

# Dynamics of cosmological scalar fields\*

J.W. van Holten

Nikhef, Amsterdam NL

and

Leiden University, Leiden NL

May 23, 2023

## Abstract

This paper reviews the dynamics of an isotropic and homogeneous cosmological scalar field. A general approach to the solution of the Einstein-Klein-Gordon equations is developed, which does not require slow-roll or other approximations. General conclusions about the qualitative behaviour of the solutions can be drawn, and examples of explicit solutions for some interesting cases are given. It is also shown how to find scalar potentials giving rise to a predetermined scalar field behaviour and associated evolution of the scale factor.

\* This paper is dedicated to Richard Kerner on the occasion of his 80th anniversary.

# 1 Introduction and motivation

Observations of variable stars, such as type Ia supernovae, and the distribution of galaxies in the universe, as well as the detailed maps of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) made by the successive COBE, WMAP and PLANCK missions have provided impressive amounts of data which allow us to trace the evolution of the universe over the last 13.8 billion years, back to a time at which the universe was more than 1000 times smaller in all directions [1, 2, 3]. What those studies have taught us is, that for most of that past the rate of cosmic expansion was determined by the density of massive matter in the universe. About one seventh of that matter is of baryonic type, mostly hydrogen and helium. The rest is of a different, unknown but electrically neutral type; it is generally referred to as dark matter.

Surprisingly however, since a bit more than four billion years ago the rate of cosmic expansion has become dominated by another source of energy density, which is not some kind of massive matter [4, 5]. In view of its unknown nature, and as its makes its presence known exclusively via the accelerated rate of expansion of the universe, it is referred to as dark energy. In the context of General Relativity (GR) it can be parametrized by a cosmological constant. But as there is no information on the evolution of dark energy from earlier times, it is impossible to say if it has always been really constant, or whether the dark energy density evolves itself over cosmological times. Another possible scenario would be for the cosmic expansion to be driven in part by one or more cosmic scalar fields, the energy of which can vary during the evolution of the universe. Such scenarios have been widely discussed, e.g. in the context of cosmic quintessence models [6, 7].

Another epoch of accelerating expansion of the universe is thought to have taken place in a very early phase to explain the present homogeneity and isotropy of the cosmos, and in particular of the CMB in which fluctuations are smaller than one part in  $10^4$ . This era of cosmic inflation must have smoothed out any inhomogeneities in the distribution of matter and radiation in the part of the universe within our cosmic horizon [8]-[12]. Its origin is likewise to be traced to some source of cosmic vacuum energy for which a scalar field, referred to as the inflaton field, may have been responsible.

As the discovery of the Higgs particle has made clear, scalar fields also play an important role in particle physics, where the Brout-Englert-Higgs (BEH) field is responsible for the masses of weak vector bosons and charged leptons, and contributes to those of quarks. The mechanism behind the BEH-effect is the non-zero vacuum expectation value (vev) acquired by the scalar field which couples to particle fields with no mass to begin with. The vev of the scalar field is however temperature dependent, and can therefore change over the life time of the universe. This suggests there may have been a period in the very early universe at extremely high temperature during which there was not yet a vev of the BEH-field, and leptons and vector bosons were all massless. Such a scenario then creates the problem, that the energy density of the BEH-field at very early times would have been hugely different from the present (in which it contributes virtually nothing to the cosmic energy density). Presumably at that time this energy density would have contributed to a very fast expansion of the universe.

These considerations arising from both cosmology and particle physics make it desirable to develop a good understanding of the joint evolution of scalar fields and the expansion rate of the universe. There is in fact already a large literature on the subject; for a standard review see e.g. ref. [13] and references therein. In the following we explore a somewhat different approach to this topic, based on earlier work [14]-[16]. This approach can be used for different purposes; not only to solve the equations of motion, but for example also to find the potential and the scale factor necessary to produce a certain prescribed evolution of the scalar field. An advantage of this procedure is that in principle it makes no use of the slow-roll or any similar approximation.

## 2 Modeling cosmological scalar fields

We do not know how many fundamental scalar fields may contribute to the cosmic expansion. But we can assume the combined potential of the scalars to form a landscape in which there is an effective potential with a well-defined minimum; if there would be a flat region near the minimum this would give rise to massless or nearly massless (pseudo) Goldstone bosons, which we exclude. We also assume that any potential symmetries between the scalar fields are broken near the minimum. This implies that the effective scalar field fluctuates in a single direction in the scalar landscape. This assumption greatly facilitates our analysis. Another simplifying assumption, based on well-established observational evidence, is that the universe is taken to be spatially flat.

The resulting simple model is that of a single homogeneous scalar field  $\varphi(t)$  in a homogeneous and isotropic universe, of which the scale factor  $a(t)$  evolves as determined by the Hubble parameter  $H(t) = \dot{a}/a$ . The dynamics of these parameters is described by the Klein-Gordon equation in a homogeneous and isotropic space-time:

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} + V' = 0, \quad (1)$$

for the scalar field with potential  $V[\varphi]$ , combined with the Einstein equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2 + V = 3H^2. \quad (2)$$

For periods of time in which the scalar field changes monotonically – e.g., during the period of an oscillation between two extreme values – the evolution of the Hubble parameter can be linked to that of the scalar field by considering it as an implicit function of time in terms of dependence on the scalar field:  $H(t) = H[\varphi(t)]$ . Such a point of view turns out to be remarkably fruitful. First note that

$$\dot{H} = H'\dot{\varphi}, \quad (3)$$

where the prime denotes differentiation w.r.t. the field  $\varphi$ . Differentiation of eq. (2) w.r.t. time with use of the KG-equation then implies

$$2H\dot{H} = -H\dot{\varphi}^2. \quad (4)$$

Therefore either the universe is static and flat:  $H = 0$ , or

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2, \quad \dot{\varphi} = -2H'. \quad (5)$$

It follows that equation (2) can be cast in a form determining  $H[\varphi]$ :

$$3H^2 - 2H'^2 = V. \quad (6)$$

The points where this description can break down are critical points  $\varphi_c$  where the evolution of the scalar field stops:  $\dot{\varphi}_c = 0$ ; at such points  $H'_c = 0$  and  $V_c = 3H_c^2$ . These points can be of two kinds:

(a) end points of evolution; then

$$\ddot{\varphi}_c = 4H''_c H'_c = 0; \quad (7)$$

(b) turning points, where

$$\ddot{\varphi}_c = 4H''_c H'_c \neq 0. \quad (8)$$

As in both cases  $H'_c = 0$ , in case (a) the second derivative of the Hubble parameter must be finite:  $|H''_c| < \infty$ ; whereas in case (b) this quantity must diverge:

$$H''_c \propto \frac{1}{H'_c} \rightarrow \infty. \quad (9)$$

As an example, consider the case of a quadratic potential [16]

$$V[\varphi] = \varepsilon + \frac{m^2}{2}\varphi^2. \quad (10)$$

Then the critical points are  $(\varphi_c, H_c)$  related by

$$3H_c^2 - \frac{m^2}{2}\varphi_c^2 = \varepsilon. \quad (11)$$




Fig. 1: Critical points of  $H[\varphi]$  for scalar field with quadratic potential

Eq. (11) defines a hyperbola, the shape of which is determined by the sign of the vacuum energy  $\varepsilon$  (fig. 1). For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and positive  $H$ , there is a single branch with a minimum  $H_{min} > 0$  at  $\varphi = 0$ . This minimum represents an endpoint of evolution corresponding to a de Sitter universe with a positive cosmological constant; for  $\varepsilon = 0$  the hyperbola degenerates into the asymptotic straight lines, and the end point of evolution becomes Minkowski space with  $H = 0$ . Finally for  $\varepsilon < 0$  the critical curve is a hyperbola with two branches, allowing the field to evolve from an expanding regime in which  $H > 0$  to the contracting regime  $H < 0$ ; the universe will then start to coalesce as soon as  $H$  crosses into negative values and total collapse becomes inevitable.

These scenarios are illustrated in fig. 2, showing some numerical solutions of equation (6) for the case of the quadratic potential (10) with  $\varepsilon > 0$  (fig. 2.a) and  $\varepsilon < 0$  (fig. 2.b), respectively.




Fig. 2: Evolution curves  $H[\varphi]$  for a quadratic potential with positive (a) and negative (b) vacuum energy

### 3 Solving for $H[\varphi]$

The specific form of equation (6) suggests a field redefinition

$$u(t) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \varphi(t), \quad (12)$$

with the result that upon switching the functional dependence of  $V$  and  $H$  we get

$$H^2 - H_u^2 = \frac{V}{3}. \quad (13)$$

For the case of non-negative definite potentials  $V[\varphi]$  the square root of this equation can be found by defining the function  $K[u]$  implicitly by

$$H = \sqrt{\frac{V}{3}} \cosh K. \quad (14)$$

The function  $K$  then satisfies the differential equation [15]

$$K_u + \frac{V_u}{2V} \operatorname{ctgh} K = \pm 1. \quad (15)$$

As the left-hand side of this equation is odd in  $K$ , the two roots are related by  $K \rightarrow -K$ ; this results in the same expression for  $H$ . Therefore we can restrict ourselves without loss of generality to the positive sign on the right-hand side.

A particularly simple example arises for exponential potentials

$$V[u] = V_0 e^{2\lambda u}, \quad V_0 > 0. \quad (16)$$

for which

$$K_u + \lambda \operatorname{ctgh} K = 1. \quad (17)$$

For the special cases  $\lambda = \pm 1$  the implicit solution  $u[K]$  is

$$4(u - u_0) = 2K - \lambda e^{2\lambda K}, \quad (18)$$

The solutions vanishing at  $u = 0$ , i.e.  $K(0) = 0$ , have been sketched in fig. 3.




Fig. 3:  $K[u]$  for the exponential potential (16) with  $\lambda = +1$  (a) and  $\lambda = -1$  (b).

For general values of  $\lambda \neq \pm 1$  the implicit solution  $u[K]$  of eq. (17) is

$$(1 - \lambda^2)(u - u_0) = K + \lambda \ln [(\lambda - 1)e^K + (\lambda + 1)e^{-K}]. \quad (19)$$

Furthermore, making use of the identity

$$H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = -\frac{3H_u a_u}{a},$$

it follows from (17) for all values of  $\lambda$  that

$$K = u + 3\lambda \ln \frac{a}{a_0}, \quad (20)$$

where  $a_0$  is another constant of integration. The definition (14) then turns into a first-order differential equation for the scale factor:

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{3}} \left[ e^{(\lambda+1)u} \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{3\lambda} - e^{(\lambda-1)u} \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{-3\lambda} \right]. \quad (21)$$

## 4 Reverse engineering of the potential

The formalism set down in the previous sections can also be used to solve the reverse problem: given a scalar evolution  $\varphi(t)$ , which potential  $V[\varphi]$  and cosmological evolution  $H[\varphi]$  is it associated with? We demonstrate this for a simple case: exponential roll-down

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 e^{-\omega t}. \quad (22)$$

For large times  $t \rightarrow \infty$  the scalar field (22) tends to  $\varphi = \dot{\varphi} = \ddot{\varphi} = 0$ , implying that the only stationary point is an end point. In appendix A we present an example of a field with turning points during its evolution. For the present case (22) it follows from the second equation (5) that

$$H[\varphi] = h + \frac{\omega}{4} \varphi^2. \quad (23)$$

The corresponding potential is found directly from eq. (6):

$$V[\varphi] = \varepsilon + \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \varphi^4, \quad (24)$$

with

$$\varepsilon = 3h^2, \quad m^2 = 3\omega h - \omega^2, \quad \lambda = \frac{3\omega^2}{4}. \quad (25)$$

The potential is reflection symmetric:  $V[\varphi] = V[-\varphi]$ , but if  $3\omega h - \omega^2 = -\mu^2 < 0$  (when  $h$  and  $\varepsilon$  are small) this symmetry is broken in the minima of the potential at  $\varphi_{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{\mu^2/\lambda}$ ; see fig. 4.




Fig. 4: Exponential roll-down for broken quartic potential. The local maximum at  $\varphi = 0$  is an unstable end point, after which the roll-down continues to one of the minima at  $V = 0$ .

In that case the solution (22) rolls down to the unstable local maximum of the potential at  $\varphi = 0$ . From this maximum the scalar field can continue to evolve in either direction to the minimum of the potential. The minimal potential energy density is reached for

$$\varphi_{min}^2 = \frac{4}{3} \left(1 - \frac{3h}{\omega}\right) \Rightarrow V_{min} = -\frac{\omega}{3} (\omega - 6h). \quad (26)$$

Excluding  $\omega \leq 0$ , the potential is non-negative definite only in the range  $0 < \omega \leq 6h$ . For the minimal potential energy density to equal the present cosmological energy density  $V_{min} = 3H_{today}^2 \sim 10^{-120}$  (in Planck units), it requires to great accuracy

$$\omega = 6h, \quad \varphi_{min}^2 = \frac{2}{3}. \quad (27)$$

The critical lines  $H[\varphi_c]$  and the particular scalar-field trajectory are displayed in fig. 4.

Given the scalar field (22) and the Hubble parameter (23) the evolution of the scale factor during this exponential decay phase can straightforwardly be computed to be [14]

$$a(t) = a(0)e^{ht + \frac{1}{8}\varphi_0^2(1-e^{-2\omega t})}. \quad (28)$$

An interesting feature of this solution is, that in addition to eventual exponential expansion with Hubble constant  $h$ , it also describes an early phase of super-exponential expansion during a time  $\tau = 1/2\omega$  which leads to an additional number of  $e$ -folds determined by the initial scalar amplitude:

$$\Delta N = \frac{1}{8}\varphi_0^2. \quad (29)$$

It follows that the the initial value of the Hubble parameter is

$$H_0 = h(1 + 12\Delta N). \quad (30)$$

This corresponds to the Planck energy if

$$3H_0^2 = 3h^2(1 + 12\Delta N)^2 = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}(1 + 12\Delta N)}. \quad (31)$$

In the standard scenario the number of  $e$ -folds during inflation is required to be  $\Delta N \geq 60$ ; in Planck times<sup>1</sup>  $\tau_P$  this would imply

$$h \leq \frac{1}{830\tau_P}, \quad \text{or} \quad \omega \leq \frac{1}{140\tau_P}. \quad (32)$$

This determines the time in which the scalar field rolls down to the unstable maximum at  $\varphi = 0$ . How long it can stay there before rolling down to the minimal value (27) depends on the characteristics of the scalar fluctuations.

The scalar fluctuations  $\chi = \varphi - \varphi_{min}$  near the minimum of the potential are described by the potential

$$V[\chi] = h^2 \left( 18\chi^2 + 18\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\chi^3 + \frac{27}{4}\chi^4 \right), \quad (33)$$

which implies that for  $\Delta N = 60$  the mass of these Higgs particles is

$$m_\chi^2 = 36h^2 = \frac{1}{12(\Delta N)^2} \sim 2 \times 10^{-5}. \quad (34)$$

In standard units the Higgs mass  $m_\chi$  then is of the order of the GUT scale  $10^{16}$  GeV/ $c^2$ . Note that we obtain this number without any input of standard model particle physics.

---

<sup>1</sup>We define  $\tau_P = \sqrt{8\pi G\hbar/c^5} = 0.27 \times 10^{-42}$  s.

## 5 Discussion

In this overview of isotropic and homogeneous cosmic scalar field dynamics we have shown how to solve the combined Einstein-Klein-Gordon field equations, by using the field itself as a time variable. Considerable qualitative information is obtained from such a formulation, and in a number of interesting cases they can be solved exactly without making use of approximations.

In the standard analysis of cosmic scalar field dynamics use is often made of the slow-roll approximation, valid when

$$\epsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} < 1. \quad (35)$$

In our treatment, taking account of eqs. (5) and (6), this regime corresponds to

$$V = 3H^2 - 2H'^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon = \frac{2H'^2}{H^2} < 1, \quad (36)$$

which leads to the condition

$$2H^2 < V < 3H^2. \quad (37)$$




Fig. 5: The slow-roll regime for the quadratic potential (10).

This regime is sketched for the quadratic potential with  $\epsilon > 0$  in fig. 5. The domain where the condition (37) holds is seen to be that where the field is close to a stationary point, i.e. where  $\dot{\phi}$  becomes small such that its value stays almost constant. During such times the kinetic energy is small and the potential behaves more or less like a cosmological constant, whereas during periods in which the kinetic terms are large  $H$  diminishes faster and little is contributed to the expansion of the universe. The procedure described in this paper does not make use of the slow-roll restriction to this regime, and as shown in sections 2 and 3 solutions of the Einstein-Klein-Gordon equations can be obtained valid in the full range where these classical equations hold. However, in specific applications where it is useful to work in the slow-roll regime our central equation (6) can be solved by a perturbative method [17] ; this is explained in appendix B.

We have also seen that Higgs-type potentials can give rise to a limited period of super-exponential expansion of the early universe. Remarkably, if this period is equated to a period of inflation by 60  $e$ -folds, as favored by cosmological data, the mass of the associated Higgs particle lies naturally in the range of Grand Unification.

Finally we remark that beyond the single-scalar scenario the evolution of a universe with several dynamical homogeneous and isotropic scalar fields has been considered e.g. in refs. [18] and [19] and references therein.

## A The oscillating scalar field

In section 4 we discussed the construction of a scalar potential associated with exponential decay of the scalar field. The end point of this decay is by necessity a static field. In the present section we present a different example in which the field has an indefinite number of stationary points, all of which are turning points. This is the case for an oscillating scalar field [16]

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 \cos \omega t, \quad (38)$$

which has turning points at all times  $\omega t_n = n\pi$ . Such a field evolution requires

$$H' = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{\varphi} = \frac{\omega}{2} \sqrt{\varphi_0^2 - \varphi^2}. \quad (39)$$

As a result

$$H'' = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega \dot{\varphi}}{\sqrt{\varphi_0^2 - \varphi^2}}, \quad (40)$$

which diverges at all turning points, as expected. By integration of (39) it is straightforward to establish that

$$\begin{aligned} H[\varphi] &= H_0 - \frac{1}{4} \omega \varphi_0^2 \arccos \left( \frac{\varphi}{\varphi_0} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \omega \varphi \sqrt{\varphi_0^2 - \varphi^2} \\ &= H_0 - \frac{1}{4} \omega^2 \varphi_0^2 t + \frac{1}{8} \omega \varphi_0^2 \sin 2\omega t. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The signs here are such, that although  $H(t)$  oscillates, on average it decreases. The corresponding solution for the scale factor is

$$a(t) = a(0) e^{H_0 t - \frac{1}{8} \omega^2 \varphi_0^2 t^2 - \frac{\varphi_0^2}{16} \cos 2\omega t}. \quad (42)$$

Thus  $a(t)$  displays gaussian behaviour, slightly modified by an oscillating amplitude; see fig. 6.




Fig. 6: Scalefactor driven by oscillating scalar field

This implies that a universe dominated by such a scalar field does not expand indefinitely, but reaches a maximal expansion at time

$$t = \frac{4H_0^2}{\omega^2\varphi_0^2}, \quad (43)$$

and then collapses again. The scalar potential which gives rise to such a solution of the Einstein-Klein-Gordon equations is

$$V[\varphi] = 3 \left( H_0 - \frac{1}{4} \omega \varphi_0^2 \arccos \left( \frac{\varphi}{\varphi_0} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \omega \varphi \sqrt{\varphi_0^2 - \varphi^2} \right)^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{2} (\varphi_0^2 - \varphi^2). \quad (44)$$

We conclude that undamped oscillations of a strong scalar field can not be accomodated in a realistic cosmological model.

## B Pertubative solutions in the slow-roll regime

Given the scalar potential  $V[\varphi]$  one can try to construct solutions for  $H[\varphi]$  in the neighborhood of a critical point  $\varphi_c$ , where the slow-roll conditions hold, by series expansion. Indeed, as discussed in sect. 5 near critical points  $H$  is a slowly changing function of  $\varphi$  and these regions, where the kinetic scalar terms are small, provide the major contributions to the expansion of the universe. In this appendix we develop this perturbative approach; we illustrate the general procedure with the quadratic potential of sect. 2.

At a critical point  $\varphi_c$  the Hubble parameter is stationary:  $H'[\varphi_c] = 0$ . For the construction of a solution in a neighborhood of this critical point we may try to expand  $H'[\varphi]$  in terms of  $\hat{\varphi} = \varphi - \varphi_c$ . We then have to distinguish between the two cases disussed in sect. 2.

(a) If the critical point is an end point then  $H''[\varphi_c]$  is finite and  $H'[\varphi]$  can be expanded into a convergent power series of the form

$$H'|_{\varphi=\varphi_c+\hat{\varphi}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \eta_n \hat{\varphi}^n = \eta_1 \hat{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_2 \hat{\varphi}^2 + \dots \quad (45)$$

which vanishes at  $\hat{\varphi} = 0$ , with

$$H''[\varphi] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \eta_{n+1} \hat{\varphi}^n = \eta_1 + \eta_2 \hat{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_3 \hat{\varphi}^2 + \dots \quad (46)$$

convergent near  $\varphi_c$  such that  $H'_c H''_c = 0$ . By integration of (45)

$$H[\varphi] = \eta_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \eta_n \hat{\varphi}^{n+1} = \eta_0 + \frac{1}{2} \eta_1 \hat{\varphi}^2 + \dots, \quad (47)$$

with  $\eta_0$  a constant of integration. The coefficients in the expansion (47) can now be fixed by applying eq. (6).

We can illustrate this by the example of the quadratic potential (10). For this potential with  $\varepsilon > 0$  the end point of the scalar evolution is  $\varphi_c = 0$  and  $\hat{\varphi} = \varphi$ . Then the above expansions imply

$$3H^2 - 2H'^2 = 3\eta_0^2 + (3\eta_0\eta_1 - 2\eta_1^2) \varphi^2 + (\eta_0\eta_2 - 2\eta_1\eta_2) \varphi^3 + \dots = \varepsilon + \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi^2. \quad (48)$$

By comparing the coefficients on both sides

$$3\eta_0^2 = \varepsilon, \quad 3\eta_0\eta_1 - 2\eta_1^2 = m^2, \quad \eta_2(\eta_0 - 2\eta_1) = 0, \quad \dots \quad (49)$$

This hierarchy of equations determines all coefficients  $\eta_n$  sequentially. Note however, that a solution for  $\eta_1$  exists for positive  $m^2$  only when

$$9\eta_0^2 = 3\varepsilon \geq 8m^2. \quad (50)$$

It turns out that if this condition is not satisfied, there is no solution which reaches the stationary end point in a finite number of oscillations; as we will see below the scalar field keeps oscillating around the minimum of the potential with ever decreasing amplitude.

As we have already discussed, in the case  $\varepsilon < 0$  the universe collapses, there is no finite end point of evolution and the procedure above does not apply. Indeed, in the neighborhood of  $H = 0$  there exists solutions of the type

$$H[\varphi] = h_1 \hat{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} h_2 \hat{\varphi}^2 + \dots, \quad H'[\varphi] = h_1 + h_2 \hat{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} h_3 \hat{\varphi}^2 + \dots \quad (51)$$

with  $H[\varphi_c] = 0$  and

$$2h_1^2 = -\varepsilon, \quad 4h_1 h_2 = -m^2 \varphi_c, \quad 6h_1^2 - 4h_2^2 - 4h_1 h_3 = m^2, \dots \quad (52)$$

Thus it crosses into the regime of a collapsing universe with  $H < 0$  at  $\varphi = \varphi_c$ .

(b) The other type of solutions to be discussed concerns those near a turning point  $\varphi_c$  with divergent  $H''_c$ . In this case eq. (8) implies that  $H'_c H''_c = \kappa$ , a non-zero finite constant. Therefore near  $\varphi_c$

$$H'^2[\varphi] = 2\kappa \hat{\varphi} + \dots \quad (53)$$

As a result instead of an expansion in powers of  $\hat{\varphi}$  we get an expansion in powers of  $|\hat{\varphi}|^{1/2}$ . For convenience of discussion, we assume that  $\hat{\varphi} > 0$ , i.e. the field approaches the critical value  $\varphi_c$  from the right. We can then make the expansion

$$H'[\varphi] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_n \hat{\varphi}^{n/2} = \kappa_1 \hat{\varphi}^{1/2} + \kappa_2 \hat{\varphi} + \kappa_3 \hat{\varphi}^{3/2} + \kappa_4 \hat{\varphi}^2 + \dots \quad (54)$$

It follows that

$$H[\varphi] = \kappa_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\kappa_n}{n+2} \hat{\varphi}^{(n+2)/2} = \kappa_0 + \frac{2\kappa_1}{3} \hat{\varphi}^{3/2} + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} \hat{\varphi}^2 + \dots, \quad (55)$$

and as a result

$$3H^2 - 2H'^2 = 3\kappa_0^2 - 2\kappa_1^2 \hat{\varphi} + 4(\kappa_0 \kappa_1 - \kappa_1 \kappa_2) \hat{\varphi}^{3/2} + (3\kappa_0 \kappa_2 - 2\kappa_2^2 - 4\kappa_1 \kappa_3) \hat{\varphi}^2 + \dots, \quad (56)$$

which is to be compared with the potential  $V[\varphi_c + \hat{\varphi}]$  in order to establish the solution for the coefficients  $\kappa_n$ .

For the example of the quadratic potential (10) with  $\varepsilon > 0$  we get the explicit results

$$3\kappa_0^2 = \varepsilon + \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi_c^2, \quad -2\kappa_1^2 = m^2 \varphi_c, \quad \kappa_1 (\kappa_0 - \kappa_2) = 0, \quad (57)$$

$$6\kappa_0 \kappa_2 - 4\kappa_2^2 - 8\kappa_1 \kappa_3 = m^2, \quad 3\kappa_0 \kappa_3 - 5\kappa_2 \kappa_3 - 5\kappa_1 \kappa_4 = 0, \quad \dots$$

Note that this requires  $\varphi_c < 0$ , which is consistent with our assumption that the field approaches the turning point from the right. Also note, that as the turning point is not at the minimum of the potential:  $\varphi_c \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\kappa_1 \neq 0$  and  $\kappa_2 = \kappa_0$ . The equations on the second line then become

$$\kappa_3 = \frac{2\kappa_0^2 - m^2}{8\kappa_1}, \quad \kappa_4 = -\frac{2\kappa_0 \kappa_3}{5\kappa_1}, \quad \dots \quad (58)$$

These equations have solutions for any  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , and therefore apply also in the regime disallowed by (50). However, in that regime the limit  $\varphi_c \rightarrow 0$  can not be reached, and solutions where  $\varphi_c$  becomes an end point cannot be constructed; the scalar fields keeps oscillating with ever decreasing amplitude. Indeed as  $\varphi$  passes through  $\varphi_c$  coming from the right,  $\dot{\varphi}$  changes from negative to positive with finite  $\dot{\varphi}_c = \kappa_1^2/2 > 0$ . Because of the relation (5) the Hubble parameter then changes slope as a function of  $\varphi$  from positive to negative; therefore with  $\varphi$  increasing after passing the turning point,  $H[\varphi]$  must decrease. In terms of the solution (55) for  $H[\varphi]$  this means, that the solution with  $\kappa_1 > \sqrt{m^2 |\varphi_c|/2} > 0$  turns into the solution with  $\kappa_1 = -\sqrt{m^2 |\varphi_c|/2} < 0$ . Then similarly the coefficient  $\kappa_3$  and all higher coefficients  $\kappa_{2n+1}$  change sign, which amounts to replacing  $\hat{\varphi}^{(2n+1)/2} \rightarrow -\hat{\varphi}^{(2n+1)/2}$  in equations (54) and (55).

Once the series expansions (54) and (55) have been obtained, one also knows  $\dot{\varphi} = -2H'$ , and it is possible to compute the number of  $e$ -folds of expansion in the regime between  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  by

$$N = \int_1^2 H dt = -\frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 \frac{H}{H'} d\varphi. \quad (59)$$

## References

- [1] D.J. Fixen et al., *The Cosmic Microwave Background Spectrum from the full COBE FIRAS Data Set*, ApJ 473 (1996), 576
- [2] WMAP Collab. (G. Hinshaw et al.) *Nine-year WMAP observations: Final maps and results*, ApJS 208 (2013), 19
- [3] Planck Collab. (N. Aghanim et al.), *Planck 2018 results I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck*, Astron. Astrophys. 641 (2020), A1
- [4] SDDS-II and SNLS Collab. (M. Betoule et al.), *Improved cosmological constraints from a joint analysis of the SDSS-II and SNLS supernova samples*, Astron. Astrophys. 568 (2014), A22
- [5] DES Collab. (T.M.C. Abbott et al.), *Dark Energy Survey Year 3 Results: Cosmological Constraints from Galaxy Clustering and Weak Lensing*, arXiv:2105.13549 [astro-ph.CO]
- [6] C. Wetterich, *Cosmology and the fate of dilatation symmetry*, Nucl. Phys. B302 (1988), 668
- [7] I. Zlatev, L. Wang and P. Steinhardt, *Quintessence, Cosmic Coincidence, and the Cosmological Constant*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999), 896
- [8] A. Starobinsky, *A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity*, Phys. Lett. B91 (1980), 99
- [9] A. Guth, *Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems*, Phys. Rev. D23 (1981), 347
- [10] A. Albrecht and P. Steinhardt, *Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982), 1220
- [11] A. Linde, *Chaotic inflation*, Phys. Lett. B129 (1983), 177
- [12] M.S. Turner, *Coherent scalar-field oscillations in an expanding universe*, Phys. Rev. D28 (1983), 1243
- [13] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology* (Oxford Univ. Press, 2008)

- [14] J.W. van Holten, *Cosmological Higgs fields*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 201301
- [15] J.W. van Holten, *On single scalar field cosmology*, arXiv:1301.1174v2 [gr-qc]
- [16] J.W. van Holten, *Single scalar cosmology*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A28 (2013) 1350132
- [17] D. Roest, *Universality classes of inflation*, JCAP 01 (2014), 007
- [18] S.G. Groot Nibbelink and B.J.W. van Tent, *Scalar perturbations during multiple-field slow-roll inflation*, Class. Quantum Grav. 19 (2002), 613
- [19] J.W. van Holten and R. Kerner, *Time-reparametrization invariance and Hamilton-Jacobi approach to the cosmological  $\sigma$ -model*, Fortschr. Phys. 62 (2014), 543