

Further study on the production of P -wave doubly heavy baryons from Z -boson decays

Hai-Jiang Tian^{*,1}, Xuan Luo^{*,2} and Hai-Bing Fu^{1,†}

¹*Department of Physics, Guizhou Minzu University, Guiyang 550025, P. R. China*

²*Department of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210094, P. R. China*

(Dated: November 20, 2023)

In this paper, we carried out a systematic investigation for the excited doubly heavy baryons production in Z -boson decays within the NRQCD factorization approach. Our investigation accounts for all the P -wave intermediate diquark states, *i.e.* $\langle cc \rangle [^1 P_1]_{\bar{3}}$, $\langle cc \rangle [^3 P_J]_6$, $\langle bc \rangle [^1 P_1]_{\bar{3}/6}$, $\langle bc \rangle [^3 P_J]_{\bar{3}/6}$, $\langle bb \rangle [^1 P_1]_{\bar{3}}$, and $\langle bb \rangle [^3 P_J]_6$ with $J = (0, 1, 2)$. The results show that contributions from all diquark states in P -wave were 7%, 8%, and 3% in comparing with S -wave for the production of Ξ_{cc} , Ξ_{bc} and Ξ_{bb} via Z -boson decay, respectively. Based on these results, we predicted about $0.539 \times 10^3 (10^6)$ events for Ξ_{cc} , $1.827 \times 10^3 (10^6)$ events for Ξ_{bc} , and $0.036 \times 10^3 (10^6)$ events for Ξ_{bb} can be produced annually at the LHC (CEPC). Additionally, we plot the differential decay widths of Ξ_{cc} , Ξ_{bc} and Ξ_{bb} as a function of the invariant mass s_{23} and energy function z distributions, and analyze the theoretical uncertainties in decay width arising from the mass parameters of heavy quark.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 11.55.Hx, 12.38.Aw, 14.40.Be

I. INTRODUCTION

The doubly heavy baryons, contain two heavy quarks and one light quark, are predicted by the quark model theoretically [1–4]. Investigation for the properties of doubly heavy baryons has been an hot topic from last century to the present. Therefore, a thorough study on them is essential for the particle physics. In comparing with other baryons that contain only one or none heavy quark, doubly heavy baryons involve more energy scales such as the heavy quark mass and nonperturbative QCD scale Λ_{QCD} , which lead to more complex dynamics and offer a novel/distinctive platform for investigating strong interactions.

During the past few decades, experimentalists have put a lot of effort in searching for doubly heavy baryons. Early in 2002 and 2005, SELEX Collaboration searched a signal for doubly charmed baryon state Ξ_{cc}^+ , which is conflicated with theoretical predictions [5, 6]. Meanwhile, it is also not been confirmed by Belle, LHCb and BaBar Collaborations [7–9]. The excitement is in 2017, the doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{cc}^{++} was firstly observed via decay channel $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ (\rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ [10]. This discovery was first reported by the LHCb Collaboration and later verified through another channel $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ (\rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) \pi^+$ [11, 12], which inspired many researchers to explore its properties [13–15]. In 2020, the LHCb Collaboration searched doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{bc}^0 via $\Xi_{bc}^0 \rightarrow D^0 p K^-$, but no evidence was found [16]. There is a similar case for doubly heavy baryons Ξ_{bc}^0 and Ω_{bc}^0 , which are searched by LHCb Collaboration through the $\Xi_{bc}^0 (\Omega_{bc}^0) \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_{bc}^0 (\Omega_{bc}^0) \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ channels, but no signal evidence appeared [17]. As of yet, Ξ_{bb} has not been experimentally discovered.

At the same time, theorists have also devoted much spirit to investigating the properties of doubly heavy baryon production. There are numerous studies in the list of literature that focus on the direct production of them [18–50]. Meanwhile, the indirect production via top quark, Higgs boson, W -boson, and Z -boson decays is theoretically researched in Refs. [51–57]. In which, the process of doubly heavy baryons via W -boson, top quark, and Higgs boson decays are systematically investigated within NRQCD factorization approach by Zheng and Ma [55–57]. They have explicitly distinguishing the P -wave diquark state's contributions with S -wave ones. Based on the annual production of W -boson and Higgs boson events at the LHC and Circular Electron-Positron Collider (CEPC), the contributions from P -wave diquark states will take the non-negligible contributions in comparing with S -wave diquark states, which can predict a considerable number of doubly heavy baryons events from the excited states. Therefore, one can further illustrate that the P -wave diquark states contributions are significant in detailed calculations.

The doubly heavy baryon production in Z -boson decay provides a good chance to study its relevant mechanisms. On one hand, a large number of Z -boson events can be produced at the LHC ($\sim 10^9$ per year [62]), and the accumulated Z -boson events will greatly be improved due the increased collision energy (luminosity) at the upgrades of the HE(L)-LHC. On the other hand, the Z -boson events can be produced up to 10^{12} -order level per year at the CEPC [59]. The large number of Z -boson events that can be generated by the LHC (CEPC) will provides an ideal platform for collecting doubly heavy baryons produced by Z -boson decay, even the excited doubly heavy baryons. Moreover, the research on doubly excited doubly baryons can be considered as a complement to its ground-state, which significantly inspired us to make a detailed research about it. Previously, we make a detailed discussion about the intermediate diquark states in the S -wave contributions, which are based on the production of

*Hai-Jiang Tian and Xuan Luo contributed equally to this work.

†Electronic address: fuhb@alu.cqu.edu.cn (corresponding author)

doubly heavy baryons by Z -boson decay [53, 54]. In this paper, we will study the indirect production of excited doubly heavy baryons via Z -boson decay and present an overall analysis of the P -wave contributions in comparison with S -wave.

The remaining parts of the paper are organized as follows: The detailed calculation technology is demonstrated in Sec. II. Section III presents the phenomenological results and analyses. Finally, a brief summary is provided in Sec. IV.

II. CALCULATION TECHNOLOGY

According to the widely accepted hadron production mechanism for doubly heavy baryons $\Xi_{QQ'}$, one can utilize the following two steps to elucidate $\Xi_{QQ'}$ production [21, 44, 50, 63]. The first step: When utilizing the decomposition of $SU_c(3)$ color group $3 \otimes 3 = \bar{3} \oplus 6$, the diquark state with possible color quantum number color-antitriplet or color-sextet can be perturbative produced. The second step: The diquark fragments and then produces an observed double heavy baryon $\Xi_{QQ'q}$ by capturing a light quark from surrounding environment. The fragmentation probability will reach one hundred percent. In convenience, we take the label $\Xi_{QQ'}$ to stand for $\Xi_{QQ'q}$ and employ the universal symbol $\langle QQ' \rangle[n]$ to represent a diquark state with color and spin combinations $[n]$ in this paper. The total “100%” fragmentation probability can further be broken into 43%, 43%, 14% probability for $\Xi_{QQ'u}$, $\Xi_{QQ'd}$, $\Xi_{QQ's}$ respectively [47, 64].

Typical Feynman diagrams for the process $Z(p_0) \rightarrow \langle QQ' \rangle[n](p_1) + \bar{Q}(p_2) + \bar{Q}'(p_3)$ at tree level are presented in Fig. 1, where the $Q^{(\prime)}$ stand for heavy c, b -quark corresponding to the doubly heavy baryons Ξ_{cc} , Ξ_{bc} and Ξ_{bb} production. To calculate the differential decay width for $Z(p_0) \rightarrow \langle QQ' \rangle[n](p_1) + \bar{Q}(p_2) + \bar{Q}'(p_3)$ process, one can employ the NRQCD factorization approach [65, 66] and to start with the following formula,

$$d\Gamma = \sum_n d\hat{\Gamma}(Z \rightarrow \langle QQ' \rangle[n] + \bar{Q} + \bar{Q}') \langle \mathcal{O}^H(n) \rangle. \quad (1)$$

In which, long-distance matrix element $\langle \mathcal{O}^H(n) \rangle$ indicates the intermediate diquark state $\langle QQ' \rangle[n]$ changed into observable state $\Xi_{QQ'}$. Generally, it can be derived from the original value of the Schrödinger wave function or its derivative approximately. Here in this paper, we take the relations $\langle \mathcal{O}^H(n) \rangle = (|\Psi_{QQ'}(0)|, |\Psi'_{QQ'}(0)|)$ for S -wave and P -wave doubly heavy baryons, which are mainly derived from experimental data and different non-perturbative theoretical approaches, such as QCD sum rules, lattice QCD, and potential energy models [66–68].

Furthermore, the expression $d\hat{\Gamma}(Z \rightarrow \langle QQ' \rangle[n] + \bar{Q} + \bar{Q}')$ can be formulated as:

$$d\hat{\Gamma}(Z \rightarrow \langle QQ' \rangle[n] + \bar{Q} + \bar{Q}') = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2m_z} \sum |M[n]|^2 d\Phi_3, \quad (2)$$

where m_Z refers to Z -boson mass, $|M[n]|$ represent the hard amplitude expressions of the process for the Z -boson decay into S -wave and P -wave doubly heavy baryons, the constant $1/3$ is coming from spin average of initial Z -boson, and symbol “ \sum ” stands for sum of all final particles’ color and spin. $d\Phi_3$ is three-body phase space, which is given by

$$d\Phi_3 = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 \left(p_0 - \sum_f p_f \right) \prod_f \frac{d^3 p_f}{(2\pi)^3 2p_f^0}. \quad (3)$$

A detailed discussion about the three-body phase space calculations can be found in Refs. [69, 70]. Subsequently, one can revise the Eq.(2) expression as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{\Gamma}(Z \rightarrow \langle QQ' \rangle[n] + \bar{Q} + \bar{Q}') \\ = \frac{1}{2^8 \pi^3 m_z^3} \sum |M[n]|^2 ds_{12} ds_{23}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with the invariant mass $s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2$.

A. Amplitudes for the diquark production

By applying the charge parity $C = -i\gamma^2\gamma^0$, one can derive the hard amplitude expressions $M[n]$ for baryon production, which can also be obtained from the process of the Z -boson decay into a meson ($Q\bar{Q}'$) [33, 46]. Here, we have a notation that the charge parity C can be used to reverse one fermion line, which is represented by $L_1 = \bar{u}_{s_1}(k_{12})\Gamma_{i+1}S_F(q_i, m_i) \cdots S_F(q_1, m_1)\Gamma_1 v_{s_2}(k_2)$. Where Γ_i with index $i = (0, 1, \dots)$ stands for the interaction vertex, $S_F(q_i, m_i)$ is fermion propagator, $s_{1,2}$ is spin index. Then one can obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{s_2}^T(p)C &= -\bar{\mu}_{s_2}(p), & C^{-1}\Gamma_i^T C &= -\Gamma_i, \\ CC^{-1} &= I, & C^{-1}S_F^T(-q_i, m_i)C &= S_F(q_i, m_i), \\ C^{-1}\bar{\mu}_{s_1}^T(p_{12}) &= \nu_{s_1}(p_{12}), & C^{-1}(\gamma^u)^T C &= -\gamma^u, \\ C^{-1}(\gamma^u\gamma^5)^T C &= \gamma^u\gamma^5. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

When the axial vector vertices are not included in the fermion line, we can derive:

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= L_1^T = v_{s_2}^T(p_2)\Gamma_1^T S_F^T(q_1, m_1) \cdots S_F^T(q_1, m_1)\Gamma_{i+1}^T \bar{u}_{s_1}^T(p_{12}) \\ &= v_{s_2}^T(p_2)CC^{-1}\Gamma_1^T CC^{-1}S_F^T(q_1, m_1)CC^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdots CC^{-1}S_F^T(q_1, m_1)CC^{-1}\Gamma_{i+1}^T CC^{-1}\bar{u}_{s_1}^T(p_{12}) \\ &= (-1)^{i+1}\bar{u}_{s_2}(p_2)\Gamma_1 S_F(-q_1, m_1) \\ &\quad \cdots S_F(-q_i, m_i)\Gamma_{i+1}v_{s_1}(p_{12}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

For another case that axial vector vertices include fermion line, amplitudes for baryon production can be derived from the similar meson production. But there should multiply an additional factor $(-1)^{(n+1)}$ or $(-1)^{(n+2)}$ to the pure vector case and the axial vector




FIG. 1: The diagrams for $Z \rightarrow \langle QQ' \rangle[n] + \bar{Q} + \bar{Q}'$ at leading order, where Q and Q' denote the heavy c or b -quark respectively.

case, respectively. Therefore, the S -wave or P -wave amplitude for $Z \rightarrow \langle QQ' \rangle[n] + \bar{Q} + \bar{Q}'$ decay process can be expressed as:

$$M_{\text{diquark}} = (M_1^a - M_1^v) + (M_2^a - M_2^v) + M_3 + M_4. \quad (7)$$

M_i with $i = (1, 2, 3, 4)$ represent S -wave or P -wave amplitude of the similar meson production. Specifically, $M_i^{a,v}$

is the axial vector or pure vector part for M_i , respectively. In order to obtain the S -wave amplitudes $M_l[n]$ with $l = (a, b, c, d)$, one can obey the Feynman rules based on diagrams shown in Fig. 1, which have the following expressions.

$$\begin{aligned} M_a &= -\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_{12})(-i\gamma^\nu)v(p_2)\bar{u}(p_{11})(-i\gamma^\nu)(m_Q + \not{p}_1 + \not{p}_2)\not{\epsilon}(p_0)(c_v^Q + c_a^Q\gamma^5)v(p_3)}{(p_{12} + p_2)^2[(p_1 + p_2)^2 - m_Q^2]}, \\ M_b &= -\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_{12})(-i\gamma^\nu)(m_b + \not{p}_1 + \not{p}_3)\not{\epsilon}(p_0)(c_v^Q + c_a^Q\gamma^5)v(p_2)\bar{u}(p_{11})(-i\gamma^\nu)v(p_3)}{(p_{11} + p_3)^2[(p_1 + p_3)^2 - m_b^2]}, \\ M_c &= -\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_{12})\not{\epsilon}(p_0)(c_v^Q + c_a^Q\gamma^5)(m_b - \not{p}_{11} - \not{p}_2 - \not{p}_3)(-i\gamma^\nu)v(p_2)\bar{u}(p_{11})(-i\gamma^\nu)v(p_3)}{(p_{11} + p_3)^2[(p_{11} + p_2 + p_3)^2 - m_b^2]}, \\ M_d &= -\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_{12})(-i\gamma^\nu)v(p_2)\bar{u}(p_{11})\not{\epsilon}(p_0)(c_v^Q + c_a^Q\gamma^5)(m_Q - \not{p}_{12} - \not{p}_2 - \not{p}_3)(-i\gamma^\nu)v(p_3)}{(p_{12} + p_2)^2[(p_{12} + p_2 + p_3)^2 - m_Q^2]}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In these expressions, the combined factor κ have the relation $\kappa = -Cg_s^2$, which C is the abbreviation of color factor $C_{ij,k}$. The $p_{11,12}$ represents momentum for each individual quark in the diquark state. More explicitly, we take $p_{11} = \frac{m_c}{M_{QQ'}}p_1 + q$ and $p_{12} = \frac{m_c}{M_{QQ'}}p_1 - q$, which q is the small relative momentum between different heavy quark $Q^{(\prime)}$. To maintain gauge invariance, we adopt $M_{QQ'} \simeq m_Q + m_{Q'}$, and symbol $c_{v,a}^Q$ is the vector or axial vector of $Z_{Q\bar{Q}}$ vertex coupling constant. It can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} c_v^c &= -\frac{e(8\sin^2\theta_w - 3)}{12\cos\theta_w\sin\theta_w}, & c_a^c &= -\frac{e}{4\cos\theta_w\sin\theta_w}, \\ c_v^b &= \frac{e(4\sin^2\theta_w - 3)}{12\cos\theta_w\sin\theta_w}, & c_a^b &= \frac{e}{4\cos\theta_w\sin\theta_w}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In which, the θ_w stand for Weinberg angle. After introducing the spin projector $\Pi_{p_1}^{[n]}$, the S -wave amplitude can be further expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} M_a &= -\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2)(-i\gamma^\nu)\Pi_{p_1}^{[n]}(-i\gamma^\nu)(m_Q + \not{p}_1 + \not{p}_2)\not{\epsilon}(p_0)(c_v^Q + c_a^Q\gamma^5)v(p_3)}{(p_{12} + p_2)^2[(p_1 + p_2)^2 - m_Q^2]}, \\ M_b &= -\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2)\not{\epsilon}(p_0)(c_a^Q\gamma^5 - c_v^Q)(m_b - \not{p}_1 - \not{p}_3)(-i\gamma^\nu)\Pi_{p_1}^{[n]}(-i\gamma^\nu)v(p_3)}{(p_{11} + p_3)^2[(p_1 + p_3)^2 - m_b^2]}, \\ M_c &= -\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2)(-i\gamma^\nu)(m_b + \not{p}_{11} + \not{p}_2 + \not{p}_3)\not{\epsilon}(p_0)(c_a^Q\gamma^5 - c_v^Q)\Pi_{p_1}^{[n]}(-i\gamma^\nu)v(p_3)}{(p_{11} + p_3)^2[(p_{11} + p_2 + p_3)^2 - m_b^2]}, \\ M_d &= -\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2)(-i\gamma^\nu)\Pi_{p_1}^{[n]}\not{\epsilon}(p_0)(c_v^Q + c_a^Q\gamma^5)(m_{Q'} - \not{p}_{12} - \not{p}_2 - \not{p}_3)(-i\gamma^\nu)v(p_3)}{(p_{12} + p_2)^2[(p_{12} + p_2 + p_3)^2 - m_Q^2]}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The spin projector Π_{p_1} appear in Eq. (14) can be expressed as [71]

$$\Pi_{p_1}(q) = \frac{-\sqrt{M_{QQ'}}}{4m_Q m_{Q'}} (\not{p}_{12} - m_Q) \gamma^5 (\not{p}_{12} + m_Q), \quad (11)$$

or

$$\Pi_{p_1}^\beta(q) = \frac{-\sqrt{M_{QQ'}}}{4m_Q m_{Q'}} (\not{p}_{12} - m_Q) \gamma^\beta (\not{p}_{12} + m_Q), \quad (12)$$

which stands for spin-singlet state or spin-triplet state,

respectively.

On the other hand, the P -wave diquark states amplitudes can be obtained by making the derivative for S -wave expression either a spin singlet or a spin triplet. Here we have a notation that the doubly heavy baryons can be excited into P -wave state either in ρ -mode or λ -mode, which is from the excitation between Q and Q' or excitation between QQ' and q . In this paper, we solely focus on the effect of ρ -mode to make investigation.

$$\begin{aligned} M_a[n] &= \epsilon_a^l(q) \frac{d}{dq_a} \left[-\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2)(-i\gamma^\nu) \Pi_{p_1}(-i\gamma^\nu)(m_Q + \not{p}_1 + \not{p}_2) \not{p}_0 (c_v^Q + c_a^Q \gamma^5) v(p_3)}{(p_{12} + p_2)^2 ((p_1 + p_2)^2 - m_Q^2)} \right], \\ M_b[n] &= \epsilon_a^l(q) \frac{d}{dq_a} \left[-\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2) \not{p}_0 (c_a^Q \gamma^5 - c_v^Q)(m_b - \not{p}_1 - \not{p}_3)(-i\gamma^\nu) \Pi_{p_1}(-i\gamma^\nu) v(p_3)}{(p_{11} + p_3)^2 ((p_1 + p_3)^2 - m_b^2)} \right], \\ M_c[n] &= \epsilon_a^l(q) \frac{d}{dq_a} \left[-\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2)(-i\gamma^\nu)(m_b + \not{p}_{11} + \not{p}_2 + \not{p}_3) \not{p}_0 (c_a^Q \gamma^5 - c_v^Q) \Pi_{p_1}(-i\gamma^\nu) v(p_3)}{(p_{11} + p_3)^2 ((p_{11} + p_2 + p_3)^2 - m_b^2)} \right], \\ M_d[n] &= \epsilon_a^l(q) \frac{d}{dq_a} \left[-\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2)(-i\gamma^\nu) \Pi_{p_1} \not{p}_0 (c_v^Q + c_a^Q \gamma^5)(m_{Q'} - \not{p}_{12} - \not{p}_2 - \not{p}_3)(-i\gamma^\nu) v(p_3)}{(p_{12} + p_2)^2 ((p_{12} + p_2 + p_3)^2 - m_Q^2)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_a[n] &= \epsilon_{a\beta}^l(q) \frac{d}{dq_a} \left[-\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2)(-i\gamma^\nu) \Pi_{p_1}^\beta(-i\gamma^\nu)(m_Q + \not{p}_1 + \not{p}_2) \not{p}_0 (c_v^Q + c_a^Q \gamma^5) v(p_3)}{(p_{12} + p_2)^2 ((p_1 + p_2)^2 - m_Q^2)} \right], \\ M_b[n] &= \epsilon_{a\beta}^l(q) \frac{d}{dq_a} \left[-\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2) \not{p}_0 (c_a^Q \gamma^5 - c_v^Q)(m_b - \not{p}_1 - \not{p}_3)(-i\gamma^\nu) \Pi_{p_1}^\beta(-i\gamma^\nu) v(p_3)}{(p_{11} + p_3)^2 ((p_1 + p_3)^2 - m_b^2)} \right], \\ M_c[n] &= \epsilon_{a\beta}^l(q) \frac{d}{dq_a} \left[-\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2)(-i\gamma^\nu)(m_b + \not{p}_{11} + \not{p}_2 + \not{p}_3) \not{p}_0 (c_a^Q \gamma^5 - c_v^Q) \Pi_{p_1}^\beta(-i\gamma^\nu) v(p_3)}{(p_{11} + p_3)^2 ((p_{11} + p_2 + p_3)^2 - m_b^2)} \right], \\ M_d[n] &= \epsilon_{a\beta}^l(q) \frac{d}{dq_a} \left[-\kappa \frac{\bar{u}(p_2)(-i\gamma^\nu) \Pi_{p_1}^\beta \not{p}_0 (c_v^Q + c_a^Q \gamma^5)(m_{Q'} - \not{p}_{12} - \not{p}_2 - \not{p}_3)(-i\gamma^\nu) v(p_3)}{(p_{12} + p_2)^2 ((p_{12} + p_2 + p_3)^2 - m_Q^2)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In which, the $\epsilon_\alpha^l(q)$ and $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^l(q)$ are the polarized vector and polarized tensor for spin singlet and spin triplet of the P -wave diquark state. After sum over the $[^1P_1]$ states polarized vectors, one can get the following result

$$\sum_{l_z} \epsilon_\alpha^l \epsilon_{\alpha'}^{l*} = \Pi_{\alpha\alpha'}. \quad (15)$$

In which the symbol l_z represents the excited states $[^1P_1]$. In the case of excited states $[^3P_J]$, the sum over polarized tensors are represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^0 \epsilon_{\alpha'\beta'}^{0*} &= \frac{1}{3} \Pi_{\alpha\alpha'}, \\ \sum_{J_z} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^1 \epsilon_{\alpha'\beta'}^{1*} &= \frac{1}{2} (\Pi_{\alpha\alpha'} \Pi_{\beta\beta'} - \Pi_{\alpha\beta'} \Pi_{\beta\alpha'}), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{J_z} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^2 \epsilon_{\alpha'\beta'}^{2*} &= \frac{1}{2} (\Pi_{\alpha\alpha'} \Pi_{\beta\beta'} + \Pi_{\alpha\beta'} \Pi_{\alpha'\beta}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_{\alpha\beta'} \Pi_{\beta\alpha'}, \end{aligned}$$

with the definition

$$\Pi_{\alpha\beta} = -g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{p_{1\alpha} p_{1\beta}}{M_{QQ'}^2}. \quad (17)$$

B. Color Factor

According to Fig. 1, it is easy to write the expression for color factor $C_{ij,k}$,

$$C_{ij,k} = N \times \sum_{a,m,n} (T^a)_{im} (T^a)_{jn} \times G_{mnk}, \quad (18)$$

with letters k and a are color indices of diquark and gluon, respectively, and $N = \sqrt{1/2}$ refers to the normalization factor. In addition, those marks i, j, m , and $n = (1, 2, 3)$ are also the color indices, which correspond to two outgoing antiquarks and two constituent active quarks in the diquark state, respectively. For the $\bar{3}(6)$ state, the value of G_{mnk} is related to the function $\varepsilon_{mjk}(f_{mjk})$, by given as

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_{mjk}\varepsilon_{m'j'k} &= \delta_{mm'}\delta_{jj'} - \delta_{mj'}\delta_{jm'}, \\ f_{mjk}f_{m'j'k} &= \delta_{mm'}\delta_{jj'} + \delta_{mj'}\delta_{jm'}.\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

C. Hadronization from diquark $\langle QQ' \rangle[n]$ to $\Xi_{QQ'}$

The process of diquark states $\langle QQ' \rangle[n]$ hadronization into the final states $\Xi_{QQ'}$ is regarded as a non-perturbative, and its impact is reflected by the overall factors $\langle \mathcal{O}^H(n) \rangle$. The factors $\langle \mathcal{O}^H(n) \rangle$, also known as the transition probabilities, can be represented by $h_{\bar{3}}$ and h_6 in color $\bar{3}$ and color 6, respectively. Detailed researches and discussions about $h_{\bar{3}}$ (h_6) can be found in Refs. [33, 46, 51, 55, 63].

In accordance with the velocity scaling rule of the NRQCD factorization approach, one can assume that the transition probabilities $h_{\bar{3}}$ and h_6 hold an equal horizon [21, 44, 63]. Therefore, the wave function evaluated at the origin can be interconnected with them [44].

$$h_6 \sim h_{\bar{3}} = \langle \mathcal{O}^H(n) \rangle = |\Psi(0)|^2, \quad (20)$$

for S -wave, and for P -wave

$$h_6 \sim h_{\bar{3}} = \langle \mathcal{O}^H(n) \rangle = |\Psi'(0)|^2. \quad (21)$$

The wave function at the origin can be naturally connected to the radial wave function at the origin:

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi(0)|^2 &= \frac{1}{4\pi} |R(0)|^2, \\ |\Psi'(0)|^2 &= \frac{3}{4\pi} |R'(0)|^2.\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

Based on the NRQCD factorization approach, $\Xi_{QQ'}$ is a bound state of two heavy quarks with other light dynamical freedom of QCD and can be described by a series of Fock states:

$$\begin{aligned}|\Xi_{QQ'}\rangle &= c_1(v) |(QQ')q\rangle + c_2(v) |(QQ')qg\rangle \\ &+ c_3(v) |(QQ')qgg\rangle + \dots\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

Due to the light quark may produce gluons easily, the constituents $c_i(v)$ with $i = (1, 2, 3, \dots)$ in Eq. (24) have equivalent significance with each other, *e.g.* $c_1(v) \sim c_2(v) \sim c_3(v)$. In the $\langle QQ' \rangle [{}^3S_1]_{\bar{3}}$ state, one of heavy quarks can produce a gluon without altering its spin, and the gluon can divide into a light quark pair $q\bar{q}$. Then the heavy diquark state $\langle QQ' \rangle$ can form a final baryon state by capturing a light quark q . In $\langle QQ' \rangle [{}^1S_0]_6$ state, the

TABLE I: Our results for decay widths (in unit: KeV), branching ratios, and events at the LHC (CEPC) of the process Z -boson decay into Ξ_{cc} . States denote the intermediate diquark.

| State | Decay width | Br($\times 10^{-6}$) | LHC events | CEPC event |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| $[{}^3S_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 12.698 | 5.089 | 5.089×10^3 | 5.089×10^6 |
| $[{}^1S_0]_6$ | 6.177 | 2.476 | 2.476×10^3 | 2.476×10^6 |
| $[{}^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 0.475 | 0.190 | 0.190×10^3 | 0.190×10^6 |
| $[{}^3P_0]_6$ | 0.342 | 0.137 | 0.137×10^3 | 0.137×10^6 |
| $[{}^3P_1]_6$ | 0.379 | 0.152 | 0.152×10^3 | 0.152×10^6 |
| $[{}^3P_2]_6$ | 0.149 | 0.060 | 0.060×10^3 | 0.060×10^6 |
| S -wave | 18.875 | 7.565 | 7.565×10^3 | 7.565×10^6 |
| P -wave | 1.345 | 0.539 | 0.539×10^3 | 0.539×10^6 |
| Total | 20.220 | 8.104 | 8.104×10^3 | 8.104×10^6 |

heavy quark spin must be altered by the emitted gluon when a baryon is formed by $|(QQ')q\rangle$. The reason lies in a suppression from h_6 . In the case of the heavy quark's spin remaining unchanged, one of the heavy quarks produces a gluon, and this gluon can separate into a quark-antiquark $q\bar{q}$ pair. Additionally, the light quark q has the ability to produce a gluon, which can be used to construct the component with qg . Based on the above analysis, the h_6 and $h_{\bar{3}}$ hold the same order in v_c . We assume h_6 and $h_{\bar{3}}$ hold the same order in v_c for convenience.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To calculate the numerical results, the choices of the following input parameters are applied

$$\begin{aligned}m_c &= 1.8 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_b = 5.1 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_Z = 91.1876 \text{ GeV}, \\ M_{\Xi_{cc}} &= 3.6 \text{ GeV}, \quad M_{\Xi_{bc}} = 6.9 \text{ GeV}, \quad M_{\Xi_{bb}} = 10.2 \text{ GeV}, \\ G_F &= 1.1663787 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}, \quad \theta_w = \arcsin\sqrt{0.2312}, \\ \Gamma_Z &= 2.4952.\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

which are consistent with Refs. [22, 72]. As a common choice, the values of $|R(0)|$ and $|R'(0)|$ keep the same with Ref. [73].

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi_{cc}(0)| &= 0.523 \text{ GeV}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad |\Psi'_{cc}(0)| = 0.102 \text{ GeV}^{\frac{5}{2}}, \\ |\Psi_{bc}(0)| &= 0.722 \text{ GeV}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad |\Psi'_{bc}(0)| = 0.200 \text{ GeV}^{\frac{5}{2}}, \\ |\Psi_{bb}(0)| &= 1.345 \text{ GeV}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad |\Psi'_{bb}(0)| = 0.479 \text{ GeV}^{\frac{5}{2}}.\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

For the production of $\Xi_{cc,bc}$ and Ξ_{bb} baryons, we take the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(2m_c) = 0.242$ and $\alpha_s(2m_b) = 0.180$ separately. In the following discussions, contributions from S -wave diquark states are also present in Tables I, II and III thorough comparison and analysis.




FIG. 2: The $d\Gamma/ds_{23}$ and $d\Gamma/dz$ for the Z -boson decay into Ξ_{cc} , where $\bar{3}(6)$ is the color quantum number of diquark state.

A. The Ξ_{cc} production

At this stage, we present the $Z \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}$ decay widths, branching ratios, and events at the LHC (CEPC) in Table I, where the branching ratio has the definition as follows:

$$\text{Br}[n] = \frac{\Gamma_{Z \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}[n] + \bar{c} + \bar{c}}}{\Gamma_Z}, \quad (26)$$

with $[n]$ represents the intermediate spin-color state. Meanwhile, we also put the sum of states $[^1S_0]_6$ and $[^3S_1]_{\bar{3}}$ labeled as ‘ S -wave’, excited diquark states $[^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ and $[^3P_J]_6$ with $J = (0, 1, 2)$ labeled as ‘ P -wave’ in Table I. From the table, one can observe that:

- The contribution for $[^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ state is the largest among the excited states in the production of Ξ_{cc} via Z -boson decay, and its ratio to the other excited states $[^3P_0]_6$, $[^3P_1]_6$, $[^3P_2]_6$ is $1 : 0.72 : 0.79 : 0.31$.
- The total contribution from intermediate P -wave states is about 7% to the S -wave states, which indicate that P -wave states play a significant role in detailed calculations.
- When all the excited states under consideration are combined, the events number from excited states via Z -boson decay can reach to a massive amount in the order 10^3 (10^6) at the LHC (CEPC) for one year.

TABLE II: Our results for decay widths (in unit: KeV), branching ratios, and events at the LHC (CEPC) of the process Z -boson decay into Ξ_{bc} . States denote the intermediate diquark.

| State | Decay width | Br($\times 10^{-6}$) | LHC events | CEPC event |
|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| $[^3S_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 21.951 | 8.797 | 8.797×10^3 | 8.797×10^6 |
| $[^3S_1]_6$ | 10.976 | 4.399 | 4.399×10^3 | 4.399×10^6 |
| $[^1S_0]_{\bar{3}}$ | 16.218 | 6.500 | 6.500×10^3 | 6.500×10^6 |
| $[^1S_0]_6$ | 8.109 | 3.250 | 3.250×10^3 | 3.250×10^6 |
| $[^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 0.720 | 0.289 | 0.289×10^3 | 0.289×10^6 |
| $[^1P_1]_6$ | 0.360 | 0.144 | 0.144×10^3 | 0.144×10^6 |
| $[^3P_0]_{\bar{3}}$ | 0.498 | 0.200 | 0.200×10^3 | 0.200×10^6 |
| $[^3P_0]_6$ | 0.249 | 0.100 | 0.100×10^3 | 0.100×10^6 |
| $[^3P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 0.928 | 0.372 | 0.372×10^3 | 0.372×10^6 |
| $[^3P_1]_6$ | 0.464 | 0.186 | 0.186×10^3 | 0.186×10^6 |
| $[^3P_2]_{\bar{3}}$ | 0.893 | 0.358 | 0.358×10^3 | 0.358×10^6 |
| $[^3P_2]_6$ | 0.447 | 0.179 | 0.179×10^3 | 0.179×10^6 |
| S -waves | 57.254 | 22.946 | 22.946×10^3 | 22.946×10^6 |
| P -waves | 4.559 | 1.827 | 1.827×10^3 | 1.827×10^6 |
| Total | 61.813 | 24.773 | 24.773×10^3 | 24.773×10^6 |

- At LHC (CEPC), about 1.827×10^3 (10^6) Ξ_{bc} events will be produced one year when all the considered excited states are summed up. At the same time, the events for $\langle cc \rangle [^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$, $\langle cc \rangle [^3P_0]_6$, $\langle cc \rangle [^3P_1]_6$, and $\langle cc \rangle [^3P_2]_6$ diquark states are about 0.190×10^3 (10^6), 0.137×10^3 (10^6), 0.152×10^3 (10^6), and 0.060×10^3 (10^6) one year.

In order to take a deep looking at these channels for Ξ_{cc} production, we have plotted the differential decay width curves with respect to s_{23} and z in Fig. 2. The $s_{23} = (p_2 + p_3)^2$ stand for the invariant mass. The $z = 2E_1/E_Z$ is the energy fraction, where $E_{1,Z}$ is the energy of Ξ_{cc} or Z -boson.

- Fig. 2(a) provides a clear demonstration that the distributions of various excited states are similar with Ξ_{cc} production. These curves increase with s_{23} initially, and then decreased. Their peaks are lie in a small s_{23} region.
- Fig. 2(b) illustrates that the $d\Gamma$ behavior changed with the energy fraction z distribution, *i.e.*, $d\Gamma/dz$ are similar with $d\Gamma/ds_{23}$, which are initial increased and then decreased. Their peaks are located at large z region.

B. The Ξ_{bc} production

Secondly, The decay widths, branching ratios, and events at the LHC (CEPC) for $Z \rightarrow \Xi_{bc}$ are displayed in Table II, which has following notations:




FIG. 3: The $d\Gamma/ds_{23}$ and $d\Gamma/dz$ for the Z -boson decay into Ξ_{bc} , where $\bar{3}(6)$ is the color quantum number of diquark state, and $[n]_{\bar{3}(6)}$ means the sum of the results from $[n]_3$ and $[n]_6$.

- In excited baryon Ξ_{bc} production via Z -boson decays, the largest contribution among the excited states comes from the spin and color state $[^3P_1]_{\bar{3}}$, with a ratio of $[^1P_1]_{\bar{3}} : [^1P_1]_6 : [^3P_0]_{\bar{3}} : [^3P_1]_{\bar{3}} : [^3P_2]_{\bar{3}} : [^3P_0]_6 : [^3P_1]_6 : [^3P_2]_6 = 1 : 0.5 : 0.69 : 0.35 : 1.3 : 0.65 : 0.62 : 0.62$.
- The total intermediate P -wave states contribution for Z -boson decay into excited baryon Ξ_{bc} is about 7% in comparing with S -wave state.
- When all the considered excite states are summed up, there will be $1.827 \times 10^3(10^6)$ Ξ_{bc} events produced at the LHC (CEPC) for one year. Meanwhile, the event for the diquark states $\langle bc \rangle [^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$, $\langle bc \rangle [^1P_1]_6$, $\langle bc \rangle [^3P_0]_{\bar{3}}$, $\langle bc \rangle [^3P_1]_{\bar{3}}$, $\langle bc \rangle [^3P_2]_{\bar{3}}$, $\langle bc \rangle [^3P_0]_6$, $\langle bc \rangle [^3P_1]_6$, and $\langle bc \rangle [^3P_2]_6$ are about $0.289 \times 10^3(10^6)$, $0.144 \times 10^3(10^6)$, $0.200 \times 10^3(10^6)$, $0.100 \times 10^3(10^6)$, $0.372 \times 10^3(10^6)$, $0.186 \times 10^3(10^6)$, $0.358 \times 10^3(10^6)$, $0.179 \times 10^3(10^6)$, separately.

In order to show the behaviors for process Z -boson decay into excited doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{bc} , we plotted the differential decay widths with respect to s_{23} and z in Fig. 3. There are eight states totally for Ξ_{bc} baryon, as both color-antitriplet excited state and color-sextet excited state are reasonable for any spin states. To make

TABLE III: Our results for decay widths (in unit: KeV), branching ratios, and events at the LHC (CEPC) of the process Z -boson decay into Ξ_{bb} . In which, the states denote the intermediate diquark.

| State | Decay width | Br($\times 10^{-6}$) | LHC events | CEPC event |
|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| $[^3S_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 1.893 | 0.759 | 0.759×10^3 | 0.759×10^6 |
| $[^1S_0]_6$ | 0.974 | 0.390 | 0.390×10^3 | 0.390×10^6 |
| $[^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 0.029 | 0.012 | 0.012×10^3 | 0.012×10^6 |
| $[^3P_0]_6$ | 0.024 | 0.010 | 0.010×10^3 | 0.010×10^6 |
| $[^3P_1]_6$ | 0.027 | 0.011 | 0.011×10^3 | 0.011×10^6 |
| $[^3P_2]_6$ | 0.011 | 0.004 | 0.004×10^3 | 0.004×10^6 |
| S -waves | 2.867 | 1.149 | 1.149×10^3 | 1.149×10^6 |
| P -waves | 0.091 | 0.036 | 0.036×10^3 | 0.036×10^6 |
| Total | 2.958 | 1.185 | 1.185×10^3 | 1.185×10^6 |

these figures more clearer, we sum several colored states with same spin state. For example, the line labeled with $\langle bc \rangle [^1P_1]_{\bar{3}(6)}$ means the sum of the contributions from $\langle bc \rangle [^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ and $\langle bc \rangle [^1P_1]_6$. The similarities between the angular and invariant mass differential widths of Ξ_{cc} and Ξ_{bc} productions via Z -boson decays indicate their similar kinematic behaviors.

C. The Ξ_{bb} production

Thirdly, decay widths, branching ratios, and events at LHC (CEPC) of the Z -boson decay into Ξ_{bb} are displayed in Table III, which is similar with Ξ_{cc} and Ξ_{bc} cases. From which we can get the conclusions

- In the case of the excited state Ξ_{bb} production via Z -boson decay, the largest contribution among excited states comes from spin and color state $[^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$. The ratio of $\langle bb \rangle [^1P_1]_{\bar{3}} : \langle bb \rangle [^3P_0]_6 : \langle bb \rangle [^3P_1]_6 : \langle bb \rangle [^3P_2]_6 = 1 : 0.83 : 0.93 : 0.41$.
- The total contribution from intermediate P -wave states is roughly 3% of that from S -wave.
- When all the considered excite states are summed up, about $0.036 \times 10^3(10^6)$ Ξ_{bb} events per year will be produced at the LHC (CEPC). The events in $\langle cc \rangle [^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$, $\langle cc \rangle [^3P_0]_6$, $\langle cc \rangle [^3P_1]_6$, and $\langle cc \rangle [^3P_2]_6$ diquark states are about $0.012 \times 10^3(10^6)$, $0.010 \times 10^3(10^6)$, $0.011 \times 10^3(10^6)$, and $0.004 \times 10^3(10^6)$ for one year.

The differential decay widths for Ξ_{bb} with respect to s_{ij} and z are plotted in Fig. 4. From which it can be observed that the characteristics are fundamentally consistent with the production of Ξ_{cc} or Ξ_{bc} .




FIG. 4: The $d\Gamma/ds_{23}$ and $d\Gamma/dz$ for the Z -boson decay into Ξ_{bb} , where $\bar{3}(6)$ is the color quantum number of diquark state.

D. Uncertainty analysis

Furthermore, we would like to discuss theoretical uncertainties of the Z -boson decay into $\Xi_{QQ'}$, which arise from three main sources: the mass parameters $m_{Q(Q')}$, the transition probability $h_{\bar{3}(6)}$, and the coupling constant. Although the transition probability and the coupling constant might have theoretical uncertainties, they only affect the results as an overall factor. Hence, we will not delve into them here. On the other hand, decay width is greatly influenced by heavy quark masses $m_{Q(Q')}$. Therefore, we varied $m_c = 1.8 \pm 0.3$ and $m_b = 5.1 \pm 0.3$ GeV for doubly heavy baryons with c -quark and b -quark production to discuss the uncertainties, respectively. The results are listed in Tables IV, V, VI and VII. From the

TABLE IV: Our result for decay widths (in unit: KeV) of the process Z -boson decay into Ξ_{cc} by varying m_c (in unit: GeV).

| State | $m_c=1.5\text{GeV}$ | $m_c=1.8\text{GeV}$ | $m_c=2.1\text{GeV}$ |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| $[^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 1.384 | 0.475 | 0.193 |
| $[^3P_0]_6$ | 0.986 | 0.342 | 0.141 |
| $[^3P_1]_6$ | 1.102 | 0.379 | 0.155 |
| $[^3P_2]_6$ | 0.429 | 0.149 | 0.061 |
| Total | 3.901 | 1.345 | 0.550 |

TABLE V: Our result for decay widths (in unit: KeV) of the process Z -boson decay into Ξ_{bc} by varying m_c (in unit: GeV).

| State | $m_c=1.5\text{GeV}$ | $m_c=1.8\text{GeV}$ | $m_c=2.1\text{GeV}$ |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| $[^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 2.024 | 0.720 | 0.308 |
| $[^1P_1]_6$ | 1.012 | 0.360 | 0.154 |
| $[^3P_0]_{\bar{3}}$ | 1.183 | 0.498 | 0.246 |
| $[^3P_0]_6$ | 0.592 | 0.249 | 0.123 |
| $[^3P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 2.476 | 0.928 | 0.415 |
| $[^3P_1]_6$ | 1.238 | 0.464 | 0.207 |
| $[^1P_2]_{\bar{3}}$ | 2.761 | 0.893 | 0.347 |
| $[^3P_2]_6$ | 1.380 | 0.447 | 0.173 |
| Total | 12.666 | 4.559 | 1.937 |

TABLE VI: Our result for decay widths (in unit: KeV) of the process Z -boson decay into Ξ_{bc} by varying m_b (in unit: GeV).

| State | $m_b=4.8\text{GeV}$ | $m_b=5.1\text{GeV}$ | $m_b=5.4\text{GeV}$ |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| $[^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 0.736 | 0.720 | 0.707 |
| $[^1P_1]_6$ | 0.368 | 0.360 | 0.353 |
| $[^3P_0]_{\bar{3}}$ | 0.536 | 0.498 | 0.466 |
| $[^3P_0]_6$ | 0.268 | 0.249 | 0.233 |
| $[^3P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 0.946 | 0.928 | 0.896 |
| $[^3P_1]_6$ | 0.482 | 0.464 | 0.448 |
| $[^3P_2]_{\bar{3}}$ | 0.881 | 0.893 | 0.905 |
| $[^3P_2]_6$ | 0.441 | 0.447 | 0.452 |
| Total | 4.658 | 4.559 | 4.460 |

tables, one can observe that

TABLE VII: Decay widths (in unit: KeV) for the production of Ξ_{bb} via Z decays by varying m_b (in unit: GeV).

| State | $m_b=4.8\text{GeV}$ | $m_b=5.1\text{GeV}$ | $m_b=5.4\text{GeV}$ |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| $[^1P_1]_{\bar{3}}$ | 0.042 | 0.029 | 0.021 |
| $[^3P_0]_6$ | 0.035 | 0.024 | 0.018 |
| $[^3P_1]_6$ | 0.039 | 0.027 | 0.020 |
| $[^3P_2]_6$ | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.008 |
| Total | 0.131 | 0.091 | 0.067 |

- In comparing Table IV with Table VII, it can be seen that the influence for $Z \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}$ decay widths from c -quark mass are greatly larger than b -quark mass.
- From Tables V and VI, it shows that the deviation of m_c or m_b has a more greater effect on the decay width for Ξ_{bc} production.
- The total theoretical prediction by changing $m_c = 1.8 \pm 0.3$ GeV is $\Gamma(\Xi_{cc}) = 1.345^{+1.746}_{-0.795}$ KeV and $\Gamma(\Xi_{bc}) = 4.559^{+8.107}_{-2.622}$ KeV for baryon Ξ_{cc} and Ξ_{bc} production in P -wave, respectively.

- The total theoretical prediction by changing $m_b = 5.1 \pm 0.3$ GeV is $\Gamma(\Xi_{bc}) = 4.559_{-0.099}^{+0.099}$ KeV and $\Gamma(\Xi_{bb}) = 0.091_{-0.024}^{+0.040}$ KeV for baryon Ξ_{bc} and Ξ_{bb} production in P -wave, respectively.

IV. SUMMARY

In this paper, we carry out an investigation of the excited doubly heavy baryons $\Xi_{QQ'}$ production through processes $Z \rightarrow \Xi_{QQ'} + \bar{Q} + \bar{Q}'$ based on the NRQCD factorization approach. By considering all the contributions from these intermediate P -wave diquark states with spin and color quantum number, *i.e.*, $\langle cc \rangle [^1P_1]_3$, $\langle cc \rangle [^3P_0]_6$, $\langle cc \rangle [^3P_1]_{3(6)}$, and $\langle cc \rangle [^3P_2]_{3(6)}$, $\langle bc \rangle [^1P_1]_{3(b)}$, $\langle bc \rangle [^3P_0]_{3(6)}$, $\langle bc \rangle [^3P_1]_{3(6)}$, and $\langle bc \rangle [^3P_2]_{3(6)}$, $\langle bb \rangle [^1P_1]_3$, $\langle bb \rangle [^3P_0]_6$, $\langle bb \rangle [^3P_1]_6$, and $\langle bb \rangle [^3P_2]_6$, the decay widths for P -wave states Ξ_{cc} , Ξ_{bc} and Ξ_{bb} are 1.345 KeV, 4.559 KeV and 0.091 KeV, respectively. Additionally, S -wave diquark states contributions are also presented for the comprehensive comparison and analysis. Then, we calculated the ratio of P -wave diquark states contributions to S -wave, which lead to the values 7%, 8%, 3% for Ξ_{cc} , Ξ_{bc} , Ξ_{bb} respectively.

The contribution of the P -wave diquark states can be taken as the higher-order contribution of S -wave states. If all these excited P -wave states completely decay into the ground state, we estimate the total decay width

$$\Gamma(\Xi_{cc}) = 20.220 \text{ KeV},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\Xi_{bc}) &= 61.813 \text{ KeV}, \\ \Gamma(\Xi_{bb}) &= 2.958 \text{ KeV}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

After combined S -wave and P -wave contribution, there will be about 8.104×10^3 (10^6) Ξ_{cc} events, 24.773×10^3 (10^6) Ξ_{bc} events and 1.185×10^3 (10^6) Ξ_{bb} events produced in one operation year at the LHC (CEPC). Meanwhile, the P -wave states events will reach to 0.539×10^3 (10^6), 1.827×10^3 (10^6), 0.036×10^3 (10^6) for Ξ_{cc} , Ξ_{bc} , Ξ_{bb} in one year at the LHC (CEPC) respectively. From our detailed calculations with abundant events and significant branching ratios, the P -wave states in doubly heavy baryons make considerable contributions compared with S -wave states. Finally, we present the curves for differential decay width with respect to s_{23} and z , *i.e.* $d\Gamma/ds_{23}$ and $d\Gamma/dz$, which demonstrate the properties of Z -boson decay into the excited doubly heavy baryons $\Xi_{QQ'}$ processes. It is hoped that our predictions can provide assistance to experimental measurements.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Referees for their valuable comments and suggestions. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.12265010, the Project of Guizhou Provincial Department of Education under Grant No.KY[2021]030.

-
- [1] M. Gell-Mann, A schematic model of baryons and mesons, *Phys. Lett.* **8**, 214-215, (1964).
 - [2] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin, A. P. Martynenko and V. A. Saleev, Heavy baryons in the relativistic quark model, *Z. Phys. C* **76**, 111-115 (1997). [[hep-ph/9607314](#)]
 - [3] S. M. Gerasyuta and D. V. Ivanov, Charmed baryons in bootstrap quark model, *Nuovo Cim. A* **112**, 261-276 (1999). [[hep-ph/0101310](#)]
 - [4] C. Itoh, T. Minamikawa, K. Miura and T. Watanabe, Doubly charmed baryon masses and quark wave functions in baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 057502 (2000).
 - [5] M. Mattson *et al.* [SELEX], First Observation of the Doubly Charmed Baryon Ξ_{cc}^+ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 112001 (2002). [[hep-ex/0208014](#)]
 - [6] A. Ocherashvili *et al.* [SELEX], Confirmation of the double charm baryon $\Xi_{cc}^+(3520)$ via its decay to pD^+K^- , *Phys. Lett. B* **628**, 18-24 (2005) [[hep-ex/0406033](#)].
 - [7] Y. Kato *et al.* [Belle], Search for doubly charmed baryons and study of charmed strange baryons at Belle, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 052003 (2014). [[arXiv:1312.1026](#)]
 - [8] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Search for the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^+ , *JHEP* **12**, 090 (2013) [[arXiv:1310.2538](#)]
 - [9] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar], Search for doubly charmed baryons Ξ_{cc}^+ and Ξ_{cc}^{++} in BABAR, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 011103 (2006) [[hep-ex/0605075](#)].
 - [10] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Observation of the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^{++} , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 112001 (2017). [[arXiv:1707.01621](#)]
 - [11] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Measurement of Ξ_{cc}^{++} production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Chin. Phys. C* **44**, 022001 (2020). [[arXiv:1910.11316](#)]
 - [12] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], First Observation of the Doubly Charmed Baryon Decay $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 162002 (2018). [[arXiv:1807.01919](#)]
 - [13] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Measurement of the Lifetime of the Doubly Charmed Baryon Ξ_{cc}^{++} , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, no.5, 052002 (2018) [[arXiv:1806.02744](#)].
 - [14] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Precision measurement of the Ξ_{cc}^{++} mass, *JHEP* **02**, 049 (2020) [[arXiv:1911.08594](#)].
 - [15] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Search for the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^+ , *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **63**, 221062 (2020). [[arXiv:1909.12273](#)]
 - [16] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Search for the doubly heavy Ξ_{bc}^0 baryon via decays to $D^0 p K^-$, *JHEP* **11**, 095 (2020). [[arXiv:2009.02481](#)]
 - [17] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Search for the doubly heavy baryons Ω_{bc}^0 and Ξ_{bc}^0 decaying to $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^+ \pi^-$, *Chin. Phys. C* **45**, 093002 (2021).

- [arXiv:2104.04759]
- [18] S. J. Brodsky, S. Groote and S. Koshkarev, Resolving the SELEX-LHCb double-charm baryon conflict: the impact of intrinsic heavy-quark hadroproduction and supersymmetric light-front holographic QCD, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 483 (2018). [arXiv:1709.09903]
- [19] V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded and M. V. Shevlyagin, Double charmed baryon production at B factory, *Phys. Lett. B* **332**, 411-414 (1994). [hep-ph/9408407]
- [20] A. F. Falk, M. E. Luke, M. J. Savage and M. B. Wise, Heavy quark fragmentation to baryons containing two heavy quarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 555-558 (1994). [hep-ph/9305315]
- [21] C. H. Chang, J. P. Ma, C. F. Qiao and X. G. Wu, Hadronic production of the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc} with intrinsic charm, *J. Phys. G* **34**, 845 (2007). [hep-ph/0610205]
- [22] S. P. Baranov, On the production of doubly flavored baryons in pp , ep and $\gamma\gamma$ collisions, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 3228-3236 (1996).
- [23] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten and G. P. Lepage, Rigorous QCD analysis of inclusive annihilation and production of heavy quarkonium, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 1125-1171 (1995). [hep-ph/9407339]
- [24] D. A. Gunter and V. A. Saleev, Hadronic production of doubly charmed baryons via charm excitation in proton, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 034006 (2001). [hep-ph/0104173]
- [25] V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded and M. V. Shevlyagin, Production of doubly charmed baryons at energy $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **58**, 1018-1021 (1995).
- [26] A. V. Berezhnoy and A. K. Likhoded, Quark-hadron duality and production of charmonia and doubly charmed baryons in e^+e^- annihilation, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **70**, 478-484 (2007). [hep-ph/0602041]
- [27] V. V. Braguta, V. V. Kiselev and A. E. Chalov, Pair production of doubly heavy diquarks, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **65**, 1537-1544 (2002).
- [28] E. Braaten, M. Kusunoki, Y. Jia and T. Mehen, Λ_c^+/Λ_c^- asymmetry in hadroproduction from heavy quark recombination, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 054021 (2004). [hep-ph/0304280]
- [29] S. Y. Li, Z. G. Si and Z. J. Yang, Doubly heavy baryon production at gamma gamma collider, *Phys. Lett. B* **648**, 284-288 (2007). [hep-ph/0701212]
- [30] Z. J. Yang and T. Yao, Doubly heavy baryon production at polarized photon collider, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **24**, 3378-3380 (2007). [arXiv:0710.0051]
- [31] H. Y. Bi, R. Y. Zhang, X. G. Wu, W. G. Ma, X. Z. Li and S. Owusu, Photoproduction of doubly heavy baryon at the LHeC, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 074020 (2017). [arXiv:1702.07181]
- [32] J. W. Zhang, X. G. Wu, T. Zhong, Y. Yu and Z. Y. Fang, Hadronic Production of the Doubly Heavy Baryon Ξ_{bc} at LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 034026 (2011). [arXiv:1101.1130]
- [33] J. Jiang, X. G. Wu, Q. L. Liao, X. C. Zheng and Z. Y. Fang, Doubly Heavy Baryon Production at A High Luminosity e^+e^- Collider, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 054021 (2012). [arXiv:1208.3051]
- [34] J. Jiang, X. G. Wu, S. M. Wang, J. W. Zhang and Z. Y. Fang, A Further Study on the Doubly Heavy Baryon Production around the Z^0 Peak at A High Luminosity e^+e^- Collider, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 054027 (2013). [arXiv:1302.0601]
- [35] A. P. Martynenko and A. M. Trunin, Relativistic corrections to the pair double heavy diquark production in e^+e^- annihilation, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 014004 (2014). [arXiv:1308.3998]
- [36] Z. J. Yang and X. X. Zhao, The Production of Ξ_{bb} at Photon Collider, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **31**, 091301 (2014). [arXiv:1408.5584]
- [37] Z. J. Yang, P. F. Zhang and Y. J. Zheng, Doubly Heavy Baryon Production in e^+e^- Annihilation, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **31**, 051301 (2014).
- [38] A. P. Martynenko and A. M. Trunin, Pair double heavy diquark production in high energy proton-proton collisions, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 138 (2015). [arXiv:1405.0969]
- [39] W. K. Lai and A. K. Leibovich, Λ_c^+/Λ_c^- and $\Lambda_b^0/\bar{\Lambda}_b^0$ production asymmetry at the LHC from heavy quark recombination, Pair double heavy diquark production in high energy proton-proton collisions, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 054022 (2015). [arXiv:1410.2091]
- [40] S. Koshkarev and V. Anikeev, Production of the doubly charmed baryons at the SELEX experiment – The double intrinsic charm approach, *Phys. Lett. B* **765**, 171-174 (2017). [arXiv:1605.03070]
- [41] S. Koshkarev, Production of the Doubly Heavy Baryons, B_c Meson and the All-charm Tetraquark at AFTER@LHC with Double Intrinsic Heavy Mechanism, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **48**, 163 (2017). [arXiv:1610.06125]
- [42] S. Groote and S. Koshkarev, Production of doubly charmed baryons nearly at rest, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 509 (2017). [arXiv:1704.02850]
- [43] X. Yao and B. Müller, Doubly charmed baryon production in heavy ion collisions, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 074003 (2018). [arXiv:1801.02652]
- [44] C. H. Chang, C. F. Qiao, J. X. Wang and X. G. Wu, Estimate of the hadronic production of the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc} under GM-VFN scheme, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 094022 (2006). [hep-ph/0601032]
- [45] G. Chen, X. G. Wu, J. W. Zhang, H. Y. Han and H. B. Fu, Hadronic production of Ξ_{cc} at a fixed-target experiment at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 074020 (2014). [arXiv:1401.6269]
- [46] X. C. Zheng, C. H. Chang and Z. Pan, Production of doubly heavy-flavored hadrons at e^+e^- colliders, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 034019 (2016). [arXiv:1510.06808]
- [47] G. Chen, C. H. Chang and X. G. Wu, Hadronic production of the doubly charmed baryon via the proton-nucleus and the nucleus-nucleus collisions at the RHIC and LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 801 (2018). [arXiv:1808.03174]
- [48] A. V. Berezhnoy, I. N. Belov and A. K. Likhoded, Production of doubly charmed baryons with the excited heavy diquark at LHC, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **34**, 1950038 (2019). [arXiv:1811.07382]
- [49] G. Chen, X. G. Wu and S. Xu, Impacts of the intrinsic charm content of the proton on the Ξ_{cc} hadroproduction at a fixed target experiment at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 054022 (2019). [arXiv:1903.00722]
- [50] X. G. Wu, A new search for the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^+ at the LHC, *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **63**, 221063 (2020). [arXiv:1912.01953]
- [51] J. J. Niu, L. Guo, H. H. Ma, X. G. Wu and X. C. Zheng,

- Production of semi-inclusive doubly heavy baryons via top-quark decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 094021 (2018). [arXiv:1810.03834]
- [52] J. J. Niu, L. Guo, H. H. Ma and X. G. Wu, Production of doubly heavy baryons via Higgs boson decays, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 339 (2019). [arXiv:1904.02339]
- [53] X. Luo, Y. Z. Jiang, G. Y. Zhang and Z. Sun, Doubly-charmed baryon production in Z -boson decay, arXiv:2206.05965.
- [54] X. Luo, H. B. Fu and H. J. Tian, Investigation of Z -boson decay into and baryons within the NRQCD factorization approach, *Chin. Phys. C* **47**, 053102 (2023). [arXiv:2208.07520]
- [55] P. H. Zhang, L. Guo, X. C. Zheng and Q. W. Ke, Excited doubly heavy baryon production via W^+ boson decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 034016 (2022). [arXiv:2202.01579]
- [56] H. H. Ma and J. J. Niu, Excited doubly heavy baryons production via Higgs decays, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 5 (2023). [arXiv:2212.12626]
- [57] H. H. Ma, J. J. Niu and X. C. Zheng, Excited doubly heavy baryons production via top-quark decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 014006 (2023). [arXiv:2210.03306]
- [58] C. F. Qiao, L. P. Sun, D. S. Yang and R. L. Zhu, “ W Boson Inclusive Decays to Quarkonium at the LHC”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011), 1766. [arXiv:1103.1106 [hep-ph]]
- [59] J. B. Guimarães da Costa *et al.* [CEPC Study Group], CEPC Conceptual Design Report: Volume 2 - Physics Detector, [arXiv:1811.10545]
- [60] [CEPC Study Group], “CEPC Conceptual Design Report: Volume 1 - Accelerator”, [arXiv:1809.00285 [physics.acc-ph]].
- [61] [HL-LHC and HE-LHC], “Higgs cross sections for HL-LHC and HE-LHC”, [LHCPhysics/HiggsEuropeanStrategy](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.7793).
- [62] Q. L. Liao, Y. Yu, Y. Deng, G. Y. Xie and G. C. Wang, Excited heavy quarkonium production via Z^0 decays at a high luminosity collider, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 114030 (2015). [arXiv:1505.03275]
- [63] J. P. Ma and Z. G. Si, Factorization approach for inclusive production of doubly heavy baryon, *Phys. Lett. B* **568**, 135-145 (2003). [hep-ph/0305079]
- [64] Z. Sun and X. G. Wu, The production of the doubly charmed baryon in deeply inelastic ep scattering at the Large Hadron Electron Collider, *JHEP* **07**, 034 (2020). [arXiv:2004.01012]
- [65] A. Petrelli, M. Cacciari, M. Greco, F. Maltoni and M. L. Mangano, NLO production and decay of quarkonium, *Nucl. Phys. B* **514**, 245-309 (1998). [hep-ph/9707223]
- [66] G. T. Bodwin, D. K. Sinclair and S. Kim, Quarkonium decay matrix elements from quenched lattice QCD, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 2376-2379 (1996). [hep-ph/9605023]
- [67] E. Bagan, H. G. Dosch, P. Gosdzinsky, S. Narison and J. M. Richard, Hadrons with charm and beauty, *Z. Phys. C* **64**, 57-72 (1994). [hep-ph/9403208]
- [68] V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded and A. I. Onishchenko, Semileptonic B_c meson decays in sum rules of QCD and NRQCD, *Nucl. Phys. B* **569**, 473-504 (2000). [hep-ph/9905359]
- [69] C. H. Chang, J. X. Wang and X. G. Wu, Production of B_c or \bar{B}_c meson and its excited states via \bar{t} quark or t quark decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 014022 (2008). [arXiv:0711.1898]
- [70] X. G. Wu, Uncertainties in Estimating the Indirect Production of B_c and Its Excited States Via Top Quark Decays at CERN LHC, *Phys. Lett. B* **671**, 318-322 (2009). [arXiv:0805.4511]
- [71] G. T. Bodwin and A. Petrelli, Order- v^4 corrections to S -wave quarkonium decay, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 094011 (2002). [hep-ph/0205210]
- [72] M. Tanabashi et al. [Particle Data Group], Review of Particle Physics, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 030001 (2018).
- [73] V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, O. N. Pakhomova and V. A. Saleev, Mass spectra of doubly heavy Omega QQ' baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 034030 (2002). [hep-ph/0206140]