# Mid-surface scaling invariance of some bending strain measures

### Amit Acharya\*

#### Abstract

The mid-surface scaling invariance of bending strain measures proposed in [1] is discussed in light of the work of [3].

## 1 Introduction

This brief note discusses the mid-surface scaling invariance of three nonlinear measures of pure bending strain, as introduced in [1] and physically motivated therein more than 20 years ago, in light of the recent work of [3] where the said invariance is introduced.

It is shown that one of the strain measures introduced in [1] possesses scaling invariance, and the other two are easily modified to have the invariance as well. There has been a recent surge of interest in such matters, as can be seen from the works of [3, 5, 6].

We use the notation of [1]: a shell mid-surface is thought of as a 2D surface in ambient 3D space (the qualification 'mid-surface' will not be used in all instances; it is hoped that the meaning will be clear from the context). Both the reference and deformed shells are parametrized by the same coordinate system  $((\xi^{\alpha}), \alpha = 1, 2)$  (convected coordinates). Points on the reference geometry are denoted generically by X and on the deformed geometry by x. The reference unit normal is denoted by N and the unit normal on the deformed geometry by n. A subscript comma refers to partial differentiation, e.g.  $\frac{\partial ()}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}} = ()_{,\alpha}$ . Summation over repeated indices will be assumed. The convected coordinate basis vectors in the reference geometry will be referred to by the symbols  $(E_{\alpha})$  and those in the deformed geometry by  $(e_{\alpha})$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ , with corresponding dual bases  $(E^{\alpha})$ ,  $(e^{\alpha})$ , respectively. A suitable number of dots placed between two tensors represent the operation of contraction, while the symbol  $\otimes$  will represent a tensor product. The deformation gradient will be denoted by  $f = e_{\alpha} \otimes E^{\alpha}$  and admits the right polar decomposition  $f = r \cdot U$ , where  $U(X) : T_X \to T_X$  and  $r(X) : T_X \to T_x$ , where  $T_c$  represents the tangent space of the shell at the point c. The curvature tensor on the deformed shell is denoted as  $b = n_{,\beta} \otimes e^{\beta}$  and that on the undeformed shell as  $B = N_{,\beta} \otimes E^{\beta}$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, and Center for Nonlinear Analysis, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, email: acharyaamit@cmu.edu.

# 2 Some measures of pure bending and their invariance under midsurface scaling

In [1] three measures of bending strain were proposed, given by

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{K}} = (\boldsymbol{E}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{,\beta} - \boldsymbol{E}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{N}_{,\beta}) \, \boldsymbol{E}^{\alpha} \otimes \boldsymbol{E}^{\beta} = \boldsymbol{f}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{f} - \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}$$
(1a)

$$oldsymbol{\check{K}} = \left(oldsymbol{E}_{lpha} \cdot oldsymbol{U} \cdot oldsymbol{r}^T \cdot oldsymbol{n}_{,eta} - rac{1}{2} \left(oldsymbol{E}_{lpha} \cdot oldsymbol{U} \cdot oldsymbol{N}_{,eta} + oldsymbol{E}_{eta} \cdot oldsymbol{U} \cdot oldsymbol{N}_{,lpha}
ight) oldsymbol{E}^{lpha} \otimes oldsymbol{E}^{eta}$$

$$= \mathbf{f}^T \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{f} - (\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{B})_{sym} \tag{1b}$$

$$\overline{K} = (E_{\alpha} \cdot r^{T} \cdot n_{,\beta} - E_{\alpha} \cdot N_{,\beta}) E^{\alpha} \otimes E^{\beta} = r^{T} \cdot b \cdot f - B.$$
(1c)

Equation (1c) was unnumbered in that work, as the main emphasis was to obtain a nonlinear generalization of the Koiter-Sanders-Budiansky bending strain measure [4, 2];  $\widetilde{K}$  is introduced as Equation (8) and  $\check{K}$  as Equation (10) in [1].

In [3] a physically natural requirement of invariance of bending strain measure under simple scalings of the form

$$x \to ax$$
,  $0 < a \in \mathbb{R}$ 

is introduced (for plates, but the requirement is natural for shells as well) and it is shown that the measures  $\widetilde{K}$ ,  $\check{K}$  are not invariant under such a scaling. The measure  $\overline{K}$  is not discussed in [3].

It is straightforward to see that under the said scaling, the deformation gradient scales as

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial X} = r \cdot U = f$$
  $\rightarrow$   $af = r \cdot (aU) = a \frac{\partial x}{\partial X}$ ,

resulting in the bending measures scaling as

$$\widetilde{K} \to a\widetilde{K}; \qquad \check{K} \to a\check{K}; \qquad \overline{K} \to \overline{K}.$$

Thus, the bending strain measure  $\overline{K}$  from [1], not discussed by [3], is actually *invariant under scaling deformations of the deformed shell mid-surface*. Furthermore, the simple modifications of the measures  $\widetilde{K}$ ,  $\check{K}$  to

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{K}}_{mod} = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{U}|} \left( \boldsymbol{E}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{,\beta} - \boldsymbol{E}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{N}_{,\beta} \right) \boldsymbol{E}^{\alpha} \otimes \boldsymbol{E}^{\beta} 
= \left( tr \left( \boldsymbol{f}^{T} \boldsymbol{f} \right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \boldsymbol{x}_{,\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{,\beta} - \boldsymbol{E}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{N}_{,\beta} \right) \boldsymbol{E}^{\alpha} \otimes \boldsymbol{E}^{\beta} 
\check{\boldsymbol{K}}_{mod} = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{U}|} \left( \boldsymbol{E}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{,\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \boldsymbol{E}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{N}_{,\beta} + \boldsymbol{E}_{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{N}_{,\alpha} \right) \right) \boldsymbol{E}^{\alpha} \otimes \boldsymbol{E}^{\beta}$$

$$= \left( tr \left( \boldsymbol{f}^{T} \boldsymbol{f} \right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \boldsymbol{x}_{,\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{,\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \boldsymbol{E}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{N}_{,\beta} + \boldsymbol{E}_{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{N}_{,\alpha} \right) \right) \boldsymbol{E}^{\alpha} \otimes \boldsymbol{E}^{\beta},$$
(2a)

where

$$|\boldsymbol{U}| = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{U}:\boldsymbol{U}} = \sqrt{tr\left(\boldsymbol{f}^T\boldsymbol{f}\right)},$$

make them mid-surface scaling invariant.

### References

- [1] Amit Acharya. "A nonlinear generalization of the Koiter–Sanders–Budiansky bending strain measure". In: *International Journal of Solids and Structures* 37.39 (2000), pp. 5517–5528.
- [2] B. Budiansky and J. L. Sanders. "On the 'Best' first-order linear shell theory". In: *Progress in Applied Mechanics (The Prager Anniversary Volume)*. 1963, pp. 129–140.
- [3] I. D. Ghiba, M. Bîrsan, P. Lewintan, and Patrizio Neff. "A constrained Cosserat shell model up to order  $O(h^5)$ : modelling, existence of minimizers, relations to classical shell models and scaling invariance of the bending tensor". In: *Journal of Elasticity* 146.1 (2021), pp. 83–141.
- [4] W. T. Koiter. "A consistent first approximation in the general theory of thin elastic shells". In: *Proceedings of the IUTAM Symposium on the Theory of Thin Shells*. North-Holland Amsterdam, 1960, pp. 12–33.
- [5] Epifanio G. Virga. "Pure measures of bending for soft plates". In: Soft Matter 20.1 (2024), pp. 144–151.
- [6] E. Vitral and J. A. Hanna. "Dilation-invariant bending of elastic plates, and broken symmetry in shells". In: *Journal of Elasticity* 153.4-5 (2023), pp. 571–579.