

# Digital Twin for Non-Terrestrial Networks: Vision, Challenges, and Enabling Technologies

Hayder Al-Hraishawi, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Madyan Alsenwi, *Member, IEEE*,  
Junaid ur Rehman, Eva Lagunas, *Senior Member, IEEE*, and Symeon Chatzinotas, *Fellow, IEEE*

**Abstract**—This paper explores the transformative potential of digital twin (DT) technology in the context of non-terrestrial networks (NTNs). NTNs, encompassing both airborne and space-borne elements, present unique challenges in network control, management, and optimization. DT is a novel approach to design and manage complicated cyber-physical systems with a high degree of automation, intelligence, and resilience. The adoption of DTs within NTNs offers a dynamic and detailed virtual representation of the entire ecosystem, enabling real-time monitoring, simulations, and data-driven decision-making. This paper delves into the envisioned integration of DTs in NTNs, discussing the technical challenges and highlighting key enabling technologies. Emphasis is placed on technologies such as Internet of things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), space-based cloud computing, quantum computing, and others, providing a comprehensive overview of their potentials in empowering DT development for NTNs. In closing, we present a case study involving the implementation of a data-driven DT model to facilitate dynamic and service-oriented network slicing within an open radio access network (O-RAN) architecture for NTNs. This work contributes to shaping the future of network control and management in the dynamic and evolving landscape of non-terrestrial communication systems.

**Index Terms**—Artificial Intelligence, digital twin, non-terrestrial network (NTN), satellite communications.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In light of the recent technological advancements in non-geostationary orbit (NGSO) satellites, high altitude platforms (HAPs), and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), the creation of non-terrestrial networks (NTNs) has become a tangible reality. NTNs offer practical solutions for providing communication services to remote and rural areas, addressing the limitations of traditional terrestrial networks in these regions. Thus, NTNs have a crucial role in ensuring global coverage for applications requiring high availability and resilience, as well as bridging the digital divide, fostering innovation, stimulating economic growth, and driving social progress. However, these benefits of NTNs come at the expense of higher complexity due to the massive number of network entities and users that are interacting within a dynamic and heterogeneous environment. Hence, the design and management of NTNs become increasingly costly and difficult.

To address these complexities, the digital twin (DT) technology emerges as a promising solution. DTs offer a dynamic and highly detailed virtual representation of the entire NTN ecosystem, enabling advanced simulations, real-time monitoring, and data-driven decision-making [1]. These capabilities

can significantly enhance the design and management of these complex networks, while allowing for proactive identification of technical issues [2]. By incorporating DT, we can leverage its real-time simulation and modeling capabilities to dynamically adapt to the evolving demands of non-terrestrial communications as well as to ensure optimal performance. Additionally, it accelerates NTN innovation by providing a precise emulation and testing environment for new technologies and features before their actual deployment, thereby minimizing investment risks [3].

Unlike traditional simulation tools, DT leverages real-time data generated by sensors attached to physical objects to enable online control and algorithmic decision-making [4]. Further, this two-way information exchange between the DT and sensors contributes to a real-time, comprehensive understanding into the performance and behavior of NTN assets. Beyond its virtual representation, NTN-DT models can utilize tools from optimization theory, game theory, and artificial intelligence (AI) to aid in instantaneous monitoring, optimization, and control. Moreover, DTs can also function as robust research and development (R&D) platforms, supporting the creation of innovative network architectures and standards. This multifaceted role of DTs emphasizes their substantial value in advancing NTNs. Therefore, this paper delves into the essential aspects of realizing DT technology within NTNs, identifying the deployment challenges, and exploring the key enabling technologies that support their implementation.

## II. NTN DIGITAL TWINS

According to the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) specifications, NTN is defined as an umbrella term for communication networks that involve non-terrestrial flying objects including space-borne vehicles such as geostationary earth orbit (GEO), medium earth orbit (MEO), and low earth orbit (LEO) satellites, or airborne vehicles, i.e. HAPs and UAVs [5]. The communication architecture of an NTN is generally characterized by: (i) a space-aerial segment including satellites, HAPs, and UAVs; (ii) a ground segment involving a number of ground stations/gateways that relay data to and from the space-aerial segment; and finally, (iii) a user segment, which includes the terminals, e.g., ships, airplanes, and other various ground users. The ground segment provides real-time control and management for the communications between different NTN entities through the network control center (NCC) and network management center (NMC). The NCC is responsible for the overall instantaneous management and control of NTNs, while the NMC is in charge of monitoring

The authors are with the Interdisciplinary Centre for Security, Reliability and Trust (SnT), University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg. Corresponding author: Hayder Al-Hraishawi (hayder.al-hraishawi@uni.lu).




Fig. 1. General overview of a DT for NTNs.

and managing the performance and health of the network elements. Thus, the inherently complex nature of NTNs has motivated both research and industry communities to explore the adoption of DT technology.

The concept of DT has long been applied in the satellite research field. For instance, NASA's 2010 technological roadmap highlights some of the ways in which DT is being utilized in aerospace including satellites. Specifically, DT can be used in simulating an actual satellite before launching to maximize the mission success. Besides, DT can be used to continuously mirror an flying object and update its conditions as well as diagnosing any damage. This approach results in extended life expectancy of the satellites and allows for in-situ repairs or mission modifications when necessary [6]. Additionally, the wireless communications community including the standardization bodies like the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) [7] have started working on developing DT technology and defining its main concepts and interfaces.

A high-level architecture of integrated DT and NTN is presented in Fig. 1. In this architecture, there are three layers: the *physical interaction layer*, the *twin layer*, and the *application layer*. The application layer provides interfaces that facilitate operators in monitoring, analyzing, and optimizing the performance of their assets, systems, or processes; namely, it is a versatile layer and can be utilized across various industries. The physical interaction layer covers all the physical components, whereas the twin layer consists of logical twin objects utilizing a virtual representation of the physical object or a network state description, including elements like traffic, topology, routing algorithms, scheduling schemes, etc. In this,

data-driven models can be employed to represent physical objects within the twin layer.

An essential element in the development of NTN DTs is the establishment of efficient and reliable interfaces between their diverse layers and entities. These interfaces can take several forms, including twin-to-physical object, twin-to-twin, and twin-to-service. Two key interfaces are critical to the functioning of NTNs. The first interface collects telemetry, tracking, and control (TT&C) data from flying assets, which is essential for system health and control. The second interface collects communication-related data, such as traffic demands, channel states, topological routes, and connection/failure incidents, allowing effective network management to meet user demands. It is important to mention that the collected data can be centralized on a cloud storage infrastructure supporting the DT models.

DTs have an important role in managing the inherent uncertainty and unpredictability of NTNs by enabling modeling, simulation, and testing of dynamic AI and learning algorithms under various scenarios. Scalability is another significant concern in NTNs due to the exponential growth in users and network nodes, leading to daunting resource allocation challenges [8]. DTs, with their *modular design* and the potential to employ *federated learning* techniques, offer a promising solution to address the scalability issues in NTNs.

### III. OPEN CHALLENGES

While DT technology offers clear benefits and enhancements, its implementation within NTNs faces several technical challenges.

- **Data Freshness:** This is particularly challenging due to the heterogeneity and dynamicity of NTN as they operate in remote and harsh environments, where data collection and real-time transmissions may experience delays. While it is feasible to collect and use data for offline operations, achieving online optimization through DTs needs further enhancing real-time data processing capabilities.
- **Ownership and Privacy Concerns:** This challenge arises from the differences in ownership of the diverse entities within NTNs, exacerbated by the General Data Protection Rules (GDPR) in the European Union. Hence, it is imperative to establish well-defined guidelines regarding ownership, data sharing, and data protection in order to ensure seamless operations of DTs while adhering to GDPR regulations.
- **Computational Complexity:** The complex and ever-changing characteristics of NTNs require sophisticated modeling techniques. For instance, parameters like flight dynamics, autonomous navigation trajectories, constellation patterns, and communication link performance need to be modeled as accurately as possible. This requires substantial computational resources and access to high-performance computing infrastructure. Besides, many resource allocation problems in NTNs are inherently non-convex or involve challenging combinatorial optimization, demanding high computational power.
- **Resource-Limited Devices:** NTN entities such as LEO small satellites, downstream nano-satellites (nanosats), and HAPs, pose a significant challenge due to their constrained computational capacity, limited memory, and often restricted power supply. These devices encounter difficulties in processing and managing the extensive data required for effective digital twinning. Therefore, it is imperative to alleviate their burden by offloading storage and computing capabilities. This measure is essential for maintaining sustained functionality and extending their operational lifespan in challenging NTN environments.
- **Interoperability and Standardization:** NTNs often incorporate equipment and systems from various vendors with incompatible configurations, which imposes a challenge for seamless integration and operational efficiency. The lack of interoperability and standardization among vendor equipment further complicates the modeling of NTN element interactions. Therefore, DTs must be able to collect and integrate data from diverse, autonomous, and heterogeneous sources.
- **Security and Reliability:** For an accurate representation of the physical network in DTs, secure and reliable communication channels are essential. Any interruption or tampering in these channels can result in inaccurate or incomplete data, compromising the quality of analysis and decision-making. Therefore, robust communication protocols and security measures are crucial to safeguard against such issues and to maintain the DT's fidelity to the physical network.

## IV. ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES

In this section, we outline essential enabling technologies along with their salient features pertinent to the development of DTs for NTNs. In particular, Table I presents a summary of key enabling technologies and their potentials, offering effective solutions to surmount DT deployment challenges. While some of these technologies have been previously discussed in the framework of NTNs, this section introduces an innovative perspective on how their capabilities can significantly enhance the functionality of DTs within NTNs.

### A. Internet of Things (IoT)

IoT is a vital enabling technology for NTN DTs as it facilitates real-time data collection, remote monitoring, and predictive maintenance, enhancing the accuracy of DT models. IoT empowers network operators with valuable insights for effective network management. In this context, the 3GPP organization in its Release-17 has provided guidelines to support Narrow-Band IoT (NB-IoT) over satellites [9]. NB-IoT is known for its low power consumption, which makes it ideal for battery-operated devices, enabling data collection from remote and power-limited assets. However, NB-IoT may have slightly higher latency compared to other IoT technologies, it generally meets the requirements for many DT applications. Tolerating this marginal increase in latency in exchange for global coverage makes NB-IoT as a crucial enabler.

Moreover, NB-IoT devices can be used for security monitoring, ensuring the safety and integrity of the NTNs. Particularly, DTs can incorporate security measures based on NB-IoT data to respond to potential threats and vulnerabilities. More importantly, IoT technology can act as a bridge between diverse communication standards within NTNs by leveraging specific communication protocols to create a unified platform capable of understanding and translating data from multiple sources. This synergy enhances the overall adaptability and performance of the NTN ecosystem.

### B. AI and Learning Techniques

DT models rely on extensive data, which has to thoroughly analyzed to extract insights about physical assets. In this regard, AI and learning algorithms are essential for analyzing data collected from IoT and sensor devices deployed across NTNs. These advanced algorithms empower enhanced decision-making, predictive maintenance, and anomaly detection, thereby improving the DT role in network management. Moreover, AI can expedite DT algorithms, potentially enabling real-time operations and large-scale technology testing. For instance, deep learning techniques have shown a remarkable ability in modeling complex satellite communication networks. Researchers have effectively employed diverse learning approaches to investigate and improve various aspects such as network modeling [10], resource optimization [11], and network slicing [12]. Given these advancements, it is foreseeable that AI and learning algorithms will have an indispensable role in shaping the DT paradigm, but this will come at the expense of requiring higher computational power [13].

TABLE I  
COMPARISON OF DT ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES AND TARGETED CHALLENGES.

Enabling Technology	Potential	Targeted Challenge
Internet of Things (IoT)	Real-time data collection, asset tracking, condition monitoring, predictive maintenance, mission simulation	Data freshness
AI and learning techniques	Dynamic resource allocation and optimization, improved decision-making, anomaly detection failure prediction	Computational complexity
Space-based cloud computing	IoT data analytics, multi-user DT, data archiving, AI model training, redundancy and backup in space, immunity to natural disasters occurring on Earth	Resource-limited devices, security and reliability
Neuromorphic computing	Energy-efficient computation, autonomous device learning, dynamic data analysis and decision-making, self-adaptive networks, AI model integration	Computational complexity, resource-limited devices
Quantum cryptography	Unconditionally secure communication, quantum-secure DTs, quantum key distribution, post-quantum security, protection against eavesdropping	Security and reliability
Quantum computing	Solving complex optimization problems, parallel processing quantum machine learning, AI model acceleration complex simulation and modeling in NTN	Computational complexity
Quantum sensing	Highly accurate measurements, enhanced IoT sensing, environmental monitoring, ultra-precise positioning, remote sensing, precision time synchronization	Data freshness and accuracy
Software-defined networking (SDN)	Centralized orchestration, optimizing network resources, customized network slices, security policy enforcement, programmability, real-time network adaptation	Ownership and privacy, security and reliability
Open radio access network (O-RAN)	Flexible deployment, multi-vendor interoperability, real-time configurations, service-specific network slices open interfaces, virtualized network function (VNF) integration	Interoperability and standardization

Moreover, the *modular architecture* of DT technology allows the creation of DTs for each asset component, which can then be seamlessly interconnected into a comprehensive integrated DT. This modularity facilitates process replication, knowledge transfer, and empowers intelligence at the edge, federated learning, and transfer learning that ultimately enhance system resilience. This approach not only helps in avoiding costly redundancies within NTNs but also enables the prediction of potential disruptions by identifying weak points, thus maximizing NTN resilience.

### C. Space-based Cloud Computing

Cloud platforms are essential for the creation and operation of DTs because they provide the necessary computational power and storage capacity to develop, maintain, and run DT models effectively. Cloud-based architectures enable operators to make rapid adjustments to network resources, ensuring that they align with specific demands and fluctuations in network traffic. This flexibility is crucial for managing and optimizing network performance in dynamic and variable environments, such as NTNs.

The cloud platforms can extend to *space-based cloud servers* within NTNs, which are data centers placed in space rather than on the ground. Particularly, larger satellites and satellite constellations can serve as data centers, delivering

storage and processing power to smaller satellites with limited resources [14]. Accordingly, the substantial volume of data gathered by IoT and sensor devices in space can be processed and analyzed within space-based clouds. This enables real-time insights into the behavior of non-terrestrial systems with the possibility of online optimization through the DTs. Indeed, space-based clouds provide a practical solution to alleviate the computational burden on small assets operating within NTNs, which often have limited onboard processing capabilities. This allows them to interact efficiently with AI models and engage in data-driven learning.

### D. Neuromorphic Computing

Neuromorphic computers deviate from the traditional *von Neumann* architecture commonly found in conventional computers. Instead, they emulate the structure of biological brains with artificial neurons and synapses. This unique structure enables them to execute complex tasks such as pattern recognition and learning in ways similar to the human brain. Neuromorphic computing can be particularly valuable in scenarios involving temporal signals and requiring continual learning from diverse data sources in contexts like NTNs. Specifically, neuromorphic hardware and algorithms excel in handling the complex, dynamic, and adaptive processes, which makes them well-suited for real-time data analysis and decision-

making, thereby enhancing DT capabilities for simulating and responding to changing network conditions.

In satellite communications, several research activities, notably by the European Space Agency (ESA), investigate the application of neuromorphic processors for AI-based applications that demand extensive parallelism and matrix-based operations to enhance satellite payload performance, reliability, and power efficiency. Neuromorphic technology offers several advantages, notably in *energy efficiency* and *on-device adaptation*-critical attributes for resource-constrained devices in NTN [15]. This technology allows for complex computations with lower power consumption compared to traditional architectures. Moreover, its on-device learning and adaptation feature enables autonomous learning within the NTN, enhancing the self-optimizing capabilities of the network and contributing to the advancement of DTs.

#### E. Quantum Technologies

From an implementation perspective, NTN entities are mostly interconnected via free-space optical (FSO) links, which are favored in quantum communications protocols due to negligible background thermal radiation at optical frequencies [16]. Interestingly, quantum technologies can address key challenges for DTs, leveraging quantum phenomena like superposition and entanglement to offer unconditionally secure communications, ultra-precise sensing, and promising computing capabilities.

*Quantum cryptography*, especially quantum key distribution (QKD) protocols, offers a practical approach of exchanging keys between different communicating parties with unconditional security. The security of these keys is guaranteed by the fundamental laws of physics and do not rely on any computational hardness assumption. These secure protocols can be employed for both the DT servers and twin signaling, ensuring robust security across the system.

*Quantum sensing* provides precise measurements for parameters crucial in DT representation, including position, velocity, and environmental conditions. Particularly, quantum sensors based on atomic and molecular systems offer ultra-high precision in time and frequency, enabling detailed analysis of signal propagation, interference dynamics, and noise in NTNs.

*Quantum computing* represents a paradigm shift in computing capabilities and functionalities beyond classical computers. Specifically, classical computers often struggle with large-scale combinatorial optimization problems encountered in NTNs. Quantum computing algorithms offer unprecedented scalability due to the exponential size of the quantum computational space, paving the way for efficient modeling and optimization in the dynamic and complex environments of NTNs.

#### F. Software-Defined Networking (SDN)

From a networking standpoint, SDN is an essential technology for developing DTs as it decouples the control plane from the data plane to enable centralized orchestration and programmable network management. This flexibility enables real-time adjustments to network configurations. In the context

of DTs, SDN facilitates the creation of accurate models by providing real-time control over network parameters. This includes the ability to simulate various network scenarios, optimize resource allocation, and assess the impact of different configurations on network performance.

The interaction between SDN and DTs within NTNs leads to a synergistic relationship. DTs can leverage SDN's centralized orchestration and programmable network management, enabling real-time simulations and responsive adaptations to changing network conditions. In turn, SDN benefits from DTs insights, gaining a comprehensive understanding of network behavior for more informed decision-making and optimized resource allocation. Furthermore, SDN can enhance security measures by dynamically adjusting access policies and isolating compromised elements, contributing to the robustness of DTs against security challenges within NTNs.

#### G. Open Radio Access Network (O-RAN)

O-RAN is a novel architecture that decouples hardware and software components, allowing for flexible deployment of network infrastructure. This decoupling enables interoperability between off-the-shelf hardware from various vendors and openness in software and interfaces. The open interfaces within the O-RAN architecture facilitate multi-vendor interoperability and coexistence across functions, ensuring seamless integration of various components of the DTs and efficient data exchange through standardized interfaces. Thus, data-driven network management solutions can be effectively incorporated into O-RAN owing to the separation of hardware and software components [17].

O-RAN presents significant advantages for DT technology in the context of NTNs. It offers flexible, programmable, and cost-effective solutions for the communication infrastructure of NTNs, providing efficient resource management, reduced network latency, and improved overall DT performance. The openness of software and interfaces in O-RAN simplifies data collection and management, making the modeling of interactions between different network elements less complex. O-RAN's functional partitioning flexibility contributes to a more accurate representation of the physical network in the DT, enhancing its predictive and optimization capabilities. Additionally, DT technology facilitates effective O-RAN deployment by enabling the creation of virtual models for testing and optimizing scenarios before implementation in the physical network.

### V. CASE STUDY: NETWORK SLICING IN O-RAN NTNs

This section presents a case study to showcase the potential application of DT for resource optimization in quality-of-service (QoS)-aware NTN scenarios. Specifically, we investigate the implementation of learning techniques for dynamic resource allocation in O-RAN-based NTNs, aiming to facilitate the coexistence of enhanced mobile broadband (eMBB) and ultra-reliable low-latency communications (URLLC) ser-




Fig. 2. Twin AI-based model for resource allocation in NTNs.

vices<sup>1</sup>. The challenge lies in their divergent requirements: eMBB demands high data rates, while URLLC requires ultra-low latency, making simultaneous optimization challenging [19]. Addressing these conflicting demands requires advanced resource management and network design.

#### A. Network Scenario

As depicted in Fig. 2, we consider a constellation of multiple LEO satellites serving multiple distributed users with distinct requirements. In this system, LEO satellites collect network information, including channel states, network traffic, and QoS requirements, and then send the collected data to the non-Real Time Radio access network Intelligent Controller (non-RT RIC) located at a cloud server through a gateway. A DT is constructed based on the collected network information, which will be updated over time to keep synchronizing with the physical network. A learning model based on deep neural networks (DNNs) is installed at the non-RT RIC and trained by interacting with the DT to improve the spectral efficiency while satisfying the QoS requirements of each service. Specifically, the QoS for eMBB services is characterized by a defined minimum data rate threshold, whereas the QoS for URLLC is determined based on the outage probability.

The resource allocation decisions are then performed based on the trained models and sent to both the DT and physical network. The training unit keeps providing decisions and collecting data from the DT to further improve training accuracy and provide better responses to the network dynamic. In the DNN architecture, we use a model consisting of three hidden layers: the first hidden layer is configured with 600 neurons, the second with 300 neurons, and the third contains 250 neurons. The number of neurons in the input layer is aligned with the considered network information, including channel

<sup>1</sup>URLLC addresses scenarios involving intermittent data transmissions with stringent latency and reliability requirements. In the context of this paper, the demanded services may originate from space users in lower orbits, such as CubeSats and nanosats [18], and thus, this represents latency-sensitive traffic services.




Fig. 3. Downlink spectral efficiency.

states, network traffic, and QoS requirements. Furthermore, the number of neurons in the output layer corresponds to the size of the resource allocation matrix. We utilize the Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) activation function for the hidden layers, while the output layer employs the Softmax function.

#### B. Results Discussion

Fig. 3 shows the downlink spectral efficiency for different settings of URLLC traffic rate ( $\lambda$ ). Here, spectral efficiency is obtained as the sum data rate of eMBB and URLLC users divided by the system bandwidth. A fully buffered traffic model is considered for eMBB users. We compare the dynamic resource allocation-based approach to the static orthogonal method, where pre-determined fixed resources are assigned to each service. The findings reveal that the AI-based dynamic resource allocation approach provides better resource utilization compared to the orthogonal technique. Nevertheless, in the case of heavy URLLC traffic, the orthogonal method may perform slightly better than the dynamic approach since most of the resources assigned to URLLC users will be utilized. As indicated in Fig. 3, the dynamic approach yields approximately 60% higher spectral efficiency compared to the orthogonal method when  $\lambda = 100$  packet/time slot. This gap decreases with increasing URLLC traffic rate as more eMBB resources will be allocated to serve the higher priority URLLC traffic, impacting the overall eMBB data rate. Lastly, it is noteworthy that increasing the URLLC traffic rate to  $\lambda = 200$  packets/ time slot elevates the spectral efficiency of the orthogonal approach to about 2.2 bits/second/Hz and reduces the spectral efficiency of the dynamic approach to roughly 2.1 bits/second/Hz.

Fig. 4 depicts the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) of URLLC reliability defined in terms of the outage probability  $\Pr[R_u(t) \leq \zeta \lambda(t)] \leq \epsilon_{\max}$ , where  $R_u(t)$  is the obtained sum data rate of URLLC users at time slot  $t$ ,  $\zeta$  represents the URLLC packet size and  $\epsilon_{\max}$  denotes the maximum threshold of the outage probability. The results obtained at  $\epsilon_{\max} = 0.07$  and  $\zeta = 32$  bytes, while the value of  $\lambda$  varies over time slots. Notably, the cumulative probability that the outage probability exceeds the threshold  $\epsilon_{\max}$  is around 0.02. This outcome is due to the fact that the dynamic scheduling algorithm prioritizes






Fig. 4. URLLC outage probability.

critical URLLC traffic by allocating resources from eMBB users over time slots, considering the stochastic network dynamics.

In general, these findings underscore the potential advantages of incorporating AI models within DTs for enhancing the performance of NTN, ensuring the required reliability, and meeting the diverse QoS requirements.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

This article advocates for the transformative role of DT technology in enhancing the control and management of NTN. We have presented the vision of integrating DTs into NTN, and discussed the key deployment challenges including the need for up-to-date and accurate data, high computational power, reliable interconnections, interoperability issues, and secure data procedures. Various enabling technologies have been explored to facilitate the integration and address these challenges. In addition, we have presented a case study that leverages a learning model for network slicing in an O-RAN NTN architecture. In short, this article has introduced various research and development aspects regarding the integration of DT technology in NTN. This may serve as a catalyst for further exploration, potentially revolutionizing network control and management in non-terrestrial communication systems.

## VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded in whole by the Luxembourg National Research Fund (FNR) under the project SmartSpace, grant reference [C21/IS/16193290].

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Mihai *et al.*, “Digital twins: A survey on enabling technologies, challenges, trends and future prospects,” *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 2255–2291, 2022.
- [2] N. Mohammadi and J. E. Taylor, “Thinking fast and slow in disaster decision-making with smart city digital twins,” *Nature Computational Science*, vol. 1, no. 12, pp. 771–773, Dec. 2021.
- [3] Y. Zhou *et al.*, “A hierarchical digital twin network for satellite communication networks,” *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 104–110, 2023.

- [4] L. U. Khan *et al.*, “Digital twin of wireless systems: Overview, taxonomy, challenges, and opportunities,” *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 2230–2254, Aug. 2022.
- [5] H. Al-Hraishawi, H. Chougrani, S. Kisseleff, E. Lagunas, and S. Chatzinotas, “A survey on nongeostationary satellite systems: The communication perspective,” *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 101–132, Aug. 2023.
- [6] M. U. Kim, “A survey on digital twin in aerospace in the new space era,” in *IEEE Int. Conf. Inf. and Commun. Technol. Convergence (ICTC)*, Jeju Island, Korea, Oct. 2022, pp. 1735–1737.
- [7] ITU-T Rec. Y.3090, “Digital twin network - requirements and architecture,” International Telecommunication Union, Standard, 2021.
- [8] H. Al-Hraishawi, S. Chatzinotas, and B. Ottersten, “Broadband nongeostationary satellite communication systems: Research challenges and key opportunities,” in *IEEE Int. Conf. on Commun. Workshops (ICC Workshops)*, Montreal, QC, Canada, Jun. 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [9] G. Sciddurlo *et al.*, “Looking at NB-IoT over LEO satellite systems: Design and evaluation of a service-oriented solution,” *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 9, no. 16, pp. 14 952–14 964, Aug. 2022.
- [10] X. Hu *et al.*, “Multi-agent deep reinforcement learning-based flexible satellite payload for mobile terminals,” *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 69, no. 9, pp. 9849–9865, Sep. 2020.
- [11] C. Jiang and X. Zhu, “Reinforcement learning based capacity management in multi-layer satellite networks,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 4685–4699, Apr. 2020.
- [12] T. K. Rodrigues and N. Kato, “Network slicing with centralized and distributed reinforcement learning for combined satellite/ground networks in a 6G environment,” *IEEE Wireless Commun.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 104–110, Feb. 2022.
- [13] O. A. Abdullah, H. Al-Hraishawi, and S. Chatzinotas, “Deep learning-based device-free localization in wireless sensor networks,” in *IEEE Wireless Commun. Net. Conf. (WCNC)*, Glasgow, United Kingdom, Mar. 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [14] H. Huang, S. Guo, and K. Wang, “Envisioned wireless big data storage for low-earth-orbit satellite-based cloud,” *IEEE Wireless Commun.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 26–31, Feb. 2018.
- [15] G. Bersuker, M. Mason, and K. L. Jones, “Neuromorphic computing: The potential for high-performance processing in space,” *Game Changer*, pp. 1–12, 2018.
- [16] A. Trichili, M. A. Cox, B. S. Ooi, and M.-S. Alouini, “Roadmap to free space optics,” *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, vol. 37, no. 11, pp. A184–A201, Nov. 2020.
- [17] M. Polese, L. Bonati, S. D’Oro, S. Basagni, and T. Melodia, “Understanding O-RAN: Architecture, interfaces, algorithms, security, and research challenges,” *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, pp. 1–1, 2023.
- [18] H. Al-Hraishawi *et al.*, “Multi-layer space information networks: Access design and softwareization,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 158 587–158 598, Nov. 2021.
- [19] M. N. Dazhi, H. Al-Hraishawi, M. R. B. Shankar, S. Chatzinotas, and B. Ottersten, “Energy-efficient service-aware multi-connectivity scheduler for uplink multi-layer non-terrestrial networks,” *IEEE Trans. Green Commun. Netw.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 1326–1341, Apr. 2023.