

Characterizing the Cloud's Outbound Network Latency: An Experimental and Modeling Study

Zheng Li
 Department of Computer Science
 University of Concepción
 Concepción, Chile
 ORCID: 0000-0002-9704-7651

Francisco Millar-Bilbao
 Department of Computer Science
 University of Concepción
 Concepción, Chile
 Email: frmillar@udec.cl

Abstract—Cloud latency has critical influences on the success of cloud applications. Therefore, characterizing cloud network performance is crucial for analyzing and satisfying different latency requirements. By focusing on the cloud's outbound network latency, this case study on Google App Engine confirms the necessity of optimizing application deployment. More importantly, our modeling effort has established a divide-and-conquer framework to address the complexity in understanding and investigating the cloud latency.

Keywords—cloud application; data transmission; geographical location; Internet topology; outbound network latency;

I. INTRODUCTION

Given the distributed nature of cloud computing, many cloud features are subject to uncertainty to some extent [1]. In particular, the outbound network latency of the cloud can be impacted by various subtleties that are difficult to identify [2], not to mention that the users' lack of infrastructural controllability further increases the challenges in understanding the cloud network performance. For example, the IP addresses of Google cloud are generally registered under Google's headquarters in Mountain View, California, even if the actual servers are located in different countries; consequently, it becomes impossible to use traditional benchmark (e.g., ping and traceroute) to quickly reveal the cloud latency in this case.

Considering that it is crucial to select suitable cloud environments to match the latency requirements of different applications [2], we decided to develop a generic methodology to characterize the cloud network performance. The methodology includes an iterative process of experimental investigation and modeling investigation. On one hand, the modeling work can help interpret the existing experimental results and guide upcoming experiments. On the other hand, by fitting the experimental data to the models, we will be able to better understand the nature of cloud latency and then estimate/optimize the performance of cloud applications.

Our current focus is on profiling the cloud's outbound network latency, and this paper reports our ongoing case study on Google App Engine (GAE). In addition to giving a holistic view of the outbound latency with respect to GAE's

17 regions visited from six continents, this study's major contribution is the innovation in our modeling investigation: (1) The initially established models have suggested a divide-and-conquer approach to addressing the complexity in understanding cloud latency. (2) We developed a new metric of data transmission speed (measured by *Byte · meter/sec*) to involve transmission distance that is missing in the traditional metrics like bandwidth and throughput.

II. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

A. Setting Up the Testbed

To test the cloud's outbound network latency, we employ the downloading scenario that represents one of the elementary activities of cloud applications [3], i.e. a client downloads data blobs from a server in the cloud. Correspondingly, we follow the client-server model to architect and build up the testbed. In specific, (i) we deploy one data server to each of GAE's available regions;¹ (ii) after empirically deciding the optimal data size to be about 10 MB for our testbed, we choose a video file (11.2 MB) as the data to be downloaded;² (iii) we employ Amazon's micro EC2 instances to play the client role from six continents. Note that the investigation object of this study is still GAE rather than Amazon EC2.

B. Experimental Implementation and Results

After setting up the testbed, we exhaustively measured the file downloading latency with each of the 6×17 client-server pairs. In particular, to make the intra-continent latency measurements more comparable, we have tried to select client locations (i.e. Amazon's cloud regions) where the host cities also have GAE regions (except for Cape Town because GAE does not have a region in Africa).

By sorting the client and server locations according to their air distances³ to a reference city (i.e. Ashburn where an edge spot of Google Cloud exists), we visualize the

¹The detailed information about the 17 data servers are shared online at <https://bit.ly/35pLaKt>

²An example data server is at <https://sydney-server-mt.appspot.com/HQ>

³The air distance between two cities are obtained through <https://www.distancefromto.net/>

measurement results into a heat map.⁴ Benefiting from the visualization, we summarize a set of typical observations:

- In general, the cloud's outbound data transfer has lower latency within the intra-continent range than that within the inter-continent range.
- By particularly focusing on North America and Europe, the intra-continent distance seems to have a roughly linear proportional impact on the cloud's outbound network latency.
- In contrast, the cloud's outbound network latency does not show any clear correlation with the geographical distance to the inter-continent clients.
- Surprisingly, the outbound latency does not seem to be symmetrical in terms of mutual network traffic between two cloud regions.

III. MODELING INVESTIGATION

Modeling is a powerful approach to understanding real-world objects and processes that are difficult to observe directly [4]. Since cloud data transmission relies on the Internet, we refer to the three-layer hierarchical representation of Internet [5] to interpret cloud's outbound networking.

Recall that the client nodes in our testbed are also deployed in the cloud data centers. Then, the measured cloud outbound network latency in our study is only related to the core network and the metro/edge network. Thus, we model the outbound network latency L to be the overall time consumption of data transmission within two (Google's and Amazon's) cloud data centers (denoted by $2D/B_{ds}$), the data traverse across two corresponding regions' core networks (denoted by $2D/B_c$), and the one-way traverse via the metro/edge network (denoted by L_m), as defined in Eq. (1).

$$L = 2\frac{D}{B_{ds}} + 2\frac{D}{B_c} + L_m \quad (1)$$

where D represents the data size, B_{ds} indicates the network bandwidth within the data center, and B_c indicates the bandwidth of the core network.

Benefiting from the modeling, we can investigate the cloud's outbound network latency through a divide-and-conquer approach. In fact, we have conservatively estimated B_{ds} to be 1.25GB/sec, according to Google's dedicated network technologies for server connections in the data centers [6]. At the time of writing, we are empirically investigating B_c within different cloud regions. As for L_m , ideally, it could be as trivial as the overhead of data exchange between neighboring core nodes (e.g., the Amazon's and Google's regions both in Frankfurt). However, in a generic sense, L_m needs to be further specified by taking into account data transmission through land and submarine cables. Moreover, we are concerned with the practical speed (measured by

⁴Heat map of GAE's outbound network latency (measured in *ms*) is shared online at <https://bit.ly/315TRJw>

Byte · meter/sec) instead of the cable bandwidth in this case, because it is known that distance also matters in the wide-range data transmission.

Given the observations in our experimental investigation, we further model the metro/edge networking delay L_m as:

$$L_m = \frac{D \cdot I_{lan}}{S_{lan}} + \frac{D \cdot I_{sub}}{S_{sub}} + L_r \propto N \quad (2)$$

where S_{lan} and S_{sub} represent the inland and submarine data transmission speeds respectively, while I_{lan} and I_{sub} indicate the distances of data transmission via the inland and submarine cables respectively. In particular, we have used geographical distance to estimate the inland data transmission distance between two cities in the same continent.

Note that, in addition to the inland latency $D \cdot I_{lan}/S_{lan}$ and the submarine latency $D \cdot I_{sub}/S_{sub}$, we also highlight an extra transmission overhead L_r that is proportional to the amounts N of inland-submarine data relaying, so as to reflect different topologies of the inter-continent networking.

Overall, by fitting the experimental data to this modeling work, we are able to build regression-based formulas to facilitate performance estimation and optimization of cloud applications.

IV. CONCLUSION

It is evident that reducing latency has tremendous effects on the success of cloud applications. By focusing on the outbound network latency, this case study not only reveals useful information for applications to be deployed on GAE, but also builds a spiral path to guide generic investigations into the cloud latency.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Mezni, S. Aridhi, and A. Hadjali, "The uncertain cloud: State of the art and research challenges," *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, vol. 103, pp. 139–151, Dec. 2018.
- [2] D. Strom and J. F. van der Zwet, "Truth and lies about latency in the cloud," Interxion, White Paper, Mar. 2020, <https://www.interxion.com/whitepapers/truth-and-lies-of-latency-in-the-cloud>
- [3] Z. Li, S. Tesfatsion, S. Bastani, A. Ali-Eldin, E. Elmroth, M. Kihl, and R. Ranjan, "A survey on modeling energy consumption of cloud applications: Deconstruction, state of the art, and trade-off debates," *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Computing*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 255–274, Jul.-Sept. 2017.
- [4] D. Basmadjian, *The Art of Modeling in Science and Engineering with Mathematica*, 2nd ed. Danvers, MA: Routledge, Oct. 7 2019.
- [5] K. Hinton, J. Baliga, M. Feng, R. Ayre, and R. S. Tucker, "Power consumption and energy efficiency in the internet," *IEEE Network: The Magazine of Global Internetworking*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 6–12, Mar./Apr. 2011.
- [6] A. Vahdat, "A look inside Google's data center networks," <https://cloudplatform.googleblog.com/2015/06/A-Look-Inside-Google-Data-Jun-17-2015>.