

Novel Electronic Structure of Nitrogen-Doped Lutetium Hydrides

Adam Denchfield,¹ Hyowon Park,^{1,2} and Russell J. Hemley^{1,3,4}

¹*Department of Physics, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA*

²*Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, USA*

³*Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA*

⁴*Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences,*

University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA

(Dated: June 7th, 2023)

First-principles density functional theory (DFT) calculations of Lu-H-N compounds reveal low-energy configurations of $Fm\bar{3}m$ $Lu_8H_{23-x}N$ structures that exhibit novel electronic properties such as flat bands, sharply peaked densities of states (van Hove singularities, vHs), and intersecting Dirac cones near the Fermi energy (E_F). These N-doped LuH_3 -based structures also exhibit an interconnected metallic hydrogen network, which is a common feature of high- T_c hydride superconductors. Electronic property systematics give estimates of T_c for optimally ordered structures that are well above the critical temperatures predicted for structures considered previously. The vHs and flat bands near E_F are enhanced in DFT+U calculations, implying strong correlation physics should also be considered for first-principles studies of these materials. These results provide a basis for understanding the electronic properties observed for nitrogen-doped lutetium hydride.

Rare-earth hydrides form a unique chemical composition of the heavy rare-earth ions and the lightest hydrogen atoms that can lead to novel material properties including metal-insulator transitions and superconductivity. Such novel properties originate from the subtle balance between the strongly localized nature of heavy electrons in rare-earth ions and the itinerant electronic behaviors of hydrogen. A particularly intriguing example is nitrogen-doped lutetium hydride, which has recently been reported to exhibit near-ambient superconductivity [1]. The disposition and stoichiometry of the light elements are not known, nor is it clear what role, if any, nitrogen plays in the reported superconductivity. First-principles searches have not found structures with strong electron-phonon coupling (EPC) [2–7]. It is of interest to find structures in the ternary Lu-H-N system with strong vHs near the Fermi energy (E_F) in the density of states (DOS) because those features increase EPC [8, 9].

Inspired by the pioneering theoretical predictions of high T_c superconductivity by Ashcroft [10, 11], density functional theory (DFT) calculations have played an important role in guiding experiments aimed at realizing room temperature superconductivity, specifically in dense hydrides [12, 13] with calculations that have been confirmed by subsequent experiments [14–18] (see [19] for a review). The recent report of room-temperature superconductivity in $LuH_{3-x}N_y$ at 1 GPa [1] has motivated numerous recent DFT calculations aimed at understanding the result [1–5, 7, 20]. Candidate structures and stoichiometries have been identified and proposed, but they do not exhibit properties conducive to known superconducting mechanisms. We use DFT [21–23] and DFT+U [24, 25] calculations to explore bonding and electronic properties of a broad range of structures and compositions that fit the available experimental data. We show that a subset of energetically preferred Lu-H-N structures

and stoichiometries consistent with available experimental constraints [1] exhibit novel electronic structures that include Dirac cones, a sharp vHs and flat bands at E_F . These features may underlie the remarkable near-ambient superconductivity reported in the Lu-H-N system [1].

We begin our study by computing the electronic structure of a variety of supercells based on $Fm\bar{3}m$ $LuH_{3-x}N_y$ in light of recent theoretical studies of the energetics of the system [2–5, 7, 20]. In the parent structure $Fm\bar{3}m$ LuH_3 , each Lu atom is surrounded by hydrogens in tetrahedral and octahedral sites (Fig. 1, top). Substituting N atoms in hydrogen locations, we find that N atoms prefer being spatially separated (see Table S1) due to competition over the Lu_d electrons with which they bond. This also minimizes the Coulomb interaction between N atoms. This spatial separation as well as the experimentally constrained 1:8 N:Lu ratio [1] (i.e., $y = 0.125$ in $LuH_{3-x}N_y$) yields a subset of energetically favorable structures containing highly symmetric configurations. $Fm\bar{3}m$ $Lu_8H_{23-x}N$ for small x emerged as particularly important due to their interesting electronic structure and for consistency with experiments upon relaxation (Fig. S6).

We consider four main superlattice structure types with stoichiometry $Lu_8H_{23-x}N$ (Fig. 1, bottom). Structure A consists of alternating layers of LuH_3 and type 1 ordering $LuH_{2.75}N_{0.25}$, resulting in a face-centered-cubic (FCC) superlattice of N atoms. Structure B has N in tetrahedral sites (type 2 ordering), while A, C, and D have them in octahedral sites. Structure C has an ABCB stacking, where B are LuH_3 layers, and A and C layers are type 1 orderings with a relative shift. Structure D is more complex, but its key feature is N-Lu-N chains. The full structural information is presented in Figs. S1–S5. To study hydrogen vacancies, we present two inequivalent octahedral hydrogen vacancy positions inside




FIG. 1: Top: Unit cell of $Fm\bar{3}m$ LuH_3 followed by depictions of the layers [(100) planes] that form the building blocks of the superlattice structures considered in the present study: LuH_3 layer; Type 1 ordering, with N in the octahedral site; Type 2 ordering, with N in the tetrahedral site; Type 3 ordering, with chains of octahedrally coordinated N; and the two types of octahedral H vacancies denoted by open circles (red: type 1, purple: type 2), with the tetrahedral hydrogens omitted for clarity. Bottom: the four $2 \times 2 \times 2$ superlattice structure-types for $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23-x}\text{N}$ (A, B, C, D) created from the above ordering schemes (see text and SI).

the type 1 ordering scheme. The unit cell parameters and atomic positions of the superlattice structure-types were relaxed without symmetry (see SI). When the above structures are relaxed, the Lu atoms move towards the N atoms. The simulated x-ray diffraction patterns of the structures are broadly consistent with those measured experimentally [1] (see Fig. S6). However, important superlattice reflections at low angle were not observed because of limitations of the experiment. The optimized lattice parameter of structure A (Fig. S1) at zero pressure with DFT-PBE ($a = 10.03$ Å) is close to twice the value obtained experimentally for the dominant $Fm\bar{3}m$ phase in the experiments ($a = 5.023$ Å) [1].




FIG. 2: The band structures of (top) $Fm\bar{3}m$ LuH_3 , (middle) $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{21}\text{N}$ ($\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{21}\text{N}$ structure-type B) [6], and (bottom) $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (structure-type A) using DFT+U, $U=8.2$ eV on Lu_d , 5.5 eV on Lu_f .

Figure 2 shows the band structures of $Fm\bar{3}m$ LuH_3 , $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{21}\text{N}$ (structure-type B) [6], and $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (structure-type A), the latter using DFT+U (see also Fig. S7). Our computed LuH_3 band structure is in good agreement with the DFT results calculations of Sufyan and Larsson [26] (Fig. S8). $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{21}\text{N}$ (B) has a sizeable band gap, meaning it cannot be a superconducting phase. Despite the same number of valence electrons, this large difference with LuH_3 is likely due to the stoichiometry rather than differences in tetrahedral vs octahedral site chemistry. Placing N in tetrahedral vs octahedral positions does not significantly alter the DOS, seen by comparing all the PDOS in Fig. S9(a-d). Sun et al [6] examined the stability and properties of $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{21}\text{N}$ (B) and found it is not conducive to superconductivity [6], consistent with our calculated band structure. Remarkably, the bands of $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (structure A) are distinctly differ-




FIG. 3: Calculated PDOS near E_F of superlattice structure types A-D with DFT-PBE+U, $U=8.2$ eV on Lu_d [27] (a-d) vs DFT-PBE (e-h).

ent, exhibiting a combination of hybridization and correlation effects giving rise to a very sharp vHs at E_F and regions of nearly flat bands (discussed later) with sharp intersecting Dirac cones (see Fig. S10).

Figure 3 compares the partial density of states (PDOS) near E_F of these and other structures including both DFT+U and DFT results. We placed $U=8.2$ eV on the Lu_d orbitals since the pseudopotentials used are tested for this U value to match accurate (but expensive) HSE06 functional calculations on LuN [27]. $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ structure A exhibits an extraordinarily sharp vHs close to E_F [Fig. 3(a); see also Fig. S9] that is dominated by H_s states with additional contributions from N_p and Lu_d . It is known that sharp vHs at E_F lead to T_c estimates that grow unbounded with the DOS at E_F [8, 28, 29] unlike the flat DOS approximation [30]. $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ structures B-D are relatively featureless around E_F [Fig. 3(b-d)] but share similar broad features below E_F and become more similar when unrelaxed (Fig. S9). The crystal field splitting and H_s^{oct} , H_s^{tet} projections for the parent structure LuH_3 and structures A-D are studied in the SI [Figs. S7(top), S9].

To study the correlation effects we compare the DFT-

PBE results in Fig. 3(e-h). The removal of U changes structure A, whose vHs is now broadened and 0.2 eV below E_F [Fig. 3(e)]. The removal of U for the other structures changes their DOS marginally [Fig. 3(f-h)]. The main effect of U in $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (A) is to push Lu_d states away from E_F [see Fig. S11(left)], but near E_F multiband effects complicate matters [Fig. S11(right)] resulting in an enhancement of the vHs at E_F [Figs. 3(a) and S12]. Ideally, the U value is computed for each different structure, which complicates large structure searches. This may explain why structure type A compounds have been missed so far in first-principles calculations only using DFT-PBE.

In all the configurations shown the octahedral hydrogens are the primary contributors, with additional Lu_d , tetrahedral H, and N_p contributions (Fig. S9). The results contrast with those of Ferreira et al [4] who found only structures with primarily Lu_d character at E_F . Of the structures considered thus far, structure A uniquely obtains a sharp vHs at E_F with DFT+U. Exploring the effect of introducing vacancies into structure A on the vHs (Fig. S13), we find the electronic structure (vHs) is stable to a small number of octahedral hydrogen vacancies with the effect of raising E_F . This in combination with the hole-doping effect of N atoms on the LuH_3 bands in $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (see Fig. S7) can thus tune E_F to match the van Hove singularity peak, an effect that can significantly enhance superconductivity in other materials [9, 31]. In addition, the application of modest pressure (i.e. 2 GPa) to $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (A) increases the vHs energy by 13 meV, potentially changing T_c significantly over this range as the vHs goes from below E_F to above it. These options represent a strategy to tune the parameters of $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23-x}\text{N}$ (A) to maximize the achievable T_c .

The total energies of the $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ structures considered here (Table S1) are within 7-30 meV/atom of each other. The DFT results are consistent with those reported for $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ by Sun et al [6] who also found $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{21}\text{N}$ (B) to be dynamically stable. Calculations for selected structures considered here suggest any dynamical instabilities are weak. On the other hand, these instabilities are calculated in the harmonic and Born-Oppenheimer approximations, and are likely outweighed by nuclear quantum effects and anharmonicity as found for other hydrides [32–35]. We also considered the effects of magnetic properties on the energetics. DFT and DFT+U calculations indicate any magnetic states have small magnetic moments with energies lowered by less than 1 meV/atom, i.e., insignificant compared to ambient temperatures.

Figure 4 further illustrates the electronic properties near E_F of $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (A) with DFT-PBE+U. The integrated local density of states (ILDOS), integrated around the Fermi energy, shows that the conduction states are composed of octahedral hydrogen and N states, with nontrivial contributions from the tetrahedral hydrogen.




FIG. 4: Calculated local bonding and electronic properties for Lu₈H₂₃N (A), with DFT+U, U on Lu_d of 8.2 eV. (a) Integrated local density of states (ILDOS), integrated ± 5 meV from E_F . (b) The ELF, illustrating the formation of the hydrogen bonding network. (c) Fermi surface (FS), showing both flat and conical bands.

A “metallic hydrogen” network forms in the ILDOS (sliced three ways for illustrative purposes) with mostly-localized N states; smaller and larger rings of octahedral and tetrahedral hydrogen are also observed. Given the “metallic hydrogen” network, we also plot the electron localization function (ELF)[36, 37] and find that the hydrogen ELF spheres begin to overlap at an isovalue of 0.51. Belli et al [38] found that the ELF and relative H PDOS at E_F correlates with the values of theoretically predicted T_c of hydride superconductors. Use of their correlation gives a T_c in the 100 K range for this structure. A full Eliashberg calculation is beyond the scope of the present study due to the computational resources needed for the supercells considered here. Nevertheless, the EPC enhancement from vHs and flat bands at E_F found for other high- T_c superconductors [8, 9, 12] suggests that the band structure characteristics of Lu₈H_{23-x}N phases predicted here support the existence of strong EPC (and thus very high T_c) for the Lu-H-N system studied in Ref. [1].

We also comment on the topological character of band structures found for these LuH_{3-x}N_y phases. We confirm that Fm $\bar{3}$ m LuH₃ has vHs and Dirac cones near E_F and is thus a potential Dirac semimetal, in agreement with recent calculations of Sufyan and Larsson [26]. The incorporation of N to form Lu₈H₂₃N (A) shifts those topological features of LuH₃ 2 eV above E_F (see Fig. S7). The Fermi surface (FS) of Lu₈H₂₃N (A) (Fig. 4) clearly shows the flat band regions and the Dirac cones. The flat bands

of Lu₈H₂₃N (A) are intersected by other bands (Figs. 2 and S10), which can result in non-trivial topology for the bands (such as a nonzero Chern number). This is a necessary ingredient for flat-band superconductivity [39] (also considered topological superconductivity [40]). The band structure also has interesting parallels with the flat bands predicted for certain dense hydrogen structures of [41]. Nearly flat bands can emerge in multiband tight-binding models [42, 43] due to geometric hybridization effects on idealized Kagome, Lieb, and checkerboard lattices [44]. These structures all share the trait of being highly symmetric, like those considered here. The nearly flat bands of Lu₈H₂₃N (A) (Figs. 2 and S10) likely arise from the relative phases of the hopping parameter, and are further flattened with DFT+U.

Finally, our results have important implications for experimental studies of the reported near-ambient superconductivity in the Lu-H-N system [1]. The sensitivity of the electronic properties in Lu₈H_{23-x}N based phases to nitrogen and hydrogen-vacancy ordering suggests that the reported high- T_c superconductivity as well as other emergent phenomena will be highly dependent on sample preparation and annealing. This finding may explain the apparent difficulties reported [45–47] in reproducing the results presented in Ref. [1]. In addition, our calculated diffraction patterns should help guide experimental studies of the crystal structure (Fig. S6). We also note that the temperature dependence of the electrical resistance reported for Lu-H-N samples [1] shows striking parallels to anomalous resistivity curves documented many years ago for other substoichiometric lanthanide trihydrides (LnH_{3-x}) across their metal-insulator transitions [48, 49] (Fig. S14), where the electrical conductivity has been shown to be strongly dependent on hydrogen-vacancy ordering [50].

In summary, we have identified classes of structures, stoichiometries, and hydrogen-vacancy ordering schemes for Lu-H-N with remarkable electronic properties. We predict that strong correlations give rise to structures with flat band physics at E_F , which are expected to have high EPC [8, 9]. We also predict that the proposed structures will exhibit interesting correlated physics in addition to their potential for superconductivity, due to the flat bands and intersecting Dirac cones. Confirmation of the predicted structures awaits detailed single-crystal x-ray and neutron scattering experiments, while the interesting band physics can be examined using various electron and x-ray spectroscopies possible at ambient and near-ambient conditions.

We thank E. Zurek and S. A. Gramsch for useful discussions. This research was supported by the NSF (DMR2104881) and DOE-NNSA through the Chicago/DOE Alliance Center (DE-NA0003975) (AD and RH), and the Materials Sciences and Engineering Division, Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, US Department of Energy (HP). We gratefully acknowledge

the computing resources provided on Bebop, a high-performance computing cluster operated by the Laboratory Computing Resource Center at Argonne National Laboratory.

-
- [1] N. Dasenbrock-Gammon, E. Snider, R. McBride, H. Pasan, D. Durkee, N. Khalvashi-Sutter, S. Munasinghe, S. E. Dissanayake, K. V. Lawler, A. Salamat, *et al.*, Evidence of near-ambient superconductivity in a N-doped lutetium hydride, *Nature* **615**, 244 (2023).
 - [2] Z. Huo, D. Duan, T. Ma, Q. Jiang, Z. Zhang, D. An, F. Tian, and T. Cui, First-principles study on the superconductivity of N-doped fcc-LuH₃, arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.12575 (2023).
 - [3] K. P. Hilleke, X. Wang, D. Luo, N. Geng, B. Wang, and E. Zurek, Structure, Stability and Superconductivity of N-doped Lutetium Hydrides at kbar Pressures, arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.15622 (2023).
 - [4] P. P. Ferreira, L. J. Conway, A. Cucciari, S. Di Cataldo, F. Giannessi, E. Kogler, L. T. Eleno, C. J. Pickard, C. Heil, and L. Boeri, Search for ambient superconductivity in the Lu-N-H system, arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04447 (2023).
 - [5] T. Lu, S. Meng, and M. Liu, Electron-phonon interactions in LuH₂, LuH₃, and LuN, arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06726 (2023).
 - [6] Y. Sun, F. Zhang, S. Wu, V. Antropov, and K.-M. Ho, Effect of nitrogen doping and pressure on the stability of cubic LuH₃, arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.14034 (2023).
 - [7] R. Lucrezi, P. P. Ferreira, M. Aichhorn, and C. Heil, Temperature and quantum anharmonic lattice effects in lutetium trihydride: stability and superconductivity, arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06685 (2023).
 - [8] W. Sano, T. Koretsune, T. Tadano, R. Akashi, and R. Arita, Effect of van hove singularities on high-T_c superconductivity in H₃S, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 094525 (2016).
 - [9] T.-T. Gai, P.-J. Guo, H.-C. Yang, Y. Gao, M. Gao, and Z.-Y. Lu, Van hove singularity induced phonon-mediated superconductivity above 77K in hole-doped SrB₃C₃, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 224514 (2022).
 - [10] N. W. Ashcroft, Metallic hydrogen: A high-temperature superconductor?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **21**, 1748 (1968).
 - [11] N. W. Ashcroft, Hydrogen dominant metallic alloys: high temperature superconductors?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 187002 (2004).
 - [12] H. Liu, I. I. Naumov, R. Hoffmann, N. Ashcroft, and R. J. Hemley, Potential high-T_c superconducting lanthanum and yttrium hydrides at high pressure, *National Acad. of Sciences* **114**, 6990 (2017).
 - [13] F. Peng, Y. Sun, C. J. Pickard, R. J. Needs, Q. Wu, and Y. Ma, Hydrogen clathrate structures in rare earth hydrides at high pressures: possible route to room-temperature superconductivity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 107001 (2017).
 - [14] M. Somayazulu, M. Ahart, A. K. Mishra, Z. M. Geballe, M. Baldini, Y. Meng, V. V. Struzhkin, and R. J. Hemley, Evidence for superconductivity above 260 K in lanthanum superhydride at megabar pressures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 027001 (2019).
 - [15] A. Drozdov, P. Kong, V. Minkov, S. Besedin, M. Kuzovnikov, S. Mozaffari, L. Balicas, F. Balakirev, D. Graf, V. Prakapenka, *et al.*, Superconductivity at 250 K in lanthanum hydride under high pressures, *Nature* **569**, 528 (2019).
 - [16] E. Snider, N. Dasenbrock-Gammon, R. McBride, X. Wang, N. Meyers, K. V. Lawler, E. Zurek, A. Salamat, and R. P. Dias, Synthesis of yttrium superhydride superconductor with a transition temperature up to 262 K by catalytic hydrogenation at high pressures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 117003 (2021).
 - [17] P. Kong, V. S. Minkov, M. A. Kuzovnikov, A. P. Drozdov, S. P. Besedin, S. Mozaffari, L. Balicas, F. F. Balakirev, V. B. Prakapenka, S. Chariton, *et al.*, Superconductivity up to 243 K in the yttrium-hydrogen system under high pressure, *Nature Comm.* **12**, 5075 (2021).
 - [18] I. A. Troyan, D. V. Semenok, A. G. Kvashnin, A. V. Sadakov, O. A. Sobolevskiy, V. M. Pudalov, A. G. Ivanova, V. B. Prakapenka, E. Greenberg, A. G. Gavriliuk, *et al.*, Anomalous high-temperature superconductivity in YH₆, *Adv. Mater.* **33**, 2006832 (2021).
 - [19] K. P. Hilleke and E. Zurek, Tuning chemical precompression: Theoretical design and crystal chemistry of novel hydrides in the quest for warm and light superconductivity at ambient pressures, *J. Appl. Phys.* **131**, 070901 (2022).
 - [20] F. Xie, T. Lu, Z. Yu, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, S. Meng, and M. Liu, Lu-H-N phase diagram from first-principles calculations, *Chinese Phys. Lett.* **40**, 057401 (2023).
 - [21] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects, *Physical Review* **140**, A1133 (1965).
 - [22] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, *et al.*, Quantum espresso: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials, *J. Physics: Cond. Matter* **21**, 395502 (2009).
 - [23] P. Giannozzi, O. Andreussi, T. Brumme, O. Bunau, M. B. Nardelli, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, M. Cococcioni, *et al.*, Advanced capabilities for materials modelling with quantum espresso, *J. Physics: Cond. Matter* **29**, 465901 (2017).
 - [24] Y.-C. Wang, Z.-H. Chen, and H. Jiang, The local projection in the density functional theory plus U approach: A critical assessment, *J. Chem. Phys.* **144**, 144106 (2016).
 - [25] S. A. Tolba, K. M. Gameel, B. A. Ali, H. A. Almossalami, and N. K. Allam, The DFT+U: Approaches, accuracy, and applications, *Density Functional Calculations-Recent Progresses of Theory and Application*, edited by G. Yang, Chapter 1 **1**, 5772 (2018).
 - [26] A. Sufyan and J. A. Larsson, Topological nodal surface and quadratic dirac semimetal states and van hove singularities in ScH₃ and LuH₃ superconductors, *ACS omega* **8**, 9607 (2023).
 - [27] M. Topsakal and R. Wentzcovitch, Accurate projected augmented wave (PAW) datasets for rare-earth elements (RE= La-Lu), *Comp. Mat. Sci.* **95**, 263 (2014).
 - [28] E. Cappelluti and L. Pietronero, Nonadiabatic superconductivity: The role of van hove singularities, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 932 (1996).
 - [29] J. Bok and J. Bouvier, Superconductivity and the van hove scenario, *J. Supercond. Novel Magn.* **25**, 657 (2012).
 - [30] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Theory of superconductivity, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1175 (1957).
 - [31] Y. Ge, F. Zhang, R. P. Dias, R. J. Hemley, and

- Y. Yao, Hole-doped room-temperature superconductivity in $\text{H}_3(\text{S}_{1-x}\text{Z}_x)$ ($\text{Z} = \text{C}, \text{Si}$), *Materials Today Phys.* **15**, 100330 (2020).
- [32] I. Errea, M. Calandra, C. J. Pickard, J. Nelson, R. J. Needs, Y. Li, H. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y. Ma, and F. Mauri, High-pressure hydrogen sulfide from first principles: A strongly anharmonic phonon-mediated superconductor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 157004 (2015).
- [33] H. Liu, I. I. Naumov, Z. M. Geballe, M. Somayazulu, J. S. Tse, and R. J. Hemley, Dynamics and superconductivity in compressed lanthanum superhydride, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 100102 (2018).
- [34] I. Errea, F. Belli, L. Monacelli, A. Sanna, T. Koretsune, T. Tadano, R. Bianco, M. Calandra, R. Arita, F. Mauri, *et al.*, Quantum crystal structure in the 250-kelvin superconducting lanthanum hydride, *Nature* **578**, 66 (2020).
- [35] K. K. Ly and D. M. Ceperley, Stability and distortion of fcc LaH_{10} with path-integral molecular dynamics, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 054106 (2022).
- [36] A. Savin, R. Nesper, S. Wengert, and T. F. Fässler, Elf: The electron localization function, *Angew. Chemie Intl. Ed. in English* **36**, 1808 (1997).
- [37] P. Fuentealba, E. Chamorro, and J. C. Santos, Understanding and using the electron localization function, in *Theoretical and Computational Chemistry*, Vol. 19 (Elsevier, 2007) pp. 57–85.
- [38] F. Belli, T. Novoa, J. Contreras-García, and I. Errea, Strong correlation between electronic bonding network and critical temperature in hydrogen-based superconductors, *Nature Comm.* **12**, 5381 (2021).
- [39] S. Peotta and P. Törmä, Superfluidity in topologically nontrivial flat bands, *Nature Comm.* **6**, 8944 (2015).
- [40] M. Sato and Y. Ando, Topological superconductors: a review, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **80**, 076501 (2017).
- [41] I. I. Naumov, R. E. Cohen, and R. J. Hemley, Graphene physics and insulator-metal transition in compressed hydrogen, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 045125 (2013).
- [42] K. Sun, Z. Gu, H. Katsura, and S. D. Sarma, Nearly flat bands with nontrivial topology, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 236803 (2011).
- [43] D. Leykam, A. Andreanov, and S. Flach, Artificial flat band systems: from lattice models to experiments, *Adv. Phys.: X* **3**, 1473052 (2018).
- [44] M. Kang, S. Fang, L. Ye, H. C. Po, J. Denlinger, C. Jozwiak, A. Bostwick, E. Rotenberg, E. Kaxiras, J. G. Checkelsky, *et al.*, Topological flat bands in frustrated kagome lattice CoSn , *Nature Comm.* **11**, 4004 (2020).
- [45] X. Ming, Y.-J. Zhang, X. Zhu, Q. Li, C. He, Y. Liu, T. Huang, G. Liu, B. Zheng, H. Yang, *et al.*, Absence of near-ambient superconductivity in $\text{LuH}_{2\pm x}\text{N}_y$, *Nature*, 1 (2023).
- [46] S. Cai, J. Guo, H. Shu, L. Yang, P. Wang, Y. Zhou, J. Zhao, J. Han, Q. Wu, W. Yang, T. Xiang, H. Mao, and L. Sun, No evidence of superconductivity in the compressed sample prepared from the lutetium foil and H_2/N_2 gas mixture, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03100* (2023).
- [47] X. Xing, C. Wang, L. Yu, J. Xu, C. Zhang, M. Zhang, S. Huang, X. Zhang, B. Yang, X. Chen, *et al.*, Observation of non-superconducting phase changes in $\text{LuH}_{2\pm x}\text{N}_y$, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17587* (2023).
- [48] J. Shinar, B. Dehner, R. Barnes, and B. Beaudry, Anomalous resistivity peaks, localization transitions, and the electronic structure of substoichiometric lanthanum trihydrides, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 563 (1990).
- [49] G. Libowitz, Electronic properties of the rare earth hydrides, *Ber. Bunsen., Phys. Chem.* **76**, 837 (1972).
- [50] T. C. Kerscher, G. Schöllhammer, P. Herzig, W. Wolf, R. Podloucky, and S. Müller, First-principles study of hydrogen ordering in lanthanum hydride and its effect on the metal-insulator transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 014107 (2012).
- [51] G. Prandini, A. Marrazzo, I. E. Castelli, N. Mounet, and N. Marzari, Precision and efficiency in solid-state pseudopotential calculations, *npj Comp. Mat.* **4**, 1 (2018).
- [52] A. Dal Corso, Pseudopotentials periodic table: From H to Pu, *Comp. Mat. Sci.* **95**, 337 (2014).
- [53] S. P. Ong, W. D. Richards, A. Jain, G. Hautier, M. Kocher, S. Cholia, D. Gunter, V. L. Chevrier, K. A. Persson, and G. Ceder, Python materials genomics (pymatgen): A robust, open-source python library for materials analysis, *Comp. Mat. Sci.* **68**, 314 (2013).
- [54] M. Kawamura, Y. Gohda, and S. Tsuneyuki, Improved tetrahedron method for the brillouin-zone integration applicable to response functions, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 094515 (2014).
- [55] K. Momma and F. Izumi, VESTA 3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **44**, 1272 (2011).
- [56] D. Chakraborty, K. Berland, and T. Thonhauser, Next-generation nonlocal van der waals density functional, *J. Chemical Theory and Computation* **16**, 5893 (2020).
- [57] M. Liu, X. Liu, J. Li, J. Liu, Y. Sun, X.-Q. Chen, and P. Liu, On parent structures of near-ambient nitrogen-doped lutetium hydride superconductor, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.06554* (2023).
- [58] J. Shinar, B. Dehner, B. Beaudry, and D. Peterson, Q-factor measurements of the bulk resistivity and nonmetal-metal transitions in LaH_x and CeH_x ($x \geq 2.70$), *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 2066 (1988).

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION


Methods

We performed DFT calculations primarily with the **Quantum Espresso** software package [23]. The SSF database [51] was used to vet pseudopotentials for convergence of the computed pressure, phonon frequencies, and formation energies. Accordingly, we chose the pseudopotential for Lu from Ref. [27], and the **pslibrary** pseudopotentials for N and H [52]. We built supercells of $\text{LuH}_{3-x}\text{N}_y$ with structures up to 128 atoms and relaxed them using DFT-PBE. We performed variable-cell calculations for the relaxation without symmetry constraints, and perturbed all atoms slightly for the relaxation to break any symmetries that would prevent relaxation to a local minimum (see Figs. S1-S5). Each relaxed structure had remaining forces under $1\text{e-}5$ Ry/Bohr, and energy differences were under $1\text{e-}5$ Ry. The x-ray patterns were simulated using pymatgen [53] and use Cu-K α radiation for comparison with recent experiments [1]. We used wavefunction plane-wave cutoffs of 1000 eV but performed convergence tests up to 1154 eV on many of the structures and verified they yielded the same results. We generally used tight k-meshes corresponding to $0.13\text{ \AA}^{-1}$, but did convergence tests with more k-points as needed,

including on the vHs of $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (see Fig. S15). We also used the optimized tetrahedron method [54]. The **VESTA** code [55] was used for the visualizations of the ELF and ILDOS. ILDOS calculations performed integrations within a range of 5meV around E_F . We used **Quantum Espresso**'s default atomic projections for the PDOS calculations. Using the projector-augmented-wave (PAW) projectors reduces the hydrogen weight and implies N_p is the dominant term for the PBE calculations. However, the PAW projectors are zero outside of the PAW sphere and thus may underestimate contributions from dispersive orbitals like H_s . We also performed comparisons of the PBE functional with the vdW-DF3-opt2 functional [56] for many of the calculations and saw no meaningful changes. We performed DFT+U calculations with the U-values for Lu_d recommended in Ref. [52], which vetted the pseudopotentials and U-values with calculations on LuN using the same codes and functional we use. The ideal U-value is not tied to the atom, and in principle it should be structure-dependent. Nevertheless, we use a fixed U-value for Lu_d (except for the calculations shown in Fig. S11) as it is generally difficult to find the ideal U-value for each structure. Ref. [27] also recommends $U=5.5$ eV for Lu_f electrons but use of this value did not significantly change the electronic properties near E_F .

TABLE S1: Calculated total energies (eV/atom) of $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ type structures. The unrelaxed structures are all supercells of $\text{Fm}\bar{3}\text{m}$ LuH_3 with the appropriate N substitutions; all have lattice constant 9.89 Å (see Figs. S1, S3, S4, S5 for the relaxed structure parameters).

Structure	Lu-H-N			
	PBE (unrelaxed)	PBE (relaxed)	PBE+Ud (unrelaxed)	PBE+Ud (relaxed)
$\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ type A	-3697.7488	-3697.7729	-3696.0694	-3696.1091
$\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ type B	-3697.7610	-3697.7944	-3696.0768	-3696.1389
$\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ type C	-3697.7503	-3697.7823	-3696.0698	-3696.1081
$\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ type D	-3697.7487	-3697.7640	N/A	N/A
$\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{N}$ Spaced	-4728.7282	N/A	N/A	N/A
$\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{N}$ Paired	-4728.7137	N/A	N/A	N/A




Spacegroup: $\text{Fm}\bar{3}\text{m}$ (225)
 abc : 10.0389 10.0389 10.0389
 angles: 90.0 90.0 90.0

$\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (A)

Sites (128)					
#	SP	x	y	z	Wyckoff
0	Lu	0.2419	0	0	24e
1	Lu	0.25	0.25	0.25	8c
2	H	0	0.25	0.25	24d
3	H	0.5	0.5	0.5	4b
4	H	0.6235	0.6235	0.6235	32f
5	H	0.8666	0.8666	0.8666	32f
6	N	0	0	0	4a

FIG. S1: Structure A ($\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$), conventional unit cell parameters, and atomic positions.





Spacegroup: $\text{P}\bar{4}\text{m}2$ (115)
 abc : 7.1997 7.1997 5.1216
 angles: 90.0 90.0 90.0


$\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{21}\text{N}$ (B)

Sites (30)					
#	SP	x	y	z	Wyckoff
0	Lu	0.25	0.5	0.7560	4k
1	Lu	0.7364	0	0.7293	4j
2	H	0.6801	0	0.3204	4j
3	H	0.2396	0.2396	0	4h
4	H	0.7488	0.7488	0.5	4i
5	H	0.5	0.5	0.5	1c
6	H	0	0.5	0.0081	2g
7	H	0.8382	0.5	0.3427	4k
8	H	0	0	0.5	1d
9	H	0	0	0	1a
10	N	0.5	0.5	0	1b

FIG. S2: Structure B ($\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{21}\text{N}$), conventional cell parameters, and atomic positions. Our relaxed structure is close to that reported in Ref. [6].

FIG. S3: Structure B (Lu₈H₂₃N), conventional cell parameters, and atomic positions.FIG. S4: Structure C (Lu₈H₂₃N), conventional cell parameters, and atomic positions.

Lu ₈ H ₂₃ N (B)					
Sites (64)					
#	SP	x	y	z	Wyckoff
0	Lu	0.7600	0.6251	0.9960	4c
1	Lu	0.7321	0.6249	0.4882	4c
2	Lu	0.7567	0.8758	0.7532	4c
3	Lu	0.7659	0.8734	0.2571	4c
4	H	0.1769	0.6135	0.0316	4c
5	H	0.2620	0.6521	0.5342	4c
6	H	0.7080	0.6351	0.7700	4c
7	H	0.8356	0.6280	0.2093	4c
8	H	0.0060	0.7521	0.8778	4c
9	H	0.0140	0.7389	0.3684	4c
10	H	0	0.5	0.3791	2b
11	H	0	0.5	0.8695	2b
12	H	0	0	0.3718	2a
13	H	0	0	0.8910	2a
14	H	0.0045	0.7484	0.6424	4c
15	H	0.9556	0.7701	0.1017	4c
16	H	0	0.5	0.1219	2b
17	H	0	0.5	0.6298	2b
18	H	0	0	0.1167	2a
19	N	0	0	0.6335	2a



Spacegroup: P2₁2₁2₁ (18)
abc : 5.1124 10.0132 10.3722
angles: 90.0 90.0 90.0

FIG. S5: Structure D (Lu₈H₂₃N), conventional cell parameters, and atomic positions. The relaxation produced a small deformation away from 90° angles (89.9998), below the precision used here, so the structure is considered orthorhombic.




FIG. S6: Calculated x-ray diffraction patterns of predicted structures compared with the experimental results reported in Ref. [1] (Cu-K α radiation). There is broad agreement between theory and experiment for the principal diffraction peaks (green tick marks in the upper plot for the dominant phase in the experiment). On the other hand, the calculated patterns show the distinct splittings of the principal peaks and low-angle superlattice peaks that were beyond the 2θ range of the measurements. The red tick marks in the experimental plot correspond to a secondary phase tentatively identified as Lu(H,N) [1] or LuH [57]. The purple tick marks correspond to an additional minor phase (see Ref. [1]).




FIG. S7: Band structures of $\text{Fm}\bar{3}\text{m}$ LuH_3 (left) and $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (A) (right) calculated using DFT-PBE. The colored areas highlight various regions that shift fairly uniformly upon introducing N atoms, the incorporation of which gives rise to the additional (flat) bands.




FIG. S8: Top: PDOS of a 128 atom $Fm\bar{3}m$ supercell of LuH_3 calculated using DFT-PBE. Broadly similar results are presented in Ref. [1] which also used DFT-PBE but different pseudopotentials. Hydrogen states are mostly below E_F , as they tend to strongly hybridize with Lu_d orbitals. Bottom: band structure of $Fm\bar{3}m$ LuH_3 (primitive cell representation). Similar results were reported in Ref. [26] using the SCAN functional (inset), where the colored circles indicate comparable regions between the two calculations. Topological features such as flat band regions and Dirac cones are both seen.




FIG. S9: Comparison of the PDOS of $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (A-D) using DFT-PBE for unrelaxed (a-d) and relaxed (e-h) structures. Unrelaxed structures are based on supercells of the parent $\text{Fm}\bar{3}\text{m}$ LuH_3 structure with lattice constant $a = 4.983 \text{ \AA}$ (a) Structure A, with H_s^{oct} , H_s^{tet} , $\text{Lu}_{d_{t2g}}$ and $\text{Lu}_{d_{eg}}$ orbitals projected (b) Structure B, which is lower symmetry, the $\text{Lu}_{d_{t2g}}$ and $\text{Lu}_{d_{eg}}$ projections were not disentangled (c) Structure C (d) Structure D. Structural information of the relaxed unit cells is provided in Figs. S1 - S5.




FIG. S10: Detailed DOS and band structure near E_F calculated for the primitive cell representation of $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (A) using DFT-PBE+U, with $U=8.2$ eV on Lu_d . Dirac cones terminating near E_F at the K and W points are readily observed.




FIG. S11: Effect of U on the DOS (left) and PDOS (right) for $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (A). U is applied to the Lu_d orbitals. We denote "PM" (paramagnetic) in the bottom DOS because there is also a ferromagnetic solution with a similar DOS (very small splitting), but with energy lowered by less than 1 meV/atom. $U = 8.2$ eV is the recommended value in Ref. [27] for LuN. The recommended $U = 5.5$ eV on f-orbitals did not significantly change the states near E_F .




FIG. S12: PDOS of Lu₈H₂₃N (A). (a) PDOS for an expanded energy range, capturing the increased bandwidth of the Lu_d, H_s orbitals relative to Fm $\bar{3}$ m LuH₃ (see Fig. S8 (b) Detailed PDOS around the Lu_f orbital peak. (c) Detailed PDOS around E_F. Both DFT-PBE + U (see main text) and DFT-PBE produce a vHs, though relaxation weakens it and brings it away from E_F using DFT-PBE. The N atoms attract more Lu_d electrons than hydrogen, which drives the energy of occupied hydrogen states closer to E_F. Addition of N also has a hole-doping effect. Panel (c) shows that the vHs has primarily N_p, Lu_{d_{eg}} and H_s^{oct} character, with some smaller contributions from the other orbitals. We note here that different projection schemes (QE default atomic projections, vs using PAW projectors) disagree in the weight of the N-p orbitals at E_F for the pure DFT results. Here we use the default QE projections; the PAW projectors yields a higher N_p contribution and lower octahedral H_s contribution at E_F. These results do not change when using the vdW-DF3-opt2 functional [56].




FIG. S13: (a-d) Effects of different types and numbers of vacancies on the PDOS of $\text{Fm}\bar{3}\text{m}$ $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ (structure A), unrelaxed ($a = 9.975 \text{ \AA}$). With one vacancy the stoichiometry becomes $\text{Lu}_{32}\text{H}_{91}\text{N}$. The two types of vacancies considered are shown in the insets of (a,b). While Sun et al. [6] showed that replacing H with tetrahedral vacancies in $\text{Lu}_8\text{H}_{23}\text{N}$ can stabilize the structure; in contrast we study the effects of octahedral vacancies. The location and shape of the vHs is changed based on the ordering and number of vacancies.




FIG. S14: Uncorrected $R-T$ data of Fig. S15 for nitrogen-doped lutetium hydride at 1.5 GPa in Ref. [1] (left), compared to relative $R-T$ results for $\text{LaH}_{2.70}$ at ambient pressure [58] (right).




FIG. S15: Mesh dependence of the vHs near E_F calculated for Lu₈H₂₃N (A) with DFT-PBE+U.