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#### Abstract

The trace-dev-div inequality in $H^{s}$ controls the trace in the norm of $H^{s}$ by that of the deviatoric part plus the $H^{s-1}$ norm of the divergence of a quadratic tensor field different from the constant unit matrix. This is well known for $s=0$ and established for orders $0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1$ and arbitrary space dimension in this note. For mixed and least-squares finite element error analysis in linear elasticity, this inequality allows to establish robustness with respect to the Lamé parameter $\lambda$.
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1. Main Result and Comments. This short note establishes the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\operatorname{tdd}}^{-1}\|\operatorname{tr} \tau\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \leqslant\|\operatorname{dev} \tau\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}+\|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)} \quad \text { for all } \tau \in \Sigma . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This trace-deviator-divergence inequality (tr-dev-div for short) holds for any closed linear subspace $\Sigma$ of the Sobolev space $H^{s}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ for $0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1$ and a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with arbitrary dimension $2 \leqslant n \in \mathbb{N}$ provided $\Sigma \nexists \mathrm{id}$. It is clear that the constant unit matrix id violates (1.1) and has to be excluded; but $\Sigma$ is closed and so an entire neighbourhood (with respect to the norm in $H^{s}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ ) of id lies outside $\Sigma$.

Theorem 1 (tr-dev-div). If the closed linear subspace $\Sigma$ of $H^{s}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ for some $0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1$ does not include id, then (1.1) holds for a positive constant $C_{\mathrm{tdd}}$.

Some comments are in order before the proof of Theorem 1 follows below with Bogovskiǔ's right-inverse of the divergence operator $[6,7]$.

Remark 2 ( $\mathrm{s}=0$ ). The tr-dev-div inequality (1.1) is well established in the leastsquares and mixed finite element community for $s=0$ (when $H^{0}(\Omega)=L^{2}(\Omega)$ ) [2, 4]. It has been utilised for Stokes equations or linear elasticity to provide $\lambda$-robust error control.

REMARK 3 (symmetric variant). Linear elasticity involves symmetric tensors $\mathbb{S}=$ $\operatorname{sym} \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\Sigma$ may well be a closed linear subspace of $H^{s}(\Omega ; \mathbb{S})$ with $\mathrm{id} \notin \Sigma$ (because $H^{s}(\Omega ; \mathbb{S})$ is a closed subspace of $\left.H^{s}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)\right)$.

REMARK 4 ( $\mathrm{s}=1, \mathrm{n}=2$ ). The tr-dev-div inequality (1.1) leads to the $\lambda$-robust regularity estimate [3, Eq (11.2.33)] from [13, Thm A.1] of $\lambda\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}$ for pure homogeneous Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions on a convex polygon, $n=2$, $s=1$, and a constant Lamé parameter $\lambda>0$.

REMARK 5 ( $\lambda$-robust regularity). The paper [10] computes the singular functions for rather general (mixed) boundary conditions in $n=2$ dimensions and provides $\varepsilon(u)$ in $H^{s}(\Omega)$ for explicit $s>0$. Given positive material constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$, called the Lamé constants, the elastic stress reads $\sigma=2 \mu \varepsilon u+\lambda(\operatorname{div} u) \operatorname{id}$ and satisfies $\operatorname{div} \sigma=-f \in$ $L^{2}(\Omega)^{2}$ for the given source term in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{2}$. Therefore, the tr-dev-div inequality (1.1)

[^0]provides $\lambda \operatorname{div} u \in H^{s}(\Omega)$ and controls its norm $\lambda\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}$ with $\lambda$-independent constants and the $H^{s-1}$ norm of the source term.

Example 6 (convex domain and pure boundary condition for $n=2,3$ ). Given a source term $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{n}$ in a convex bounded domain $\Omega$ and suppose either pure Dirichlet conditions (resp. pure Neumann conditions) are prescribed with data $u_{D} \in$ $H^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega)^{n}$ (resp. compatible data $g \in H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)^{n}$ with $\left.\int_{\Omega} f d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} g d s=0\right)$. Then the weak solution $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)^{n}$ and the associated stress $\sigma=2 \mu \varepsilon u+\lambda(\operatorname{div} u) \operatorname{id} \in$ $L^{2}(\Omega ; \mathbb{S})$ satisfy $u \in H^{2}(\Omega)^{n}$ and $\sigma \in H^{1}(\Omega ; \mathbb{S})$ and

$$
\|u\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}+\lambda\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_{\mathrm{reg}}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|u_{D}\right\|_{H^{3 / 2}(\Omega)}\right)
$$

for the pure Dirichlet problem (resp. $\leqslant C_{\mathrm{reg}}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{H^{1 / 2}(\Omega)}\right)$ for the pure compatible Neumann problem). The point is that the constant $C_{\text {reg }}$ exclusively depends on $\Omega$ and $\mu$ but not on $\lambda$. (The proof follows from well known higher regularity for convex domains and Remark 5.)
2. Sobolev spaces by interpolation. Let $\widetilde{H}^{m}(\Omega):=H_{0}^{m}(\Omega):=\overline{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}$ be the closed subspace of $H^{m}(\Omega)$ for all non-negative integers $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. The Sobolev spaces for the negative integers follow by duality (with pivot space $L^{2}$ and pairing $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ )

$$
H^{-m}(\Omega):=\tilde{H}^{m}(\Omega)^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{H}^{-m}(\Omega):=H^{m}(\Omega)^{*} \quad \text { for } m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

Given the Sobolev spaces $\tilde{H}^{m}(\Omega)$ and $H^{m}(\Omega)$ for all integers $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define
$H^{r}(\Omega)=\left[H^{m}(\Omega), H^{m+1}(\Omega)\right]_{s} \quad$ and $\quad \tilde{H}^{r}(\Omega)=\left[\tilde{H}^{m}(\Omega), \tilde{H}^{m+1}(\Omega)\right]_{s} \quad$ for $r=m+s$
with $0<s<1$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by complex interpolation [1, 5, 9, 11, 12]. A real interpolation method could also be utilised and may lead to additional equivalence constants. The complex interpolation, after Calderón [5] and Lions [9], allows for equal norms on the dual of an interpolation space compared to the interpolation of the duals. We frequently utilise the symmetry $[X, Y]_{s}=[Y, X]_{1-s}$ and the duality theorem $\left([X, Y]_{s}\right)^{*}=\left[X^{*}, Y^{*}\right]_{s}$ with equal norms for reflexive spaces $X, Y$, cf. [1, Theorems 4.2.1(a), 4.3.1] and [1, Corollary 4.5.2], respectively.

We underline that $H^{s}(\Omega)=\widetilde{H}^{s}(\Omega)$ for $0 \leqslant s<1 / 2$ while, for $1 / 2 \leqslant s \leqslant 1, \widetilde{H}^{s}(\Omega)$ is a strict subspace of $H^{s}(\Omega)$ (with vanishing traces for $1 / 2<s \leqslant 1$ ). The definition of $\widetilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Omega)$ by interpolation results in the Lions-Magenes space $H_{00}^{1 / 2}(\Omega)$, a strict subset of $H_{0}^{1 / 2}(\Omega)=\overline{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}$ [8]. For instance, $H^{-1 / 2}(\Omega)$ is dual to $\widetilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Omega) \equiv H_{00}^{1 / 2}(\Omega)$. The norm in $H^{-1 / 2}(\Omega)$ arises in (1.1) for $s=1 / 2$ as the dual norm to $\widetilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Omega) \equiv$ $H_{00}^{1 / 2}(\Omega)$ which has a stronger than $H^{1 / 2}(\Omega)$. Hence (1.1) holds in particular when $\|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\Omega)}$ is confused with $\|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-1 / 2}(\Omega)}=\|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{H_{0}^{1 / 2}(\Omega)^{*}} \geqslant\|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\Omega)}$.
3. Gradient and divergence in $\tilde{H}^{r}(\Omega)$. The gradient $\nabla: H^{m}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{m-1}(\Omega)^{n}$ and its restriction $\widetilde{\nabla}:=\left.\nabla\right|_{\widetilde{H}^{m}(\Omega)}: \widetilde{H}^{m}(\Omega) \rightarrow \widetilde{H}^{m-1}(\Omega)^{n}$ as well as the divergence div : $H^{m}(\Omega)^{n} \rightarrow H^{m-1}(\Omega)$ and its restriction $\widetilde{\operatorname{div}}:=\left.\operatorname{div}\right|_{\widetilde{H}^{m}(\Omega)^{n}}: \widetilde{H}^{m}(\Omega)^{n} \rightarrow \widetilde{H}^{m-1}(\Omega)$ are well defined pointwise a.e. for any positive integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, those definitions provide linear and bounded operators

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
\tilde{\nabla} \in L\left(\widetilde{H}^{m}(\Omega) ; \widetilde{H}^{m-1}(\Omega)^{n}\right) & \text { and } & \widetilde{\operatorname{div}} \in L\left(\widetilde{H}^{m}(\Omega)^{n} ; \widetilde{H}^{m-1}(\Omega)\right), \\
\nabla \in L\left(H^{m}(\Omega) ; H^{m-1}(\Omega)^{n}\right) & \text { with dual } & \nabla^{*} \in L\left(\widetilde{H}^{1-m}(\Omega)^{n} ; \widetilde{H}^{-m}(\Omega)\right), \\
\operatorname{div} \in L\left(H^{m}(\Omega)^{n} ; H^{m-1}(\Omega)\right) & \text { with dual } & \operatorname{div}^{*} \in L\left(\widetilde{H}^{1-m}(\Omega) ; \widetilde{H}^{-m}(\Omega)^{n}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Integration by parts for $(\varphi, \Psi) \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \times C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})^{n}$,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \varphi \operatorname{div} \Psi d x+\int_{\Omega} \Psi \cdot \nabla \varphi d x=0
$$

and the density of $C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ in $H^{r}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $\tilde{H}^{r}(\Omega)$ justify the definition of

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}:=-\operatorname{div}^{*} \in L\left(\widetilde{H}^{1-m}(\Omega) ; \widetilde{H}^{-m}(\Omega)^{n}\right)
$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Integration by parts for $(\varphi, \Psi) \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) \times \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{n}$ justifies the definition of $\widetilde{\text { div }}:=-\nabla^{*} \in L\left(\widetilde{H}^{1-m}(\Omega)^{n} ; \widetilde{H}^{-m}(\Omega)\right)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In summary this defines

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\nabla} \in L\left(\widetilde{H}^{r}(\Omega) ; \tilde{H}^{r-1}(\Omega)^{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{\operatorname{div}} \in L\left(\widetilde{H}^{r}(\Omega)^{n} ; \widetilde{H}^{r-1}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any integer $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and thereafter complex interpolation defines the operators (3.1) for any real $r$. The norms of the resulting operators are controlled by interpolation: The operator norm of $\widetilde{\nabla}$ and $\widetilde{\text { div }}$ from (3.1) is at most $\sqrt{n}$ and 1, respectively, for $r=0$; while 1 and $\sqrt{n}$, respectively, for $r=1$. For the operator norms and $0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\|\widetilde{\nabla}\|_{L\left(\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega) ; \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)^{n}\right)} \leqslant n^{s / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad\|\widetilde{\operatorname{div}}\|_{L(\widetilde{H}}{ }^{1-s}(\Omega)^{n} ; \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)\right)<n^{(1-s) / 2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows by interpolation.
REmARK 7 (alternative Sobolev spaces). The extension of $\nabla: H^{s}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{s-1}(\Omega)^{n}$ for a general real $s$ is linear and bounded except for $s=1 / 2$ in the standard textbook [8, Thm 1.4.4.6]. This is not a contradiction to (3.2) for all real s because [8] utilises $H^{-1 / 2}(\Omega)$ as the topological dual of $H_{0}^{1 / 2}(\Omega)=H^{1 / 2}(\Omega)$ which gives $\tilde{H}^{-1 / 2}(\Omega)$.
4. Poincaré inequality in $H^{s}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ for $0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1$. This subsection investigates the constant $\|B\|_{s}$ in the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B\|_{s}^{-1}\|g\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \leqslant \sup _{v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{n} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} g \operatorname{div} v d x}{\|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)}} \leqslant n^{(1-s) / 2}\|g\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g \in H^{s}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$. Here and throughout this paper $L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)=L^{2}(\Omega) / \mathbb{R}$ abbreviates the Lebesgue functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ with integral zero over the domain. Since the supremum defines the norm $\|\nabla g\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)}$, (4.1) is a Poincaré inequality (sometimes called Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality) for $s=0$ and an important duality estimate for $s=1$ usually attributed to Nečas with applications to a proof of Korn's inequality.

The remaining parts of this subsection are devoted to the proof of (4.1) based on Bogovskiil's right-inverse of the divergence operator. The proof departs from duality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}=\sup _{f \in L_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} g f d x}{\|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}} \quad \text { for all } g \in H^{s}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $1 \in H^{s}(\Omega)$, the integral mean $\bar{f}=\langle f, 1\rangle /|\Omega|$ is well defined for $f \in \tilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)$ and the continuous linear operator $f \mapsto f-\bar{f}$ has a norm at most one in $L\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and in $L\left(\widetilde{H}^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ and so also in $L\left(\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)\right)$, i.e., $\|f-\bar{f}\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)} \leqslant\|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}$ for $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. This provides the inequality in the dual norm

$$
\|g\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}=\sup _{f \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\langle f, g\rangle}{\|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}} \leqslant \sup _{f \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\langle f-\bar{f}, g\rangle}{\|f-\bar{f}\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}}
$$

with $\langle\bar{f}, g\rangle=0$ in the last step. The upper bound allows the substitution of $f-\bar{f}$ for $f \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)$ by $f \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ and therefore provides " $\leqslant$ " in (4.2); its converse " $\geqslant$ " is obvious.

Bogovskiì's right-inverse of the divergence operator [6] serves as a standard tool in the analysis of the Stokes equations and [7, Thm 2.5] provides the existence of $B \in L\left(\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega) ; \widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)^{n}\right.$ with operator norm $\|B\|_{s}$ and the right-inverse property

$$
v:=B f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{n} \quad \text { satisfies } \operatorname{div} v=f \quad \text { for all } f \in L_{0}^{2}(\Omega) .
$$

This enables the substitution of $f \in L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ in the supremum of (4.2) by $\operatorname{div} v=f$ for $v:=B f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{n}$. This and $\|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)} \leqslant\|B\|_{s}\|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}$ reveals the first inequality in (4.1). The second follows from $\int_{\Omega} g \operatorname{div} v d x \leqslant\|\widetilde{\operatorname{div}} v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}\|g\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}$ and $\|\widetilde{\operatorname{div}} v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)} \leqslant n^{(1-s) / 2}\|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)}$ by (3.2).
5. Proof of tr-dev-div (1.1) for a particular $\Sigma$. We select $\tau \in H_{\operatorname{tr} 0}^{s}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right):=$ $\left\{\sigma \in H^{s}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right): \operatorname{tr} \sigma \in L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right\}$, a closed subspace of $H^{s}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$. Then $g:=\operatorname{tr} \tau \in L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ and (4.1) motivates the analysis of $\int_{\Omega} g \operatorname{div} v d x$ for some $v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{n}$. Since $n^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \tau \operatorname{id}=\tau-\operatorname{dev} \tau$ a.e. in $\Omega$, some algebra reveals

$$
n^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{tr} \tau \operatorname{div} v d x=\int_{\Omega} \tau: D v d x-\int_{\Omega}(\operatorname{dev} \tau): D v d x
$$

with the functional matrix $D v \in L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ that consists of the row-wise action of the gradient $\tilde{\nabla}$. Recall $v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{n}$ and infer

$$
\int_{\Omega} \tau: D v d x=-\langle\operatorname{div} \tau, v\rangle \leqslant\|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)} .
$$

Since $D v$ is the row-wise application of $\tilde{\nabla},(3.2)$ provides $\|D v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)} \leqslant n^{s / 2}\|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)}$. Thus

$$
-\int_{\Omega}(\operatorname{dev} \tau): D v d x \leqslant\|\operatorname{dev} \tau\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}\|D v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)} \leqslant n^{s / 2}\|\operatorname{dev} \tau\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)} .
$$

Altogether, for any $v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{n}$ with $\|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)}=1$,

$$
n^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{tr} \tau \operatorname{div} v d x \leqslant n^{s / 2}\|\operatorname{dev} \tau\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}+\|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)}
$$

This and the first estimate in (4.1) conclude the proof of (1.1) for $\Sigma=H_{\operatorname{tr0}}^{s}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ with the constant $C_{\text {tdd }}=n^{1+s / 2}\|B\|_{s}$.
6. Proof of tr-dev-div (1.1) for general $\Sigma$. Recall $\Sigma$ is a closed linear subspace of $H^{s}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ that does not include the identity id. Suppose the trace-dev-div estimate (1.1) fails and so we find some sequence $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}, \ldots$ in $\Sigma \subset H^{s}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ with (for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ )

$$
\left\|\operatorname{tr} \tau_{j}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\operatorname{dev} \tau_{j}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}=0=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\operatorname{div} \tau_{j}\right\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)} .
$$

Without loss of generality suppose that the integral mean $\mu_{j}:=|\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{tr} \tau_{j} d x \geqslant 0$ is non-negative. Owing to the previous subsection, $\dot{\tau}_{j}:=\tau_{j}-\mu_{j} n^{-1} \mathrm{id} \in H_{\mathrm{tr} 0}^{s}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$
satisfies (1.1). Since the divergence and the deviator part of $\tau_{j}$ and $\dot{\tau}_{j}$ are identical, we infer

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\operatorname{tr} \dot{\tau}_{j}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}=0<1=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\operatorname{tr} \tau_{j}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}
$$

whence $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{j}\|1\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}=1$. In other words, $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{j}=\|1\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{-1} n^{-1} \mathrm{id}$. Since $\Sigma \ni \tau_{j}$ is closed, it contains the limit $\|1\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{-1} n^{-1} \mathrm{id} \in \Sigma$ and the vector space $\Sigma$ contains id.
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