

Diffusion Maps for Group-Invariant Manifolds

Paulina Hoyos and Joe Kileel

April 4, 2023[†]

Abstract

In this article, we consider the manifold learning problem when the data set is invariant under the action of a compact Lie group K . Our approach consists in augmenting the data-induced graph Laplacian by integrating over the K -orbits of the existing data points, which yields a K -invariant graph Laplacian L . We prove that L can be diagonalized by using the unitary irreducible representation matrices of K , and we provide an explicit formula for computing its eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. In addition, we show that the normalized Laplacian operator L_N converges to the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the data manifold with an improved convergence rate, where the improvement grows with the dimension of the symmetry group K . This work extends the steerable graph Laplacian framework of Landa and Shkolnisky from the case of $\text{SO}(2)$ to arbitrary compact Lie groups.

1 Introduction

In manifold learning, a given data set $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$ is assumed to lie on or near a low-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} of dimension $d \ll D$. Tools in this field have important applications in data analysis, including dimensionality reduction [1, 19, 21], semi-supervised learning [8, 13], function approximation [5, 17] and denoising [10]. A prevalent approach to manifold learning is based on constructing a weighted graph whose vertices are the elements of \mathcal{X} and where edges are assigned weights according to the affinity of the pairs of points in question. More precisely, edge $\{x_i, x_j\}$ is assigned the weight $W_{ij} = K_\varepsilon(\|x_i - x_j\|)$, where K_ε is a kernel function, commonly chosen to be the Gaussian kernel $W_{ij} = \exp(-\|x_i - x_j\|/\varepsilon)$. The graph Laplacian L is then defined to act on functions $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$Lf(x_i) = D_{ii}f(x_i) - \sum_{j=1}^N W_{ij}f(x_j),$$

where $D_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^N W_{ij}$. After suitable normalization, as $N \rightarrow \infty$ the graph Laplacian L approximates the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ [19] of the data manifold \mathcal{M} and its eigenvectors converge to the eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ [2]. Methods that use the graph Laplacian include the spectral embedding techniques of diffusion maps [7] and Laplacian eigenmaps [2].

There are important applications where the data points are each subject to an unknown rotation or reflection, or more generally the action of an unknown group element [9]. For example, consider 2D tomographic images in cryo-electron microscopy [16], which are subject to 2D rigid transformations, or 3D subtomograms in cryo-electron tomography [12], which are subject to spatial rotations. For data sets like these, it is most natural to assume that the underlying data manifold is closed under the group action. The question arises: how should we incorporate symmetries into the graph Laplacian framework?

This paper gives a principled answer to this question. In practice data augmentation is common [4], however adding in random points from each observation's orbits runs the risk of becoming too computationally expensive. Instead, we extend a framework of Landa and Shkolnisky [10] to arbitrary compact Lie groups. This achieves infinite data augmentation efficiently, through analytic integration over the group orbits. We

*Authors' emails: paulinah@utexas.edu, jkileel@math.utexas.edu

[†]Just prior to posting the first version of this preprint, the authors became aware of similar and simultaneous results achieved by Rosen, Cheng and Shkolnisky [14]. Both teams conducted their research independently.

construct the K -invariant graph Laplacian L where K is the underlying symmetry group in Section 3. The main result is Theorem 3.4, where we characterize the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of L and show how to compute them. Then in Section 4 we prove Theorem 4.2, which states that the normalized graph Laplacian $L_N = D^{-1}L$ converges pointwise to the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathcal{M} . Moreover, we prove that the variance term of the convergence scales with $d - \dim(K)$ rather than d in the case of symmetry-unaware diffusion maps. This implies an improved sample complexity rate for computations with the graph Laplacian.

2 Setting

Let \mathcal{M} be a compact d -dimensional smooth manifold without boundary embedded in \mathbb{R}^D . Consider data points $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$ sampled independently from the uniform probability distribution $p(x)$ on \mathcal{M} .

To encode the symmetries of \mathcal{M} , we let K be a compact Lie group acting linearly and by isometries on \mathbb{R}^D , and we assume \mathcal{M} is K -invariant: for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\kappa \in K$, it holds that $\kappa \cdot x \in \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, we assume that the action of K on \mathcal{M} is *generically free*, meaning that the set of points in \mathcal{M} whose stabilizer is nontrivial has measure zero. In other words, if \mathcal{M}' is the subset of \mathcal{M} where K acts freely, then $\mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{M}'$ has measure zero. In particular, integrating over \mathcal{M}' is the same as integrating over \mathcal{M} , and we will use this fact without further mention in Section 4.

3 The K -invariant graph Laplacian and its spectrum

Take $\Gamma := \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \times K$, and consider the space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\Gamma)$ of square integrable functions $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the inner product

$$\langle g, f \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^N \int_K g^*(i, \kappa) f(i, \kappa) d\kappa,$$

where $d\kappa$ is the normalized Haar measure on K . This is an infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space. Note that, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we can write $f(i, \kappa) = f_i(\kappa)$ with $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N \in \mathcal{L}^2(K)$. Hence, we can view a function in \mathcal{H} as an N -dimensional vector where each entry is a square-integrable function on K .

For any pair of data points x_i, x_j and any pair of elements κ, λ in K , we define the affinity between the elements $\kappa \cdot x_i$ and $\lambda \cdot x_j$ in \mathbb{R}^D as

$$W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) := \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - \lambda \cdot x_j\|^2 / \varepsilon). \quad (3.1)$$

Then we define the affinity operator $W: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$Wf(i, \kappa) = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) f(j, \lambda) d\lambda$$

for any function $f \in \mathcal{H}$, and any $(i, \kappa) \in \Gamma$. This operator defines a weighted graph $G = (V, E, W)$ whose vertices V are the data points $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ together with all the points $\kappa \cdot x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\kappa \in K$, in their orbits under the action of K ; and the set of edges E consists of all the pairs $\{\kappa \cdot x_i, \lambda \cdot x_j\}$ for which $W_{i,j}(\kappa, \lambda) > 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any group elements $\kappa, \lambda \in K$, it holds that*

$$W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) = W_{ij}(\text{Id}, \kappa^{-1}\lambda),$$

where Id is the identity element of K .

Proof. This follows from the fact that K acts by isometries on \mathbb{R}^D , since acting by k^{-1} gives

$$\|\kappa \cdot x_i - \lambda \cdot x_j\|^2 = \|x_i - \kappa^{-1}\lambda \cdot x_j\|^2.$$

□

Let D be the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries given by

$$D_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\text{Id}, \lambda) d\lambda.$$

Note that the entries D_{ii} are constant. In addition, as $d\lambda$ is the Haar measure on K , it is invariant under left translations, so we have that

$$D_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\text{Id}, \lambda) d\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) d\lambda.$$

That is, D_{ii} is independent of the group element κ in the first argument of W_{ij} .

Define the graph Laplacian $L: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $Lf = Df - Wf$. More explicitly, it acts on a function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ as

$$Lf(i, \kappa) = f(i, \kappa) \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) d\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) f(j, \lambda) d\lambda.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The graph Laplacian L admits the quadratic form*

$$\langle f, Lf \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) |f_i(\kappa) - f_j(\lambda)|^2 d\kappa d\lambda.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, Lf \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_K f^*(i, \kappa) Lf(i, \kappa) d\kappa \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_K f^*(i, \kappa) \left[f(i, \kappa) \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) d\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) f(j, \lambda) d\lambda \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) [f^*(i, \kappa) f(i, \kappa) - f^*(i, \kappa) f(j, \lambda)] d\kappa d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) [f^*(i, \kappa) f(i, \kappa) - 2f^*(i, \kappa) f(j, \lambda) + f^*(j, \lambda) f(j, \lambda)] d\kappa d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) |f(i, \kappa) - f(j, \lambda)|^2 d\kappa d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

□

From here we conclude that L is positive semidefinite, since $\langle f, Lf \rangle \geq 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

We are interested in finding the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the graph Laplacian L . In doing so, we need to take the Fourier transform of each $W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda)$, which involves the representation theory of K [18, 6]. For each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, consider the Fourier transform

$$\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)} = \int_K W_{ij}(\text{Id}, \kappa^{-1}\lambda) U_\ell(\kappa^{-1}\lambda) d(\kappa^{-1}\lambda) = \int_K W_{ij}(\text{Id}, \kappa) U_\ell(\kappa) d\kappa,$$

where $U_\ell(\kappa^{-1}\lambda)$ is the ℓ -th irreducible unitary representation matrix for K . Note that $\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)}$ is an $\dim E_\ell \times \dim E_\ell$ matrix which does not depend on K . More explicitly, the entries $[\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)}]_{mn}$ of $\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)}$ are given by

$$[\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)}]_{mn} = \int_K W_{ij}(\text{Id}, \kappa) U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} d\kappa,$$

where $U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn}$ is the (m, n) -entry of the matrix $U_\ell(\kappa)$. Using the Fourier transform, we can expand $W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda)$ in a Fourier series as

$$W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) = W_{ij}(\text{Id}, \kappa^{-1}\lambda) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}} \dim E_\ell \sum_{m=1}^{\dim E_\ell} \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} \left[\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)} \right]_{mn} U_\ell((\kappa^{-1}\lambda)^{-1})_{mn}.$$

Next, form the block matrix $\widehat{W}^{(\ell)}$ by putting $\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)}$ in the (i, j) -th block. This is a matrix of size $N(\dim E_\ell) \times N(\dim E_\ell)$.

Lemma 3.3. *The block matrix $\widehat{W}^{(\ell)}$ is Hermitian.*

Proof. This follows from a direct computation, using the fact that $U_\ell(\kappa)$ is a unitary matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)} \right]_{mn}^* &= \int_K W_{ij}(\text{Id}, \kappa) U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn}^* d\kappa \\ &= \int_K W_{ji}(\text{Id}, \kappa^{-1}) U_\ell(\kappa^{-1})_{nm} d\kappa \\ &= \int_K W_{ji}(\text{Id}, \kappa) U_\ell(\kappa)_{nm} d\kappa \\ &= \left[\widehat{W}_{ji}^{(\ell)} \right]_{nm}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Form the matrix $S_\ell = D \otimes I_{\dim E_\ell} - \widehat{W}^{(\ell)}$, where $D \otimes I_{\dim E_\ell}$ denotes the Kronecker product of the matrices D and $I_{\dim E_\ell}$. As the following theorem proves, we can compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the graph Laplacian L from those of S_ℓ .

Theorem 3.4. *The graph Laplacian L admits a sequence of nonnegative eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{\ell,1}, \dots, \lambda_{\ell, N(\dim E_\ell)}\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}}$ and a sequence of corresponding eigenfunctions $\{\Phi_m^{(\ell,1)}, \dots, \Phi_m^{(\ell, N(\dim E_\ell))}\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}, 1 \leq m \leq \dim E_\ell}$ which are orthogonal and complete over $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{H})$ and are given by*

$$\Phi_m^{(\ell,s)}(i, \kappa) = \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell + n}^{(\ell,s)},$$

where $(\lambda_{\ell,s}, v^{(\ell,s)})$ is the s -th eigenvalue-eigenvector pair of the matrix $S_\ell = D \otimes I_{\dim E_\ell} - \widehat{W}^{(\ell)}$, for $1 \leq s \leq N \dim E_\ell$.

Proof. We begin by noting that the matrix $S_\ell = D \otimes I_{\dim E_\ell} - \widehat{W}^{(\ell)}$ is diagonalizable since, as proved in Lemma 3.3, $\widehat{W}^{(\ell)}$ is a Hermitian matrix. For $1 \leq s \leq N \dim E_\ell$, let $(\lambda_{\ell,s}, v^{(\ell,s)})$ be the s -th eigenvalue-eigenvector pair of S_ℓ , chosen so that the vectors $\{v^{(\ell,s)}\}_{1 \leq s \leq N \dim E_\ell}$ are orthonormal. By Lemma 3.5 below, $\Phi_m^{(\ell,s)}$ is an eigenfunction of L with eigenvalue $\lambda_{\ell,s}$, where $1 \leq s \leq N \dim E_\ell$ and $1 \leq m \leq \dim E_\ell$. Moreover, the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\ell,s}$ are nonnegative since L is a positive semi-definite operator, as it is immediate from Claim 3.2.

Now let us prove the completeness of the eigenfunctions $\{\Phi_m^{(\ell,1)}, \dots, \Phi_m^{(\ell, N \dim E_\ell)}\}_{\ell, 1 \leq m \leq \dim E_\ell}$. Note that

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}^2(K) \otimes \mathbb{R}^N = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}} \left(E_\ell^{\oplus \dim E_\ell} \otimes \mathbb{R}^N \right),$$

where the second equality comes from the Peter-Weyl Theorem. For each ℓ , let us see that the set $\{\Phi_m^{(\ell,1)}, \dots, \Phi_m^{(\ell, N \dim E_\ell)}\}_{1 \leq m \leq \dim E_\ell}$ is an orthogonal basis for the subspace $E_\ell^{\oplus \dim E_\ell} \otimes \mathbb{R}^N$ of $\mathcal{L}^2(\Gamma)$. We have $N(\dim E_\ell)^2 = \dim(E_\ell^{\oplus \dim E_\ell} \otimes \mathbb{R}^N)$ eigenfunctions, and the following computation proves they are orthogonal.

$$\langle \Phi_m^{(\ell,s)}, \Phi_{m'}^{(\ell,s')} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_K (\Phi_m^{(\ell,s)}(i, \kappa))^* \Phi_{m'}^{(\ell,s')}(i, \kappa) d\kappa$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_K \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn}^* (v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell+n}^{(\ell,s)})^* \sum_{n'=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{m'n'} v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell+n'}^{(\ell,s')} d\kappa \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} \sum_{n'=1}^{\dim E_\ell} (v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell+n}^{(\ell,s)})^* v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell+n'}^{(\ell,s')} \int_K U_\ell(\kappa^{-1})_{mn} U_\ell(\kappa)_{m'n'} d\kappa \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} \sum_{n'=1}^{\dim E_\ell} (v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell+n}^{(\ell,s)})^* v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell+n'}^{(\ell,s')} \frac{1}{\dim E_\ell} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'} \\
&= \frac{1}{\dim E_\ell} \delta_{mm'} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} (v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell+n}^{(\ell,s)})^* v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell+n}^{(\ell,s')} \\
&= \frac{1}{\dim E_\ell} \delta_{mm'} \langle v^{(\ell,s)}, v^{(\ell,s')} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{N \dim E_\ell}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\dim E_\ell} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{ss'}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *If v is an eigenvector of $S_\ell = D \otimes I_{\dim E_\ell} - \widehat{W}^{(\ell)}$ with eigenvalue λ , then for all $1 \leq m \leq \dim E_\ell$ the function $\Phi_m \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{H})$ given by*

$$\Phi_m(i, \kappa) = \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell+n}$$

is an eigenfunction of L with eigenvalue λ .

Proof. This follows from a direct computation.

$$D\Phi_m(i, \kappa) = D_{ii} \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell+n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} D_{ii} v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell+n}. \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
W\Phi_m(i, \kappa) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) \Phi(j, \lambda) d\lambda \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(Id, \kappa^{-1}\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} v_{(j-1)\dim E_\ell+n} d\lambda \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}'} \dim E_\ell \sum_{m'=1}^{\dim E_\ell} \sum_{n'=1}^{\dim E_\ell} [\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)}]_{m'n'} U_{\ell'}((\kappa^{-1}\lambda)^{-1})_{m'n'} \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\lambda)_{mn} v_{(j-1)\dim E_\ell+n} d\lambda \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}'} \sum_{m'=1}^{\dim E_\ell} \sum_{n'=1}^{\dim E_\ell} \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_{\ell'}(\kappa)_{m'n'} \int_K \frac{\dim E_\ell}{\text{Vol}}(K) [\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)}]_{m'n'} U_{\ell'}(\lambda^{-1})_{m'n'} U_\ell(\lambda)_{mn} v_{(j-1)\dim E_\ell+n} d\lambda \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}'} \sum_{m'=1}^{\dim E_\ell} \sum_{n'=1}^{\dim E_\ell} \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_{\ell'}(\kappa)_{m'n'} [\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)}]_{m'n'} v_{(j-1)\dim E_\ell+n} \delta_{\ell, \ell'} \delta_{m, m'} \delta_{n, n'} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} [\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)}]_{mn} v_{(j-1)\dim E_\ell+n} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} \sum_{j=1}^N [\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)}]_{mn} v_{(j-1)\dim E_\ell+n}. \quad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining Equations (3.2) and (3.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
L\Phi_m(i, \kappa) &= (D - W)\Phi_m(i, \kappa) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} \left[D_{ii} v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell + n} - \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\widehat{W}_{ij}^{(\ell)} \right]_{mn} v_{(j-1)\dim E_\ell + n} \right] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} \lambda v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell + n} \\
&= \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} v_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell + n} \\
&= \lambda \Phi_m(i, \kappa),
\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to see. \square

4 Convergence of the normalized K -invariant graph Laplacian to the Laplace-Beltrami operator

The normalized graph Laplacian $L_N: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is defined as

$$L_N = D^{-1}L.$$

The operator L_N is generally not hermitian, but it is similar to the symmetric Laplacian $L_{\text{sym}} = D^{-1/2}LD^{-1/2}$, a hermitian matrix, and hence L_N is diagonalizable. More precisely,

$$L_N = I - D^{-1/2}(I - L_{\text{sym}})D^{1/2}.$$

It follows that L_N can be diagonalized with real eigenvalues; however, its eigenvectors will not generally be orthogonal. This is stated precisely in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *The normalized graph Laplacian L_N admits a sequence of real eigenvalues $\{\mu_{\ell,1}, \dots, \mu_{\ell, N(\dim E_\ell)}\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}}$ and a sequence of corresponding eigenfunctions $\{\Psi_m^{(\ell,1)}, \dots, \Psi_m^{(\ell, N(\dim E_\ell))}\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}, 1 \leq m \leq \dim E_\ell}$ which are complete over $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{H})$ and are given by*

$$\Psi_m^{(\ell,s)}(i, \kappa) = \sum_{n=1}^{\dim E_\ell} U_\ell(\kappa)_{mn} w_{(i-1)\dim E_\ell + n}^{(\ell,s)},$$

where $(\mu_{\ell,s}, w^{(\ell,s)})$ is the s -th eigenvalue-eigenvector pair of the matrix $S_{N,\ell} = I_N \otimes I_{\dim E_\ell} - (D^{-1} \otimes I_{\dim E_\ell}) \widehat{W}^{(\ell)}$, for $1 \leq s \leq N \dim E_\ell$.

Proof. This proof is identical to that of Theorem 3.4, except that we work with the matrix $(D^{-1} \otimes I_{\dim E_\ell}) \widehat{W}^{(\ell)}$ instead of $\widehat{W}^{(\ell)}$. Just as in the case of L_N , the matrix $(D^{-1} \otimes I_{\dim E_\ell}) \widehat{W}^{(\ell)}$ is similar to a Hermitian matrix. Thus it has a complete set of (not-necessarily orthogonal) eigenvectors $\{w^{(\ell,s)}\}_{1 \leq s \leq N \dim E_\ell}$ with corresponding real eigenvalues $\{\mu_{\ell,s}\}_{1 \leq s \leq N \dim E_\ell}$. \square

Next, we show how the normalized graph Laplacian L_N approximates the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ of the manifold \mathcal{M} as the number of data points N goes to infinity and the parameter ε (used in Equation (3.1) to define the affinity operator) goes to zero.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be independent and identically distributed with uniform probability distribution $p(x) = 1/\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})$. If $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, and if we define $g \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $g(i, \kappa) = f(\kappa \cdot x_i)$, then with high probability we have that*

$$\frac{4}{\varepsilon}(L_N g)(i, \kappa) = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} f(\kappa \cdot x_i) + O(\varepsilon) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^{1/2} \varepsilon^{(d - \dim(K))/4 + 1/2}}\right).$$

Proof. We divide the proof of the theorem into two steps. The first step consists of taking the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$, which yields the $O(\varepsilon)$ term, called the bias term. The second step is concerned with computing the remaining error term, also known as the variance term.

Step 1: We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{\varepsilon} (L_N g)(i, \kappa) &= \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \left[g(i, \kappa) - D_{ii}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) g(j, \lambda) d\lambda \right] \\ &= \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \left[f(\kappa \cdot x_i) - \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) f(\lambda \cdot x_j) d\lambda}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \int_K W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda) d\lambda} \right] \\ &= \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \left[f(\kappa \cdot x_i) - \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where we have defined

$$F_{i,\kappa}(x) = \int_K \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - \lambda \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda \cdot x) d\lambda,$$

and similarly

$$G_{i,\kappa}(x) = \int_K \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - \lambda \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) d\lambda.$$

Now let us take the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ of the numerator of the second term in Equation (4.1). By the law of large numbers, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j) &= \mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \int_{\mathcal{M}} F_{i,\kappa}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \int_K \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - \lambda \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda \cdot x) d\lambda dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \int_K \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x'\|^2/\varepsilon) f(x') d\lambda dx' \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Here we made the change of variables $x' = \lambda \cdot x$. Note that $dx' = dx$ since the Jacobian determinant of the change of coordinates induced by the map $\varphi_\lambda: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with $\varphi_\lambda(x) = \lambda \cdot x$ is equal to 1, as K acts by isometries on \mathbb{R}^D , and thus on \mathcal{M} .

The calculation for $G_{i,\kappa}$ is the same as above, taking $f = 1$. Hence, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j) = \mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}] = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) dx. \quad (4.3)$$

Putting Equations (4.2) and (4.3) together gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4}{\varepsilon} (L_N g)(i, \kappa) &= \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \left[f(\kappa \cdot x_i) - \frac{\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} \right] \\ &= \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \left[f(\kappa \cdot x_i) - \frac{\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(x) dx}{\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) dx} \right] \\ &= \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} f(\kappa \cdot x_i) + O(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where the last simplification is justified in [15]. This concludes the proof of the first step.

Step 2: Now we evaluate the variance term. To this end, we use Chernoff's inequality to estimate the probabilities

$$p_+(N, \alpha) = \mathbb{P} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} - \frac{\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}]}{\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}]} > \alpha \right], \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$p_-(N, \alpha) = \mathbb{P} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} - \frac{\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}]}{\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}]} < -\alpha \right]. \quad (4.5)$$

Let us start with $p_+(N, \alpha)$. We can rewrite Equation (4.4) as

$$p_+(N, \alpha) = \mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N Y_j > (N-1)\alpha(\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}])^2 \right], \quad (4.6)$$

where we have defined

$$Y_j = \mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}]F_{i,\kappa}(x_j) - \mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}]G_{i,\kappa}(x_j) + \alpha\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}](\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}] - G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)). \quad (4.7)$$

The first and second moments of Y_j are $\mathbb{E}[Y_j] = 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_j^2] = (\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}])^2\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}^2(x_j)] - 2\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)]\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)]\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)] + (\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)])^2\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}^2(x_j)] + O(\alpha). \quad (4.8)$$

We are interested in finding an approximation to $\mathbb{E}[Y_j^2]$ in terms of ε besides the current $O(\alpha)$ term. To do this, we need to approximate the different first and second moments involving $F_{i,\kappa}$ and $G_{i,\kappa}$. From [15], the first moments are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}] = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(x) dx = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} (\pi\varepsilon)^{d/2} [f(\kappa \cdot x_i) + O(\varepsilon)], \quad (4.9)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}] = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) dx = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} (\pi\varepsilon)^{d/2} [1 + O(\varepsilon)]. \quad (4.10)$$

And from Lemma 4.3 below, the second moments are

$$\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}^2] = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{(d+\dim(K))/2}}{2^{(d-\dim(K))/2}} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i) f^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right], \quad (4.11)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}^2] = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{(d+\dim(K))/2}}{2^{(d-\dim(K))/2}} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right], \quad (4.12)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}G_{i,\kappa}] = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{(d+\dim(K))/2}}{2^{(d-\dim(K))/2}} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i) f(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right]. \quad (4.13)$$

Substituting Equations (4.9) through (4.13) into Equation (4.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y_j^2] &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})^3} (\pi\varepsilon)^d \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{(d+\dim(K))/2}}{2^{(d-\dim(K))/2}} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i) f(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right] \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})^3} (\pi\varepsilon)^d \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{(d+\dim(K))/2}}{2^{(d-\dim(K))/2}} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i) f(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})^3} (\pi\varepsilon)^d \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{(d+\dim(K))/2}}{2^{(d-\dim(K))/2}} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i) f(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right] + O(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})^3} (\pi\varepsilon)^d \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{(d+\dim(K))/2}}{2^{(d-\dim(K))/2}} O(\varepsilon) + O(\alpha) \\
&= O(\varepsilon^{(3d+\dim(K)+2)/2}) + O(\alpha).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Next, we apply Chernoff's inequality to obtain an exponential bound on $p_+(N, \alpha)$ involving $\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}]$ and $\mathbb{E}[Y_j^2]$. This together with Equations (4.10) and (4.14) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
p_+(N, \alpha) &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2(N-1)^2(\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}])^4}{2(N-1)\mathbb{E}[Y_j^2] + O(\alpha)}\right) \\
&\leq \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2 O(\varepsilon^{2d})}{O(\varepsilon^{(3d+\dim(K)+2)/2}/N) + O(\alpha)}\right) \\
&\leq \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{O(\varepsilon^{(-d+\dim(K)+2)/2}/N) + O(\alpha)}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

To obtain a bound for $p_-(N, \alpha)$ we apply the same analysis, changing α by $-\alpha$ in the definition of Y_j when we rewrite Equation (4.5) as we did for $p_+(N, \alpha)$. This and Chernoff's inequality for lower tails gives

$$p_-(N, \alpha) = \mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{j \neq i}^N Y_j < -(N-1)\alpha(\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}])^2\right] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{O(\varepsilon^{(-d+\dim(K)+2)/2}/N) + O(\alpha)}\right). \tag{4.16}$$

Inequalities (4.15) and (4.16) imply that by taking $\alpha = O(\varepsilon^{(-d+\dim(K)+2)/4}/\sqrt{N})$ we can make both $p_+(N, \alpha)$ and $p_-(N, \alpha)$ arbitrarily small with exponential decay. It follows that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} - \frac{\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}]}{\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}]} \right| = |\alpha| = O\left(\frac{1}{N^{1/2} \varepsilon^{(d-\dim(K))/4-1/2}}\right).$$

To obtain the variance term we multiply the error above by $4/\varepsilon$. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \left[f(\kappa \cdot x_i) - \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} \right] - \left[\Delta_{\mathcal{M}} f(\kappa \cdot x_i) + O(\varepsilon) \right] \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \left[f(\kappa \cdot x_i) - \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} \right] - \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \left[f(\kappa \cdot x_i) - \frac{\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}]}{\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}]} \right] \right| \\
&= \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} - \frac{\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}]}{\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}]} \right| \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{N^{1/2} \varepsilon^{(d-\dim(K))/4+1/2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we prove that removing the diagonal terms (the case $j = i$) in the sums does not affect the convergence rate. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} - \frac{\sum_{j \neq i}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\sum_{j \neq i}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} &= \frac{F_{i,\kappa}(x_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} - \frac{G_{i,\kappa}(x_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} \frac{\sum_{j \neq i}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\sum_{j \neq i}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} \\
&= O\left(\frac{\frac{1}{N} G_{i,\kappa}(x_i)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{(\dim(K)/2)/N}}{\varepsilon^{d/2}}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{N\varepsilon^{(d-\dim(K))/2}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that f is a smooth function on \mathcal{M} and thus uniformly bounded: $|f(x)| \leq c$ for some constant c , implying that $|F_{i,\kappa}(x_i)| \leq c|G_{i,\kappa}(x_i)|$. The error term we get is negligible compared to the variance term, so we ignore it. Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{4}{\varepsilon}(L_N g)(i, \kappa) = \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \left[f(\kappa \cdot x_i) - \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N F_{i,\kappa}(x_j)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N G_{i,\kappa}(x_j)} \right] = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} f(\kappa \cdot x_i) + O(\varepsilon) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^{1/2}\varepsilon^{(d-\dim(K))/4+1/2}}\right),$$

as we wanted to see. \square

We conclude this section by proving Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.3. *There exist smooth function μ and ν on \mathcal{M} such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}^2] &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{(d+\dim(K))/2}}{2^{(d-\dim(K))/2}} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i) f^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right], \\
\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}^2] &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{(d+\dim(K))/2}}{2^{(d-\dim(K))/2}} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right], \\
\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa} G_{i,\kappa}] &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{(d+\dim(K))/2}}{2^{(d-\dim(K))/2}} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i) f(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will prove the lemma for $\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa}^2]$. The same proof holds for $\mathbb{E}[G_{i,\kappa}^2]$ and $\mathbb{E}[F_{i,\kappa} G_{i,\kappa}]$ by replacing f by 1 where necessary. We start by deriving an asymptotic expansion for

$$F_{i,\kappa}(x) = \int_K \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - \lambda \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda \cdot x) d\lambda. \quad (4.17)$$

To this end, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\kappa \cdot x_i - \lambda \cdot x\|^2 &= \|(\kappa \cdot x_i - x) + (x - \lambda \cdot x)\|^2 \\
&= \|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2 + 2\text{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - \lambda \cdot x \rangle + \|x - \lambda \cdot x\|^2
\end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

and apply the Taylor expansion

$$\exp(2\text{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - \lambda \cdot x \rangle/\varepsilon) = 1 - O\left(\frac{2\text{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - \lambda \cdot x \rangle}{\varepsilon}\right). \quad (4.19)$$

Plugging Equations (4.18) and (4.19) into (4.17) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{i,\kappa}(x) &= \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) \left[\int_K \exp(-\|x - \lambda \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda \cdot x) d\lambda \right. \\
&\quad \left. - O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_K 2\text{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - \lambda \cdot x \rangle \exp(-\|x - \lambda \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda \cdot x) d\lambda\right) \right].
\end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Hence, we need to find asymptotic expansions for each of the two terms in Equation (4.20). Let us denote by $\mathcal{O}(x) = \{\lambda \cdot x \mid \lambda \in K\}$ the orbit of $x \in \mathcal{M}'$ under the action of K , which is a smooth manifold of dimension equal to $\dim(K)$. Using this, we can rewrite the integrals over K in Equation (4.20) as integrals over $\mathcal{O}(x)$. For the first integral, we obtain

$$\int_K \exp(-\|x - \lambda \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda \cdot x) d\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \int_{\mathcal{O}(x)} \exp(-\|x - y\|^2/\varepsilon) f(y) dy, \quad (4.21)$$

where $\mu(x) = |D\varphi_x|$ is the Jacobian determinant of the map $\varphi_x: K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(x)$ defined by $\varphi_x(\lambda) = \lambda \cdot x$, which is a diffeomorphism [11, Proposition 21.7]. Next, applying Proposition 9 in [10] allows us to approximate the left-hand-side integral in Equation (4.21) as

$$\int_K \exp(-\|x - \lambda \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda \cdot x) d\lambda = \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{\dim(K)/2}}{\mu(x)} [f(x) + O(\varepsilon)]. \quad (4.22)$$

We do the same for the second integral in (4.20), which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_K 2\operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - \lambda \cdot x \rangle \exp(-\|x - \lambda \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda \cdot x) d\lambda\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu(x)} \int_{\mathcal{O}(x)} 2\operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - y \rangle \exp(-\|x - y\|^2/\varepsilon) f(y) dy\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{\dim(K)/2}}{\mu(x)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathcal{O}(x)}(\operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - y \rangle f(y)) \Big|_{y=x} + \varepsilon\right]\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathcal{O}(x)}(\operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - y \rangle f(y)) \Big|_{y=x} &= \Delta_{\mathcal{O}(x)} f(y) \Big|_{y=x} \operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - x \rangle \\ &\quad - 2\langle \nabla_{\mathcal{O}(x)} \operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - y \rangle \Big|_{y=x}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}(x)} f(z) \rangle \\ &\quad + f(x) \Delta_{\mathcal{O}(x)} \operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - y \rangle \Big|_{y=x} \\ &= 2\langle \operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}(x)} y \Big|_{y=x} \rangle, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}(x)} f(z) \rangle \\ &\quad - f(x) \operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, \Delta_{\mathcal{O}(x)} y \Big|_{y=x} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

To simplify the notation, let us define

$$q(x) = \left\langle \operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}(x)} y \Big|_{y=x} \rangle, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}(x)} f(z) \right\rangle - \frac{f(x)}{2} \operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, \Delta_{\mathcal{O}(x)} y \Big|_{y=x} \rangle.$$

This function satisfies $q(\kappa \cdot x_i) = 0$. Therefore, Equation (4.23) can be written as

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_K 2\operatorname{Re}\langle \kappa \cdot x_i - x, x - \lambda \cdot x \rangle \exp(-\|x - \lambda \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda \cdot x) d\lambda\right) = O\left(\frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{\dim(K)/2}}{\mu(x)} [q(x) + \varepsilon]\right). \quad (4.25)$$

Plugging in Equations (4.25) and (4.22) into (4.20) yields the asymptotic expansion

$$F_{i,\kappa}(x) = \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{\dim(K)/2}}{\mu(x)} [f(x) + O(q(x)) + O(\varepsilon)].$$

From here we directly obtain an approximation for $(F_{i,\kappa}(x))^2$, namely

$$(F_{i,\kappa}(x))^2 = \exp(-2\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{\dim(K)}}{\mu^2(x)} [f^2(x) + O(q(x)) + O(\varepsilon)]. \quad (4.26)$$

The final step is to use Formula (4.26) to estimate the expected value of $F_{i,\kappa}^2$. To integrate this function over \mathcal{M} , consider the quotient map $\pi: \mathcal{M}' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'/K$, which is a smooth submersion by the quotient manifold theorem [11]; moreover, \mathcal{M}'/K is a smooth manifold of dimension equal to $d - \dim(K)$. It inherits a natural Riemannian metric such that if g is an integrable function on \mathcal{M}'/K , then it holds that

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}'} \pi^* g \mu_{\mathcal{M}'} = \int_{\mathcal{M}'/K} g \pi_* \mu_{\mathcal{M}'},$$

where $\mu_{\mathcal{M}'}$ is the induced measure on \mathcal{M}' and π^* , π_* denote pullback and pushforward respectively. To apply this identity, note that the function $F_{i,\kappa}$ is invariant under the action of K . Indeed, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\eta \in K$ we have that

$$F_{i,\kappa}(\eta \cdot x) = \int_K \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - \lambda \cdot \eta \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda \cdot \eta \cdot x) d\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_K \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - \lambda' \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda' \cdot x) d(\eta^{-1}\lambda') \\
&= \int_K \exp(-\|\kappa \cdot x_i - \lambda' \cdot x\|^2/\varepsilon) f(\lambda' \cdot x) d(\lambda') \\
&= F_{i,\kappa}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $F_{i,\kappa} = \pi^*g$ for some function g on \mathcal{M}'/K . Let ν be the function on \mathcal{M}' given by $\nu(x) := \sqrt{|\det(g'_{\mathcal{M}})(x)|}$, where $g'_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the metric on \mathcal{M}' , comes from the volume form of the manifold \mathcal{M}' . This and Formula (4.26) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} [(F_{i,\kappa}(x))^2] &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \int_{\mathcal{M}} (F_{i,\kappa}(x))^2 dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \int_{\mathcal{M}'/K} (F_{i,\kappa}(x))^2 \nu(x) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} (\pi\varepsilon)^{\dim(K)} \int_{\mathcal{M}'/K} \exp(-2\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) \frac{\nu(x)}{\mu^2(x)} [f^2(x) + O(q(x) + \varepsilon)] dx. \quad (4.27)
\end{aligned}$$

Again, we evaluate all terms in the integral (4.27) using Proposition 9 in [10]. We obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}/K} \exp(-2\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) \frac{\nu(x)f^2(x)}{\mu^2(x)} dx = (\pi\varepsilon/2)^{(d-\dim(K))/2} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i)f^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right], \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$O\left(\int_{\mathcal{M}/K} \exp(-2\|\kappa \cdot x_i - x\|^2/\varepsilon) \frac{\nu(x)q(x)}{\mu^2(x)} dx\right) = O\left((\pi\varepsilon)^{(d-\dim(K))/2} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i)q(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + \varepsilon\right]\right) \quad (4.29)$$

$$= (\pi\varepsilon/2)^{(d-\dim(K))/2} O(\varepsilon) \quad (4.30)$$

since $q(\kappa \cdot x_i) = 0$. By plugging Equations (4.28) and (4.29) into (4.27), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} [(F_{i,\kappa}(x))^2] &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} (\pi\varepsilon)^{\dim(K)} (\pi\varepsilon/2)^{(d-\dim(K))/2} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i)f^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M})} \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^{(d+\dim(K))/2}}{2^{(d-\dim(K))/2}} \left[\frac{\nu(\kappa \cdot x_i)f^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)}{\mu^2(\kappa \cdot x_i)} + O(\varepsilon) \right], \quad (4.31)
\end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof. \square

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we developed the framework of K -invariant graph Laplacian operators L for manifold learning under group actions. Our work extends a method of Landa and Shkolnisky from the 2D rotation group to the case of an arbitrary compact Lie group K . The K -invariant graph Laplacian provides a principled approach to manifold learning when the data manifold is invariant under the action of the group K . The method achieves this by carrying out infinite data augmentation, through analytic integration over the orbits of the data points. In Theorem 4.1 we provided an explicit formula for computing the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of L using the representation theory of K . Moreover, in Theorem 4.2, we proved that the normalized K -invariant graph Laplacian operator L_N converges to the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ of the data manifold \mathcal{M} , at a faster rate than the symmetry-unaware graph Laplacian. In particular, the variance term involves the dimension of K . In practice, this result will yield an improvement in sample complexity.

There are several directions worthy of future work. First and foremost, we wish to use the theoretical apparatus of this paper in a real-life application. To this end, mapping out low-dimensional models for molecular conformation spaces in cryo-electron tomography [20] is a target application. In this case, data points are naturally subject to an $\text{SO}(3)$ -action. Secondly, a computational challenge in implementing the

approach will come from implementing the Fourier transform of each $W_{ij}(\kappa, \lambda)$ from the block matrices \widehat{W}^ℓ since, depending on the group, the $\dim E_\ell$ could grow faster than desired [6]. Thirdly, it is natural to ask about spectral convergence [3] rather than the pointwise convergence established here. That is, we would like to show the convergence of the eigenfunctions of the K -invariant graph Laplacian operator to the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathcal{M} .

References

- [1] M. Belkin and P. Niyogi. Laplacian eigenmaps for dimensionality reduction and data representation. *Neural Computation*, 15(6):1373–1396, 2003.
- [2] M. Belkin and P. Niyogi. Convergence of Laplacian eigenmaps. In B. Schölkopf, J. Platt, and T. Hoffman, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 19. MIT Press, 2006.
- [3] J. Calder and N. G. Trillos. Improved spectral convergence rates for graph Laplacians on ε -graphs and k -NN graphs. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 60:123–175, 2022.
- [4] S. Chen, E. Dobriban, and J. H. Lee. A group-theoretic framework for data augmentation. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(1):9885–9955, 2020.
- [5] M. Cheng and H. Wu. Local linear regression on manifolds and its geometric interpretation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 108(504):1421–1434, 2013.
- [6] G. S. Chirikjian and A. B. Kyatkin. *Harmonic Analysis for Engineers and Applied Scientists: Updated and Expanded Edition*. Courier Dover Publications, 2016.
- [7] R. R. Coifman and S. Lafon. Diffusion maps. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 21(1):5–30, 2006. Special Issue: Diffusion Maps and Wavelets.
- [8] A. Goldberg, X. Zhu, A. Singh, Z. Xu, and R. Nowak. Multi-manifold semi-supervised learning. In D. van Dyk and M. Welling, editors, *Proceedings of the Twelfth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, volume 5, pages 169–176. PMLR, 2009.
- [9] I. R. Kondor. *Group Theoretical Methods in Machine Learning*. Columbia University, 2008.
- [10] B. Landa and Y. Shkolnisky. The steerable graph Laplacian and its application to filtering image datasets. *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences*, 11(4):2254–2304, 2018.
- [11] J. M. Lee. *Smooth Manifolds*. Springer, 2012.
- [12] H.-F. Liu, Y. Zhou, and A. Bartesaghi. High-resolution structure determination using high-throughput electron cryo-tomography. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Structural Biology*, 78(7), 2022.
- [13] A. Moscovich, A. Jaffe, and N. Boaz. Minimax-optimal semi-supervised regression on unknown manifolds. In A. Singh and J. Zhu, editors, *Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, volume 54, pages 933–942. PMLR, 2017.
- [14] E. Rosen, X. Cheng, and Y. Shkolnisky. The G -invariant graph Laplacian. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17001*, 2023.
- [15] A. Singer. From graph to manifold Laplacian: The convergence rate. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 21(1):128–134, 2006. Special Issue: Diffusion Maps and Wavelets.
- [16] A. Singer and F. J. Sigworth. Computational methods for single-particle electron cryomicroscopy. *Annual Review of Biomedical Data Science*, 3:163–190, 2020.
- [17] B. Sober, Y. Aizenbud, and D. Levin. Approximation of functions over manifolds: A moving least-squares approach. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 383:113140, 2021.

- [18] S. Sternberg. *Group Theory and Physics*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [19] J. B. Tenenbaum, V. de Silva, and J. C. Langford. A global geometric framework for nonlinear dimensionality reduction. *Science*, 290(5500):2319–2323, 2000.
- [20] W. Wan and J. A. Briggs. Cryo-electron tomography and subtomogram averaging. *Methods in Enzymology*, 579:329–367, 2016.
- [21] N. Zelesko, A. Moscovich, J. Kileel, and A. Singer. Earthmover-based manifold learning for analyzing molecular conformation spaces. In *2020 IEEE 17th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI)*, pages 1715–1719. IEEE, 2020.