

A Derivation of the Critical Dimensions in Superstring Theories from Scale Invariance and Electric-Magnetic Duality

Takeshi MORITA^{a,b*}

*a. Department of Physics, Shizuoka University
836 Ohya, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan*

*b. Graduate School of Science and Technology, Shizuoka University
836 Ohya, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan*

Abstract

We consider a low energy effective theory of p -branes in a D -dimensional spacetime, and impose two conditions: 1) the system is scale invariant, and 2) the electric-magnetic dual $(D - p - 4)$ -branes exist and they obey the same type of interactions to the p -branes. (We do not assume supersymmetry or general relativity.) We then ask what p and D are consistent with these conditions. Using a simple dimensional analysis, we find that only two solutions are possible: $(p, D) = (2, 11)$ and $(p, D) = (2n - 1, 4n + 2)$, ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). The first solution corresponds to M-theory, and the second solutions at $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ correspond to self-dual strings in little string theory and D3-branes in type IIB superstring theory, respectively, although the second solutions for $n \geq 3$ are unknown. Thus, our two conditions may be strong enough to characterize superstring theories.

*E-mail address: morita.takeshi(at)shizuoka.ac.jp




Figure 1: Two p -branes almost parallel in a D -dimensional spacetime.

1 Introduction

The construction of quantum gravity is an important goal in theoretical physics, and the most promising candidate is superstring theories [1–3]. A remarkable property of superstring theories is the existence of the critical dimensions. This is the fact that superstrings can be quantized only in ten-dimensional spacetimes. Furthermore, a theory of two-dimensional membranes [4] in an eleven-dimensional spacetime (M-theory) may exist and it may unify the five superstring theories [5–7]. Therefore, the ten and eleven dimensions have a special significance in quantum gravity. There are several derivations of these critical dimensions such as the analysis of the maximum supersymmetry [8], dilaton gravity [9], and the anomaly cancellation on the world-sheet of the superstrings [1, 3]. However, these derivations are mathematically sophisticated, and it is not that obvious why ten and eleven are important.

In this letter, we aim to understand the critical dimensions in a simpler way. We study p -branes in a D -dimensional spacetime by using a dimensional analysis, and show that scale invariance and the electric-magnetic duality can characterize the critical dimensions.

2 Derivation of the critical dimensions

We assume that the underlying theory of quantum gravity is defined in a D -dimensional spacetime and an elementary object is a p -brane, where p is the number of the spatial dimensions of the brane ($D \geq 2$ and $p \geq 0$). We discuss what p and D are consistent by considering a low-energy effective theory of the branes under certain assumptions on quantum gravity.

We put two p -branes almost parallel and far enough separated (Fig. 1). Then, this system at




Figure 2: Scale invariant interaction between the two p -branes. We cannot distinguish between “small” and “large”, if the system is scale invariant.

low energy would be described by the following effective theory,

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^{p+1}x \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 (\partial\phi_i^I)^2 + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where we have taken natural units ($c = \hbar = 1$). Here, x^μ ($\mu = 0, \dots, p$) are the coordinates on the world volume of the p -branes and $\phi_i^I(x)$ ($I = p+1, \dots, D-1$, $i = 1, 2$) are the target space coordinates of the i -th brane in the D -dimensional spacetime. Since we will consider scale invariance, ϕ_i^I are canonically normalized for convenience and their mass dimension is given by

$$[\phi_i^I] = \frac{1}{2}(p-1). \quad (2.2)$$

Note that we are interested in the low energy physics and the non-relativistic limit has been taken in the effective action (2.1).¹ Also, since we will study a dimensional analysis, we have omitted the numerical factors of the coefficients and indexes of the derivatives. Besides, generally gauge fields or fermions may exist on the branes, but we ignore them and focus on the ϕ_i^I fields.

Let us consider possible interaction terms \mathcal{L}_{int} between the two p -branes in the low energy effective theory (2.1). For simplicity, we define $\phi := |\phi_1 - \phi_2|$ and focus on the radial mode of the relative motion of the two p -branes by considering the situation where other modes and fields excite much more weakly. In addition, we assume that the two branes are far enough separated and slightly tilted. Hence, we assume a hierarchy of the scales $\phi \gg \partial\phi \gg \partial^m\phi$, ($m = 2, 3, \dots$) (these inequalities should be suitably normalized so that their mass dimensions agree but we neglect it).

Also, we impose the following assumptions on the effective theory,

Assumption 1: The system obeys the D -dimensional Poincare symmetry.

¹The kinetic terms (2.1) can be obtained from the conventional world volume action $S = \int (\text{volume of the } p\text{-brane})$ by taking the static gauge and the non-relativistic limit. Here, we do not treat tensionless branes in this work.

Assumption 2: The effective Lagrangian is non-singular at $\partial^m \phi = 0$, ($m = 1, 2, \dots$).

These are reasonable assumptions, but they are not enough to restrict the theory. Hence, as a strong constraint, we assume the condition on scale invariance,

Assumption 3 (scale invariance): The system is scale invariant and no dimensionful coupling exists in the Lagrangian (2.1).

Since scale invariance is a one of beautiful symmetries (Fig. 2), it may be natural to expect that our underlying theory of quantum gravity respects it.

Now, the interaction terms of the effective action (2.1) satisfying these three assumptions are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \sim \frac{(\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi)^n}{\phi^X} + \dots \quad (2.3)$$

Here, the power n is restricted to be a non-negative integer ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) by Assumption 2, and the small $\partial^m \phi$ expansion has been considered and “ \dots ” denotes higher order terms. The power X is determined by the dimensional analysis as

$$X = 2(n - 1) + \frac{4(n - 1)}{p - 1}. \quad (2.4)$$

If X is an integer, the factor of $1/\phi^X$ in the interaction (2.3) can be regarded as a conventional interaction between two separated parallel p -branes in the $D = X + p + 3$ dimensional spacetime.² Hence, we impose,

Assumption 4: X defined in Eq. (2.4) should be an integer, and the spacetime dimension D is fixed by $D = X + p + 3$.

This assumption constrains n and p , and we obtain non-trivial relations between p and D .³ For example, if $n = 0$, only $(p, D) = (0, 5)$ is allowed.

However, we still have many possible solutions (n, p) and we impose an additional assumption,

Assumption 5 (electric-magnetic duality): If a p -brane exists in the theory, its electric-magnetic dual $(D - p - 4)$ -brane also exists. The interactions between two dual branes are also described by Eq. (2.3) with the same power of n .

²When $X = 0$, the factor of $1/\phi^X$ may be replaced by $\log \phi$. However, it is possible only when $p = 1$ and $[\phi] = 0$ from Assumptions 2 and 3.

³In the $n = 2$ case, we obtain three solutions [10]: $(p, D) = (2, 11)$, $(5, 11)$ and $(3, 10)$. They correspond to M2-branes and M5-branes in M-theory and D3-branes in type IIB superstring theory. Actually, the interaction (2.3) at $n = 2$ naturally arises in maximally supersymmetric conformal field theories on the branes [11]. Thus, if we assume a similar symmetry and restrict $n = 2$, these three solutions are our final answer. Therefore, the condition $n = 2$ is powerful enough to extract M-theory and type IIB superstring theory. A related analysis by using a dilaton gravity theory has been done in Refs. [9, 12].

This assumption is also reasonable. If a p -brane exists, we naturally expect that it couples to a $(p+1)$ -form field similar to string theories, and, to quantize the charge, its dual $(D-p-4)$ -brane that couples to the dual $(D-p-3)$ -form field should be involved in the theory [13]. (The existence of the dual brane is discussed in the context of the swampland conjecture, too [14].) Then, if the p -branes and the dual branes obey the same dynamics, we expect that the common power of n appears in the interaction (2.3), although this point is more speculative.⁴

This assumption is expressed in the following equations,

Assumption 5’: (n, p) satisfies the relations:

$$X = 2(n-1) + \frac{4(n-1)}{p-1} : \text{integer}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\tilde{X} := 2(n-1) + \frac{4(n-1)}{\tilde{p}-1} : \text{integer}, \quad (\tilde{p} := D-p-4), \quad (2.6)$$

$$D = X + p + 3 = \tilde{X} + \tilde{p} + 3. \quad (2.7)$$

Here, \tilde{p} and \tilde{X} are the quantities for the dual brane corresponding to p and X of the original p -brane. We can solve these equations easily as shown in Appendix, and only two solutions exist:

$$\textbf{Solution 1: } n = 2, D = 11, (p, \tilde{p}) = (2, 5), \text{ (or equivalently } (p, \tilde{p}) = (5, 2)), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\textbf{Solution 2: } D = 4n + 2, p = \tilde{p} = 2n - 1, (n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (2.9)$$

The first solution corresponds to M-theory ($p = 2$ and 5 are M2-branes and M5-branes, respectively). The $n = 2$ interaction (2.3) is also known to be consistent with the supergravity [11].

The second solution (2.9) is characterized by $p = \tilde{p}$. In the obtained dimension $D = 4n + 2$, the self-dual $(p+2)$ -form field strength is possible, and we presume that the branes are self-dual. Actually, when $n = 2$, we obtain $(p, D) = (3, 10)$ corresponding to D3-branes in type IIB superstring theory that are self-dual. Also, when $n = 1$, we obtain $(p, D) = (1, 6)$ corresponding to self-dual strings [15, 16] in little string theory [17–20]. (Notice that little string theory is a non-gravitational theory. In our analysis, we have not used genuine gravity, and it is not surprising that the non-gravitational theory is obtained.) However, the solution 2 (2.9) for $n \geq 3$ is unknown.

In this way, we have obtained the scale invariant branes and their critical dimensions in superstring theories $\{(p, D) = (1, 6), (3, 10), (2, 11) \text{ and } (5, 11)\}$ as a part of the solutions satisfying our assumptions on quantum gravity. Although the obtained properties of our brane systems are just a part of the properties of the corresponding branes in superstring theories, our systems should be

⁴If we relax the condition on n and allow different n for the dual branes, Assumption 5 is still restrictive but weakened.

identified with superstring theories, because the knowledge of string theory tells us that there must be no consistent theory of these branes other than superstring theories. Therefore, the assumptions proposed in our study are strong enough to reproduce superstring theories.

Since we have obtained the scale invariant branes in superstring theories, we can derive other branes and fundamental strings by using string dualities. It would be instructive to review the derivation of type IIA superstrings from the M2-branes $(p, D) = (2, 11)$ [5, 21]. It is useful to rewrite the scalars $\phi^I = y^I / l^{3/2}$, where l is a constant and y^I are coordinates of the target spacetime, and both l and y^I have a dimension of length. Then, the effective action (2.1) at $p = 2$ becomes

$$S_{\text{M2}} \sim \int d^3x \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{l^3} (\partial y_i^I)^2 + l^9 \left(\frac{1}{l^3} \right)^2 \frac{(\partial_\mu y \partial^\mu y)^2}{y^6} \right\} + \dots, \quad (2.10)$$

where $y := l^{3/2} \phi$. In our dimensional analysis, it is possible that an additional dimensionless constant appears as a coefficient of the interaction term, but it is known that no such constant exists in M-theory. From this expression, we see that $1/l^3$ and l^9 represent the tension of the M2-brane and the Newton's constant G_D at $D = 11$, respectively. (Recall that $[G_D] = 2 - D$, which is consistent with $G_{11} \sim l^9$ at $D = 11$, and $G_D \times (\text{tension})^2$ is a proper coefficient for the interaction of two p -branes.) Now, we compactify one of the brane direction $x \sim x + R$, and break the scale invariance. By introducing constants l_s and g_s through the relations $R = g_s l_s$ and $l_s^2 = l^3 / R$, where $[l_s] = -1$ and $[g_s] = 0$, we obtain the effective action after the Kaluza-Klein reduction as,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{M2}} &\sim \int d^2x \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{R}{l^3} (\partial y_i^I)^2 + \frac{l^9}{R} \left(\frac{R}{l^3} \right)^2 \frac{(\partial_\mu y \partial^\mu y)^2}{y^6} \right\} + \dots \\ &\sim \int d^2x \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{l_s^2} (\partial y_i^I)^2 + g_s^2 l_s^8 \left(\frac{1}{l_s^2} \right)^2 \frac{(\partial_\mu y \partial^\mu y)^2}{y^6} \right\} + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

This action shows $p = 1$ and $X = 6$, and it describes a $(p, D) = (1, 10)$ system. The tension and the Newton's constant G_{10} are given by $1/l_s^2$ and $g_s^2 l_s^8$, respectively. This system indeed corresponds to the fundamental strings in type IIA superstring theory, where l_s and g_s represent the string length and the string coupling. Similarly, we can extract other strings and branes through the string dualities. (See, for example, appendix of Ref. [22] for concrete derivations of the effective actions.)

3 Discussions

We have studied the low energy effective theory of the two p -branes in a D -dimensional spacetime, and asked what p and D satisfy the assumptions proposed for the underlying theory of quantum gravity. We have revealed that the assumptions on the scale invariance and the electric-magnetic

duality strongly constrain (p, D) , and only the two solutions (2.8) and (2.9) that include superstring theories are possible. As we know, superstring theories exhibit several important properties, and the scale invariance and the electric-magnetic duality might be thought to be only two of them. However, our study shows that these two may be essential and they are strong enough to reproduce superstring theories. Also, M-theory is derived as an exceptional solution (2.8), and it may emphasize the uniqueness of M-theory.

However, our analysis does not guarantee that the obtained solutions (2.8) and (2.9) correspond to consistent quantum theories. Remarkably, all the solution 1 (2.8) and the solution 2 (2.9) for $n \leq 2$ correspond to the known consistent theories in superstring theories. On the other hand, the systems corresponding to the rest of the solution 2 ($n \geq 3$) are unknown. In the conventional gravity [12], it seems difficult to construct the brane solutions that describe them. Thus, one possibility is that these solutions are simply unphysical. Another possibility is that they correspond to self-dual branes in some exotic theories, possibly higher spin theories [23–27]. If this is the case, since the systems are scale invariant, it may suggest the existence of a correspondence between the (higher spin) theory on the $\text{AdS}_{2n+1} \times S_{2n+1}$ spacetime and the $2n$ dimensional CFT on the $(2n - 1)$ -branes similar to the AdS/CFT correspondence [11]. It may be valuable to pursue this possibility.

Finally, we should emphasize that, although the critical dimensions in superstring theories are obtained in our analysis, they are derived as the critical dimensions of the scale invariant brane systems rather than those of string theories. We have to use the knowledge of string theory and string dualities to reproduce all superstring theories from our scale invariant brane systems. Consequently, we cannot obtain the bosonic string theory in the 26 dimensional spacetime from our analysis. On the other hand, our assumptions on quantum gravity, including the one about the scale invariance, sound natural. Thus, if superstring theory is the underlying theory of our nature, one might claim that superstring theory is chosen but not bosonic string theory, because our nature prefers the natural assumptions.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank Tetsutaro Higaki, Hikaru Kawai, Toby Wiseman and Tamiaki Yoneya for valuable discussions and comments. The author is especially grateful to Shotaro Shiba, Toby Wiseman and Benjamin Withers for discussions on the effective action (2.3) at $n = 2$ [10, 22] that motivated the author to begin this work. The work of T. M. is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (No. 20K03946) from JSPS.

A Derivation of Eqs. (2.8) and (2.9)

In this appendix, we solve Assumption 5' and derive the solutions (2.8) and (2.9). We consider $p = 1$ and $p \neq 1$ separately.

A.1 The $p \neq 1$ case

By substituting Eq. (2.5) into the relation $D = X + p + 3$, we obtain

$$-(p-1)D + 2n(p+1) + p^2 - 5 = 0, \quad -(\tilde{p}-1)D + 2n(\tilde{p}+1) + \tilde{p}^2 - 5 = 0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Here, the second equation is obtained by replacing $p \rightarrow \tilde{p}$ in the first equation. By subtracting these two equations, we obtain

$$0 = (\tilde{p} - p)(D - 2n - p - \tilde{p}) = (D - 2p - 4)(4 - 2n), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and the solution is given by $D = 2p + 4$ or $n = 2$.

For the first solution $D = 2p + 4$, by substituting it into the first equation of Eq. (A.1), we obtain

$$(2n - p - 1)(p + 1) = 0. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Since $p \geq 0$, the solution is given by $p = 2n - 1$. Then, $\tilde{p} = D - p - 4 = p$, and the solution 2 (2.9) except $p = 1$ ($n = 1$) is obtained.

For the solution $n = 2$ [10], by substituting it into the first equation of Eq. (A.1), we obtain

$$D = p + 5 + \frac{4}{p-1}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Since D is an integer greater than 2, we obtain $p = 2, 3$ and 5. For $p = 2$ and 5, we obtain $D = 11$ and they are the solution 1 (2.8). For $p = 3$, $D = 10$ ($\tilde{p} = 3$) is obtained, and it is a solution of Eq. (2.9).

A.2 The $p = 1$ case

When $p = 1$, the mass dimension of ϕ becomes 0 from (2.2). Then, the mass dimension of the interaction (2.3) is $2n$ for any X , and we obtain $n = 1$ to make the action dimensionless. However, the dimensional analysis cannot determine the value of X and D , and we fix them by using the electric-magnetic duality (Assumption 5). When $n = 1$, only $p = 1$ is possible to make the action dimensionless ($X = 0$ is another possibility but it also requires $p = 1$. See footnote 2). Therefore, the electric-magnetic dual of the $p = 1$ brane should be an 1-brane. It implies $D = 6$ ($X = 2$) and we obtain the solution (2.9) for $n = 1$.

References

- [1] Michael B. Green, J. H. Schwarz, and Edward Witten. *SUPERSTRING THEORY. VOL. 1: INTRODUCTION*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. 1988.
- [2] J. Polchinski. *String theory. Vol. 1: An introduction to the bosonic string*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 12 2007.
- [3] J. Polchinski. *String theory. Vol. 2: Superstring theory and beyond*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 12 2007.
- [4] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin, and P. K. Townsend. Supermembranes and Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity. *Phys. Lett. B*, 189:75–78, 1987.
- [5] Edward Witten. String theory dynamics in various dimensions. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 443:85–126, 1995.
- [6] John H. Schwarz. The power of M theory. *Phys. Lett. B*, 367:97–103, 1996.
- [7] Michael J. Duff, editor. *The World in Eleven Dimensions : Supergravity, supermembranes and M-theory*. Taylor & Francis, 1999.
- [8] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, and Joel Scherk. Supergravity Theory in Eleven-Dimensions. *Phys. Lett. B*, 76:409–412, 1978.
- [9] M. J. Duff and J. X. Lu. Black and super p-branes in diverse dimensions. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 416:301–334, 1994.
- [10] Takeshi Morita, Shotaro Shiba, Toby Wiseman, and Benjamin Withers. *private communication*.
- [11] Juan Martin Maldacena. The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 38:1113–1133, 1999. [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.2,231(1998)].
- [12] M. J. Duff, Ramzi R. Khuri, and J. X. Lu. String solitons. *Phys. Rept.*, 259:213–326, 1995.
- [13] Joseph Polchinski. Monopoles, duality, and string theory. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 19S1:145–156, 2004.
- [14] Nathan Benjamin Agmon, Alek Bedroya, Monica Jinwoo Kang, and Cumrun Vafa. Lectures on the string landscape and the Swampland. 12 2022.
- [15] Andreas Gustavsson. Classical selfdual BPS strings in $d = 6$, $(2,0)$ theory from afar. *JHEP*, 01:019, 2003.
- [16] Yoshihisa Kitazawa, Shun’ya Mizoguchi, and Osamu Saito. Little IIB matrix model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 74:046003, 2006.
- [17] Nathan Seiberg. New theories in six-dimensions and matrix description of M theory on T^{*5} and $T^{*5} / Z(2)$. *Phys. Lett. B*, 408:98–104, 1997.
- [18] Micha Berkooz, Moshe Rozali, and Nathan Seiberg. Matrix description of M theory on T^{*4} and T^{*5} . *Phys. Lett. B*, 408:105–110, 1997.

- [19] Andrei Losev, Gregory W. Moore, and Samson L. Shatashvili. M & m's. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 522:105–124, 1998.
- [20] Ofer Aharony. A Brief review of 'little string theories'. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 17:929–938, 2000.
- [21] M. J. Duff, Paul S. Howe, T. Inami, and K. S. Stelle. Superstrings in D=10 from Supermembranes in D=11. *Phys. Lett. B*, 191:70, 1987.
- [22] Takeshi Morita, Shotaro Shiba, Toby Wiseman, and Benjamin Withers. Moduli dynamics as a predictive tool for thermal maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills at large N. *JHEP*, 07:047, 2015.
- [23] Mikhail A. Vasiliev. Consistent equation for interacting gauge fields of all spins in (3+1)-dimensions. *Phys. Lett. B*, 243:378–382, 1990.
- [24] M. A. Vasiliev. Nonlinear equations for symmetric massless higher spin fields in (A)dS(d). *Phys. Lett. B*, 567:139–151, 2003.
- [25] Evgeny D. Skvortsov, Tung Tran, and Mirian Tsulaia. Quantum Chiral Higher Spin Gravity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 121(3):031601, 2018.
- [26] V. E. Didenko and A. V. Korybut. Planar solutions of higher-spin theory. Part I. Free field level. *JHEP*, 08:144, 2021.
- [27] Xavier Bekaert, Nicolas Boulanger, Andrea Campoleoni, Marco Chiodaroli, Dario Francia, Maxim Grigoriev, Ergin Sezgin, and Evgeny Skvortsov. Snowmass White Paper: Higher Spin Gravity and Higher Spin Symmetry. 5 2022.