# Multi-scale lattice relaxation in general twisted trilayer graphenes 

Naoto Nakatsuji, ${ }^{1}$ Takuto Kawakami, ${ }^{1}$ and Mikito Koshino ${ }^{1}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

(Dated: June 7, 2023)


#### Abstract

We present comprehensive theoretical studies on the lattice relaxation and the electronic structures in general non-symemtric twisted trilayer graphenes. By using an effective continuum model, we show that the relaxed lattice structure forms a patchwork of moiré-of-moiré domains, where a moiré pattern given by layer 1 and 2 and another pattern given by layer 2 and 3 become locally commensurate. The atomic configuration inside the domain exhibits a distinct contrast between chiral and alternating stacks, which are determined by the relative signs of the two twist angles. In the chiral case, the electronic band calculation reveals a wide energy window ( $>50 \mathrm{meV}$ ) with low density of states, featuring sparsely distributed highly one-dimensional electron bands. These one-dimensional states exhibit a sharp localization at the boundaries between super-moiré domains, and they are identified as a topological boundary state between distinct Chern insulators. The alternating trilayer exhibits a coexistence of the flat bands and a monolayer-like Dirac cone, and it is attributed to the formation of moiré-of-moiré domains equivalent to the mirror-symmetric twisted trilayer graphene.


## I. INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional moiré materials have been the focus of extensive research in recent years. These systems exhibit a long-range moiré pattern resulting from lattice mismatch, which profoundly influences their electronic properties. Twisted bilayer graphene (TBG), as the most prominent example of a moiré system, exhibits the generation of flat bands due to the moiré superlattice effect, leading to a variety of correlated quantum phases [1-19].

In addition to the extensive study of twisted bilayers in the past decade, the scope of investigation has extended to encompass multilayer systems including three or more layers. Particular attention has recently been directed towards twisted trilayer graphene (TTG), which consists of three graphene layers arranged in a specific rotational configuration 20 - 48 .

The system is characterized by twist angles $\theta^{12}$ and $\theta^{23}$ [Fig. 22, which represent the relative rotation of layer 2 to 1 , and 3 to 2 , respectively. The special case of $\theta^{12}=-\theta^{23}$ is called the mirror symmetric TTG [21 $\sqrt[36]{ }$, where layer 1 and layer 3 are aligned precisely, resulting in a single moiré periodicity. Recent transport measurements observed correlated insulator phases and robust superconductivity in mirror-symmeric TTGs at a certain magic angle 32 36.

Beyond the symmetric case, TTG offers a vast parameter space that remains largely unexplored. In general TTGs with $\theta^{12} \neq-\theta^{23}$, the system has two different moiré patterns originating from the interference of layer 1 and 2 and that of layer 2 and 3 [20, 37, 48]. These two periodicities are generally incommensurate, giving rise to a quasi-crystalline nature in the system 44, 49, 50. When the two moiré periods are close but slightly different, in particular, an interference of competing moiré structures generate a super-long range moiré-of-moiré pattern $37-40$. Similar situation occurs also in composite multilayer systems consisting of graphene and hexagonal boron nidtride 51-62. Previous researches investi-
gated the electronic properties of general TTGs with various angle pairs by using several theoretical approaches [20, 38, 40, 42, 48. Recent experimental study also reported superconductivity in some asymmetric TTGs 44].

Generally, twisted moiré systems are under a strong influcence of lattice relaxation in the moiré scale, which also significantly modifies the electronic properties. In TBG, for instance, an in-plane lattice distortion forms commensurate AB (Bernal)-stacking domains [63-77], and it opens energy gaps in the electronic spectrum to isolate low-energy flat bands [72, 73, 77]. The lattice relaxation occurs also in trilayer moiré systems, where the moiré-ofmoiré period superstructure was observed [78 80]. Such a large-scale relaxation was also theoretically simulated for various trilayer systems [37, 47, 48, 60, 61].

In this paper, we study the lattice relaxation and the electronic band structure in non-symmetric TTGs. TTG is classified into two groups depending on the relative direction of rotation angles; the cases of $\theta^{12} \cdot \theta^{23}>0$ and $<0$, which are refereed to as chiral and alternating TTGs, respectively 4244 . Here we consider chiral and alternating TTGs having various combinations of twist angles $\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)$. We obtain the optimized lattice structure using the effective continuum approach used for TBG [72, 81, 82], and compute the electronic structure by a continuum band calculation method including the lattice relaxation 77].

We find that there are two distinct length-scale relaxations in the moiré-of-moiré and moiré scales, which give rise to a formation of a patchwork of super-moiré domains as schematically shown in Fig. 1. In theses domains, the first moiré pattern given by layer 1 and 2 (moiré 12) and the second pattern by layer 2 and 3 (moiré 23) are deformed to become commensurate. The atomic configuration inside the domain exhibits a distinct contrast between chiral and alternating TTGs: In the chiral case, the two moiré patterns are arranged such that the AA spots of moiré 12 and those of moiré 23 repel to each other, leading to shifted configurations [Fig. 11(a)]. In the
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FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of the moiré-of-moiré domain structures in (a) chiral TTG and (b) alternating TTG with close twist angles. Right figures represents relative arrangements of two moiré patterns within the domains, where blue and red dots indicate AA stacking of moiré 12 (between layer 1 and 2) and of moiré 23 (between layer 2 and 3 ), respectively (See also Fig. 2).
alternating case, in contrast, the AA spots attract each other, resulting in a fully overlapped structure equivalent to the mirror-symmetric TTG [Fig. 1(b)]. The energetic stability of these super-moiré domain formations can be explained by considering a competition of lattice relaxation in the two moiré patterns.

In the band calculation, we find that the spectrum of the chiral TTG has an energy window more than 50 meV wide with low density of state, where highly onedimenisinoal electron bands are sparsely distributed. The wave function of the one-dimensional bands is sharply localized at the boundary between the super-moiré domains. By calculating the Chern number of the local band structure of the commensurate domain, the onedimensinal state is shown to be a topological boundary state between distinct Chern insulators. On the other hand, the alternating TTG exhibits a coexistence of the flat bands and a monolayer-like Dirac cone, resembling the energy spectrum of the mirror-symmetric TTG 3236. Here the moiré-of-moiré relaxation significantly re-
duces the hybridization of the Dirac cone with other states, restoring its highly-dispersive feature.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we define the lattice structure of TTG and introduce the continuum method to calculate of the lattice relaxation and the electronic band structure. In Sec. III, we investigate the chiral TTGs. We obtain the relaxed lattice structure and demonstrate the formation of the moiré-of-moiré domain pattern in Sec. III A. We calculate the band structure including the lattice relaxation in Sec. IIIB, where we show the emergence of the one-dimensional boundary states on the domain walls. In Sec.IV, we conduct similar analyses for the alternating TTGs.

## II. MODEL

## A. Geometry of TTG

We define a TTG by stacking three graphene layers labeled by $l=1,2$ and 3 , with relative twist angles $\theta^{12}$ (layer 1 to 2 ) and $\theta^{23}$ (layer 2 to 3 ). The configuration is schematically depicted in Fig. 2(a) and (b), for the chiral case $\left(\theta^{12} \cdot \theta^{23}>0\right)$ and the alternating case $\left(\theta^{12} \cdot \theta^{23}<0\right)$, respectively. The primitive lattice vectors of layer $l$ are defined by $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{(l)}=R\left(\theta^{(l)}\right) \boldsymbol{a}_{i}$ where $\boldsymbol{a}_{1}=a(1,0)$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}=a(1 / 2, \sqrt{3} / 2)$ are the lattice vectors of unrotated monolayer graphene, $a=0.246 \mathrm{~nm}$ is the graphene's lattice constant. $R$ is the rotation matrix, and $\theta^{(l)}$ is the absolute twist angle of layer $l$ given by $\theta^{(1)}=-\theta^{12}$, $\theta^{(2)}=0$ and $\theta^{(3)}=\theta^{23}$. Accordingly, the primitive reciprocal lattice vectors become $\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{(l)}=R\left(\theta^{(l)}\right) \boldsymbol{b}_{i}$ where $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}=(2 \pi / a)(1,-1 / \sqrt{3})$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{2}=(2 \pi / a)(0,2 / \sqrt{3})$ are the reciprocal lattice vectors without rotation. The Dirac points of graphene layer $l$ are intrinsically located at the corners of Brillouin zone (BZ), $K_{\xi}^{(l)}=-\xi\left(2 \boldsymbol{b}_{1}^{(l)}+\boldsymbol{b}_{2}^{(l)}\right) / 3$ where $\xi= \pm 1$ is the valley index.

In this paper, we consider TTGs with small twist angles $\left(\left|\theta^{12}\right|,\left|\theta^{23}\right| \lesssim 10^{\circ}\right)$. Then the system is governed by two competing moiré patterns, one from the layer 1 and 2 and the other from layer 2 and 3 . The reciprocal lattice vectors for these moiré patterns are given by $\boldsymbol{G}_{i}^{l l^{\prime}}=\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{(l)}-\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{\left(l^{\prime}\right)}$ where $\left(l, l^{\prime}\right)=(1,2)$ or $(2,3)$. The moiré lattice vectors can be obtained from $\boldsymbol{G}_{i}^{l l^{\prime}} \cdot L_{j}^{l l^{\prime}}=2 \pi \delta_{i j}$, and explicitly written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{L}_{1}^{12} & =\frac{a}{2 \sin \left(\theta^{12} / 2\right)} R\left(-\theta^{12} / 2\right)\binom{0}{-1} \\
\boldsymbol{L}_{1}^{23} & =\frac{a}{2 \sin \left(\theta^{23} / 2\right)} R\left(+\theta^{23} / 2\right)\binom{0}{-1}, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\boldsymbol{L}_{2}^{l \prime^{\prime}}=R\left(60^{\circ}\right) \boldsymbol{L}_{1}^{l l^{\prime}}$. The moiré lattice constant is given by $L^{l l^{\prime}}=\left|\boldsymbol{L}_{1}^{l l^{\prime}}\right|=\left|\boldsymbol{L}_{2}^{l l^{\prime}}\right|=a /\left|2 \sin \left(\theta^{l l^{\prime}} / 2\right)\right|$.

When absolute twist angles are close $\left(\left|\theta^{12}\right| \approx\left|\theta^{23}\right|\right)$, an interference between the two moiré patterns gives rise to a higher order structure called a moiré-of-moiré pattern as shown in Fig. 2. Here the upper and lower rows
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FIG. 2. (a) Schematics of moiré-of-moiré pattern of chiral TTG, where blue and red dots represent AA stacking points of moiré 12 (between layer 1 and 2) and of moiré 23 (between layer 2 and 3), respectively. The insert panel illustrates the stacking structure of a chiral TTG, where green, black and orange represent the layer 1, 2 and 3 respectively. (b) Local structures of moiré-of-moiré pattern in (a), where circles, filled triangles, and empty triangles indicate $\mathrm{AA}, \mathrm{AB}$, and BA stacking of individual moiré patterns. (c) Local atomic structures at specific points in (b), where $A_{l}$ and $B_{l}$ are the graphene's sublattice in layer $l$. The lower panels $[(\mathrm{d}),(\mathrm{e})$ and (f)] are the corresponding figures for the alternate TTG.


FIG. 3. (a) Two-dimensional map of $\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)$ of TTGs considered in this paper. The color code represents the ratio of the two moiré periods, $\min \left(L^{12} / L^{23}, L^{23} / L^{12}\right)$. Diagonal dashed lines indicate $\theta^{12}= \pm \theta^{23}$, and a horizontal dashed line represents twisted monolayer-bilayer graphene (tMBG). (Right) Moiré-of-moiré patterns without lattice relaxation of (b) chiral TTGs (C1, C2 and C3) and (c) alternating TTGs (A1, A2 and A3). Blue and red dots indicate the AA spot of moiré 12 (between layer 1 and 2) and moiré 23 (between layer 2 and 3) respectively, and gray area represents the moiré-of-moiré unit cell. All scale bars indicate 20 nm .
correspond to the chiral and alternating structures, respectively. For the chiral twist, the left panel [Fig. 2(a)] illustrates the overlapped moiré patterns where blue and red dots represent the AA spots of moiré 12 and 23 , respectively. The local structure can be viewed as a pair of non-twisted moiré superlattices with a relative translation, as illustrated in Fig. 2(b). Here shaded and empty triangles represent AB , and BA stacking regions of individual moiré patterns, respectively. By defining AB and BA points (the centers of triangles) by $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively, the local stacking configuration of the two moiré patterns is labeled by $\alpha \alpha, \alpha \beta$ and $\beta \alpha$. Figure 2(c) depicts the local structure in the atomic scale. Here $A_{l}$ and $B_{l}$ represent the graphene's sublattice in layer $l$. We define the sublattice $C_{l}$ as the center of the hexagon in the honeycomb lattice. For instance, BAC-stacking represents $B_{1}, A_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ are vertically aligned.

The lower panels [Figs. 2 (d), (e) and (f)] are the corresponding figures for the alternate twist. The key difference from the chiral case lies in the $180^{\circ}$ rotation of the moiré 23 (red lattice) due to the opposing sign of $\theta^{23}$. This results in the flipping of the positions of $A B$ and BA. Consequently, the local atomic structure (shown in the rightmost panels) differs between the chiral and alter-
nating structures, even though the relative arrangement of AA spots is identical. We define AB and BA points in the inverted moiré 23 pattern by $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$, respectively, and label the local structure in the alternating TTG by $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}, \alpha \beta^{\prime}$ and $\beta \alpha^{\prime}$, as in Fig. 2(e).

## B. Commensurate TTGs

Generally the two moiré patterns in a TTG are not commensurate, and the spatial period of moiré-of-moiré pattern is infinite. However, there are special angle sets $\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)$ where the two patterns happen to have a finite common period. In such a case, we can express the moiré-of-moiré primitive lattice vectors $\boldsymbol{L}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}_{2}$ in terms of integers $n, m, n^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{L}_{1}=n \boldsymbol{L}_{1}^{12}+m \boldsymbol{L}_{2}^{12}=n^{\prime} \boldsymbol{L}_{1}^{23}+m^{\prime} \boldsymbol{L}_{2}^{23}, \\
& \boldsymbol{L}_{2}=R\left(60^{\circ}\right) \boldsymbol{L}_{1} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The moiré-of-moiré reciprocal lattice vectors are given by the condition $\boldsymbol{G}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{L}_{j}=2 \pi \delta_{i j}$. The corresponding twist angles are obtained by solving Eqs. (1) and (2) for

|  | $\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)$ | $\left(n, m, n^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)$ | $L^{12} / L^{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | $\left(1.79^{\circ}, 1.58^{\circ}\right)$ | $(2,7,2,6)$ | 0.88 |
| C2 | $\left(2.64^{\circ}, 2.45^{\circ}\right)$ | $(7,7,7,6)$ | 0.93 |
| C3 | $\left(1.54^{\circ}, 0.64^{\circ}\right)$ | $(7,5,3,2)$ | 0.42 |
| A1 | $\left(1.48^{\circ},-1.18^{\circ}\right)$ | $(5,0,-4,0)^{*}$ | 0.80 |
| A2 | $\left(1.42^{\circ},-1.22^{\circ}\right)$ | $(7,0,-6,0)^{*}$ | 0.86 |
| A3 | $\left(1.47^{\circ},-0.62^{\circ}\right)$ | $(7,12,-3,-5)$ | 0.42 |

TABLE I. Definition of commensurate chiral TTGs (C1, C2, C3) and commensurate alternating TTGs (A1, A2, A3) considered in this paper. The asterisk $\left(^{*}\right)$ symbol for A1 and A2 indicates the use of the approximation of Eq. (5) to obtain the commensurate structures.
variables $\theta^{12}$ and $\theta^{23}$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{12}=\theta\left(n, m, n^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right), \quad \theta^{23}=-\theta\left(n^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, n, m\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta\left(n, m, n^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)= \\
& 2 \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left\{m\left(2 n^{\prime}+m^{\prime}\right)-(2 n+m) m^{\prime}\right\}}{(2 n+m)\left(2 n^{\prime}+m^{\prime}\right)+3 m m^{\prime}+\left(2 n^{\prime}+m^{\prime}\right)^{2}+3 m^{\prime 2}} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The spatial period of the super-moiré pattern is given by $L=L^{12} \sqrt{n^{2}+m^{2}+n m}=L^{23} \sqrt{n^{\prime 2}+m^{\prime 2}+n^{\prime} m^{\prime}}$.

In alternating TTGs with $\theta^{12} \approx-\theta^{23}$, the relative angle between two moiré lattice vectors nearly vanishes, resulting in an extremely large commensurate moiré-ofmoiré unit cell. To treat such cases, we neglect the tiny misorientation of the moiré lattice vectors $\boldsymbol{L}_{j}^{12}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}_{j}^{23}$, while retaining their norms. In this approximation, the moiré-of-moiré commensurate period is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{L}_{1}=n \boldsymbol{L}_{1}^{12}=n^{\prime} \boldsymbol{L}_{1}^{23}, \quad \boldsymbol{L}_{2}=R\left(60^{\circ}\right) \boldsymbol{L}_{1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of Eq. (2). Note that Eq. (3) does not apply to this approximate commensurate structure.

In this paper, we consider commensurate chiral TTGs, C1, C2 and C3, and commensurate alternating TTGs, A1, A2 and A3, defined in Table I. We employ the exact commensurate formulas Eqs. (2) and (3) for C1, C2, C 3 , and A3, while we utilize the approximate formula, Eq. (5) for A1 and A2. Figure 3(a) maps $\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)$ of these systems in two-dimensional space, where the color code represents the ratio of the two moiré periods, $\min \left(L^{12} / L^{23}, L^{23} / L^{12}\right)$. The moiré-of-moiré structures of these TTGs without lattice relaxation are illustrated in Fig. 3 (b) and (c), respectively.

We show the schematics of Brillouin zone (BZ) of a chiral TTG in Fig. 4 Here green, black and orange hexagons represent the first BZ of layer 1,2 , and 3 , respectively. Blue and red hexagons represent the BZ for the first moiré patterns given by $l=1,2$ and the second pattern given by $l=2,3$, respectively. Finally, the gray heaxagon is the BZ of the moiré-of-moiré pattern, where we label the corner points by $\kappa$ and $\kappa^{\prime}$, the midpoint of a side by $\mu$ and the center by $\gamma$.
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FIG. 4. Brillouin zone of chiral TTG. Green, black and orange hexagons represent the first Brillouin zone of graphene layer 1,2 , and 3 , respectively. Blue and red hexagons represent the BZ for the moiré patterns given by $l=1,2$ and that by $l=2,3$, respectively. Gray heaxagon is the BZ of the moiré-of-moiré pattern.

## C. Continuum method for multi-scale lattice relaxation

We adopt a continuum approximation [72, 81, 82] to describe the lattice relaxation on TTG. Let $\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{X}\right)$ be the displacement vector of sublattice $X=A$, or $B$ at a two-dimenisonal position $\boldsymbol{R}_{X}$ of layer $l=1,2,3$. Here we consider a long-rage lattice relaxation which has much longer scales than graphene's lattice constant. The desplacement vectors can then be expressed by continuous functions in real space as $\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{A}\right)=\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{B}\right)=\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})$. We ignore the out-of-plane component of the displacement vector in this model, as it does not much contribute to the commensurate domain formation. The optimized lattice structure can be obtained by minimizing the total energy $U=U_{E}+U_{B}^{12}+U_{B}^{23}$, where $U_{E}$ is the elastic energy and $U_{B}^{l l^{\prime}}$ is the interlayer binding energy between layers $l$ and $l^{\prime}$. We assume that $U_{B}^{12}$ and $U_{B}^{23}$ are given by the interlayer interaction energy of the twisted bilayer graphene [72], and neglect a remote interaction between layer 1 and 3 . The $U_{E}$ and $U_{B}^{l l^{\prime}}$ can be expressed as funtionals of the displacement field $\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})$. We solve the Euler-Lagrange equation to obtain the optimized $\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})$ self-consistently.

The elastic energy of strained TTG is written in a stan-
dard form [83, 84] as

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{E}=\sum_{l=1}^{3} \frac{1}{2} \int\left[(\mu+\lambda)\left(s_{x x}^{(l)}+s_{y y}^{(l)}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\mu\left\{\left(s_{x x}^{(l)}-s_{y y}^{(l)}\right)^{2}+4\left(s_{x y}^{(l)}\right)^{2}\right\}\right] \mathrm{d}^{2} \boldsymbol{r} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda=3.25 \mathrm{eV} / \AA^{2}$ and $\mu=9.57 \mathrm{eV} / \AA^{2}$ are graphene's Lamé factors [70, 85], and $s_{i j}^{(l)}=\left(\partial_{i} s_{j}^{(l)}+\partial_{j} s_{i}^{(l)}\right) / 2$ is the strain tensor. The interlayer binding energy of adjacent layers $\left(l, l^{\prime}\right)=(1,2),(2,3)$ is given by 72$]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{B}^{l l^{\prime}}=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \boldsymbol{r} \sum_{j=1}^{3} 2 V_{0} \cos \left[\boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{l \boldsymbol{l}^{\prime}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{b}_{j} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{s}^{\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{\prime}\right)}-\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}\right)\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{b}_{3}=-\boldsymbol{b}_{1}-\boldsymbol{b}_{2}, \boldsymbol{G}_{3}^{\boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{l}^{\prime}}=-\boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{\boldsymbol{l ^ { \prime }}}-\boldsymbol{G}_{2}^{\boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{\prime}^{\prime}}$. We take $V_{0}=$ $0.160 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{nm}^{2}$ 86, 87].

We introduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{s}^{(1)}+\boldsymbol{s}^{(2)}+\boldsymbol{s}^{(3)} \\
& \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{s}^{(1)}-2 \boldsymbol{s}^{(2)}+\boldsymbol{s}^{(3)} \\
& \boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{s}^{(1)}-\boldsymbol{s}^{(3)} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and rewrite $U$ as a functional of $\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$. Here $\boldsymbol{w}$ represents an overall translation of three layers, while $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ are relative slidings which are mirror-even and odd, respectively, with respect to the middle layer. In the subsequent analysis, we fix $\boldsymbol{w}$ to zero and focus solely on $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$, as $\boldsymbol{w}$ does not alter the interlayer registration and therefore does not impact the formation of moiré domains. The Euler-Lagrange equation is written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{K} \boldsymbol{u}+6 V_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left\{\sin \left[\boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{12} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{b}_{j} \cdot(\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v}) / 2\right]\right. \\
\left.+\sin \left[\boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{23} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{b}_{j} \cdot(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}) / 2\right]\right\} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}=0 \\
\hat{K} \boldsymbol{v}+2 V_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left\{\sin \left[\boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{12} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{b}_{j} \cdot(\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v}) / 2\right]\right. \\
\left.-\sin \left[\boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{23} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{b}_{j} \cdot(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}) / 2\right]\right\} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}=0, \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\hat{K}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(\lambda+2 \mu) \partial_{x}^{2}+\mu \partial_{y}^{2} & (\lambda+\mu) \partial_{x} \partial_{y}  \tag{11}\\
(\lambda+\mu) \partial_{x} \partial_{y} & (\lambda+2 \mu) \partial_{y}^{2}+\mu \partial_{x}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We assume $\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}$ 's (so $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ ) are periodic in the original moiré-of-moiré period, and define the Fourier components as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{r})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{G}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{G}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}, \quad \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{r})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{G}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{G}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{G}=m_{1} \boldsymbol{G}_{1}+m_{2} \boldsymbol{G}_{2}$ are the moiré-of-moiré reciprocal lattice vectors. We also introduce $f_{\boldsymbol{G}, j}^{l l^{\prime}}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin \left[\boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{12} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{b}_{j} \cdot(\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v}) / 2\right]=\sum_{\boldsymbol{G}} f_{\boldsymbol{G}, j}^{12} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}, \\
& \sin \left[\boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{23} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{b}_{j} \cdot(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}) / 2\right]=\sum_{\boldsymbol{G}} f_{\boldsymbol{G}, j}^{23} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (9) is then written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{G}}=-6 V_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(f_{\boldsymbol{G}, j}^{12}+f_{\boldsymbol{G}, j}^{23}\right) \hat{K}_{\boldsymbol{G}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}, \\
& \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{G}}=-2 V_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(f_{\boldsymbol{G}, j}^{12}-f_{\boldsymbol{G}, j}^{23}\right) \hat{K}_{\boldsymbol{G}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{b}_{j} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\hat{K}_{G}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(\lambda+2 \mu) G_{x}^{2}+\mu G_{y}^{2} & (\lambda+\mu) G_{x} G_{y}  \tag{15}\\
(\lambda+\mu) G_{x} G_{y} & (\lambda+2 \mu) G_{y}^{2}+\mu G_{x}^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We obtain the optimized $\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ by solving Eqs. (13) and (14) in an iterative manner. In the calculation, we only consider a finite number of the Fourier components in $|\boldsymbol{G}|<3 \max \left(|n|,|m|,\left|n^{\prime}\right|,\left|m^{\prime}\right|\right)$, which are sufficient to describe the lattice relaxation in the systems considered. It should be noted that the components of $\boldsymbol{G}=0$ cannot be determined by this scheme, since $\hat{K}_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ becomes 0 in Eq. (14). Here we treat $s_{G=0}^{(l)}$ as parameters, and perform the above iteration for different parameter choices. We finally choose the solution having the lowest total energy. The dependence on $\boldsymbol{G}=0$ component arises because the moiré-of-moiré structure depends on a relative translation of the two moiré patterns, and hence it cannot be eliminated by a shift of the origin unlike twisted bilayer graphene. Practically, it is sufficient to consider only the lateral sliding of layer 3 with other two layers fixed.

## D. Continuum Hmiltonian with lattice relaxation

We compute the band structure of the TTGs by using an electronic continuum model [88-93] that incorporates lattice relaxation 77. The effective Hamiltonian for valley $\xi$ is written as

$$
H^{(\xi)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{1}(\boldsymbol{k}) & U_{21}^{\dagger} &  \tag{16}\\
U_{21} & H_{2}(\boldsymbol{k}) & U_{32}^{\dagger} \\
& U_{32} & H_{3}(\boldsymbol{k}),
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrix works on a six-component wave function $\left(\psi_{A}^{(1)}, \psi_{B}^{(1)}, \psi_{A}^{(2)}, \psi_{B}^{(2)}, \psi_{A}^{(3)}, \psi_{B}^{(3)}\right)$, where $\psi_{X}^{(l)}$ represents the envelope function of sublattice $X(=A, B)$ on layer $l(=$ $1,2,3)$. The $H_{l}(\boldsymbol{k})$ is the $2 \times 2$ Hamiltonian of monolayer graphene and $U_{l l^{\prime}}$ is the interlayer coupling matrix, in the presence of the lattice distortion. The $H_{l}(\boldsymbol{k})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{l}(\boldsymbol{k})=-\hbar v\left[R\left(\theta^{(l)}\right)^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{K}_{\xi}^{(l)}+\frac{e}{\hbar} \boldsymbol{A}^{(l)}\right)\right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is the graphene's band velocity, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\xi \sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}\right)$ and $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}$ are the Pauli matrices in the sublattice space $(A, B)$. We take $\hbar v / a=2.14 \mathrm{eV}$ [94]. The $\boldsymbol{A}^{(l)}$ is the strain-induced vector potential that is given by 83, 95, 96

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}^{(l)}=\xi \frac{3}{4} \frac{\beta \gamma_{0}}{e v}\binom{s_{x x}^{(l)}-s_{y y}^{(l)}}{-2 s_{x y}^{(l)}}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{0}=2.7 \mathrm{eV}$ is the nearest neighbor transfer energy of intrinsic graphene and $\beta \approx 3.14$.

The interlayer coupling matrix $U_{21}$ and $U_{32}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{l^{\prime} l}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} U_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \xi \delta \boldsymbol{k}_{j}^{\left(l l^{\prime}\right)} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{Q}_{j} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{s}^{\left(l^{\prime}\right)}-\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}\right)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we defined

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta \boldsymbol{k}_{1}^{l l^{\prime}}=\mathbf{0}, & \delta \boldsymbol{k}_{2}^{l l^{\prime}}=\xi \boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{l l^{\prime}}, \quad \delta \boldsymbol{k}_{3}^{l l^{\prime}}=\xi\left(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{l l^{\prime}}+\boldsymbol{G}_{2}^{l l^{\prime}}\right), \\
\boldsymbol{Q}_{1}=\boldsymbol{K}_{\xi}, \quad & \boldsymbol{Q}_{2}=\boldsymbol{K}_{\xi}+\xi \boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \quad \boldsymbol{Q}_{3}=\boldsymbol{K}_{\xi}+\xi\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1}+\boldsymbol{b}_{2}\right), \tag{21}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & u^{\prime} \\
u^{\prime} & u
\end{array}\right), \quad U_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & u^{\prime} \omega^{-\xi} \\
u^{\prime} \omega^{+\xi} & u
\end{array}\right) \\
U_{3} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & u^{\prime} \omega^{+\xi} \\
u^{\prime} \omega^{-\xi} & u
\end{array}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

The parameters $u=79.7 \mathrm{meV}$ and $u^{\prime}=95.7 \mathrm{meV}$ are interlayer coupling strength between $\mathrm{AA} / \mathrm{BB}$ and $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}$ stack region, respectively 94, 97. In the band calculation, we take Fourier components within the radius of $|\boldsymbol{G}| \leq 2 \max \left(|n|,|m|,\left|n^{\prime}\right|,\left|m^{\prime}\right|\right)$ as the basis of Hamiltonian. We neglect remote interlayer hoppings between layer 1 and 3.

## III. CHIRAL TTGS

## A. Multi-scale lattice relaxation

We study the lattice relaxation in the TTGs of $\mathrm{C} 1\left(1.79^{\circ}, 1.58^{\circ}\right), \mathrm{C} 2\left(2.64^{\circ}, 2.45^{\circ}\right)$ and $\mathrm{C} 3\left(1.54^{\circ}, 0.64^{\circ}\right)$ by using the method described in Sec. IIC. Figure 5 summarizes the optimized moiré structures for the three systems. In each row, the left panel shows the moiré pattern 12 (given by layer 1 and 2 ), and the middle panel shows moiré pattern 23 (by layer 2 and 3 ) after the relaxation. Here the color represents the local interlayer binding energy $U_{B}^{l l^{\prime}}$, where bright and dark regions correspond to the AA stack and $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}$ stack respectively. Tiny magenta dots indicate the original AA stack points without lattice relaxation for reference. In the right-most panel, we overlap the two moiré structures in a single diagram, where blue and red points represent the AA stack of the
moiré 12 and 23 respectively. A rhombus in each panel represents the moiré-of-moiré unit cell, and all scale bars indicate 20 nm .

We first consider C 1 and C 2 which have relatively close twist angles $\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)$. In the rightmost panels of Fig. 5 (a) and (b), we see that locally-commensurate $\alpha \beta$ and $\beta \alpha$ domains (indicated by triangles) are formed. In these domains, the lattice relaxation equalizes the two moiré periods which were initially different, to achieve a commensurate structure. At the same time, we also have the lattice relaxation in a smaller scale as in twisted bilayer graphene, which shrinks AA regions and expands $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}$ regions in each of two moiré patterns. Therefore we have the relaxations in the moiré-of-moiré scale ( $\alpha \beta / \beta \alpha$ domains) and in moiré scale ( $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}$ domains) at the same time. The following questions naturally arise: (i) What distribution of displacement vectors leads to the multi-scale lattice relaxation? and (ii) Why does such a structure exhibit energetic preference? These questions can be answered by examining the obtained lattice displacement as follows.

Figure 6(a) shows the distribution of the displacement vector $\boldsymbol{s}^{(T)}(\boldsymbol{r})$ on layer 1,2 and 3 for the case of C 1 . The middle row, Fig. 6(b), plots a coarse-grained component $\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})$, which is calculated by averaging $\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})$ over a scale of moiré unit cell around the point $r$. The bottom row [Fig 6 (c)] displays magnified plots of $\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})-\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})$ (i.e., the local component with the coarsegrained part subtracted) within the region enclosed by a dashed square in Fig 6 (a).

In Fig. 6(b), we clearly see that $\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}^{(1)}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}^{(3)}$ rotate counter-clockwise around the center of the $\alpha \beta$ and $\beta \alpha$ domains, while $\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}^{(2)}$ rotates in the clockwise direction. This behavior is closely linked to $\alpha \beta / \beta \alpha$ domain formation, and it can be comprehended by examining the problem in the $k$-space. Figure 7 depicts the relocation of BZ corners of layer 1, 2 and 3 in the C1 system under the lattice relaxation. The panel (a) is for the original nondistorted configuration. We define $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{12}=\boldsymbol{K}_{+}^{(2)}-\boldsymbol{K}_{+}^{(1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{23}=\boldsymbol{K}_{+}^{(3)}-\boldsymbol{K}_{+}^{(2)}$, where $\boldsymbol{K}_{+}^{(l)}$ is the BZ corner of layer $l$ near $\xi=+$ valley. The vectors $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{12}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{23}$ are associated with the periods of the moiré pattern 12 and that of 23 , respectively. When these vectors are equal, two moiré periods completely match.

The lattice displacement in Fig. 6(b) works precisely to align the two vectors. In the case of C 1 , the angle between layer 1 and 2 is larger than the angle between layer 2 and $3\left(\theta^{12}>\theta^{23}\right)$, so the layer 2 rotates clockwise, and the layer 1 and layer 3 rotate counter-clockwise to achieve $\theta^{12}=\theta^{23}$ [Fig. 7 (b)]. There is still a tiny angle difference between $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{12}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{23}$. This can be eliminated by slightly expanding BZs layer 1 and 3 , and shrinking BZ of layer 2 , to finially obtain the perfect matching [Fig. 7(c)]. In the real space, this corresponds to a shrink of layer 1 and 3 and an expansion of layer 2. These changes are actually observed in Fig. 6(a), where the vector fields rotate around the center of the $\alpha \beta / \beta \alpha$ domain.

To understand the energetic stability of $\alpha \beta / \beta \alpha$ do-
(a) $\mathrm{C} 1\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)=\left(1.79^{\circ}, 1.58^{\circ}\right)$
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(b) $\mathrm{C} 2\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)=\left(2.64^{\circ}, 2.45^{\circ}\right)$

(c) $\mathrm{C} 3\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)=\left(1.54^{\circ}, 0.64^{\circ}\right)$
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FIG. 5. Relaxed moiré patterns in chiral TTGs, (a) C1 $\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)=\left(1.79^{\circ}, 1.58^{\circ}\right)$, (b) C2 (2.64, $\left.2.45^{\circ}\right)$ and (c) C3 $\left(1.54^{\circ}, 0.64^{\circ}\right)$. In the each row, the left and middle panels are the moiré 12 (between layer 1 and 2 ) and moiré 23 (between layer 2 and 3 ) patterns after the relaxation. The color corresponds the local interlayer binding energy $U_{B}^{l l^{\prime}}$, where bright and dark regions correspond to the AA stack and $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}$ stack respectively. Small magenta dots indicate the AA stack points without lattice relaxation for the reference. The right panel combines the two moiré patterns in a single plot, where blue and red points indicate the AA stack of the moiré 12 and 23 respectively. Black triangles represent $\alpha \beta / \beta \alpha$ domains. A rhombus in each panel shows the moiré-of-moiré unit cell and all scale bars incidate 20 nm .
mains, we examine the local moiré-scale lattice relaxation. Let us first consider the twisted bilayer graphene, which has only a single moiré pattern. There the lattice relaxation takes place such that $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}$ stack region ex-
pands and AA stack region shrinks [72]. This is realized by a local interlayer rotation around AA and $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}$ stack points. Around $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}$, specifically, the layer 1 and 2 oppositely rotate to reduce the local twist angle.
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FIG. 6. Distribution of the displacement vector in each layer of $\mathrm{C} 1:\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)=\left(1.79^{\circ}, 1.58^{\circ}\right)$. (a) Original non-averaged distribution $\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})(l=1,2,3)$. (b) Coarse-grained component $\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})$. (c) Moiré-scale component $\boldsymbol{s}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})-\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})$ in a region indicated by the white square in the top panel. Black arrows represent the displacement vector, and color indicates its norm. Red arc arrows schematically show the direction of rotation in moiré-of-moiré scale. In (a) and (b), the white rhombus represents a moiré-of-moiré unit cell, while in (c) the blue rhombus represents a moiré unit cell.

The $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}$ region is then enlarged, because the length scale of the moiré pattern is enlarged in decreasing the twist angle. In AA spots, on the contrary, the layer 1 and 2 rotate to increase the local twist angle to shrink
the AA region.
The same deformation occurs also in TTG, where all three layers undergo relaxation to expand $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}$ domain in each of the two moiré patterns. However, as the


FIG. 7. Relocation of BZ corners in the C 1 system under the lattice relaxation. The panels depict: (a) the original nondistorted configuration, (b) the configuration with rotation included, and (c) with expansion and shrinkage taken into account. Green, black and orange line are the BZ of layer 1, 2 and 3 , and gray arrows indicate the direction of rotation and expansion/shrink.
middle layer $l=2$ is shared by the two interference patterns, there can be a frustration such that, for instance, a local movement of the layer 2 leads to the expansion of the $A B$ region in one moiré pattern while causing its contraction in the other. Therefore, the relative displacement of the two moiré superlattices should be determined in such a way that the middle-layer distortion can lower the total energies of the two moiré patterns at the same time.

Figure 8 (a) is the schematic figure to illustrate the favorable local rotation of the middle layer, for the moiré 12 (between $l=1,2$ ) and moiré 23 (between $l=2,3$ ). The orange and green arc arrows correspond to clockwise and counterclockwise directions, respectively. Here we notice that the direction of rotation is opposite for moiré 12 and moiré 23 , since layer 1 and layer 3 are originally twisted in opposite directions with respect to layer 2 . When AA stack points of moiré 12 and moiré 23 are aligned ( $\alpha \alpha$ stacking), the rotation direction of layer 2 is completely frustrated as shown in Fig. 8(b), and therefore $\alpha \alpha$ stacking is energetically unfavorable. The optimized structure is $\alpha \beta$ stacking [Fig. 8(c)], where the rotation angles coincide in two out of three regions.

When the two angles $\theta^{12}$ and $\theta^{23}$ are not close to each other, $\alpha \beta / \beta \alpha$ domains do not appear any more, but still a locally-commensurate moiré-of-moiré structure emerges. Figure 5(c) shows the relaxed structure for the C3 TTG. Since the unit areas of the two moiré patterns differ by nearly 3 , we have commensurate domains where a single red triangle includes three blue triangles. We also see red AA points always come to the center of blue triangles. This can also be understood in terms of the alignment of the favorable rotation angles explained above.
(a)

Chiral TTG


FIG. 8. (a) Schematic figure of the preferred direction of the middle layer $(l=2)$, for the moiré 12 (between $l=1,2$ ) and moiré 23 (between $l=2,3$ ). Orange and green arc arrows correspond to clockwise and counterclockwise directions, respectively. Bottom row: Overlapped figures for (b) $\alpha \alpha$ stack and (c) $\alpha \beta$ stack.

## B. Electronic properties

Using the electronic continuum model introduced in Sec. IID, we calculate the band structure of TTGs in the presence of the lattice relaxation. Figure 9(a) and (b) show the energy bands (near $K_{+}$valley) and the corresponding density of states (DOS) calculated for the case C 1 and C 2 , respectively. The labels $\kappa, \gamma, \mu, \kappa^{\prime}$ are symmetric points of the moiré-of-moiré BZ defined in Fig. 4 .

We immediately notice that the spectrum exhibits distinct energy windows characterized by relatively low DOS, which span in the enegy range of $20 \mathrm{meV}<|E|<$ 90 meV for C 1 , and in $90 \mathrm{meV}<|E|<180 \mathrm{meV}$ for C 2 . The windows are sparsely filled with energy bands. Figure 9 (c) shows the Fermi surface at $E_{F}=117 \mathrm{meV}$ in the C2, which is indicated by horizontal red line in Fig. 9(b). We see that the Fermi surface is composed of three intersecting lines arranged with a trigonal symmetry, indicating the dispersion is nearly one-dimensional. The band velocities of these one-dimensional bands (normal to the Fermi surface) are oriented to the moiré-of-moiré lattice vectors $\boldsymbol{L}_{1}, \boldsymbol{L}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}_{3}\left(=-\boldsymbol{L}_{1}+\boldsymbol{L}_{2}\right)$. Figure 9 (d) plots the distribution of the squared wave amplitudes of an eigenstate marked by a red point in Fig. 9(c). The wave function actually takes a highly one-dimensional form, and it is sharply localized within the domain walls divid-

(c)
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FIG. 9. (a,b) Electronic band structures and the density of states of $K_{+}$-valley calculated for (a) C1 and (b) C2 with the lattice relaxation incorporated. The $k$-space path $\left(\kappa-\gamma-\mu-\kappa^{\prime}\right)$ is defined in Fig. [4. (c) Fermi surface of the C2 at $E_{F}=117$ meV (indicated by a red dotted horizontal line in (b)). Three red arrows represent the directions of band velocities, which are parallel to the moiré lattice vectors $\boldsymbol{L}_{1}, \boldsymbol{L}_{2}$, and $\boldsymbol{L}_{3}\left(=-\boldsymbol{L}_{1}+\boldsymbol{L}_{2}\right)$. (d) Distribution of the squared wave amplitude of an eigenstate state, indicated by a red point in (c). Red rhombus represents a moiré-of-moiré unit cell.
ing $\alpha \beta$ and $\beta \alpha$ regions. Each of the three Fermi surfaces corresponds to one-dimensional states running along the domain walls in the corresponding directions. The states with different directions are barely hybridized. We also have a low-DOS region near $E=0$ in the C2, while this is remnant of the graphene's Dirac cone and the energy bands are not one-dimensional.

The existence of one-dimensional channels on the domain walls indicates that the $\alpha \beta$ and $\beta \alpha$ regions are locally gapped with different topological numbers, and associated topological boundary modes emerge between the domains, as shown in Fig. 1. To verify this, we calculate the bands structures and the Chern numbers of uniform TTG having $\alpha \beta / \beta \alpha$ stacking. The Hamiltonian of such a uniform system can be obtained by assuming the BZcorner arrangement in Fig. 7(c), where $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{12}=\boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{23} \equiv \boldsymbol{q}$. This corresponds to a TTG where $\theta^{12}=\theta^{23}$ and the layer 2 is slightly expanded in relative to layer 1 and 3 . The two moiré periods then become identical, and we have $\boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{12}=\boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{23} \equiv \boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{\mathrm{M}}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}=\left(2 \boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{M}}+\boldsymbol{G}_{2}^{\mathrm{M}}\right) / 3$. The Hamiltonian for this system is obtained from Eq. (16) as

$$
H^{(\xi)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
H(\boldsymbol{k}+\xi \boldsymbol{q}) & U_{21}^{\dagger} &  \tag{23}\\
U_{21} & H(\boldsymbol{k}) & U_{32}^{\dagger} \\
& U_{32} & H(\boldsymbol{k}-\xi \boldsymbol{q}),
\end{array}\right)
$$



FIG. 10. Local band structure of the $\alpha \beta$ (left) and $\beta \alpha$ (right) structure with $\theta=2.54^{\circ}$. Black and blue numbers indicate the Chern numbers for bands and gaps, respectively. $\kappa_{M}, \gamma_{M}, \mu_{M}, \kappa_{M}^{\prime}$, are the labels for the common moiré BZ , where $\kappa_{M}$ and $\kappa_{M}^{\prime}$ are corner points, $\mu_{M}$ is the midpoint of a side and $\gamma_{M}$ is the center of the BZ.
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(\boldsymbol{k})=-\hbar v \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma},  \tag{24}\\
& U_{21}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} U_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \xi \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{k}_{j} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}, \quad U_{32}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} U_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \xi \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{k}_{j} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}_{0}\right)} \\
& \delta \boldsymbol{k}_{1}=\mathbf{0}, \quad \delta \boldsymbol{k}_{2}=\xi \boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{M}}, \quad \delta \boldsymbol{k}_{3}=\xi\left(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{M}}+\boldsymbol{G}_{2}^{\mathrm{M}}\right), \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

and we neglect the strain-induced vector potentials which does not affect the topological nature argued here. Here $U_{21}$ and $U_{32}$ differ by the parameter $\boldsymbol{r}_{0}$, which specifies the relative displacement between the two moiré patterns. The $\alpha \beta$ and $\beta \alpha$ stackings correspond to $\boldsymbol{r}_{0}=\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{1}^{M}+\boldsymbol{L}_{2}^{M}\right) / 3$ and $2\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{1}^{M}+\boldsymbol{L}_{2}^{M}\right) / 3$ respectively, where $\boldsymbol{L}_{j}^{M}$ is the common moiré lattice vector given by $\boldsymbol{G}_{i}^{M} \cdot \boldsymbol{L}_{j}^{M}=2 \pi \delta_{i j}$.

Here we consider uniform $\alpha \beta$ and $\beta \alpha$ TTGs with $\theta^{12}=\theta^{23}=2.54^{\circ}$, which approximate the local structures of $\alpha \beta$ and $\beta \alpha$ domains in the C 2 . Figure 10 plots the energy bands in $\xi=+$ valley calculated by Eq. (23). We observe energy gaps in the electron and hole sides in the region $50 \mathrm{meV}<|E|<180 \mathrm{meV}$, which approximately coincides with the energy window of the C2 [Fig. 9(b)]. Between the gaps in the electron and hole sides, we have two bands touching at the charge neutrality point. The total Chern number for the two-band cluster is found to be $\mp 1$ for $\alpha \beta$ and $\beta \alpha$, respectively. The absolute Chern number in the upper gap can also be calculated, and it turns out to be $\mp 1 / 2$ for $\alpha \beta$ and $\beta \alpha$, respectively. This is obtained by opening mass gap (adding asymmetric energies to A and B sublattices in all the graphene layers) to lift the band touching at the Dirac point. Since the difference of the Chern number of the upper gap between the $\alpha \beta$ and $\beta \alpha$ regions is 1 , we have a single edge mode (per a single valley) at the domain boundary. This coincides with the number of the one-dimenisonal modes per a single direction in the moiré-of-moiré superlattice band Fig. 9. The Chern number of the valley $\xi=-1$ is negative of $\xi=+1$ valley due to the time reversal symmetry. Therefore the TTG is a quantized valley Hall insulator when the Fermi energy is in the energy window.

The energy windows and one-dimensional domain-wall states also appear in the C1 case [Fig. 9(a)], which has a smaller moiré-of-moiré period. The degree of onedimensionality is not as pronounced as in the C 2 configuration, as evidenced by the appearance of small gaps at the intersections of bands. The hybridization tends to be greater when the moiré-of-moiré period is smaller.

Finally, the band structure in Fig. 9 closely resembles the marginally-stacked twisted bilayer graphene in a strong perpendicular electric field 98104 . There the topological one dimensional edge states arise since the AB and BA regions in the moiré pattern have opposite valley Chern numbers in the electric field. The chiral TTG realizes a similar situation in the moiré-of-moiré scale, without the need for an applied electric field. This can be achieved in any chiral TTGs where $\theta^{12}$ and $\theta^{23}$
are close to each other, such that the two moiré periods are comparable.

## IV. ALTERNATING TTGS

## A. Multi-scale lattice relaxation

Alternating TTGs display distinct relaxed structures that differ entirely from the chiral cases. Figure 11 shows optimized moiré structures calculated for alternating TTGs (a) A1 $\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)=\left(1.48^{\circ},-1.18^{\circ}\right)$, (b) A2 $\left(1.42^{\circ},-1.22^{\circ}\right)$ and (c) A3 $\left(1.47^{\circ},-0.62^{\circ}\right)$, corresponding to Fig. 5 for chiral TTGs. In the A1 and A2, we observe a formation of commensurate $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ domains, where AA spots of the two moiré patterns completely overlaps [See Fig. [2(e)]. This is in a sharp contrast to the chiral TTGs, where AA spots are repelled to each other, giving rise to $\alpha \beta / \beta \alpha$ domains. The atomic structure of $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ domain corresponds precisely to the mirror-symmetric TTG with $\theta^{12}=-\theta^{23}$. In A3 case [Fig. 11(c)], where the two moiré periods are not comparable, we observe a different type of commensurate domain with the ratio of the lattice periods fixed at 2 , reflecting the original moiré-period ratio $L^{23} / L^{12} \simeq 2.3$. Here the AA stacking points of the red and blue moiré lattices are vertically aligned as in $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ domains observed in A1 and A2.

The formation of the commensurate domains can be attributed to a specific type of lattice distortion that differs from the chiral case. Figure 12 shows the distribution of the coarse-grained displacement vector $\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})$ in the A1 case (corresponding to Fig. 6(b) for the chiral case). We observe that the layer 1 and layer 3 rotate anticlockwise and clock-wise directions, respectively, around $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ domain center. In $k$-space, accordingly, the Brillouin zone corners of layer 1 and 3 move to overlap as shown in Fig. 13 . This corresponds to the symmetric TTG $\left(\theta^{12}=-\theta^{23}\right)$ where the layer 1 and layer 3 are perfectly aligned.

The stability of $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}$-domain is also explained by considering moiré-scale lattice relaxation. As discussed in Sec. III A, the graphene layers in TTG undergo spontaneous distortion to expand the $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{BA}$ regions for the moiré patterns 12 and 23 , giving a competitive environment for the shared layer 2. Figure 14 (a) depicts the preferred orientation of layer 2 for the two moiré patterns in alternating TTG. In contrast to the chiral stack [Fig. 8], the rotation direction is identical for both moiré patterns, since layer 1 and layer 3 are rotated in the same direction relative to the layer 2 . Consequently, there is no frustration when the moiré lattices are arranged in an $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ stack as shown in Fig. 14(b). In this structure, the motion of the shared layer 2 allows for the simultaneous relaxation of the moiré patterns 12 and 23 , resulting in an energy advantage compared to partially frustrated configurations like the $\alpha \beta^{\prime}$ stack [Fig. 14(c)]. The stability of $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ stack in nearly-symmetric TTGs was pointed out in the previous theoretical works [22, 26, 47, 48, and it
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FIG. 11. Relaxed moiré patterns in alternating TTGs, (a) A1: $\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)=\left(1.48^{\circ},-1.18^{\circ}\right)$, (b) A2: $\left(1.42^{\circ},-1.22^{\circ}\right)$ and (c) A3: $\left(1.47^{\circ},-0.62^{\circ}\right)$, corresponding to Fig. 5 for the chiral TTGs.
was observed in recent experiments [78, 80].

## B. Electronic properties

We calculate the band structure for alternating TTGs of $\mathrm{A} 1\left(1.48^{\circ},-1.18^{\circ}\right)$, (b)A2 $\left(1.42^{\circ},-1.22^{\circ}\right)$ using
the method described in Sec. II The energy band and DOS for A1 and A2 are displayed in Figs. 15(a) and (b), respectively. In each figure, the right and left panels correspond to the TTGs with and without the lattice relaxation, respectively. Black curves represent the energy bands, and blue straight lines indicate the intrinsic Dirac bands of layer 1 and layer 3 without the interlayer cou-


FIG. 12. Distribution of the coarse-grained displacement vector $\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{r})$ in A1: $\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)=\left(1.48^{\circ},-1.18^{\circ}\right)$, corresponding to Fig. 6(b) for the C1.


FIG. 13. Relocation of BZ corners in the A1: $\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)=$ $\left(1.48^{\circ},-1.18^{\circ}\right)$ under the lattice relaxation. The panels depict (a) the original non-distorted configuration and (b) the relaxed configuration.
pling. Red dots indicate the amplitude projected onto the mirror-odd plane wave states, as defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.w_{n \boldsymbol{k}}^{(\text {odd })}=\sum_{X=A, B} \mid\left\langle\psi_{n \boldsymbol{k}}\right| \boldsymbol{k}, X, \text { odd }\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \\
& \mid \boldsymbol{k}, X, \text { odd }\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\boldsymbol{k}, X, 1\rangle-|\boldsymbol{k}, X, 3\rangle), \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi_{n \boldsymbol{k}}$ is the eigenstates, and $|\boldsymbol{k}, X, l\rangle$ is the plane wave at sublattice $X(=A, B)$ on layer $l$. We take the path $\boldsymbol{K}_{+}^{(1)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}_{+}^{(3)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}_{+}^{(2)}$ on a straight line in the extended $k$-space, as shown in insets of Fig. 15 .

In the band structures with the lattice relaxation, we observe numerous flat bands concentrated around zero energy, and these bands are surrounded by a region where dispersive energy bands are sparsely distributed.


FIG. 14. (a) Schematic figure of the preferred distorting direction of the middle layer $(l=2)$ in an alternating TTG, corresponding to Fig. 8 for a chiral TTG.

These features coincide with the mirror-symmetric TTG $\left(\theta^{12}=-\theta^{23}\right)$, where the low-energy spectrum is composed of a flat band with even parity, and a Dirac cone with odd parity against the mirror inversion 21, 22. We see that the red dots roughly form a conical dispersion,


FIG. 15. Energy bands and DOS for alternating TTGs, (a) A1:(1.48, $\left.1.18^{\circ}\right)$ and (b) A2:(1.42, $\left.1.22^{\circ}\right)$. The left and right panels in each figure show the results without and with the lattice relaxation, respectively. Black curves represent the energy bands, and blue straight lines indicate the intrinsic Dirac bands of layer 1 and layer 3 without the interlayer coupling. Red dots indicate the amplitude projected onto the mirror-odd plane wave states (see the text). The path is taken as $\boldsymbol{K}_{+}^{(1)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}_{+}^{(3)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}_{+}^{(2)}$ in the extended $k$-space shown in the inset.
and it is regarded as a remnant of the symmetric TTG's Dirac cone having odd parity. In the non-relaxed calculations, we notice that the flat bands and Dirac cones are strongly hybridized, and the conical dispersion of the red dots is not clearly resolved. These results suggest that the formation of $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ domains (equivalent to the mirrorsymmetric TTG) supports the spectral separation of the flat bands and the Dirac-cone like bands. Therefore, we expect that asymmetric TTGs slightly away from the symmetric condition $\theta^{12}=-\theta^{23}$ acquire similar electronic properties to the symmetric TTG, through the moiré-ofmoiré lattice relaxation.

The electronic properties of TTG can be tuned by applying a perpendicular electric field. We can introduce the field effect to our model as $H+V$, where $H$ is the original Hamiltonian of Eq. (16), and $V$ is the on-site potential term by perpendicular electronic field,

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\Delta \hat{I}_{2} & &  \tag{27}\\
& 0 & \\
& & \Delta \hat{I}_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here $\Delta$ is the difference of the on-site energy and $\hat{I}_{2}$ is a $2 \times 2$ unit matrix, and we simply assumed the perpendicular electric field is constant between top layer and bottom layer. Figure 16 shows the energy band of the A2 with lattice relaxation, under the perpendicular electric field $\Delta=50 \mathrm{meV}$ and 100 meV . When the electric field is applied, we observe the Dirac band moves along the energy axes, and eventually the Dirac point emerges
$\mathrm{A} 2\left(\theta^{12}, \theta^{23}\right)=\left(1.42^{\circ},-1.22^{\circ}\right)$

$$
\text { (a) } \Delta=50 \mathrm{meV}
$$


(b) $\Delta=100 \mathrm{meV}$


FIG. 16. Plots similar to Fig. 7 for A2: $\left(1.43^{\circ},-1.28^{\circ}\right)$ with the perpendicular electric field of (a) $\Delta=50 \mathrm{meV}$ and (b) 100 meV.
out of the flat-band cluster. We also see that the electric fields broadens the energy width of the flat band region, and enhances a hybridization between the flat bands and the dispersive bands.

## V. CONCLUSION

We have presented a systematic investigation on the lattice relaxation and electronic properties of general non-symmetric TTGs. For various chiral and alternating TTGs with different twist angle combinations, we employ an effective continuum approach similar to twisted bilayer graphene, to obtain the optimized lattice structure. We also computed the electronic band structure by using a continuum band calculation method incorporating lattice relaxation effects. In the calculation of the lattice relaxation, we found that there are two distinct length-scale relaxations in the moiré-of-moiré and moiré scales, which lead to the formation of a patchwork of super-moiré domains. In these domains, two moiré patterns become locally commensurate with a specific relative arrangement. The chiral TTGs prefer a shifted stacking where the overlap of AA spots in the individual moiré patterns is avoided. In contrast, the alternating TTGs exhibits a completely opposite behavior where

AA spots are perfectly overlapped. In the band calculations, the chiral TTG exhibits an energy window where highly one-dimensional electron bands are sparsely distributed. By calculating the Chern number of the local band structure within the commensurate domains, we identify one-dimensional domain boundary states as topological boundary states between distinct Chern insulators. The alternating TTG exhibits a clear separation of the flat bands and a monolayer-like Dirac cone, as a consequence of the formation of commensurate domains equivalent to the symmetric TTG.

Note added: During the finalization of this paper, we became aware of related preprints which partially overlap with the present work [105, 106].
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