arXiv:2403.01291v1 [math.NA] 2 Mar 2024

A FRACTIONAL-ORDER TRACE-DEV-DIV INEQUALITY

C. CARSTENSEN* AND N. HEUER[†]

Abstract. The trace-dev-div inequality in H^s controls the trace in the norm of H^s by that of the deviatoric part plus the H^{s-1} norm of the divergence of a quadratic tensor field different from the constant unit matrix. This is well known for s = 0 and established for orders $0 \le s \le 1$ and arbitrary space dimension in this note. For mixed and least-squares finite element error analysis in linear elasticity, this inequality allows to establish robustness with respect to the Lamé parameter λ .

Key words. regularity, linear elasticity, λ -robustness, trace-deviatoric-divergence inequality, fractional Sobolev spaces

AMS subject classifications. 35Q74, 35A23, 46E35, 35Q74, 74B05

1. Main Result and Comments. This short note establishes the estimate

(1.1)
$$C_{\mathrm{tdd}}^{-1} \|\mathrm{tr}\,\tau\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq \|\mathrm{dev}\,\tau\|_{H^s(\Omega)} + \|\mathrm{div}\,\tau\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \Sigma$$

This trace-deviator-divergence inequality (tr-dev-div for short) holds for any closed linear subspace Σ of the Sobolev space $H^s(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with arbitrary dimension $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ provided $\Sigma \not \Rightarrow$ id. It is clear that the constant unit matrix id violates (1.1) and has to be excluded; but Σ is closed and so an entire neighbourhood (with respect to the norm in $H^s(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$) of id lies outside Σ .

THEOREM 1 (tr-dev-div). If the closed linear subspace Σ of $H^s(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ for some $0 \leq s \leq 1$ does not include id, then (1.1) holds for a positive constant C_{tdd} .

Some comments are in order before the proof of Theorem 1 follows below with Bogovskii's right-inverse of the divergence operator [6, 7].

REMARK 2 (s=0). The tr-dev-div inequality (1.1) is well established in the leastsquares and mixed finite element community for s = 0 (when $H^0(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)$) [2, 4]. It has been utilised for Stokes equations or linear elasticity to provide λ -robust error control.

REMARK 3 (symmetric variant). Linear elasticity involves symmetric tensors $\mathbb{S} =$ sym $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and Σ may well be a closed linear subspace of $H^s(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$ with $id \notin \Sigma$ (because $H^s(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$ is a closed subspace of $H^s(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$).

REMARK 4 (s=1, n=2). The tr-dev-div inequality (1.1) leads to the λ -robust regularity estimate [3, Eq (11.2.33)] from [13, Thm A.1] of $\lambda \| \operatorname{div} u \|_{H^s(\Omega)}$ for pure homogeneous Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions on a convex polygon, n = 2, s = 1, and a constant Lamé parameter $\lambda > 0$.

REMARK 5 (λ -robust regularity). The paper [10] computes the singular functions for rather general (mixed) boundary conditions in n = 2 dimensions and provides $\varepsilon(u)$ in $H^s(\Omega)$ for explicit s > 0. Given positive material constants λ and μ , called the Lamé constants, the elastic stress reads $\sigma = 2\mu\varepsilon u + \lambda(\operatorname{div} u)$ id and satisfies $\operatorname{div} \sigma = -f \in$ $L^2(\Omega)^2$ for the given source term in $L^2(\Omega)^2$. Therefore, the tr-dev-div inequality (1.1)

^{*}Dep. of Maths, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany; cc@math.hu-berlin.de

[†]Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Avenida Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile; nheuer@mat.uc.cl. This author was supported by ANID-Chile through FONDECYT project 1230013.

provides $\lambda \operatorname{div} u \in H^s(\Omega)$ and controls its norm $\lambda \| \operatorname{div} u \|_{H^s(\Omega)}$ with λ -independent constants and the H^{s-1} norm of the source term.

EXAMPLE 6 (convex domain and pure boundary condition for n = 2, 3). Given a source term $f \in L^2(\Omega)^n$ in a convex bounded domain Ω and suppose either pure Dirichlet conditions (resp. pure Neumann conditions) are prescribed with data $u_D \in$ $H^{3/2}(\partial \Omega)^n$ (resp. compatible data $g \in H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega)^n$ with $\int_{\Omega} f \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} g \, ds = 0$). Then the weak solution $u \in H^1(\Omega)^n$ and the associated stress $\sigma = 2\mu\varepsilon u + \lambda(\operatorname{div} u)\operatorname{id} \in$ $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$ satisfy $u \in H^2(\Omega)^n$ and $\sigma \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$ and

$$\|u\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\operatorname{reg}}(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \|u_{D}\|_{H^{3/2}(\Omega)})$$

for the pure Dirichlet problem (resp. $\leq C_{reg}(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)})$ for the pure compatible Neumann problem). The point is that the constant C_{reg} exclusively depends on Ω and μ but not on λ . (The proof follows from well known higher regularity for convex domains and Remark 5.)

2. Sobolev spaces by interpolation. Let $\widetilde{H}^m(\Omega) := H_0^m(\Omega) := \overline{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}$ be the closed subspace of $H^m(\Omega)$ for all non-negative integers $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The Sobolev spaces for the negative integers follow by duality (with pivot space L^2 and pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$)

$$H^{-m}(\Omega) := \widetilde{H}^m(\Omega)^*$$
 and $\widetilde{H}^{-m}(\Omega) := H^m(\Omega)^*$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$

Given the Sobolev spaces $\widetilde{H}^m(\Omega)$ and $H^m(\Omega)$ for all integers $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$H^{r}(\Omega) = [H^{m}(\Omega), H^{m+1}(\Omega)]_{s} \text{ and } \widetilde{H}^{r}(\Omega) = [\widetilde{H}^{m}(\Omega), \widetilde{H}^{m+1}(\Omega)]_{s} \text{ for } r = m + s$$

with 0 < s < 1 and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by complex interpolation [1, 5, 9, 11, 12]. A real interpolation method could also be utilised and may lead to additional equivalence constants. The complex interpolation, after Calderón [5] and Lions [9], allows for equal norms on the dual of an interpolation space compared to the interpolation of the duals. We frequently utilise the symmetry $[X, Y]_s = [Y, X]_{1-s}$ and the duality theorem $([X, Y]_s)^* = [X^*, Y^*]_s$ with equal norms for reflexive spaces X, Y, cf. [1, Theorems 4.2.1(a), 4.3.1] and [1, Corollary 4.5.2], respectively.

We underline that $H^s(\Omega) = \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ for $0 \leq s < 1/2$ while, for $1/2 \leq s \leq 1$, $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ is a strict subspace of $H^s(\Omega)$ (with vanishing traces for $1/2 < s \leq 1$). The definition of $\tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ by interpolation results in the Lions–Magenes space $H_{00}^{1/2}(\Omega)$, a strict subset of $H_0^{1/2}(\Omega) = \overline{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}$ [8]. For instance, $H^{-1/2}(\Omega)$ is dual to $\tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Omega) \equiv H_{00}^{1/2}(\Omega)$. The norm in $H^{-1/2}(\Omega)$ arises in (1.1) for s = 1/2 as the dual norm to $\tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Omega) \equiv H_{00}^{1/2}(\Omega) \equiv H_{00}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ which has a stronger than $H^{1/2}(\Omega)$. Hence (1.1) holds in particular when $\|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Omega)}$ is confused with $\|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Omega)} = \|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{H_0^{1/2}(\Omega)^*} \ge \|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Omega)}$.

3. Gradient and divergence in $\widetilde{H}^r(\Omega)$. The gradient $\nabla : H^m(\Omega) \to H^{m-1}(\Omega)^n$ and its restriction $\widetilde{\nabla} := \nabla|_{\widetilde{H}^m(\Omega)} : \widetilde{H}^m(\Omega) \to \widetilde{H}^{m-1}(\Omega)^n$ as well as the divergence div : $H^m(\Omega)^n \to H^{m-1}(\Omega)$ and its restriction $\operatorname{div} := \operatorname{div}|_{\widetilde{H}^m(\Omega)^n} : \widetilde{H}^m(\Omega)^n \to \widetilde{H}^{m-1}(\Omega)$ are well defined pointwise a.e. for any positive integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, those definitions provide linear and bounded operators

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\nabla} &\in L(\widetilde{H}^m(\Omega); \widetilde{H}^{m-1}(\Omega)^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div} \in L(\widetilde{H}^m(\Omega)^n; \widetilde{H}^{m-1}(\Omega)), \\ \nabla &\in L(H^m(\Omega); H^{m-1}(\Omega)^n) \quad \text{with dual} \quad \nabla^* \in L(\widetilde{H}^{1-m}(\Omega)^n; \widetilde{H}^{-m}(\Omega)), \\ \operatorname{div} &\in L(H^m(\Omega)^n; H^{m-1}(\Omega)) \quad \text{with dual} \quad \operatorname{div}^* \in L(\widetilde{H}^{1-m}(\Omega); \widetilde{H}^{-m}(\Omega)^n). \end{split}$$

Integration by parts for $(\varphi, \Psi) \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \times C^{\infty}_{c}(\overline{\Omega})^{n}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi \operatorname{div} \Psi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \Psi \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = 0,$$

and the density of $C_{\rm c}^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ in $H^r(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $\widetilde{H}^r(\Omega)$ justify the definition of

$$\widetilde{\nabla} := -\mathrm{div}^* \in L(\widetilde{H}^{1-m}(\Omega); \widetilde{H}^{-m}(\Omega)^n)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Integration by parts for $(\varphi, \Psi) \in C_c^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) \times \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^n$ justifies the definition of $\widetilde{\operatorname{div}} := -\nabla^* \in L(\widetilde{H}^{1-m}(\Omega)^n; \widetilde{H}^{-m}(\Omega))$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In summary this defines

(3.1)
$$\widetilde{\nabla} \in L(\widetilde{H}^r(\Omega); \widetilde{H}^{r-1}(\Omega)^n) \text{ and } \widetilde{\operatorname{div}} \in L(\widetilde{H}^r(\Omega)^n; \widetilde{H}^{r-1}(\Omega))$$

for any integer $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and thereafter complex interpolation defines the operators (3.1) for any real r. The norms of the resulting operators are controlled by interpolation: The operator norm of $\widetilde{\nabla}$ and $\widetilde{\operatorname{div}}$ from (3.1) is at most \sqrt{n} and 1, respectively, for r = 0; while 1 and \sqrt{n} , respectively, for r = 1. For the operator norms and $0 \leq s \leq 1$,

$$(3.2) \qquad \|\widetilde{\nabla}\|_{L(\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega);\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)^n)} \leq n^{s/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\widetilde{\operatorname{div}}\|_{L(\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)^n;\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega))} \leq n^{(1-s)/2}$$

follows by interpolation.

REMARK 7 (alternative Sobolev spaces). The extension of $\nabla : H^s(\Omega) \to H^{s-1}(\Omega)^n$ for a general real s is linear and bounded except for s = 1/2 in the standard textbook [8, Thm 1.4.4.6]. This is not a contradiction to (3.2) for all real s because [8] utilises $H^{-1/2}(\Omega)$ as the topological dual of $H_0^{1/2}(\Omega) = H^{1/2}(\Omega)$ which gives $\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Omega)$.

4. Poincaré inequality in $H^s(\Omega) \cap L^2_0(\Omega)$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$. This subsection investigates the constant $||B||_s$ in the estimate

(4.1)
$$\|B\|_{s}^{-1} \|g\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \leq \sup_{v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{n} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} g \operatorname{div} v \, dx}{\|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)}} \leq n^{(1-s)/2} \|g\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}$$

for all $g \in H^s(\Omega) \cap L^2_0(\Omega)$. Here and throughout this paper $L^2_0(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}$ abbreviates the Lebesgue functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ with integral zero over the domain. Since the supremum defines the norm $\|\nabla g\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)}$, (4.1) is a Poincaré inequality (sometimes called Poincaré–Friedrichs inequality) for s = 0 and an important duality estimate for s = 1 usually attributed to Nečas with applications to a proof of Korn's inequality.

The remaining parts of this subsection are devoted to the proof of (4.1) based on Bogovskii's right-inverse of the divergence operator. The proof departs from duality

(4.2)
$$\|g\|_{H^s(\Omega)} = \sup_{f \in L^2_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} g f \, dx}{\|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}} \quad \text{for all } g \in H^s(\Omega) \cap L^2_0(\Omega).$$

Since $1 \in H^s(\Omega)$, the integral mean $\overline{f} = \langle f, 1 \rangle / |\Omega|$ is well defined for $f \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)$ and the continuous linear operator $f \mapsto f - \overline{f}$ has a norm at most one in $L(L^2(\Omega))$ and in $L(\widetilde{H}^{-1}(\Omega))$ and so also in $L(\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega))$, i.e., $\|f - \overline{f}\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}$ for $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. This provides the inequality in the dual norm

$$\|g\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} = \sup_{f \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle f, g \rangle}{\|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}} \leqslant \sup_{f \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle f - \overline{f}, g \rangle}{\|f - \overline{f}\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}}$$

with $\langle \overline{f}, g \rangle = 0$ in the last step. The upper bound allows the substitution of $f - \overline{f}$ for $f \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)$ by $f \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega) \cap L^2_0(\Omega)$ and therefore provides " \leq " in (4.2); its converse " \geq " is obvious.

Bogovskii's right-inverse of the divergence operator [6] serves as a standard tool in the analysis of the Stokes equations and [7, Thm 2.5] provides the existence of $B \in L(\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega); \widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)^n$ with operator norm $||B||_s$ and the right-inverse property

$$v := Bf \in H_0^1(\Omega)^n$$
 satisfies div $v = f$ for all $f \in L_0^2(\Omega)$

This enables the substitution of $f \in L^2_0(\Omega)$ in the supremum of (4.2) by div v = ffor $v := Bf \in H^1_0(\Omega)^n$. This and $\|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)} \leq \|B\|_s \|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}$ reveals the first inequality in (4.1). The second follows from $\int_{\Omega} g \operatorname{div} v \, dx \leq \|\operatorname{div} v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)} \|g\|_{H^s(\Omega)}$ and $\|\operatorname{div} v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)} \leq n^{(1-s)/2} \|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)}$ by (3.2).

5. Proof of tr-dev-div (1.1) for a particular Σ . We select $\tau \in H^s_{\mathrm{tr}0}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) := \{\sigma \in H^s(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) : \operatorname{tr} \sigma \in L^2_0(\Omega)\}$, a closed subspace of $H^s(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$. Then $g := \operatorname{tr} \tau \in L^2_0(\Omega)$ and (4.1) motivates the analysis of $\int_{\Omega} g \operatorname{div} v \, dx$ for some $v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^n$. Since $n^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \tau \operatorname{id} = \tau - \operatorname{dev} \tau$ a.e. in Ω , some algebra reveals

$$n^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{tr} \tau \operatorname{div} v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \tau : Dv \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{dev} \tau) : Dv \, dx$$

with the functional matrix $Dv \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ that consists of the row-wise action of the gradient $\widetilde{\nabla}$. Recall $v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^n$ and infer

$$\int_{\Omega} \tau : Dv \, dx = -\langle \operatorname{div} \tau, v \rangle \, \leqslant \, \| \operatorname{div} \tau \|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)} \| v \|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)}$$

Since Dv is the row-wise application of $\widetilde{\nabla}$, (3.2) provides $\|Dv\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)} \leq n^{s/2} \|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)}$. Thus

$$-\int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{dev} \tau) : Dv \, dx \leq \|\operatorname{dev} \tau\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \|Dv\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)} \leq n^{s/2} \|\operatorname{dev} \tau\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)}$$

Altogether, for any $v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^n$ with $||v||_{\widetilde{H}^{1-s}(\Omega)} = 1$,

$$n^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{tr} \tau \operatorname{div} v \, dx \leqslant n^{s/2} \, \|\operatorname{dev} \tau\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)}.$$

This and the first estimate in (4.1) conclude the proof of (1.1) for $\Sigma = H^s_{tr0}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ with the constant $C_{tdd} = n^{1+s/2} \|B\|_s$.

6. Proof of tr-dev-div (1.1) for general Σ . Recall Σ is a closed linear subspace of $H^s(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ that does not include the identity id. Suppose the trace-dev-div estimate (1.1) fails and so we find some sequence $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \ldots$ in $\Sigma \subset H^s(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ with (for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$)

$$\|\operatorname{tr} \tau_j\|_{H^s(\Omega)} = 1$$
 and $\lim_{j \to \infty} \|\operatorname{dev} \tau_j\|_{H^s(\Omega)} = 0 = \lim_{j \to \infty} \|\operatorname{div} \tau_j\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)}$.

Without loss of generality suppose that the integral mean $\mu_j := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{tr} \tau_j \, dx \ge 0$ is non-negative. Owing to the previous subsection, $\dot{\tau}_j := \tau_j - \mu_j n^{-1} \operatorname{id} \in H^s_{\operatorname{tr}0}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ satisfies (1.1). Since the divergence and the deviator part of τ_j and $\dot{\tau}_j$ are identical, we infer

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \|\operatorname{tr} \dot{\tau}_j\|_{H^s(\Omega)} = 0 < 1 = \lim_{j \to \infty} \|\operatorname{tr} \tau_j\|_{H^s(\Omega)},$$

whence $\lim_{j\to\infty} \mu_j \|1\|_{H^s(\Omega)} = 1$. In other words, $\lim_{j\to\infty} \tau_j = \|1\|_{H^s(\Omega)}^{-1} n^{-1}$ id. Since $\Sigma \ni \tau_j$ is closed, it contains the limit $\|1\|_{H^s(\Omega)}^{-1} n^{-1}$ id $\in \Sigma$ and the vector space Σ contains id.

REFERENCES

- Jöran Bergh and Jörgen Löfström, Interpolation spaces. An introduction, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. No. 223, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [2] Daniele Boffi, Franco Brezzi, and Michel Fortin, Mixed finite element methods and applications, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, vol. 44, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [3] Susanne C. Brenner and L. Ridgway Scott, The mathematical theory of finite element methods, third ed., Texts in Applied Mathematics, vol. 15, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [4] Zhiqiang Cai and Gerhard Starke, First-order system least squares for the stress-displacement formulation: linear elasticity, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 41 (2003), no. 2, 715–730.
- [5] Alberto Pedro Calderón, Intermediate spaces and interpolation, Studia Math. (Ser. Specjalna) Zeszyt 1 (1963), 31–34, Proceedings of the Conference on functional analysis, Warsaw, September 4–10, 1960.
- [6] Martin Costabel and Alan McIntosh, On Bogovskiĭ and regularized Poincaré integral operators for de Rham complexes on Lipschitz domains, Math. Z. 265 (2010), 297–320.
- [7] Matthias Geißert, Horst Heck, and Matthias Hieber, On the equation div u = g and Bogovskii's operator in Sobolev spaces of negative order, Partial differential equations and functional analysis, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 168, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006, pp. 113–121.
- [8] Pierre Grisvard, Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains, Pitman Publishing Inc., Boston, 1985.
- [9] Jacques-Louis Lions, Une construction d'espaces d'interpolation, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 251 (1960), 1853–1855.
- [10] Andreas Rössle, Corner singularities and regularity of weak solutions for the two-dimensional Lamé equations on domains with angular corners, J. Elasticity 60 (2000), 57–75.
- [11] Luc Tartar, An introduction to Sobolev spaces and interpolation spaces, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, vol. 3, Springer, Berlin; UMI, Bologna, 2007.
- [12] Hans Triebel, Interpolation theory, functions spaces, differential operators, Johann Ambrosius Barth Verlag, Heidelberg, Leipzig, 1995.
- [13] Michael Vogelius, An analysis of the p-version of the finite element method for nearly incompressible materials. Uniformly valid, optimal error estimates, Numer. Math. 41 (1983), no. 1, 39–53.