* r_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} = -\sum_n u_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* w_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \quad (444)$$

and together with $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}^+ = \Delta_{-\mathbf{k},e_2e_1}^-$ for spin-singlet channel, we eventually obtain

$$-\sum_{\mathbf{k}\eta e_1s_1e_2s_2} \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1\eta s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-\eta s_2}^\dagger \rangle \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1e_2s_2}^\eta = 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k}e_1e_2n} u_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* w_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2} \quad (445)$$

The superconductor ground state energy is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{tot} \rangle_s = 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} E_{\mathbf{k},n}^- - 4\mu N_M + 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k}e_1e_2n} u_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* w_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}. \quad (446)$$

and the condensation energy is

$$E_c = 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} (E_{\mathbf{k},n}^- - \xi_{\mathbf{k},n}^-) + 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k}e_1e_2n} u_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* w_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}, \quad (447)$$

where $\xi_{\mathbf{k},n}^-$ is the eigen-energy of the BdG Hamiltonian at zero gap function Δ .

For spin-triplet channel, we consider the unitary pairing $(\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \mathcal{S})^\dagger (\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \mathcal{S}) \propto I$, and in this case, we can always rotate the spin basis of gap function to $\mathcal{S}^{10} = s_x$ since the single-particle Hamiltonian part is spin-independent. For the triplet component $\mathcal{S}^{10} = s_x$, the BdG Hamiltonian for one valley reads

$$H_{BdG}^+(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} h_+(\mathbf{k}) \otimes s_0 & 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes s_x \\ 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \otimes s_x & -h_-^*(-\mathbf{k}) \otimes s_0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} h_+(\mathbf{k}) & 0 & 0 & 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \\ 0 & h_+(\mathbf{k}) & 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger & -h_-^*(-\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger & 0 & 0 & -h_-^*(-\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (448)$$

on the basis $(\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,+, \uparrow}^\dagger, \gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,+, \downarrow}^\dagger, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,-, \uparrow}^\dagger, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,-, \downarrow}^\dagger)$. This Hamiltonian is also block diagonal and we rewrite each block Hamiltonian as

$$H_{BdG}^{+,\lambda=\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} h_+(\mathbf{k}) & 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \\ 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger & -h_-^*(-\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (449)$$

The basis functions are $(\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,+,s=\uparrow}^\dagger, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,-,s=\downarrow}^\dagger)$ and $(\gamma_{\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,+,s=\downarrow}^\dagger, \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},e_Y=\pm,-,s=\uparrow}^\dagger)$ for $\lambda = +$ and $-$, separately. Compared to the spin-singlet state, the only difference is that the gap function here is independent of λ . Thus, all the derivation above can be directly applied here.

For spin triplet state, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1,e_2s_2}^+ = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}(s_x)_{s_1s_2}$, so $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1\uparrow,e_2\downarrow}^+ = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1\downarrow,e_2\uparrow}^+$. The gap equation the explicitly reads

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1\downarrow,e_2\uparrow}^+ = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1e_2} \langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'e_2,+, \uparrow} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_1,-, \downarrow} \rangle \quad (450)$$

and

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1\uparrow,e_2\downarrow}^+ = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1e_2} \langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'e_2+\downarrow} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_1-\uparrow} \rangle. \quad (451)$$

Now one can see that for $\lambda = \pm$, both the BdG Hamiltonian and the gap equation are completely the same. Thus, we only need to look at one of them. Following the procedure above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2} &= -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1e_2} \langle \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}'e_2,+} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'e_1,-} \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',n} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1e_2} \left(u_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2n} w_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1n}^* (1 - f(E_{-\mathbf{k}',n}^+)) + v_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2n} r_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1n}^* f(-E_{-\mathbf{k}',n}^-) \right),\end{aligned}\quad (452)$$

At zero temperature, the self-consistent gap equation for spin-triplet channels reads

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2} = -\frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',n} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1e_2} u_{-\mathbf{k}',e_2n} w_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1n}^*. \quad (453)$$

Although the above formalism looks similar to the spin singlet case, the form of the gap function is different. As discussed in the paragraph after the expansion (354) of the gap function, we know that for the inter-Chern-band channel, the gap function takes the form $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};y}(\zeta^y)_{e_1e_2}$ for even parity ($\lambda_{C_{2z}} = +1$) and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};x}(\zeta^x)_{e_1e_2}$ for odd parity ($\lambda_{C_{2z}} = -1$) so that the condition (353) ($\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2} = -\lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}$) is satisfied.

For spin-triplet pairing, since the spin part is symmetric, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2} = \sum_{\mu} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};\mu} \zeta^{\mu}$ needs to satisfy $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2} = -\lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_2,e_1}$ according to Eq. (353) where $\lambda_{C_{2z}}$ is the eigen-value of C_{2z} operator acting on the gap function. This requires either anti-symmetric $\zeta^{\mu=y}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};\mu=y} = \lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{-\mathbf{k};\mu=y}$ for inter-Chern-band pairing, or symmetry $\zeta^{\mu=0,x,z}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};\mu=0,x,z} = -\lambda_{C_{2z}} \Delta_{-\mathbf{k};\mu=0,x,z}$ for both intra-Chern-band pairing ($\mu = 0, z$) and inter-Chern-band pairing ($\mu = x$).

For the ground state energy, we expect the first and second terms in Eq. (435) should still take the same form once the eigen-energy $E_{\mathbf{k},n}^-$ is calculated from the BdG Hamiltonian (449) with a different form of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$. For the last term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_{\mathbf{k}\eta e_1s_1e_2s_2} \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1\eta s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-\eta s_2}^\dagger \rangle \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1e_2s_2}^\eta &= -\sum_{\mathbf{k},e_1s_1e_2s_2} (\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1+s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-s_2}^\dagger \rangle \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1e_2s_2}^+ + \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1-s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2+s_2}^\dagger \rangle \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1e_2s_2}^-) \\ &= -\sum_{\mathbf{k},e_1e_2} \left((\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1+\uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle + \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1+\downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-\uparrow}^\dagger \rangle) \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}^+ + (\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1-\uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2+\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle + \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1-\downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2+\uparrow}^\dagger \rangle) \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}^- \right)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1e_2s_2}^\eta = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1,e_2}^\eta(s_x)_{s_1s_2}$. We can again apply the Bogoliubov transformation (424) and (432) as the Hamiltonian (449) is the same as (419) for $\lambda = +$. We find

$$\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1+\uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle = \sum_n v_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* r_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \quad (454)$$

$$\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1+\downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-\uparrow}^\dagger \rangle = \sum_n v_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* r_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \quad (455)$$

$$\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1-\uparrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2+\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle = \sum_n w_{-\mathbf{k},e_1n} u_{-\mathbf{k},e_2n}^* \quad (456)$$

$$\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1-\downarrow}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2+\uparrow}^\dagger \rangle = \sum_n w_{-\mathbf{k},e_1n} u_{-\mathbf{k},e_2n}^*, \quad (457)$$

which leads to

$$-\sum_{\mathbf{k}\eta e_1s_1e_2s_2} \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1\eta s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-\eta s_2}^\dagger \rangle \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1e_2s_2}^\eta = -2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}e_1e_2n} \left(v_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* r_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}^+ + w_{-\mathbf{k},e_1n} u_{-\mathbf{k},e_2n}^* \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}^- \right) \quad (458)$$

From the unitary property

$$\sum_n v_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* r_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} = -\sum_n u_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* w_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \quad (459)$$

($U_{\mathbf{k}} U_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger = I$) and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}^+ = -\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_2e_1}^-$ for spin-triplet channel, we obtain

$$-\sum_{\mathbf{k}\eta e_1s_1e_2s_2} \langle \gamma_{\mathbf{k}e_1\eta s_1}^\dagger \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}e_2-\eta s_2}^\dagger \rangle \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1s_1e_2s_2}^\eta = 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k}e_1e_2n} u_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* w_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2} \quad (460)$$

The superconductor ground state energy is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{tot} \rangle_s = 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} E_{\mathbf{k},n}^- - 4\mu N_M + 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k}e_1e_2n} u_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* w_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}. \quad (461)$$

and the condensation energy is

$$E_c = 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} (E_{\mathbf{k},n}^- - \xi_{\mathbf{k},n}^-) + 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k}e_1e_2n} u_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* w_{\mathbf{k},e_2n} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1e_2}, \quad (462)$$

where $\xi_{\mathbf{k},n}^-$ is the eigen-energy of the BdG Hamiltonian at zero gap function Δ . So we find the same form of the ground state energy for spin-triplet pairing as that of spin-singlet pairing.

5. Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian for the Intra-Chern-band Channel

The linearized gap equation has shown the form of the spin-singlet intra-Chern-band pairing channels in Eq. (375) and (376) around T_c , which belong to 2D E_2 irrep. There are degenerate pairing channels, and this degeneracy is expected to break when the temperature is below T_c . So here we apply the BdG formalism to the intra-Chern-band pairing channels to study the pairing state at zero temperature. We keep the general form

$$(\Delta_{\mathbf{k}})_{e_1 e_2} \otimes \mathcal{S} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}, e_1} \delta_{e_1 e_2} i s_y = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{k},+} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{\mathbf{k},-} \end{pmatrix} i s_y = (\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0} \zeta^0 + \Delta_{\mathbf{k},z} \zeta^z)_{e_1, e_2} i s_y. \quad (463)$$

For the $\hat{C}_{2z}\hat{T}$ -invariant pairing, the intra-Chern-band gap function need to satisfies

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+} = (\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-})^* \implies \Delta_{\mathbf{k},0} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}^*; \Delta_{\mathbf{k},z} = -\Delta_{\mathbf{k},z}^* \quad (464)$$

according to the constraint (301).

With the single-particle Hamiltonian (414), the BdG Hamiltonian reads

$$\begin{aligned} H_{BdG}^{+, \lambda}(\mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu)\zeta^0 + d_{x,\mathbf{k}}\zeta^x & 2\lambda(\Delta_{0,\mathbf{k}}\zeta^0 + \Delta_{z,\mathbf{k}}\zeta^z) \\ 2\lambda(\Delta_{0,\mathbf{k}}^*\zeta^0 + \Delta_{z,\mathbf{k}}^*\zeta^z) & -(d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu)\zeta^0 - d_{x,\mathbf{k}}\zeta^x \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu & d_{x,\mathbf{k}} & 2\lambda\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+} & 0 \\ d_{x,\mathbf{k}} & d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu & 0 & 2\lambda\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-} \\ 2\lambda\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}^* & 0 & -(d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu) & -d_{x,\mathbf{k}} \\ 0 & 2\lambda\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-}^* & -d_{x,\mathbf{k}} & -(d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (465)$$

where $\lambda = \pm$, $d_{0(x),\mathbf{k}} = d_{0(x),+}(\mathbf{k})$, and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},e_Y} = \Delta_{0,\mathbf{k}} + e_Y \Delta_{z,\mathbf{k}}$, $e_Y = \pm$ for two intra-Chern-band channels with the Chern number e_Y . Below we mainly focus on two types of pairing forms: (1) the Euler pairing with $|\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}| = |\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-}|$ [32], which breaks three-fold rotation and thus is a nematic phase, and (2) the chiral d-wave pairing with $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+} \neq 0$, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-} = 0$ or $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-} \neq 0$, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+} = 0$, which respect three-fold rotation, but break TR.

We first want to discuss the general conditions for the existence of point nodes in the BdG Hamiltonian (465). The eigen-energy $E_{\mathbf{k},n}^{\pm}$ of the BdG Hamiltonian (465) can be solved explicitly for two independent order parameters $\Delta_{+, \mathbf{k}}$ and $\Delta_{-, \mathbf{k}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{k},n}^{\pm} &= \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{A + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2 + \frac{1}{2}d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2 + n\sqrt{A^2 - 4B^2 + \tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2 d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2 + d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2(A - 2B \cos(\Phi_{\mathbf{k},-} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k},+}))}} \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2A + \tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2 + d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2n\sqrt{A^2 - 4B^2 + \tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2 d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2 + d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2(A - 2B \cos(\Phi_{\mathbf{k},-} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k},+}))}} \end{aligned} \quad (466)$$

where $n = \pm$, $\tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}} = d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu$, $A = |\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}|^2 + |\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-}|^2$ and $B = |\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-}|$. We also denote

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k},e_Y} = |\Delta_{\mathbf{k},e_Y}| e^{i\Phi_{\mathbf{k},e_Y}}. \quad (467)$$

From the linearized gap equation discussed in Sec. VI H 2, we have shown that the inter-Chern-band channel will favor d-wave E_2 pairing with and the gap function ansatz

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_Y} = \Delta_{e_Y} \frac{k_{-e_Y}^2}{k^2 + b^2}, \quad (468)$$

where $e_Y = \pm$ and $\Delta_{e_Y} = |\Delta_{e_Y}| e^{i\varphi_{e_Y}}$. With this gap function ansatz, we find

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k},e_Y} = \varphi_{e_Y} - 2e_Y \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (469)$$

with the momentum $\mathbf{k} = k(\cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}}, \sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}})$ and

$$|\Delta_{\mathbf{k},e_Y}| = |\Delta_{e_Y}| \frac{k^2}{k^2 + b^2}. \quad (470)$$

For the above eigen-energy, the gapless points at zero energy require

$$\begin{aligned} (2A + \tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2 + d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2)^2 &= 4(A^2 - 4B^2 + \tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2 d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2 + d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2(A - 2B \cos(\Phi_{\mathbf{k},-} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k},+}))) \\ &\rightarrow 16B^2 + 4A\tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2 + (\tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2 - d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2)^2 + 8Bd_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2 \cos(\Phi_{\mathbf{k},-} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k},+}) = 0 \\ &\rightarrow (4B + \tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2 - d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2)^2 + 4\tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2(|\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}| - |\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-}|)^2 + 16Bd_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k},-} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k},+}}{2}\right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (471)$$

where we have used $A - 2B = (|\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}| - |\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-}|)^2$. One can see that all three terms in the above equation have to be equal or larger than 0, so the above equation can be satisfied for the following conditions, either (1)

$$4B + \tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2 = d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2; \quad (472)$$

$$|\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}| = |\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-}|; \quad (473)$$

$$\cos\left(\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k},-} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k},+}}{2}\right) = 0, \quad (474)$$

or (2)

$$4B = d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2; \quad (475)$$

$$\tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}} = 0; \quad (476)$$

$$\cos\left(\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k},-} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k},+}}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (477)$$

For the case (1), we find that Eq. (473) is just the condition of Euler pairing. Let us define $|\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}| = |\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-}| = \tilde{\Delta}_{0,k}$ and then Eq. (472) is simplified to

$$4\tilde{\Delta}_{0,k}^2 + \tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}}^2 = d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2, \quad (478)$$

which determines the the amplitude of the momentum for nodes. In addition, Eq. (474) requires

$$\begin{aligned} \cos\left(\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k},-} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k},+}}{2}\right) = 0 &\rightarrow \Phi_{\mathbf{k},-} - \Phi_{\mathbf{k},+} = 4\theta_{\mathbf{k}} + \varphi_- - \varphi_+ = (2n+1)\pi, \\ &\rightarrow \theta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{4}((2n+1)\pi + \varphi_+ - \varphi_-) \end{aligned} \quad (479)$$

with $n \in \mathcal{Z}$ (any integer number), which fixes the momentum angle $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$ for the location of the nodes in the 2D momentum space. Thus, we generally have point nodes in the 2D momentum space for the Hamiltonian (465), with their locations fixed by Eqs. (478) and (479).

For the case (2), both Eqs. (475) and (476) determine the required momentum amplitude for the nodes, which can not be satisfied in general. However, in the chiral limit $d_{0,\mathbf{k}} = 0$ and with zero chemical potential $\mu = 0$, $\tilde{d}_{0,\mathbf{k}} = 0$ for any momentum. In this case, Eq. (475) fixes the momentum amplitude and Eq. (477) gives the momentum angle of the nodes, so the gapless condition in this case does not require the Euler pairing. For any $(\Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}, \Delta_{\mathbf{k},-})$, the nodes can exist in the momentum space once the conditions (475) and (477) are satisfied.

In Fig. 17(a) and (c), we numerically calculate the energy spectrum of BdG Hamiltonian, which shows nodes for the Euler pairing and a full gap for the chiral d-wave pairing. In our numerical calculation, we choose Δ_{e_Y} to be real ($\varphi_{\pm} = 0$), so that $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} = \pm\frac{\pi}{4}, \pm\frac{3\pi}{4}$, and indeed we find the nodes are located at the diagonal lines in the k_x - k_y space in Fig. 17b. One can further check the amplitude of the momentum for the nodes and find that the locations of nodes for Euler pairing match the above two conditions (Eqs. (478) and (479)).

The linearized gap equation only tells us the pairing channels around T_c and for the intra-Chern-band channels, different components of the E_2 irrep pairings share the same T_c . To determine the exact pairing form for the temperature well below T_c , we apply the full self-consistent gap equation (429) to the intra-Chern-band channel, which takes the form

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1} = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',n} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{+e_1e_1} u_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1n} w_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1n}^* \quad (480)$$

at zero temperature. Now let us take the d-wave component ($U_{1,e_Y,\mathbf{k}}^* U_{1,e_Y,\mathbf{k}'}$) of the interaction in (363) and the gap function ansatz Eq. (468). The gap equation can be simplified to

$$\Delta_{e_1} = \frac{V_0}{N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',n} \frac{k_{e_1}^2}{k'^2 + b^2} u_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1n} w_{-\mathbf{k}',e_1n}^* \quad (481)$$

The above gap function ansatz and gap equation can also help to simplify the ground state energy and condensation energy for superconductivity. Let us consider the last term in the ground state energy of Eq. (446) for intra-Chern-band pairing, $e_1 = e_2$. With the ansatz (468), we find

$$4 \sum_{\mathbf{k}e_1n} u_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* w_{\mathbf{k},e_1n} \Delta_{\mathbf{k};e_1} = 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k}e_1n} u_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* w_{\mathbf{k},e_1n} \Delta_{e_1} \frac{k_{e_1}^2}{k^2 + b^2} = 4 \sum_{e_1} \Delta_{e_1} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{k},n} u_{\mathbf{k},e_1n} w_{\mathbf{k},e_1n}^* \frac{k_{e_1}^2}{k^2 + b^2} \right)^* = \frac{4N_M}{V_0} \sum_{e_1} |\Delta_{e_1}|^2, \quad (482)$$




FIG. 17. (a) and (b) The BdG spectrum and the BdG gap of nematic pairing. (c) and (d) The BdG spectrum and the BdG gap of chiral d-wave pairing. The chemical potential is chosen at $\mu = 0.1 \text{ meV}$.

where we have used the gap equation (481) in the last step. With this simplification, we can write the superconductor ground state energy and condensation energy for intra-Chern-band pairing as

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{tot} \rangle_s = 4 \left(\sum_{\mathbf{k}, n} E_{\mathbf{k}, n}^- - \mu N_M + \frac{N_M}{V_0} \sum_{e_1} |\Delta_{e_1}|^2 \right). \quad (483)$$

and

$$E_c = 4 \left(\sum_{\mathbf{k}, n} (E_{\mathbf{k}, n}^- - \xi_{\mathbf{k}, n}^-) + \frac{N_M}{V_0} \sum_{e_1} |\Delta_{e_1}|^2 \right). \quad (484)$$

The above gap equation can be solved numerically, as discussed in details in the main text. Our numerical calculations of the full self-consistent gap equation was performed in the chiral limit with $w_0 = 0$, but go beyond the flat-band limit. With the parameter set listed in the main text, we find the bandwidth of the nearly flat bands at $\theta = 1.06^\circ$ is less than 0.5 meV . It turns out that the superconductivity is quite sensitive to the band width of the flat bands. As shown in Fig. 9, we find the profile of the band dispersion for the nearly flat bands around the magic angle keeps similar and only the magnitudes of the band width are changing. Thus, for our numerical calculations,




FIG. 18. (A) Red circles and blue crosses show the gap functions Δ_+ and Δ_- of the self-consistent gap equation as a function of the chemical potential μ for the intra-Chern-band pairing. Black stars show the condensation energy E_c as a function of μ . (B) show the BdG spectrum for the chemical potential $\mu = 0.02$ meV. Here the bandwidth parameter $\xi = 1$ corresponding to 0.5 meV band width. Here $\theta = 1.06^\circ$.

we introduce a parameter ξ to manually tune the band width of the single particle Hamiltonian,

$$H_{BdG}^{+, \lambda}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & \xi d_{x,\mathbf{k}} & 2\lambda \Delta_{\mathbf{k},+} & 0 \\ \xi d_{x,\mathbf{k}} & -\mu & 0 & 2\lambda \Delta_{\mathbf{k},-} \\ 2\lambda \Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}^* & 0 & \mu & -\xi d_{x,\mathbf{k}} \\ 0 & 2\lambda \Delta_{\mathbf{k},-}^* & -\xi d_{x,\mathbf{k}} & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (485)$$

where $d_{0,\mathbf{k}} = 0$ in the chiral limit. We can numerically solve the self-consistent solutions of the gap functions from Eq. (481) and the corresponding condensation energies from Eq. (484), as shown in the Fig. 2 of the main text, where we choose $\xi = 0.6$ corresponding to a band width 0.3 meV. We also show the self-consistent solutions and ground state energy for $\xi = 1$ corresponding to a band width 0.5 meV in Fig. 18. In this case, all the superconducting regime is found to have nodes.

One surprising result is that the Euler pairing is always energetically favored, which is in sharp contrast to the chiral d-wave pairing in doped graphene[68, 69]. Below we will look at the chiral flat band limit $w_0 = 0$ with zero kinetic energy, in which $H_{BdG}^{+,+}(\mathbf{k})$ is block diagonal,

$$H_{BdG}^{+,+}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \Delta_{\mathbf{k},+} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Delta_{\mathbf{k},-} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k},+}^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{\mathbf{k},-}^\dagger & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (486)$$

so we can completely solve the problem. One can show that the eigen-energy spectrum and eigen-state of the BdG Hamiltonian $H_{BdG}^{+,+}(\mathbf{k})$ are given by

$$E_{e_1}^\pm = \pm |\Delta_{e_1}| \frac{k^2}{k'^2 + b^2}, \quad U_{\mathbf{k},e_1 e_2}^{(0)} = U_{\mathbf{k},e_1}^{(0)} \delta_{e_1 e_2}, \quad U_{\mathbf{k},e_1}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\varphi_{e_1} - 2e_1 \theta_{\mathbf{k}})} & -e^{i(\varphi_{e_1} - 2e_1 \theta_{\mathbf{k}})} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (487)$$

where $\Delta_{e_1} = |\Delta_{e_1}| e^{i\varphi_{e_1}}$ and $k_{e_1} = k_x + i e_1 k_y = k e^{ie_1 \theta_{\mathbf{k}}}$. The gap equation is then changed to

$$|\Delta_{e_1}| = \frac{V_0}{2N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{k'^2}{k'^2 + b^2} e^{i2e_1 \theta_{\mathbf{k}'}} e^{-i2e_1 \theta_{-\mathbf{k}'}} = \frac{V_0}{2N_M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{k'^2}{k'^2 + b^2}, \quad (488)$$

and the numerical evaluation of this integral gives $|\Delta_{e_1}| \approx 0.21$ meV. We note that the above expression is independent of the Chern-band index $e_1 = \pm$, which means that the amplitudes for the gap functions of the $e_1 = \pm$ channels should be equal to each other $|\Delta_+| = |\Delta_-|$, while the phases of the gap functions are arbitrary since they do not enter into the gap equation. Thus, the superconductivity here is always in the nematic phase of Euler pairing.

One can calculate the ground state energy in this limit. With Eq. (446), we find the ground state energy at $\mu = 0$ is

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{tot} \rangle_s = 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k}, e_1} E_{\mathbf{k}, e_1}^- + 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k} e_1 e_2 n} u_{\mathbf{k}, e_1 n}^* w_{\mathbf{k}, e_2 n} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}; e_1 e_2} = 4 \sum_{\mathbf{k}, e_1} E_{\mathbf{k}, e_1}^- + 4 N_M \sum_{e_1} \frac{|\Delta_{e_1}|^2}{V_0}, \quad (489)$$

In the last step of the above derivation, we have used the Eqs. (468) and the gap equation (481). With

$$E_{e, \mathbf{k}}^- = -|\Delta_{\mathbf{k}, e}|, \quad (490)$$

we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{tot} \rangle_s = -4 N_M \sum_e \frac{|\Delta_e|^2}{V_0}, \quad (491)$$

in which we have used Eq. (488). The above expression includes a summation over the Chern-band index e , so gapping out each channel will give rise to an energy saving of $4 N_M \frac{|\Delta_e|^2}{V_0}$. Therefore, in this chiral flat-band limit, due to the decoupling between two channels, we can easily see that the Euler pairing will be energetically favored compared to the chiral d-wave pairing.

6. Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian for the Inter-Chern-band Channel

In this section, we will apply the BdG formalism to the inter-Chern-band pairing channels. Inter-Chern-band pairing allows for both spin-singlet and spin-triplet pairings, which will be discussed separately below. For spin-singlet pairings, the gap function has the form

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \mathcal{S} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta^x i s_y, \quad (492)$$

and thus the BdG Hamiltonian is also block diagonal and we label each block by $\lambda = \pm$, of which the Hamiltonian reads

$$\begin{aligned} H_{BdG}^{+, \lambda}(\mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu) \zeta^0 + d_{x, \mathbf{k}} \zeta^x & 2\lambda \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta^x \\ 2\lambda \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^* \zeta^x & -(d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu) \zeta^0 - d_{x, \mathbf{k}} \zeta^x \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu & d_{x, \mathbf{k}} & 0 & 2\lambda \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \\ d_{x, \mathbf{k}} & d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu & 2\lambda \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\lambda \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^* & -(d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu) & -d_{x, \mathbf{k}} \\ 2\lambda \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^* & 0 & -d_{x, \mathbf{k}} & -(d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (493)$$

This Hamiltonian leads to the eigen-energy spectrum

$$E_{\mathbf{k}, n=\pm}^{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{(d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu + n d_{x, \mathbf{k}})^2 + |\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}. \quad (494)$$

Since the gap function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ for the inter-Chern-band channel has no nodes, the above BdG spectrum is thus fully gapped.

Next we consider the spin-triplet pairing with the gap function

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \mathcal{S} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta^y \mathcal{S}^{1M}, \quad (495)$$

with $M = 0, \pm 1$. If we only consider the unitary pairing $(\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \mathcal{S})^\dagger (\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \mathcal{S}) \propto I$, we can always rotate the spin basis of gap function to $\mathcal{S}^{10} = s_x$ since the single-particle Hamiltonian part is spin-independent. For the triplet component $\mathcal{S}^{10} = s_x$, the Hamiltonian is block diagonal and each block (labelled by λ) reads

$$\begin{aligned} H_{BdG}^{+, \lambda}(\mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu) \zeta^0 + d_{x, \mathbf{k}} \zeta^x & 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta^y \\ 2\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^* \zeta^y & -(d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu) \zeta^0 - d_{x, \mathbf{k}} \zeta^x \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu & d_{x, \mathbf{k}} & 0 & -2i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \\ d_{x, \mathbf{k}} & d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu & 2i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 \\ 0 & -2i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^* & -(d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu) & -d_{x, \mathbf{k}} \\ 2i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^* & 0 & -d_{x, \mathbf{k}} & -(d_{0, \mathbf{k}} - \mu) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (496)$$

The eigen energy spectrum of this Hamiltonian is given by

$$E_{\mathbf{k},n}^{\pm} = \pm \left(\sqrt{(d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu)^2 + |\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2} + n|d_{x,\mathbf{k}}| \right), \quad (497)$$

where $n = \pm$. The gapless condition of the above energy spectrum is

$$(d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu)^2 + |\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = d_{x,\mathbf{k}}^2. \quad (498)$$

Since there is only one constraint equation in 2D momentum space, we expect a nodal line for the inter-Chern-band triplet pairing channel.

The above two eigen-energies of the BdG Hamiltonian for spin singlet and triplet states will be the same in the flat-band limit and with zero chemical potential ($d_{0,\mathbf{k}} = d_{x,\mathbf{k}} = \mu = 0$). Then we expect singlet and triplet states will still be degenerate at zero temperature, and this is consistent with our continuous symmetry argument in Sec. VI G 2.

Next let's compare the ground state energy between singlet and triplet pairing in the limit of the weak pairing potential (the gap function is much smaller than the band width). In this case, we mainly compare the eigen-energy spectrum of the BdG Hamiltonian. From the eigen-energies (494) and (497), we find the energy difference is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_{\mathbf{k}} &= \sum_n (E_{\mathbf{k},n}^{-,singlet} - E_{\mathbf{k},n}^{-,triplet}) = \sum_n \left(-\sqrt{(d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu + nd_{x,\mathbf{k}})^2 + |\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2} + \left(\sqrt{(d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu)^2 + |\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2} + n|d_{x,\mathbf{k}}| \right) \right) \\ &= -\sqrt{(d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu + d_{x,\mathbf{k}})^2 + |\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2} - \sqrt{(d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu - d_{x,\mathbf{k}})^2 + |\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2} + 2\sqrt{(d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu)^2 + |\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2} \end{aligned} \quad (499)$$

In the limit $|d_{x,\mathbf{k}}| \gg |\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|, |d_{0,\mathbf{k}} - \mu|$, we have

$$\Delta E_{\mathbf{k}} \approx -2|d_{x,\mathbf{k}}| < 0, \quad (500)$$

and we would expect the singlet pairing should be energetically favored. This is consistent with our early conclusion that the singlet pairing will have higher T_c in the chiral non-flat band limit in Sec. VI H 3.

7. Estimate of screened Coulomb Interaction

In TBG, the Coulomb interaction takes the form

$$H_I = \frac{1}{2N_{tot}} \sum_{q \in MBZ, G \in \mathcal{Q}_0} V(q+G) \delta \rho_{q+G} \delta \rho_{-q-G}, \quad (501)$$

where $V(q) = \pi \xi^2 U_{\xi} \frac{\tanh(\xi q/2)}{(\xi q/2)}$, and

$$\delta \rho_{q+G} = \sum_{\eta s k n m} M_{nm}^{(\eta)}(k, q+G) \left(\gamma_{k+q,n,\eta,s}^{\dagger} \gamma_{k,m,\eta,s} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{q,0} \delta_{nm} \right) \quad (502)$$

with the form factor $M_{nm}^{(\eta)}(k, q+G) = \sum_{\alpha, Q_{l\eta}} (u_{k+q, Q_{l\eta}-G, \alpha, \eta}^n)^* u_{k, Q_{l\eta}, \alpha, \eta}^m$. The above expression has included the screening from external gates and we choose the interaction parameter $U_{\xi} = \frac{e^2}{\epsilon \xi} \approx 24 meV$ and the screening length $\xi \approx 10 nm$.

We next consider the bubble diagram for the Coulomb interaction, which describes from the screening of the Fermi surface of the nearly flat bands. We define the Green's function as

$$\mathcal{G}_{0,n}^{\eta s}(k, \tau) = -\langle T_{\tau} \gamma_{k,n,\eta,s}(\tau) \gamma_{k,n,\eta,s}^{\dagger}(0) \rangle \quad (503)$$

and its Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{G}_{0,n}^{\eta s}(k, i\omega_n) = \int_0^{\beta} d\tau e^{i\omega_n \tau} \mathcal{G}_{0,n}^{\eta s}(k, \tau). \quad (504)$$

The bubble diagram for electric susceptibility (Fig. 19) is then given by

$$\chi_0(q+G, i\nu_m) = \frac{1}{\beta N_0} \sum_{k, i\omega_n, nm\eta s} M_{nm}^{(\eta)}(k, q+G) \mathcal{G}_{0,m}^{\eta s}(k, i\omega_n) M_{mn}^{(\eta)}(k+q, -q-G) \mathcal{G}_{0,n}^{\eta s}(k+q, i\omega_n + i\nu_m) \quad (505)$$




FIG. 19. Bubble diagram for electric susceptibility.

and the screened Coulomb interaction is given by

$$V^{RPA}(q + G, i\nu_m) = \frac{V(q + G)}{1 - V(q + G)\chi_0(q + G, i\nu_m)}. \quad (506)$$

We can perform the frequency summation of $i\nu_n$ in χ_0 and the electric susceptibility is transformed as

$$\chi_0(q + G, i\nu_m) = \sum_{nm\eta s} \int_{MBZ} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{n_F(\varepsilon_{m,k}^{\eta s}) - n_F(\varepsilon_{n,k+q}^{\eta s})}{i\nu_m + \varepsilon_{m,k}^{\eta s} - \varepsilon_{n,k+q}^{\eta s}} |M_{nm}^{(\eta)}(k, q + G)|^2, \quad (507)$$

where $n_F(\varepsilon)$ is the Fermi distribution function and $\varepsilon_{n,k}^{\eta s}$ is the eigen-energy of the TBG Hamiltonian. Here we have used the Hermitian condition $M_{mn}^{(\eta)}(k + q, -q - G) = (M_{nm}^{(\eta)}(k, q + G))^*$.

With $\varepsilon_{m,k}^{\eta s} = \varepsilon_{m,k}^{\eta} = \varepsilon_{m,-k}^{-\eta}$, we can simplify both the summations over s and η as $\chi_0 = \chi_0^+(q + G) + \chi_0^-(q + G) = \chi_0^+(q + G) + \chi_0^+(-q - G)$, where

$$\chi_0^{\eta}(q + G, i\nu_m) = 2 \sum_{nm} \int_{MBZ} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{n_F(\varepsilon_{m,k}^{\eta s}) - n_F(\varepsilon_{n,k+q}^{\eta s})}{i\nu_m + \varepsilon_{m,k}^{\eta s} - \varepsilon_{n,k+q}^{\eta s}} |M_{nm}^{(\eta)}(k, q + G)|^2. \quad (508)$$

Thus, we only need to consider χ_0^+ part. Furthermore, we only consider static screening ($i\nu_m \rightarrow 0$) and the long wavelength limit $G = 0, q \rightarrow 0$, and the above expression can be simplified as

$$\chi_0^+(G) = 2 \sum_m \int_{MBZ} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\partial n_F(\varepsilon_{m,k}^+)}{\partial \varepsilon_{m,k}^+} |M_{mm}^{(+)}(k, G)|^2 + 2 \sum_{n \neq m} \int_{MBZ} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{n_F(\varepsilon_{m,k}^+) - n_F(\varepsilon_{n,k}^{\eta s})}{i\nu_m + \varepsilon_{m,k}^{\eta s} - \varepsilon_{n,k}^{\eta s}} |M_{nm}^{(\eta)}(k, G)|^2. \quad (509)$$

Here the first term is the intra-band contribution while the second term is the inter-band contribution. For $G = 0$, the form factor is $M_{mm}^{(+)}(k, G = 0) = 1$ and $M_{n \neq m}^{(+)}(k, G = 0) = 0$. Thus, we only need to consider the intra-band contribution for $G = 0$, so

$$\chi_0(E_f) = \chi_0^+ + \chi_0^- = 4 \sum_m \int_{MBZ} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\partial n_F(\varepsilon_{m,k}^+)}{\partial \varepsilon_{m,k}^+} = -4 \sum_m \int_{MBZ} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(\varepsilon_{m,k}^+ - E_f) = -4D(E_f), \quad (510)$$

where the last equality is for zero temperature and $D(E_f)$ is the density of state (DOS) per valley per spin at the Fermi energy E_f and the number 4 is from spin and valley. In the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, the screened Coulomb interaction is then given by

$$V^{RPA}(q \rightarrow 0) = \frac{V(q \rightarrow 0)}{1 - V(q \rightarrow 0)\chi_0(E_f)} \approx \frac{\pi \xi^2 U_{\xi}}{1 - \pi \xi^2 U_{\xi} \chi_0(E_f)} = \frac{\pi \xi^2 U_{\xi}}{1 + 4\pi \xi^2 U_{\xi} D(E_f)}. \quad (511)$$

We may give an estimate of the above expression with $U_{\xi} \approx 24 meV$ and $\xi \approx 10 nm$. We may estimate the average value of $D(E_f)$ as $\bar{D}(E_f) \approx \frac{A_{MBZ}}{(2\pi)^2 w_b} \approx 0.0021 nm^{-2} meV^{-1}$, where the band width w_b of the nearly flat bands is chosen

around 3meV (Fig. 20(a)), and the Moiré BZ area is $\mathcal{A}_{MBZ} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}k_\theta^2 \approx 0.25\text{nm}^{-2}$. Let's define relative dielectric constant as $V^{RPA}(q \rightarrow 0) = \frac{\pi\xi^2 U_\xi}{\epsilon}$, so $\bar{\epsilon} = 1 + 4\pi\xi^2 U_\xi D(E_f) \approx 65.4$. Thus, With the bubble diagram, we expect the averaged effective interaction strength, defined as $V^{RPA}(q \rightarrow 0) = \pi\xi^2 U_\xi^{RPA}$, is estimated as $U_\xi^{RPA} = \frac{U_\xi}{\bar{\epsilon}} \approx 0.4\text{meV}$.

The above estimate is only for an averaged value, but due to the rapid change of the DOS, we expect the screening effect will also be very different for different chemical potential. We may further numerically evaluate Eq. (510) and the calculated χ_0 , ϵ and U_ξ^{RPA} as a function of the Fermi energy E_f are shown in Fig. 20. We find near the peak of DOS, the effective Coulomb interaction strength U_ξ^{RPA} is indeed significantly suppressed.




FIG. 20. (a) Energy dispersion for two nearly flat bands of TBG ($w_0 = 0.7w_1$, $\theta = 1.05^\circ$). Here k_x and k_y are in the unit of k_θ . (b) Electric susceptibility χ_0 as a function of E_f ; (c) Relative dielectric constant ϵ as a function of E_f ; and (d) the RPA interaction strength U_ξ^{RPA} as a function of E_f .