PROJECTIONAL ENTROPY FOR ACTIONS OF AMENABLE GROUPS

MICHAŁ PRUSIK

ABSTRACT. In the current paper we attempt to transfer the notion of the projectional entropy, originally defined for multidimensional subshifts, to the case of actions of amenable groups. The main theorem states that if a system is strongly irreducible the equality of the entropy and the projectional entropy implies that the system has a product-like structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

In "Projectional Entropy in Higher Dimensional Shifts of Finite Type" ([1]) A. Johnson, S. Kass and K. Madden introduced an object called the *projectional entropy*. The authors showed several basic properties of this entropy and proved a theorem relating this notion to the structure of the subshift in the case of two-dimensional subshifts. In 2010 M. Schraudner extended their results in [2] to higher dimensional subshifts, by adding an assumption of mixing type. In the current paper we aim to generalize the notion of the projectional entropy for actions of amenable groups.

The entropy is one of the main objects of interest in the theory of dynamical systems. For the case of symbolic dynamics it gives us an information about the rate of exponential growth of the number of configurations appearing in the system. That growth is measured when the domain of a configuration expands simultaneously in every "direction" of the space. The main intuition behind the projectional entropy is to look only in some directions. Namely, we restrict the elements of the subshift $X \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ (where \mathcal{A} is a fixed alphabet) to a chosen *sublattice* L, where a sublattice is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^d isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^r for some r < d, which has a *complementary* sublattice L' (namely the quotient group \mathbb{Z}^d/L) isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{(d-r)}$. So obtained restriction X_L is treated as an r-dimensional subshift and its entropy is called the *L*-projectional entropy of X. By comparing this quantity with the entropy of the original space X we get some information about a "product-like" structure of X.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37B05, 37B40; Secondary 37B10. Key words and phrases. entropy, group action, amenable group, subshift.

M. PRUSIK

It turns out that one does not need to limit only to sublattices. Consider $L = \operatorname{span}\{(2,0), (0,2)\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ (the lattice of vectors with both even coordinates). One can easily see, that in this case the quotient group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, so L is not a sublattice. However the restriction X_L is still a subshift of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ and at least some of the results of [2] hold in this case. This led us to a hypothesis, that the projectional entropy may be well defined for a restriction to any normal subgroup. In the current paper we attempt to transfer the results of [1] and [2] to the case of actions of amenable groups. The main theorem states that if a certain mixing condition holds, namely the system is strongly irreducible, the equality of the entropy and the projectional entropy with respect to a certain normal subgroup implies that the system has a product-like structure.

2. Basic notions

To simplify the notation, for a countable set A let $\mathcal{F}(A)$ denote the family of all finite and nonempty subsets of A.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a countable group. A sequence $F_n \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is a *Følner sequence*, if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\forall_{g \in G} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|gF_n \bigtriangleup F_n|}{|F_n|} = 0$$

where $gF = \{gf : f \in F\}$ for $g \in G, F \subset G$.

We call G amenable, if there exists a Følner sequence in G.

It is obvious, that if (F_n) is a Følner sequence, then for any nonempty, finite $T \subset G$ the term $\frac{|TF_n \triangle F_n|}{|F_n|}$ converges to 0 (for $A, B \subset G$ by AB we denote the set $\{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}$). Also the following holds.

Lemma 2.2. Let (F_n) be a Følner sequence in G and let B be a nonempty finite subset of G. Then $\tilde{F}_n := BF_n$ is also a Følner sequence in G.

Proof. Fix $g \in G$. From triangle inequality for symmetric difference and the fact that $|BF_n| \ge |F_n|$ we obtain:

$$\frac{|g\tilde{F}_n \bigtriangleup \tilde{F}_n|}{|\tilde{F}_n|} \leqslant \frac{|gBF_n \bigtriangleup F_n|}{|F_n|} + \frac{|F_n \bigtriangleup BF_n|}{|F_n|}.$$

Since B (and so gB) is nonempty and finite, and (F_n) is a Følner sequence, both terms on the right converge to zero.

The fact below is widely known.

Fact 2.3. Let G be a countable group and H be its normal subgroup. Then G is amenable \iff H and G/H are amenable. **Definition 2.4.** Let G be a countable amenable group and let \mathcal{A} be a finite set with the discrete topology. We define the *shift action* of G on \mathcal{A}^G as follows:

$$\forall_{x \in \mathcal{A}^G} \ \forall_{g,h \in G} \ (gx)(h) = x(hg).$$

The full G-shift (or just the full shift, if G is known from the context) is the product space \mathcal{A}^G equipped with the product topology and the shift action of G. We will call the set \mathcal{A} the *alphabet*, and its elements will be symbols.

Each element of the full G-shift is in fact a function $x: G \to \mathcal{A}$ thus it is reasonable to consider its restriction $x|_F$ to a set $F \subset G$. Let us notice that the full G-shift is, by the Tikhonov's theorem, a compact topological space. It is well known that the the full shift is metrizable and that a sequence $x_n \in \mathcal{A}^G$ converges to $x \in \mathcal{A}^G$ if and only if for any $g \in G$ we have $x_n(g) = x(g)$ for n bigger than some N_q .

We say that $Y \subset \mathcal{A}^G$ is *G*-invariant if it is invariant under the shift action of *G*, i.e. $gY = \{gy : y \in Y\} = Y$ for any $g \in G$.

Definition 2.5. A nonempty, compact and *G*-invariant set $X \subset \mathcal{A}^G$ equipped with the shift action of *G* will be called a *G*-subshift or just a subshift if *G* is known from the context.

Definition 2.6. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. A configuration on F is an element of \mathcal{A}^F . We say that a configuration C on F appears in $x \in \mathcal{A}^G$ if $x|_F = \mathsf{C}$.

For a subshift X by $\mathcal{L}_F(X)$ we denote the set of all configurations on F that appear in the elements of X. The set $\mathcal{L}(X) = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}(G)} \mathcal{L}_F(X)$ is called the *language* of X.

Similarly to the classical case, one obtains an equivalent definition of a subshift by forbidding configurations.

Theorem 2.7. $X \subset \mathcal{A}^G$ is a subshift if and only if there exists $\mathcal{N} \subset \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}(G)} \mathcal{A}^F$ (a set of configurations) such that

$$X = \{ x \in \mathcal{A}^G : \forall_{g \in G} \forall_{\mathsf{C} \in \mathcal{N}} \mathsf{C} \text{ does not appear in } gx \}.$$

We will use the following simple fact.

Fact 2.8. If Y is a proper subshift of X (that is $Y \not\subseteq X$), then $\mathcal{L}(Y) \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$.

Finally, we define the entropy of a G-subshift. The theorem below is a consequence of the Ornstein-Weiss Lemma (see [3], Theorem 6.1).

M. PRUSIK

Theorem 2.9. Let X be a G-subshift. For any Følner sequence (F_n) in G the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log |\mathcal{L}_{F_n}(X)|$$

exists, is finite, and does not depend on the choice of (F_n) . The common value h(X) of the limit is called the entropy of X.

We will use the following result, which was introduced in [4] and is called the "infimum rule".

Theorem 2.10. The following equality holds:

$$h(X) = \inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}(G)} \frac{1}{|F|} \log |\mathcal{L}_F(X)|.$$

3. The results

Throughout this section G is a fixed countable amenable group, \mathcal{A} is a finite alphabet and $X \subset \mathcal{A}^G$ is a G-subshift. Additionally, let H denote a fixed normal subgroup of G.

Definition 3.1. A projection of X onto H is a subset X_H of \mathcal{A}^H defined as follows:

$$X_H = \left\{ x' \in \mathcal{A}^H : \exists_{x \in X} \ x' = x|_H \right\}$$

By Fact 2.3 we know that H is amenable, so we can formulate the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. X_H is an *H*-subshift.

Proof. H-invariance. Let $h \in H$ and $x' \in X_H$. By the definition, there exists $x \in X$ such that $x|_H = x'$. For any $\tilde{h} \in H$ we have:

$$hx'(h) = x'(hh) = x(hh) = hx(h),$$

hence $hx' = (hx)|_{H}$. The subshift X is G-invariant, so $hx \in X$, and thus $hx' \in X_{H}$. Therefore we get:

$$\forall_{h \in H} \ h X_H \subset X_H.$$

But that means that for any $h \in H$ also $h^{-1}X_H \subset X_H$. Hence $X_H = h(h^{-1}X_H) \subset hX_H$, for any $h \in H$.

Compactness. Let $(x'_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be a sequence in X_H . We know that for any n there exists $x_n \in X$ such that $x'_n = x_n|_H$. By the compactness of X there is a subsequence x_{n_k} convergent to some $x \in X$. Then for each $g \in G x_{n_k}(g)$ eventually equals x(g), in particular it holds for each $g \in H$. This gives us the convergence $x'_{n_k} \to x|_H \in X_H$.

5

The following definition introduces our main object of interest.

Definition 3.3. The entropy of X_H (as a subshift of \mathcal{A}^H) is the *H*-projectional entropy of *X*.

Below we present a method of constructing a subshift of \mathcal{A}^G from X_H in a "product-like" way.

Definition 3.4. A transversal for H is a subset M of G such that for any coset gH we have $|M \cap gH| = 1$ (i.e. M consists of representatives of the cosets).

For a transversal M for H we define a function $\varphi_M : (X_H)^{G/H} \to \mathcal{A}^G$ in the following way. For any $g \in G$ there exists exactly one $h \in H$ and exactly one $m \in M$ such that g = hm. We take

$$\varphi_M\left((x^\gamma)_{\gamma\in G/H}\right)(g) = x^{Hm}(h),$$

where $x^{\gamma} \in X_H$ for any coset $\gamma \in G/H$. Theorem 3.5.

- (1) For each transversal M the function φ_M is an injection.
- (2) For any two transversals M_1, M_2 we have

$$\varphi_{M_1}\left(\left(X_H\right)^{G/H}\right) = \varphi_{M_2}\left(\left(X_H\right)^{G/H}\right).$$

We will denote the common image by $X_H^{G/H}$.

- (3) For each transversal M the function φ_M is continuous with respect to the product topology on $(X_H)^{G/H}$.
- (4) $X_H^{G/H}$ is a G-subshift.

Let us note that by $(X_H)^{G/H}$ we denote the Cartesian power of the set X_H , while $X_H^{G/H}$ is a subset of \mathcal{A}^G .

Proof. We will use the notation $(x^{\gamma}) = (x^{\gamma})_{\gamma \in G/H}$.

(1) Let $(x^{\gamma}) \neq (y^{\gamma})$. Then there exists $\gamma_0 \in G/H$ such that $x^{\gamma_0} \neq y^{\gamma_0}$, which means that there exists $h_0 \in H$ such that $x^{\gamma_0}(h_0) \neq y^{\gamma_0}(h_0)$. Let $m_0 \in M \cap \gamma_0$ (in fact $M \cap \gamma_0 = \{m_0\}$). Then we have

$$\varphi_M((x^{\gamma}))(h_0m_0) = x^{\gamma_0}(h_0) \neq y^{\gamma_0}(h_0) = \varphi_M((y^{\gamma}))(h_0m_0)$$

so $\varphi_M((x^{\gamma})) \neq \varphi_M((y^{\gamma})).$

(2) Let M_1 , M_2 be transversals for H. By the symmetry it suffices to show that

$$\varphi_{M_1}\left((X_H)^{G/H}\right) \subset \varphi_{M_2}\left((X_H)^{G/H}\right).$$

Fix $(x^{\gamma}) \in (X_H)^{G/H}$. For any $\gamma \in G/H$ let m_i^{γ} be the only element of $M_i \cap \gamma$, i = 1, 2, and take $y^{\gamma} = m_2^{\gamma} (m_1^{\gamma})^{-1} x^{\gamma} \in \mathcal{A}^H$. It is well defined, because $m_2^{\gamma} (m_1^{\gamma})^{-1} \in H$. We have $y^{\gamma} \in X_H$ for any γ , since X_H is *H*-invariant.

Fix $g \in G$ and take $\gamma_0 = gH$. There exists exactly one pair $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $g = h_1 m_1^{\gamma_0} = h_2 m_2^{\gamma_0}$. Note that then $h_1 = h_2 m_2^{\gamma_0} (m_1^{\gamma_0})^{-1}$. We have

$$\varphi_{M_1}((x^{\gamma}))(g) = x^{\gamma_0}(h_1) = x^{\gamma_0}(h_2 m_2^{\gamma_0} (m_1^{\gamma_0})^{-1})$$

= $m_2^{\gamma_0} (m_1^{\gamma_0})^{-1} x^{\gamma_0}(h_2) = y^{\gamma_0}(h_2) = \varphi_{M_2}((y^{\gamma}))(g).$

Since g and (x^{γ}) were arbitrary, we get that

$$\forall_{(x^{\gamma})\in(X_H)^{G/H}} \exists_{(y^{\gamma})\in(X_H)^{G/H}} \varphi_{M_1}((x^{\gamma})) = \varphi_{M_2}((y^{\gamma}))$$

thus $\varphi_{M_1}\left((X_H)^{G/H}\right) \subset \varphi_{M_2}\left((X_H)^{G/H}\right).$

- (3) We skip the proof of continuity, as it boils down to verifying that the preimage of a cylinder is also a cylinder.
- (4) *G*-invariance: By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 it suffices to show that for any $g \in G$ we have $gX_H^{G/H} \subset X_H^{G/H}$.
 - Fix a transversal M. For any $g \in G$ by h^g we denote the element of H such that $g = h^g m^{gH}$, where m^{gH} is the only element of $M \cap gH$.
 - Fix $g \in G$ and $x = \varphi_M((x^{\gamma})) \in X_H^{G/H}$. For any $\tilde{g} \in G$ we have

$$h^{\tilde{g}g} = \tilde{g}g(m^{\tilde{g}gH})^{-1} = h^{\tilde{g}}m^{\tilde{g}H}g(m^{\tilde{g}gH})^{-1}$$

Therefore $m^{\tilde{g}H}g(m^{\tilde{g}gH})^{-1} \in H$ and

$$gx(\tilde{g}) = x(\tilde{g}g) = x(h^{\tilde{g}g}m^{\tilde{g}gH})$$
$$= x^{\tilde{g}gH}(h^{\tilde{g}g}) = x^{\tilde{g}H\cdot gH}(h^{\tilde{g}}m^{\tilde{g}H}g(m^{\tilde{g}gH})^{-1})$$
$$= (m^{\tilde{g}H}g(m^{\tilde{g}H\cdot gH})^{-1})x^{\tilde{g}H\cdot gH}(h^{\tilde{g}})$$

where $\tilde{g}H \cdot gH = \tilde{g}gH$ denotes the product of cosets in the quotient group G/H.

Now, for each $\gamma \in G/H$ define:

$$y^{\gamma} \coloneqq \left(m^{\gamma} g(m^{\gamma \cdot gH})^{-1} \right) x^{\gamma \cdot gH} \in X_H.$$

For any $\tilde{g} \in G$ we have

$$\varphi_M((y^{\gamma}))(\tilde{g}) = \left(m^{\tilde{g}H}g\left(m^{\tilde{g}H\cdot gH}\right)^{-1}\right)x^{\tilde{g}H\cdot gH}(h^{\tilde{g}}) = gx(\tilde{g}).$$

Hence we have $gx \in X_H^{G/H}$. Since x was arbitrary, $gX_H^{G/H} \subset X_H^{G/H}$.

Compactness is clear, because $X_H^{G/H}$ is an image of the compact set $(X_H)^{G/H}$ under the continuous function φ_M . From now on M is a fixed transversal for H and $\varphi = \varphi_M$

Fact 3.6. $X \subset X_H^{G/H}$

Proof. Take any $x \in X$ and define $x^{Hm} := (mx)|_H$ for any $m \in M$. Then $x = \varphi((x^{\gamma}))$ (we have $x^{Hm}(h) = mx(h) = x(hm)$).

Lemma 3.7. Let $F_1, \ldots, F_n \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be subsets of different cosets. Then for $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_F(X_H^{G/H}) = \{ \mathsf{C} \in \mathcal{A}^F : \forall_{i=1,\dots,n} \mathsf{C}|_{F_i} \in \mathcal{L}_{F_i}(X_H^{G/H}) \},\$$

and hence

$$\left|\mathcal{L}_F(X_H^{G/H})\right| = \prod_{i=1}^n \left|\mathcal{L}_{F_i}(X_H^{G/H})\right|.$$

Proof. It is obvious that the set on the right hand side contains $\mathcal{L}_F(X_H^{G/H})$. To see the opposite inclusion, take any configurations $C_i \in \mathcal{L}_{F_i}(X_H^{G/H})$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and let configuration C on F be such that for any i we have $C|_{F_i} = C_i$. For any i there exists $x_i = \varphi((x_i^{\gamma})) \in X_H^{G/H}$ such that $x_i|_{F_i} = C_i$. Let $m_i \in M$ be such that $F_i \subset Hm_i$. By the definition there exists $x \in X_H^{G/H}$ such that for any i we have $(m_i x)|_H = x_i^{Hm_i}$, which is equivalent to $x|_{Hm_i} = x_i|_{Hm_i}$. Hence we get that

$$\forall_{i=1,\dots,n} \ x|_{F_i} = x_i|_{F_i} = \mathsf{C}_i,$$

so $\mathsf{C} = x|_F \in \mathcal{L}_F(X_H^{G/H}).$

The proof of the following theorem was suggested by Tomasz Downarowicz.

Theorem 3.8.

$$h(X_H^{G/H}) = h(X_H)$$

Proof. First we prove that $h(X_H^{G/H}) \leq h(X_H)$. By using Theorem 2.10 we get

$$h(X_{H}^{G/H}) = \inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}(G)} \frac{1}{|F|} \log \left| \mathcal{L}_{F}(X_{H}^{G/H}) \right| \leq \inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}(H)} \frac{1}{|F|} \log \left| \mathcal{L}_{F}(X_{H}^{G/H}) \right|$$
$$= \inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}(H)} \frac{1}{|F|} \log \left| \mathcal{L}_{F}(X_{H}) \right| = h(X_{H}).$$

To prove $h(X_H^{G/H}) \ge h(X_H)$, take any $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. Let m_1, \ldots, m_n be all elements of M such that $F_i := F \cap Hm_i \ne \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. It is obvious that $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$. By the preceding lemma and the definition of $X_H^{G/H}$ we have

$$\left|\mathcal{L}_{F}(X_{H}^{G/H})\right| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left|\mathcal{L}_{F_{i}}(X_{H}^{G/H})\right| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left|\mathcal{L}_{F_{i}m_{i}^{-1}}(X_{H})\right|,$$

so by using Theorem 2.10

$$\frac{1}{|F|} \log \left| \mathcal{L}_F(X_H^{G/H}) \right| = \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left| \mathcal{L}_{F_i m_i^{-1}}(X_H) \right|$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n |F_i|} \sum_{i=1}^n |F_i| \frac{1}{|F_i m_i^{-1}|} \log \left| \mathcal{L}_{F_i m_i^{-1}}(X_H) \right|$$
$$\geqslant \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n |F_i|} \sum_{i=1}^n |F_i| h(X_H) = h(X_H).$$

Now we turn to the main question—when does the equality of the entropies of X and X_H imply $X = X_H^{G/H}$? In [1] and [2] the counterexamples are given that such implication fails in general. As it was done in these papers, in order to obtain a positive result we additionally assume a proper mixing condition.

Definition 3.9. Let $D \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ contain the neutral element of G. We say that X is *D*-strongly irreducible, if for any finite $B_1, B_2 \subset G$ such that $DB_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ we have

$$\forall_{x,y\in X} \exists_{z\in X} \ z|_{B_1} = x|_{B_1} \wedge z|_{B_2} = y|_{B_2}.$$

We remark that in case of multidimensional subshifts this condition is stronger than the uniform filling property, which was sufficient for results of [2].

From now on $D \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is fixed.

In the course of proving the main theorem we will exploit the notion of the lower Banach density. We briefly recall its definition and formulate an auxiliary lemma.

Definition 3.10. Let $A \subset G$. The *lower Banach density* of A is a number

$$\underline{d}(A) \coloneqq \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}(G)} \inf_{g \in G} \frac{|A \cap Fg|}{|F|}$$

It is known that $\underline{d}(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{g \in G} \frac{|A \cap F_n g|}{|F_n|}$, where (F_n) is an arbitrary Følner sequence in G.

Lemma 3.11. For any finite $B \subset G$ there exists $P \subset G$ such that:

- (1) P has positive lower Banach density,
- (2) for any $g, \tilde{g} \in P$, if $g \neq \tilde{g}$, then $Bg \cap B\tilde{g} = \emptyset$.

For the proof see e.g. [5] (Corollary 1.11).

To prove the next theorem we adapt the ideas used in [2].

Theorem 3.12. Let X be D-strongly irreducible. Then for any proper subshift $Y \notin X$ we have h(Y) < h(X).

Proof. Since Y is a proper subshift, there exists, by Fact 2.8, a configuration $C \in \mathcal{L}(X) \setminus \mathcal{L}(Y)$ on some $B \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. Take $\tilde{B} \coloneqq DB$. From Lemma 3.11 there exists $P \subset G$ such that $\underline{d}(P) > 0$ and for any different $g, \tilde{g} \in P$ we have $\tilde{B}g \cap \tilde{B}\tilde{g} = \emptyset$.

Let (F_n) be a Følner sequence in G. We know that

$$\underline{d}(P) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{g \in G} \frac{|P \cap F_n g|}{|F_n|}.$$

Therefore for n greater than some N we have

$$\frac{|P \cap F_n|}{|F_n|} \ge \inf_{g \in G} \frac{|P \cap F_n g|}{|F_n|} \ge \underline{d}(P) - \frac{\underline{d}(P)}{2} = \frac{\underline{d}(P)}{2} > 0.$$

Hence for n > N we have

$$(3.1) |P \cap F_n| \ge \frac{\underline{d}(P)}{2} |F_n|.$$

Now, define $\tilde{F}_n := \tilde{B}F_n$. By Lemma 2.2 (\tilde{F}_n) is a Følner sequence in G. Fix n > N and take $J := P \cap F_n$ (notice, that for any $g \in J$ we have $\tilde{B}g \subset \tilde{F}_n$). For any $I \subset J$ we define

$$\mathcal{L}_n^I \coloneqq \left\{ x |_{\tilde{F}_n} : x \in X \land \forall_{g \in J \smallsetminus I} (gx) |_B \neq \mathsf{C} \right\}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{L}_n^J = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{F}_n}(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}_n^{\varnothing} = \{x|_{\tilde{F}_n} : x \in X \land \forall_{g \in J} (gx)|_B \neq \mathsf{C}\}.$

Let us enumerate elements of J: J = $\{g_1, , g_2, , \dots, g_{|J|}\}$. For any I = $\{g_1, \dots, g_i\}$, where i < |J|, we have

$$\left|\mathcal{L}_{n}^{I}\right| = \left|\mathcal{L}_{n}^{I\cup\{g_{i+1}\}} \smallsetminus \left\{x|_{\tilde{F}_{n}} : x \in X \land x|_{\tilde{F}_{n}} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}^{I\cup\{g_{i+1}\}} \land (g_{i+1}x)|_{B} = \mathsf{C}\right\}\right|$$

(3.2)
$$= \left| \mathcal{L}_{n}^{I \cup \{g_{i+1}\}} \right| - \left| \left\{ x|_{\tilde{F}_{n}} : x \in X \land x|_{\tilde{F}_{n}} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}^{I \cup \{g_{i+1}\}} \land (g_{i+1}x)|_{B} = \mathsf{C} \right\} \right|$$

(we allow I to be empty, then we take i = 0).

On the other hand, for any nonempty $I \subset J$ and $g \in I$ we have

$$\left|\mathcal{L}_{n}^{I}\right| \leq \left|\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{B}g}(X)\right| \left|\left\{x|_{\tilde{F}_{n} \sim \tilde{B}g} : x \in X \land x|_{\tilde{F}_{n}} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}^{I}\right\}\right|$$
$$\leq \left|\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{B}}(X)\right| \left|\left\{x|_{\tilde{F}_{n}} : x \in X \land x|_{\tilde{F}_{n}} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}^{I} \land (gx)|_{B} = \mathsf{C}\right\}\right|,$$

where the second inequality comes from the facts that X is D-strongly irreducible and that $|\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{B}g}(X)| = |\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{B}}(X)|$. Hence for any nonempty $I \subset J$ and any $g \in I$ we get

$$\left|\left\{x|_{\tilde{F}_n} : x \in X \land x|_{\tilde{F}_n} \in \mathcal{L}_n^I \land x|_{Bg} = \mathsf{C}\right\}\right| \ge \left|\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{B}}(X)\right|^{-1} \left|\mathcal{L}_n^I\right|.$$

From (3.2) and the above we obtain

(3.3)
$$\forall_{I=\{g_1,\dots,g_i\},\ i<|J|} \ \left| \mathcal{L}_n^I \right| \leq \left| \mathcal{L}_n^{I\cup\{g_{i+1}\}} \right| \left(1 - \left| L_{\tilde{B}}(X) \right|^{-1} \right).$$

Now, let us notice that $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{F}_n}(Y) \subset \mathcal{L}_n^{\emptyset}$. Therefore, by using (3.3)

$$\left|\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{F}_{n}}(Y)\right| \leq \left|\mathcal{L}_{n}^{\varnothing}\right| \leq \left|\mathcal{L}_{n}^{\{g_{1}\}}\right| \left(1 - \left|L_{\tilde{B}}(X)\right|^{-1}\right)$$

Inductively, by using (3.3) (|J| - 1) times more, we get

$$\left|\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{F}_{n}}(Y)\right| \leq \left|\mathcal{L}_{n}^{J}\right| \left(1 - \left|L_{\tilde{B}}(X)\right|^{-1}\right)^{|J|}$$
$$\leq \left|\mathcal{L}_{n}^{J}\right| \left(1 - \left|L_{\tilde{B}}(X)\right|^{-1}\right)^{\frac{d(P)}{2}|F_{n}|}.$$

The last inequality comes from (3.1) and the fact that $(1 - |L_{\tilde{B}}(X)|^{-1}) \in (0, 1)$. Hence we get

$$\frac{1}{|\tilde{F}_{n}|} \log \left| \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{F}_{n}}(Y) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|\tilde{F}_{n}|} \log \left| \mathcal{L}_{n}^{J} \right| + \frac{\frac{d(P)}{2} |F_{n}|}{|\tilde{B}F_{n}|} \log \left(1 - |L_{\tilde{B}}(X)|^{-1} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|\tilde{F}_{n}|} \log \left| \mathcal{L}_{n}^{J} \right| + \frac{\frac{d(P)}{2} |F_{n}|}{|\tilde{B}||F_{n}|} \log \left(1 - |L_{\tilde{B}}(X)|^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\tilde{F}_{n}|} \log \left| \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{F}_{n}}(X) \right| + \frac{\frac{d(P)}{2}}{|\tilde{B}|} \log \left(1 - |L_{\tilde{B}}(X)|^{-1} \right)$$

By letting n go to infinity (n was fixed, greater than N) we obtain

$$h(Y) \leq h(X) + \frac{\underline{d}(P)}{2|\tilde{B}|} \log(1 - |L_{\tilde{B}}(X)|^{-1}) < h(X).$$

Theorem 3.13. If X is D-strongly irreducible, then so is $X_H^{G/H}$.

Proof. Let $B_1, B_2 \,\subset G$ be finite and such that $DB_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$. Take any $x, y \in X_H^{G/H}$ and let $(x^{\gamma}), (y^{\gamma}) \in (X_H)^{G/H}$ be such that $x = \varphi((x^{\gamma}))$ and $y = \varphi((y^{\gamma}))$. Write $M = \{m_1, m_2, \ldots\}$. For any $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ define $S_i = B_1 \cap Hm_i$ and $T_i = B_2 \cap Hm_i$.

By the definition of φ for each i we have $(m_i x)|_{S_i m_i^{-1}} = x^{Hm_i}|_{S_i m_i^{-1}}$ and $(m_i y)|_{T_i m_i^{-1}} = y^{Hm_i}|_{T_i m_i^{-1}}$ (since S_i and T_i are subsets of Hm_i and H is normal, $S_i m_i^{-1}$ and $T_i m_i^{-1}$ are subsets of H). Moreover, there exist $x_i, y_i \in X$ such that $x^{Hm_i} = x_i|_H$ and $y^{Hm_i} = y_i|_H$. Since X is D-strongly irreducible and

$$DS_i m_i^{-1} \cap T_i m_i^{-1} \subset (DB_1 \cap B_2) m_i^{-1} = \emptyset$$

there exists $z_i \in X$ such that $z_i|_{S_im_i^{-1}} = x_i|_{S_im_i^{-1}}$ and $z_i|_{T_im_i^{-1}} = y_i|_{T_im_i^{-1}}$. By taking $z^{Hm_i} = z_i|_H$ for any i and defining $z = \varphi((z^{\gamma}))$ we get $z|_{S_i} = x|_{S_i}$ and $z|_{T_i} = y|_{T_i}$ for any i. Therefore $z|_{B_1} = |x_{B_1}|_{B_2}$ and $z|_{B_2} = |y_{B_2}$.

We are now ready to formulate the main theorem.

Theorem 3.14. If X is D-strongly irreducible and $h(X) = h(X_H)$, then $X = X_H^{G/H}$.

Proof. From Fact 3.6 we know that $X \subset X_H^{G/H}$. Assume that $X \not\subseteq X_H^{G/H}$. By Theorem 3.13 $X_H^{G/H}$ is *D*-strongly irreducible. Then by theorems 3.12 and 3.8 we get $h(X) < h(X_H)$.

Aknowledgements. First of all I want to thank my supervisor Bartosz Frej, who introduced me into the subject and was helping me during my work. I am also grateful to Tomasz Downarowicz for lots of useful advice.

References

- A. Johnson, S. Kass and K. Madden, Projectional entropy in higher dimensional shifts of finite type. Complex Systems, 17 (2007), 243–257.
- [2] M. Schraudner, Projectional entropy and the electrical wire shift. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, 26 (2010), 333–346.
- [3] E. Lindenstrauss and B. Weiss, Mean topological dimension, Israel J. Math., 115 (2000), 1–24.
- [4] T. Downarowicz, B. Frej, and P. P. Romagnoli, Shearer's inequality and infimum rule for Shannon entropy and topological entropy, Dynamics and numbers, Contemp. Math., vol. 669, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016, pp. 63–75.
- [5] B. Frej and D. Huczek, *Minimal models for actions of amenable groups*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 11 (2017), 567–583.

FACULTY OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF SCI-ENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAńSKIEGO 27, 50-370 WROCŁAW POLAND Email address: michal.prusik@pwr.edu.pl