

The tilt of the velocity ellipsoid of different Galactic disk populations

Weixiang Sun^{1,2}, Han Shen¹, and Xiaowei Liu^{1,2}

Submitted to ApJ; Accepted June 02, 2023

ABSTRACT

The tilt of the velocity ellipsoid is a helpful tracer of the gravitational potential of the Milky Way. In this paper, we use nearly 140,000 RC stars selected from the LAMOST and *Gaia* to make a detailed analysis of the tilt of the velocity ellipsoid for various populations, as defined by the stellar ages and chemical information, within $4.5 \leq R \leq 15.0$ kpc and $|Z| \leq 3.0$ kpc. The tilt angles of the velocity ellipsoids of the RC sample stars are accurately described as $\alpha = \alpha_0 \arctan(Z/R)$ with $\alpha_0 = (0.68 \pm 0.05)$. This indicates the alignment of velocity ellipsoids is between cylindrical and spherical, implying that any deviation from the spherical alignment of the velocity ellipsoids may be caused by the gravitational potential of the baryonic disk. The results of various populations suggest that the α_0 displays an age and population dependence, with the thin and thick disks respectively values $\alpha_0 = (0.72 \pm 0.08)$ and $\alpha_0 = (0.64 \pm 0.07)$, and the α_0 displays a decreasing trend with age (and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$) increases, meaning that the velocity ellipsoids of the kinematically relaxed stars are mainly dominated by the gravitational potential of the baryonic disk. We determine the $\alpha_0 - R$ for various populations, finding that the α_0 displays oscillations with R for all the different populations. The oscillations in α_0 appear in both kinematically hot and cold populations, indicating that resonances with the Galactic bar are the most likely origin for these oscillations.

Keywords: Stars: abundance – Stars: kinematics – Galaxy: kinematics and dynamics – Galaxy: disk – Galaxy: velocity ellipsoid

1. INTRODUCTION

The distribution of the mass of the Galaxy is a powerful tool for constraining the formation and evolution histories of the Milky Way (e.g., Binney & Tremaine 2008; Smith et al. 2009; Everall et al. 2019). However, the mass that can emit detectable electromagnetic radiation only accounts for a small portion of the total mass of the Milky Way (e.g., Huang et al. 2016; Eilers et al. 2019; Ablimit et al. 2020), owing to which astronomers tend to use indirect methods to measure it. One of the most powerful methods is to measure the ellipsoidal distribution of stellar velocity (e.g., Eddington 1915; Smith et al. 2009; Hagen et al. 2019).

The velocity ellipsoids are widely used to detect the global gravitational potential of the Milky Way (e.g., Büdenbender et al. 2015; Hagen et al. 2019; Everall et al. 2019). Those results indicate that the tilt angle of the velocity ellipsoid can be described as $\alpha = \alpha_0 \arctan(Z/R)$ (e.g., Binney et al. 2014; Büdenbender et al. 2015), in which $\alpha_0 = 1.0$ means exact spherical alignment, while $\alpha_0 < 1.0$ implies the velocity ellipsoids are tilted towards cylindrical alignment. The tilted towards cylindrical alignment is likely related to the effect of the gravitational potential of the baryonic disk (e.g., Hagen et al. 2019; Everall et al. 2019).

Binney et al. (2014) reported that $\alpha_0 \sim 0.8$ for the local RAVE sample (Steinmetz et al. 2006). However, the results from the SEGUE G-dwarf sample tend to suggest a more spherically aligned result, with $\alpha_0 \sim 0.9$ (Büdenbender et al. 2015). In recent studies, Hagen et al. (2019) found that the velocity ellipsoids trend to cylindrically aligned outer the Solar radius, but changes spherically aligned in the inner disk, revealing the Galactic space dependence of the velocity ellipsoids. A similar result is further reported by Gaia Radial

Velocity Spectrometer (RVS) samples (Everall et al. 2019), it suggests that the velocity ellipsoids show Galactic altitude dependence, with higher Galactic altitude inclined to a more spherically aligned. In addition, the results of different populations indicate that the distributions of the velocity ellipsoids are distinguished for different populations, with the thin disk population likely tend to deviate obviously from spherical alignment (e.g., Binney et al. 2014; Hagen et al. 2019; Everall et al. 2019). However, a detailed analysis of the velocity ellipsoid based on different stellar ages and abundance populations is still not well characterized since the sample used in previous studies did not provide accurate measurements of stellar age and metallicity (e.g., Hagen et al. 2019; Everall et al. 2019). At present, a large sample of the red clump (RC) stars (Huang et al. 2020) from LAMOST and Gaia surveys presents a compelling opportunity for conducting research in the field. Leveraging this sample, it is possible to perform an exhaustive analysis of the velocity ellipsoids characterizing the Galactic disk, thereby enhancing our comprehension of the gravitational potential distributions governing the Milky Way.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we describe the data used in this paper. The calculation of the tilt angle of the velocity ellipsoid is discussed in Section 3, and present our results in Sections 4 and 5. Finally, we summarized our main conclusions in Section 6.

2. DATA

In this work, we mainly use a sample with 137,448 red clump (RC) stars (e.g., Huang et al. 2020) from LAMOST survey (e.g., Cui et al. 2012; Deng et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2014; Yuan et al. 2015), with the uncertainties, of the effective temperature (T_{eff}), line-of-sight velocity (V_r), surface gravity ($\log g$), $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$, are typically around, 100 K, 5 km s^{-1} , 0.10 dex, 0.10–0.15 dex and 0.03–0.05 dex (e.g., Xiang et al. 2017; Huang et al. 2018a). Due to the standard-candle nature of the RC stars, the distance accuracy is bet-

¹ South-Western Institute for Astronomy Research, Yunnan University, Kunming 650500, People's Republic of China; w.sun@mail.ynu.edu.cn (WXS); x.liu@ynu.edu.cn (XWL)

² Corresponding authors




Figure 1. The relative distance error (Δs , is defined as the ratios of the distance errors to distances) as a function of distance (upper panel), and the tangential velocity error (ΔV_T , is defined as the dispersion of the 1000 times Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling of V_T) as a function of distance (bottom panel). The red line in each panel is the best fit for all the RC sample stars.

ter than around 5%–10% (see the upper panel of Fig. 1). To further improve the accuracy of the kinematic estimation, we update the astrometric parameters of the sample stars to Gaia DR3 (e.g., Gaia Collaboration et al. 2022a,b; Recio-Blanco et al. 2022). Therefore, the uncertainty of the measured tangential velocity ($V_T = d \sqrt{\mu_\alpha^2 + \mu_\delta^2}$, where d is the distance from the Sun, μ_α and μ_δ are respectively proper motions in right ascension and declination) is ensured typically within 5.0–10 km s^{-1} (see the bottom panel of Fig. 1).

The standard Galactocentric cylindrical Coordinate (R , ϕ , Z) is used in this paper, with the three direction velocities are V_R , V_ϕ and V_z , respectively. To calculate the 3D positions and 3D velocities, we set the Galactocentric distance of the Sun as $R_\odot = 8.34 \text{ kpc}$ (Reid et al. 2014), Solar motions as $(U_\odot, V_\odot, W_\odot) = (13.00, 12.24, 7.24) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Schönrich & Dehnen 2018) and, the local circular velocity as $V_{c,0} = 238.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (e.g., Reid & Brunthaler 2004; Schönrich et al. 2010; Schönrich 2012; Reid et al. 2014; Huang et al. 2015, 2016; Bland-Hawthorn & Gerhard 2016).

The velocity dispersions σ of the individual spatial bin are calculated by 3σ -clipping produce that removes outliers. To conduct a reliable Galactic disk stars analysis, we further set stellar vertical velocity $|V_z| \leq 120.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \geq -1.0 \text{ dex}$ to exclude any possibility of halo stars. Finally, 133,440 stars are eventually selected, and the spatial distribution of the final selected stars is shown in Fig. 2.




Figure 2. The spatial distribution of the sample stars in the R - Z phase space, with both axes spaced by 0.1 kpc, and color-code by stellar densities.




Figure 3. The $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ — $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ relation of the sample stars, color-coded by the stellar number densities. The $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ axes have respective widths of 0.025 dex and 0.02 dex. There is a minimum of 20 stars in each bin. The two lines are used to select the thick (above the lines) and the thin (below the lines) disks.

3. METHOD

We calculate the orientation of the velocity ellipse as follows Smith et al. (2009), that is, for two orthogonal velocity components, v_i , v_j , the tilt angle α_{ij} defined as:

$$\tan(2\alpha_{ij}) = \frac{2\text{cov}(v_i, v_j)}{\text{var}(v_i) - \text{var}(v_j)} = \frac{2\sigma_{ij}^2}{\sigma_{ii}^2 - \sigma_{jj}^2} \quad (1)$$

where

$$\text{cov}(v_i, v_j) \equiv \sigma_{ij}^2 \equiv \langle (v_i - \langle v_i \rangle)(v_j - \langle v_j \rangle) \rangle \quad (2)$$

and the angled brackets are the averaging of the phase-space distribution function (see e.g., Binney & Tremaine 2008; Smith et al. 2009; Evans et al. 2016).

The tilt angle, α_{ij} , is the angle that is measured from the i -axis to the major axis of the ellipse formed by projecting the three-dimensional velocity ellipsoid onto the ij -plane (e.g., Binney & Merrifield 1998), with values of counter-clockwise measurement is positive, and hence takes values from -45° to $+45^\circ$. In the Galactocentric cylindrical coordinates (R , ϕ , Z), when the tilt angle $\alpha = 0^\circ$, which implies the major (direction of V_R) and minor (direction of V_z) axis of the velocity ellipsoid are respectively exactly aligned with R -axis and Z -axis.

Table 1
The properties of thin/thin disk, mono-age and mono- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ - $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ populations.

| Name | $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ (dex) | $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ (dex) | Mean R (kpc) | σ_R (km s^{-1}) | σ_Z (km s^{-1}) | $\langle V_R V_Z \rangle$ ($\text{km}^2 \text{s}^{-2}$) | Number | n (ratio) |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------|-----------|
| Thin disk | - | - | 10.24 | 34.75 | 19.69 | 9.93 | 104,935 | 78.64% |
| Thick disk | - | - | 8.78 | 66.33 | 41.06 | 124.67 | 18,566 | 13.91% |
| $0.0 < \text{age} \leq 6.0$ Gyr (Y-Age) | - | - | 10.18 | 33.75 | 17.89 | 11.19 | 81,338 | 60.95% |
| $6.0 < \text{age} \leq 14.0$ Gyr (O-Age) | - | - | 9.71 | 51.13 | 32.15 | 22.18 | 52,102 | 39.05% |
| Low $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ metal-poor (L α MP) | $-0.3 \leq [\alpha/\text{Fe}] < 0.15$ | $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -0.2$ | 10.72 | 34.68 | 21.68 | 15.83 | 60,295 | 45.19% |
| Low $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ metal-rich (L α MR) | $-0.3 \leq [\alpha/\text{Fe}] < 0.15$ | $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \geq -0.2$ | 9.52 | 36.38 | 18.18 | 4.02 | 52,820 | 39.58% |
| High $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ metal-poor (H α MP) | $0.15 \leq [\alpha/\text{Fe}] < 0.5$ | $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -0.4$ | 9.25 | 68.23 | 42.80 | 127.37 | 12,524 | 9.39% |
| High $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ metal-poor (H α MR) | $0.15 \leq [\alpha/\text{Fe}] < 0.5$ | $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \geq -0.4$ | 8.75 | 59.79 | 37.67 | 88.12 | 7,801 | 5.85% |




Figure 4. Velocity ellipsoid distribution in R - Z phase space for all the RC sample stars, with bins of size 0.5 kpc for both axes, and no less than 10 stars in each bin. The velocity ellipses are color-coded by their misalignment with respect to spherical alignment. The orientation that corresponds to spherical alignment is indicated by the dotted grey line through each ellipse. The size of the ellipsoid is proportional to the value of the velocity dispersion in each bin. The black rectangle inset in the figure shows the velocity ellipse for a non-tilted distribution with dispersions with $(\sigma_R, \sigma_Z) = (200, 100) \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

To make a more detailed analysis of the tilt of the velocity ellipsoid, we further separate our sample into the thin/thick disk, mono-age and mono- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ - $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ populations. The separation of the thin and thick disks is shown in Fig. 3. We used two cuts to separate the two populations with combined previous studies (e.g., Bensby, Feltzing & Oey 2014; Lee et al. 2011; Brook et al. 2012; Haywood et al. 2013; Recio-Blanco et al. 2014; Guiglion et al. 2015), and then, selected low- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ with 104,935 stars as thin disk population and, high- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ with 18,566 stars as thick disk population, respectively.

For the definition of mono-age, we separate our sample into young age population (with age ≤ 6.0 kpc, hereafter the Y-Age population) and old age population (with age > 6.0 Gyr, hereafter the O-Age population), with separate the so-called

“young” $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ -enhanced stars into old populations since they are genuinely old (e.g., Chiappini et al. 2015; Jofré et al. 2016; Sun et al. 2020). The properties of various mono-age populations are shown in Table 1. For the definition of mono- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ - $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ populations, we divide the RC sample stars into high- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ population with $0.15 \leq [\alpha/\text{Fe}] < 0.5$ dex, and low- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ population with $-0.3 \leq [\alpha/\text{Fe}] < 0.15$ dex, and we further separate each $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ population into metal-rich and metal-poor sub-populations by considering the almost comparable number of stars in each sub-population, and the results are also shown in Table 1.




Figure 5. Similar to Fig. 4 but for the thin and thick disks.

4. THE VELOCITY ELLIPSOID OF THE THIN/THICK DISK, MONO-AGE AND MONO-[α /FE]-[FE/H] POPULATIONS

The results of the velocity ellipsoid for various populations are shown in Fig. 4–5 and Appendix Fig. A1, with color-coded by the misalignment with respect to spherical alignment. The misalignment is defined as $\alpha - \alpha_{sph}$ for $Z \geq 0.0$ kpc, and as $\alpha_{sph} - \alpha$ for $Z < 0.0$ kpc, where α is the tilt angle of velocity ellipsoid and α_{sph} is the angle from R-major to the orientation of a spherically aligned velocity dispersion. The red and blue colors are respectively corresponding to steeper tilt angles and

shallower tilt angles.

For all the RC sample stars (Fig. 4), the spherical alignment at $R \sim 6.0$ kpc tends to cylindrical alignment with R increases at $R \leq 12.0$ kpc, and then again tends to spherical alignment outwards. The trend of the velocity ellipsoids distributions with Galactic height is distinguished for the inner and outer disk regions. For $R \leq 11.5$ kpc, the velocity ellipsoid of higher $|Z|$ tends to relatively cylindrical alignment, while for $R > 11.5$ kpc, it is relatively spherical arrangement with increasing $|Z|$. Stars with larger velocity dispersion tend to have a globally tilted towards cylindrical arrangement of the velocity ellipsoid.

Table 2
The α_0 of various populations.

| Name | α_0 |
|---------------|-----------------|
| All stars | 0.68 ± 0.05 |
| Thin disk | 0.72 ± 0.08 |
| Thick disk | 0.64 ± 0.06 |
| Y-Age | 0.69 ± 0.07 |
| O-Age | 0.65 ± 0.06 |
| L α MP | 0.72 ± 0.10 |
| L α MR | 0.85 ± 0.08 |
| H α MP | 0.61 ± 0.07 |
| H α MR | 0.75 ± 0.10 |

For the thin disk stars (see Fig. 5), the velocity dispersions show a weak increasing trend at $R \geq 12.5$ kpc, which indicates the thin disk warp. In the inner disk region, stars with the largest velocity dispersion generally have a tilted towards cylindrical arrangement of the velocity ellipsoid. While for the outer disk region, stars with the largest velocity dispersion generally have a random alignment of the velocity ellipsoid.

For the thick disk stars (see Fig. 5), the velocity dispersions display no obvious global trend with R and Z , but stars with the largest Galactic height generally have a tilted towards cylindrical arrangement of the velocity ellipsoid. The velocity ellipsoids of the thick disk stars tend to be more randomly aligned than the thin disk stars, with the spherical alignment at lower Galactic height tending to cylindrical alignment towards higher Galactic height, while for the radial direction, it is not a global trend.

For our different age and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ - $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ populations (see Appendix Fig. A1), the results display that the Y-Age, L α MP and L α MR populations show the similar result to the thin disk stars, while the O-Age, H α MP and H α MR display a thick disk like result. The velocity dispersions of the young/low- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ populations (left panels) are globally smaller than old/high- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ populations (right panels). The velocity ellipsoids of old/high- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ populations tend to be more randomly aligned than young/low- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ populations. This randomly aligned may be strongly related to the different dynamical heating histories of those populations.

5. THE TILT OF THE VELOCITY ELLIPSOID FOR VARIOUS POPULATIONS

Previous studies provide a simple model to summarize the results of the tilt angle of the velocity ellipsoids (e.g., Binney et al. 2014; Büdenbender et al. 2015), which suggested α followed as

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 \arctan\left(\frac{Z}{R}\right) \quad (3)$$

where α_0 is the fitting constant, and a result of $\alpha_0 = 1.0$ means exact spherical alignment, whilst $\alpha_0 < 1.0$ implies the ellipsoids tend to tilt towards cylindrical alignment.

We fit the results using the emcee python package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) on all bins with no less than 10 stars since these bins still contain much valuable information about the ellipsoid alignment, although these bins still have larger uncertainties, and bins with fewer stars tend to randomly aligned. The fitting result for various populations is summarized in Table 2.

Our results indicate that the tilt of all the RC sample stars values $\alpha_0 = 0.68 \pm 0.05$, which is consistent with a tilted towards cylindrical alignment. This result is slightly smaller than the local RAVE data of Binney et al. (2014), who reported $\alpha_0 \sim 0.8$. It is in obvious disagreement with $\alpha_0 = 0.90 \pm 0.04$ determined in Büdenbender et al. (2015) and $\alpha_0 = 0.95 \pm 0.01$ determined in Everall et al. (2019). It is worth emphasizing that we also remove stars by using 3σ -clipping of each velocity distribution for each bin, and any possible halo stars are also excluded from our results. We further fit the model on bins with no less than 20 stars, the result indicates that $\alpha_0 = 0.72 \pm 0.05$, which is still significantly smaller than previous results on samples containing halo stars (e.g., Binney et al. 2014; Büdenbender et al. 2015; Everall et al. 2019; Hagen et al. 2019), implying that any deviation from the spherical alignment of the velocity ellipsoids may be caused by the gravitational potential of the baryonic disk.

For our different populations, the results show that they are almost tilted towards cylindrical in alignment, meaning that all populations are likely significantly affected by the gravitational potential of the baryonic disk of the Galaxy. This is an acceptable result since the spatial distributions of all populations are concentrated on the Galactic disk, which is also the reason why our α_0 is generally smaller than other results (e.g., Büdenbender et al. 2015; Everall et al. 2019; Hagen et al. 2019). The study of the alignment of the velocity dispersion tensor revealing the underlying gravitational potential (e.g., An & Evans 2016; Evans et al. 2016). The results indicate that if the alignment is everywhere spherical, then the potential must be spherical (if non-singular). If the alignment is everywhere cylindrical, the potential must be separable in cylindrical coordinates. In our results, the alignment is neither spherical nor cylindrical, but in-between. Such coupling between radial and vertical motion captured in the velocity




Figure 6. The α_0 as a function of R for all the RC sample stars. The red line is the best fit with equation (4).

ellipsoid tilt tells us about the interplay between the disc and halo potentials.

The result of the L α MR indicates that $\alpha_0 = 0.85 \pm 0.08$. Although this result is in good agreement with previous results (e.g., Binney et al. 2014; Büdenbender et al. 2015; Everall et al. 2019), it is obviously larger than other populations, meaning that the L α MR tends to be more spherically aligned than other populations. Some possible reasons may explain this behavior; (i) larger uncertainties of this population. However, the results with no less than 20 stars per bin of L α MR indicate that $\alpha_0 = 0.82 \pm 0.08$. This may mean that the effect of uncertainties on the results is not obvious; (ii) natural property of this population, stars of L α MR are generally young, and their kinematic properties are similar to the ISM that they are born.

We do observe a slight age and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ dependence of the tilt of the velocity ellipsoids, with the α_0 for young/low- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ populations are larger than old/high- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ population. In detail, the $\alpha_0 = 0.72 \pm 0.08$ for the thin disk stars is larger than $\alpha_0 = 0.64 \pm 0.06$ for the thick disk stars. And the α_0 for Y-Age, L α MP and H α MP populations are respectively larger than that for O-Age, L α MR and H α MR populations. These may imply that young/low- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ stars tend to be spherically aligned than old/high- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ stars, and this translates to the effect of the gravitational potential of the baryonic disk increases with stellar age (or $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$) increases. This is likely because stars with old age are generally kinematically relaxed, and therefore, their velocity ellipsoids are mainly dominated by the gravitational potential of the baryonic disk.

Using a sample from Gaia Radial Velocity Spectrometer, Everall et al. (2019) reported that the tilt of the velocity ellipsoid displays a strong correlation with spatial positions. In

their results, for stars with $|Z| < 2.0$ kpc, $\alpha_0 = 0.950 \pm 0.007$, whilst for stars with $|Z| > 2.0$ kpc, $\alpha_0 = 0.966 \pm 0.018$. For stars with $R < 7.0$ kpc, $\alpha_0 = 0.917 \pm 0.013$, whilst for stars with $R > 7.0$ kpc, $\alpha_0 = 0.963 \pm 0.007$. Similar results can be also found in Hagen et al. (2019), who suggested that the tilt angle of the velocity ellipsoid in spherical coordinates as $\alpha(r, Z) \sim 0.72(r - 6.16)Z$.

In Fig. 6, we present the α_0 as a function of R for all the RC sample stars. The result indicates that the α_0 shows oscillations with R . At $R = 8.0$ kpc, the $\alpha_0 \sim 0.81 \pm 0.06$, which is in good agreement with the result of $\alpha_0 \sim 0.80$ in Binney et al. (2014) with the local RAVE data. At $R = 6.0$ kpc, the $\alpha_0 \sim 0.89 \pm 0.13$ is also well consistent with $\alpha_0 \sim 0.90 \pm 0.04$ in the solar neighbourhood determined by Büdenbender et al. (2015). The profile of the $\alpha_0 - R$ displays a significant sine-function shape, and therefore, we fit the profile with a sine-function as follows:

$$\alpha_0 = A \sin(\omega R + \Phi) + C \quad (4)$$

The best fit as shown by the red line in the figure, the result yields $\alpha_0 = 0.17 \sin(0.80R + 2.11) + 0.69$. Based on the best fit, we can determine the α_0 has a local maximum at $R \sim 7.18$ kpc with $\alpha_0 \sim 0.85$, and a local minimum at $R \sim 11.12$ kpc with $\alpha_0 \sim 0.52$. Since our sample stars are mostly disk stars, the oscillations of the α_0 with R may be strongly linked to the structures of the disk, and therefore, the minimum of the α_0 at $R \sim 11.12$ kpc is likely because of the Perseus arm. And the Perseus arm traced by our analysis located at $R \sim 11.12$ kpc is also in rough agreement with previous studies (e.g., Chen et al. 2019; Sun et al. 2023, in preparation).

Table 3
Parameters of $\alpha_0 = A \sin(\omega R + \Phi) + C$ obtained for different populations.

| Name | A | ω | Φ | C |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| All stars | 0.17 ± 0.06 | 0.80 ± 0.09 | 2.11 ± 0.63 | 0.69 ± 0.04 |
| Thin disk | 0.23 ± 0.11 | 0.80 ± 0.09 | 2.47 ± 0.53 | 0.81 ± 0.08 |
| Thick disk | 0.21 ± 0.09 | 0.82 ± 0.09 | 2.28 ± 0.58 | 0.69 ± 0.06 |
| Y-Age | 0.19 ± 0.06 | 0.87 ± 0.08 | 2.17 ± 0.64 | 0.66 ± 0.07 |
| O-Age | 0.18 ± 0.07 | 0.84 ± 0.08 | 2.15 ± 0.62 | 0.73 ± 0.05 |
| L α MP | 0.23 ± 0.12 | 0.78 ± 0.08 | 2.11 ± 0.66 | 0.77 ± 0.09 |
| L α MR | 0.41 ± 0.09 | 0.88 ± 0.04 | 2.71 ± 0.32 | 0.88 ± 0.07 |
| H α MP | 0.06 ± 0.06 | 0.75 ± 0.18 | 2.12 ± 0.66 | 0.63 ± 0.06 |
| H α MR | 0.21 ± 0.12 | 0.86 ± 0.09 | 2.36 ± 0.56 | 0.88 ± 0.08 |

For our different populations, the oscillations can also be found for various populations (Appendix Fig. B1), with the thin disk, Y-Age and low- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ populations showing similar shapes, while the thick disk, O-Age and High- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ populations display another similar shape. We also fit the $\alpha_0 - R$ with equation (4) for various populations, with the best fit as shown by the red line in the figure, and the results are summarized in Table 3. The results indicate that the oscillations of α_0 for young/low- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ populations (left panels in Appendix Fig. B1) are stronger than old/high- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ populations (right panels in Appendix Fig. B1). Since the oscillations in α_0 appear in both kinematically hot and cold populations, we can further confirm that one of its mechanisms is likely dynamical in origin. The most likely culprit is resonances with the Galactic bar. This would cause perturbations in the stellar orbits at locations of the principal resonances (e.g., Collett, Dutta & Evans 1997) and has been seen in Hipparcos and Gaia data (e.g., Dehnen 2000; Trick et al. 2021).

The north-south asymmetry is also obvious for the velocity ellipsoids, similar result is also reported by Everall et al. (2019). Although the explanation of this phenomenon has not yet reached a unified conclusion, some properties may be linked to this phenomenon, for example, the substructure and streams of the Galactic disk, buckling of Galactic central bar (e.g., Saha, Pfenniger & Taam 2013), the effect of the disk bending (e.g., Gómez et al. 2013; Williams et al. 2013; Xu et al. 2015; Laporte et al. 2019) flare (e.g., Minchev et al. 2015; Bovy et al. 2016) and warp (e.g., Momany et al. 2006; Williams et al. 2013), or some unrecognized systematics in the data.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we use nearly 140,000 RC stars selected from the LAMOST and *Gaia* to calculate the tilt of the velocity ellipsoid for thin/thick disks, mono-age and mono- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ - $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ populations over a wider range of Galactocentric radii with higher accuracy. We find that the tilt angles of the velocity ellipsoid are distinguished for various populations, and the tilt angles display a strong correlation with age and population.

The sample with 137,448 RC stars is tilted towards cylindri-

cal alignment. The tilt of all the RC sample stars is accurately described as $\alpha = (0.68 \pm 0.05) \arctan(Z/R)$, which is generally smaller than the previous results on samples including halo stars (e.g., Büdenbender et al. 2015; Everall et al. 2019; Hagen et al. 2019). Since our RC sample stars are dominated by the disk stars, our result reveals that any deviation from the spherical alignment of the velocity ellipsoids is likely because of the gravitational potential of the baryonic disk of the Galaxy.

The thin and thick disks values $\alpha = (0.72 \pm 0.08) \arctan(Z/R)$ and $\alpha = (0.64 \pm 0.07) \arctan(Z/R)$, respectively. The α_0 displays an age (and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$) dependence, with a decreasing trend in α_0 as age (and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$) increases, meaning that the velocity ellipsoids of the kinematically relaxed stars are mainly dominated by the gravitational potential of the baryonic disk.

Our detailed analysis of the correlation of α_0 and R of various populations indicates that the α_0 displays oscillations with R for all the different populations. For all the RC sample stars is $\alpha_0 = 0.17 \sin(0.80 R + 2.11) + 0.69$. For the thin and thick disks are $\alpha_0 = 0.23 \sin(0.80 R + 2.47) + 0.81$ and $\alpha_0 = 0.21 \sin(0.82 R + 2.28) + 0.69$, respectively. The oscillations of α_0 for both kinematically hot and cold populations, which is likely because of the resonances with the Galactic bar.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Guoshoujing Telescope (the Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope LAMOST) is a National Major Scientific Project built by the Chinese Academy of Sciences. Funding for the project has been provided by the National Development and Reform Commission. LAMOST is operated and managed by the National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The LAMOST FELLOWSHIP is supported by Special Funding for Advanced Users, budgeted and administrated by Center for Astronomical Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAMS).

It is a pleasure to thank Yang Huang for providing assistance in revising the manuscript.

This work is supported by National Key R&D Program of China No. 2019YFA0405500 and National Natural Science Foundation of China grants 11903027, 11973001, 11833006,

11811530289, U1731108, U1531244, 11573061, 11733008, 12073070, and 12003027.

REFERENCES

- Ablimit, I., Zhao, G., Flynn, C., Bird, S. A. 2020, *ApJ*, 895, 12
- An, J. & Evans, N. W. 2016, *ApJ*, 816, 35
- Bensby, T., Feltzing, S., & Oey, M. S. 2014, *A&A*, 562, A71
- Binney, J., & Tremaine, S. 1987, *Galactic Dynamics* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press), 433
- Binney, J., & Merrifield, M. 1998, *Galactic Astronomy* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press)
- Binney, J., Burnett, B., Kordopatis, G., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 439, 1231
- Bovy, J., Rix, H. W., Schlafly, E. F., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 823, 30
- Brook, C. B., Stinson, G. S., Gibson, B. K., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, 426, 690
- Büdenbender, A., van de Ven, G., Watkins, L. L. 2015, *MNRAS*, 452, 956
- Chen, B. Q., Huang, Y., Yuan, H. B., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 483, 4277
- Chiappini, C., Anders, F., Rodrigues, T. S., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 576, L12
- Collett, J. L., Dutta, S. N., & Evans, N. W. 1997, *MNRAS*, 285, 49
- Cui, X. Q., Zhao, Y. H., Chu, Y. Q., et al. 2012, *RAA*, 12, 1197
- De Silva, G. M., Freeman, K. C., Bland-Hawthorn, J., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 2604
- Dehnen, W. 2000, *AJ*, 119, 800
- Deng, L. C., Newberg, H. J., Liu, C., et al. 2012, *RAA*, 12, 735
- Eddington, A. S. 1915, *MNRAS*, 76, 37
- Eilers, A. C., Hogg, D. W., Rix, H. W., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 871, 120
- Evans, N. W., Sanders, J. L., Williams, A. A., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 456, 4506
- Everall, A., Evans, N. W., Belokurov, V., and Schönrich, R. 2019, *MNRAS*, 489, 910
- Gaia Collaboration, Creevey, O. L., Sarro, L. M., Lobel, A., et al. 2022, *arXiv:2206.05870*
- Gaia Collaboration, Recio-Blanco, A., Kordopatis, G., et al. 2022, *arXiv:2206.05534*
- Gómez, F. A., Minchev, I., O'Shea, B. W., Beers, T. C., Bullock, J. S., Purcell, C. W. 2013, *MNRAS*, 429, 159
- Guiglion, G., Recio-Blanco, A., de Laverny, P., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 583, A91
- Hagen, J. H. J., Helmi, A., de Zeeuw, P. T., and Posti, L. 2019, *A&A*, 629, A70
- Hayden, M. R., Bland-Hawthorn, J., Sharma, S., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 493, 2952
- Haywood, M., Di Matteo, P., Lehnert, M. D., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 560, A109
- Huang, Y., Liu, X. W., Yuan, H. B., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 463, 2623
- Huang, Y., Liu, X.-W., Chen, B.-Q., et al. 2018a, *AJ*, 156, 90
- Huang, Y., Schönrich, R., Liu, X. W., et al., 2018b, *ApJ*, 864, 129
- Huang, Y., Schönrich, R., Zhang, H. W., et al. 2020, *ApJS*, 249, 29
- Laporte, C. F. P., Minchev, I., Johnston, K. V., Gómez, F. A. 2019, *MNRAS*, 485, 3134
- Liu, X. W., Yuan, H. B., Huo, Z. Y., et al. 2014, in *IAU Symp.* 298, *Setting the Scene for Gaia and LAMOST* (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), 310
- Majewski, S. R., Schiavon, R. P., Frinchaboy, P. M., et al. 2017, *AJ*, 154, 94
- Minchev, I., Martig, M., Streich, D., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 804, L9
- Momany, Y., Zaggia, S., Gilmore, G., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 451, 515
- Binney, J., & Tremaine, S. 2008, *Galactic Dynamics* (2nd ed.; Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press)
- Bland-Hawthorn, J., Gerhard, O. 2016, *ARA&A*, 54, 529
- Jofré, P., Jorissen, A., Van Eck, S., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 595, A60
- Lee, Y. S., Beers, T. C., An, D., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 738, 187
- Recio-Blanco, A., de Laverny, P., Kordopatis, G., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 567, A5
- Smith, M. C., Evans, N. W., An, J. H. 2009, *ApJ*, 698, 1110
- Steinmetz, M., Zwitter, T., Siebert, A., et al. 2006, *AJ*, 132, 1645
- Sun, W. X., Huang, Y., Wang, H. F., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 903, 12
- Sun, W. X., et al. 2013, in preparation
- Yuan, H. B., Liu, X. W., Huo, Z. Y., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 448, 855
- Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., Brunthaler, A., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 783, 130
- Schönrich, R., & Dehnen, W. 2018, *MNRAS*, 478, 3809
- Recio-Blanco, A., de Laverny, P., Palicio, P. A., et al. 2022, *arXiv:2206.05541*
- Reid, M. J., & Brunthaler, A. 2004, *ApJ*, 616, 872
- Schönrich, R., Binney, J., & Dehnen, W. 2010, *MNRAS*, 403, 1829
- Schönrich, R. 2012, *MNRAS*, 427, 274
- Saha, K., Pfenniger, D., Taam, R. E. 2013, *ApJ*, 764, 123
- Trick, W. H., Fragkoudi, F., Hunt, J. A. S., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, 500, 2645
- Huang, Y., Liu, X. W., Yuan, H. B., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 162
- Huang, Y., Liu, X. W., Yuan, H. B., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 463, 2623
- Williams, M. E. K., Williams, M., Binney, J., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 101
- Xiang, M. S., Liu, X. W., Yuan, H. B., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 467, 1890
- Xu, Y., Newberg, H. J., Carlin, J. L., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 801, 105

APPENDIX

A. VELOCITY ELLIPSOID DISTRIBUTION FOR VARIOUS MONO-AGE AND MONO- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ - $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ POPULATIONS

Fig. A1 presents the distributions of the velocity ellipsoid for various mono-age and mono- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ - $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ populations.

B. THE α_0 AS FUNCTION OF R FOR VARIOUS POPULATIONS

Fig. B1 presents the α_0 as function of R for the thin/thick disk, mono-age and mono- $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ - $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ populations. The red lines are the best fit with equation (4) for the data.




Figure A1. Velocity ellipsoid distribution for various mono-age and mono-[α /Fe]-[Fe/H] populations, with both axes are spaced by 0.5 kpc. There is minimum 10 stars in per bin.




Figure B1. The α_0 as function of R for various populations. The red lines are the best fit with equation (4) for the data.