

ON THE COMPLEXITY OF STRONG APPROXIMATION OF STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH A NON-LIPSCHITZ DRIFT COEFFICIENT

THOMAS MÜLLER-GRONBACH AND LARISA YAROSLAVTSEVA

ABSTRACT. We survey recent developments in the field of complexity of pathwise approximation in p -th mean of the solution of a stochastic differential equation at the final time based on finitely many evaluations of the driving Brownian motion. First, we briefly review the case of equations with globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients, for which an error rate of at least $1/2$ in terms of the number of evaluations of the driving Brownian motion is always guaranteed by using the equidistant Euler-Maruyama scheme. Then we illustrate that giving up the global Lipschitz continuity of the coefficients may lead to a non-polynomial decay of the error for the Euler-Maruyama scheme or even to an arbitrary slow decay of the smallest possible error that can be achieved on the basis of finitely many evaluations of the driving Brownian motion. Finally, we turn to recent positive results for equations with a drift coefficient that is not globally Lipschitz continuous. Here we focus on scalar equations with a Lipschitz continuous diffusion coefficient and a drift coefficient that satisfies piecewise smoothness assumptions or has fractional Sobolev regularity and we present corresponding complexity results.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a d -dimensional system of autonomous stochastic differential equations (SDEs) on the unit interval,

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &= a(X_t) dt + b(X_t) dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ X_0 &= x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{aligned}$$

with drift coefficient $a: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, diffusion coefficient $b: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ and m -dimensional driving Brownian motion W .

The computational goal is to approximate the solution X of the equation (1), if it exists, at the final time $t = 1$ based on finitely many evaluations of the driving Brownian motion W , i.e. by an approximation of the type

$$(2) \quad \hat{X}_{n,1} = u(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}),$$

where $u: \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a measurable function and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]$. The quality of such an approximation is measured by its L_p -error, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}[\|X_1 - \hat{X}_{n,1}\|^p]^{1/p}.$$

There are two types of results we want to discuss. First, we are interested in upper error bounds for specific methods $\hat{X}_{n,1}$ of the type (2) in terms of the number n of evaluations of W

that are used by $\widehat{X}_{n,1}$, e.g.

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{E}[\|X_1 - \widehat{X}_{n,1}\|^p]^{1/p} \preceq n^{-\alpha}$$

with $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, where for two sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of nonnegative reals we use the notation $a_n \preceq b_n$ if there exists $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that $a_n \leq cb_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, we write $a_n \succeq b_n$ if $b_n \preceq a_n$ and we write $a_n \asymp b_n$ if $a_n \preceq b_n \preceq a_n$.

Second, we are interested in lower error bounds that hold uniformly for every approximation of the type (2), e.g.

$$(4) \quad e_n^{(p)} := \inf_{\substack{t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0,1], \\ u: \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \text{ measurable}}} \mathbb{E}[\|X_1 - u(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n})\|^p]^{1/p} \succeq n^{-\beta}$$

with $\beta \in (0, \infty)$. In (4), the quantity $e_n^{(p)}$ is the smallest possible L_p -error that can be achieved based on n evaluations of W at fixed time points $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]$ and is called the n -th minimal error in p -th mean sense for non-adaptive methods.

Ideally, the bounds (2) and (3) are sharp in the sense of $\alpha = \beta$. In this case we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|X_1 - \widehat{X}_{n,1}\|^p]^{1/p} \asymp e_n^{(p)} \asymp n^{-\beta},$$

i.e. β is the optimal L_p -error rate and the sequence of methods $(\widehat{X}_{n,1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ performs asymptotically optimal.

More general, one can consider the class of all adaptive methods that are based on n sequential evaluations of W on average and study the asymptotic behaviour of the corresponding n -th minimal errors in p -th mean. See e.g. [30, 55] for a formal definition of this class of methods. In the present survey, we will mention the available corresponding results only in an informal way.

There are two particular methods that play a prominent role in the literature on numerical approximation of SDEs, namely the Euler-Maruyama scheme, introduced in 1955 in [50], and the Milstein scheme, introduced in 1974 in [53]. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Euler-Maruyama scheme with n equidistant time steps is given by $\widehat{X}_{n,0}^E = x_0$ and

$$(5) \quad \widehat{X}_{n,(\ell+1)/n}^E = \widehat{X}_{n,\ell/n}^E + a(\widehat{X}_{n,\ell/n}^E) \frac{1}{n} + b(\widehat{X}_{n,\ell/n}^E) (W_{(\ell+1)/n} - W_{\ell/n})$$

for $\ell = 0, \dots, n-1$. Thus, $\widehat{X}_n^E$ generalizes the Euler scheme for ordinary differential equations by adding the simple approximation $b(\widehat{X}_{n,\ell/n}^E)(W_{(\ell+1)/n} - W_{\ell/n})$ of the stochastic integral $\int_{t_\ell}^{t_{\ell+1}} b(X_s) dW_s$ in each step.

The Milstein scheme with n equidistant time steps requires differentiability of the diffusion coefficient b and employs a more accurate approximation of the stochastic integrals $\int_{t_\ell}^{t_{\ell+1}} b(X_s) dW_s$. It is given by $\widehat{X}_{n,0}^M = x_0$ and

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{X}_{n,(\ell+1)/n}^M &= \widehat{X}_{n,\ell/n}^M + a(\widehat{X}_{n,\ell/n}^M) \frac{1}{n} + b(\widehat{X}_{n,\ell/n}^M) (W_{(\ell+1)/n} - W_{\ell/n}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^m \nabla b^{(i)} b^{(j)}(\widehat{X}_{n,\ell/n}^M) \int_{\ell/n}^{(\ell+1)/n} (W_s^{(i)} - W_{\ell/n}^{(i)}) dW_s^{(j)} \end{aligned}$$

for $\ell = 0, \dots, n-1$, where $b^{(i)} = (b_{1,i}, \dots, b_{d,i})^T$ denotes the i -th column of the matrix-valued mapping b and $W^{(i)}$ denotes the i -th component of W for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Remark 1. Note that the Milstein approximation $\hat{X}_{n,1}^M$ of X_1 uses not only the evaluations $W_{1/n}, \dots, W_1$ of the driving Brownian motion but also the iterated Itô integrals

$$J_{i,j}^{(\ell)} = \int_{\ell/n}^{(\ell+1)/n} (W_s^{(i)} - W_{\ell/n}^{(i)}) dW_s^{(j)}$$

for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\ell \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and is therefore not a method of type (2) in general.

However, note that

$$J_{i,j}^{(\ell)} + J_{j,i}^{(\ell)} = \begin{cases} W_{(\ell+1)/n}^{(i)} - W_{\ell/n}^{(i)} (W_{(\ell+1)/n}^{(j)} - W_{\ell/n}^{(j)}), & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ (W_{(\ell+1)/n}^{(i)} - W_{\ell/n}^{(i)})^2 - 1/n, & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and all $\ell \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. As a consequence we obtain that if the diffusion coefficient b satisfies the commutativity condition

$$(C) \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}: \nabla b^{(i)} b^{(j)} = \nabla b^{(j)} b^{(i)}$$

then, for all $\ell \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \nabla b^{(i)} b^{(j)} (\hat{X}_{n,\ell/n}^M) \int_{\ell/n}^{(\ell+1)/n} (W_s^{(i)} - W_{\ell/n}^{(i)}) dW_s^{(j)} = g_{\ell+1}(W_{1/n}, \dots, W_{(\ell+1)/n})$$

with a suitable function $g_{\ell+1}: \mathbb{R}^{(\ell+1) \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Thus, if b satisfies (C) then $\hat{X}_{n,1}^M$ is a method of type (2). Note that the condition (C) is in particular satisfied if $m = 1$, i.e. if the driving Brownian motion W is one-dimensional.

2. COMPLEXITY RESULTS FOR LIPSCHITZ COEFFICIENTS

We first present the classical upper error bound for the Euler-Maruyama scheme in the case of globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients, see e.g. [42] for a proof.

Theorem 1. *Assume that a and b are Lipschitz continuous. Then, for all $p \in [1, \infty)$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[\|X_1 - \hat{X}_{n,1}^E\|^p]^{1/p} \preceq n^{-1/2}.$$

If the coefficients a and b satisfy stronger smoothness assumptions than Lipschitz continuity we may even achieve an L_p -error rate of at least 1 by using the Milstein approximation.

Theorem 2. *Assume that a and b are differentiable with bounded, Lipschitz continuous derivatives. Then, for all $p \in [1, \infty)$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[\|X_1 - \hat{X}_{n,1}^M\|^p]^{1/p} \preceq n^{-1}.$$

See [54] for a proof of Theorem 2 and [53, 20, 33] for proofs of previous versions of Theorem 2 using more restrictive assumptions on the coefficients a and b .

In case of a scalar driving Brownian motion, the Milstein approximation $\hat{X}_{n,1}^M$ is of type (2), see Remark 1. Hence Theorem 2 implies that in this case an L_p -error rate of at least 1 can be achieved based on finitely many evaluations of the driving Brownian motion. The following

result, established in [30], shows that under very mild local conditions on the coefficients a and b the error rate 1 can not be improved in general.

Theorem 3. *Let $d = m = 1$ and assume that equation (1) has a strong solution X . Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open, let $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ and assume that*

- (i) *a and b are three times continuously differentiable on I ,*
- (ii) *$\forall x \in I: b(x) \neq 0 \wedge (a'b - ab' - \frac{1}{2}b^2b'')(x) \neq 0$,*
- (iii) *$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_0} \in I) > 0$.*

Then

$$(7) \quad e_n^{(1)} \succeq n^{-1}.$$

Remark 2. The second part of condition (ii) in Theorem 3 is motivated by [80, Theorem 2.1], which shows that if the Lie algebra associated with the coefficients of the equation (1) in Stratonovich form is nilpotent then the solution of the equation (1) has a finite Itô-Taylor expansion. In particular, this result has the following consequence: if $d = m = 1$, $a, b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ and $a'b - ab' - \frac{1}{2}b^2b'' = 0$, i.e. the Lie algebra associated with the Stratonovich coefficients $a - \frac{1}{2}b'b$ and b is nilpotent of step 1, then there exists a measurable function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that a.s.

$$X_1 = f(W_1).$$

In this case, X_1 can be approximated with error zero by using one evaluation of the driving Brownian motion W and thus the lower bound (7) can not be true. A simple example for this situation is provided by the case of a geometric Brownian motion, i.e.

$$a(x) = \alpha x, \quad b(x) = \beta x$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $(a'b - ab' - \frac{1}{2}b^2b'')(x) = \alpha\beta x - \alpha x\beta = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$X_1 = \exp(\alpha - \beta^2/2 + \beta W_1).$$

In the case when the diffusion coefficient b does not satisfy the commutativity condition (C), the Milstein approximation $\widehat{X}_{n,1}^M$ need not to be of type (2) and therefore Theorem 2 can not be used to obtain an L_p -error rate of at least 1 based on finitely many evaluations of W at points in $[0, 1]$. In fact, the following result shows that in this case the L_p -error rate $1/2$ achieved by the Euler-Maruyama scheme, see Theorem 1, can not be improved for $p \in [2, \infty)$.

Theorem 4. *Assume that a and b have bounded, Lipschitz continuous derivatives and that the commutativity condition (C) does not hold a.s. on the trajectories of X , i.e.*

$$(8) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\forall t \in [0, 1]: \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \|(\nabla b^{(i)}b^{(j)} - \nabla b^{(j)}b^{(i)})(X_t)\| = 0\right\}\right) < 1.$$

Then

$$e_n^{(2)} \succeq n^{-1/2}.$$

See [54, Chapter IV.2] for a proof of Theorem 4. A simple example for the setting of Theorem 4 is provided by the 2-dimensional equation

$$dX_t^{(1)} = dW_t^{(1)}, \quad dX_t^{(2)} = X_t^{(1)} dW_t^{(2)}$$

with a 2-dimensional driving Brownian motion $W = (W^{(1)}, W^{(2)})$ and initial value $x_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Thus,

$$X_t^{(1)} = W_t^{(1)}, \quad X_t^{(2)} = \int_0^t W_s^{(1)} dW_s^{(2)}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Here, $a = 0$ and the diffusion coefficient $b: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, $(x_1, x_2)^\top \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies

$$\nabla b^{(1)} b^{(2)} - \nabla b^{(2)} b^{(1)} = (0, -1)^\top.$$

By Theorem 4 we conclude that

$$\inf_{t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^1 W_t^{(1)} dW_t^{(2)} dt - \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t W_t^{(1)} dW_t^{(2)} dt \mid W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n} \right] \right|^2 \right] \geq n^{-1},$$

which was previously known from [10].

Combining Theorem 1 with Theorem 4 and Theorem 2 with Theorem 3 we obtain the following complexity result.

Theorem 5. *Assume that a and b have bounded, Lipschitz continuous derivatives.*

- (i) *If b satisfies (8), i.e. the commutativity condition (C) does not hold a.s. on the trajectories of X , then*

$$\forall p \in [2, \infty): \quad e_n^{(p)} \asymp n^{-1/2}.$$

- (ii) *If b satisfies the commutativity condition (C) then*

$$\forall p \in [1, \infty): \quad e_n^{(p)} \leq n^{-1}.$$

- (iii) *If $d = m = 1$ and (i) to (iii) in Theorem 3 are satisfied then*

$$\forall p \in [1, \infty): \quad e_n^{(p)} \asymp n^{-1}.$$

Remark 3.

- (i) The lower bounds in Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 are also valid for the class of all adaptive methods based on n sequential evaluations of W on average, see [30] and [54], respectively. Thus, in the setting of these two theorems, the respective L_p -error rates 1 and 1/2 can not be improved by using e.g. an adaptive step-size control. This situation can change drastically if the Lipschitz continuity of the drift coefficient is abandoned, see Remark 7.
- (ii) Choosing an adaptive step-size control can, however, lead to much smaller asymptotic constants in the asymptotic behaviour of the resulting L_p -errors compared to what can be achieved by evaluating W at equidistant time points. See [54] and [55] for a detailed analysis of this issue.

3. NEGATIVE RESULTS FOR NON-LIPSCHITZ COEFFICIENTS

The first negative result for L_p -approximation of SDEs with coefficients that are not Lipschitz continuous seems to have been obtained in [38], where equations with coefficients of superlinear growth are studied, which are of importance in many fields of applications. It turns out that in this situation not even convergence in the mean can be guaranteed for the Euler-Maruyama scheme with equidistant steps. Even worse, the following result from [38] essentially shows that if either the drift coefficient grows in a higher polynomial order than linearly and the diffusion coefficient grows slower than that, or the diffusion coefficient grows in a higher polynomial order than linearly and the drift coefficient grows slower than that then the mean error of the equidistant Euler-Maruyama scheme tends to infinity with growing number of steps.

Theorem 6. *Let $d = m = 1$ and assume that the equation (1) has a solution X with $\mathbb{E}[|X_1|] < \infty$. If $b(x_0) \neq 0$ and there exist $C \in (0, \infty)$, $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ and $\beta \in (\max(1, \alpha), \infty)$ such that either*

$$\forall x \in (-\infty, C] \cup [C, \infty): |a(x)| \geq |x|^\beta / C \text{ and } |b(x)| \leq C|x|^\alpha$$

or

$$\forall x \in (-\infty, C] \cup [C, \infty): |b(x)| \geq |x|^\beta / C \text{ and } |a(x)| \leq C|x|^\alpha$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[|X_1 - \hat{X}_{n,1}^E|] = \infty.$$

A simple example for the situation of Theorem 6 is given by the equation $dX_t = -X_t^3 dt + dW_t$, which satisfies the first condition in Theorem 6 with the choice $C = 1$, $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 3$. A practically more relevant example is provided by the Ginzburg-Landau equation given by

$$dX_t = ((\eta + \gamma^2/2)X_t - \lambda X_t^3) dt + \gamma X_t dW_t$$

with initial value $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$ and parameters $\eta \in [0, \infty)$ and $\gamma, \lambda \in (0, \infty)$, which is used to model phase transitions in the theory of superconductivity. This equation satisfies the first condition in Theorem 6 with the choice $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 3$ and $C = \max(1, \gamma, 2/\lambda, (2\eta + \gamma^2)/\lambda)$, see [38].

The following result from [26] shows that a bad performance in p -th mean of the Euler-Maruyama scheme may also happen if the coefficients of the equation (1) are bounded and infinitely often differentiable.

Theorem 7. *Let $d = 4$ and $m = 1$. There exist a bounded $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^4)$ and a bounded, Lipschitz continuous $b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^4)$ such that*

$$\forall \alpha \in (0, \infty): \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}[\|X_1 - \hat{X}_{n,1}^E\|] = \infty.$$

In the setting of Theorem 7, the equidistant Euler-Maruyama scheme does not converge in the mean with no polynomial decay. The next result from [41] shows that this bad performance is not a specific problem of only the equidistant Euler-Maruyama scheme but may even be the case for any approximation of the type (2). Even worse, the best possible speed of convergence in the mean that can be achieved by approximations of type (2) can be arbitrarily slow.

Theorem 8. *Let $d = 4$ and $m = 1$. For every sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$ there exist a bounded $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^4)$ and a bounded, Lipschitz continuous $b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^4)$ such that*

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: e_n^{(1)} \geq \varepsilon_n.$$

Remark 4.

- (i) The statement in Theorem 8 extends to the class of all adaptive methods based on n sequential evaluations of W on average, see [82].
- (ii) In [22] it is shown that the negative result in Theorem 8 still holds true in dimension $d = 2$. Whether the result is also valid in dimension $d = 1$ is so far an open question.

A crucial point in the proof of Theorem 8 in [41] is to construct a drift coefficient a which has a rapidly oscillating component. One might therefore think that imposing a polynomial growth condition on the derivatives of the coefficients a and b could guarantee that a polynomial decay of the error in p -th mean can be achieved. However, the following result from [57] shows that in general this belief is wrong, even if the solution of the equation (1) is required to have a finite uniform norm in the mean.

Theorem 9. *Let $d = 7$ and $m = 1$. There exist $a, b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^7, \mathbb{R}^7)$ such that b is Lipschitz continuous, a' has at most polynomial growth, $\mathbb{E}[\|X\|_\infty] < \infty$ and*

$$e_n^{(1)} \geq \frac{1}{\ln^2(n+1)}.$$

Finally, we illustrate, by a result from [31], that an arbitrarily slow polynomial decay of the mean error of any method based on equidistant evaluations of W is possible if the drift coefficient a is Lipschitz continuous but the diffusion coefficient b is only Hölder continuous of order $1/2$.

Theorem 10. *Let $d = m = 1$. Consider the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross (CIR) process given by*

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= (\kappa - \lambda X_t) dt + \sigma \sqrt{X_t} dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ X_0 &= x_0 \end{aligned}$$

with $\kappa, \sigma, \lambda, x_0 \in (0, \infty)$. If the Feller index $\nu = 2\kappa/\sigma^2$ satisfies $\nu \in (0, 1)$ then

$$\inf_{u: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable}} \mathbb{E}[|X_1 - u(W_{1/n}, W_{2/n}, \dots, W_1)|] \geq n^{-\nu}.$$

4. POSITIVE RESULTS FOR NON-LIPSCHITZ COEFFICIENTS

Motivated by the negative result Theorem 6 on the potential divergence of the Euler-Maruyama scheme in case of SDEs with coefficients of superlinear growth, research on L_p -approximation of SDEs with non-Lipschitz coefficients has been significantly intensified since then. Mainly, the following three settings are studied.

- **Locally Lipschitz continuous coefficients**

Here, new types of methods have been constructed by combining the classical Euler-Maruyama scheme and the Milstein scheme with strategies of taming, projecting, truncating and adaptive step-size control in order to cope with superlinearly growing coefficients. Typically, the coefficients a and b of the equation (1) are required to satisfy at

least a monotonicity-type condition and a coercivity condition and to be locally Lipschitz continuous with a local Lipschitz constant of at most polynomial growth. Under these conditions, the classical L_p -error rates of at least $1/2$ and 1 are proven for the above mentioned variants of the Euler-Maruyama scheme and the Milstein scheme, respectively. See [21, 27, 39, 44, 72, 73, 74, 77, 79, 84] for results on taming, [5, 6] for results on projecting, [35, 37, 48, 81] for results on truncating and [19] for the approach of adaptive step size control. We add that in this setting an L_p -error rate of at least $1/2$ and 1 is also achieved by implicit versions of the Euler-Maruyama scheme and the Milstein scheme, respectively, see e.g. [32, 36, 49, 77].

- **Hölder continuous coefficients**

Since about 2010, L_p -error rates are also obtained for strong approximation of SDEs with Hölder continuous coefficients, see e.g. [3, 4, 7, 8, 23, 25, 51, 64, 65]. Roughly speaking, if the drift coefficient a is Hölder continuous of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and the diffusion coefficient b is Lipschitz continuous and satisfies further regularity assumptions then an L_p -error rate of at least $1/2-$ and $(\alpha+1)/2-$ is achieved by the Euler-Maruyama scheme and the Milstein scheme, respectively, see [8, 23]. For the limiting case of $\alpha = 0$, i.e. a measurable and bounded drift coefficient, an L_p -error rate of at least $1/2-$ is established in [11, 12]. On the other hand, if the drift coefficient a is Lipschitz continuous (and satisfies further regularity conditions) and the diffusion coefficient is of the form $b(x) = |x|^\beta$ with $\beta \in (1/2, 1)$ then an L_p -error rate of at least $1/2$ and 1 is achieved by symmetrized variants of the Euler-Maruyama scheme and the Milstein scheme, respectively, see [4, 7, 52]. In the case of the CIR-process, i.e. $a(x) = \kappa - \lambda x$ and $b(x) = \sigma|x|^{1/2}$, see Theorem 10, L_p -error rates are established for implicit versions of the Euler-Maruyama scheme in [1, 13, 28, 40, 63]. In [29], a square root truncated Milstein scheme is introduced that achieves an L_p -error rate of at least $\min(1/2, 2\kappa/\sigma^2)/p$, which seems to be the best L_p -error rate for the CIR process known up to now and is optimal in the class of all methods based on equidistant evaluation of the driving Brownian motion if $2\kappa/\sigma^2 < 1/2$ and $p = 1$, see Theorem 10.

- **Drift coefficients with piecewise regularity or fractional Sobolev regularity**

Recently, polynomial L_p -error rates for strong approximation of SDEs are also established in settings that allow for discontinuities of the drift coefficient. For settings with a drift coefficient that is at least piecewise Lipschitz continuous, such rates are proven for variants of the Euler-Maruyama scheme and the Milstein scheme in [15, 34, 45, 46, 47, 56, 58, 59, 62, 64, 65, 66, 76]. See also [24] for related extensive simulation studies. In case that the drift coefficient has fractional Sobolev regularity of order $s \in (0, 1)$ and the diffusion coefficient is sufficiently regular, an L_p -error rate of at least $(1+s)/2-$ is proven in [12, 61]

We add that polynomial L_p -error rates for strong approximation of SDEs with non-Lipschitz coefficients are meanwhile also obtained in the case of jump-diffusions, see [68, 69, 70], in the case of a fractional Brownian noise, see [8] and in a general Lévy-noise setting, see [9, 43].

We turn to a more detailed presentation of complexity results for the case of a drift coefficient with piecewise regularity and the case of a drift coefficient with fractional Sobolev regularity. Throughout the following, we restrict to the case of scalar SDEs, i.e. $d = m = 1$.

4.1. Complexity results for scalar SDEs with a piecewise regular drift coefficient.

The following result on the performance of the Euler-Maruyama scheme extends Theorem 1 to the case that the drift coefficient is piecewise Lipschitz continuous. It shows that in this case, the Euler-Maruyama scheme still achieves, for all $p \in [1, \infty)$, an L_p -error rate of at least $1/2$. See [58] for a proof.

Theorem 11. *Let $d = m = 1$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and assume that a and b satisfy*

(A1) *There exist $-\infty = \xi_0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_k < \xi_{k+1} = \infty$ such that*

a is Lipschitz on (ξ_{i-1}, ξ_i) for $i = 1, \dots, k+1$,

(A2) *b is Lipschitz on $\mathbb{R}$ and $b(\xi_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

Then for all $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_1 - \widehat{X}_{n,1}^E|^p]^{1/p} \preceq n^{-1/2}.$$

A simple example for the setting of Theorem 11 is given by the equation

$$dX_t = 1_{[0, \infty)}(X_t) dt + dW_t$$

with initial value $x_0 = 0$. In this case,

$$X_1 = \int_0^1 1_{[0, \infty)}(X_t) dt + W_1,$$

i.e. we essentially want to approximate $\int_0^1 1_{[0, \infty)}(X_t) dt$, the total amount of times $t \in [0, 1]$ that the solution X stays on the positive side of the reals.

Remark 5.

- (i) Under the assumptions (A1) and (A2), the SDE (1) has a unique strong solution, see [45].
- (ii) In the setting of Theorem 11, an L_p -error rate of at least $1/2$ is also achieved by a transformed Euler-Maruyama scheme, see [45], and an Euler-Maruyama scheme with adaptive step-size control, see [62].

In the first paper, a suitable bi-Lipschitz mapping $G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is constructed, such that the process $Y = (G(X_t))_{t \in [0, 1]}$ is the strong solution of an SDE with globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. The corresponding Euler-Maruyama approximation $\widehat{Y}_{n,1}$ of Y_1 thus satisfies $\mathbb{E}[|Y_1 - \widehat{Y}_{n,1}|^p] \preceq n^{-p/2}$ by Theorem 1. Moreover, by the Lipschitz continuity of G^{-1} ,

$$|X_1 - G^{-1}(\widehat{Y}_{n,1})| = |G^{-1}(Y_1) - G^{-1}(\widehat{Y}_{n,1})| \preceq |Y_1 - \widehat{Y}_{n,1}|.$$

Combining the latter two estimates yields the L_p -error rate $1/2$ for the approximation $G^{-1}(\widehat{Y}_{n,1})$ of X_1 .

In the second paper, the step-size of the Euler-Maruyama scheme is no longer equidistant but adapted to the distance of the actual value of the scheme to the nearest discontinuity of the drift coefficient a .

- (iii) We briefly illustrate the main difficulty in the proof of the error bound for the Euler-Maruyama approximation under the assumptions in Theorem 11 in comparison to the proof of this error bound for global Lipschitz continuous coefficients in Theorem 1.

For convenience we assume that $b = 1$ and that a has a single discontinuity at $\xi = 0$ and we restrict to the analysis of the L_1 -error. Clearly, for every $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t a(X_s) ds + W_t, \quad \widehat{X}_{n,t}^E = x_0 + \int_0^t a(\widehat{X}_{n,\underline{s}_n}^E) ds + W_t,$$

where $(\widehat{X}_{n,t}^E)_{t \in [0,1]}$ denotes the time-continuous version of the Euler-Maruyama scheme with n equidistant steps and $\underline{s}_n = \lfloor sn \rfloor / n$ is the grid point that is closest to s from below. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} X_t - \widehat{X}_{n,t}^E &= \int_0^t (a(X_s) - a(\widehat{X}_{n,\underline{s}_n}^E)) ds \\ &= \int_0^t (a(X_s) - a(\widehat{X}_{n,s}^E)) ds + \int_0^t (a(\widehat{X}_{n,s}^E) - a(\widehat{X}_{n,\underline{s}_n}^E)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

If the drift coefficient a would be Lipschitz continuous we could conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_t - \widehat{X}_{n,t}^E|] \leq c \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[|X_s - \widehat{X}_{n,s}^E|] ds + d \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[|\widehat{X}_{n,s}^E - \widehat{X}_{n,\underline{s}_n}^E|] ds,$$

where $c \in (0, \infty)$ does not depend on n or on t . Since the time-continuous Euler-Maruyama scheme is Hölder continuous of order $1/2$ in the mean, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_t - \widehat{X}_{n,t}^E|] \leq c \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[|X_s - \widehat{X}_{n,s}^E|] ds + cn^{-1/2},$$

where $c \in (0, \infty)$ does not depend on n or on t , and applying Gronwall's inequality would yield the desired bound.

In the presence of a discontinuity of a at zero, one can use the transformation G mentioned in part (ii) as well as Gronwall's inequality to derive

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_1 - \widehat{X}_{n,1}^E|] \leq n^{-1/2} + D_n,$$

where the term

$$D_n = \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_0^1 1_{\{\widehat{X}_{n,t}^E \cdot \widehat{X}_{n,\underline{t}_n}^E \leq 0\}} dt\right|\right]$$

is the average amount of times $t \in [0, 1]$ such that the values of the Euler-Maruyama scheme at times t and $\underline{t}_n$ are on different sides of the point zero, which quantifies the impact of the discontinuity of a . Using a time mirroring technique and occupation time estimates for the time-continuous Euler-Maruyama scheme one can show that $D_n \leq n^{-1/2}$, see [58, Proposition 1], which completes the proof of Theorem 11.

Similar to the case of globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients, an L_p -error rate larger than $1/2$ can be achieved by imposing further piecewise regularity conditions on the coefficients a and b . See [59] for a proof of the following result.

Theorem 12. *Let $d = m = 1$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and assume that a and b satisfy*

- (A1) *There exist $-\infty = \xi_0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_k < \xi_{k+1} = \infty$ such that a is Lipschitz on (ξ_{i-1}, ξ_i) for $i = 1, \dots, k+1$,*
- (A2) *b is Lipschitz on $\mathbb{R}$ and $b(\xi_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$,*
- (A3) *a and b have Lipschitz derivatives on (ξ_{i-1}, ξ_i) for $i = 1, \dots, k+1$.*

Then there exists a sequence of measurable functions $u_n: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for every $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_1 - u_n(W_{1/n}, W_{2/n}, \dots, W_1)|^p]^{1/p} \preceq n^{-3/4}.$$

Remark 6. Similar to the construction of a transformed Euler-Maruyama scheme, see Remark 5(ii), the approximation $u_n(W_{1/n}, W_{2/n}, \dots, W_1)$ in Theorem 12 is obtained by transforming the original equation (1) into a new SDE with coefficients that have sufficient regularity to obtain an L_p -error rate for the corresponding Milstein approximation and then backtransforming this Milstein approximation to obtain an approximation for the original SDE.

It is so far open whether in the setting of Theorem 12 an L_p -error rate of at least $3/4$ is also achieved by the Milstein approximation $\hat{X}_{n,1}^M$ of X_1 itself. See, however, Remark 9.

In contrast to the L_p -error rate 1 for the Milstein scheme provided by Theorem 2, we only obtain an L_p -error rate of at least $3/4$ in Theorem 12. The following result from [16, 60] shows that this is neither a shortcoming of the approximation method nor of the associated technique of proof used in [59]. If the drift coefficient has at least one discontinuity then the rate $3/4$ can not be improved in general.

Theorem 13. *Let $d = m = 1$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $b = 1$ and assume that a satisfies*

- (i) *there exist $-\infty = \xi_0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_k < \xi_{k+1} = \infty$ such that a has a bounded Lipschitz derivative on (ξ_{i-1}, ξ_i) for $i = 1, \dots, k+1$,*
- (ii) *there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $a(\xi_i+) \neq a(\xi_i-)$.*

Then

$$e_n^{(1)} \succeq n^{-3/4}.$$

Note that in the setting of Theorem 13, the assumptions (A1) to (A3) in Theorem 12 are satisfied. Combining Theorem 12 and Theorem 13 we thus obtain the following complexity result.

Theorem 14. *Let $d = m = 1$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $b = 1$ and assume that a satisfies*

- (i) *there exist $-\infty = \xi_0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_k < \xi_{k+1} = \infty$ such that a has a bounded Lipschitz derivative on (ξ_{i-1}, ξ_i) for $i = 1, \dots, k+1$,*
- (ii) *there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $a(\xi_i+) \neq a(\xi_i-)$.*

Then, for every $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$e_n^{(p)} \asymp n^{-3/4}.$$

Remark 7. In [83], a transformed Milstein approximation, see Remark 6, is combined with an adaptive step-size control similar to the one used for the Euler-Maruyama scheme in [62], see Remark 5(ii). The resulting method achieves an L_p -error rate of at least 1. Thus, in the case of

a discontinuous drift coefficient, the L_p -error rate of adaptive methods can be drastically better than the best possible L_p -error rate of non-adaptive methods. This is in stark contrast to the case of globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients, see Remark 3(i).

4.2. Complexity results for scalar SDEs with a drift coefficient of fractional Sobolev regularity. For quantifying the regularity of the drift coefficient we use the space

$$W^{s,p} = \left\{ a: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|a(x) - a(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{1+sp}} dx dy < \infty \right\}$$

of functions a with fractional Sobolev regularity $s \in (0, 1)$ and integrability exponent $p \in [1, \infty)$.

The following theorem is a recent result from [12] on the performance of the Euler-Maruyama approximation in case of an SDE (1) with a drift coefficient $a \in W^{s,p}$ and additive noise, i.e. $b = 1$. Note that in this setting, the Euler-Maruyama approximation coincides with the Milstein approximation, i.e.

$$(9) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \hat{X}_{n,1}^E = \hat{X}_{n,1}^M.$$

Theorem 15. *Let $d = m = 1$, let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [2, \infty)$, let $b = 1$ and assume that a is bounded and $a \in W^{s,p}$. Then*

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \infty): \mathbb{E}[|X_1 - \hat{X}_{n,1}^E|^p]^{1/p} \preceq n^{-(1+s)/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Remark 8.

- (i) Under the assumptions in Theorem 15, the equation (1) has a unique strong solution. This follows from a more general result on existence of solutions of SDEs in [78].
- (ii) For $p = 2$ and a slightly different setting for the drift coefficient a , the error rate $(1+s)/2-$ from Theorem 15 was previously obtained in [61]. To be more precise, if $b = 1$ and $a = a_1 + a_2$, where $a_1: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and has bounded continuous derivatives up to order 2 and $a_2: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, Lebesgue-integrable and satisfies $a_2 \in W^{s,2}$ then the L_2 -error rate $(1+s)/2-$ is in [61] shown to be achieved by the equidistant Euler-Maruyama scheme if $s \leq 1/2$ and by the Euler-Maruyama scheme based on the discretization $t_i = i^2/n^2$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, if $s > 1/2$.

A well-known example for the setting of Theorem 15 is provided by Hölder continuous functions with compact support. If $a: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder continuous of order $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ and has compact support then

$$a \in \bigcap_{0 < s < \gamma} W^{s,2},$$

see, e.g. [18, Example 2.17] and [14, Lemma 5.1]. Furthermore, piecewise Hölder regularity can be captured as well. If a is piecewise Hölder continuous of order $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ and has compact support then

$$a \in \bigcap_{0 < s < \gamma \wedge 1/2} W^{s,2},$$

see e.g. [75, Section 3.1] and [71, Theorem 4.6.4/2]. This shows that the setting of piecewise regularity in Chapter 4.1 and the setting of fractional Sobolev regularity have a certain overlap. See, in particular, the following remark.

Remark 9. Assume that $b = 1$ and that a has compact support and satisfies the conditions in Theorem 12, i.e. a has piecewise bounded Lipschitz derivatives. Then an L_2 -error rate of at least $3/4$ is obtained by a transformed Milstein scheme, see Theorem 12 and Remark 6. On the other hand, a is in particular piecewise Lipschitz continuous and we thus obtain $a \in W^{s,2}$ for every $s \in (0, 1/2)$. Hence, by (9) and Theorem 15,

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \infty): \mathbb{E}[|X_1 - \hat{X}_{n,1}^M|^2]^{1/2} = \mathbb{E}[|X_1 - \hat{X}_{n,1}^E|^2]^{1/2} \preceq n^{-3/4+\varepsilon}.$$

We thus see that, up to an arbitrary small ε , the Milstein approximation itself achieves an L_2 -error rate of at least $3/4$ in this case.

Moreover, if, additionally, a has at least one discontinuity then the assumptions in Theorem 13 are satisfied and the L_2 -error rate $3/4$ is optimal.

The following result from [17] shows that, at least for $s \in (1/2, 1)$ and $p = 2$, the error rate $(1+s)/2-$ from Theorem 15 can in general essentially not be improved. For $s \in (1/2, 1)$ define

$$h_s: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \frac{1}{\ln(e + |x|) (1 + |x|)^{1/2+s}}$$

and note that h_s is Lebesgue integrable and symmetric. Let

$$(10) \quad a_s: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto 2 \int_0^\infty \cos(xz) h_s(z) dz$$

denote the Fourier transform of h_s .

Theorem 16. Let $d = m = 1$ and let $s \in (1/2, 1)$. The function a_s given by (10) is bounded, Lebesgue-integrable and satisfies $a_s \in W^{s,2}$. For the equation (1) with drift coefficient a_s and diffusion coefficient $b = 1$,

$$e_n^{(2)} \succeq \frac{1}{n^{(1+s)/2} \ln(n+1)}.$$

Remark 10. A slight modification of the function a_s is already used in [2] to prove a lower L_2 -error bound for approximating Brownian occupation time functionals $\int_0^1 a(W_t) dt$ with $a \in W^{s,2}$ based on equidistant evaluation of W .

Combining Theorem 15 and Theorem 16 we get the following complexity result.

Theorem 17. Let $d = m = 1$ and let $b = 1$. For every $s \in (1/2, 1)$ there exists a bounded, Lebesgue integrable drift coefficient $a \in W^{s,2}$ such that

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \infty): n^{-(1+s)/2-\varepsilon} \preceq e_n^{(2)} \preceq n^{-(1+s)/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Remark 11. We briefly comment on a fundamental tool to obtain lower L_p -error bounds for strong approximations of SDEs, which is used in particular in the proofs of Theorem 13 and Theorem 16. In both cases, the proof relies on a coupling of noise approach, which in turn is a particular instance of a principle from *Information Based Complexity* termed *radius of information* or, more loosely, *fooling algorithms*, see, e.g. [67, Chapter 4]. In the context of strong approximation of SDEs, the idea of this principle is as follows. Assume that the equation (1) has a unique strong solution. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and sites $\pi = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ for evaluating the

driving Brownian motion W , consider a further Brownian motion W^π such that W and W^π are coupled at the points $t_1, \dots, t_n$, i.e.

$$(11) \quad W(t_i) = W^\pi(t_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let X^π denote the strong solution of the equation (1) with driving Brownian motion W^π in place of W . By a well known representation theorem for SDEs, there exists a measurable function $\psi: C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that a.s.

$$(12) \quad X_1 = \psi(W) \text{ and } X_1^\pi = \psi(W^\pi).$$

For any approximation $u(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n})$ of X_1 based on the evaluation of W at the points $t_1, \dots, t_n$ we then have, due to (11),

$$(13) \quad u(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}) = u(W_{t_1}^\pi, \dots, W_{t_n}^\pi),$$

i.e., the algorithm determined by the function u can not distinguish between strong approximation of X_1 and strong approximation of X_1^π on the basis of the information provided by evaluating the respective driving Brownian motion at the points $t_1, \dots, t_n$. Using (12) we obtain that there exists $\varphi: C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(14) \quad X_1 - u(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}) = \varphi(W) \text{ and } X_1^\pi - u(W_{t_1}^\pi, \dots, W_{t_n}^\pi) = \varphi(W^\pi).$$

By (13), (14) and the triangle inequality we conclude that for every $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[|X_1 - u(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n})|^p]^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{E}[|X_1 - u(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n})|^p]^{1/p} + \mathbb{E}[|X_1^\pi - u(W_{t_1}^\pi, \dots, W_{t_n}^\pi)|^p]^{1/p} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{E}[|X_1 - u(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n})|^p]^{1/p} + \mathbb{E}[|X_1^\pi - u(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n})|^p]^{1/p} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[|X_1 - X_1^\pi|^p]^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

In this way, the L_p -error of any approximation of X_1 based on evaluating W at the points from π is, up to a constant, bounded from below by the L_p -distance of X_1 and X_1^π . Clearly, W^π should be chosen such that the latter quantity is large. The particular approach used in the proof of Theorem 13 and Theorem 16 is to construct W^π such that, conditioned on $W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}$, the two processes W and W^π are independent. In the case $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$ this can be achieved by taking

$$W^\pi = \overline{W}^\pi + U^\pi,$$

where $\overline{W}^\pi$ denotes the piecewise linear interpolation of W at the points $t_0, \dots, t_n$, U^π coincides with the piecewise Brownian bridge process $W - \overline{W}^\pi$ in distribution and U^π and W are independent.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALFONSI, A. Strong order one convergence of a drift implicit Euler scheme: Application to the CIR process. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 83, 2 (2013), 602–607.
- [2] ALTMAYER, R. Approximation of occupation time functionals. *Bernoulli* 27, 4 (2021), 2714–2739.
- [3] BAO, J., HUANG, X., AND YUAN, C. Convergence rate of Euler-Maruyama scheme for SDEs with Hölder-Dini continuous drifts. *J. Theoret. Probab.* 32, 2 (2019), 848–871.

- [4] BERKAOUI, A., BOSSY, M., AND DIOP, A. Euler scheme for SDEs with non-Lipschitz diffusion coefficient: strong convergence. *ESAIM Probab. Stat.* 12 (2008), 1–11 (electronic).
- [5] BEYN, W.-J., ISAAK, E., AND KRUSE, R. Stochastic C-stability and B-consistency of explicit and implicit Euler-type schemes. *J. Sci. Comput.* 67 (2016), 1–33.
- [6] BEYN, W.-J., ISAAK, E., AND KRUSE, R. Stochastic C-stability and B-consistency of explicit and implicit Milstein-type schemes. *J. Sci. Comput.* 70 (2017), 1042–1077.
- [7] BOSSY, M., AND OLIVERO, H. Strong convergence of the symmetrized Milstein scheme for some CEV-like SDEs. *Bernoulli* 24, 3 (2018), 1995–2042.
- [8] BUTKOVSKY, O., DAREIOTIS, K., AND GERENCSÉR, M. Approximation of SDEs: a stochastic sewing approach. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 181, 4 (2021), 975–1034.
- [9] BUTKOVSKY, O., DAREIOTIS, K., AND GERENCSÉR, M. Strong rate of convergence of the Euler scheme for SDEs with irregular drift driven by Lévy noise. *arXiv: 2204.12926* (2024).
- [10] CLARK, J. M. C., AND CAMERON, R. J. The maximum rate of convergence of discrete approximations for stochastic differential equations. In *Stochastic differential systems (Proc. IFIP-WG 7/1 Working Conf., Vilnius, 1978)*, vol. 25 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sci.* Springer, Berlin, 1980, pp. 162–171.
- [11] DAREIOTIS, K., AND GERENCSÉR, M. On the regularisation of the noise for the Euler-Maruyama scheme with irregular drift. *Electron. J. Probab.* 25 (2020), Paper No. 82, 18.
- [12] DAREIOTIS, K., GERENCSÉR, M., AND LÊ, K. Quantifying a convergence theorem of Gyöngy and Krylov. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 33, 3 (2023), 2291–2323.
- [13] DEREICH, S., NEUENKIRCH, A., AND SZPRUCH, L. An Euler-type method for the strong approximation of the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross process. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 468 (2012), 1105–1115.
- [14] DI NEZZA, E., PALATUCCI, G., AND VALDINOCI, E. Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* 136 (2012), 521–573.
- [15] DO, M.-T., NGO, H.-L., AND PHO, N.-A. Tamed-adaptive Euler-Maruyama approximation for SDEs with superlinearly growing and piecewise continuous drift, superlinearly growing and locally Hölder continuous diffusion. *Journal of Complexity* 82 (2024), 101833.
- [16] ELLINGER, S. Sharp lower error bounds for strong approximation of SDEs with piecewise Lipschitz continuous drift coefficient. *Journal of Complexity* 81 (2024), 101822.
- [17] ELLINGER, S., MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., AND YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. On optimal error rates for strong approximation of SDEs with a drift coefficient of Sobolev regularity. *arXiv:2402.13732* (2024).
- [18] ERN, A., AND GUERMOND, J.-L. *Finite elements I—Approximation and interpolation*, vol. 72 of *Texts in Applied Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [19] FANG, W., AND GILES, M. B. Adaptive Euler-Maruyama method for SDEs with nonglobally Lipschitz drift. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 30, 2 (2020), 526–560.
- [20] FAURE, O. *Simulation du mouvement brownien et des diffusions*. Thesis. ENPC, 1992.
- [21] GAN, S., HE, Y., AND WANG, X. Tamed Runge-Kutta methods for SDEs with super-linearly growing drift and diffusion coefficients. *Applied Numerical Mathematics* 152 (2020), 379–402.
- [22] GERENCSÉR, M., JENTZEN, A., AND SALIMOVA, D. On stochastic differential equations with arbitrarily slow convergence rates for strong approximation in two space dimensions. *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* 473 (2017), 20170104.
- [23] GERENCSÉR, M., LAMPL, G., AND LING, C. The Milstein scheme for singular SDEs with Hölder continuous drift. *arXiv: 2305.16004* (2023).
- [24] GÖTTLICH, S., LUX, K., AND NEUENKIRCH, A. The Euler scheme for stochastic differential equations with discontinuous drift coefficient: A numerical study of the convergence rate. *Adv. Difference Equ.* (2019), Paper No. 429, 21 pp.
- [25] GYÖNGY, I., AND RÁSONYI, M. A note on Euler approximations for SDEs with Hölder continuous diffusion coefficients. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 121, 10 (2011), 2189–2200.
- [26] HAIRER, M., HUTZENTHALER, M., AND JENTZEN, A. Loss of regularity for Kolmogorov equations. *Ann. Probab.* 43, 2 (2015), 468–527.
- [27] HATZESBERGER, S. Strongly asymptotically optimal schemes for the strong approximation of stochastic differential equations with respect to the supremum error. *J. Complexity* 60 (2020), 101496, 27.

- [28] HEFTER, M., AND HERZWURM, A. Optimal strong approximation of the one-dimensional squared Bessel process. *Commun. Math. Sci.* 15 (2017), 2121–2141.
- [29] HEFTER, M., AND HERZWURM, A. Strong convergence rates for Cox-Ingersoll-Ross processes - full parameter range. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 459 (2018), 1079–1101.
- [30] HEFTER, M., HERZWURM, A., AND MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T. Lower error bounds for strong approximation of scalar SDEs with non-Lipschitzian coefficients. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 29, 1 (2019), 178–216.
- [31] HEFTER, M., AND JENTZEN, A. On arbitrarily slow convergence rates for strong numerical approximations of Cox-Ingersoll-Ross processes and squared Bessel processes. *Finance Stoch.* 23, 1 (2019), 139–172.
- [32] HIGHAM, D. J., MAO, X., AND STUART, A. M. Strong convergence of Euler-type methods for nonlinear stochastic differential equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 40, 3 (2002), 1041–1063 (electronic).
- [33] HOFMANN, N., MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., AND RITTER, K. The optimal discretization of stochastic differential equations. *J. Complexity* 17, 1 (2001), 117–153.
- [34] HU, H., AND GAN, S. Strong convergence of the tamed Euler scheme for scalar SDEs with superlinearly growing and discontinuous drift coefficient. *arXiv:2206.00088* (2022).
- [35] HU, L., LI, X., AND MAO, X. Convergence rate and stability of the truncated Euler–Maruyama method for stochastic differential equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 337 (2018), 274–289.
- [36] HU, Y. Semi-implicit Euler–Maruyama scheme for stiff stochastic equations. In *Stochastic analysis and related topics, V (Silivri, 1994)*, vol. 38 of *Progr. Probab.* Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996, pp. 183–202.
- [37] HUTZENTHALER, M., AND JENTZEN, A. On a perturbation theory and on strong convergence rates for stochastic ordinary and partial differential equations with non-globally monotone coefficients. *Ann. Probab.* 48, 1 (2020), 53–93.
- [38] HUTZENTHALER, M., JENTZEN, A., AND KLOEDEN, P. E. Strong and weak divergence in finite time of Euler’s method for stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 467 (2011), 1563–1576.
- [39] HUTZENTHALER, M., JENTZEN, A., AND KLOEDEN, P. E. Strong convergence of an explicit numerical method for SDEs with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 22, 4 (2012), 1611–1641.
- [40] HUTZENTHALER, M., JENTZEN, A., AND NOLL, M. Strong convergence rates and temporal regularity for Cox-Ingersoll-Ross processes and Bessel processes with accessible boundaries. *arXiv:1403.6385* (2014).
- [41] JENTZEN, A., MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., AND YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. On stochastic differential equations with arbitrary slow convergence rates for strong approximation. *Commun. Math. Sci.* 14, 7 (2016), 1477–1500.
- [42] KLOEDEN, P. E., AND PLATEN, E. *Numerical solution of stochastic differential equations*, vol. 23 of *Applications of Mathematics (New York)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [43] KUMAR, C. On Milstein-type scheme for SDE driven by Lévy noise with super-linear coefficients. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series B* 26 (2021), 1405 – 1446.
- [44] KUMAR, C., AND SABANIS, S. On Milstein approximations with varying coefficients: the case of super-linear diffusion coefficients. *BIT* 59, 4 (2019), 929–968.
- [45] LEOBACHER, G., AND SZÖLGYENYI, M. A numerical method for SDEs with discontinuous drift. *BIT* 56, 1 (2016), 151–162.
- [46] LEOBACHER, G., AND SZÖLGYENYI, M. A strong order 1/2 method for multidimensional SDEs with discontinuous drift. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 27 (2017), 2383–2418.
- [47] LEOBACHER, G., AND SZÖLGYENYI, M. Convergence of the Euler–Maruyama method for multidimensional SDEs with discontinuous drift and degenerate diffusion coefficient. *Numer. Math.* 138, 1 (2018), 219–239.
- [48] MAO, X. Convergence rates of the truncated Euler–Maruyama method for stochastic differential equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 296 (2016), 362–375.
- [49] MAO, X., AND SZPRUCH, L. Strong convergence rates for backward Euler–Maruyama method for non-linear dissipative-type stochastic differential equations with super-linear diffusion coefficients. *Stochastics* 85, 1 (2013), 144–171.
- [50] MARUYAMA, G. Continuous Markov processes and stochastic equations. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) 4 (1955), 48–90.

- [51] MENOUKEU PAMEN, O., AND TAGUCHI, D. Strong rate of convergence for the Euler–Maruyama approximation of SDEs with Hölder continuous drift coefficient. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 127, 8 (2017), 2542–2559.
- [52] MICKEL, A., AND NEUENKIRCH, A. On the convergence order of the Euler scheme for scalar SDEs with Hölder-type diffusion coefficients. *arXiv: 2307.11448* (2024).
- [53] MILSTEIN, G. N. Approximate integration of stochastic differential equations. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* 19 (1974), 583–588.
- [54] MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T. Strong approximation of systems of stochastic differential equations. *Habilitation thesis, TU Darmstadt* (2002), iv+161.
- [55] MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T. Optimal pointwise approximation of SDEs based on Brownian motion at discrete points. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 14, 4 (2004), 1605–1642.
- [56] MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., SABANIS, S., AND YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. Existence, uniqueness and approximation of solutions of SDEs with superlinear coefficients in the presence of discontinuities of the drift coefficient. *arXiv:2204.02343* (2022).
- [57] MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., AND YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. A note on strong approximation of SDEs with smooth coefficients that have at most linearly growing derivatives. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 467 (2018), 1013–1031.
- [58] MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., AND YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. On the performance of the Euler-Maruyama scheme for SDEs with discontinuous drift coefficient. *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probability and Statistics* 56, 2 (2020), 1162–1178.
- [59] MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., AND YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. A strong order 3/4 method for SDEs with discontinuous drift coefficient. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 42, 1 (2022), 229–259.
- [60] MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., AND YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. Sharp lower error bounds for strong approximation of SDEs with discontinuous drift coefficient by coupling of noise. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 33 (2023), 902–935.
- [61] NEUENKIRCH, A., AND SZÖLGYENYI, M. The Euler-Maruyama scheme for SDEs with irregular drift: convergence rates via reduction to a quadrature problem. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 41 (2021).
- [62] NEUENKIRCH, A., SZÖLGYENYI, M., AND SZPRUCH, L. An adaptive Euler-Maruyama scheme for stochastic differential equations with discontinuous drift and its convergence analysis. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 57 (2019), 378–403.
- [63] NEUENKIRCH, A., AND SZPRUCH, L. First order strong approximations of scalar SDEs defined in a domain. *Numerische Mathematik* 128 (2014), 103–136.
- [64] NGO, H.-L., AND TAGUCHI, D. Strong rate of convergence for the Euler-Maruyama approximation of stochastic differential equations with irregular coefficients. *Math. Comp.* 85, 300 (2016), 1793–1819.
- [65] NGO, H.-L., AND TAGUCHI, D. On the Euler-Maruyama approximation for one-dimensional stochastic differential equations with irregular coefficients. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 37, 4 (2017), 1864–1883.
- [66] NGO, H.-L., AND TAGUCHI, D. Strong convergence for the Euler-Maruyama approximation of stochastic differential equations with discontinuous coefficients. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 125 (2017), 55–63.
- [67] NOVAK, E., AND WOŹNIAKOWSKI, H. *Tractability of multivariate problems. Vol. 1: Linear information*, vol. 6 of *EMS Tracts in Mathematics*. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.
- [68] PRZYBYŁOWICZ, P., SCHWARZ, V., AND SZÖLGYENYI, M. A higher order approximation method for jump-diffusion SDEs with discontinuous drift coefficient. *arXiv: 2211.08739* (2023).
- [69] PRZYBYŁOWICZ, P., SCHWARZ, V., AND SZÖLGYENYI, M. Lower error bounds and optimality of approximation for jump-diffusion SDEs with discontinuous drift. *arXiv: 2303.05945* (2023).
- [70] PRZYBYŁOWICZ, P., AND SZÖLGYENYI, M. Existence, uniqueness, and approximation of solutions of jump-diffusion SDEs with discontinuous drift. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 403 (2021), Paper No. 126191, 15 pp.
- [71] RUNST, T., AND SICKEL, W. *Sobolev spaces of fractional order, Nemytskij operators, and nonlinear partial differential equations*, vol. 3 of *de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1996.
- [72] SABANIS, S. A note on tamed Euler approximations. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* 18 (2013), no. 47, 10.
- [73] SABANIS, S. Euler approximations with varying coefficients: the case of superlinearly growing diffusion coefficients. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 26, 4 (2016), 2083–2105.

- [74] SABANIS, S., AND ZHANG, Y. On explicit order 1.5 approximations with varying coefficients: the case of super-linear diffusion coefficients. *J. Complexity* 50 (2019), 84–115.
- [75] SICKEL, W. On the regularity of characteristic functions. In *Anomalies in Partial Differential Equations* (Cham, 2021), M. Cicognani, D. Del Santo, A. Parmeggiani, and M. Reissig, Eds., Springer International Publishing, pp. 395–441.
- [76] SPENDIER, K., AND SZÖLGYENYI, M. Convergence of the tamed Euler-Maruyama method for SDEs with discontinuous and polynomially growing drift. *arXiv:2212.08839v4* (2024).
- [77] TRETYAKOV, M. V., AND ZHANG, Z. A fundamental mean-square convergence theorem for SDEs with locally Lipschitz coefficients and its applications. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 51, 6 (2013), 3135–3162.
- [78] VERETENNIKOV, A. J. Strong solutions and explicit formulas for solutions of stochastic integral equations. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 111(153) (1980), 434–452, 480.
- [79] WANG, X., AND GAN, S. The tamed Milstein method for commutative stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 19, 3 (2013), 466–490.
- [80] YAMATO, Y. Stochastic differential equations and nilpotent Lie algebras. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 47, 2 (1979), 213–229.
- [81] YANG, H., WU, F., KLOEDEN, P. E., AND MAO, X. The truncated Euler–Maruyama method for stochastic differential equations with Hölder diffusion coefficients. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 366 (2020), 112379.
- [82] YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. On non-polynomial lower error bounds for adaptive strong approximation of SDEs. *J. Complexity* 42 (2017), 1–18.
- [83] YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. An adaptive strong order 1 method for SDEs with discontinuous drift coefficient. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 513 (2022), Paper No. 126180, 29.
- [84] ZHANG, Z., AND MA, H. Order-preserving strong schemes for SDEs with locally Lipschitz coefficients. *Appl. Numer. Math.* 112 (2017), 1–16.

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PASSAU, INNSTRASSE 33, 94032 PASSAU, GERMANY

Email address: `thomas.mueller-gronbach@uni-passau.de`

621 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ, HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

Email address: `larisa.yaroslavtseva@uni-graz.at`