

Tamed loops: A way to obtain finite loop results without UV divergences

Lian-Bao Jia^{1,2,3,*}

¹*School of Mathematics and Physics, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China*

²*School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China*

³*Department of Physics, Chongqing Key Laboratory for Strongly Coupled Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, China*

For loops with UV divergences, finite physical results obtained via $\infty - \infty$ mean the physical transition amplitudes of loops are not well-defined. In this paper, a presumption that the physical contributions of loops from UV regions are insignificant is proposed, and a new method of UV-free scheme described by an equation is introduced to derive finite loop results without UV divergences. This scheme gives a solution to the hierarchy problem of Higgs mass without fine-tuning.

I. INTRODUCTION

In quantum field theory, Feynman diagrams are used to describe perturbative contributions to the transition amplitudes of particle interactions, including tree and loop diagrams. For a loop diagram, the four-momentum of particles in the loop is not uniquely determined by the conservation of energy and momentum, and there is a free momentum k^μ in the loop. All possibilities contribute equally, and the evaluation is often ultraviolet (UV) divergence when we directly integrate over all possible k^μ that could travel around the loop. Hence, infinities from loop integrals at large energy and momentum regions ($k^\mu \rightarrow \infty$) indicate that constructions of loop contributions are not well-defined.

The actual physics is obscured by infinities. How to make sense of infinities and get physical quantities when evaluating loop integrals? The first step of a paradigm approach is to make divergences mathematically expressed through regularization, followed by canceling divergences by renormalization with counterterms introduced. In Pauli-Villars regularization [1], massive fictitious particles are involved to cancel out divergences at large momenta. A popular method is dimensional regularization [2], and a fictitious fractional number of spacetime dimensions is introduced into the integral (see e.g. Refs. [3–8] for more methods). In the scheme of regularization followed by renormalization, the actual physics is extracted from infinities via $\infty - \infty =$ finite physical results with divergences mathematically expressed. With this method, for example, the electron anomalous magnetic moment predicted by the standard model (SM) [9–12] agrees with the value measured by experiments [13–15] at an accuracy of 10^{-12} .

There are generally two types of UV divergences, i.e., logarithmic divergence and power-law divergence. Despite the comparative success of the regularization and renormalization procedure, the feeling remains that there ought to be a more economic way to acquire loop contributions. If we believe physical contributions from loops

are finite, then an open question is how to find an appropriate way to directly obtain physically finite results without UV divergences. This is of our concern in this paper. A new method is explored here to obtain finite loop contributions without UV divergences, and applications of the new method in specific processes are discussed.

II. NEW METHOD FOR LOOPS

As described in the Introduction, the UV divergences of loop integrals indicate that the transition amplitudes directly obtained by are not well-defined in these cases. For this issue, a presumption on loops is proposed, i.e. the physical contributions of loops are finite with contributions from UV regions being insignificant. Hence, we assume that the physical transition amplitude \mathcal{T}_P with propagators can be described by an equation of

$$\mathcal{T}_P = \left[\int d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i)}{\partial \xi_1 \cdots \partial \xi_i} \right]_{\{\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i\} \rightarrow 0} + C, \quad (1)$$

where a Feynman-like amplitude $\mathcal{T}_F(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i)$ is introduced, which is written by Feynman rules just with parameters ξ_1, \cdots, ξ_i added into denominators of propagators. C is a boundary constant related to the transition process. If Eq. (1) is applied to tree-level and loop-level processes without UV divergences, $C = 0$ is adopted. For loop processes with UV divergences, C can be set by renormalization conditions, symmetries and naturalness. For the integral over ξ , here we introduce a definition of the primary antiderivative $[\int d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i)}{\partial \xi_1 \cdots \partial \xi_i}]$ with the constant term being absorbed into C (for example, for the integral $\int x dx = \frac{x^2}{2} + C$, the primary antiderivative is $[\int x dx] = \frac{x^2}{2}$). After integration, \mathcal{T}_P will be obtained in the limit of parameters $\xi_1 \rightarrow 0, \cdots, \xi_i \rightarrow 0$. The number of the parameter ξ_i introduced is as few as possible in the case of the loop integral becoming UV-converged. For a loop with UV divergences, one parameter ξ is introduced for logarithmic divergence, and two ξ parameters are introduced for quadratic divergence (three ξ parameters needed at most for a loop being converged). For multi-loops, a set of ξ parameters is introduced for each loop. The new method above is UV-free scheme.

* jialb@mail.nankai.edu.cn

III. APPLICATIONS

Here, the new method is applied to specific processes as examples (see the Appendix for additional examples), and a solution to the hierarchy problem of the Higgs mass is described in UV-free scheme.

A. Some examples

1. The ϕ^4 theory




FIG. 1. The one-loop diagrams of two-particle scatterings in ϕ^4 theory.

Let's first apply this new method to the ϕ^4 theory. The Lagrangian of ϕ^4 theory is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4. \quad (2)$$

The one-loop diagrams of two-particle scatterings in s, t and u channels are shown in Fig. 1, and the scattering amplitude has logarithmic UV divergences when evaluating loop integrals. Taking the approach described in Eq. (1), the Feynman-like scattering amplitude $\mathcal{T}_F(\xi)$ in s channel can be written as

$$\mathcal{T}_F(\xi) = \frac{(-i\lambda)^2}{2} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{k^2 - m^2 + \xi} \frac{i}{(k+q)^2 - m^2}, \quad (3)$$

where q is the momentum transfer in the scattering process, with q^2 being equal to the Mandelstam s . The physical scattering amplitude $\mathcal{T}_P(s)$ in this channel is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_P(s) &= \left[\int d\xi \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F(\xi)}{\partial \xi} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C_1 \\ &= \left[\frac{-\lambda^2}{2} \int d\xi \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{-i}{(k^2 - m^2 + \xi)^2} \frac{i}{(k+q)^2 - m^2} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \\ &\quad + C_1, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and it is UV-converged when evaluating the integral of the loop momentum k . After integral, one has

$$\mathcal{T}_P(s) = \frac{-i\lambda^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \log[m^2 - x(1-x)s] + C_1. \quad (5)$$

Considering the renormalization conditions, the amplitudes are taken to be zero at $s = 4m^2$, $t = u = 0$. Thus, the constant C_1 here is

$$C_1 = \frac{i\lambda^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \log[m^2 - 4m^2x(1-x)]. \quad (6)$$

For t and u channels, similar results can be obtained for $\mathcal{T}_P(t)$ and $\mathcal{T}_P(u)$, with s in Eq. (5) replaced by t and

u respectively. The total one-loop physical amplitude \mathcal{T}_P is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_P &= \mathcal{T}_P(s) + \mathcal{T}_P(t) + \mathcal{T}_P(u) \\ &= \frac{-i\lambda^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \left[\log \frac{m^2 - x(1-x)s}{m^2 - 4m^2x(1-x)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \log \frac{m^2 - x(1-x)t}{m^2} + \log \frac{m^2 - x(1-x)u}{m^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We can see that the same finite result is obtained with new method here as the procedure of dimensional regularization and renormalization, and there is no troublesome UV divergence in calculations. From another point of view, it gives an explanation why universal constant parts (γ_E , $\log(4\pi)$) should be subtracted along with infinity in $\overline{\text{MS}}$.

2. The axial anomaly




FIG. 2. The one-loop diagrams contributing to the divergence of axial vector current.

The axial vector current $j^{\mu 5}$ is not conserved for massless fermions, with

$$\partial_\mu j^{\mu 5} = -\frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu}. \quad (8)$$

This equation is the Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly [16–18]. In addition, the axial anomaly can be checked by the transition of axial vector current \rightarrow two photons being nonzero. The one-loop diagrams contributing to the two-photon matrix element of the divergence of axial vector current are shown in Fig. 2. The physical transition amplitude $\mathcal{T}_P^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ to the divergence of the axial current can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} iq_\mu \mathcal{T}_P^{\mu\nu\lambda} &= iq_\mu \left(\left[\int d\xi_1 \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F^{\mu\nu\lambda}(\xi_1)}{\partial \xi_1} \right]_{\xi_1 \rightarrow 0} + C_1^{\mu\nu\lambda} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [\nu \leftrightarrow \lambda, p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2] \right) \\ &= iq_\mu (-ie)^2 (-i) \left(\left[\int d\xi_1 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \text{tr} \left(\gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \frac{\not{k} - \not{p}_2}{((k-p_2)^2 + \xi_1)^2} \gamma^\lambda \frac{\not{k}}{k^2} \gamma^\nu \frac{\not{k} + \not{p}_1}{(k+p_1)^2} \right) \right]_{\xi_1 \rightarrow 0} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_1^{\mu\nu\lambda} + [\nu \leftrightarrow \lambda, p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Taking the trace of γ -matrices and evaluating the integrals, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
iq_\mu \mathcal{T}_P^{\mu\nu\lambda} &= \frac{(-ie)^2}{4\pi^2} \left(\int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[6\left(1 - \frac{x_1+x_3}{2}\right) \log \frac{1}{2x_1 x_3 p_1 \cdot p_2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (x_1+x_3-2) + C_1 \right] \varepsilon^{\alpha\lambda\beta\nu} p_{1\alpha} p_{2\beta} \\
&\quad \left. + [\nu \leftrightarrow \lambda, p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2] \right). \quad (10) \\
&= \frac{(-ie)^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3) \\
&\quad \times \left[6\left(1 - \frac{x_1+x_3}{2}\right) \log \frac{1}{2x_1 x_3 p_1 \cdot p_2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (x_1+x_3-2) + C_1 \right] 2\varepsilon^{\alpha\lambda\beta\nu} p_{1\alpha} p_{2\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the term (x_1+x_3-2) is originally finite. Suppose the axial anomaly is independent of the energy scale, and the term C_1 can be written as

$$C_1 = 6\left(1 - \frac{x_1+x_3}{2}\right) \log(2x_1 x_3 p_1 \cdot p_2) - C_0, \quad (11)$$

with C_0 being a constant. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
iq_\mu \mathcal{T}_P^{\mu\nu\lambda} &= \frac{(-ie)^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3) \\
&\quad \times [x_1+x_3-2-C_0] 2\varepsilon^{\alpha\lambda\beta\nu} p_{1\alpha} p_{2\beta} \quad (12) \\
&= -\frac{(-ie)^2}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{C_0}{2} \right) \varepsilon^{\alpha\lambda\beta\nu} p_{1\alpha} p_{2\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the result is

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\mu j^{\mu 5} &= iq_\mu \mathcal{T}_P^{\mu\nu\lambda} \epsilon_\nu^*(p_1) \epsilon_\lambda^*(p_2) \quad (13) \\
&= -\frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{C_0}{2} \right) \varepsilon^{\alpha\nu\beta\lambda} F_{\alpha\nu} F_{\beta\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

The value of C_0 is of order one estimated by naturalness. If Eq. (8) is considered as a relation that the axial vector current should follow, the value $C_0 = \frac{2}{3}$ is obtained with SM being a self-consistent theory. Moreover, the values $\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$ are equal to the charge values of quarks, and it is not known whether it is a coincidence or there may be some correlation between them.

B. The hierarchy problem




FIG. 3. The one-loop corrections to the Higgs boson mass.

With the discovery of the Higgs boson with a mass of 125 GeV at LHC [19, 20], the Higgs mass that is not too

heavy accentuates the hierarchy problem, i.e. the naturalness of the fine-tuning originating from the radiative corrections to the Higgs mass. The one-loop radiative corrections to the Higgs mass are power-law divergences, as depicted in Fig. 3. What prevents the Higgs mass getting quantum corrections from very high energy scale (the Grand Unification or the Planck scale)? Here we try to give an answer in UV-free scheme.

The the radiative corrections from the Higgs boson in the first diagram of Fig. 3 is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_P^{H1} &= \left[\int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F^{H1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} \right]_{\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} \rightarrow 0} + C \\
&= \left[(-3i) \frac{m_H^2}{2v^2} \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \frac{2i}{(k^2 - m_H^2 + \xi_1 + \xi_2)^3} \right]_{\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} \rightarrow 0} + C. \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

After integral, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_P^{H1} &= i \frac{3m_H^4}{32\pi^2 v^2} \left(\log \frac{1}{m_H^2} + 1 \right) + C \quad (15) \\
&= i \frac{3m_H^4}{32\pi^2 v^2} \left(\log \frac{\mu^2}{m_H^2} + 1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we turn to the loop of vector boson V (V=W,Z) shown in the first diagram of Fig. 3. In unitary gauge, the corresponding superficial degree of divergence is increased to 4. The radiative corrections with these quartic divergences can be calculated in UV-free scheme, with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_P^{V1} &= \left[\int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F^{V1}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2 \partial \xi_3} \right]_{\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\} \rightarrow 0} + C \\
&= \left[i \frac{2m_V^2}{v^2 s_V} \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} g_{\mu\nu} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \frac{6i(g^{\mu\nu} - k^\mu k^\nu / m_V^2)}{(k^2 - m_V^2 + \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3)^4} \right]_{\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\} \rightarrow 0} + C, \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

where the symmetry factor s_V is $s_V = 1, 2$ for W, Z respectively. After integral, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_P^{V1} &= i \frac{2m_V^2}{v^2 s_V} \frac{m_V^2}{16\pi^2} \left(3 \log \frac{1}{m_V^2} + \frac{5}{2} \right) + C \quad (17) \\
&= i \frac{2m_V^2}{v^2 s_V} \frac{3m_V^2}{16\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{\mu^2}{m_V^2} + \frac{5}{6} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The top quark loop is shown in the second diagram of Fig. 3, and the corresponding radiative correction is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_P^t &= \left[\int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F^t(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} \right]_{\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} \rightarrow 0} + C \\
&= \left[\frac{3m_t^2}{v^2} \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \text{tr} \left(\frac{2i(\not{k} + m_t)}{(k^2 - m_t^2 + \xi_1 + \xi_2)^3} \frac{i(\not{p} + \not{k} + m_t)}{(p+k)^2 - m_t^2} \right) \right]_{\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} \rightarrow 0} + C, \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

with p being the external momentum. After integral, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_P^t &= -\frac{3m_t^2}{v^2} \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx [m_t^2 - p^2 x(1-x)] \\ &\quad \times (3 \log \frac{1}{m_t^2 - p^2 x(1-x)} + 2) + C \\ &= -\frac{3m_t^4}{v^2} \frac{3i}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx [1 - \frac{p^2}{m_t^2} x(1-x)] \\ &\quad \times (\log \frac{\mu^2}{m_t^2 - p^2 x(1-x)} + \frac{2}{3}).\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

The radiative correction of Higgs loop shown in the third diagram of Fig. 3 is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_P^{H3} &= \left[\int d\xi_1 \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F^{H3}(\xi_1)}{\partial \xi_1} \right]_{\xi_1 \rightarrow 0} + C \\ &= \left[(-3i)^2 \frac{m_H^4}{2v^2} \int d\xi_1 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \frac{-i}{(k^2 - m_H^2 + \xi_1)^2} \frac{i}{(k+p)^2 - m^2} \right]_{\xi_1 \rightarrow 0} + C.\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

After integral, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_P^{H3} &= \frac{9m_H^4}{2v^2} \frac{i}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \log \frac{1}{m_H^2 - x(1-x)p^2} + C \\ &= i \frac{9m_H^4}{32\pi^2 v^2} \int_0^1 dx \log \frac{\mu^2}{m_H^2 - x(1-x)p^2}.\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

The radiative correction of vector boson V loop shown in the third diagram of Fig. 3 is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_P^{V3} &= \left[\int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F^{V3}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2 \partial \xi_3} \right]_{\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\} \rightarrow 0} + C \\ &= \left[-\frac{4m_V^4}{v^2 s_V} \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{6i(g^{\mu\nu} - k^\mu k^\nu / m_V^2)}{(k^2 - m_V^2 + \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3)^4} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \frac{-i(g_{\mu\nu} - (k+p)_\mu (k+p)_\nu / m_V^2)}{(k+p)^2 - m_V^2} \right]_{\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\} \rightarrow 0} + C.\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

After integral, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_P^{V3} &= \frac{4m_V^4}{v^2 s_V} \frac{6i}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p^2}{m_V^2} (x - x^2 + \frac{1}{12}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{p^4}{m_V^4} \frac{x(1-x)}{12} (20x - 20x^2 - 1) \left. \right] \log \frac{1}{m_V^2 - x(1-x)p^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12} - \frac{p^2}{12m_V^2} (22x(1-x) - 1) \\ &\quad - \frac{p^4 x(1-x)}{12m_V^4} (-21x(1-x) + 1) \left. \right) + C \\ &= \frac{m_V^4}{v^2 s_V} \frac{3i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p^2}{m_V^2} (x - x^2 + \frac{1}{12}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{p^4}{m_V^4} \frac{x(1-x)(20x - 20x^2 - 1)}{12} \left. \right] \log \frac{\mu^2}{m_V^2 - x(1-x)p^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12} - \frac{p^2 (22x(1-x) - 1)}{12m_V^2} - \frac{p^4 x(1-x) (-21x(1-x) + 1)}{12m_V^4} \left. \right).\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

Considering the typical energy scale μ in the electroweak scale, hence, the above corrections (multiplied by i) to the Higgs mass without fine-tuning are not very large. Moreover, if the on-shell renormalization conditions are adopted, the results can be written as

$$\mathcal{T}_P^{H1} = \mathcal{T}_P^{V1} = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_P^t &= -\frac{3m_t^4}{v^2} \frac{3i}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \left[1 - \frac{p^2}{m_t^2} x(1-x) \right] \\ &\quad \times \log \frac{m_t^2 - m_H^2 x(1-x)}{m_t^2 - p^2 x(1-x)},\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_P^{H3} = i \frac{9m_H^4}{32\pi^2 v^2} \int_0^1 dx \log \frac{m_H^2 - m_H^2 x(1-x)}{m_H^2 - x(1-x)p^2}, \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_P^{V3} &= \frac{m_V^4}{v^2 s_V} \frac{3i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p^2}{m_V^2} (x - x^2 + \frac{1}{12}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{p^4}{m_V^4} \frac{x(1-x)(20x - 20x^2 - 1)}{12} \left. \right] \log \frac{m_V^2 - x(1-x)m_H^2}{m_V^2 - x(1-x)p^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{(p^2 - m_H^2)(22x(1-x) - 1)}{12m_V^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{(p^4 - m_H^4)x(1-x)(-21x(1-x) + 1)}{12m_V^4} \left. \right).\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

The UV divergences of loops with finite physical results obtained via $\infty - \infty$ indicate that transition amplitudes directly obtained are not always well-defined, as pointed out by Dirac [21] and Feynman [22]. If we go forward, the transition amplitude directly obtained by Feynman rules is taken as physical input, and the physical result is taken as physical output. Thus, the physical output depends on the physical input, but not directly equals to the physical input. In this paper, a presumption of the physical contributions of loops from UV regions being insignificant is proposed. With this presumption, we find that the finite physical output can be described by Eq. (1) with a new method of UV-free scheme, i.e. there are a series of integral forms with the same physical output. For the gauge invariance when performing a change on a gauge field propagator, the gauge invariance can be considered as the physical input required, or being formally restored after taking the ξ integrals. In UV-free scheme, finite results of loops can be obtained without UV divergences, the γ^5 matrix remains the original form, and the unitary gauge can be adopted for gauge bosons with masses. In addition, the hierarchy problem of Higgs mass has a solution without fine-tuning. Moreover, if SM is considered as an effective field theory at low energy scale, loop corrections from possible new physics at very high energy scale (e.g. the Planck scale) are insignificant.

Here we give a brief discussion about loops in different schemes. The usual procedure for UV divergences of loops is regularization (e.g. the cutoff regularization, Pauli-Villars regularization and dimensional regularization) and renormalization, and this paradigm is based on the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk-Hepp-Zimmermann (BPHZ) renormalization scheme [23], i.e. all UV divergences can be removed by the corresponding counterterms for a renormalizable quantum field theory. In this paper, a new framework of UV-free scheme described by Eq. (1) is introduced to obtain loop results. Since it is not yet possible to calculate all order loops to compare different schemes, let's look at it from another perspective, the divergences. For logarithmic divergences, both a suitable regulator with the BPHZ scheme and Eq. (1) can cure UV divergences and obtain the finite loop results. For power-law divergences (e.g. loop corrections of the Higgs mass), the results are fine-tuned for regulators with BPHZ scheme [24], while finite loop results can be obtained in UV-free scheme without fine-tuning. The UV-free scheme seems an alternative way to describe loop transitions, especially for the case with power-law divergences.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partly supported by the open project of the theoretical physics academic exchange platform of Chongqing University.

Appendix: Additional Examples

a. The gauge field propagator

If the new method is applied to a gauge field propagator without free loop momentum, e.g., the photon propagator $\frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{p^2+i\epsilon}$, the result can be written as $\mathcal{T}_F(\xi) = \frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{p^2+\xi+i\epsilon}$, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F(\xi)}{\partial \xi} = \frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}(-1)}{(p^2+\xi+i\epsilon)^2}$, $[\int d\xi \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F(\xi)}{\partial \xi}] = \frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{p^2+\xi+i\epsilon}$, with the boundary constant $C = 0$ adopted without free loop momentum. The final result is $[\int d\xi \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F(\xi)}{\partial \xi}]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} = \frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{p^2+i\epsilon}$, with the gauge field propagator restored.

b. The electron self-energy




FIG. 4. The one-loop diagram of electron self-energy.

Now, we turn to the electron self-energy. The one-loop diagram is shown in Fig. 4, and the transition amplitude has logarithmic UV divergence when evaluating the loop integral. The physical transition amplitude \mathcal{T}_P is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_P &= \left[\int d\xi \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F(\xi)}{\partial \xi} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C \\ &= \left[(-ie)^2 \int d\xi \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \gamma^\mu \frac{-i(\not{k} + m)}{(k^2 - m^2 + \xi + i\epsilon)^2} \gamma_\mu \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{-i}{(p-k)^2 + i\epsilon} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

After integral, one has

$$\mathcal{T}_P = -i \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx (2m - x\not{p}) \log \frac{1}{(1-x)(m^2 - xp^2)} + C. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

If C is absorbed into the log term in the form of a typical energy scale (renormalization scale) μ^2 to make the log term dimensionless, the result is

$$\mathcal{T}_P = -i \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx (2m - x\not{p}) \log \frac{\mu^2}{(1-x)(m^2 - xp^2)}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

If the on-shell renormalization conditions are adopted for this process, the result is

$$\mathcal{T}_P = -i \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx (2m - x\not{p}) \log \frac{(1-x)m^2}{m^2 - xp^2}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

c. The vacuum polarization




FIG. 5. The one-loop diagram of vacuum polarization.

The one-loop diagram of the vacuum polarization is shown in Fig. 5, and the superficial degree of divergence is 2. The transition amplitude is UV divergent when evaluating the loop integral. The physical transition amplitude $\mathcal{T}_P^{\mu\nu}$ of this process is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_P^{\mu\nu} &= \left[\int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F^{\mu\nu}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} \right]_{\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} \rightarrow 0} + C^{\mu\nu} \\ &= \left[(-ie)^2 (-1) \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \text{tr} \left(\gamma^\mu \frac{2i(\not{k} + m)}{(k^2 - m^2 + \xi_1 + \xi_2)^3} \gamma^\nu \frac{i(\not{p} + \not{k} + m)}{(p+k)^2 - m^2} \right) \right]_{\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} \rightarrow 0} \\ &\quad + C^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Taking the trace of γ -matrices, one has

$$\mathcal{T}_P^{\mu\nu} = \left[-8e^2 \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \times \frac{k^\mu(k+p)^\nu + k^\nu(k+p)^\mu - g^{\mu\nu}(k \cdot (k+p) - m^2)}{(k^2 - m^2 + \xi_1 + \xi_2)^3 ((p+k)^2 - m^2)} \right]_{\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} \rightarrow 0} + C^{\mu\nu}.$$

After integral, one has

$$\mathcal{T}_P^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{ie^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \times \left[2x(1-x)(-g^{\mu\nu}p^2 + p^\mu p^\nu) \log(m^2 - p^2 x(1-x)) - g^{\mu\nu}(m^2 - p^2 x(1-x)) \right] + C^{\mu\nu}.$$

Consider the Ward identity being preserved, and a physical choice is

$$\mathcal{T}_P^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{ie^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx (-g^{\mu\nu}p^2 + p^\mu p^\nu) x(1-x) \times \left[2 \log(m^2 - p^2 x(1-x)) - 1 + C \right]. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The contribution is zero at $p^2 = 0$, and in this case, the result is

$$i\mathcal{T}_P^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^1 dx (-g^{\mu\nu}p^2 + p^\mu p^\nu) x(1-x) \times \log\left(\frac{m^2}{m^2 - p^2 x(1-x)}\right). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

d. The electron vertex function




FIG. 6. The one-loop contribution to the electron vertex function.

The one-loop contribution to the electron vertex function is shown in Fig. 6. The physical transition amplitude \mathcal{T}_P^μ of this process is

$$\mathcal{T}_P^\mu = \left[\int d\xi \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F^\mu(\xi)}{\partial \xi} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C^\mu \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$= \left[(-ie)^3 \int d\xi \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{-ig_{\nu\rho}(-1)}{((k-p_1)^2 + \xi + i\epsilon)^2} \bar{u}(p_2) \gamma^\nu \times \frac{i(\not{k} + \not{q} + m)}{(k+q)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \gamma^\mu \frac{i(\not{k} + m)}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \gamma^\rho u(p_1) \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C^\mu.$$

After a bit of algebra, one has

$$\mathcal{T}_P^\mu = \left[(-ie)^3 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int d\xi \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3)}{(k^2 - \Delta + i\epsilon)^4} x_3 \times 12i\bar{u}(p_2) [\gamma^\mu (-\frac{k^2}{2} + (1-x_1)(1-x_2)q^2 + (1-4x_3+x_3^2)m^2) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu}{2m} 2m^2 x_3(1-x_3)] u(p_1) \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C^\mu, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where the parameter Δ is $\Delta = (1-x_3)^2 m^2 - x_1 x_2 q^2 - x_3 \xi$. In this case, the form factor $F_1(q^2)$ is

$$F_1(q^2) = 1 + \left[\left[(-ie)^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int d\xi \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3)}{(k^2 - \Delta + i\epsilon)^4} \times 12x_3 i \left[-\frac{k^2}{2} + (1-x_1)(1-x_2)q^2 + (1-4x_3+x_3^2)m^2 \right] \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C \right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2), \quad (\text{A.12})$$

and hence

$$F_1(q^2) = 1 + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[\int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3) \times \left[\log \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)q^2 + (1-4x_3+x_3^2)m^2}{\Delta} \right] \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2). \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Considering the one-loop correction to F_1 being zero at $q^2 = 0$, the form factor $F_1(q^2)$ can be rewritten as

$$F_1(q^2) = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[\int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3) \times \left[\log \frac{(1-x_3)^2 m^2 - x_3 \eta}{\Delta} + \frac{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)q^2}{\Delta} + \frac{(1-4x_3+x_3^2)m^2}{\Delta} \frac{x_1 x_2 q^2}{(1-x_3)^2 m^2 - x_3 \xi} \right] \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2), \quad (\text{A.14})$$

with a trick of η parameter equal to the value of ξ introduced in the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$. The form factor $F_2(q^2)$ is

$$F_2(q^2) = \left[(-ie)^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int d\xi \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3)}{(k^2 - \Delta + i\epsilon)^4} \times 24im^2 x_3^2 (1-x_3) \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2) \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[\int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3) \frac{2m^2}{\Delta} x_3 (1-x_3) \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2).$$

At $q^2 = 0$, one has

$$F_2(0) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3) \frac{2x_3}{(1-x_3)} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2). \quad (\text{A.16})$$




FIG. 7. A two-loop transition.

e. A two-loop example

Here the new method is applied to a two-loop transition with overlapping divergences in the ϕ^4 theory, as shown in Fig. 7. There are two free loop momenta k_A and k_B , and the physical transition amplitude \mathcal{T}_P is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_P &= \left[\int d\xi \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_F(\xi)}{\partial \xi} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C \\ &= \left[\frac{(-i\lambda)^3}{2} \int d\xi \int \frac{d^4 k_A}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 k_B}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{k_A^2 - m^2} \frac{i}{(k_A + q)^2 - m^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{-i}{(k_B^2 - m^2 + \xi)^2} \frac{i}{(k_B + k_A + p_3)^2 - m^2} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

with $q = p_1 + p_2$. After the k_B integral, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_P &= \left[\frac{(-i\lambda)^3}{2} \int_0^1 dx \int d\xi \int \frac{d^4 k_A}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{k_A^2 - m^2} \frac{i}{(k_A + q)^2 - m^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{x}{16\pi^2 (k_A + p_3)^2 x(1-x) - m^2 + x\xi} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

The expression can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_P &= \left[\frac{(-i\lambda)^3}{2} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int d\xi \int \frac{d^4 k_A}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{-1}{(k_A^2 + 2yk_A \cdot q + yq^2 - m^2)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{x}{16\pi^2 (k_A + p_3)^2 x(1-x) - m^2 + x\xi} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C \\ &= \left[\frac{(-i\lambda)^3}{2} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \int d\xi \int \frac{d^4 k_A}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{-i}{16\pi^2 (1-x)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{2(1-z)}{[zD_B + (1-z)D_A]^3} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

with $D_A = k_A^2 + 2yk_A \cdot q + yq^2 - m^2$, $D_B = (k_A + p_3)^2 - m^2/x(1-x) + \xi/(1-x)$. After evaluating the k_A integral, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_P &= \left[\frac{(-i\lambda)^3}{2} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \int d\xi \frac{-ix}{16\pi^2} \frac{-i(1-z)}{16\pi^2 (\Delta - xz\xi)} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 0} + C \\ &= \frac{(-i\lambda)^3}{2(4\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \frac{(1-z)}{z} \log \Delta + C, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

with $\Delta = [(y(1-z)q + zp_3)^2 - (yq^2 - m^2)(1-z) - p_3^2 z]x(1-x) + m^2 z$. Considering the renormalization conditions that the corrections should be zero at $q^2 = 4m^2$, the result can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_P &= \frac{(-i\lambda)^3}{2(4\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \frac{1}{z} \left[(1-z) \log \Delta \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \log[(y^2 q^2 - yq^2 + m^2)x(1-x)] \right] - C_0 \\ &= \frac{(-i\lambda)^3}{2(4\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \frac{1}{z} \left[(1-z) \log \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_0} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \log \frac{y^2 q^2 - yq^2 + m^2}{(4y^2 - 4y + 1)m^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

with $\Delta_0 = [y(1-z)(y + z - yz)4m^2 + (z^2 - z)p_3^2 - (4y - 1)m^2(1-z)]x(1-x) + m^2 z$.

-
- [1] W. Pauli and F. Villars, Rev. Mod. Phys. **21**, 434-444 (1949)
 - [2] G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B **44**, 189-213 (1972)
 - [3] K. G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 2445-2459 (1974)
 - [4] C. G. Callan, Jr., Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1541-1547 (1970)
 - [5] K. Symanzik, Commun. Math. Phys. **18**, 227-246 (1970)
 - [6] D. Z. Freedman, K. Johnson and J. I. Latorre, Nucl. Phys. B **371**, 353-414 (1992)
 - [7] G. 't Hooft, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **20**, no.06, 1336-1345 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0405032 [hep-th]].
 - [8] S. Mooij and M. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B **990**, 116172 (2023) [arXiv:2110.05175 [hep-th]].
 - [9] T. Aoyama, M. Hayakawa, T. Kinoshita and M. Nio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 111807 (2012) [arXiv:1205.5368 [hep-ph]].
 - [10] S. Laporta, Phys. Lett. B **772**, 232-238 (2017) [arXiv:1704.06996 [hep-ph]].
 - [11] T. Aoyama, T. Kinoshita and M. Nio, Phys. Rev. D **97**, no.3, 036001 (2018) [arXiv:1712.06060 [hep-ph]].
 - [12] S. Volkov, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.9, 096004 (2019) [arXiv:1909.08015 [hep-ph]].
 - [13] R. H. Parker, C. Yu, W. Zhong, B. Estey and H. Müller, Science **360**, 191 (2018) [arXiv:1812.04130 [physics.atom-ph]].
 - [14] L. Morel, Z. Yao, P. Cladé and S. Guellati-Khélifa, Nature **588**, no.7836, 61-65 (2020).
 - [15] X. Fan, T. G. Myers, B. A. D. Sukra and G. Gabrielse, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, no.7, 071801 (2023) [arXiv:2209.13084 [physics.atom-ph]].
 - [16] S. L. Adler, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2426-2438 (1969).
 - [17] J. S. Bell and R. Jackiw, Nuovo Cim. A **60**, 47-61 (1969).
 - [18] S. L. Adler and W. A. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. **182**, 1517-1536 (1969).
 - [19] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1-29 (2012) [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]].
 - [20] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS], Phys. Lett. B **716**, 30-61 (2012) [arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]].
 - [21] P. A. M. Dirac, The Evolution of the Physicist's Picture of Nature, Scientific American **208**, 45-53 (1963);

- P. A. M. Dirac, *Directions in Physics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978.
- [22] R. P. Feynman, *QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985, 1988.
- [23] N. N. Bogoliubov and O. S. Parasiuk, *Acta Math.* **97**, 227-266 (1957); K. Hepp, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **2**, 301-326 (1966); W. Zimmermann, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **15**, 208-234 (1969).
- [24] C. Branchina, V. Branchina, F. Contino and N. Darvishi, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, no.6, 065007 (2022) [arXiv:2204.10582 [hep-th]].