

The effect of gluon condensate on the entanglement entropy in a holographic model

Xun Chen,^{1,*} Bo Yu,¹ Peng-Cheng Chu,^{2,3,†} and Xiao-Hua Li^{1,‡}

¹*School of Nuclear Science and Technology,*

University of South China, Hengyang 421001, China

²*The Research Center for Theoretical Physics, Science School,*

Qingdao University of Technology, Qingdao 266033, China

³*The Research Center of Theoretical Physics,*

Qingdao University of Technology, Qingdao 266033, China

Abstract

The effect of gluon condensate on the holographic entanglement entropy is investigated in an Einstein-Dilaton model at zero and finite temperature. There is a critical length for the difference of entanglement entropy between the connected and disconnected surfaces in this model, which is often regarded as a signal of phase transition. With the increase of gluon condensate, the critical length becomes small, which means the confinement becomes strong at zero temperature. At finite temperatures, results show that the effect of gluon condensate on the critical length is qualitatively consistent with the case of zero temperature. However, the temperature will slightly increase the critical length for a fixed gluon condensate, which indicates the temperature will contribute to the deconfinement of the system.

* chenxunhep@qq.com

† kyois@126.com

‡ lixiaohuaphysics@126.com

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum Chromodynamics(QCD) phase transition is an active research frontier of nuclear physics. Chiral symmetry of QCD is broken for finite quark mass and gradually restored with the increase of temperature. It is the so-called chiral phase transition. On the other hand, quarks are confined in hadrons at low temperature, while the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) forms with the deconfined quarks from the hadronic matter at high temperature. It is believed that the condensation of extended structures, such as gluon rings or vortices, is relevant to the understanding of the confinement process[1]. The relation between gluon condensate and deconfinement phase transition has been widely studied in various papers[2–5].

Since the phase transition is believed to be a strongly coupled problem, the gauge/gravity duality(holographic QCD) has become one of the powerful tools. Lots of phase structures and strongly coupled problems have been discussed in holographic methods in recent decades[6–38]. Dilaton field is essential to mimic the QCD properties in holographic models[39, 40]. In holography, the gluon condensate is dual to the dilaton field on the gravity side. The gluon condensate was proposed in [41] as a measure for non-perturbative physics in QCD at zero temperature and widely studied at finite temperature[4, 42–44]. Moreover, lattice results show that the gluon condensate is non-zero at high temperature and its value drastically changes near T_c (the critical temperature of the deconfinement transition) regardless of the number of quark flavors. gluon condensate and its dual geometry have been proposed in some early papers[45–47]. In recent years, Refs.[48–55] have investigated the effect of gluon condensate on meson spectra, heavy quark potential, imaginary potential, entropic destruction, Schwinger effect, energy loss, etc.

More than a decade ago, a holographic formula for the entanglement entropy was proposed by Shinsei Ryu and Tadashi Takayanagi[56, 57](see Refs.[58–60] for a review). One of the applications for holographic entanglement entropy is to employ the entanglement entropy to detect the confinement/deconfinement transition of gauge theories[61–73]. More recently, the holographic entanglement has been applied to investigate the property of critical endpoint(CEP) and QCD phase transition[67, 74–82].

In this paper, we mainly investigate the relations among deconfinement phase transition, gluon condensate and entanglement entropy in holographic framework. The rest of this paper

is organized as follows. In Sec.2, we give a review of the Einstein-Dilaton model. In Sec.3, the effect of gluon condensate on holographic entanglement entropy and phase transition is discussed at vanishing temperature. In Sec.4, we investigate the effect of gluon condensate on holographic entanglement entropy and phase transition at non-vanishing temperature. At last, we make a summary in Sec.5.

II. A SHORT REVIEW OF THE MODEL

We start from an Einstein-Dilaton action[39, 48–50, 54]

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^5x \sqrt{G} \left(-\mathcal{R} + 2\Lambda + \frac{1}{2} \partial_M \phi \partial^M \phi \right), \quad (1)$$

where κ^2 is the five-dimensional Newton constant, Λ is a negative cosmological constant($\Lambda = -\frac{6}{R^2}$) and R is the curvature radius. Unlike the usual holographic model with a dilaton potential, we can investigate the toy model to obtain qualitative results of QCD in this paper since it contains the back reaction of gluon with the background metric. The Einstein equation and the equation of motion(EoM) for the scalar field are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} G_{MN} \mathcal{R} + G_{MN} \Lambda &= \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \left[\partial_M \phi \partial_N \phi - \frac{1}{2} G_{MN} \partial_P \phi \partial^P \phi \right], \\ 0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \partial_M \sqrt{G} G^{MN} \partial_N \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

There exist two solutions for the EoM. One is a dilaton wall solution, i.e. a deformation of AdS spacetime. It corresponds to the confining phase with gluon condensate at vanishing temperature. The other one is a dilaton black hole solution, i.e. a deformation of the Schwarzschild-type AdS black hole with a naked singularity at the horizon. The metric of dilaton wall solution has this form:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{R^2}{z^2} \left(\sqrt{1 - c^2 z^8} \delta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + dz^2 \right), \\ \phi(z) &= \phi_0 + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \log \left(\frac{1 + cz^4}{1 - cz^4} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here ϕ_0 and c are integration constants, and z is the radial direction. Near the UV boundary, the perturbative expansion of the dilaton field becomes

$$\phi(z) = \phi_0 + \sqrt{6} c z^4 + \dots \quad (4)$$

We can defined $c = \frac{1}{z_c^4}$, where z_c behaves as an IR cutoff. c can be fixed by the lightest glueball mass or heavy quarkonium mass[49]. According to the AdS/CFT dictionary, the solution of classical equation of motion for a scalar field ϕ corresponding to an operator $\mathcal{O}$ with dimension Δ has the following form near the 4D boundary $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$\phi(x, z) \rightarrow z^{4-\Delta} [\phi_0(x) + \mathcal{O}(z^2)] + z^\Delta \left[\frac{\langle \mathcal{O}(x) \rangle}{2\Delta - 4} + \mathcal{O}(z^2) \right], \quad (5)$$

where $\phi_0(x)$ acts as a source for $\mathcal{O}(x)$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}(x) \rangle$ denotes the corresponding condensate[83–85]. The constant term is a source for the gluon condensate operator $\text{Tr } G^2$ and the coefficient of the normalizable mode gives the gluon condensate which comes from Ref.[39]

$$\langle \text{Tr } G^2 \rangle = \frac{8\sqrt{3} (N_c^2 - 1)}{\pi} \frac{1}{z_c^4}, \quad (6)$$

where we used $\frac{1}{\kappa^2} = \frac{4(N_c^2 - 1)}{\pi^2 R^3}$ and N_c is the color number. In this work, we take $\phi_0 = 0$ for qualitative analysis. The next solution is the dilaton black hole background. We have

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} (A d\vec{x}^2 + B dt^2 + dz^2), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (1 + fz^4)^{(f+a)/2f} (1 - fz^4)^{(f-a)/2f}, \\ B &= (1 + fz^4)^{(f-3a)/2f} (1 - fz^4)^{(f+3a)/2f}, \\ f^2 &= a^2 + c^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We can observe that this dilaton black hole solution becomes the AdS black hole solution when $c = 0$, and it reduces to the dilaton-wall background with $a = 0$. Following Ref.[49], we have $z_h = 1/a^{1/4}$ and $z_f = f^{-1/4}$, which are the horizon and position of the IR cutoff respectively. The temperature is related to $a = (\pi T)^4/4$.

III. THE EFFECT OF GLUON CONDENSATE ON HOLOGRAPHIC ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY AT VANISHING TEMPERATURE

In this section, we investigate the effect of gluon condensate on holographic entanglement entropy at vanishing temperature and show some numerical results. We consider a quantum mechanical system which is described by the density operator ρ_{tot} and divided into a

subsystem $\mathcal{A}$ and its complement $\mathcal{B}$. The entanglement entropy of A is defined as the Von Neumann entropy

$$S_{\text{EE}} := -\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho_{\mathcal{A}} \ln \rho_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad (9)$$

where $\rho_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{B}} \rho_{\text{tot}}$ is the reduced density matrix and the density matrix of the pure ground state $|\Psi\rangle$ is $\rho_{\text{tot}} = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$. According to original Ref.[56, 57], the holographic dual of this quantity for a CFT_d on $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$ is

$$S_{\text{HEE}} = \frac{\text{Area}(\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})}{4G_{(d+1)}}. \quad (10)$$

Here $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the static minimal surface in AdS_{d+1} with the boundary $\partial\gamma_{\mathcal{A}} = \partial\mathcal{A}$ and $G_{(d+1)}$ is the $d+1$ dimensional Newton constant. We assume a fixed strip shape on the boundary for the entanglement region

$$\mathcal{A}: \quad x_1 \in [-l/2, l/2], \quad x_2, x_3 \in [-\infty, +\infty].$$

Then the minimal area of $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$, which is proportional to entanglement entropy of subsystem A, is obtained by minimizing the following area

$$S_A^{(c)} = \frac{1}{4G_5} \int d^3x \sqrt{g_{\text{in}}}, \quad (11)$$

where g_{in} is induced metric on $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$. A general form of the metric is assumed as

$$ds^2 = -f_1(z)dt^2 + f_2(z)dz^2 + f_3(z)d\vec{x}^2. \quad (12)$$

From (11) and (12), we can derive that

$$S_A^{(c)} = \frac{V_2}{4G_5} \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} dx_1 \sqrt{f_3^3(z) + f_3^2(z)f_2(z)z'^2}, \quad (13)$$

where V_2 is the area of the two-dimensional surface of x_2 and x_3 and $z' = \frac{dz}{dx_1}$. The above area is not explicitly dependent on x_1 , so the corresponding Hamiltonian is a constant of motion

$$\frac{f_3^2(z)}{\sqrt{f_3(z) + f_2(z)z'^2}} = \text{const} = f_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(z_*), \quad (14)$$

where z_* is the maximal value of z , namely $z(x=0) = z_*$ and $z'(x_1=0) = 0$. Thus, from (14), we can get

$$z' = \sqrt{\frac{f_3(z)}{f_2(z)}} \sqrt{\frac{f_3^3(z)}{f_3^3(z_*)} - 1}. \quad (15)$$




FIG. 1. A schematic diagram of connected configuration and disconnected configuration.

The relation between l and z_* can be obtained as

$$l = 2 \int_0^{z_*} \sqrt{\frac{f_2(z)}{f_3(z)}} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{\frac{f_3^3(z)}{f_3^3(z_*)} - 1}}. \quad (16)$$

At last, from (14) and (15), we can see that

$$S_A^{(c)} = \frac{V_2}{2G_5} \int_0^{z_*} \frac{f_3^{\frac{5}{2}}(z) f_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)}{\sqrt{f_3^3(z) - f_3^3(z_*)}} dz. \quad (17)$$

Another configuration we will consider here is a disconnected solution. This configuration is described by two disconnected surfaces located at $x_1 = l/2$ and extended in all other spatial directions. We get the following expression for the disconnected solution

$$S_A^{(d)} = \frac{V_2}{2G_5} \int_0^{z_c} f_3(z) f_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(z) dz. \quad (18)$$

The connected and disconnected configuration are shown in Fig.1 and define

$$\Delta S(l) \equiv \frac{2G_5}{V_2} (S_A^{(c)} - S_A^{(d)}). \quad (19)$$

Next, we show the numerical results in Fig. 2. The left panel of Fig. 2 shows the strip length is increasing with z_* . There is a maximum value l_{max} , beyond which the connected tangling surface doesn't exist and only the disconnected entangling surface remains. When increasing the gluon condensate, the maximum of strip length becomes small. We can also




FIG. 2. (a) Strip length L as a function of z_* for $c = 0.01\text{GeV}^4$ (black solid line), 0.1GeV^4 (blue dashed line), 0.2GeV^4 (red dot-dashed line). (b) The difference of entanglement entropy between the connected and disconnected surface as a function of strip length L for $c = 0.01\text{GeV}^4$ (black solid line), 0.1GeV^4 (blue dashed line), 0.2GeV^4 (red dot-dashed line). The units of L and z_* is GeV^{-1} .

see that ΔS will change the sign beyond a critical length l_c , which is smaller than l_{max} . That means the disconnected surface dominates when $l > l_c$. Thus, there happens a phase transition at $l = l_c$, which is the confinement/deconfinement phase transition in dual gauge theory. With the increase of gluon condensate, the critical length $l = l_c$ becomes small. It indicates that the confined phase will dominate for a large gluon condensate at vanishing temperature. Thus, judging from the left shift of critical length $l = l_c$ at large gluon condensate, we can infer that large gluon condensate contributes the confinement.

IV. THE EFFECT OF GLUON CONDENSATE ON HOLOGRAPHIC ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY AT FINITE TEMPERATURE

In this section, we will turn to finite temperature and see the difference with previous case. The entanglement entropy of connected surface is the same as (17) at finite temperature. But the disconnected surface is a little bit different. Considering

$$x = -\frac{l}{2}, \quad z = z_f, \quad x = \frac{l}{2}, \quad (20)$$

we can get [65, 74]

$$\hat{S}_A^{(d)} = \frac{V_2}{4G_5} \left(2 \int_0^{z_f} dz f_3(z) \sqrt{f_2(z)} + l \sqrt{f_3^3(z_f)} \right). \quad (21)$$




FIG. 3. (a) Strip length L as a function of z_* for $c = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^4$ (black solid line), 0.1 GeV^4 (blue dashed line), 0.2 GeV^4 (red dot-dashed line) at a fixed $T = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$. (b) The difference of entanglement entropy between the connected and disconnected surface as a function of strip length L for $c = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^4$ (black solid line), 0.1 GeV^4 (blue dashed line), 0.2 GeV^4 (red dot-dashed line) at a fixed $T = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$. The units of L and z_* is GeV^{-1} .




FIG. 4. (a) Strip length L as a function of z_* for $T = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$ (black solid line), 0.2 GeV (blue dashed line), 0.3 GeV (red dot-dashed line) at a fixed $c = 0.2 \text{ GeV}^4$. (b) The difference of entanglement entropy between the connected and disconnected surface as a function of strip length L for $T = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$ (black solid line), 0.2 GeV (blue dashed line), 0.3 GeV (red dot-dashed line) for a fixed $c = 0.2 \text{ GeV}^4$. The units of L and z_* is GeV^{-1} .

Similarly, the difference of entanglement entropy can be defined as

$$\hat{\Delta}S \equiv \frac{2G_5}{V_2} \left(S_A^{(c)} - \hat{S}_A^{(d)} \right). \quad (22)$$

We show the numerical results in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 for fixed temperature and gluon condensate, respectively. The Fig. 3 also shows strip length is increasing with z_* for a fixed temperature. Similar as previous case, the critical length l_c will shift to the left, which again




FIG. 5. (a) The difference of entanglement entropy ΔS as a function of gluon condensate c for $T = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$ (black solid line), 0.2 GeV (blue dashed line), 0.3 GeV (red dot-dashed line). (b) The difference of entanglement entropy ΔS as a function of temperature T for $c = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^4$ (black solid line), 0.1 GeV^4 (blue dashed line), 0.2 GeV^4 (red dot-dashed line).

implies the confined phase tends to dominate when we increase the gluon condensate.

In Fig. 4, we fix the value of gluon condensate and change the temperature. It is found that the critical length will shift to the right. As we already know, the increasing temperature contributes the deconfined phase. Thus, this result confirms that the difference of entanglement entropy can be an useful probe to detect the phase of the system. Besides, the qualitative behavior of temperature in this model is consistent with the Refs.[65, 74].

To be more clear, we show the the difference of entanglement entropy as a function of temperature and gluon condensate in Fig.5. When we increase the value of gluon condensate, the difference of entanglement entropy will increase. It means the connected configuration will dominate with the increase of gluon condensate, which is in favor of the confined phase. The critical length will become smaller at the same time. When we increase the value of temperature, the difference of entanglement entropy will decrease, which means the critical length will become larger. Deconfined phase will dominate with the increase of the temperature.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We investigate the relation among gluon condensate, holographic entanglement entropy and phase transition in this paper. An Einstein-Dilaton model has been used and the dilaton field is related to the gluon condensate. We first calculate the vanishing tempera-

ture case. It is found that the difference of entanglement entropy will change sign and the phase transition from a connected to disconnected surface happens, which is dual to confinement/deconfinement phase transition. For large gluon condensate, the critical length will shift to the left, which characterizes the system tends to be confined. At finite temperature, the effect of gluon condensate on the difference of entanglement entropy is the same as the case of vanishing temperature. For a fixed gluon condensate, we investigate the effect of temperature on the critical length. The results show the critical length will shift to the right with increase of temperature, which means the system will tend to be deconfined. Thus, we may see that the holographic entanglement entropy can be regarded as an useful probe for the confinement/deconfinement phase. Further study in a realistic holographic model which captures more properties of QCD will be pursued in future research.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Zi-qiang Zhang for useful discussion. This work is supported by the Research Foundation of Education Bureau of Hunan Province, China (Grant No. 21B0402) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province of China under Grants No. 2022JJ40344 and the NSFC under Grants No. 12175100, No. 11975132.

REFERENCES

-
- [1] P. Houston and D. Pottinger, [Z. Phys. C **3**, 83 \(1979\)](#).
 - [2] E.-k. Wang and J.-r. Li, [HEPNP **13**, 1003 \(1989\)](#).
 - [3] M. Baldo, P. Castorina, and D. Zappala, [Nucl. Phys. A **743**, 3 \(2004\)](#), [arXiv:nucl-th/0311038](#).
 - [4] G. E. Brown, J. W. Holt, C.-H. Lee, and M. Rho, [Phys. Rept. **439**, 161 \(2007\)](#), [arXiv:nucl-th/0608023](#).
 - [5] P. Castorina and M. Mannarelli, [Phys. Rev. C **75**, 054901 \(2007\)](#), [arXiv:hep-ph/0701206](#).
 - [6] C. P. Herzog, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 091601 \(2007\)](#), [arXiv:hep-th/0608151](#).

- [7] P. Colangelo, F. Giannuzzi, and S. Nicotri, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 035015 (2011), [arXiv:1008.3116 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [8] Y. Kim, T. Misumi, and I. J. Shin, (2009), [arXiv:0911.3205 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [9] N. Evans, A. Gebauer, M. Magou, and K.-Y. Kim, *J. Phys. G* **39**, 054005 (2012), [arXiv:1109.2633 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] O. DeWolfe, S. S. Gubser, and C. Rosen, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 086005 (2011), [arXiv:1012.1864 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] D. Li, S. He, M. Huang, and Q.-S. Yan, *JHEP* **09**, 041 (2011), [arXiv:1103.5389 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] N. Evans, K.-Y. Kim, M. Magou, Y. Seo, and S.-J. Sin, *JHEP* **09**, 045 (2012), [arXiv:1204.5640 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [13] R.-G. Cai, S. He, and D. Li, *JHEP* **03**, 033 (2012), [arXiv:1201.0820 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [14] R.-G. Cai, S. Chakraborty, S. He, and L. Li, *JHEP* **02**, 068 (2013), [arXiv:1209.4512 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [15] T. Alho, M. Järvinen, K. Kajantie, E. Kiritsis, and K. Tuominen, *JHEP* **01**, 093 (2013), [arXiv:1210.4516 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [16] Y. Yang and P.-H. Yuan, *JHEP* **12**, 161 (2015), [arXiv:1506.05930 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] R. Critelli, R. Rougemont, S. I. Finazzo, and J. Noronha, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 125019 (2016), [arXiv:1606.09484 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [18] M.-W. Li, Y. Yang, and P.-H. Yuan, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 066013 (2017), [arXiv:1703.09184 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] K. Chelabi, Z. Fang, M. Huang, D. Li, and Y.-L. Wu, *JHEP* **04**, 036 (2016), [arXiv:1512.06493 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] D. Li, M. Huang, Y. Yang, and P.-H. Yuan, *JHEP* **02**, 030 (2017), [arXiv:1610.04618 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] D. Li and M. Huang, *JHEP* **02**, 042 (2017), [arXiv:1610.09814 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [22] Z. Li, Y. Chen, D. Li, and M. Huang, *Chin. Phys. C* **42**, 013103 (2018), [arXiv:1706.02238 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [23] J. Knaute, R. Yaresko, and B. Kämpfer, *Phys. Lett. B* **778**, 419 (2018), [arXiv:1702.06731 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [24] U. Gürsoy, M. Jarvinen, and G. Nijs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 242002 (2018), [arXiv:1707.00872 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] U. Gürsoy, M. Järvinen, G. Nijs, and J. F. Pedraza, *JHEP* **04**, 071 (2019), [Erratum: *JHEP* **09**, 059 (2020)], [arXiv:1811.11724 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [26] X. Chen, D. Li, and M. Huang, *Chin. Phys. C* **43**, 023105 (2019), [arXiv:1810.02136 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [27] X. Chen, D. Li, D. Hou, and M. Huang, *JHEP* **03**, 073 (2020), [arXiv:1908.02000 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [28] X. Chen, S.-Q. Feng, Y.-F. Shi, and Y. Zhong, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 066015 (2018), [arXiv:1710.00465 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [29] X. Cao, H. Liu, D. Li, and G. Ou, *Chin. Phys. C* **44**, 083106 (2020), [arXiv:2001.02888 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [30] D. M. Rodrigues, D. Li, E. Folco Capossoli, and H. Boschi-Filho, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 066022 (2021), [arXiv:2010.06762 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] I. Y. Aref'eva, K. Rannu, and P. Slepov, *JHEP* **07**, 161 (2021), [arXiv:2011.07023 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] X. Chen, L. Zhang, D. Li, D. Hou, and M. Huang, *JHEP* **07**, 132 (2021), [arXiv:2010.14478 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [33] Z.-R. Zhu, S.-Q. Feng, Y.-F. Shi, and Y. Zhong, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 126001 (2019), [arXiv:1901.09304 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [34] Z.-R. Zhu, D.-f. Hou, and X. Chen, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 550 (2020), [arXiv:1912.05806 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [35] Z.-R. Zhu, Y.-K. Liu, and D. Hou, (2021), [arXiv:2108.05148 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [36] D. Giataganas, U. Gürsoy, and J. F. Pedraza, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 121601 (2018), [arXiv:1708.05691 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] T. Nakas and K. S. Rigatos, *JHEP* **12**, 157 (2020), [arXiv:2010.00025 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [38] R. Abt, J. Erdmenger, N. Evans, and K. S. Rigatos, *JHEP* **11**, 160 (2019), [arXiv:1907.09489 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] C. Csaki and M. Reece, *JHEP* **05**, 062 (2007), [arXiv:hep-ph/0608266](#).
- [40] S. S. Gubser and A. Nellore, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 086007 (2008), [arXiv:0804.0434 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [41] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **147**, 385 (1979).
- [42] S. H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. D* **40**, 2484 (1989).
- [43] M. D'Elia, A. Di Giacomo, and E. Meggiolaro, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 114504 (2003), [arXiv:hep-lat/0205018](#).
- [44] D. E. Miller, *Phys. Rept.* **443**, 55 (2007), [arXiv:hep-ph/0608234](#).
- [45] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **449**, 39 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9812017](#).
- [46] S. S. Gubser, (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9902155](#).
- [47] A. Kehagias and K. Sfetsos, *Phys. Lett. B* **454**, 270 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9902125](#).

- [48] Y. Ko, B.-H. Lee, and C. Park, *JHEP* **04**, 037 (2010), [arXiv:0912.5274 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [49] Y. Kim, B.-H. Lee, C. Park, and S.-J. Sin, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 105016 (2009), [arXiv:0808.1143 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [50] Y.-Q. Zhao, Z.-R. Zhu, and X. Chen, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **56**, 57 (2020), [arXiv:1909.04994 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [51] Z.-q. Zhang and D.-f. Hou, *Phys. Lett. B* **803**, 135301 (2020).
- [52] Z.-Q. Zhang, X. Zhu, and D.-F. Hou, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 026017 (2020), [arXiv:2001.02321 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [53] Z.-q. Zhang, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 992 (2019).
- [54] Y. Kim, B.-H. Lee, C. Park, and S.-J. Sin, *JHEP* **09**, 105 (2007), [arXiv:hep-th/0702131](#).
- [55] S. S. Afonin, *Phys. Lett. B* **809**, 135780 (2020), [arXiv:2005.01550 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [56] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 181602 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0603001](#).
- [57] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *JHEP* **08**, 045 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0605073](#).
- [58] T. Nishioka, S. Ryu, and T. Takayanagi, *J. Phys. A* **42**, 504008 (2009), [arXiv:0905.0932 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [59] M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, *Holographic Entanglement Entropy*, Vol. 931 (Springer, 2017) [arXiv:1609.01287 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [60] B. Chen, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **71**, 837 (2019).
- [61] T. Nishioka and T. Takayanagi, *JHEP* **01**, 090 (2007), [arXiv:hep-th/0611035](#).
- [62] I. R. Klebanov, D. Kutasov, and A. Murugan, *Nucl. Phys. B* **796**, 274 (2008), [arXiv:0709.2140 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [63] I. Bah, A. Faraggi, L. A. Pando Zayas, and C. A. Terrero-Escalante, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **24**, 2703 (2009), [arXiv:0710.5483 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [64] I. Bah, L. A. Pando Zayas, and C. A. Terrero-Escalante, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **27**, 1250048 (2012), [arXiv:0809.2912 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [65] M. Ali-Akbari and M. Lezgi, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 086014 (2017), [arXiv:1706.04335 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [66] M. M. Anber and B. J. Kolligs, *JHEP* **08**, 175 (2018), [arXiv:1804.01956 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [67] P. Jain, S. S. Jena, and S. Mahapatra, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 086016 (2023), [arXiv:2209.15355 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [68] R. da Rocha, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 026014 (2022), [arXiv:2111.01244 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [69] Z.-q. Zhang and X. Zhu, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **55**, 18 (2019).

- [70] M. Rahimi, M. Ali-Akbari, and M. Lezgi, *Phys. Lett. B* **771**, 583 (2017), [arXiv:1610.01835 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [71] M. Baggioli, B. Padhi, P. W. Phillips, and C. Setty, *JHEP* **07**, 049 (2018), [arXiv:1805.01470 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [72] M. Baggioli and D. Giataganas, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 026009 (2021), [arXiv:2007.07273 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [73] M. Baggioli, Y. Liu, and X.-M. Wu, *JHEP* **05**, 221 (2023), [arXiv:2302.11096 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [74] D. Dudal and S. Mahapatra, *JHEP* **07**, 120 (2018), [arXiv:1805.02938 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [75] S. Mahapatra, *JHEP* **04**, 137 (2019), [arXiv:1903.05927 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [76] I. Y. Aref'eva, *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **16**, 486 (2019).
- [77] J. Knaute and B. Kämpfer, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 106003 (2017), [arXiv:1706.02647 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [78] Z. Li, K. Xu, and M. Huang, *Chin. Phys. C* **45**, 013116 (2021), [arXiv:2002.08650 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [79] I. Y. Aref'eva, A. Patrushev, and P. Slepov, *JHEP* **07**, 043 (2020), [arXiv:2003.05847 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [80] M. Asadi, B. Amrahi, and H. Eshaghi-Kenari, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 69 (2023), [arXiv:2209.01586 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [81] N. Jokela and J. G. Subils, *JHEP* **02**, 147 (2021), [arXiv:2010.09392 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [82] M. Fujita, S. He, and Y. Sun, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 126019 (2020), [arXiv:2005.01048 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [83] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* **556**, 89 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9905104](#).
- [84] A. Cherman, T. D. Cohen, and E. S. Werbos, *Phys. Rev. C* **79**, 045203 (2009), [arXiv:0804.1096 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [85] S. S. Afonin, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **26**, 3615 (2011), [arXiv:1012.5065 \[hep-ph\]](#).