

Regular black hole from regular initial data

Karim Mosani^{1,*} and Pankaj S. Joshi^{2,†}

¹*Department of Mathematics, University of Tübingen,
Auf der Morgenstelle 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany.*

²*International Centre for Space and Cosmology, School of Arts and Sciences,
Ahmedabad University, Ahmedabad-380009 (Guj), India.*

(Dated: January 25, 2024)

Recently there has been an interest in exploring black holes that are regular in that the central curvature singularity is avoided. Here, we give a recipe to obtain a regular black hole spacetime from the unhindered gravitational collapse from regular initial data of a spherically symmetric perfect fluid. While the classic Oppenheimer-Snyder collapse model necessarily produces a black hole with a Schwarzschild singularity at the centre, we show here that there are classes of regular initial conditions when collapse gives rise to a regular black hole.

The Penrose and Hawking incompleteness theorems [1] prove the existence of incomplete causal geodesics $\gamma \subset \mathcal{M}$ (where \mathcal{M} is a real smooth manifold) under certain conditions. The general pattern of the theorems (that we call here the pattern incompleteness theorem) is as follows [2]: If (\mathcal{M}, g) (four-dimensional spacetime) satisfies 1) a causality condition, 2) a curvature condition, and 3) a suitable initial/boundary condition, then \exists incomplete causal geodesics. One of the incompleteness theorem states [1]: If (\mathcal{M}, g) is 1) connected, globally hyperbolic spacetime with non-compact Cauchy surface Σ , 2) $Ric(\zeta, \zeta) \geq 0 \forall \zeta$ (Ric : Ricci tensor, ζ : null vector), and 3) admits a trapped surface T , then at least one inextendible future-directed orthogonal null geodesic from T exists that is future incomplete.

One interpretation of these theorems is that under certain scenarios, general relativity predicts its own breakdown, and hence, one should seek a way of getting rid of geodesic incompleteness. If we proceed with this interpretation, we must consider only those initial data that have the following property: At least one of the three conditions of the pattern incompleteness theorem should not be satisfied for the maximal Cauchy development (\mathcal{M}, g) of Σ . This restriction on the initial data leads to avoidance of the existence of incomplete causal geodesics. In this paper, we say that a spacetime admits a *singularity* if it is geodesically incomplete and the curvature scalars diverge along any incomplete geodesic γ as one approaches the limit point of γ that $\notin \mathcal{M}$.

In the astrophysics sector, recent observations of the central compact object of the M87 galaxy [3] and that of our own galaxy [4], earlier observations, such as that of Sagittarius A* at our galactic centre [5], and similar observations suggest an extremely strong gravity region at the galactic centre. It seems that such a strong gravity region should admit a singularity. However, if we proceed with the interpretation of the incompleteness theorems mentioned above and wish to avoid the breakdown of general relativity, then at the galactic centre, one should have an “extremely dense” object having astrophysical signatures similar to a black hole, but the spacetime being geodesically complete, let alone admitting a singularity.

Attempts have been made in the past regarding ways to avoid incompleteness in black hole solution by the conformal transformation of the original black hole metric in a certain way [6, 7]. These are objects with a horizon but complete. Such objects are dubbed regular black holes. Other regularization techniques have obtained a singularity-free analogue of singular spacetime metrics with a horizon (see [8] for a recent summary of the present understanding). For the sake of completion, it is worth noting here that two proposed regular black hole solutions: 1) Hayward [18], and 2) Culetu [19, 20], Simpson and Vissor [21], turns out to be geodesically incomplete by the analysis of Zhou and Modesto [22].

While researchers have used various techniques to regularize a black hole or to cure it from geodesic incompleteness or spacetime singularity, it would be desirable to obtain a regular black hole using dynamical gravitational collapse processes within the framework of general

* kmosani2014@gmail.com

† pankaj.joshi@ahduni.edu.in

relativity. This is because gravitational collapse has been the key astrophysical mechanism that generates stars, galaxies and other structures in the universe.

In this letter, we propose and show a method of obtaining a regular black hole as an end-state of gravitational collapse from regular initial data. By regular initial data, we mean well-defined, suitably differentiable initial profiles on the three-dimensional spacelike hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{M}$ that foliates \mathcal{M} ($\mathcal{M} = \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$). Here, we employ the method of obtaining an equilibrium configuration previously studied in [9] and put the following two restrictions so that this end-state equilibrium is a regular black hole: 1) The equilibrium configuration should be regular, i.e. one should not have a curvature singularity, and 2) It should have a horizon, namely a marginally trapped surface (MTS) so that no future-directed null geodesics should exist from the configuration to the surrounding spacetime. To satisfy the first restriction, we will compensate with condition 3) of the pattern singularity theorem. This initial/boundary condition relates to the existence of trapped surfaces. Here we use the geometrized units ($c = 8\pi G = 1$).

The phenomena of gravitational collapse is modelled using two spacetimes (\mathcal{M}_1, g_1) (interior) and (\mathcal{M}_2, g_2) (exterior) with oriented boundaries $\partial\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\partial\mathcal{M}_2$ respectively such that $\partial\mathcal{M}_1$ is diffeomorphic to $\partial\mathcal{M}_2$, i.e. $\partial\mathcal{M}_1 \cong \partial\mathcal{M}_2 \cong \Xi$. A new spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g) is then constructed such that \mathcal{M} is a disjoint union of \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 . $\partial\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\partial\mathcal{M}_2$ are embedded in \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 , respectively, and their points are identified such that Israel-Darmois junction conditions [10, 11] are satisfied [12]. Let ${}^\Xi g_1$ denote the metric induced on $\Xi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$ and ${}^\Xi g_2$ denote the metric induced on $\Xi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$. Let

$$K_1 : T\Xi \times T\Xi \rightarrow C^\infty(\Xi) : (X, Y) \mapsto g_1(\nabla_X \nu, Y),$$

and

$$K_2 : T\Xi \times T\Xi \rightarrow C^\infty(\Xi) : (X, Y) \mapsto g_2(\nabla_X \nu, Y),$$

be the extrinsic curvatures of $\Xi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\Xi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ respectively (here $\nu \in T\mathcal{M}$ is the global unit normal vector field of Ξ). The Israel-Darmois junction conditions are as follows: 1) ${}^\Xi g_1 \equiv {}^\Xi g_2$, and 2) $K_1 \equiv K_2$.

In the present paper, we model the gravitational collapse with the interior spacetime corresponding to spherically symmetric perfect fluid. It has been shown before that the Israel-Darmois junction condition is satisfied if

the exterior spacetime is the generalized Vaidya spacetime [13] with a suitable generalized Vaidya mass function [14, 15].

To begin with, let us consider a spherical gravitational collapse of a general perfect fluid modelled by (\mathcal{M}_1, g_1) . The spacetime metric g_1 in comoving coordinate basis is described as

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\nu} dt^2 + \frac{R'^2}{G} dr^2 + R^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (1)$$

Here, $R = R(t, r)$ and $G = G(t, r)$. The superscripts dot and prime above the functions in this context denote the partial derivative with respect to comoving coordinates t and r , respectively. Additionally, we set $r_b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that (1) is valid for $0 \leq r \leq r_b$. Einstein's field equations for a perfect fluid are

$$\rho = \frac{F'}{R^2 R'}, \quad (2)$$

$$p = -\frac{\dot{F}}{R^2 \dot{R}}, \quad (3)$$

$$\nu' = -\frac{p'}{\rho + p}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\dot{G} = 2 \frac{\nu'}{R'} \dot{R} G, \quad (5)$$

where

$$F = R(1 - G + e^{-2\nu} \dot{R}^2) \quad (6)$$

is the Misner-Sharp mass function [16, 17]. The collapsing spherical cloud comprises concentric spherical shells, each identified by a comoving radial coordinate r . The physical interpretation of F is that it gives us the notion of mass inside a spherical shell of radial coordinate r at comoving time t . Further, we put the constraint $R' > 0$ so that these shells do not cross each other.

The collapsing cloud attains equilibrium configuration $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \tilde{g}_1)$ if

$$\dot{R} = \ddot{R} = 0. \quad (7)$$

We denote the physical radius $R(t, r)$ of the cloud in \mathcal{E} as $R_e(r)$ (subscript e for the functions denotes their form in \mathcal{E}). We now have

$$\begin{aligned} R(t, r) &\rightarrow R_e(r), & F(t, r) &\rightarrow F_e(r), \\ \nu(t, r) &\rightarrow \nu_e(r), & G(t, r) &\rightarrow G_e(r). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Imposing conditions (7) and using Eq.(6), we obtain the following two equations that fix the behaviour of metric coefficients in \mathcal{E} :

$$G_e(r) = 1 - \frac{F_e(r)}{R_e(r)}, \quad (9)$$

and

$$(G_{,R})(r) = G_{,R}(r, R_e(r)) = \frac{F_{e,R}}{R_e^2} - \frac{(F_{,R})_e}{R_e}. \quad (10)$$

In the coordinate basis of the newly defined coordinate system $(t, r) \mapsto (t, z)$, where $z = R_e(r)$, we write the functions $\mathcal{X}_e(r)$ in (8) as $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}(z)$. Einstein's equations (2) and (4) that \mathcal{E} satisfies are

$$\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\tilde{F}_{,z}}{z^2}, \quad (11)$$

and

$$\tilde{p}_{,z} = -(\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{p})\tilde{\nu}_{,z}. \quad (12)$$

From Eq.(3) and (5), one obtains

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{2\tilde{\nu}_{,z}}{z} \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{F}(z)}{z} \right) - \frac{\tilde{F}(z)}{z^3}, \quad (13)$$

The spacetime metric \tilde{g}_1 for $z \leq z_b = R_e(r_b)$ is given by [9]

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\tilde{\nu}(z)} dt^2 + \frac{dz^2}{1 - \frac{\tilde{F}(z)}{z}} + z^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (14)$$

We note that such \mathcal{E} obtained as a result of the dynamical evolution of initial data is achieved in infinite comoving time because of the conditions that we begin with, i.e. Eq.(7)¹

One can eliminate $\tilde{\nu}$ from (12) and (13), and obtain a first order differential equation of $\tilde{p}(z)$ called Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equation as

$$\tilde{p}_{,z} + \frac{\left(\tilde{F} + \tilde{p}z^3 \right) (\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{p})}{2z(z - \tilde{F})} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Choosing $\tilde{F}(z)$ closes the system of equations describing \mathcal{E} . One can then solve the differential Eq.(15) for a suitable initial condition $\tilde{p}(z_0) = \tilde{p}_0$ to obtain $\tilde{p}(z)$ that is the pressure profile (in terms of the newly defined radial coordinate z). Substituting the functional form of $\tilde{F}(z)$ and $p(z)$ in the differential equation (13), one can then obtain the remaining function $\nu(z)$ by solving it. \mathcal{E} identified by the functional form $\tilde{F}(z)$ and the initial condition $\tilde{p}(z_0)$ can be obtained as an end-state of a wide class of dynamics, each of which is further identified by one function $F(t, r)$ (or some other function, e.g. $p(t, r)$) such that $F(t, r) = F(r, R) \rightarrow F(r, R_e(r)) = F_e(r) = \tilde{F}(z)$ in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ ².

The necessary criterion for \mathcal{E} to not admit a singularity is that the density $\tilde{\rho}(z)$ should not blow up for any z . Typically, the density $\tilde{\rho}(z)$ is monotonically non-increasing (stays the same or decreases) as we go away from the centre. If this is the case, then the boundedness of the density at the centre ensures its boundedness throughout the spacelike hypersurface identified by a constant t .

From Eq.(11) we have the following restriction on $\tilde{F}(z)$:

$$\mathcal{O}(\tilde{F}) \sim \mathcal{O}(z^3). \quad (16)$$

This restriction ensures that the density is bounded at the centre of the \mathcal{E} (identified by $z = 0$). In other words,

$$\tilde{F}(z) = \tilde{F}_0 z^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_i z^{(i+3)}, \quad \tilde{F}_0 > 0, \quad \tilde{F}_i \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (17)$$

Of course, \tilde{F}_i 's are such that the equilibrium density $\tilde{\rho}$ is a non-increasing function of z . Also, the pressure is smooth throughout the equilibrium matter field for a regular end-state.

For obtaining a regular black hole, one also requires the formation of at least one MTS, as mentioned before. We define such a surface in terms of the expansion of null geodesic congruence through this surface.

The expansion of a null geodesic congruence at a point $p \in \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{M}_1$ (\mathcal{O} is open) is defined as the trace of the null Weingarten map

$$\mathcal{W} : V_p \rightarrow V_p : \bar{X} \mapsto \mathcal{W}(\bar{X}) := \nabla_{\bar{X}} \xi := \overline{\nabla_X \xi}.$$

¹ Consider an example of a function $f(x) = a(x - b)^3$. Now, the first and second derivatives of f with respect to x are zero at $x = b$, but one does not achieve staticity for $x > b$ (f continues evolving with x for $x > b$). This example depicts that just by relying on the vanishing of the first two derivatives, one cannot expect to obtain the equilibrium configuration in the finite value of the domain.

² Here we have committed a slight abuse of notation by using the same notation F when we look at it as a function of (t, r) as well as when we look at it as a function of (r, R)




FIG. 1. Equation of state parameter ($\omega = \tilde{p}/\tilde{\rho}$) versus the newly defined radial coordinate z corresponding to $\tilde{F}(z) = \tilde{F}_0 z^3$, $\tilde{F}_0 = 1$, and suitable initial condition for pressure $\tilde{p}(z = 0)$. For larger values of z , ω (and hence the pressure) becomes negative.

Here $\xi : \mathcal{M}_1 \supset \mathcal{O} \rightarrow T\mathcal{O}$ is a null vector field of tangents to the congruence, and V_p is the two-dimensional fibre of the quotient set $T\mathcal{H}/\sim$ of the tangent bundle

$$T\mathcal{H} := \{Z \in T\mathcal{O} \mid g_1(Z, \xi) = 0\},$$

with the equivalence relation \sim defined as follows:

$$\forall X, Y \in T\mathcal{O}, X \sim Y \iff X - Y \propto \xi.$$

$\bar{X} \in V_p$ is then the equivalence class of $X \in T\mathcal{H}$ corresponding to the above equivalence relation.

Let $S \subset \Sigma \subset \mathcal{M}_1$ be an embedded two-dimensional spacelike submanifold. $\forall p \in S$, \exists precisely two future-directed null vectors l and $n \in T_p\mathcal{M}_1$ orthogonal to S , i.e. $\tilde{g}_{1\,p}(l, k) = \tilde{g}_{1\,p}(n, k) = 0 \, \forall k \in T_p S$, along with $\tilde{g}_{1\,p}(l, l) = \tilde{g}_{1\,p}(n, n) = 0$, and $\tilde{g}_{1\,p}(l, n) = -1$. Additionally, one of these null vectors, say l , satisfies $\tilde{g}_{1\,p}(N, l) \geq 0$. Here $N \in T_p\Sigma$ is normal to S in Σ that points outwards from S . We now make a continuous assignment of vectors such as l and $n \in T_p\mathcal{M}$ overall points $p \in S$, obtain two distinct vector fields $v_1 : S \subset \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow T\mathcal{M}_1$ and $v_2 : S \subset \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow T\mathcal{M}_1$, and thereby define two distinct families of null geodesics (null geodesic congruence) that we refer to as outgoing (the one generated by null vectors of the kind l) and ingoing families respectively. We say that S is an MTS if it is compact and the expansion of both the null geodesic congruence is non-positive.

We have the equilibrium configuration $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \tilde{g}_1)$, where \tilde{g}_1 is given by Eq.(14). Consider the set $\mathcal{M}_1 =$




FIG. 2. For the regular black hole, the strong and dominant energy condition is satisfied for the shaded region, i.e. for a wide class of regular initial condition $\tilde{p}(0)$. Here, the horizontal axis depicts the newly defined radial coordinate z , and the vertical axis depicts the initial condition $\tilde{p}(0)$. $z_b = 1/\sqrt{\tilde{F}_0}$, and $\tilde{F}_0 = 1$.

$\mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathcal{B} \subset \Sigma$ (Σ is the Cauchy surface), is compact and defined as

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ p(z, \theta, \phi) \in \Sigma \mid z \leq z_b = 1/\sqrt{\tilde{F}_0} \right\}. \quad (18)$$

Then, the expansion of the outgoing radial null geodesic congruence at points in \mathcal{M}_1 (identified only by the coordinate z) is given by

$$\Theta(z) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{z} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\tilde{F}(z)}{z}}. \quad (19)$$

Θ does not depend on other coordinates due to the symmetry: the existence of the Killing vector fields ∂_t , ∂_θ and ∂_ϕ . For a regular end-state equilibrium configuration obtained through the gravitational collapse of a perfect fluid, trapped surfaces (constant z surfaces for which $\Theta(z) < 0$) do not form, as seen from the Eq.(19). Only MTS's (constant z surfaces for which $\Theta(z) = 0$) can form corresponding to z that are roots of

$$V(z) = z - \tilde{F}(z). \quad (20)$$

Eq.(19) tells us that $\Theta(z)$ can take the following values:

$$\Theta(z) = 0, \quad \Theta(z) \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \text{or} \quad \Theta(z) = \infty. \quad (21)$$

For $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{F}) \sim \mathcal{O}(z^3)$, which is a necessary and sufficient criterion for maintaining the boundedness of the density throughout the spacetime, i.e. $\rho(z) < \infty \forall z \in [0, z_b]$, we have from Eq.(19) that $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \Theta(z) = \infty$. Equivalently, for $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \Theta(z) < \infty$, one should have $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{F}) < \mathcal{O}(z^3)$, subsequently implying that $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \rho(z) = \infty$.

An example of a regular black hole (bounded density and formation of MTS) can now be constructed as an end-state of the gravitational collapse of a perfect fluid. Let $\tilde{F}(z) = \tilde{F}_0 z^3$, $\tilde{F}_0 > 0$. This choice corresponds to a constant density throughout \mathcal{M}_1 previously obtained in [24]. \mathcal{M}_1 then has the following property:

1. $\Theta(z_b) = 0$. Hence $z = z_b$ corresponds to MTS. \mathcal{M}_1 is not contained in the causal past of future null infinity because of the existence of MTS.
2. The density ($\tilde{\rho} = 3\tilde{F}_0$) is positive and finite throughout \mathcal{M}_1 as seen from Eq.(11). The choice of $F(z)$ (following (16) that gives rise to such density is what leads to non-admittance of the singularity by \mathcal{M}_1 .
3. The pressure profile is obtained by solving the differential Eq.(15) with the initial condition $\tilde{p}(0) = F_0 \frac{1-3k}{k-1}$ as

$$\tilde{p}(z) = -\frac{F_0 (1 - 3k\sqrt{1 - F_0 z^2})}{1 - k\sqrt{1 - F_0 z^2}}. \quad (22)$$

Here, we have the freedom to choose the initial data in terms of the initial pressure $\tilde{p}(0)$ or in terms of F_0 and k . The equation of state of the equilibrium matter field for various choices of $\tilde{p}(0)$ is depicted in Fig.(1). The strong and the dominant energy conditions for such matter field are satisfied for a wide range of this initial data $\tilde{p}(z=0)$ throughout \mathcal{M}_1 , as shown in Fig.(2). This depicts that we do not require an exotic matter field to obtain \mathcal{M}_1 . In other words, violation of the energy conditions (strong, weak, dominant) is not necessary to obtain \mathcal{M}_1 . However, it is worth mentioning that negative pressures come into the picture, as seen in Fig.(1).

4. The curvature scalars does not blow up $\forall p \in \mathcal{M}_1$ as depicted by the expression of the Ricci scalar:

$$R = 6F_0 \frac{(1 - 2k\sqrt{1 - F_0 z^2})}{1 - k\sqrt{1 - F_0 z^2}}. \quad (23)$$

5. \mathcal{M}_1 is geodesically complete. To depict this, we follow the procedure by Zhou and Modesto [22] (Refer to the Appendix).
6. A null hypersurface $\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$ is called a Killing horizon if \exists a Killing vector field $\chi \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{M}_1)$ such that its norm with respect to \tilde{g}_1 is zero $\forall p \in \mathcal{K}$. In a static spacetime with metric \tilde{g}_1 , if \exists an event horizon, then it is a Killing horizon corresponding to the Killing vector field ∂_t [23]. Using Eq.(27), we obtain exactly one Killing horizon

$$\mathcal{K} : \{p \in \mathcal{M}_1 \mid \tilde{g}_1|_p(\partial_t, \partial_t) = 0\},$$

defined by

$$z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F_0}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^2}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (24)$$

Hence $z = z_b = 1/\sqrt{F_0}$ is not the Killing Horizon and, therefore, not the event horizon. Note that the question of whether \mathcal{M} admits an event horizon is relevant only if it is asymptotically flat. Here, we have generalized Vaidya spacetime in the exterior, which may or may not be asymptotically flat, depending on the choice of the free function (generalized Vaidya mass function) $M(\mathcal{R}, v)$ constrained by a partial differential equation and two boundary conditions as shown in [14, 15].

7. Such region is obtained as an end state of a class of regular initial data. One such element of this class is the canonical configuration identified by a fixed radial profile $p(t, r) = p(r) = \tilde{p}(z = R_e(r))$ (similar to the choice as shown in [24]).
8. Such RBH is equivalent to a little modification to the Schwarzschild interior solution (extending the largest radial coordinate z_b) that ultimately gives rise to the existence of an MTS (and hence an RBH). Of course, RBH has been studied before, but none has been shown to arise from gravitational collapse. Additionally, no ad-hoc construction is involved (like the one in Simpson-Vissor, which one constructs from Schwarzschild spacetime by replacing r with $\sqrt{r^2 + l^2}$). Our construction seems “more natural” comparatively.

In conclusion, we have shown that we can obtain a regular black hole (that is characterized by 1) nonexistence of

any future-directed causal curve γ from arbitrary $p \in \mathcal{M}_1$ to $\mathcal{M}_2 - \Xi$ due to the existence of MTS at $z = z_b$ (or equivalently at $z \in \Xi$), and 2) nonexistence of incomplete geodesics) as an end state of the dynamical evolution of a perfect fluid. We note that, in our view, such a scenario is more likely to arise in the formation of supermassive black holes at the galactic centres rather than the catastrophic collapse of massive stars at the end of their life cycles. These latter objects would typically end in the formation of spacetime singularities, either covered or naked. We plan to discuss this separately elsewhere.

I. APPENDIX

Here we show that \mathcal{M}_1 is geodesically complete. Let us consider a radially infalling null geodesic $\gamma \subset \mathcal{M}_1$. In terms of the conserved quantity $E = -g(\partial_t, u)$ associated with the Killing vector field ∂_t (where u is the tangent vector field along γ), the equations of motion of such a geodesic is given by

$$\left(\frac{dz}{d\lambda}\right)^2 = e^{-2\nu} E^2 \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{F}}{z}\right), \quad (25)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right)^2 = E^2 e^{-4\nu}. \quad (26)$$

Here λ is the affine parameter along the geodesic. Solving the Eq.(13) along with using Eq.(22), we obtains an explicit coordinate expression of ν as

$$\nu(z) = C_0 + \ln\left(1 - k\sqrt{1 - F_0 z^2}\right), \quad (27)$$

where C_0 is a constant of integration. Integrating Eq.(25), we get the relation between the radial coordi-

nate z and the affine parameter λ along γ as

$$\lambda = \frac{e^{C_0}}{E} \left(kz - \frac{\sin^{-1} \sqrt{F_0 z}}{\sqrt{F_0}}\right) + \frac{C_1}{E}, \quad (28)$$

where C_1 is another constant of integration. The above equation shows that $\gamma(\lambda = C_1/E)$ corresponds to $z = 0$. We highlight the following important point: The radially infalling photon reaches the centre $z = 0$ in finite proper time. We could have safely said that γ is complete if it were infinite proper time. However, we now investigate if extending γ beyond $z = 0$ is possible for our case. If the answer to this question is affirmative, then we have the following: When λ crosses C_1/E from below (i.e. $\lambda < C_1/E$), the coordinates along γ make the transition $\theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta$ and $\phi \rightarrow \pi + \phi$. Additionally, $dz/d\lambda$ flips the polarity from negative to positive. This polarity flip is because for initially radially infalling null geodesic, z decreases with increasing λ until $z = 0$, then increases with the further increment of λ .

Now, upon Taylor expanding $dt/d\lambda$ around $z = 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{dt}{d\lambda} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^i}{i!} \frac{d^i}{dz^i} \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right) \Big|_{z=0}. \quad (29)$$

Let us assume that \exists at least one odd term

$$\frac{z^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!} \frac{d^{2n-1}}{dz^{2n-1}} \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (30)$$

in this Taylor expansion, that does not vanish. Consider

$$n_0 = \min\{m \mid \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right) \neq 0 \mid m = 2n-1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (31)$$

Then

$$\frac{d^{n_0}}{dz^{n_0}} \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right) \quad (32)$$

can be written as the sum of terms containing lower (even) derivatives of $\frac{dt}{d\lambda}$ and the term

$$\left(\frac{dz}{d\lambda}\right)^{n_0} \frac{d^{n_0}}{dz^{n_0}} \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right). \quad (33)$$

E.g., if $n_0 = 5$, then

$$\frac{d^5}{dz^5} \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right) = 5 \left(\frac{dz}{d\lambda} \frac{d^4}{dz^4} + 2 \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \frac{d^3}{dz^3}\right) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right) + 10 \left(\frac{dz}{d\lambda}\right)^3 \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \frac{d^4}{dz^4} \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right) + \left(\frac{dz}{d\lambda}\right)^5 \frac{d^5}{dz^5} \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right). \quad (34)$$

We now have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0^+} \frac{d^5}{dz^5} \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right) \neq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0^-} \frac{d^5}{dz^5} \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\right) \quad (35)$$

as seen from the last term of the expansion Eq.(34), since

$dz/d\lambda$ changes the polarity as λ crosses C_1/E (or the curve crosses $z = 0$). In such a scenario, $dt/d\lambda$ is not an analytic function of z . This is the case for Hayward's regular black hole, as shown in [22].

However, it turns out that in our case, all the odd terms in the Taylor expansion of $dt/d\lambda$ (Eq.(26)) vanishes at $z = 0$. We could safely say that $dt/d\lambda$ is a smooth function of z in the neighbourhood of $z = 0$. Hence, γ is a complete geodesic.

-
- [1] S. W. Hawking, G. F. R. Ellis, The large scale structure of space-time, Cambridge University Press (1973).
 - [2] Jose M. M. Senovilla, [General Relativity and Gravitation](#), **30**, 5, 701–848 (1998).
 - [3] K. Akiyama et al., [Astrophys. J.](#) 875 (2019) L1 (2019).
 - [4] K. Akiyama et al., [Astrophys. J. Lett.](#) 930, no.2, L12 (2022).
 - [5] Shoko Sakai, et.al., [ApJ](#) **873**, 65 (2019).
 - [6] C. Bambi, L. Modesto, and L. Rachwal, [Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics](#), **2017** (2017).
 - [7] H. Chakrabarty, C. A. Benavides-Gallego, C. Bambi, and L. Modesto, [Journal of High Energy Physics](#) **2018**, 13 (2018).
 - [8] R. Carballo-Rubio, F. D. Filippo, S. Liberati, and M. Visser, [arXiv:2302.00028](#).
 - [9] P. S Joshi, D. Malafarina, and R. Narayan, [Class. Quantum Grav.](#) **31** 015002 (2014).
 - [10] G. Darmais, Les ´equations de la gravitation einsteinienne. Ch. V,M´emorial de Sciences Mathematiques, Fascicule XXV. Paris: Gauthier-Villars (1927).
 - [11] W. Israel, [Nuovo cimento](#), **44B**; erratum **48B** (1967).
 - [12] F.C. Mena, [Advances in Mathematical Physics](#), 638726 (2012).
 - [13] A. Wang and Y. Wu, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](#) **31**, 107 (1999).
 - [14] P. S. Joshi and I. H. Dwivedi, [Class. Quantum Grav.](#) **16** 41 (1999).
 - [15] R. Goswami and P. S. Joshi, [Phys. Rev. D](#) **76**, 084026 (2007).
 - [16] C. W. Misner and D. H. Sharp, [Phys. Rev.](#) **136**, B571 (1964).
 - [17] L. B. Szabados, [Living Reviews in Relativity](#) **12**, 4 (2009).
 - [18] S. A. Hayward, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](#) 96, 031103 (2006).
 - [19] H. Culetu, [arXiv:1305.5964](#).
 - [20] H. Culetu, [International Journal of Theoretical Physics](#), **54** (2015).
 - [21] A. Simpson and M. Vissor, [Universe](#), **6**(1), 8 (2020).
 - [22] T. Zhou and L. Modesto, [Phys. Rev. D](#) **107**, 044016 (2023).
 - [23] B. Carter, [General Relativity and Gravitation](#) **41**, 2873 (2009).
 - [24] D. Malafarina and P. S. Joshi, [The European Physical Journal C](#) **75**, 596 (2015).