

Absence of strong CP violation

G. Schierholz

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY,
Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

Quantum Chromodynamics admits a CP-violating contribution to the action, the θ term, which is expected to give rise to a nonvanishing electric dipole moment of the neutron. Despite intensive search, no CP violations have been found in the strong interaction. This puzzle is referred to as the strong CP problem. There is evidence that CP is conserved in the confining theory, to the extent that color charges are totally screened for $\theta > 0$ at large distances. It is not immediately obvious that this implies a vanishing dipole moment though. With this Letter I will close the gap. It is shown that in the infinite volume hadron correlation functions decouple from the topological charge, expressed in terms of the zero modes. The reason is that hadrons have a limited range of interaction, while the density of zero modes vanishes with the inverse root of the volume, thus reducing the probability of finding a zero mode in the vicinity to zero. This implies, beyond doubt, that CP is conserved in the strong interaction.

1 Introduction

We consider Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) in Euclidean space-time. Lattice regularization in finite volume V is assumed, which is the standard technique for the nonperturbative definition of the theory, although continuum notation is applied. The most general action for two mass-degenerate u and d quarks is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - i\theta_1 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} + \sum_{q=u,d} \bar{q} (-i\not{D} + \mathcal{M}) q \right], \quad (1)$$

with

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5) M + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5) M^\dagger, \quad M = m e^{i\theta_2/2}. \quad (2)$$

The normalization is chosen so that θ_1 is an angular variable,

$$Q = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3)$$

where Q is the topological charge. The second term on the right-hand side of (1), the so-called θ term, and the mass term (2) violate CP for nonzero phases θ_1 and θ_2 . The quark fields q can always be redefined by a global flavor singlet axial rotation,

$$q \rightarrow e^{-i(\alpha/4)\gamma_5} q, \quad \bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q} e^{-i(\alpha/4)\gamma_5}, \quad (4)$$

which changes the phases by $\theta_1 \rightarrow \theta_1 - \alpha$ and $\theta_2 \rightarrow \theta_2 + \alpha$ due to a change of the path integral measure, leaving the sum $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ unchanged. Thus, a change of θ_1 is equivalent to a change of the phase of the quark mass matrix θ_2 by the negative amount. The noninvariance of the path integral measure is due to the anomaly. In the following we consider the two extreme cases, $\theta_2 = 0$ and $\theta_1 = 0$, and denote the complementary phases, θ_1 and θ_2 , synonymously by θ .

A primary CP violating observable is the electric dipole moment of the neutron, d_n , which is a measure of the separation of positive and negative electric charges within the neutron. So far no electric dipole moment has been found. The current upper bound is $|d_n| < 1.8 \times 10^{-13} e \text{ fm}$ [1], which is commonly taken as an indication that θ is anomalously small. An estimate of θ requires to know d_n/θ though. As the theory does not have an enhanced symmetry when θ is sent to zero, it would be natural for θ to take any nonzero value between $-\pi$ and $+\pi$. This puzzle is generally referred to as the strong CP problem.

The strong CP problem is considered one of the biggest unsolved problems in the elementary particles field. It is deeply rooted in the topological properties of the theory. A nonvanishing value of θ is expected to have a significant impact on the QCD vacuum [2, 3]. In fact, there may be infinitely many ‘ θ vacua’, all separated by phase boundaries [4]. This raises the question why Nature chose $\theta = 0$. In the first place, this suggests to look for correlations between θ and other parameters of the theory that are anthropically constrained. A ‘solution’ was found by examining the renormalization group flow of the running coupling α_s depending on θ in the Yang-Mills theory [5, 6]. It turned out that α_s and θ are driven to a fixed point, $1/\alpha_s = \theta = 0$, in the infrared exhibiting linear confinement. This led us to conclude that confinement implies CP invariance of the strong interaction [5].

It is not obvious that this rules out any CP violation. What happens dynamically is that the color charges are screened, where the (Debye) screening length was found to be inversely proportional to $|\theta|$ [7]. While the color charge will be screened for $|\theta| > 0$ at large distances, the nucleon will disintegrate into quarks and gluons once the screening length has become smaller than the nucleon radius, which might be the case for $|\theta| \gtrsim 0.2$ only [6]. In this Letter I will show that the dipole moment vanishes for any (small) value of θ that leaves the nucleon intact as a whole. The crucial point is that the topological charge decouples from hadron correlation functions in the large volume limit. This should dispel any doubts that CP is conserved in the strong interaction.

2 Topology, axial anomaly and index theorem

The path integral splits into quantum mechanically disconnected sectors of topological charge Q . On the lattice this happens at lattice spacings $a \lesssim 0.05 \text{ fm}$. The topological charge is obtained by differentiating the action (1) with respect to θ (either θ_1 or θ_2). This has two solutions, the field-theoretic expression (3) and the so-called fermionic definition of Q , arising from the anomaly. After integrating out the Grassmann variables and expressing the Dirac operator in terms of its eigenvectors, the latter reads

$$Q = - \sum_i \int d^4x \bar{u}_i(x) \gamma_5 u_i(x), \quad (5)$$

where $\{u_i(x)\}$ are the normalized zero-mode eigenvectors of the Dirac operator,

$$\not{D} u_i(x) = 0, \quad \int d^4x \bar{u}_i(x) u_i(x) = 1, \quad (6)$$

of which n_+ eigenvectors have positive chirality, $\gamma_5 u_i(x) = u_i(x)$, and n_- have negative chirality, $\gamma_5 u_i(x) = -u_i(x)$, if applicable. Thus $Q = n_- - n_+$, which is known as the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. On the lattice, the definition (5) of the topological charge is realized by [8]

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \gamma_5 D_N = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \gamma_5 (2 - D_N) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} (2 - \lambda) (u_{\lambda}, \gamma_5 u_{\lambda}), \quad (7)$$

where u_{λ} are the eigenvectors of the overlap Dirac operator, $D_N u_{\lambda} = \lambda u_{\lambda}$ [9]. The eigenvalues of D_N lie on the circle $\lambda = 1 - e^{i\phi}$. There are two types. Real eigenvalues, $\lambda = 0$ (the zero modes) and $\lambda = 2$ with $\gamma_5 u_{\lambda} = \pm u_{\lambda}$, as well as complex eigenvalues with $\gamma_5 u_{\lambda} = u_{\lambda}^*$ and $(u_{\lambda}, \gamma_5 u_{\lambda}) = 0$. It is readily seen that both definitions of Q , (5) and (7), are identical.

The essential point is that any gauge field configuration of positive (negative) topological charge Q has exactly n_- (n_+) zero modes, but never zero modes of both chiralities, that is $|n_+ - n_-| = n_+ + n_-$. In mathematical language: $\dim \ker i\not{D} = |n_+ - n_-|$. This so-called ‘vanishing theorem’ has been proven in two dimensions [10, 11], and for self-dual configurations in four dimensions [12, 13]. In QCD the ‘vanishing theorem’ applies to the effective theory. By equating the θ term and the mass term \mathcal{M} in the action (1), following [14], we get

$$Z = \sum_Q e^{i\theta Q} Z_Q \equiv e^{-V F(\theta)}, \quad (8)$$

where $F(\theta)$ is the free energy, which depends on the combination $m e^{i\theta/2}$ only (for two quark flavors). Treating the mass term as a perturbation, this leads to

$$F = -\frac{1}{V} \log Z = -2 \Sigma \text{Re} (m e^{i\theta/2}) + O(m^2), \quad \Sigma = -\langle \bar{q} q \rangle. \quad (9)$$

The partition function Z_Q is obtained by Fourier expanding the right-hand side of (8), which gives

$$Z_Q = I_{|Q|}^2(V\Sigma m) - I_{|Q|+1}(V\Sigma m) I_{|Q|-1}(V\Sigma m). \quad (10)$$

In the chiral limit this reduces to

$$Z_Q = \left(\frac{V\Sigma m}{2} \right)^{2|Q|} \frac{1}{|Q|! (|Q| + 1)!}, \quad (11)$$

which is consistent with the fermion determinant and implies the occurrence of exactly $|Q|$ topological zero modes. This result is an integral part of chiral perturbation theory and its spectral manifestations [14]. Assuming there were zero modes of mixed chirality, $n_+ + n_- > |n_+ - n_-|$, their contribution would be severely suppressed for small quark masses by an additional factor of $m^{2(n_+ + n_- - |n_+ - n_-|)}$ in the fermion determinant. For $Q = 0$, for example, the factor would be $m^{2(n_+ + n_-)}$. The ‘vanishing theorem’ has been confirmed in numerous lattice simulations in two [15] and four dimensions at zero [16, 17, 18] and finite temperature [19, 20], up to spatial

volumes of $(5 \text{ fm})^3$. A proof through ‘reduction’ is provided by the gradient flow [21], which is an infinitesimal, differentiable and invertible RG-type transformation that connects lattice gauge fields to semi-classical, self-dual multi-instanton solutions at larger flow times t [6]. It is known already that $\partial Q / \partial t \equiv \partial(n_- - n_+) / \partial t = 0$ [21, 6]. Evidently, it is not possible to change a zero mode,

$$(u_0, \gamma_5 u_0) = \pm 1, \quad (12)$$

into a continuous mode,

$$(u_\lambda, \gamma_5 u_\lambda) = 0, \quad |\lambda| > 0, \quad (13)$$

by an infinitesimal change of t . It follows that the number of zero modes is independent of the flow time, from the multi-instanton regime to present lattice cut-offs, which means that either $Q = n_-$ or $Q = -n_+$. In summary, the topological charge is mapped one-to-one to the number of zero modes.

The ‘vanishing theorem’, and the fact that the vacuum splits into disconnected sectors of topological charge Q , has notable consequences. To be mentioned first is the cluster property, which was considered to be violated in the presence of instantons and anti-instantons. Consider the topological charge density

$$q(x) = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}. \quad (14)$$

The cluster property requires that

$$\langle q(x) q(y) \rangle_{|x-y| \rightarrow \infty} = \langle q(x) \rangle \langle q(y) \rangle = \langle q(x) \rangle^2. \quad (15)$$

The reservation would be correct if the vacuum was in a mixed state of instantons and anti-instantons. This is, however, excluded by the ‘vanishing theorem’. In effect, the cluster property is restored by restricting the theory to a Hilbert space built on two degenerate vacua. A similar situation is met in the nonlinear $O(3)$ model in two dimensions [22]. A further consequence concerns the dilute instanton gas approximation of the QCD vacuum. The dilute instanton gas is characterized by a charge distribution consisting of a convolution of two separate Poisson distributions for instantons and anti-instantons, thus superimposing single instanton and anti-instanton solutions isolated from each other. The coexistence of both fermion chiralities excludes the model, besides having an infrared divergence.

3 Hadron correlators and electric dipole moment

In the θ vacuum an n -point correlation function of operators O_i takes the form

$$\langle O_1 \cdots O_n \rangle_\theta = \langle e^{i\theta Q} O_1 \cdots O_n \rangle = \sum_Q e^{i\theta Q} P(Q) \langle O_1 \cdots O_n \rangle_Q, \quad (16)$$

where $P(Q)$ denotes the probability for charge Q (at $\theta = 0$), with $\sum_Q P(Q) = 1$. The electric dipole moment of the nucleon is given by

$$\vec{d}_n = \frac{\int d^3\vec{x} d^3\vec{y} e^{i\vec{p}\vec{x}} \langle N(\vec{x}, x_0) \vec{y} J_0(\vec{y}, y_0) \bar{N}(0) \rangle_\theta}{\int d^3x e^{i\vec{p}\vec{x}} \langle N(\vec{x}, x_0) \bar{N}(0) \rangle_\theta}, \quad (17)$$

where J_0 is the time component of the electromagnetic current and $x_0 \gg y_0 \gg 0$. Appropriate traces of the correlators $\langle \dots \rangle$ are to be taken, which we have omitted. In practice, large (\gg) means about a fermi apart. Treating the θ term as a perturbation at first order, we obtain

$$\vec{d}_n = i\theta \frac{\int d^3\vec{x} d^3\vec{y} e^{i\vec{p}\vec{x}} \langle (\sum_i \int d^4z \bar{u}_i(z) \gamma_5 u_i(z)) N(\vec{x}, x_0) \vec{y} J_0(\vec{y}, y_0) \bar{N}(0) \rangle}{\int d^3x e^{i\vec{p}\vec{x}} \langle N(\vec{x}, x_0) \bar{N}(0) \rangle}, \quad (18)$$

where the topological charge Q in the numerator has been expressed by the sum over the zero modes (5).




Figure 1: The distribution of topological charge density $q(x)$ for $Q = 1$ in a given time slice on the $12^3 \times 24$ lattice at lattice spacing $a = 0.125$ fm [23], differentiated by positive (red) and negative (green) charge densities. The left panel shows the distribution of the zero mode. The right panel represents the sum over all eigenvectors. Results are from a cluster analysis [16].

A characteristic of the nucleon correlation function (17) is that it extends over little more than the spatial size of the nucleon and spreads over Euclidean times of a few fermi only. A nonvanishing dipole moment arises from the interaction of the electromagnetic current with one of the zero modes (18). In a series of papers [23, 24, 16, 25, 26] it has been shown that the zero-mode eigenvectors are highly localized, in contrast to the nonzero modes. This is demonstrated in Fig. 1 for a representative gauge field configuration of charge $Q = 1$ [23], showing the topological charge density

$$q(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} (2 - \lambda) \bar{u}_{\lambda}(x) \gamma_5 u_{\lambda}(x), \quad (19)$$

as defined by the lattice fermionic charge (7). The left panel shows the contribution of the zero mode in a given time slice. The right panel shows the total contribution summed over all eigenvectors, which fills the entire volume, where $q(x)$ splits into isosurfaces of positive (red) and negative (green) charge density [23, 16]. The zero-mode density $|u_0(x)|^2$ drops by an order of




Figure 2: Connected diagram of the zero-mode flavor singlet pseudoscalar density and the nucleon isovector electromagnetic current.

magnitude at a distance of a fraction of a fermi from its center. Thus, the dipole moment (18) can be visualized by a connected diagram of two rather local operators [27], as shown in Fig. 2. The result will depend on the probability of finding a zero mode within the interacting range.

In the following we call the number of zero modes n . That can be either $n = n_+$ or $n = n_-$, according to the ‘vanishing theorem’. By all what we know, the topological susceptibility

$$\chi_t = \frac{\langle Q^2 \rangle}{V} \equiv \frac{\langle n^2 \rangle}{V} \quad (20)$$

is independent of the space-time volume V . The decisive factor for the magnitude of d_n is the density of zero modes. We assume that the probability function $P(Q)$ can be described by a Gaussian distribution,

$$P(Q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\langle Q^2 \rangle}} e^{-Q^2/2\langle Q^2 \rangle}, \quad |Q| = n. \quad (21)$$




Figure 3: The fraction of zero modes to be expected in a subvolume of one fm^4 as a function of $Q = \pm n$ for total volumes V of $(5 \text{ fm})^4$, $(10 \text{ fm})^4$ and $(20 \text{ fm})^4$. Scale setting is described in the text.

Minor corrections should not matter. The density of zero modes is then given by

$$\frac{\langle n \rangle}{V} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{\langle Q^2 \rangle}}{V} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\chi_t}{V}}, \quad (22)$$

which vanishes with the square root of the volume.

To make any quantitative statement about the density of zero modes (22), we need to know χ_t in physical units. Chiral perturbation theory predicts $\chi_t = (79 \text{ MeV})^4$ for $N_f = 2+1$ quark flavors at the physical point using the quenched (Yang-Mills) result $\chi_t^{YM} = (f_\pi^2/6) (m_{\eta'}^2 + m_\eta^2 - 2m_K^2) = (179 \text{ MeV})^4$ as input. The fraction of zero modes to be expected in a subvolume of one fm^4 is given by $|Q| (\text{fm}^4/V) P(Q) (|Q| = n)$. The result is shown in Fig. 3 for three lattice volumes, $V = (5 \text{ fm})^4$, $(10 \text{ fm})^4$ and $(20 \text{ fm})^4$. By increasing the lattice volume from $(5 \text{ fm})^4$ to $(10 \text{ fm})^4$ and $(20 \text{ fm})^4$ the fraction of zero modes shrinks by a factor of 4 and 16, respectively. We can assume that the strong interactions are largely confined to a box of about $(2.5 \text{ fm})^4$, the ‘femto universe’. We take that as our reference volume V_0 . To put the size of V_0 into perspective, in a recent calculation of the electric dipole moment on the $64^3 \times 128$ lattice at lattice spacing $a = 0.08 \text{ fm}$ [28] that would correspond to a 32^4 sublattice. The exact size of V_0 does not matter for our final conclusion. The all-important question then is, what is the probability of finding a zero mode in the reference volume V_0 ? According to Fig. 3 the maximum of n is at about $|Q| \approx \sqrt{\langle Q^2 \rangle}$. Thus, we can expect at most $R_{\text{max}} = \sqrt{\langle Q^2 \rangle} (V_0/V)$ zero modes within the interacting range of the electromagnetic current. For the average number (22) the result is $R_{\text{aver}} = \sqrt{2\langle Q^2 \rangle/\pi} (V_0/V)$. In Fig. 4 we show both numbers as a function of total volume V . The numbers are compared with a fictitious lattice calculation on the $64^3 \times 128$ and the $128^3 \times 256$ lattice at lattice spacing of $a = 0.08 \text{ fm}$. While on the $64^3 \times 128$ lattice the probability for finding a zero-mode within range is $\approx 15\%$, the probability drops to $\approx 4\%$ already on the $128^3 \times 256^4$ lattice. Higher powers of the θ term in (18) require at least three zero modes within range, for




Figure 4: The probability for the maximum and average amount of zero modes in the subvolume V_0 as a function of the total volume V . Corresponding volumes of a $64^3 \times 128$ and a $128^3 \times 256$ lattice at lattice spacing $a = 0.08 \text{ fm}$ are shown at the top.

which there is a much lower probability, about the probability for one zero mode to the third power. The final result is that the dipole moment vanishes in the infinite volume proportional to

$$|d_n| \propto \sqrt{\frac{\chi_t}{V}} |\theta|. \quad (23)$$

Corresponding estimates apply to any other hadronic observable, for example the CP violating pion-nucleon coupling constant $\bar{g}_{\pi NN}$ [29]. This leads us to conclude that CP is conserved in the strong interactions.

4 Summary and conclusion

The strong CP problem is largely a question of why the neutron electric dipole moment d_n is unnaturally small. To lowest order in θ , the electric dipole moment arises from the nucleon matrix element of the electromagnetic current weighted with the topological charge Q . The topological charge is conveniently expressed by the pseudoscalar product of zero-mode eigenvectors. The eigenvectors are known to be highly local [23, 16], as was shown in Fig. 1. Their location correlates strongly with the position of instantons and anti-instantons [30]. Thus, we are dealing with the interaction of the nucleon with a point-like source, as depicted in Fig. 2, leaving aside that the color charges will eventually be screened and the nucleon disintegrate at larger values of θ [6, 7]. There are exactly $|Q|$ zero modes. Assuming that the topological susceptibility $\chi_t = \langle Q^2 \rangle / V$ is independent of the volume, it follows that the density of zero modes vanishes with the inverse root of the volume in the thermodynamic limit. As a result, the probability of finding a zero mode within the interacting range of the nucleon decreases rapidly as the physical volume is increased. Thus, $d_n = 0$ in the infinite volume. Similar results hold for any other CP-violating coupling of the nucleon.

The electric dipole moment of the neutron has been the subject of many investigations in the past, employing effective theory [29, 31] and on the lattice [32, 33, 34, 28, 35, 36, 37]. Current algebra estimates [29] rely on a nonvanishing CP-violating pion-nucleon coupling constant $\bar{g}_{NN\pi}$. The result of this work is that $\bar{g}_{NN\pi}$ vanishes in the infinite volume. Lattice calculations do not provide a clear picture yet. Most calculations are on lattices that are far too small to be meaningful. A notable exception is perhaps the work of the ETM Collaboration [28] on the $64^3 \times 128$ lattice at the physical point, although showing significant cut-off effects. The authors found $|d_n| = 0.0009(24) \theta e \text{ fm}$, which is in agreement with zero. To bring the lattice calculations to a conclusion, a statistically significant extrapolation to the infinite volume is required.

The axion, which was postulated by Peccei and Quinn [38] to resolve the strong CP problem, has lost its theoretical justification. Even more serious, the axion extension of the Standard Model was found to be in conflict with the low energy properties of QCD [39].

Acknowledgment

I thank Ting-Wai Chiu for communicating his most recent results on the chirality of zero modes prior to publication. Furthermore, I am grateful to Andreas Kronfeld for reading the manuscript and for suggestions for improvement.

References

- [1] C. Abel, *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **124** (2020) 081803 [arXiv:2001.11966 [hep-ex]].
- [2] C. G. Callan, R. F. Dashen and D. J. Gross, Phys. Rev. D **20** (1979) 3279.
- [3] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B **190** (1981) 455.
- [4] J. L. Cardy and E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. B **205** (1982) 1.
- [5] Y. Nakamura and G. Schierholz, PoS **LATTICE2019** (2019) 172 [arXiv:1912.03941 [hep-lat]].
- [6] Y. Nakamura and G. Schierholz, Nucl. Phys. B **986** (2023) 116063 [arXiv:2106.11369 [hep-ph]].
- [7] G. Schierholz, EPJ Web Conf. **274** (2022) 01009 [arXiv:2212.05485 [hep-lat]].
- [8] P. Hasenfratz, V. Laliena and F. Niedermayer, Phys. Lett. B **427** (1998) 125 [arXiv:hep-lat/9801021 [hep-lat]].
- [9] H. Neuberger, Phys. Lett. B **417** (1998) 141 [arXiv:hep-lat/9707022 [hep-lat]]; Phys. Lett. B **427** (1998) 353 [arXiv:hep-lat/9801031 [hep-lat]].
- [10] M. M. Anisourian, Phys. Lett. B **70** (1977) 301.
- [11] N. K. Nielsen and B. Schroer, Nucl. Phys. B **127** (1977) 493.
- [12] L. S. Brown, R. D. Carlitz and C. k. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **16** (1977) 417.
- [13] R. D. Carlitz and C. k. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **17** (1978) 3238.
- [14] H. Leutwyler and A. V. Smilga, Phys. Rev. D **46** (1992) 5607.
- [15] T. W. Chiu, Phys. Rev. D **58** (1998) 074511 [arXiv:hep-lat/9804016 [hep-lat]].
- [16] E. M. Ilgenfritz, K. Koller, Y. Koma, G. Schierholz, T. Streuer and V. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 034506 [arXiv:0705.0018 [hep-lat]].
- [17] T. W. Chiu, T. H. Hsieh and Y. Y. Mao, Phys. Lett. B **702** (2011) 131 [arXiv:1105.4414 [hep-lat]]; T. W. Chiu, PoS **LATTICE2019** (2020) 133 [arXiv:2002.06126 [hep-lat]].
- [18] A. Di Giacomo and M. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 054512 [arXiv:1501.06517 [hep-lat]].
- [19] Y. C. Chen, T. W. Chiu and T. H. Hsieh, Phys. Rev. D **106** (2022) 074501 [arXiv:2204.01556 [hep-lat]].
- [20] T. W. Chiu, private communication.

- [21] M. Lüscher, JHEP **08** (2010) 071 [erratum: JHEP **03** (2014) 092] [arXiv:1006.4518 [hep-lat]].
- [22] Y. Iwasaki, Phys. Lett. B **104** (1981) 458.
- [23] Y. Koma, E. M. Ilgenfritz, K. Koller, G. Schierholz, T. Streuer and V. Weinberg, PoS **LATTICE2005** (2006) 300 [arXiv:hep-lat/0509164 [hep-lat]].
- [24] E. M. Ilgenfritz, K. Koller, Y. Koma, G. Schierholz, T. Streuer and V. Weinberg, AIP Conf. Proc. **892** (2007) 187 [arXiv:hep-lat/0611007 [hep-lat]].
- [25] E. M. Ilgenfritz, K. Koller, Y. Koma, G. Schierholz, T. Streuer, V. Weinberg and M. Quandt, PoS **LATTICE2007** (2007) 311 [arXiv:0710.2607 [hep-lat]].
- [26] E. M. Ilgenfritz, K. Koller, Y. Koma, G. Schierholz and V. Weinberg, [arXiv:0912.2281 [hep-lat]].
- [27] D. Guadagnoli, V. Lubicz, G. Martinelli and S. Simula, JHEP **04** (2003) 019 [arXiv:hep-lat/0210044 [hep-lat]].
- [28] C. Alexandrou, A. Athenodorou, K. Hadjiyiannakou and A. Todaro, Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) 054501 [arXiv:2011.01084 [hep-lat]].
- [29] R. J. Crewther, P. Di Vecchia, G. Veneziano and E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B **88** (1979) 123 [erratum: Phys. Lett. B **91** (1980) 487].
- [30] T. A. DeGrand and A. Hasenfratz, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 034512 [arXiv:hep-lat/0012021 [hep-lat]].
- [31] F. K. Guo and U. G. Meissner, JHEP **12** (2012) 097 [arXiv:1210.5887 [hep-ph]].
- [32] F. K. Guo, R. Horsley, U. G. Meissner, Y. Nakamura, H. Perlt, P. E. L. Rakow, G. Schierholz, A. Schiller and J. M. Zanotti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) 062001 [arXiv:1502.02295 [hep-lat]].
- [33] M. Abramczyk, S. Aoki, T. Blum, T. Izubuchi, H. Ohki and S. Syritsyn, Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) 014501 [arXiv:1701.07792 [hep-lat]].
- [34] J. Dragos, T. Luu, A. Shindler, J. de Vries and A. Yousif, Phys. Rev. C **103** (2021) 015202 [arXiv:1902.03254 [hep-lat]].
- [35] T. Bhattacharya, V. Cirigliano, R. Gupta, E. Mereghetti and B. Yoon, Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) 114507 [arXiv:2101.07230 [hep-lat]].
- [36] J. Liang, A. Alexandru, T. Draper, K. F. Liu, B. Wang, G. Wang and Y. B. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **108** (2023) 094512 [arXiv:2301.04331 [hep-lat]].
- [37] F. He, M. Abramczyk, T. Blum, T. Izubuchi, H. Ohki and S. Syritsyn, [arXiv:2311.06106 [hep-lat]].

- [38] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 1440.
- [39] G. Schierholz, [arXiv:2307.08310 [hep-ph]].