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In this paper, we show that for one sign of the deformation coupling single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation moves the holographic screen in Gödel universe radially inward. For the other sign of the coupling it moves the holographic screen radially outward. We (thus) argue, on general grounds, that in holography (single-trace) $T \bar{T}$ deformation can be generally thought of as either moving the holographic boundary into the bulk or washing it away to infinity. In Anti-de Sitter this breaks the spacetime conformal symmetry. We further note that moving timelike holographic boundary into bulk creates a curvature singularity. In the boundary the singularity is understood by states with imaginary energies. To make the theory sensible we introduce an ultraviolet cutoff and thereby move the boundary into the bulk. In this paper we first obtain the Penrose limit of the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformed string background and then perform $T$-duality along a space-like isometry to obtain a class of deformed Gödel universes. The string background we consider is $A d S_{3} \times S^{3} \times$ $\mathcal{M}_{4}$. The single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation is a particular example of the more general $O(d, d)$ transformations.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, there have been two independent but related developments in the study of irrelevant deformations in two dimensional quantum field theories (QFTs). In these developments the deformations are generated by special operators [1-4]. The deformations do not mix states, i.e., a deformed state depends only on the corresponding undeformed state. The deformations also do not create or introduce new states. Thus, different sectors of a Hilbert space deform independently. Therefore, they do not mix among themselves [5-7]. In general, depending on the sign of the deformations couplings, the energy spectrum of the deformed theory (quantized on a circle) is either real or complex. We will comment on this later in the paper.

The first development is the deformation of a two dimensional QFT by the determinant of the energy momentum stress tensor, commonly referred to as $T \bar{T}$ deformation [1-3]. The generating $T \bar{T}$ operator is irrelevant (in the Wilsonian renormalization group flow sense ${ }^{1}$ ) and it is well-defined (in the coincidence limit up to total derivative terms ${ }^{2}$ ) [1]. Since it is built out of (the components of) the stress tensor which is present and conserved in any QFT with spacetime translation invariance, the operator exists universally, and therefore also the deformation is universally applicable. Given that the operator is well-defined in any QFT, it can be used to define a one parameter family of theories by iteratively adding it to and updating the Lagrangian density in a small increment of the deformation coupling. This defines a curve or trajectory in field theory space (parametrized by the coupling). Therefore, it can be used to probe and better understand the space of field theories.

In general, under an irrelevant deformation of a conformal field theory (CFT), the understanding is that at high energies or in the ultraviolet (UV) the (quantum) theory is ill-defined. The theory involves ambiguities and/or singularities and thus, the deformation is not solvable. In general we may, but not necessarily, attribute this to the existence of infinitely many irrelevant operators at the fixed point. See [7] for further discussion.

The $T \bar{T}$ deformation, however, defines or results in a theory that is under better mathematical tractability. In particular, the deformation preserves some of the symmetries of the original theory, and in the resulting deformed theory, for several quantities including
${ }^{1}$ Note irrelevance is defined with respect to a fixed point. An irrelevant operator is less important in the infrared but become more important in the ultraviolet.
${ }^{2}$ Operator product expansion coefficients are naturally interpreted as renormalization counter terms [8, 9]. Thus, $T \bar{T}$ (is expected to) results in a theory which is well-behaved at high energies.
quantum observables (e.g., Lagrangian density, currents, etc.), exact and finite or nonsingular results are obtained. Among these, the principal quantity of interest is the energy (or the partition function). Thus, in this sense the deformation is solvable.

The energy spectrum (and hence the usual partition function) of the deformed theory (quantized on a circle ${ }^{3}$ ) is obtained using the operator product expansion (OPE) technique [8] (in particular in the case the original theory is a CFT). See also equation (C.7) in Appendix C. At short distances or high energies the deformed theory exhibits Hagedorn density of states and non-locality. Thus, at high energies the deformed theory is not governed by a conventional local fixed point, see [7] and references therein.

In the case the undeformed theory is a holographic CFT i.e., dual to string theory in $A d S_{3}$ (times some compact internal space), the dual spacetime after $T \bar{T}$ deformation ${ }^{4}$ is proposed to be $A d S_{3}$ with a Dirichlet boundary condition at a finite radial distance which is fixed by the deformation coupling. Thus, in this sense, $T \bar{T}$ deformation moves the boundary where the CFT is thought to live into the bulk [12].

The second development is in two dimensional holographic field theories which are dual to string theory in asymptotically Anti-de Sitter (AdS) spacetimes [4]. In this latter development, the deformation is commonly referred to as single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation for a reason that we will explain momentarily. It is also irrelevant at the infrared (IR) fixed point. Unlike the usual $T \bar{T}$ deformation (discussed above), however, as we will explain shortly, it is defined from the bulk (worldsheet) string theory side. Thus, it is only (or at least it is) applicable or defined in two dimensional holographic CFTs. This deformation is closely related but distinct from the usual $T \bar{T}$ deformation (discussed above).

In $A d S_{3}$ the long string sector is believed to be described at weak coupling or near the boundary and large $N$ by a symmetric product CFT. Therefore, in this sector the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ operator is (believed to be) given by sum of $T \bar{T}$ operators and therefore the adjective single-trace. ${ }^{5}$ In general in the case the holographic theory is described by a symmetric product, the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ operator is (believed to be) given by a sum of $T \bar{T}$ operators. The sum is over the copies of the defining theory of the symmetric product. In this case the usual $T \bar{T}$ operator is given by product of two sums. The two factors in the
${ }^{3}$ There is a minimum size of the circle below which the Casimir energy or the effective central charge becomes complex, see [7] and references therein.
${ }^{4}$ For one sign of the coupling. In our notation the sign is positive. See also [10, 11] for discussions in the case the sign of the coupling is negative.

5 Its existence is related to a (symmetric) product structure of the (dual) boundary theory.
product are the two components of the total energy momentum tensor of the symmetric product CFT. Thus, the usual $T \bar{T}$ deformation is also sometimes referred to as double-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation. ${ }^{6}$

In general, irrespective of whether the boundary theory (which is dual to the (combined) short and long string sectors) is described by a symmetric product or not, in the bulk, the deformation is understood as a $T$-duality-shift- $T$-duality (TsT) transformation ${ }^{7}$ or as a particular example of the more general $O(d, d)$ transformations [15, 16, 17-21]. $O(d, d)$ transformations are used to generate new string theory backgrounds from known ones. They correspond to deforming the worldsheet theory by a linear combination of exactly marginal current bilinear operators [22]. We will review $O(d, d)$ transformation in Appendix D.

Note that the identification of the bulk marginal current bilinear deformation with the irrelevant single-trace boundary deformation is at the level of the respective theories actions [4]. This is crucial for the identification to make sense. Thus, the equivalence is perfectly sensible. In general, in classical (super)gravity (or $1 / N$ expansion) a bulk operator $\phi(x, \rho)$ whose asymptotic behavior is $\rho^{-\Delta}$ is identified via the gauge/(super)gravity duality [23$25]$ with a boundary operator $\mathcal{O}(x) \equiv \lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{\Delta} \phi(x, \rho)$ whose (scaling) dimension is $\Delta$, where $x$ denotes collectively the boundary spacetime coordinates. ${ }^{8}$

Of the (several) classes of operators $\mathcal{O}(x)$, the class (consisting) of single trace operators is of particular interest. The (gauge invariant) single trace boundary operators $\mathcal{O}(x)$ can be equivalently given as integrated (physical) vertex operators $\mathcal{V}(z, x)$ on the worldsheet, i.e., $\mathcal{O}(x) \equiv \int d^{2} z \mathcal{V}(z, x)$. Therefore, one can write $\int d^{2} x \mathcal{O}(x) \equiv \int d^{2} z \mathcal{K}(z)$, where we defined $\mathcal{K}(z):=\int d^{2} x \mathcal{V}(x, z) .{ }^{9}$ Adding $\int d^{2} z \mathcal{K}(z)$ to the worldsheet action or equivalently $\mathcal{K}(z)$ to the worldsheet Lagrangian is then (expected to be) equivalent via the

[^0]duality to adding $\int d^{2} x \mathcal{O}(x)$ to the boundary theory action or equivalently $\mathcal{O}(x)$ to the boundary theory Lagrangian. It is in this sense the equivalence or identification is made in [4]. Note that $\mathcal{K}(z)$ is obtained by integrating $\mathcal{V}(x, z)$ over all the boundary spacetime.

The (gauge invariant) single trace operator $\mathcal{O}(x)$ that is considered in [4] is studied earlier in [29]. We follow the notations of [4, 29] and denote the operator by $D(x)$, see Appendix C for its expression. The corresponding operator $\mathcal{K}(z)$ is a current bilinear and exactly marginal [4]. It is (related to) the screening charge at strictly large level (of the $s l(2)$ affine (current) Lie algebra), i.e., $\int d^{2} z \mathcal{K}=\int d^{2} z \beta \bar{\beta}$, see e.g., [30]. ${ }^{10} D(x)$ is the dilaton vertex operator and has the same quantum numbers as the composite operator $T \bar{T}(x)$ [29]. This is one of the primary reasons for identifying $D(x)$ with a/the single trace $T \bar{T}(x)$. However, $D(x)$ is different from (the canonical) $T \bar{T}(x)$ since the latter is given (via the duality) by a product of two integrated (physical) vertex operators corresponding to $T$ and $\bar{T} .{ }^{11}$ However, in the (long string) untwisted sector $D(x)$ should agree with the usual $T \bar{T}(x)$ (of the defining theory of the orbifold theory), assuming the identification is correct. As we mentioned earlier, the existence of $D(x)$ is related to the orbifold structure of the boundary theory.

The single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation, for one sign of the coupling ${ }^{12}$ and in Poincaré coordinates, corresponds in the bulk to a string background that interpolates between $A d S_{3} \times \mathcal{M}_{7}$ in the IR and a linear dilaton spacetime (or vacuum of compactified little string theory $(\mathrm{LST})) \mathbb{R}^{(1,1)} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{M}_{7}$ in the UV, where $\mathcal{M}_{7}$ is a compact seven dimensional (internal) manifold. In this paper, we determine the deformed string background in global coordinates and discuss both signs.

The single-trace deformation is solvable in the sense that the exact energy spectrum can be obtained. See equation (C.7) in Appendix C. At high energies the deformed theory also exhibits Hagedorn density of states and non-locality, see [7] and references therein.

10 The (relevant) screening charge is given by $Q_{k}=\int d^{2} z \beta \bar{\beta} e^{-\frac{2}{\alpha_{+} \phi}}$, where $\alpha_{+}=\sqrt{2(k-2)}$ and $k$ is the level. The operator $e^{-\frac{2}{\alpha_{+}} \phi}$ has scaling dimensions zero.
${ }^{11}$ If we write $T(x)=\int d^{2} z \mathcal{V}_{T}(z, x)$ and $\bar{T}(y)=\int d^{2} z \mathcal{V}_{\bar{T}}(z, y)$, then the usual operator $T \bar{T}(x)$ is given by $T \bar{T}(x)=\lim _{y \rightarrow x} \int d^{2} z \int d^{2} w \mathcal{V}_{T}(z, x) \mathcal{V}_{\bar{T}}(w, y)$. This implies $\int d^{2} x T \bar{T}(x)=$ $\int d^{2} z \mathcal{M}_{T \bar{T}}(z)$ provided $\mathcal{M}_{T \bar{T}}(z)$ exists. The operator $\mathcal{M}_{T \bar{T}}(z):=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int d^{2} w \int d^{2} x \mathcal{V}_{T}(z, x) \mathcal{V}_{\bar{T}}(w, x+$ $\epsilon$ ). In view of the $T \bar{T}$ operator, we expect $\mathcal{M}_{T \bar{T}}(z)$ to exist and be well-defined although it is obtained by integrating over all worldsheet and spacetime coordinates, see [14, 31] for related discussions.
12 In our notation the sign is negative.

In this paper we study Gödel (type) universes [32-34] in the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformed string theory, or more generally, in string theory obtained by $O(d, d)$ transformations [19]. We use Gödel (type) universe (as a toy model) to shed light on (single-trace) $T \bar{T}$ deformation in holography. As a consequence of (the) factorization property (of the $T \bar{T}$ operator), the $T \bar{T}$ deformation does not mix different sectors of a Hilbert space and also does not create or introduce new states. This is also the case in single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation (in particular in the long string or untwisted sector), see e.g., [7] and references therein. In string theory Gödel (type) universes are (usually) obtained following these two steps: (1) we take the Penrose limit, i.e. restrict the Hilbert space to a specific sector and (2) we perform $T$-duality along a spacelike isometry [35-36]. See also [37].

The Gödel (type) universe is characterized by a preferred (cylindrical) surface, which is referred to as a holographic screen $[35,38]^{13}$, and closed timelike curves. The closed timelike curves do not constitute geodesics and (must) intersect the region beyond the holographic screen [39]. Therefore, the holographic screen, as defined by an inertial observer, either hides i.e., screens, the closed timelike curves or cuts them into parts. Thus, in classical (super)gravity it prevents the development of closed timelike curves.

It is conjectured in [35] that in general holography protects causality [40]. A different view is proposed in the paper [41] by studying (cylindrical) supertube probes [42]. It shares the same underlying concept with the enhançon approach [43], but also has some (contextual) differences. It replaces the spacetime beyond the holographic screen by a spacetime which is free of closed timelike curves (and singularities). However, its status is not clear, see e.g., [44, 45].

In this paper we will not be concerned on understanding the closed timelike curves. They do not directly play any role and also they do not pose any problem in our discussion. We will leave that to a future work. For discussions on quantization of strings in Gödel (type) universe see also [44, 46].

In this paper we show that, depending on the sign of the deformation coupling, singletrace $T \bar{T}$ deformation corresponds to moving the holographic screen either into the bulk or out to infinity. Therefore, in holography in general it is natural to view the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation as moving the boundary into the bulk or washing it away to infinity. We explain in what sense. This observation is one of the main results of the paper.

13 In the rest of the paper we will use this terminology to refer to the preferred surface.

The paper is organized as follows. In section two we obtain the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformed string theory background in global coordinates by performing TsT transformation on $\operatorname{AdS} S_{3} \times S^{3} \times \mathcal{M}_{4}$. We also show that in the Poincaré (patch) limit it reduces to the result given in $[4,17,47]$. In section three we briefly review the Penrose limit. Specifically, we obtain a Penrose limit of the deformed string theory. In section four we perform $T$-duality along a spacelike isometry and get the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformed Gödel (type) universe. We discuss how the location of the holographic screen depends on the coupling of the deformation. We also discuss the implications for (single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation and) holography in section five. In section six we discuss future research directions. In Appendix A we review the T-duality transformation in Type II bosonic string theory. In Appendix B we review the (single trace) $D$ operator which is identified in the long string sector to the single trace $T \bar{T}$ operator. In Appendix C we discuss the deformation in the worldsheet and give the deformed boundary spacetime spectrum. In Appendix D we briefly review $O(d, d)$ transformation and obtain the supergravity solutions in the (straightforward) generalizations of $T \bar{T}$ deformation to deformations involving $U(1)$ currents. In Appendix E we provide the Maurer-Cartan one form on the three sphere. We use this in section four.

## 2. The $T \bar{T}$ deformed $A d S_{3}$ string background

We consider string theory on $A d S_{3} \times S^{3} \times \mathcal{M}_{4}$ where $\mathcal{M}_{4}$ is a compact four dimensional manifold. The simplest examples are the Calabi-Yau manifolds $T^{4}$ (which breaks no supercharges) and $K_{3}$ (which breaks half of the supercharges). The background in general supports admixture of Neveu-Shwartz-Neveu-Schwartz (NSNS) and Ramond-Ramond (RR) fluxes. In this paper we only consider bosonic string theory.

The $A d S_{3}$ part of the worldsheet string action in the presence of NSNS flux is given by the Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) sigma model on the group manifold $S L(2, R)^{14}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}^{S L(2, R)}=\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} z\left[\partial \widetilde{\theta} \bar{\partial} \tilde{\theta}-\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} \partial \widetilde{\varphi} \bar{\partial} \widetilde{\varphi}+\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} \partial \widetilde{\psi} \bar{\partial} \widetilde{\psi}-\frac{1}{2} \cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})(\bar{\partial} \widetilde{\varphi} \partial \tilde{\psi}-\bar{\partial} \widetilde{\psi} \partial \widetilde{\varphi})\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{14}$ In general suprstring on $A d S_{3} \times S^{3}$ supported with NSNS and RR fluxes is described by the sigma model on the supergroup $P S U(1,1 \mid 2) \cong S U(1,1 \mid 2) / C^{*}$ where $C^{*}$ is the multiplicative group of complex numbers [48, 49]. $C^{*}$ is isomorphic to the product $R_{+}^{*} \times U(1)$ where $R_{+}^{*}$ is the multiplicative group of positive real numbers. In general the bosons (even subspace) and fermions (odd subspace) are coupled (except, e.g. with no RR flux, at strictly large level $k$ and/or $N$ ). However, the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation acts only on the $S U(1,1) \cong S L(2, R)$ part of the even subspace. It doesn't alter the interaction term, e.g., in the case the number of RR fluxes is zero and $k$ is finite.
where $k$ is the level and $l_{s}=\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}}$ is the string length. The angle $\widetilde{\psi}$ has $2 \pi$ periodicity.
The $S^{3}$ part of the worldsheet string action is given by the WZW sigma model on the group manifold $S U(2)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}^{S U(2)}=\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} z\left[\partial \theta \bar{\partial} \theta+\cos ^{2} \theta \partial \varphi \bar{\partial} \varphi+\sin ^{2} \theta \partial \psi \bar{\partial} \psi+\frac{1}{2} \cos (2 \theta)(\bar{\partial} \varphi \partial \psi-\bar{\partial} \psi \partial \varphi)\right] . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

See appendix E for details of the parametrization of the group $S U(2)$ we are using.
The single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformed string action is obtained as follows. We will ignore the $S^{3} \times \mathcal{M}_{4}$ part of the action for a moment. We first perform $T$-duality along $\widetilde{\psi}$ [50-52, 53]. Along the string $\widetilde{\psi}(\tau, \sigma+2 \pi) \sim \widetilde{\psi}(\tau, \sigma)+2 \pi w$, where $w$ is some integer. See Appendix A for details on how the background fields and action transform under the T-duality. This gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S_{k}=\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} z\left[\partial \widetilde{\theta} \bar{\partial} \widetilde{\theta}+\left(-\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{\cosh ^{2} 2 \widetilde{\theta}}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}\right) \partial \widetilde{\varphi} \bar{\partial} \widetilde{\varphi}+\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}} \partial \widetilde{\chi} \bar{\partial} \widetilde{\chi}\right.  \tag{2.3}\\
\left.-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}(\bar{\partial} \widetilde{\varphi} \partial \widetilde{\chi}+\bar{\partial} \widetilde{\chi} \partial \widetilde{\varphi})\right]
\end{array}
$$

We next shift the coordinate $\widetilde{\varphi}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\varphi} \rightarrow \widetilde{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda \widetilde{\chi} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameter $\lambda$ is dimensionless. It is related to the (single-trace) $T \bar{T}$ deformation coupling $\hat{\lambda}$ by $\widehat{\lambda}=\lambda l_{s}^{2}$ (up to a constant factor). The action now becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S_{k}=\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} z\left\{\partial \widetilde{\theta} \bar{\partial} \widetilde{\theta}+\left(-\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{\cosh ^{2} 2 \widetilde{\theta}}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}\right) \partial \widetilde{\varphi} \bar{\partial} \widetilde{\varphi}\right. \\
+\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(-\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{\cosh ^{2} 2 \widetilde{\theta}}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}\right)\right](\bar{\partial} \widetilde{\varphi} \partial \widetilde{\chi}+\bar{\partial} \widetilde{\chi} \partial \widetilde{\varphi})  \tag{2.5}\\
\left.+\left[\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(-\frac{\cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(-\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{\cosh ^{2} 2 \widetilde{\theta}}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}\right)\right)\right] \bar{\partial} \widetilde{\chi} \partial \widetilde{\chi}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

We finally do another $T$-duality along $\widetilde{\chi}$. This leads to the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformed string action in global coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}=\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} z \partial Y^{a} \Sigma_{a b} \bar{\partial} Y^{b} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y^{a}=\left(Y^{0}, Y^{1}, Y^{2}\right)=(\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\theta})$ and the background fields $\Sigma_{a b}$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Sigma_{22}=1, \\
\Sigma_{11}=\left[\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(-\frac{\cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})}{\sinh ^{2} \overparen{\theta}}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(-\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{\cosh ^{2} 2 \widetilde{\theta}}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}\right)\right)\right]^{-1}=\frac{\left.\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}\right)}{1+\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})}, \\
\Sigma_{01}=-\Sigma_{10}=-\Sigma_{11}\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\cosh 2 \widetilde{\theta}}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(-\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{\cosh ^{2} 2 \widetilde{\theta}}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}\right)\right]=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{\lambda}{4}-\cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})}{1+\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})}, \\
\Sigma_{00}=-\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{\cosh ^{2} 2 \widetilde{\theta}}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}-\Sigma_{11}\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(-\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{\cosh ^{2} 2 \widetilde{\theta}}{\sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}}\right)\right]^{2}=-\frac{\cosh ^{2}(\widetilde{\theta})}{1+\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})} . \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

The deformed two form $B$ field is now given by
$B=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, b=0}^{2} B_{a b} d Y^{a} \wedge d Y^{b}=B_{01} d \widetilde{\varphi} \wedge d \widetilde{\psi}, \quad B_{01}=k \alpha^{\prime} \Sigma_{01}=-\frac{1}{2} k \alpha^{\prime} \frac{\frac{\lambda}{4}-\cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})}{1+\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})}$.

The three form flux $H$ is then obtained by taking exterior derivative. We get $H=d B=$ $H_{012} d \widetilde{\varphi} \wedge d \widetilde{\psi} \wedge d \widetilde{\theta}$, where $H_{012}=\partial B_{01} / \partial \widetilde{\theta}$. It is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{012}=k \alpha^{\prime} \frac{\left[1-\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}\right] \sinh (2 \widetilde{\theta})}{\left[1+\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})\right]^{2}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dilaton $\phi$ is now given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left|\frac{g_{s}^{2}}{1+\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})}\right| \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{s}$ is the string coupling and $\lambda<4$ since $\tilde{\theta}$ is positive. We comment on the values the coupling $\lambda$ take in section four. The $S^{3} \times \mathcal{M}_{4}$ part of the action is not affected by the deformation since we are only considering deforming the AdS part.

The series of transformations we performed to get the $T \bar{T}$ deformed AdS background (2.7) are summarized pictorially in Fig. 1. The first and the last transformations, i.e., the T-duality transformations that take us from plot $a$ ) to $b$ ) and from plot $c$ ) to $d$ ), are symmetries and thus do not change the respective theories physics. The second transformation, i.e., the shift that takes us from plot $b$ ) to $c$ ), however, changes the boundary conditions and/or periodicities of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ and/or $\widetilde{\psi}$, see [7]. The plots are at constant $\widetilde{\varphi}$.


Fig. 1: $a$ ) is a plot of the AdS space at constant $\widetilde{\varphi}$. The compact direction is $\widetilde{\psi}$. The distance from the symmetry axis to the surface is $\sinh (\widetilde{\theta})$, see (2.1). Along the symmetry axis, the values of $\widetilde{\theta}$ increases from 0 to $+\infty$. Plot $b$ ) denotes the space after making a T-duality transformation. The compact direction is $\tilde{\chi}$, see (2.3). The distance from the symmetry axis is $1 / \sinh (\widetilde{\theta})$. Plot $c)$ denotes the space with the metric (2.5) obtained after making the shift (2.4). For positive coupling $\lambda$, this brings the tip of the cone shaped surface from infinity to a finite value of $\widetilde{\theta}$. For negative coupling $\lambda$, the tip remains at $\widetilde{\theta}=+\infty$. However, the rate at which the surface contracts to the tip is slower compared to $b$ ). Plot $d$ ) denotes the space (2.7) after making the final T-duality transformation. The compact direction is $\widetilde{\psi}$. The distance from the axis of the symmetry is $\left(e^{\phi} / g_{s}\right) \sinh (\widetilde{\theta})$, where $\phi$ is the dilaton (2.10). In the plots $c$ ) and $d$ ) we used the value $\lambda=2$.

The action in Poincaré coordinates is obtained (as usual) by taking the large $\tilde{\theta}$ limit of (2.6). To be precise, we take $\rho:=e^{\widetilde{\theta}}$ to infinity and $\lambda$ to zero but we keep $\lambda \rho^{2}$ finite. ${ }^{15}$ Thus, $\lambda \rho^{2}$ provides a natural coordinate to work with. As we will see later in the paper, it is of crucial importance to work in a (natural) coordinate system in which $\lambda \rho^{2}$ is the radial axis in interpreting the deformation as moving holographic boundaries. Upon taking this limit, the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformed string action becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}=\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} z\left[\partial \tilde{\theta} \bar{\partial} \tilde{\theta}+\frac{1}{-\lambda+4 e^{-2 \boldsymbol{\theta}}} \partial(\tilde{\psi}+\widetilde{\varphi}) \bar{\partial}(\tilde{\psi}-\widetilde{\varphi})\right] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in agreement with $[4,17,47] .{ }^{16}$ The dilaton field depends only on the radial coordinate $\tilde{\theta}$. The exact expression is obtained making use of (2.10) or (A.9). After taking the limit we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \phi}=\frac{g_{s}^{2}}{1-\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) e^{2 \tilde{\theta}}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

15 Upon taking, in the Ricci scalar in global coordinates, this limit, i.e., we take $\rho \rightarrow \infty, \lambda \rightarrow$ $0, \lambda \rho^{2} \rightarrow$ const., we obtain the correct Ricci scalar in Poincaré coordinates.

16 An action identical to (2.11) can be also obtained by performing the TsT transformation on $A d S_{3}$ in Poincaré coordinates. For this reason we sometimes refer the coordinates in (2.11) as Poincaré coordinates.

Here in general $\widetilde{\theta}$ runs along the real line since (2.11), i.e., the metric (with the dilaton and two-form fields), is an exact (in $\alpha^{\prime}$ ) solution to string theory (equations of motion). We will be precise in section five. The Ricci scalar of the metric (see (2.11)) is given by ${ }^{17}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=-32 \frac{3+\lambda \rho^{2}}{\left(-4+\lambda \rho^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho:=e^{\widetilde{\theta}}$ is positive. We observe that the Ricci scalar $R$ depends on $\rho$ only through the combination $\lambda \rho^{2}$. The components of the metric can be also made to depend on $\rho$ only through the combination $\lambda \rho^{2}$ by suitable redefinition of coordinates. We will use this crucial observation later in the paper. Note that the null energy condition (NEC) requires $\lambda \leq 0$ [54-56]. In a large class of theories, in general, violation of the NEC implies instability [57]. Note also that the norm of the coupling $\lambda$ can be set to one by shifting the radial coordinate. ${ }^{18}$ In a $T \bar{T}$ deformed CFT quantized on a circle this corresponds to changing the size of the circle. We denote the deformed part of the spacetime as $\mathcal{A}_{3}$. We next take, in the following section, the Penrose limit of the deformed string background $\mathcal{A}_{3} \times S^{3}$. The manifold $\mathcal{M}_{4}$ will not play any role in our discussion and thus we simply ignore it.

## 3. Penrose limit of $T \bar{T}$ deformed $A d S_{3} \times S^{3}$

In this section we study the Penrose limit of the six dimensional spacetime $\mathcal{A}_{3} \times S^{3}$ which results in a plane wave background. Every non-pathological or physically reasonable spacetime has a Penrose limit [58, 59]. The limit restricts the Hilbert space of the theory to a specific sector. We in particular consider the Berenstein, Maldacena and Nastase (BMN) plane wave limit [59].

The $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ part of the metric is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
d s_{\mathcal{A}_{3}}^{2} & =k \alpha^{\prime} g_{\mu \nu} d Y^{\mu} d Y^{\nu}, \quad g_{\mu \nu}=\frac{\Sigma_{\mu \nu}+\Sigma_{\nu \mu}}{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
& =k \alpha^{\prime}\left(d \widetilde{\theta}^{2}-e^{2 \phi} \cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} d \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}+e^{2 \phi} \sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

17 The Ricci scalar has mass dimension two. Here we set $k \alpha^{\prime}$ to one.
18 And by rescaling $\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\psi}$.
where the background field $\Sigma_{\mu \nu}$ is given by (2.7), and $Y^{\mu}=\left(Y^{0}, Y^{1}, Y^{2}\right)=(\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\theta})$. The dilaton $\phi$ is given by (210). ${ }^{19}$ The $S^{3}$ part of the metric is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{s^{3}}^{2}=k \alpha^{\prime}\left(d \theta^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta d \varphi^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta d \psi^{2}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The plane wave background is obtained by expanding the metric around, or by zooming in on, the geodesic of a light, or nearly so, particle that is moving along the equator of $S^{3}$ given by $\theta=0$, which is the $\varphi$ direction, and positioned in $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ at $\widetilde{\theta}=0$. At $\widetilde{\theta}=0$ the metric (3.1) reduces to $d s_{\mathcal{A}_{3}}^{2}=k \alpha^{\prime} \Sigma_{00} d \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}$. The null geodesic equation can be solved by $\varphi=\sqrt{-\Sigma_{00}} \cdot \tau+$ const. and $\widetilde{\varphi}=\tau$, where $\tau$ is an affine parameter.

We now focus on or blow up the geometry near the geodesic. To do this we introduce the coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\theta}=\frac{r}{\sqrt{k \alpha^{\prime}}}, \quad \theta=\frac{y}{\sqrt{k \alpha^{\prime}}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and take the large volume limit, i.e., $k \rightarrow \infty$.
We first redefine $\varphi$ by rescaling it as $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi /\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)$. Thus, in the BMN limit we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& d s^{2}=-\frac{2 k \alpha^{\prime}}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}} d \widetilde{x}^{-} d x^{+}-\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}} r^{2}+y^{2}\right]\left(d x^{+}\right)^{2}+d r^{2}+\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}} \cdot r^{2} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2} \\
& \quad+d y^{2}+y^{2} d \psi^{2}-k \alpha^{\prime}\left[\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{4}} \cdot \frac{r^{2}}{k \alpha^{\prime}}\right]\left(d \widetilde{x}^{-}\right)^{2}-2 \frac{\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{4}} r^{2} d \widetilde{x}^{-} d x^{+}+\cdots, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{x}^{-}=\widetilde{\varphi}-\varphi, \quad x^{+}=\varphi, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the ellipsis consists of terms sub-leading in $k$. After rescaling $\widetilde{x}^{-}$and $x^{+}{ }^{20}$, the six dimensional plane wave geometry takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-2 d x^{-} d x^{+}-\left(\mu^{2} r^{2}+y^{2}\right) d x^{+^{2}}+d r^{2}+\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}} \cdot r^{2} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2}+d y^{2}+y^{2} d \psi^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{-}=k \alpha^{\prime} \widetilde{x}^{-}$and the parameter $\mu$ depends on the deformation coupling $\lambda$. $\mu$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2}:=\frac{(1+\gamma)^{2}}{(1-\gamma)^{2}}, \quad \gamma=\frac{\lambda}{4} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]The metric (3.6) has conical singularity at $r=0$ unless $\widetilde{\psi} /\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)$ is periodic with a period $2 \pi$, see [60]. We redefine $\widetilde{\psi}$ by rescaling it as $\widetilde{\psi} \rightarrow\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \widetilde{\psi}$. This restore the periodicity of $\widetilde{\psi}$ to $2 \pi$, see appendix A and the discussion in [22]. The metric now becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-2 d x^{-} d x^{+}-\left(\mu^{2} r^{2}+y^{2}\right) d x^{+^{2}}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2}+d y^{2}+y^{2} d \psi^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that in the case the deformation coupling $\lambda$ is zero, the plane wave background is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-2 d x^{-} d x^{+}-\left(r^{2}+y^{2}\right) d x^{+^{2}}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2}+d y^{2}+y^{2} d \psi^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two form $B$ field has in general the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B_{-a} d \widetilde{x}^{-} \wedge d Z^{a}+B_{+a} d x^{+} \wedge d Z^{a}+\frac{1}{2} B_{a b} d Z^{a} \wedge d Z^{b} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z^{a}=(\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\psi}, \tilde{\theta}, \varphi, \psi, \theta)$. In general, we can use the gauge freedom to set $B_{+a}=0$, see e.g. [61]. We first use this gauge condition and then take the BMN limit. In this limit the $B$ field (modulo a closed two-form) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
B & =B_{r \widetilde{\psi}} d r \wedge d \widetilde{\psi}+B_{y \psi} d y \wedge d \psi  \tag{3.11}\\
& =-2 \mu x^{+} r d r \wedge d \widetilde{\psi}-2 x^{+} y d y \wedge d \psi
\end{align*}
$$

The three-form flux $H$ is given by $H=d B$. In general, we can consider admixture of NSNS and RR fluxes [61].

In the BMN limit the dilaton (2.10) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \phi}=\frac{g_{s}^{2}}{(1-\gamma)^{2}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It depends only on the coupling.
In the next section by applying $T$-duality on the plane wave geometry (3.8) we obtain Gödel (type) universes. In superstring theory, $T$-duality changes the chirality of fermions. It changes, for example, type IIB string theory to type IIA string theory. In this paper, we will mainly be concerned with bosonic string theory [62].

## 4. Gödel universes in the $T \bar{T}$ deformed string theory

In string theory Gödel (type) universes are $T$-dual of plane wave solutions [35-36]. See also [44, 46]. In this section we obtain the Gödel (type) universe from the plane wave spacetime (3.8). The spacetime (3.8) with $\mu^{2} \neq 1$ is viewed as the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation of the spacetime (3.9).

In this section we consider the deformed spacetime with the metric (3.8). We will assume that no NSNS two form $B$ field is turned on [36]. ${ }^{21}$ The relevant metric (3.8), which we write again below for convenience, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-2 d x^{-} d x^{+}-\left(\mu^{2} r^{2}+y^{2}\right) d x^{+^{2}}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2}+d y^{2}+y^{2} d \psi^{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spacetime (4.1) is homogeneous [62]. The Ricci scalar $R$ is independent of $\mu$ and equals zero. We first define the coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{x}^{1}=r \cos \widetilde{\psi}, \quad \widetilde{x}^{2}=r \sin \widetilde{\psi}, \quad \widetilde{x}^{3}=y \cos \psi, \quad \widetilde{x}^{4}=y \sin \psi . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these coordinates the metric becomes ${ }^{22}$
$d s^{2}=-2 d x^{-} d x^{+}-\left[\mu^{2}\left(\left(\widetilde{x}^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\widetilde{x}^{2}\right)^{2}\right)+\left(\left(\widetilde{x}^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\widetilde{x}^{4}\right)^{2}\right)\right] d x^{+2}+\left(d \widetilde{x}^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(d \widetilde{x}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(d \widetilde{x}^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(d \widetilde{x}^{4}\right)^{2}$.

We further make the following change of variables ${ }^{23}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{x}^{1}+i \widetilde{x}^{2}=\left(x^{1}+i x^{2}\right) e^{-i \mu x^{+}}, \quad \widetilde{x}^{3}+i \widetilde{x}^{4}=\left(x^{3}+i x^{4}\right) e^{-i x^{+}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this new set of coordinates the metric takes the form [63]

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =-2 d x^{-} d x^{+}+\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(d x^{i}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{4} J_{i j} x^{i} d x^{j} d x^{+} \\
& =-2 d x^{+}\left(d x^{-}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{4} J_{i j} x^{i} d x^{j}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(d x^{i}\right)^{2},  \tag{4.6}\\
& =-2 d x^{+}\left(d x^{-}-\sum_{i=1}^{4} b_{i+} d x^{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(d x^{i}\right)^{2}, \quad b_{i+}:=\sum_{j=1}^{4} J_{i j} x^{j},
\end{align*}
$$

${ }^{21}$ In the presence of the $B$ field (3.11) the spacetime (3.8) is invariant under $T$ duality (after making the trivial change $\chi \rightarrow-\chi$, where $\chi$ is the T-dual coordinate), i.e., it is self T-dual.
${ }^{22}$ In the variables $\widetilde{x}^{i}$, the $B$ field (3.11) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=-2 x^{+}\left(\mu d \widetilde{x}^{1} \wedge d \widetilde{x}^{2}+d \widetilde{x}^{3} \wedge d \widetilde{x}^{4}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three form flux is $H=d B=-2 d x^{+} \wedge\left(\mu d \widetilde{x}^{1} \wedge d \widetilde{x}^{2}+d \widetilde{x}^{3} \wedge d \widetilde{x}^{4}\right)$.
${ }^{23}$ In general we can make $\mu$ positive by sending $x^{ \pm} \rightarrow-x^{ \pm}$. Thus, we will assume $\mu$ to be positive. We will come again to this point momentarily.
where $J_{i j}=-J_{j i}$ and the nonzero entries of $J_{i j}$ are $^{24}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{12}=-J_{21}=\mu, \quad J_{34}=-J_{43}=1 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience, in what follows, we work with dimensionless coordinates. We redefine $x^{-}, x^{i}$ as $x^{-} / l_{s}^{2}, x^{i} / l_{s}$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{+}=t+w, \quad 2 x^{-}=t-w \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and rewrite the metric as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-d t^{2}+d w^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(d x^{i}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{4} J_{i j} x^{i} d x^{j}(d t+d w) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the coordinate $w$ is spacelike and an isometry of the spacetime. Thus, we can perform $T$-duality along $w[64]$. This gives the Gödel (type) universe

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-\left(d t+\sum_{i, j=1}^{4} J_{i j} x^{i} d x^{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(d x^{i}\right)^{2}+d q^{2} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the two-form $B$ field (modulo a closed two-form) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B_{i q} d x^{i} \wedge d q, \quad B_{i q}=-J_{i j} x^{j} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where summation over repeated indices is assumed. The three-form flux is given by $H=$ $d B=\sum_{i, j=1}^{4} H_{i j q} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j} \wedge d q$ and $H_{i j q}=J_{i j}$. The curvature scalar is not invariant under T-duality. The curvature scalar of the metric (4.11) is $R=2\left(1+\mu^{2}\right)$. The dilaton is given by $e^{2 \phi}=g_{s}^{2} /(1-\gamma)^{2}$, see (3.12).

The Gödel type universe (4.11) exhibits the essential properties or attributes of the four dimensional Gödel universe obtained by Kurt Gödel. In particular, we expect the universe (4.11) to contain light-like surface and closed timelike curves. The space (4.11) is homogeneous, i.e., no point is preferred and any point can be reached from any other

24 In the new variables, the $B$ field (4.3) is now given by (modulo a closed two-form)

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=-J_{i j} x^{i} d x^{j} \wedge d x^{+} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where summation over repeated indices is assumed.
point by a symmetry transformation [65]. It has the following Killing vector fields which act transitively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{q}=\partial_{q}, \quad V_{t}=\partial_{t}, \quad B_{i}=\partial_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{4} J_{i j} x^{j} \partial_{t} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{q}=\partial / \partial q, \partial_{t}=\partial / \partial t$, and $\partial_{i}=\partial / \partial x^{i}$. It also has the Killing vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{12}=x^{1} \partial_{2}-x^{2} \partial_{1}, \quad L_{34}=x^{3} \partial_{4}-x^{4} \partial_{3}, \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which generate a $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry, see footnote 26 . The non-trivial commutation relations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[B_{i}, B_{j}\right]=2 J_{i j} V_{t}, \quad\left[L_{i j}, B_{k}\right]=-\sum_{l=1}^{4}\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{j k} \delta_{i l}\right) B_{l} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We hope to study the causal structure of the spacetime (4.11) in detail in a future work. We will take, without loss of generality, $J_{12}$ to be positive. That is, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (3.7) and (4.6).
The interval (4.16) covers the whole positive $\mu$ line. All other values of the coupling can be mapped onto the domain (4.16). In this domain $\gamma$ is connected to zero continuously. As we will discuss momentarily, the restriction (4.16) is related to the curvature singularity of the underlying string theory (2.11), e.g. see the Ricci scalar (2.13). It can also be understood by examining the sign of the $\bar{\partial} \widetilde{\chi} \partial \widetilde{\chi}$ coefficient in (2.5).

We note that the section of the universe in which $x^{1}=$ const. and $x^{2}=$ const. is independent of the coupling, and thus, there are string states that do not deform. These are string states that live on the sphere. For simplicity and convenience we consider the section of the universe where $x^{3}=$ const. and $x^{4}=$ const.. It has the topology of a cylinder times a circle which we uncompactify. The line subspace is parametrized by $q$. In what follows we will consider an observer positioned at $x^{3}=$ const. and $x^{4}=$ const..

Therefore, for constant $x^{3}$ and $x^{4}$, the Gödel (type) universe metric (4.11) is four dimensional and it is the direct sum of the metric on the manifold with coordinates $\left(t, x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$ which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-\left[d t+\mu\left(x^{1} d x^{2}-x^{2} d x^{1}\right)\right]^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(d x^{i}\right)^{2} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the metric on the line which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=d q^{2} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we set, without loss of generality, $q=0$, i.e., we will simply ignore the line subspace in our following discussion as it is also done in [39] for obvious reason. In order to describe the essential characteristic properties of the universe under single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation considering this section is sufficient since the spacetime (4.11) is homogeneous [65, 66], and other choices do not alter the conclusions. ${ }^{25}$ In cylindrical coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{1}=r \sin \xi, \quad x^{2}=r \cos \xi \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

the metric (4.17) becomes ${ }^{26}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-\left(d t-\mu r^{2} d \xi\right)^{2}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \xi^{2} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

25 This section corresponds equivalently to setting $y$ to zero, see equations (3.3), (4.2). This can alternatively be viewed as setting in (4.8) $J_{34}=-J_{43}=0$.

26 The three dimensional subspace of Gödel universe metric obtained by Kurt Gödel is given by, see [39]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=2 \omega^{-2}\left(-d t^{2}+d r^{2}-\left(\sinh ^{4} r-\sinh ^{2} r\right) d \phi^{2}+2 \sqrt{2} \sinh ^{2} r d \phi d t\right), \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-\infty<t<\infty, 0 \leq r<\infty$, and $0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi$. $\phi=0$ is identified with $\phi=2 \pi$. The Ricci scalar $R=2 \Lambda$, where $\Lambda$ is the cosmological constant. It has the value $\Lambda=-\omega^{2}$. It describes a rotating spacetime $\left(g_{\phi t} \neq 0\right)$ filled with noninteracting dust particles of positive energy density $\rho=-R / \kappa$, where $\kappa=8 \pi G_{N}$ is the gravitational constant.

In general we can put the relevant part of the metric (4.11) into the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
d s^{2}=-\left(d t-\mu\left(r_{1}^{2} d \varphi_{1}+r_{2}^{2} d \varphi_{2}\right)\right)^{2}+d r_{1}^{2}+r_{1}^{2} d \varphi_{1}^{2}+\mu\left(d r_{2}^{2}+r_{2}^{2} d \varphi_{2}^{2}\right) \\
=-\left(d t-r^{2}\left(\mu \cos ^{2} \theta d \varphi_{1}+\sin ^{2} \theta d \varphi_{2}\right)\right)^{2}+d r^{2}+r^{2}\left(d \theta^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta d \varphi_{1}^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta d \varphi_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $0 \leq r_{1}, r_{2}<\infty$, and $0 \leq \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \leq 2 \pi .0 \leq r<\infty$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 2 . \theta=0$ is a great circle on the three unit sphere, and it is the case $x^{3}=$ const. and $x^{4}=$ const..

In terms of the left and right invariant Maurer-Cartan one-forms of the three sphere we can write the metric as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-\left[d t-r^{2}\left(\mu_{-} \omega_{3}+\mu_{+} \bar{\omega}_{3}\right)\right]^{2}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \Omega_{3}^{2} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \Omega_{3}^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \omega_{i}^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \bar{\omega}_{i}^{2}$ is the metric on the unit three sphere. $\mu_{ \pm}=(\mu \pm 1) / 4$. $\omega_{i}$ are the left invariant one-forms and $\bar{\omega}_{i}$ are the right invariant one-forms, see Appendix E. The form (4.22) clearly manifests the $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry. We note that in the case $\mu_{-}=0$ the symmetry enhances to $S U(2) \times U(1)$.

It is known that every homogeneous spacetime admits a geodesic which is an orbit of a one-parameter group of isometries [66]. Therefore, consider the curve $\gamma(s)=$ $\{(t(s), r(s), \xi(s))\}$ with $t=$ const. and $r=$ const.. Here $s$ is a point in $\mathbb{R}$ (or an interval in $\mathbb{R})$. The norm of the Killing vector $L_{12}:=v=\left((\partial / \partial \xi)^{\mu}\right)=(0,0,1)$ which is tangent to this curve is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2}:=v_{\mu} v^{\mu}=g_{\xi \xi}=r^{2}\left(1-\mu^{2} r^{2}\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a spacelike tangent vector $v^{2}>0$. Since $v^{2} \leq 0$ for $r \geq r_{c}$, where $r_{c}$ is the critical radius given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{c}:=1 / \mu \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

there exists closed timelike and null curves. However, we stress that there are no closed timelike and null geodesics. The critical radius is the outermost radial point a geodesic which started at the origin can reach. The Gödel spacetime is homogeneous. ${ }^{27}$ Thus, for every point of the spacetime a closed timelike curve can be found that passes through it. However, every closed timelike curve must intersect the outer region $r>r_{c}$.

Light rays emitted from the origin follow a spiral trajectory [39]. In a finite affine parameter they reach the surface at $r=r_{c}$. The surface at $r_{c}$ is a trivial bundle over a null circle and it is referred to as velocity-of-light surface. Then, the light rays begin to reconverge and, in the same affine parameter, they reach the origin. The behavior of timelike geodesics is similar. They reach a maximum value of $r$ less than $r_{c}$ and then reconverge at the origin with same affine parameter. Light emitted from beyond the critical radius $r>r_{c}$ can never reach the origin.

The holographic screen is obtained by maximizing the area of constant $t$ and $r$ [38]. The area is one dimensional and it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(r)=2 \pi \cdot r\left(1-\mu^{2} r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the location of the holographic screen

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathcal{H}}=\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu}=\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}} r_{c}, \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

27 Note that, on the contrary to the usual assumption in cosmological models, the spacetime is not isotropic.
which is less than the critical radius. Therefore, the closed timelike curves are either hidden from the observer by the screen or they are cut into causal parts. The area (4.26) at the holographic screen radius $r_{\mathcal{H}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(r_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\frac{\pi}{\mu} . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives a bound on the entropy $S[38,67,68]$. It is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{A\left(r_{\mathcal{H}}\right)}{4}=\frac{\pi}{4 \mu} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $S$ is positive and at $\gamma=1$ it is zero. The angular speed $\Omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ with which Gödel (type) universe rotates is given by [32, 69],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathcal{G}}:=\frac{1}{r_{\mathcal{H}}} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $r_{\mathcal{H}}$ determines the intrinsic rotation (or vorticity) of the universe and also defines the holographic screen. In string units, we have $l_{s}=1 / 2^{\frac{1}{2}}$, thus, we can write $r_{\mathcal{H}}=(1 / \mu) l_{s} .{ }^{28}$

Consider the case in which the coupling $\gamma$ is positive (4.16). That is, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. Thus, in this case as $\gamma$ becomes larger and larger, $\mu$ goes to infinity, and hence $r_{\mathcal{H}}$ goes to zero. The holographic screen moves into the bulk. The other case is when the coupling $\gamma$ is negative. In this case $-1 \leq \gamma \leq 0$. We note that as the norm of $\gamma$ gets larger and larger, $\mu$ goes to zero, and hence $r_{\mathcal{H}}$ goes to infinity. Thus, the holographic screen moves away from the bulk. That is, it pushes the closed timelike curves further away to infinity. Therefore, in view of these facts and the uniqueness/factorization property (see e.g., $[5,6])$, in holography, it is natural to view the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation as moving the boundary either into the bulk or out to infinity. In what follows, we explain these observations and draw some conclusions on single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation. For simplicity we will mainly use the Poincaré coordinates (2.11).

28 For convenience one may find it is useful to think of $r_{\mathcal{H}}$ as the radial location of the AdS boundary. This will become more evident momentarily.

## 5. Moving holographic boundaries with $T \bar{T}$

We noted earlier in section two that, depending on the sign of the coupling, we have two inequivalent classes of string theory backgrounds, see (2.11). However, once we decide which sign to consider, the value of the coupling can be fixed to a preferred value, i.e., $\gamma= \pm 1$, by shifting the radial coordinate $\widetilde{\theta}$. In this section we use the observations made in the previous section to better understand the (single trace) $T \bar{T}$ deformation.

First, let us consider the case in which $\gamma$ equals zero. The boundary (or spacetime) theory is conformal. The holographic boundary is located at large $\rho$. We recall that in general $\rho$ enters in the Ricci scalar (see (2.13)) through the combination $\gamma \rho^{2}$. Thus, in general the natural variable or coordinate to work with or better suited is not $\rho$, but $\gamma \rho^{2}$. Recall that we obtained the metric by taking $\gamma \rightarrow 0, \rho \rightarrow \infty$ and keeping $\gamma \rho^{2}$ finite constant. Since the Ricci scalar of AdS is constant (and negative), at the boundary we in particular also have $|\gamma| \rho^{2}:=b_{0}=$ finite const., see (2.13). ${ }^{29}$

In general the constant $b_{0}$ can take any positive value. We note that for small $b_{0}$ the metric (2.11) can be put (up to an overall positive constant) into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=k \alpha^{\prime}\left[\frac{d r^{2}}{r^{2}}+r\left(-d t^{2}+d x^{2}\right)\right], \quad 0 \leq r \leq b_{0} \ll 1 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=|\gamma| \rho^{2}, t=\widetilde{\varphi} / \sqrt{|\gamma|}, x=\widetilde{\psi} / \sqrt{|\gamma|}$. The Ricci scalar $R=-3 / 2$. Note $R$ does not depend on $r$ and thus $b_{0}$. However, since (5.1) is an exact solution to string theory we can extend the range or the value of $b_{0}$ to one. Therefore, we set $b_{0}=1 .{ }^{30}$ Thus, at the boundary $\rho=\lim _{|\gamma| \rightarrow 0} 1 / \sqrt{|\gamma|}$. It is (useful and convenient to think of $b_{0}$ as) the position of the (conformal) boundary in string units. The coordinate $r$ has a natural interpretation as "conformal" coordinate. This is complementary to our comment in footnote 7 .

In the case in which the coupling $\gamma$ is negative, we note that the deformed string metric (2.11) is well-defined and has a non-singular Ricci scalar (2.13). We choose, without loss of generality, $\gamma=-1$; this is our preferred value. We can always achieve this by redefining the coordinates.

We note that this choice of value for $\gamma$ corresponds, in our discussion in the earlier section, to placing Gödel's holographic screen at infinity, see (4.27). Thus, at $\gamma=-1$ there

[^2]are no closed timelike curves in the subspacetime (4.17). In general it corresponds to a slowly rotating Gödel (type) universe (4.30). Thus, in view of this, the timelike boundary of $\operatorname{AdS}$ is washed away to infinity. The boundary is at $-\gamma \rho^{2}:=b_{-1}=\infty$ in string units.

For positive coupling, on the other hand, the Ricci scalar (see (2.13)) is always singular. Thus, for positive values of the coupling $\gamma$, the boundary ${ }^{31}$ turns into a singularity. Therefore, at the boundary or singulaity $\gamma \rho^{2}=1$, and thus, as we increases $\gamma, \rho$ decreases, see plot $c$ ) in Fig. 1. Note we are not assuming a specific value for the coupling. It can take any positive value. ${ }^{32}$ We expect this also to be the case in the usual $T \bar{T}(x)$ deformation as well, since, as we explained in the introduction, in the untwisted sector the single trace and ordinary $T \bar{T}(x)$ deformations are the same.

In general a positive coupling $\gamma$, as we noted in the discussion in the earlier section, corresponds to a relatively fast rotating Gödel (type) universe (4.30). As we increase $\gamma$ to one, the holographic screen moves towards the origin. Thus, at $\gamma=1$ it terminates or ceases to exist and therefore, the Gödel (type) universe becomes pathological [39].

Had we taken the Penrose limit, around a different $\tilde{\theta}$ value or null geodesic, the interval (4.16) which we write here again for convenience

$$
\begin{equation*}
-4 \leq \lambda \leq 4 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the value of $\lambda$ at which the holographic screen ceases to exist, i.e., $\lambda=4$, would have been different. That is to say, the coupling $\lambda$ can take any value. ${ }^{33}$ Therefore, in general, positive values of the coupling $\lambda$ do not lead to a sensible theory. However, we still would have the same interval (4.16) for $\gamma$, except that we would now have to normalize $\lambda$ differently. The value of $\gamma$ at which the holographic screen terminates or dissolves would still also be the same, i.e., $\gamma=1$. Thus, we still would have the same conclusions.

It is interesting to note that the presence of the curvature singularity (see (2.11)) is captured in the T-dual of the BMN limit by the dissolution of the holographic screen at $\gamma=1$. It is also interesting to note that since $\gamma$ can take any value between zero and one (see (4.16)), and also since they give equivalent string backgrounds (see (2.11)),

[^3]the discussion above implies, moving the AdS boundary into the bulk creates a curvature singularity. In this case the timelike boundary ceases to exist, i.e., it dissolves. Therefore, the metric (2.11) loses its physical meaning in the same way the Gödel (type) universe does, i.e., the holographic screen closes in on the observer and the universe becomes empty (and pathological).

In general, to make the theory sensible and avoid the curvature singularity we need to introduce a UV cutoff. We require $\rho \leq \rho_{0}<e^{\widetilde{\theta}_{0}}$ where $\widetilde{\theta}_{0}:=-\frac{1}{2} \ln \gamma$. We also need to provide suitable boundary conditions for the bulk fields at $\rho_{0}$. The radial position of the boundary is $\gamma \rho_{0}^{2}:=b_{1}$ in string units. Thus, we have $b_{1}<b_{0}$. Therefore, this way single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation is said to move the boundary into the bulk.

These observations are in agreement with one's expectations. In the case $\gamma \leq 0$ we expect the deformed spacetime theory to be free of singularities and/or ambiguities. In particular, since the NEC is satisfied, we expect the energies to be real. ${ }^{34}$ Indeed, for negative coupling, the spectrum of the deformed spacetime theory dual to the long string sector (quantized on a circle) is real, see [7] and references therein. However, the boundary (radial) position $b_{0}$ is now washed away to infinity $b_{-1}$, i.e., $b_{0}<b_{-1}=\infty$. In the case $\gamma>0$ we expect a pathology/feature analogous or dual to the curvature singularity. In general, for positive coupling, the spectrum is complex, see [7] and references therein. Thus, the creation of the curvature singularity is accompanied in the deformed theory by the energies of some states becoming imaginary. In this case the timelike boundary ceases to exist, i.e., it dissolves. To make the theory well-defined, in general, we may need to impose a UV cutoff, i.e., $b_{1}<b_{0}$, and a set of suitable boundary conditions at $b_{1}$. However, we stress that it is not clear whether such a set exists.

## 6. Discussion

In this paper we studied Gödel (type) universes (4.23) in single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation to gain insights into (single-trace) $T \bar{T}$ deformation and holography. The single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformed string background (2.6) is obtained by performing TsT transformation on $A d S_{3}$. In global coordinates, to the best of my knowledge, the single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformed
${ }^{34}$ One exception to this, e.g., is the Casimir vacuum [70].
string background (2.6), which we again write here for convenience, has not been reported elsewhere in the literature before. The deformed string action in global coordinates is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}=\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} z \partial Y^{a} \Sigma_{a b} \bar{\partial} Y^{b}, \quad k \alpha^{\prime} \Sigma_{a b}=g_{a b}+B_{a b} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y^{a}=\left(Y^{0}, Y^{1}, Y^{2}\right)=(\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\theta}), g_{a b}$ is the metric and $B_{a b}$ is the NSNS two form field. The background fields $\Sigma_{a b}$, the dilaton $\phi$ and the NSNS three form flux $H$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{22} & =1 \\
\Sigma_{11} & =\left(e^{2 \phi} / g_{s}^{2}\right) \sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} \\
\Sigma_{00} & =-\left(e^{2 \phi} / g_{s}^{2}\right) \cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} \\
\Sigma_{01} & =-\Sigma_{10}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{2 \phi} / g_{s}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}-\cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})\right),  \tag{6.2}\\
B & =B_{01} d \widetilde{\varphi} \wedge d \widetilde{\psi}, \quad B_{01}=k \alpha^{\prime} \Sigma_{01}, \\
H & =d B=H_{012} d \widetilde{\varphi} \wedge d \widetilde{\psi} \wedge d \widetilde{\theta}, \quad H_{012}=\partial B_{01} / \partial \widetilde{\theta} \\
e^{2 \phi} & =\frac{g_{s}^{2}}{1+\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cosh (2 \widetilde{\theta})} .
\end{align*}
$$

The coupling $\lambda<4$ since $\tilde{\theta}$ is positive. The radial location of the curvature singularity is given by the zero of $e^{-2 \phi}$. At $\lambda=4$, the singularity is at $\widetilde{\theta}=0$.

To examine the situation in which $\lambda$ is very close to 4 or equivalently $\widetilde{\theta}$ is very small, we first write $\gamma=\lambda / 4=1-\epsilon^{2}$ and $\widetilde{\theta}^{2}=\epsilon^{4}\left(1-\alpha^{4}\right) / 4$, where $\epsilon \ll 1$. We assume, without loss of generality, $\alpha$ is, at least, of the order of $\epsilon^{1 / 4}$. This gives the metric $d s^{2}=$ $\frac{1}{16 \epsilon^{4}}\left(d \epsilon^{4} \alpha^{4}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4} \alpha^{4}} d \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}+\frac{\epsilon^{4}}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4} \alpha^{4}} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2}$. The $B$ field is $B_{01}=k \alpha^{\prime} /\left(2 \epsilon^{2} \alpha^{4}\right)$. The dilaton is given by $e^{2 \phi}=g_{s}^{2} /\left(\epsilon^{4} \alpha^{4}\right)$. The background fields can be put into the form

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =k \alpha^{\prime}\left(d r^{2}-\frac{1}{r} d t^{2}+\frac{1}{r} d \eta^{2}\right) \\
& =k \alpha^{\prime}\left(d r^{2}-\frac{d x^{+} d x^{-}}{r}\right) \\
B & =\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{r} \cdot d t \wedge d \eta  \tag{6.3}\\
& =\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot d x^{-} \wedge d x^{+} \\
\phi & =-\frac{1}{2} \ln r+\kappa_{\epsilon}, \quad \kappa_{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{g_{s}^{2}}{4 \epsilon^{2}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $r=\epsilon^{2} \alpha^{4} / 4 \ll 1, t=(1 / 2 \epsilon) \cdot \widetilde{\varphi}, \eta=(\epsilon / 4) \cdot \widetilde{\psi}$ and $x^{ \pm}=t \pm \eta .{ }^{35}$ The Ricci scalar is $R=-7 /\left(2 r^{2}\right)=-56 /\left(\epsilon^{4} \alpha^{8}\right)$. Note that $\eta$ parameterizes a non-contractable loop, and thus the metric has no conical singularity at $r=0$. Note for a given $\epsilon, \tilde{\theta}$ increases to $\epsilon^{2} / 2 \ll 1$ as we decrease $r$ to zero. The geometry the metric describes looks like a funnel, see Fig.
2. Thus, the strings get stretched more and more as $r$ gets closer and closer to zero.


Fig. 2: The plot depicts the space the metric $d s^{2} / k \alpha^{\prime}$ (6.3) describes at constant $t$. The compact direction is $\eta$. The distance from the symmetry axis to the surface is $1 / r$. Along the symmetry axis $r$ increases.

35 In (super)gravity the metric, flux and dilaton (6.3) are governed by the action [71]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2 \kappa^{2}} \int d^{3} x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2 \Phi}\left[R+4(\nabla \Phi)^{2}-\frac{1}{12} H^{2}\right] \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{a}=\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}\right)=(t, \eta, r)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\Phi}=\frac{e^{\phi}}{g_{s}}, \quad \frac{1}{2 \kappa^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 \kappa_{0}^{2} g_{s}^{2}}=\frac{1}{16 \pi G_{N}} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{N}$ is the gravitational coupling constant in three spacetime dimensions.
The background fields (6.3) solve the equations of motion that follow from the action (6.4)

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\mu \nu}+2 \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \Phi-\frac{1}{4} H_{\mu \rho \sigma} H_{\nu}^{\rho \sigma} & =0 \\
\nabla^{\rho}\left(e^{-2 \Phi} H_{\mu \nu \rho}\right) & =0+\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} g_{\mu \alpha} g_{\nu \beta} \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{2}\right),  \tag{6.6}\\
R+4 \nabla^{2} \Phi-4(\nabla \Phi)^{2}-\frac{1}{12} H^{2} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon^{01}=-\varepsilon^{10}=-1$ and $\varepsilon^{21}=-\varepsilon^{12}=0$ is the totally antisymmetric tensor.

Note that if we naively take $\lambda=4$ in (2.7), (2.9) and (2.10) the background fields reduces to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d s^{2}=k \alpha^{\prime}\left(d \widetilde{\theta}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{coth}^{2} \widetilde{\theta} d \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2}\right)  \tag{6.7}\\
g_{s}^{2} e^{-2 \phi}=4 \cdot \sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}
\end{array}
$$

The three form NSNS flux $H$ is zero. The metric describes the would be exterior spacetime had it exist or been physical. Thus, we need to apply Wick rotations. We now apply a Wick rotation on $\tilde{\psi}$ to analytical continue to a Euclidean signature. There is no $B$ field. Thus, we simply set $\widetilde{\psi}=-i \xi$. The result of the rotation and the trivial redefinition $\widetilde{\theta} \rightarrow \widetilde{\theta} / 2$ is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d s^{2}=\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{4}\left[d \widetilde{\theta}^{2}+\operatorname{coth}^{2}(\widetilde{\theta} / 2) d \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}+d \xi^{2}\right]  \tag{6.8}\\
g_{s}^{2} e^{-2 \phi}=4 \cdot \sinh ^{2}(\widetilde{\theta} / 2)
\end{array}
$$

The Ricci invariant is $R=-4 \cdot \operatorname{csch}^{2}(\widetilde{\theta} / 2)$. We could compactify $\widetilde{\varphi}$ on a unit circle such that $0 \leq \widetilde{\theta}<\infty$, and $0 \leq \widetilde{\varphi}, \xi \leq 2 \pi$. The metric has the topology of $D^{2} \times S^{1}$, where $D^{2}$ is a two-disc. At $\tilde{\theta}=0$ it has a curvature singularity. Note that $\widetilde{\varphi}$ parameterizes a non-contractable loop, and thus it need not be periodic to avoid a conical singularity at $\widetilde{\theta}=0$. The geometry which the metric describes looks like a funnel, see Fig. 2. It is wider at $\widetilde{\theta}=0$. It asymptotes for large $\widetilde{\theta}$ to a cylinder. The T-duality transformation on $\widetilde{\varphi}$ gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d s^{2}=\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{4}\left[d \widetilde{\theta}^{2}+\tanh ^{2}(\widetilde{\theta} / 2) d \iota^{2}+d \xi^{2}\right],  \tag{6.9}\\
g_{s}^{2} e^{-2 \phi}=4 \cdot \cosh ^{2}(\widetilde{\theta} / 2),
\end{array}
$$

where $0 \leq \widetilde{\theta}<\infty$, and $0 \leq \iota, \xi \leq 2 \pi$. The Ricci invariant is $R=4 \cdot \operatorname{sech}^{2}(\widetilde{\theta} / 2)$. We note in particular that the T-dual solution has no singularity, i.e., the curvature and dilaton are regular, however it has a horizon [72]. The manifold that the metric describes at constant $\xi$ looks like a semi-cigar [73, 74], see Fig. 3. The tip of the cigar is at $\widetilde{\theta}=0$. Far away from the tip the manifold asymptotes to a cylinder.

We note that a further Wick rotation on $\widetilde{\varphi}$ in (6.8) gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d s^{2}=\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{4}\left[d \widetilde{\theta}^{2}-\operatorname{coth}^{2}(\widetilde{\theta} / 2) d \tau^{2}+d \xi^{2}\right],  \tag{6.10}\\
g_{s}^{2} e^{-2 \phi}=4 \cdot \sinh ^{2}(\widetilde{\theta} / 2) .
\end{array}
$$

This is the result obtained in [75-77] in the semi-classical large $k$ limit. This describes the singularity. Another way to get the result (6.10) from (6.7) is by performing the following three steps.


Fig. 3: The plot depicts the space the metric $d s^{2} / k \alpha^{\prime}(6.9)$ describes at constant $\xi$. The compact direction is $\iota$. The distance from the symmetry axis to the surface is $\tanh (\tilde{\theta} / 2)$. Along the symmetry axis $\widetilde{\theta}$ increases.

1) We first make a T-duality transformation along the $\widetilde{\varphi}$ coordinate. This introduces the T-dual coordinate $\iota$. The solution we get has no curvature and/or dilaton singularity. However, it has a regular horizon. The result is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d s^{2}=k \alpha^{\prime}\left(d \widetilde{\theta}^{2}+4 \cdot \tanh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} d \iota^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \cdot d \widetilde{\psi}^{2}\right),  \tag{6.11}\\
g_{s}^{2} e^{-2 \phi}=\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}
\end{array}
$$

where $\iota$ is periodic with period $\pi$.
2) We next apply Wick rotations on the T-dual coordinate $\iota$ and $\widetilde{\psi}$. This is allowed since the metric and dilaton are not singular (and also there is no $B$ field). We simply set $\iota=-i v$ and $\widetilde{\psi}=-i \xi$. This changes the metric signature. We find

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d s^{2}=k \alpha^{\prime}\left(d \widetilde{\theta}^{2}-4 \cdot \tanh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} d v^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \cdot d \xi^{2}\right)  \tag{6.12}\\
g_{s}^{2} e^{-2 \phi}=\cosh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}
\end{array}
$$

3) We finally apply inverse T-duality transformation along the Wick rotated T-dual coordinate $v$, see [78]. This introduces the dual coordinate $\tau$. This gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d s^{2}=k \alpha^{\prime}\left(d \widetilde{\theta}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \cdot \operatorname{coth}^{2} \widetilde{\theta} d \tau^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \cdot d \xi^{2}\right)  \tag{6.13}\\
g_{s}^{2} e^{-2 \phi}=4 \cdot \sinh ^{2} \widetilde{\theta}
\end{array}
$$

The curvature is singular at $\tilde{\theta}=0$ and thus $\tau$ need not be compact and periodic. This is the result (6.10), whereupon $\tau$ is uncompactified.

To describe, in the sense of [79], the interior of the singularity, we analytically continue $\widetilde{\theta}$. This gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d s^{2}=\frac{k \alpha^{\prime}}{4}\left[-d \chi^{2}+\cot ^{2}(\chi / 2) d \tau^{2}+d \xi^{2}\right],  \tag{6.14}\\
g_{s}^{2} e^{-2 \phi}=4 \cdot \sin ^{2}(\chi / 2),
\end{array}
$$

where $-\pi \leq \chi \leq 0$. The Ricci invariant is $R=4 \csc ^{2}(\chi / 2)$. At $\chi=0$, the dilaton and curvature diverge. Thus, the solutions (6.10) and (6.14) matches at $\chi=0=\widetilde{\theta}$. $\chi=-\pi$ corresponds to the horizon. Therefore, the black hole solution is naked. The observation is in agreement with one's expectation and in general positive $\lambda$ leads to unphysical phenomena. Note $\chi$ and $\widetilde{\theta}$ are different coordinates and together they do not correspond a single solution [79]. We will discuss in detail (6.7) and its interior solution (6.14) in a separate paper.

In string theory, Gödel (type) universes are (usually) obtained first by taking the Penrose limit and then by performing $T$-duality. The Penrose limit of the spacetime $\mathcal{A}_{3} \times S^{3}$ with the metric given by the direct sum of (6.2) and the metric on the three sphere (2.2) is given by (3.8), which we again write below for convenience

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-2 d x^{-} d x^{+}-\left(\mu^{2} r^{2}+y^{2}\right) d x^{+^{2}}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2}+d y^{2}+y^{2} d \psi^{2} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is given by (3.7). It is interesting to note that in the case the coupling $\gamma=-1$, i.e. $\mu=0$, the spacetime (6.15) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =-2 d x^{-} d x^{+}-y^{2} d x^{+^{2}}+d y^{2}+y^{2} d \psi^{2}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2} \\
& =-2 d x^{+}\left(d x^{-}+y^{2} d \widehat{\psi}\right)+d y^{2}+y^{2} d \widehat{\psi}^{2}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2}  \tag{6.16}\\
& =-2 d x^{+}\left(d x^{-}-b_{\widehat{\psi}+} d \widehat{\psi}\right)+d y^{2}+y^{2} d \widehat{\psi}^{2}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \widetilde{\psi}^{2}, \quad b_{\widehat{\psi}+}:=-y^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have made the replacement $\psi=\widehat{\psi}+x^{+}$, and also we have defined $b:=y^{2} d x^{+} \wedge d \psi$ (see (3.11)), see [80] for a discussion on field theory and the dynamics of a particle with fixed $p_{-}$on the metric (6.16), where $p_{-}$is the momentum that is canonically conjugate to $x^{-}$. The T-dual of the spacetime (6.15) is the Gödel (type) universe given in (4.11), or equivalently, in (4.22) which we write again below

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-\left[d t-r^{2}\left(\mu_{-} \omega_{3}+\mu_{+} \bar{\omega}_{3}\right)\right]^{2}+d r^{2}+r^{2} d \Omega_{3}^{2}+d q^{2} \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{ \pm}=(\mu \pm 1) / 4$, and $\omega_{i}$ are the left invariant one-forms and $\bar{\omega}_{i}$ are the right invariant one-forms of the unit three sphere with metric $d \Omega_{3}^{2}$.

We showed that depending on the sign of the deformation coupling single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation either speeds up or slows down the intrinsic rotation (or vorticity) of the universe. That is, the holographic screen (4.27) is either moved radially into the bulk or out to infinity relative to its original position (which is $r_{\mathcal{H}}=l_{s}$ in string units). This naturally suggests or shows that in holography (single-trace) $T \bar{T}$ deformation can be viewed, in general, as either moving the holographic boundary into the bulk or washing it away to infinity. In AdS this breaks the spacetime conformal symmetry. We noted that moving timelike boundary into bulk creates a curvature singularity. Thus, the timelike boundary ceases to exist. The creation of the singularity is understood or noted in the deformed spacetime theory by the energies of some states becoming imaginary.

It is interesting to note that, in general, cosmological models or spacetimes, which are flat or nearly so, do not have (observer independent) timelike boundaries, and hence, as in the Gödel (type) universe, single-trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation is not expected to generate a curvature singularity (in particular upon moving the boundaries into the bulk). Therefore, it is not expected to involve inconsistencies and pathological results. It may be useful in constructing (physical) cosmological universes and to gain insights into black hole singularity.

In a future work, we hope to study the string theory spectrum in the plane wave background (3.8). In particular, we would like to study the properties of the theory as a function of the parameter $\mu$ and better understand the constraint (4.16). We also would like to study (single trace) $T \bar{T}$ deformation in the presence of fermions [81, 82]. In particular we would like to know whether it can be understood in terms of fermionic $T$-dualities and shift [53, 83]. We also hope to study, in a future work, the causal structure of the singletrace $T \bar{T}$ deformed Gödel (type) universe with black hole [84]. This can teach us also the causal structure of the related Kerr spacetime under (single-trace) $T \bar{T}$ deformation. We plan also to study the asymptotic symmetry algebra of the background (6.2) with a black hole in a future work.
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## Appendix A. Bosonic T-duality

We briefly review T-duality in Type II bosonic string theory. See [50-52, 53] for detailed discussions.

Consider the string sigma model action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\int d^{2} z \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L}=\partial X^{m} \Sigma_{m n}(X) \bar{\partial} X^{n} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The background fields are organized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{m n}:=G_{m n}+B_{m n}, \quad G_{m n}=G_{n m}, \quad B_{m n}=-B_{n m} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{m n}$ are the components of the metric $G$ and $B_{m n}$ are the components of the KalbRamond NSNS two-form $B$ field. We omitted a term linear in the dilaton $\Phi$ field. The dilaton enters at the quantum level, see, e.g., [85].

We assume the background fields $\Sigma_{m n}$ and $\Phi$ are independent of $X^{1}$. Thus, the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{1} \rightarrow X^{1}+c \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a symmetry of the action, where $c$ is a constant. Therefore, we can couple the theory to a gauge field $A_{a}$ on the worldsheet. We replace $\partial X^{1} \rightarrow \partial X^{1}+A$ and $\bar{\partial} X^{1} \rightarrow \bar{\partial} X^{1}+\bar{A}$. The gauged Lagrangian density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\partial X^{m} \Sigma_{m n} \bar{\partial} X^{n}+\partial X^{m} \Sigma_{m 1} \bar{A}+A \Sigma_{1 n} \bar{\partial} X^{n}+A \Sigma_{11} \bar{A}+\chi(\partial \bar{A}-\bar{\partial} A) \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the field $\chi$ is a Lagrange multiplier. The equation of motion of $\chi$ forces $A_{a}$ to be the derivative of a scalar, i.e., $F_{a b}=\partial_{a} A_{b}-\partial_{b} A_{a}=0$. Therefore, we get back the original same action after fixing the gauge, e.g. by assuming $X^{1}=0$, and integrating out $\chi$. In general, however, $F_{a b}=0$ does not necessarily imply the gauge field potential $A_{c}$ can be written globally as the derivative of a scalar field. That is, it does not necessarily imply the gauge potential is exact globally, i.e. there could be obstructions. $F_{a b}=0$ only implies the gauge field is, at minimum, a pure gauge which is, by definition, a local statement.

We assume $X^{1}$ is periodic and compact, i.e., at fixed worldsheet time it parametrizes a circle. Thus, the symmetry (A.3) is a global $U(1)$ (zero-form) symmetry. See [50-52, 53] for discussions in the case in which $X^{1}$ is not compact, and in the case in which T-duality does not apply or is not an exact symmetry.

We fix the gauge by assuming $X^{1}=$ const.. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int d^{2} z \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L}=\partial X^{\widehat{m}} \Sigma_{\widehat{m} n} \bar{\partial} X^{\widehat{n}}+\partial X^{\widehat{m}} \Sigma_{\widehat{m} 1} \bar{A}+A \Sigma_{1 \widehat{n}} \bar{\partial} X^{\widehat{n}}+A \Sigma_{11} \bar{A}+\chi(\partial \bar{A}-\bar{\partial} A) \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{m}$ takes all values of $m$ except $m=1$. Integrating out the field $\chi$, i.e., eliminating it using its equation of motion, gives back (A.1). The equation of motion of $\chi$ is $F_{a b}=0$. This is solved by $A=\partial \chi^{1}$ and $\bar{A}=\bar{\partial} \chi^{1}$, where $\chi^{1}$ is identified with $X^{1}$. The first de Rham cohomology group of $S^{1}$ (or punctured plane) is $H^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\mathbb{R} .^{36}$ Thus, in general a one form on $S^{1}$ is not exact. However, since $X^{1}$ is compact and thus it winds around in either directions integer times, we can still write the gauge potential on $S^{1}$ as the gradient of a compact scalar and make the above identifications. This will get us back to the original action. Note the gauge potential is not exact but it is closed since the scalar can wind.

If we instead perform integration by parts, it leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}=\int d^{2} z \mathcal{L}^{\prime}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{\prime}=\partial X^{\widehat{m}} \Sigma_{\widehat{m}} \bar{\partial} X^{\widehat{n}}+A \Sigma_{11} \bar{A}-\left(\partial \chi-\partial X^{\widehat{m}} \Sigma_{\widehat{m} 1}\right) \bar{A}+A\left(\Sigma_{1 \widehat{n}} \bar{\partial} X^{\widehat{n}}+\bar{\partial} \chi\right) \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating out the gauge fields $A$ and $\bar{A}$ using their equations of motion gives the T-dual Lagrangian density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}=\partial X^{\widehat{m}} \sigma_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}} \bar{\partial} X^{\widehat{n}}+\partial \chi \sigma_{\chi \widehat{m}} \bar{\partial} X^{\widehat{m}}+\partial X^{\widehat{m}} \sigma_{\widehat{m} \chi} \bar{\partial} \chi+\partial \chi \sigma_{\chi \chi} \bar{\partial} \chi \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\chi \chi}=\frac{1}{\Sigma_{11}}, \quad \sigma_{\chi \widehat{m}}=\frac{\Sigma_{1 \widehat{m}}}{\Sigma_{11}}, \quad \sigma_{\widehat{n} \chi}=-\frac{\Sigma_{\widehat{n} 1}}{\Sigma_{11}}, \quad \sigma_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}}=\Sigma_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}}-\frac{\Sigma_{\widehat{m} 1} \Sigma_{1 \widehat{n}}}{\Sigma_{11}} . \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Jacobian factor introduced in the path integral measure by the change of variables leads to a shift of the dilaton. The new dilaton $\phi$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\Phi-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\Sigma_{11}\right), \quad \Sigma_{11}=G_{11} . \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The new background fields are arranged as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}}=g_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}}+b_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}}, \quad g_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}}=g_{\widehat{n} \widehat{m}}, \quad b_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}}=-b_{\widehat{n} \widehat{m}}, \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{36}$ The $r$ th de Rham cohomology group $H^{r}(M)$ of a differentiable manifold $M$ is defined by the quotient $Z^{r}(M) / B^{r}(M)$, where $Z^{r}(M)$ is the set of closed $r$ forms and $B^{r}(M)$ is the set of exact $r$ forms. Each set is a group.
and similar expressions for $\sigma_{\chi \widehat{n}}, \sigma_{\widehat{n} \chi}, \sigma_{\chi \chi}$. Thus, the components of the new metric $g$ and two-form $b$ field are given in terms of those in (A.2) by the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\chi \chi}=\frac{1}{G_{11}}, \quad g_{\chi \widehat{m}}=\frac{B_{1 \widehat{m}}}{G_{11}}, \quad g_{\widehat{n} \chi}=-\frac{B_{\widehat{n} 1}}{G_{11}}, \quad g_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}}=G_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}}-\frac{1}{G_{11}}\left(G_{\widehat{m} 1} G_{1 \widehat{n}}+B_{\widehat{m} 1} B_{1 \widehat{n}}\right), \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\chi \widehat{m}}=\frac{G_{1 \widehat{m}}}{G_{11}}, \quad b_{\widehat{n} \chi}=-\frac{G_{\widehat{n} 1}}{G_{11}}, \quad b_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}}=B_{\widehat{m} \widehat{n}}-\frac{1}{G_{11}}\left(G_{\widehat{m} 1} B_{1 \widehat{n}}+B_{\widehat{m} 1} G_{1 \widehat{n}}\right) . \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relations (A.9), (A.11) and (A.12) are also known as the Buscher rules.
Note that the field $\chi$ in (A.7) started its life as a Lagrange multiplier and in general we are free to multiply it by a field independent factor, e.g., to remove a conical singularity see e.g. (3.8) and (6.9).

We note that in the case in which $\Sigma_{11}$ has zero scaling dimensions the operator $A \bar{A}$ is exactly marginal. It does not acquire anomalous dimensions since the fields $A$ and $\bar{A}$ are not dynamical. Thus, we can deform (A.6) by adding $A \bar{A}$. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime \prime}=\int d^{2} z \mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}=\partial X^{\widehat{m}} \Sigma_{\widehat{m n}} \bar{\partial} X^{\widehat{n}}+A \Sigma_{11}^{\prime} \bar{A}-\left(\partial \chi-\partial X^{\widehat{m}} \Sigma_{\widehat{m} 1}\right) \bar{A}+A\left(\Sigma_{1 \widehat{n}} \bar{\partial} X^{\widehat{n}}+\bar{\partial} \chi\right) \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{11}^{\prime}=\Sigma_{11}+\lambda \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\lambda$ is the deformation coupling.

## Appendix B. The single trace $T \bar{T}$ operator

We briefly review string theory on $A d S_{3}$. We also give the vertex operator that defines the single trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation. We primarily follow the discussion presented in [29].

We consider bosonic string theory on $A d S_{3}$. It is described by the Wess-ZuminoWitten (WZW) sigma model on the group manifold $S L(2, R)$. The worldsheet action possesses the affine current (Kac-Moody) Lie alegrba $s l(2) \times s l(2)$. The chiral currents obey the OPE

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{a}\left(z_{1}\right) J^{b}\left(z_{2}\right) \sim \frac{k}{2} \frac{\eta^{a b}}{\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{f_{c}^{a b}}{z_{1}-z_{2}} J^{c}\left(z_{2}\right) \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta^{a b}$ is the Killing metric, $f_{c}^{a b}$ are the structure constants and $k$ is the level of $s l(2)$. We will assume the level $k$ is sufficiently large. Similar expressions for the $\bar{J}^{a}$. The worldsheet stress-energy-momentum tensor is given by the Sugawara construction

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\mathrm{ws}}(z)=\frac{1}{k-2} \eta_{a b} J^{a} J^{b}(z) \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar expression for the $\bar{T}(\bar{z})$.
Physical operators are represented by vertex operators. The vertex operators and in general primary operators are eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on AdS. The eigenvalues give the worldsheet scaling dimensions. For a vertex operator to create a physical state it must have weight $(1,1)^{37}$, and thus, it must be marginal. Most important vertex operators are in general exactly marginal.

The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{h}(z ; x)=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(|\gamma-x|^{2} e^{\phi}+e^{-\phi}\right)^{-2 h} \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian operator in the coordinates $(\phi, \gamma, \bar{\gamma})$. The variables $(x, \bar{x})$ are auxiliary and labels points on a two dimensional manifold. Thus, we regard them as position coordinates on the auxiliary space.

The primary operator $\Phi_{h}(z ; x)$ has the worldsheet scaling dimensions $\left(\Delta_{h}, \bar{\Delta}_{h}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{h}=\bar{\Delta}_{h}=\frac{-h(h-1)}{k-2} \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In momentum space, see [7], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{h}(z ; p)=\frac{2^{-2 h+2}}{\Gamma(2 h)} \cdot e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} \cdot e^{2(h-1) \phi} \cdot \alpha^{2 h-1} \cdot K_{-2 h+1}(\alpha), \quad \alpha:=|\vec{p}| e^{-\phi}, \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\nu}$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind and $\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}=\bar{p} \cdot \gamma+p \cdot \bar{\gamma} \cdot K_{\nu}$ has the property that $K_{-\nu}=K_{\nu}$ for all $\nu$. Near $\alpha=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{i \nu}(\alpha) & \approx-\log (\alpha / 2)\left(1-\frac{1}{6} \nu^{2} \log ^{2}(\alpha / 2)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\nu^{4}\right), \quad \nu \geq 0  \tag{B.6}\\
K_{\nu}(\alpha) & \approx \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\nu)\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{-\nu}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\nu)>0
\end{align*}
$$

We note using the property of $K_{\nu}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{-h+1}(z ; p)=\frac{\Gamma(2 h)}{\Gamma(-2 h+2)} G_{h}(z ; p), \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

37 In general after multiplying it by an appropriate vertex operator from the internal CFT.
where $G_{\nu}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\nu}(z ; p):=\left(\frac{|\vec{p}|^{2}}{4}\right)^{-\nu} \Phi_{\nu}(z ; p) \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for real $h$ we only need to know $\Phi_{h}(z ; x)$ for $h \geq 1 / 2$. For complex $h=1 / 2+i s$, it relates $s>0$ with $s<0$. Thus, the operators $\Phi_{1 / 2+i s}$ and $\Phi_{1 / 2-i s}$ are not independent. They are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\frac{1}{2}-i s}(z ; p)=\frac{\Gamma(1+2 i s)}{\Gamma(1-2 i s)} G_{\frac{1}{2}+i s}(z ; p) \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the large $\phi$ limit we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{h}(z ; p)=\frac{1}{2 h-1} \cdot e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} \cdot e^{2(h-1) \phi}=\frac{1}{2 j+1} e^{2 j \phi+i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(h)>\frac{1}{2} \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=h-1$. Using the series representation of $K_{\nu}(\alpha)$, in the small $\alpha$ limit, the first few relevant or dominant terms are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{h}(z ; p)=\frac{1}{2 h-1} \cdot e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} \cdot e^{2(h-1) \phi}+\frac{\Gamma(-2 h+1)}{\Gamma(2 h)} \cdot 4^{-2 h+1} \cdot|\vec{p}|^{4 h-2} \cdot e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} \cdot e^{-2 h \phi}+\cdots \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rewrite this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{h}(z ; p)=\frac{1}{2 h-1}\left(e^{2(h-1) \phi}+R_{h} \cdot e^{-2 h \phi}\right) e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}}+\cdots, \quad R_{h}=\frac{\Gamma(-2 h+1)}{\Gamma(2 h-1)}\left(\frac{|\vec{p}|^{2}}{4}\right)^{2 h-1} \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in momentum space two point function of operators $\mathcal{O}_{h, h}:=\mathcal{O}$ of conformal dimensions $(h, h)$ is $\pi \cdot R_{h} /(2 h-1)$, see [7]. In position space the operators are normalized such that $\langle\mathcal{O}(x) \mathcal{O}(y)\rangle=1 /|x-y|^{4 h}$. Thus, in the large $\phi$ limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{h}(z ; p)=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{2 \Delta-d} \cdot \rho^{-(d-\Delta)}+\langle\mathcal{O}(p) \mathcal{O}(-p)\rangle \cdot \rho^{-\Delta}\right) e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} \tag{B.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $d=2, \Delta=2 h$ and $\rho=e^{\phi}$. We hope to investigate the analog of (B.13) in the theory (6.1) in a future work.

We note that in the case $h=1 / 2$ the two terms are equivalent and $R_{h}=-1$. For exact result one can use (B.5) and the first equation in (B.6). We get (see also [27] for a related discussion)

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1 / 2}(z ; p) & =-\log \left(|\vec{p}| e^{-\phi} / 2\right) \cdot e^{-\phi} \cdot e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi}\left[\pi \log \left(2 \cdot e^{\phi}\right) \cdot e^{-\phi}-\frac{\pi}{2} \log \left(|\vec{p}|^{2} / 4\right) \cdot e^{-\phi}\right] e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} \tag{B.14}
\end{align*}
$$

In the large $\phi$ limit $\Phi_{1 / 2}$ goes to zero. In general in the case $2 h-1=n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}, R_{h}$ is divergent since the gamma function has simple poles at negative integers and it needs to be regularized, see [7] for further discussion.

We also note that for $\operatorname{Re}(h)>1 \Phi_{h}$ is divergent. The natural norm $\int\left|\Phi_{h}\right|^{2} \Omega_{3}=$ $\frac{1}{2} \int d \phi d \gamma d \bar{\gamma} e^{2 \phi}\left|\Phi_{h}\right|^{2}$ implies $\Phi_{h}$ is non-normalizable for $\operatorname{Re}(h) \geq 1 / 2$, where $\Omega_{3}$ is the invariant volume element. Therefore, for $\operatorname{Re}(h) \geq 1 / 2$ it corresponds on the boundary to a local operator. For $h=1 / 2+i s$ with $s>0$, the norm $\frac{1}{2} \int d \phi d \gamma d \bar{\gamma} e^{2 \phi} \Phi_{h}^{*} \cdot \Phi_{h^{\prime}} \propto$ $\delta^{(2)}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \cdot \delta\left(s-s^{\prime}\right) / s^{2}$, see (B.11). ${ }^{38}$ Thus, in this case $\Phi_{h}$ is delta-function normalizable. The operators $\Phi_{h}$ with $h=\frac{1}{2}+i s$ belong to the principal continuous representations of $S L(2, R)$. They correspond to (normalizable) states in the boundary CFT.

The global chiral symmetry is generated by the zero modes. On functions they are represented by the differential operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}^{-}=\partial_{\gamma}, \quad J_{0}^{3}=\gamma \partial_{\gamma}-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\phi}, \quad J_{0}^{+}=\gamma^{2} \partial_{\gamma}-\gamma \partial_{\phi}-e^{-2 \phi} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} \tag{B.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar expressions for the $\bar{J}_{0}^{a}$. Near the (conformal) boundary $\phi=+\infty$, we note, e.g. see (B.10), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}^{-} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{0}^{-}:=-\partial_{x}, \quad J_{0}^{3} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{0}^{3}:=-x \partial_{x}-j, \quad J_{0}^{+} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{0}^{+}:=-x^{2} \partial_{x}-2 x j \tag{B.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=h-1$. They generate global conformal transformations on the auxiliary space $(x, \bar{x})$. Thus, we interpret the auxiliary space as the boundary spacetime and $(x, \bar{x})$ as the boundary spacetime coordinates.

The primary operator $\Phi_{h}$ has spacetime scaling dimensions $(h, h)$. In particular the primary operator $\Phi_{1}(z ; x)$ has spacetime scaling dimensions $(1,1)$. Near the boundary of AdS

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}(z ; x)=\delta^{(2)}(\gamma-x) \tag{B.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

see e.g. (B.5) or (B.10). Thus, we write near the boundary of AdS

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{j+1}(z ; x)=\frac{1}{2 j+1} \delta^{(2)}(\gamma-x) e^{2 j \phi}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(j)>-\frac{1}{2} \tag{B.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{38}$ Note that one can normalize the operator by some function of only $h$ such that the norm is $\delta^{(2)}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \cdot \delta\left(s-s^{\prime}\right)$.

For convenience we now organize the chiral currents. We first introduce a nearboundary worldsheet current by taking some linear combination. Consider the chiral current

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(z ; y):=-J^{+}(z ;-y)=-e^{y \mathcal{D}_{0}^{-}} J^{+}(z ; 0) e^{-y \mathcal{D}_{0}^{-}}=-J^{+}(z)+2 y J^{3}(z)-y^{2} J^{-}(z), \tag{B.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J^{+}(z):=J^{+}(z ; 0)$. We note that $J$ has spacetime scaling dimension $(-1,0)$, see (B.16). Similarly we then note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{3}(z ;-x)=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x} J(z ; x), \quad J^{-}(z ;-x)=-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x}^{2} J(z ; x)=J^{-}(z) \tag{B.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar expressions for the $\bar{J}^{a}(\bar{z} ;-\bar{x})$.
The worldesheet stress-tensor (B.2) is given in terms of the worldsheet current $J$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\mathrm{ws}}(z)=\frac{1}{2(k-2)}\left[J \partial_{x}^{2} J-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x} J\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{B.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we set $x=0$. Similar expression for the $\bar{T}^{\mathrm{ws}}(\bar{z})$.
Primary fields near the boundary are defined with respect to $J$ via the OPE

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(z_{1} ; x_{1}\right) \Phi_{h}\left(z_{2} ; x_{2}\right) \sim \frac{2 j\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2} \partial_{x_{2}}}{z_{1}-z_{2}} \Phi_{h}\left(z_{2} ; x_{2}\right) \tag{B.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=h-1$. Similar OPE expression with $\bar{J}\left(\bar{z}_{1}, \bar{x}_{1}\right)$.
The near boundary gravition or spin 2 vertex operator polarized along the boundary of AdS and corresponding to diffeomorphisms that preserve the asymptotic form of AdS is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z ; x)=\frac{1}{2(k-2)}\left(\partial_{x} J \partial_{x} \Phi_{1}+2 \partial_{x}^{2} J \Phi_{1}\right) \bar{J} \tag{B.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In three spacetime dimensions the graviton has no propagating degrees of freedom. Thus, the graviton resides solely on the boundary. The spacetime energy-momentum tensor is obtained by integrating the (near-boundary) graviton vertex operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x)=\int d^{2} z T(z ; x) \tag{B.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar expression for $\bar{T}(\bar{x})$.
The dilaton or spin zero vertex operator near the boundary is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(z ; x)=\left(\partial_{x} J \partial_{x}+2 \partial_{x}^{2} J\right)\left(\partial_{\bar{x}} \bar{J} \partial_{\bar{x}}+2 \partial_{\bar{x}}^{2} \bar{J}\right) \Phi_{1} \tag{B.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary dilaton or spin zero operator is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x)=\int d^{2} z D(z ; x) \tag{B.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It obeys the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d^{2} x D(x, \bar{x})=4 \int d^{2} z J^{-\bar{J}^{-}}(z, \bar{z}) \tag{B.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows by changing order of integrations and performing integration by parts. In the Wakimoto realization of the $s l(2)$ Lie algebra, the conserved current $J^{-}:=\beta$, see Appendix C. $D(x, \bar{x})$ has the spacetime scaling dimensions (2,2). The equality (B.27) defines the single trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation. See Appendix C for more discussion on this.

## Appendix C. Single trace $T \bar{T}$ deformation

We briefly review the single trace deformation and give the deformed spacetime spectrum in a given winding or twisted sector. We mainly follow the discussion presented in [7].

Bosonic string theory on $A d S_{3}$ can be equivalently described in the Wakimoto realization of $S L(2, R)$ by the worldsheet action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} z\left(\partial \phi \bar{\partial} \phi-\frac{2}{\alpha_{+}} \phi R^{(2)}+\beta \bar{\partial} \gamma+\bar{\beta} \partial \bar{\gamma}-\beta \bar{\beta} e^{-\frac{2}{\alpha_{+}} \phi}\right), \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{+}=\sqrt{2(k-2)}, k$ is the level and $R^{(2)}$ is the curvature of the wordsheet. At $\phi=+\infty$, the null coordinates $\gamma=x-t$ and $\bar{\gamma}=x+t$. Therefore, the zero mode of the current $J^{-}$associated with the Killing vector field $\partial_{\gamma}$ is related to the spacetime energy $E$ and momentum $P$. The fields $\beta$ and $\bar{\beta}$ can be identified with the background fields $A$ and $\bar{A}$ in (A.13). Since the operator $e^{-\frac{2}{\alpha_{+}} \phi}$ has zero worldsheet scaling dimensions, as we noted in (A.13), we can deform the action (C.1) by adding $\beta \bar{\beta}$. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} z\left[\partial \phi \bar{\partial} \phi+\beta \bar{\partial} \gamma+\bar{\beta} \partial \bar{\gamma}-\beta \bar{\beta}\left(e^{-\frac{2}{\alpha_{+}} \phi}-\lambda\right)\right] \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We omitted the dilaton term. The coupling $\lambda$ is dimensionless. Integrating out the fields $\beta$ and $\bar{\beta}$ using their equations of motion we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} z\left(\partial \phi \bar{\partial} \phi+\frac{e^{\frac{2}{\alpha_{+}} \phi}}{1-\lambda e^{\frac{2}{\alpha_{+}} \phi}} \partial \bar{\gamma} \bar{\partial} \gamma\right) \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in agreement with (2.11) and equations (D.35) and (D.37) in the next Appendix. The dilaton is determined by requiring worldsheet conformal invariance (to all orders in $\left.\alpha^{\prime}\right)$.

The Hilbert space of string theory on $A d S_{3}$ is given by a tensor product of a short and long string sectors. Near the asymptotic boundary, i.e., in perturbation theory in the string coupling $g_{s}^{2} \propto 1 / p \propto 1 / N$, the long string sector is believed to be described in the dual boundary theory by a symmetric product $S^{N}(\mathcal{M}):=\mathcal{M}^{N} / S_{N}$, where $p$ is the (maximal) number of long strings, $N$ is the degree of the symmetric group $S_{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is the theory dual to a single long string.

In the long string sector in the case the theory is quantized on a circle the deformed spacetime spectrum is given by [7],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}(\lambda)=\bar{P}-\frac{1}{2 A}\left(B+\sqrt{B^{2}-4 A C}\right) \tag{C.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2} \lambda, \quad B=-w+\lambda \bar{P}, \quad C=w\left(\bar{E}_{0}-\bar{P}\right), \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}=\frac{R \mathcal{E}}{2 \pi}, \quad \bar{P}=\frac{R P}{2 \pi}, \quad \bar{E}_{0}=\bar{E}(\lambda=0) \tag{C.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is the winding number of the long string which counts the number of times the string winds around the compact spatial direction. We rewrite the spectrum (C.4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{1+2 \alpha \bar{E}_{0}+\alpha^{2} \bar{P}^{2}}-1\right), \quad \alpha=-\frac{\lambda}{w} . \tag{C.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note $\bar{E}_{0}$ is the energy of the undeformed state in the winding or twisted sector $w$ and $\bar{P}$ is its momentum. In the case $w=1$ or untwisted sector the spectrum (C.4) is that of a $T \bar{T}$ deformed CFT. This is in agreement with the identification that in the long string sector the single trace deformation $D(x, \bar{x})$ is equivalent to a symmetric product of the $T \bar{T}$ deformed CFT $\mathcal{M}$.

We note that in the $w$ twisted sector the states with $\bar{E}_{0}=\bar{P}$ do not flow. That is, $\bar{E}(\alpha)=\bar{E}_{0}$.

## Appendix D. Single trace deformations as $O(d, d)$ transformations

We give the (super)gravity solutions of single trace deformation in the most general case. We also give the deformed currents along the flow. We consider single trace $T \bar{T}, J \bar{T}, T \bar{J}$ and $J \bar{J}$ deformations. We follow [19].

We begin with string theory on $A d S_{3} \times S^{1} \times \mathcal{X}$. The $S^{1}$ can be part of, e.g., $T^{4}$ or $S^{3}$. The $S^{1}$ component gives rise in the boundary conformal field theory to a $U(1)$ current algebra generated by the spacetime currents $J$ and $\bar{J}$. We will simply ignore $\mathcal{X}$ since it is not relevant for our discussion.

The metric $g$ and two-form $B$ field are

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=g_{m n} d X^{m} d X^{n}=d \rho^{2}+e^{2 \rho} d \gamma d \bar{\gamma}+\frac{1}{k} d \psi^{2}, \quad B=\frac{1}{2} B_{m n} d X^{m} \wedge d X^{n}=\frac{1}{2} e^{2 \rho} d \gamma \wedge d \bar{\gamma} \tag{D.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\phi, \gamma, \bar{\gamma})$ are the coordinates on $A d S_{3}$ and $\psi \sim \psi+2 \pi$ is the coordinate on $S^{1}$. The dilaton field $\Phi$ is constant (since the isometry group of the bulk AdS spacetime is the group of conformal symmetries of the boundary spacetime).

The classical string sigma model action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int d^{2} z \bar{\partial} X^{m}(g+B)_{m n} \partial X^{n} \tag{D.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dilaton enters at one loop in $\alpha^{\prime}$.
Suppose $V_{a}=v_{a}^{m} \partial_{m}, a=i, \bar{j}$ are the generators of the target space isometries, i.e., Killing vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{V_{a}} g=0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{V_{a}} \Phi=0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{V_{a}} B=-d \widetilde{V}_{a}, \quad \widetilde{V}_{a}=\widetilde{v}_{a m} d X^{m} \tag{D.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{V}_{a}$ are one-forms. ${ }^{39}$ Note, since $d^{2}=0$, we have $d \mathcal{L}_{V_{a}} B=\mathcal{L}_{V_{a}} d B=\mathcal{L}_{V_{a}} H=0$, where $H=d B$ is the three-form NSNS flux. The corresponding associated currents are given by
$J_{i} / \sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{i}}=v_{i}^{m}(g+B)_{m n} \partial X^{n}+\widetilde{v}_{i m} \partial X^{m}, \quad \bar{J}_{\bar{i}} / \sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{\bar{i}}}=v_{\bar{i}}^{m}(g-B)_{m n} \bar{\partial} X^{n}-\widetilde{v}_{\bar{i} m} \bar{\partial} X^{m}:=J_{\bar{i}}$,
where $\mathcal{N}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{i}}$ are normalization constants. In general in a CFT one can choose different normalization constants for $J_{i}$ and $\bar{J}_{\bar{i}}$. We will below choose $\mathcal{N}_{i}=1$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{i}}=1$.

39 Thus, $\delta X=\epsilon_{a}\left(v_{a}^{m} \partial_{m} X-X^{m} \partial_{m} V_{a}\right)$ and $\delta B_{m n}=-\epsilon_{a}\left(\partial_{m} \widetilde{v}_{a n}-\partial_{n} \widetilde{v}_{a m}\right)$. The action variation is $\delta S=\int d^{2} z\left(\bar{\partial} \epsilon_{a} \cdot J_{a}+\partial \epsilon_{a} \cdot \bar{J}_{a}\right)+$ equations of motion. This defines the currents.

We introduce a generalized Killing vector field $V_{a}^{M}=\left(v_{a}^{m}, \widetilde{v}_{a m}\right)$. We will assume the generalized Killing vectors $V_{a}^{M}$ form a closed algebra with structure constants $f_{a b}^{c}$.

Consider $2 q$ generalized Killing vector fields $\mathcal{V}_{i}=\left(V_{i}{ }^{M}, V_{\bar{i}}^{M}\right), i=1,2, \cdots, q$. Define the set of matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{i \bar{j}}\right)_{M}^{N}=\left(t_{i \bar{j}}\right)_{M}^{N}-\left(t_{\bar{j} i}\right)_{M}^{N}, \quad\left(t_{i \bar{j}}\right)_{M}^{N}=V_{i M} V_{\bar{j}}^{N}, \tag{D.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
V_{a M}=\eta_{M N} V_{a}^{N}, \quad \eta_{M N}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \delta_{m}^{n}  \tag{D.6}\\
\delta_{n}^{m} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\left(t_{i \bar{j}}\right)_{M}^{N}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\widetilde{v}_{i m} v \frac{n}{j} & \widetilde{v}_{i m} \widetilde{v}_{\bar{j} n}  \tag{D.7}\\
v_{i}^{m} v_{\bar{j}}^{n} & v_{i}^{m} \widetilde{v}_{\bar{j} n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The matrix $T_{i \bar{j}}$ satisfy the algebra of the group $O(d, d)$, i.e., $\eta \cdot T_{i \bar{j}}+\eta \cdot T_{i \bar{j}}^{t}=0$, where $T_{i \bar{j}}^{t}$ is the transpose of $T_{i \bar{j}}$ and $\eta$ is the $O(d, d)$ invariant metric given in (D.6).

Define the $O(d, d)$ matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{M}^{N}=e^{-r^{i \bar{j}}\left(T_{i \bar{j}}\right)_{M}^{N}=e^{-2 r^{i \bar{j}}\left(t_{i \bar{j}}\right)_{M}^{N}}, \quad r^{i \bar{j}}=-r^{\bar{j} i}, .} \tag{D.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r^{i \bar{j}}$ is an antisymmetric matrix of couplings. For constant $T_{i \bar{j}}$, the $O(d, d)$ transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{M N}^{\prime}=h_{M}^{P} \mathcal{H}_{P Q}\left(h^{t}\right)^{Q}{ }_{N}, \quad e^{-2 \Phi} \sqrt{-g}=e^{-2 \Phi^{\prime}} \sqrt{-g^{\prime}}, \tag{D.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a symmetry of string theory [19]. The generalized metric $\mathcal{H}$ is defined ${ }^{40}$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{M N}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g_{m n}-(B g B)_{m n} & (B g)_{m}^{n}  \tag{D.11}\\
-(B g)_{n}^{m} & g^{m n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

40 To see how the generalized metric $\mathcal{H}$ naturally arises, consider the generalized vector $V^{M}=$ $\left(W_{\sigma}^{m}, \Pi_{m}^{\tau}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=W_{\sigma}^{m} \partial_{m}+\Pi_{m}^{\tau} d X^{m}, \quad W_{\sigma}^{m}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \partial_{\sigma} X^{m}, \quad \Pi_{m}^{\tau}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{X}^{m}}, \quad \dot{X}^{m}=\partial_{\tau} X^{m} \tag{D.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $\tau, \sigma$ ) are worldsheet coordinates. $\sigma$ is the spatial coordinate along the string and $\tau$ describes its propagation in time. $\Pi_{m}^{\tau}=G_{m n} \Pi^{\tau n}$ is the canonical momentum density conjugate to $X^{m}$. In a situation in which the spatial dimension $X^{m}$ is compactified on a circle $S^{1}$ of radius $R$, i.e. $X^{m}(\tau, \sigma+2 \pi)=X^{m}(\tau, \sigma)+2 \pi R w$, the zero mode $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \sigma W_{\sigma}^{m}=w R$ gives (e.g., with $R=1$ in units of length) the winding number $w$ of the string and $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \sigma \Pi_{m}^{\tau}=n / R$ gives (e.g., with $R=1$ in units of length) the quantized integer $n$ which is also called the Kaluza-Klein excitation number.

At the classical level, the Hamiltonian density is then given by $H=\Pi_{m}^{\tau} \dot{X}^{m}-\mathcal{L}=$ $V^{M} \mathcal{H}_{M N}\left(V^{t}\right)_{N}$. The Virasoro constraints are $V^{M} \mathcal{H}_{M N}\left(V^{t}\right)_{N}=0$ and $V^{M} \eta_{M N}\left(V^{t}\right)^{N}=0$. $\mathcal{H}$ is an element of the group $O(d, d)$, i.e. $\mathcal{H} \eta \mathcal{H}^{t}=\eta$.

Thus, for constant $T_{i \bar{j}}, O(d, d)$ gives (super)gravity solutions. In our discussion below $T_{i \bar{j}}$ are constant matrices. The transformation (D.9) corresponds on the worldsheet to current bilinear deformations.

In the cases the $r$ matrix with entries $r^{i \bar{j}}$ is a solution of the Classical Yang-Baxter Equation (CYBE),

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a b}^{c_{1}} r^{c_{2} a} r^{c_{3} b}+f_{a b}^{c_{2}} r^{c_{3} a} r^{c_{1} b}+f_{a b}^{c_{3}} r^{c_{1} a} r^{c_{2} b}=0, \tag{D.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the deformation, at least to first order in perturbation theory in the couplings, is marginal. The CYBE (D.12) is trivially satisfied in the case the structure constants $f \frac{k}{i j}=0$.

In the cases we shortly examine, not only $T_{i \bar{j}}$ are constant matrices but the $r$ matrix satisfies the stronger equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j} r^{i \bar{l}} r^{j \bar{m}} f_{i j}^{k}=\sum_{\overline{i j}} r^{l \bar{i}} r^{m \bar{j}} f_{\overline{i j}}^{\bar{k}}=0 \tag{D.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus, the deformation is expected to be marginal at finite values of the couplings [86]. The condition (D.13) is sufficient for exact marginality of the deformation only for constant $T_{i \bar{j}}$. The deformed worldsheet Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ obeys the flow equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda^{i \bar{j}}}=J_{i}^{\prime} J_{\bar{j}}^{\prime}=J_{i}^{\prime} \bar{J}^{\prime}{ }_{\bar{j}}, \quad \lambda^{i \bar{j}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(r^{i \bar{j}}-r^{\bar{j} i}\right)=r^{i \bar{j}} \tag{D.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The deformed currents $J_{a}^{\prime}$ along the flow are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}^{\prime} / \sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{i}^{\prime \prime}}=v_{i}^{m}\left(g^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)_{m n} \partial X^{n}+\widetilde{v}_{i m} \partial X^{m}, \quad \bar{J}_{\bar{i}}^{\prime} / \sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{\bar{i}}^{\prime}}=v_{\bar{i}}^{m}\left(g^{\prime}-B^{\prime}\right)_{m n} \bar{\partial} X^{n}-\widetilde{v}_{\bar{i} m} \overline{\bar{\partial}} X^{m}:=J_{\bar{i}} \tag{D.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{i}^{\prime}=\mathcal{N}_{\bar{i}}^{\prime}$ is in general a smooth function of the couplings and $V_{a}^{M}=\left(v_{a}^{m}, \widetilde{v}_{a m}\right), a=$ $i, \bar{j}$ are the Killing vector fields (D.3). In general the generalized Killing fields $V_{a}^{M}$ do not remain Killing fields under an $O(d, d)$ transformation. We will assume the normalization $\mathcal{N}_{i}^{\prime}=\mathcal{N}_{\bar{i}}^{\prime}=1$ unless there is a different natural choice, see e.g. subsection D.4. below.

The generalized Killing vector fields $V_{a}^{M}$ of the (super)gravity string background (D.2) are

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
V_{1}^{M}=\left(\partial_{\gamma}, 0\right), & V_{\overline{1}}^{M}=\left(\partial_{\bar{\gamma}}, 0\right) \\
V_{2}^{M}=\left(-\gamma \partial_{\rho}+\gamma^{2} \partial_{\gamma}-e^{-2 \rho} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}},-\gamma d \rho-d \gamma\right), & V_{\overline{2}}^{M}=\left(-\bar{\gamma} \partial_{\rho}+\bar{\gamma}^{2} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}}-e^{-2 \rho} \partial_{\gamma},-\bar{\gamma} d \rho-d \bar{\gamma}\right) \\
V_{3}^{M}=\left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\rho}+\gamma \partial_{\gamma},-\frac{1}{2} d \rho\right), & V_{\overline{3}}^{M}=\left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\rho}+\bar{\gamma} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}}, \frac{1}{2} d \rho\right) \\
V_{4}^{M}=\frac{k}{2}\left(\partial_{\psi}, \frac{1}{k} d \psi\right), & V_{\overline{4}}^{M}=\frac{k}{2}\left(\partial_{\psi},-\frac{1}{k} d \psi\right) \tag{D.16}
\end{array} .
$$

They satisfy (in the sense of the Courant bracket [87], a generalization of the Lie bracket) the algebra

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
{\left[V_{1}^{M}, V_{2}^{M}\right]=2 V_{3}^{M},} & {\left[V_{3}^{M}, V_{1}^{M}\right]=-V_{1}^{M},} & {\left[V_{3}^{M}, V_{2}^{M}\right]=V_{2}^{M}} \\
{\left[V_{\overline{1}}^{M}, V_{2}^{M}\right]=2 V_{\overline{3}}^{M},} & {\left[V_{3}^{M}, V_{\overline{1}}^{M}\right]=-V_{\overline{1}}^{M},} & {\left[V_{3}^{M}, V_{\overline{2}}^{M}\right]=V_{\overline{2}}^{M}}  \tag{D.17}\\
{\left[V_{i}^{M}, V_{i}^{M}\right]=0,} & {\left[V_{\bar{i}}^{M}, V_{\bar{i}}^{M}\right]=0,} & {\left[V_{i}^{M}, V_{\bar{j}}^{M}\right]=0}
\end{array} .
$$

Consider the Killing vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}^{M}=\left(\partial_{\gamma}, 0\right), \quad V_{\overline{1}}^{M}=\left(\partial_{\bar{\gamma}}, 0\right), \quad V_{4}^{M}=\frac{k}{2}\left(\partial_{\psi}, \frac{1}{k} d \psi\right), \quad V_{\overline{4}}^{M}=\frac{k}{2}\left(\partial_{\psi},-\frac{1}{k} d \psi\right) \tag{D.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated currents are (D.15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}:=J^{-}=e^{2 \rho} \partial \gamma, \quad J_{\overline{1}}:=\bar{J}^{-}=e^{2 \rho} \bar{\partial} \gamma, \quad J_{4}:=J=\partial \psi, \quad J_{\overline{4}}:=\bar{J}=\bar{\partial} \psi \tag{D.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the deformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} J^{-} \bar{J}^{-}+\alpha_{2} J^{-} \bar{J}+\alpha_{3} \bar{J}^{-} J+\alpha_{4} J \bar{J} . \tag{D.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the currents commute among themselves. The matrix $r^{i \bar{j}}$ is

$$
r^{i \bar{j}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -\alpha_{1} & 0 & -\alpha_{2}  \tag{D.21}\\
\alpha_{1} & 0 & \alpha_{3} & 0 \\
0 & -\alpha_{3} & 0 & -\alpha_{4} \\
\alpha_{2} & 0 & \alpha_{4} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that $v_{a}^{m}$ and $\widetilde{v}_{a}$ are constants (D.18). Thus, $T_{i \bar{j}}$ are constant matrices. Therefore, the transformation (D.9) is guaranteed to give a (super)gravity solution. The $r$ matrix (D.21) also satisfies the condition (D.13), and thus the deformations are exactly marginal.

The $O(4,4)$ transformation (D.9) gives the new (super)gravity solutions $g^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$. The new metric $g^{\prime}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\rho \rho}^{\prime}=1 \\
& g_{\gamma \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime}=-\frac{e^{2 \rho} k \alpha_{4}^{2}}{2 e^{2 \rho}\left(k \alpha_{1} \alpha_{4}^{2}+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\left(-k \alpha_{4}+e^{k \alpha_{4}}-1\right)\right)-2 k \alpha_{4}^{2}}=g_{\bar{\gamma} \gamma}^{\prime}, \\
& g_{\gamma \psi}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{-\frac{2 \alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{4}}-\frac{2 k\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}+\left(e^{-2 \rho}-\alpha_{1}\right) \alpha_{4}\right)}{\alpha_{3}-e^{k \alpha_{4} \alpha_{3}}}=g_{\psi \gamma}^{\prime}}  \tag{D.22}\\
& g_{\bar{\gamma} \psi}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{-\frac{2 \alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{4}}-\frac{2 k\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}+\left(e^{-2 \rho}-\alpha_{1}\right) \alpha_{4}\right)}{\alpha_{2}-e^{k \alpha_{4} \alpha_{2}}}=g_{\psi \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime}} \\
& g_{\psi \psi}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{2 \rho} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}+e^{k \alpha_{4}}\left(k \alpha_{4}^{2}+e^{2 \rho}\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\left(k \alpha_{4}-1\right)-k \alpha_{1} \alpha_{4}^{2}\right)\right)}{k\left(k \alpha_{4}^{2}+e^{2 \rho}\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\left(k \alpha_{4}-e^{k \alpha_{4}}+1\right)-k \alpha_{1} \alpha_{4}^{2}\right)\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

The new two-form $B^{\prime}$ field has the components

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\gamma \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime}=g_{\gamma \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime}, \quad B_{\gamma \psi}^{\prime}=g_{\gamma \psi}^{\prime}, \quad B_{\psi \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime}=g_{\bar{\gamma} \psi}^{\prime} \tag{D.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The new dilaton $\Phi^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \Phi^{\prime}}=g_{s}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{4}^{4} k^{2} e^{\alpha_{4} k}}{\left(\alpha_{4}^{2} k-e^{2 \rho}\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{4}^{2} k+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}(-k)+e^{\alpha_{4} k}-1\right)\right)\right)^{2}}}, \tag{D.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{s}=e^{\Phi}=$ const. is the string coupling.
The deformed currents are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}^{\prime}=v_{i}^{m}\left(g^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)_{m n} \partial X^{n}+\widetilde{v}_{i m} \partial X^{m}, \quad \bar{J}_{\bar{i}}^{\prime}=v_{\bar{i}}^{m}\left(g^{\prime}-B^{\prime}\right)_{m n} \bar{\partial} X^{n}-\widetilde{v}_{\bar{i} m} \overline{\bar{\partial}} X^{m} . \tag{D.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{a}^{M}=\left(v_{a}^{m}, \widetilde{v}_{a m}\right), a=i, \bar{j}$ are the Killing vector fields (D.18).
In the remainder of this appendix we consider different cases.

## D.1. $T \bar{T}, J \bar{T}, T \bar{J}$ deformations

Consider the case in which we turn on $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$. The above metric (D.22) takes in this case the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\rho \rho}^{\prime}=1 \\
& g_{\gamma \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{2 \rho}}{2} \cdot m_{+}=g_{\bar{\gamma} \gamma}^{\prime} \\
& g_{\gamma \psi}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{2 \rho}}{2} \cdot \alpha_{3} m_{+}=g_{\psi \gamma}^{\prime}  \tag{D.26}\\
& g_{\bar{\gamma} \psi}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{2 \rho}}{2} \cdot \alpha_{2} m_{+}=g_{\psi \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime} \\
& g_{\psi \psi}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{m_{+}}{m_{-}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{1-\left(\alpha_{1} \pm \frac{1}{2} k \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right) e^{2 \rho}} \tag{D.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dilaton is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \Phi^{\prime}}=g_{s}^{2} \sqrt{m_{+}^{2}} \tag{D.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The new two form field $B^{\prime}$ is given by (D.23). The new currents are given by (D.25). They are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{\prime-} & =m_{+} e^{2 \rho} \partial\left(\bar{\gamma}+\alpha_{3} \psi\right) \\
& =m_{+} J^{-}+\alpha_{3} m_{+} e^{2 \rho} J, \\
\bar{J}^{\prime-} & =m_{+} e^{2 \rho} \bar{\partial}\left(\gamma+\alpha_{2} \psi\right) \\
& =m_{+} \bar{J}^{-}+\alpha_{2} m_{+} e^{2 \rho} \bar{J}, \\
J^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{m_{+}}{m_{-}}\right) \partial \psi+\frac{1}{2} k \alpha_{2} m_{+} e^{2 \rho} \partial \bar{\gamma}  \tag{D.29}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{m_{+}}{m_{-}}\right) J+\frac{1}{2} k \alpha_{2} m_{+} J^{-} \\
\bar{J}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{m_{+}}{m_{-}}\right) \bar{\partial} \psi+\frac{1}{2} k \alpha_{3} m_{+} e^{2 \rho} \bar{\partial} \gamma \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{m_{+}}{m_{-}}\right) \bar{J}+\frac{1}{2} k \alpha_{3} m_{+} \bar{J}^{-} .
\end{align*}
$$

The deformed worldsheet Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ flows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{\prime}}{\partial \alpha_{1}}=J^{\prime-} \bar{J}^{\prime-}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{\prime}}{\partial \alpha_{2}}=J^{\prime-} \bar{J}^{\prime}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{\prime}}{\partial \alpha_{3}}=J^{\prime} \bar{J}^{\prime-} \tag{D.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

## D.2. $T \bar{T}, J \bar{T}$ deformations

Consider the case in which we turn on $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{3}$. The metric (D.22) takes in this case the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\rho \rho}^{\prime}=1 \\
& g_{\gamma \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{2 \rho}}{2} \cdot m=g_{\bar{\gamma} \gamma}^{\prime}, \\
& g_{\gamma \psi}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{2 \rho}}{2} \cdot \alpha_{3} m=g_{\psi \gamma}^{\prime},  \tag{D.31}\\
& g_{\bar{\gamma} \psi}^{\prime}=0=g_{\psi \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime}, \\
& g_{\psi \psi}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{k},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{1} e^{2 \rho}} \tag{D.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dilaton is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \Phi^{\prime}}=g_{s}^{2} \sqrt{m^{2}} \tag{D.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The new two form field $B^{\prime}$ is given by (D.23). The new currents are given by (D.25). They are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{\prime-} & =m e^{2 \rho} \partial\left(\bar{\gamma}+\alpha_{3} \psi\right) \\
& =m J^{-}+\alpha_{3} m e^{2 \rho} J \\
\bar{J}^{\prime-} & =m e^{2 \rho} \bar{\partial} \gamma=m \bar{J}^{-}, \\
J^{\prime} & =\partial \psi=J,  \tag{D.34}\\
\bar{J}^{\prime} & =\bar{\partial} \psi+\frac{1}{2} k \alpha_{3} m e^{2 \rho} \bar{\partial} \gamma \\
& =\bar{J}+\frac{1}{2} k \alpha_{3} m \bar{J}^{-} .
\end{align*}
$$

## D.3. $T \bar{T}$ deformation

Consider the case in which we turn on only $\alpha_{1}$. The metric (D.22) takes in this case the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\rho \rho}^{\prime}=1 \\
& g_{\gamma \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{2 \rho}}{2} \cdot m=g_{\bar{\gamma} \gamma}^{\prime} \\
& g_{\gamma \psi}^{\prime}=0=g_{\psi \gamma}^{\prime}  \tag{D.35}\\
& g_{\bar{\gamma} \psi}^{\prime}=0=g_{\psi \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime} \\
& g_{\psi \psi}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{k}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{1} e^{2 \rho}} \tag{D.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dilaton is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \Phi^{\prime}}=g_{s}^{2} \sqrt{m^{2}} \tag{D.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The new two form field $B^{\prime}$ is given by (D.23). The new currents are given by (D.25). They are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{\prime-} & =m e^{2 \rho} \partial \bar{\gamma}=m J^{-} \\
\bar{J}^{\prime-} & =m e^{2 \rho} \bar{\partial} \gamma=m \bar{J}^{-}  \tag{D.38}\\
J^{\prime} & =\partial \psi=J \\
\bar{J}^{\prime} & =\bar{\partial} \psi=\bar{J}
\end{align*}
$$

## D.4. J $\bar{J}$ deformation

Consider the case in which we turn on only $\alpha_{4}$. The metric (D.22) takes in this case the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\rho \rho}^{\prime}=1 \\
& g_{\gamma \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{2 \rho}}{2}=g_{\bar{\gamma} \gamma}^{\prime} \\
& g_{\gamma \psi}^{\prime}=0=g_{\psi \gamma}^{\prime}  \tag{D.39}\\
& g_{\bar{\gamma} \psi}^{\prime}=0=g_{\psi \bar{\gamma}}^{\prime} \\
& g_{\psi \psi}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{k \alpha_{4}}}{k}
\end{align*}
$$

The dilaton is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \Phi^{\prime}}=g_{s}^{2} e^{k \alpha_{4} / 2} \tag{D.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that it is independent of the coordinates, i.e., it is a constant. The new two form field $B^{\prime}$ is given by (D.23). The new currents are given by (D.25). They are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{\prime-} & =e^{2 \rho} \partial \bar{\gamma}=J^{-} \\
\bar{J}^{\prime-} & =e^{2 \rho} \bar{\partial} \gamma=\bar{J}^{-} \\
J^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(1+e^{k \alpha_{4}}\right) \partial \psi=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+e^{k \alpha_{4}}\right) J  \tag{D.41}\\
\bar{J}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(1+e^{k \alpha_{4}}\right) \bar{\partial} \psi=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+e^{k \alpha_{4}}\right) \bar{J}
\end{align*}
$$

The worldsheet deformed Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ obeys the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{\prime}}{\partial \alpha_{4}}=e^{k \alpha_{4}} J \bar{J}=\operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{k \alpha_{4}}{2}\right) J^{\prime} \bar{J}^{\prime} \tag{D.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This flow equation is not of the form (D.14). However, the currents $J^{\prime}$ and $\bar{J}^{\prime}$ are conserved independently and thus, they can be redefined independently by multiplying each in general by a different smooth function of the coupling $\alpha_{4}$. This equivalently corresponds to choosing different values for the normalizations $\mathcal{N}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{i}}^{\prime}$ in (D.25) for the currents $J^{\prime}$ and $\bar{J}^{\prime}$. In a particular choice for $\mathcal{N}_{4}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{4}^{\prime}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{\prime}}{\partial \alpha_{4}}=J^{\prime} \bar{J}^{\prime} \tag{D.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This has the form (D.14).

## Appendix E. The Maurer-Cartan form on the three sphere

The three sphere $S^{3}$ is the group manifold of $S U(2)$. The generators of the Lie algebra su(2) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\frac{1}{2} i \sigma_{1}, \quad a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} i \sigma_{2}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{1}{2} i \sigma_{3}, \quad\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]=-\epsilon_{i j k} a_{k}, \quad \epsilon_{123}=+1 . \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{i j k}$ is the totally antisymmetric tensor and $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ are the Pauli spin matrices. They are given by
$\sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -i \\ i & 0\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\delta_{i j} I_{2 \times 2}+i \epsilon_{i j k} \sigma_{k}, \quad \epsilon_{123}=+1$.

Here and below a sum over repeated indices is assumed.
We parametrize the group element $g_{S^{3}} \in S U(2)$ as

$$
g_{S^{3}}=e^{i \varphi \sigma_{3} / 2} e^{i \theta \sigma_{1} / 2} e^{i \psi \sigma_{3} / 2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i(\varphi+\psi) / 2} \cos (\theta / 2) & i e^{i(\varphi-\psi) / 2} \sin (\theta / 2)  \tag{E.3}\\
i e^{-i(\varphi-\psi) / 2} \sin (\theta / 2) & e^{-i(\varphi+\psi) / 2} \cos (\theta / 2)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the parameters $\varphi, \theta, \psi$ are the Euler angles. They satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \varphi<2 \pi, \quad 0 \leq \theta<\pi, \quad-2 \pi \leq \psi<2 \pi \tag{E.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse is given by

$$
g_{S^{3}}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{-i(\varphi+\psi) / 2} \cos (\theta / 2) & -i e^{i(\varphi-\psi) / 2} \sin (\theta / 2)  \tag{E.5}\\
-i e^{-i(\varphi-\psi) / 2} \sin (\theta / 2) & e^{i(\varphi+\psi) / 2} \cos (\theta / 2)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The left invariant Maurer-Cartan one forms $\omega_{i}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=g_{S^{3}}^{-1} d g_{S_{3}}=a_{i} \omega_{i} \tag{E.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=\cos \psi d \theta-\sin \psi \sin \theta d \varphi, \quad \omega_{2}=-\sin \theta \cos \psi d \varphi-\sin \psi d \theta, \quad \omega_{3}=d \psi+\cos \theta d \varphi \tag{E.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right invariant Maurer-Cartan one forms $\bar{\omega}_{i}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\omega}=d g_{S^{3}} g_{S_{3}}^{-1}=a_{i} \bar{\omega}_{i}, \tag{E.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\omega}_{1}=\cos \varphi d \theta+\sin \varphi \sin \theta d \psi, \quad \bar{\omega}_{2}=\sin \theta \cos \varphi d \psi-\sin \varphi d \theta, \quad \bar{\omega}_{3}=d \varphi+\cos \theta d \psi . \tag{E.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The metric $d \Omega_{3}^{2}$ on the unit three sphere $S^{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
d \Omega_{3}^{2} & =-\operatorname{tr}\left(g_{S^{3}}^{-1} d g_{S_{3}} g_{S^{3}}^{-1} d g_{S_{3}}\right), \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \omega_{i}^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \bar{\omega}_{i}^{2},  \tag{E.10}\\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(d \theta^{2}+d \varphi^{2}+d \psi^{2}+2 d \varphi d \psi \cos \theta\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We rewrite this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Omega_{3}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(d \theta^{2}+d \varphi^{2}+d \psi^{2}+2 d \varphi d \psi \cos \theta\right)=d \widetilde{\theta}^{2}+\cos ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} d \widetilde{\theta}_{+}^{2}+\sin ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} d \widetilde{\theta}_{-}^{2} \tag{E.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\theta}=\frac{1}{2} \theta, \quad \widetilde{\theta}_{+}=\frac{1}{2}(\varphi+\psi), \quad \widetilde{\theta}_{-}=\frac{1}{2}(\varphi-\psi) \tag{E.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \mu\left(\bar{\omega}_{3}+\omega_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\bar{\omega}_{3}-\omega_{3}\right)=\mu \cos ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} d \widetilde{\theta}_{+}+\sin ^{2} \widetilde{\theta} d \widetilde{\theta}_{-} \tag{E.13}
\end{equation*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{6}$ See $[13,14]$ for a discussion on multi-trace operators and holography.
    7 Such TsT transformations can be equivalently viewed as twisted boundary conditions, see [7] and references therein. This will become more evident in section two. In this view the background spacetime remains locally $A d S_{3}$. Thus, the local dynamics of strings in the deformed background is described by the $S L(2, R)$ Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) sigma model at level $k$. This observation was noted and used to compute spectrum and correlation functions in [7].

    8 A scalar $\phi$ of mass $m$ in $A d S_{d+1}$ has in general the asymptotic expansion of the form $\phi \approx$ source term $\cdot \rho^{-(d-\Delta)}+\operatorname{expectation}$ value $\cdot \rho^{-\Delta}$ where $\Delta=d / 2+\sqrt{d^{2} / 4+m^{2} l^{2}}$, and $l$ is the radius of $A d S_{d+1}$. See [26-28] for special cases and more discussion.

    9 In general, however we cannot exchange order of integrations.

[^1]:    19 Here we set $g_{s}=1$ for simplicity and convenience.
    20 That is, we redefine $\widetilde{x}^{-}$by making the replacement $\widetilde{x}^{-} \rightarrow\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \widetilde{x}^{-}$. Similarly, $x^{+} \rightarrow$ $\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) x^{+}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{29}$ Putting back the dimensions, this is $|\widehat{\gamma}| \widehat{\rho}^{2}=b_{0}$ where $\widehat{\rho}=\rho / l_{s}$ and $\widehat{\gamma}=\gamma l_{s}^{2}$.
    ${ }^{30}$ In general $b_{0}$ can take any positive finite value, specifically it is given by the pole of the Ricci scalar $R$ (see (2.13)). In general $\gamma=\alpha \cdot \lambda$ for some positive real number $\alpha$, see the discussion on the positive coupling case below.

[^3]:    31 At $\gamma=0$ the boundary is at $\gamma \rho^{2}=b_{0}=1$ in string units.
    ${ }^{32}$ In global coordinates $\gamma<1$ since the radial coordinate is positive.
    ${ }^{33}$ In global coordinates $\gamma$ is less than 1 since $\widetilde{\theta}$ is positive. In Poincaré coordinates, however, $\widetilde{\theta}$ can take negative values, see e.g. (2.11), thus $\gamma$ is not restricted to a certain region on the real line.

