

Confinement and Deconfinement in Gauge Theories: A Quantum Field Theory

A. P. Balachandran

Physics Department, Syracuse University, NY 13244-1130,
USA

Abstract

After a brief discussion of small and large gauge transformations and the nature of observables, we discuss superselection sectors in gauge theories. There are an infinity of them, classified by large gauge transformations. Gauge theory sectors are labelled by the eigenvalues of a complete commuting set (CCS) of these transformations.

In QED, the standard chemical potential is one such operator generating global $U(1)$. There are many more given by the moments of the electric field on the sphere at infinity. In QCD, the CCS are constructed from the two commuting generators spanning a Cartan subalgebra.

We show that any element of a large gauge transformation can be added to the standard Hamiltonian as a chemical potential without changing field equations and that in QCD, they lead to confined and deconfined phases. A speculation about the physical meaning of these chemical potentials is also made.

Comment: This note is based on seminars by the author. So only a limited number of references are given, from which further literature can be traced.

A paper is under preparation.

Introduction

We propose a new mechanism for confinement-deconfinement transitions in gauge theories. It is based on a chemical potential in the Hamiltonian which was discussed briefly by Balachandran et al.[1] and which is associated with ‘large gauge transformations’. It defines both superselection sectors, and in non-abelian gauge theories, their evolution as well and suggests several new phenomena.

Let us first review the basics of gauge transformations as formulated by our group and reviewed in [2]. In later sections, we will develop the announced results.

The spacetime unless otherwise stated is 3+1 dimensional Minkowski $M^{3,1}$ and the metric will be $(1, -1, -1, -1)$ diag. The gauge group \mathcal{G} is the group of maps from spacetime to a compact Lie group G . On a spatial slice, if g belongs to \mathcal{G} , then $g(\vec{x})$ approaches an element $h(\hat{x})$ of G as $|\vec{x}|$ goes to infinity.

The case where $|\vec{x}|$ going to infinity of $g(\vec{x})$ does not exist is not considered here.

%beginframe

The Lie algebra-valued connection will be denoted by A_μ and its conjugate electric field will be E^μ . If λ_α form a (hermitean) basis for the Lie algebra of G with the normalisation $\text{tr} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta = 2\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ in a defining representation, an $N \times N$ one for $SU(N)$, then we can write $A_\mu = A_\mu^\alpha \lambda_\alpha$ and $E_\mu = E_\mu^\alpha \lambda_\alpha$. For $U(1)$, we can put electric charge for λ_α .

In a gauge theory, an infinitesimal gauge transformation can be written on a spatial slice as

$$Q(\Xi) = \int_0^\infty d^3x \langle (D_i E_i + J_0) \Xi \rangle$$

where

a) $\langle \dots \rangle$ indicates trace over Lie algebra indices and D_i is covariant derivative. In the $U(1)$ case, there is no need for trace and D_i becomes ordinary derivative ∂_i .

b) Ξ is a Lie algebra-valued test function. In the non-abelian case, we can write it at a point \vec{x} as $\Xi^\alpha(\vec{x}) \lambda_\alpha$ where the functions Ξ^α approach functions of $\hat{x} = \vec{x}/|\vec{x}|$ as $|\vec{x}|$ goes to infinity. The test function can be any smooth function so that all local gauge transformations can be generated.

If all Ξ^α go to zero at infinity adequately fast, we can partially integrate without surface terms and write

$$Q(\Xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^3x \langle (-E_i D_i \Xi + J_0 \Xi) \rangle$$

and that is the standard Gauss law. So it vanishes on the quantum states and maps to zero in the GNS construction.

But if Ξ do not become zero functions at infinity, $Q(\Xi)$ need not vanish on quantum states. They generate the Sky group of Balachandran and Vaidya. In either case, regardless of the asymptotic behaviour of Ξ , they commute with the algebra of all observables \mathcal{A} due to locality.

We have argued for this fact many times elsewhere. (Chern-Simons papers, [2]). This result has many consequences as we outline below.

Novel Chemical Potentials

Let H_0 be the standard full gauge theory Hamiltonian without any sort of chemical potential which generates equations of motion. Pick a Ξ and a constant μ with energy dimension and consider the Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + \mu Q(\Xi) := H_0 + H_1.$$

The new term will not affect equations of motion as it commutes with all local observables. It will change the fields in equations of motion only by local gauge transformations which will not affect equations of motion. It is the non-abelian chemical potential.

Non-abelian chemical potentials have been discussed in previous work on finite temperature field theories [3].

Later we will interpret it as the coupling of the experimental setup with the system and suggest a scheme to resolve the observation problem in quantum physics. For $U(1)$ and constant Ξ , it becomes the standard chemical potential. The constant μ sets the scale at which $Q(\Xi)$ becomes significant.

There is no point in making μ functions of \vec{x} as Ξ are already spatial functions.

The exponentials of $Q(\Xi)$ for different test functions generate the Sky group \mathcal{G} and its group algebra $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$.

For non-abelian target group G such as $SU(3)$, this algebra is non-commutative since

$$[Q(\Xi_1), Q(\Xi_2)] = Q([\Xi_1, \Xi_2]).$$

As Dirac taught us, an irreducible representation of \mathcal{A} is characterised by a vector state diagonal in a complete commuting set. This vector state may or may not be preserved under the time evolution induced by $\mu Q(\Xi) \in H$ (H_0 will not affect it). We will interpret the former as a colour deconfining state and the latter as colour-confining state for reasons given below. In the latter case, for generic situations, the orbit of the vector state is ergodic as we shall also see below.

The term $Q(\Xi)$ is already present in the Hamiltonian when it is derived from the gauge theory Lagrangian by Legendre transformation, as was observed in an earlier paper (Balachandran, Nair, Pinzul, Reyes-Lega, Vaidya). It is the term

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^3x < (-D_i A_0 E_i + A_0 J_0) >$$

where $\text{tr} \langle \cdots \rangle$ is over the Lie algebra indices as usual and J_0 is the Lie algebra valued charge density.

It is usually discarded by treating A_0 as a classical field vanishing fast towards infinity and doing a partial integration. Then it becomes Gauss's law and hence zero as an operator.

But A_0 need not vanish at infinity. It has become Ξ in the current notation.

The extra term in H will not spoil the commutativity of spatial translation operators with H . But with H as P_0 and with the usual unaltered spatial translation generators, $P_\mu + \mu Q(\Xi) \delta_{\mu,0}$ will not transform as a four vector under Lorentz transformations : Lorentz symmetry is broken at the operator level But as the extra term does not affect equations of motion, the latter will maintain their covariance.

However, it has long been known that Lorentz invariance is broken by infrared effects and gauge transformations [Fröhlich, Morchio and Strocchi; Mund, Rehren and Schroer and references therein], so that there is no need for concern about Lorentz breaking from this chemical potential.

Dynamics from H

This is determined by the expectation values in the vector states of the observables. The latter in turn are classified by the eigenvalues of the superselection operators on the vector states. In a particular superselection sector,

a complete set of commuting superselection operators(complete commuting set, CCS) are diagonal.

The CCS is an abelian subalgebra, preferably a maximal abelian subalgebra, of the Sky algebra with generators $Q(\Xi)$. For example, in QED, the conventional choice for $Q(\Xi)$ is the multiple of the electric charge operator Q . The test function Ξ in this case goes to a constant on the celestial sphere S^2 (with coordinates \hat{x}).

But it can also go to any smooth function of \hat{x} . Then the superselected operators become infinite dimensional.

In QCD and in non-abelian gauge theories, CCS is much richer. First we choose a CCS from the enveloping algebra of $SU(3)$ Lie algebra. That is spanned by its quadratic and cubic Casimir operators , a Casimir of an ‘isospin’ $SU(2) \in SU(3)$, a ‘third component’ I_3 of its isospin and the hypercharge Y commuting with I_3 . Then in addition to the above Casimirs, we can diagonalise the commuting Sky operators $Q(\tilde{\Xi})$ where $\tilde{\Xi}$ is a linear combination I_3 and Y with coefficients becoming functions of \hat{x} at infinity.

For now, let us consider the case where the coefficient functions are constants at infinity. Then the superselection sector is labelled by I_3 and Y in an irreducible representation (IRR) of $SU(3)$ such as a triplet or octet. But a generic $\mu Q(\Xi) = H_1$ will not commute with $Q(\tilde{\Xi})$ and will change the superselection sector. Local observables and evolution by the standard H_0 will preserve it, but not so the chemical potential.

This is the new feature in QCD : a generic non-abelian chemical potential will not preserve the superselection sector. We will discuss the orbit of the superselection sector below, indicate its ergodic features and argue that the mean values of coloured observables in this situation are all 0. This result substitutes for colour confinement. But the expectation values of Casimir operators of G are constants of motion for H and can certainly be measured. Hence the representation of the coloured sector, if it is $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{8}, \dots$ can be determined.

But we can also choose a Ξ commuting with $\tilde{\Xi}$, the diagonalised CCS. It will affect evolution by an \hat{x} -dependent phase, but will not change the superselection sector at all. We can call such states as characterising colour deconfined phases.

We need explicit examples to which we now turn.

The Colour-Confined and Deconfined Phases

The title is misleading. Presumably in the popular literature, confinement is supposed to mean that coloured states are not in the domain of the Hamiltonian. It is not clear if numerical work based on Wilson lines accomplish such a result.

What emerges from our analysis leads to a related result : the mean values of coloured observables averaged over the interaction time are 0, in this phase. All the same, as Casimirs are colour singlets, they can be determined as previously remarked.

Here is a worked ergodic example for $SU(3)$. The superselection sector is one with $\lambda_{3,8}$ (and Casimirs) diagonal and in the triplet representation. Their joint eigenvalues are $(1,1), (-1,1)$ and $(0,-2)$. Any one of them gives a density matrix on the coloured observables. Their time evolution is given by the chemical potential, assumed time independent.

If the picked vector state is an eigenstate of the chemical potential, it will be preserved in time, and coloured expectation values too will be preserved in time : these are the sectors with colour deconfinement.

So let us pick a chemical potential which does not preserve them, say

$$H_1 = Q(\Xi), \Xi = \mu_1 \lambda_1 + \mu_8 \sqrt{3} \lambda_8, \quad \mu_1/\mu_8 \text{ irrational.}$$

The μ 's have energy dimension which sets the scale of this term. What sets this scale ? It seems to be the same effect which sets the scale of the standard chemical potential in finite temperature field theories.

This operator evolves colour (but not local observables) and is our H_1 .

In this example , the two terms in H commute , making computations easy. But $|(0,2)\rangle$ is its eigenstate, so ergodicity can show up only in the remaining states. But that is fine to illustrate the phenomenon.

A calculation shows that

$$e^{it\Xi} = e^{it\mu_1 \lambda_1} e^{it\mu_8 \sqrt{3} \lambda_8},$$

which gives the action of e^{itH_1} on a chosen vector state.

The vector $(0,0,1)$ in the basis indicated above is an eigenstate of H_I and defines a deconfined phase. H_1 has eigenvalue $-2\mu_8$ and e^{itH_1} has periodicity π/μ_8 . But under time evolution, the other two vectors never come back to the starting value as claimed.

That is because $\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_8}$ is irrational by choice. So too are the ratios of periods.

If the ratios are rational, the orbit of vector states are periodic.

The strong interaction time is about 10^{-23} secs. No experiment can probe the evolution of the system during this time. What is observed is the average of an operator during this time. But such an average is expected to be zero, especially if the scales μ_i are large compared to QCD scales.

For the vector state, we can also choose an eigenstate of H_1 such as the one given by the choice $(0, 0, 1)$. It changes just by a phase under time evolution and hence the corresponding density matrix does not change at all. Expectation values of coloured observables are then time-independent and there is no theoretical issue in observing them. So we call them colour-deconfined phases.

Open Question

It is reasonable to ask if the colour-deconfined phases are attractors for the confined ones. That will involve perturbing them and observing if they will relax back to the deconfined phase.

But in quantum theory, a unitary perturbation of short duration seems inadequate for this task. After it is switched off, the system will keep evolving unitarily after the switch-off time from wherever it finds itself. A perturbation with a POVM also seems not to help. A better formulation of the question seems needed. Maybe one should switch on the perturbation adiabatically and switch it off in the same way.

An Interpretation of the Chemical Potential

One supposes that it has an interpretation similar to the standard one: $\lambda X N, N = \text{number operator}$. In QED, it is the generator of $U(1)$ gauge transformations so that it commutes with all observables, adding it to the QED Hamiltonian H_0 as the H_1 above will not affect equations of motion. It is like the abelian $Q(\Xi)$ of QED discussed above. It is in fact what one gets for the choice $\Xi(x) = \Lambda$ for all x .

When Ξ has an \hat{x} dependent limit for $|\vec{x}|$ going to ∞ , $Q(\Xi)$ generates the Sky group yielding more general chemical potentials. One can consider Ξ

approaching definite combinations of spherical harmonics at infinity getting any number of novel chemical potentials. Just like the phase diagram of temperature T versus λ , one can also consider multidimensional phase plots.

In the non-abelian case, the nature of the chemical potential changes : the non-abelian coloured sector is labelled by the eigenvalues of a basis of a Cartan subalgebra of the Sky group.

But H_1 can be any element of the Sky group and need not commute with the diagonalised element. So in general it will generate an ergodic orbit in the space of states as discussed above.

Note that the evolution is via superselection sectors. It is like the problem studied by [4]. and the more recent one [5].

Elsewhere we have argued that quantum observations are done by coupling experimental operators which form an *abelian* algebra. We next show that the superselection sectors naturally generate an abelian algebra and that our states from CCS are on this abelian algebra. It is this abelian algebra that is observed.

When H_1 is switched off, the superselection sector no longer evolves and the expectation values of the abelian algebra must be giving information about the system. The suggestion here is similar to that of Fiorini and Immirzi, Wightman and probably others like Bohr and Landsman.

Therefore we can suggest that H_1 is the evolution Hamiltonian for superselection sectors and reflects the coupling of the experimental apparatus to the system.

Thus let us suppose that the experiment is initiated at time $t = 0$. At this time, when the state is mixed in colour with zero mean value for coloured observables, which the experiment knows, H_1 makes its appearance in H . After a time T , when the experiment ceases, and H_1 becomes 0, the evolved mixed state no longer further evolves. Colour singlets can then be measured and the evolution of their expectation values from 0 to T should reveal information about the operator H_0 and what has happened to the original state.

It has been assumed the original state is mixed in colour. If it is pure for colour and evolves at time T to a pure state, that would be appropriate for colour-deconfined state.

We have to show the emergence of a commutative algebra from superselection operators. The conjectures regarding them now follow.

The Commutative Algebra at Infinity in QED

Let us first recall how to create a charge q state localised at e_∞ in QED from the vacuum vector $|\Omega\rangle$, assumed to be invariant under all gauge transformations.

Let $W(x, e)$ be the Wilson line from \vec{x} to infinity along e , it is $\exp(iq\phi(x, e))$ in terms of the escort field ϕ .

Let $\psi(x)$ be any charge q field. Then

$$\exp(iq\phi(x, e))\psi(x)|\Omega\rangle$$

has no local charges and a charge q blip at e_∞ . That is the case for any \vec{x} .

If $\pi_{q,e}(\mathcal{A})$ is the charge q representation of the algebra \mathcal{A} of local observables realised on (1), it acts on (1) by left multiplication.

The vector states H_{q,e_∞} are $\pi_{q,e}(A)\exp(iq\phi(x, e))\psi(x)|\Omega\rangle$.

Under a large gauge transformation $\exp(iq\Lambda)$, every vector in this sector transforms with the fixed phase $\exp(iq\Lambda(e_\infty))$ where this has been defined as

$$\exp(iq \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda(x + \tau e)).$$

So, this charge q superselection sector is labelled by the representation $Q(\Xi \rightarrow \Xi(e_\infty))$ where the latter is defined as in above for Λ .

For

$$\exp(iq\phi(x, e'))\psi(x)|\Omega\rangle, \quad e' \neq e, \quad (2)$$

since there are certainly Ξ with different values at e and e' , no small gauge transformation or local observable can map the superselection sector defined by (1) to that defined by (2).

Hence

$$\pi_{q,e}((a)\exp(iq\phi(x, e))\psi(x)|\Omega\rangle) \quad (3)$$

$$\pi_{q,e'}((b)\exp(iq\phi(x, e'))\psi(x)|\Omega\rangle) \quad (4)$$

for any a, b in A are eigenvectors with different eigenvalues for such $Q(\Xi)$ and hence orthogonal. The Hilbert spaces $H_{q,e,e'}$ built on (3) and (4) are orthogonal.

But e 's can be any points on a de Sitter space and take continuous values.

So we conclude that the direct integral over e of these Hilbert spaces is non-separable. ([https : //en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Direct_integral](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Direct_integral). [https :](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Direct_integral)

[//math.stackexchange.com/questions/2163261/non-separable-hilbert-spaces](https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2163261/non-separable-hilbert-spaces))

Let $P_{q,e}$ be the ‘projection’ operator for $H_{q,e}$, like $|x\rangle\langle x|$ for position in quantum mechanics. Then

$$P_{q,e}P_{q,e'} = 0 \quad \text{if } e \neq e', \quad (5)$$

The set of e ’s form a de Sitter space.

Hence

$$RHS \text{ of (5)} = \delta_{e',e}P_{q,e} \quad (6)$$

where $\delta_{e,e'}$ is the Dirac delta function on de Sitter space defined by

$$\int de f(e)\delta(e',e) = f(e') \quad (7)$$

where de is the Lorentz invariant volume on the de Sitter space and f is any smooth function thereon.

It follows that

$$I(f) = \int de f(e)P_{q,e} \quad (8)$$

obeys the abelian algebra

$$I(f)I(g) = I(fg) \quad (9)$$

where fg is defined by the pointwise multiplication of f and g .

With $*$ as complex conjugation and with *sup norm*, we get a commutative C^* -algebra \mathcal{C} with spectrum as the de Sitter space. Elements of this algebra label the superselection sectors associated in a charge q sector.

The Commutative Algebra at Infinity in QCD

The remarks above can be adapted to any non-abelian gauge theory.

Epilogue

There are many issues that remain open and to be addressed.

Acknowledgement

I have beniefted by discussions with Manolo Asorey,Bruno Carneiro da Cunha, Arshad Momen, Paraneswaran Nair, Sasha Pinzul , Amilcar Queiroz, Babar Qureshi and Sachin Vaidya.Much of this work was done at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences. I thank my hosts Ravidran,Sanatan Digal and other colleagues there for their wonderful hospitality.

References

- [1] Superselection, Boundary Algebras and Duality in Gauge Theories A.P. Balachandran, V. P. Nair, A. Pinzul, A. F. Reyes-Lega, S. Vaidya. Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 2, 025001 • e-Print: 2112.08631 [hep-th]
- [2] The Gauss Law: A Tale, A.P. Balachandran, A.F. Reyes-Lega Published in: Springer Proc.Phys. 229 (2019) 41-55 • Contribution to: Classical and Quantum Physics, 41-55 • e-Print: 1807.05161 [hep-th] arXiv:1807.05161 [hep-th]
- [3] R Anishetty, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. 10 (1984)423 ; R. Parthasarathy and A. Kumar ,Physical Review **D 75**(2007)085007.
- [4] M. Asorey, P. Facchi, G. Marmo, S. Pascazio, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46, 102001 (2013), Published in: Springer Proc.Phys. 229 (2019) 41-55 • Contribution to: Classical and Quantum Physics, 41-55 • e-Print: 1807.05161 [hep-th]
- [5] Quantum cavities with alternating boundary conditions , Paolo Facchi, Giancarlo Garnero, Marilena Ligabò Published in: J.Phys.A 51 (2018) 10, 105301 • e-Print: 1710.10893 [math-ph]