
arXiv:2305.17138v1 [physics.ins-det] 16 May 2023

Quantum gravity unchained: Atomic sensors as exotic field telescopes in multi-messenger astronomy

A. Derevianko
Department of Physics, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89557, USA



We propose a novel, exotic physics, modality in multi-messenger astronomy. We are interested in a *direct* detection of exotic fields emitted by the mergers. This approach must be contrasted with the *indirect* detection strategies, e.g., based on minute exotic-physics induced changes in gravitational wave spectral features. While our strategy seems to be overly optimistic, the numbers do work out. The numbers work out because of (i) the exquisite sensitivity of atomic quantum sensors and because of (ii) the enormous amounts of energy released in the mergers. Bursts of exotic fields may, for example, be produced during the coalescence of black hole singularities, releasing quantum gravity messengers per the title of this contribution. To be detectable by the precision atomic sensors, such fields must be ultralight and ultra-relativistic and we refer to them as exotic low-mass fields (ELFs). Since the fields are massive, the group velocity of ELF bursts is smaller than the speed of light. Thereby the ELF bursts lag behind the gravitational waves. Then LIGO or other gravitational wave observatories would provide a trigger for networks of precision atomic sensors that can listen for the feeble ELF signals. We characterize ELF signatures in the sensors. ELFs would imprint a characteristic anti-chirp signal across the sensor network. This contribution to Moriond-Gravity proceedings summarizes salient points of our previous publication [Dailey et al., Nature Astronomy 5, 150 (2021)]. I aim at a discussion that is informal and accessible yet grounded in quantitative estimates.

1 Introduction

Since the initial discovery of gravitational waves (GW) by LIGO in 2015 [1], there were multiple observations of GW arrivals at the Earth. Most of these GW result from mergers of a pair of black holes However, on August 17, 2017, a new class of GW events was discovered: the binary neutron star merger GW170817 [2]. That was the first astrophysical source detected in both gravitational waves and multiwavelength electromagnetic radiation. This event has generated a considerable excitement in the astrophysics community, ushering the era of multi-messenger astronomy [3]. A $\sim$ 100 s long detected GW signal was followed by a short gamma-ray burst. An optical transient was subsequently found in the host galaxy NGC 4993 at a distance of 40 megaparsecs ($\sim$ 100 million light-years). The source was detected in a comprehensive observational campaign [4,5,6,7,8,9,10] across the electromagnetic spectrum – in the X-ray, ultraviolet, optical, infrared, and radio




Figure 1 – An emitted ELF burst propagates with the group velocity $v_g \lesssim c$ to the detector, lagging behind the GW burst. Since the more energetic ELF components propagate faster, the arriving ELF wavepacket exhibits a characteristic frequency anti-chirp. From our paper[11].

bands over hours, days, and weeks. Early detections were obtained within one day of the GW trigger [4,6].

Our paper [11] extends the gravitational and electromagnetic modalities of multi-messenger astronomy to exotic (beyond the Standard Model of elementary particles) fields. We are interested in a *direct* detection of exotic fields emitted by the mergers. This approach must be contrasted with *indirect* detection strategies, e.g., based on minute exotic-physics induced changes in GW spectral features. While our strategy seems to be overly optimistic, the numbers do work out. The numbers work out because of (i) the exquisite sensitivity of atomic sensors and because of (ii) the enormous amounts of energy released in the mergers.

Fig. 1 summarizes our idea. A merger emits both the GW and an exotic low-mass fields (ELFs) bursts. Since the ELF is massive, the burst propagates at a group velocity smaller than the speed of light. Thereby, the ELF pulse lags behind the GW burst. Because of the dispersion, the ELF burst tends to spread out as it travels. More energetic components of the ELF wavepacket travel faster, imprinting a universal anti-chirp signature in the quantum sensor data (in this case an atomic clock).

As to the exotic, beyond the standard model, physics modality, we focus on ultralight (yet non-zero mass) bosonic fields as the messenger. Indeed, ultralight bosons (masses $\ll 1$ eV) are ubiquitous in various new physics scenarios. Such exotic fields are posited, for example, in explaining the nature of dark matter and dark energy, the hierarchy problem, the strong CP problem, and in quantum theories of gravity. We presupose that bursts of such ELFs could be generated by cataclysmic astrophysical events such as black hole or neutron star mergers[12,13].

Quantum sensors, such as atomic clocks and magnetometers, are sensitive to gentle perturbations of internal degrees of freedom (energy levels, spins, etc.) by coherent, classical waves. Then to be detectable by the atomic sensors, the astrophysical source must produce coherent ELF waves with high mode occupation number. The mode occupation number can be diluted as the spherical wave-front expands as it travels and the condition must be satisfied all the way to the sensors. [a]

There is a wide variety of speculative scenarios for coherent ELF production, enumerated in our original publication[11]; these range from the scalar-tensor gravity to stripping away boson clouds coherently built up around black holes (BH). My personal favorite is due to quantum gravity of BH singularities. Much of the underlying physics of coalescing singularities in BH mergers remains unexplored as it requires understanding of the as yet unknown theory of quantum gravity[14]. Then the ELF burst would emerge from the merger as a quantum gravity messenger. Hence the title of my contribution "Quantum gravity unchained".

Considering that much of the underlying physics remains to be discovered, we take a pragmatic approach based on energy arguments. We assume, based on the uncertainties for the LIGO events, that $\Delta E \sim M_\odot c^2$ of energy can be released in the form of ELFs from a black hole merger and $\Delta E \sim 0.1\, M_\odot c^2$ in a neutron star merger. In other words, enormous (compared to

[a] Emitted ELFs are indeed copious ($\gtrsim 10^{70}$ for $\Delta E \sim 0.1 M_\odot c^2$ and $\omega_0 = 2\pi \times 10\,\mathrm{kHz}$).

terrestrial experiments) amounts of energy can be released into the ELF channel.

2 Propagation of the ELF burst and its signature at the sensor

As an illustration, let's assume that the emitted ELF is a spinless field. Klein-Gordon equation governs quantum physics of such bosons; it admits the usual wave solutions. An emitted ELF propagates out as a spherical wave with the conventional relativistic energy-momentum dispersion relation

$$\omega(k) = \sqrt{(ck)^2 + \Omega_c^2}, \tag{1}$$

where the Compton frequency $\Omega_c = mc^2/\hbar$ depends on the ELF mass m. The energy and momentum of ELF quanta are given by $\varepsilon = \hbar\omega$ and $p = \hbar k$. A linear combination of outgoing spherical waves of different frequencies forms an outward propagating wavepacket. A duration of the burst τ_0 implies a frequency (or energy) spread $\Delta\omega = 1/\tau_0$. Given the fixed spread and central frequency ω_0 of the ELFs, the Bayesian minimum entropy principle implies that the wavepacket has a Gaussian shape. The amplitude of the wavepacket is determined by the total energy $\Delta E \sim M_\odot c^2$ in the ELF channel. This will be our generic assumption, which, of course, may be fine-tuned for a specific production mechanism. Even in this case, our observations about the gross features of the expected ELF signature at the sensors hold true.

Formally the ELF can be described as a superposition of spherically-symmetric waves: $\phi_k(r,t) = \frac{A_k}{r}\cos\left(kr - \omega(k)t + \theta_k\right)$, where r is the radial coordinate, A_k, θ_k, k, and ω are the ELF amplitudes, phases, wavevectors, and frequencies, respectively. The initial Gaussian wavepacket can be represented as a linear Fourier combination of these spherical waves. Individual components $\phi_k(r,t)$ propagate with different phase velocities $k/\omega(k)$. As the wavepacket evolves, its envelope will change over time exhibiting dispersion with the center of the envelope moving at the group velocity.

The dispersion relation (1) has important implications for the propagation of the ELF wavepacket. In particular, we are interested in

1. The group velocity $v_g = \partial\omega/\partial k$ as it determines the time delay δt of the ELF signal with respect to the GW burst moving at the speed of light;

2. The duration τ and the amplitude of the ELF wavepacket at the sensor;

3. Time dependence of instantaneous ELF wavepacket frequency at the sensor.

The dispersion relation (1) translates into an "internal" index of refraction

$$n\left(\omega\right) = \frac{k}{\omega} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Omega_c^2}{\omega^2}}\,, \tag{2}$$

mapping the problem of the ELF wavepacket propagation into well-understood wave-propagation in electrodynamics [15]. In particular, the center of the wavepacket moves at the group velocity $v_g \leq c$. We need to require that $v_g \sim c$ as we want to correlate the ELF signal with a specific LIGO GW trigger. Indeed, LIGO-detected GWs must traverse the vast billion-light year distances and if we want to limit the GW-ELF time delay δt to less than a week, ELFs must be ultra-relativistic. Then the ELF central frequency ω_0 and wavevector k_0 are related by photonic dispersion $\omega_0 \approx ck_0$ and the index of refraction in this limit is

$$n\left(\omega\right) \approx 1 - \frac{\Omega_c^2}{2\omega^2}\,. \tag{3}$$

Then we can consider a propagation of a Gaussian pulse with this simplified index of refraction. The solution (c.f. Ref.[15] and detailed derivation in Ref.[11]) for a field at the sensor a

distance R away from the progenitor reads

$$\phi(R,t) \approx \frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{c\Delta E}{2\pi^{3/2}\omega_0^2\tau}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-t_s)^2}{2\tau^2}\right) \times \cos\left(\omega_0(t-t_s) - \frac{\omega_0}{4\delta t}(t-t_s)^2\right), \quad (4)$$

where $t_s = t_{\rm GW} + \delta t$ is the time of arrival of the center of the pulse to the sensor. It is offset by $t_{\rm GW} = R/c$, the time of arrival of the GW messenger. In contrast to dispersionless spherical waves, the field amplitude at the sensor $\phi(R,t)$ scales as $1/R^{3/2}$, reflecting the pulse dispersion. The duration τ of the ELF pulse at the sensor can be estimated as $\tau \sim R\Delta v_g/c^2$, where the spread in group velocities $\Delta v_g/c \approx \partial^2\omega/\partial k^2/\tau_0$. This relates the signal duration and GW-ELF time delay

$$\tau \approx 2\delta t\,/(\omega_0\tau_0)\,. \quad (5)$$

Note that the instantaneous ELF frequency is time-dependent, $\omega(t) = (1-(t-t_s)/(2\delta t))\omega_0$, exhibiting a frequency "anti-chirp" at the sensor. The waveform, Eq. (4), is shown in Fig. 1. The slope of the anti-chirp is given by $d\omega/dt = -1/(\tau\tau_0) = -\omega_0/(2\delta t)$. This reflects a qualitative fact that the more energetic (or higher frequency) components have a higher phase velocity k/ω. Thereby the higher-frequency ELF components arrive earlier at the sensor.

It is worth noting that the waveform (4) is consistent with conservation of energy: A shell of radius R and of width τc contains the released energy ΔE in the ELF channel.

3 Catching an ELF

Now we know how an ELF pulse looks like at a sensor. How can we detect them? Have the ELFs, if they do exist, been already constrained as they can participate in other processes?

I will focus on atomic clocks and optical cavities; discussion of atomic magnetometers can be found in Ref.[11]. First of all, there is only a range of frequencies that we are sensitive to. A typical atomic clock sampling rate is below 1Hz, while for cavities it is below 10 kHz. This limits ω_0 from above. These frequencies fix energies $\varepsilon_0 = \hbar\omega_0$ of detectable ELFs to below $10^{-14}\,$eV for clocks and $10^{-10}\,$eV for cavities. Practically, we are limited to a duration of the pulse at the sensor to about a month; this limits ω_0 from below.

Atomic clocks work by locking the frequencies of tunable sources of microwave or optical radiation to frequencies of atomic transitions. The atoms (quantum oscillators) are well protected from the environment or the environmental perturbations are well characterized. Telling time then relies on counting the number of oscillations of the radiation at the source and multiplying it by the period of the oscillation determined by the atomic transition frequency. If an exotic field pulls on the atomic energy levels, the change in the clock frequency can be determined, for example, by comparing it with another clock that responds to the exotic fields in a different fashion, because it is either remote and not affected by the exotic field or is using a different atomic species.

The most obvious way how the atomic frequencies can be affected is through the variation of fundamental constants. For example, the optical frequencies are proportional to the Rydberg constant $m_e c^2\alpha^2$. So if α varies, so do the clock frequencies. In clock comparisons, however, this overall scaling factor cancels out, and we need to consider relativistic effects, that bring in additional α dependence that differs for different atomic species. If the clocks are compared remotely, however, the clocks may use the same species.

Variations of fundamental constants may be induced by phenomenological portals. The least constrained is the quadratic portal with a Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\rm clk} = \left(-\Gamma_{m_e} m_e c^2 \bar\psi_e\psi_e + \Gamma_\alpha F^2_{\mu\nu}/4\right)\phi^2. \quad (6)$$

Here ψ_e is the electron bi-spinor, $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the Faraday tensor, and Γ's are coupling constants. Quadratic interactions appear naturally for ELFs possessing either Z_2 or $U(1)$ intrinsic symmetries. The first term varies the electron mass and the second – fine-structure constant. Typically the coupling constants are reframed in terms of energy scales $\Lambda_X \equiv 1/\sqrt{|\Gamma_X|}$.

Detailed analysis [11], based on the excess power statistic technique, sets the following limits on sensitivity

$$\Lambda_X \lesssim 0.1 \left(\frac{\sqrt{N_s}|K_X|}{\sigma_y(\Delta_t)}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{c}{R\omega_0}\right) \left(\frac{\hbar^2 \Delta E^2}{\Delta_t \tau}\right)^{1/4}. \tag{7}$$

Here K_X is the sensitivity coefficient to a variation in fundamental constant $X = \{m_e, \alpha, \ldots\}$, Δ_t is the sensor sampling time interval, $\sigma_y(\Delta_t)$ is the dimensionless clock Allan deviation over sampling interval Δ_t for fractional frequency excursions, and N_s is the number of sensors. Effectively, we require that the signal-to-noise ratio exceeds a 95% confidence level.

Astrophysical observations and laboratory experiments set constraints on the coupling strengths between ELFs and standard model particles and fields [16]. Using the above sensitivity estimates, we find that the current generation of atomic clocks is sensitive to quadratic portals $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$




Figure 2 – Projected atomic clock sensitivity to ELFs plausibly emitted during the BNS merger GW170817. The discovery reach is shown for a trans-European network of laboratory clocks (red line, $\sigma_y(1\,\mathrm{s}) = 10^{-16}$) and for the GPS constellation (red dashed line, $\sigma_y(1\,\mathrm{s}) = 10^{-13}$). We assumed an ELF burst of duration $\tau = 100\,\mathrm{s}$, energy release $0.1 M_\odot c^2$, and a total observation time of one month. Prior constraints [17] on the energy scale Λ_α are shown by the blue shaded region. From our paper [11].

As an example, in Fig. 2, we plot the projected sensitivity to a putative ELF burst emitted during the BNS merger GW170817 ($R = 40\,\mathrm{Mpc}$). It is clear that existing clock networks can be sensitive to ELFs for a typical GW event (either BNS, BBH or BH+NS mergers) registered by GW detectors. If the sought ELF signal is not observed, the sensors can place constraints on theoretical models.

In our GPS.ELF group, we started data analysis from an atomic clocks onboard the Global Positioning system (GPS) satellites. The GPS constellation is nominally comprised of 32 satellites in medium-Earth orbit. The satellites house microwave atomic clocks on-board and they have been used for dark matter searches [18,19]. A network of specialized Earth-based GPS receivers measures the carrier phase of the microwave signals resulting in the GPS clock time-series data. One can think of the GPS constellation as the largest human-built $\sim 50,000\,\mathrm{km}$-aperture sensor array. Combined with other satellite positioning constellations and terrestrial clocks, $N_s \sim 100$. The case of GPS is particularly intriguing as ~ 20 years worth of archival GPS data is available and the dataset is routinely updated [20]. If an ELF signal is discovered in recent data, one can go back to pre-LIGO era and search for similar signals in the archival data. Another possibility is to correlate the catalogued short gamma ray bursts [21] or other powerful astrophysical events with the archival GPS data to search for ELF bursts.

Employing networks is crucial for distinguishing ELF signals from technical noise. Furthermore, by having baselines with the diameter of the Earth or larger, one can resolve the sky position of the ELF source. This is a critical feature for multi-messenger astronomy that enables correlation with other observations of the progenitor. Atomic clocks have a relatively low $\sim 1\,\mathrm{Hz}$ sampling rate. The ELF propagation time across the GPS constellation is 0.2 s. The publicly available GPS data is sampled every $\Delta_t = 30\,\mathrm{seconds}$. Our GPS.ELF group has developed techniques to generate higher sampling rate $\Delta_t = 1\,\mathrm{second}$ GPS datastreams. Still the limited time resolution makes tracking the leading edge of the ELF pulse across the GPS network challenging. Nevertheless, clock networks can still act collectively, gaining $\sqrt{N_s}$ in sensitivity and vetoing signals that do not affect all the sensors in the network. To mitigate the low sampling rate, one can envision increasing the baseline, similar to recently proposed [22] space-based GW detectors. Another possibility is a small-scale ($\sim 10\,\mathrm{km}$) terrestrial network of optical cavities which allow for $\gtrsim 10\,\mathrm{kHz}$ sampling rate. The ELF sensitivity of a cavity network is similar to that of the clock networks shown in Fig. 2.

In summary, we proposed a novel, exotic physics, modality in multi-messenger astronomy. ELFs serve as messengers and we proposed to employ global networks of precision quantum sensors for their direct detection. This approach must be contrasted with the *indirect* exotic-physics detection strategies, e.g., based on minute exotic-physics induced changes in gravitational wave spectral features. Our strategy benefits from (i) the exquisite sensitivity of atomic quantum sensors and from (ii) the enormous amounts of energy released in the mergers. Bursts of exotic fields may, for example, be produced during the coalescence of black hole singularities. An observation of our predicted ELF signal may yield an experimental signature of quantum gravity unchained in the merger.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my GPS.ELF and GNOME collaborators for stimulating discussions. This work was supported in part by the Heising-Simons Foundation and by the U.S. National Science Foundation grants PHY-1912465 and PHY-2207546.

References

1. Abbott, B. P.*et al.* Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger. *Physical Review Letters* **116**, 061102 (2016).
2. Abbott, B. P. *et al.* GW170817: Observation of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star inspiral. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 161101 (2017). arXiv:1710.05836.
3. Abbott, B. P. *et al.* Multi-messenger observations of a binary neutron star merger. *The Astrophysical Journal* **848**, L12 (2017).
4. Coulter, D. A. *et al.* Swope supernova survey 2017a (SSS17a), the optical counterpart to a gravitational wave source. *Science* **358**, 1556–1558 (2017). arXiv:1710.05452.
5. Hallinan, G. *et al.* A radio counterpart to a neutron star merger. *Science* **358**, 1579–1583 (2017). arXiv:1710.05435.
6. Soares-Santos, M. *et al.* The electromagnetic counterpart of the binary neutron star merger LIGO/Virgo GW170817. I. Discovery of the optical counterpart using the dark energy camera. *The Astrophysical Journal* **848**, L16 (2017). arXiv:1710.05459.
7. Troja, E. *et al.* The X-ray counterpart to the gravitational-wave event GW170817. *Nature* **551**, 71–74 (2017). arXiv:1710.05433.
8. Margutti, R. *et al.* The binary neutron star event LIGO/VIRGO GW170817 a hundred and sixty days after merger: synchrotron emission across the electromagnetic spectrum. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **856**, L18 (2018). arXiv:1801.03531.
9. Mooley, K. P. *et al.* A mildly relativistic wide-angle outflow in the neutron-star merger

event GW170817. *Nature* **554**, 207–210 (2017). arXiv:1711.11573.
10. Ruan, J. J., Nynka, M., Haggard, D., Kalogera, V. & Evans, P. Brightening X-Ray emission from GW170817/GRB 170817A: further evidence for an outflow. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **853**, L4 (2018).
11. Dailey, C. *et al.* Quantum sensor networks as exotic field telescopes for multi-messenger astronomy. *Nature Astronomy* **5**, 150–158 (2021). arXiv:2002.04352.
12. Bini, D., Geralico, A. & Ortolan, A. Deviation and precession effects in the field of a weak gravitational wave. *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 104044 (2017).
13. Baumann, D., Chia, H. S. & Porto, R. A. Probing ultralight bosons with binary black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 044001 (2019).
14. Loeb, A. Lets talk about black hole singularities (2018). arXiv:1805.05865.
15. Jackson, J. D. *Classical Electrodynamics* (John Willey & Sons, New York, 1999), 3rd edn.
16. Safronova, M. S. *et al.* Search for new physics with atoms and molecules. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 025008 (2018).
17. Olive, K. A. & Pospelov, M. Environmental dependence of masses and coupling constants. *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 043524 (2008). arXiv:0709.3825.
18. Roberts, B. M. *et al.* Search for domain wall dark matter with atomic clocks on board global positioning system satellites. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1195 (2017).
19. Roberts, B. M., Blewitt, G., Dailey, C. & Derevianko, A. Search for transient ultralight dark matter signatures with networks of precision measurement devices using a bayesian statistics method. *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 083009 (2018).
20. Jean, Y. & Dach, R. International GNSS Service Technical Report 2015 (IGS Annual Report). Tech. Rep. (2016).
21. Paul, D. Binary neutron star merger rate via the luminosity function of short gamma-ray bursts. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **477**, 4275–4284 (2018). arXiv:1710.05620.
22. Tino, G. M. *et al.* SAGE: A proposal for a space atomic gravity explorer. *Eur. Phys. J. D* **73**, 228 (2019). arXiv:1907.03867.