

WEAPONIZATION OF CONSCIENCE IN CYBERCRIME AND ONLINE FRAUD: A NOVEL SYSTEMS THEORY

Michelle Espinoza
Marymount University, Arlington, USA
M0e73021@marymount.edu

***Abstract:** This article introduces the concept of weaponization of conscience as a complex system and tactic employed by fraudsters to camouflage their activity, coerce others, or to deceive their victims. This study adopts a conceptual approach, drawing from the theoretical underpinnings of military propaganda and psychological operations doctrines and adapting them to serve as a lens through which to understand and defend against weaponization of conscience.*

Keywords: Cybercrime detection, Cyberpsychology, Cybersecurity, Fraud detection,

1. INTRODUCTION

When a problem is growing exponentially, such as cybercrime, it is because a positive feedback loop is dominating the system at that point in time (Meadows & Randers, 2012). Exponential growth is limited by the carrying capacity of the system, and to regulate, slow, or reverse cybercrime's growth, one must identify the positive feedback loop and then counter it with a negative feedback loop or break the positive feedback loop entirely (Meadows & Randers, 2012). The problem's growth may be within a department, an organization, and/or society. Systems are akin to matryoshka (nesting) dolls, each subsystem embeds within and interacts with a larger system. This is why cybercrime and online fraud require examination through the lens of complexity science (Espinoza, 2024). Constructing a solution within an organization or at a societal level requires understanding how it works, why it works, and/or why it does not work.

This research introduces the concept of *weaponization of conscience* as a complex system and tactic employed by fraudsters to camouflage their activity, coerce others, or to deceive their victims. Weaponization of conscience can be used alone or in combination with other tactics such as weaponization of bias and weaponization of silence to synergize results and extend the effectiveness of their *modi operandi*. Additionally, weaponization of conscience can be employed against coerced victims to strengthen their attack against the target. This article contributes to the ongoing discourse on theoretical innovation and the advancement of knowledge in cybercrime and online fraud detection from a system dynamics perspective.

Hardin's seminal article on the Tragedy of the Commons posits that to appeal to an individual's conscience is to intentionally opt for the extinction of all conscience in the long run (Hardin, 1968). Hardin's arguments are rooted in Darwin's (1859) theory on survival of the fittest, which posits that organisms best adjusted to their environment are the most successful in surviving and reproducing. Put simply, to act with empathy, compassion, altruism is to sentence oneself to death in a world of barbarians. But without empathy and compassion for one another, what more are we but barbarians? Defending against the weaponization of conscience, requires striking the balance between self-awareness and compassion or pro-social behaviors.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Fraud and other crime are not new, however digitization and the internet transformed the threat landscape so that crimes once requiring proximity of the offender to their victim, can now be carried out across the world with the click of a button (Cross, 2020). Transnational collusion, jurisdictional issues, and the exponential growth of cybercrime present significant implications for law enforcement as it relates to their ability to investigate, arrest, and prosecute offenders (Cross,

2020; Kshetri, 2017; Salih & Dabagh, 2023). Consequently, many municipalities have insufficient funding and resources to protect their citizenry from victimization by fraudsters (Dariii & Meleca, 2022). Understanding how and why fraudsters' *modi operandi* succeed is essential to constructing an effective defense (Rutherford, 2017).

3. METHOD

This study adopts a conceptual approach, drawing from the theoretical underpinnings of military propaganda and psychological operations doctrines and adapting them to serve as a lens through which to understand and conceptualize how cognitive strategies, specifically the weaponization of conscience, is employed by fraudsters in camouflaging their activities and deceiving victims. Writing theory is challenging, partly due to the lack of straightforward formulas or templates (Cornelissen, 2017; Ragins, 2012). This challenge is further complicated by the non-uniform definitions and often clandestine nature of theories employed in propaganda campaigns (Linebarger, 1950). Theory adaptation revises extant knowledge by proposing a novel perspective on an extant conceptualization whereas typology aims to develop a categorization that explains the fuzzy nature of many subjects by logically and causally combining different constructs into a more nuanced understanding of a phenomenon or concept (Cornelissen, 2017; Jaakkola, 2020). Building on the legal definitions and frameworks of conscience laws in the United States, incorporating the critical features of psychological operations as described by Pop (2017) and using extant cognition and behavior theories from multiple disciplines, this article defines the weaponization of conscience, provides examples of how it manifests, why it may be successful, and offers recommendations to defend against it.

4. CONSCIENCE LAWS

The history of conscience laws in the United States reflects a complex interplay between legal, ethical, and religious considerations. Conscience laws, often referred to as conscience clauses or accommodation laws, are designed to protect individuals and entities from being compelled to participate in activities that conflict with their deeply held moral or religious beliefs. In summary, the history of conscience laws in the U.S. reflects a dynamic evolution, driven by changing societal values, legal interpretations, and ongoing debates about the balance between religious freedom and the rights of individuals (Office for Civil Rights (OCR), 2010) .

For this framework, conscience is defined as an intrinsic motivational force guided by a person's moral beliefs. Those moral beliefs may or may not be rooted in religion and a person's moral beliefs can change or evolve.

5. PSYOPS

Propaganda and other variants of psychological manipulation have been used since the dawn of time (Narula, 2004). The US Department of Defense (2010) updated its doctrine on psychological operations and clarified that the purpose of PSYOPS is to convey selected information, indicators to foreign audiences to influence their emotions, motives, objective reasoning, and ultimately the behavior of foreign governments, organizations, groups, and individuals favorable to the originator's objectives (US Department of Defense, 2010). The term PSYOPS has been used interchangeably with information warfare, psychological warfare, and information operations at different points in history, but the overarching objective has always been to influence the target's behaviors through information and emotion (Linebarger, 1950). According to Pop (2017), all critical PSYOPS have three core objectives: acquiring knowledge, thwarting the enemy's attempts at the acquisition of knowledge, and misleading the enemy to build a database

containing inefficient and useless information. Fraudsters use the weaponization of conscience to influence their victims' behaviors through information and emotion.

6. COMPLEX SYSTEMS

Complexity science is the study of the relationship between systems. Complex systems are highly interdependent, decentralized, self-organized, adaptive, and sensitive to feedback loops (Forrester, 2003). Due to the nonlinear nature of complex systems, they are impractical to model mathematically and may display emergent properties that cannot be found or obtained from its individual subcomponents. Complex systems may also exhibit the butterfly effect, borrowed from chaos theory, where a small change in the system exhibits an unexpectedly amplified effect in the output (Samoilenko & Osei-Bryson, 2007). According to the system dynamics perspective, every decision is made in the context of a feedback loop (Forrester, 2003). Weaponization of conscience is an example of a complex system.

7. DUAL PROCESS OF MORAL JUDGEMENT

Dual-process theory of moral judgement asserts that moral decisions are the result of either one of two distinct mental processes, intuitive or conscious (Greene, 2009). Greene's dual-process model of moral judgement posits that intuitive judgements are fast, emotionally-driven, and primarily deontological (rule-based) whereas conscious decisions are deliberative and characteristically utilitarian (Greene, 2009).

For simplicity, the subcomponents are described in binary terms, but it is important to note that each subcomponent is dynamic, interacts with the other subcomponents, and rests on a continuous scale as depicted in (Figure 1). Moral beliefs shift, emotions shift and vary in intensity.

Weaponization of Conscience




Figure 1 Weaponization of Conscience

8. APPLICATION

8.1 Scam Call Example

Financial exploitation from scam calls is one of several growing variants of cybercrime and online fraud costing victims billions each year (Doyle, 2022). The scammer may call their

victim posing as a loved one in distress needing money or ransom. A higher level of distress is likely to intensify the victim's level of fear and urgency. Their decision to act, will skew heavily towards intuitive cognitive processes. Ample evidence exists to support the view that decision-making is impaired under stress (Starcke & Brand, 2012). Now, assume that the scammer(s) call and pose as two loved ones in distress needing money or ransom, but the victim can only afford the ransom for one. The dilemma shifts the victim's moral decision processes more heavily towards conscious/deliberative processes, but the emotional intensity will be [arguably] higher than the intensity experienced in the single-hostage scenario. The victim's decision processes are strained by the additional cognitive load and weakened further by the intensification of emotion. When moral dilemmas are personal to the decision-maker, studies have demonstrated that utilitarianism is reduced (Starcke & Brand, 2012).

To counter this double-load effect, one solution may be to hang up the phone and call the loved one directly. Another solution may be to create a pre-arranged code word to authenticate loved ones' identities in these situations. This researcher does not seek to mechanize or diminish the real anguish experienced by millions of victims across the world. Rather, this example is intended to illustrate the concept and enable readers to think through cognitive processes from a systems perspective to identify vulnerabilities and tactics used by fraudsters.

8.2 Combination Effect – Identity Theft and Phishing

Voter registration lists are public record in several countries. Knowing a victim's voter party-affiliations, particularly in hyper-partisan countries, enables scammers to estimate their target's belief system and causes or passions that may evoke intense emotional responses. Using the moral belief 'dial' from the weaponization of conscience in (Figure 1), assume that the target's intensity

of belief in a specific cause is in the middle of the spectrum. Combining McGuire's (1964) inoculation theory, whereby initial weak counter-attitudinal messages lead to stronger resistance against subsequent counter-attitudinal messages with valence-framing, where framing a person's belief as negative or oppositional leads to firmer entrenchment in that belief (Bizer et al., 2011), fraudsters can increase the intensity of the moral belief dial and potentially the intensity of the intuitive dial before presenting the victim with a phishing site seeking recurring donations in support of a cause likely to appeal to the target. The priming effect of manipulating the victim's conscience system before soliciting donations to a fictitious organization may yield higher donation amounts than if the priming had not been done beforehand. Defending against this weaponization of conscience requires potential victims to be conscious of the reaction that messaging evokes and to consider the source and motives of the messenger.

9. CONCLUSION

This article introduced the novel concept of *weaponization of conscience* as a complex system and tactic used by fraudsters to camouflage their activity, coerce others, or to deceive their victims. Hardin's argument that the conscience weakens humanity may be true, but just as we developed bulletproof vests because a person cannot survive without a beating heart, so too we must develop defenses against the weaponization of conscience. Without conscience, humanity cannot survive.

10. REFERENCES

- Bizer, G. Y., Larsen, J. T., & Petty, R. E. (2011). Exploring the valence-framing effect: Negative framing enhances attitude strength: Valence framing. *Political Psychology*, 32(1), 59–80.
- Cornelissen, J. (2017). Editor's comments: Developing propositions, a process model, or a typology? Addressing the challenges of writing theory without a boilerplate. *Academy of Management Review*, 42(1), 1–9.
- Cross, C. (2020). 'Oh we can't actually do anything about that': The problematic nature of jurisdiction for online fraud victims. *Criminology & Criminal Justice: The International Journal of Policy and Practice*, 20(3), 358–375.
- Darii, A., & Meleca, M. (2022). *Trafficking in human beings*. ASEM.
- Doyle, N. (2022). *Elder Financial Exploitation and Scam Activities Targeting Elderly Victims*.
https://repository.stcloudstate.edu/cjs_etds/17/
- Espinoza, M. D. (2024). *A Qualitative Analysis of Challenges in Online Fraud Detection and Investigation*.
https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=AxeyuwMAAAAJ&citation_for_view=AxeyuwMAAAAJ:UcHWp8X0CEIC
- Forrester, J. W. (2003). Dynamic models of economic systems and industrial organizations. *System Dynamics Review*, 19(4), 329–345.
- Greene, J. D. (2009). Dual-process morality and the personal/impersonal distinction: A reply to McGuire, Langdon, Coltheart, and Mackenzie. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 45(3), 581–584.

- Hardin, G. (1968). The tragedy of the commons. The population problem has no technical solution; it requires a fundamental extension in morality. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 162(3859), 1243–1248.
- Jaakkola, E. (2020). Designing conceptual articles: four approaches. *AMS Review*, 10(1–2), 18–26.
- Kshetri, N. (2017). Cybercrime Firms' Internationalization Strategy and Tactics: An Exploratory Framework. *AMCIS 2017 Proceedings*.
<https://aisel.aisnet.org/amcis2017/Global/Presentations/12/>
- Linebarger, P. (1950, March 1). *Psychological Warfare*. Naval War College Information Services for Officers. Naval War College Press. <https://orangelabel.co/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/1951-Psychological-Warfare-US-Navy-War-College.pdf>
- Meadows, D., & Randers, J. (2012). *The limits to growth: The 30-year update* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Narula, S. (2004). Psychological operations (PSYOPs): A conceptual overview. *Strategic Analysis*, 28(1), 177–192.
- Office for Civil Rights (OCR). (2010, October 14). *Conscience and Religious Nondiscrimination*. Hhs.gov; US Department of Health and Human Services.
<https://www.hhs.gov/conscience/conscience-protections/index.html>
- Ragins, B. R. (2012). Reflections on the craft of clear writing. *Academy of Management Review*, 37, 493–501.
- Rutherford, J. R. (2017). *A Holistic Approach Using Honey Communities For Cyber Event Detection and Protection in Communities and Large Distributed Organizations* (G. B. White, Ed.) [The University of Texas at San Antonio].

<http://proxymu.wrlc.org/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/holistic-approach-using-honey-communities-cyber/docview/1902262409/se-2>

Salih, K., & Dabagh, N. (2023). Digital forensic tools: A literature review. *Journal of Education and Science*, 32(1), 109–124.

Samoilenko, S., & Osei-Bryson, K.-M. (2007). *Chaos Theory as a Meta-theoretical Perspective for IS Strategy: Discussion of the Insights and Implications*.
<https://aisel.aisnet.org/sais2007/45/>

Starcke, K., & Brand, M. (2012). Decision making under stress: a selective review. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 36(4), 1228–1248.

US Department of Defense. (2010). *Joint publication on psychological operations*.
<https://irp.fas.org/doddir/dod/jp3-13-2.pdf>