

Mimicking quantum self-propulsion creates a generic route to quantum active matter

Yuanjian Zheng,¹ Benno Liebchen,² and Hartmut Löwen¹

¹*Institut für Theoretische Physik II: Weiche Materie, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstr. 1, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany*

²*Technische Universität Darmstadt, Hochschulstraße 8, D-64289 Darmstadt, Germany*

We introduce a generic framework for describing quantum active matter that involves mimicking the role of self-propulsion through an external trapping potential that is moving along imposed trajectories traced by classical active dynamics. This approach in the presence of dissipation, not only recovers essential dynamical behavior of classical activity, including the ballistic to diffusive cross-over of its mean-square displacement, but also reveals additional features of activity that is of pure quantum origin. These quantum-active features are most clearly revealed in non-dissipative systems, and manifest as novel exponents of the mean-square displacement at short time scales, that are intricately dependent on the geometry of the trapping potential.

Over the past two decades, non-equilibrium physics has been profoundly shaped by two remarkable developments: the rise of active matter, which has unveiled a plethora of out of equilibrium self-organization phenomena, and the advancement of methods enabling the precise control and manipulation of individual inherently quantum objects.

The first development – active matter, concerns the description of agents that are capable of using energy from their environment to propel themselves [1–3] – either autonomously [4–6] – or through the actuation with time-dependent external fields [7–10]. Such agents, called active particles were first realized at the micron-scale about two decades ago [4], and induce a spectacular plethora of non-equilibrium phenomena. For a single particle, this includes the emergence of a mean-square displacement (MSD) beyond the generic Stokes-Einstein relation and characteristic ballistic motion that enables the usage of active particles as efficient micro-motors for targeted drug delivery. At the many-body level, the local driving of active systems further opens up a world of spectacular phenomena. This includes the spontaneous emergence of a center of mass motion induced by non-reciprocal interactions of isotropic particles [11–17], and the emergence of novel types of phase transitions that lead to so-called flocks where particles align over large distances and move collectively in a spontaneously chosen direction. These flocks exploit non-equilibrium fluctuations to bypass the Mermin-Wagner theorem and exhibit true long-range order in two-dimensions [18, 19], showing giant number fluctuations that violate the central limit theorem, making it impossible to measure (predict) the density in a finite domain [20]. Other remarkable phenomena include motility-induced phase separation where an ensemble of purely repulsively interacting particles spontaneously phase separate into a co-existing gas-like and a liquid-like phase [21], as well as living clusters that dynamically form, break-up and reform recursively [22–25]. Many of these phenomena have been shown in the past decade to occur at a broad range of scales, ranging from macroscopic robots, birds and drones [26–29], down to the micro- and larger nanoscale where bacteria, molecular motors and synthetic colloidal microswimmers thrive [30–35].

The second development revolves around the evolution of cold atom physics, that was invigorated by the first obser-

vation of Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute atomic gases [36, 37] in 1995, the very same year as the inception of active matter research [18, 38]. Ever since, remarkable progress has been achieved regarding the developments of techniques for cooling, trapping and the controlled manipulation of quantum objects both at the single [39, 40] and the many-particle level [41, 42], even in non-equilibrium situations [43–46].

This co-discovery of fundamentally new phenomena in active matter and novel methods for controlling atoms in the deep quantum regime, begs the question of whether novel active non-equilibrium phase transitions and pattern formation could also be realized at a scale where quantum effects are non-negligible. In particular, one may wonder if there is a quantum-analog to active phenomena like motility-induced phase separation, dynamic clustering or giant density fluctuations. However, progress in understanding this interplay has been limited thus far, since it is yet unknown how a self-propelled particle could be realized in the deep quantum regime. Accordingly, existing attempts to unify quantum physics and active matter are limited to a relatively small number of pioneering works either in identifying and exploring original formal analogies between descriptions of quantum systems and classical active behavior [47–51], or examining innovative models involving classical swimmers embedded in quantum media such as fermionic liquids [52], Bose-Einstein condensates [53] and super-fluid He⁴ [54–56]. In all these semi-classical approaches, the driven agent itself is not quantum mechanical in nature but remains strictly a classical object. Very recently, the role of activity [57, 58] has also been considered in quantum many-body systems with non-hermitian Hamiltonians [59, 60]. These highly original approaches allow for the first time, exploration of activated dynamics on a lattice, which does however restrict them from exploring generic active matter phenomena in continuum space.

In this work, we present a generic framework that is orthogonal to these existing approaches. This allows us to *bypass the challenge of actualizing self-propelled quantum particles when realizing active phenomena in the quantum regime*. The central idea is to *mimic self-propulsion* by guiding particles that are deep in the quantum regime with a moving external trapping potential along the path of an active particle (Fig.1). We show that this driven quantum particle, despite not self-




FIG. 1. [Schematics and dynamics of dissipative quantum and classical active systems]. Mimicking self-propulsion in the presence of dissipation produces ballistic and diffusive regimes in the MSD at various time scales that are characteristic of classical active particles. Signature of quantum-activity beyond classical dynamics is captured by an anomalous t^6 scaling of the MSD at short time scales

propelling autonomously, recovers all desirable properties of a quantum active particle (Fig. 1). That is, our approach leads, for the first time, to a fully quantized Hamiltonian that describes a quantum particle that

- breaks local detailed balance
- moves in continuum space
- leads to a MSD that is quadratic at intermediate timescales in the presence of dissipation [5].
- leads to a MSD that is linear at long timescales (active diffusion [5]).

In particular, this approach is beyond semi-classical and lattice-based realizations of active matter and directly leads to new quantum active effects even at the single particle level that are accentuated in the absence of dissipation.

While the focus of this work is on introducing a minimal, generic framework of quantum active particles, and accordingly, exploration of collective phenomena is beyond its scope, we emphasize that this approach allows for an almost direct realization of single and collective active matter phenomena with quantum particles. Our approach can be realized using ultra-cold atoms in time-dependent optical traps [61–65], in which the positions of the potential minimum can be externally programmed almost at will and at very high speeds [66–70]. Our work thus opens a door to a new class of materials, namely that of quantum active matter.

Mimicking quantum self-propulsion – We now consider a time-dependent (harmonic) trapping potential of a quantum particle that is uniquely parameterized by its minimum position $x_c(t)$. This position is externally programmed to follow random paths drawn from an ensemble of trajectories generated by classical active dynamics [5, 71–73], such that the instantaneous time-dependent Hamiltonian is written as

$$\hat{H}(t) = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2(\hat{x} - x_c(t))^2 \quad (1)$$




FIG. 2. [MSD of a dissipative quantum-active particle]. (a) MSD at various time scales for $D = 0.01$, $\tau = 10$ and $\nu_- = 10^{-2}$. (b) Best fits of the MSD (blue solid lines) to MSD for $\nu_+ = 10^{-8}$ indicating diffusive-ballistic-diffusive behavior reminiscent of classical active matter is recovered by the quantum-active framework

where $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\partial_x$. We further assume that this particle is weakly coupled to its environment such that dynamics of its density matrix $\hat{\rho}(t)$ is governed by the Lindblad equation [74]:

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{H}(t), \hat{\rho}] + \hat{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{\rho}, t) \quad (2)$$

The (Markovian) dissipator is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{\rho}, t) = \nu_+ \left(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\rho} \hat{a} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{\rho} \} \right) + \nu_- \left(\hat{a} \hat{\rho} \hat{a}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}, \hat{\rho} \} \right) \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{a}$ ($\hat{a}^\dagger$) is the creation (annihilation) operator, while ν^+ and ν^- are the gain and loss rates for the excitations of the quantum particle in the trap respectively, and correspond to an equilibrium temperature (T) of the thermal bath through $\nu_+/\nu_- = e^{-\hbar\omega/k_B T}$ where ω is the frequency of the harmonic trapping potential, k_B is the Boltzmann constant and $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant.

Now, in this work, we write the quantum mean-square displacement (MSD) or the instantaneous spread of the wavefunction ψ_t is written as the expectation value: $\langle \psi_t | \hat{x}^2 | \psi_t \rangle - \langle \psi_{t=0} | \hat{x}^2 | \psi_{t=0} \rangle$. Since Eq. (3) is linear in $\hat{\rho}$, contributions to the MSD from terms in $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{\rho})$ are additive and thus can be considered independently from the Hamiltonian time evolution in (2). In particular, at short time scales, we show in the SI that the MSD is determined by the gain and loss term and is linear in t . We also show in the SI that this result holds more generically for arbitrary trapping potentials, which we discuss subsequently in more details for non-dissipative scenarios. Hence, unless the system is fully isolated from its environment or at $T = 0$, the short-time MSD scales diffusively, suggesting that this present framework successfully captures short-time behavior of classical activity [5].

To verify this predicted short time diffusive behavior, we numerically solve Eq. (2) and calculate the ensemble aver-

aged MSD (averaged over the underlying random path $x_c(t)$) in Fig. 2, using a finite-difference method with discrete time step of $dt = 10^{-2}$, where $\sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}$ and ω^{-1} are units of length and time respectively. A total of 5×10^2 stochastic trajectories $x_c(t)$ were used for each ν_+ considered (see legend) where $D = 1$, $\tau = 10$ and $\nu_- = 10^{-2}$. Indeed, in Fig. 2(a) we observe the universal presence of a short-ranged diffusive (MSD $\sim t$) regime for any non-zero ν_+ (or equivalently $T \neq 0$), indicating that any dissipation necessarily results in short time diffusive behavior. At intermediate time scales ($t \sim \tau$), the quantum-active dynamics also exhibits a distinct ballistic regime (MSD $\sim t^2$), as in the classical case [5], before eventually entering a diffusive regime (MSD $\sim t$) at much longer times ($t \gg \tau$) as in the classical case [5]. We note that while the cross-over time scales is highly dependent on strength of the dissipation (i.e. on ν_-) such that the ballistic regime is not clearly visible at too high temperatures, power-law fits of the MSD in various dynamical regimes for $\nu_+ = 10^{-8}$ in Fig. 2(b) shows a clear t^2 regime. As such, our quantum-active framework reproduces all defining dynamical features of classical activity.

Moreover, besides these active dynamical features with well established classical analogs, we observe in Fig. 2(a), the emergence of an additional quantum-active regime at short time scales where the MSD increases $\sim t^6$ or thereabout (Fig. 2(a)). This regime has no classical equivalent. The temporal extension of this anomalous dynamical regime (marked as cross-over in Fig. 2(b)) is observed to be increasing with decreasing gain rates ν_+ (i.e. lower T), by a progressively shortening of the initial diffusive regime. This suggests that the anomalous regime has a quantum origin, as pure quantum effects originating from the Hamiltonian dynamics are enhanced with lower dissipation rates. In fact, the initial diffusive regime of the MSD completely vanishes (black dashed line in Fig. 2(a)) in the absence of dissipation (i.e. $\nu_+ = 0$) or at zero temperature, producing instead, a short time power-law dependence MSD $\sim t^6$. Hence, to elucidate purely quantum features beyond classical active matter, we now focus on discussing the dynamical contribution from the Hamiltonian time-evolution term of (2) to the MSD, which in itself can also be regarded as a non-dissipative quantum-active particle.

To this end, we first treat the short-time behavior of the MSD using time-dependent perturbation theory. In such an approach, the MSD to first order, can be written as a stochastic average (denoted by an over-bar) over an ensemble of active trajectories $\Gamma : \{x_c(t)\}$ (see SI for derivation)

$$\overline{\langle \hat{x}^2(t) \rangle} - \overline{\langle \hat{x}_{t=0}^2 \rangle} \sim \overline{\left[\int_0^t dt' x_c(t') \right]^2} = \int_0^t \int_0^t dt' dt'' \overline{x_c(t') x_c(t'')} \quad (4)$$

which reduces to a double time-integral of the position auto-correlation function of the active ensemble. We stress the significance of this result that essentially expresses a quantum mechanical expectation value as a classical position auto-correlation function of the underlying imposed dynamics, which fundamentally reveals the presence of a subtle quantum-active coupling in the current framework.

To arrive at the explicit time-dependence for the active-quantum MSD, we further assume that the stochastic trajectories are drawn from an ensemble of particles that obey active Ornstein-Uhlenbeck dynamics, since it is the simplest non-trivial model of a classical active particle [72, 75–77]. More precisely, we assume that the velocity auto-correlation function of $x_c(t)$ is given by [78]:

$$\overline{\dot{x}_c(t') \dot{x}_c(t'')} = \frac{D}{\tau} e^{-|t' - t''|/\tau} \quad (5)$$

where D and τ are the diffusion coefficient and persistence time respectively, and $\dot{x}_c$ represent time derivatives of $x_c(t)$. It then follows (see SI for derivation) that the lowest possible leading order contribution to the ensemble-averaged quantum MSD in the perturbative regime is t^4 , regardless of the functional form of the trapping potential $V(x)$.

Hence, the leading order in t contribution to the MSD originating from the Hamiltonian is always strictly of higher order than the linear contribution from Markovian dissipation, such that the initial diffusive regime observed in Fig. 2 is always recovered in the presence of weak coupling to the environment. However, note that while the leading order dependence is generically t^4 , Fig. 2(a) for $\nu_+ = 0$ (black-dashed line) suggests that the actively driven harmonic potential produces instead a t^6 quantum-active scaling. We show in the SI that this can be understood as the consequence of a non-trivial contribution from 2nd-order perturbation theory specific to the harmonic potential, that exactly negates the 1st-order t^4 contribution to the MSD, such that the leading behavior is t^6 .

To further examine this quantum-active regime, we generalize the harmonic driving potential to a family of power-law trapping potentials $-\hat{V}(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega^q |\hat{x} - x_c(t)|^q$. The Hamiltonians of these anharmonic potentials produce eigenenergy spectra that are qualitatively different from the harmonic oscillator, and are thus instructive in revealing the subtle interplay between quantized energy levels and activity. In particular, unlike the uniformly distributed eigenenergies of the harmonic oscillator ($q = 2$), energy level spacings of these Hamiltonians are distributed either increasing ($q > 2$) or decreasing ($q < 2$) monotonically. In Fig. 3, we explore how such non-uniform spectra influence the short time MSD for $q = 1.5$, $q = 2$, and $q = 4$ of the non-dissipative, actively driven quantum particle. The numerics are performed in real space using finite difference discrete time steps of $dt = 10^{-1} - 10^{-2}$ and spatial resolution of at least $dx = 0.2$, while the MSD is averaged over 5×10^2 stochastic trajectories for each value of q considered. In Fig. 3(a,b), we see that unlike $q = 2$, the short time quantum-active scaling of the MSD is indeed $\sim t^4$. Surprisingly, however, we find that the coefficient of the MSD for a quartic trap ($q = 4$) is negative at short time scales, indicating that the quantum-active particle is transiently more localized than its ground state. This cannot occur for a classical stochastic particle with well defined position as we shall see explicitly further below. Conversely, the MSD for $q = 1.5$ scales positively as $\sim t^4$, growing more rapidly than $\sim t^6$, i.e. faster than for the harmonic oscillator, due to monotonically decreasing




FIG. 3. [MSD of a non-dissipative quantum-active particle]. (a,c) MSD at short times for $D = 1$, $\tau = 10$, $dt = 10^{-2}$ reveals quantum-active scaling with no classical counterparts. (b) Log-log plot of the absolute values of MSD indicate $\sim t^4$ scaling for $q \neq 2$ and $\sim t^6$ for $q = 2$. (d,e) Diffusive regime at long times for $D = 1$, $\tau = 1$, $dt = 10^{-1}$. (c,e) Comparison of quantum to classical active MSD.

energy level structure which increasingly eases transitions to higher excited states. At longer time scales, as expected, the MSD is purely determined by the trap motion and the non-dissipative quantum particles enters the diffusive regime regardless of q (see Fig.3(c)).

In Fig.3(d,e), we show the behavior of the MSD for corresponding classical particles trapped and driven actively in power-law potentials that are initially at rest ($\dot{x} = 0$) and are centered at $x = 0$ for the various q considered. The presence of quantum-active effects can also be inferred through the departure in the MSD from that of the classical counterpart at both shorter and longer length scales. Specifically, we note that the agreement in the t^6 dependence of the MSD between classical and quantum setups at short times is only valid for the particular case of harmonic traps ($q = 2$). More generally, the t -dependence of the MSD between classical and quantum active particles are different for $q \neq 2$. We summarize our findings of the scaling behavior for various dynamical regimes and setups for the non-dissipative particle in Fig.4.

In this work, we introduced a generic approach for exploring quantum-active matter in continuum space. This approach involves mimicking the role of self-propulsion in active particles through imposing a trapping potential that is moving along imposed trajectories governed by classical active stochastic dynamics. We show that this framework for a single quantum degree of freedom, captures essential dynamical features of classical self-propelled active particles in the presence of any dissipation. These features include, a mean-square displacement (MSD) that scales diffusively ($\sim t$) at short times, ballistically ($\sim t^2$) at intermediate times, and again diffusively ($\sim t$) at times much longer than the persis-




FIG. 4. [Schematics and dynamics of non-dissipative quantum and classical active systems]. Trap geometry dependent quantum active effect on the MSD with no classical equivalent.

tence time τ of the active dynamics. Notably, this approach also reveals quantum-active dynamical features with no classical equivalent and that are most pronounced in the absence of dissipation. These features manifest as anomalous time-dependence of the MSD at the shortest time scales. Most remarkably, the MSD can even transiently acquire negative values for certain trap geometries, representing an increased localization of the wavefunction with time transiently. This phenomenon cannot emerge classically, and is highly counter-intuitive given that active systems are typically super-diffusive at intermediate time scales.

We stress that this work focuses on establishing a conceptually new and generic approach to study quantum-active matter that is meant to inspire future simulations and experiments. This approach is highly generalizable, and can be combined with or extended to interacting forms of classical active matter. This may include alternate forms of microscopic activity including run-and-tumble or active Brownian dynamics [79–81] or extending the framework to interacting many-body systems where collective effects such as motility induced phase separation may emerge. On the other hand, the effect of inherently quantum phenomena such as exchange-symmetry of indistinguishable quantum particles (e.g. fermions or bosons) [82–85], or collective behavior of exotic quantum many-body ground-states (e.g. Bose-Einstein condensates) [86], on the dynamics of an externally activated process could also be interesting avenues to explore within the current context presented. Importantly, our theoretical predictions can be tested using state of the art experimental techniques in ultra-cold atoms confined to optical traps where the trap minimum can be programmed on prescribed stochastic trajectories. As such, our framework of quantum active matter could provide a paradigm for uncovering phenomenology involving the non-trivial coupling of quantum fluctuations with non-equilibrium features of activity.

We thank I. Bloch, A. Hemmerich, P. Schmelcher, A. Widera, and R. Wittmann for helpful discussions. YZ acknowledges support from the Alexander-von-Humboldt Stiftung.

-
- [1] M. Marchetti, J. Joanny, S. Ramaswamy, T. Liverpool, J. Prost, M. Rao, and R. A. Simha, *Hydrodynamics of soft active matter*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **85**, 1143 (2013).
- [2] S. Ramaswamy, *The mechanics and statics of active matter*, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **1**, 323–345 (2010).
- [3] G. Gompper et al., *The 2020 Motile Active Matter Roadmap*, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **32**, 193001 (2020).
- [4] W. F. Paxton, K. C. Kistler, C. C. Olmeda, A. Sen, S. K. St. Angelo, Y. Cao, T. E. Mallouk, P. E. Lammert, and V. H. Crespi, *Catalytic nanomotors: autonomous movement of striped nanorods*, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **126**, 13424 (2004).
- [5] C. Bechinger, R. Di Leonardo, H. Löwen, C. Reichhardt, G. Volpe, and G. Volpe, *Active Particles in Complex and Crowded Environments*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **88**, 045006 (2016).
- [6] J. R. Howse, R. A. Jones, A. J. Ryan, T. Gough, R. Vafabakhsh, and R. Golestanian, *Self-motile colloidal particles: from directed propulsion to random walk*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 048102 (2007).
- [7] R. Dreyfus, J. Baudry, M. L. Roper, M. Fermigier, H. A. Stone, J. Bibette, *Microscopic artificial swimmers*, Nature (London) **437**, 862 (2005).
- [8] P. Tierno, R. Golestanian, I. Pagonabarraga, and F. Sagues, *Magnetically Actuated Colloidal Microswimmers*, J. Phys. Chem. B **112**, 16525 (2008).
- [9] J. Deseigne, O. Dauchot, and H. Chaté, *Collective motion of vibrated polar disks*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 098001 (2010).
- [10] M. X. Lim, A. Souslov, V. Vitelli, and H. M. Jaeger, *Cluster formation by acoustic forces and active fluctuations in levitated granular matter*, Nat. Phys. **15**, 460 (2019).
- [11] F. Schmidt, B. Liebchen, H. Löwen, and G. Volpe, *Light-controlled assembly of active colloidal molecules*, J. Chem. Phys. **150**, 9 (2019).
- [12] S. Saha, J. Agudo-Canalejo, and R. Golestanian, *Scalar active mixtures: The nonreciprocal Cahn-Hilliard model*, Phys. Rev. X **10**, 041009 (2020).
- [13] A. V. Ivlev, J. Bartnick, M. Heinen, C.-R. Du, V. Nosenko, and H. Löwen, *Statistical mechanics where Newton's third law is broken*, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 011035 (2015).
- [14] J. Grauer, F. Schmidt, J. Pineda, B. Midtvedt, H. Löwen, G. Volpe, and B. Liebchen, *Active droplets*, Nat. Commun. **12**, 6005 (2021).
- [15] P. Surówka, A. Souslov, F. Jülicher, and D. Banerjee, *Odd Cosserat elasticity in active materials*, Phys. Rev. E **108**, 064609 (2023).
- [16] R. Soto and R. Golestanian, *Self-assembly of catalytically active colloidal molecules: Tailoring activity through surface chemistry*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 068301 (2014).
- [17] R. Niu, A. Fischer, T. Palberg, and T. Speck, *Dynamics of binary active clusters driven by ion-exchange particles*, ACS Nano **12**, 10932 (2018).
- [18] J. Toner and Y. Tu, *Long-range order in a two-dimensional dynamical XY model: how birds fly together*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4326 (1995).
- [19] J. Toner and Y. Tu, *Flocks, herds, and schools: A quantitative theory of flocking*, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 4828 (1998).
- [20] V. Narayan, S. Ramaswamy, and N. Menon, *Long-lived giant number fluctuations in a swarming granular nematic*, Science **317**, 105 (2007).
- [21] M. E. Cates and J. Tailleur, *Motility-induced phase separation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **6**, 219 (2015).
- [22] I. Theurkauff, C. Cottin-Bizonne, J. Palacci, C. Ybert, and L. Bocquet, *Dynamic clustering in active colloidal suspensions with chemical signaling*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 268303 (2012).
- [23] J. Palacci, S. Sacanna, A. P. Steinberg, D. J. Pine, and P. M. Chaikin, *Living crystals of light-activated colloidal surfers*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **339**, 936 (2013).
- [24] I. Buttinoni, J. Bialké, F. Kümmel, H. Löwen, C. Bechinger, and T. Speck, *Dynamical clustering and phase separation in suspensions of self-propelled colloidal particles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 238301 (2013).
- [25] F. Ginot, I. Theurkauff, F. Detcheverry, C. Ybert, and C. Cottin-Bizonne, *Aggregation-fragmentation and individual dynamics of active clusters*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **9**, 696 (2018).
- [26] G. M. Whitesides, *Soft robotics*, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **57**, 4258–4273 (2018).
- [27] M. Leyman, F. Ogemark, J. Wehr, and G. Volpe, *Tuning phototactic robots with sensorial delays*, Phys. Rev. E **98**, 052606 (2018).
- [28] G. Vásárhelyi, C. Virág, G. Somorjai, T. Nepusz, A. E. Eiben, and T. Vicsek, *Optimized Flocking of Autonomous Drones in Confined Environments*, Sci. Robotics **3**, eaat3536 (2018).
- [29] N. A. M. Araújo, et al. *Steering self-organisation through confinement*, Soft Matter **19**, 1695 (2023).
- [30] S. J. Ebbens, *Active colloids: Progress and challenges towards realising autonomous applications*, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. **21**, 14–23 (2016).
- [31] M. R. Shaebani, A. Wysocki, R. G. Winkler, G. Gompper, and H. Rieger, *Computational models for active matter* Nat. Rev. Phys. **2**, 181 (2020).
- [32] B. M. Mognetti, A. Saric, S. Angioletti-Uberti, A. Cacciuto, C. Valeriani, and D. Frenkel, *Living Clusters and Crystals from Low-Density Suspensions of Active Colloids*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 245702 (2013).
- [33] M. E. Leunissen, C. G. Gristova, A.-P. Hynninen, C. P. Royall, A. I. Campbell, A. Imhof, M. Dijkstra, R. van Roij, and A. van Blaaderen, *Ionic colloidal crystals of oppositely charged particles*, Nature (London) **437**, 235 (2005).
- [34] S. H. L. Klapp, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. **21**, 76 (2016).
- [35] A. Ziepe, I. Maryshev, I. S. Aranson, and E. Frey, *Multiscale organization in communicating active matter*, Nat. Commun. **13**, 6727 (2022).
- [36] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, *Observation of Bose-Einstein condensation in a dilute atomic vapor*, Science **269**, 198 (1995).
- [37] C. C. Bradley, C. A. Sackett, J. J. Tollett, and R. G. Hulet, *Bose-Einstein condensation of sodium atoms*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1687 (1995).
- [38] T. Vicsek, A. Czirók, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen, and O. Shochet, *Novel Type of Phase Transition in a System of Self-Driven Particles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1226 (1995).
- [39] D. Leibfried, R. Blatt, C. Monroe, and D. Wineland, *Quantum dynamics of single trapped ions*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 281 (2003).
- [40] Z. Hu and H. J. Kimble, *Observation of a single atom in a magneto-optical trap*, Optics Letters **19**, 1888 (1994).
- [41] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, *Many-Body Physics with Ultracold Gases*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 885 (2008).
- [42] M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, V. Ahufinger, B. Damski, A. Sen, and U. Sen, *Ultracold atomic gases in optical lattices: mimicking condensed matter physics and beyond*, Advances in Physics **56**, 243 (2007).
- [43] T. Langen, R. Geiger, and J. Schmiedmayer, *Ultracold atoms out of equilibrium*, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **6**, 201 (2015).
- [44] A. Polkovnikov, K. Sengupta, A. Silva, and M. Vengalattore,

- Colloquium: Nonequilibrium dynamics of closed interacting quantum systems*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 863 (2011).
- [45] M. Heyl, *Dynamical quantum phase transitions: a review*, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **81**, 054001 (2018).
- [46] U. Schneider, L. Hackermüller, J. P. Ronzheimer, S. Will, S. Braun, T. Best, I. Bloch, E. Demler, S. Mandt, D. Rasch, et al., *Fermionic transport and out-of-equilibrium dynamics in a homogeneous Hubbard model with ultracold atoms*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **8**, 213 (2012).
- [47] H. M. López, J. Gachelin, C. Douarche, H. Auradou, and E. Clément, *Turning Bacteria Suspensions into Superfluids*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 028301 (2015).
- [48] S. Guo, D. Samanta, Y. Peng, X. Xu, and X. Cheng, *Symmetric shear banding and swarming vortices in bacterial superfluids*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **115**, 7212 (2018).
- [49] M. te Vrugt, T. Frohoff-Hülsmann, E. Heifetz, U. Thiele, and R. Wittkowski, *From a microscopic inertial active matter model to the Schrödinger equation*, Nat. Commun. **14**, 1302 (2023).
- [50] K. Sone and Y. Ashida, *Anomalous Topological Active Matter*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 205502 (2019).
- [51] B. Loewe, A. Souslov, and P. M. Goldbart, *Flocking from a Quantum Analogy: Spin–Orbit Coupling in an Active Fluid*, New J. Phys. **20**, 013020 (2018).
- [52] J. E. Avron, B. Gutkin, and D. H. Oaknin, *Adiabatic Swimming in an Ideal Quantum Gas*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 130602 (2006).
- [53] H. Saito, *Can we swim in superfluids?: Numerical demonstration of self-propulsion in a Bose–Einstein condensate*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **84**, 114001 (2015).
- [54] V. Shukla, M. Brachet, and R. Pandit, *Sticking transition in a minimal model for the collisions of active particles in quantum fluids*, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 041602(R) (2016).
- [55] U. Giuriato and G. Krstulovic, *Interaction between active particles and quantum vortices leading to Kelvin wave generation*, Sci. Rep. **9**, 4839 (2019).
- [56] G. V. Kolmakov and I. S. Aranson, *Superfluid swimmers*, Phys. Rev. Res. **3**, 013188 (2021).
- [57] K. Adachi, K. Takasan, and K. Kawaguchi, *Activity-induced phase transition in a quantum many-body system* Phys. Rev. Res. **4**, 013194 (2022).
- [58] M. Yamagishi, N. Hatano, and H. Obuse, *Defining a quantum active particle using non-Hermitian quantum walk*, arXiv:2305.15319.
- [59] A. S. Matsoukas-Roubeas, F. Roccati, J. Cornelius, Z. Xu, A. Chenu, and A. del Campo, *Non-Hermitian Hamiltonian deformations in quantum mechanics*, JHEP **1**, 1–31, 6 (2023).
- [60] R. Khasseh, S. Wald, R. Moessner, C. A. Weber, and M. Heyl, *Active quantum flocks*, arXiv:2308.01603.
- [61] Bloch, I., J. Dalibard, and S. Nascimbène, *Quantum simulations with ultracold quantum gases* Nat. Phys. **8**, 267 (2012).
- [62] A. M. Kaufman, K.-K. Ni, *Quantum science with optical tweezer arrays of ultracold atoms and molecules*, Nat. Phys. **17**, 1324 (2021).
- [63] A. Browaeys, T. Lahaye, *Many-body physics with individually controlled Rydberg atoms*, Nat. Phys. **16**, 132 (2020).
- [64] F. Schäfer, T. Fukuhara, S. Sugawa, Y. Takasu, and Y. Takahashi, *Tools for quantum simulation with ultracold atoms in optical lattices*, Nat. Rev. Phys. **2**, 411 (2020).
- [65] G. Morigi, J. Eschner, C. Cormick, Y. Lin, D. Leibfried, and D. J. Wineland, *Dissipative Quantum Control of a Spin Chain*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 200502 (2015).
- [66] G. Pesce, P. H. Jones, O. M. Maragò and G. Volpe, *Optical tweezers: theory and practice*, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **135**, 949 (2020).
- [67] A. Ashkin, *Acceleration and trapping of particles by radiation pressure*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 156–159 (1970).
- [68] X. Chen, A. Ruschhaupt, S. Schmidt, A. del Campo, D. Guery-Odelin, and J. G. Muga, *Fast Optimal Frictionless Atom Cooling in Harmonic Traps: Shortcut to Adiabaticity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 063002 (2010).
- [69] O. Abah, J. Roßnagel, G. Jacob, S. Deffner, F. Schmidt-Kaler, K. Singer, and E. Lutz, *Single-Ion Heat Engine at Maximum Power*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 203006 (2012).
- [70] J. Roßnagel, O. Abah, F. Schmidt-Kaler, K. Singer, and E. Lutz, *Nanoscale Heat Engine Beyond the Carnot Limit*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 030602 (2014).
- [71] S. Sabhapandit and S. N. Majumdar, *Noninteracting particles in a harmonic trap with a stochastically driven center*, arXiv:2404.02480.
- [72] G. E. Uhlenbeck, and L. S. Ornstein, 1930, *On the theory of the Brownian motion* Phys. Rev. **36**, 823–841 (1930).
- [73] P. Romanczuk, M. Bär, W. Ebeling, B. Lindner, and L. Schimansky-Geier, *Active Brownian particles*, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. **202**, 1–162 (2012).
- [74] À. Rivas and S. F. Huelga, *Open Quantum Systems: An Introduction* (Springer, Berlin, 2011).
- [75] G. Szamel, *Self-propelled particle in an external potential: Existence of an effective temperature*, Phys. Rev. E **90**, 012111 (2014).
- [76] L. Caprini, U. M. B. Marconi, A. Puglisi, and A. Vulpiani, *The entropy production of Ornstein–Uhlenbeck active particles: a path integral method for correlations*, J. Stat. Mech. 053203 (2019).
- [77] D. Martin, J. O’Byrne, M. E. Cates, É. Fodor, C. Nardini, J. Tailleur, and F. van Wijland, *Statistical mechanics of active Ornstein-Uhlenbeck particles*, Phys. Rev. E **103**, 032607 (2021).
- [78] L. Bonilla, *Active Ornstein-Uhlenbeck particles*, Physical Review E **100**, 022601 (2019).
- [79] A. Solon, M. Cates, and J. Tailleur, *Active Brownian Particles and Run-and-Tumble Particles: a Comparative Study*, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. **224**, 1231 (2015).
- [80] M. E. Cates and J. Tailleur, *When are active Brownian particles and run-and-tumble particles equivalent? Consequences for motility-induced phase separation*, Europhys. Lett. **101**, 20 010 (2013).
- [81] E. Frey and K. Kroy, *Brownian motion: a paradigm of soft matter and biological physics*, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **517** (2005), pp. 20–50.
- [82] M. Hyrkäs, V. Apaja, and M. Manninen, *Many-particle dynamics of bosons and fermions in quasi-one-dimensional flat-band lattices*, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 023614 (2013).
- [83] J. W. Abraham, K. Balzer, D. Hochstuhl, and M. Bonitz, *Quantum breathing mode of trapped systems in one and two dimensions*, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 125112 (2012).
- [84] Y. Zheng and D. Poletti, *Quantum statistics and the performance of engine cycles*, Phys. Rev. E **92** 012110 (2015).
- [85] N. M. Myers and S. Deffner, *Bosons outperform fermions: The thermodynamic advantage of symmetry*, Phys. Rev. E **101**, 012110 (2020).
- [86] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, *Quantum phase transition from a superfluid to a Mott insulator in a gas of ultracold atoms*, Nature (London) **415**, 39 (2002).