

ON REGULAR FUSIBLE MODULES

OSAMA A. NAJI, MEHMET ÖZEN, ÜNSAL TEKİR, AND SUAT KOÇ

ABSTRACT. In this article, we introduce the notion of regular fusible modules. Let R be a ring with an identity and M an R -module. An element $0 \neq m \in M$ is said to be regular fusible if there exists $r \in R$, a non zero-divisor of M , such that mr can be written as the sum of a torsion element and a torsion free element in M . M is called regular fusible if every nonzero element of M is regular fusible. We characterize regular fusible modules in terms of fusible modules. In addition, we show that a regular fusible module over a right duo ring is reduced and nonsingular. Moreover, we study the regular fusible property under Cartesian product, trivial extension ring, and module of a fractions. Also, we characterize division rings in terms of fusible modules.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R denote a ring with an identity. The concept of fusible elements in rings was introduced by Ghashghaei and McGovern in their work [4]. Specifically, a non-zero element a of R is called left (right) fusible if there exists a left (right) zero-divisor element z and a non-left (non-right) zero-divisor element r such that $a = z + r$. An element in R that exhibits both left and right fusibility is said to be fusible. Furthermore, a ring R is called left (right) fusible if each non-zero element of R is identified as left (right) fusible. Later, Köşan and Matczuk introduced in [11] the concept of regular fusible rings. A ring R is called regular left fusible ring if, for every non-zero element a in R , there exists a regular element s in R (i.e., an element that is both left and right regular) such that the product sa is left fusible. Formally, $sa = z + r$, where z is a left zero divisor and r is left regular. They showed that class of regular left fusible rings is wider than the one of left fusible rings.

Baydar and colleagues, as outlined in [2], expanded the concept of fusibility to modules. Specifically, an R -module M is deemed fusible when each non-zero element of M can be expressed as the sum of a torsion element and a torsion-free element. Inspired by the study of regular fusible rings, the present paper introduces the concept of a regular fusible module, serving as a module-theoretic counterpart to a regular fusible ring.

For the sake of completeness, we provide the notations that will be utilized throughout this manuscript. Let M be an R -module and N a submodule of M . The right annihilator of N , denoted by $\text{ann}_R(N)$, is the right ideal defined by $\{r \in R : Nr = 0\}$. In particular, $\text{ann}_R(m) = \{r \in R : mr = 0\}$ for $m \in M$. If $\text{ann}_R(M) = 0$, then M is said to be a faithful module. The set of all torsion elements of M is defined by $T_R(M) = \{m \in M : mr = 0 \text{ for some nonzero element } r \in R\}$. Note that $T_R(M) = \{m \in M : \text{ann}_R(m) \neq 0\}$. A module M is called torsion free if

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16D10, 16D80, 16U99.

Key words and phrases. regular fusible module, fusible module, fusible ring, regular fusible ring, regular fusible submodule.

$T_R(M) = 0$, and it is called a torsion module if $T_R(M) = M$. $T_R^*(M)$ denotes the set of all torsion free elements in M , that is, $T_R^*(M) = \{m \in M : ann_R(m) = 0\}$. The set of all zero divisors of M , denoted by $Zd(M)$, is defined by $\{r \in R : mr = 0 \text{ for some } 0 \neq m \in M\}$ [13]. It is noteworthy that the element 1 always belongs to the set $R - Zd(M)$.

In section 2, we introduce the notion of regular fusible modules. We say that a nonzero element m of an R -module M is regular fusible if there exists $r \in R - Zd(M)$ such that the product mr is fusible, signifying that mr can be expressed as $mr = x + y$, where $x \in T_R(M)$ and $y \in T_R^*(M)$. The module M is said to be regular fusible module if every non-zero element of M possesses the property of being regular fusible. We showed in Proposition 3 and Corollary 2 that every regular fusible module is nonsingular, provided that $R - Zd(M)$ is contained in the center of the ring or R is a right duo ring, that is, $Ra \subseteq aR$. Consequently, every regular fusible module over a commutative ring is nonsingular. In addition, we give some examples of nonsingular modules that do not exhibit regular fusibility when the set $R - Zd(M)$ is contained in the center of the ring. This delineation signifies that the class of regular fusible modules is strictly smaller than the class of nonsingular modules. In fact we have the following inclusion over commutative rings: torsion free module $\Rightarrow$ fusible module $\Rightarrow$ regular fusible module $\Rightarrow$ nonsingular module. Furthermore, if R is an integral domain, then the four concepts coincide (Corollary 3). Moreover, we proved in Theorem 2 for a faithful module M over a commutative ring R and $S = R - Zd(M)$, M is regular fusible if and only if $S^{-1}M$ is a fusible $S^{-1}R$ -module if and only if $S^{-1}M$ is a regular fusible $S^{-1}R$ -module. In Theorem 4, we showed that a regular fusible module M over a right duo ring R is reduced, but the converse is not true. This result generalizes the result in [2, Theorem 2.33]. Also, we studied the regular fusible property under the Cartesian product of modules (Corollary 4) and under the trivial extension ring (Theorem 6). Finally, we characterize division rings in terms of fusible modules (Theorem 8).

2. REGULAR FUSIBLE MODULES

In parallel with the regular fusible characteristic observed in rings, this section introduces the concept of the regular fusible property within the context of modules, and subsequently establishes several basic properties associated with it.

Definition 1. *Let M be an R -module. A nonzero element m of M is said to be regular fusible if there exists $r \in R - Zd(M)$ such that mr is fusible, that is, mr can be written as $mr = x + y$, where $x \in T_R(M)$ and $y \in T_R^*(M)$. The module M is called regular fusible if every nonzero element of M is regular fusible.*

Note that $1 \in R - Zd(M)$, so, it is straightforward from the definition that every fusible module is regular fusible. Since every element in $T_R^*(M)$ is fusible, it is enough to see that for every nonzero torsion element m , there exists $r \in R - Zd(M)$ such that the element mr has a fusible representation.

Example 1. (1) *Torsion free modules are regular fusible, since $m \cdot 1 = m = 0 + m$ for every nonzero element m of M .*

(2) *Torsion modules can not be regular fusible, since $T_R(M) = M$ and $T_R^*(M) = \emptyset$.*

In general, a regular fusible module may not be fusible. The following example demonstrates that the class of fusible modules is decidedly more strict than the class of regular fusible modules.

Example 2. [11] Let F be a field and $R = F \langle x, y \rangle$ with $x^2 = 0$. Consider the R -module R . Cohn showed in [3] that xR is the set of all left zero divisors of R . Hence, if $m \in R$ is a left zero divisor, then it cannot be written as a fusible decomposition since the sum of two left zero divisors is a zero divisor. Therefore, R is not fusible. The element y is regular in R , and for each $0 \neq m \in R$, we have $my = 0 + my$ is a fusible decomposition of my . Thus, R is a regular fusible module.

Example 3. (1) Let M be a simple module over a local ring R that is not a field. Then, for every nonzero element m of M , $\text{ann}_R(m)$ is a nonzero maximal ideal of R . Hence $m \in T_R(M)$, that is, M is a torsion module. Therefore, M is not regular fusible.

(2) Let M be a module over a field R , then $\text{ann}_R(m) = 0$ for every nonzero element m of M . Thus, $T_R(M) = 0$ and $m \in T_R^*(M)$. So, M is regular fusible.

The converse of Example 1(1) may not be true in general. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_6$ -module $\mathbb{Z}_6$. It is clear that $\mathbb{Z}_6$ is not a torsion free module. Note that $T_R(\mathbb{Z}_6) = \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $T_R^*(\mathbb{Z}_6) = \{1, 5\}$. It can be easily seen that for every nonzero element m of $\mathbb{Z}_6$, there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_6 - Zd(\mathbb{Z}_6) = \{1, 5\}$ such that mr is fusible.

Proposition 1. If $T_R(M)$ forms a submodule of M , then M is torsion free if and only if M is regular fusible.

Proof. Let m be a nonzero element of $T_R(M)$. There exists $r \in R - Zd(M)$ such that $mr = x + y$, where $x \in T_R(M)$ and $y \in T_R^*(M)$. Then $y = mr - x \in T_R(M)$. A contradiction. $\square$

It is easy to see that if R is an integral domain, then the set of all torsion elements of M forms a submodule of M .

Corollary 1. Let R be an integral domain. Then M is torsion free if and only if M is regular fusible.

We say that a ring R satisfies the comparability relation between right annihilators of the elements of M if $\text{ann}_R(m_1) \subseteq \text{ann}_R(m_2)$ or $\text{ann}_R(m_2) \subseteq \text{ann}_R(m_1)$ for every $m_1, m_2 \in M$.

Proposition 2. Suppose that R is a ring with comparability relation between right annihilators of the elements of M , and $R - Zd(M)$ is contained in the center of R . Then, M is a regular fusible module if and only if M is torsion free.

Proof. Let M be a regular fusible module, and $0 \neq m \in T_R(M)$. Then there exists $r \in R - Zd(M)$ such that $mr = x + y$, for some $x \in T_R(M)$ and $y \in T_R^*(M)$. Note that $\text{ann}_R(m) \neq 0$, and this implies $\text{ann}_R(mr) \neq 0$. Assume that $0 \neq t \in \text{ann}_R(mr) \subseteq \text{ann}_R(x)$. Then $mrt = xt + yt$, and hence $0 = yt$, and we get $y \in T_R(M)$. Similarly, if $0 \neq t \in \text{ann}_R(x) \subseteq \text{ann}_R(mr)$, we obtain $y \in T_R(M)$. A contradiction. $\square$

An ideal I of a ring R is called essential, denoted by $I \leq_e R$, if for every nonzero ideal J of R , $I \cap J \neq 0$. Let M be an R -module. $Z(M) = \{m \in M : \text{ann}_R(m) \leq_e R\}$ is called the singular submodule of M . A module M is said to be singular when $Z(M)$ coincides with M . If $Z(M)$ equals zero, the module M is referred to as a nonsingular module.

Proposition 3. Suppose that $R - Zd(M)$ is contained in the center of the ring R . If M is a regular fusible module, then M is nonsingular.

Proof. Let m be a nonzero element of $Z(M)$. Since M is regular fusible, there exists $r \in R - Zd(M)$, $x \in T_R(M)$, and $y \in T_R^*(M)$ such that $mr = x + y$. Note that $mr \in Z(M)$. Indeed, let J be a nonzero ideal of R , then we obtain $0 \neq \text{ann}_R(m) \cap J \subseteq \text{ann}_R(mr) \cap J$. Now, since $\text{ann}_R(x) \neq 0$, we have $\text{ann}_R(mr) \cap \text{ann}_R(x) \neq 0$. Let $0 \neq w \in \text{ann}_R(mr) \cap \text{ann}_R(x) \subseteq \text{ann}_R(mr - x) = \text{ann}_R(y) = 0$. This is a contradiction, thus, $Z(M) = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 2. (i) Every regular fusible module over a right duo ring is nonsingular.
(ii) Every regular fusible module over a commutative ring is nonsingular.

Proof. (i): Let M be a regular fusible module over a right duo ring R . Choose $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Let $b \in \text{ann}_R(m)$. Then $mb = 0$. Since R is right duo ring, $rb = br'$ for some $r' \in R$. Then we have $mrb = mbr' = 0$, that is, $\text{ann}_R(m) \subseteq \text{ann}_R(mr)$. By a similar argument in the proof of Proposition 3, one can show that M is nonsingular if M is a regular fusible module.

(ii): Follows from (i). $\square$

By Proposition 3, if there exists a nonzero element m of M such that $\text{ann}_R(m) \cap I \neq 0$ for every nonzero ideal I of R , then M is not regular fusible, provided that $R -$

$Zd(M)$ is contained in the center of R . Let $M = R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and consider

the R -module R . Let $0 \neq m = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Note that $\text{ann}_R(m) \cap I \neq 0$ for every

nonzero ideal I of R . Hence $m \in Z(M)$ and M is not nonsingular. Thus, M is not a regular module. Indeed, the element m mentioned above is not regular fusible. Since

for each $r \in R - Zd(M) = T_R^*(M) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$,

$mr = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ does not have a fusible decomposition $mr = x + y$, where $x \in T_R(M) = R - T_R^*(M)$ and $y \in T_R^*(M)$.

The converse of Proposition 3 is not true. Let $R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and consider

the R -module R . Note that $R - Zd(R) = T_R^*(R) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ and

$T_R(R) = R - T_R^*(R)$. R is not regular fusible since $m = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is not a reg-

ular fusible element. Observe that mr can not be written as a fusible decomposition for every element $r \in R - Zd(R)$. It is easy to check that the set $Z(R) = \{a \in R : \text{ann}_R(a) \leq_e R\} = 0$. Therefore, the ring R is nonsingular. The following result shows that the converse of Proposition 3 is true when the ring R is an integral domain.

Proposition 4. Let R be an integral domain and M an R -module. If M is nonsingular, then M is fusible.

Proof. Let m be a nonzero element of M . Under the assumption that " M is nonsingular", we prove that $\text{ann}_R(m) = 0$. Assume that $\text{ann}_R(m) \neq 0$. Since $m \notin Z(M)$,

we have $\text{ann}_R(m) \not\subseteq_e R$. Then there exists a nonzero ideal J of R such that $\text{ann}_R(m) \cap J = 0$. Choose $0 \neq x \in J$ and $y \in \text{ann}_R(m)$. Since R is a domain, $0 \neq xy \in \text{ann}_R(m) \cap J$ which is a contradiction. Thus we have $\text{ann}_R(m) = 0$, that is, M is a torsion-free module. Thus by Example 1, M is fusible. $\square$

Observe that in the previous example, $R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is not an integral domain and the properties of nonsingularity and fusibility do not coincide. In the following corollary, we give a characterization of regular fusible modules in terms of nonsingular, torsion free, and fusible modules, provided that the ring R is an integral domain.

Corollary 3. *Let R be an integral domain and M an R -module. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is fusible.
- (ii) M is regular fusible.
- (iii) M is nonsingular.
- (iv) M is torsion free.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): It is evident.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): It follows from Proposition 3.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): It follows from Proposition 4.

(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv): It follows from Corollary 1. $\square$

A subset S of a ring R is called a multiplicatively closed set if $1 \in S$ and $ab \in S$ for all $a, b \in S$. Observe that if $0 \in S$, then the ring of fractions $S^{-1}R$ is the zero ring. Therefore, we assume that $0 \notin S$. Next, we investigate the regular fusible property within the context of the module of fractions. To begin, we present the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let R be a commutative ring and S a multiplicatively closed subset of R consisting of regular elements. For every $m \in M$ and $s \in S$, $m \in T_R(M)$ if and only if $\frac{m}{s} \in T_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M)$.*

Proof. First, it is easy to see that $\text{ann}_{S^{-1}R}(\frac{m}{s}) = S^{-1}[\text{ann}_R(m)]$ for every $m \in M$ and $s \in S$. If $m \notin T_R(M)$, then $\text{ann}_R(m) = 0$ and so $\text{ann}_{S^{-1}R}(\frac{m}{s}) = S^{-1}[\text{ann}_R(m)] = 0$ which implies that $\frac{m}{s} \notin T_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M)$. Now, assume that $m \in T_R(M)$. Then there exists $0 \neq c \in R$ such that $mc = 0$. This implies that $\frac{m}{s} \cdot \frac{c}{1} = 0$ and $\frac{c}{1} \neq 0$, that is, $\frac{m}{s} \in T_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M)$. $\square$

Theorem 1. *Let R be a commutative ring and S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R . Then the following statements are satisfied:*

- (i) *If M is a regular fusible R -module and S consists of regular elements, then so is $S^{-1}R$ -module $S^{-1}M$.*
- (ii) *Suppose that $S \subseteq R - \text{Zd}(M)$ and M is a faithful R -module. If $S^{-1}M$ is a regular fusible $S^{-1}R$ -module, then M is a regular fusible R -module.*

Proof. (i) Let $\frac{0}{1} \neq \frac{m}{s} \in S^{-1}M$. Since $0 \neq m \in M$, there exists $r \in R - \text{Zd}(M)$ such that $mr = x + y$ for some $x \in T_R(M)$ and $y \in T_R^*(M)$. By Lemma 1, we have $\frac{x}{s} \in T_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M)$ and $\frac{y}{s} \in T_{S^{-1}R}^*(S^{-1}M)$. Now we claim that $\frac{r}{1} \in S^{-1}R - \text{Zd}(S^{-1}M)$. Indeed, if $\frac{r}{1} \in \text{Zd}(S^{-1}M)$, then there exists $\frac{0}{1} \neq \frac{n}{v} \in S^{-1}M$ such that $\frac{n}{v} \cdot \frac{r}{1} = \frac{nr}{v} = \frac{0}{1}$. Hence, $nru = (nu)r = 0$ for some $u \in S$. Note that

$nu \neq 0$, so we obtain that $r \in Zd(M)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\frac{m}{s} \cdot \frac{r}{1} = \frac{x}{s} + \frac{y}{s}$ is a fusible decomposition of $\frac{m}{s} \cdot \frac{r}{1}$ and so $S^{-1}M$ is regular fusible.

(ii) First note that since M is a faithful module, every element of $R - Zd(M)$ is a regular element of R which implies that S consists of regular elements. Let $0 \neq m \in M$. Since $S \subseteq R - Zd(M)$, it is clear that $\frac{m}{1}$ is a nonzero element of $S^{-1}M$. Then by assumption, there exist $\frac{x}{s_1} \in T_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M)$, $\frac{y}{s_2} \in T_{S^{-1}R}^*(S^{-1}M)$ and $\frac{r}{s} \in S^{-1}R - Zd(S^{-1}M)$ such that $\frac{m}{1} \cdot \frac{r}{s} = \frac{x}{s_1} + \frac{y}{s_2}$. Hence, $\frac{mr}{s} = \frac{xs_2 + ys_1}{s_1s_2}$, and so $mrs_1s_2 = xs_2s + ys_1s$ since $S \subseteq R - Zd(M)$. Put $rs_1s_2 = u$, $s_2s = t$, $s_1s = v$ and note that $u, t, v \in R - Zd(M)$. Also it is easy to see that $ann_R(xt) = ann_R(x)$ and $ann_R(yv) = ann_R(y)$. Then by Lemma 1, we have $xt \in T_R(M)$ and $yv \in T_R^*(M)$. This gives $mu = xt + yv$ is a regular fusible decomposition and so M is a regular fusible R -module. $\square$

Let R be a commutative ring, M an R -module and $S = R - Zd(M)$. Then it is clear that S is a multiplicatively closed subset of R . If M is a faithful R -module, then S consists of regular elements of R . For $S = R - Zd(M)$, the localization $S^{-1}M$ is an $S^{-1}R$ -module and it is called total quotient module. Here, we denote the total quotient module by $q(R)$ -module $q(M)$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that M is a faithful module over a commutative ring R . The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) M is a regular fusible R -module. (ii) $q(M)$ is a fusible $q(R)$ -module. (iii) $q(M)$ is a regular fusible $q(R)$ -module

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let $0 \neq \frac{m}{s} \in q(M)$, where $m \in M$ and $s \in R - Zd(M)$. Then $0 \neq m \in M$. As M is a regular fusible module, there exist $r \in R - Zd(M)$, $x \in T_R(M)$ and $y \in T_R^*(M)$ such that $mr = x + y$. This gives $\frac{m}{s} = \frac{mr}{sr} = \frac{x}{sr} + \frac{y}{sr}$. Since M is faithful, it is clear that $S = R - Zd(M)$ consists of regular elements of R . Then by Lemma 1, we have $\frac{x}{sr} \in T_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M)$ and $\frac{y}{sr} \in T_{S^{-1}R}^*(S^{-1}M)$. Thus $\frac{m}{s} = \frac{x}{sr} + \frac{y}{sr}$ is a fusible element of $S^{-1}M$. Hence, $q(M)$ is a fusible $q(R)$ -module. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): It is clear.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Follows from Theorem 1. $\square$

An R -module M is said to be reduced if for every $m \in M$ and every $r \in R$ with $mr = 0$, then $mR \cap Mr = 0$ [12]. Observe that a ring R is reduced if and only if it is a reduced module over itself. In the following, we show that every regular fusible module over a right duo ring is reduced.

Theorem 3. *Every regular fusible module over a right duo ring is reduced.*

Proof. Let M be a regular fusible module over a right duo ring R . Choose $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ma = 0$. Now, we will show that $mR \cap Ma = 0$. To see this take $x = mr = m^*a$ for some $r \in R$ and $m^* \in M$. Then we have $mra = m^*a^2$. Since R is right duo, there exists $y \in R$ such that $ra = ay$ and this gives $mra = m^*a^2 = may = 0$. Now we will show that $m^*a = 0$. Suppose that $m^*a \neq 0$. Since M is regular fusible module, there exist $u \in R - Zd(M)$, $z \in T_R(M)$ and $t \in T_R^*(M)$ such that $m^*au = z + t$. Since $z \in T_R(M)$, there exists $0 \neq c \in R$ such that $zc = 0$. Multiply $m^*au = z + t$ by ac , we obtain $m^*auac = zac + tac$. Since R is right duo, we have $zac = zcd$ for some $d \in R$ and so $zac = 0$. Similarly, R right duo gives $ua = au'$ for some $u' \in R$. Thus we have $m^*auac = m^*a^2u'c = 0$.

Then we get $tac = 0$. Since $t \in T_R^*(M)$, we get $ac = 0$. Again multiply $m^*au = z + t$ by c , we have $m^*auc = zc + tc = tc$. Since R is right duo, there exists $c' \in R$ such that $uc = cc'$ and so we have $m^*auc = m^*acc' = tc = 0$. As $t \in T_R^*(M)$, we obtain $c = 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus $x = mr = m^*a = 0$, that is, M is reduced. $\square$

In [2], Baydar et al. showed that if M is a fusible module over a duo ring R , then M is reduced. However, in the following theorem, the claim is still true if we replace duo ring by right duo.

Theorem 4. (i) *Let M be a fusible module over a right duo ring R . Then M is reduced.*
(ii) *A regular fusible module over a commutative ring is reduced.*

The converse of Theorem 4 (ii) is not true. See the following example.

Example 4. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_8$ -module $4\mathbb{Z}_8 = \{0, 4\}$. It is easy to check that the module $4\mathbb{Z}_8$ is reduced [12]. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_8 - Z_d(4\mathbb{Z}_8) = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$, $T_{\mathbb{Z}_8}(4\mathbb{Z}_8) = \{0, 4\}$, and $T_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^*(4\mathbb{Z}_8) = \emptyset$. Thus, $4\mathbb{Z}_8$ is not regular fusible.

Let M_i be an R_i -module for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Assume that $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$, $M = \prod_{i=1}^n M_i$ and M an R -module. Recall from [2] that $T_R^*\left(\prod_{i=1}^n M_i\right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n T_{R_i}^*(M_i)\right)$. In the following, we study the regular fusible property in Cartesian product of modules.

Theorem 5. Let M_i be an R_i -module for $i = 1, 2$ and $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and $M = M_1 \times M_2$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) M is a regular fusible R -module.
- (ii) M_i is a regular fusible R_i -module for every $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let m_1 be a nonzero element of M_1 . Since $0 \neq (m_1, 0) \in M$ and M is regular fusible, there exist $(r_1, r_2) \in R - Z_d(M)$, $(x_1, x_2) \in T_R(M)$ and $(y_1, y_2) \in T_R^*(M)$ such that $(m_1, 0)(r_1, r_2) = (x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2)$. Thus, $m_1 r_1 = x_1 + y_1$. Note that $y_1 \in T_{R_1}^*(M_1)$ and $y_2 \in T_{R_2}^*(M_2)$. Since $(x_1, x_2) \in T_R(M)$, there exists $0 \neq (a_1, a_2) \in R$ such that $(x_1, x_2)(a_1, a_2) = (0, 0)$. As $0 = x_2 + y_2$, $0 = x_2 a_2 + y_2 a_2$ and hence $0 = y_2 a_2$. As $y_2 \in T_{R_2}^*(M_2)$, we obtain that $a_2 = 0$, and so $a_1 \neq 0$. Thus, $x_1 \in T_{R_1}(M_1)$. Now, assume that $r_1 \in Z_d(M_1)$. Then there exists $0 \neq n \in M_1$ such that $nr_1 = 0$. Then, we get $(n, 0)(r_1, r_2) = (0, 0)$, which means that $(r_1, r_2) \in Z_d(M)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $m_1 r_1 = x_1 + y_1$ is a fusible decomposition of $m_1 r_1$, and thus M_1 is regular fusible. By applying the same argument, M_2 is regular fusible.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Suppose that M_i is regular fusible for each $i = 1, 2$. Let $0 \neq (m_1, m_2) \in M$. Then for every $i = 1, 2$, there exist $x_i \in T_{R_i}(M_i)$, $y_i \in T_{R_i}^*(M_i)$ and $r_i \notin Z_d(M_i)$ such that $m_i r_i = x_i + y_i$. Then for every x_i , there exists $0 \neq t_i \in R_i$ such that $x_i t_i = 0$. Now, if $m_i \neq 0$, define $w_i = x_i$ and $z_i = y_i$. If $m_i = 0$, let $0 \neq n_i \in M_i$, so $n_i r_i'$ has a fusible decomposition for some $r_i' \notin Z_d(M_i)$. Thus, $n_i r_i' = f_i + g_i$, for some $f_i \in T_{R_i}(M_i)$ and $g_i \in T_{R_i}^*(M_i)$. Hence, when $m_i = 0$, define $w_i = -g_i$ and $z_i = g_i$. So, we get $(m_1, m_2)(r_1, r_2) = (w_1, w_2) + (z_1, z_2)$. Note that $(z_1, z_2) \in T_R^*(M)$. To show that $(w_1, w_2) \in T_R(M)$, let $a_i = t_i$ if $m_i \neq 0$ and $a_i = 0$ if $m_i = 0$. Then, we have $(w_1, w_2)(a_1, a_2) = (0, 0)$. Now, if $(r_1, r_2) \in Z_d(M)$,

then there exists a nonzero element $(d_1, d_2) \in M$ such that $(d_1, d_2)(r_1, r_2) = (0, 0)$. So, $d_1 r_1 = 0$ and $d_2 r_2 = 0$. Since (d_1, d_2) is nonzero, $d_i \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Thus, $r_i \in Z_d(M_i)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, (m_1, m_2) is regular fusible and M is a regular fusible module. $\square$

Corollary 4. *Let M_i be an R_i -module for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Suppose that $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_n$ and $M = M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_n$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is a regular fusible R -module.
- (ii) M_i is a regular fusible R_i -module for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

A submodule N of R -module M is said to be regular fusible, if each nonzero element of N is regular fusible in M . It is clear that if M is regular fusible, then every submodule of M is regular fusible. Moreover, non regular fusible module may admit a regular fusible submodule. For instance, Let $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ and consider the R -module R . Since $\mathbb{Z}_4$ is not regular fusible, then by Theorem 5, R is not regular fusible. However, R has a regular fusible submodule, it easy to check that $\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$ is a regular fusible submodule of R .

Note that the intersection of regular fusible submodules is also regular fusible. In addition, the intersection of submodules that are not possessing the regular fusible property may produce a regular fusible submodule. For example, let $R = \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_{18}$ and consider the R -module R . 6 and 12 are not regular fusible elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{18}$ and 2 is not regular fusible in $\mathbb{Z}_4$. Observe that the submodule $\{0\} \times \{0, 9\} = \{0\} \times \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15\} \cap \{0, 2\} \times \{0, 9\}$ is regular fusible, but neither $\{0\} \times \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15\}$ nor $\{0, 2\} \times \{0, 9\}$ is regular fusible.

Let M be an A -bimodule and consider the trivial extension $A \ltimes M = \{(a, m) | a \in A, m \in M\}$. Then $A \ltimes M$ is a ring with identity $(1, 0)$ according to componentwise addition and following multiplication: $(a, m)(b, m') = (ab, am' + mb)$ for every $a, b \in A$ and $m, m' \in M$. For every A -bimodule M , we denote the right (left) annihilator of M by $\text{ann}_r(M)$ ($\text{ann}_l(M)$). Now, we investigate the left zero divisors of $A \ltimes M$ with the following lemma. In the following lemma, we denote the set of all left zero divisors of a ring A by $zd_l(A)$, the set of all left zero divisors of an A -bimodule M by $Zd_l(M)$. Also, for every $a \in A$, the right annihilator of a in A is denoted by $\text{ann}_r(a)$ and $\text{ann}_{RM}(a)$ is the set of $\{m \in M : am = 0\}$.

Lemma 2. *Let M be an A -bimodule, $A \ltimes M$ be the trivial extension and $\text{ann}_l(M) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(M)$. For every $a \in A$ and $m \in M$, the following statements are satisfied:*

- (i) $(a, m) \in zd_l(A \ltimes M)$ if and only if $a \in zd_l(A) \cup Zd_l(M)$.
- (ii) $\text{ann}_r(a, m) = (0, 0)$ if and only if $\text{ann}_r(a) = 0$ and $\text{ann}_{RM}(a) = 0_M$.

Proof. (i): Let (a, m) be a left zero divisor of $A \ltimes M$. Then there exists $(0, 0) \neq (x, m') \in A \ltimes M$ such that $(a, m)(x, m') = (ax, am' + mx) = (0, 0)$. If $x = 0$, then we have $am' = 0$ which implies that $a \in Zd_l(M)$. If $x \neq 0$, then $ax = 0$ gives $a \in zd_l(A)$. For the converse, first assume that $a \in zd_l(A)$. Then there exists $0 \neq x \in A$ such that $ax = 0$. If $x \in \text{ann}_r(M)$, then we conclude that $(a, m)(x, 0) = (ax, mx) = (0, 0)$. Thus, $(a, m) \in zd_l(A \ltimes M)$. Now, assume that $x \notin \text{ann}_r(M)$. Since $\text{ann}_l(M) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(M)$, we have $x \notin \text{ann}_l(M)$. Then there exists $0 \neq m'$ such that $xm' \neq 0$. This gives $(a, m)(0, xm') = (0, axm') = (0, 0)$ and so (a, m) is a left zero divisor of $A \ltimes M$. Now, assume that $a \in Zd_l(M)$. Then $am' = 0$ for some $0 \neq m' \in M$. Thus we conclude that $(a, m)(0, m') = (0, 0)$ and

so (a, m) is a left zero divisor of $A \rtimes M$.

(ii): Follows from (i). $\square$

Theorem 6. *Let A be a ring and M be an A -bimodule such that $\text{ann}_l(M) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(M)$. Then $A \rtimes M$ is a left fusible ring if and only if A is a left fusible ring and $M = 0$.*

Proof. The "only if" part follows from the fact that $A \rtimes M = A \rtimes 0 \cong A$ provided that $M = 0$. Now, suppose that $A \rtimes M$ is a left fusible ring. Now, we will show that $M = 0$. Choose $0 \neq m \in M$. Since $(0, 0) \neq (0, m) \in A \rtimes M$, there exist $(a, m') \in \text{zd}_l(A \rtimes M)$ and $(b, m^*) \notin \text{zd}_l(A \rtimes M)$ such that $(0, m) = (a, m') + (b, m^*)$. This gives $b = -a$ and $m^* = m - m'$. Since $(b, m^*) = (-a, m - m') \notin \text{zd}_l(A \rtimes M)$, by Lemma 2, we have $\text{ann}_r(-a) = 0$ and $\text{ann}_{RM}(a) = 0_M$. On the other hand, since (a, m) is a left zero divisor of $A \rtimes M$, we have $a \in \text{zd}_l(A) \cup \text{Zd}_l(M)$. This gives a contradiction. Thus $M = 0$ and the rest follows from the isomorphism $A \rtimes M \cong A$. $\square$

Theorem 7. *Let M be an A -bimodule and $\text{ann}_l(M) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(M)$. If $A \rtimes M$ is a regular left fusible ring and $\text{Zd}_l(M) \subseteq \text{zd}_l(A)$, then A is a regular left fusible ring and $M = 0$.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq a \in A$. As $(0, 0) \neq (a, 0) \in A \rtimes M$ and $A \rtimes M$ is a regular left fusible ring, there exists a regular element $(x, m) \in A \rtimes M$ such that $(x, m)(a, 0) = (xa, ma)$ is a left fusible element. Then there exist $(z, m') \in \text{zd}_l(A \rtimes M)$ and $(t, m^*) \notin \text{zd}_l(A \rtimes M)$ such that $(x, m)(a, 0) = (z, m') + (t, m^*)$. This gives $xa = z + t$ where $t \notin \text{zd}_l(A)$ by Lemma 2. Since $(z, m') \in \text{zd}_l(A \rtimes M)$ and $\text{Zd}_l(M) \subseteq \text{zd}_l(A)$, again by Lemma 2, we have $z \in \text{zd}_l(A)$. Thus $xa = z + t$ is a left fusible decomposition of xa . Now, choose $0 \neq m \in M$. Since $(0, 0) \neq (0, m) \in A \rtimes M$ and $A \rtimes M$ is a regular left fusible ring, there exists a regular element $(x, m') \in A \rtimes M$ such that $(x, m')(0, m) = (0, xm') = (y, m^*) + (-y, xm' - m^*)$ for some $(y, m^*) \in \text{zd}_l(A \rtimes M)$ and $(-y, xm' - m^*) \notin \text{zd}_l(A \rtimes M)$. By Lemma 2, $y \in \text{zd}_l(A)$ and $-y \notin \text{zd}_l(A)$ which is a contradiction. Thus, $M = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 5. *Let M be an A -bimodule, $\text{ann}_l(M) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(M)$ and $\text{Zd}_l(M) \subseteq \text{zd}_l(A)$. Then $A \rtimes M$ is a regular left fusible ring if and only if A is a regular left fusible ring and $M = 0$.*

We point out that $A \rtimes M$ can not be a regular fusible ring unless $M = 0$. Let $M=R=\mathbb{Z}$. Then even though the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ is regular fusible and $\mathbb{Z}$ -module $\mathbb{Z}$ is torsion free, the idealization $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is not regular fusible. Indeed, the element $(0, 1)$ is not regular fusible. If it were, $(0, 1)$ would possess a regular fusible decomposition $(0, 1)(r, m) = (z, x) + (t, y)$, where (r, m) and (t, y) are regular elements and (z, x) is a zero divisor. Then we would have $0 = z + t$ and t is a zero divisor in $\mathbb{Z}$. Thus by Lemma 2, (t, y) would be a zero divisor, a contradiction.

Observe that each element $(a, m) \in (R - \{0\}) \rtimes M$ is regular fusible provided that R is regular fusible and M is torsion free. Since a is a nonzero element, it can be written as $ar = z + t$ for some zero divisor z and regular elements r and t . Then $(a, m)(r, 0) = (z, 0) + (t, mr)$ is a fusible decomposition, since by Lemma 2, $(r, 0)$ and (t, mr) are regular elements and $(z, 0)$ is a zero divisor.

Theorem 8. *Let R be a right duo ring. Then every R -module is fusible if and only if R is a division ring.*

Proof. Suppose that R is a right duo ring. Then by assumption, R is a fusible R -module. Then for each $0 \neq a \in R$ there exist $x \in T_R(R)$ and $y \notin T_R(R)$ such that $a = x + y$. By the definition of fusible modules, x is a left zero divisor and y is a non left zero divisor of R . Thus R is a left fusible ring. Then by [4, Lemma 2.19], R is a reduced ring. Choose $0 \neq a \in R$. Since R is reduced, $a \notin \text{ann}_R(a)$. Now, put $I = \text{ann}_R(a)$ and consider R -module R/I . Since $0_{R/I} \neq a + I \in R/I$, there exist $x + I \in T_R(R/I)$ and $y + I \in T_R^*(R/I)$ such that $a + I = x + I + y + I$. Since $y + I \in T_R^*(R/I)$, we have $\text{ann}_R(y + I) = 0$. This means $(y + I)r = yr + I = I$ implies that $r = 0$. Now we will show that $\text{ann}_R(a) = 0$. Let $t \in \text{ann}_R(a) = I$. Then $at = 0$. Since R is a right duo ring, $Rt \subseteq tR$ which implies that $ayt = aty'$ for some $y' \in R$. Since $at = 0$, we have $ayt = 0$ which implies that $(y + I)t = yt + I = I$ and so $t = 0$. Thus we have $\text{ann}_R(a) = 0$. Now, we will show that $a \in R$ has a right inverse. If $a^2R = R$, then $1 = a^2r$ for some $r \in R$ and ar is a right inverse of a . So assume that $a^2R \neq R$. Now, we will show that $a^2R = aR$. Let $a^2R \neq aR$ and put $J = a^2R$. Consider R -module R/J . Since R/J is a fusible module and $0 + J \neq a + J \in R/J$, we can write $a + J = x + J + y + J$ for some $x + J \in T_R(R/J)$ and $y + J \in T_R^*(R/J)$. As $x + J \in T_R(R/J)$, there exists $0 \neq z \in R$ such that $xz \in a^2R$. On the other hand, since $\text{ann}_R(y + J) = 0$, $yt \in J$ implies that $t = 0$. As $a - x - y \in a^2R$, we have $(a - x - y)az = a^2z - xaz - yaz \in a^2R$. As R is a right duo ring, we can write $xaz = xzt'$ for some $t' \in R$. Which implies that $xaz = xzt' \in a^2R$. As $a^2z - xaz - yaz \in a^2R$, we conclude that $yaz \in a^2R$. Thus we have $az = 0$. Since $\text{ann}_R(a) = 0$, we get $z = 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus we have $a^2R = aR$. Then we can write $a = a^2b$ for some $b \in R$. This implies that $a(1 - ab) = 0$. As $\text{ann}_R(a) = 0$, we get $1 = ab$, that is, a has a right inverse. Hence, R is a division ring. If R is a division ring, then every R -module is clearly a fusible module. $\square$

Corollary 6. *Let R be a commutative ring. Then every R -module is fusible if and only if R is a field.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson D. D., Winders M.: Idealization of a module. *Journal of Commutative Algebra* **1**(1), 3-56 (2009)
- [2] Baydar I., Ungor B., Halicioglu S., Harmanci A.: Fusible modules. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 1-10 (2023)
- [3] Cohn P. M.: Prime rings with involution whose symmetric zero-divisors are nilpotent. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **40**(1), 91-92 (1973)
- [4] Ghashghaei E., McGovern, W. Wm.: Fusible rings. *Communications in Algebra* **45**(3), 1151-1165 (2017)
- [5] Huckaba J. A.: Commutative rings with zero divisors. *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics* 117, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, (1988)
- [6] Jayaram, C., Tekir, Ü.: von Neumann regular modules. *Communications in Algebra* **46**(5), 2205-2217 (2018).
- [7] Jayaram, C., Tekir, Ü., Koç, S.: Quasi regular modules and trivial extension. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* **50**(1), 120-134 (2021).
- [8] Jayaram, C., Tekir, Ü., Koç, S.: On Baer modules. *Revista de la Union Matematica Argentina* **63**(1), 109-128 (2022).
- [9] Jayaram, C., Uğurlu E. A., Tekir, Ü., Koç, S.: Locally torsion-free modules. *Journal of Algebra and its Applications* **22**(05), 2350103 (2023).
- [10] Jayaram, C., Tekir, Ü., Koç, S., Çeken, S.: On normal modules. *Communications in Algebra* **51**(4), 1479-1491 (2023).

- [11] Koşan M. T., Matczuk J.: On fusible rings. *Communications in Algebra* **47**(9), 3789-3793 (2019).
- [12] Lee T. K., Zhou Y.: Reduced modules. *Rings, modules, algebras, and abelian groups* **236**, 365-377 (2004)
- [13] Northcott, D. G.: *Lessons on Rings, Modules, and Multiplicties*. Cambridge University Press, (1968)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAKARYA UNIVERSITY, SAKARYA, TURKEY.
Email address: `osamanaji@sakarya.edu.tr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAKARYA UNIVERSITY, SAKARYA, TURKEY.
Email address: `ozen@sakarya.edu.tr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MARMARA UNIVERSITY, ISTANBUL, TURKEY.
Email address: `utekir@marmara.edu.tr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ISTANBUL MEDENIYET UNIVERSITY, ISTANBUL, TURKEY.
Email address: `suat.koc@medeniyet.edu.tr`