arXiv:2305.16232v1 [math.SG] 25 May 2023

LAGRANGIAN COBORDISM OF POSITROID LINKS

JOHAN ASPLUND, YOUNGJIN BAE, ORSOLA CAPOVILLA-SEARLE, MARCO CASTRONOVO, CAITLIN LEVERSON, AND ANGELA WU

Abstract. Casals–Gorsky–Gorsky–Simental [CGGS21] realized all positroid strata of the complex Grassmannian as augmentation varieties of Legendrians called positroid links. We prove that the partial order on strata induced by Zariski closure also has a symplectic interpretation, given by exact Lagrangian cobordism.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Legendrian submanifolds.** Legendrian submanifolds play a pivotal role in modern contact and symplectic topology. For example, Legendrian submanifolds are used to distinguish exotic contact structures on $\mathbb{R}^3$ [Ben83], and any contact three-manifold is a Legendrian surgery on the standard contact S^3 [DG04]. In higher dimensions, top attaching spheres in Weinstein handle decompositions are Legendrian [Wei91], and loose Legendrians [Mur12] are a key tool in establishing h-principles [BEM15, CMP19, MNPS13].

1.2. **Cluster algebras.** Cluster structures [FZ02] have been found on the coordinate rings of many algebraic varieties arising in representation theory, including double Bruhat cells [FZ02], double Bott–Samelson cells [SW21], positroid strata [GL19], and Richardson strata [CGG$^+$22, GLSBS22, GLSB23]. Geometrically, this allows one to think of such varieties as the result of gluing algebraic tori along birational mutation maps, and their coordinate rings carry bases whose structure constants are positive integers counting tropical curves [FG09, GHKK18].

1.3. **Philosophy of augmentations.** Associated to a Legendrian submanifold Λ is the Chekanov–Eliashberg differential graded algebra (dg-algebra), whose homology is a Legendrian isotopy invariant [Che02, EGH00]. In favorable circumstances, the augmentations of this dg-algebra form an algebraic variety $\mathrm{Aug}(\Lambda)$ which is an invariant of Λ in a suitable sense. In recent years a philosophy has been emerging, according to which augmentation varieties should have a cluster structure, with charts corresponding to embedded exact Lagrangian fillings of Λ and mutations arising from Lagrangian surgery [Pol91]. So far this philosophy has been explored mainly for Legendrian links in the standard contact $\mathbb{R}^3$, see [CW22] for the most recent results. Such bridge has been fruitful in both directions, having been instrumental in resolving long-standing conjectures on the abundance of Lagrangian fillings of Legendrian links [CG22] and on the existence of cluster structures on spaces of interest in representation theory [CGG$^+$22].

1.4. **Goal.** In this article we propose an extension of the philosophy of augmentations, predicating that when augmentation varieties have compactifications stratified by augmentation varieties of smaller dimension, then the Legendrian submanifolds corresponding to adjacent strata should be related by exact Lagrangian cobordism. We establish this in the simplest class of examples: the one of positroid strata of complex Grassmannians [KLS13]. The top stratum was one of the key motivating examples for the development of cluster algebras [FZ02, Sco06], while cluster structures on strata of lower dimension were described more recently [GL19]. All positroid strata are known to be augmentation varieties of some Legendrian links in $\mathbb{R}^3$ [CGGS21].

1.5. **Result.** The positroid strata $\Pi^\circ \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$ of complex Grassmannians are disjoint Zariski locally closed sets, see Definition 4.5. There is a distinguished class of Legendrian links Λ_{Π° in the standard contact $\mathbb{R}^3$, whose augmentation varieties are related to the strata by an algebraic isomorphism

$$\Pi^\circ \cong \mathrm{Aug}(\Lambda_{\Pi^\circ}) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{N(\Pi^\circ)},$$

where $N(\Pi^\circ) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is a non-negative integer depending on Π°, see Section 5 for a precise statement. The Legendrian link Λ_{Π° is the Legendrian (-1)-closure of a juggling braid on k-strands associated to Π° as in [CGGS21] (see Definition 5.5). Alternative braids associated to positroids have been considered in [STWZ19, GL21]. While all such braids can be used to describe the same Legendrian link Λ°_Π (up to Legendrian isotopy) thanks to [CGGS21, Theorem 1.3 (ii)], we find the juggling braids particularly convenient for the description of exact Lagrangian cobordisms. For each pair of integers $1 \leq k < n$, the set of strata is partially ordered by declaring $\Pi^\circ_1 \leq \Pi^\circ_2$ if and only if $\Pi^\circ_1 \subset \mathrm{cl}(\Pi^\circ_2)$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.3)**.** *Given two comparable positroid strata $\Pi^\circ_1 \leq \Pi^\circ_2$ in $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$, their associated Legendrian links are related by an exact Lagrangian cobordism $\Lambda_{\Pi^\circ_1} \to \Lambda_{\Pi^\circ_2}$ whose Euler characteristic is*

$$\dim(\Pi^\circ_2) - \dim(\Pi^\circ_1) + \epsilon(\Pi^\circ_1, \Pi^\circ_2)$$

where $\epsilon(\Pi^\circ_1, \Pi^\circ_2) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a correction term depending only on the strata.

Remark 1.2.
(1) We defer experts to Theorem 5.9 and Remark 6.2 for a discussion about marked points placed on the Legendrians involved.
(2) The converse of Theorem 1.1 is false, see Example 7.2 and Remark 7.3.

Note that even for small k and n complex Grassmannians have many positroid strata, and their partial order is quite complicated, see Example 4.7 and Figure 4. The exact Lagrangian cobordism in Theorem 1.1 is constructed by pinching contractible Reeb chords, which is a well-known technique in contact topology. It is typically not easy to decide whether a Reeb chord is contractible, or which sequence of contractions will produce an exact Lagrangian cobordism between two given Legendrian links. Our main contribution is to understand that any chain connecting $\Pi^\circ_1 \leq \Pi^\circ_2$ in the partial order produces a sequence of successful pinch moves. In fact, following [KLS13] we recast the partial order on strata using the combinatorics of bounded affine permutations, and an affine analogue of the Bruhat order on the symmetric group. Our construction makes it easy to generate large examples of non-trivial exact Lagrangian cobordisms using a computer.

1.6. **Future directions.** The Chekanov–Eliashberg dg-algebra is functorial under exact Lagrangian cobordism [EHK16], and this produces algebraic maps between the augmentation varieties of cobordant Legendrian links. Therefore, our construction implies that there are algebraic maps between comparable positroid strata $\Pi^\circ_1 \leq \Pi^\circ_2$ in $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$. It would be interesting to see if such maps satisfy one of the several proposed definitions of cluster morphism, or could serve as a guide for a new one, see [ASS12, Fra16, LS22]. In a different direction, positroid strata are the simplest examples of Richardson strata [KLS13] in general homogeneous varieties G/P. In Lie type A, the latter can also be identified with augmentation varieties of Legendrians links [CGG$^+$22], using weaves [CZ22]. We expect that Theorem 1.1 will hold in this generality, although the proof will require significantly new ideas, since bounded affine permutations do not label Richardson strata that are not positroid.

Outline. In Section 2 we provide the necessary background on Legendrian links and Lagrangian cobordisms. In Section 3 we provide the relevant definitions and properties of bounded affine permutations. We recall the definition of the positroid strata of the complex Grassmannian Section 4. In Section 5 we define positroid links via juggling braids coming from bounded affine permutations. Our main theorem Theorem 1.1 is proven in Section 6. In Section 7 we discuss examples.

Acknowledgments. This project was initiated at American Institute of Mathematics (AIM) during the workshop "Cluster algebras and braid varieties" in January 2023. We are grateful to AIM and the workshop organizers Roger Casals, Mikhail Gorsky, Melissa Sherman-Bennett, and José Simental. We thank James Hughes and Peng Zhou for helpful discussions. We thank Pavel Galashin for introducing us to bounded affine permutations. Finally, we thank Roger Casals and Pavel Galashin for comments on an earlier draft of the article. JA was supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation. YB was supported by NRF grant NRF-2022R1C1C1005941. OCS was supported by NSF grant DMS-2103188. MC was supported by an AMS-Simons Travel Grant. AW was supported by an AMS-Simons Travel Grant and NSF grant DMS-2238131.

2. Background

In this section we briefly discuss some basic facts on Legendrian links and exact Lagrangian cobordisms. See [EN22] for a more thorough introduction, and [Gei08] for general contact topology.

2.1. **Legendrian links.** The *standard contact structure* on $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the plane field $\xi := \ker(dz - ydx)$. A smooth embedding of circles $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is called a *Legendrian link* if $T_x\Lambda \subset \xi_x$ for all $x \in \Lambda$. Two Legendrian links are *Legendrian isotopic* if they are smoothly isotopic through Legendrian links. The maps $\pi_L(x, y, z) = (x, y)$ and $\pi_F(x, y, z) = (x, z)$ are the *Lagrangian projection* and *front projection*, respectively. The front projection of a Legendrian link does not have any vertical tangencies but instead has cusp singularities. Conversely, any immersed disjoint union of circles with cusp singularities lifts uniquely to a Legendrian link in $\mathbb{R}^3$, see Figure 1 for an example of both projections.




Figure 1. Front (left) and Lagrangian (right) projections of a Legendrian trefoil.

The *Thurston–Bennequin number* $\mathrm{tb}(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ of a Legendrian link $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ measures how much the contact structure ξ rotates along Λ, and is defined as the linking number of Λ and its push-off in any direction transverse to ξ. This number is easily computed from a front projection.

Proposition 2.1. *The Thurston–Bennequin number of a Legendrian link $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with the standard contact structure ξ satisfies*

$$\mathrm{tb}(\Lambda) = \#\text{positive crossings of } \pi_F(\Lambda) - \#\text{negative crossings of } \pi_F(\Lambda) - \#\text{right cusps of } \pi_F(\Lambda).$$

A *Reeb chord* of Λ is a trajectory of the vector field ∂_z that begins and ends on Λ. Note the Lagrangian projection induces a bijection between such chords and double points of $\pi_L(\Lambda)$. A Reeb chord of Λ is *contractible* if there exists a smooth homotopy of Λ through Legendrian immersions that shrinks the length of the chord to zero, see [EHK16, Definition 6.12, Lemma 6.13].

2.2. **Exact Lagrangian cobordisms.** The *symplectization* of the standard contact $\mathbb{R}^3$ is defined as $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ equipped with the closed non-degenerate 2-form $\omega = d\lambda$ where $\lambda = e^t(dz + xdy - ydx)$. A *Lagrangian cobordism* from a Legendrian link Λ_- to a Legendrian link Λ_+ is a smooth embedding

of a surface $L \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\omega|_{TL} = 0$ and such that L is a cylinder over $\Lambda_\pm$ at infinity, i.e. such that there is some $T > 0$ for which

$$\mathcal{E}_-(L) := L \cap ((-\infty, -T) \times \mathbb{R}^3) = (-\infty, -T) \times \Lambda_- \text{ and}$$
$$\mathcal{E}_+(L) := L \cap ((T, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3) = (T, \infty) \times \Lambda_+.$$

A Lagrangian cobordism L is *exact* if there is a smooth function $f\colon L \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $df = \lambda|_L$ and $f|_{\mathcal{E}_\pm(L)}$ is constant. A *Lagrangian filling* is a Lagrangian cobordism with $\Lambda_- = \emptyset$. Lagrangian cobordism is a reflexive and transitive relation, but it is not symmetric [Cha15]; it is not known if it is a partial order. All known examples of exact Lagrangian cobordism arise from

(1) Legendrian isotopy,
(2) filling an unlinked unknot component with maximal Thurston–Bennequin number, and
(3) pinching a contractible Reeb chord.

The *pinch move* is a local modification of $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, shown in the Figure 2. A pinch move induces an exact Lagrangian cobordism in the symplectization of $\mathbb{R}^3$. When a pinch move is performed, the number of components of the Legendrian link either increases or decreases by one, so the resulting exact Lagrangian cobordism is topologically a pair of pants, and it is often called a saddle cobordism.



FIGURE 2. A pinch move in the front (left) and the Lagrangian (right) projection. The arrows show the direction of the induced exact Lagrangian cobordism.

3. Bounded affine permutations

In this section we briefly discuss some basic facts about bounded affine permutations, which are affine analogs of ordinary permutations. See [KLS13] for a more thorough introduction and the interpretation in terms of juggling. Throughout this section let $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $k \leq n$.

Definition 3.1. *An* affine permutation of size n *is a bijection* $f\colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ *satisfying* $f(i+n) = f(i)+n$ *for all* $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. *In addition, it is* k-bounded *if*

(1) $i \leq f(i) \leq i + n$ *and*
(2) $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(f(i) - i) = nk$.

Denote the set of k*-bounded affine permutations of size* n *by* $\mathrm{Bound}(k, n)$, *and a* k*-bounded affine permutation* $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ *by* $[f(1), f(2), \ldots, f(n)]$.

A bounded affine permutation $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k, n)$ can be visualized in the plane as the set of line segments L_i^f in $\mathbb{R}^2$ from $(i, 1)$ to $(f(i), 0)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, see Figure 3 for an example. Note that once $f(i+n) = f(i)+n$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the picture is fully determined by the region in the red dashed box in Figure 3.

Definition 3.2. *For a given bounded affine permutation* $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k, n)$, *a pair* $(i, j) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^2$ *is an* affine inversion *if* $i < j$ *and* $(L_i^f \cup L_{i+n}^f) \cap L_j^f \neq \emptyset$. *The* length $\ell(f) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ *is the number of affine inversions of* f.

Remark 3.3. Equivalently, $\ell(f)$ is given by the number of 2-element subsets $\{i, j\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying $i < j$ and either $f(i) > f(j)$ or $f(i) < f(j) - n$.




FIGURE 3. The bounded affine permutation $f = [3, 5, 6, 4] \in \text{Bound}(2, 4)$.

Example 3.4. For the bounded affine permutation $f = [3, 5, 6, 4]$ in Figure 3, $(2, 4)$ and $(3, 4)$ are the only affine inversions, thus $\ell(f) = 2$. Similarly, we have $\ell([3, 4, 6, 5]) = 1$ and $\ell([2, 5, 7, 4]) = 3$.

The set $\text{Bound}(k, n)$ has a partial order constructed as follows.

Definition 3.5. *An affine permutation $\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ of size n is a* transposition *if $\sigma(k) = \sigma_i(k)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where*

$$\sigma_i(k) := \begin{cases} k+1, & \text{if } k = i \pmod n \\ k-1, & \text{if } k = i+1 \pmod n \\ k, & \text{if } k \neq i, i+1 \pmod n \end{cases}.$$

Definition 3.6. *Let $f, f' \in \text{Bound}(k, n)$. Declare $f \lessdot f'$ if $\ell(f') > \ell(f)$ and there exists an affine transposition σ_i of size n such that $f' = f \circ \sigma_i$ or $f' = \sigma_i \circ f$. Define a partial order $<$ on $\text{Bound}(k, n)$ by taking the transitive closure of the relation $\lessdot$.*

Example 3.7. Note that $\ell([3, 4, 6, 5]) < \ell([3, 5, 6, 4])$ and $[3, 5, 6, 4] = \sigma_4 \circ [3, 4, 6, 5]$, thus we have $[3, 4, 6, 5] \lessdot [3, 5, 6, 4]$. Similarly $\ell([3, 5, 6, 4]) < \ell([2, 5, 7, 4])$ and $[2, 5, 7, 4] = \sigma_2 \circ [3, 5, 6, 4]$, so that $[3, 5, 6, 4] \lessdot [2, 5, 7, 4]$. Then the induced partial order satisfies $[3, 4, 6, 5] < [2, 5, 7, 4]$. See Figure 4 for the Hasse diagram for the partial order $<$ on $\text{Bound}(2, 4)$.




FIGURE 4. The Hasse diagram of the partial order on $\text{Bound}(2, 4)$.

As in the case of ordinary permutations, one can talk about cycles of bounded affine permutations.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $f \in \text{Bound}(k, n)$. Then f induces a bijection $\bar{f} \colon \mathbb{Z}/n \to \mathbb{Z}/n$.*

Proof. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n$, let $\bar{f}(i) = f(i) \pmod n$. Since $f(i+tn) = f(i) + tn$, if $\bar{f}(i) = \bar{f}(i')$, then $i = i' \pmod n$. Moreover $\bar{f}^{-1}(i) = f^{-1}(i) \pmod n$, so $\bar{f}$ is a bijection. □

Definition 3.9. *A* cycle of length t *of* $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$ *is a tuple* $(i_1, \ldots, i_t) \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^t$ *such that*

$$\bar{f}\colon i_1 \longmapsto i_2 \longmapsto \cdots \longmapsto i_t \longmapsto i_1,$$

where $i_1, \ldots, i_t$ *are all distinct.*

4. Positroid strata

In this section, we collect definitions and known properties of positroid strata of the complex Grassmannian from the literature [KLS13, GL21].

4.1. **Complex Grassmannians.** Fix $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $k \leq n$, and write $\mathrm{Mat}(k,n)$ for the set of $k \times n$ matrices with complex entries.

Definition 4.1. *The* complex Grassmannian of k-planes *in* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *is*

$$\mathrm{Gr}(k,n) = \{M \in \mathrm{Mat}(k,n) \mid \mathrm{rk}(M) = k\}/\text{row operations}.$$

Complex Grassmannians are smooth projective varieties. A widely used projective embedding of $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$ is the one given by Plücker coordinates, see [Har92, Lecture 6].

Definition 4.2. *Given* $M \in \mathrm{Mat}(k,n)$ *with column vectors* $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ *and* $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq n$, *we define the* Plücker coordinate $\Delta_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}(M)$ *to be*

$$\Delta_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}(M) = \det\left[M_{i_1}, M_{i_2}, M_{i_3}, \ldots, M_{i_k}\right].$$

Example 4.3. For $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq 4$, label the $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^5$ by Δ_{i_1,i_2}. The corresponding Plücker coordinates on $\mathrm{Gr}(2,4)$ give a projective embedding of $\mathrm{Gr}(2,4)$ as a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5$, whose equation is

$$\Delta_{1,3}\Delta_{2,4} = \Delta_{1,3}\Delta_{3,4} + \Delta_{1,4}\Delta_{2,3}.$$

For example, the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has $\Delta_{1,2}(M) = 1$, $\Delta_{1,3}(M) = -1$, $\Delta_{1,4}(M) = 1$, $\Delta_{2,3}(M) = -2$, $\Delta_{2,4}(M) = 1$, and $\Delta_{3,4}(M) = 1$. Note that row operations on M change all Plücker coordinates by a common factor, which is immaterial once one thinks of them as homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^5$.

4.2. **Positroid strata.** The complex Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$ decomposes into disjoint subsets Π_f° labeled by bounded affine permutations $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$.

Any $M \in \mathrm{Mat}(k,n)$ with columns $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ extends periodically to a matrix with infinitely many columns, by setting $M_{i+n} = M_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define an associated function $f_M : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ as

$$f_M(i) = \min\{j \geq i \mid M_i \in \mathrm{Span}(M_{i+1}, \ldots, M_j)\}.$$

If $M \in \mathrm{Mat}(k,n)$ has rank k, then $f_M : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a k-bounded affine permutation of size n that depends only on $[M] \in \mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$, see [KLS13].

Example 4.4. The matrix $M \in \mathrm{Mat}(2,4)$ from Example 4.3 extends periodically to a matrix with infinitely many columns

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cdots & 0 & 1 & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & 1 & 2 & \cdots \\ \cdots & -1 & 1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{-1} & \mathbf{1} & 0 & 1 & \cdots \end{bmatrix},$$

and the corresponding bounded affine permutation $f_M : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ of type $(2,4)$ is $f_M = [3,4,5,6]$.

Definition 4.5. *The* positroid stratum *of $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$ is defined as*

$$\Pi_f^\circ := \{[M] \in \mathrm{Gr}(k,n) \mid f_M = f\}.$$

The adjective positroid comes from the fact that the closure of a stratum is defined by the vanishing of Plücker coordinates $\Delta_{i_1,\dots i_k}$ whose indexing sets $\{i_1,\dots,i_k\} \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$ form a particular class of matroids [Pos06]. The term strata refers to the following property.

Theorem 4.6 (Knutson–Lam–Speyer [KLS13, Theorems 5.9, 5.10])**.** *Each positroid stratum is locally closed in the Zariski topology, and has closure*

$$\mathrm{cl}(\Pi_f^\circ) = \bigcup_{f' \geqslant f} \Pi_{f'}^\circ.$$

Example 4.7. There are 33 positroid strata $\Pi_f^\circ \subset \mathrm{Gr}(2,4)$: 1 of dimension 4, 4 of dimension 3, 10 of dimension 2, 12 of dimension 1, and 6 of dimension 0. Each dimension corresponds to a row in the Hasse diagram of Figure 4, with the bottom row containing the only top-dimensional stratum.

5. Positroid links

In this section we follow Casals–Gorsky–Gorsky–Simental [CGGS21] and associate a Legendrian link to a bounded affine permutation $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$ (see Section 3).

Definition 5.1. *Let $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$ be a bounded affine permutation. For each $i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, let*

$$A_i(f) := \left\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \;\middle|\; (2x - f(i) - i)^2 + 4y^2 = (f(i)-i)^2\right\} \cap \{y \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2,$$

be the upper semicircle of a circle intersecting the x-axis in the points $(i,0)$ and $(f(i),0)$. We define the juggling diagram *associated to f to be the subset $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.*

Definition 5.2. *Let $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$ be a bounded affine permutation. After modifying the associated juggling diagram by the modifications shown in Figure 5, we obtain a tangle diagram. After enumerating the strands of the tangle diagram from top to bottom we can describe the tangle diagram with a braid word that we denote by $J_k(f)$ and call the* juggling braid *of f.*




Figure 5. Converting from a juggling diagram to a braid via specified smoothings of cusps and crossings.

Remark 5.3. By the definition of a bounded affine permutation, $J_k(f)$ is a positive braid on k strands.

See Figure 6 for examples of juggling diagrams and their corresponding juggling braids.

Notation 5.4. We let $\Delta_k = (\sigma_1)(\sigma_2\sigma_1)\cdots(\sigma_{k-1}\cdots\sigma_1)$ denote the positive half twist and let w_0 denote the image of Δ_k in the projection from the braid group to the symmetric group.

Definition 5.5 ([CGGS21, Definition 1.1])**.** *Let $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$ be a bounded affine permutation, and let $J_k(f) \in \mathrm{Br}_k^+$ be its associated juggling braid. We define the* positroid link *of f, denoted by Λ_f, to be the Legendrian (-1)-closure (see Figure 7) of the positive braid $J_k(f)\Delta_k \in \mathrm{Br}_k^+$ with the orientation induced by giving all strands of $J_k(f) \in \mathrm{Br}_k^+$ the same orientation.*



(A) $J_2([3,4,5,6]) = \sigma_1^3$.

(B) $J_2([5,2,7,4]) = \sigma_1$.

(C) $J_4([4,6,7,8,10]) = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_3(\sigma_2\sigma_1)^2$.

(D) $J_3([3,6,4,7,10]) = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^2$.

FIGURE 6. Examples of juggling diagrams and their corresponding juggling braid words. Note that the dots in Figure 6b indicate fixed points of the bounded affine permutation. The strands are different colors to increase visual clarity.




FIGURE 7. The front diagram of the Legendrian (-1)-closure of the positive braid word $\beta \in \mathrm{Br}_n^+$.

Remark 5.6. In [CGGS21], there are other (but Legendrian isotopic) descriptions of Λ_f, using other enumerations of positroid strata of the complex Grassmannian such as pairs of permutations (satisfying some properties), Le diagrams, and cyclic rank matrices. For the scope of this article, it suffices to consider juggling braids.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$. The Thurston–Bennequin number of Λ_f is given by*

$$\mathrm{tb}(\Lambda_f) = |J_k(f)| - \frac{k(k+1)}{2},$$

where $|J_k(f)|$ denotes the length of the braid word $J_k(f) \in \mathrm{Br}_k^+$.

Proof. Recall that the Thurston–Bennequin number of a Legendrian link is given by the writhe minus the number of right cusps of a front diagram, see Proposition 2.1. There are $|J_k(f)| + |\Delta_k|$ positive crossings coming from the crossings in $\beta = J_k(f)\Delta_k$ and k right cusps in the positroid link of f. Note that $|\Delta_k| = \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$. There are $2|\Delta_k| = k(k-1)$ negative crossings coming from the portion of the positroid link of f (Legendrian (-1)-closure diagram) outside of $\beta = J_k(f)\Delta_k$. The sum of these contributions is

$$\mathrm{tb}(\Lambda_f) = |J_k(f)| + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - k(k-1) - k = |J_k(f)| - \frac{k(k+1)}{2}.$$

□

Corollary 5.8. *Let $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$. The Thurston–Bennequin number of Λ_f is given by*

$$\mathrm{tb}(\Lambda_f) = \dim \Pi_f^\circ + \#\,\mathrm{Fix}(f) - n.$$

where $\mathrm{Fix}(f) = \{i \in \{1,\dots,n\} \mid f(i) = i\}$.

Proof. By combining Lemma 5.7 with [CGGS21, Lemma 3.10] and [KLS13, Theorem 3.16 and Theorem 5.9], we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tb}(\Lambda_f) &= |J_k(f)| - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \\ &= \dim \Pi_f^\circ + \binom{k}{2} - (n-k) + \#\,\mathrm{Fix}(f) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \\ &= \dim \Pi_f^\circ + \#\,\mathrm{Fix}(f) - n.\end{aligned}$$

□

The main motivation for calling Λ_f a positroid link is the following connection with positroid strata of the complex Grassmannian. To call upon this result, we follow the convention of placing a marked point on each strand in the braid $J_k(f)\Delta_k$, and place each to the right of all crossings in $J_k(f)\Delta_k$ on the respective strand when defining the augmentation variety associated to Λ_f, as in [CGGS20, Section 2.6].

Theorem 5.9 (Casals–Gorsky–Gorsky–Simental [CGGS20, CGGS21])**.** *Let $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$ be a bounded affine permutation, and consider its positroid link Λ_f. Then there is an algebraic isomorphism*

$$\Pi_f^\circ \cong \mathrm{Aug}(\Lambda_f) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n - \#\,\mathrm{Fix}\, f - k}.$$

Proof. Recall that we have one marked point in Λ_f for each strand in the braid $J_k(f)\Delta_k$. Then by [CGGS20, Theorem 2.30] we have $\mathrm{Aug}(\Lambda_f) \cong X_0(J_k(f); w_0)$, where X_0 denotes the *braid variety* as defined in [CGGS20]. Then, by [CGGS21, Theorem 1.7] we have

$$\Pi_f^\circ \cong X_0(J_k(f); w_0) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n - \#\,\mathrm{Fix}\, f - k}$$

which gives the result. □

Proposition 5.10. *For $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$, the number of components of the link Λ_f is given by the number of cycles of f (see Definition 3.9).*

Proof. Consider the cyclic juggling diagram of f which can be obtained from a juggling diagram by first restricting $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ to $\{1 \le x \le n\}$ and then extending each arc cyclically. More precisely, we first arrange it so that no crossing of $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i(f)$ belongs to $\{x \ge n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ by a smooth isotopy of $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i(f)$ which leaves the braid word $J_k(f)$ unaffected. Then we define the cyclic juggling diagram of f to be the subset

$$\bar{A}_i(f) := \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i(f) \cap \{1 \le x \le n\}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\{i \mid f(i) > n\}} A_i^{\mathrm{shift}}(f) \cap \{1 \le x \le n\}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

where

$$A_i^{\text{shift}}(f) := \left\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \;\middle|\; (2(x+n-1) - f(i) - i)^2 + 4y^2 = (f(i)-i)^2\right\} \cap \{y \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

is the arc $A_i(f)$ shifted to the left by $n-1$. Then each cycle of f corresponds to a sequence of arcs that closes up onto itself in the cyclic juggling diagram. See Figure 8 for an example. We turn the cyclic juggling diagram $\bar{A}_i(f)$ into a braid by using the smoothing modifications of Figure 5 to obtain a cyclic juggling braid $\bar{J}_k(f)$. We take the (-1)-closure of the $\bar{J}_k(f)$. Then the resulting link is smoothly isotopic to the (-1)-closure of $\Delta_k J_k(f)$, i.e. the link Λ_f, see Figure 9. Thus the number of components of Λ_f is exactly the number of cycles of f.




FIGURE 8. Extending the arcs of $[3,4,12,11,8,7]$ cyclically to construct a cyclic juggling diagram




FIGURE 9. The result of a smooth isotopy from Figure 8, where all the arcs are pulled downwards, demonstrating the half twist obtained from the added arcs of the cyclic juggling diagram.

□

6. Construction of the Lagrangian cobordisms

We say that there is a *path* from f to g in $\text{Bound}(k,n)$ if there is a sequence of affine bounded permutations $(h_1, \ldots, h_k)$ (this sequence might be empty) such that

$$f \lessdot h_1 \lessdot \cdots \lessdot h_k \lessdot g.$$

Theorem 6.1. *Given any path from f to g in $\text{Bound}(k,n)$, there is an exact Lagrangian cobordism from Λ_g to Λ_f.*

Proof. Recall from Definition 5.2 that any bounded affine permutation f corresponds to a juggling braid $J_k(f)$ which corresponds by Definition 5.5 to a Legendrian Λ_f given by the (-1)-closure of the positive braid $J_k(f)\Delta_k$. There is a convenient Lagrangian projection of Λ_f as the pigtail closure of the positive braid $J_k(f)\Delta_k$ [CN22]. Since the positive braid $J_k(f)\Delta_k$ contains a positive half twist Δ_k, every crossing in the positive braid $J_k(f)\Delta_k$ corresponds to a contractible Reeb chord [CN22, Proposition 2.8]. If two affine permutations f and g have the same juggling braids $J_k(f) = J_k(g)$, then $\Lambda_f = \Lambda_g$ by definition. Suppose now that two affine permutations f and g have juggling braids $J_k(f)$ and $J_k(g)$ such that $J_k(f)$ has one more positive crossing x than $J_k(g)$. Since x corresponds to a contractible Reeb chord of Λ_f, we can perform a pinch move at x as in Figure 2 to obtain a Lagrangian saddle cobordism from Λ_g to Λ_f.

Let $f, g \in \mathrm{Bound}(k, n)$ with $f < g$. It suffices to assume $f \lessdot g$, that is $g = \sigma_i \circ f$ or $g = f \circ \sigma_i$. We will only consider the following two cases as the remaining cases follow similarly:

(A) for some $a, b, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $a < b$, we have $g(a) = i+1$, $g(b) = i$, $f(a) = i$ and $f(b) = i+1$, that is $g = \sigma_i \circ f$, or

(B) for some $a, b, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $a < b$, we have $g(i) = b$, $g(i+1) = a$, $f(i) = a$, and $f(i+1) = b$, that is $g = f \circ \sigma_i$.

In both cases we will show that either $J_k(f)$ has one more positive crossing than $J_k(g)$ does or $J_k(f) = J_k(g)$. Therefore there is an orientable exact Lagrangian saddle cobordism from Λ_g to Λ_f, or the Legendrian links Λ_g and Λ_g are Legendrian isotopic and so are related by a trivial exact Lagrangian cobordism.

Case (A): Since $g(b) = i$, we know $b \leq i$ so as $a < b$, we have $a < b \leq i$. If $b = i$, the respective juggling diagrams of f and g contain the arcs shown in Figure 10. Thus we see that the juggling braids $J_k(f)$ and $J_k(g)$ are equal as braids. If $b < i$, the juggling diagrams of f and g contain the arcs shown in Figure 11, from which we can immediately conclude that the juggling braid $J_k(f)$ has one more crossing than the juggling braid $J_k(g)$.




FIGURE 10. Arcs in the juggling diagrams of f (left) and g (right) when $a < b = i$ where $f \lessdot g$.



FIGURE 11. Arcs in the juggling diagrams of f (left) and g (right) when $a < b < i$, where $f \lessdot g$.

Case (B): Since $g(i+1) = a$, we know $i+1 \leq a$ and therefore as $a < b$, we have $i+1 \leq a < b$. If $a = i+1$, then the juggling diagrams of f and g contain the arcs shown in Figure 12. Thus we see that $J_k(f)$ and $J_k(g)$ are equal as braids. If $i+1 < a$, then the juggling diagrams of f and g contain the shown arcs in Figure 13, and so $J_k(f)$ has one more crossing than $J_k(g)$.



FIGURE 12. Arcs in the juggling diagram of f (left) and g (right) when $a = i+1 < b$, where $f \lessdot g$.




FIGURE 13. Arcs in the juggling diagram of f (left) and g (right) when $i+1 < a < b$, where $f \lessdot g$.

□

Remark 6.2. In view of Theorem 5.9, a discussion about marked points in the construction of the exact Lagrangian cobordisms in the proof of Theorem 6.1 is warranted. Since both $J_k(f)\Delta_k$ and $J_k(g)\Delta_k$ are index k braids, their Legendrian (-1)-closures are decorated with one marked point per strand of the underlying braid. Any trivial exact Lagrangian cobordism remains trivial when taking marked points into account. Any saddle cobordism induced by a pinch move will involve newly created marked points in order to retain functoriality of the associated Chekanov–Eliashberg dg-algebras with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_k^{\pm 1}]$, see [CN22, Section 3.5] and [GSW20, Section 2.4]. For our purpose, we will ignore the newly created marked points by evaluating them to 1.

Theorem 6.3. *Given any path γ from f to g in* $\mathrm{Bound}(k, n)$*, the corresponding exact Lagrangian cobordism $L_\gamma(g, f)$ from the proof of Theorem 6.1 satisfies*

$$\chi(L_\gamma(g, f)) = \dim(\Pi_g^\circ) - \dim(\Pi_f^\circ) + \#\operatorname{Fix}(g) - \#\operatorname{Fix}(f).$$

where Π_f° is the open positroid stratum associated to f.

Proof. Let $\gamma = (f_1, \dots, f_t)$ be a sequence of bounded affine permutations such that each pair of adjacent bounded affine permutations are related by an affine transposition, in other words, $f_i \lessdot f_{i-1}$ for all $1 \le i < t$. Let $L(\gamma)$ be the corresponding Lagrangian cobordism. For each i, the dimensions of the respective positroid strata differ by 1. Then following the construction, the exact Lagrangian cobordism L_i is one of two things:

1. a trivial cobordism, when the change in the juggling diagrams $J_{f_{i-1}}$ to J_{f_i} is the creation of a fixed point. This contributes 1 to $\#\operatorname{Fix}(f_t) - \#\operatorname{Fix}(f_1)$.
2. a cobordism corresponding to a single pinch move, when the change is the removal of a crossing. This contributes 1 to $\chi(L(\gamma))$.

Thus,

$$\dim(\Pi_{f_1}^\circ) - \dim(\Pi_{f_t}^\circ) = \chi(L(\gamma)) + \#\operatorname{Fix}(f_t) - \#\operatorname{Fix}(f_1).$$

□

Remark 6.4. Theorem 6.3 can also be proved using Corollary 5.8 and the work of Chantraine [Cha10, Theorem 1.2] which provides the change in the Thurston–Bennequin number for Legendrians related by an exact orientable Lagrangian cobordism.

7. Examples

In Example 7.1 we provide an example of Theorem 1.1 and in Example 7.2 a counterexample to the converse of Theorem 1.1.

Example 7.1. We consider a path $f_1 \lessdot \cdots \lessdot f_7$ in the poset $\mathrm{Bound}(3,8)$ where the bounded affine permutations $f_1, \ldots, f_7$ are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= [5,4,7,6,8,9,10,11], & f_2 &= [5,4,8,6,7,9,10,11] \\ f_3 &= [5,4,8,7,6,9,10,11], & f_4 &= [6,4,8,7,5,9,10,11] \\ f_5 &= [6,3,8,7,5,9,10,12], & f_6 &= [6,2,8,7,5,9,11,12] \\ f_7 &= [7,2,8,6,5,9,11,12]. \end{aligned}$$

Each f_i corresponds to a positroid stratum of $\mathrm{Gr}(3,8)$ of codimension $i+1$ and a Legendrian link Λ_{f_i}, each of which is the (-1)-closure of the corresponding juggling braid $J_3(f_i)$. We have listed the corresponding juggling braids below.

$$\begin{aligned} J_3(f_1) &= (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^4\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2, & J_3(f_2) &= (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3\sigma_2^2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ J_3(f_3) &= (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2, & J_3(f_4) &= (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ J_3(f_5) &= (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3\sigma_2\sigma_1, & J_3(f_6) &= (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3\sigma_2\sigma_1 \\ J_3(f_7) &= (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3\sigma_1. \end{aligned}$$

We have depicted the corresponding composition of exact Lagrangian cobordisms in Figure 14.




Figure 14. The exact Lagrangian cobordism from Λ_{f_7} to Λ_{f_1} corresponding to $f_1 \lessdot \cdots \lessdot f_7$.

As noted above we have $\operatorname{codim} \Pi^\circ_{f_i} = i+1$. Because we see that $\#\operatorname{Fix}(f_1) = 0$ and $\#\operatorname{Fix}(f_7) = 2$, Theorem 6.3 gives $\chi(L) = -4$, which correctly predicts that the exact Lagrangian cobordism depicted in Figure 14 has genus 2.




FIGURE 15. Pinch move giving a saddle cobordism from the Hopf link to the trefoil.

Example 7.2. We now provide a counterexample to the converse of Theorem 1.1. Consider the two bounded affine permutations $f_1, f_2 \in \operatorname{Bound}(2,6)$ defined by

$$f_1 := [3,4,5,7,8,6], \quad f_2 := [3,4,7,5,6,8].$$

The corresponding juggling braids are $J_2(f_1) = \sigma_1^4$ and $J_2(f_2) = \sigma_1^3$. The two corresponding positroid links are the trefoil and the Hopf link, respectively. The two bounded affine permutations f_1 and f_2 both correspond to positroid strata in $\operatorname{Gr}(2,6)$ of dimension 6 and they are therefore incomparable. However, there is an exact Lagrangian cobordism from Λ_{f_2} to Λ_{f_1} given by a saddle cobordism obtained by performing a pinch move at one of the crossings, see Figure 15.

Remark 7.3. Even though Example 7.2 shows that the converse of Theorem 1.1 is false, we have a partial converse. Namely, the braids σ_1^4 and σ_1^3 also appear as the juggling braids $J_2(g_1)$ and $J_2(g_2)$ respectively for $g_1, g_2 \in \operatorname{Bound}(2,5)$ where

$$g_1 := [3,4,5,6,7], \quad g_2 := [4,3,5,6,7],$$

and here we see that $g_1 \lessdot g_2$ because $g_2 = g_1 \circ \sigma_1$ and $\ell(g_1) = 0 < 1 = \ell(g_2)$.

REFERENCES

[ASS12] Ibrahim Assem, Ralf Schiffler, and Vasilisa Shramchenko. Cluster automorphisms. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 104(6):1271–1302, 2012.

[BEM15] Matthew Strom Borman, Yakov Eliashberg, and Emmy Murphy. Existence and classification of overtwisted contact structures in all dimensions. *Acta Math.*, 215(2):281–361, 2015.

[Ben83] Daniel Bennequin. Entrelacements et équations de Pfaff. In *Third Schnepfenried geometry conference, Vol. 1 (Schnepfenried, 1982)*, volume 107 of *Astérisque*, pages 87–161. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983.

[CG22] Roger Casals and Honghao Gao. Infinitely many Lagrangian fillings. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 195(1):207–249, 2022.

[CGG+22] Roger Casals, Eugene Gorsky, Mikhail Gorsky, Ian Le, Linhui Shen, and José Simental. Cluster structures on braid varieties. https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.11607, 2022.

[CGGS20] Roger Casals, Eugene Gorsky, Mikhail Gorsky, and José Simental. Algebraic weaves and braid varieties. https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.06931, 2020.

[CGGS21] Roger Casals, Eugene Gorsky, Mikhail Gorsky, and José Simental. Positroid links and braid varieties. https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.13948, 2021.

[Cha10] Baptiste Chantraine. Lagrangian concordance of Legendrian knots. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 10(1):63–85, 2010.

[Cha15] Baptiste Chantraine. Lagrangian concordance is not a symmetric relation. *Quantum Topol.*, 6(3):451–474, 2015.

[Che02] Yuri Chekanov. Differential algebra of Legendrian links. *Invent. Math.*, 150(3):441–483, 2002.

[CMP19] Roger Casals, Emmy Murphy, and Francisco Presas. Geometric criteria for overtwistedness. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 32(2):563–604, 2019.

[CN22] Roger Casals and Lenhard Ng. Braid loops with infinite monodromy on the Legendrian contact DGA. *J. Topol.*, 15(4):1927–2016, 2022.

[CW22] Roger Casals and Daping Weng. Microlocal Theory of Legendrian Links and Cluster Algebras. https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.13244, 2022.

[CZ22] Roger Casals and Eric Zaslow. Legendrian weaves: N-graph calculus, flag moduli and applications. *Geom. Topol.*, 26(8):3589–3745, 2022.

[DG04] Fan Ding and Hansjörg Geiges. A Legendrian surgery presentation of contact 3-manifolds. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 136(3):583–598, 2004.

[EGH00] Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental, and H. Hofer. Introduction to symplectic field theory. Number Special Volume, Part II, pages 560–673. 2000. GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999).

[EHK16] Tobias Ekholm, Ko Honda, and Tamás Kálmán. Legendrian knots and exact Lagrangian cobordisms. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 18(11):2627–2689, 2016.

[EN22] John B. Etnyre and Lenhard L. Ng. Legendrian contact homology in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In *Surveys in differential geometry 2020. Surveys in 3-manifold topology and geometry*, volume 25 of *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, pages 103–161. Int. Press, Boston, MA, [2022] ©2022.

[FG09] Vladimir V. Fock and Alexander B. Goncharov. Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 42(6):865–930, 2009.

[Fra16] Chris Fraser. Quasi-homomorphisms of cluster algebras. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 81:40–77, 2016.

[FZ02] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. I. Foundations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15(2):497–529, 2002.

[Gei08] Hansjörg Geiges. *An introduction to contact topology*, volume 109 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[GHKK18] Mark Gross, Paul Hacking, Sean Keel, and Maxim Kontsevich. Canonical bases for cluster algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(2):497–608, 2018.

[GL19] Pavel Galashin and Thomas Lam. Positroid varieties and cluster algebras. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*, to appear, 2019.

[GL21] Pavel Galashin and Thomas Lam. Positroids, knots, and q,t-Catalan numbers. https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.09745, 2021.

[GLSB23] Pavel Galashin, Thomas Lam, and Melissa Sherman-Bennett. Braid variety cluster structures, II: general type. https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.07268, 2023.

[GLSBS22] Pavel Galashin, Thomas Lam, Melissa Sherman-Bennett, and David Speyer. Braid variety cluster structures, I: 3D plabic graphs. https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.04778, 2022.

[GSW20] Honghao Gao, Linhui Shen, and Daping Weng. Augmentations, Fillings, and Clusters. https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.10793, 2020.

[Har92] Joe Harris. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 133 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992. A first course.

[KLS13] Allen Knutson, Thomas Lam, and David E. Speyer. Positroid varieties: juggling and geometry. *Compos. Math.*, 149(10):1710–1752, 2013.

[LS22] Thomas Lam and David E. Speyer. Cohomology of cluster varieties I: Locally acyclic case. *Algebra Number Theory*, 16(1):179–230, 2022.

[MNPS13] Emmy Murphy, Klaus Niederkrüger, Olga Plamenevskaya, and András I. Stipsicz. Loose Legendrians and the plastikstufe. *Geom. Topol.*, 17(3):1791–1814, 2013.

[Mur12] Emmy Murphy. *Loose Legendrian Embeddings in High Dimensional Contact Manifolds*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2012. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Stanford University.

[Pol91] L. Polterovich. The surgery of Lagrange submanifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 1(2):198–210, 1991.

[Pos06] Alexander Postnikov. Total positivity, Grassmannians, and networks. https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0609764, 2006.

[Sco06] Joshua S. Scott. Grassmannians and cluster algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 92(2):345–380, 2006.

[STWZ19] Vivek Shende, David Treumann, Harold Williams, and Eric Zaslow. Cluster varieties from Legendrian knots. *Duke Math. J.*, 168(15):2801–2871, 2019.

[SW21] Linhui Shen and Daping Weng. Cluster structures on double Bott-Samelson cells. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 9:Paper No. e66, 89, 2021.

[Wei91] Alan Weinstein. Contact surgery and symplectic handlebodies. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 20(2):241–251, 1991.

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, 2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027
Email address: asplund@math.columbia.edu

Department of Mathematics, Incheon National University, Republic of Korea
Email address: yjbae@inu.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics, University of California Davis, Davis 95616
Email address: ocapovillasearle@ucdavis.edu

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, 2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027
Email address: marco.castronovo@columbia.edu

Mathematics Program, Bard College, 30 Campus Road, Annandale-on-Hudson, NY 12504
Email address: cleverson@bard.edu

Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803
Email address: awu@lsu.edu