

Building Extractive Question Answering System to Support Human-AI Health Coaching Model for Sleep Domain

Iva Bojic¹ and Qi Chwen Ong¹ and Shafiq Joty^{2,3} and Josip Car^{1,4}

¹Centre for Population Health Sciences, Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, NTU, Singapore

²School of Computer Science and Engineering, NTU, Singapore

³Salesforce AI Research, USA

⁴Department of Primary Care and Public Health, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, UK

1 Introduction

Non-communicable diseases are the leading cause of deaths globally, imposing a huge burden on healthcare systems (Organization et al., 2014). This leads to the increasing emphasis on primary prevention, which seeks to avert the onset of diseases by addressing their causes and risk factors, such as altering unhealthy behaviors (Kisling and Das, 2021). Health coaching has emerged as an effective strategy for primary prevention of chronic conditions by facilitating lifestyle behavior change (Yang et al., 2020). With assistance from Question Answering (QA) system, health coaches could be empowered with evidence-based information, streamlining the task of helping clients to adopt new practices.

An innovative human-Artificial Intelligence (AI) health coaching model incorporating QA systems holds the potential to revolutionize the health coaching domain and the practice of preventive healthcare. This model involves the interaction of three components: a health coach, a client, and a QA system (see Fig. 1). The coaching process takes place online (e.g., through text messaging). If needed, the coach can consult the QA system for assistance in responding to *factoid* questions posed by the client (Wang et al., 2006). In this way, human coaching is supported by the evidence-based knowledge supplied by the QA system. This not only accelerates the health coaches' response time but also ensures that the information provided by the health coach is grounded in evidence.




Figure 1: Human-AI health coaching model.

This paper outlines the creation of a domain-specific extractive QA system employed as the AI component within a Human-AI health coaching model. Generally, an extractive QA system composes two phases: a passage *retrieval* followed by a passage *reader* (Chen et al., 2017). For a given question, the retrieval initially extracts a set of pertinent passages from a knowledge base (e.g., a text corpus), after which the reader selects an answer (for instance, a text span) from one of those passages (Zhu et al., 2021). Although generative large language models like ChatGPT show great ability in QA, the lack of references and hallucinations limit their utilizations in healthcare at present (Zhang et al., 2023). *Domain-specific* systems typically address questions confined to a single area of expertise, such as medicine (Kia et al., 2022).

2 Methods

In the pursuit of developing a domain-specific extractive QA system to bolster a Human-AI health coaching model, we manually assembled a dataset called *SleepQA*, comprising 7,005 passages focused on the sleep domain (Bojic et al., 2022). This dataset featured 5,000 expert-annotated labels¹. Subsequently, we fine-tuned various domain-specific *BERT* models on our *SleepQA* dataset and conducted a comprehensive assessment of the resulting end-to-end extractive QA system using both automatic and human evaluation methods.

To further improve the QA system's performance, we introduced a data-centric framework aimed at refining domain-specific extractive QA datasets². Our proposed framework consists of methods for (i) improving the selection of negative passages during the retrieval fine-tuning, and (ii) question reformulation through paraphrasing,

¹Code and dataset are available at:

<https://github.com/IvaBojic/SleepQA>

²Code and datasets are available at:

<https://github.com/IvaBojic/framework>

word replacement, and back translation (Bojic et al., 2023). Using the proposed framework, we first produced new datasets by employing the four aforementioned data quality enhancement methods. Subsequently, we fine-tuned both the retrieval and reader models individually on each new dataset. Following this, we fine-tuned the retrieval/reader models continuously, commencing from the best individual checkpoint, using the enhanced datasets that demonstrated progress in the initial step. Lastly, we generated new augmented datasets by merging all datasets that exhibited fine-tuning enhancements in the initial step.

3 Results

The initial fine-tuned QA system consisted of fine-tuned *PubMedBERT*³ retrieval model and *BioBERT BioASQ*⁴ reader model. Automatic evaluation of the initial fine-tuned QA system on test labels demonstrated that the proportion of accurate predictions, specifically those that precisely align with the correct answers (known as an Exact Match or EM), reached 24%, as opposed to the 30% achieved by the baseline QA system (see Table 1). The baseline QA system refers to the combination of *Lucene BM25* (Lin et al., 2021) used as a baseline retrieval model and *BERT SQuAD2 QA* (Rajpurkar et al., 2018) as a baseline reader model.

Table 1: Automatic evaluation of two extractive QA systems on test labels using EM (Bojic et al., 2022).

Extractive QA system name	EM
<i>Lucene BM25</i> + <i>BERT SQuAD2 QA</i>	0.30
<i>PubMedBERT</i> + <i>BioBERT BioASQ</i>	0.24

Although our initial fine-tuned QA system did not outperform the baseline on the test labels, results from human evaluation of the two QA systems on real-world questions suggested otherwise. Real-world questions are sleep-related questions posed by university students, which mimic the types of questions that clients would ask their sleep health coaches in real life. The findings presented in (Bojic et al., 2022) showed that our initial fine-tuned QA system outperformed the baseline QA system in almost 40% of cases in its intended purpose,

³<https://huggingface.co/microsoft/BioMedNLP-PubMedBERT-base-uncased-abstract-fulltext>

⁴<https://huggingface.co/gdario/biobert-bioasq>

which is accurately providing sleep health coaches with the appropriate responses to sleep-related inquiries they might receive from their clients.

In order to increase the performance of our initial fine-tuned QA system on the test labels as well, we introduced various data quality enhancement methods. The best method to increase the performance of retrieval fine-tuning was *word substitution* while fine-tuning of the reader model resulted in the best results when using *augmentation*. The overall relative increase in performance of the entire enhanced fine-tuned QA system, compared to the initial one, was 17% (i.e. EM = 0.28). Although the relative increase was not negligible, the enhanced fine-tuned QA system still did not outperform the baseline QA system on the test labels.

4 Discussion and Conclusions

This paper outlines the creation of a domain-specific extractive QA system, tailored for the sleep domain, utilizing a two-stage approach. First, we fine-tuned our initial QA system using 5,000 experts-annotated labels from the *SleepQA* dataset. Second, we enhanced the initial *SleepQA* dataset by employing a data-centric approach and subsequently fine-tuned the QA system on the enhanced dataset. The enhanced QA system was then integrated into a Human-AI health coaching model.

Automatic evaluation showed that both fine-tuned QA systems did not surpass the performance of the baseline QA system. Despite employing data-centric methods to reformulate manually collected questions, it is likely that the similarities remain between initial and reformulated questions. The superiority of the *Lucene BM25* approach over all fine-tuned retrievals could be attributed to this.

Nevertheless, human evaluation indicated that the initial fine-tuned QA system outperformed the baseline, displaying significant improvement in domain-specific natural language processing on real-world sleep-related questions. Human evaluation of enhanced fine-tuned QA system was done during a pilot Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT). Participants in the intervention arm interacted with health coaches who were supported by the enhanced fine-tuned QA system, while in the control group, they directly interacted with the QA system without the intermediate interaction with a coach. Our future work includes analyzing the 5,000 questions and participants’ feedback on the provided answers collected from this pilot RCT.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge the Accelerating Creativity and Excellence (ACE) Award (NTU-ACE2020-05) and center funding from Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. Josip Car’s post at Imperial College London is supported by the NIHR NW London Applied Research Collaboration.

References

- Iva Bojic, Josef Halim, Verena Suharman, Sreeja Tar, Qi Chwen Ong, Duy Phung, Mathieu Ravaut, Shafiq Joty, and Josip Car. 2023. A data-centric framework for improving domain-specific machine reading comprehension datasets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.00483*.
- Iva Bojic, Qi Chwen Ong, Megh Thakkar, Esha Kamran, Irving Yu Le Shua, Jaime Rei Ern Pang, Jessica Chen, Vaaruni Nayak, Shafiq Joty, and Josip Car. 2022. Sleepqa: A health coaching dataset on sleep for extractive question answering. In *Machine Learning for Health*, pages 199–217. PMLR.
- Danqi Chen, Adam Fisch, Jason Weston, and Antoine Bordes. 2017. Reading Wikipedia to answer open-domain questions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.00051*.
- Mahsa Abazari Kia, Aygul Garifullina, Mathias Kern, Jon Chamberlain, and Shoaib Jameel. 2022. Adaptable closed-domain question answering using contextualized CNN-attention models and question expansion. *IEEE Access*, 10:45080–45092.
- Lisa A Kisling and Joe M Das. 2021. Prevention strategies. In *StatPearls [Internet]*. StatPearls Publishing.
- Jimmy Lin, Xueguang Ma, Sheng-Chieh Lin, Jheng-Hong Yang, Ronak Pradeep, and Rodrigo Nogueira. 2021. Pyserini: A Python toolkit for reproducible information retrieval research with sparse and dense representations. In *Proceedings of the 44th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR 2021)*, pages 2356–2362.
- World Health Organization et al. 2014. *Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014*. WHO/NMH/NVI/15.1. World Health Organization.
- Pranav Rajpurkar, Robin Jia, and Percy Liang. 2018. Know what you don’t know: Unanswerable questions for SQuAD. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.03822*.
- Mengqiu Wang et al. 2006. A survey of answer extraction techniques in factoid question answering. *Computational Linguistics*, 1(1):1–14.
- Juan Yang, Brent A Bauer, Stephanie A Lindeen, Adam I Perlman, Kasey R Boehmer, Manisha Salinas, Susanne M Cutshall, et al. 2020. Current trends in health coaching for chronic conditions: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine*, 99(30).
- Muru Zhang, Ofir Press, William Merrill, Alisa Liu, and Noah A Smith. 2023. How language model hallucinations can snowball. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13534*.
- Fengbin Zhu, Wenqiang Lei, Chao Wang, Jianming Zheng, Soujanya Poria, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2021. Retrieving and reading: A comprehensive survey on open-domain question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00774*.