# ON THE (LOCAL) LIFTING PROPERTY

DOMINIC ENDERS, TATIANA SHULMAN

ABSTRACT. The (Local) Lifting Property ((L)LP) is introduced by Kirchberg and deals with lifting completely positive maps. We give a characterization of the (L)LP in terms of lifting \*-homomorphisms. We use it to prove that if A and B have the LP and F is their finite-dimensional C\*-subalgebra, then  $A *_F B$  has the LP. This answers a question of Ozawa [31].

We prove that Exel's soft tori have the LP. As a consequence we obtain that  $C^*(F_n \times F_n)$  is inductive limit of RFD C\*-algebras with the LP.

We prove that for a class of C\*-algebras including  $C^*(F_n \times F_n)$  and all contractible C\*-algebras, the LLP is equivalent to Ext being a group.

As byproduct of methods developed in the paper we generalize Kirchberg's theorem about extensions with the WEP, give short proofs of several, old and new, facts about soft tori, new unified proofs of Li and Shen's characterization of RFD property of free products amalgamated over a finite-dimensional subalgebra and Blackadar's characterization of semiprojectivity of them.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The celebrated Choi-Effros Theorem [11] states that if A is a nuclear C\*-algebra, then any contractive completely positive map from A to a quotient C\*-algebra admits a contractive completely positive lifting:

$$A \xrightarrow{B} B/I$$

In his outstanding paper [25] Kirchberg introduced the (Local) Lifting Property ((L)LP) that requires, for a C\*-algebra A, any c.c.p. map from A to a quotient C\*-algebra to lift (locally) to a c.c.p. map (see the section Preliminaries for precise definitions). The (L)LP has deep connections with tensor products and the weak expectation property of C\*-algebras ([25], [35]) and is one of key ingredients in the proving the equivalence of several fundamental conjectures, including Connes Embedding Problem and Tsirelson's problem ([25], [33] and references therein).

Despite of the fact that the properties LP and LLP are of central importance, there are not many examples of C\*-algebras with the (L)LP outside the class of nuclear C\*-algebras. In [25] Kirchberg proved that the (L)LP is preserved under certain operations, e.g. both LP and LLP are closed under tensoring with nuclear C\*-algebras, and the LLP is closed under extensions. Boca proved that the LP is closed under free products [7] and Pisier proved the same for the LLP [34]. The latter result was generalized by Ozawa who showed that the LLP is closed under an amalgamated free product over a finite dimensional C\*-subalgebra [31]. Ozawa states that it is not known whether the LP is preserved under an amalgamated free product over a finite dimensional C\*-subalgebra [31]. In this paper we further study the (L)LP. In section 3 we give a characterization of the (L)LP in terms of lifting \*-homomorphisms (here by lifting of a \*-homomorphism we mean lifting it to a \*-homomorphism). In general \*-homomorphisms lift more rare than ccp maps. A C\*-algebra A such that any \*-homomorphism from A to a quotient C\*-algebra lifts is called *projective*, and projectivity is a very strong and therefore rare property [27]. That for liftability of ccp maps it is sufficient to lift \*-homomorphisms was observed already in the proof that  $C^*(F_n)$  has the LP ([9, Th. 13.1.3]). This observation was stated explicitly in [13, Prop. 6.6] and [12, Cor. 2.9]. Here we prove that to be able to lift ccp maps, a certain lifting condition for \*-homomorphisms is not only sufficient but also necessary.

**Theorem** Let A be separable. The following are equivalent:

(i) A has the LP;

(ii) for any  $\sigma$ -unital C\*-algebra B, its ideal I and a \*-homomorphism  $f : A \to B/I$  there is a \*-homomorphism  $g : A \to M(B/I \otimes K)$  such that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a \*-homomorphism  $A \to M(B \otimes K)$ ;

(iii) for any  $\sigma$ -untal C\*-algebra B, its ideal I and a \*-homomorphism  $f : A \to B/I$  there is a \*-homomorphism  $g : A \to M(B/I \otimes K)$  such that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a ccp map  $A \to M(B \otimes K)$ .

A reformulation of the LLP in terms of lifting \*-homomorphisms is also given (Corollary 3.3).

The result of Boca and in separable case also the result of Pisier on free products follow from these characterizations immediately. Our characterization of the LP is also the main tool that allows us to settle the aforementioned question about free products amalgamted over a finite-dimensional C\*-subalgebra.

**Theorem** Let F be a finite-dimensional C<sup>\*</sup>-subalgebra of A and B. If A and B have the LP, then  $A *_F B$  has the LP.

This theorem is proved in section 4. As a consequence we obtain that finite tree products with finite edge groups and in particular finitely generated virtually free groups have full group C\*-algebras with the LP (Corollary 4.6). Our technique for amalgamated free products applies also to some other lifting properties. We give a new proof of Blackadar's result stating that semiprojectivity passes to free products amalgamated over a finite-dimensional subalgebra (Corollary 4.9). We give a new proof of Li and Shen's characterization of when unital free products amalgamated over a finite-dimensional subalgebra are RFD (Theorem 4.13). We also obtain a characterization in the non-unital case (Theorem 4.14).

In section 5 we prove that Exel's soft tori have the LP (**Theorem 5.5**). The soft torus  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon}$  is the universal C\*-algebra generated by two unitaries commuting up to  $\epsilon$ :

 $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon} = C^* \langle u, v \mid u \text{ and } v \text{ are unitaries and } ||[u, v]|| \le \epsilon \rangle.$ 

Soft tori play an important role in the study of almost commuting matrices and operators, their properties were extensively studied. Here we develop a simple method which allows first of all to prove the LP and at the same time to give a unified proof of some of the known results, and obtain some new properties. Namely in section 6 we give a short proof of Exel's result [20] that the soft tori form a continuous field (**Theorem 6.4**), Eilers and Exel' result [17] that soft tori

are RFD (**Theorem 6.1**), we show that soft tori are very flexibly stable in the sense of Becker and Lyubotzky (**Proposition 6.9**) and we strengthten Exel's result [20] on \*-strong dilated perturbations of unitary pairs (**Theorem 6.5**).

The full group C\*-algebra  $C^*(F_2 \times F_2)$  plays an important role in the C\*-algebra theory. The Connes Embeding Problem is equivalent to the question of whether or not  $C^*(F_2 \times F_2)$  is RFD. Whether  $C^*(F_2 \times F_2)$  has the (L)LP is an outstanding open question. Using the result of section 5 we show that  $C^*(F_2 \times F_2)$  is inductive limit of RFD C\*-algebras with the LP (**Proposition 6.10**).

Section 7 is devoted to the semigroup Ext of extensions by compact operators. The semigroup Ext was introduced in the celebrated work of Brown-Douglas-Fillmore [8]. The first example of a C\*-algebra A such that Ext(A) is not a group was constructed by J. Anderson [1]. Since then more examples were found but still there is no clear understanding of when Ext is a group. It is known that the LLP implies that Ext is a group and it is not known whether these properties are equivalent ([31, p.12]). In this section we prove that for an interesting class of C\*-algebras, including  $C^*(F_n \times F_n)$  and all contractible C\*-algebras, they are equivalent.

**Theorem** Suppose A is an inductive limit, with surjective connecting maps, of separable C\*-algebras that are RFD and have the LP (e.g.  $A = C^*(F_n \times F_n)$  or A is any contractible C\*-algebra). Then A has the LLP if and only if Ext(A) is a group.

Kirchberg proved that a separable C\*-algebra A has the LLP if and only if Ext(cone(A)) is a group [25]. Since cone(A) has the LLP if and only if A has, the theorem above generalizes Kirchberg's theorem.

As mentioned above it is not known whether  $C^*(F_2 \times F_2)$  has the LLP, but now we can say that the problem is equivalent to the question of whether  $Ext(C^*(F_2 \times F_2))$  is a group.

The last section of the paper contains miscellaneous results:

1) First, we give a generalization of Kirchberg's theorem on cones [9, Th. 13.4.1] that says that if A is a separable QWEP C\*-algebra, then cone(A) has a quasidiagonal extension with the WEP. Recall that a C\*-algebra is said to have the weak expectation property (WEP) if there exists a u.c.p. map  $\Phi : B(H_u) \to A^{**}$  such that  $\Phi(a) = a$  for all  $a \in A$ , where  $A \subset A^{**} \subset B(H_u)$  is the universal representation of A. A C\*-algebra is called QWEP if it is a quotient of a C\*-algebra with the WEP. The Connes Embdedding Problem is equivalent to the statement that each separable C\*-algebra is QWEP ([25]).

**Theorem** Let A be separable contractible (or, more generally, an inductive limit, with surjective connecting maps, of RFD C\*-algebras that have the LP) with the QWEP. Then there exists a quasidiagonal extension

$$0 \to K \to B \to A \to 0$$

such that B has the WEP.

Since A is QWEP if and only if cone(A) is QWEP, Kirchberg's theorem on cones is a particular case of the theorem above.

2) Here we give a new proof to the fact that the class of C\*-algebras with the LP is closed under crossed products with amenable groups which was proved by Buss, Echterhoff and Willett [10, Th. 7.4].

3) An extremely useful result in the theory of c.p. liftings is Arveson's theorem that says that the set of liftable ccp maps is closed in the pointwise operator norm topology [2]. In **Theorem 8.6** we give a generalization, to the strict topology, of Arveson's result, which hopefully might turn out to be useful.

Acknowledgments. We thank Alcides Buss for informing us about [10, Th. 7.4] and for comments on the first version of this work. T.S. was partially supported by a grant from the Swedish Research Council.

### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** Let A be a C\*-algebra, I be an ideal<sup>1</sup> in a C\*-algebra B,  $q: B \to B/I$  be the quotient map. We say that ccp map  $\phi: A \to B/I$  is liftable if there exists a ccp map  $\psi: A \to B$  such that  $\pi \circ \psi = \phi$ . We say that  $\phi$  is locally liftable if for any finite-dimensional operator system  $E \subset A$ , there exists a ccp map  $\psi: E \to B$  such that  $\pi \circ \psi = \phi|_E$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A unital  $C^*$ -algebra A has the lifting property (the LP) (respectively the local lifting property (the LLP)) if any ccp map from A into a quotient  $C^*$ -algebra B/I is liftable (respectively locally liftable). A non-unital  $C^*$ -algebra has the LP (the LLP, respectively) if its unitization has that property.

By [9, Lemma 13.1.2] to prove that a unital C\*-algebra has the LP (respectively the LLP) it is sufficient to lift only ucp maps (as opposed to ccp).

We will often use the Noncommutative Tietze Extension Theorem ([32]) which says that any surjective \*-homomorphism  $\pi : B \to A$  extends to a \*-homomorphism  $\tilde{\pi} : M(B) \to M(A)$  which is continuous with respect to the strict topology on M(B)and M(A), and if B is  $\sigma$ -unital, then  $\tilde{\pi}$  is surjective.

Let K denote the C\*-algebra of all compact operators on a separable Hilbert space (sometimes we also will denote it by K(H)). Given a surjection  $B \to B/I$ with separable B we will apply the Noncommutative Tietze Extension Theorem to extend the surjection  $B \otimes K \to B/I \otimes K$  to a surjection  $M(B \otimes K) \to M(B/I \otimes K)$ . The Calkin algebra B(H)/K(H) will be denoted by Q(H).

> 3. A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE (L)LP IN TERMS OF LIFTING HOMOMORPHISMS

**Theorem 3.1.** Let A be separable, B  $\sigma$ -unital and I an ideal in B. The following are equivalent:

(i) any ccp map  $A \rightarrow B/I$  lifts to a ccp map;

(ii) for any \*-homomorphism  $f : A \to B/I$  there is a \*-homomorphism  $g : A \to M(B/I \otimes K)$  such that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a \*-homomorphism  $A \to M(B \otimes K)$ ;

(iii) for any \*-homomorphism  $f : A \to B/I$  there is a \*-homomorphism  $g : A \to M(B/I \otimes K)$  such that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a ccp map  $A \to M(B \otimes K)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>By an ideal we always will mean a closed two-sided ideal.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): let  $f : A \to B/I$  be a \*-homomorphism. Lift it to a ccp map  $\Phi : A \to B$ . By Kasparov-Stinespring Dilation Theorem [24],  $\Phi$  dilates to a \*-homomorphism  $F : A \to M(B \otimes K)$ . In other words, F is of the form

$$F = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \Phi & \Phi_2 \\ \Phi_3 & \Phi_4 \end{array}\right)$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi(ab) & \Phi_2(ab) \\ \Phi_3(ab) & \Phi_4(ab) \end{pmatrix} = F(ab) = F(a)F(b) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(a) & \Phi_2(a) \\ \Phi_3(a) & \Phi_4(a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(b) & \Phi_2(b) \\ \Phi_3(b) & \Phi_4(b) \end{pmatrix}$$

This in particular implies that

(3.1) 
$$\Phi(ab) = \Phi(a)\Phi(b) + \Phi_2(a)\Phi_3(b)$$

and

(3.2) 
$$\Phi_4(ab) = \Phi_3(a)\Phi_2(b) + \Phi_4(a)\Phi_4(b),$$

for any  $a, b \in A$ . Since  $f = \pi(\Phi)$  is a \*-homomorphism, (3.1) implies that

(3.3) 
$$\pi(\Phi_2(a))\pi(\Phi_3(b)) = 0,$$

for any  $a, b \in A$ . Since  $F(a) = F(a^*)^*$ , we have

(3.4) 
$$\Phi_2(a) = (\Phi_3(a^*))^*$$

By taking  $b = a^*$  and substituting (3.4) into (3.3), we obtain that  $\pi \circ \Phi_2 = \pi \circ \Phi_3 = 0$ . Together with (3.2) this implies that  $g := \pi \circ \Phi_4$  is a \*-homomorphism, and  $f \oplus g$  lifts to the \*-homomorphism F.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): obvious.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): let  $\phi : A \to B/I$  be a ccp map. By Kasparov-Stinespring Dilation Theorem, it dilates to a \*-homomorphism  $f : A \to M(B/I \otimes K)$ . Then there is a \*-homomorphism  $g : A \to M(M(B/I \otimes K) \otimes K)$  such that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a ccp map F. Then the corner  $(F_{11})_{11}$  is a ccp lift of  $\phi$ .

#### **Corollary 3.2.** Let A be separable. The following are equivalent:

(i) A has the LP;

(ii) for any  $\sigma$ -unital C\*-algebra B, its ideal I and a \*-homomorphism  $f : A \to B/I$  there is a \*-homomorphism  $g : A \to M(B/I \otimes K)$  such that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a \*-homomorphism  $A \to M(B \otimes K)$ ;

(iii) for any  $\sigma$ -unital C\*-algebra B, its ideal I and a \*-homomorphism  $f: A \to B/I$  there is a \*-homomorphism  $g: A \to M(B/I \otimes K)$  such that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a ccp map  $A \to M(B \otimes K)$ .

*Proof.* The implication  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  follows immediately from Theorem 3.1,  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ .  $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  is obvious.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : Let  $\phi : A \to D/J$  be a ccp map. Let  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  be a dense subset of A and let  $b_i$  be a preimage of  $\phi(a_i), i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let B be the C\*-subalgebra generated by  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, I = J \cap B$ . Then  $\phi$  can be considered as a map to B/I. Since B is separable, hence  $\sigma$ -unital, by Theorem 3.1,  $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ , we conclude that  $\phi$  lifts to a ccp map from A to  $B \subset D$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** Let A be separable. The following are equivalent:

(i) A has the LLP;

(ii) for any \*-homomorphism  $f : A \to Q(H)$  there is a \*-homomorphism  $g : A \to M(Q(H) \otimes K)$  such that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a \*-homomorphism  $A \to M(Q(H) \otimes K)$ ;

(iii) for any \*-homomorphism  $f : A \to Q(H)$  there is a \*-homomorphism  $g : A \to M(Q(H) \otimes K)$  such that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a ccp map  $A \to M(Q(H) \otimes K)$ .

*Proof.* By [31, Prop. 3.13], the LLP for separable C\*-algebras is equivalent to the property that each cp map to the Calkin algebra lifts. Now Theorem 3.1 applies.  $\Box$ 

## 3.1. Free products.

**Corollary 3.4.** (Boca [7]) The LP passes to countable free products of separable  $C^*$ -algebras.

*Proof.* Assume  $A_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ , have the LP and let  $f : *A_{\alpha} \to D/I$  be a \*-homomorphism. Let  $f_{A_{\alpha}}$  be its restriction onto  $A_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Corollary 3.2 there are  $g_{A_{\alpha}}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $f_{A_{\alpha}} \oplus g_{A_{\alpha}}$  lifts to a \*-homomorphism  $F_{A_{\alpha}}$ . Let F be the corresponding \*-homomorphism on  $*A_{\alpha}$ . Then  $f \oplus g = \pi \circ F$ . By Corollary 3.2  $*A_{\alpha}$  has the LP.

**Corollary 3.5.** (Pisier [33]) The LLP passes to free products of separable  $C^*$ -algebras.

*Proof.* In the same way as in the proof of Corollary 3.4, using Corollary 3.3 we obtain that the LLP passes to countable free products of separable C\*-algebras. Now let  $*A_{\alpha}$  be an arbitrary free product of separable C\*-algebras. Let  $\phi : *A_{\alpha} \rightarrow B/I$  be ccp and let  $E \subset *A_{\alpha}$  be a finite-dimensional operator system. Then there are countably many  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots$ , such that  $E \subset *_i A_{\alpha_i}$  which implies that  $\phi|_E$  is liftable.

We note that Pisier proved the statement above without the assumption that  $C^*$ -algebras are separable.

### 4. Free products amalgamated over finite-dimensional subalgebras

In this section we will use several times the well known fact that if the unitary group of D/I is connected, then each unitary in D/I lifts to a unitary in D.

## 4.1. LP and LLP.

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose F is a finite-dimensional C\*-algebra, B is a unital C\*algebra and  $\alpha, \beta: F \to B$  are unital \*-homomorphisms.

(i) If  $\alpha(p) \sim \beta(p)$  for all projections  $p \in F$ , then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are unitarily equivalent;

(ii) If  $\alpha(p) \sim 1 \sim \beta(p)$  for all projections  $p \in F$ , I is an ideal in B,  $\pi: B \to B/I$  is the canonical surjection,  $\pi \alpha = \pi \beta$ , and the unitary group of B/I is connected, then the unitary U implementing (i) can be chosen with  $\pi(U) = 1$ .

*Proof.* (i) Standard: write F as a direct sum of full matrix algebras and pick minimal projection  $e_{11}^{(k)}$  in each summand. Since  $\alpha(e_{11}^{(k)}) \sim \beta(e_{11}^{(k)})$ , we find partial isometries  $v_k \in B$  such that

(4.1) 
$$v_k \alpha(e_{11}^{(k)}) v_k^* = \beta(e_{11}^{(k)}).$$

Let

$$U = \sum_{k} \sum_{j} \beta(e_{j1}^{(k)}) v_k \alpha(e_{1j}^{(k)})$$

It is straightforward to check that U is unitary and for each matrix unit  $e_{ij}^{(k)}$  we have  $U\alpha(e_{ij}^{(k)})U^* = \beta(e_{ij}^{(k)})$  which implies

$$U\alpha U^* = \beta.$$

(ii) Construct U from  $v_k$ 's as above. Let  $q_k = \pi \alpha(e_{11}^{(k)}) = \pi \beta(e_{11}^{(k)})$ . Then  $\pi(w_k)\pi(w_k)^* = q_k = \pi(w_k)^*\pi(w_k)$ 

$$\pi(v_k)\pi(v_k)^{\tau} = q_k = \pi(v_k)^{\tau}\pi(v_k),$$

i.e.  $\pi(v_k)$  is unitary in  $q_k B/Iq_k$ . Since  $\alpha(e_{11}^{(k)}) \sim 1$ , we have  $q_k \sim \pi(1) = 1$ , so there is  $x_k \in B/I$  such that  $x_k^* x_k = 1, x_k x_k^* = q_k$ . This induces an isomorphism

$$B/I \cong q_k B/Iq_k$$

defined by  $a \mapsto x_k a x_k^*$ . In particular it sends 1 to  $q_k$ . Therefore

$$\mathcal{U}(q_k B/Iq_k) \cong \mathcal{U}(B/I) = \mathcal{U}_0(B/I) \cong \mathcal{U}_0(q_k B/Iq_k).$$

So  $\pi(v_k) \in q_k B/Iq_k$  is connected with  $q_k$  by a path of unitaries in  $q_k B/Iq_k$  and hence lifts to a unitary  $w_k \in \beta(e_{11}^{(k)})B\beta(e_{11}^{(k)})$ . Now in our construction of U in (i) we replace  $v_k$  by  $w_k^* v_k$ , i.e. let

$$\tilde{U} = \sum_{k} \sum_{j} \beta(e_{j1}^{(k)}) w_{k}^{*} v_{k} \alpha(e_{1j}^{(k)}).$$

It is straightforward to check that  $\pi(\tilde{U}) = 1$ . We have

$$w_k^* v_k \alpha(e_{11}^{(k)}) (w_k^* v_k)^* = {}^{(4.1)} w_k^* \beta(e_{11}^{(k)}) w_k = w_k^* w_k w_k^* w_k = \beta(e_{11}^{(k)}).$$

Using this, same as in (i), it is straightforward to check that  $\tilde{U}$  is unitary and  $\tilde{U}\alpha\tilde{U}^* = \beta$ .

Below, for a \*-homomorphism  $r: A \to B(H)$ , its composition with the canonical embedding  $B(H) \hookrightarrow M(D \otimes K)$  will also be denoted by r.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose F is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -subalgebra of unital  $C^*$ algebras A and B, and unital \*-homomorphisms  $f_A : A \to M(D/I \otimes K)$  and  $f_B : B \to M(D/I \otimes K)$  coincide on F. Suppose there exist unital \*-homomorphisms  $g_A : A \to M(D/I \otimes K)$  and  $g_B : B \to M(D/I \otimes K)$  such that  $f_A \oplus g_A$  and  $f_B \oplus g_B$  lift to unital \*-homomorphisms to  $M(D \otimes K)$ . Then there exist unital \*-homomorphisms  $h_A : A \to M(D/I \otimes K)$  and  $h_B : B \to M(D/I \otimes K)$  such that  $f_A \oplus h_A$  and  $f_B \oplus h_B$  lift compatibly on F.

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_A : A \to B(H)$  and  $\sigma_B : B \to B(H)$  be faithful representations and let

$$r_A = \sigma_A^{(\infty)}, \ r_B = \sigma_B^{(\infty)}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{g}_A = g_A \oplus r_A, \; \tilde{g}_B = g_B \oplus r_A.$$

Then  $f_A \oplus \tilde{g}_A$  has a lift  $F_A$  of the form

$$F_A = (a \text{ lift of } f_A \oplus g_A) \oplus r_A,$$

and  $f_B \oplus \tilde{g}_B$  has a lift  $F_B$  of the form

 $F_B = (a \text{ lift of } f_B \oplus g_B) \oplus r_B.$ 

Then for any projection  $p \in F$ ,  $F_A(p) \ge r_A(p) \sim 1$ ,  $F_B(p) \ge r_B(p) \sim 1$ . By [4, 6.11.7.(c)]

(4.2) 
$$F_A(p) \sim 1, \ F_B(p) \sim 1.$$

Similarly, for any projection  $p \in F$ ,

$$\tilde{g}_A(p) \sim 1, \ \tilde{g}_B(p) \sim 1.$$

By Lemma 4.1 (i), there is unitary  $u \in M(D/I \otimes K)$  such that

$$\tilde{g}_B|_F = u^* \tilde{g}_A|_F u.$$

Then  $f_A \oplus u^* \tilde{g}_A u$  and  $f_B \oplus \tilde{g}_B$  agree on F and both lift. Indeed, since the unitary group of  $M(D/I \otimes K)$  is connected [30], u has a unitary lift U, and then  $f_A \oplus u^* \tilde{g}_A u$ lifts to  $\tilde{F}_A = (1 \oplus U)^* F_A(1 \oplus U)$ . Moreover, it follows from (4.2) that for any projection  $p \in F$ ,

$$\tilde{F}_A(p) = (1 \oplus U)^* F_A(p) (1 \oplus U) \sim 1.$$

Since the unitary group of  $M(D/I \otimes K)$  is connected, by Lemma 4.1 (ii), there is  $U_1 \in M(D \otimes K)$  such that  $\pi(U_1) = 1$  and  $\tilde{F}_A|_F = U_1^*F_B|_FU_1$ . Then  $U_1^*F_BU_1$  is a lift of  $f_B \oplus \tilde{g}_B$  and agrees on F with the lift  $\tilde{F}_A$  of  $f_A \oplus u^*\tilde{g}_A u$ .

In the rest of this section F is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -subalgebra of A and B.

**Corollary 4.3.** If separable  $C^*$ -algebras A and B have the LP, then  $A *_F B$  has the LP.

*Proof.* If A, B, and the amalgamation are unital, then the statement follows from Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 3.2. The non-unital case follows from the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $A^+$  denote the forced unitization of A. Then for any A, B, C

$$A^+ *_{C^+} B^+ = (A *_C B)^+.$$

*Proof.* It is straightforward to verify the statement by using the universal property of forced unitization (it uniquely extends homomorphisms to unital C\*-algebras to unital homomorphisms).  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 4.5.** (Ozawa [31]) If separable C\*-algebras A and B have the LLP, then  $A *_F B$  has the LLP.

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.3, Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.4.

We note that Ozawa proved the statement above without the assumption of separability.

In [18] it was proved that for any finitely generated virtually free group G,  $C^*(G)$  is semiprojective. The same strategy and the use of Corollary 4.3 shows

**Corollary 4.6.** Let G be a finite tree product with finite edge groups. For a vertex v, let  $G_v$  denote the corresponding vertex group. If for each vertex v,  $C^*(G_v)$  has the LP, then  $C^*(G)$  has the LP. In particular, if G is a finitely generated virtually free group, then  $C^*(G)$  has the LP.

**Corollary 4.7.** Let G be a finite graph of groups with finite edge groups. If for each vertex v,  $C^*(G_v)$  has the LP, then the fundamental group of G has the LP.

4.2. Some other lifting properties. The same technique as above works for some other lifting properties. We note that in Lemma 4.1 (ii) the assumptions that  $\alpha(p) \sim 1$  and  $\beta(p) \sim 1$  and that the unitary group of B/I is connected are needed only to ensure that for a partial isometry  $v_p$  conjugating  $\alpha(p)$  and  $\beta(p)$ , the unitary  $\pi(v_p) \in \pi(\beta(p)B\beta(p))$  lifts to a unitary in  $\beta(p)B\beta(p)$ . Thus Lemma 4.1 (ii) can be reformulated in the following way.

**Lemma 4.8.** Suppose  $F = \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{n_i}$  is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra, B is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, I is an ideal in B,  $\pi : B \to B/I$  is the canonical surjection,  $\alpha, \beta : F \to B$  are unital \*-homomorphisms, and  $\pi \alpha = \pi \beta$ . For each  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ , let  $p_i \in M_{n_i}$  be a minimal projection. If, for each  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ ,  $\alpha(p_i) \sim \beta(p_i)$ , and there is a partial isometry  $v_i$  conjugating  $\alpha(p_i)$  and  $\beta(p_i)$  such that  $\pi(v_i)$  lifts to a unitary in  $\beta(p_i)B\beta(p_i)$ , then there is a unitary  $U \in B$  such that  $\alpha = U^*\beta U$  and  $\pi(U) = 1$ .

Recall that a separable C\*-algebra A is semiprojective if for every separable C\*-algebra B, every increasing sequence of ideals  $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \ldots$  in B, and every \*-homomorphism  $\phi : A \to B/\bigcup J_k$ , there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a \*-homomorphism  $\psi : A \to B/J_n$  such that  $\pi_{n,\infty} \circ \psi = \phi$ , where  $\pi_{n,\infty} : B/J_n \to B/\bigcup J_k$  is the natural quotient map.

In [5] Blackadar states that the class of semiprojective C\*-algebras is closed under free products amalgamated over finite-dimensional subalgebras. For a proof he states that it is similar to Effros and Kaminker's proof of analogous result for their version of semiprojectivity [16]. Here we give an alternative and explicit proof of this fact.

**Corollary 4.9.** (Blackadar [5]) If A and B are semiprojective, then  $A *_F B$  is semiprojective.

Proof. The non-unital case will follow from the unital one by Lemma 4.4 and the fact that a C\*-algebra is semiprojective if and only if its forced unitization is semiprojective. So suppose unital \*-homomorphisms  $f_A : A \to D/\bigcup I_n$  and  $f_B : B \to D/\bigcup I_n$  agree on F. There is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that they lift to unital  $F_A : A \to D/I_N$  and  $F_B : B \to D/I_N$  respectively. Let  $p_i \in M_{n_i}$  be a minimal projection,  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ . It is known ([5]) that in quotients of the form  $D/\bigcup I_n$ any unitary and any two equivalent projections lift to  $D/I_N$ , for N sufficiently large. Therefore we can assume that for each  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ ,  $F_A(p_i) \sim F_B(p_i)$ , and there is a partial isometry  $v_i$  conjugating them such that the unitary  $\pi(v_i) \in$  $f_B(p_i) \left( D/\bigcup I_n \right) f_B(p_i) = (f_B(p_i)Df_B(p_i))/\bigcup f_B(p_i)I_nf_B(p_i)$  lifts to a unitary in  $f_B(p_i) (D/I_N) f_B(p_i)$ . By Lemma 4.8 there is  $U \in D/I_N$  such that  $\pi(U) = 1$  and  $F_B|_F = U^*F_A|_FU$ .

Then  $U^*F_AU$  and  $F_B$  are lifts of  $f_A, f_B$  respectively and agree on F.

Let  $M_n$  be the algebra of *n*-by-*n* matrices. A C\*-algebra is called *residually* finite-dimensional (RFD) if it has a separating family of finite-dimensional representations.

Let H be a Hilbert space and let  $P_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , be an increasing net of projections of dimension  $n_{\alpha}$  \*-strongly converging to  $1_{B(H)}$ . We will identify  $M_{n_{\alpha}}$  with  $P_{\alpha}B(H)P_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} \subset \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_{n_{\alpha}}$  be the C\*-algebra of all \*-strongly convergent nets of operators indexed by  $\Lambda$  and let  $\pi : \mathcal{D} \to B(H)$  be the surjection that sends each net to its \*-strong limit.

**Corollary 4.10.** (Li and Shen [26]) Let A be unital. Then  $A *_F A$  is RFD if and only if A is RFD.

Proof. "Only if" is obvious.

"If": Let  $f : A *_F A \to B(H)$  be an embedding. Let  $f_i$  be the restriction of f on the *i*-th copy of A, i = 1, 2. We will use notation  $f = f_1 * f_2$ . Then  $(f_1 \oplus f_2) * (f_2 \oplus f_1) = (f_1 * f_2) \oplus (f_2 * f_1)$  is also an embedding. By [21, proof of Th. 3.2], since A is RFD, there is an increasing net of projections  $P_{\alpha}$  \*-strongly converging to  $1_{B(H)}$  and nets  $\sigma_{\alpha}^{(1)}, \sigma_{\alpha}^{(2)}$  of representations of A living on  $P_{\alpha}H$  such that

$$\sigma_{\alpha}^{(i)}(a) \to f_i(a) *-$$
strongly,

for i = 1, 2 and  $a \in A$ . In other words,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  lift to \*-homomorphisms  $f_1, f_2 : A \to \mathcal{D}$  respectively. Then  $f_1 \oplus f_2$  and  $f_2 \oplus f_1$  lift to  $\bar{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_1 \oplus \tilde{f}_2$  and  $\bar{f}_2 = \tilde{f}_2 \oplus \tilde{f}_1$ , and for any projection  $p \in F$ ,  $\bar{f}_1(p) \sim \bar{f}_2(p)$ . Since  $\pi(\bar{f}_2(p))B(H)\pi(\bar{f}_2(p))$  has connected unitary group, any unitary in it lifts. By Lemma 4.8 there is  $U \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\pi(U) = 1$  and

$$\bar{f}_2|_F = U^* \bar{f}_1|_F U.$$

Then  $U^* \bar{f}_1 U$  and  $\bar{f}_2$  are lifts of  $f_1 \oplus f_2$  and  $f_2 \oplus f_1$  respectively and agree on F. Thus  $(U^* \bar{f}_1 U) * \bar{f}_2$  is a lift of the embedding  $(f_1 \oplus f_2) * (f_2 \oplus f_1)$  and hence is an embedding.

We will deduce the general result from the result above. For that we prove the following statement.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let A, B, D be unital  $C^*$ -algebras and C be a separable unital  $C^*$ algebra. Let  $i_a : C \to A$ ,  $i_B : C \to B$ , and  $\phi_A : A \to D$ ,  $\phi_D : B \to D$  be unital inclusions such that  $\phi_A \circ i_A = \phi_B \circ i_B$ . Then  $A *_C B$  embeds into  $D *_C D$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\phi_A \circ i_A = \phi_B \circ i_B$ , the \*-homomorphism  $\phi_A * \phi_B : A *_C B \to D *_C D$  that sends A to the first copy of D via  $\phi_A$  and B to the second copy of D via  $\phi_B$ , is well-defined. We will prove that it is injective.

Let  $\alpha : A *_C B \to B(H)$  be an embedding such that its composition with the quotient map  $q : B(H) \to Q(H)$  is still injective (for instance take an embedding j and let  $\alpha := j^{\oplus \infty}$ ). Let  $\alpha_A = \alpha|_A, \alpha_B = \alpha|_B$ . We have

$$\alpha_A \circ i_A = \alpha_B \circ i_B.$$

By Arveson Extension Theorem,  $\alpha_A$  extends to a ccp map  $\bar{\alpha}_A$  on D. By Steinspring Dilation Theorem,  $\bar{\alpha}_A$  can be dilated to a \*-homomorphism  $\sigma_A$ .

Note that since  $\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A$  is a \*-homomorphism and  $(\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)_{11} = \bar{\alpha}_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A = \alpha_A \circ i_A$  is a \*-homomorphism,  $(\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)_{22}$  is a \*-homomorphism as well.

We obtain  $\bar{\alpha}_B, \sigma_B$  in the same way.

Consider the representations  $(\sigma_A \circ \phi_A)^{\oplus \infty} : A \to B(H^{\oplus \infty}), (\sigma_B \circ \phi_B)^{\oplus \infty} : B \to B(H^{\oplus \infty})$ . When restricted to C these maps do not agree (yet) but

$$(\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)^{\oplus \infty} = (\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A) \oplus (\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)^{\oplus \infty} = \alpha_A \circ i_A \oplus (\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)_{22} \oplus (\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)^{\oplus \infty} ,$$

$$(\sigma_B \circ \phi_B \circ i_B)^{\oplus \infty} = \alpha_B \circ i_B \oplus (\sigma_B \circ \phi_B \circ i_B)_{22} \oplus (\sigma_B \circ \phi_B \circ i_B)^{\oplus \infty}.$$

The ()<sub>11</sub>-components agree, and for the "remainders",  $(\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)_{22} \oplus (\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)^{\oplus \infty}$ and  $(\sigma_B \circ \phi_B \circ i_B)_{22} \oplus (\sigma_B \circ \phi_B \circ i_B)^{\oplus \infty}$ , we have

$$rank\left((\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)_{22} \oplus (\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)^{\oplus \infty}\right)(c) = \infty$$
$$= rank\left((\sigma_B \circ \phi_B \circ i_B)_{22} \oplus (\sigma_B \circ \phi_B \circ i_B)^{\oplus \infty}\right)(c),$$

for any  $0 \neq c \in C$ . Therefore, by Voiculescu's theorem, there exists unitary  $u \in B(H^{\oplus \infty})$  such that

$$(\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)_{22} \oplus (\sigma_A \circ \phi_A \circ i_A)^{\oplus \infty} = u^* \left( (\sigma_B \circ \phi_B \circ i_B)_{22} \oplus (\sigma_B \circ \phi_B \circ i_B)^{\oplus \infty} \right) u \mod K(H).$$

Then the \*-homomorphisms  $q \circ ((1_{B(H)} \oplus u)\sigma_A^{\oplus \infty}(1_{B(H)} \oplus u)^*)$  and  $q \circ \sigma_B^{\oplus \infty}$  agree on  $\phi_A \circ i_A(C)$ . Therefore

$$\gamma := \left(q \circ \left( (1_{B(H)} \oplus u) \sigma_A^{\oplus \infty} (1_{B(H)} \oplus u)^* \right) \right) * \left(q \circ \sigma_B^{\oplus \infty} \right) : D *_C D \to Q(H^{\oplus \infty})$$

is well-defined and the diagram

$$A *_{C} B \xrightarrow{\alpha} B(H) \xrightarrow{q} Q(H)$$

$$\downarrow^{\phi_{A}*\phi_{B}} \qquad \uparrow^{((.)_{11})_{11}}$$

$$D *_{C} D \xrightarrow{\gamma} B((H \oplus H')^{\oplus \infty}) \xrightarrow{q} Q((H \oplus H')^{\oplus \infty})$$

is commutative. Since  $q \circ \alpha$  is injective, so must be  $\phi_A * \phi_B$ .

**Remark 4.12.** The assumption of separability of C in Theorem 4.11 can be removed. For that we replace  $(\sigma_A\phi_Ai_A)^{\oplus\infty}$  and  $(\sigma_B\phi_Bi_B)^{\oplus\infty}$  by  $(\sigma_A\phi_Ai_A)^{\oplus\infty} \oplus$  $(\sigma_B\phi_Bi_B)^{\oplus\infty}$  and  $(\sigma_B\phi_Bi_B)^{\oplus\infty} \oplus (\sigma_A\phi_Ai_A)^{\oplus\infty}$  respectively to ensure that ranks of the "remainders" are infinite of the same cardinality, and then use the non-separable version of Voiculescu's theorem [22].

**Theorem 4.13.** (*Li* and Shen [26]) Let A and B be unital C\*-algebras, F a finitedimensional C\*-algebra and  $i_A : F \to A$ ,  $i_B : F \to B$  unital inclusions. Then the corresponding unital amalgamated free product  $A *_F B$  is RFD if and only if there exist unital inclusions  $\phi_A : A \to \prod M_n, \phi_B : B \to \prod M_n$  such that  $\phi_A \circ i_A = \phi_B \circ i_B$ .

*Proof.* The "only if" is obvious.

"If": By Theorem 4.11,  $A *_F B$  embeds into  $\prod M_n *_F \prod M_n$  which is RFD by Theorem 4.10.

Using Lemma 4.4 we also obtain the non-unital case.

**Theorem 4.14.** Let A and B be C\*-algebras, F a finite-dimensional C\*-algebra and  $i_A : F \to A$ ,  $i_B : F \to B$  inclusions. Then the corresponding amalgamated free product  $A *_F B$  is RFD if and only if there exist inclusions  $\phi_A : A \to \prod M_n, \phi_B :$  $B \to \prod M_n$  such that  $\phi_A \circ i_A = \phi_B \circ i_B$ .

#### 5. Soft tori have the LP

Fix  $\epsilon \geq 0$ . Recall that the *soft torus* corresponding to  $\epsilon$  is the universal C\*-algebra

 $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon} = C^* \langle u, v \mid u \text{ and } v \text{ are unitaries and } ||[u, v]|| \le \epsilon \rangle.$ 

When  $\epsilon = 0$  one has  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon} = C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  the C\*-algebra of all continuous functions on the torus, and when  $\epsilon \geq 2$  one has  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon} = C^*(F_2)$  the full C\*-algebra of the free group with two generators.

**Lemma 5.1.** If w is unitary and x is arbitrary, then  $||[w, x]|| = ||[w^*, x]||$ . Proof. Because  $[w, x] = -w[w^*, x]w$ .

Let  $f: C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon} \to B$  be a \*-homomorphism. The following construction will be used several times.

**Construction 5.2.** Let U = f(u); V = f(v). Using Lemma 5.1 we can define a \*-homomorphism  $g: C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon} \to B$  by

$$g(u) = -U^*, \ g(v) = V.$$

For each  $t \in [0, 1]$  let

$$U_t = \begin{pmatrix} tU & \sqrt{1-t^2} \mathbb{1} \\ \sqrt{1-t^2} \mathbb{1} & -tU^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_t = \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Lemma 5.1 we obtain

(5.1) 
$$\|[U_t, V_t]\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} t[U, V] & 0\\ 0 & t[V, U^*] \end{pmatrix} \right\| \le t\epsilon.$$

Let  $f_t : C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon} \to M_2(B)$  be the \*-homomorphism defined by the pair  $U_t, V_t$ . We have

(5.2) 
$$f_t \to f \oplus g \text{ pointwisely when } t \to 1.$$

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $f : C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon} \to B/I$  be a \*-homomorphism and let  $f_t$  be defined as above. If f(v) lifts to a unitary in B, then for each t < 1,  $f_t$  lifts to a \*homomorphism.

*Proof.* Fix t < 1. Let  $\tilde{V}$  be a unitary lift of V = f(v) and let X be any contractive lift of U = f(u). Let  $\{e_{\lambda}\}$  be a quasicentral approximate unit for  $I \triangleleft B$ . Choose t' such that t < t' < 1. Since

$$\pi([XX^*, \tilde{V}]) = \pi([X^*X, \tilde{V}]) = [\mathbb{1}, V] = 0, \text{ and } \|\pi([X, \tilde{V}])\| \le \epsilon,$$

there is  $\lambda$  such that for  $Y = (1 - e_{\lambda})X$  we have

(5.3) 
$$\|[YY^*, \tilde{V}]\| \le \left(\frac{4(1-t')\epsilon}{5}\right)^2,$$

(5.4) 
$$\|[Y^*Y, \tilde{V}]\| \le \left(\frac{4(1-t')\epsilon}{5}\right)^2,$$

(5.5) 
$$\|[Y, \tilde{V}]\| \le \frac{\epsilon t'}{t} \text{ and } \|[Y^*, \tilde{V}]\| \le \frac{\epsilon t'}{t}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{U}_t = \begin{pmatrix} tY & (1 - t^2 YY^*)^{1/2} \\ (1 - t^2 Y^* Y)^{1/2} & -tY^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V}_t = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{V} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{V} \end{pmatrix}.$$

These are unitary lifts of  $U_t, V_t$  respectively. We have

$$[\tilde{U}_t, \tilde{V}_t] = \begin{pmatrix} t[Y, \tilde{V}] & [(1 - t^2 Y Y^*)^{1/2}, \tilde{V}] \\ [(1 - t^2 Y^* Y)^{1/2}, \tilde{V}] & -t[Y^*, \tilde{V}] \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (5.3) and Pedersen's inequality (which says that  $\|[A^{1/2},B]\|\leq \frac{5}{4}\|[A,B]\|^{1/2}$  when  $0\leq A\leq \mathbb{1}$  ) we have

(5.6) 
$$\left\| \left[ \left( 1 - t^2 Y Y^* \right)^{1/2}, \tilde{V} \right] \right\| \le \frac{5}{4} \left\| t^2 [Y Y^*, \tilde{V}] \right\|^{1/2} \le \frac{5}{4} \left\| [Y Y^*, \tilde{V}] \right\|^{1/2} \le (1 - t')\epsilon$$

and the same for  $\left\| \left[ \left( 1 - t^2 Y^* Y \right)^{1/2}, \tilde{V} \right] \right\|$ . By (5.5) and (5.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\tilde{U}_t, \tilde{V}_t]\| &\leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} t[Y, \tilde{V}] & 0 \\ 0 & -t[Y^*, \tilde{V}] \end{pmatrix} \right\| + \\ & \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left[ (1 - t^2 Y Y^*)^{1/2}, \tilde{V} \right] \\ \left[ (1 - t^2 Y Y^*)^{1/2}, \tilde{V} \right] & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq t' \epsilon + (1 - t') \epsilon = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\tilde{U}_t, \tilde{V}_t$  define a \*-homomorphism  $\tilde{f}_t$  which is a lift of  $f_t$ .

**Remark 5.4.** The proof of the Lemma 5.3 shows that for a finite collection of unitaries  $U_i, V_i$  in B/I with the norm of commutators  $[U_i, V_j]$  strictly less than  $\epsilon$ , given a unitary lift  $\tilde{V}_i$  of  $V_i$  for each i, one can construct a unitary lift  $\tilde{U}_{t,i}$  of  $\begin{pmatrix} tU_i & \sqrt{1-t^2} \ 1 & -tU_i^* \end{pmatrix}$  so that  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U}_{t,i}, \begin{pmatrix} V_i & 0 \\ 0 & V_i \end{bmatrix} \right\| \leq \epsilon$ , for all i, j.

**Theorem 5.5.** Soft torus has the LP.

Proof. Let  $f : C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon} \to B/I \subset M(B/I \otimes K)$  be a \*-homomorphism. Let U = f(u), V = f(v). By [30], V (and U) is in the connected component of 1 in  $M(B/I \otimes K)$  and hence lifts to a unitary in  $M(B \otimes K)$ . By Lemma 5.3 and (5.2),  $f \oplus g$  is a pointwise limit of liftable \*-homomorphisms  $f_t$  as  $t \to 1$ . By Arveson's result that a pointwise limit of liftable cp maps is liftable, we conclude that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a cp map. By Theorem 3.2,  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon}$  has the LP.

**Theorem 5.6.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N} \bigcup \{\infty\}$ ,  $C^*(F_n \times F_n)$  is an inductive limit of  $C^*$ -algebras with the LP.

*Proof.* Let at first  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The C\*-algebra  $C^*(F_n \times F_n)$  can be written as the inductive limit (with surjective connecting maps) of the universal C\*-algebras

 $A_{\epsilon} = C^* \langle u_i, v_i \mid u_i \text{ and } v_i \text{ are unitaries, } i = 1, \dots, n, ||[u_i, v_j]|| \le \epsilon, \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n \rangle$ 

as  $\epsilon \to 0$ . So it is sufficient to show that each  $A_{\epsilon}$  has the LP. By Remark 5.4, the proof proceeds exactly as in Theorem 5.5.

Since  $C^*(F_{\infty} \times F_{\infty})$  can be written as an inductive limit of  $C^*(F_n \times F_n)$  as  $n \to \infty$  (with injective connecting maps), combining this with the result for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  one obtains easily that  $C^*(F_{\infty} \times F_{\infty})$  is an inductive limit of C\*-algebras with the LP.

An inductive limit (with surjective connecting maps) of C\*-algebras with the LP need not have the (L)LP. Such an example is constructed in [31, Ex. 2.16]. Below we give examples of different kind showing this.

**Proposition 5.7.** Let A be any  $C^*$ -algebra without the (L)LP. Then the cone CA is an inductive limit of  $C^*$ -algebras with the LP but does not have the (L)LP.

*Proof.* The cone over any separable C\*-algebra is an inductive limit of C\*-algebras that are projective ([28]) and therefore have the LP. It remains to show that if A fails the (L)LP, then so does CA. Let  $ev_1 : CA \to A$  be the evaluation at 1 and let  $\delta : A \to CA$  be given by  $\delta(a) = t \otimes a$ . If CA had the (L)LP, then for any cp map  $\phi$  from A to any quotient B/I (the Calkin algebra, respectively), the map  $\phi \circ ev_1$  would lift to a cp map  $\psi$  from CA to B (B(H), respectively). It is straightforward to check that then  $\psi \circ \delta$  would be a cp lift of  $\phi$ .

#### 6. Other properties of soft tori

Here we give short proofs of several known results about soft tori and prove some new properties of them.

### 6.1. **RFD.**

### **Theorem 6.1.** (Eilers-Exel [17]) Soft torus is RFD.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the C\*-algebra of all \*-strongly converging sequences of matrices (this C\*-algebra was already used in Corollary 4.10). Then B(H) is its quotient. Let f be an embedding of soft torus into B(H). Let  $f_t$  and g be as in the Construction 5.2. Since  $f_t \to f \oplus g$  pointwisely as  $t \to 1$ , the family  $\{f_t\}_{t<1}$  separates points of  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon}$ . Since any unitary U in B(H) lifts to a unitary in  $\mathcal{D}$  (indeed, just write  $U = e^{iS}$  and lift S), by Lemma 5.3 for each t < 1,  $f_t$  lifts to a sequence of finitedimensional representations  $\tilde{f}_t^{(i)}$ . Then the family  $\{\tilde{f}_t^{(i)}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N},t<1}$  separates points of  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon}$ . 6.2. Continuous field. For any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2 > 0$  let

$$\phi_{\epsilon}: C^*(F_2) \to C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon} \text{ and } \phi_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}: C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_1} \to C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_2}$$

be the canonical surjections. Clearly  $\phi_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \circ \phi_{\epsilon_1} = \phi_{\epsilon_2}$ .

One of the main results of [20] states that soft tori form a continuous field of C\*-algebras over the interval [0,2] such that  $C^*(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon}$  is the fiber over  $\epsilon$ . Here we give a short proof of this fact. Exel obtains his result by proving that

$$\epsilon \mapsto \|\phi_{\epsilon}(a)\|$$

is a continuous function on [0, 2], for any  $a \in C^*(F_2)$ . Since this is an increasing function, for any  $\epsilon_0$  the limits

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to \epsilon_0^-} \|\phi_{\epsilon}(a)\| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\epsilon \to \epsilon_0^+} \|\phi_{\epsilon}(a)\|$$

exist and therefore to prove continuity at  $\epsilon_0$  it is sufficient to show

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to \epsilon_0^-} \|\phi_\epsilon(a)\| = \|f_{\epsilon_0}(a)\| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\epsilon \to \epsilon_0^+} \|\phi_\epsilon(a)\| = \|\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a)\|.$$

As was mentioned in [20], the hard part is the first equality.

We start with the non-trivial part.

Lemma 6.2.  $\lim_{\epsilon \to \epsilon_0^-} \|\phi_{\epsilon}(a)\| = \|\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a)\|.$ 

*Proof.* Since  $\|\phi_{\epsilon}(a)\|$  is an increasing function of  $\epsilon$ , we only need to show that  $\lim_{\epsilon \to \epsilon_0^-} \|\phi_{\epsilon}(a)\| \ge \|\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a)\|$ . Let  $\pi : C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_0} \to B(H)$  be a representation such that  $\|\pi(\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a))\| = \|\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a)\|$ . We define a representation  $\sigma : C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_0} \to B(H)$  by

$$\sigma(u) = \pi(u), \ \sigma(v) = -\pi(v^*)$$

By Construction 5.2,  $\pi \oplus \sigma$  is a pointwise limit of representations  $\rho_n$  of  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon}$  with  $\|[\rho_n(u), \rho_n(v)]\| < \epsilon_0$ . Let  $\epsilon_n = \|[\rho_n(u), \rho_n(v)]\|$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\epsilon_n < \epsilon_0$ ,  $\epsilon_n \to \epsilon_0$ , and  $\rho_n$  factors through  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_n}$ , that is can be written as  $\rho_n = \gamma_n \circ \phi_{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_n}$ , for some representation  $\gamma_n$  of  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_n}$ . Then

$$\|\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a)\| = \|\pi(\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a))\| \le \|(\pi \oplus \sigma)(\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a))\| = \lim \|\rho_n(\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a))\|$$
$$= \lim \|\gamma_n(\phi_{\epsilon_0,\epsilon_n}(\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a)))\| = \lim \|\gamma_n(\phi_{\epsilon_n}(a))\| \le \limsup \|\phi_{\epsilon_n}(a)\| = \lim_{\epsilon \to \epsilon_0^-} \|\phi_{\epsilon}(a)\|.$$

The next lemma is a proof of the easy part. It holds not only for soft tori but for any "softening" of relations of any C\*-algebra.

Lemma 6.3.  $\|\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a)\| = \lim_{\epsilon \to \epsilon_0^+} \|\phi_{\epsilon}(a)\|.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be such that  $\epsilon_n > \epsilon_0$  and  $\epsilon_n \to \epsilon_0$ . Let

$$q: \prod C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_n} \to \prod C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_n} / \bigoplus C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_n}$$

be the canonical surjection. Since  $\epsilon_n \to \epsilon_0$ , the \*-homomorphism

$$j: C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_0} \to \prod C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_n} / \oplus C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon_n}, \quad \phi_{\epsilon_0}(a) \mapsto q\left(\prod \phi_{\epsilon_n}(a)\right)$$

is well-defined. Then

$$\|\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a)\| \ge \|j(\phi_{\epsilon_0}(a))\| = \limsup \|\phi_{\epsilon_n}(a)\| = \lim_{\epsilon \to \epsilon_0^+} \|\phi_{\epsilon}(a)\|$$

and, since  $\|\phi_{\epsilon}(a)\|$  is an increasing function, the result follows.

**Theorem 6.4.** (Exel [20]) There exists a continuous field of  $C^*$ -algebras over the interval [0,2] such that  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon}$  is the fiber over  $\epsilon$  and such that  $\epsilon \in [0,2] \mapsto \phi_{\epsilon}(a) \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon}$  is a continuous section for every  $a \in C^*(F_2)$ .

*Proof.* We repeat Exel's arguments for reader's convenience. Let S be the set of sections

$$\epsilon \in [0,2] \mapsto \phi_{\epsilon}(a) \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon}$$

for  $a \in C(F_2)$ . According to [15] (Propositions 10.2.3 and 10.3.2) all one needs to check is that S is a \*-subalgebra of the algebra of all sections, that the set of all  $s(\epsilon)$  as s runs through S is dense in  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)_{\epsilon}$  and that  $||s(\epsilon)||$  is continuous as a function of  $\epsilon$  for all  $s \in S$ . The first two properties are trivial while the last one follows from Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3.

6.3. Local minima of commutators. A problem of characterizing pairs of unitary operators which are local minimum points for the commutator norm was discussed in [20] from different points of view. In particular it was proved that each pair of non-commuting unitary operators admits a \*-strong dilated perturbation to a pair of unitaries with smaller norm of commutator [20, Th. 4.2]. Precisely, given non-commuting unitaries  $U, V \in B(H)$ , there are sequences of unitaries  $U_n, V_n \in B(H^{(\infty)})$ , such that  $||[U_n, V_n]|| < ||[U, V]||$  and the compressions  $proj_H \circ U_n|_H$  and  $proj_H \circ V_n|_H$  of  $U_n, V_n$  to H converge \*-strongly to U and V respectively. Below we show that this result follows from Construction (5.2). Moreover the result can be generalized in two directions:

1)  $H^{(\infty)}$  can be replaced by  $H^{(2)}$  and the \*-strong convergence by the operator norm convergence;

2) If H is infinite-dimensional, then \*-strong dilated perturbations can be replaced by \*-strong perturbations.

**Theorem 6.5.** Let U and V be non-commuting unitary operators on a Hilbert space H. Then there are sequences of unitary operators  $U_n, V_n \in B(H \oplus H)$ , such that  $||[U_n, V_n]|| < ||[U, V]||$  and the compressions  $proj_H \circ U_n|_H$  and  $proj_H \circ V_n|_H$  of  $U_n, V_n$  to H converge in norm to U and V respectively.

If H is infinite-dimensional, then there are sequences of unitary operators  $U_n, V_n$ on H such that  $||[U_n, V_n]|| < ||[U, V]||$  and  $U_n \to U$  \*-strongly and  $V_n \to V$  \*strongly.

*Proof.* Using  $U_t, V_t$  with t < 1 in Construction 5.2, we see that there are  $U_n, V_n$  on  $H \oplus H$  such that  $||[U_n, V_n]|| < ||[U, V]||$  and  $U_n \to U \oplus (-U^*)$  and  $V_n \to V \oplus V$  in the operator norm topology.

For the second statement we apply a result of Hadwin that states that for any  $A, B \in B(H)$ , where H is infinite-dimensional, there are unitaries  $W_m : H \to H \oplus H$  not depending of A, B such that  $W_m^* \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} W_m \to A$  \*-strongly (this result is described in detail in [[14], Lemma 2.4]). Hence for an appropriately chosen m(n),  $W_{m(n)}^* U_n W_{m(n)}$  and  $W_{m(n)}^* V_n W_{m(n)}$  converge \*-strongly to U and V respectively.

**Remark 6.6.** In [20] Exel conjectured that a pair of unitary matrices  $u = \oplus u_j$  and  $v = \oplus v_j$ , with each pair  $u_j, v_j$  being irreducible, is a local minimum of commutators if and only if one has that  $u_j v_j u_j^{-1} v_j^{-1}$  is a scalar, for some value of j for which  $||u_j v_j - v_j u_j|| = ||uv - vu||$ . A counterexample to the conjecture was constructed by Manuilov [29]. Theorem 6.5 gives different counterexamples: any pair of unitary matrices of the form  $u = U \oplus (-U^*)$  and  $v = V \oplus V$  with  $UVU^{-1}V^{-1}$  being scalar is a counterexample.

6.4. Very flexible stability. The notion of very flexible stability of groups was introduced by Becker and Lyubotzky [3]. Very flexible stability of C\*-algebras is defined similarly and the definitions agree in the sense that  $C^*(G)$  is very flexibly stable if and only if G is very flexibly stable.

For any Hilbert space H, infinite-dimensional or finite-dimensional, with a fixed o.n.b.  $\{e_i\}$ , the projection onto the span of the first n basis vectors will be denoted by  $P_n$ . We identify B(H), for n-dimensional H, with  $M_n$ .

Let A be a C\*-algebra. A sequence of maps  $\phi_n : A \to M_{k_n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is an *approximate representation* with respect to a given norm  $\| \|$  on matrices, if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\phi_n(ab) - \phi_n(a)\phi_n(b)\| = 0,$$

for any  $a, b \in A$ , and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\phi_n(\lambda a + \mu b) - \lambda \phi_n(a) - \mu \phi_n(b)\| = 0.$$

for any  $a, b \in A, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 6.7.** A C\*-algebra A is very flexibly HS-stable if for any approximate representation  $\phi_n : A \to M_{k_n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $m_n \ge k_n$  and representations  $\rho_n : A \to M_{m_n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\|\phi_n(a) - P_{k_n}\rho_n(a)P_{k_n}\|_2 \to 0, \quad as \ n \to \infty,$$

for any  $a \in A$ .

Let  $\omega$  be any non-trivial ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $\prod_{\omega} (M_{k_n}, || ||_2)$  be the ultraproduct  $C^*$ -algebra

$$\prod_{\omega} (M_{k_n}, |||_2) = \prod M_{k_n} / \{ (T_n) \mid ||T_n||_2 \to_{\omega} 0 \}.$$

Very flexible stability can be reformulated as the following lifting property.

**Definition 6.8.** A C\*-algebra A is very flexibly Hilbert-Schmidt stable if for any \*-homomorphism  $f : A \to \prod_{\omega} (M_{k_n}, |||_2)$  there is a \*-homomorphism  $g : A \to \prod_{\omega} (M_{m_n}, |||_2)$  such that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a \* homomorphism to  $\prod M_{k_n+m_n}$ .

A C\*-algebra A is very flexibly operator norm stable if for any \*-homomorphism  $f: A \to \prod M_{k_n} / \oplus M_{k_n}$  there is a \*-homomorphism  $g: A \to \prod M_{m_n} / \oplus M_{m_n}$  such that  $f \oplus g$  lifts to a \* homomorphism to  $\prod M_{k_n+m_n}$ .

The same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 5.5 show

**Proposition 6.9.** Soft torus is very flexibly Hilbert-Schmidt stable and very flexibly operator norm stable.

Of course the actual stability, that is not flexible one, is particularly interesting. In [19] it is proved that the soft torus is not operator norm stable (and therefore not semiprojective). Whether the soft torus is Hilbert-Schmidt stable is not known.

We already know from the proof of Theorem 5.6 that the softening of  $C^*(F_n \times F_n)$ 

 $C^* \langle u_i, v_i \mid u_i \text{ and } v_i \text{ are unitaries, } i = 1, \dots, n, ||[u_i, v_j]|| \le \epsilon, \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n \rangle,$ 

which will be denoted by  $C^*(F_n \times F_n)_{\epsilon}$ , has the LP. The same arguments as in the proofs of Theorem 6.1, Theorem 6.4, Proposition 6.9 show that it also shares the other properties of soft tori.

## **Proposition 6.10.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

- (1)  $C^*(F_n \times F_n)_{\epsilon}$  is RFD, very flexibly Hilbert-Schmidt stable, very flexibly operator norm stable, and has the LP,
- (2) There exists a continuous field of  $C^*$ -algebras over the interval [0,2] such that  $C^*(F_n \times F_n)_{\epsilon}$  is the fiber over  $\epsilon$  and such that  $\epsilon \in [0,2] \mapsto \phi_{\epsilon}(a) \in C^*(F_n \times F_n)_{\epsilon}$  is a continuous section for every  $a \in C^*(F_{2n})$ . (Here  $\phi_{\epsilon} : C^*(F_{2n}) \to C^*(F_n \times F_n)_{\epsilon}$  is the canonical surjection).

## 7. Ext being a group versus the LLP

It is known that the LLP implies that Ext is a group and it is not known whether they are equivalent ([31, p.12]). In this section we prove that for an interesting class of C\*-algebras, including  $C^*(F_2 \times F_2)$  and all contractible C\*-algebras, they are equivalent.

Below for an inductive limit  $A = \varinjlim P_n$  we denote the connecting maps by  $\theta_{n,m}: P_n \to P_m$  and  $\theta_{n,\infty}: P_n \to A$ .

The following two lemmas are similar to [9, Lemmas 13.4.4, 13.4.5].

**Lemma 7.1.** Let  $A = \lim P_n$ , where all  $P_n$  have the LP and all the connecting maps are surjective. Let  $J = \ker \theta_{0,\infty}$ . Then for any C\*-algebra D, in the diagram

the induced \*-homomorphism  $\theta$  is isometric. Here  $\psi = \prod_n \theta_{0,n}$  and  $\otimes = \otimes_{min}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\psi(J) \subset \oplus P_n$ ,  $\theta$  is well-defined. Since each  $P_n$  has the LP, there is a ccp lift  $s_n : P_n \to P_0$  of the connecting homomorphism  $\theta_{0,n}$ . Let  $\sum_{i=1}^N b_i \otimes d_i + J \otimes D \in (P_0 \otimes D) / (J \otimes D)$ . Since ker  $\theta_{0,n} \subseteq J$  and  $s(\theta_{0,n}(b_i)) - b_i \in \ker \theta_{0,n}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i \otimes d_i + J \otimes D\| &\leq \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i \otimes d_i + \ker \theta_{0,n} \otimes D\| \\ &\leq \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} s(\theta_{0,N}(b_i)) \otimes d_i + \ker \theta_{0,n} \otimes D\| \\ &\leq \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} s(\theta_{0,N}(b_i)) \otimes d_i\| = \|(s \otimes id_D)(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_{0,n}(b_i) \otimes d_i)\| \leq \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_{0,n}(b_i) \otimes d_i\|. \end{split}$$

(where to obtain the last equality we used that  $s \otimes id_D$  is well-defined and contractive [9, Th. 3.5.3]). It follows that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i \otimes d_i + J \otimes D\| \le \limsup_n \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_{0,n}(b_i) \otimes d_i\|$$

On the other hand

$$\theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i \otimes d_i + J \otimes D\right) \| = \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\theta_{0,n}(b_i))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \otimes d_i + (\oplus P_n) \otimes D\|$$
$$= \limsup_n \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_{0,n}(b_i) \otimes d_i\|$$

(here we used the well-known and not difficult fact that there are isometric embeddings  $(\prod A_n) \otimes D \hookrightarrow \prod (A_n \otimes D)$  and  $(\oplus A_n) \otimes D \hookrightarrow \oplus (A_n \otimes D)$ ).

Therefore  $\theta$  is an isometry.

**Lemma 7.2.** Let  $A = \varinjlim P_n$ , where all  $P_n$  are separable RFD with the LP and all the connecting maps are surjective. Let  $\theta$  and  $\psi$  be as in Lemma 7.1. Then there exist finite-dimensional representations  $\sigma_n : P_n \to M_{k_n}$  such that for any separable  $C^*$ -algebra D, in the following diagram



the induced \*-homomorphism  $\pi$  is isometric.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\sum_{l=1}^{N_i} b_l^{(i)} \otimes d_l^{(i)}, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a dense subset in  $P_0 \otimes D$ .

Claim: For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a finite-dimensional representation  $\sigma_n$  of  $P_n$  such that

$$\|\sum_{l=1}^{N_i} \theta_{0,n}(b_l^{(i)}) \otimes d_l^{(i)}\| \le \|\sum_{l=1}^{N_i} \sigma_n(\theta_{0,n}(b_l^{(i)})) \otimes d_l^{(i)}\| + \frac{1}{n},$$

for i = 1, ..., n.

*Proof of Claim:* By definition of the minimal tensor product, there are a representation  $\sigma$  of  $P_n$  and a representation  $\rho$  of D such that

(7.1) 
$$\|\sum_{l=1}^{N_i} \theta_{0,n}(b_l^{(i)}) \otimes d_l^{(i)}\| \le \|\sum_{l=1}^{N_i} \sigma(\theta_{0,n}(b_l^{(i)})) \otimes \rho(d_l^{(i)})\| + \frac{1}{2n},$$

for i = 1, ..., n. Since  $P_n$  is RFD, by Exel-Loring theorem ([21]) there exist representations  $\sigma_k$  of  $P_n$  living on finite-dimensional subspaces of the space of  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma_k \to \sigma$  in the \*-strong operator topology pointwisely. Then  $\sigma_k \otimes \rho \to \sigma \otimes \rho$  in the \*-strong operator topology pointwisely. Hence there is  $k_n$  such that for  $\sigma_{k_n}$ , which we will denote by  $\sigma_n$  for short, we have

(7.2) 
$$\|\sum_{l=1}^{N_i} \sigma(\theta_{0,n}(b_l^{(i)})) \otimes \rho(d_l^{(i)})\| \le \|\sum_{l=1}^{N_i} \sigma_n(\theta_{0,n}(b_l^{(i)})) \otimes \rho(d_l^{(i)})\| + \frac{1}{2n},$$

for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . From (1) and (2) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}} \theta_{0,n}(b_{l}^{(i)}) \otimes d_{l}^{(i)}\| &\leq \|\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}} \sigma_{n}(\theta_{0,n}(b_{l}^{(i)})) \otimes \rho(d_{l}^{(i)})\| + \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \|(id_{\sigma_{n}(P_{n})} \otimes \rho) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}} \sigma_{n}(\theta_{0,n}(b_{l}^{(i)})) \otimes d_{l}^{(i)}\right)\| + \frac{1}{n} \leq \|\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}} \sigma_{n}(\theta_{0,n}(b_{l}^{(i)}) \otimes d_{l}^{(i)})\| + \frac{1}{n}, \end{split}$$

when  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Claim is proved.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}}b_{l}^{(i)}\otimes d_{l}^{(i)}) + \oplus J\otimes D\| &= \|\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(\theta_{0,n}(b_{l}^{(i)})\right)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\otimes d_{l}^{(i)} + (\oplus M_{k_{n}})\otimes D\| \\ &= \limsup_{n}\|\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}}(\sigma_{n}\theta_{0,n})(b_{l}^{(i)})\otimes d_{l}^{(i)}\| \overset{Claim}{=}\limsup_{n}\|\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}}\theta_{0,n}(b_{l}^{(i)})\otimes d_{l}^{(i)}\| \\ &= \|\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}}\left(\theta_{0,n}(b_{l}^{(i)})\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\otimes d_{l}^{(i)} + (\oplus P_{n})\otimes D\| = \|\theta\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}}b_{l}^{(i)}\otimes d_{l}^{(i)} + J\otimes D\right)\| \\ &= \|\sum_{l=1}^{Lemma} 7.1\|\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}}b_{l}^{(i)}\otimes d_{l}^{(i)} + J\otimes D\|. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 7.3.** Suppose A is an inductive limit, with surjective connecting maps, of separable C\*-algebras that are RFD and have the LP (e.g.  $A = C^*(F_2 \times F_2)$  or A is any contractible C\*-algebra). Then A has the LLP if and only if Ext(A) is a group.

*Proof.* The "only if" is known, so we assume that Ext(A) is a group. We write  $A = \varinjlim_{n} P_n$ , where all  $P_n$  are separable RFD with the LP and all the connecting maps are surjective.

Let  $k_n$  be as in Lemma 7.2. Embed  $\prod M_{k_n}$  into B(H) diagonally, then  $\oplus M_{k_n} \subset K(H)$  and  $\prod M_{k_n} / \oplus M_{k_n} \subset B(H)/K(H)$ . The latter embedding will be denoted by j. Using Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2 we obtain an embedding

$$A \cong P_0/J \to \prod P_n/\oplus P_n \to \prod M_{k_n}/\oplus M_{k_n}$$

which we will denote by  $\gamma$ . Then  $j \circ \gamma : A \to B(H)/K(H)$  is an extension. Since Ext(A) is a group,  $j \circ \gamma$  lifts to a completely positive map  $\tau : A \to B(H)$ . Let  $E : B(H) \to \prod M_{k_n}$  be the canonical expectation (that is the one that sends an operator to its block-diagonal). Then  $E \circ \tau$  is a ccp lift of  $\gamma$ .

Let  $q: \prod M_{k_n} \to \prod M_{k_n} / \oplus M_{k_n}$  be the canonical surjection and let

$$(7.3) B = q^{-1}(\gamma(A))$$

20

Then  $E \circ \tau$  must have range in B. Thus  $\gamma : A \to B/\oplus M_{k_n}$  is liftable, hence locally liftable. By Effros-Haagerup Theorem [9, Th. 13.1.6], for any C\*-algebra D we have

(7.4) 
$$A \otimes D = (B/\oplus M_{k_n}) \otimes D \cong (B \otimes D)/(\oplus M_{k_n} \otimes D)$$

canonically. Let  $\pi : (P_0 \otimes D)/(J \otimes D) \to (\prod M_{k_n} \otimes D)/(\oplus M_{k_n} \otimes D)$  be the embedding constructed in Lemma 7.2. By (7.3) it has range in  $(B \otimes D)/(\oplus M_{k_n} \otimes D)$ . Let  $A \odot D$  denote the algebraic tensor product of A and D. We obtain the embedding

(7.5) 
$$A \odot D \subseteq (P_0 \otimes D)/(J \otimes D) \xrightarrow{\pi} (B \otimes D)/(\oplus M_{k_n} \otimes D) \stackrel{(i.4)}{\cong} A \otimes D$$

such that

$$(7.6) a \odot d \mapsto \gamma(a) \otimes d_{\gamma}$$

for  $a \in A, d \in D$ . Let  $|| ||_{ind}$  be the C\*-norm induced by this embedding on  $A \odot D$ . Then by (7.6)

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \odot d_i\|_{ind} = \|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma(a_i) \otimes d_i\|_{min} = \|(\gamma \otimes id) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes d_i\right)\|_{min}$$
$$\leq \|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes d_i\|_{min}.$$

By minimality of the minimal tensor product, the induced norm on  $A \odot D$  is the minimal one. Therefore, by (7.5),

$$(P_0 \otimes D)/(J \otimes D) \cong A \otimes D,$$

for any D. Again by Effros-Haagerup Theorem we conclude that the identity map on  $A = P_0/J$  is locally liftable. Together with the fact that  $P_0$  has the LLP it implies easily that A has the LLP. Indeed, let  $\phi : A \to C/I$  be a cp map and let  $E \subset A$  be a finite-dimensional operator system. Let  $\psi_0 : P_0 \to A$  be the canonical surjection. Let  $\delta_1 : E \to P_0$  be a cp lift of the identity map on  $A = P_0/J$ . Since  $P_0$ has the LLP,  $(\phi \circ \psi_0)|_{\delta_1(E)}$  lifts to a cp map  $\delta_2 : \delta_1(E) \to B$ . Then  $\delta_2 \circ \delta_1$  is a cp lift of  $\phi|_E$ .

Finally,  $A = C^*(F_2 \times F_2)$  satisfies the assumptions of the theorem by Theorem 5.6 and Proposition 6.10. Any separable contractible C\*-algebra A satisfies the assumptions of the theorem because it is an inductive limit of projective C\*-algebras [36] and projectivity implies the LP and RFD.

### 8. Miscellaneous

8.1. Extensions with the WEP. We embed  $\prod M_n$  into B(H) and  $\oplus M_n$  into K(H) diagonally.

Lemma 8.1. Let D be any C\*-algebra. Then

$$(K \otimes D) \bigcap \left( \left( \prod M_n \right) \otimes D \right) = (\oplus M_n) \otimes D.$$

- -

*Proof.* Let  $a \in (K \otimes D) \cap ((\prod M_n) \otimes D)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \otimes f_i \in K \otimes D$ and  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_j \otimes \hat{f}_j \in (\prod M_n) \otimes D$  such that

(8.1) 
$$\|a - \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i \otimes f_i\| \le \epsilon, \ \|a - \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_j \otimes \hat{f}_j\| \le \epsilon.$$

Hence

(8.2) 
$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i \otimes f_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_j \otimes \hat{f}_j\| \le 2\epsilon.$$

Let  $E: B(H) \to \prod M_n$  be the map that sends an operator to its block-diagonal. Then  $E \otimes id: B(H) \otimes D \to (\prod M_n) \otimes D$  is contractive by [9, Th. 3.5.3]. Applying  $E \otimes id$  to (8.2) we obtain

(8.3) 
$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} diag(k_i) \otimes f_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_j \otimes \hat{f}_j\| \le 2\epsilon.$$

We conclude from (8.2) and (8.3) that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^n diag(k_i) \otimes f_i - \sum_{i=1}^m T_j \otimes \hat{f}_j\| \le 2\epsilon.$$

Since  $diag(k_i) \in \oplus M_n$ , it follows from (8.1) that *a* is approximated by elements of  $(\oplus M_n) \otimes D$ .

The following theorem generalizes Kirchberg's result about cones [9, Th. 13.4.1].

**Theorem 8.2.** Let A be separable contractible (or, more generally, an inductive limit, with surjective connecting maps, of RFD  $C^*$ -algebras with the LP) with the QWEP. Then there exists a quasidiagonal extension

$$0 \to K \to B \to A \to 0$$

such that B has the WEP.

*Proof.* Let F be the full group C\*-algebra of the free group with countably many generators. Replacing A by its unitization if necessary, we can write  $A = \varinjlim P_n$  with  $P_n$  being RFD and having the LP and  $P_0 = F$ . We write A = F/J and let  $\psi$  and  $\sigma_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be as in Lemma 7.2. Let  $\Psi = (\prod \sigma_n) \circ \psi : F \to \prod M_n$ . Let

$$B = C^*(\Psi(F), K).$$

Then B/K = A.

Claim:  $(B \otimes F) / (K \otimes F)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $A \otimes_{max} F$ .

Proof of Claim: By [9, Prop. 3.6.1]  $(\oplus M_n) \otimes F \subset K \otimes F$ ,  $(\oplus M_n) \otimes F \subset B(H) \otimes F$ . Together with Lemma 8.1 this implies

$$\left(\left(\prod M_n\right)\otimes F\right)/\left((\oplus M_n)\otimes F\right)\subset \left(B(H)\otimes F\right)/\left(K\otimes F\right).$$

Since A is QWEP, by [9, exercise 13.3.5] (applied to  $C_1 = F, C_2 = F, J_1 = J, J_2 = 0$ )

$$A \otimes_{max} F = (F \otimes F) / (J \otimes F)$$

canonically. Then using Lemma 7.2 we obtain

 $A \otimes_{max} F = (F \otimes F) / (J \otimes F) \cong \theta((F \otimes F) / (J \otimes F)) \subseteq (B \otimes F) / (K \otimes F).$ 

By the construction of all the maps here we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i \otimes \tilde{f}_i + J \otimes F \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Psi(f_i) \otimes \tilde{f}_i + K \otimes F \in (B \otimes F) / (K \otimes F)$$

and since B is generated by  $\Psi(F)$  and K, the range is the whole  $(B \otimes F) / (K \otimes F)$ . Claim is proved.

Now we have a commuting diagram

with both rows being exact. Since K is nuclear, by 5 Lemma we obtain  $B \otimes_{max} F = B \otimes F$ . By [9, Cor. 13.2.5] this is equivalent to B having the WEP.

8.2. Group C\*-algebras. Here we give a new proof to the fact that the class of C\*-algebras with the LP is closed under crossed products with amenable groups which was proved by Buss, Echterhoff and Willett [10, Th. 7.4].

**Theorem 8.3.** (Buss, Echterhoff, Willett) Suppose G is an amenable group and A is a separable C\*-algebra with the LP. Then  $A \rtimes_r G$  has the LP.

*Proof.* By the proof of [9, Th. 4.2.6(2)] there exist cpc maps  $\phi_n : A \rtimes_r G \to M_{k_n} \otimes A$ and  $\psi_n : M_{k_n} \otimes A \to A \rtimes_r G$  such that  $id_{A \rtimes_r G}$  is the pointwise limit of  $\psi_n \circ \phi_n$ .

Let  $\delta : A \rtimes_r G \to B/I$  be a ccp map. Since  $M_n \otimes A$  has the LP,  $\delta \circ \psi_n$  is liftable, and then  $\delta \circ \psi_n \circ \phi_n$  is liftable, for any n. Then  $\delta$  is a pointwise limit of liftable ccp maps and by Arveson's theorem it is itself liftable.

**Corollary 8.4.** (Buss, Echterhoff, Willett) Suppose G is an amenable group and A is a separable C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra with the LP. Then  $A \rtimes G$  has the LP.

*Proof.* Since G is amenable, by [9, Th. 4.2.6(1)]  $A \rtimes_r G = A \rtimes G$ , and the statement follows from the theorem above.

In particular the following are interesting examples of full group C\*-algebras with the LP.

**Corollary 8.5.**  $C^*(F_n \rtimes G)$  has the LP, for any amenable group G.

8.3. Strict limits of liftable cp maps. The following theorem is a generalization, to the strict topology, of Arveson's result that the set of liftable cp maps is closed in the pointwise operator norm topology. The arguments are similar to Arveson's.

**Theorem 8.6.** Let A and B be separable C\*-algebras and I an ideal in B. Suppose  $\phi_n : A \to M(B/I), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\phi : A \to M(B/I)$  are cp maps and  $\phi_n(a) \to \phi(a)$  in the strict topology, for any  $a \in A$ . Suppose each  $\phi_n$  lifts to a cp map. Then  $\phi$  lifts to a cp map.

*Proof.* Let  $\psi_n$  be a cp lift of  $\phi_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  be a dense subset of the unit ball of A and  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$  be a dense subset of the unit ball of B. We choose a subsequence of  $\{\phi_n\}$ , which we also denote by  $\{\phi_n\}$ , such that

$$\|\phi_{n+1}(a_i)\pi(x_k) - \phi_n(a_i)\pi(x_k)\| < \frac{1}{2^n}$$

when  $i \leq n, k \leq n$ . Let  $\{i_{\lambda}\}$  be a quasicientral approximate unit for  $I \triangleleft B$ . We will construct a cp lift  $\tilde{\psi}_n$  of  $\phi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\|\tilde{\psi}_{n+1}(a_i)x_k - \tilde{\psi}_n(a_i)x_k\| < \frac{1}{2^{n-2}},$$

when  $i \leq n, k \leq n$ .

Let  $\overline{\tilde{\psi}_1} = \psi_1$ . Assume  $\tilde{\psi}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\psi}_n$  are already constructed. Let

$$\tilde{\psi}_{n+1} = (1 - i_{\lambda})^{1/2} \psi_{n+1} (1 - i_{\lambda})^{1/2} + i_{\lambda}^{1/2} \tilde{\psi}_n i_{\lambda}^{1/2},$$

where  $\lambda$  is sufficiently large so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[(1-i_{\lambda})^{1/2}, x_{k}]\| &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \ \|[(1-i_{\lambda})^{1/2}, \psi_{n+1}(a_{i})x_{k}]\| &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \\ \|[i_{\lambda}^{1/2}, x_{k}]\| &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \ \|[i_{\lambda}^{1/2}, \tilde{\psi}_{n}(a_{i})x_{k}]\| &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \\ \|(1-i_{\lambda}) \left(\psi_{n+1}(a_{i})x_{k} - \tilde{\psi}_{n}(a_{i})x_{k}\right)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

when  $i \leq n, k \leq n$ . It is straightforward to check that

$$\|\tilde{\psi}_{n+1}(a_i)x_k - \tilde{\psi}_n(a_i)x_k\| < \frac{1}{2^{n-2}},$$

when  $i \leq n, k \leq n$ . Therefore for any i, k the sequence  $\tilde{\psi}_n(a_i)x_k$  converges. It implies that for any  $a \in A, x \in B$  the sequence  $\tilde{\psi}_n(a)x$  converges. By [37, p.39] this implies that for each  $a \in A$  the sequence  $\tilde{\psi}_n(a)$  converges in the strict topology. Therefore  $\tilde{\psi}_n$  converge pointwisely in the strict topology to some map  $\psi$ . This  $\psi$  must be cp. Indeed if we embed B non-degeneratly into B(H), then the strict topology on  $M(B) \subset B(H)$  is finer than the strong operator topology ([37, Prop.2.3.4]) and therefore for any  $(a_{ij}) \in M_N(A)$  one has  $\tilde{\psi}_n^{(N)}(a_{ij}) \to \psi^{(N)}(a_{ij})$  in the strong operator topology. Since the strong limit of positive operators is positive, we conclude that  $\psi$  is cp.

Since  $\tilde{\pi}$  is strictly continuous,  $\psi$  is a lift of  $\phi$ .

#### References

- J. Anderson, A C\*-algebra A for which Ext(A) is not a group, Ann. of Math. 107: 455-458, 1978.
- [2] W. Arveson, Notes on extensions of C\*-algebras, Duke Math. J. 44 (2): 329-355, 1977.
- [3] O. Becker and A. Lubotzky, Group stability and Property (T), J. Funct. Anal., 278(1):108298, 20, 2020.
- [4] B. Blackadar, K-theory for operator algebras, volume 5 of Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998.
- [5] B. Blackadar, Shape theory for C\*-algebras, Math. Scand., 56:249–275, 1985.
- [6] B. Blackadar, The homotopy lifting theorem for semiprojective C\*-algebras, Math. Scand., 118(2), 2016.
- [7] F. Boca, Free products of completely positive maps and spectral sets, J. Funct. Anal. 97: 251–263, 1991.

- [8] L.G. Brown, R.G. Douglas, P.A. Fillmore, Extensions of C\*-algebras and K-homology, Ann. of Math., (2), 105(2): 265-324, 1977.
- [9] N. P. Brown and N. Ozawa, C\*-algebras and finite-dimensional appriximations, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 88, 2008.
- [10] A. Buss, S. Echterhoff, R. Willett, Amenability and weak containment for actions of locally compact groups on C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras, arXiv:2003.03469.
- [11] M.-D. Choi and E. G. Effros, The completely positive lifting problem for C\*-algebras, Ann. of Math. 104: 585-609, 1976.
- [12] K. Courtney, Universal C\*-algebras with the Local Lifting Property, Math. Scand. 127: 361-381, 2021.
- [13] K. Courtney and D. Sherman, The universal C\*-algebra of a contraction, J. Operator Theory, 84(1): 153-184, 2020.
- [14] K. Courtney and T. Shulman, Free products with amalgamation over central subalgebras.
- [15] J. Dixmier, C\*-Algebras, North Holland, 1982.
- [16] E. G. Effros and J. Kaminker, Homotopy continuity and shape theory for C\*-algebras, Geometric methods in operator algebras, U.S.-Japan seminar at Kyoto 1983, Pitman 1985.
- [17] S. Eilers and R. Exel, Finite dimensional representations of the soft torus, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 130: 727-731, 2002.
- [18] S. Eilers, T. Shulman, A. Sorensen, C\*-stability of discrete groups, Advances in Mathematics 373: 107324, 2020.
- [19] D. Enders, On soft tori and the existence of strong shape systems for C\*-algebras, unpublished manuscript.
- [20] R. Exel, The soft torus: a variational analysis of commutator norms, J. Funct. Anal. 126 (2): 259-273, 1994.
- [21] R. Exel and T. A. Loring, Finite-dimensional representations of free product C\*-algebras, Internat. J. Math. 3: 469-476, 1992.
- [22] D. Hadwin, Nonseparable approximate equivalence, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 266(1):, 203 -231, 1981.
- [23] A. Ioana, P. Spaas, M. Wiersma, Cohomological obstructions to lifting properties for full C\*-algebras of property (T) groups, Geom. Funct. Anal. 30 (no. 5): 1402-1438, 2020.
- [24] G. G. Kasparov, Hilbert C\*-modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu, J. Operator Theory 4: 133-150, 1980.
- [25] E. Kirchberg, On nonsemisplit extensions, tensor products and exactness of group C\*algebras, Invent. Math. 112: 449-489, 1993.
- [26] Q. Li and J. Shen, A note on unital full amalgamated free products of RFD C\*-algebras, Illinois J. Math. 56 (2): 647-659, 2012.
- [27] T. A. Loring. Lifting solutions to perturbing problems in C\*-algebras, volume 8 of Fields Institute Monographs. AMS, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [28] T. A. Loring and T. Shulman, Noncommutative semialgebraic sets and associated lifting problems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (2): 721-744, 2012.
- [29] V. Manuilov, Local minima of commutator norms in finite factors. Vestn. Mosc. Univ. Ser. 1. Math., Mech. 3: 28-31, 1997.
- [30] J. Mingo, K-theory and multipliers of stable C\*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 299 (1), 1987.
- [31] N. Ozawa, About the QWEP conjecture, Internat. J. Math. 15 (5): 501-530, 2004.
- [32] G. K. Pedersen, The corona construction, Proc. of the 1988 GPOTS-Wabash conf. (J. B. Conway and B. B. Morrel, ed.), Longman Sci and Tech., Harlow, 49-92.
- [33] G. Pisier, Tensor products of C\*-algebras and operator spaces, The Connes-Kirchberg problem, Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [34] G. Pisier, A simple proof of a theorem of Kirchberg and related results on C\*-norms, J. Operator Theory 35(2): 317-335, 1996.
- [35] G. Pisier, On the lifting property for C\*-algebras, J. Noncommut. Geom. 16 (3): 967-1006, 2022.
- [36] H. Thiel, Inductive limits of projective C\*-algebras, J. Noncommut. Geom. 13 (4): 1435-1462, 2019.
- [37] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, K-theory and C\*-algebras : a friendly approach, Oxford ; New York : Oxford University Press, 1993.