POLYNOMIAL BOUNDS IN KOLDOBSKY'S DISCRETE SLICING PROBLEM

ANSGAR FREYER AND MARTIN HENK

ABSTRACT. In 2013, Koldobsky posed the problem to find a constant d_n , depending only on the dimension n, such that for any origin-symmetric convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists an (n-1)-dimensional linear subspace $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$|K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \le d_n |K \cap H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \operatorname{vol}(K)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

In this article we show that d_n is bounded from above by $c n^2 \omega(n)$, where c is an absolute constant and $\omega(n)$ is the flatness constant. Due to the best known upper bound on $\omega(n)$ this gives a $c n^{10/3} \log(n)^a$ bound on d_n where a is another absolute constant. This bound improves on former bounds which were exponential in the dimension.

1. INTRODUCTION

By a convex body we mean a non-empty convex compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The class of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by \mathcal{K}^n and the subclass of convex bodies that are origin-symmetric is denoted by \mathcal{K}_{os}^{n} .

The classical and central slicing problem in convex geometry due to Bourgain [4, 5] asks for the optimal constant $b_n > 0$ such that for any $K \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$ there exists a hyperplane H such that

(1.1)
$$\operatorname{vol}(K) \le b_n \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(K \cap H) \operatorname{vol}(K)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Here vol(S) denotes the volume, i.e., n-dimensional Lebesgue measure of $S \subset$ \mathbb{R}^n , and the *d*-dimensional volume of a set *S* contained in a *d*-dimensional affine plane is denoted by $vol_d(S)$.

It is conjectured that b_n in (1.1) is an absolute constant and the current best known bound due to a very recent announced result of Klartag [19] is of order $O(\sqrt{\log(n)})$. This conjecture is equivalent to a multitude of other problems in Convex Geometry and Geometric Analysis such as the isotropic constant conjecture. It is considered to be one of the major open problems in Convex Geometry and for more information we refer to [6, 7, 20, 27].

Koldobsky considered generalizations of (1.1) to arbitrary measures (see, e.g., [23, 24]). For instance, in [21, 22] it is shown that the best-possible constant $k_n > 0$ such that for any measure v with non-negative even continuous density on *K* there exists a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with

(1.2)
$$\nu(K) \le k_n \nu(K \cap H) \operatorname{vol}(K)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

is of order $O(\sqrt{n})$. While the measures considered in (1.2) are continuous, Koldobsky also asked for a discrete variant in a similar spirit. Here the problem is to determine the best possible constant $d_n > 0$ such that for any $K \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$ with dim $K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = n$ there exists a central hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., a hyperplane passing through the origin, with

$$G(K) \le d_n G(K \cap H) \operatorname{vol}(K)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where $G(K) = |K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$ is the lattice point enumerator. In [1] it was shown $d_n \in O(n2^n)$ and the best known lower bound is of order $\Omega(n)$ [1, Theorem 1.6]. The main reason for this exponential gap is the unfortunate circumstance that, even though *K* is origin-symmetric, the maximal (with respect to lattice points) hyperplane section does not need to pass through the origin. In fact, given a direction $y \neq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n the maximal affine hyperplane section of *K* orthogonal to *y* might contain 2^n times as many lattice points as the parallel section through the origin (see, e.g., [11, Lemma 1.3]).

On the other hand it is known [11, Theorem 1.4] that for $K \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$ there always exists an affine hyperplane *A* such that

$$\mathbf{G}(K)^{(n-1)/n} \le O(n)\mathbf{G}(K \cap A),$$

and in this paper we show that there exists a (not necessarily parallel) central hyperplane *H* such that $G(K \cap H)$ does not deviate too much from $G(K \cap A)$. To this end we have to distinguish between between "large" and "small" affine sections $K \cap A$, measured with respect to the covering radius.

The covering radius in turn is related to the well-known flatness constant $\omega(n)$, which is one of the main ingredients of our main result. For precise definitions we refer to Section 2. In order to get a polynomial bound on d_n , we need, in particular, the following bound on $\omega(n)$ (see [3, 31])

(1.3)
$$\omega(n) \le O(n^{4/3} \log(n)^a),$$

where a > 0 is an absolute constant.

Theorem 1.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, dim K = n, with centroid at the origin and let $k \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$. There exists a k-dimensional central plane $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}(K)^{\frac{k}{n}} \leq O(\omega(n))^{n-k} O\left(\max\left\{\left(\frac{n+1}{k+1}\right)^k, \omega(k) \, k \, n\right\}\right) \mathcal{G}(K \cap L).$$

As a special case of our investigation and (1.3), we obtain the desired polynomial upper bound for d_n in Koldobsky's discrete slicing problem (1.2).

Corollary 1.2. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$ with dim $K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = n$. There exists a central hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}(K) \le \mathcal{O}(n^2 \,\omega(n)) \mathcal{G}(K \cap H) \operatorname{vol}(K)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

In particular,

(1.4)
$$G(K) \le O(n^{10/3} \log(n)^a) G(K \cap H) \operatorname{vol}(K)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

It is quite likely that the right order is linear in the dimension which would also coincide with a result of Regev [29] where by a randomized construction it is shown $d_n \in O(n)$ provided the volume of *K* is at most c^{n^2} , where *c* is an absolute constant.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Here we provide further necessary concepts and results from Convex Geometry and Geometry of Numbers which we need for our proof. For more information we refer to the books [2, 13, 14, 15, 32].

2

Regarding the volume of convex bodies, we will need two classical inequalities. First, we make use of the following bound due to Kuperberg [25] in the so called reverse Blaschke-Santaló inequality

(2.1)
$$\frac{\pi^n}{n!} < \operatorname{vol}(K^*) \operatorname{vol}(K),$$

where $K \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^{n}$ and $K^{\star} = \{y : x \cdot y \le 1, \text{ for all } x \in K\}$ denotes the polar body of *K*. The famous Mahler conjecture states that the optimal bound is $4^{n}/n!$ (see, e.g., [10]).

Secondly, we utilize a well-known result by Rogers and Shephard [30] which allows us to compare the volume of $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ to the volume of its difference body $K - K \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$:

(2.2)
$$\operatorname{vol}(K-K) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \operatorname{vol}(K).$$

The bound is attained if and only if *K* is a simplex, and we note that $\binom{2n}{n} < 4^n$.

We recall that a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a discrete subgroup of \mathbb{R}^n . If dim $\Lambda = d$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$ is contained in the linear hull of Λ , i.e, $K \subset \ln \Lambda$, then for $1 \le i \le d$, the *i*th successive minimum of *K* with respect to Λ is given by

$$\lambda_i(K,\Lambda) = \min\{\lambda \ge 0: \dim(\lambda K \cap \Lambda) \ge i\}.$$

The successive minima are related to the volume by Minkowski's second theorem [14, Theorem 23.1]:

(2.3)
$$\lambda_1(K,\Lambda)\cdots\lambda_d(K,\Lambda)\operatorname{vol}_d(K) \le 2^d \det\Lambda,$$

where det Λ is the determinant of the lattice, i.e., the *d*-dimensional volume of a fundamental domain of the action of Λ on lin Λ . For $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ we denote by

$$G_{\Lambda}(K) = |K \cap \Lambda|$$

the number of its lattice points, and in the case $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ we just write G(K). In order to bound $G_{\Lambda}(K)$ of a convex body $K \subset \ln \Lambda$ in terms of the number of lattice points of lower dimensional sections we need its lattice width with respect to Λ which is given by

(2.4)
$$w_{\Lambda}(K) = \min_{y \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} \max_{x_1, x_2 \in K} (x_1 - x_2) \cdot y.$$

It can be also expressed as

$$w_{\Lambda}(K) = \lambda_1((K-K)^{\star}, \Lambda^{\star}),$$

where $\Lambda^* = \{y \in \text{lin } \Lambda: x \cdot y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } x \in \Lambda\}$ is the polar lattice of Λ . In particular, we have $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^* = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

A *k*-dimensional plane will be called a lattice plane if it contains k + 1 affinely independent points of Λ . The orthogonal complement L^{\perp} of a *k*-dimensional lattice plane *L* containing the origin is an (n-k)-dimensional lattice plane of Λ^* . The $(\dim \Lambda - 1)$ -dimensional lattice planes are called lattice hyperlanes and can be parameterized via the primitive vectors of Λ^* , i.e., such a lattice hyperlane *H* is given by

$$H(y,\beta) = \{x \in \ln \Lambda \colon x \cdot y = \beta\}$$

where $y \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}$ is a generator of the 1-dimensional lattice $\lim \{y\} \cap \Lambda^*$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. The lattice Λ can be decomposed as

$$\Lambda = \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\Lambda \cap H(y, \beta) \right),$$

where none of the sections is empty. From (2.4), we can see that $w_{\Lambda}(K)$ describes, up to a rounding, the minimum number of parallel lattice planes intersecting *K*. In particular, we have

(2.5)
$$G_{\Lambda}(K) \le (w_{\Lambda}(K) + 1)G_{\Lambda}(K \cap H(\overline{y}^{\star}, \beta)),$$

where $\overline{y}^* \in \lambda_1((K-K)^*, \Lambda^*)(K-K)^*$ and $\overline{\beta}$ is chosen such that $G_{\Lambda}(K \cap H(\overline{y}^*, \beta))$ is maximized among $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. If dim $(K \cap \Lambda) = \dim \Lambda$ we have $w_{\Lambda}(K) \ge 1$ and, thus, (2.5) yields

(2.6)
$$G_{\Lambda}(K) \le 2 w_{\Lambda}(K) G_{\Lambda}(K \cap H(\overline{y}^{\star}, \beta))$$

for such convex bodies.

The final lattice parameter that we take into account is the covering radius $\mu_{\Lambda}(K)$ of *K*. It is commonly defined as

$$\mu_{\Lambda}(K) = \min\{\mu \ge 0 \colon \mu K + \Lambda = \ln \Lambda\}.$$

Due to a result of Khinchine [18], there exists a constant depending only on the dimension *d* of Λ that bounds the product $w_{\Lambda}(K)\mu_{\Lambda}(K)$ from above for all convex bodies $K \subset \ln \Lambda$. The smallest number $\omega(d)$ with

(2.7)
$$W_{\Lambda}(K) \mu_{\Lambda}(K) \le \omega(d)$$

is the so-called flatness constant. To this day, the best known upper bound on $\omega(d)$ stated in (1.3) follows from a result of Rudelson [31] which in turn builds upon [3]. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\omega(d) \ge d$ and the current best lower bound is due to a recent result of Mayrhofer, Schade and Weltge [26], showing that $\omega(d) \ge 2d - o(d)$. Moreover, $\omega(d)$ is monotonous in d as can be seen by extending a d-dimensional lattice Λ to a (d + 1)-dimensional lattice $\overline{\Lambda}$ via $\overline{\Lambda} = \Lambda \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_{d+1}$ and replacing $K \subseteq \ln \Lambda$ by $\overline{K} = K \times [0, \omega(d-1)] \cdot e_{d+1}$: it is $w_{\overline{\Lambda}}(\overline{K}) = w_{\Lambda}(K)$ and $\mu_{\overline{\Lambda}}(\overline{K}) = \mu_{\Lambda}(K)$.

Since the lattice width and covering radius are translation invariant, their definition and properties extend naturally to affine lattice $\Lambda + t$, where $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, together with convex bodies $K \subset t + \ln \Lambda$.

Another key ingredient of our proofs is the following result that has been obtained recently in [12, Proposition 1.6] (where the lower bound was proven independently by Dadush [8]):

(2.8)
$$(1 - \mu_{\Lambda}(K))^d \frac{\operatorname{vol}_d(K)}{\det \Lambda} \le G_{\Lambda}(K) \le \frac{\operatorname{vol}_d(K)}{\det \Lambda} (1 + \mu_{\Lambda}(K))^d.$$

For the lower bound it is necessary to assume $\mu_{\Lambda}(K) \leq 1$. Although (2.8) is stated in [12] only for the case $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$, the above generalization follows easily by applying a linear isomorphism that maps \mathbb{Z}^d to Λ .

For the sake of brevity, if $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$, we write $\mu_{\Lambda}(K) = \mu(K)$ and, likewise, $\lambda_i(K, \Lambda) = \lambda_i(K)$ and $w(K) = w_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(K)$. Affine planes containing the origin will be called central planes.

3. AFFINE SLICES

In [11] it was already shown that for any $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ there exists an affine hyperplane $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq O(n^2)\mathcal{G}(K \cap A).$$

Here we refine this inequality by replacing the constant $O(n^2)$ with $O(\omega(n))$. More generally, we show the following.

Theorem 3.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, $n \ge 2$, and let $k \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$. There exists a k-dimensional affine plane $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

(3.1)
$$G(K)^{\frac{\kappa}{n}} \le O(\omega(n))^{n-k} G(K \cap A).$$

Before we come to its proof, we remark that Rabinowitz [28] settled the case k = 1 as he showed that for any convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ there exists a line $\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$(3.2) G(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} \le G(K \cap \ell).$$

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $n \ge 2$, $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with dim K = n and let $m \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$. Then we have

$$\left(\lambda_1((K-K)^{\star})\cdots\lambda_m((K-K)^{\star})\right)^n \leq \left(n!\left(\frac{8}{\pi}\right)^n \operatorname{vol}(K)\right)^n$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_i^* = \lambda_i((K - K)^*), 1 \le i \le n$. As

$$(\lambda_1^{\star}\cdots\lambda_m^{\star})^n \leq (\lambda_1^{\star}\cdots\lambda_n^{\star})^m,$$

Minkowski's second theorem (2.3) yields

$$(\lambda_1^{\star}\cdots\lambda_m^{\star})^n \leq \frac{2^n}{\operatorname{vol}((K-K)^{\star})}.$$

The bound now follows by first applying Kuperbergs reverse Blaschke-Santaló inequality (2.1) to vol($(K - K)^*$), followed by the Rogers-Shephard inequality (2.2).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We prove (3.1) by induction on the ambient dimension n and so we may assume dim $(K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) = n$. In view of (3.2), we may also assume $k \ge 2$. We distinguish two cases depending on the lattice width of K.

First we assume that $w(K) \le \omega(n) + n$. Let $H = H(\overline{y}^*, \overline{\beta})$ as in (2.6). Then we have

(3.3)
$$G(K) \le O(w(K))G(K \cap H) = O(\omega(n))G(K \cap H).$$

If k = n - 1 we are done, so we can assume k < n - 1. By induction, there exists a k-dimensional affine plane $A \subset H$ with

(3.4)
$$G(K \cap H)^{\frac{k}{n-1}} \le O(\omega(n-1))^{n-1-k} G(K \cap A).$$

Substituting (3.4) into (3.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}(K) &\leq O(\omega(n))^{1 + \frac{(n-1)(n-k-1)}{k}} \mathbf{G}(K \cap A)^{\frac{n-1}{k}} \\ &\leq O(\omega(n))^{\frac{n(n-k)}{k}} \mathbf{G}(K \cap A)^{\frac{n}{k}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the monotonicity of the flatness constant. Taking powers, we obtain

$$G(K)^{\frac{k}{n}} \le O(\omega(n))^{n-k} G(K \cap A)$$

as desired.

Next, we assume that $w(K) \ge \omega(n) + n$. In this case, (2.7) implies

(3.5)
$$\mu(K) \le \frac{\omega(n)}{\omega(n) + n} < 1.$$

Let $y_1, \ldots, y_{n-k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ be linearly independent with

$$y_i \in \lambda_i((K-K)^*)(K-K)^* \cap \mathbb{Z}^n, 1 \le i \le n-k,$$

and let $L = \lim\{y_1, \dots, y_{n-k}\}$. Moreover, let $\widetilde{K} = K|L$ the orthogonal projection of K onto L and we also consider the lattice $\widetilde{\Lambda} = \mathbb{Z}^n | L$.

As pointed out in [17, Proposition 4.1] we have

(3.6)
$$G_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}(\widetilde{K}) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_i ((\widetilde{K} - \widetilde{K})^{\star}, \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\star}) + 1 \right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} \lambda_i ((\widetilde{K} - \widetilde{K})^{\star}, \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\star}),$$

where for the last inequality we exploit the fact that $w(K) \ge 1/2$. The polarity operations above on \widetilde{K} and $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ are carried out within the space *L* and so we have

$$(\widetilde{K} - \widetilde{K})^* = (K - K)^* \cap L, \qquad \widetilde{\Lambda}^* = \mathbb{Z}^n \cap L.$$

Hence, by the choice of *L* we have $\lambda_i((\widetilde{K} - \widetilde{K})^*, \widetilde{\Lambda}^*) = \lambda_i((K-K)^*)$ for $1 \le i \le n-k$ and therefore, (3.6) and Lemma 3.2 yields

(3.7)
$$G_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}(\widetilde{K}) \le O(n)^{n-k} \operatorname{vol}(K)^{\frac{n-k}{n}}$$

In view of (3.5) we can apply the volume approximation by the covering radius (2.8) and obtain

$$\operatorname{vol}(K) \le (1 - \mu(K))^{-n} \operatorname{G}(K) \le \left(\frac{\omega(n) + n}{n}\right)^{n} \operatorname{G}(K).$$

Combining this with (3.7) gives

$$G_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}(\widetilde{K}) \leq O(\omega(n))^{n-k} G(K)^{\frac{n-k}{n}}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{G}(K) &= \sum_{x \in \widetilde{K} \cap \widetilde{\Lambda}} \mathbf{G}(K \cap (x + L^{\perp})) \\ &\leq \mathbf{G}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}(\widetilde{K}) \max_{x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}} \mathbf{G}(K \cap (x + L^{\perp})) \\ &\leq O(\omega(n))^{n-k} \mathbf{G}(K)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \max_{x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}} \mathbf{G}(K \cap (x + L^{\perp})) \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{G}(K)^{\frac{\kappa}{n}} \le O(\omega(n))^{n-k} \mathcal{G}(K \cap A),$$

where $A = \tilde{x} + L^{\perp}$ for some $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ is a *k*-dimensional lattice plane such that $G(K \cap A) = \max_{x \in \tilde{\Lambda}} G(K \cap (x + L^{\perp}))$.

4. FROM AFFINE TO CENTRAL SLICES

For an origin-symmetric convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$ the classical concavity principle of Brunn states that for any *k*-dimensional plane *A*

(4.1)
$$\operatorname{vol}_k(K \cap A) \le \operatorname{vol}_k(K \cap (A - A)),$$

where A - A is the central plane parallel to A passing through the origin (see, e.g., [2, Theorem 1.2.1]). If the centroid of a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ is at the origin, i.e., we have $0 = \operatorname{vol}(K)^{-1} \int_K x \, dx$, we will call the body K centered. For those bodies the following analogue of (4.1) has been obtained by Grünbaum [16] for k = n-1 and by Fradelizi [9] for general k:

(4.2)
$$\operatorname{vol}_{k}(K \cap A) \leq \left(\frac{n+1}{k+1}\right)^{k} \operatorname{vol}_{k}(K \cap (A-A)).$$

For k = n - 1, the constant in the above inequality is bounded from above by e. In the discrete setting, however, this factor must be replaced by 2^{n-1} (even in the symmetric case) as the example $K = \operatorname{conv}(\pm([0, 1]^{n-1} \times \{1\}))$ with $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n = 1\}$ shows (see, e.g., [1, 11]). Nonetheless, we will show that a central plane containing "many" lattice points does still exist.

Proposition 4.1. Let $n \ge 2$, $k \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$, and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a k-dimensional plane.

i) Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, dim K = n, be centered. Then there exists a k-dimensional central plane $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$G(K \cap A) \le O\left(\max\left\{\left(\frac{n+1}{k+1}\right)^k, k \, n \, \omega(k)\right\}\right) G(K \cap L).$$

ii) Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^{n}$, dim K = n. Then there exists a k-dimensional central plane $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$G(K \cap A) \le O(\omega(k)k) G(K \cap L).$$

In both bounds, if $k \in O(1)$, the asymptotic order of the constant is the same as in the corresponding continuous inequalities (4.1) and (4.2), respectively. Moreover, for k = n - 1 the maximum in i) is of order $O(\omega(n)n^2)$.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n \cap A$. We may assume that Λ is a *k*-dimensional (affine) lattice.

For i), we first assume that

(4.3)
$$\mu_{\Lambda}(K \cap A) \le \frac{1}{(k+1)(n+2)}$$

Let L = A - A and let $\Lambda_0 = \mathbb{Z}^n \cap L$. Then $\Lambda = t + \Lambda_0$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and as *K* is centered we have $(K - K) \subseteq (n + 1)K$ (cf. [32, Lemma 2.3.3]). Hence,

$$(4.4) (K \cap A) - (K \cap A) \subseteq (n+1)(K \cap L),$$

and as the covering radius is translation invariant and homogeneous of degree (-1) we obtain

(4.5)
$$\mu_{\Lambda_0}(K \cap L) \le (n+1)\mu_{\Lambda}(K \cap A) < 1.$$

Next we apply again the volume approximation via the covering radius (2.8) and together with (4.2) and on account of (4.5) and det $\Lambda = \det \Lambda_0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}(K \cap A) &\leq \frac{\mathrm{vol}_k(K \cap A)}{\det \Lambda} (1 + \mu(K \cap A, \Lambda))^k \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n+1}{k+1}\right)^k \frac{\mathrm{vol}(K \cap L)}{\det \Lambda} (1 + \mu(K \cap A, \Lambda))^k \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n+1}{k+1}\right)^k \left(\frac{1 + \mu_\Lambda(K \cap A)}{1 - \mu_{\Lambda_0}(K \cap L)}\right)^k \mathbf{G}(K \cap L) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n+1}{k+1}\right)^k \left(\frac{1 + \mu_\Lambda(K \cap A)}{1 - (n+1)\mu_\Lambda(K \cap A)}\right)^k \mathbf{G}(K \cap L). \end{aligned}$$

(4.6)

With
$$(4.3)$$
 we find

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{1+\mu_{\Lambda}(K\cap A)}{1-(n+1)\mu_{\Lambda}(K\cap A)}\right)^{k} &\leq \left(\frac{(k+1)(n+2)+1}{(k+1)(n+2)-(n+1)}\right)^{k} \\ &= \left(\frac{(k+1)(n+2)+1}{k(n+2)+1}\right)^{k} \leq \left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{k} \leq \mathbf{e}. \end{split}$$

Combining this with (4.6) yields

(4.7)
$$G(K \cap A) \le O\left(\left(\frac{n+1}{k+1}\right)^k\right) G(K \cap L)$$

Now suppose that (4.3) does not hold. Then (2.7) gives

 $w(K \cap A) \le \omega(k) \, (k+1) \, (n+2).$

In the special case that $\dim(K \cap A \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) < k$, it suffices to consider the central plane $L = \lim(K \cap A \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$ whose dimension is at most k. For this choice of L we clearly have $G(K \cap A) \le G(K \cap L)$. We are done after extending L to a linear k-space, if necessary.

So we can assume that $K \cap A$ contains k affinely independent lattice points. Thus, it follows from (2.6) that there exists an affine (k-1)-dimensional lattice plane $\widetilde{A} \subseteq A$ such that

(4.8)

$$G(K \cap A) \le O(w(K \cap A))G(K \cap A)$$

$$\le O(\omega(k) k n)G(K \cap \ln \widetilde{A}).$$

If $0 \in \widetilde{A} \subset A$, then *A* is a linear space itself and the statement of the proposition is obvious. Otherwise, $\lim \widetilde{A}$ is an *k*-dimensional central plane. Taking the maximum of the upper bounds in (4.7) and (4.8) yields the claim in the centered case.

The argument for ii) follows the same lines. Here we replace the threshold value $\frac{1}{(n+2)(k+1)}$ in the case distinction (4.3) by $\frac{1}{3(k+1)}$. Since *K* is symmetric, we can improve the inclusion bound (4.4) to

$$\mu_{\Lambda_0}(K \cap L) \le 2\mu_{\Lambda}(K \cap A).$$

Moreover, we can estimate the volume of the affine section against the volume of the central section using Brunn's concavity principle (4.1) instead of Fradelizi's bound (4.2). With these improvements, the bound in (4.7) becomes

$$\mathcal{G}(K \cap A) \le \mathcal{O}(1)\mathcal{G}(K \cap L).$$

On the other hand, due to the new threshold value in (4.3), the bound in (4.8) is

$$G(K \cap A) \le O(\omega(k) k) G(K \cap L).$$

From here on, the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 1.2 can be obtained easily by first considering a large affine slice and then estimate it against a central one using Proposition 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. With the help of Theorem 3.1 we obtain an affine plane $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension *k* such that

$$G(K)^{\frac{k}{n}} \leq O(\omega(n))^{n-k} G(K \cap A).$$

Proposition 4.1 i) yields a linear *k*-dimensional central plane $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$G(K \cap A) \le O\left(\max\left\{\left(\frac{n+1}{k+1}\right)^k, \omega(k) k n\right\}\right) G(K \cap L).$$

The theorem is proven.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Lemma 3.2 with m = 1 tells us that

$$W(K) \le O(n) \operatorname{vol}(K)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Hence, (2.6) gives the existence of an affine hyperplane A such that

(4.9) $G(K) \le O(w(K))G(K \cap A) \le O(n)G(K \cap A)\operatorname{vol}(K)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$

Applying Proposition 4.1 ii) to $G(K \cap A)$ shows that there exists a central hyperplane *H* with

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{G}(K \cap A) &\leq O\left(n\omega(n)\right) \mathbf{G}(K \cap H) \\ &\leq O\left(n^{7/3}\log(n)^a\right) \mathbf{G}(K \cap H), \end{split}$$

where for the last inequality we used the bound (1.3).

Clearly, any strengthening of the flatness theorem (1.3) directly yields an improvement of (1.4) in Corollary 1.2. On the other hand, the affine estimate (4.9) is sharp as the cross-polytope $C_n^* = \operatorname{conv}\{\pm e_1, \ldots, \pm e_n\}$, where e_i denotes the *i*th standard basis vector, shows. C_n^* contains 2n + 1 lattice points, its vertices together with the origin. Its volume is $2^n/n!$. Moreover, it is easy to check that any hyperplane section of C_n^* can contain at most 2n - 1 lattice points of C_n^* . This value is attained by the coordinate sections $C_n^* \cap e_i^{\perp}$. Hence,

$$\frac{\mathrm{G}(C_n^{\star})}{\max_A \mathrm{G}(C_n^{\star} \cap A) \operatorname{vol}(C_n^{\star})^{\frac{1}{n}}} = O(n),$$

where *A* ranges over all affine hyperplanes. This shows that the linear order in (4.9) cannot be improved.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Ansgar Freyer is partially supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Project P34446-N.

ANSGAR FREYER AND MARTIN HENK

References

- [1] M. Alexander, M. Henk, and A. Zvavitch. A discrete version of Koldobsky's slicing inequality. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 222(1):261–278, 2017.
- [2] S. Artstein-Avidan, A. Giannopoulos, and V.-D. Milman. *Asymptotic Geometric Analysis. Part I.* AMS, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [3] W. Banaszczyk, A. E. Litvak, A. Pajor, and S. J. Szarek. The flatness theorem for nonsymmetric convex bodies via the local theory of Banach spaces. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 24(3):728–750, 1999.
- [4] J. Bourgain. On high-dimensional maximal functions associated to convex bodies. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 108(6):1467–1476, 1986.
- [5] J. Bourgain. Geometry of Banach spaces and harmonic analysis. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986)*, pages 871–878. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [6] S. Brazitikos, A. Giannopoulos, P. Valettas, and B.-H. Vritsiou. *Geometry of isotropic convex bodies*, volume 196 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014.
- [7] Y. Chen. An almost constant lower bound of the isoperimetric coefficient in the KLS conjecture. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 31(1):34–61, 2021.
- [8] D. Dadush. Integer programming, lattice algorithms, and deterministic volume Estimation. Georgia Institute of Technology, USA, 2012.
- [9] M. Fradelizi. Sections of convex bodies through their centroid. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 69:515–522, 1997.
- [10] M. Fradelizi, M. Meyer, and A. Zvavitch. Volume product. *arXiv:2301.06131*, 2023.
- [11] A. Freyer and M. Henk. Bounds on the lattice point enumerator via slices and projections. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 67:895–918, 2022.
- [12] A. Freyer and E. Lucas. Interpolating between volume and lattice point enumerator with successive minima. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 198:717–740, 2022.
- [13] R. J. Gardner. *Geometric tomography*, volume 58 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, New York, second edition, 2006.
- [14] P. M. Gruber. Convex and discrete geometry, volume 336 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [15] P. M. Gruber and C. G. Lekkerkerker. *Geometry of numbers*, volume 37 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, second edition, 1987.
- [16] B. Grünbaum. Partitions of mass-distribution and of convex bodies by hyperplanes. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 10(4):1257–1261, 1960.
- [17] M. Henk, M. Schymura, and F. Xue. Packing minima and lattice points in convex bodies. *Moscow Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory*, 10(1):25–48, 2021.
- [18] A. Khinchine. A quantitative formulation of the approximation theory of Kronecker. *Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.*, 12:113–122, 1948.
- [19] B. Klartag. Logarithmic bounds for isoperimetry and slices of convex sets. *arXiv:2303.14938*, 2023.
- [20] B. Klartag and J. Lehec. Bourgain's slicing problem and KLS isoperimetry up to polylog. Geometric and Functional Analysis, 32(5):1134–1159, 2022.
- [21] B. Klartag and G. V. Livshyts. The lower bound for Koldobsky's slicing inequality via random rounding. In *Geometric aspects of functional analysis. Vol. II*, volume 2266 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 43–63. Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [22] A. Koldobsky. A \sqrt{n} estimate for measures of hyperplane sections of convex bodies. Advances in Mathematics, 254:33–40, 2014.
- [23] A. Koldobsky. Estimates for measures of sections of convex bodies. In *Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis*, volume 2116 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 261–271. Springer, Cham, Cham, 2014.
- [24] A. Koldobsky. Slicing inequalities for measures of convex bodies. *Advances in Mathematics*, 283:473–488, 2015.
- [25] G. Kuperberg. From the Mahler conjecture to Gauss linking integrals. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 18(3):870–892, 2009.

- [26] L. Mayrhofer, J. Schade, and S. Weltge. Lattice-free simplices with lattice width 2d o(d). In Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization: 23rd International Conference, IPCO 2022, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, June 27–29, 2022, Proceedings, page 375–386, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2022. Springer-Verlag.
- [27] V. D. Milman and A. Pajor. Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed n-dimensional space. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1376:64–104, 1989.
- [28] S. Rabinowitz. A theorem about collinear lattice points. Utilitas Mathematica. An International Journal of Discrete and Combinatorial Mathematics, and Statistical Design, 36:93–95, 1989.
- [29] O. Regev. A note on Koldobsky's lattice slicing inequality. *arXiv:1608.04945*, 2016.
- [30] C. A. Rogers and G. C. Shephard. Convex bodies associated with a given convex body. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 33:270–281, 1958.
- [31] M. Rudelson. Distances between non-symmetric convex bodies and the MM^* -estimate. *Positivity*, 4:161–178, 2000.
- [32] R. Schneider. *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, volume 151 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, expanded edition, 2014.

Technische Universität Wien, Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10/1046, A-1040 Wien

Email address: ansgar.freyer@tuwien.ac.at

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, SEKR. MA4-1, STRASSE DES 17 JUNI 136, D-10623 BERLIN

Email address: henk@math.tu-berlin.de