Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy of an ultrathin Fe layer grown on NiO(001)

Soki Kobayashi and Hiroki Koizumi*

Department of Applied Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8573, Japan

Hideto Yanagihara[†]

Department of Applied Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8573, Japan and Tsukuba Research Center for Energy Materials Science (TREMS), University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8573, Japan

Jun Okabayashi

Research Center for Spectrochemistry, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

Takahiro Kondo

Department of Materials Science, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8573, Japan and Tsukuba Research Center for Energy Materials Science (TREMS), University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8573, Japan

Takahide Kubota[‡] and Koki Takanashi[§] Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8576, Japan

Yoshiaki Sonobe

Research Organization for Nano & Life Innovation, Waseda University, Tokyo 162-0041, Japan (Dated: April. 29, 2023)

The magnetic anisotropy and magnetic interactions at the interface between Fe and NiO(001) were investigated. Depending on the growth conditions of the NiO(001) layers and the post-annealing temperature, the preferential magnetization direction of the ultrathin Fe layer grown on a NiO(001)layer changed from in-plane to a direction perpendicular to the film plane. The lattice constant of the NiO(001) layers parallel to the growth direction increased with O_2 flow rate, while that parallel to the in-plane were locked onto the MgO(001) substrate regardless of the growth conditions of the NiO layers. Moreover, perpendicular magnetization was observed only when the NiO layer was grown with O_2 flow rates higher than 2.0 sccm corresponding to oxygen-rich NiO. X-ray magnetic circular dichroism measurements revealed an enhancement in anisotropic orbital magnetic moments similar to the origin of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at the Fe/MgO(001) interface. The interfacial magnetic anisotropy energies were 0.93 and 1.02 mJ/m^2 at room temperature and at 100 K, respectively, indicating less temperature dependence. In contrast, the coercivity H_c exhibited a significant temperature dependence. Although no signature of exchange bias or unidirectional loop shift was observed, H_c was strongly dependent on the NiO layer thickness, indicating that the exchange interaction at the interface between the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic layers was not negligible, despite the NiO(001) being a spin-compensated surface.

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic thin films with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) are key components of spintronic devices to achieve high thermal stability and low switching current for magnetization reversal, which are crucial for realizing the high density and the low switching power magneto-resistive random-access memory[1, 2]. Perpendicular magnetization films have been realized in various systems, such as magnetic compounds/alloys with relatively high uniaxial magneto-crystalline anisotropy[3–7] in the form of thin films and magnetic multilayers[8– 10]. The origin of PMA can be divided into two mechanisms: a bulk effect, such as magneto-crystalline anisotropy[11, 12], and an interfacial effect[13]. Both magneto-crystalline anisotropy and interfacial magnetic anisotropy originate from spin-orbit interaction (SOI) associated with a crystal symmetry lowering. The interfacial magnetic anisotropy is particularly useful for controlling the preferential directions of the magnetic layers in spintronic devices owing to the stacking structures of various thin films.

Since the discovery of interfacial PMA in the bilayer system of CoFeB/MgO[14], followed by the excellent demonstration of magnetic tunneling junctions (MTSs)[15], extensive research has been conducted on the enhancement of the PMA and voltage-controlled

^{*} Present address:Research Center for Magnetic and Spintronic Materials, National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), Tsukuba 305-0047, Japan

[†] vanagihara.hideto.fm@u.tsukuba.ac.jp

[‡] Present address:Department of Applied Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-0845, Japan

[§] Present address:Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai 319-1195, Japan.

magnetic anisotropy (VCMA) in Fe/MgO[16, 17] and related materials[18–21]. For a Fe/MgO system, *ab initio* calculations have shown that the interfacial PMA originates from the hybridization between Fe- $3d_{z^2}$ and O- $2p_z$ because of SOI [10, 22, 23]. Through X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) measurements, Okabayashi *et al.* showed that the origin of PMA at the interface can be attributed to the enhancement in anisotropic orbital angular momentum (OAM) of Fe induced by SOI[24, 25]. Therefore, if a similar orbital hybridization is realized, other PMA systems may be observed at the interface between Fe and certain oxides, particularly isostructural oxides, such as MgO.

NiO is a typical antiferromagnetic material with a simple rock-salt structure and a Néel temperature of 523 K, which is sufficiently higher than room temperature. The lattice constant is close to those of nonmagnetic materials, such as Ag and MgO; therefore, NiO has been a typical antiferromagnetic compound for studying antiferromagnetic spintronics [26–29]. Kozioł-Rachwał et al. recently found that the preferred magnetization direction of the Fe layer of Fe/NiO/MgO(001) in-plane and that the Néel vector of NiO changes from out-of-plane to inplane if a Cr layer is inserted between the NiO layers and MgO(001) substrates owing to the change in the in-plane lattice constant[30]. Thus, Fe/NiO is a bilayer composed of the conventional ferromagnet and antiferromagnet and also comprises a fascinating interface in terms of the interplay and cooperation between the two magnetic layers with different magnetisms.

In this study, we demonstrated that ultrathin Fe(001) becomes a perpendicular magnetization film owing to the interfacial PMA at the interface between Fe(001) and off-stoichiometric NiO(001). The angular dependence of XMCD measurement revealed that the origin of PMA is OAM of Fe, which is similar to those of previously reported Fe/MgO systems.

The growth of atomically flat Fe(001) layers with a few monolayers is believed to be crucial for enhancing the interfacial PMA in a Fe/MgO(001) system. Because of the low wettability of the Fe film on MgO, most of the previously reported perpendicular magnetization films were realized at the interface between the top MgO(001) and bottom Fe(001) layers. Thus, it is challenging to achieve interfacial PMA with the reverse-stacking structure[31].

II. EXPERIMENT

All the samples were grown on single crystal MgO(001) substrates using a radio-frequency magnetron sputtering technique. The stacking structure reported in this study was Cr(001)/Fe(001) /NiO(001) /MgO(001)(substrate). Nickel oxide layers were grown at 500 °C using a metal Ni target in a mixture of Ar and O₂. Successively, Fe and Cr layers were grown at room temperature using the same sputtering system. Following deposition, the samples were annealed for 1 h in vacuum as a post annealing

process. The flow rate of Ar was fixed at 10 sccm.

We prepared four types of samples for optimizing the PMA, quantitatively analyzing the PMA, and examining the magnetic interaction between ferromagnetic Fe and NiO(001) layers. The first type of sample was a series of multilayers of Cr(2 nm)/Fe(1 nm)/NiO(20)nm)/MgO(001), where the NiO(001) layers were grown under O_2 flow rates in the range of 0.5-6.0 sccm. Hereinafter, the length in parentheses of the stacking structure expresses the thickness of each layer. The samples were subsequently heated at 350°C in vacuum for 1 h. The O_2 flow rate was used as a growth param-The second sample was a series of multilayers eter. of Cr(2 nm)/Fe(1 nm)/NiO(20 nm)/MgO(001) subjected to different post-annealing temperatures to optimize the PMA. The O_2 flow rate was fixed at 2.0 sccm. The third and final samples were multilayers of Cr(2)nm)/Fe/NiO(20 nm)/MgO(001) with a wedge-shaped Fe layer (0.5-4.0 nm) (Fig. 1(a)) and Cr(2 nm)/Fe (0.6 nm) /NiO/MgO(001) with a wedge-shaped NiO layer (0 - 30 nm) (Fig. 1(b)), respectively, for anomalous Hall effect (AHE) measurements. Both the samples were grown under O_2 flow rate of 2.0 sccm and post-annealing temperature of 350°C. Wedge-shaped layers were prepared using a linear moving mask. In addition, to evaluate the dependence of the NiO(001) film structures and valence states of Ni on the reactive sputtering process, NiO/MgO(001)films were prepared at various O_2 flow rates and process temperatures without Fe or Cr layers.

The samples were characterized using reflection highenergy electron diffraction (RHEED), X-ray reflectivity (XRR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), reciprocal space mapping (RSM), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). XRR, XRD, and RSM experiments were performed using a Rigaku SmartLab with an X-ray source of Co- $K\alpha_1$. The magnetization of the multilayer films was measured using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) at room temperature. In addition, XMCD and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements were conducted at BL-7A, Photon Factory, high-energy accelerator organization KEK-PF. A magnetic field of $\mu_0 H =$ ± 1.2 T was applied along the incident polarized beam by switching the magnetic field directions. The total electron yield mode was adopted. The geometry between the sample surface normal and incident beam directions was varied by changing the sample position from normal incidence (NI) to a grazing incidence of 60° (GI). All the XMCD measurements were performed at room temperature. Subsequently, to evaluate the magnetization process dependence on both the Fe- and NiO-layer thicknesses, we performed AHE measurements on wedgedshaped films. The samples for AHE measurements were patterned into a Hall bar with many voltage probes on films of different thicknesses, as shown in Fig. 1 (c), using photolithography and Ar ion milling. Cr (10 nm) and Au (100 nm) were sputtered on the electrical contact pads. The current path was parallel to the direction of the gradient of the film with a wedge-shaped thickness

distribution, and the Hall voltages were measured at positions with different Fe or NiO thicknesses. The typical applied current was 0.1 mA. All the measurements were performed at room temperature, unless otherwise stated.



FIG. 1. (a) and (b) Stacking structures of Cr(2 nm)/Fe/NiO/MgO(001) substrates with wedge-shaped thickness gradients. (c) Hall pattern and wiring arrangement. The current path is parallel to the gradient direction of the wedge.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Epitaxial growth of NiO(001) films

First, we investigated the valence states and composition, as well as the lattice parameters of NiO, depending on the O_2 flow rate during the growth processes. As shown in Fig. 2(a), clear Laue fringes are observed around the 002 diffraction of the XRD patterns, indicating that the NiO films are epitaxially grown with a (001) orientation and had a sufficiently smooth surface without incoherent lattice distortion at any O₂ flow rate. In addition, the RHEED images of all samples exhibited typical streak patterns (Fig. 2(b)), implying that the film surfaces are atomically flat and barely distorted, which is consistent with the observation of the Laue fringes. Figure 2(c) summarizes the lattice constants normal to the film plane of $c_{\rm NiO}$ as a function of the O₂ flow rate, as determined by 002 reflection positions of the XRD patterns. $c_{\rm NiO}$ is largely the same as that of the bulk value of NiO (4.176 Å)[32] for O₂ flow rates ≤ 1.0 sccm, and

 c_{NiO} becomes greater than that of the bulk value of MgO (4.216 Å) for O₂ flow ≥ 2.0 sccm, as shown in Fig. 2(c).



FIG. 2. (a) $2\theta/\omega$ -XRD patterns of NiO(001) films grown under various different O₂ flow rates. The asterisks indicate 002 peaks of NiO. (b) Typical RHEED pattern of NiO(001). (c) O₂ flow rate dependence of the lattice constants along the growth direction ($c_{\rm NiO}$) determined by $2\theta/\omega$ -XRD measurements. (d) RSMs around the 113 diffraction of NiO/MgO(001) films grown at O₂ flow rates of 0.5 sccm (left) and 6 sccm (right). The numbers shown on the right side in (a) and (d) indicate the oxygen flow rates during deposition.

As shown in Fig. 2(d), the RSM measurements indicate that the lattice constants along the in-plane direction of NiO(001) mostly matched that of MgO of the substrate at any O₂ flow rate, implying $a_{\rm NiO} \approx 4.22$ Å. Moreover, clear fringe patterns were observed around NiO 113 in both the films, suggesting that all the NiO films were coherently distorted owing to epitaxial stress. Moreover, the critical thickness of the misfit relaxation was greater than 20 nm for all the films. Because the in-plane lattice constants are locked to the MgO(001) substrate, the volume of the unit cell varies with the O₂ flow.

Similar results have been reported in previous studies [33–35]. With increasing oxygen in the film growth process, Ni²⁺ ions are replaced by Ni³⁺ ions and vacancies at the Ni-sites, resulting in the growth of non-stoichiometric NiO(001) films. We also performed XPS measurements of the NiO(20 nm)/MgO(001) films without Fe or Cr layers grown at various O₂ flow rates. The relative composition of oxygen and a trace of Ni³⁺ in NiO increased with the increase in the O₂ flow rate, consistent with previous XPS measurements[36] and the structural analysis mentioned.



FIG. 3. Out-of-plane MH loops of Cr/Fe/NiO(001) multilayers at room temperature; (a) O₂ flow dependence range of 0.5 to 6.0 sccm with $T_{\text{anneal}} = 500^{\circ}$ C and (b) T_{anneal} dependence range of 250 to 550°C with O₂ flow rate of 2.0 sccm.

B. Interface magnetic anisotropy of Fe/NiO(001)

To study the magnetic anisotropy at the Fe/NiO(001)interface, we fabricated Fe/NiO multilayers on MgO(001) substrates with different O_2 flow rates for NiO(001) layer growth. Figure ??(a) shows the out-of-plane magnetization processes of 0.63 nm-thick Fe thin films grown on 20 nm-thick NiO(001) layers. Clearly, the magnetization processes are sensitive to the growth conditions of NiO(001), and the Fe layer becomes perpendicular magnetization. Therefore, PMA was dominant when the O_2 flow rate > 2.0 sccm. The in-plane lattice constant of NiO(001) was largely the same as that of the MgO(001) substrate, irrespective of the growth condition of the NiO layer, as mentioned in Sec. III A. The observed PMA dependence on the growth condition of the NiO(001) layer originates from an interfacial effect rather than an epitaxial strain. Next, we fabricated a Cr/Fe/NiO (O₂ flow rate = 2.0 sccm) structure employing a post-annealing process at different temperatures (T_{anneal}) following the growth of the capping layer of Cr. Figure ??(b) shows the out-of-plane magnetization processes of the films annealed at different T_{anneal} . The samples were perpendicular magnetization films at $T_{\rm anneal}=350~{\rm and}~450^{\circ}{\rm C}$. In the case of $T_{\text{anneal}} = 550^{\circ}\text{C}$, a clear peak shift of NiO 002 was observed in XRD patterns (not shown), suggesting considerable atomic mixing at the interface.

We performed AHE measurements on multilayer Cr-cap (2 nm)/Fe (0.5-4.0 nm)/NiO(20)nm)/MgO(001)(substrate) to quantitatively separate the observed magnetic anisotropy into the interfacial magnetic anisotropy and volume contribution. The sample with the Fe layer thickness of $t_{\rm Fe} = 0.5$ nm is perpendicular magnetization. In contrast, the saturation field increased with increase in t_{Fe} , indicating that the shape anisotropy became dominant, and that the preferential direction of the magnetization changed from normal to the film plane and finally to the in-plane direction. These results indicate that the origin of PMA is the interfacial effect rather than the bulk effect.

The areal magnetic anisotropy $(K_u t_{\rm Fe})$ as a function of



FIG. 4. $K_u t_{\text{Fe}} - t_{\text{Fe}}$ plot at 100 K (blue circles) and room temperature (red circles). K_v and K_i are determined from the slope and intercept, respectively. The thinnest two data points expressed as open circles are excluded from the fits due to the perpendicular magnetization samples.

 $t_{\rm Fe},\,K_ut_{\rm Fe}=K_vt_{\rm Fe}+K_i$ [37], is plotted in Fig. 4 . Here, K_v is the volume contribution to magnetic anisotropy, for example, magneto-crystalline, strain induced, and shape anisotropies, while K_i is the interface contribution. K_i at room temperature was determined as $0.93\pm0.03~mJ/m^2.$ This is of the same order as the previously reported K_i for the Fe/MgO interface [24, 38]. In contrast, K_v was estimated to be $-1.46 \pm 0.01 \text{ MJ/m}^3$, which is reasonably close to the demagnetization energy or shape anisotropy energy for the film form a sample with $-\frac{1}{2}\mu_0 M_s^2 = -1.23$ MJ/m^3 . Here, M_s denotes the saturation magnetization of Fe, which are 1400 and 1700 kA/m at room temperature and 100 K, respectively as determined by VSM. We also performed the same analysis at 100 K, and obtained K_i and K_v are $+1.02 \pm 0.07 \text{ mJ/m}^2$ and -1.79 ± 0.03 MJ/m^3 , respectively.

We emphasize that the temperature dependence of the interfacial PMA of Fe/NiO appears to be weaker than that of CoFeB/MgO[39]. As the PMA is dominated by magnetization at the interface of Fe layer[40], the observed weaker temperature dependence of the PMA in Fe/NiO compared to CoFeB/MgO indicates that the magnetic exchange coupling between Fe and NiO at the interface may suppress thermal fluctuations of the Fe spins. Therefore, this system could be useful in applications owing to the greater robustness against thermal fluctuations.



FIG. 5. XAS and XMCD of the perpendicular magnetization film of Fe/NiO(001). (a) XAS in Fe *L*-edge along the different magnetic field directions with μ_+ and μ_- , in the NI setup. (b) XMCD ($\mu_+ - \mu_-$) for the NI and GI geometries. The inset shows an expanded view around the L_3 -edge. (c) XAS and XMCD spectra at the Ni *L*-edge. (d) XMCD hysteresis curves in NI and GI geometries.



FIG. 6. XAS and XMCD of the in-plane magnetization film of Fe/NiO(001). (a) XAS in Fe *L*-edge along the different magnetic field directions with μ_+ and μ_- , in the NI setup. (b) XMCD ($\mu_+ - \mu_-$) for the NI and GI geometries. The inset shows an expanded view around the L_3 -edge. (c) XAS and XMCD spectra at the Ni *L*-edge. (d) XMCD hysteresis curves in NI and GI geometries.

C. XAS and XMCD analyses

Figures 5 and 6 show the Fe and Ni *L*-edge X-ray absorption spectra as well as the XMCD with angular dependence of the normal incidence (NI) and grazing incidence (60°) (GI) cases of Cr(2 nm)/Fe(0.6 nm)/NiO(20

nm)/MgO(001), respectively. The NiO(001) layers were grown at O_2 flow rates of 2.5 and 1.5 sccm for the perpendicular magnetization (Fig. 5) and in-plane magnetization (Fig. 6) samples, respectively. For both the samples, the clearly observed metallic line shapes of Fe suggest a lack of interfacial oxidation. In the case of the perpendicular magnetization sample, the XMCD intensity at the L_3 -edge varied with the measurement geometry. The enhanced L_3 -peak in the NI geometry corresponded to orbital magnetic moments $(m_{\rm orb})$. By applying the sum rule analysis, we obtained the spin magnetic moments and $m_{\rm orb}$ to be 1.32 and 0.09 μ_B , respectively, for the NI case. Here, the 3d hole number of 3.39 was assumed, which is the same as the case for Fe/MgO [25]. If we express the $m_{\rm orb}$ components parallel and normal to the film plane as $m_{\rm orb}^{\perp}$ and $m_{\rm orb}^{\parallel}$, respectively, the difference of $m_{\rm orb}$, defined as $\Delta m_{\rm orb} = m_{\rm orb}^{\perp} - m_{\rm orb}^{\parallel} \simeq 0.05 \mu_B$, provides the reasonable origin of the observed PMA[10]. Here, we assumed that the orbital moment anisotropy originated from the second order perturbation of the spin-orbit interaction[10]. A magnetic dipole moment of less than 0.01 μ_B was also estimated, which is sufficiently smaller than $m_{\rm orb}$. This suggests that the orbital magnetic moment anisotropy is dominant at the Fe/NiO interface. In contrast, in the case of the in-plane magnetization sample, L_3 -edge intensity remained unchanged for NI and GI set up, which suggests the isotropic $m_{\rm orb}$ of 0.05 μ_B because the shape anisotropy becomes dominant. Hysteresis loops at Fe L_3 edge photon energy shown in Figs.5(d) and 6(d) roughly reproduce the characteristic magnetization processes for the perpendicular and in-plane magnetization films, respectively. The asymmetry in XMCD spectrum reveals that the large orbital moments are induced in the perpendicular components. These features are quite similar to the case of Fe/MgO[24]. However, the effect of Fe-O bonding to anisotropic charge distribution does not work in the in-plane magnetization sample even with 0.6 nm thickness. As shown in Figs.5 and 6, there are no XMCD signals at the Ni L-edge for both the NI and GI cases because antiparallel spins at the Ni sites are compensated completely, although small differential signals appeared, originating from application of the magnetic field along the perpendicular direction during the measurements.

Interestingly, there were no signs of Ni³⁺ in XAS, as shown in Figs. 5 and 6 (c). This result appears to be inconsistent with the XPS and XRD results, which indicate that the oxygen-rich NiO contains Ni³⁺ and Ni-site vacancies in addition to Ni²⁺ (Sec. III A). The fact that no traces of iron oxide were detected (Fig. 5 (a)) implies that no significant oxidation occurred between the excess oxygen in NiO and the metallic Fe layer. Koo *et al.* pointed out that a variation in the interface composition due to oxygen atoms floating up from the Cr buffer layer and reaching the MgO interface is key to enhancing the PMA in MgO/Fe/Cr/MgO(001)[38]. Similarly, the variation or diffusion of excess oxygen in the NiO layer by annealing may play an important role in enhancing



FIG. 7. $\rho_{\rm AHE}$ of Cr/Fe(0.6 nm)/NiO($t_{\rm NiO}$)/MgO(001) with $t_{\rm NiO} = 15$ nm (top) and 0.8 nm (bottom). AHE measurements were performed at 10, 50, 90, 130, and 300 K. The thick-NiO sample of $t_{\rm NiO} = 15$ nm exhibits larger H_c at each temperature than the thin-NiO sample of $t_{\rm NiO} = 0.8$ nm.

the PMA in the Fe/NiO system. Based on this, the XAS results of no signs of Ni^{3+} can be consistently explained by the migration of excess oxygen in the NiO layer to the Cr layer which works as an oxygen absorber, and the interface structure of Fe/NiO becomes optimized to exhibit PMA.

D. Effects of coexistence of PMA and magnetic exchange at the interface of Fe and NiO

To investigate the dependence of the NiO layer thickness on the magnetization process, we conducted AHE measurements on the stacking of Cr/Fe(0.6

 $nm)/NiO(t_{NiO})/MgO(001)$ with a wedge-shaped NiO layer $(t_{\rm NiO} = 0 - 30 \text{ nm})$ (Fig. 1(b)). As shown in Fig. 7, the films with thicker NiO layers have a higher coercive force H_c at all temperatures and a greater temperature dependence of H_c . Generally, magnetic anisotropy is among the dominant parameters determining H_c ; However, as mentioned in Sec. III B, the PMA exhibited little temperature change. The fact that the temperature dependence of H_c is more significant than that of PMA means that H_c is dominated by the bulky features of the NiO layer rather than the interfacial effect. The difference between the two samples with different NiO thicknesses could be explained by considering the blocking temperature (T_B) of antiferromagnets [41–43]. According to the previous study of T_B in antiferromagnets by Devasahayam et al. [43], the thickness dependence of T_B can be expressed by the power law owing to the finite-size effect. The estimated correlation length was $\approx 2 \text{ nm}$ for NiO films and therefore, T_B approaches or becomes less than the room temperature if $t_{\rm NiO} \lesssim 2$ nm. Thus, our sample with $t_{\rm NiO} = 15$ nm has T_B above 300 K, while in the case of the sample with $t_{\rm NiO} = 0.8$ nm, the T_B is well below room temperature. As $t_{\rm NiO}$ of the latter sample is sufficiently thinner than the correlation length of NiO, the role of the exchange coupling between NiO and Fe at the interface or magnetic effect of antiferromagnetism of the NiO layer must be negligibly small. In contrast, the magnetization process of Fe layer in the sample with $t_{\rm NiO} = 15$ nm is strongly affected by the antiferromagnetic nature of NiO through the interfacial exchange coupling.

We also examined whether exchange bias emerges at the interface between the ferromagnetic Fe(001) layer and the antiferromagnetic NiO(001) layer for samples with an additional field annealing process. The details of the annealing process are as follows. Three films of Cr/Fe(0.6 nm)/NiO(20 nm) were simultaneously grown on MgO(001) substrates with dimensions of 10 mm × 10 mm × 0.5 mm. Two of the three films were annealed at 250°C in vacuum with a magnetic field of $\mu_0 H = 1$ T for 1 h. They were placed parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic field, respectively. Subsequently, the samples were spontaneously cooled to room temperature under the magnetic field. The third sample was annealed in zero magnetic field as a control sample.

Hysteresis loop shifts, which are characteristic of the exchange bias effect, have often been reported in Fe/NiO(001), which exhibits in-plane preferential magnetization[30, 44–47] rather than perpendicular magnetization. Even at an interface between a ferromagnet and a compensated antiferromagnet layers, a finite exchange bias could be realized if the spins of both the ferromagnet and antiferromagnet lie in-plane because of the frustration of the first antiferromagetic layer[48]. In our case, no clear shift in the magnetization curve or a clear change in H_c was observed in all three samples, regardless of the presence of the applied field during the annealing process or the direction of the applied field. This means that the antiferromagnetic spin configuration near the interface cannot be controlled by an external field, although the origin of H_c could be dominated by interfacial spin frustration[49, 50]. We would like to emphasize that the difference between whether Fe is a perpendicularly magnetized film or an in-plane magnetized film appears to govern the absence or presence of an exchange bias in the Fe/NiO(001) system. Moreover, the relationship between the Néel vector of NiO(001) and the preferential magnetization direction of the ferromagnetic layer is important for understanding the exchange bias mechanism in such bilayer systems.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we investigated the interfacial magnetic anisotropy at the interface between Fe and Ni oxide. We found that PMA emerged at the Fe/NiO interface at high O₂ flow rates for NiO layer growth. By measuring the Fe-thickness dependence of K_u , we obtained K_i of 0.93 and 1.02 mJ/m² at room temperature and 100 K, respectively. Further, XMCD measurements revealed an enhancement in the anisotropic magnetic moment of Fe, which was also observed at the Fe/MgO interface. In contranst ot the lower temperature dependence of K_i , H_c was strongly enhanced with decreasing temperature. However, no hysteresis loop-shift, which is characteristic of the exchange bias effect, was observed. The VCMA effect of Fe/NiO(001) should be examined in the near future. In addition to the well-known bilayer Fe/MgO(001) system, which shows PMA, we found that Fe/NiO(001) also exhibits relatively strong PMA. Because a rock-salt structure is a common crystal structure for divalent oxides, the combination of Fe and rock-salt type oxides is a promising system to exhibit greater PMA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partly supported by JSPS KAK-ENHI(19KK0104, 21H01750, and 22H04966), TIA-KAKEHASHI (TK22-023), and Cooperative Research Project Program of the Research Institute of Electrical Communication (RIEC), Tohoku University. The XMCD experiments were performed under the approval of the "Photon Factory Program Advisory Committee" (proposal No. 2021G069). Part of this work was supported by the Advanced Research Infrastructure for Materials and Nanotechnology in Japan (ARIM). We thank Seiji Mitani, Hiroaki Sukegawa, and Yoshio Miura for providing us with useful suggestions.

- S. Bhatti, R. Sbiaa, A. Hirohata, H. Ohno, S. Fukami, and S. Piramanayagam, Spintronics based random access memory: a review, Materials Today 20, 530 (2017).
- [2] R. Sbiaa, H. Meng, and S. N. Piramanayagam, Materials with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy for magnetic random access memory, physica status solidi (RRL) -Rapid Research Letters 5, 413 (2011).
- [3] D. Weller, A. Moser, L. Folks, M. E. Best, W. Lee, M. F. Toney, and M. Schwickert, High ku materials approach to 100 gbits/in², IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 36, 10 (2000).
- [4] S. N. Piramanayagam, Perpendicular recording media for hard disk drives, Journal of Applied Physics 102, 011301 (2007).
- [5] T. Shima and K. Takanashi, *Hard Magnetic Films*, edited by H. Kronmüller and S. Parkin, Vol. 4 (John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2007) pp. 2306–2324.
- [6] J. M. D. Coey, Hard Magnetic Materials: A Perspective, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 47, 4671 (2011).
- [7] S. Mizukami, A. Sakuma, A. Sugihara, K. Z. Suzuki, and R. Ranjbar, Mn-based hard magnets with small saturation magnetization and low spin relaxation for spintronics, Scripta Materialia 118, 70 (2016).
- [8] B. Heinrich and J. F. Cochran, Ultrathin metallic magnetic films: magnetic anisotropies and exchange interactions, Advances in Physics 42, 523 (1993).
- [9] M. T. Johnson, P. J. H. Bloemen, F. J. A. d. Broeder, and J. J. d. Vries, Magnetic anisotropy in metallic multilayers, Reports on Progress in Physics 59, 1409 (1996).
- [10] Y. Miura and J. Okabayashi, Understanding magnetocrystalline anisotropy based on orbital and quadrupole

moments, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **34**, 473001 (2022).

- [11] P. Ravindran, A. Kjekshus, H. Fjellvåg, P. James, L. Nordström, B. Johansson, and O. Eriksson, Large magnetocrystalline anisotropy in bilayer transition metal phases from first-principles full-potential calculations, Physical Review B 63, 144409 (2001).
- [12] D. Sander, The magnetic anisotropy and spin reorientation of nanostructures and nanoscale films, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 16, R603 (2004).
- [13] B. Dieny and M. Chshiev, Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at transition metal/oxide interfaces and applications, Reviews of Modern Physics 89, 025008 (2017).
- [14] S. Yakata, H. Kubota, Y. Suzuki, K. Yakushiji, A. Fukushima, S. Yuasa, and K. Ando, Influence of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy on spin-transfer switching current in CoFeB/MgO/CoFeB magnetic tunnel junctions, Journal of Applied Physics **105**, 07D131 (2009).
- [15] S. Ikeda, K. Miura, H. Yamamoto, K. Mizunuma, H. D. Gan, M. Endo, S. Kanai, J. Hayakawa, F. Matsukura, and H. Ohno, A perpendicular-anisotropy CoFeB-MgO magnetic tunnel junction, Nature Materials 9, 721 (2010).
- [16] T. Maruyama, Y. Shiota, T. Nozaki, K. Ohta, N. Toda, M. Mizuguchi, A. A. Tulapurkar, T. Shinjo, M. Shiraishi, S. Mizukami, Y. Ando, and Y. Suzuki, Large voltageinduced magnetic anisotropy change in a few atomic layers of iron, Nature Nanotechnology 4, 158 (2009).
- [17] M. K. Niranjan, C.-G. Duan, S. S. Jaswal, and E. Y. Tsymbal, Electric field effect on magnetization at the

Fe/MgO(001) interface, Applied Physics Letters **96**, 222504 (2010).

- [18] C.-H. Lambert, A. Rajanikanth, T. Hauet, S. Mangin, E. E. Fullerton, and S. Andrieu, Quantifying perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at the Fe-MgO(001) interface, Applied Physics Letters **102**, 122410 (2013).
- [19] Y. Iida, J. Okabayashi, and S. Mitani, Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in sputter-deposited Fe/MgO interfaces tuned by W buffer and Tb capping layers, Applied Physics Letters 113, 252401 (2018).
- [20] Q. Xiang, R. Mandal, H. Sukegawa, Y. K. Takahashi, and S. Mitani, Large perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in epitaxial Fe/MgAl₂O₄(001) heterostructures, Applied Physics Express **11**, 063008 (2018).
- [21] T. Nozaki, T. Nozaki, T. Yamamoto, M. Konoto, A. Sugihara, K. Yakushiji, H. Kubota, A. Fukushima, and S. Yuasa, Enhancing the interfacial perpendicular magnetic anisotropy and tunnel magnetoresistance by inserting an ultrathin LiF layer at an Fe/MgO interface, NPG Asia Materials 14, 5 (2022).
- [22] K. Nakamura, T. Akiyama, T. Ito, M. Weinert, and A. J. Freeman, Role of an interfacial FeO layer in the electricfield-driven switching of magnetocrystalline anisotropy at the Fe/MgO interface, Physical Review B 81, 220409 (2010).
- [23] K. Masuda and Y. Miura, Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at the Fe/MgAl₂O₄ interface: Comparative first-principles study with Fe/MgO, Physical Review B 98, 224421 (2018).
- [24] J. Okabayashi, J. W. Koo, H. Sukegawa, S. Mitani, Y. Takagi, and T. Yokoyama, Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at the interface between ultrathin Fe film and MgO studied by angular-dependent x-ray magnetic circular dichroism, Applied Physics Letters **105**, 122408 (2014).
- [25] J. Okabayashi, Y. Iida, Q. Xiang, H. Sukegawa, and S. Mitani, Perpendicular orbital and quadrupole anisotropies at Fe/MgO interfaces detected by x-ray magnetic circular and linear dichroisms, Applied Physics Letters 115, 252402 (2019).
- [26] T. Moriyama, K. Oda, T. Ohkochi, M. Kimata, and T. Ono, Spin torque control of antiferromagnetic moments in NiO, Scientific Reports 8, 14167 (2018).
- [27] T. Moriyama, K. Hayashi, K. Yamada, M. Shima, Y. Ohya, Y. Tserkovnyak, and T. Ono, Enhanced antiferromagnetic resonance linewidth in NiO/Pt and NiO/Pd, Physical Review B 101, 060402 (2020).
- [28] T. Chirac, J.-Y. Chauleau, P. Thibaudeau, O. Gomonay, and M. Viret, Ultrafast antiferromagnetic switching in NiO induced by spin transfer torques, Physical Review B 102, 134415 (2020).
- [29] H. Yang, S. H. Yang, D. C. Qi, A. Rusydi, H. Kawai, M. Saeys, T. Leo, D. J. Smith, and S. S. Parkin, Negative tunneling magnetoresistance by canted magnetization in MgO/NiO tunnel barriers, Physical Review Letters 106, 1 (2011).
- [30] A. Kozioł-Rachwał, M. Slęzak, M. Zając, P. Dróżdż, W. Janus, M. Szpytma, H. Nayyef, and T. Ślęzak, Control of spin orientation in antiferromagnetic NiO by epitaxial strain and spin-flop coupling, APL Materials 8, 061107 (2020).
- [31] T. Nozaki, T. Ohkubo, Y. Shiota, H. Kubota, A. Fukushima, K. Hono, Y. Suzuki, and S. Yuasa,

Growth of a High-Quality Ultrathin Fe(001) Layer on MgO(001) by Insertion of an Ultrathin γ -Fe₂O₃ Layer, Applied Physics Express **6**, 113004 (2013).

- [32] A. Navrotsky, Thermodynamic relations among olivine, spinel, and phenacite structures in silicates and germanates: I. Volume relations and the systems NiO/MgO/GeO₂ and CoO/MgO/GeO₂, Journal of Solid State Chemistry 6, 21 (1973).
- [33] S. Chen, T. Kuo, and T. Sun, Microstructures, electrical and optical properties of non-stoichiometric p-type nickel oxide films by radio frequency reactive sputtering, Surface and Coatings Technology **205**, S236 (2010).
- [34] M. Wang, Y. Thimont, L. Presmanes, X. Diao, and A. Barnabé, The effect of the oxygen ratio control of DC reactive magnetron sputtering on as-deposited non stoichiometric NiO thin films, Applied Surface Science 419, 795 (2017).
- [35] F. F. Ferreira, M. H. Tabacniks, M. C. A. Fantinia, I. C. Fariab, and A. Gorensteinb, Electrochromic nickel oxide thin films deposited under different sputtering conditions, Solid State Ionics 86, 971 (1996).
- [36] S. Oswald and W. Brückner, XPS depth profile analysis of non-stoichiometric NiO films, Surface and Interface Analysis 36, 17 (2004).
- [37] F. J. A. den Broeder, W. Hoving, and P. J. H. Bloemen, Magnetic anisotropy of multilayers, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 93, 562 (1991).
- [38] J. W. Koo, S. Mitani, T. T. Sasaki, H. Sukegawa, Z. C. Wen, T. Ohkubo, T. Niizeki, K. Inomata, and K. Hono, Large perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at Fe/MgO interface, Applied Physics Letters 103, 192401 (2013).
- [39] H. Sato, P. Chureemart, F. Matsukura, R. W. Chantrell, H. Ohno, and R. F. L. Evans, Temperature-dependent properties of CoFeB/MgO thin films: Experiments versus simulations, Physical Review B 98, 214428 (2018).
- [40] F. Ibrahim, A. Hallal, A. Kalitsov, D. Stewart, B. Dieny, and M. Chshiev, Unveiling temperature dependence mechanisms of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at Fe/MgO interfaces, Physical Review Applied 17, 054041 (2020).
- [41] W. Meiklejohn and C. Bean, New Magnetic Anisotropy, Physical Review 105, 904 (1957).
- [42] T. Ambrose and C. L. Chien, Dependence of exchange coupling on antiferromagnetic layer thickness in NiFe/CoO bilayers, Journal of Applied Physics 83, 6822 (1998).
- [43] A. J. Devasahayam and M. H. Kryder, The dependence of the antiferromagnet/ferromagnet blocking temperature on antiferromagnet thickness and deposition conditions, Journal of Applied Physics 85, 5519 (1999).
- [44] P. Luches, S. Benedetti, A. di Bona, and S. Valeri, Magnetic couplings and exchange bias in Fe/NiO epitaxial layers, Physical Review B 81, 054431 (2010).
- [45] P. Luches, L. Pasquini, S. Benedetti, S. Valeri, R. Rüffer, and F. Boscherini, Depth-dependent magnetization reversal and spin structure of Fe/NiO exchange-coupled epitaxial bilayers, Applied Physics Letters 101, 082412 (2012).
- [46] E. Młyńczak, P. Luches, S. Valeri, and J. Korecki, NiO/Fe(001): Magnetic anisotropy, exchange bias, and interface structure, Journal of Applied Physics 113, 234315 (2013).
- [47] Q. Li, M. Yang, A. T. N'Diaye, C. Klewe, P. Shafer, N. Gao, T. Y. Wang, E. Arenholz, X. Zhang, C. Hwang,

J. Li, and Z. Q. Qiu, Chirality switching of an antiferromagnetic spiral wall and its effect on magnetic anisotropy, Physical Review Materials **3**, 114415 (2019).

- [48] M. Kiwi, J. Mejia-López, R. D. Portugal, and R. Ramirez, Exchange-bias systems with compensated interfaces, Applied Physics Letters 75, 3995 (1999).
- [49] J. Nogués and I. K. Schuller, Exchange bias, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **192**, 203 (1999).
- [50] C. Leighton, J. Nogués, B. J. Jönsson-Åkerman, and I. K. Schuller, Coercivity Enhancement in Exchange Biased Systems Driven by Interfacial Magnetic Frustration, Physical Review Letters 84, 3466 (2000).