Fractional Crank-Nicolson Galerkin finite element analysis for coupled time-fractional nonlocal parabolic problem

Pari J. Kundaliya[1]

Abstract

In this article we propose a scheme for solving the coupled time-fractional nonlocal diffusion problem. The scheme consist of fractional Crank-Nicolson method with Galerkin finite element method (FEM) and Newton's method. We derive *a priori* error estimates for fully-discrete solutions in L^2 and H_0^1 norms. Results based on the usual finite element method are provided to confirm the theoretical estimates.

Keywords: Nonlocal problem, Uniform mesh, Fractional Crank-Nicolson method, Error estimate

AMS(MOS): 65M12, 65M60, 35R11

arXiv:2306.02805v1 [math.NA] 5 Jun 2023

1 Introduction

Many real world problems are modeled more accurately by fractional differential equations than integer order differential equations [9]. Fractional order partial differential equations (PDEs) have numerous applications in the fields of science and engineering, such as physics, chemistry, biology and finance [6–8, 16]. In the literature, several numerical methods are available for time discretization of time fractional PDEs. Mainly, these methods can be classified as convolution quadrature (CQ) and $L1$ type schemes [24–32]. There are many real-life problems which have more than one unknown function. As a motivation, authors in [15] considered a mathematical model related to two species rabbits and foxes in an island. In this model, the rate of change of the population of one species is depend on the rate of change of the population of other species. In this article, we consider the following coupled time-fractional nonlocal diffusion equation with unknowns u and v.

Find u and v such that

$$ {}^{C}D_t^{\alpha}u(x,t) - M_1(l(u),l(v))\Delta u(x,t) = f_1(u,v) \qquad \text{in } \Omega\times(0,T], \tag{1a}$$

$$ {}^{C}D_t^{\alpha}v(x,t) - M_2(l(u),l(v))\Delta v(x,t) = f_2(u,v) \qquad \text{in } \Omega\times(0,T], \tag{1b}$$

$$ u(x,t) = v(x,t) = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega\times(0,T], \tag{1c}$$

$$ u(x,0) = v(x,0) = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1d}$$

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Institute of Infrastructure, Technology, Research and Management, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India, (pariben.kundaliya.pm@iitram.ac.in)*

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ $(d = 1, 2, 3)$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $f_1(u, v)$ and $f_2(u, v)$ represent the forcing terms, $l(u) = \int_\Omega u\, dx$, $l(v) = \int_\Omega v\, dx$, ${}^C D_t^\alpha$ represents the αth order Caputo fractional derivative [12]. Above problem (1a)-(1d) can be seen as a generalization of the integer order parabolic problem given in [1, 3] to fractional order. Problems of this kind have applications in biology, where u, v can represent the densities of two populations which are interacting through the nonlocal functions M_1 and M_2 [13, 14]. In recent years, many researchers have paid attention to the study of nonlocal PDEs [1–4, 10, 11]. In [1, 3], author solved coupled nonlocal parabolic problem using FEM. Also, for time discretization, the Backward Euler scheme [1] and the Crank-Nicolson scheme [3] are used. In [5], coupled time fractional nonlinear diffusion system is solved using Galerkin finite element scheme along with fractional Crank-Nicolson method. In [22], authors used FEM with the $L1$ scheme on uniform mesh to find the numerical solution of the time-fractional nonlocal PDE

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^C D_t^\alpha u(x,t) - \nabla\Big(a\Big(\int_\Omega u\, dx\Big)\nabla u(x,t)\Big) &= f(x,t) && \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T],\\
u(x,t) &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T],\\
u(x,0) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega.
\end{aligned}
$$

This scheme gives $O(\tau^{2-\alpha})$ (where τ denotes the time step size) convergence in time. In this article, we use the Fractional Crank-Nicolson method on uniform mesh to discretize the Caputo fractional derivative which gives $O(\tau^2)$ convergence in temporal direction. This method is first proposed by Dimitrov [18] under compatibility conditions $u \in C^3[0, T]$ and $u(0) = u_t(0) = u_{tt}(0) = 0$. Here also we assume the same conditions for unknown functions u and v. To discretize the space variable, we use the finite element method with linear bases. To handle the nonlocal term and nonlinearity, Newton's method has been used.

Throughout the paper, $C > 0$ denotes the generic constant independent of mesh parameters h and τ. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the inner product and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm on space $L^2(\Omega)$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $H^m(\Omega)$ represents the standard Sobolev space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_m$ and $H_0^1(\Omega) := \Big\{w \in H^1(\Omega) : w = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\Big\}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we write the weak formulation and fully-discrete formulation for the given problem (1). We also derive a numerical scheme to solve (1). The *a priori* bound and *a priori* error estimate for fully-discrete solutions are derived in section 3 and 4, respectively. Finally, the numerical experiments given in section 5 validate the theoretical findings.

For existence and uniqueness results as well as numerical analysis, we make the following hypotheses on the given problem data.

- H1: $M_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded with $0 < m_1 \le M_i(x, y) \le m_2$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$.

- H2: $M_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constants $L_i', K_i' > 0$.

$$|M_i(x_1, y_1) - M_i(x_2, y_2)| \leq L_i'|x_1 - x_2| + K_i'|y_1 - y_2|, \quad x_1,\, x_2,\, y_1,\, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

- H3: $f_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constants $L_i, K_i > 0$.

$$|f_i(x_1, y_1) - f_i(x_2, y_2)| \leq L_i\|x_1 - x_2\| + K_i\|y_1 - y_2\|, \quad x_1,\, x_2,\, y_1,\, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

2 Fully-discrete formulation

In this section, first we write the weak formulation of (1) and then discretize (1) in both space and time variable. The weak formulation of problem (1) is given below. Find $u(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ for each $t \in (0, T]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^C D_t^\alpha u, w) + M_1(l(u), l(v))\,(\nabla u, \nabla w) &= \big(f_1(u, v), w\big), \quad \forall w \in H_0^1(\Omega). \\ ({}^C D_t^\alpha v, \omega) + M_2(l(u), l(v))\,(\nabla v, \nabla \omega) &= \big(f_2(u, v), \omega\big), \quad \forall \omega \in H_0^1(\Omega). \\ u(x, 0) = v(x, 0) &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

By using Faedo-Galerkin method [22], one can prove under the hypotheses $H1$ and $H3$, the problem (1) has a unique solution $\{u,\, v\}$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$.
Now, to discretize equation (1a)-(1d) in space, we use finite element method. For that let Ω_h be a quasi uniform partition of Ω into disjoint intervals in $\mathbb{R}^1$ or triangles in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with step size h. Consider the M-dimensional subspace X_h of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$X_h := \Big\{ w \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}) : w_{|T_k} \in P_1(T_k),\ \forall\, T_k \in \Omega_h \text{ and } w = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \Big\}.$$

Now, we partitioned the time interval $[0, T]$ into N number of sub-intervals using uniform step size $\tau = \frac{T}{N}$. Let $\tau_N := \big\{ t_n : t_n = n\tau, \text{ for } n = 0, 1, ..., N \big\}$ be a partition of $[0, T]$. For each $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, we denote $u(t_n)$ and $v(t_n)$ by u^n and v^n, respectively. Let $U^n \approx u^n$ and $V^n \approx v^n$. Also, we set $U^{n,\alpha} = (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})U^n + \frac{\alpha}{2}U^{n-1}$, $V^{n,\alpha} = (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})V^n + \frac{\alpha}{2}V^{n-1}$.
To approximate the Caputo fractional derivative, we use the fractional Crank-Nicolson method. We know that for any function w, if $w(0) = 0$ then ${}^C D_{t_n}^\alpha w = {}^R D_{t_n}^\alpha w$, where ${}^R D_{t_n}^\alpha w$ is the αth order Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of w. Authors in [17] derived the following approximation to ${}^R D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha w$ which gives $O(\tau^2)$ convergence in time.

$${}^C D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha w \;=\; {}^R D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha w \;\approx\; D_\tau^\alpha w^n \;:=\; \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{n-j}\, \phi^j, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \tag{4}$$

where

$$b_k = (-1)^k \, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(k + 1)\Gamma(\alpha - k + 1)}. \tag{5}$$

Lemma 2.1. *[17–20] If $w \in C^3[0,T]$ and $w(0)=0$, $w_t(0)=0$, $w_{tt}(0)=0$, then the error $\| {}^C D^{\alpha}_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} w - D^{\alpha}_{\tau} w^n \|$ satisfies*

$$\| {}^C D^{\alpha}_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} w - D^{\alpha}_{\tau} w^n \| \le C\tau^2. \tag{6}$$

The fully-discrete scheme for (1) is: For each $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, find U^n and $V^n \in X_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big({}^C D^{\alpha}_{\tau} U^n, w \big) + M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)\big(\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w\big) = \big(f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), w\big), \quad \forall w \in X_h,\\
&\big({}^C D^{\alpha}_{\tau} V^n, \omega \big) + M_2\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)\big(\nabla V^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \omega\big) = \big(f_2(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), \omega\big), \quad \forall \omega \in X_h,\\
&\qquad\qquad U^0 = 0, \quad V^0 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Using the definition of ${}^C D^{\alpha}_{\tau}$, (7) can be rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(U^n, w) + M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)(\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w) &= \big(f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), w\big)\\
&\quad - \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{n-j}(U^{n,\alpha}, w_h),\\
\tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(V^n, \omega) + M_2\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)(\nabla V^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \omega) &= \big(f_2(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), \omega\big)\\
&\quad - \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{n-j}\big(V^j, \omega\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Let $\{\psi_i(x)\}_{1\le i\le M}$ be the M dimensional basis of X_h associated with nodes of Ω_h. Therefore, for U^n, $V^n \in X_h$, we can find some $\beta_i^n,\ \gamma_i^n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$U^n = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \beta_i^n \psi_i, \quad V^n = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \gamma_i^n \psi_i\ . \tag{9}$$

Define $\beta^n := [\beta_1^n, \beta_2^n, \ldots, \beta_M^n]'$ and $\gamma^n := [\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, \ldots, \gamma_M^n]'$.
Now, substituting the values of $U^n,\ V^n$ from (9) into (8), we obtain the nonlinear algebraic equations

$$\begin{aligned}
G_i(\beta^n, \gamma^n) &= G_i(U^n, V^n) = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le M,\\
H_i(\beta^n, \gamma^n) &= H_i(U^n, V^n) = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le M,
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_i(U^n, V^n) = \tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(U^n, \psi_i) + \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{n-j}(U^j, \psi_i) - \big(f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), \psi_i\big) \\
+ M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)(\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \psi_i), \\
H_i(U^n, V^n) = \tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(V^n, \psi_i) + \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{n-j}(V^j, \psi_i) - \big(f_2(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), \psi_i\big) \\
+ M_2\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)(\nabla V^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \psi_i).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}
$$

If we apply Newton's method to solve (10), we get the Jacobian matrix J_1 as follows:

$$
J_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}, \tag{12}
$$

where the elements of the matrices A, B, C and D take the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A)_{ki} = \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial \beta_k^n} &= \tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(\psi_k, \psi_i) + \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big) M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)(\nabla \psi_k, \nabla \psi_i) \\
&+ \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big) \left(\frac{\partial M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)}{\partial l(U^n)} \right) \left(\int_\Omega \psi_k \, dx \right) (\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \psi_i) \\
&- \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big) \left(\frac{\partial f_1(U^n, V^n)}{\partial U^n} \psi_k, \psi_i \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{13}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(B)_{ki} = \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial \gamma_k^n} &= \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big) \left(\frac{\partial M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)}{\partial l(V^n)} \right) \left(\int_\Omega \psi_k \, dx \right) (\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \psi_i) \\
&- \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big) \left(\frac{\partial f_1(U^n, V^n)}{\partial V^n} \psi_k, \psi_i \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{14}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(C)_{pi} = \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial \beta_p^n} &= \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big) \left(\frac{\partial M_2\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)}{\partial l(U^n)} \right) \left(\int_\Omega \psi_p \, dx \right) (\nabla V^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \psi_i) \\
&- \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big) \left(\frac{\partial f_2(U^n, V^n)}{\partial U^n} \psi_p, \psi_i \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{15}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(D)_{pi} \;=\; \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial \gamma_p^n} =& \tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(\psi_p,\psi_i) + M_2\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)(\nabla\psi_p, \nabla\psi_i) \\
&+ \Big(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\left(\frac{\partial M_2\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)}{\partial l(V^n)}\right)\left(\int_\Omega \psi_p\, dx\right)(\nabla V^{n,\alpha}, \nabla\psi_i) \\
&- \Big(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\left(\frac{\partial f_2(U^n, V^n)}{\partial V^n}\psi_p, \psi_i\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{16}
$$

where $1 \le i,\ k,\ p \le M$. From equations (13)-(16), we can observe that none of the matrices A, B, C, D are sparse and therefore Jacobian matrix J_1 is not sparse [1–4]. We follow the idea given in [1–4] to overcome above issue of sparsity. This idea was first proposed in [21] to solve nonlocal elliptic boundary value problem. The modified problem is defined as follows:

Find $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $U^n, V^n \in X_h$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l(U^{n,\alpha}) - d_1 = 0, \qquad l(V^{n,\alpha}) - d_2 = 0, \\
& \tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(U^n,\psi_i) + M_1(d_1,d_2)(\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla\psi_i) - \big(f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), \psi_i\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{n-j}(U^j,\psi_i) = 0, \\
& \tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(V^n,\psi_i) + M_2(d_1,d_2)(\nabla V^{n,\alpha}, \nabla\psi_i) - \big(f_2(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), \psi_i\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{n-j}(V^j,\psi_i) = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{17}
$$

Note that if (d_1, d_2, U^n, V^n) is the solution of the problem (17), then $\{U^n, V^n\}$ is the solution of the problem (8) and the converse is also true [4].

Now, to solve equation (17) by using Newton's method, we rewrite (17) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_i(U^n, V^n, d_1, d_2) = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le M+1, \\
& H_i(U^n, V^n, d_1, d_2) = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le M+1,
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_i(U^n, V^n, d_1, d_2) \;&= \tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(U^n, \psi_i) + \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{n-j}(U^j, \psi_i) - \big(f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), \psi_i\big) \\
&\quad + M_1(d_1, d_2)(\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \psi_i), \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le M, \\
G_{(M+1)}(U^n, V^n, d_1, d_2) \;&= \; l(U^{n,\alpha}) - d_1 = 0, \\
H_i(U^n, V^n, d_1, d_2) \;&= \tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(V^n, \psi_i) + \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{n-j}(V^j, \psi_i) - \big(f_2(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), \psi_i\big) \\
&\quad + M_2(d_1, d_2)(\nabla V^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \psi_i), \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le M, \\
H_{(M+1)}(U^n, V^n, d_1, d_2) \;&= \; l(V^{n,\alpha}) - d_2 = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{19}
$$

Now, applying Newton's method to the system of equations (18), we get the following matrix equation:

$$
J \begin{bmatrix} \beta^n \\ \gamma^n \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_1 & C_1 & D_1 \\ A_2 & B_2 & C_2 & D_2 \\ A_3 & B_3 & C_3 & D_3 \\ A_4 & B_4 & C_4 & D_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta^n \\ \gamma^n \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{G} \\ \bar{H} \\ G_{(M+1)} \\ H_{(M+1)} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{20}
$$

where J denotes the Jacobian matrix, $\beta^n = [\beta_1^n, \beta_2^n, ..., \beta_M^n]'$, $\gamma^n = [\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, ..., \gamma_M^n]'$, $\bar{G} = [G_1, G_2, ..., G_M]'$, $\bar{H} = [H_1, H_2, ..., H_M]'$ and entries of matrices A_r, B_r, C_r, D_r, $(r = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are given below. For $1 \le i, k \le M$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A_1)_{ik} &= \tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(\psi_k, \psi_i) + \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\left\{ M_1(d_1, d_2)(\nabla \psi_k, \nabla \psi_i) - \left(\frac{\partial f_1(U^n, V^n)}{\partial U^n} \psi_k, \psi_i \right) \right\}, \\
(B_1)_{ik} &= -\Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\left(\frac{\partial f_1(U^n, V^n)}{\partial V^n} \psi_k, \psi_i \right), \\
(C_1)_{i1} &= \frac{\partial M_1}{\partial d_1}(d_1, d_2)\, (\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \psi_i), \qquad (D_1)_{i1} \;= \frac{\partial M_1}{\partial d_2}(d_1, d_2)\, (\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \psi_i).
\end{aligned}
\tag{21}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A_2)_{ik} &= -\Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\left(\frac{\partial f_2(U^n, V^n)}{\partial U^n} \psi_k, \psi_i \right), \\
(B_2)_{ik} &= \tau^{-\alpha}\, b_0(\psi_k, \psi_i) + \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\left\{ M_2(d_1, d_2)(\nabla \psi_k, \nabla \psi_i) - \left(\frac{\partial f_2(U^n, V^n)}{\partial V^n} \psi_k, \psi_i \right) \right\}, \\
(C_2)_{i1} &= \frac{\partial M_2}{\partial d_1}(d_1, d_2)\, (\nabla V^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \psi_i), \qquad (D_2)_{i1} \;= \frac{\partial M_2}{\partial d_2}(d_1, d_2)\, (\nabla V^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \psi_i).
\end{aligned}
\tag{22}
$$

$$(A_3)_{1k} = \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big) \int_\Omega \psi_k \, dx, \qquad (B_3)_{1k} = 0, \qquad (C_3)_{11} = -1, \qquad (D_3)_{11} = 0. \tag{23}$$

$$(A_4)_{1k} = 0, \qquad (B_4)_{1k} = \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big) \int_\Omega \psi_k \, dx, \qquad (C_4)_{11} = 0, \qquad (D_4)_{11} = -1. \tag{24}$$

From equations (21)-(24), it can be seen that A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2 are sparse matrices [3–5] and hence J is a sparse matrix.

3 *A priori* bound

In this section, we provide *a priori* bound for the fully-discrete scheme (7). For this we need following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *[20] For any function $\phi(\cdot, t)$ defined on τ_N, one has*

$$\frac{1}{2} {}^C D_\tau^\alpha \|\phi^n\|^2 \le \big({}^C D_\tau^\alpha \phi^n, \, \phi^{n,\alpha}\big), \tag{25}$$

where $\phi^{n,\alpha} := (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\phi^n + \frac{\alpha}{2}\phi^{n-1}$, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

For derivation of *a priori* bound and error estimate, we also use following Discrete fractional Grönwall type inequality.

Lemma 3.2. *[20] Suppose the nonnegative sequences $\{\omega^n, \phi^n | n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ satisfy*

$${}^C D_\tau^\alpha \omega^n \le \lambda_1 \omega^n + \lambda_2 \omega^{n-1} + \phi^n, \quad n \ge 1,$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are nonnegative constants. Then there exists a positive constant $\tau^\star$ such that when $\tau \le \tau^\star$,

$$\omega^n \le 2\Big(\omega^0 + \frac{t_n^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \max_{0 \le j \le n} \phi^j\Big) E_\alpha(2\lambda t_n^\alpha), \quad 1 \le n \le N,$$

where $E_\alpha(z)$ is the Mittag-Leffler function and $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2 - 2^{(1-\alpha)}}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (U^n, V^n) (for $1 \le n \le N$) be the solution of (7). Then there exists a positive constant τ^* (independent of h) such that when $\tau \le \tau^*$, U^n, V^n satisfy*

$$\|U^n\| + \|V^n\| \le C, \tag{26}$$

$$\|\nabla U^n\| + \|\nabla V^n\| \le C, \tag{27}$$

Proof. For $\forall w \in X_h$, from (7), we have

$$\big({}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}, w\big) + M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)(\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w) = \big(f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), w\big). \tag{28}$$

Choosing $w = U^{n,\alpha}$ and then using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality along with the inequality $ab \leq \frac{1}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2}b^2$, we can obtain

$$\big({}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}, U^{n,\alpha}\big) + M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)\|\nabla U^{n,\alpha}\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\big(\|f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha})\|^2 + \|U^{n,\alpha}\|^2\big). \tag{29}$$

Since f_1 is Lipschitz continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\|f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha})\| - \|f_1(0,0)\|\Big| &\leq \|f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}) - f_1(0,0)\| \\ &\leq L_1\,\|U^{n,\alpha}\| + K_1\,\|V^{n,\alpha}\|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha})\| \;\leq\; \|f_1(0,0)\| + L_1\,\|U^{n,\alpha}\| + K_1\,\|V^{n,\alpha}\| \;\leq\; C\,\big(1 + \|U^{n,\alpha}\| + \|V^{n,\alpha}\|\big). \tag{30}$$

By using the bound of M_1 and from equation (30), we can write equation (29) as

$$\begin{aligned}\big({}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}, U^{n,\alpha}\big) + m_1\,\|\nabla U^{n,\alpha}\|^2 \leq C\big((1 + \|U^{n,\alpha}\| + \|V^{n,\alpha}\|)^2 + \|U^{n,\alpha}\|^2\big), \\ ({}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n,\alpha}, U^{n,\alpha}) \leq C\big(1 + \|U^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|V^{n,\alpha}\|^2\big).\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

An application of Lemma 3.1 in (31), gives

$${}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}\|U^{n}\|^2 \leq C\big(1 + \|U^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|V^{n,\alpha}\|^2\big). \tag{32}$$

Similarly, we can get the estimate for V^n as follows:

$${}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}\|V^{n}\|^2 \leq C\big(1 + \|V^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|U^{n,\alpha}\|^2\big). \tag{33}$$

Adding (32) and (33), we get

$${}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}(\|U^{n}\|^2 + \|V^{n}\|^2) \leq C\big(1 + \|U^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|V^{n,\alpha}\|^2\big). \tag{34}$$

Using Lemma 3.2 in (34), we can arrive at

$$\|U^{n}\|^2 + \|V^{n}\|^2 \leq C. \tag{35}$$

For $a, b \geq 0$, using $\frac{1}{2}(a+b)^2 \leq a^2 + b^2$ in (35), we obtain

$$\|U^{n}\| + \|V^{n}\| \leq C.$$

Now, we choose $w = {}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}$ and then use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in (28) to obtain

$$\|{}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}\|^{2} + M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)\big(\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla\, {}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}\big) \leq \|f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha})\|\|{}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}\|. \tag{36}$$

We divide both sides of (36) by $M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)$ and then use bound of M_1 to get

$$\frac{1}{m_2}\|{}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}\|^{2} + (\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla\, {}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}) \leq \frac{1}{m_1}\big(\|f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha})\|\|{}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}\|\big). \tag{37}$$

An application of inequality $ab \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}b^2$ $\big(\text{with } \epsilon = m_2\big)$ gives

$$\frac{1}{m_2}\|{}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}\|^{2} + (\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla\, {}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}) \leq \frac{m_2}{2m_1^2}\,\|f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha})\|^2 + \frac{1}{2m_2}\|{}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}U^{n}\|. \tag{38}$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and (30) with Poincaré inequality in (38), we can arrive at

$${}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}\|\nabla U^{n}\|^{2} \leq C\big(1 + \|\nabla U^{n,\alpha}\|^{2} + \|\nabla V^{n,\alpha}\|^{2}\big). \tag{39}$$

Similarly, we get an estimate for V^n as

$${}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}\|\nabla V^{n}\|^{2} \leq C\big(1 + \|\nabla V^{n,\alpha}\|^{2} + \|\nabla U^{n,\alpha}\|^{2}\big). \tag{40}$$

On adding (39) and (40), we get

$${}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}(\|\nabla U^{n}\|^{2} + \|\nabla V^{n}\|^{2}) \leq C\big(1 + \|\nabla U^{n,\alpha}\|^{2} + \|\nabla V^{n,\alpha}\|^{2}\big). \tag{41}$$

An application of Lemma 3.2 to (41) gives required result

$$\|\nabla U^{n}\| + \|\nabla V^{n}\| \leq C.$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $U^0,\ U^1, \ldots, U^{n-1}$ and $V^0,\ V^1, \ldots, V^{n-1}$ are given. Then there exists a positive constant τ^* (independent of h) such that when $\tau \leq \tau^*$, the problem (7) has a unique solution U^n, $V^n \in X_h$, for all $1 \leq n \leq N$.*

Proof. The proof follows in similar lines as given in of [5, Theorem 1]. □

4 *A priori* error estimate

In order to derive convergence estimate, we use Ritz projection operator [23] given by

$$(\nabla\phi, \nabla w) = (\nabla R_h\phi, \nabla w), \quad \forall\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega),\ w \in X_h. \tag{42}$$

In following Theorem, we state an approximation property for the operator R_h which will be useful in the derivation of *a priori* error estimate.

Theorem 4.1. *[23] There exists $C > 0$, independent of h such that*

$$\|\phi - R_h\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + h\,\|\nabla(\phi - R_h\phi)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le Ch^2\,\|\Delta\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \forall\phi \in H^2(\Omega)\cap H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{43}$$

Using the intermediate projection R_h, we split the error into two parts as

$$\begin{aligned} u(x,t_n) - U^n = u^n - U^n &= (u^n - R_h u^n) + (R_h u^n - U^n) = \zeta_1^n + \chi_1^n, \\ v(x,t_n) - V^n = v^n - V^n &= (v^n - R_h v^n) + (R_h v^n - V^n) = \zeta_2^n + \chi_2^n. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let (U^n, V^n) be the solution of fully-discrete scheme (7). Then under the sufficient regularity assumptions on the solution $u,\ v \in C^3([0,T];L^2(\Omega)) \cap C^2([0,T];H^2(\Omega)\cap H_0^1(\Omega))$, $\left(\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i}\right)_{t=0} = \left(\frac{\partial^i v}{\partial t^i}\right)_{t=0} = 0$, for $i = 0,1,2$ of (1a)-(1d), there exists a positive constant τ^* (independent of h) such that when $\tau \le \tau^*$, the following estimates hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^n - U^n\| + \|v^n - V^n\| &\le C\left(h^2 + \tau^2\right), \\ \|\nabla u^n - \nabla U^n\| + \|\nabla v^n - \nabla V^n\| &\le C\left(h + \tau^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

Proof. For any $w \in X_h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left({}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n, w\right) + M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)\left(\nabla\chi_1^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w\right) \\ &= \left({}^C D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n, w\right) + M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)\left(\nabla R_h u^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w\right) - \left({}^C D_\tau^\alpha U^n, w\right) \\ &\quad - M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)\left(\nabla U^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w\right). \\ &= \left({}^C D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n, w\right) + M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)\left(\nabla u^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w\right) - \left(f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), w\right) \\ &\quad + \left(f_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), w\right) - \left({}^C D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha u, w\right) - M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\left(\nabla u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \nabla w\right) \\ &\quad + M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\left(\nabla u^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w\right) - M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\left(\nabla u^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w\right) \\ &= \left({}^C D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n - {}^C D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha u, w\right) + M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\left(\nabla u^{n,\alpha} - \nabla u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \nabla w\right) \\ &\quad + \Big\{M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big) - M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\Big\}\left(\nabla u^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w\right) \\ &\quad + \left(f_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), w\right). \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Setting $w = \chi_1^{n,\alpha}$ in (45) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left({}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n, \chi_1^{n,\alpha}\right) + M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)\left(\nabla\chi_1^{n,\alpha}, \nabla\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\right) \\ &= \left({}^C D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n - {}^C D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha u,\ \chi_1^{n,\alpha}\right) + M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\left(\nabla u^{n,\alpha} - \nabla u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \nabla\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\right) \\ &\quad + \Big\{M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big) - M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\Big\}\left(\nabla u^{n,\alpha}, \nabla\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\right) \\ &\quad + \left(f_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}),\ \chi_1^{n,\alpha}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Also, from the assumptions on solution u and v, we can find R_u, $R_v > 0$ such that

$$\|u^{n,\alpha}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \le R_u, \quad \|v^{n,\alpha}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \le R_v. \tag{47}$$

Using the bound of M_1, (47) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in (46), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left({}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n, \chi_1^{n,\alpha}\right) + m_1 \|\nabla \chi_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 \\
&\le \|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n - {}^C D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha u\| \, \|\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\| + m_2 \|\nabla u^{n,\alpha} - \nabla u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| \, \|\nabla \chi_1^{n,\alpha}\| \\
&\quad + R_u \left| M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big) - M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\right| \|\nabla \chi_1^{n,\alpha}\| \\
&\quad + \left\| f_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha})\right\| \|\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Applying Poincaré inequality and the inequality $ab \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}b^2$ $\left(\text{with } \epsilon = \frac{4}{m_1}\right)$ to (48), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left({}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n, \chi_1^{n,\alpha}\right) + m_1 \|\nabla \chi_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 \\
&\le \frac{2}{m_1} \|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n - {}^C D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha u\|^2 + \frac{2}{m_1} \left\| f_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha})\right\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{2R_u^2}{m_1} \left| M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big) - M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\right|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{2\,m_2^2}{m_1} \|\nabla u^{n,\alpha} - \nabla u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2 + \frac{m_1}{2} \|\nabla \chi_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Lipschitz continuity of functions M_1 and f_1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big) - M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\right| \\
&\le L_1' \left| l(U^{n,\alpha}) - l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\right| + K_1' \left| l(V^{n,\alpha}) - l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\right| \\
&\le L_1' C \|U^{n,\alpha} - u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| + K_1' C \|V^{n,\alpha} - v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| \\
&\le C \left\{ \|\zeta_1^{n,\alpha}\| + \|\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\| + \|\zeta_2^{n,\alpha}\| + \|\chi_2^{n,\alpha}\| + \|u^{n,\alpha} - u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| + \|v^{n,\alpha} - v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| f_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha})\right\| \\
&\le L_1 C \|U^{n,\alpha} - u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| + K_1 C \|V^{n,\alpha} - v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| \\
&\le C \left\{ \|\zeta_1^{n,\alpha}\| + \|\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\| + \|\zeta_2^{n,\alpha}\| + \|\chi_2^{n,\alpha}\| + \|u^{n,\alpha} - u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| + \|v^{n,\alpha} - v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Thus, from equations (49)-(51) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^C D_\tau^\alpha \|\chi_1^n\|^2 \le C \Big\{ &\|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n - {}^C D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha u\|^2 + \|\nabla u^{n,\alpha} - \nabla u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2 + \|\zeta_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 \\
&+ \|\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|\zeta_2^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|\chi_2^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|u^{n,\alpha} - u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2 + \|v^{n,\alpha} - v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2 \Big\},
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where C is dependent on m_1, m_2, R_u, L_1', K_1', L_1, K_1.
Now, it follows from Taylor's theorem that

$$\|u^{n,\alpha} - u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\,\tau \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \|u_{tt}(\eta)\|\,d\eta \leq C\,\tau^2. \tag{53}$$

Similarly,

$$\|v^{n,\alpha} - v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| \leq C\,\tau^2, \qquad \|\nabla u^{n,\alpha} - \nabla u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| \leq C\,\tau^2. \tag{54}$$

From Lemma 2.1 and (43), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\,{}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha} R_h u^n - {}^{C}D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\alpha} u\| \leq& \|\,{}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha} R_h u^n - {}^{C}D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\alpha} R_h u\| + \|\,{}^{C}D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\alpha} R_h u - {}^{C}D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\alpha} u\| \\ \leq& C\,\big(\tau^2 + h^2\big).\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Using (43) and (53)-(55) in (52), we get

$${}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}\|\chi_1^n\|^2 \leq C\big(\|\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|\chi_2^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \big(h^2+\tau^2\big)^2\big). \tag{56}$$

Similarly, we can get an estimate for $\|\chi_2^{n,\alpha}\|$ as follows:

$${}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}\|\chi_2^n\|^2 \leq C\big(\|\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|\chi_2^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \big(h^2+\tau^2\big)^2\big). \tag{57}$$

Therefore, from (56) and (57)

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}\big(\|\chi_1^n\|^2 + \|\chi_2^n\|^2\big) \leq& C\Big(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)^2\big(\|\chi_1^n\|^2 + \|\chi_2^n\|^2\big) + \frac{C\,\alpha^2}{4}\big(\|\chi_1^{n-1}\|^2 + \|\chi_2^{n-1}\|^2\big) \\ &+ C\,\big(h^2+\tau^2\big)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

An application of Lemma 3.2 $\Big($with $\lambda_1 = C\,\big(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\big)^2$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{C\,\alpha^2}{4}$, $\phi^n = C\,(h^2+\tau^2)^2\Big)$ gives

$$\|\chi_1^n\|^2 + \|\chi_2^n\|^2 \leq C\,\big(h^2+\tau^2\big)^2, \tag{59}$$

where C is dependent on $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \alpha, T$.
Therefore,

$$\|\chi_1^n\| + \|\chi_2^n\| \leq C\,\big(h^2+\tau^2\big). \tag{60}$$

Finally, applying the triangle inequality, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}\|u^n - U^n\| + \|v^n - V^n\| \leq& \|\zeta_1^n\| + \|\chi_1^n\| + \|\zeta_2^n\| + \|\chi_2^n\| \\ \leq& C\,\big(h^2+\tau^2\big).\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

In order to derive an error estimate in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ norm, we rewrite (45) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big({}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n, w\big) \;+\; M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)\,(\nabla \chi_1^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w) \\
&= \big({}^C D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n - {}^C D^\alpha_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} u, w\big) + M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)(\Delta u^{n,\alpha} - \Delta u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, w) \\
&\quad + \Big\{M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big) - M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\Big\}(\Delta u^{n,\alpha}, w) \\
&\quad + \big(f_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), w\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

We choose $w = {}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n$ in (62) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big({}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n, {}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big) \;+\; M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)\,\big(\nabla \chi_1^{n,\alpha}, \nabla {}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big) \\
&= \big({}^C D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n - {}^C D^\alpha_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} u, {}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big) + \big(f_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha}), {}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big) \\
&\quad + \Big\{M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big) - M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\Big\}\big(\Delta u^{n,\alpha}, {}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big) \\
&\quad + M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\big(\Delta u^{n,\alpha} - \Delta u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, {}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Now, dividing (63) by $M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big)$ and then using bound of M_1, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we can arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{m_2}\big\|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big\|^2 + \big(\nabla \chi_1^{n,\alpha}, \nabla\, {}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big) \\
&\le \frac{1}{m_1}\big\|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n - {}^C D^\alpha_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} u\big\|\,\big\|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big\| + \frac{m_2}{m_1}\big\|\Delta u^{n,\alpha} - \Delta u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\big\|\,\big\|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big\| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m_1}\big|M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big) - M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\big|\,\big\|\Delta u^{n,\alpha}\big\|\,\big\|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big\| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m_1}\big\|f_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha})\big\|\,\big\|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Further for $a, b > 0$, using the inequality $ab \le \frac{a^2}{2\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon b^2}{2}$, with $\epsilon = 4\,m_2$ and from (47), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{m_2}\big\|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big\|^2 + \big(\nabla \chi_1^{n,\alpha}, \nabla\, {}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big) \\
&\le \frac{2\,m_2}{m_1^2}\big\|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n - {}^C D^\alpha_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} u\big\|^2 + \frac{2\,m_2^2}{m_1^2}\big\|\Delta u^{n,\alpha} - \Delta u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\big\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{2R_u^2\,m_2}{m_1^2}\big|M_1\big(l(U^{n,\alpha}), l(V^{n,\alpha})\big) - M_1\big(l(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), l(v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\big)\big|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{2\,m_2}{m_1^2}\big\|f_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - f_1(U^{n,\alpha}, V^{n,\alpha})\big\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\,m_2}\big\|{}^C D_\tau^\alpha \chi_1^n\big\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Using (50) and (51) along with Poincaré inequality in (65), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\nabla\chi_1^{n,\alpha}, \nabla\, {}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}\chi_1^{n}\right) \leq C\Big\{&\big\| {}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}R_h u^n - {}^{C}D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\alpha} u\big\|^2 + \big\|\Delta u^{n,\alpha} - \Delta u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\big\|^2 \\ &+\|\nabla\zeta_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|\nabla\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|\nabla\zeta_2^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|\nabla\chi_2^{n,\alpha}\|^2 \\ &+\|u^{n,\alpha} - u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2 + \|v^{n,\alpha} - v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

where C is dependent on m_1, m_2, L_1', K_1', L_1, K_1, R_u.
Also, from Taylor's theorem, we have

$$\|\Delta u^{n,\alpha} - \Delta u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\| \leq C\,\tau^2. \tag{67}$$

Using Lemma 3.1, (43), (53)-(55) and (67) in (66), we can obtain

$${}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}\|\nabla\chi_1^n\|^2 \leq C\big(\|\nabla\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|\nabla\chi_2^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \big(h+\tau^2\big)^2\big). \tag{68}$$

Similarly, one can obtain an estimate for $\|\nabla\chi_2^{n,\alpha}\|$ as follows:

$${}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}\|\nabla\chi_2^n\|^2 \leq C\big(\|\nabla\chi_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|\nabla\chi_2^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \big(h+\tau^2\big)^2\big). \tag{69}$$

Adding (68) and (69), we get

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{C}D_{\tau}^{\alpha}\big(\|\nabla\chi_1^n\|^2 + \|\nabla\chi_2^n\|^2\big) \leq\, &C\left(h+\tau^2\right)^2 + C\Big(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)^2\big(\|\nabla\chi_1^n\|^2 + \|\nabla\chi_2^n\|^2\big) \\ &+ \frac{C\,\alpha^2}{4}\big(\|\nabla\chi_1^{n-1}\|^2 + \|\nabla\chi_2^{n-1}\|^2\big).\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 $\Big($with $\lambda_1 = C\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{C\,\alpha^2}{4}$, $\phi^n = C\,(h+\tau^2)^2\Big)$, we can get

$$\|\nabla\chi_1^n\|^2 + \|\nabla\chi_2^n\|^2 \leq C\left(h+\tau^2\right)^2, \tag{71}$$

where C is dependent on $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \alpha, T$.
Finally, we use the Triangle inequality together with the estimates (71), (43) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u^n - \nabla U^n\| + \|\nabla v^n - \nabla V^n\| &\leq \|\nabla\zeta_1^n\| + \|\nabla\chi_1^n\| + \|\nabla\zeta_2^n\| + \|\nabla\chi_2^n\| \\ &\leq C\left(h+\tau^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.1. *In present work, the convergence of the proposed scheme is proved without considering the weak singularity of the solution. We leave the convergence analysis of weak singularity case as a future work.*

5 Numerical experiments

In this section, we perform some numerical experiments by considering two different problems with known exact solutions. In both problems, we take the final time $T = 1$ and tolerance $\epsilon = 10^{-7}$ for stopping Newton's iteration. We denote the number of sub-intervals in time by N. Moreover, let $(M_s + 1)$ be the number of node points in each spatial direction. In order to obtain the order of convergence in spatial direction in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H_0^1(\Omega)$ norms, we take $N = M_s$ for different values of M_s. Similarly, to calculate the convergence rate in temporal direction in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm, we take $M_s = N$ for different values of N.

Example 1. *For our first example, in* (1)*, we consider the spatial domain* $\Omega = (0, 1)$*,* $M_1(z, w) = 3 + \sin z + \cos w$*,* $M_2(z, w) = 5 + \cos z + \sin w$*. The functions* f_1 *and* f_2 *are chosen such that the analytical solutions of the equation* (1) *be* $u(x, t) = t^{2+\alpha} \sin 2\pi x$ *and* $v(x, t) = t^{3-\alpha} \sin \pi x$*.*

Error and convergence rate in the spatial direction in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H_0^1(\Omega)$ norms are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Furthermore, Table 3 shows errors and convergence rates in the temporal direction in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm.

	M_s	$\|u^n - U^n\|$	Rate	$\|v^n - V^n\|$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.4$	2^6	7.17E-04	1.999438471	1.60E-04	2.000114366
	2^7	1.79E-04	1.999759291	3.99E-05	2.000074895
	2^8	4.48E-05	1.99989373	9.98E-06	2.000038509
	2^9	1.12E-05	-	2.49E-06	-
$\alpha = 0.7$	2^6	7.21E-04	1.999524396	1.58E-04	2.000010343
	2^7	1.80E-04	1.999830784	3.95E-05	2.00001483
	2^8	4.51E-05	1.999928113	9.89E-06	2.000000769
	2^9	1.13E-05	-	2.47E-06	-

Table 1: (Example-1) *Error and convergence rate in spatial direction in* $L^2(\Omega)$ *norm.*

	M_s	$\|u^n - U^n\|$	Rate	$\|v^n - V^n\|$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.4$	2^6	1.26E-01	0.999784124	3.15E-02	0.99994853
	2^7	6.30E-02	0.999946033	1.57E-02	0.999987136
	2^8	3.15E-02	0.999986509	7.87E-03	0.999996784
	2^9	1.57E-02	-	3.93E-03	-
$\alpha = 0.7$	2^6	1.26E-01	0.999784473	3.15E-02	0.999948918
	2^7	6.30E-02	0.99994612	1.57E-02	0.999987232
	2^8	3.15E-02	0.99998653	7.87E-03	0.999996808
	2^9	1.57E-02	-	3.93E-03	-

Table 2: (Example-1) *Error and convergence rate in spatial direction in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ norm.*

	N	$\|u^n - U^n\|$	Rate	$\|v^n - V^n\|$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.4$	2^6	7.17E-04	1.999438471	1.60E-04	2.000114366
	2^7	1.79E-04	1.999759291	3.99E-05	2.000074895
	2^8	4.48E-05	1.99989373	9.98E-06	2.000038509
	2^9	1.12E-05	-	2.49E-06	-
$\alpha = 0.7$	2^6	7.21E-04	1.999524396	1.58E-04	2.000010343
	2^7	1.80E-04	1.999830784	3.95E-05	2.00001483
	2^8	4.51E-05	1.999928113	9.89E-06	2.000000769
	2^9	1.13E-05	-	2.47E-06	-

Table 3: (Example-1) *Error and convergence rate in temporal direction in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm.*

Example 2. *In this example, we take $\Omega = (0,1)\times(0,1)$, $M_1(z,w) = 3+\sin z+\cos w$, $M_2(z,w) = 5+\cos z+\sin w$. We choose f_1 and f_2 such that the analytical solution of equation (1) be $u(x,y,t) = t^3 \sin 2\pi x \sin 2\pi y$ and $v(x,y,t) = t^4 \sin \pi x \sin \pi y$.*

Error and convergence rate in the spatial direction in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H_0^1(\Omega)$ norms are given in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. Table 6 shows errors and convergence rates in the temporal direction in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm. For $\alpha = 0.5$, the graphs of exact and numerical solutions are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

	M_s	$\|u^n - U^n\|$	Rate	$\|v^n - V^n\|$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.5$	2^3	8.76E-02	1.910229823	2.05E-02	1.983174711
	2^4	2.33E-02	1.976537254	5.18E-03	1.996676573
	2^5	5.92E-03	1.993876169	1.30E-03	1.999788928
	2^6	1.49E-03	-	3.24E-04	-
$\alpha = 0.9$	2^3	8.77E-02	1.910535849	1.99E-02	1.981811644
	2^4	2.33E-02	1.976942804	5.04E-03	1.995308014
	2^5	5.92E-03	1.994131588	1.26E-03	1.998953039
	2^6	1.49E-03	-	3.16E-04	-

Table 4: (Example-2) *Error and convergence rate in spatial direction in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm.*

	M_s	$\|u^n - U^n\|$	Rate	$\|v^n - V^n\|$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.5$	2^3	1.28E+00	0.986482547	3.24E-01	0.99590991
	2^4	6.48E-01	0.996624338	1.62E-01	0.99896682
	2^5	3.25E-01	0.999158027	8.13E-02	0.999740748
	2^6	1.63E-01	-	4.06E-02	-
$\alpha = 0.9$	2^3	1.28E+00	0.986646867	3.24E-01	0.995636078
	2^4	6.48E-01	0.996659575	1.62E-01	0.998904181
	2^5	3.25E-01	0.999164853	8.13E-02	0.999725974
	2^6	1.63E-01	-	4.06E-02	-

Table 5: (Example-2) *Error and convergence rate in spatial direction in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ norm.*

	N	$\|u^n - U^n\|$	Rate	$\|v^n - V^n\|$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.5$	2^3	8.76E-02	1.910229823	2.05E-02	1.983174711
	2^4	2.33E-02	1.976537254	5.18E-03	1.996676573
	2^5	5.92E-03	1.993876169	1.30E-03	1.999788928
	2^6	1.49E-03	-	3.24E-04	-
$\alpha = 0.9$	2^3	8.77E-02	1.910535849	1.99E-02	1.981811644
	2^4	2.33E-02	1.976942804	5.04E-03	1.995308014
	2^5	5.92E-03	1.994131588	1.26E-03	1.998953039
	2^6	1.49E-03	-	3.16E-04	-

Table 6: (Example-2) *Error and convergence rate in temporal direction in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm.*



Figure 1: (Example-2) *The exact solution u and numerical solution U for $\alpha = 0.5$.*



Figure 2: (Example-2) *The exact solution v and numerical solution V for $\alpha = 0.5$.*

Declarations:

Conflict of interest- The author declares no competing interests.

References

[1] S. Chaudhary, *Finite element analysis of nonlocal coupled parabolic problem using Newton's method*, Comput. Math. Appl. 75-3 (2018), 981-1003.

[2] S. Chaudhary, V. Srivastava, V. V. K. Srinivas Kumar, B. Srinivasan, *Finite element approximation of nonlocal parabolic problem*, Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Eq., 33 (2017) 786-313.

[3] S. Chaudhary, *Crank-Nicolson-Galerkin finite element scheme for nonlocal coupled parabolic problem using the Newton method*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 41-2 (2018), 724-749.

[4] S. Chaudhary, P. J. Kundaliya, *L1 scheme on graded mesh for subdiffusion equation with nonlocal diffusion term*, Math. Comput. Simul., 195 (2022), 119-137.

[5] D. Kumar, S. Chaudhary, V. V. K. Srinivas Kumar, *Galerkin finite element schemes with fractional Crank-Nicolson method for the coupled time-fractional nonlinear diffusion system*, Comput. Appl. Math., 38, Article number: 123 (2019).

[6] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, Academic press, (1999).

[7] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, Elsevier, (2006).

[8] B. J. West, *Fractional calculus in bioengineering*, J. Stat. Phys., 126 (2007):1285-1286.

[9] Y. Luchko, M. Rivero, J. Trujillo, M. Pilar Velasco, *Fractional models, nonlocality, and complex systems*, Comput. Math. Appl., 59-3 (2010), 1048-1056.

[10] M. Chipot, B. Lovat, *On the asymptotic behaviour of some nonlocal problems*, Positivity 3 (1999), 65-81.

[11] S. B. Menezes, *Remarks on weak solutions for a nonlocal parabolic problem*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2006 (2006), 1-10.

[12] K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations: An Application-Oriented Using Differential Operators of Caputo Type*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, (2010).

[13] R. M. P. Almeida, S. N. Antontsev, J. C. M. Duque, J. Ferreira, *A reaction-diffusion model for the non-local coupled system: existence, uniqueness, long-time behaviour and localization properties of solutions*, IMA J. Appl. Math., 81-2 (2016), 344-364.

[14] J. C. M. Duque, R. M. P. Almeida, S. N. Antontsev, J. Ferreira, *The Euler-Galerkin finite element method for a nonlocal coupled system of reaction-diffusion type*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 296 (2016), 116-126.

[15] C. A. Raposo, M. Sepúlveda, O. V. Villagrán, D. C. Pereira, M. L. Santos, *Solution and asymptotic behavior for a nonlocal coupled system of reaction-diffusion*, Acta Appl. Math., 102 (2008), 37-56.

[16] L. Li, L. Jin, S. Fang, *Existence and uniqueness of the solution to a coupled fractional diffusion system*, Adv. Differ. Equ., 2015, Article number: 370 (2015).

[17] B. Jin, B. Li, and Z. Zhou, *An analysis of the Crank-Nicolson method for subdiffusion*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 38-1 (2017), 518-541.

[18] Y. Dimitrov, *Numerical approximations for fractional differential equations*, J. fractional calc. & appl., 5-22 (2014), 1-45.

[19] Guang Hua Gao, Hai Wei Sun, Zhi Zhong Sun, *Stability and convergence of finite difference schemes for a class of time-fractional sub-diffusion equations based on certain superconvergence*, J. Comput. Phys., 280 (2015), 510-528.

[20] D. Kumar, S. Chaudhary, V. V. K. Srinivas Kumar, *Fractional Crank-Nicolson-Galerkin finite element scheme for the time-fractional nonlinear diffusion equation*, Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Eq., 35 (2019), 2056-2075.

[21] T. Gudi, *Finite element method for a nonlocal problem of Kirchhoff type*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 50-2 (2012), 657-668.

[22] J. Manimaran, L. Shangerganesh, *Error estimates for Galerkin finite element approximations of time-fractional nonlocal diffusion equation*, Int. J. Comput. Math., 98-7 (2020), 1365-1384.

[23] V. Thomée, *Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems*, Second revised and expanded ed., Springer, Berlin, (2006).

[24] C. Huang, M. Stynes, *Optimal spatial $H^1 - norm$ analysis of a finite element method for a time-fractional diffusion equation*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 367 (2020), 112435.

[25] M. Stynes, E. O'Riordan, J. Gracia, *Error analysis of a finite difference method on graded meshes for a time-fractional diffusion equation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 55 (2017), 1057-1079.

[26] A. Alikhanov, *A new difference scheme for the time fractional diffusion equation*, J. Comput. Phys., 280 (2015) 424-438.

[27] N. Kopteva, *Error analysis of the L1 method on graded and uniform meshes for a fractional derivative problem in two and three dimensions*, Math. Comp., 8 (2019) 2135-2155.

[28] B. Jin, B. Li, Z. Zhou, *Numerical analysis of nonlinear subdiffusion equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 56(1) (2018) 1-23.

[29] J. Ren, H. Liao, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, *Sharp H1-norm error estimates of two time-stepping schemes for reaction-subdiffusion problems*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 389 (2021), 113352.

[30] M. Al-Maskari, S. Karaa, *The time-fractional Cahn-Hilliard equation: analysis and approximation*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 2021. Doi:10.1093/imanum/drab025.

[31] C. Lubich, *Discretized fractional calculus*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 17 (1986), 704-719.

[32] C. Lubich, *Convolution quadrature and discretized operational calculus. I*, Numer. Math., 52 (1988), 129-145.