Pseudoscalar meson dominance and nucleon structure

E. Ruiz Arriola · P. Sánchez Puertas

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Pseudoscalar meson dominance has implications for nucleon structure which follow from an Extended Partial Conservation of the Axial Current (EPCAC). The minimal resonance saturation of the nucleon pseudoscalar form factor of the lowest pseudoscalar and isovector mesons compatible with pQCD short distance constraints and chiral symmetry. Using PDG masses and widths we obtain $g_{\pi NN} = 13.21 \binom{+0.11}{-0.06}$, to be compared with the most precise determinations from np, pp scattering, $g_{\pi^+np} = 13.25(5)$ from the Granada-2013 database. Equivalently a Goldberger-Treiman discrepancy $\Delta_{\rm GT} = 1.8 \substack{+0.9\\-0.4}\%$ is found. Our results are consistent with almost flat strong pion-nucleon-nucleon vertices.

Keywords Pseudoscalar meson dominance \cdot Large N_c \cdot Pion nucleon coupling constant

1 Introduction

The ancient Goldberger-Treiman (GT) relation [1] is the most spectacular prediction of chiral symmetry where weak and strong interaction properties are intertwined. The GT discrepancy is defined as

$$\Delta_{\rm GT} = 1 - \frac{M_N g_A}{g_{\pi^+ pn} f_{\pi^+}},\tag{1}$$

Supported by PID2020-114767 GBI00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, as well as Junta de Andalucía grant FQM-225

Enrique Ruiz Arriola and Pablo Sanchez Puertas

Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear and Instituto Carlos I de Física Teórica y Computacional. Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain. E-mail: earriola@ugr.es and E-mail: pablosanchez@ugr.es

where $M_N = (M_p + M_n)/2$ is the nucleon mass, f_{π^+} the pion weak decay constant, g_A the axial nucleon coupling constant and g_{π^+pn} the pion nucleon coupling constant. Using up to date values $M_p = 0.93827231$ GeV and $M_n = 0.93956563$ GeV, $g_A = 1.2723(23)$ and $f_{\pi^+} = 0.09206(84)$ GeV from PDG [2] and $g_{\pi^+pn} = 13.25(5)$ [3,4] we get $\Delta_{\rm GT} = 2(1)\%$, i.e. a small but significantly non-vanishing discrepancy. The uncertainty obtained by standard error propagation in quadratures ¹ is dominated by the 0.2% bench-marking accuracy of g_{π^+pn} from a partial wave analysis of the about np, pp existing 8000 elastice scattering data below pion production threshold and reduced down to 6713 by the Granada-2013 3σ -selfconsistent database with $\chi^2/\text{DOF} = 1.025$. We review here our recent work [5] which is summarized in an almost back of the envelope calculation with rather similar central value and uncertainty exploiting pseudoscalar meson dominance.

At the hadronic level the GT relation is a direct consequence of Partial Conservation of the Axial Current (PCAC) [6] and the fact that in the isospin limit the vector weak current or the neutron β -decay corresponds to the isospin rotated strong conserved current [7], (for a reviews covering up to the mid 80's see e.g. [8,9] and references therein). It is remarkable that such a pre-QCD relation works so well with modern data.

Since the discovery of QCD, the GT relation is regarded as an exact theorem in the chiral limit (massless quarks). For quark fields q_i and q_f PCAC in QCD reads

$$\partial_{\mu}(\bar{q}_{f}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}q_{i}) = (m_{f} + m_{i})\bar{q}_{f}i\gamma_{5}q_{i} - \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}G^{a}_{\mu\nu}G^{a}_{\rho\sigma}\delta_{fi}, \qquad (2)$$

where the last term, corresponding to the $U_A(1)$ anomaly, is ignored in the following as we shall deal with non-singlet currents. The first term on the right hand side is called the pseudoscalar density which has $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ quantum numbers. Assuming isospin symmetry, i.e. $m_u = m_d \equiv m_0$, the pseudoscalar density for light u, d flavors, $2m_0\bar{q}\bar{\tau}i\gamma_5 q$ has $I^G = 1^-$ quantum numbers and therefore at the hadronic level it has a non vanishing overlap with any state with an odd number of pions, $\pi, 3\pi, 5\pi, \ldots$ and the vacuum.

2 Pseudoscalar form factor of the Nucleon

Matrix elements between nucleon states with initial and final momenta p and p' correspond to the nucleon *pseudoscalar* form factor,

$$\langle N(p')|\bar{q}\{\vec{\tau},\hat{m}\}i\gamma_5 q|N(p)\rangle = \bar{u}(p')\{\vec{\tau},\hat{m}\}i\gamma_5 u(p)F_P(q^2),\qquad(3)$$

where $q_{\mu} = p'_{\mu} - p_{\mu}$ is the momentum transfer and N = (p, n) u(p) are nucleon Dirac spinors. The form factor satisfies useful analytical properties in the complex t-plane.

¹ This means, under the assumption of uncorrelated uncertainties the relation $(\delta \Delta_{\rm GT})^2 / \Delta_{\rm GT}^2 = (\delta f_{\pi^+})^2 / f_{\pi^+}^2 + (\delta g_A)^2 / g_A^2 + (\delta M_N)^2 / M_N^2 + (\delta g_{\pi^+ pn})^2 / g_{\pi^+ pn}^2$.

- 1. $F_P(t)$ is real in the space-like region, t < 0, and up to the opening of the 3π threshold $t < (3m_\pi)^2$,
- 2. It has a pion pole at $t = m_{\pi}^2$,
- 3. $F_P(t)$ has a branch cuts along the odd number of pions production thresholds, $t = (3m_\pi)^2, (5m_\pi)^2, \ldots$, corresponding to the process $N\bar{N} \to 3\pi, 5\pi, \ldots$
- 4. $F_P(t)$ falls off as $m_q(\alpha(Q^2)/Q^2)^2$ in the deep Euclidean region, $t = -Q^2 \rightarrow -\infty$ [10,11]
- 5. Its value at the origin is $F_P(0) = 2Mg_A$.

With all these properties being fulfilled we can write the following dispersion relation

$$\hat{m}F_P(t) = \frac{2f_\pi m_\pi^2 g_{\pi NN}}{m_\pi^2 - t} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{(3m_\pi)^2}^{\infty} ds \frac{\mathrm{Im}\hat{m}F_P(s)}{s - t}, \qquad (4)$$

where $2i \text{Im} F_P(s) = F_P(s+i0^+) - F_P(s-i0^+) = \text{Disc} F_P(s)$ is the discontinuity across the branch cut. Condition 5 implies

$$2M_N g_A = 2f_\pi g_{\pi NN} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{(3m_\pi)^2}^{\infty} ds \; \frac{\operatorname{Im} \hat{m} F_P(s)}{s} \,. \tag{5}$$

The residue corresponds to the $g_{\pi NN}$ definition. Note that the variables appearing here are the *physical* ones, and that the GT relation is obtained when the continuum states are neglected. This is unlike the chiral limit, $m_{\pi} \rightarrow 0$, where the GT involves *unphysical* quantities, $\dot{M_N} \dot{g}_A = \dot{f}_{\pi} \dot{g}_{\pi NN}$ where $\dot{A} = A|_{m_{\pi} \rightarrow 0}$ and the discrepancy is obtained by using ChPT.

The asymptotic conditions are equivalent to the following sum rules (analogous to the Weinberg sum rules)

$$0 = 2f_{\pi}m_{\pi}^2 g_{\pi NN} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{(3m_{\pi})^2}^{\infty} ds \,\operatorname{Im} \hat{m}F_P(s), \tag{6}$$

$$0 = 2f_{\pi}m_{\pi}^4 g_{\pi NN} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{(3m_{\pi})^2}^{\infty} ds \, \operatorname{Im} \hat{m} F_P(s) s \,. \tag{7}$$

In our case we are interested in the process $N\bar{N} \rightarrow X = \pi, 3\pi, 5\pi, ...$ in the channel with pion quantum numbers.

3 Anatomy of Spectral function

As we see, phenomenologically $\Delta_{\rm GT} > 0$ and the three integrals involving the the spectral function must be negative. However, introducing the spectral function $\rho(s) = {\rm Im} \hat{m} F_P(s) / \pi$ for short and taking

$$\rho(s) = \left[\operatorname{sign}(\rho(s))\sqrt{|\rho(s)/s|}\right]\sqrt{|\rho(s)s|} \equiv f(s)g(s), \qquad (8)$$

owing to Schwartz's inequality we get,

$$\left| \int ds \rho(s) \right|^2 = \left| \int ds f(s) g(s) \right|^2$$

$$\leq \int ds |f(s)|^2 \int ds |g(s)|^2 = \int ds |\rho(s)| s \int ds |\rho(s)| / s \,. \tag{9}$$

For a spectral function with a well defined sign one has either $|\rho(s)| = \rho(s)$ or $|\rho(s)| = -\rho(s)$ and so we can intertwine the three sum rules yielding

$$\left(2f_{\pi}m_{\pi}^{2}g_{\pi NN}\right)^{2} \leq \left(2f_{\pi}m_{\pi}^{4}g_{\pi NN}\right)\left(2f_{\pi}g_{\pi NN}-2M_{N}g_{A}\right) \\ \Longrightarrow 1 \leq 1 - \Delta_{\rm GT} \Longrightarrow \Delta_{\rm GT} \leq 0,$$
 (10)

in contradiction with the phenomenological positive sign, $\Delta_{\rm GT} = 2(1)\%$. Thus, the spectral function must change sign. At threshold the sign is well defined, so there must be *at least* one value where $\rho(s_0) = 0$. The presence of this zero in the spectral function underlies the relative insensitivity of results for $g_{\pi NN}$ found in Ref. [5] and reviewed below.

The dispersive integral in Eq. (5) runs from the three-pion threshold $\sqrt{s} = 3m_{\pi}$ to infinity and our estimate will be done by separating the contributions into three different regions

- 1. Low energy region, $3m_{\pi} \leq \sqrt{s} \leq \Lambda_{\chi}$, where we may rely on Chiral Perturbation Theory [12,13].
- 2. Intermediate energy region, $\Lambda_{\chi} \leq \sqrt{s} \leq \Lambda_{\rm H}$, where pseudoescalar $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$, resonances contribute explicitly on top of an unknown but hopefully smooth background.
- 3. High energy region where we can use Perturbative QCD (pQCD) [10,11].

The precise values marking the boundaries between the different regions are not precisely known and varying their values provides a natural source of uncertainty. It turns out that both the low- and high-energy regions are numerically supressed even for the extreme values $\Lambda_{\chi} \sim \Lambda_{\rm H} \sim 1 {\rm GeV}$. Furthermore, since their relative contribution as well as their uncertainties are also negligible, we shall focus in these proceedings on the intermediate resonance region.

In the $I^G J^{PC} = 1^{-0^{-+}}$ channel there are 5 states reported by the PDG booklet [2], $\pi(140), \pi(1300), \pi(1800), \pi(2070), \pi(2360)$ which we will denote as $\pi, \pi'\pi'', \pi''', \ldots$. The first three states are firmly established while, the last two ones fall under the label further states, meaning that they have been observed by some experiments but not confirmed by further experiments. These pseudoscalar states follow a nice and clear radial Regge pattern

$$M_n^2 \pm \Gamma_n M_n = 1.27(27)n + M_1^2 \quad (\text{GeV}^2) \qquad n \neq 0$$
 (11)

which has been analyzed in Ref .[14] in terms of the half-width rule which takes into account the fact that, when produced, resonances are not pure mass states. They correspond to a mass distribution and different processes have different backgrounds, so that we expect the resonance mass spread is comparable to the width, so that $\Delta M^2 = M\Gamma$ or $\Delta M = \Gamma/2$. Useful uncertainties estimates arise from this simple half-width rule [15,16].

Within the (relativized) quark model of Isgur and Godfrey [17] these states are interpreted as excited $\bar{q}q$ configurations where *n* indicates the number of nodes of the relative wave function in two body Hamiltonian which up to hyperfine splitting corrections is of the form $H = 2\sqrt{p^2 + m_q^2} + \sigma r$ (here m_q represents the constituent quark mass).

Experimentally these states are inferred from decay modes characterized by masses and widths, say $\pi(1300) \rightarrow \rho\pi \rightarrow 3\pi$ or $\pi(1800) \rightarrow \sigma\pi \rightarrow 3\pi$ in several production processes from a partial wave analysis. The determination of resonance profiles including relativistic centrifugal barrier effects [18,19] relies strongly on particular parameterizations which ultimately are validated by fitting actual data. Thus, up to a (hopefully) smooth background one has

$$\operatorname{Im} \hat{m} F_P(s) = \sum_{P=\pi',\pi'',\dots} 2f_P g_{PNN} m_P^2 F(s, m_P^2), \qquad (12)$$

where F is some profile function containing resonance parameters and kinematical factors fixed partly, but not entirely, by theoretical constraints. In fairness, this is a model dependent ansatz which we have shown [5] on the light of several resonance models (e.g., different resonance profiles) to have little impact on the final determination of $g_{\pi NN}$ or $\Delta_{\rm GT}$. Thus, in our work we propose a rather simple short-cut based on the large N_c limit in QCD.

4 Minimal hadronic ansatz

In the large N_c limit, resonances become narrow, and actually $\Gamma/m = (1/N_c)$ (numerically one has $\Gamma/m = 0.12(8)$ [20,14]), so that in that limit we expect

$$\operatorname{Im} \hat{m} F_P(s) = \sum_{P=\pi',\pi'',\dots} 2f_P g_{PNN} m_P^2 \delta(s - m_P^2) , \qquad (13)$$

for $s \geq (3m_{\pi})^2$, where for the excited pions we introduce their corresponding weak decay constant f_P (note that such decay constants must vanish as $m_q \rightarrow 0$, that ultimately guarantees the correct chiral extrapolation in our model) corresponding to the process $P \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}\mu$, and their coupling to the nucleon, g_{PNN} . In this limit the result becomes model independent and this spectral function yields a sum of monopoles for the pseudoscalar form factor which in the space-like region, ($s = -Q^2 < 0$), reads

$$\hat{m}F_P(s = -Q^2) = \sum_{P=\pi,\pi',\pi'',\dots} \frac{2f_P g_{PNN} m_P^2}{Q^2 + m_P^2}, \qquad (14)$$

which can be reinterpreted as an Extended PCAC relation and corresponds to flat strong PNN vertices. The minimal hadronic ansatz fulfilling all conditions

involving π as well as the lowest excitations, π' and π'' yields

$$F_P(t) = 2Mg_A \frac{1}{1 - t/m_\pi^2} \frac{1}{1 - t/m_{\pi'}^2} \frac{1}{1 - t/m_{\pi''}^2}$$
(15)

which only requires PDG masses. From the residue at the pion pole we get

$$g_{\pi NN} = \frac{M_N g_A}{f_\pi} \frac{1}{1 - m_\pi^2 / m_\pi'^2} \frac{1}{1 - m_\pi^2 / m_\pi''^2} \,, \tag{16}$$

so that the GT discrepancy becomes

$$\Delta_{\rm GT} = 1 - \frac{Mg_A}{g_{\pi NN} f_\pi} = m_\pi^2 \left[\frac{1}{m_\pi'^2} + \frac{1}{m_\pi''^2} \right] - \frac{m_\pi^4}{m_\pi'^2 m_\pi''^2} \,, \tag{17}$$

which is insensitive to M, g_A, f_{π} . The recommented PDG values are $m_{\pi'} = 1.3(1)$ GeV and $m_{\pi''} = 1.81(1)$ GeV. Using these values yields $\Delta_{\rm GT} = 1.7(2)\%$. If, instead we average the PDG listed most likely values for any experiment for the π' and π'' masses, we get $\Delta_{\rm GT} = 2.3(3)\%$. These values are in the bulk with the current estimate. A more conservative estimate is based on using the half-width rule, so that from $\Gamma_{\pi'} = 0.3(1)$ GeV and $\Gamma_{\pi''} = 0.22(3)$ GeV one gets $\Delta_{\rm GT} = 1.7(4)\%$. In the worst possible scenario of the recommended PDG values, $\Gamma_{\pi'} = 0.6$ GeV, one obtains $\Delta_{\rm GT} = 2(1)\%$. We note that the values here provided are yet provisional ones.

5 Conclusions

While these findings are by themselves rather satisfactory, it is worth to note that they efficiently summarise a more complete treatment incorporating the role of chiral corrections at low energies, finite width effects, modifications due to excited states beyond the $\pi(1800)$ and high energy perturbative QCD contributions [5]. Besides its simplicity this phenomenological estimate is competitive with much more involved current analyses requiring a large nucleonnucleon scattering database below pion production threshold. This also opens up the possibility to study similar cases, such as the octet and singlet currents, which current knowledge is less advanced than the isovector case here discussed.

References

- 1. M.L. Goldberger and S.B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 1178.
- 2. Particle Data Group, Workman, R.L. et al., PTEP 2022 (2022) 083C01.
- R. Navarro Pérez, J. Amaro and E. Ruiz Arriola, Phys. Rev. C 95 (2017) 064001, 1606.00592.
- E. Ruiz Arriola, J. Amaro and R. Navarro Pérez, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 31 (2016) 1630027, 1606.02171.
- 5. E. Ruiz Arriola and P. Sanchez-Puertas, In preparation (2023).
- 6. Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4 (1960) 380.
- 7. R.P. Feynman and M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 193.

- 8. H. Pagels, Phys. Rept. 16 (1975) 219.
- 9. C. Dominguez, Riv. Nuovo Cim. 8N6 (1985) 1.
- 10. C. Alvegard and R. Kogerler, Z. Phys. C 2 (1979) 173.
- 11. S.J. Brodsky, G. Lepage and S. Zaidi, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 1152.
- 12. N. Kaiser, Phys. Rev. C 67 (2003) 027002, nucl-th/0301034.
- 13. N. Kaiser and E. Passemar, Eur. Phys. J. A 55 (2019) 16, 1901.02865.
- P. Masjuan, E. Ruiz Arriola and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 094006, 1203.4782.
- P. Masjuan, E. Ruiz Arriola and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 014005, 1210.0760.
- E. Ruiz Arriola, W. Broniowski and P. Masjuan, Acta Phys. Polon. Supp. 6 (2013) 95, 1210.7153.
- 17. S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D32 (1985) 189.
- 18. F. Von Hippel and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. D 5 (1972) 624.
- 19. S.U. Chung et al., Annalen Phys. 4 (1995) 404.
- E. Ruiz Arriola and W. Broniowski, Mini-Workshop Bled 2011: Understanding Hadronic Spectra, 2011, 1110.2863.