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#### Abstract

We study the structure of the abelian category of modules for the triplet $W$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$. Using the logarithmic deformation by Fjelstad et al.(2002), we construct logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-m o d u l e s ~ t h a t ~}^{\text {m }}$ have $L_{0}$ nilpotent rank three or two. By using the structure of these logarithmic modules and the results on logarithmic Virasoro modules by Kytölä and Ridout(2009), we compute Ext ${ }^{1}$ groups between certain indecomposable modules and simple modules. Based on these Ext ${ }^{1}$ groups we determine the structure of the projective covers of all $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {simple modules. }}$
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## 1 Introduction

In 2006, Feigin, Gainutdinov, Semikhatov and Tipunin introduced the triplet $W$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$, where $p_{ \pm}$are coprime integers such that $p_{ \pm} \geq 2[12]$. This $W$-algebra is an extension of the minimal Virasoro model

$$
L\left(c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}, 0\right) \subset \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}, \quad \quad c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}:=1-6 \frac{\left(p_{+}-p_{-}\right)^{2}}{p_{+} p_{-}}
$$

as a vertex operator algebra. The triplet $W$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$is irrational, $C_{2^{-}}$ cofinite [3], [4, [33] and a natural generalization of the triplet $W$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{p}$ (cf. [1], [14], [15], [23], [25], [27]). Let $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$be the abelian category of $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$ modules. Similar to the case of $\mathcal{W}_{p}$, the abelian category $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$has the block decomposition and each block is assigned to one of three groups: $\frac{\left(p_{+}-1\right)\left(p_{-}-1\right)}{2}$ thick blocks, $p_{+}+p_{-}-2$ thin blocks and two semisimple blocks. The most complex groups are the thick blocks and each thick block contains five simple modules of which is the minimal Virasoro simple module. Each thick block contains certain logarithmic modules whose $L_{0}$ nilpotent rank three and the detailed structure of these rank three logarithmic modules has been studied from logarithmic conformal field theories and vertex operator algebras. In [31], Rasmussen examined the structure of indecomposable modules by using the method of solvable lattice models. In [18, [19], [34], Gaberdiel, Runkel and Wood examined the structure of rank three logarithmic modules from the direction of boundary conformal field theories and showed that the tensor category on $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$is not rigid. In [6], Adamović and Milas constructed certain rank three logarithmic modules by using the method of the lattice construction. However, unlike the case of the triplet $W$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{p}$ [25],[27], there were few detailed studies of the structure of the abelian category $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$, such as the properties of Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups.

The main goal of this paper is to determine the structure of these rank three logarithmic modules by using the logarithmic deformation in [17] and the center of the Zhu-algebra $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$[3],[4, [33], and to show that these logarithmic modules are projective.

This paper is organized as follows.
In Section 2, we review the structure of Fock modules and the Felder complex in accordance with [33]. The basic facts in this section are frequently used in later sections.

In Section 3, we introduce the vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$and review some important results in [3], [4], [33] briefly. In Subsection 6.2, we introduce the block decomposition of $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$. Each block of $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\bmod }$ is assigned to one of three groups: $\frac{\left(p_{+}-1\right)\left(p_{-}-1\right)}{2}$ thick blocks $C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}, p_{+}+p_{-}-2$ thin
blocks $C_{r, p_{-}}^{\text {thin }}, C_{p_{+}, s}^{\text {thin }}$ and two semisimple blocks $C_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}^{ \pm}$. The most complex groups are the thick blocks and each thick block $C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$ contains five simple modules $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$and $L\left(h_{r, s}\right)$, where $L\left(h_{r, s}\right)$ is the minimal simple module module of the Virasoro algebra. The thick blocks and the thin blocks contain logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$-modules on which the Virasoro zeromode $L_{0}$ acts non-semisimply.

In Section 4, by gluing lattice simple modules $\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{ \pm}$using the logarithmic deformation by [17], we construct logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$modules $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{ \pm}$and $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{ \pm}\right)_{\bullet, \bullet}$ whose $L_{0}$ nilpotent rank three and two, respectively.

In Section 5, we determine Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups between certain Virasoro modules, by using some important results by [24]. We also study the subquotient structure of some logarithmic Virasoro modules by using certain limit operations. These results are stated in Theorem 5.26 and Proposition 5.28.

In Section 6, we determine the structure of the projective covers of all $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {simple modules. In Subsections } 6.1 \text { and } 6.2 \text {, we determine the structre }}$ of the socle series of indecomposable modules $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{0,0}^{ \pm}\right)_{\bullet, 0}$ and the Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups between all simple modules. In Subsections 6.3 and 6.4 , we study the structure of Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups in the thin blocks $C_{r, p_{-}}^{\text {thin }}, C_{p_{+}, s}^{\text {thin }}$ and the thick blocks $C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$. Based on the structure of the logarithmic Virasoro modules determined in Section 5. we compute Ext ${ }^{1}$ groups between certain indecomposable $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$ modules and the simple modules, and show that the logarithmic modules $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\bullet, p_{-}}^{ \pm}\right)_{\bullet, p_{-}}, \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, \bullet}^{ \pm}\right)_{p_{+}, \bullet}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\bullet, \bullet}^{ \pm}$are the projective covers of the top composition factors. In Subsection 6.5, we determine the structure of the projective covers of the minimal simple modules $L\left(h_{r, s}\right)$ by using the structure of the center of the Zhu-algebra $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$determined in [3], [4], [33].

This paper is partially based on our thesis [28].

## 2 Bosonic Fock modules

Recall that the Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by $L_{n}(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ and $C$ (the central charge) with the relation

$$
\left[L_{m}, L_{n}\right]=(m-n) L_{m+n}+\frac{m^{3}-m}{12} C \delta_{m+n, 0}, \quad\left[L_{n}, C\right]=0
$$

Fix two coprime integers $p_{+}, p_{-}$such that $p_{-}>p_{+} \geq 2$, and let

$$
c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}:=1-6 \frac{\left(p_{+}-p_{-}\right)^{2}}{p_{+} p_{-}}
$$

be the central charge of the minimal model $\mathrm{M}\left(p_{+}, p_{-}\right)$. In this section, we briefly review theories of Fock modules whose central charges are $C=c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$.
id in accordance with [33]. As for the representation theory of the Virasoro algebra, see [11] and [22].

### 2.1 Free field theory

The Heisenberg Lie algebra

$$
\mathcal{H}=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} a_{n} \oplus \mathbb{C} K_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

is the Lie algebra whose commutation is given by

$$
\left[a_{m}, a_{n}\right]=m \delta_{m+n, 0} K_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad\left[K_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H}\right]=0
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{H}^{ \pm}=\bigoplus_{n>0} \mathbb{C} a_{ \pm n}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{0}=\mathbb{C} a_{0} \oplus \mathbb{C} K_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{\geq}=\mathcal{H}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{0}
$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\mathbb{C}|\alpha\rangle$ be the one dimensional $\mathcal{H}^{\geq}$-module defined by

$$
a_{n}|\alpha\rangle=\delta_{n, 0} \alpha|\alpha\rangle(n \geq 0), \quad K_{\mathcal{H}}|\alpha\rangle=|\alpha\rangle .
$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the bosonic Fock module is defined by the induced module

$$
F_{\alpha}=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{H} \geq}^{\mathcal{H}} \mathbb{C}|\alpha\rangle .
$$

Let

$$
a(z)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{n} z^{-n-1}
$$

be the bosonic current. Then we have the following operator expansion

$$
a(z) a(w)=\frac{1}{(z-w)^{2}}+\cdots
$$

where $\cdots$ denotes the regular part in $z=w$. We define the energy-momentum tensor

$$
T(z):=\frac{1}{2}: a(z) a(z):+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{2} \partial a(z), \quad \alpha_{0}:=\sqrt{\frac{2 p_{-}}{p_{+}}}-\sqrt{\frac{2 p_{+}}{p_{-}}} .
$$

where : : is the normal ordered product. The energy-momentum tensor satisfies the following operator expansion

$$
T(z) T(w)=\frac{c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}}{2(z-w)^{4}}+\frac{2 T(w)}{(z-w)^{2}}+\frac{\partial T(w)}{z-w}+\cdots .
$$

Then the Fourier modes of $T(z)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_{n} z^{-n-2}$ generate the Virasoro algebra whose central charge is $c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$. Thus, by the energy-momentum tensor $T(z)$, each Fock module $F_{\alpha}$ has the structure of a Virasoro module whose central charge is $c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$. Note that

$$
L_{0}|\alpha\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)|\alpha\rangle .
$$

Let us denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\alpha}:=\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the Fock module $F_{\alpha}$ has the following $L_{0}$ weight decomposition

$$
F_{\alpha}=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} F_{\alpha}[n], \quad F_{\alpha}[n]:=\left\{v \in F_{\alpha} \backslash\{0\} \mid L_{0} v=\left(h_{\alpha}+n\right) v\right\},
$$

where each weight space $F_{\alpha}[n]$ has a basis

$$
\left\{a_{-\lambda}|\alpha\rangle \mid \lambda \vdash n\right\}
$$

with $a_{-\lambda}=a_{-\lambda_{k}} \cdots a_{-\lambda_{1}}$ for a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right)$.
We define the following conformal vector in $F_{0}$

$$
T=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{-1}^{2}+\alpha_{0} a_{-2}\right)|0\rangle \in F_{0}[2] .
$$

Definition 2.1. The Fock module $F_{0}$ carries the structure of a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded vertex operator algebra, with

$$
Y(|0\rangle ; z)=\mathrm{id}, \quad Y\left(a_{-1}|0\rangle ; z\right)=a(z), \quad Y(T ; z)=T(z) .
$$

We denote this vertex operator algebra by $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{0}}$.

### 2.2 The structure of Fock modules

We set

$$
\alpha_{+}=\sqrt{\frac{2 p_{-}}{p_{+}}}, \quad \alpha_{-}=-\sqrt{\frac{2 p_{+}}{p_{-}}} .
$$

For $r, s, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we introduce the following symbols

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{r, s ; n}=\frac{1-r}{2} \alpha_{+}+\frac{1-s}{2} \alpha_{-}+\frac{\sqrt{2 p_{+} p_{-}}}{2} n, \quad \alpha_{r, s}=\alpha_{r, s ; 0} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r, s, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we use the following abbreviations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{r, s ; n}=F_{\alpha_{r, s ; n}}, & F_{r, s}=F_{\alpha_{r, s}}, \\
h_{r, s ; n}=h_{\alpha_{r, s ; n}}, & h_{r, s}=h_{\alpha_{r, s}}
\end{array}
$$

Note that

$$
h_{r, s ; n}=h_{r-n p_{+}, s}=h_{r, s+n p_{-}}, \quad h_{r, s ; n}=h_{-r,-s ;-n}
$$

for $r, s, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $r, s, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)$ be the irreducible Virasoro module whose highest weight is $h_{r, s ; n}$ and the central charge $C=c_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \cdot \mathrm{id}$.

Before describing the structure of Fock modules, let us introduce the notion of socle series.

Definition 2.2. Let $V$ be a vertex operator algebra or the Virasoro algebra, and let $M$ be a finite length $V$-module. Let $\operatorname{Soc}(M)$ be the socle of $M$, that is $\operatorname{Soc}(M)$ is the maximal semisimple submodule of $M$. Since $M$ is finite length, we have the sequence of the submodule

$$
\operatorname{Soc}_{1}(M) \subsetneq \operatorname{Soc}_{2}(M) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \operatorname{Soc}_{n}(M)=M
$$

such that $\operatorname{Soc}_{1}(M)=\operatorname{Soc}(M)$ and $\operatorname{Soc}_{i+1}(M) / \operatorname{Soc}_{i}(M)=\operatorname{Soc}\left(M / \operatorname{Soc}_{i}(M)\right)$. We call such a sequence of the submodules of $M$ the socle series of $M$.

The following proposition is due to [11.
Proposition 2.3. As the Virasoro modules, there are four cases of socle series for the Fock modules $F_{r, s ; n} \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{0}}$-mod:

1. For each $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1,1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(F_{r, s ; n}\right) \subsetneq \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(F_{r, s ; n}\right) \subsetneq \operatorname{Soc}_{3}\left(F_{r, s ; n}\right)=F_{r, s ; n}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(F_{r, s ; n}\right)=\operatorname{Soc}\left(F_{r, s ; n}\right) & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} L\left(h_{r, p--s ;|n|+2 k+1}\right), \\
\operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(F_{r, s ; n}\right) / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(F_{r, s ; n}\right) & =\operatorname{Soc}\left(F_{r, s ; n} / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(F_{r, s ; n}\right)\right) \\
& =\bigoplus_{k \geq a} L\left(h_{r, s ;|n|+2 k}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{k \geq 1-a} L\left(h_{p_{+}-r, p--s ;|n|+2 k}\right), \\
\operatorname{Soc}_{3}\left(F_{r, s ; n}\right) / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(F_{r, s ; n}\right) & =\operatorname{Soc}\left(F_{r, s ; n} / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(F_{r, s ; n}\right)\right)=\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} L\left(h_{p_{+}-r, s ;|n|+2 k+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a=0$ if $n \geq 0$ and $a=1$ if $n<0$.
2. For each $1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(F_{p_{+}, s ; n}\right) \subsetneq \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(F_{p_{+}, s ; n}\right)=F_{p_{+}, s ; n}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(F_{p_{+}, s ; n}\right)=\operatorname{Soc}\left(F_{p_{+}, s ; n}\right)=\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} L\left(h_{p_{+}, p_{-} s ;|n|+2 k+1}\right), \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(F_{p_{+}, s ; n}\right) / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(F_{p_{+}, s ; n}\right)=\bigoplus_{k \geq a} L\left(h_{p_{+}, s ;|n|+2 k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a=0$ if $n \geq 1$ and $a=1$ if $n<1$.
3. For each $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(F_{r, p_{-} ; n}\right) \subsetneq \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(F_{r, p_{-} ; n}\right)=F_{r, p_{-} ; n}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(F_{r, p_{-} ; n}\right)=\operatorname{Soc}\left(F_{r, p_{-} ; n}\right)=\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} L\left(h_{r, p_{-} ;|n|+2 k}\right), \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(F_{r, p_{-} ; n}\right) / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(F_{r, p_{-} ; n}\right)=\bigoplus_{k \geq a} L\left(h_{p_{+}-r, p-;|n|+2 k-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a=1$ if $n \geq 0$ and $a=0$ if $n<0$.
4. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Fock module $F_{p_{+}, p_{-} ; n}$ is semi-simple as a Virasoro module:

$$
F_{p_{+}, p_{-} ; n}=\operatorname{Soc}\left(F_{p_{+}, p_{-} ; n}\right)=\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} L\left(h_{p_{+}, p_{-} ;|n|+2 k}\right) .
$$

Let the Fock modules, whose socle length are three, be denoted by braided type, and let the Fock modules, whose length are two, be denoted by chain type.

### 2.3 Screening currents and Felder complex

We introduce a free scalar field $\phi(z)$, which is a formal primitive of $a(z)$

$$
\phi(z)=\hat{a}+a_{0} \log z-\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{a_{n}}{n} z^{-n}
$$

where $\hat{a}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{m}, \hat{a}\right]=\delta_{m, 0} \mathrm{id} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scalar field $\phi(z)$ satisfies the operator product expansion

$$
\phi(z) \phi(w)=\log (z-w)+\cdots .
$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we introduce the field $V_{\alpha}(z)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\alpha}(z)=: e^{\alpha \phi(z)}:=e^{\alpha \hat{a}} z^{\alpha a_{0}} \bar{V}_{\alpha}(z), z^{\alpha a_{0}}=e^{\alpha a_{0} \log z}, \\
& \bar{V}_{\alpha}(z)=e^{\alpha \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^{n}} e^{-\alpha \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_{n}}{n} z^{-n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fields $V_{\alpha}(z)$ satisfy the following operator product expansion

$$
V_{\alpha}(z) V_{\beta}(w)=(z-w)^{\alpha \beta}: V_{\alpha}(z) V_{\beta}(w): .
$$

We introduce the following two screening currents $Q_{+}(z), Q_{-}(z)$

$$
Q_{ \pm}(z)=V_{\alpha_{ \pm}}(z)
$$

whose conformal weights are $h_{\alpha_{ \pm}}=1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(z) Q_{ \pm}(w) & =\frac{Q_{ \pm}(w)}{(z-w)^{2}}+\frac{\partial_{w} Q_{ \pm}(w)}{z-w}+\cdots \\
& =\partial_{w}\left(\frac{Q_{ \pm}(w)}{z-w}\right)+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the zero modes of the fields $Q_{ \pm}(z)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} Q_{+}(z) \mathrm{d} z=Q_{+}: F_{1, k} \rightarrow F_{-1, k}, & k \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} Q_{-}(z) \mathrm{d} z=Q_{-}: & F_{k, 1} \rightarrow F_{k,-1}, \\
k \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{array}
$$

commute with every Virasoro mode.
For $r, s \geq 1$, we introduce more complicated screening currents

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{+}^{[r]}(z) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(F_{r, k}, F_{-r, k}\right)\left[\left[z, z^{-1}\right]\right], & r \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
Q_{-}^{[s]}(z) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(F_{k, s}, F_{k,-s}\right)\left[\left[z, z^{-1}\right]\right], & s \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{array}
$$

constructed by [32 (cf. [22],33]) as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{+}^{[r]}(z)=\int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{r}\left(\kappa_{+}\right)} Q_{+}(z) Q_{+}\left(z x_{1}\right) Q_{+}\left(z x_{2}\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(z x_{r-1}\right) z^{r-1} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{r-1}, \\
& Q_{-}^{[s]}(z)=\int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{s}\left(\kappa_{-}\right)} Q_{-}(z) Q_{-}\left(z x_{1}\right) Q_{-}\left(z x_{2}\right) \cdots Q_{-}\left(z x_{s-1}\right) z^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{s-1}, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}_{n}\left(\kappa_{ \pm}\right)$is a certain regularized cycle constructed from the simplex

$$
\Delta_{n-1}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid 1>x_{1}>\cdots>x_{n-1}>0\right\} .
$$

These fields satisfy the following operator product expansion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(z) Q_{+}^{[r]}(w)=\frac{Q_{+}^{[r]}(w)}{(z-w)^{2}}+\frac{\partial_{w} Q_{+}^{[r]}(w)}{z-w}+\cdots \\
& T(z) Q_{-}^{[s]}(w)=\frac{Q_{-}^{[s]}(w)}{(z-w)^{2}}+\frac{\partial_{w} Q_{-}^{[s]}(w)}{z-w}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular the following proposition holds
Proposition 2.4. The zero modes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} Q_{+}^{[r]}(z) \mathrm{d} z=Q_{+}^{[r]} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(F_{r, k}, F_{-r, k}\right), \quad r \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} Q_{-}^{[s]}(z) \mathrm{d} z=Q_{-}^{[s]} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(F_{k, s}, F_{k,-s}\right), \quad s \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

commute with every Virasoro mode of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{0}}-\mathrm{Mod}$. These zero modes are called screening operators.

For $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1,1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$, we set

$$
r^{\vee}:=p_{+}-r, \quad s^{\vee}:=p_{-}-s
$$

For $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}$and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the following Virasoro modules :

1. For $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{r, s ; n ;+} & =\operatorname{ker} Q_{+}^{[r]}: F_{r, s ; n} \rightarrow F_{r^{\vee}, s ; n+1}, \\
X_{r^{\vee}, s ; n+1 ;+} & =\operatorname{im} Q_{+}^{[r]}: F_{r, s ; n} \rightarrow F_{r^{\vee}, s ; n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. For $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{r, s ; n ;-} & =\operatorname{ker} Q_{-}^{[s]}: F_{r, s ; n} \rightarrow F_{r, s^{\vee} ; n-1}, \\
X_{r, s^{\vee} ; n-1 ;-} & =\operatorname{im} Q_{-}^{[s]}: F_{r, s ; n} \rightarrow F_{r, s s^{\vee} ; n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following propositions are due to [16].
Proposition 2.5. The socle series of $K_{r, s ; n ; \pm}$ and $X_{r, s ; n ; \pm}$ are given by :

1. For $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1,1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}\left(K_{r, s ; n ; \pm}\right)=\operatorname{Soc}\left(K_{r, s ; n ; \pm}\right) \subsetneq K_{r, s ; n ; \pm}, \\
& S_{1}\left(X_{r, s ; n ; \pm}\right)=\operatorname{Soc}\left(X_{r, s ; n ; \pm}\right) \subsetneq X_{r, s ; n ; \pm}
\end{aligned}
$$

such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlrl}
n & \geq 0 & n \leq-1 \\
S_{1}\left(K_{r, s ; n ;+}\right) & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s \vee ; n+2 k-1}\right), & S_{1}\left(K_{r, s ; n ;+}\right) & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s \vee ;-n+2 k-1}\right), \\
K_{r, s ; n ;+} / S_{1} & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s ; n+2(k-1)}\right), & K_{r, s ; n ;+} / S_{1} & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s ;-n+2 k}\right), \\
S_{1}\left(X_{r, s ; n+1 ;+}\right) & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s s^{\vee} ; n+2 k}\right), & S_{1}\left(X_{r, s ; n+1 ;+}\right) & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s^{\vee} ;-n+2(k-1)}\right), \\
X_{r, s ; n+1 ;+} / S_{1} & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s ; n+2 k-1}\right), & X_{r, s ; n+1 ;+} / S_{1} & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s ;-n+2 k-1}\right), \\
n & \geq 1 & & n \leq 0 \\
S_{1}\left(K_{r, s ; n ;-}\right) & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s s^{\vee} ; n+2 k-1}\right), & S_{1}\left(K_{r, s ; n ;-}\right) & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s \vee ;-n+2 k-1}\right), \\
K_{r, s ; n ;-} / S_{1} & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s ; n+2(k-1)}\right), & K_{r, s ; n ;-} / S_{1} & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s ;-n+2 k}\right), \\
S_{1}\left(X_{r, s ; n+1 ;-}\right) & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s s^{\vee} ; n+2(k-1)}\right), & S_{1}\left(X_{r, s ; n+1 ;-}\right) & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r, s \vee ;-n+2 k}\right), \\
X_{r, s ; n+1 ;-} / S_{1} & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ; n+2 k-1}\right), & X_{r, s ; n+1 ;-} / S_{1} & =\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L\left(h_{r \vee}{ }^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ;-n+2 k-1\right.
\end{array}\right) .,
$$

2. For $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1, s=p_{-}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
X_{r, p_{-} ; n}=\operatorname{Soc}\left(F_{r, p_{-} ; n}\right) .
$$

3. For $r=p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
X_{p_{+}, s ; n}=\operatorname{Soc}\left(F_{p_{+}, s ; n}\right) .
$$

Proposition 2.6 ([16]).

1. For $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}$and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the screening operators $Q_{+}^{[r]}$ and $Q_{+}^{\left[r^{\vee}\right]}$ define the Felder complex

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{Q_{+}^{[r]}} F_{r^{\vee}, s ; n-1} \xrightarrow{Q_{+}^{[r \vee]}} F_{r, s ; n} \xrightarrow{Q_{+}^{[r]}} F_{r^{\vee}, s ; n+1} \xrightarrow{Q_{+}^{[r \vee]}} \cdots .
$$

This complex is exact everywhere except in $F_{r, s}=F_{r, s ; 0}$ where the cohomology is given by

$$
\operatorname{ker} Q_{+}^{[r]} / \operatorname{im} Q_{+}^{\left[r^{\vee}\right]} \simeq L\left(h_{r, s ; 0}\right) .
$$

2. For $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}$and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the screening operators $Q_{-}^{[s]}$ and $Q_{-}^{[s \vee]}$ define the Felder complex

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{Q_{-}^{[s]}} F_{r, s^{\vee} ; n+1} \xrightarrow{Q_{-}^{[s \vee]}} F_{r, s ; n} \xrightarrow{Q_{-}^{[s]}} F_{r, s \vee ; n-1} \xrightarrow{Q_{-}^{[s \vee]}} \cdots
$$

This complex is exact everywhere except in $F_{r, s}=F_{r, s ; 0}$ where the cohomology is given by

$$
\operatorname{ker} Q_{-}^{[s]} / \operatorname{im} Q_{-}^{\left[s^{\vee}\right]} \simeq L\left(h_{r, s ; 0}\right)
$$

3. For $1 \leq r<p_{+}$and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the screening operators $Q_{+}^{[r]}$ and $Q_{+}^{\left[r^{\vee}\right]}$ define the Felder complex

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{Q_{+}^{[r]}} F_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; n-1} \xrightarrow{Q_{+}^{[\vee \vee]}} F_{r, p_{-} ; n} \xrightarrow{Q_{+}^{[r]}} F_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; n+1} \xrightarrow{Q_{+}^{\left[\vee^{\vee}\right]}} \cdots
$$

and this complex is exact.
4. For $1 \leq s<p_{-}$and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the screening operators $Q_{-}^{[s]}$ and $Q_{-}^{\left[s^{\vee}\right]}$ define the Felder complex

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{Q_{-}^{[s]}} F_{p_{+}, s^{\vee} ; n+1} \xrightarrow{Q_{-}^{\left[s^{\vee}\right]}} F_{p_{+}, s ; n} \xrightarrow{Q^{[s]}} F_{p_{+}, s^{\vee} ; n-1} \xrightarrow{Q_{-}^{\left[s^{\vee}\right]}} \cdots .
$$

and this complex is exact.

## 3 The triplet $W$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p-}$

In this section, we introduce a vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$which is called the triplet $W$-algebra of type $\left(p_{+}, p_{-}\right)$and review some important results in [3], [4, [33] briefly. In Subsection 3.3, we introduce the abelian category of $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-m o d u l e s ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ b l o c k ~ d e c o m p o s i t i o n ~ o f ~ t h i s ~ a b e l i a n ~ c a t e g o r y . ~}^{\text {. }}$

### 3.1 The lattice vertex operator algebra and the vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$

## Definition 3.1.

The lattice vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{V}_{\left[p_{+}, p_{-}\right]}$is the tuple

$$
\left(\mathcal{V}_{1,1}^{+},|0\rangle, \frac{1}{2}\left(a_{-1}^{2}-\alpha_{0} a_{-2}\right)|0\rangle, Y\right),
$$

where underlying vector space of $\mathcal{V}_{\left[p_{+}, p_{-}\right]}$is given by

$$
\mathcal{V}_{1,1}^{+}=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{1,1 ; 2 n}=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{n \sqrt{2 p+p-}},
$$

and $Y\left(\left|\alpha_{1,1 ; 2 n}\right\rangle ; z\right)=V_{\alpha_{1,1 ; 2 n}}(z)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
It is a known fact that simple $\mathcal{V}_{\left[p_{+}, p_{-}\right]}$-modules are given by the following $2 p_{+} p_{-}$direct sum of Fock modules

$$
\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{r, s ; 2 n}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-}=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{r, s ; 2 n+1}
$$

where $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}$.
Note that the two screening operators $Q_{+}$and $Q_{-}$act on $\mathcal{V}_{1,1}^{+}$. We define the following vector subspace of $\mathcal{V}_{1,1}^{+}$:

$$
\mathcal{K}_{1,1}=\operatorname{ker} Q_{+} \cap \operatorname{ker} Q_{-} \subset \mathcal{V}_{1,1}^{+} .
$$

Definition 3.2. The triplet $W$-algebra

$$
\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}=\left(\mathcal{K}_{1,1},|0\rangle, T, Y\right)
$$

is a sub vertex operator algebra of $\mathcal{V}_{\left[p_{+}, p_{-}\right]}$, where the vacuum vector, conformal vector and vertex operator map are those of $\mathcal{V}_{\left[p_{+}, p_{-}\right]}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $W^{ \pm}, W^{0}$ be the following singular vectors
$W^{+}=Q_{-}^{\left[p_{-}-1\right]}\left|\alpha_{1, p_{-}-1 ; 3}\right\rangle, \quad W^{-}=Q_{+}^{\left[p_{+}-1\right]}\left|\alpha_{p_{+}-1,1 ;-3}\right\rangle, \quad W^{0}=Q_{+}^{\left[2 p_{+}-1\right]}\left|\alpha_{p_{+}-1,1 ;-3}\right\rangle$.
Proposition 3.4. $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$is strongly generated by the fields $T(z), Y\left(W^{ \pm} ; z\right), Y\left(W^{0} ; z\right)$.
Theorem 3.5 ([3, 4, 33]). $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$is $C_{2}$-cofinite.

### 3.2 Simple $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {modules }}$

For each $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}$, let $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{ \pm}$be the following vector subspace of $\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{ \pm}$:

1. For $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1,1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$,

$$
\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}=Q_{+}^{[r v]}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r v, s}^{-}\right) \cap Q_{-}^{[s \vee]}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-}\right), \quad \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}=Q_{+}^{[r v]}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r v, s}^{+}\right) \cap Q_{-}^{\left.[s)^{s}\right]}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}\right) .
$$

2. For $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1, s=p_{-}$,

$$
\mathcal{X}_{r, p-}^{+}=Q_{+}^{[r v]}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, ~-p_{-}}^{-}\right), \quad \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{-}=Q_{+}^{[r v]}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r v, p_{-}}^{+}\right) .
$$

3. For $r=p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$,

$$
\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}=Q_{-}^{\left[s^{v}\right]}\left(\mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, s^{v}}^{-}\right), \quad \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{-}=Q_{-}^{\left[s_{-}^{v}\right]}\left(\mathcal{V}_{p+, s}^{+}\right) .
$$

4. $r=p_{+}, s=p_{-}$,

$$
\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{+}=\mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{+}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{-}=\mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}^{-} .
$$

## Definition 3.6.

1. We define the interior Kac table $\mathrm{K}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$as the following quotient set

$$
\mathrm{K}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}=\left\{(r, s) \mid 1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}\right\} / \sim
$$

where $(r, s) \sim\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $r^{\prime}=p_{+}-r, s^{\prime}=p_{-}-s$. Note that $\# \mathrm{~K}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}=\frac{\left(p_{+}-1\right)\left(p_{-}-1\right)}{2}$.
2. For each $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}, n \geq 0$, we define the following symbols

$$
\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
h_{r^{\vee}, s ;-2 n-1} & r \neq p_{+}, s \neq p_{-} \\
h_{p_{+}, s ;-2 n} & r=p_{+}, s \neq p_{-} \\
h_{r, p_{-} ; 2 n} & r \neq p_{+}, s=p_{-} \\
h_{p_{+}, p_{-} ;-2 n} & r=p_{+}, s=p_{-}
\end{array}, \Delta_{r, s ; n}^{-}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
h_{r^{\vee}, s ;-2 n-2} & r \neq p_{+}, s \neq p_{-} \\
h_{p_{+}, s ;-2 n-1} & r=p_{+}, s \neq p_{-} \\
h_{r, p_{-} ; 2 n+1} & r \neq p_{+}, s=p_{-} \\
h_{p_{+}, p_{-} ;-2 n-1} & r=p_{+}, s=p_{-} .
\end{array} .\right.\right.
$$

Proposition 3.7 ([3, 4, 33]). For each $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{ \pm}\left(1 \leq r \leq p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}\right)$, we have the following decompositions as the Virasoro modules

$$
\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0}(2 n+1) L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}\right), \quad \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0}(2 n+2) L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{-}\right)
$$

Theorem 3.8 ([3, 4, 33]). The $\frac{\left(p_{+}-1\right)\left(p_{-}-1\right)}{2}+2 p_{+} p_{-}$vector spaces

$$
L\left(h_{r, s}\right),(r, s) \in \mathrm{K}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{ \pm}, 1 \leq r \leq p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}
$$

become simple $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$modules and give all simple $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {modules }}$
Proposition 3.9 ([3, 4, 33]). Each $2 p_{+} p_{-}$simple $\mathcal{V}_{\left[p_{+}, p_{-}\right]}$module becomes $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-m o d u l e}$ and has the socle series

1. For each $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}, \mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}$has the following socle series

$$
\operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \subsetneq \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \subsetneq \operatorname{Soc}_{3}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Soc}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \\
& \operatorname{Soc}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+} / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}\right)\right)=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r, s \vee}^{-} \oplus L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \\
& \operatorname{Soc}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+} / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}\right)\right)=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. For each $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}, \mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-}$has the socle series

$$
\operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-}\right) \subsetneq \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-}\right) \subsetneq \operatorname{Soc}_{3}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Soc}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-}\right)=\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}, \\
& \operatorname{Soc}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-} / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-}\right)\right)=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \\
& \operatorname{Soc}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-} / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{-}\right)\right)=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. For each $1 \leq r<p_{+}, \mathcal{V}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$and $\mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$have the following socle series

$$
\mathcal{V}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} / \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} \simeq \mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}{ }_{r, p_{-}}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{r \vee, p_{-}}^{-} / \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{-} \simeq \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}
$$

4. For each $1 \leq s<p_{-}, \mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}$and $\mathcal{V}_{p_{-}, s^{\vee}}^{-}$have the following socle series

$$
\mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, s}^{+} / \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+} \simeq \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-} / \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-} \simeq \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+} .
$$

5. For $r=p_{+}, s=p_{-}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{+}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{+}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{-}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{-} .
$$

Let $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$be the Zhu-algebra [36] of $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$.

Proposition 3.10 ([3, [4, 33]). In $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$, the following relations hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[W^{0}\right] *\left[W^{-}\right]-\left[W^{-}\right] *\left[W^{0}\right] } & =-2 f([T])\left[W^{-}\right], \\
{\left[W^{0}\right] *\left[W^{+}\right]-\left[W^{+}\right] *\left[W^{0}\right] } & =2 f([T])\left[W^{+}\right], \\
{\left[W^{+}\right] *\left[W^{-}\right]-\left[W^{-}\right] *\left[W^{+}\right] } & =2 f([T])\left[W^{0}\right], \\
{\left[W^{0}\right] *\left[W^{0}\right] } & =g([T]), \\
{\left[W^{+}\right] *\left[W^{+}\right] } & =0, \\
{\left[W^{-}\right] *\left[W^{-}\right] } & =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f([T])$ and $g([T])$ are non-trivial polynomials of $[T]$.
Proposition 3.11 ([3, 4, 33]).

1. $\mathcal{X}_{1,1}^{+}$acts trivially on $L\left(h_{r, s}\right),\left(1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1,1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1\right)$.
2. For each $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}$, the highest weight space of $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}$is a one dimensional $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$-module.
3. For each $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}$, the highest weight space of $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}$is a two dimensional irreducible $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$-module.

Proposition 3.12 ([3, 4, 33]). For any $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}$,

$$
f\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{-}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

In particular, the highest weight space of $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}$has the structure of a two dimensional irreducible sl $l_{2}$-module with respect to the following elements

$$
E=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} f\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{-}\right)}\left[W^{+}\right], \quad F=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} f\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{-}\right)}\left[W^{-}\right], \quad H=\frac{1}{f\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{-}\right)}\left[W^{0}\right] .
$$

For $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}, 1 \leq s \leq p_{-}$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}\right):= \begin{cases}\bigoplus_{n-1 \geq i \geq 0}(2 i+1) L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; i}^{+}\right) & n \geq 1 \\
0 & n=0,\end{cases} \\
& G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{-}\right):= \begin{cases}\bigoplus_{n-1 \geq i \geq 0}(2 i+2) L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; i}^{-}\right) & n \geq 1 \\
0 & n=0 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

As an extension of Proposition 3.11, the following propositions holds (see the proof of Proposition 5.6 in [33]).

Proposition 3.13 ([3, 4, 33]).

1. With respect to the actions of the zero-modes of the fields $Y\left(W^{+} ; z\right)$, $Y\left(W^{-} ; z\right)$ and $Y\left(W^{0} ; z\right)$, the Virasoro highest weight space of the vector subspace $(2 n+1) L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}\right) \subset \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}$becomes a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional irreducible sl $l_{2}$-module modulo $G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}\right)$.
2. With respect to the actions of the zero-modes of the fields $Y\left(W^{+} ; z\right)$, $Y\left(W^{-} ; z\right)$ and $Y\left(W^{0} ; z\right)$, the Virasoro highest weight space of the vector subspace $(2 n+2) L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{-}\right) \subset \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}$becomes a $(2 n+2)$-dimensional irreducible sl ${ }_{2}$-module modulo $G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{-}\right)$.

For $W=W^{ \pm}, W^{0}$, let $W[n]$ be the $n$-th mode of the field $Y(W ; z)$ defined by

$$
W[n]=\oint_{z=0} Y(W ; z) z^{h_{4 p_{+}-1,1}+n-1} \mathrm{~d} z .
$$

Proposition 3.14 ([3, 4, 33]).

1. For $n \geq 0$, let $\left\{w_{i}^{(n)}\right\}_{i=-n}^{n}$ be the basis of the Virasoro highest weight space of the vector subspace $(2 n+1) L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}\right) \subset \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}$such that

$$
W^{ \pm}[0] w_{i}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} w_{i \pm 1}^{(n)}+G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}\right), \text {for }-n \leq i \leq n,
$$

where $w_{-n-1}^{(n)}=w_{n+1}^{(n)}=0$ and $W^{ \pm}[0]$ is the zero mode of the field $Y\left(W^{ \pm} ; z\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{ \pm}\left[\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}-\Delta_{r, s ; n-1}^{+}\right] w_{i}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} w_{i \pm 1}^{(n-1)}+G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n-1}^{+}\right), \\
& W^{ \pm}\left[\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}-\Delta_{r, s ; n+1}^{+}\right] w_{i}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} w_{i \pm 1}^{(n+1)}+G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n+1}^{+}\right), \\
& W^{0}\left[\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}-\Delta_{r, s ; n-1}^{+}\right] w_{i}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} w_{i}^{(n-1)}+G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n-1}^{+}\right), \\
& W^{0}\left[\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}-\Delta_{r, s ; n+1}^{+}\right] w_{i}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} w_{i}^{(n+1)}+G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n+1}^{+}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{i}^{(-1)}=0$.
2. For $n \geq 0$, let $\left\{v_{\frac{i}{2}}^{(n)}, v_{\frac{-i}{2}}^{(n)}\right\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ be the basis of the Virasoro highest weight space of the vector subspace $(2 n+2) L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{-}\right) \subset \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}$such that

$$
W^{ \pm}[0] v_{\frac{i}{2}}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{\frac{i}{2} \pm 1}^{(n)}+G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{-}\right), \text {for }-n-1 \leq i \leq n+1 \wedge i \neq 0,
$$

where $v_{\frac{-n-2}{2}}^{(n)}=v_{\frac{n+2}{2}}^{(n)}=0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{ \pm}\left[\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{-}-\Delta_{r, s ; n-1}^{-}\right] v_{\frac{i}{2}}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{\frac{i}{2} \pm 1}^{(n-1)}+G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n-1}^{-}\right), \\
& W^{ \pm}\left[\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{-}-\Delta_{r, s ; n+1}^{-}\right] v_{\frac{i}{2}}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{\frac{i}{2} \pm 1}^{(n+1)}+G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n+1}^{-}\right), \\
& W^{0}\left[\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{-}-\Delta_{r, s ; n-1}^{-}\right] v_{\frac{i}{2}}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{\frac{i}{2}}^{(n-1)}+G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n-1}^{-}\right), \\
& W^{0}\left[\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{-}-\Delta_{r, s ; n+1}^{-}\right] v_{\frac{i}{2}}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{\frac{i}{2}}^{(n+1)}+G\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n+1}^{-}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v_{i}^{(-1)}=0$.
The following results for the Zhu-algebra $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$will be used to determine the structure of the projective covers of the minimal simple modules in Subsection 6.5.

Theorem 3.15 (3, 4, 33). The center of the Zhu-algebra $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$is generated by $[T]$ and isomorphic to

$$
\mathbb{C}[x] / f_{p_{+}, p_{-}}(x),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{p_{+}, p_{-}}(x)= & \prod_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{T}}\left(x-h_{r, s}\right)^{3} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{p_{+}-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p_{-}-1}\left(x-\Delta_{i, j ; 0}^{+}\right)^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{p_{+}-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p_{-}-1}\left(x-\Delta_{i, j ; 0}^{-}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{p_{+}-1}\left(x-\Delta_{i, p_{-} ; 0}^{+}\right)^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{p_{+}-1}\left(x-\Delta_{i, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{p_{-}-1}\left(x-\Delta_{p_{+}, j ; 0}^{+}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{p_{-}-1}\left(x-\Delta_{p_{+}, j ; 0}^{-}\right) \\
& \times\left(x-\Delta_{p_{+}, p_{-} ; 0}^{+}\right)\left(x-\Delta_{p_{+}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.16. The Zhu algebra $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$has three dimensional indecomposable modules on which $[T]$ acts as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
h_{r, s} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & h_{r, s} & 1 \\
0 & 0 & h_{r, s}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $(r, s) \in \mathrm{K}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$.

### 3.3 The block decomposition of $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$

Definition 3.17. Let $M$ be a $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-m o d u l e ~ t h a t ~ s a t i s f i e s ~ t h e ~ f o l l o w i n g ~ p r o p-~}^{\text {- }}$ erties:

1. For the central charge, $C=c_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \cdot$ id on $M$.
2. $M$ has the following decomposition $M=\sum_{h \in H(M)} M[h]$ :

- For some finite subset $H_{0}(M)$ of $\mathbb{C}, H(M)=H_{0}(M)+\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- For $h \in H(M), M[h]=\left\{m \in M: \exists n \geq 0\right.$ s.t. $\left.\left(L_{0}-h\right)^{n} m=0\right\}$.
- $0<\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M[h]<\infty$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$be the abelian category of $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$modules that satisfies the above two properties. We call any $M \in \mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$on which $L_{0}$ acts non-semisimply logarithmic module.

Since $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$is $C_{2}$-cofinite, any $M$ in $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$has finite length. For any $M$ in $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$, let $M^{*}$ be the contragredient of $M$. Note that $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$is closed under contragredient.
Definition 3.18. In the following, we define $\frac{\left(p_{+}-1\right)\left(p_{-}-1\right)}{2}$ thick blocks, $p_{+}+$ $p_{-}-2$ thin blocks and two semi-simple blocks.

1. For each $(r, s) \in \mathrm{K}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$, we denote by $C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}=C_{p_{+-r, p_{-}-s}^{\text {thick }} \text { the full }}^{\text {the }}$ abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M \in C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \text { all composition factors of } M \text { are given by } \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \\
& \quad \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-} \text {and } L\left(h_{r, s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. For each $1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$, we denote by $C_{p_{+}, s}^{\text {thin }}$ the full abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M \in C_{p_{+}, s}^{\text {thin }} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \text { all composition factors of } M \text { are given by } \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+} \text {and } \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. For each $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1$, we denote by $C_{r, p_{-}}^{\text {thin }}$ the full abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$such that

$$
M \in C_{r, p_{-}}^{t h i n}
$$

$$
\Leftrightarrow \text { all composition factors of } M \text { are given by } \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} \text {and } \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}
$$

4. We denote by $C_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{ \pm}$the full abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M \in C_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{ \pm} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \text { all composition factors of } M \text { are given by } \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, p_{-} .}^{ \pm} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using Theorem 5.6 in Section 5, we can prove the block decomposition of $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$in the same way as Theorem 4.4 in [1]. The following proposition can be proved in the same way as Theorem 4.4 in [1].

Proposition 3.19. The abelian category $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$has the following block decomposition

$$
\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}=\bigoplus_{(r, s) \in K_{p_{+}, p_{-}}} C_{r, s}^{t h i c k} \oplus \bigoplus_{r=1}^{p_{+}-1} C_{r, p_{-}}^{\text {thin }} \oplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^{p_{-}-1} C_{p_{+}, s}^{\text {thin }} \oplus C_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{+} \oplus C_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{-} .
$$

In the next section we will construct logarithmic modules in the thick blocks $C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$ and the thin blocks $C_{r, p_{-}}^{\text {thin }}, C_{p_{+}, s}^{\text {thin }}$.

## 4 Logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$modules

In this section, by using the logarithmic deformation by [17], we construct certain logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$modules which correspond to the projective covers of all simple $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$modules $\mathcal{X}_{\bullet, \bullet}^{ \pm}$in the thick blocks and the thin blocks, and we introduce indecomposable modules $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\bullet . \bullet}^{ \pm}\right)_{\bullet, \bullet}$ which become important after this section. These logarithmic modules are closely related to certain indecomposable modules of the quantum group $\mathfrak{g}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$at roots of unity [7], [13]. In the one thick block $C_{1,1}^{\text {thick }}$, these logarithmic modules are constructed by [6].

### 4.1 Logarithmic deformation

Proposition 4.1. For $r, s \geq 1$, we have the following relation

$$
\alpha_{-}\left[Q_{+}^{[r]}, Q_{-}^{[s]}(z)\right]=\alpha_{+}\left[Q_{-}^{[s]}, Q_{+}^{[r]}(z)\right] .
$$

Proof. In the following, we use the notation

$$
\mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}=\mathrm{d} x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{r-1}, \quad \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{y}=\mathrm{d} y_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} y_{s-1}
$$

Recall the definition of the screening currents $Q_{ \pm}^{[\bullet]}$ of (2.4).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=w} Q_{+}^{[r]}(z) Q_{-}^{[s]}(w) \\
& =\operatorname{Res}_{z=w} \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{r}\left(\kappa_{+}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{s}\left(\kappa_{-}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} \frac{1}{(z-w)^{2}}: e^{\alpha_{+} \phi(z)+\alpha_{-} \phi(w)}: \\
& \quad \times Q\left(z x_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(z x_{r-1}\right) Q_{-}\left(w y_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{-}\left(w y_{s-1}\right) z^{r-1} w^{s-1} \\
& =\int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{r}\left(\kappa_{+}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{s}\left(\kappa_{-}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} \frac{\alpha_{+}}{\alpha_{+}+\alpha_{-}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} V_{\alpha_{+}+\alpha_{-}}(w)\right) \\
& \quad \times Q\left(w x_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(w x_{r-1}\right) Q_{-}\left(w y_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{-}\left(w y_{s-1}\right) w^{r+s-2} \\
& +\int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{r}\left(\kappa_{+}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{s}\left(\kappa_{-}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} z^{r-1} V_{\alpha_{+}+\alpha_{-}}(z) \\
& \quad \times\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(Q_{+}\left(z x_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(z x_{r-1}\right)\right) Q_{-}\left(w y_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{-}\left(w y_{s-1}\right) w^{s-1}\right|_{z=w} \\
& +(r-1) \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{r}\left(\kappa_{+}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{s}\left(\kappa_{-}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} \\
& \quad V_{\alpha_{+}+\alpha_{-}}(w) Q_{+}\left(w x_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(w x_{r-1}\right) Q_{-}\left(w y_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{-}\left(w y_{s-1}\right) w^{r+s-3} . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(Q_{+}\left(z x_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(z x_{r-1}\right)\right. \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} Q_{+}\left(z x_{1}\right) \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial z} Q_{+}\left(z x_{i}\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(z x_{r-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} Q_{+}\left(z x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{1}{z} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} Q_{+}\left(z x_{i}\right)\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(z x_{r-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{z} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} Q_{+}\left(z x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} x_{i} Q_{+}\left(z x_{i}\right)\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(z x_{r-1}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{r-1}{z} Q_{+}\left(z x_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(z x_{r-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

the second term of (4.1) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(r-1) \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{r}\left(\kappa_{+}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{s}\left(\kappa_{-}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} \\
& +\int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{s}\left(\kappa_{-}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{r}\left(\kappa_{+}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} V_{\alpha_{+}+\alpha_{-}}(w) \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{d}_{x}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x_{i} Q_{+}\left(w x_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(w x_{r-1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \cdots \widehat{\mathrm{~d} x_{i}} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{r-1}\right) \\
& \quad \times Q_{-}\left(w y_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{-}\left(w y_{s-1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The first term of (4.2) cancels with the third term of (4.1) and the second term of this equation becomes zero because $\bar{\Gamma}_{r}\left(\kappa_{+}\right)$is the twisted cycle. Thus $\left[Q_{+}^{[r]}, Q_{-}^{[s]}(w)\right]$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\alpha_{+}}{\alpha_{+}+\alpha_{-}} \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{r}\left(\kappa_{+}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{s}\left(\kappa_{-}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} \\
& \quad\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} V_{\alpha_{+}+\alpha_{-}}(w)\right) Q\left(w x_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(w x_{r-1}\right) Q_{-}\left(w y_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{-}\left(w y_{s-1}\right) w^{r+s-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[Q_{-}^{[s]}, Q_{+}^{[r]}(w)\right]} \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{-}}{\alpha_{+}+\alpha_{-}} \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{r}\left(\kappa_{+}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{s}\left(\kappa_{-}\right)} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} \\
& \quad\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} V_{\alpha_{+}+\alpha_{-}}(w)\right) Q\left(w x_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{+}\left(w x_{r-1}\right) Q_{-}\left(w y_{1}\right) \cdots Q_{-}\left(w y_{s-1}\right) w^{r+s-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\alpha_{-}\left[Q_{+}^{[r]}, Q_{-}^{[s]}(z)\right]=\alpha_{+}\left[Q_{-}^{[s]}, Q_{+}^{[r]}(z)\right] .
$$

Proposition 4.2. For $r, s \geq 1$ the screening operators $Q_{+}^{[r]}$ and $Q_{-}^{[s]}$ are $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-h o m o m o r p h i s m, ~ t h a t ~ i s, ~ f o r ~} A \in \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$we have

$$
\left[Q^{[r]}, Y(A ; z)\right]=0, \quad\left[Q_{-}^{[s]}, Y(A ; z)\right]=0
$$

Proof. For each generator of $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$, we have the following two expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{+}=Q_{-}^{\left[p_{-}-1\right]}\left|\alpha_{1, p_{-}-1 ; 3}\right\rangle=Q_{+}^{\left[3 p_{+}-1\right]}\left|\alpha_{p_{+}-1,1 ;-3}\right\rangle, \\
& W^{-}=Q_{+}^{\left[p_{+}-1\right]}\left|\alpha_{p_{+}-1,1 ;-3}\right\rangle=Q_{-}^{\left[3 p_{-}-1\right]}\left|\alpha_{1, p_{-}-1 ; 3}\right\rangle, \\
& W^{0}=Q_{+}^{\left[2 p_{+}-1\right]}\left|\alpha_{p_{+}-1,1 ;-3}\right\rangle=Q_{-}^{\left[2 p_{-}-1\right]}\left|\alpha_{1, p_{-}-1 ; 3}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

up to non-zero constants. Thus, by the proof of Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$
\left[Q^{[r]}, Y(A ; z)\right]=\left[Q_{-}^{[s]}, Y(A ; z)\right]=0 .
$$

We introduce the following logarithmic deformation introduced by [17].
Definition 4.3 ([17). 1. Let $E(z)$ and $A(z)$ be any mutually local fields. We define the logarithmic deformation of $A(z)$ by $E(z)$ as follows

$$
\Delta_{E}(A(z))=\log z(E[0] A)(z)+\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \frac{(E[n] A)(z)}{z^{n}},
$$

where

$$
(E[n] A)(w)=\oint_{z=w}(z-w)^{n} E(z) A(w) \mathrm{d} z
$$

2. Let $E(z), A(z)$ and $B(z)$ be any mutually local fields. We define

$$
\Delta_{E}(A(z) B(w))=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\Delta_{E}((A[n] B)(w))}{(z-w)^{n+1}}
$$

Theorem 4.4 ([17]). Let $E(z), A(z)$ and $B(z)$ be any mutually local fields. Then the operator $\Delta_{E}$ satisfies the following derivation property

$$
\Delta_{E}(A(z) B(w))=\Delta_{E}(A(z)) B(w)+A(z) \Delta_{E}(B(w)) .
$$

In the next subsection, we consider the logarithmic deformations by the screening currents $Q_{ \pm}^{[0]}(z)$. We set

$$
\Delta_{+}^{[r]}:=\Delta_{Q_{+}^{[r]}}, \quad \Delta_{-}^{[s]}:=\Delta_{Q_{-}^{[s]}} .
$$

Note that, for the energy-momentum tensor, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{+}^{[r]}(T(z))=T(z)+\frac{Q_{+}^{[r]}(z)}{z}, \quad \Delta_{-}^{[s]}(T(z))=T(z)+\frac{Q_{-}^{[s]}(z)}{z} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 4.2, each $\Delta_{ \pm}^{[\bullet]}(Y(A ; z)), A \in \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$do not contain log terms in $z$.

### 4.2 Logarithmic modules in the thick block

For each $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1,1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$ we set

$$
\mathcal{P}_{r, s}=\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-},
$$

where $r^{\vee}=p_{+}-r$ and $s^{\vee}=p_{-}-s$. Note that $\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \mathcal{V}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}, \mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} \in C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$. Let $\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}, Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, s}}\right)$ be the ordinary $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$-module. Fix any element $\tau=(a, b, \epsilon)$ in

$$
\{(a, b, \epsilon)\}=\left\{(r, s,+),\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee},+\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s,-\right),\left(r, s^{\vee},-\right)\right\} .
$$

For $A \in \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$, we define the following operators on $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{\Delta}_{\tau}\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, s}}(A ; z)\right) \\
= \begin{cases}\left(\alpha_{-}-\alpha_{+}\right)\left(\Delta_{+}^{[a]}+\Delta_{-}^{[b]}\right)\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, s}}(A ; z)\right) \\
+\left(-\alpha_{+} \Delta_{-}^{[b]} \circ \Delta_{+}^{[a]}+\alpha_{-} \Delta_{+}^{[a]} \circ \Delta_{-}^{[b]}\right)\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, s}}(A ; z)\right) & \text { on } \mathcal{V}_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
0 & \text { on } \mathcal{P}_{r, s} \backslash \mathcal{V}_{a, b}^{\epsilon},\end{cases} \\
\Delta_{\tau}^{-}\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, s}}(A ; z)\right)= \begin{cases}\Delta_{-}^{[b]}\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, s}}(A ; z)\right) & \text { on } \mathcal{V}_{a a^{-}, b}^{-\epsilon} \\
0 & \text { on } \mathcal{P}_{r, s} \backslash \mathcal{V}_{a, ~ b}^{-\epsilon},\end{cases} \\
\Delta_{\tau}^{+}\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, s}}(A ; z)\right)= \begin{cases}\Delta_{+}^{[a]}\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, s}}(A ; z)\right) & \text { on } \mathcal{V}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-\epsilon} \\
0 & \text { on } \mathcal{P}_{r, s} \backslash \mathcal{V}_{a, b}^{-\epsilon} .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

By the following lemma, we can see that the operators $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\tau}$ does not contain a $\log z$ terms.

Lemma 4.5. For each $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1,1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$ and $A \in \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$,

$$
-\alpha_{+} \Delta_{-}^{[s]}\left(\Delta_{+}^{[r]}(Y(A ; z))\right)+\alpha_{-} \Delta_{+}^{[r]}\left(\Delta_{-}^{[s]}(Y(A ; z))\right)
$$

does not contain log terms in $z$.
Proof. The $\log z$ terms of $\Delta_{-}^{[s]}\left(\Delta_{+}^{[r]}(Y(A ; z))\right)$ and $\Delta_{+}^{[r]}\left(\Delta_{-}^{[s]}(Y(A ; z))\right.$ are given by

$$
\left[Q_{-}^{[s]}, \Delta_{+}^{[r]}(Y(A ; z))\right] \log z, \quad\left[Q_{+}^{[r]}, \Delta_{-}^{[s]}(Y(A ; z))\right] \log z .
$$

By using Proposition 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[Q_{-}^{[s]}, \Delta_{+}^{[r]}(Y(A ; z))\right]} \\
& =\left[Q_{-}^{[s]}, \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \oint_{w=z} \frac{(w-z)^{n}}{z^{n}} Q_{+}^{[r]}(w) Y(A ; z) \mathrm{d} w\right] \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{-}}{\alpha_{+}}\left[Q_{+}^{[r]}, \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \oint_{w=z} \frac{(w-z)^{n}}{z^{n}} Q_{-}^{[s]}(w) Y(A ; z) \mathrm{d} w\right] \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{-}}{\alpha_{+}}\left[Q_{+}^{[r]}, \Delta_{-}^{[s]}(Y(A ; z))\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
-\alpha_{+} \Delta_{-}^{[s]}\left(\Delta_{+}^{[r]}(Y(A ; z))\right)+\alpha_{-} \Delta_{+}^{[r]}\left(\Delta_{-}^{[s]}(Y(A ; z))\right)
$$

does not contain log terms in $z$.
Using Theorem 4.4, we can define logarithmic defomations of the ordinary $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {module }}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}, Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, s}}\right)$ as follows.

Theorem 4.6. Fix any $\tau=(a, b, \epsilon)$ in

$$
\{(a, b, \epsilon)\}=\left\{(r, s,+),\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee},+\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s,-\right),\left(r, s^{\vee},-\right)\right\} .
$$

We can define a logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$module $\mathcal{P}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}$ as follows. As the vector space $\mathcal{P}_{a \vee, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}=\mathcal{P}_{r, s}$ and the module actions is defined by

$$
J_{a^{\vee}, b \vee}^{\epsilon}(A ; z)=Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, s}}(A ; z)+\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\tau}+\Delta_{\tau}^{+}+\Delta_{\tau}^{-}\right)\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, s}}(A ; z)\right)
$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$.
Proof. By Lemma 4.5, we have $J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}: \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \rightarrow \operatorname{End} \mathcal{P}_{a^{\vee}, b \vee}^{\epsilon}\left[\left[z, z^{-1}\right]\right]$. The condition that $J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(|0\rangle ; z)=\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{P}_{a \vee, b \vee}^{\epsilon}}$ is trivial from the definition of logarithmic deformation. In the following we prove the compatibility condition

$$
J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(A ; z) J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(B ; w)=J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(Y(A ; z-w) B ; w)
$$

for $A, B \in \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$. Fix any non-zero vector $v \in \mathcal{P}_{r, s}$ and write $v$ be as follows

$$
v=k_{1} v_{a, b}^{\epsilon}+k_{2} v_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-\epsilon}+k_{3} v_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-\epsilon}+k_{4} v_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon},
$$

where $v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{V}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}, v_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-\epsilon} \in \mathcal{V}_{a \vee, b}^{-\epsilon}, v_{a, b \vee}^{-\epsilon} \in \mathcal{V}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-\epsilon}, v_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{V}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}$, and $k_{i}(i=$ $1, \ldots, 4$ ) are constants. By using Theorem 4.4 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(A ; z) J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(B ; z) v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
& =Y(A ; z) Y(B ; w) v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
& \quad+\left(\alpha_{-}-\alpha_{+}\right)\left[\Delta_{+}^{[a]}(Y(A ; z))+\Delta_{-}^{[b]}(Y(A ; z))\right] Y(B ; w) v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
& \quad+\left[-\alpha_{+} \Delta_{-}^{[b]}\left(\Delta_{+}^{[a]}(Y(A ; z))\right)+\alpha_{-} \Delta_{+}^{[a]}\left(\Delta_{-}^{[b]}(Y(A ; z))\right)\right] Y(B ; w) v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
& \quad+\left(\alpha_{-}-\alpha_{+}\right) Y(A ; z)\left[\Delta_{+}^{[a]}(Y(B ; w))+\Delta_{-}^{[b]}(Y(B ; w))\right] v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
& \quad+\left(\alpha_{-}-\alpha_{+}\right)\left[\Delta_{-}^{[b]}(Y(A ; z)) \Delta_{+}^{[a]}(Y(B ; w))+\Delta_{-}^{[a]}(Y(A ; z)) \Delta_{-}^{[b]}(Y(B ; w))\right] v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
& \quad+Y(A ; z)\left[-\alpha_{+} \Delta_{-}^{[b]}\left(\Delta_{+}^{[a]}(Y(B ; w))+\alpha_{-} \Delta_{+}^{[a]}\left(\Delta_{-}^{[b]}(Y(B ; w))\right)\right] v_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right. \\
& =Y(A ; z) Y(B ; w) v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
& \quad+\left(\alpha_{-}-\alpha_{+}\right)\left[\Delta_{+}^{[a]}(Y(A ; z) Y(B ; w))+\Delta_{-}^{[b]}(Y(A ; z) Y(B ; w))\right] v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
& \quad-\alpha_{+} \Delta_{-}^{[b]} \circ \Delta_{+}^{[a]}(Y(A ; z) Y(B ; w)) v_{a, b}^{\epsilon}+\alpha_{-} \Delta_{+}^{[a]} \circ \Delta_{-}^{[b]}(Y(A ; z) Y(B ; w)) v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
& =Y(Y(A ; z-w) B ; w) v_{a, b}^{\epsilon}+\left[\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\tau}+\Delta_{\tau}^{+}+\Delta_{\tau}^{-}\right)(Y(Y(A ; z-w) B ; w))\right] v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
& =J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(Y(A ; z-w) B ; w) v_{a, b}^{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we can prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(A ; z) J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(B ; z) v_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-\epsilon}=J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(Y(A ; z-w) B ; w) v_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-\epsilon}, \\
& J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(A ; z) J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(B ; z) v_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-\epsilon}=J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(Y(A ; z-w) B ; w) v_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-\epsilon}, \\
& J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(A ; z) J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(B ; z) v_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}=J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(Y(A ; z-w) B ; w) v_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(A ; z) J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(B ; z) v=J_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}(Y(A ; z-w) B ; w) v .
$$

By Proposition 2.5 and (4.3), we can see that the four logarithmic modules $\mathcal{P}_{\bullet, \bullet}^{ \pm} \in C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$ have $L_{0}$ nilpotent rank three.
Remark 4.7. We will give the socle series of the logarithmic modules $\mathcal{P}_{\bullet, \bullet}^{ \pm}$ in Subsection 6.4 (see Proposition 6.36). These logarithmic modules $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+,}$, $\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}$and $\mathcal{P}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$correspond to the projective covers of $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}$, $\mathcal{X}_{r \vee, s}^{-}$, and $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}{ }^{-}$, respectively.
Proposition 4.8. By taking quotients of $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}$and $\mathcal{P}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$, we can define eight logarithmic modules $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{b, c}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{(\epsilon, a, b, c, d)\}= & \left\{\left(+, r, s, r^{\vee}, s\right),\left(+, r, s, r, s^{\vee}\right),\left(+, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}, r^{\vee}, s\right),\left(+, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}, r, s^{\vee}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(-, r^{\vee}, s, r, s\right),\left(-r^{\vee}, s, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right),\left(-, r, s^{\vee}, r, s\right),\left(-, r, s^{\vee}, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and each composition series is given by:

1. For $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{c, d}$, we have

$$
G_{1} \subsetneq G_{2} \subsetneq G_{3} \subsetneq G_{4}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{c, d}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+} \\
& G_{2} / G_{1} \oplus G_{3} / G_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{-} \oplus L\left(h_{a, b}\right) \oplus \mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{-} \\
& \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{c, d} / G_{3}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. For $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d}$, we have

$$
G_{1} \subsetneq G_{2} \subsetneq G_{3} \subsetneq G_{4}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-} \\
& G_{2} / G_{1} \oplus G_{3} / G_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+} \\
& \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d} / G_{3}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.9. We will give the socle series of these logarithmic modules in Subsection 6.1.

### 4.3 Logarithmic modules in the thin blocks

For each $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1,1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$, we set

$$
\mathcal{P}_{r, p_{-}}=\mathcal{V}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \in C_{r, p_{-}}^{\text {thin }}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{p_{+}, s}=\mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-} \in C_{p_{+}, s}^{\text {thin }}
$$

Let $\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, p_{-}}, Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, p_{-}}}\right)$and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{p_{+}, s}, Y_{\mathcal{P}_{p_{+}, s}}\right)$ be the ordinary $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {module. Similar }}$ to Theorem 4.6, we can construct the following logarithmic modules.

## Theorem 4.10.

1. For $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1$, we can define logarithmic modules $\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}, J_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}-}, J_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)$as follows. As the vector spaces

$$
\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}=\mathcal{P}_{r, p_{-}}
$$

and the module actions are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{r, p_{-}}^{+}(A ; z)= \begin{cases}Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, p_{-}}}(A ; z)+\Delta_{+}^{\left[r^{\vee}\right]}\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, p_{-}}}(A ; z)\right) & \text { on } \mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \\
Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, p_{-}}}(A ; z) & \text { on } \mathcal{V}_{r, p_{-}}^{+},\end{cases} \\
& J_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}(A ; z)= \begin{cases}Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, p_{-}}}(A ; z)+\Delta_{+}^{[r]}\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, p_{-}}}(A ; z)\right) & \text { on } \mathcal{V}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} \\
Y_{\mathcal{P}_{r, p_{-}}}(A ; z) & \text { on } \mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $A \in \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$.
2. For $1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$, we can define logarithmic modules $\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}, J_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s}, J_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)$as follows. As the vector spaces

$$
\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s}=\mathcal{P}_{p_{+}, s}
$$

and the module actions are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{p_{+}, s}^{+}(A ; z)= \begin{cases}Y_{\mathcal{P}_{p_{+}, s}}(A ; z)+\Delta_{-}^{[s \vee]}\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{p_{+}, s}}(A ; z)\right) & \text { on } \mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, s \vee}^{-} \\
Y_{\mathcal{P}_{p_{+}, s}}(A ; z) & \text { on } \mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, s}^{+},\end{cases} \\
& J_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}(A ; z)= \begin{cases}Y_{\mathcal{P}_{p_{+}, s}}(A ; z)+\Delta_{-}^{[s]}\left(Y_{\mathcal{P}_{p_{+}, s}}(A ; z)\right) & \text { on } \mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, s}^{+} \\
Y_{\mathcal{P}_{p_{+}, s}}(A ; z) & \text { on } \mathcal{V}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $A \in \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$.
Proposition 4.11. The length of the composition series of the logarithmic modules $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}, \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}, \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s}$ are four, and each composition series is given by:

1. The composition series of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \\
& G_{2} / G_{1} \oplus G_{3} / G_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}, \\
& \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} /} / G_{3}=\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The composition series of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \\
& G_{2} / G_{1} \oplus G_{3} / G_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} \\
& \left.\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)\right)_{r, p_{-}} / G_{3}=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. The composition series of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}, \\
& G_{2} / G_{1} \oplus G_{3} / G_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}, \\
& \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}} / G_{3}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. The composition series of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}, \\
& G_{2} / G_{1} \oplus G_{3} / G_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}, \\
& \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s} / G_{3}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.12. We will give the socle series of these logarithmic modules in Subsection 6.1. These logarithmic modules are projective modules in the thin blocks (see Subsection 6.3). The structure of these projective modules are similar to the projective modules of the triplet $W$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{p}$ determined by [25] and [27].

## 5 Logarithmic extension of Virasoro modules

In this section, we determine Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups between simple Virasoro modules and certain indecomposable modules in the abelian category of generalized Virasoro modules, by using the results in [24] and the structure of Fock modules. The results of this section will be crucial in analyzing the complex structure of logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-m o d u l e s . ~ F r o m ~ t h i s ~ s e c t i o n, ~ w e ~ i d e n t i f y ~ a n y ~}^{\text {an }}$ Virasoro modules that are isomorphic among each other.

### 5.1 Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups between simple Virasoro modules

We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{p_{+}, p_{-}} & :=\left\{\alpha_{r, s ; n} \mid r, s, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}, \\
H_{p_{+}, p_{-}} & :=\left\{h_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(for the definition of symbols $\alpha_{r, s ; n}$ and $h_{\alpha}$, see (2.2) and (2.1), respectively). Let $U(\mathcal{L})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{L}$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{L}_{c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}}$-Mod be the abelian category of left generalized $U(\mathcal{L})$-modules whose morphisms are Virasoro-homomorphisms and whose objects are left $U(\mathcal{L})$-modules that satisfy the following conditions:

1. For the central charge, $C=c_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \cdot \mathrm{id}$ on $M$.
2. Every object $M$ has the following decomposition $M=\sum_{h \in H(M)} M[h]$ :

- For some finite subset $H_{0}(M)$ of $\mathbb{C}, H(M)=H_{0}(M)+\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- For $h \in H(M), M[h]=\left\{m \in M: \exists n \geq 0\right.$ s.t. $\left.\left(L_{0}-h\right)^{n} m=0\right\}$.
- $0<\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M[h]<\infty$.

3. For every object $M \in \mathcal{L}_{c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}}$-Mod, there exists the contragredient object $M^{*} \in \mathcal{L}_{c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}}$-Mod on which the anti-involution $\sigma\left(L_{n}\right)=L_{-n}$ induces the structure of a left $U(\mathcal{L})$-module by

$$
\left\langle L_{n} \phi, u\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi, \sigma\left(L_{n}\right) u\right\rangle, \quad \phi \in M^{*}, u \in M .
$$

4. We call any $M \in \mathcal{L}_{c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}}$-Mod on which $L_{0}$ acts non-semisimply logarithmic.

Definition 5.2. We define $\mathcal{L}_{c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}-\bmod }$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{L}_{c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}}-\operatorname{Mod}$ such that all objects in $\mathcal{L}_{{c_{p_{+}, p-}}-\bmod \text { satisfy the following conditions: }}^{\text {Len }}$

1. The socle series of $M$ has finite length.
2. The highest weights $h$ of the simple modules $L(h)$, appearing in the composition factors of $M$, are elements of $H_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$.
For $M_{1}, M_{2} \in \mathcal{L}_{C_{p_{+}, p_{-}}}$-mod, we denote by $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}{ }^{1}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ the Ext ${ }^{1}$-group in $\mathcal{L}_{c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}}-\bmod$ consisting of $E \in \mathcal{L}_{c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}}-\bmod$ satisfying the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow M_{2} \rightarrow E \rightarrow M_{1} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

For $M_{1}, M_{2} \in \mathcal{L}_{c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}}$-Mod, we denote by $\operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathcal{L})}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ the set of the $U(\mathcal{L})$-homomorphisms from $M_{1}$ to $M_{2}$. Note that each of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}(\bullet, \bullet)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathcal{L})}(\bullet, \bullet)$ has the structure of a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space.

Let $M\left(h, c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$be the Verma module of the Virasoro algebra whose highest weight is $h \in \mathbb{C}$ and the central charge $C=c_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \cdot$ id. Let $|h\rangle$ be the highest weight vector of $M\left(h, c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$. Note that, for $r, s \geq 1, M\left(h_{r, s}, c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$ has the singular vector whose $L_{0}$-weight is $h_{r, s}+r s$. Let $S_{r, s} \in U(\mathcal{L})$ be the Shapovalov element corresponding to this singular vector, normalized as

$$
S_{r, s}\left|h_{r, s}\right\rangle=\left(L_{-1}^{r s}+\cdots\right)\left|h_{r, s}\right\rangle .
$$

For $r, s \geq 1$ and $h \in \mathbb{C}$, let us consider the following value

$$
\langle h| \sigma\left(S_{r, s}\right) S_{r, s}|h\rangle,
$$

where we choose a norm of the highest weight vector $|h\rangle \in M\left(h, c_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$as $\langle h \mid h\rangle=1$. We can see that this value is a polynomial of $h$ and is divisible by $\left(h-h_{r, s}\right)$. A more detailed value is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3 ([35]). For $r, s \geq 1$ and $h \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\langle h| \sigma\left(S_{r, s}\right) S_{r, s}|h\rangle=R_{r, s}\left(h-h_{r, s}\right)+O\left(\left(h-h_{r, s}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

where $R_{r, s}$ is given by

$$
R_{r, s}=2 \prod_{\substack{(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}, 1-r \leq k \leq 1, s \leq l \leq s,(k, l) \neq(0,0),(l, s)}}\left(k\left(\frac{p_{+}}{p_{-}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+l\left(\frac{p_{+}}{p_{-}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
$$

Remark 5.4. In this paper, it is important that $R_{r, s}$ be non-zero, specific value is not necessary. In fact, the non-triviality of $R_{r, s}$ can be shown using the Jantzen-filtration of the Fock module $F_{r, s}$.

By using Proposition 5.3, we obtain the following proposition (cf.[20]).
Proposition 5.5. For $h \in H_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}(L(h), L(h))=0
$$

Proof. We prove only in the case of $h=h_{r, s}\left(1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}\right)$. The other cases can be proved in the same way.

Assume $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right), L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) \neq 0$. Fix a non-trivial extension

$$
0 \rightarrow L\left(h_{r, s}\right) \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\pi} L\left(h_{r, s}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Let $\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}\right\}$ be a basis of the highest weight space of $E$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi\left(u_{0}\right)=\left|h_{r, s}\right\rangle, \\
& \left(L_{0}-h_{r, s}\right) u_{0}=c u_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ is a non-zero constant and $\left|h_{r, s}\right\rangle$ is the highest weight vector of $L\left(h_{r, s}\right)$. Then, by Proposition 5.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(S_{r, s}\right) S_{r, s} u_{0}=f(c) u_{1}, \\
& \left.\frac{f(c)}{c}\right|_{c=0} \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f(c)$ is a polynomial of $c$. Thus, we see that $S_{r, s} u_{0}$ is non-zero and

$$
S_{r, s} u_{0} \in \iota\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) .
$$

On the other hand, by the irreducibility of $L\left(h_{r, s}\right)$, we have

$$
\sigma\left(S_{r, s}\right) S_{r, s} u_{0}=0
$$

But this is a contradiction.
The following proposition is due to [8], [1], [22].
Proposition 5.6 ([8, [11, 22]). For any $r, s, \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h \in \mathbb{C}$, the Ext ${ }^{1}$-group $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right), L(h)\right)$ is given by:

1. For $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}$and $n=0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right), L(h)\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbb{C} & \text { for } h=h_{r^{\vee}, s ;-1} \text { or } h_{r^{\vee}, s ; 1} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

2. For $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}$and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right), L(h)\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbb{C} & \text { for } h=h_{r^{\vee}, s ; n \pm 1} \text { or } h_{r, s s^{\vee} ; n \pm 1} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

3. For $1 \leq r<p_{+}, s=p_{-}$and $n=0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, p_{-}}\right), L(h)\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbb{C} & \text { for } h=h_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

4. For $1 \leq r<p_{+}, s=p_{-}$and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, p-; n}\right), L(h)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{C} & \text { for } h=h_{r^{\vee}, p-; n+1} \text { or } h_{r^{\vee}, p-; n-1} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

5. For $r=p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}$and $n=0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{p_{+}, s}\right), L(h)\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbb{C} & \text { for } h=h_{p_{+}, s^{\vee} ;-1} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

6. For $r=p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}$and $n \leq-1$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{p_{+}, s ; n}\right), L(h)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{C} & \text { for } h=h_{p_{+}, s^{\vee} ; n-1} \text { or } h_{p_{+}, s^{\vee} ; n+1} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

7. For $r=p_{+}, s=p_{-}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{p_{+}, p_{-} ; n}\right), L(h)\right)=0
$$

### 5.2 Logarithmic extensions

In the following, we identify any Virasoro modules that are isomorphic among each other. Let us define the following indecomposable modules in $\mathcal{L}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-} \bmod$ as quotient modules of certain Virasoro Verma modules.

Definition 5.7. For $h, h^{\prime} \in H_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$such that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L(h), L\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $h<h^{\prime}$, we identify the indecomposable modules in $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L(h), L\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and denote them as $L\left(h, h^{\prime}\right)$.

Definition 5.8. Given $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}, n \geq 0$, by Proposition 5.6, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s ; n}, h_{r \vee, s ; n+1}\right), L\left(h_{r, s \vee ; n+1}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C} .
$$

We identify the indecomposable modules in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group and denote them as $\widetilde{L}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)$.

The following theorems are due to [24] (see also [9]).
Theorem 5.9 ([24]). Fix any $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3} \in H_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$satisfying $h_{1}<h_{2}<h_{3}$, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}{ }^{1}\left(L\left(h_{1}\right), L\left(h_{2}\right)\right) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}{ }^{1}\left(L\left(h_{2}\right), L\left(h_{3}\right)\right) \neq 0$. Let us assume that there exists a logarithmic extension in

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{2}, h_{3}\right), L\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)\right)
$$

Fix any logarithmic module $E$ in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group. Then the quotient module $E / L\left(h_{2}\right)$ is indecomposable.

Theorem 5.10 ([24]). Given $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}, n \geq 2$, let us assume that there exists a logarithmic extension in

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{L}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right), L\left(h_{a, b ; n-1}, h_{r, s ; n}\right)\right)
$$

where $(a, b)=\left(r^{\vee}, s\right)$ or $\left(r, s^{\vee}\right)$. Fix any logarithmic module $E$ in this Ext ${ }^{1}$ group. Then the quotient module $E / L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)$ is indecomposable.

Remark 5.11. The non-triviality of the logarithmic couplings of certain rank two Virasoro modules is proved in [24] (see Theorem 6.15 in [24]). The two theorems above are their consequences.

In the following, we introduce indecomposable modules $K(\tau)$ and $\widetilde{K}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)$, and determine the Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups of types

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(K(\tau), L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right), \quad \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{K}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right), L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)\right)
$$

Definition 5.12. We define $\mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$to be the subset of $A_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{3}$ such that every element $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in A_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{3}$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $h_{\alpha_{1}} \leq h_{\alpha_{2}}<h_{\alpha_{3}}$.
2. The three Fock modules $F_{\alpha_{1}}, F_{\alpha_{2}}$ and $F_{\alpha_{3}}$ are contained in the same Felder complex in Proposition 2.6 and are adjacent to each other as

$$
\cdots \rightarrow F_{\alpha_{1}} \xrightarrow{Q_{\epsilon}^{[\bullet]}} F_{\alpha_{2}} \xrightarrow{Q_{\epsilon}^{[\bullet]}} F_{\alpha_{3}} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Definition 5.13. We define the following subsets of $\mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$:

1. We define

$$
\mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{ch}}:=\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \mid F_{\alpha_{1}} \text { is chain type }\right\} .
$$

2. We define

$$
\mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{br}}:=\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \mid F_{\alpha_{1}} \text { is braided type }\right\} .
$$

3. We define

$$
\mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0}:=\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{ch}} \mid h_{\alpha_{1}}=h_{\alpha_{2}}\right\} .
$$

4. We define

$$
\mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{Min}}=\left\{\left(\alpha_{r, s}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{br}} \mid \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{r, s}, 1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}\right\} .
$$

## Remark 5.14.

- Note that $\# \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0}=\left(p_{+}-1\right)\left(p_{-}-1\right)$ and every element of $\mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0}$ is given by

$$
\left(\alpha_{r, p_{-} ;-1}, \alpha_{r, p_{-} ; 0}, \alpha_{r, p_{-} ; 1}\right), \quad\left(\alpha_{p_{+}, s^{\vee} ; 1}, \alpha_{p_{+}, s ; 0}, \alpha_{p_{+}, s^{\vee} ;-1}\right)
$$

for $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}$.

- Note that $\# \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{Min}}=\left(p_{+}-1\right)\left(p_{-}-1\right)$ and every element of $\mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{Min}}$ is given by

$$
\left(\alpha_{r, s}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ; 1}, \alpha_{r, s ; 2}\right), \quad\left(\alpha_{r, s}, \alpha_{r, s^{\vee} ;-1}, \alpha_{r, s ;-2}\right)
$$

for $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}$.

## Definition 5.15.

1. For any $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0}$, we define $K(\tau)=L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right)$.
2. Given $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$such that $h_{\alpha_{1}} \neq h_{\alpha_{2}}$, by Proposition 5.6. we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right), L\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}
$$

We identify the indecomposable modules in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group and denote them as $K(\tau)$.

The following proposition is a consequence of Theorem 5.9.
Proposition 5.16. For any $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(K(\tau), L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix any $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$. From the Virasoro subquotient structure of the logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {modules }} \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{ \pm}\right)_{\bullet, \bullet}$ defined in Section 4 (see also logarithmic modules given in Definition 5.24), we have a logarithmic Virasoro module in

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(K(\tau), L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right) \backslash\{0\} .
$$

Fix any logarithmic Virasoro module $E_{1}$ in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group. If $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0}$, then we obtain the claim of theorem by Propositions 5.5 and 5.6 , and thus let $\tau \notin \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0}$. It is sufficient to show that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(E_{1}, L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right)=0$. Let us assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(E_{1}, L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right) \neq 0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, by Theorem 5.9, $E_{1}$ has $L\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}, h_{\alpha_{2}}\right)$ as a submodule. Then, by the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow L\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}, h_{\alpha_{2}}\right) \rightarrow E_{1} \rightarrow L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and by the assumption (5.2), we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right), L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

Let $E_{2}$ be any non-trivial extension in $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right), L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right)$. Then, by Proposition 5.5, $E_{2}$ must have $L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right)^{*}$ as a submodule. By the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right)^{*} \rightarrow E_{2} \rightarrow L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

we have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(E_{2}, L\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}\right)\right) .
$$

Thus we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(E_{2}, L\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}\right)\right) \neq 0$. Let $E_{3}$ be any non-trivial extension of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}\right), E_{2}^{*}\right)$. By Proposition 5.3, we see that $E_{3}$ is logarithmic, that is, $E_{3}$ has $L_{0}$ nilpotent rank two. Note that

$$
E_{3} / L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}\right)=L\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \oplus L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right) .
$$

But this contradicts Theorem 5.9,
Definition 5.17. Given $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$, we identify the indecomposable modules in the Ext ${ }^{1}$-group (5.1) and denote them as $P(\tau)$.
Remark 5.18. Given $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0}$, by Propositions 5.5 and 5.16, we see that the logarithmic Virasoro mocule $P(\tau)$ is self-contragredient.

The following indecomposable modules $\widetilde{K}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)$ can be realized as the quotients of certain Fock modules.
Definition 5.19. Fix $(r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ such that $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1,1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$.

1. By Proposition 5.6, indecomposable modules in the extension

$$
\left.\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s ; 1}\right), L\left(h_{r, s ; 0}\right) \oplus L\left(h_{r^{\vee}, s ; 2}\right)\right) \oplus L\left(h_{r, s^{\vee} ; 2}\right)\right) \backslash\{0\}
$$

are unique up to isomorphism. We identify these indecomposable modules and denote them as $\widetilde{K}\left(h_{r, s ; 1}\right)$.
2. For $n \geq 2$, by Proposition 5.6, indecomposable modules in the extension

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right), \bigoplus_{i=-1,1} L\left(h_{r, s^{\vee} ; n+i}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=-1,1} L\left(h_{r}^{\vee}, s ; n+j\right)\right) \backslash\{0\}
$$

are unique up to isomorphism. We identify these indecomposable modules and denote them as $\widetilde{K}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)$.

The following proposition is a consequence of Theorem 5.10.
Proposition 5.20. For $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1,1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$, $n \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{K}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right), L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists an indecomposable module in

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{K}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right), L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right) \oplus L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)\right)
$$

such that has quotients isomorphic to $P\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ and $P\left(\tau_{2}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{1}=\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ; n-1}, \alpha_{r, s ; n}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ; n+1}\right), \\
& \tau_{2}=\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ;-n+1}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ;-n}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ;-n-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Noting Proposition 5.16, from the Virasoro subquotient structure of the $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {modules }} \mathcal{P}_{\bullet, \bullet}^{ \pm}$, we obtain an indecomposable module in

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{K}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right), L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right) \oplus L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)\right)
$$

We denote by $\widetilde{P}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)$ this indecomposable module. Note that, by Proposition 5.16. $\widetilde{P}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)$ has $P\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ and $P\left(\tau_{2}\right)$ as quotients, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{1}=\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ; n-1}, \alpha_{r, s ; n}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ; n+1}\right), \\
& \tau_{2}=\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ;-n+1}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ;-n}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ;-n-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to the proof of Proposition 5.16, we can show

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{P}\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right), L\left(h_{r, s ; n}\right)\right)=0
$$

by using Theorem 5.9 and Theorem5.10. Thus, by Proposition 5.5, we obtain (5.3).

So far we have studied properties for Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups. In the following, we will investigate the subquotient structure of logarithmic Virasoro modules in the Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(K(\tau), L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right), \quad \tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{Min}}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(K(\tau), L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right)^{*}\right), \quad \tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0} \sqcup \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{Min}} .
$$

For that purpose, we will construct a family of infinite length logarithmic Virasoro modules by using certain limit operations. A similar construction is given by [10], [29].

Recall that $\hat{a}$ be the dual of the zero mode $a_{0}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{m}, \hat{a}\right]=\delta_{m, 0} \mathrm{id} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, let us identify

$$
e^{\beta \hat{a}}|\alpha\rangle=|\alpha+\beta\rangle
$$

Let $F_{\alpha}\left(\alpha \in A_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$be any braided or chain type Fock module and let $Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}$ be a screening operator acting on $F_{\alpha}$, where $\epsilon=+$ or - . Let $v$ be any $L_{0}$-homogeneous vector of $F_{\alpha}$ and let $A \in U(\mathcal{L})$ be any $L_{0}$-homogeneous
element. Let $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ be the $L_{0}$-weight of $v$ and $A$, respectively. For any $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, let us consider the following operator

$$
\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}}\right]=Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]} e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}}-e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}} Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}
$$

on $v$, where $F_{\alpha+x}, F_{\alpha+k \alpha_{\epsilon}+x} \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{0}}$-mod. Let us write $\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}}\right] v$ as

$$
\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}}\right] v=\sum_{\lambda \vdash N} f_{\lambda}(x) a_{-\lambda}\left|\alpha+k \alpha_{\epsilon}\right\rangle,
$$

where $N=n_{1}+n_{2}+h_{\alpha}-h_{\alpha+k \alpha_{\epsilon}}$, and $f_{\lambda}(x)$ are some polynomials of $x$. Since $\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, A\right]=0$, we can see that every $f_{\lambda}(x)$ is divisible by $x$. Then we define

$$
\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow 0 \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{1}{x}\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}}\right] v:=\sum_{\lambda \vdash N}\left(\left.x^{-1} f_{\lambda}(x)\right|_{x=0}\right) a_{-\lambda}\left|\alpha+k \alpha_{\epsilon}\right\rangle .
$$

We introduce the following $\mathbb{C}$-linear operators.
Definition 5.21. Let $F_{\alpha}\left(\alpha \in A_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$be any braided or chain type Fock module and let $Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}$ be a screening operator acting on $F_{\alpha}$, where $\epsilon=+$ or -. We define the $\mathbb{C}$-linear operator $\Lambda_{Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}}: U(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(F_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha+k \alpha_{\epsilon}}\right)$ as follows

$$
\Lambda_{Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}}(A)=\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow 0 \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{1}{x}\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}}\right], \quad \text { for } A \in U(\mathcal{L})
$$

where $F_{\alpha+x}, F_{\alpha+k \alpha_{\epsilon}+x} \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{0}-\bmod }$.
Proposition 5.22. The two operators $\Lambda_{Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}}$ satisfiy the following derivation property

$$
\Lambda_{Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}}(A B)=\Lambda_{Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}}(A) B+A \Lambda_{Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}}(B), \quad A, B \in U(\mathcal{L})
$$

Proof. For any $A, B \in U(\mathcal{L})$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} A B e^{x \hat{a}}\right]} \\
& =\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}} \cdot e^{-x \hat{a}} B e^{x \hat{a}}\right] \\
& =\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}}\right] e^{-x \hat{a}} B e^{x \hat{a}}+e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}}\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} B e^{x \hat{a}}\right] \\
& =\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}}\right] B+A\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} B e^{x \hat{a}}\right] \\
& \quad+\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}}\right]\left(e^{-x \hat{a}} B e^{x \hat{a}}-B\right)+\left(e^{-x \hat{a}} A e^{x \hat{a}}-A\right)\left[Q_{\epsilon}^{[k]}, e^{-x \hat{a}} B e^{x \hat{a}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing both sides by $x$ and taking the limit, we have the derivation property.

We define the following symbols for some screening operators.
Definition 5.23. 1. For any $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$, we denote by $Q_{\tau}$ the screening operator from $F_{\alpha_{1}}$ to $F_{\alpha_{2}}$, and we define $\Lambda_{\tau}=\Lambda_{Q_{\tau}}$.
2. Fix any $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{br}}$. Let $\left(r_{\tau}, s_{\tau}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ be a unique integer pair satisfying

$$
\left(1 \leq r_{\tau}<p_{+} \wedge 1 \leq s_{\tau}<p_{-}\right) \wedge\left(Q_{+}^{\left[r_{\tau}\right]} \text { and } Q_{-}^{\left[s_{\tau}\right]} \text { are acting on } F_{\alpha_{1}}\right)
$$

(note that $F_{\alpha_{2}}=F_{\alpha_{1}+r_{\tau} \alpha_{+}}$or $F_{\alpha_{1}+s_{\tau} \alpha_{-}}$). We define $\widetilde{Q}_{\tau}=Q_{+}^{\left[r_{\tau}\right]}+Q_{-}^{\left[s_{\tau}\right]}$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\tau}=\Lambda_{Q_{+}^{[r \tau]}}+\Lambda_{Q_{-}^{[s]]}}$.

We define the following indecomposable Virasoro modules by gluing ceratin Fock modules.

Definition 5.24. 1. Fix any $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$. We set

$$
F(\tau)=F_{\alpha_{1}} \oplus F_{\alpha_{2}} .
$$

For $A \in U(\mathcal{L})$, we define the following operator $J_{\tau}(A)$ on $F(\tau)$

$$
J_{\tau}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
A+\Lambda_{\tau}(A) & \text { on } & F_{\alpha_{1}}, \\
A & \text { on } & F_{\alpha_{2}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, by Proposition 5.22, we have

$$
J_{\tau}(A B)=J_{\tau}(A) J_{\tau}(B), \quad \text { for any } A, B \in U(\mathcal{L})
$$

Thus we see that $J_{\tau}$ defines a structure of Virasoro module on $F(\tau)$. We denote this Virasoro module by $\left(F(\tau), J_{\tau}\right)$.
2. Fix any $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{br}}$. We set

$$
\widetilde{F}(\tau)=F_{\alpha_{1}} \oplus F_{\alpha_{1}+r_{\tau} \alpha_{+}} \oplus F_{\alpha_{1}+s_{\tau} \alpha_{-}} .
$$

For $A \in U(\mathcal{L})$, we define the following operator $\widetilde{J}_{\tau}(A)$ on $\widetilde{F}(\tau)$

$$
\widetilde{J}_{\tau}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
A+\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\tau}(A) & \text { on } \\
A & \text { on } \\
A & F_{\alpha_{1}+r_{\tau} \alpha_{+}}
\end{array} \oplus F_{\alpha_{1}+s_{\tau} \alpha_{-}} .\right.
$$

Then, by Proposition 5.22, we have

$$
\widetilde{J}_{\tau}(A B)=\widetilde{J}_{\tau}(A) \widetilde{J}_{\tau}(B), \quad \text { for any } A, B \in U(\mathcal{L}) .
$$

Thus we see that $\widetilde{J}_{\tau}$ defines a structure of Virasoro module on $\widetilde{F}(\tau)$. We denote this Virasoro module by $\left(\widetilde{F}(\tau), \widetilde{J}_{\tau}\right)$.

The Virasoro modules $\left(F(\tau), J_{\tau}\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{F}(\tau), \widetilde{J}_{\tau}\right)$ have $L_{0}$-nilpotent rank two. In fact we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.25. 1. Fix any $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$. The $J_{\tau}\left(L_{n}\right)$ action on the subspace $F_{\alpha_{1}} \subset F(\tau)$ is given by

$$
J_{\tau}\left(L_{n}\right)=L_{n}+\left[Q_{\tau}, a_{n}\right]
$$

2. Fix any $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{br}}$. The $\widetilde{J}_{\tau}\left(L_{n}\right)$ action on the subspace $F_{\alpha_{1}} \subset \widetilde{F}(\tau)$ is given by

$$
\widetilde{J}_{\tau}\left(L_{n}\right)=L_{n}+\left[\widetilde{Q}_{\tau}, a_{n}\right]
$$

Proof. We only prove the first case. The second case can be proved in the same way.

Note that the ordinary action of $L_{n}$ on the Fock modules in $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{0}}-\bmod$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}: a_{m} a_{n-m}:-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{0}(n+1) a_{n} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (5.4), (5.5) and $\left[Q_{\tau}, L_{n}\right]=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\tau}\left(L_{n}\right)-L_{n} & =\Lambda_{\tau}\left(L_{n}\right) \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} Q_{\tau} e^{-x \hat{a}} L_{n} e^{x \hat{a}}-\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} e^{-x \hat{a}} L_{n} e^{x \hat{a}} Q_{\tau} \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} Q_{\tau} x a_{n}-\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} x a_{n} Q_{\tau} \\
& =\left[Q_{\tau}, a_{n}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5.26. For any $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{Min}}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Soc}(P(\tau))=L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}\right)
$$

In particular $P(\tau)$ is self-contragredient.
Proof. Note that $\mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{Min}}$ is given by the following set
$\left\{\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}, \alpha_{r, s^{\vee} ; 1}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ; 2}\right),\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ;-1}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ;-2}\right) \mid 1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}\right\}$.
Fix any $1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}$and set

$$
\tau=\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}, \alpha_{r, s^{\vee} ; 1}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ; 2}\right), \quad \tau^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ;-1}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ;-2}\right) .
$$

Let us show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Soc}(P(\tau))=\operatorname{Soc}\left(P\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)=L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(for the definition of $\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}$, see Definition 3.6). Let us consider the vector $e^{-x a} S_{r^{\vee}, s \vee} e^{x \hat{a}}\left|\alpha_{\left.r^{\vee}, s^{v}\right\rangle}\right\rangle \in F_{r^{\vee}, s \vee}$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, where $F_{\alpha_{r} \vee, s \vee+x} \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{0}}$-mod. Let us write

$$
e^{-x \hat{a}} S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} e^{x \hat{a}}\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}\right\rangle=\sum_{\lambda \vdash r^{\vee} s^{\vee}} f_{\lambda}(x) a_{-\lambda}\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}\right\rangle,
$$

where $f_{\lambda}(x)$ are some polynomials of $x$. Since $S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}\right\rangle=0$, we can see that every $f_{\lambda}(x)$ is divisible by $x$. Then we define

$$
u_{\tau}:=\sum_{\lambda \vdash r^{\vee} s^{\vee}}\left(\left.x^{-1} f_{\lambda}(x)\right|_{x=0}\right) a_{-\lambda}\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}\right\rangle \in F_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}\left[r^{\vee} s^{\vee}\right] .
$$

By the Jantzen filtration of the Fock module $F_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}(c f .[11,22])$, we can see that this vector is non-zero, and

$$
Q_{+}^{[r \vee]}\left(u_{\tau}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\left|\alpha_{r, s \vee ; 1}\right\rangle, \quad Q_{-}^{[s \vee]}\left(u_{\tau}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s ;-1}\right\rangle
$$

(cf. [16]).
Let us consider the logarithmic module $\left(\widetilde{F}(\tau), \widetilde{J}_{\tau}\right)$. By Lemma 5.25, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widetilde{J}_{\tau}\left(L_{0}\right)-h_{r, s^{\vee} ; 1}\right) u_{\tau}=-r^{\vee} Q_{+}^{\left[r^{\vee}\right]}\left(u_{\tau}\right)-s^{\vee} Q_{-}^{\left[s^{\vee}\right]}\left(u_{\tau}\right) . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\left(\widetilde{F}(\tau), \widetilde{J}_{\tau}\right)$. By using Proposition 5.3 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{J}_{\tau}\left(S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}\right)\right) u_{\tau}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2 \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}-\alpha_{0}\right) R_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}\left(Q_{+}^{\left[r^{\vee}\right]}\left(u_{\tau}\right)+Q_{-}^{\left[s^{\vee}\right]}\left(u_{\tau}\right)\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\left(\widetilde{F}(\tau), \widetilde{J}_{\tau}\right)$.
Let us consider the following finite length submodule of $\left(\widetilde{F}(\tau), \widetilde{J}_{\tau}\right)$

$$
E_{\tau}:=\widetilde{J}_{\tau}(U(\mathcal{L})) \cdot u_{\tau} .
$$

By (5.7) and (5.8), $E_{\tau}$ has a indecomposable quotient in

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{K}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right) \oplus L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)\right)
$$

(for the definition of $\widetilde{K}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)$, see Definition 5.19). We denote this quotient by $\widetilde{P}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)$. Note that by Proposition 5.16. $\widetilde{P}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)$has $P(\tau)$ and $P\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$, as quotients.

Let us consider the quotient module $E_{\tau} / \widetilde{J}_{\tau}(U(\mathcal{L})) .\left|\alpha_{\left.r^{\vee}, s^{v}\right\rangle}\right\rangle$. By (5.7) and (5.8), this quotient module has $L_{0}$ nilpotent rank two and has a logarith$\underset{\sim}{\text { mic }}$ quotient isomorphic to $\widetilde{P}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right) / L\left(h_{r, s}, \Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)$. We set $R\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)=$ $\widetilde{P}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right) / L\left(h_{r, s}, \Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)$. Then, by Propositions 5.5 and 5.16, we have

$$
\operatorname{Soc}\left(R\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)\right)=L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)
$$

Therefore we obtain the claim (5.6).
Remark 5.27. By Proposition 5.16, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(R\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)\right)=0
$$

From this, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{P}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)\right)=0$.
Fix any element $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0} \sqcup \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\text {Min }}$. By Proposition 5.16, we see that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(K(\tau), L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right)^{*}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}
$$

We identify the indecomposable modules in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group and denote them as $\widehat{P}(\tau)$. From the definition of $\widehat{P}(\tau)$, we can easily see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathcal{L})}\left(L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right)^{*}, \widehat{P}(\tau)\right) \leq 2, \\
& \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathcal{L})}(\widehat{P}(\tau), K(\tau)) \leq 2 \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that this logarithmic module $\widehat{P}(\tau)$ can be realized as the quotient of $\left(F(\tau), J_{\tau}\right)$ or $\left(\widetilde{F}(\tau), \widetilde{J}_{\tau}\right)$. The following proposition is trivial from the selfdualities $P(\tau)^{*} \simeq P(\tau)$ and $\widehat{P}(\tau)^{*} \simeq \widehat{P}(\tau)$, but we give a direct proof using the structure of $\left(F(\tau), J_{\tau}\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{F}(\tau), \widetilde{J}_{\tau}\right)$.

Proposition 5.28. Fix any $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0} \sqcup \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{Min}}$. Then $\widehat{P}(\tau)$ satisfies the following properties:

1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathcal{L})}\left(L\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}, h_{\alpha_{3}}\right)^{*}, \widehat{P}(\tau)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2}, \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can choose two injections as a basis of (5.10).
2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathcal{L})}(\widehat{P}(\tau), K(\tau)) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can choose two surjections as a basis of (5.11).

Proof. We only prove in the case of $\tau=\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ;-1}, \alpha_{r, p_{-}}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0}$. The case where $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{\mathrm{Min}}$ can be proved similarly by considering the structure of $\left(\widetilde{F}(\tau), \widetilde{J}_{\tau}\right)$.

Let us consider the logarithmic module $\left(F(\tau), J_{\tau}\right)$. Set $u_{0}=\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ;-1}\right\rangle$ and $u_{1}=\left|\alpha_{r, p_{-}}\right\rangle$. Fix any homogeneous vector $v_{1} \in F_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ;-1}\left[r p_{-}\right]$such that $Q_{+}^{[r]}\left(v_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}\right\rangle$, and let $v_{2}=Q_{+}^{[r]}\left(\left|\alpha_{r, p_{-} ;-2}\right\rangle\right)$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(S_{r, p_{-}}\right) v_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\left|\alpha_{r, p_{-}}\right\rangle \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by the Jantzen filtration of the Fock modules $F_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ;-1}$ and $F_{r, p_{-}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{\tau}\left(\sigma\left(S_{r, p_{-}}\right)\right) v_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} u_{1}, \\
& J_{\tau}\left(S_{r, p_{-}}\right) u_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{2}+\mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{1}+U(\mathcal{L}) . u_{1} . \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the submodule

$$
I=J_{\tau}(U(\mathcal{L})) \cdot u_{0}+J_{\tau}(U(\mathcal{L})) \cdot v_{1}
$$

has a quotient isomorphic to $\widehat{P}(\tau)$. Let $\pi$ be the surjection from $I$ to $\widehat{P}(\tau)$, and define the following injections and surjections

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota_{i}: L\left(h_{r, p_{-}}, h_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}\right)^{*} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi\left(J_{\tau}(U(\mathcal{L})) \cdot v_{i}\right) \subset \widehat{P}(\tau), \quad i=1,2, \\
& p_{j}: \widehat{P}(\tau) \rightarrow \widehat{P}(\tau) / \pi\left(J_{\tau}(U(\mathcal{L})) \cdot v_{j}\right), \quad j=1,2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, from (5.9), (5.12) and (5.13), we can see that $\left\{\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$ give a basis of (5.10) and (5.11), respectively.

Remark 5.29. Figure 5.1 represents the embedding structure of the logarithmic modules $\widehat{P}(\tau)\left(\tau=\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ;-1}, \alpha_{r, p_{-}}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}^{0}\right)$. The symobls correspond to those introduced in the proof of Proposition 5.28.

## 6 The projective covers of simple $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$modules

Since $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$is $C_{2}$-cofinite, so by [21], every simple $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {module has the }}$ projective cover. In this section, we determine some Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups between certain indecomposable modules and simple modules. Based on these Ext ${ }^{1}$ groups, we determine the structure of projective covers of the simple modules in each thick block and thin block. From this section, we denote the Ext ${ }^{1}$ groups in $\mathcal{C}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$as $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\bullet, \bullet)$ simply and identify any $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$modules that are isomorphic among each other, unless otherwise stated.


Figure 5.1: The schematic diagram of the logarithmic modules $\widehat{P}(\tau)$ given in the proof of Proposition 5.28.

### 6.1 The structure of the logarithmic modules $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{0 ,}}^{ \pm}\right)$.

Fix any $(r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^{2}$ such that $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1$ and $1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$. In this subsection we consider the structure of the indecomposable modules $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\bullet}}^{ \pm}\right)_{\bullet, \bullet}$ in the blocks $C_{r, p_{-}}^{\text {thin }}, C_{p_{+}, s}^{t h i n}$ and $C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$. First let us consider the structure of the logarithmic module $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}} \in C_{r, p_{-}}^{\text {thin }}$. Recall that as the vector space $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}=\mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$. Fix any $v_{1}^{+} \in F_{r, p_{-}}\left[r p_{-}\right]$ satisfying $Q_{+}^{[r]}\left(v_{1}^{+}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}\right\rangle$, and set

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{0} & =\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ;-1}\right\rangle, \\
v_{2}^{+} & \left.=\left|\alpha_{1}=\right| \alpha_{r, p_{-}, p_{-} ; 1}\right\rangle, \tag{6.1}
\end{align*} \quad v_{1}^{-}=\left|\alpha_{r, p_{-} ;-2}\right\rangle, \quad v_{2}^{-}=Q_{+}^{[r]}\left(\left|\alpha_{r, p_{-} ;-2}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

Let us consider the following Virasoro submodule of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$

$$
I=U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot u_{0}+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot v_{1}^{+} \in \mathcal{L}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-} \bmod ,
$$

where we omit the symbol $J_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$associated to the module action defined in Theorem 4.10, Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r, p_{-} ; 0}^{+}\right) u_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} u_{1},  \tag{6.2}\\
& \sigma\left(S_{r, p_{-}}\right) v_{1}^{+} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} u_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

in $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$. Then, by (6.2), we see that $I$ has a quotient isomorphic to $\widehat{P}(\tau)$, where $\tau=\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ;-1}, \alpha_{r, p_{-}}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}\right)$. Thus, by Proposition 5.28, we
have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{r, p_{-}} u_{0} & \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{1}^{+}+\mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{2}^{-}+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot u_{1}, \\
\sigma\left(S_{r, p_{-}}\right) v_{2}^{-} & \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} u_{1}, \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

in $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$. By (6.3) we see that $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$has two submodules $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} . v_{1}^{+}$and $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} . v_{2}^{-}$satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \cdot v_{1}^{+}\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right) \backslash\{0\},} \\
& {\left[\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-} \cdot v_{2}^{-}\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right) \backslash\{0\} .} \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By (6.4), we see that $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$is generated from the top composition factor $\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$and $\operatorname{Soc}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}\right)=\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$.

We have similar results for the other indecomposable module $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s} \vee$ in $C_{p_{+}, s^{*}}^{t h i n}$. Thus we obtain the following proposition.
Proposition 6.1. The logarithmic modules $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r \vee, p_{-}}$and $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s \vee}$ are generated from the top composition factors and have the following length three socle series:

1. The socle series of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Soc }_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{r, p-}^{+}, \\
& \mathrm{Soc}_{2} / \mathrm{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}, \\
& \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}} / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The socle series of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}, \\
& \mathrm{Soc}_{2} / \mathrm{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}, \\
& \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}} / \mathrm{Soc}_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{*}}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 6.2. Figure 6.1 represents relations between generators of the composition factors of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r \vee, p_{-}}$. The symobls correspond to those introduced in (6.1).

Next let us consider the logarithmic module $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$. Recall that as the vector space $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}=\mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$. Fix any $\tilde{v}_{+} \in F_{r, p_{-}}\left[r p_{-}\right]$ satisfying $Q_{+}^{[r]}\left(\tilde{v}_{+}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}\right\rangle$, and set

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{-}=\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ;-1}\right\rangle, & u_{+}=\left|\alpha_{r, p_{-}}\right\rangle, & \\
v_{+}=\left|\alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}\right\rangle, & v_{-}=Q_{+}^{[r]}\left(\left|\alpha_{r, p_{-} ;-2}\right\rangle\right), \quad \tilde{v}_{-}=\left|\alpha_{r, p_{-} ;-2}\right\rangle . \tag{6.5}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 6.1: The schematic diagram of the indecomposable module $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$, where $W_{0}^{ \pm}=W^{ \pm}[0]$.

Let us consider the indecomposable Virasoro modules

$$
I^{ \pm}=U(\mathcal{L}) . \tilde{v}_{ \pm} .
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right) \tilde{v}_{ \pm} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{ \pm}, \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}, p_{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$(we omit the symbol $J_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$associated the module action defined in Theorem 4.10). Then, noting Theorem 5.9, Proposition (5.16) and (6.6), we see that $I^{\epsilon}(\epsilon= \pm)$ has a quotient isomorphic to $P(\tau)$, where $\tau=\left(\alpha_{r, p_{-}}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}, \alpha_{r, p_{-} ; 2}\right)$ (for the definition of $P(\tau)$, see Definition 5.17). Then, from the structure of $P(\tau)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r, p_{-}} \sigma\left(S_{r, p_{-}}\right) \tilde{v}_{ \pm} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{ \pm} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.7) we see that $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$has the submodules $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} . \sigma\left(S_{r, p_{-}}\right) \tilde{v}_{ \pm}$ satisfying

$$
\left[\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} . \sigma\left(S_{r, p_{-}}\right) \tilde{v}_{ \pm}\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}-}^{-}\right) \backslash\{0\}
$$

In particular we see that $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}, p_{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$is generated from the top composition factor $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$. We have similar results for the other indecomposable module $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s}$ in $C_{p_{+}, s}^{t h i n}$. Thus we obtain the following theorem.

Proposition 6.3. The logarithmic modules $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$and $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s}$ are generated from the top composition factors and have the following length three socle series:

1. The socle series of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \\
& \mathrm{Soc}_{2} / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} \\
& \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}, p_{-}\right. \\
& r_{r, p_{-}} / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The socle series of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}, \\
& \mathrm{Soc}_{2} / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}, \\
& \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s} / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 6.2: The schematic diagram of the structure of the indecomposable module $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$.

Remark 6.4. Figure 6.2 represents relations between generators of the composition factors of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$. The symobls correspond to those introduced in (6.5).

Similar to Theorems 6.1 and 6.3, by using Proposition 5.16 and Proposition 5.28, we obtain the following theorems for the indecomposable modules $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\bullet, 0}^{ \pm}\right)_{\bullet, \bullet}$ in $C_{r, s}^{t h i c k}$. We omit the proofs.

Proposition 6.5. Let $(a, b, c, d)$ be any element in

$$
\{(a, b, c, d)\}=\left\{\left(r, s, r^{\vee}, s\right),\left(r, s, r, s^{\vee}\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}, r^{\vee}, s\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}, r, s^{\vee}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then the socle series of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{c, d}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Soc}_{1}=\text { Socle }=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}, \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{2} / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{-} \oplus L\left(h_{a, b}\right) \oplus \mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{-}, \\
& \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{c, d} / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{c, d}$ is generated from the top composition factor $\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}$.
Proposition 6.6. Let ( $a, b, c, d$ ) be any element in

$$
\{(a, b, c, d)\}=\left\{\left(r^{\vee}, s, r, s\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right),\left(r, s^{\vee}, r, s\right),\left(r, s^{\vee}, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)\right\}
$$

Then the socle series of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Soc}_{1}=\text { Socle }=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}, \\
& \mathrm{Soc}_{2} / \mathrm{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+}, \\
& \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d} / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d}$ is generated from the top composition factor $\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}$.

### 6.2 The Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups between all simple modules

Fix any $(r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^{2}$ such that $1 \leq r \leq p_{+}-1$ and $1 \leq s \leq p_{-}-1$. In this subsection, we determine the Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups between all simple modules.

Definition 6.7. Fix any $(a, b, c, d)$ in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{(a, b, c, d)\}= & \left\{\left(r^{\vee}, s, r, s\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right),\left(r, s^{\vee}, r, s\right),\left(r, s^{\vee}, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(r^{\vee}, p_{-}, r, p_{-}\right),\left(p_{+}, s^{\vee}, p_{+}, s\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

1. For $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d}$, let $\left\{v_{+}, v_{-}\right\}$be a basis of the highest weight space of the submodule $\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-} \subset \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d}$ such that

$$
W^{ \pm}[0] v_{ \pm}=0, \quad W^{ \pm}[0] v_{\mp} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{ \pm},
$$

and let $u_{ \pm}$be the vectors in $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d}\left[\Delta_{c, d ; 0}^{+}\right]$satisfying $v_{ \pm} \in U(\mathcal{L}) . u_{ \pm}$. Then we define

$$
\mathcal{E}^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+}\right)_{a, b}:=\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} . u_{+}, \quad \mathcal{E}^{-}\left(\mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+}\right)_{a, b}:=\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} . u_{-},
$$

which give different extensions in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right) \backslash\{0\}$.
2. We define

$$
\mathcal{E}^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d}:=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d} / \mathcal{E}^{\mp}\left(\mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+}\right)_{a, b} .
$$

3. As the quotient of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+}\right)_{a, b}$, we define the indecomposable module $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+}\right)_{a, b}$ satisfying the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+}\right)_{a, b} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+} \rightarrow 0
$$

4. We define $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d}:=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d} / \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}$, which satisfies the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{c, d} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-} \rightarrow 0
$$

Definition 6.8. Given a non-logarithmic Virasoro module $M$, any nonzeo vector $v \in M$ is called a primary vector when the following satisfied

$$
L_{n} v=0, \quad n \geq 1 .
$$

Similar to the arguments in Section 9.3 of [22], we have the following proposition (see also [26, , 30$]$ ). We omit the proofs.

Proposition 6.9 ([11, [22]). Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $M_{3}^{*}$ be non-logarithmic Virasoro modules which have primary vectors $v_{1} \in M_{1}, v_{2} \in M_{2}$ and $v_{3}^{*} \in M_{3}^{*}$ whose $L_{0}$ weights are $h_{r_{1}, s_{1}}, h_{r_{2}, s_{2}}$ and $h_{r_{3}, s_{3}}$, respectively, where $r_{i} \geq 1$ and $s_{i} \geq 1(i=1,2,3)$. Assume that there exists a non-logarithmic intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}$ of type $\binom{M_{3}}{M_{1} M_{2}}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{Y}\left(v_{1}, z\right) S_{r_{2}, s_{2}} v_{2}\right\rangle=\prod_{i=1}^{r_{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{s_{2}}\left(h_{r_{1}, s_{1}}-h_{r_{2}+r_{3}-2 i+1, s_{2}+s_{3}-2 j+1}\right)\left\langle v_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{Y}\left(v_{1}, z\right) v_{2}\right\rangle, \\
& \left\langle S_{r_{3}, s_{3}} v_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{Y}\left(v_{1}, z\right) v_{2}\right\rangle=\prod_{i=1}^{r_{3}} \prod_{j=1}^{s_{3}}\left(h_{r_{1}, s_{1}}-h_{r_{2}+r_{3}-2 i+1, s_{2}+s_{3}-2 j+1}\right)\left\langle v_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{Y}\left(v_{1}, z\right) v_{2}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 6.10 ([11],[22]). For $h \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq r_{1}, r_{2}<p_{+}, 1 \leq s_{1}, s_{2}<p_{-}$ and $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{N}_{L\left(h_{r_{1}, s_{1} ; n_{1}}\right), L\left(h_{r_{2}, s_{2} ; n_{2}}^{L(h)}\right.}^{L} \leq 1,
$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{L\left(h_{2}\right), L\left(h_{1}\right)}^{L\left(h_{3}\right)}$ is the dimension of the space of Virasoro intertwining operators of type $\binom{L\left(h_{3}\right)}{L\left(h_{2}\right) L\left(h_{1}\right)}$. If $\mathcal{N}_{L\left(h_{r_{1}, s_{1} ; n_{1}}\right), L\left(h_{\left.r_{2}, s_{2} ; n_{2}\right)}^{L(h)}\right.} \neq 0$, then $h$ is the common
solution of the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{r_{1}} \prod_{j=1}^{s_{1}+n_{1} p_{-}}\left(h-h_{r_{1}+r_{2}-2 i+1, s_{1}+s_{2}-2 j+1 ; n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)=0, \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{\left(n_{1}+1\right) p_{+}-r_{1}} \prod_{j=1}^{p_{-}-s_{1}}\left(h-h_{2 p_{+}-r_{1}-r_{2}-2 i+1,2 p_{-}-s_{1}-s_{2}-2 j+1 ;-n_{1}-n_{2}}\right)=0, \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{r_{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{s_{2}+n_{2} p_{-}}\left(h-h_{r_{1}+r_{2}-2 i+1, s_{1}+s_{2}-2 j+1 ; n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)=0, \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{\left(n_{2}+1\right) p_{+}-r_{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{p_{-}-s_{2}}\left(h-h_{2 p_{+}-r_{1}-r_{2}-2 i+1,2 p_{-}-s_{1}-s_{2}-2 j+1 ;-n_{1}-n_{2}}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this subsection, we use the following notation.
Definition 6.11. For any simple $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {module } \mathcal{X} \text { and any } n \geq 1 \text {, we define }}$

$$
n \mathcal{X}:=\overbrace{\mathcal{X} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{X}}^{n} .
$$

Lemma 6.12. Let $n \geq 1$. Fix any $(a, b, c, d)$ in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{(a, b, c, d)\}= & \left\{\left(r, s, r^{\vee}, s\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}, r^{\vee}, s\right),\left(r, s, r, s^{\vee}\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}, r, s^{\vee}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(r, p_{-}, r^{\vee}, p_{-}\right),\left(p_{+}, s, p_{+}, s^{\vee}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Any extension $[E] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}, n \mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{-}\right)$is trivial if $E$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathcal{L})}\left(L\left(\Delta_{a, b ; 0}^{+}\right), E\right) \neq 0 \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We only prove in the case of $(a, b, c, d)=\left(r, s, r^{\vee}, s\right)$ and $n=1$. The other cases can be proved in the same way.

Fix any non-trivial extension $[E] \in \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right) \backslash\{0\}$. Let $u$ be the highest weight vector in $E\left[\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right]$. Assume (6.8). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r, s^{\vee}+p_{-}} u=0 . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{v_{+}, v_{-}\right\}$be a basis of the highest weight space of the submodule $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} \subset$ $E$ such that

$$
W^{ \pm}[0] v_{ \pm}=0, \quad W^{ \pm}[0] v_{\mp} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{ \pm}
$$

Let $v_{+}^{*}$ and $v_{-}^{*}$ be $L_{0}$-homogeneous vectors of $E^{*}$ such that $\left\langle v_{ \pm}^{*}, v_{ \pm}\right\rangle \neq 0$, and $L_{k} v_{ \pm}^{*}=0$ for $k \geq 1$. Assume that for any $W=W^{ \pm}, W^{0}$

$$
W[k] v_{ \pm}^{*}=0, \quad k \geq 1
$$

Then the vector space $\mathbb{C} v_{+}^{*}+\mathbb{C} v_{-}^{*}$ becomes a $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$-module and this vector space is isomorphic to the highest weight space of $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}$as a $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)-$ module. Thus $E^{*}$ has the submodule $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} .\left(\mathbb{C} v_{+}^{*}+\mathbb{C} v_{-}^{*}\right) \simeq \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}$and thus $E^{*}=\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}$. But this contradicts the assumption that $E$ is non-trivial. Therefore we have

$$
\left\langle v_{ \pm}^{*}, Y_{E}\left(W^{\bullet} ; z\right) u\right\rangle \neq 0,
$$

where $W^{\bullet}$ is one of $W^{+}, W^{0}$ or $W^{-}$. On the other hand, using Proposition 6.9, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{ \pm}^{*}, Y_{E}\left(W^{\bullet} ; z\right) S_{r, s^{\vee}+p_{-}} u\right\rangle \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j=1}^{s^{\vee}+p_{-}}\left(h_{4 p_{+}-1,1}-h_{r+r+2 p_{+}-2 i+1, s^{\vee}+p_{-}+s-2 j+1}\right)\left\langle v_{ \pm}^{*}, Y_{E}\left(W^{\bullet} ; z\right) u\right\rangle \\
& \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

But this contradicts (6.9).
Similar to the triplet $W$-algebras $\mathcal{W}_{p}$ [2], [15], [25], [27], we have the following proposition.

## Proposition 6.13.

1. In the thin block $C_{r, p_{-}}^{\text {thin }}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

The other extensions between the simple modules in this block are trivial.
2. In the thin block $C_{p_{+}, s}^{\text {thin }}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

The other extensions between the simple modules in this block are trivial.

Proof. We will only prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}, p_{-}\right)=0, \\
& \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups can be proved in a similar way, so we omit the proofs.
First let us prove $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}, p_{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}, p_{-}\right)=0$. Fix any extension $\left[E_{1}\right] \in$ $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}, p_{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}, p_{-}\right)$. By Proposition 5.5. we see that $L_{0}$ acts semisimply on $E_{1}$. Let $\bar{E}_{1}$ be the highest weight space of $E_{1}$. Note that $E_{1}$ is generated from $\bar{E}_{1}$. Let $\widetilde{E}_{1}$ be the $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$-module induced from $\bar{E}_{1}$. Then we have $\widetilde{E}_{1}=E_{1}$. By Proposition 3.12, we see that as the $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$-module

$$
\bar{E}_{1} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{X}_{r v}^{-}, p_{-}} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{X}_{r v}^{-}, p_{-}},
$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}}$is the highest weight space of $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$. Note that the $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$ module induced from $\overline{\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}}$is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$. Thus we have $\widetilde{E}_{1} \simeq$ $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$.

Next, we prove $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=0$, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}-}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=0,
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$is given in Definition 6.7. Assume that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r \vee, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}, p_{-}\right) \neq 0
$$

and fix any non-trivial extension $E_{2}$ in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group. By $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}^{-}\right)=$ 0 , we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Soc}\left(E_{2}\right)=\mathcal{X}_{r v}^{-}, p_{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r v}^{-}, p_{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, p_{-}}^{-} . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u$ be the highest weight vector of $E_{2}$ and let us consider the submodule $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} . S_{r, p_{-}} u$ of $E_{2}$. By Theorems 3.10 and 3.11 , we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \cdot S_{r, p_{-}} u=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \text {or } \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} . \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (6.10) and (6.11), we have

$$
\left[E_{2} / \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-} .} \cdot S_{r, p_{-}} u\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, n \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, p_{-}}^{-}\right) \backslash\{0\}
$$

where $n$ is 1 or 2. But this contradicts Lemma 6.12. Therefore we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

Finally let us prove $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)=0$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}}$be the highest weight space of $\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$. Since

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2},
$$

we see that the induced $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$module from $\overline{\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}}$is isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$. Let $E_{3}$ be any extension in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)$. By Proposition 5.5, we see that $L_{0}$ acts semisimply on $E_{3}$. Let $\bar{E}_{3}$ be the highest weight space of $E_{3}$. Note that $E_{3}$ is generated from $\bar{E}_{3}$. Let us assume $\bar{E}_{3} \not \equiv \overline{\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}}$as a $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$-module. Then, from the $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {module action on } E_{3} \text {, we have a }}$ non-trivial non-logarithmic Virasoro intertwining operator of type

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
L\left(\Delta_{r, p-;}^{+}\right) \\
L\left(h_{4 p_{+}-1,1}\right) \\
L\left(\Delta_{r, p_{-} ; 0}^{+}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

But we can see the contradiction by using Proposition 6.10. Thus, as the $A\left(\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}\right)$-module, $\bar{E}_{3} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}}$. Let $\widetilde{E}_{3}$ be the induced module from $\bar{E}_{3}$. Then we have

$$
\widetilde{E}_{3} \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
E_{3} \simeq \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}
$$

Proposition 6.14. In the thick block $C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}^{ \pm}, \mathcal{X}^{\mp}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}^{+}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=\mathbb{C},
$$

where $\mathcal{X}^{+}=\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}$or $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}$and $\mathcal{X}^{-}=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}$or $\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$. The other extensions between the simple modules in $C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$ are trivial.

Proof. We will only prove $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\mathbb{C}$. The other Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups can be proved in a similar way as Proposition 6.13.

Note that

$$
\left[\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-} \cdot}\left|\alpha_{r, s}\right\rangle\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \backslash\{0\}
$$

and, as the Virasoro module

$$
\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-} \cdot}\left|\alpha_{r, s}\right\rangle=L\left(h_{r, s}, \Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1}(2 n+1) L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}\right)
$$

Fix any extension $[E] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)$. Let $t$ be the highest weight vector of $E$ and assume $S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} t \neq 0$. Then, as the Virasoro module

$$
E=L\left(h_{r, s}, \Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1}(2 n+1) L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}\right) .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)\right)=\mathbb{C}$, as the Baer sum of extensions obtained from the indecomposable modules $E$ and $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-} .}\left|\alpha_{r, s}\right\rangle$, we have a extension $\left[E^{\prime}\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)$such that $S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} t^{\prime}=0$, where $t^{\prime}$ is the highest weight vector of $E^{\prime}$. Thus, by Theorem 5.6, we have the following decomposition as the Virasoro module

$$
E^{\prime}=L\left(h_{r, s}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 0}(2 n+1) L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}\right) .
$$

 a non-trivial Virasoro intertwining operator of type

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; n}^{+}\right) \\
L\left(h_{4 p_{+}-1,1}\right) \\
L\left(h_{r, s}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

for some $n \geq 0$. But, by using Proposition 6.10, we can see the contradiction. In case $S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\wedge}} t=0$, we see that $[E]=0$ as shown above.

### 6.3 The projective covers of the simple modules in the thin blocks

Fix any two thin blocks $C_{r, p_{-}}^{\text {thin }}, C_{p_{+}, s}^{\text {thin }}\left(1 \leq r<p_{+}, 1 \leq s<p_{-}\right)$. In this subsection, we will show the logarithmic modules $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}, \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}} \in$ $C_{r, p_{-}}^{\text {thin }}$ and $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}, \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s} \in C_{p_{+}, s}^{\text {thin }}$ are projective.

The following lemma can be proved in the same way as Proposition 5.28, by using the structure of the logarithmic modules $\left(F(\tau), J_{\tau}\right)$.

Lemma 6.15. For $\tau=\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}, \alpha_{r, p_{-} ; 2}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 3}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(K(\tau), L\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}, \Delta_{r, p_{-} ; 1}^{+}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any indecomposable Virasoro module $E$ in the Ext ${ }^{1}$-group (6.12) has a submodule isomorphic to $P(\tau)$, and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathcal{L})}(E, K(\tau)) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we can choose two surjections as a basis of (6.13).

From the structure of $\mathcal{E}^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}{ }_{, p_{-}}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$, we obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 6.16. Fix any indecomposable module $E$ in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)$. For the surjection $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$, let $\left(v^{-}, v^{+}\right) \in E\left[\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right]^{2}$ be any generators of $E$ satisfying

$$
W^{ \pm}[0] \pi\left(v^{ \pm}\right)=0, \quad W^{ \pm}[0] \pi\left(v^{\mp}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \pi\left(v^{ \pm}\right)
$$

Then, for $\epsilon= \pm$, the Virasoro module $U(\mathcal{L}) . v^{\epsilon}$ has a quotient isomorphic to $L\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}, \Delta_{r, p_{-} ; 1}^{+}\right)$.

## Proposition 6.17.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}, \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)=0 .
$$

Proof. We only prove the first equality. The second equality can be proved in the same way.

Assume that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right) \neq 0$ and fix any non-trivial extension $E$ in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group.

First let us introduce some symbols. Let $u$ be the highest weight vector of $\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$. From Proposition 3.13, we can choose a basis $\left\{w_{-}, w_{0}, w_{+}\right\}$of the Virasoro highest weight spaces of $3 L\left(\Delta_{r, p_{-} ; 1}^{+}\right) \subset \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{ \pm}[0] w_{ \pm} \equiv 0 \bmod U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot u, \\
& W^{ \pm}[0] w_{\mp} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} w_{0}+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot u, \\
& W^{ \pm}[0] w_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} w_{ \pm}+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\iota_{1}$ and $\iota_{2}$ be injections from $\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$to $E$ such that

$$
\iota_{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right) \oplus \iota_{2}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Soc}(E) .
$$

For the surjection $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$, we fix $L_{0}$-homogeneous vectors $\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{w}_{0} \in E$ such that

$$
\pi\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=u, \quad \pi\left(\tilde{w}_{0}\right)=w_{0}
$$

Set $v^{ \pm}=W^{ \pm}[0] W^{\mp}[0] S_{r, p-} \tilde{u}_{0}$. Note that $\left\{v^{-}, W^{+}[0] v^{-}\right\}$and $\left\{W^{-}[0] v^{+}, v^{+}\right\}$ correspond to bases of the highest weight spaces of $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$.

Let us consider the Virasoro module

$$
M=U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \tilde{w}_{0}+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot v^{+}+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot v^{-} .
$$

From the Virasoro structure of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$, we see that the Virasoro module $M$ has an indecomposable quotient in the Ext ${ }^{1}$-group (6.12), and the quotient module

$$
M /\left(U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot v^{+}+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot v^{-}\right)
$$

does not contain $L\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right)$as the composition factors. Thus by Lemma 6.15, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p-}\right) \tilde{w}_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v^{+}+\mathbb{C}^{\times} v^{-}+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \iota_{1}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \iota_{2}(u) . \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the structure of $P(\tau)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p_{-}} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p_{-}}\right) \tilde{w}_{0} \not \equiv 0 \bmod U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \iota_{1}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \iota_{2}(u) . \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p_{-}} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p_{-}}\right) \tilde{w}_{0} \in \mathbb{C}_{\iota_{1}}\left(w_{0}\right)+\mathbb{C}_{\iota_{2}}\left(w_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1,2} \sum_{\epsilon= \pm} \mathbb{C}_{\iota_{i}}\left(w_{\epsilon}\right)  \tag{6.16}\\
+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \iota_{1}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \iota_{2}(u) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that the coefficient of $\iota_{i}\left(w_{ \pm}\right)$in $S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p_{-}} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p_{-}}\right) \tilde{w}_{0}$ is nonzero. Then, from the structure of $P(\tau)$, we see that the coefficient of $\iota_{i}\left(w_{ \pm}\right)$in ( $L_{0}-$ $\left.\Delta_{r, p-; 1}^{+}\right) \tilde{w}_{0}$ is also nonzero. Thus, noting Propositions 3.13 and 3.14 , multiplying $\left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r, p_{-} ; 1}^{+}\right) \tilde{w}_{0}$ by $\left(W^{\mp}[0]\right)^{2}$, we have $\iota_{i}\left(w_{\mp}\right) \in U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \iota_{1}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) . \iota_{2}(u)$. But this is a contradiction. Therefore, from (6.16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p_{-}} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p_{-}}\right) \tilde{w}_{0} \equiv k_{1} \iota_{1}\left(w_{0}\right)+k_{2} \iota_{2}\left(w_{0}\right) \bmod \sum_{i=1}^{2} U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \iota_{i}(u), \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)(\neq(0,0))$ are some constants. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p-}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \cdot\left(k_{1} \iota_{1}\left(w_{0}\right)+k_{2} \iota_{2}\left(w_{0}\right)\right) \simeq \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+} . \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
E^{\prime}=\frac{E}{\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} .\left(k_{1} \iota_{1}\left(w_{0}\right)+k_{2} \iota_{2}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)} .
$$

Let $\phi$ be the surjection from $E$ to $E^{\prime}$. Then, from (6.15), (6.17) and (6.18), $E^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\left[E^{\prime}\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right) \backslash\{0\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p_{-}} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p_{-}}\right) \phi\left(\tilde{w}_{0}\right) \in \sum_{i=1,2} U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \phi \circ \iota_{i}(u) . \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.19), we see that $\phi(M)$ does not have $P(\tau)$ as any subquotient. Then, from Lemma 6.15 and (6.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r^{\vee}, 2 p-} \phi\left(v^{ \pm}\right) \in \sum_{i=1,2} U(\mathcal{L}) . \phi \circ \iota_{i}(u) . \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 6.16 and 6.20, $E^{\prime}$ has $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$as submodules. Thus $E^{\prime}$ has a indecomposable quotient in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)$. But this contradicts Proposition 6.13.

## Proposition 6.18.

$$
\left.\left.\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)\right)_{r, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)\right)_{p_{+}, s}, \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)=0
$$

Proof. We only prove the first equality. The second equality can be proved in the same way.

By Proposition 6.17, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)=0 \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the structure of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$, we have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{-}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By this exact sequence and (6.21), we have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{-}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

By Proposition 6.13, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{-}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\left.\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r}^{-}, p_{-}\right)\right)_{r, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)=0
$$

## Lemma 6.19.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}-}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s}, \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)=0
$$

Proof. We only prove $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=0$. The other equalities can be proved in the same way.

Assume that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right) \neq 0
$$

and fix any non-trivial extension

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \stackrel{\iota}{\rightarrow} E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $\left\{v_{+}, v_{-}\right\}$be a basis of the highest weight space of $\mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, p_{-}}^{-}$such that

$$
W^{ \pm}[0] v_{ \pm}=0, \quad W^{ \pm}[0] v_{\mp} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{ \pm}
$$

For the surjection $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$, let $\tilde{v}_{ \pm}$be any $L_{0}$ homogeneous vectors of $E$ such that $\pi\left(\tilde{v}_{ \pm}\right)=v_{ \pm}$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=0$, we see that $E$ has an indecomposable submodule in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)$. Then, noting Lemma 6.12, we see that the Virasoro module $U(\mathcal{L}) . \tilde{v}_{+}$a quotient isomorphic to $\overline{P(\tau)}$, where $\tau=\left(\alpha_{r, p_{-}}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}, \alpha_{r, p_{-} ; 2}\right)$ (for the definition of the logarithmic modules $P(\tau)$, see Definition 5.17). Note that, from the structure of $\mathcal{E}^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r \vee, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}, L_{n} \tilde{v}_{-}=0$ for $n \geq 1$. Thus, by Proposition 5.16, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right) \tilde{v}_{+}=k_{+} \iota\left(v_{+}\right)+k_{-\iota}\left(v_{-}\right),  \tag{6.22}\\
& \left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right) \tilde{v}_{-}=0, \tag{6.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(k_{+}, k_{-}\right) \neq(0,0)$. Asuume $k_{+} \neq 0$. Then, multiplying both sides of (6.22) by $W^{-}[0]$, we have

$$
\left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right) W^{-}[0] \tilde{v}_{+} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \iota\left(v_{-}\right)
$$

But this contradicts (6.23). Next assume $k_{-} \neq 0$. Then, multiplying both sides of $(6.22)$ by $W^{+}[0]$, we have

$$
\left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right) W^{+}[0] \tilde{v}_{+} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \iota\left(v_{+}\right)
$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $\tilde{v}_{+}$, we have $\left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right) W^{+}[0] \tilde{v}_{+}=$ 0 . Thus we have a contradiction.

## Proposition 6.20.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}-}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s}, \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)=0
$$

Proof. We only prove the first equality. The second equality can be proved in the same way.

Assume that

$$
\left.\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r v}^{-}{ }^{-}, p_{-}\right)\right)_{r, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{-}\right) \neq 0
$$

and fix any non-trivial extension

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-} \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=0, E$ has a submodule in

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r v, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}-}^{*}, \mathcal{X}_{r v, p_{-}}^{-}\right) \backslash\{0\}
$$

Then, from Propositions 6.13 and Lemma 6.19, we see that the following sequence of submodules holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}} \subset E . \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{v_{+}, v_{-}\right\}$be a basis of the highest weight space of $\mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, p_{-}}^{-}$such that

$$
W^{ \pm}[0] v_{ \pm}=0, \quad W^{ \pm}[0] v_{\mp} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{ \pm}
$$

Let $\left\{v_{+}^{0}, v_{-}^{0}\right\}$ be a basis of the highest weight space of the submodule $\mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, p_{-}}^{-} \subset$ $E$ such that $\phi\left(v_{ \pm}^{0}\right) \neq 0$ and

$$
W^{ \pm}[0] v_{ \pm}^{0}=0, \quad W^{ \pm}[0] v_{\mp}^{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{ \pm}^{0}
$$

For the surjection $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$, we fix any $L_{0}$-homogeneous vectors $\tilde{v}_{-}, \tilde{v}_{+} \in E$ such that $\pi\left(\tilde{v}_{ \pm}\right)=v_{ \pm}$. Note that the Virasoro module $U(\mathcal{L}) . \tilde{v}_{ \pm}$ has a quotient isomorphic to $P(\tau)$, where $\tau=\left(\alpha_{r, p_{-}}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 1}, \alpha_{r, p_{-} ; 2}\right)$. Thus, by Proposition 6.13 and 6.24 , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{r, p_{-}} \sigma\left(S_{r, p_{-}}\right) \tilde{v}_{-} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \iota\left(v_{+}\right)+\mathbb{C} \iota\left(v_{-}\right)+\mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{-}^{0},  \tag{6.25}\\
& S_{r, p_{-}} \sigma\left(S_{r, p_{-}-}\right) \tilde{v}_{+} \in \mathbb{C}_{\iota}\left(v_{+}\right)+\mathbb{C}^{\times} \iota\left(v_{-}\right)+\mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{-}^{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by Proposition 5.16 and (6.25), we see that one of the followings holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right) \tilde{v}_{-}=k_{+} \iota\left(v_{+}\right)+k_{-} \iota\left(v_{-}\right)+\mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{-}^{0}, \quad k_{+} \neq 0, \\
& \left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right) \tilde{v}_{+}=l_{-} \iota\left(v_{-}\right)+l_{+} \iota\left(v_{+}\right)+\mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{+}^{0}, \quad l_{-} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that the first equality is true. Multiplying the first equation by $W^{-}[0]$, we have

$$
\left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, p_{-} ; 0}^{-}\right) W^{-}[0] \tilde{v}_{-}=k_{+} \iota\left(v_{-}\right) .
$$

By the definition of $\tilde{v}_{-}$, the left hand side becomes zero. But this is a contradiction. Similarly, assuming the second equality, we can show the contradiction.

## Proposition 6.21.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}, \mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)=0
$$

Proof. We only prove the first equality. The second equality can be proved in the same way.

Note that $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}$satisfies the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{-}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}-}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}} \rightarrow 0
$$

By this exact sequence and Proposition 6.20, we have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}-}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}-}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

By Proposition 6.13 we have $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Therefore we obtain $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)=0$.

By Propositions 6.17, 6.18, 6.20 and 6.21, we obtain the following theorem.

## Theorem 6.22.

1. $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}\right)_{r \vee, p_{-}}$and $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}\right)_{r, p_{-}}$are the projective covers of $\mathcal{X}_{r, p_{-}}^{+}$and $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, p_{-}}^{-}$, respectively.
2. $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}\right)_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{p_{+}, s}$ are the projective covers of $\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s}^{+}$and $\mathcal{X}_{p_{+}, s^{\vee}}^{-}$, respectively.

### 6.4 The projective covers of the simple modules $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\circ}}^{ \pm}$in the thick blocks

In this subsection, we fix any thick block $C_{r, s}^{t h i c k}$ and compute Ext ${ }^{1}$ groups between certain indecomposable $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$-modules and the simple modules in this block. Based on these Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups, we prove that the logarithmic modules $\mathcal{P}_{\bullet, \bullet}^{ \pm}$are projective $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}}$-modules.

Definition 6.23. Let $(a, b)$ be $(r, s)$ or $\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)$. We identify the indecomposable modules in the Ext ${ }^{1}$-group

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}
$$

(see Proposition 6.14) and denote them as $\mathcal{K}_{a, b}$.

The following proposition can be proved in the same way as Proposition 6.17, but we give a different proof.

Proposition 6.24. Let $(a, b)$ be $(r, s)$ or $\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{a, b^{\vee}}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)=0
$$

Proof. We will only prove $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)=0$ in the case $(a, b)=$ $(r, s)$. The other cases can be proved in the same way.

Assume $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r \vee, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \neq 0$. Then, by Theorem 6.14, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r \vee, s} / \mathcal{K}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \neq 0
$$

Fix any non trivial extension $E$ in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group. By Theorem 5.16, we see that $L_{0}$ acts semisimply on the highest weight space of $E$. Thus, by Propositions 3.13 and 3.14, we see that $L_{0}$ acts semisimply on $E$. Let $u_{0} \in$ $E\left[\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right]$be a generator of $E$, and let $u_{1}$ be the highest weight vector of the submodule $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+} \subset E$. Fix any homogeneous vector $u_{1}^{*} \in E^{*}$ such that $\left\langle u_{1}^{*}, u_{1}\right\rangle \neq 0$. Since $[E] \neq 0, E$ has one of $\mathcal{E}^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r, s}$ or $\left.\mathcal{E}^{-}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}\right)_{s}\right)_{r, s}$, as a submodule. Thus, by the structure of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r \vee}{ }^{\vee}, s / \mathcal{K}_{r, s}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}\right)_{r, s}$, we see that

$$
\left\langle u_{1}^{*}, \sigma\left(S_{r, s^{\vee}+p_{-}}\right) Y_{E}\left(W^{\epsilon} ; z\right) S_{r, s^{\vee}+p_{-}} u_{0}\right\rangle \neq 0,
$$

where $W^{\epsilon}$ is one of $W^{+}, W^{0}$ or $W^{-}$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u_{1}^{*}, Y_{E}\left(W^{\epsilon} ; z\right) u_{0}\right\rangle \neq 0 \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $S_{r^{\vee}+p_{+}, s} u_{0}=0$. Thus, by Proposition 6.9, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\langle u_{1}^{*}, Y_{E}\left(W^{\epsilon} ; z\right) S_{r^{\vee}+p_{+}, s} u_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{r^{\vee}+p_{+}} \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(h_{4 p_{+}-1,1}-h_{2 r^{\vee}+2 p_{+}-2 i+1,2 s-2 j+1}\right)\left\langle u_{1}^{*}, Y_{E}\left(W^{\epsilon} ; z\right) u_{0}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient in the above equation is nonzero, so we have $\left\langle u_{1}^{*}, Y_{E}\left(W^{\epsilon} ; z\right) u_{0}\right\rangle=$ 0 . But this contradicts 6.26).

The following three propositions can be proved in the same way as Propositions 6.18, 6.20 and 6.21 , so we omit the proofs.

Proposition 6.25. Let $(a, b)$ be $\left(r^{\vee}, s\right)$ or $\left(r, s^{\vee}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b}, \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{a, b^{\vee}}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{+}\right)=0
$$

Proposition 6.26. Let $(a, b)$ be $\left(r^{\vee}, s\right)$ or $\left(r, s^{\vee}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{a, b \vee}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)=0
$$

Proposition 6.27. Let $(a, b)$ be $(r, s)$ or $\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b}, \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{a, b^{\vee}}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-}\right)=0
$$

Proposition 6.28. Let $(a, b, \epsilon)$ be any element in

$$
\left\{(r, s,+),\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee},+\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s,-\right),\left(r, s^{\vee},-\right)\right\}
$$

Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b}, \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b \vee}^{\epsilon}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{a, b^{\vee}}, \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b \vee}^{\epsilon}\right)=0
$$

Proof. We will prove only

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)=0
$$

The other equality can be proved in the same way.
First we prove $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)=0$. Recall that $\widetilde{K}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)$is the indecomposable Virasoro module satisfying the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow L\left(\Delta_{r, s s^{\vee} ; 0}^{-}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right) \rightarrow K(\tau) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\tau=\left(\alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} \vee}, \alpha_{r, s^{\vee} ; 1}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ; 2}\right)$. By the structure of Virasoro Verma modules ([8], [11],[22]), we see that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{K}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right) / L\left(h_{r, s}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{+}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Thus, by the structure of the Fock module $F_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{K}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r^{v}, s^{v} ; 0}^{+}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C} \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We identify the indecomposable modules in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group and denote them as $V\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)$. By (6.27), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(V\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{+}\right)\right)=0 \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $V\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right)$satisfies the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow L\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{+}, \Delta_{r, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{-}\right)^{*} \rightarrow V\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right) \rightarrow K(\tau) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By this exact sequence and (6.28), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(K(\tau), L\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{+}\right)\right)=0 \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right) \neq 0$. Then, by Proposition 6.14, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s} / \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right) \neq 0
$$

Fix a non-trivial extension $[E] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s} / \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)$. Note that $L_{0}$ acts semisimply on $E$. By (6.29), we can choose a $L_{0}$-homogeneous generator $u_{0}$ of $E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot u_{0} \simeq K(\tau) \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{1}$ be the highest weight vector of the submodule $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+} \subset E$. By (6.30), we see that there exists a homogeneous vector $u_{1}^{*} \in E^{*}$ such that $\left\langle u_{1}^{*}, u_{1}\right\rangle \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n} u_{1}^{*}=0, \quad \text { for } n \geq 1 \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E$ is non-trivial, by the structure of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s} / \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{E}^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u_{1}^{*}, Y_{E}\left(W^{\bullet} ; z\right) u_{0}\right\rangle \neq 0, \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W^{\bullet}$ is one of $W^{ \pm}$or $W^{0}$. Note that by 6.30),

$$
S_{r^{\vee}+p_{+}, s} u_{0} \in L\left(h_{r, s}\right) .
$$

Then by Proposition 6.9 and (6.31), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\langle u_{1}^{*}, Y_{E}\left(W^{\bullet} ; z\right) S_{r^{\vee}+p_{+}, s} u_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{r^{\vee}+p_{+}} \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(h_{4 p_{+}-1,1}-h_{r^{\vee}+r+2 p_{+}-2 i+1, s+s^{\vee}-2 j+1}\right)\left\langle u_{1}^{*}, Y_{E}\left(W^{\bullet} ; z\right) u_{0}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient in the above equation is nonzero, so we have $\left\langle u_{1}^{*}, Y_{E}\left(W^{\bullet} ; z\right) u_{0}\right\rangle=$ 0 . But this contradicts (6.32).

Next we prove $\left.\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}^{\left(\mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}, s\right.}\right)_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)=0$. Note that, by the structure of Virasoro Verma modules and by the structure of the Fock module $F_{r, s \vee ; 1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{K}\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right) / L\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{+}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{-}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C} \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Definitions 5.19 for the definitions of Virasoro module $\widetilde{K}\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right)$). We identify the indecomposable modules in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group and denote them as $V\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right)$. By (6.33), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(V\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{-}\right)\right)=0 \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $V\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right)$satisfies the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow L\left(\Delta_{r, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{-}, \Delta_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ; 1}^{+}\right)^{*} \rightarrow V\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right) \rightarrow K\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

where $\tau^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{r, s^{\vee} ; 1}, \alpha_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee} ; 2}, \alpha_{r, s \vee ; 3}\right)$. By this exact sequence and (6.34), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(K\left(\tau^{\prime}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{-}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right) \neq 0
$$

Then, since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r}^{\vee}, s, \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r, s} / \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

Note that $L_{0}$ acts semisimply on any extensions of this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group. Fix any non-trivial extension $[E] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r, s} / \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}\right)$. Then, noting Lemma 6.12 and Proposition 6.14, by the Virasoro module structure of $E$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(K\left(\tau^{\prime}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{-}\right)\right) \neq 0 .
$$

But this contradicts (6.35).
Proposition 6.29. Let $(a, b)$ be $(r, s)$ or $\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b}, L\left(h_{a, b}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}\right)_{a, b^{\vee}}, L\left(h_{a, b}\right)\right)=0
$$

Proof. By the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{r, s} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s} / \mathcal{K}_{r, s} \rightarrow 0
$$

we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{r, s}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Thus we have $\operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r \vee, s}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{r, s}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)$. Assume

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{r, s}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) \neq 0,
$$

and fix a non-trivial extension $[E] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{r, s}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)$. Since

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right), L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=0,
$$

$E$ has a submodule isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}_{r, s}^{*}$. Thus, by Proposition 5.3, we see that $E$ has $L_{0}$-nilpotent rank two. Let $\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}\right\}$ be a basis of the highest weight space of $E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{0}-h_{r, s}\right) u_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} u_{1} . \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Proposition 5.3 and (6.36), we have

$$
\sigma\left(S_{r, s}\right) S_{r, s} u_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} u_{1}
$$

In particular we have $S_{r, s} u_{0} \neq 0$. Thus $E$ has $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}$as a composition factor. But this is a contradiction. Thus we obtain $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=0$. The other equality can be proved in the same way, so we omit the proofs.
Proposition 6.30. Let $(a, b)$ be $\left(r^{\vee}, s\right)$ or $\left(r, s^{\vee}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b}, L\left(h_{a^{\vee}, b}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}\right)_{a, b^{\vee}}, L\left(h_{a, b \vee}\right)\right)=0
$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.14, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r v}^{-} \bar{v}_{s}\right)_{r, s}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r v}^{-}, s\right)_{r, s} / \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) .
$$

Assume $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r, s} / \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) \neq 0$. Then any non-trivial extension of $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r v, s}^{-}\right)_{r, s} / \mathcal{X}_{r v, s}^{-}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)$ has an indecomposable submodule in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}, \mathcal{K}_{r, s}^{*}\right)$. Thus we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}\right) \neq 0
$$

Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)=0$, any non-trivial extension of $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}{ }^{\vee}, s\right)$ has a submodule in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right) \backslash\{0\}$. In particular, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}, \Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r^{v}, s ; 0}^{-}\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

On the other hand, by the structure of Virasoro Verma modules ([8], [1], [22]), we see that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(L\left(h_{r, s}, \Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right)\right)=0
$$

Thus we have a contradiction. The other equality can be proved in the same way.

The following is a summary of Proposition 6.24, 6.25, 6.26, 6.27, 6.28, 6.29 and 6.30 .

Proposition 6.31. Let $(\epsilon, a, b, c, d)$ be any element in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{(\epsilon, a, b, c, d)\}= & \left\{\left(+, r, s, r^{\vee}, s\right),\left(+, r, s, r, s^{\vee}\right),\left(+, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}, r^{\vee}, s\right),\left(+, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}, r, s^{\vee}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(-, r^{\vee}, s, r, s\right),\left(-, r^{\vee}, s, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right),\left(-, r, s^{\vee}, r, s\right),\left(-, r, s^{\vee}, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{c, d}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=0, & \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{c, d}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)=0, \\
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{c, d}, \mathcal{X}_{a \vee, b v}^{\epsilon}\right)=0, & \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{c, d}, \mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{-\epsilon}\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

In the following, let us introduce the socle structure of the logarithmic modules $\mathcal{P}_{\bullet . \bullet}^{ \pm}$. By Propositions 6.14, 6.24 and 6.26, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.32. Fix any $(\epsilon, a, b, c, d)$ in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{(\epsilon, a, b, c, d)\}= & \left\{\left(+, r, s, r^{\vee}, s\right),\left(+, r, s, r, s^{\vee}\right),\left(+, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}, r^{\vee}, s\right),\left(+, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}, r, s^{\vee}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(-, r^{\vee}, s, r, s\right),\left(-, r^{\vee}, s, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right),\left(-, r, s^{\vee}, r, s\right),\left(-, r, s^{\vee}, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, any indecomposable module whose composition factors are the same as those of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{c, d}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{c, d}$.

Proposition 6.33. Fix any $(a, b, \epsilon)$ in

$$
\left\{(r, s,+),\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee},+\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s,-\right),\left(r, s^{\vee},-\right)\right\} .
$$

Then the logarithmic module $\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}$ has the following sequences of submodules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right) \subset U_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right) \subset U_{3}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right) \subset U_{4}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)=\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon} \\
& V_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right) \subset V_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right) \subset V_{3}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right) \subset V_{4}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)=\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{1}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b}, U_{2} / U_{1}=U_{3} / U_{2}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-\epsilon}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}, U_{4} / U_{3}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b} \\
& V_{1}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{a, b^{\vee}}, V_{2} / V_{1}=V_{3} / V_{2}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a \vee, b}^{-\epsilon}\right)_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}, V_{4} / V_{3}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)_{a, b^{\vee}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let us show that $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}$has a sequence

$$
U_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \subset U_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \subset U_{3}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \subset U_{4}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}
$$

such that

$$
U_{1}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}, U_{2} / U_{1}=U_{3} / U_{2}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}, U_{4} / U_{3}=\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s} .
$$

The other cases can be proved in the same way.
By Theorem 4.6, we see that $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}$has a logarithmic submodule $M$ satisfying

$$
\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+} \subset M, \quad M / \mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{r v, s}^{-}
$$

From the structure of $\mathcal{V}_{r, s}^{+}$and $\mathcal{V}_{r^{v}, s}^{-}$, we see that $M$ has two indecomposable subquotients whose composition factors are the same as those of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r \vee}{ }^{\vee}, s$ and $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}$, respectively. Then, from Lemma 6.32, we see that $M$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s} \subset M, \quad M / \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s} \simeq \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} . \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $N=\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+} / M$. From Theorem 4.6, we see that $N$ is logarithmic and satisfies

$$
\mathcal{V}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-} \subset N, \quad N / \mathcal{V}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}
$$

From the structure of $\mathcal{V}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$and $\mathcal{V}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}$, we see that $N$ has two indecomposable subquotients whose composition factors are the same as those of $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}$, respectively. Then, from Lemma 6.32, we see that $N$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} \subset N, \quad N / \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} \simeq \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s} \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.37) and (6.38), we obtain the claim.

By Propositions 6.31 and 6.33 , we obtain the following theorems.

## Theorem 6.34.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=0, \\
& \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}, L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 6.35. Let $(a, b, \epsilon)$ be any element in

$$
\left\{(r, s,+),\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee},+\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s,-\right),\left(r, s^{\vee},-\right)\right\} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}, \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}\right)=0, \\
& \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}, \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-\epsilon}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-\epsilon}\right)=0, \\
& \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}, \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-\epsilon}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{\epsilon}, \mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-\epsilon}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 6.33, we obtain the following proposition.
Proposition 6.36. Let $(a, b)=(r, s)$ or $\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)$. Each of the logarithmic modules $\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{+}, \mathcal{P}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-} \in C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$ is generated from the top composition factor and has the following length five socle series:

1. For the socle series of $\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}, \\
& S_{2} / S_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a, b \vee}^{-} \oplus L\left(h_{r, s}\right) \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-}, \\
& S_{3} / S_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}, \\
& S_{4} / S_{3}=\mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-} \oplus L\left(h_{r, s}\right) \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-}, \\
& \mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{+} / S_{4}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{i}=\operatorname{Soc}_{i}$.
2. For the socle series of $\mathcal{P}_{a, b \vee}^{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-}, \\
& S_{2} / S_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{+}, \\
& S_{3} / S_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-} \oplus L\left(h_{r, s}\right) \oplus L\left(h_{r, s}\right) \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-}, \\
& S_{4} / S_{3}=\mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}, \\
& \mathcal{P}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-} / S_{4}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 6.37. Figure 6.3 is the embedding structure of the logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-m o d u l e s ~ d e f i n e d ~ i n ~ T h e o r e m ~ 4.6 . ~ T h e ~ s t r u c t u r e ~ o f ~ t h e s e ~ l o g a r i t h m i c ~}^{\text {a }}$ modules are studied by [18], [19] in the case $\left(p_{+}, p_{-}\right)=(2,3)$. In one block $C_{1,1}^{\text {thick }}$, explicit realizations are given by [6].

We define the following notation.
Definition 6.38. Given a $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-m o d u l e ~} M$ with

$$
\operatorname{Soc}_{1}(M) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \operatorname{Soc}_{n}(M)=M
$$

fix i satisfying $0 \leq i<n$ and fix a simple module $X \subset \operatorname{Soc}_{n-i}(M) / \operatorname{Soc}_{n-i-1}(M)$. Let $k$ be the multiplicity of $X$ in $\operatorname{Soc}_{n-i}(M) / \operatorname{Soc}_{n-i-1}(M)$. Then we denote by $(M: X: i)$ the submodule of $\operatorname{Soc}_{n-i}(M)$ generated from the composition factors $k X \subset \operatorname{Soc}_{n-i}(M) / \operatorname{Soc}_{n-i-1}(M)$, where we use the notation given in Definition 6.11.


Figure 6.3: The embedding structure of logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$modules $\mathcal{P}_{\bullet, \bullet}^{ \pm}$. The triangle $\triangle$ corresponds to the simple module $L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \vee$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \diamond$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+} \boldsymbol{\phi}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$and $\boldsymbol{\&}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}$.

Definition 6.39. Let $(a, b)$ be $(r, s)$ or $\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)$. We define the following indecomposable modules:

1. We define

$$
\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{+d}:=\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{+}: \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}: 2\right) .
$$

2. We define

$$
\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{+u}:=\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{+} /\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{+}: \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{v}}^{+}: 2\right) .
$$



$$
\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+d}
$$



$$
\mathcal{P}_{r^{v}, s^{\vee}}^{+u}
$$



$$
\mathcal{P}_{r \vee, s s^{\vee}}^{+d}
$$



Figure 6.4: The embedding structure of the logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {modules }} \mathcal{P}_{\bullet \bullet \bullet}^{+}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\bullet, \bullet}^{+d}$. The triangle $\triangle$ corresponds to the simple module $L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \bigcirc$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \diamond$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \boldsymbol{\oplus}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$and $\boldsymbol{\&}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}$.

Remark 6.40. Figure 6.4 represents the embedding structure of the logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-m o d u l e s ~ g i v e n ~ i n ~ D e f i n i t i o n ~ 6.39 . ~}^{\text {. }}$

Proposition 6.41.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+d}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+d}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)=0
$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.33, we see that $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+d}$ has $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r, s} \vee$ as a submodule. Then by the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r, s^{\vee}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+d} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s} / \mathcal{K}_{r, s} \rightarrow 0
$$

and by Proposition 6.24, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+d}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=0
$$

The second equation can be proved in the same way, so we omit the proofs.

The following lemma can be proved in the same way as Proposition 5.28, by using the structure of the logarithmic Virasoro modules $\left(\widetilde{F}(\tau), \widetilde{J}_{\tau}\right)$.

Lemma 6.42. Any indecomposable Virasoro module E in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}}^{1}\left(\widetilde{K}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 1}^{+}\right), L\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}, \Delta_{r, s ; 1}^{+}\right) \oplus L\left(\Delta_{r, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{-}, \Delta_{r, s ; 1}^{+}\right)\right) \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a indecomposable submodule isomorphic to $\widetilde{P}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 1}^{+}\right)$(for the definition of $\widetilde{P}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 1}^{+}\right)$, see the proof of 5.20 , and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathcal{L})}\left(E, \widetilde{K}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 1}^{+}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{3} \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we can choose three surjections as a basis of (6.49).
From the structure of $\mathcal{E}^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\bullet}}^{-}\right)_{\bullet, \boldsymbol{\bullet}}$, we obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 6.43. Let $(a, b, c, d)$ be any element in

$$
\left\{\left(r^{\vee}, s, r, s\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right),\left(r, s^{\vee}, r, s\right),\left(r, s^{\vee}, r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)\right\},
$$

and fix any indecomposable module $E$ in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{c, d}^{+}\right)$. For the surjection $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{-}$, let $\left(v^{-}, v^{+}\right) \in E\left[\Delta_{a, b ; 0}^{-}\right]^{2}$ be any generators of $E$ satisfying

$$
W^{ \pm}[0] \pi\left(v^{ \pm}\right)=0, \quad W^{ \pm}[0] \pi\left(v^{\mp}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \pi\left(v^{ \pm}\right)
$$

Then, for $\epsilon= \pm$, the Virasoro module $U(\mathcal{L}) . v^{\epsilon}$ has a quotient isomorphic to $L\left(\Delta_{a, b ; 0}^{-}, \Delta_{c, d ; 1}^{+}\right)$.

## Proposition 6.44.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+u} /\left(2 \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{v}, s^{v}}^{+u} /\left(2 \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s^{v}}^{+}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2} .
$$

Proof. Let us prove the first equality. The second equality can be proved in the same way. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=0$, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+u}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=0
$$

Assume $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+u}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \neq 0$ and fix any non-trivial extension $E$ in this Ext ${ }^{1}$ group.

First let us introduce some symbols. Let $t$ be a nonzero vector of the one dimensional space $E\left[h_{r, s}\right]$, and let $u$ be the highest weight vector of $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}$. From Proposition 3.13, we can choose a basis $\left\{w_{-}, w_{0}, w_{+}\right\}$of the Virasoro highest weight spaces of $3 L\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 1}^{+}\right) \subset \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}$satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{ \pm}[0] w_{ \pm} \equiv 0 \bmod U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot u \\
& W^{ \pm}[0] w_{\mp} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} w_{0}+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot u, \\
& W^{ \pm}[0] w_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} w_{ \pm}+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot u
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}$ and $\iota_{3}$ be injections from $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}$to $E$ such that

$$
\iota_{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \oplus \iota_{2}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \oplus \iota_{3}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Soc}(E) .
$$

For the surjection $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}$, we fix $L_{0}$-homogeneous vectors $\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{w}_{0} \in E$ such that

$$
\pi\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=u, \quad \pi\left(\tilde{w}_{0}\right)=w_{0}
$$

Set $v_{r^{\vee}, s}^{ \pm}=W^{ \pm}[0] W^{\mp}[0] S_{r, s^{\vee}+p_{-}} \tilde{u}_{0}$ and $v_{r^{\vee}, s}^{ \pm}=W^{ \pm}[0] W^{\mp}[0] S_{r^{\vee}+p_{+}, s} \tilde{u}_{0}$. Note that the sets

$$
\left\{v_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}, W^{+}[0] v_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right\}, \quad\left\{W^{-}[0] v_{r^{\vee}, s}^{+}, v_{r^{\vee}, s}^{+}\right\}
$$

correspond to bases of the highest weight spaces of the composition factors $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}$, and

$$
\left\{v_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}, W^{+}[0] v_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right\}, \quad\left\{W^{-}[0] v_{r, s^{\vee}}^{+}, v_{r, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right\}
$$

to bases of the highest weight spaces of the composition factors $\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$.
Let us consider the Virasoro module

$$
M=U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \tilde{w}_{0}+\sum_{\epsilon= \pm} U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot v_{r^{v}, s}^{\epsilon}+\sum_{\epsilon^{\prime}= \pm} U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot v_{r, s^{v}}^{\epsilon^{\prime}}
$$

From the Virasoro structure of $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+u}$, we see that the Virasoro module $M$ has an indecomposable quotient in the Ext ${ }^{1}$-group (6.39), and the quotient module

$$
M /\left(\sum_{\epsilon= \pm} U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot v_{r^{v}, s}^{\epsilon}+\sum_{\epsilon^{\prime}= \pm} U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot v_{r, s^{\vee}}^{\epsilon^{\prime}}\right)
$$

does not contain $L\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right)$and $L\left(\Delta_{r, s^{\vee} ; 0}^{-}\right)$as the composition factors. Thus by Lemma 6.42, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}+2 p_{-}}\right) \tilde{w}_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{r^{\vee}, s}^{+}+\mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} U(\mathcal{L}) . \iota_{i}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) . t, \\
& \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}+2 p_{+}, s^{\vee}}\right) \tilde{w}_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{r, s^{\vee}}^{+}+\mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} U(\mathcal{L}) . \iota_{i}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) . t . \tag{6.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to the argument in the proof of Proposition 6.17, from the structure of $\widetilde{P}\left(\Delta_{r, s ; 1}^{+}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}+2 p_{-}} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}+2 p_{-}}\right) \tilde{w}_{0} \not \equiv 0 \bmod \sum_{i=1}^{3} U(\mathcal{L}) . \iota_{i}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) . t, \\
& S_{r^{\vee}+2 p_{+}, s \vee} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}+2 p_{+}, s^{\vee}}\right) \tilde{w}_{0} \not \equiv 0 \bmod \sum_{i=1}^{3} U(\mathcal{L}) . \iota_{i}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) . t, \\
& S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}+2 p_{-}} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}+2 p_{-}}\right) \tilde{w}_{0} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_{i} \iota_{i}\left(w_{0}\right) \bmod \sum_{j=1}^{3} U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \iota_{j}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) . t, \\
& S_{r^{\vee}+2 p_{+}, s \vee} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}+2 p_{+}, s^{\vee}}\right) \tilde{w}_{0} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} \iota_{i}\left(w_{0}\right) \bmod \sum_{j=1}^{3} U(\mathcal{L}) . \iota_{j}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) . t, \tag{6.42}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(k_{i}, l_{i}\right)(i=1,2,3)$ are some constants. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} k_{i} \iota_{i}\left(w_{0}\right)\right) \simeq \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \\
& \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}} \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} \iota_{i}\left(w_{0}\right)\right) \simeq \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+} \tag{6.43}
\end{align*}
$$

We set

$$
E^{\prime}=\frac{E}{\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-} \cdot} \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} k_{i} \iota_{i}\left(w_{0}\right)\right) \oplus \mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-} \cdot}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_{i} \iota_{i}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)}
$$

Let $\phi$ be the surjection from $E$ to $E^{\prime}$. Then, from (6.42) and (6.43), $E^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\left[E^{\prime}\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+u} /\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \backslash\{0\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}+2 p_{-}} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}+2 p_{-}}\right) \phi\left(\tilde{w}_{0}\right) \in \sum_{i=1}^{3} U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \phi \circ \iota_{i}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \phi(t),  \tag{6.44}\\
& S_{r^{\vee}+2 p_{+}, s^{\vee}} \sigma\left(S_{r^{\vee}+2 p_{+}, s^{\vee}}\right) \phi\left(\tilde{w}_{0}\right) \in \sum_{i=1}^{3} U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \phi \circ \iota_{i}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \phi(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 6.42, (6.41) and (6.44), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}+2 p_{-}} \phi\left(v_{r^{\vee}, s}^{ \pm}\right) \in \sum_{i=1}^{3} U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \phi \circ \iota_{i}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \phi(t),  \tag{6.45}\\
& S_{r^{\vee}+2 p_{+}, s^{\vee}} \phi\left(v_{r, s^{\vee}}^{ \pm}\right) \in \sum_{i=1}^{3} U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \phi \circ \iota_{i}(u)+U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \phi(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by Lemma 6.43 and 6.45 , $E^{\prime}$ has $2 \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} \oplus 2 \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$as submodules. Thus $E^{\prime}$ has an indecomposable quotient in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{r, s}^{*}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)$. But this contradicts Proposition 6.24.

Theorem 6.45. For $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+} \in C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)=0
$$

Proof. From the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+d} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+} / \mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+d} \rightarrow 0
$$

and Proposition 6.41, we have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+} / \mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+d}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

By Proposition 6.44, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+} / \mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+d}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=0
$$

The second equality can be proved in the same way.
Definition 6.46. Let $(a, b)$ be $\left(r^{\vee}, s\right)$ or $\left(r, s^{\vee}\right)$. We define

$$
\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{-u}:=\frac{\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{-}}{\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{-}: \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{-}: 2\right)+\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{-}: L\left(h_{r, s}\right): 2\right)} .
$$



Figure 6.5: The embedding structure of the $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-\text {modules in }}$ Definition 6.46. The $\vee$ corresponds to the simple module $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \diamond$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \boldsymbol{\oplus}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$ and $\boldsymbol{\&}^{\circ}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}^{-}$.

Remark 6.47. Figure 6.5 represents the embedding structure of the quotient modules $\mathcal{P}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-u}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-u}$ given in Definition 6.46.

## Proposition 6.48.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-u} /\left(2 \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-u} /\left(2 \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

Proof. Let us prove the first equality. The second equality can be proved in the same way. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)=0$, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-u}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}-\right)=0
$$

Assume $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-u}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right) \neq 0$. Fix a non-trivial extension

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}^{-} \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{P}_{r v, s}^{-u} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By Propositions 6.14 and 6.26, we see that

$$
\operatorname{Soc}(E)=\iota\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right) \oplus 2 \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} .
$$

Let $\iota_{1}$ and $\iota_{2}$ be injections from $\mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}^{-}$to $E$ such that

$$
\phi \circ \iota_{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-}, s\right) \oplus \phi \circ \iota_{2}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}=\operatorname{Soc}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r \vee}^{-u}\right),
$$

and let $\left\{v_{+}, v_{-}\right\}$be a basis of the highest weight space of $\mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}^{-}$such that

$$
W^{ \pm}[0] v_{ \pm}=0, \quad W^{ \pm}[0] v_{\mp} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} v_{ \pm}
$$

For the canonical surjection $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}$, we fix any $L_{0}$-homogeneous vectors $\tilde{v}_{-}, \tilde{v}_{+} \in E$ such that $\pi\left(\tilde{v}_{ \pm}\right)=v_{ \pm}$. Note that, by Proposition 5.20, the Virasoro module $U(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \tilde{v}_{ \pm}$has $\widetilde{P}\left(\Delta_{r^{v}, s ; 0}^{-}\right)$as the quotient (for the definition of $\widetilde{P}\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right)$, see the proof of 5.20 . Let

$$
E_{1}=\left(E: \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}: 1\right), \quad E_{2}=\left(E: \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s^{\vee}}^{+}: 1\right) .
$$

Then, by Proposition 6.26, we see that $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ must contain $\iota\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}^{-}\right)$as the submodule:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\iota\left(\mathcal{X}_{r v}^{-} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v}\right) \subset E_{1}\right) \wedge\left(\iota\left(\mathcal{X}_{r \vee}^{-} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v}\right) \subset E_{2}\right) . \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting the structure of $\widetilde{P}\left(\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right), \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}$, from (6.46), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right) \tilde{v}_{+} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \iota\left(v_{-}\right)+\mathbb{C} \iota\left(v_{+}\right)+\mathbb{C}^{\times} \iota_{1}\left(v_{+}\right)+\mathbb{C}^{\times} \iota_{2}\left(v_{+}\right), \\
& \left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right) \tilde{v}_{-} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \iota\left(v_{+}\right)+\mathbb{C} \iota\left(v_{-}\right)+\mathbb{C}^{\times} \iota_{1}\left(v_{-}\right)+\mathbb{C}^{\times} \iota_{2}\left(v_{-}\right) . \tag{6.47}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying $\left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r^{\vee}, s ; 0}^{-}\right) \tilde{v}_{ \pm}$by $W^{ \pm}[0]$, from (6.47), we have $\iota\left(v_{ \pm}\right)=0$. But this is a contradiction.

## Theorem 6.49.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)=0
$$

Proof. By the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} / \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} \rightarrow 0
$$

and Proposition 6.26, we have the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} / \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{6.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} / \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}$ has a submodule isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}_{r, s} \oplus \mathcal{K}_{r, s}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the quotient module defined by the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{r, s} \oplus \mathcal{K}_{r, s} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} / \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0
$$

By this exact sequence and by $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}^{-}\right)=0$ (see the proof of Proposition 6.30, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} / \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right) . \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} \oplus 4 \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-u} /\left(2 \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

By this exact sequence and Proposition 6.48, we have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}^{-}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Thus, by this exact sequence, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s}^{-}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, by (6.48) and (6.49), we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)=0
$$

The second equality can be proved in the same way.
Since all logarithmic modules $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{\bullet . , ~}^{ \pm}}$in $C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$ are generated from the top composition factors, by Theorems 6.34, 6.35, 6.45 and 6.49, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 6.50. Let $(a, b, \epsilon)$ be any element in

$$
\left\{(r, s,+),\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee},+\right),\left(r^{\vee}, s,-\right),\left(r, s^{\vee},-\right)\right\}
$$

Then the indecomposable module $\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}$ is the projective cover of $\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{\epsilon}$.

### 6.5 The projective covers of the minimal simple modules $L\left(h_{r, s}\right)$

Fix any thick block $C_{r, s}^{\text {thick }}$. Let $\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$ be the projective cover of the minimal simple module $L\left(h_{r, s}\right)$. By Corollary 3.16, we can see that $\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$ has $L_{0}$ nilpotent rank three. In this subsection, we determine the structure of $\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$.

Definition 6.51. Let $(a, b)$ be $(r, s)$ or $\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)$. We define

$$
\mathcal{N}_{a, b}:=\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b}^{+}: L\left(h_{r, s}\right): 1\right) .
$$

For $(a, b)=(r, s)$ or $\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right), \mathcal{N}_{a, b}$ has the following lenghth four socle series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{a, b}\right)=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}, \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{a, b}\right) / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{a, b}\right)=2 \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b}^{-} \oplus L\left(h_{r, s}\right) \oplus 2 \mathcal{X}_{a, b^{\vee}}^{-}, \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{3}\left(\mathcal{N}_{a, b}\right) / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{a, b}\right)=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{a^{\vee}, b^{\vee}}^{+}, \\
& \mathcal{N}_{a, b} / \operatorname{Soc}_{3}\left(\mathcal{N}_{a, b}\right)=L\left(h_{r, s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 6.6: The embedding structure of the logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-m o d u l e s} \mathcal{N}_{r, s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}$. The triangle $\triangle$ corresponds to the simple module $L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \bigcirc$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \diamond$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \boldsymbol{\phi}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$and $\boldsymbol{\&}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}$.

Remark 6.52. Figure 6.6 represents the embedding structure of the logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-m o d u l e s} \mathcal{N}_{r, s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r \vee, s \vee}$.

We define

$$
\mathcal{Q}\left(h_{r, s}\right):=\frac{\mathcal{N}_{r, s}}{\left(\mathcal{N}_{r, s}: \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}: 2\right)+\left(\mathcal{N}_{r, s}: \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}: 2\right)} .
$$

By Propositions 6.14 and by the proof of Proposition 6.29, we obtain the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.53. The socle series of the indecomposable module $\mathcal{Q}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$ is given by

$$
\mathrm{Soc}_{1}=L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \quad \mathrm{Soc}_{2} / \mathrm{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \quad \mathrm{Soc}_{3} / \mathrm{Soc}_{2}=L\left(h_{r, s}\right) .
$$

## Lemma 6.54.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(h_{r, s}\right), L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=0
$$

Recall the indecomposable modules $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+u}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+u}$ given in Definition 6.39. Note that $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+u}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{r \vee, s \vee}^{+u}$ have $\mathcal{K}_{r, s}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}$ as submodules respectively. We define the indecomposable modules

$$
\mathcal{R}_{r, s}:=\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{+u} / \mathcal{K}_{r, s}, \quad \quad \mathcal{R}_{r^{\vee}, s^{v}}:=\mathcal{P}_{r^{\vee}, s^{v}}^{+u} / \mathcal{K}_{r^{\vee}, s^{v}} .
$$

By Proposition 5.3 and by Proposition 6.14, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6.55. Let $(a, b)$ be $(r, s)$ or $\left(r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}\right)$. Then the indecomposable module $\mathcal{R}_{a, b}$ has $L_{0}$ nilpotent rank two and has the following length three socle series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+}, \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{2} / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}, \\
& \mathcal{R}_{a, b} / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}=\mathcal{X}_{a, b}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Propositions 6.14, 6.44, we obtain the following lemma.

## Lemma 6.56.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)=0
$$

## Lemma 6.57.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r, s} / \mathcal{K}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} / \mathcal{K}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)=0 .
$$

Proof. We only prove $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r, s} / \mathcal{K}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=0$. The second equality can be proved in the same way.

Assume that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r, s} / \mathcal{K}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right) \neq 0$ and fix any non-trivial extension $E$ in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group. Let $t \in E$ be the highest weight vector in the one dimensional space $E\left[h_{r, s}\right]$. By Lemma 6.56, we see that $E$ has a submodule isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_{r, s}$. Note that by Lemma 6.55, $\mathcal{R}_{r, s}$ has $L_{0}$ nilpotent rank two. Then we have a basis $\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}\right\}$ of the highest weight space of the submodule $\mathcal{R}_{r, s} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right) u_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} u_{1} . \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by

$$
\left(L_{0}-h_{r, s}\right) t=0, \quad S_{r^{\vee}, s \vee} t \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} u_{0}+\mathbb{C} u_{1},
$$

we have $\left(L_{0}-\Delta_{r, s ; 0}^{+}\right) u_{0}=0$. But this contradicts 6.50 ).
Lemma 6.58.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s^{v}}^{+}\right)=\mathbb{C} . \tag{6.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{r, s} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{r, s} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{r, s} / \mathcal{K}_{r, s} \rightarrow 0
$$

we have the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r, s} / \mathcal{K}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} . \tag{6.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 6.14, we have $\mathcal{N}_{r, s} / \mathcal{K}_{r, s} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} / \mathcal{K}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}$. Then, by Lemma 6.57 and (6.52), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right) \leq 1 \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by Proposition 6.14 and Lemma 6.54 ,

$$
\mathcal{N}_{r, s} / \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}} / \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+} .
$$

Then, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{r, s}, \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right) \neq 0$. Thus, by (6.53), we obtain 6.51).
We identify the indecomposable modules in the Ext ${ }^{1}$-group (6.51) and denote them as $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$. By Lemma 6.57, we obtain the following lemma.

## Lemma 6.59.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r^{v}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)=0
$$

## Lemma 6.60.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}\right)=0
$$

Proof. We only prove the first equality. The second equality can be proved in the same way. Assume

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r}^{-}-, s\right) \neq 0
$$

and fix any non-trivial extension $E$ in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group. Then we see that $E$ has an indecomposable submodule in
$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}^{*}, \mathcal{E}^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}\right) \quad$ or $\quad \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}^{*}, \mathcal{E}^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}\right)$ (for the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)_{r^{\vee}, s}$, see Definition 6.7). From Propositions 6.24 and 6.26, we see that these Ext ${ }^{1}$-groups are trivial, and thus we have a contradiction.

Let us assume that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\left(h_{r, s}\right), L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=0$. Then, by Lemmas 6.59 and 6.60, we see that $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$ is the projective cover of $L\left(h_{r, s}\right)$. But this contradicts Corollary 3.16. Thus we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}\left(h_{r, s}\right), L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

Fix any indecomposable module in this Ext ${ }^{1}$-group and denote it by $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$. $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$ has the following length five socle series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Soc}_{1}=L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{2} / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{+}, \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{3} / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}=2 \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{+} \oplus L\left(h_{r, s}\right) \oplus 2 \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-} \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{4} / \operatorname{Soc}_{3}=\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{5} / \operatorname{Soc}_{4}=L\left(h_{r, s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider the submodule $\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right): L\left(h_{r, s}\right): 2\right)$. By Lemm 6.54, we see that this submodule is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$.

## Lemma 6.61.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right), L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=0
$$

Proof. By Lemm 6.54 and by the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\left(h_{r, s}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right) / \mathcal{Q}\left(h_{r, s}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

we obtain the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right), L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

From this, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right), L\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=0
$$

## Lemma 6.62.

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}\right)=0
$$

Proof. We only prove the first equality. The second equality can be proved in the same way. By Proposition 6.24, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=0 \tag{6.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right) / \mathcal{Q}\left(h_{r, s}\right) \simeq \mathcal{N}_{r, s} / \mathcal{K}_{r, s}$. Thus, by Lemma 6.57 and by 6.54), we obtain $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}\right)=0$.

By Proposition 6.14 and Lemma 6.60, we obtain the following lemma.

## Lemma 6.63

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right), \mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{-}\right)=0
$$

By Lemmas 6.61, 6.62 and 6.63, we have $\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right) \simeq \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$. Therefore we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.64. The projective module $\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$ has the following length five socle series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{3}\left(\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=2 \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-} \oplus L\left(h_{r, s}\right) \oplus 2 \mathcal{X}_{r, s \vee}^{-}, \\
& \operatorname{Soc}_{4}\left(\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right) / \operatorname{Soc}_{3}\left(\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \\
& \mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right) / \operatorname{Soc}_{4}\left(\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)\right)=L\left(h_{r, s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 6.7: The embedding structure of the logarithmic $\mathcal{W}_{p_{+}, p_{-}-}$module $\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$. The triangle $\triangle$ corresponds to the simple module $L\left(h_{r, s}\right), \bigcirc$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r, s}^{+}, \diamond$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s^{\vee}}^{+}, \boldsymbol{\oplus}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r, s^{\vee}}^{-}$and $\boldsymbol{\&}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{r^{\vee}, s}^{-}$.

Remark 6.65. Figure 6.7 represents the embedding structure of the projective module $\mathcal{P}\left(h_{r, s}\right)$. This embedding structure is given by [19].
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