

Extended uncertainty principle and Van der Waals black holes

R.Oubagha *

Laboratoire de systèmes dynamiques et contrôle (L.S.D.C),
Département des sciences de la matière,
Faculté des Sciences Exactes et SNV,
Université de Oum-El-Bouaghi, 04000, Oum El Bouaghi, Algeria.

B. Hamil†

Laboratoire de Physique Mathématique et Subatomique,
Faculté des Sciences Exactes,
Université Constantine 1, Constantine, Algeria.

B. C. Lütfüoğlu ‡

Department of Physics, University of Hradec Králové,
Rokitanského 62, 500 03 Hradec Králové, Czechia.

M. Merad §

Laboratoire de systèmes dynamiques et contrôle (L.S.D.C),
Département des sciences de la matière,
Faculté des Sciences Exactes et SNV,
Université de Oum-El-Bouaghi, 04000, Oum El Bouaghi, Algeria.

August 15, 2023

Abstract

In this manuscript, we investigate the extended uncertainty principle (EUP) effects on the Van der Waals (VdW) black holes whose thermal quantities mimic the VdW liquid. We find that the considered formalism imposes an upper bound on the event horizon radius. Thus, the mass, Hawking temperature, and heat capacity become physically meaningful within a certain range of event horizon radii. At a large event horizon radius the black hole has a remnant. **We observe that for a given set of parameters, the VdW black hole can be completely unstable for all horizon radii, while for another set of parameters, it can be unstable or stable depending on the horizon radius.**

*rabah.oubagha@univ-oeb.dz

†hamilbilel@gmail.com (Corresponding author)

‡bekir.lutfuoglu@uhk.cz

§meradm@gmail.com

1 Introduction

An important prediction of several candidates of quantum gravity, such as string theory [1], loop quantum gravity [2], and non-commutative geometry [3] is the being of a fundamental distance at the order of the Planck length $\ell_P = 10^{-35}\text{m}$. This length cannot be directly detected using existing technology. This is due to the fact that the quantum gravity effects are predicted to be directly observable only at energy levels on the order of the Planck energy $\sim 10^{19}\text{ GeV}$, a magnitude 15 times greater than the energy scales currently attainable by the Large Hadron Collider. This is why indirect measurements of the Planck length are relied on to investigate quantum gravity experimentally. On the other hand, the existence of a minimum length in the quantum mechanical framework can also be explained by a deformation of Heisenberg's usual uncertainty principle (HUP). The new formalism, which is called the generalized uncertainty principle (GUP) in the literature, is given by a modification of the position and/or momentum operators of the Hilbert space [4–6]. Such modifications can also change the curvature of spacetime [4–8]. For example, a deformed algebra that defines the minimum measurable momentum concept is known in the literature as the Extended Uncertainty Principle (EUP). Moreover, in an interesting work [9], Mignemi has shown that the EUP formalism can be obtained if quantum mechanics is defined on an (anti)-de Sitter (AdS) background with an appropriately selected parametrization.

On the other hand, one of the most exciting topics in present times is the thermodynamics of gravitational objects which includes the possibility of associating concepts like temperature, pressure, volume, and entropy with them. In the classical approach of general relativity, a black hole cannot emit radiation. However, in 1975 through a semi-classical perspective, Hawking demonstrated that a black hole could indeed emit radiation [10]. In the following year, he examined the radiation through the Wick Rotation method and proposed that if the quantum effects are taken into account, then black holes can certainly radiate [11, 12]. This discovery, later called Hawking radiation, lead to significant progress in the field and convince scientists to discuss the thermal quantities of black holes via a measurable thermodynamic temperature. Other than Hawking's approach, several other methods have been proposed in the literature to predict the temperature of a black hole [13–16]. One of them, which will be used in this manuscript, is based on the surface gravity of the black hole and it employs the zero and first laws of black hole thermodynamics. It is worth noting that the black hole's entropy functions are assumed to be linearly proportional to their event horizon areas in Planck units, and according to the second law of black hole thermodynamics, their surface areas, thus entropies, do not lessen [17, 18].

The thermodynamic properties of asymptotically AdS black holes have prompted authors to study the AdS/CFT correspondence. In [19], Hawking and Page discussed a first-order phase transition between the thermal AdS space and Schwarzschild AdS black hole. In an interesting study [20], the authors showed that when they generalized the Schwarzschild AdS black hole to the case of a charged or rotating black hole, they obtained the same behavior as the Van der Waals (VdW) fluid. Moreover, they claimed that the analogy is further strengthened if one considers the extended phase space instead with a negative cosmological constant which corresponds to the thermodynamic pressure [21]. Inspired by this qualitative analogy, Rajagopal et al. presented a black hole metric whose thermodynamics mimic the VdW fluid [22]. In the same year, Delsate and Mann extended the metric form to d dimensions by assuming a particular stress tensor form [23]. They concluded that the latter metric could be considered a near-horizon one. In 2016, Parthaprathim discussed enthalpy, geometric volume and quantum corrected-entropy functions of the VdW black hole [24]. Later, Hu et al. handled the same system with Quevedo's geometrothermodynamic method [25]. **In a very recent work, Luciano and Sheykhi presented an interesting discussion between black hole geometrothermodynamics and critical phenomena within the Tsallis entropy-based perspective [26].** For further details, we refer to the review article [27]. Other gasses' thermal quantities were also shown to be correlated with black hole thermodynamics. For example, in ref. [28], Setare and Adami introduced a Polytropic black hole, whose spacetime is asymptotically

AdS, with the same thermal properties of a Polytopic gas. Debnath derived a novel black hole metric whose thermodynamics matches with a special case of the Chaplygin gas model in [29].

Recently, Ökcü and Aydiner revisited the VdW black hole thermodynamics within the GUP formalism and discussed the quantum deformation effects by comparing their findings with the original ones [30]. Keeping in mind the rich content of the EUP formalism, which differs from the GUP formalism, in this paper we aimed to investigate the VdW black hole and its thermodynamics in the EUP formalism. To this end, we constructed the manuscript as follows: In Sect. 2, we briefly introduced the considered EUP formalism and presented its effect on the Hawking temperature with a semi-classical approach. Then, in Sect. 3 we examined the EUP-corrected VdW black hole thermodynamics. In Sect. 4, we revisited our results by reducing them to three special sub-cases. Finally, we ended the manuscript with a brief conclusion.

2 Extended uncertainty principle and Hawking temperature

In this study, we consider the most basic form of the EUP formalism, which is examined comprehensively in [4–9], in AdS space:

$$\Delta X \Delta P \geq \hbar (1 + \beta (\Delta X)^2). \quad (1)$$

Here, β is the deformation parameter in the form of $\beta = \frac{\beta_0}{L_*^2}$, with a dimensionless parameter, β_0 , and a large fundamental distance scale, L_* . Undoubtedly, the most important feature of this quantum deformation is that it sets an absolute lower bound on the momentum uncertainty, $(\Delta P)_{\min} = \hbar\sqrt{\beta}$. This is why it has recently attracted increasing interest [31–47].

On the other hand, in the semi-classical approach of black hole thermodynamics, the Hawking temperature is defined by

$$T = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \times \frac{dA}{dS}, \quad (2)$$

where κ , A , S denote the surface gravity at the outer horizon, surface area and entropy, respectively. According to the heuristic approach, a particle absorption can cause a minimal change in the black hole's surface of the form [48]:

$$\Delta A \simeq \Delta X \Delta P. \quad (3)$$

Assuming that the position uncertainty on the horizon is proportional to the event radius, $\Delta X = r_H$, we can express the minimal increase of the surface area in the EUP case as

$$\Delta A \simeq \frac{\gamma \hbar}{2} (1 + \beta r_H^2). \quad (4)$$

Here, γ is the calibration factor that tunes the result to the HUP limit. As the result of particle absorption a minimal increase in black hole entropy could be taken as $(\Delta S)_{\min} = \ln 2$, then we have

$$\frac{dA}{dS} \simeq \frac{(\Delta A)_{\min}}{(\Delta S)_{\min}} = \frac{\gamma \hbar}{2 \ln 2} (1 + \beta r_H^2). \quad (5)$$

After determining the calibration factor in the limit of $\beta \rightarrow 0$ as $\gamma = 4 \ln 2$, we can express the EUP-corrected Hawking temperature as

$$T = \frac{\hbar \kappa}{4\pi} (1 + \beta r_H^2). \quad (6)$$

Hereafter, we set $\hbar = 1$ for simplicity.

3 EUP-corrected thermodynamic of Van der Waals black holes

In the literature, the VdW equation of state describing the VdW fluid is expressed in closed form by a two-parameter equation [22]:

$$T = \left(P + \frac{a}{v^2}\right)(v - b). \quad (7)$$

Here, a and b , are two positive values that measure the attraction between fluid molecules and their volume, and v is the specific volume.

Now, we have to construct an asymptotically AdS black hole metric that precisely mimics the thermodynamics of the considered VdW fluid equation of state. To this end, we follow the static spherically symmetric case ansatz

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (8)$$

with the lapse function, $f(r)$, of the following form

$$f(r) = \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{2M}{r} - h(r, P). \quad (9)$$

Here, M is the black hole mass, $h(r, P)$ is an unknown function that has to be determined, l^2 is the AdS radius, and P is the thermodynamic pressure defined by

$$P = \frac{3}{8\pi l^2}. \quad (10)$$

We make the assumption that this metric represents a solution to the Einstein field equations with a given energy-momentum source,

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (11)$$

Here, we choose the stress-energy tensor, $T_{\mu\nu}$, as an anisotropic fluid source taking the following particular form

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho e_0^\mu e_0^\nu + \sum_i p_i e_i^\mu e_i^\nu. \quad (12)$$

Here, ρ is the energy density and p_i is principal pressures ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Now, by employing Eqs. (8), (11) and (12) we get

$$\rho = -p_1 = \frac{1 - f - r f'}{8\pi r^2} + P, \quad (13)$$

and

$$p_2 = p_3 = \frac{r f'' + 2 f'}{16\pi r} - P. \quad (14)$$

To ensure the existence of a valid physical solution, the stress-energy tensor should necessarily satisfy specific energy conditions.

$$\text{Weak: } \rho \geq 0, \quad \text{and, } \rho + p_i \geq 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\text{Strong: } \rho + p_i \geq 0, \quad \text{and, } \rho + \sum_i p_i \geq 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\text{Dominant: } \rho \geq 0, \quad \text{and, } \rho - |p_i| \geq 0. \quad (17)$$

After determining the function $h(r, P)$, hence, $f(r)$, we are going to verify these conditions mentioned.

Now, using $f(r_H) = 0$ we can express the mass in terms of the event horizon radius, r_H as

$$M = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_H^3 P - \frac{r_H}{2} h(r_H, P). \quad (18)$$

Next, we derive the thermodynamic volume

$$V = \frac{\partial M}{\partial P} = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_H^3 - \frac{r_H}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} h(r_H, P), \quad (19)$$

and then we express the specific volume using $v = 6V/N$, where, N stands for the degrees of freedom [27]

$$v = \frac{6}{4\pi r_H^2} \left[\frac{4}{3}\pi r_H^3 - \frac{r_H}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} h(r_H, P) \right]. \quad (20)$$

After that, with the help of Eqs. (6), (9) and (18) we derive the EUP-corrected Hawking temperature of the VdW black hole in terms of undetermined function as

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(8\pi r_H P - \frac{1}{r_H} h(r_H, P) - \frac{\partial}{\partial r_H} h(r_H, P) \right) (1 + \beta r_H^2). \quad (21)$$

By assuming that $h(r, P) = A(r) - PB(r)$ and using the equality between (7) and (21), we obtain an equation of the form

$$F_1(r_H) + PF_2(r_H) = 0,$$

where the new defined functions, $F_1(r)$ and $F_2(r)$, depend on the $A(r)$ and $B(r)$ functions and their derivatives. One trivial solution to this two-component equation is that each component can be equal to zero. This can be achieved by solving the following two ordinary differential equations simultaneously.

$$v - b = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(8\pi r_H + \frac{1}{r_H} B(r_H) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r_H} B(r_H) \right) (1 + \beta r_H^2), \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{a}{v^2} (v - b) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{r_H} A(r_H) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r_H} A(r_H) \right) (1 + \beta r_H^2). \quad (23)$$

By substituting Eq. (20) into Eq. (22), we find

$$2r_H + \frac{3}{4\pi r_H} B(r_H) - b = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(8\pi r_H + \frac{1}{r_H} B(r_H) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r_H} B(r_H) \right) (1 + \beta r_H^2). \quad (24)$$

In the first order of β , Eq. (24) yields the following solution

$$B(r_H) = -4\pi r_H^2 \left(2b\beta r_H - \frac{b}{r_H} + \frac{2}{3} \right) + c_1 r_H^2 \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\beta r_H^2 \right), \quad (25)$$

with an integration constant. To determine c_1 , we can go to the limit of $\beta \rightarrow 0$, where Eq. (25) should reduce to the HUP result given in [22]. In so doing, we find $c_1 = \frac{8\pi}{3}$. Thus, Eq. (25) reads

$$B(r_H) = 4\pi b r_H - 8\pi \beta r_H^3 \left(b + \frac{r_H}{2} \right). \quad (26)$$

Then, following similar straightforward algebra, we find that Eq. (26) can be expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_H} A(r_H) = & -\frac{1}{r_H} A(r_H) + \frac{4\pi ab}{(2r_H + 3b)^2} \left[1 + \frac{6\beta r_H^2}{2r_H + 3b} (2b + r_H) \right] + \\ & \frac{4\pi a\beta r_H^2}{(2r_H + 3b)} - \frac{4\pi ab\beta r_H^2}{(2r_H + 3b)^2} - \frac{4\pi a}{(2r_H + 3b)} \left[1 + \frac{3\beta r_H^2}{2r_H + 3b} (2b + r_H) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

which leads to the following solution of the form

$$A(r_H) = \frac{4\pi ab}{r_H} \log\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{r_H}{b}\right) + \frac{81\beta\pi ab^5}{8(3b + 2r_H)^2} + \frac{3\pi ab^2(8 - 45\beta b^2)}{8r_H(3b + 2r_H)} - 2\pi a \left(1 + \frac{\beta r_H^2}{6} - \frac{\beta b r_H}{2} + \frac{9\beta b^2}{8} \right). \quad (28)$$

Then, we combine Eqs. (26) and (28) and obtain the function $h(r, P)$

$$h(r, P) = -2\pi a - \frac{9}{4}\beta\pi ab^2 + \frac{4\pi ab}{r} \log\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{r}{b}\right) - \frac{\beta\pi ar^2}{3} + \frac{81}{8} \frac{\beta\pi ab^5}{(3b+2r)^2} + \frac{3}{8r} \frac{\pi ab^2(8-45\beta b^2)}{3b+2r} + \pi(\beta a - 4P)br + 8\pi\beta Pr^3\left(b + \frac{r}{2}\right). \quad (29)$$

which is needed for the lapse function

$$f(r) = \frac{8\pi Pr^2}{3} - \frac{2M}{r} + 2\pi a + \frac{9}{4}\beta\pi ab^2 - \frac{4\pi ab}{r} \log\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{r}{b}\right) + \frac{\beta\pi ar^2}{3} - \frac{81}{8} \frac{\beta\pi ab^5}{(3b+2r)^2} - \frac{3}{8r} \frac{\pi ab^2(8-45\beta b^2)}{3b+2r} - \pi(\beta a - 4P)br - 8\pi\beta Pr^3\left(b + \frac{r}{2}\right). \quad (30)$$

The present form of the lapse function describes the VdW black hole in the EUP formalism up to the first order of β . It is worth noting that for $\beta = 0$, it reduces to

$$f_{HUP}(r) = 2\pi a - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{8\pi Pr^2}{3} \left(1 + \frac{3b}{2r}\right) - \frac{4\pi ab}{r} \log\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{r}{b}\right),$$

which is the same as the Eq. (18) in [22]. Hereafter, the thermal properties, which we will obtain, will represent the thermodynamics of the EUP-VdW black hole. Before doing that, we depict the first-order EUP-corrected lapse function versus radius in Fig. 1.





Figure 1: The variation of the EUP-lapse function versus radius for $M = 1$ and $P = 0.1$.

We observe that the EUP parameter modifies the lapse function with the second turning point. In other words, in the ordinary case, the lapse function increases monotonically however, in the EUP case it does not, therefore the EUP modification changes the VdW black hole geometry and its thermodynamics. The new zero points correspond to new singularities and we will investigate them over the thermal quantities. In addition, we note that when r is smaller than one, all the cases are covered by each other in Fig. 1(a), but we cannot see this behavior in Fig. 1(b). This is due to the weak intermolecular attraction between the fluid constituents for $a \ll 1$.

In Fig. 2 we examine the energy conditions. We see that the EUP corrections do not alter the energy conditions as found in the non-corrected case.



(a) Weak energy condition. (b) Strong energy condition. (c) Dominant energy condition.

Figure 2: Energy conditions are plotted for $a = \frac{3}{2\pi}$, $b = 1$, $m = 0.1$, $P = 0.1$ and $\beta = 0.5$.

Now, let us use Eqs. (18) and (19) to re-express the EUP-corrected VdW black hole mass

$$M = -\frac{81}{16} \frac{a\beta b^5}{(3b + 2r_H)} \pi r_H + \frac{9\pi}{8} a\beta b^2 r_H - \frac{3\pi ab^2 (8 - 45\beta b^2)}{16(3b + 2r_H)} - \frac{\pi b}{2} r_H^2 (a\beta - 4P) - 2\pi ab \log\left(\frac{r_H}{b} + \frac{3}{2}\right) + \frac{4\pi}{3} P r_H^3 + \pi a r_H + \frac{1}{6} \pi a \beta r_H^3 - 4\pi \beta P r_H^4 \left(b + \frac{r_H}{2}\right), \quad (31)$$

and EUP-corrected VdW black hole volume

$$V = 2\pi b r_H^2 + \frac{4\pi}{3} r_H^3 - 4\pi \beta r_H^4 \left(b + \frac{r_H}{2}\right). \quad (32)$$

We observe that the EUP correction term to the volume is negative. This means that in the presence of the EUP formalism, the volume is always less than its original form. This result is the opposite of the GUP case, where the authors showed that correction always increases the volume [30].

A generalization such as increase or decrease does not apply to the mass function. To demonstrate our conclusion, in Fig. (3) we plot the EUP-corrected mass function versus the event horizon with two different parameter choices that correspond to $a > b$ and $a < b$ cases, respectively.




Figure 3: The variation of the EUP-VdW black hole mass versus r_H for $P = 0.1$.

We see that EUP-corrected mass term is limited with an upper-bound event horizon. However, such a constraint does not exist in the ordinary case. Furthermore, we observe that the greater deformation parameter decreases the physical event horizon interval.

Then, using Eq. (29), we get the EUP-corrected Hawking temperature

$$\begin{aligned}
T = & \frac{a}{2r_H} + 2r_HP + \beta \frac{ar_H}{2} + \frac{ab}{(3b + 2r_H)} \left[\frac{3\beta b}{4} - \frac{2}{r_H} - 2\beta r_H - \frac{81}{32} \frac{\beta b^4}{r_H} \right] \\
& + \frac{ab^2}{(3b + 2r_H)^2} \left[\frac{81}{16} \beta b^3 + \frac{3}{2} \beta r_H + \frac{3}{16r_H} (8 - 45b^2\beta) \right] \\
& + \frac{9}{16} \frac{a\beta b^2}{r_H} - \frac{b}{2} (a\beta - 4P) + \frac{a\beta}{4} r_H - 6\beta r_H^2 P \left(b + \frac{r_H}{2} \right). \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

In the $\beta = 0$ limit, it reduces to

$$T_{HUP} = \frac{a}{2r_H} + 2P(r_H + b) - \frac{2ab}{r_H(3b + 2r_H)} + \frac{3ab^2}{2r_H(3b + 2r_H)^2}. \tag{34}$$

We demonstrate the Hawking temperature behavior versus event horizon radii in Fig. 4.




Figure 4: The variation of the EUP-corrected Hawking temperature versus r_H for $P = 0.1$.

In the ordinary case, the Hawking temperature takes positive values for all event horizon radii, whereas this characteristic loses its validity when the EUP corrections are taken into account. In the new formalism, the Hawking temperature becomes physical in a certain range. At larger deformations, the width of this range decreases.

Afterward, we derive the EUP-corrected entropy of the VdW black hole by employing Bekestein's area law. According to the semi-classical formulation

$$S = \int \frac{dM}{T}, \quad (35)$$

we substitute Eqs. (33) and (31) in Eq. (35). We find results

$$S = \frac{\pi}{\beta} \log(1 + \beta r_H^2). \quad (36)$$

Then, we expand it in the power series of the deformation parameter and discard the higher terms of order $\mathcal{O}(\beta^2)$. This yields:

$$S \simeq \pi r_H^2 - \frac{1}{2} \pi \beta r_H^4. \quad (37)$$

We conclude that the correction term of the EUP formalism slows down the rate of increase of the entropy function. This result was also obtained by the authors in the GUP formalism [30].

The heat capacity is an important thermal function for black hole thermodynamics because the thermal stability of a black hole is analyzed by the behavior of its heat capacity. A positive heat capacity indicates the black hole's stability, while a negative heat capacity indicates its instability, so the heat capacity gives us clues about a possible phase transition. We calculate the heat capacity by the following formula

$$C = \frac{dM}{dT_H}, \quad (38)$$

and obtain the EUP-corrected heat capacity

$$C = 4\pi \frac{4\pi r_H^2 P - \frac{1}{2} h(r_H, P) - \frac{r_H}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_H} h(r_H, P)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial r_H} \left(8\pi r_H P - \frac{1}{r_H} h(r_H, P) - \frac{\partial}{\partial r_H} h(r_H, P) \right) (1 + \beta r_H^2)}. \quad (39)$$

Here, Eq. (29) has to be used to get the explicit form of this functional heat capacity, however, it is very complicated. Therefore, we decide to present it in its current form and analyze it with numerical

methods. To this end, in Fig. 5, we display a graphical representation of the heat capacity for $a > b$ and $b > a$ cases.




Figure 5: The variation of the EUP-corrected heat capacity versus r_H for $P = 0.1$.

We observe that EUP-corrected VdW black holes are unstable in the $a > b$ case. However, we see stability and instability together in the $b > a$ case.

4 Limiting cases

In this section, we extend our investigation and explore two sub-scenarios.

4.1 EUP-Schwarzschild AdS black hole

To start off the first one, we set $b = 0$, and consider $a = 1/2\pi$ for the sake of convenience. Such a choice of b does not violate the reverse isoperimetric inequality. In this scenario, the equation of state given in Eq. (7), takes the form of

$$T = \left(P + \frac{a}{v^2}\right) v. \quad (40)$$

Then, the lapse function, given in Eq. (30), reduces to

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{8\pi}{3}r^2P + \frac{\beta r^2}{6}(1 - 24\pi r^2P). \quad (41)$$

Considering that the last term, which is linearly proportional to beta, is the EUP-correction term, we can say that this lapse function is the EUP-corrected AdS Schwarzschild black hole lapse function. Using this lapse function we express the EUP-corrected mass, volume, and Hawking temperature functions, respectively as follows:

$$M = \frac{r_H}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{3}Pr_H^3 + \frac{\beta r_H^3}{12}(1 - 24\pi Pr_H^2), \quad (42)$$

$$V = \frac{4\pi}{3}r_H^3 - 2\pi\beta r_H^5, \quad (43)$$

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi r_H} + \frac{3}{8\pi}\beta r_H + 2r_H \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\beta r_H^2\right) P. \quad (44)$$

In Fig. 6, we demonstrate the EUP-corrected Hawking temperature of AdS Schwarzschild black hole.




Figure 6: The variation of the EUP-corrected Hawking temperature of the AdS Schwarzschild black hole versus r_H for $P = 0.1$.

We observe that EUP corrections lead to a physical finite range for the event horizon radius. At the highest value of the radius, the black hole has a finite remnant mass. Since such scenarios are widely investigated in the literature [8, 46, 47], we do not prefer to carry on a detailed discussion here.

4.2 The ideal gas case

In the second scenario, we take $a = 0$ and $b = 0$, so that Eq. (7) turns to the ideal gas equation of state

$$T = Pv. \quad (45)$$

In this case, the EUP-corrected lapse function reads

$$f(r) = \frac{8\pi}{3}r^2P - \frac{2M}{r} - 4\pi\beta r^4P. \quad (46)$$

Therefore, we can express the EUP-corrected mass, volume, and temperature function of the ideal gas black hole in the AdS space as follows:

$$M = \frac{4\pi}{3}Pr_H^3 - 2\pi\beta Pr_H^5, \quad (47)$$

$$V = \frac{4\pi}{3}r_H^3 - 2\pi\beta r_H^5, \quad (48)$$

$$T = 2r_HP \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\beta r_H^2\right). \quad (49)$$

We find that the last terms of all given functions correspond to the EUP corrections. For the demonstration, we depict the Hawking temperature in Fig. 7.




Figure 7: The variation of the EUP-corrected Hawking temperature of the AdS ideal gas black hole versus r_H for $P = 0.1$.

In the ordinary ideal gas black hole, we observe a linear increase in the Hawking temperature. However, within the EUP formalism, the correction terms reduce the Hawking temperature with a term proportional to the cube of the event horizon. Also, as with the other two black holes, the temperature can take values in a range where the event horizon remains physically meaningful. When the event horizon rises to the physical limit, a remnant mass is left from the black hole.

4.3 EUP-Schwarzschild black hole

It is worth noting that for $a = 1/2\pi$, $b = 0$ and $l \rightarrow \infty$, the derived lapse function given in Eq. (30), converts to the EUP-Schwarzschild case lapse function.

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{\beta r^2}{6}. \quad (50)$$

In this case, the mass and temperature of the EUP-Schwarzschild black hole is represented by the following equations:

$$M = \frac{r_H}{2} + \frac{\beta r_H^3}{12}, \quad (51)$$

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi r_H} + \frac{3\beta}{8\pi} r_H. \quad (52)$$

For a complete analysis, we present the variation of the Hawking temperature of the EUP-Schwarzschild black hole in Fig. 8. We observe that the EUP-corrected temperature is divergent at the highest value of the horizon radius.




Figure 8: The variation of the EUP-corrected Hawking temperature of the Schwarzschild black hole versus r_H .

5 Conclusion

The negative cosmological constant can be thought of as thermodynamic pressure in the context of extended phase space. This makes it possible to define a black hole equation of state and compare it with a fluid or gas equation of state. In the last decade, authors have derived asymptotically AdS black hole metrics whose thermal quantities precisely mimic that of a VdW fluid. Some other authors handled the VdW black hole thermodynamics with a quantum mechanical deformation, namely the generalized uncertainty principle, which predicts a minimal measurable length. They concluded that the considered quantum deformation plays an important role in the thermodynamics of the VdW black hole.

In this manuscript, we examine the VdW black hole with the EUP formalism, which allows a minimal momentum uncertainty value. Our results show that this kind of quantum deformation sets an upper event horizon limit value, unlike what exists in the literature. Based on this result, the Hawking temperature and the black hole mass become physically meaningful within a certain event horizon radius range. EUP formalism also affects entropy by slowing down the rate of its increase. Depending on the other parameters VdW black hole can be unstable or stable. Furthermore, our study also shed light on other sub-scenarios such as AdS Schwarzschild, ideal gas black holes, EUP-Schwarzschild and their thermodynamics.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Algeria under the code: B00L02UN040120230003. B. C. Lütfüoğlu is grateful to the PıF UHK Excellence project of 2211/2023-2024 for the financial support.

Data Availability Statements

The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- [1] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni, G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B **216**, 41 (1989).
- [2] C. Rovelli, Living Rev. Rel. **1**, 1 (1998).
- [3] F. Girelli, E. R. Livine, D. Oriti, Nucl. Phys. B **708**, 411 (2005).
- [4] A. Kempf, J. Math. Phys. **35**, 4483 (1994).
- [5] H. Hinrichsen, A. Kempf, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 2121 (1996).
- [6] A. Kempf, J. Math. Phys. **38**, 1347 (1997).
- [7] B. Bolen, M. Cavaglia, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **37**, 1255 (2005).
- [8] M. -I. Park, Phys. Lett. B **659**, 698 (2008).
- [9] S. Mignemi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **25**, 1697 (2010).
- [10] S. W. Hawking, Common. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975).
- [11] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 191 (1976).
- [12] J. B. Hartle, S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 2188 (1976).
- [13] A. D. Helfer, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 025005 (2019).
- [14] N. Farahani, H. Hassanabadi, W. S. Chung, B. C. Lütfüoğlu, S. Zarrinkamar, EPL **132**, 50009 (2020).
- [15] J. Pinochet, Phys. Educ. **56**, 053001 (2021).
- [16] W. X. Chen, J. X. Li, J. Y. Zhang, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **62**, 96 (2023).
- [17] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **7**, 2333 (1973).
- [18] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3292 (1974).
- [19] S. W. Hawking, D. N. Page, Commun. Math. Phys. **87**, 577 (1983).
- [20] D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, J. High Energy Phys. **1207**, 033 (2012).
- [21] S. Gunasekaran, R. B. Mann, D. Kubiznak, J. High Energy Phys. **1211**, 110 (2012).
- [22] A. Rajagopal, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, Phys. Lett. B **737**, 277 (2014).
- [23] T. Delsate, R. B. Mann, J. High Energy Phys. **02**, 070 (2015).
- [24] P. Pradhan, EPL **116**, 10001 (2016).

- [25] Y. Hu, J. Chen, Y. Wang, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **49**, 148 (2017).
- [26] G. G. Luciano, A. Sheykhi, arXiv:2304.11006 [hep-th].
- [27] N. Altamirano, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, Z. Sherkatghanad, Galaxies **2**, 89 (2014).
- [28] M. R. Setare, H. Adami, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 084014 (2015).
- [29] U. Debnath, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **135**, 424 (2020).
- [30] Ö. Ökcü, E. Aydiner, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **59**, 2839 (2020).
- [31] R. N. Costa Filho, J. P. M. Braga, J. H. S. Lira, J. S. Andrade Jr., Phys. Lett. B **755**, 367 (2016).
- [32] B. Hamil, M. Merad, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **133**, 174 (2018).
- [33] B. Hamil, M. Merad, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **33**, 1850177 (2018).
- [34] B. Hamil, M. Merad, T. Birkandan, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **134**, 278 (2019).
- [35] J. R. Mureika, Phys. Lett. B **789**, 88 (2019).
- [36] B. Hamil, M. Merad, Few-Body Syst. **60**, 36 (2019).
- [37] J. Giné, G. G. Luciano, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 1039 (2020).
- [38] M. P. Dabrowski, F. Wagner, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 676 (2020).
- [39] Y. Kumaran, A. Övgün, Chin. Phys. C **44**, 025101 (2020).
- [40] B. Hamil, B. C. Lütfüoğlu, H. Aounallah, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **36**, 2150021 (2021).
- [41] H. Hassanabadi, W. S. Chung, B. C. Lütfüoğlu, E. Maghsoodi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **36**, 2150036 (2021).
- [42] Ö. Ökcü, E. Aydiner, EPL **138**, 39002 (2022).
- [43] H. Chen, H. Hassanabadi, B. C. Lütfüoğlu, Z. -W. Long, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **54**, 143 (2022).
- [44] B. Hamil, B. C. Lütfüoğlu, L. Dahbi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **37**, 2250130 (2022).
- [45] L. PetruzzIELLO, Phys. Lett. B **883**, 137293 (2022).
- [46] E. Maghsoodi, H. Hassanabadi, W. S. Chung, EPL **129**, 59001 (2020).
- [47] B. Hamil, B. C. Lütfüoğlu, EPL **134**, 50007 (2021).
- [48] L. Xiang, X. Q. Wen, J. High Energy Phys. **10**, 046 (2009).