

Statistical Inference For Noisy Matrix Completion Incorporating Auxiliary Information*

Shujie Ma[†], Po-Yao Niu[†], Yichong Zhang^{*}, and Yinchu Zhu[‡]

[†]Department of Statistics, University of California at Riverside

^{*}School of Economics, Singapore Management University

[‡]Department of Economics, Brandeis University

March 25, 2024

Abstract

This paper investigates statistical inference for noisy matrix completion in a semi-supervised model when auxiliary covariates are available. The model consists of two parts. One part is a low-rank matrix induced by unobserved latent factors; the other part models the effects of the observed covariates through a coefficient matrix which is composed of high-dimensional column vectors. We model the observational pattern of the responses through a logistic regression of the covariates, and allow its probability to go to zero as the sample size increases. We apply an iterative least squares (LS) estimation approach in our considered context. The iterative LS methods in general enjoy a low computational cost, but deriving the statistical properties of the resulting estimators is a challenging task. We show that our method only needs a few iterations, and the resulting entry-wise estimators of the low-rank matrix and the coefficient matrix are guaranteed to have asymptotic normal distributions. As a result, individual inference can be conducted for each entry of the unknown matrices. We also propose a simultaneous testing procedure with multiplier bootstrap for the high-dimensional coefficient matrix. This simultaneous inferential tool can help us further investigate the effects of covariates for the prediction of missing entries.

Keywords: auxiliary covariates, factor models, missing data, multiplier bootstrap, simultaneous inference

*The authors are alphabetically ordered. Shujie Ma is Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California-Riverside. E-mail: shujie.ma@ucr.edu. Po-Yao Niu is a PhD student, Department of Statistics, University of California-Riverside. E-mail: pniu002@ucr.edu. Yichong Zhang is Associate Professor, School of Economics, Singapore Management University. E-mail: yczhang@smu.edu.sg. Yinchu Zhu is Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Brandeis University. E-mail: yinchuzhu@brandeis.edu. Niu and Ma's research was supported by NSF grant DMS-2014221, DMS-2310288 and UCR Academic Senate CoR grant. Yichong Zhang acknowledges the financial support from the NSFC under grant No. 72133002.

1 Introduction

Advances in modern technology have facilitated us to collect large-scale data that are naturally presented in the form of a matrix with both dimensions increasing vastly. Recovering an intact matrix from partial observations, known as the matrix completion problem, has received considerable attention in different fields. Most existing methods for estimating missing entries of a matrix only use information from its partial observations. In many real applications, auxiliary information is often available in addition to the observed entries. For example, in a recommender system that aims to predict ratings of users based on the observed ratings from others, the data often contain additional information such as user demographical profiles, apart from the observed ratings by users. Indeed, such auxiliary information can be exploited to enrich the basic model and improve prediction accuracy, especially when only a few entries are observed. Because of the increased availability of auxiliary covariates in real-world datasets, there is a pressing need to develop matrix completion techniques that can make good use of the auxiliary information. As a result, a few computational algorithms have been recently proposed to tackle this problem, see, e.g., [34], [15], [36], [1] and [22], and see [20] for tensor completion with covariate information.

In this paper, we consider a semi-supervised model for the matrix completion problem with row-feature information, in which a target matrix $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ can be written as

$$\Theta = X\beta' + \Gamma, \quad (1)$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is an observable row-feature matrix, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ is an unknown coefficient matrix for X , and $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is an unknown low-rank matrix driven by unobserved latent factors, so that it can be decomposed as $\Gamma = LF'$ with $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ and $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$. As a result, we have $\Theta = X\beta' + \Gamma = [X, L][\beta, F]'$. Therefore, the target matrix Θ can be learned from both observed covariates in X and unobserved latent variables in L of the

subjects, while β and F can be considered unknown coefficients for X and L , respectively. For example, in a recommendation system, we use the observed baseline characteristics in X and the unobserved variables in L of users to predict their ratings. This model was also mentioned in [16] and [27], and they proposed different penalized methods for estimating the parameters. Moreover, [27] has investigated the convergence rates of their proposed penalized estimators.

Unlike [16] and [27] that focus on the estimation of the target matrix, we aim to perform statistical inferences for the unknown matrix Θ and the high-dimensional coefficient matrix β in model (1). Our goal is to provide an interval estimator associated with a given confidence level rather than a point estimator for each entry in Θ and to test the significance of the covariates for the prediction of the missing entries. For the matrix completion problem with an incomplete and noise-corrupted data matrix, estimation error bounds in terms of entry-wise, Euclidean and spectral norm losses have been established for the estimators of the unknown low-rank matrix, obtained from various convex and nonconvex optimization algorithms [e.g. 8, 25, 28, 10, 2]. However, confidence intervals derived directly from such bounds are expected to be too conservative, which is mainly caused by the presence of a nonnegligible bias.

To quantify the uncertainty associated with a parameter estimator, one needs to characterize the (asymptotic) distribution of the estimator. In general, this is a challenging task to accomplish when fitting a high-dimensional statistical model, as it involves non-linear and non-explicit parametric estimation procedures [21]. It can be even more difficult to derive the asymptotic distribution when the data matrix has a large number of missing entries. Thus, the literature on inference for matrix completion is still scarce. There is some recent development in statistical inference for matrix completion without the observed auxiliary

covariates based on either a de-biased strategy or singular value decomposition (SVD) estimation. [9], [11] and [32] proposed de-biased estimators to construct confidence intervals of the unknown underlying matrix with a low-rank structure. The de-biased estimators are built upon initial estimates that can be obtained from nuclear norm penalization. A sample splitting step is needed in the approach considered in [9] and [32]. [23] proposed an iterative SVD method with the missing entries replaced by the SVD estimates from the previous step. Their estimator requires that the number of iterations diverges with the sample size to have asymptotic normality. Under a block structure assumption for the observed entries, [4] and [6] proposed to impute the missing values using the estimated factors and loadings obtained from applying SVD on fully observed sub-matrices. Moreover, [33] applied SVD to an adjusted covariance matrix computed from observed data.

Unlike the aforementioned works, we consider an iterative least squares (LS) estimation procedure and provide an inferential analysis for the parameters of model (1) with auxiliary information. The iterative LS method has become a popular approach for matrix completion due to its computational advantages [35, 19, 30]. However, the literature on the asymptotic distributions of iterative LS estimators is still scarce. Our algorithm starts from the initial estimates of β and Γ , which are obtained from ordinary LS regression and SVD of the residual matrix, respectively. Based on these initial estimates, we show that we only need to iterate the LS estimation a finite number of times, and the resulting entry-wise estimators of β , Θ and Γ are guaranteed to have asymptotic normality. As a result, a pointwise confidence interval and individual inference can be conducted for each entry of the unknown matrices. The iterative LS method enjoys low computational cost compared to the iterative SVD approach [23], but the development of its statistical properties is quite challenging. We show that without including the covariate matrix in the model,

our iterative LS estimator of the unknown low-rank matrix Γ has the same asymptotic distribution as the iterative SVD estimator proposed in [23]. Because our method only requires finite iterations of LS estimation, it is computationally more efficient and much faster than their method which needs to iterate the SVD procedure a diverging number of times. This computational advantage becomes more significant as the data matrices are larger. Moreover, we allow that the observational pattern of the responses depends on the baseline covariates and its probability goes to zero as the sample size increases, whereas the existing works on inference for matrix completion require the observational probability of the responses to be independent of the baseline covariates and/or be bounded below by a constant.

It is worth noting that each column of the coefficient matrix β is a high-dimensional vector when m is large. It is of practical interest to conduct simultaneous inference for these high-dimensional column-vectors in β , which correspond to the effects of the covariates for the prediction of all missing entries jointly. To achieve this goal, we develop a Gaussian multiplier bootstrap inferential procedure, and provide theoretical justification for our bootstrap-based simultaneous inference in this high-dimensional setting. Gaussian multiplier bootstrap that involves empirical processes is considered a powerful tool for conducting tests in classical statistical problems, and has recently been successfully applied to high-dimensional regression settings [12, 14]. Our work is the first to apply this technique to the matrix completion problem with a thorough theoretical investigation. The proposed multiplier bootstrap inferential method can help us identify the important auxiliary covariates for the prediction of all missing entries.

In model (1), the rank of matrix Γ , which is r , is unknown *a priori*. We propose a new information criterion (eIC) method for estimating r based on our iterative LS method, and

show that the proposed eIC approach can consistently estimate r with a high probability. This method has better finite sample performance than the commonly used singular-value-based approaches for rank selection in matrix completion, and its advantage becomes more significant when the data have more missing entries.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The proposed estimators and the theoretical results are given in Section 2 and Section 3. Section 4 provides the information criterion method for rank estimation. The simultaneous inference for β is given in Section 5. Sections 6 and 7 provide simulation studies and analysis of the MovieLens 1M dataset using the proposed method, respectively. A conclusion is given in Section 8. All technical proofs and additional numerical results are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

Notations. Throughout the paper, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the spectral norm, $\|\cdot\|_*$ the nuclear norm, $\|\cdot\|_F$ the Frobenius norm, and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ the maximum absolute value of the entries of a matrix. Let $A \circ B$ be the Hadamard product of two matrices A, B of the same dimensions. Let $n \wedge m$ ($n \vee m$) denote the minimum (maximum) of n and m . For two sequences of positive numbers a_n and b_n , $a_n \ll b_n$ means $a_n = o(b_n)$ and $a_n \lesssim b_n$ means that $a_n = O(b_n)$.

2 Model and Estimation

2.1 The Model

We consider the following model:

$$Y = \Theta + \varepsilon = X\beta' + \Gamma + \varepsilon, \quad (2)$$

where $Y, \Gamma, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ in which $X_i = (1, \tilde{X}_i')'$, and $\tilde{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{(d-1) \times 1}$ is the vector of baseline covariates for the i^{th} subject. Moreover, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)' \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ with $\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$, so model (2) allows the unknown coefficients of the covariates to be different across j . We assume that $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_{ij}\} = LF'$ with $L = (L_1, \dots, L_n)' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ and $F = (F_1, \dots, F_m)' \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$. We let r and d be fixed. To identify β , we assume that $E(L_i | \tilde{X}_i) = 0$,

and F_j are independent of $\tilde{X}_i$ and L_i . We do not observe all entries in $Y = (Y_{i,j})$, so let $\Xi = (\xi_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ with each entry $\xi_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$ denoting the status of $Y_{i,j}$: $\xi_{i,j} = 1$ if and only if $Y_{i,j}$ is observed.

We assume that $P(\xi_{i,j} = 1 | \tilde{X}_i) = \eta(\gamma_{0,n} + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1) = \pi_i$, where $\gamma_{0,n} = \log(\alpha_n) + \gamma_0$ and $\eta(\cdot)$ is the logit link function for logistic regression, so the probability of the observed rate depends on the baseline characteristics of each subject. We allow $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so $\pi_i \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The probability of the observed responses π_i can be written as $\pi_i = \alpha_n e^{(\gamma_{0,n} + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1)} / \{1 + e^{(\gamma_{0,n} + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1)}\}$, so the rate of α_n determines how fast π_i can go to zero, which will be discussed in Section 3.

2.2 The Estimation Procedure

2.2.1 Initial Estimators

To obtain an initial estimator of β , we compute the ordinary LS estimator $\hat{\beta} = (\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_m)'$ without considering the latent matrix Γ , so each $\hat{\beta}_j$ is obtained by

$$\hat{\beta}_j = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right). \quad (3)$$

Next, we obtain an SVD estimate of Γ as follows. Define

$$W_{i,j} = \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} (Y_{i,j} - X_i' \hat{\beta}_j). \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{\pi}_i = \eta(\hat{\gamma}_{0,n} + \tilde{X}_i' \hat{\gamma}_1)$ is the estimated observation rate for the i -th subject, in which

$$\hat{\gamma} = (\hat{\gamma}_{0,n}, \hat{\gamma}_1) = \arg \min_{r_0, r_1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m Y_{ij} (r_0 + \tilde{X}_i' r_1) - \log(1 + \exp(r_0 + \tilde{X}_i' r_1)).$$

We perform SVD on W such that $W = UDV'$ where d_s 's are the singular values in D in decreasing order and u_s 's, v_s 's are the corresponding left and right singular vectors in U and V . Then for a given rank r , the SVD estimator of Γ is $\hat{\Gamma} = (\hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}) = \hat{L}\hat{F} = \sum_{s=1}^r d_s u_s v_s'$ where $\hat{L} = \sqrt{n} (u_1, \dots, u_r)$ and $\hat{F} = 1/\sqrt{n} (d_1 \cdot v_1, \dots, d_r \cdot v_r)$.

2.2.2 The Iterative LS Estimators

The initial estimator $\hat{\Gamma} = \hat{L}\hat{F}'$ is actually the minimizer of the following function:

$$f(\hat{\beta}, L, F) = \left\| LF' - \text{diag}(\hat{\pi})^{-1}(Y - X\hat{\beta}') \circ \Xi \right\|_F^2, \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{\pi} = (\hat{\pi}_1, \dots, \hat{\pi}_n)'$, and $\text{diag}(\hat{\pi})$ is an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with the diagonals being $\hat{\pi}_1, \dots, \hat{\pi}_n$ and the off-diagonal entries equal to zeros.

In the above function, the missing values are treated as zeros and contribute to the residuals while fitting, so the resulting estimates may not be optimal as they ignore the information about the missing positions. To solve this problem, we consider another objective function in which the missing entries do not contribute to the residuals, and propose an updating procedure (algorithm) that iteratively updates the estimates using the estimates given in Section 2.2.1 as the initial values.

We define the following objective function where the missing values do not contribute to the residuals:

$$f^*(\beta, L, F) = \|\Xi \circ [LF' - (Y - X\beta')]\|_F^2 \quad (6)$$

Although it is hard to find out the joint minimizers of (6) explicitly, we can easily obtain its minimizer of each β , L and F if the other two are fixed at their current values by solving an LS problem. Therefore, we can consider the following updating procedure:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}^{(g)} &= \arg \min_{\beta} f^* \left(\beta, \tilde{L}^{(g-1)}, \tilde{F}^{(g-1)} \right); \\ \tilde{F}^{(g)} &= \arg \min_F f^* \left(\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}, \tilde{L}^{(g-1)}, F \right); \tilde{L}^{(g)} = \arg \min_L f^* \left(\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}, L, \tilde{F}^{(g)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for any given $g \geq 1$, where g is the step index in the iterative algorithm. This algorithm requires initial values for $\tilde{L}$ and $\tilde{F}$ to start with. An obvious option is to use $\tilde{L}^{(0)} = \hat{L}$, and $\tilde{F}^{(0)} = \hat{F}$ given in Section 2.2.1. Then the resulting estimator of Γ at the g^{th} step is

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)} = \tilde{L}^{(g)} \tilde{F}^{(g)'} \quad (8)$$

We call the corresponding estimators $\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$ the **iterative LS estimators**.

3 Asymptotic Theory

3.1 Assumptions

We make the following assumptions to investigate the asymptotic theories about the proposed iterative LS estimator.

Assumption 1.

- i) $Y_{i,j} \perp \xi_{i,j} | (\tilde{X}_i, L_i, F_j)$.
- ii) Assume $\xi_{i,j} = 1\{\gamma_{0,n} + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1 \geq v_{i,j}\}$, where $\{v_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. logistic random variables independent of (ε, X, L, F) . Denote $P(\xi_{ij} = 1 | \tilde{X}_i) = \eta(\gamma_{0,n} + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1) = \pi_i$, where $\gamma_{0,n} = \log(\alpha_n) + \gamma_0$, $\eta(\cdot)$ is the standard logistic cdf, and $\alpha_n \leq 1$ is some deterministic sequence.
- iii) $n^{1/2} \log(m+n) \lesssim m \lesssim n^2$ and $(n \vee m)^\varrho \ll (n \wedge m) \alpha_n^2$ for an arbitrarily small constant $0 < \varrho < 1$.
- iv) There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\sup_{\|u\| \leq C} \left\| \frac{1}{n\alpha_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i(u)(1 - \Lambda_i(u)) X_i X_i' - H_0 \right\| = o_P(1)$, where $\Lambda_i(u) = \Lambda_i(u_0, u_1) = \eta((\gamma_{0,n} + u_0(nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2} + \tilde{X}_i'(\gamma_1 + u_1(nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2}))$, and $H_0 = E\{\exp(\gamma_0 + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1) X_i X_i'\}$ is positive definite.
- v) Entries of $\tilde{X}_i$ have sub-Gaussian norms bounded by a constant, $\Sigma_X = E(X_i X_i')$ and $\alpha_n^{-1} E(\pi_i X_i X_i')$ have eigenvalues bounded away from zero and infinity for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- vi) Entries of L_i , F_j and $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ have sub-Gaussian norms bounded by a constant.
- vii) For some constant $c > 0$,

$$P(\sigma_r(L'L/n) > c) \rightarrow 1, \quad P(\sigma_r(F'F/m) > c) \rightarrow 1,$$

$$P\left(\sigma_r\left(\sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i / (\alpha_n n)\right) > c\right) \rightarrow 1, \quad P\left(\sigma_r\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \pi_i / (\alpha_n n)\right) > c\right) \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\sigma_r(A)$ is the r -th largest singular value of A .

viii) Conditional on (X, L, F) , $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ is independent across (i, j) with $E(\varepsilon_{i,j} \mid L, F, X) = 0$.

$\{\tilde{X}_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^m$ are sequences of i.i.d. random variables, respectively.

With the above assumptions and the model identification assumption that $E(\Gamma_{ij} \mid X_i) = 0$, we can first show that $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$ are consistent estimators of β and Γ (see Supplement B).

Remark (Comments about Assumption 1). Assumption (i) assumes that the response $Y_{i,j}$ and the variable for missingness $\xi_{i,j}$ are independent conditional on the observed covariates and the latent variables. Assumption (ii) assumes that the missingness of each response depends on the observed baseline covariates of each individual, and the probability of the missing pattern is modeled through a logistic regression model, which is called the propensity score function [29]. This assumption is more relaxed and practical than the “missing uniformly at random” condition imposed in [9], [11] and [23] for statistical inference. For example, in the MovieLens data in Section 7, whether users rate a movie or not often depends on their baseline characteristics, including gender, age, etc. It is worth noting that when the baseline characteristics for movies, denoted by Z_j for the j^{th} movie, are observed, it is possible to include both $\tilde{X}_i$ and Z_j in the logistic model such that $\xi_{i,j} = 1\{\gamma_{0,n} + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1 + Z_j' \gamma_2 \geq v_{i,j}\}$. Our proposed estimation procedure and its statistical properties can be extended to this model. We can also consider a logistic model for missing probabilities by including the entries of a latent low-rank matrix, denoted by $A_{i,j}$, so $\xi_{i,j} = 1\{\gamma_{0,n} + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1 + A_{i,j} \geq v_{i,j}\}$. The estimation of the unobserved $A_{i,j}$ in this nonlinear model and the development of the associated statistical properties are nontrivial. We leave the study of extending our method to these two models for future work.

Assumption (iii) provides the order requirement for n and m . It is typically assumed to ensure the asymptotic properties of the estimators of L and F in factor models; see [3] and [23]. Moreover, we allow α_n to decay to zero in polynomial order of $n \vee m$. Given

the sub-Gaussianity of $\tilde{X}_i$ in Assumption (v), one has $\pi := E(\pi_i) = O(\alpha_n)$, so α_n is the observation rate that controls how fast the probability of the observed responses goes to zero. If n and m are of the same order, then the main restriction in Assumption (iii) is that α_n cannot decay to zero faster than $n^{-1/2}$. If only concerning the estimation in matrix completion models, α_n can decay to zero faster than the order given in Assumption (iii). For example, [24] provided a Frobenius norm-based estimation error bound for the nuclear-norm penalized estimators under the condition that the observation rate is $\text{polylog}(n)/n$. To establish the distributional theory and uniform convergence rate of our iterative LS estimators in matrix completion, we require a higher observation rate, as the higher-order terms in our estimator involve the term $O(\alpha_n^{-2})$. To make the higher-order terms negligible so the resulting estimator can have an asymptotic linear expansion, α_n needs to satisfy the condition given in Assumption (iii).

Assumptions (iv)-(vii) are the moment and distribution conditions on the covariates, latent variables, and error terms. These are typical conditions for convergence rates and asymptotic analysis; see similar assumptions in [3], [11] and [23]. Specifically, Assumption (iv) can be directly verified by the uniform law of large numbers. One sufficient condition for H_0 being a positive definite matrix is that X_i has compact support and $EX_i X_i'$ is of full rank. This condition is common for sparse logistic regressions; see, for example, Graham [18, Assumption 3]. Under the first condition in Assumption (viii), model (1) is correctly specified for the conditional mean of the responses. The second condition can be relaxed to that $\{\tilde{X}_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^m$ are sequences of independent random variables. Our theoretical results still hold under this relaxed condition.

The following two theorems provide the asymptotic representations of $\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}$, $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$ and their proofs are left in Supplement B-D.

Theorem 1. *Let Model (2) and Assumption 1 hold and $\kappa_n = (1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-3/2}n^{1/q}$ for a*

constant $q > 0$ that can be arbitrarily large. The estimator $\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}$ obtained from the updating procedure (7) has the following asymptotic representation for any finite $g \geq 1$,

$$\left\| \tilde{\beta}^{(g)} - \beta - n^{-1} (\Xi \circ \varepsilon + \text{diag}(\pi) L F')' X (E \pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1} \right\|_{2,\infty} = O_P(\kappa_n),$$

where $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)'$, $\text{diag}(\pi)$ is a diagonal matrix with π as the diagonal, and $\|\cdot\|_{2,\infty}$ is the maximum row 2-norm of a matrix.

Theorem 2. Let Model (2) and Assumption 1 hold. The estimator $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$ defined in (8) has the following asymptotic representation, for any finite $g \geq 1$, $\left\| \tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)} - \Gamma - \Delta \right\|_\infty = O_P(\kappa_n)$, where Δ is a $n \times m$ matrix with its (i, j) th entry being

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{i,j} = & \frac{1}{n} L_i' (E L_i L_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n L_k \xi_{k,j} \varepsilon_{k,j} + \frac{1}{m \pi_i} \sum_{t=1}^m F_t' \xi_{i,t} \varepsilon_{i,t} \Sigma_F^{-1} F_j \\ & - \frac{1}{n} X_i' E (X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k X_k L_k' F_j, \text{ where } \Sigma_F = E(F_j F_j'). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Point-wise confidence intervals or inference for each component in β_j and Γ can be constructed based on the asymptotic representations given in Theorems 1 and 2. In addition, we propose a multiplier bootstrap statistic in Section 5 for conducting simultaneous inference on the high-dimensional matrix β .

Remark. The iterative estimation algorithm starts from the initial ordinary LS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ given in (3). Under Assumption 1 (i), according to the derivation given in Lemma 1 of the supplement, we can obtain the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\beta}_j$, denoted by $\widehat{\text{var}}(\hat{\beta}_j)$, as $\widehat{\text{var}}(\hat{\beta}_j) = n^{-1} (E \pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1} \{ \text{var}(\xi_{i,j} X_i \varepsilon_{i,j}) + \text{var}(\xi_{i,j} X_i L_i' F_j) \} (E \pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1}$. Moreover, from Theorem 1, we obtain the asymptotic variance of the iterative estimator $\tilde{\beta}_j^{(g)}$, for $g \geq 1$, denoted by $\widehat{\text{var}}(\tilde{\beta}_j^{(g)})$, as $\widehat{\text{var}}(\tilde{\beta}_j^{(g)}) = n^{-1} (E \pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1} \{ \text{var}(\xi_{i,j} X_i \varepsilon_{i,j}) + \text{var}(\pi_i X_i L_i' F_j) \} (E \pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1}$. Given that $E(\xi_{i,j} X_i L_i' F_j | X_i, L_i, F_j) = \pi_i X_i L_i' F_j$, one has $\text{var}(\xi_{i,j} X_i L_i' F_j) = E\{\pi_i(1 - \pi_i) \Gamma_{ij}^2 X_i X_i'\} + \text{var}(\pi_i X_i L_i' F_j)$. Thus,

$$\widehat{\text{var}}(\hat{\beta}_j) - \widehat{\text{var}}(\tilde{\beta}_j^{(g)}) = n^{-1} (E \pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1} E\{\pi_i(1 - \pi_i) \Gamma_{ij}^2 X_i X_i'\} (E \pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1} \geq 0.$$

This means that $\tilde{\beta}_j^{(g)}$ always has a smaller asymptotic variance than $\hat{\beta}_j$. In fact, when

the observation rate $\alpha_n = o(1)$, $\text{var}(\pi_i X_i L_i' F_j)$ in $\widetilde{\text{var}}(\tilde{\beta}_j^{(g)})$ is asymptotically negligible compared to $\text{var}(\xi_{i,j} X_i L_i' F_j)$ in $\widetilde{\text{var}}(\hat{\beta}_j)$, and thus the difference between the asymptotic variances of the initial and iterative estimators is larger when more observations are missing.

Remark. Without the existence of the covariate matrix X , model (2) becomes $Y = \Gamma + \varepsilon$. When $\pi_i = \pi$ such that missingness does not depend on covariates as considered in [23], our iterative LS estimator $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)} - \Gamma$ at any finite $g \geq 1$ has asymptotic representation: $\pi^{-1} (n^{-1} L \Sigma_L^{-1} L' (\varepsilon \circ \Xi) + m^{-1} (\varepsilon \circ \Xi) F \Sigma_F^{-1} F')$. Therefore, it achieves the same efficiency as the iterative PCA estimator given in [23], and it has been shown in [23] that the iterative estimator has smaller asymptotic variance than the initial estimator $\hat{\Gamma}$ obtained from one-step PCA when $\pi < 1$. We also note that to achieve such efficiency improvement, [23] need the number of iterations to go to infinity, while our iterative LS estimator only requires a few iterations.

Remark. Based on the asymptotic linear expansions given in Theorems 1 and 2, one can immediately obtain the error bounds of our iterative LS estimators in Frobenius norm:

$$\|\tilde{\beta}^g - \beta\|_F^2/m = O_P(((n \wedge m)\alpha_n)^{-1} \log n); \|\tilde{\Gamma}^g - \Gamma\|_F^2/(nm) = O_P(((n \wedge m)\alpha_n)^{-1} \log n). \quad (9)$$

Since our iterative LS estimators are asymptotically unbiased, the rate in (9) comes from the asymptotic variance. Under a similar model as ours, [27] proposed a regularized estimation method penalizing the nuclear and Frobenius norms, and derived the convergence rate of the estimators for β and Γ . Without incorporating covariates, regularization methods based on different norms have been studied in the matrix completion problems; see, for example, [24] and [7]. In general, the regularized estimators have an inherent bias term from the penalties that can go into the convergence rate in addition to the rate from the asymptotic variance. To conduct inference, a debiasing procedure is often needed for the regularized estimation, which is nontrivial in matrix completion problems. Our iterative estimators

are asymptotically unbiased and have an asymptotic linear representation based on which we can conduct inference. Moreover, the iterative LS estimation enjoys computational convenience, which is important for modern large-scale data analysis.

4 Rank Estimation

In practice, $r = \text{rank}(\Gamma)$ is often unknown and needs to be estimated. In this section, we introduce a mean-square-error (MSE)-based approach to estimating the rank. This method fully takes advantage of the proposed iterative LS estimates, and it is described as follows. We compute $\hat{\beta}$ following (3). Recall W defined in (4) and its SVD $\sum_{s=1}^{m \wedge n} d_s u_s v_s'$. We then define $\hat{L}^k \hat{F}^{k'} = \sum_{s=1}^k d_s u_s v_s'$ as the analogues of $\hat{L}, \hat{F}$ in Section 2.2.1 with a superscript k denoting the rank used. Note that the true rank is unknown, and thus k could vary and is not necessarily equal to r . We then consider an estimation procedure similar to (7) but without updating β :

$$\tilde{F}^{k,(g+1)} = \arg \min_F f^* \left(\hat{\beta}, \tilde{L}^{k,(g)}, F \right); \quad \tilde{L}^{k,(g+1)} = \arg \min_L f^* \left(\hat{\beta}, L, \tilde{F}^{k,(g)} \right), \quad (10)$$

where $f^*(\cdot)$ is defined in (6). The initial value $\tilde{L}^{k,(0)}$ is set as $\hat{L}^k$.

Given a fixed positive integer g and for any $k \ll n \wedge m$, we define the following function

$$\text{mse}(k, g) = \frac{1}{nm} \left\| \Xi \circ \left(Y - X \hat{\beta} - \tilde{\Gamma}^{k,(g)} \right) \right\|_F^2,$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}^{k,(g)} = \tilde{L}^{k,(g)} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)'} is the rank k iterative LS estimator of Γ at step $g \geq 1$.$

We define the MSE-based rank estimating criterion and the resulting estimator of the rank given as follows.

$$\text{eIC}(k | g) = \log \text{mse}(k, g) + k \cdot h(n, m), \quad \hat{r}^{\text{eIC}(g)} = \arg \min_{1 \leq k \leq \bar{r}} \text{eIC}(k | g), \quad (11)$$

for $k \geq 1$ and a predetermined upper bound $\bar{r}$, where $k \cdot h(n, m)$ is a penalty function that depends on n, m . The theorem for the statistical guarantee of $\hat{r}^{\text{eIC}(g)}$ is stated below, and its proof is in Supplement E.

Theorem 3. *Let Model (2) and Assumption 1 hold. Assume that $\bar{r}$ is fixed and satisfies*

$\bar{r} \geq r$. The rank estimator $\hat{r}^{\text{eIC}(g)}$ defined in (11) satisfies $P(\hat{r}^{\text{eIC}(g)} = r) \rightarrow 1$ if $h(n, m) = o(1)$ and $\sqrt{\frac{mn\alpha_n}{(m+n)}}h(n, m) \rightarrow \infty$ in a polynomial rate in $n \vee m$.

Remark. Theorem 3 shows that the MSE-based rank estimator $\hat{r}^{\text{eIC}(g)}$ can consistently estimate the true rank r when $h(n, m)$ satisfies certain conditions. Section 6.2 provides a formula for calculating $h(n, m)$ in our numerical analysis.

Remark. We have an interesting finding that the MSE-based method for rank selection cannot be constructed based on the initial estimates $\hat{\beta}$, $\hat{L}^k$ and $\hat{F}^k$, where $\hat{L}^k$ and $\hat{F}^k$ are rank k SVD estimates of L and F , because the MSE value may not be decreasing as k increases when the observation rate is small. A heuristic argument and the numerical illustration are given in Section G of the Supplementary Materials.

5 Bootstrap Inference of β

In this section, we provide a testing procedure for the null hypothesis:

$$H_0 : A_j \beta_j = a_j^0 \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{G} \quad (12)$$

where each $A_j \neq 0$ is a given matrix with dimension $q \times k$, and $q \leq k$, each a_j^0 is a q -dimensional vector, and $\mathcal{G}$ is a subset of $\{1, \dots, m\}$. By Theorem 1,

$$\tilde{\beta}_j^{(g)} - \beta_j = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{i,j} + \text{smaller terms},$$

where $\omega_{i,j} = E(\pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1} X_i (\xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + \pi_i \Gamma_{i,j})$. Therefore, a simple test statistic is

$$T = \max_{j \in \mathcal{G}} \|A_j \tilde{\beta}_j^{(g)} - a_j^0\|_\infty. \quad (13)$$

We can use a simple multiplier bootstrap procedure to compute the p -value. Define

$$\hat{\omega}_{i,j} = (n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\pi}_i X_i X_i')^{-1} X_i (\xi_{i,j} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i,j} + \hat{\pi}_i \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}),$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}_{i,j} = Y_{i,j} - X_{i,j}' \tilde{\beta}_j - \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}$, in which $\tilde{\beta}_j$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}$ are the iterative LS estimates of β_j and $\Gamma_{i,j}$ at the last step.

Let $\{\iota_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be random variables generated from $N(0, 1)$ that are independent of the data. The bootstrapped test statistic is

$$T^* = \max_{j \in \mathcal{G}} \|n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_i A_j \hat{\omega}_{i,j}\|_{\infty}. \quad (14)$$

Conditional on the data, the randomness of T^* comes from the generated variables $\{\iota_i\}_{i=1}^n$. By generating many realizations of T^* , we can compute the $(1-\alpha)$ quantile of T^* conditional on the data, i.e., $\mathcal{Q}(T^*, 1-\alpha)$ satisfies $P(T^* \leq \mathcal{Q}(T^*, 1-\alpha) \mid \text{data}) = 1-\alpha$.

Assumption 2. *Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- i) *There exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that $\min_{i,j} E(\varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \mid X, L, F) \geq M_1$ almost surely.*
- ii) $(\log m)^{5/2} \ll \min\{nm^{-1/2}, mn^{-1/2}\}$.

Theorem 4. *Let Model (2) and Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. Under the null hypothesis (12), if $|\mathcal{G}| \leq m$, then $P(T > \mathcal{Q}(T^*, 1-\alpha)) = \alpha + o(1)$, where T and T^* are defined in (13), (14), and $\mathcal{Q}$ is the quantile function.*

6 Simulation Studies

In this section, we conduct simulation studies to illustrate the finite sample performance of our proposed iterative LS method. We generate the responses by model (2): $Y = X\beta' + \Gamma + \varepsilon$, where $\Gamma = LF'$, in which $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ and $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$. We then generate the covariates, the coefficients, and the latent matrices as follows. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we independently generate the covariates by $X_i \sim N(0, \Sigma_X)$, the hidden matrix by $L_i \sim N(0, \Sigma_L)$, $F_j \sim N(0, 4\Sigma_F)$, and the noise by $\varepsilon_{i,j} \sim N(0, 1)$. The covariance matrices are $(\Sigma_X)_{k,k'} = \text{cov}(X_{i,k}, X_{i,k'}) = 0.5^{|k-k'|}$, $(\Sigma_L)_{k,k'} = \text{cov}(L_{i,k}, L_{i,k'}) = 0.5^{|k-k'|}$ and $(\Sigma_F)_{k,k'} = \text{cov}(F_{j,k}, F_{j,k'}) = 0.2^{|k-k'|}$. We generate the coefficients by $\beta_j \sim N(0, 4I_d)$. We regenerate (X, L, F, ε) for each simulation replicate while β remains fixed. The dimension of X_i and β_j is $d = 3$ while the rank, $r = 3$, is considered for the latent factor matrix.

Next, we generate the observed entries of the responses according to the two data-generating processes (DGPs) with constant and covariate-dependent observation rates, re-

spectively. For each type of DGP, we consider $n = m = 200, 500, 1000$ to see how the estimators and their asymptotic properties behave in different sample sizes.

DGP 1 (Constant observation rate π). In this design, we consider constant observed rates and run simulations for $\pi = 1, 0.8, 0.5, 0.2$ to see how the observed rate would affect the performance (the data is fully observed when $\pi = 1$).

DGP 2 (Covariate-dependent observation rate π_i). In this design, we let the observational rates of the response variables depend on the observed covariates of each individual, so we generate π_i from the logistic model: $P(\xi_{i,j} = 1|X_i) = \pi_i = \eta(\gamma_{0,n} + X_i'\gamma_1)$, where $\gamma_{0,n} = \log(\alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_n = Cn^{-1/2}\log n$ and $\gamma_1 = (0.2, \dots, 0.2)'$. We see that α_n controls the sparseness of the observed values of each response, and we allow that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that $\pi_i \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We let $C = 1.0, 1.5, 2.0$. When the C value is larger, it corresponds to larger observation rates of the responses.

When data are generated from DGP 1, we compare the performance of our proposed iterative LS method with that of the iterative PCA method given in [23]. In [23], they assume that the observed rate is a constant π which is bounded below by a constant. As a result, their setting only satisfies the condition on the observation rate in DGP1, not the one in DGP2.

Without the presence of the covariates X , [23] proposed to estimate Γ using an iterative PCA method with the missing values of Y replaced by the PCA estimate of Γ from the previous step. To make the iterative PCA method in [23] be accommodated to our model (2), once we obtain the estimate of Γ by PCA, we use the same LS method to obtain the estimate for β . To distinguish the estimators from our proposed method and the one from [23], we denote our g^{th} step iterative LS estimator by $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(g)}$, and their iterative PCA estimator by $\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(g)}$. The estimator $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(g)}$ is obtained as described in Section 2.2.2. To adapt

the iterative PCA method given in [23] for our model, at the g^{th} step, $g \geq 1$, we replace the missing values in W by the corresponding values of the estimates obtained from the previous step, and then $\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(g)}$ is the rank r SVD of the updated W . Once the estimate of Γ is obtained, the estimate of β is obtained by the same LS method. The same initial estimator $\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(0)} = \hat{\Gamma}$ is used. In each simulation, we obtain $\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(g)}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(g)}$ at the steps $g = 1, 2, 3$ and $g \rightarrow \infty$. The estimate at convergence denoted by $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(c)}$ is obtained by iterating the algorithm until convergence, i.e., the maximum difference between the estimates from two consecutive steps, $\|X\tilde{\beta}^{(g)} + \tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)} - X\tilde{\beta}^{(g-1)} - \tilde{\Gamma}^{(g-1)}\|_{\infty}^2$, is smaller than the small threshold 10^{-6} .

The iterative PCA method in [23] requires that the number of iterations go to infinity to have the desired convergence rate and the asymptotic distribution of the estimator for Γ . We will show that our iterative LS estimator for Γ only needs a finite number of iterations to achieve the same asymptotic distribution, so our method enjoys great computational advantage, especially in the large dimensional setting. Moreover, we will illustrate the performance of our proposed multiplier bootstrap inferential method for testing the high-dimensional coefficient matrix and the rank estimation methods.

In the following subsections, we show partial simulation results due to the space limit. For the complete numerical results, we refer to Section H of the Supplementary Materials¹.

6.1 Performance of The Estimators

To evaluate the performance, we repeat the simulation under each setting 500 times and, for any estimator $\tilde{\theta}$ for a parameter θ_0 , we calculate the average mean-square-error: $\text{MSE}(\tilde{\theta}) = \frac{1}{500|\theta_0|} \sum_{s=1}^{500} \left\| \tilde{\theta}_s - \theta_{0,s} \right\|_F^2$, where $\tilde{\theta}_s$, $\theta_{0,s}$ are the estimator and the true parameter in s^{th} repetition, and $|\theta_0|$ is the number of elements in θ_0 .

We first compare the performance of our iterative LS estimator with that of the iterative PCA estimator using DGP 1. Table 1 shows the MSE of different estimators obtained with

the true rank based on the 500 simulation replicates in each setting of DGP 1 for $g = 3$ and $g = c$ (at convergence), and $r = 3$. Results for other cases are similar, and are provided in the Supplementary Materials¹.

For larger sample sizes $n, m = 500, 1000$, we see that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(3)}$ has much smaller MSE than the initial estimator $\hat{\Gamma}$, and it has the same MSE as $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(c)}$ at all values of π . It indicates that our LS estimate of Γ at a finite step performs better than the initiate estimate, and it has a similar performance as the LS estimate at convergence. Moreover, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(3)}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(c)}$ have similar MSE values, both of which are significantly smaller than the MSE obtained from $\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(3)}$. The difference between the MSE values of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(3)}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(3)}$ becomes more dramatic as the observation rate π is smaller. This result corroborates our theoretical finding that the proposed iterative LS estimator at a finite step $g \geq 1$ achieves the same convergence rate and asymptotic property as the iterative PCA estimator at $g \rightarrow \infty$. For small sample size $n, m = 200$, we can observe the same pattern for $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(3)}$ at $\pi = 0.5, 0.8$. The MSE of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(3)}$ is almost the same as that of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(c)}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(c)}$ at $\pi = 0.5, 0.8$, but it is slightly worse at $\pi = 0.2$. However, the MSE of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(3)}$ is much larger than that of the other three estimates. This result further shows that the iterative PCA method needs a diverging number of iterations to achieve the desired convergence rate as proven in [23]. The performance of the estimators of β is similar for both methods. Only in the case $n = m = 200$ and $\pi = 0.2$, $\tilde{\beta}_{pca}^{(3)}$ is slightly worse than $\tilde{\beta}_{ls}^{(3)}$.

Next, we compare the computing time of the iterative LS and the iterative PCA methods. When missing values exist, our proposed iterative LS method has a great computational advantage over the iterative PCA method in two aspects. First, for one complete iteration, the computational complexity of PCA on the updated matrix W is $O(mn^2 + m^3)$, and it is only $O(r^2\pi mn)$ for solving the two LS systems defined in (7) for L and F . Since

we have the low-rank assumption, r is fixed and $r \ll \min(m, n)$, we see that our LS method is much more computationally efficient than the PCA method for one complete update. Second, our estimator only needs a finite number of iterations, while the iterative PCA estimator requires a diverging number of iterations to have the same asymptotic properties. This result was already demonstrated by the performance comparison in Table 1.

To test the actual computing time of the two estimators, we run simulations using the data generated from DGP 1 when the true rank $r = 3$, and the sample sizes, $n = m = 200, 500, 1000$, with observation rate $\pi = 0.8, 0.5, 0.2$, respectively. Based on 100 simulation replications of each setting, Table 2 reports the average computing time and the number of iterations needed to obtain the converged estimate for each method, and the average computing time of one iteration (one complete update). For a fair comparison, all simulations are run on a regular laptop with specs: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-8750H CPU, 2667MHz 16 GB RAM without the help of GPU or CPU parallel computing.

The last two columns in Table 2 show the average time for one update by both methods at different sample sizes n, m . We see that the iterative PCA method has a more dramatic increase (from 0.04 for $n, m = 200$ to 3 seconds for $n, m = 1000$) than our proposed iterative LS method (from 0.04 to 0.3 instead) when the sample size increases. We can also see that the number of iterations needed to converge increases as the observation rate π decreases. From the “Number of iterations” columns, we observe that the iterative PCA method in general needs more iterations to converge, and the difference between the PCA and the LS methods becomes more prominent as the π value becomes smaller even in the settings with large sample sizes. For instance, in the case with $n, m = 1000$, the iterative LS method needs around 3 iterations at $\pi = 0.8$ and 5 iterations at $\pi = 0.2$, whereas the number of iterations for the iterative PCA method grows from 7 to 49.

Next, we show in Table 3 the MSE of our iterative LS estimators based on the 500 simulation replicates in each setting of DGP 2 for $g = 3$ and $r = 3$. We can observe similar patterns as shown in DGP 1; the estimators at $g = 3$ have almost the same MSE as the converged estimators in every case when $n, m = 500$ or 1000 . Even for $C = 1$, $n, m = 200$, the estimator at $g = 3$ performs quite well. When the C value is larger, the response matrix is more densely observed, so the estimators are expected to have better performance. The last column shows the average number of iterations to obtain the converged estimator, and we can see that the numbers are all small. With the low computational complexity, it is possible to use the converged solution in practice, or use the estimate at $g = 3$ if the algorithm is implemented on large datasets and we need a faster computational speed.

In the last of this section, we construct pointwise confidence intervals for $\Gamma_{i,j}$ and $\mu_{i,j} = E(Y_{i,j} | L_i, X_i, F_j)$ for some given i, j based on the asymptotic representations in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. For $g \geq 1$, let $\tilde{Y}_{i,j} = X_i' \tilde{\beta}_j^{(g)} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}^{(g)}$ and $\sigma^2 = E(\varepsilon_{i,j}^2)$, then $(\tilde{Y}_{i,j} - \mu_{i,j})/\sigma_{n,m}(\tilde{Y}_{i,j})$ and $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}^{(g)} - \Gamma_{i,j})/\sigma_{n,m}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}^{(g)})$ asymptotically follow $N(0, 1)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{n,m}^2(\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}^{(g)}) &= \sigma^2 \left[n^{-1} L_i' E(\pi_i L_i L_i')^{-1} L_i + (m\pi_i)^{-1} F_j' \Sigma_F^{-1} F_j \right] + n^{-1} \zeta_{i,j}^2 \\ \sigma_{n,m}^2(\tilde{Y}_{i,j}) &= \sigma^2 \left[n^{-1} \left(L_i' E(\pi_i L_i L_i')^{-1} L_i + X_i' E(\pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1} X_i \right) + (m\pi_i)^{-1} F_j' \Sigma_F^{-1} F_j \right], \quad (15)\end{aligned}$$

and $\zeta_{i,j}^2 = X_i' E(\pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1} E(\pi_k^2 X_k L_k' F_j F_j' L_k X_k' | F_j) E(\pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1} X_i$. The unknown terms in the above representations can be replaced by empirical estimators so that we can get the estimated standard error $\hat{\sigma}_{n,m}(\cdot)$.

In the literature, the latent factor model is often considered for matrix completion without considering the covariates. In this model, the matrix Θ is directly decomposed as $\Theta = L^* F^{*'}$, where L^* and F^* are latent factors and their loadings, both of which are unknown and need to be estimated. Compared to model (1), we can write $L^* = [X, L]$ and $F^* = [\beta, F]$, but both X and β are treated as unknown variables in the latent factor model. When

$E(X_i L_i') = 0$ and $E(\beta_j F_j') = 0$, it can be shown that the asymptotic variance of the estimator $\tilde{Y}_{i,j} := \tilde{\Theta}_{i,j}$ based on the latent factor model with Θ having rank $r + d$ is $\sigma_{n,m}^2(\tilde{Y}_{i,j}) = \sigma^2 \left[n^{-1} (L_i' E(\pi_i L_i L_i')^{-1} L_i + X_i' E(\pi_i X_i X_i')^{-1} X_i) + (m \pi_i)^{-1} (F_j' \Sigma_F^{-1} F_j + \beta_j' \Sigma_\beta^{-1} \beta_j) \right]$, where $\Sigma_\beta = E(\beta_j \beta_j')$, and $\tilde{\Theta}$ is the iterative LS estimator of Θ and has the rank of $d + r$. We can see that this asymptotic variance has one additional term $(m \pi_i)^{-1} \beta_j' \Sigma_\beta^{-1} \beta_j$ compared to the one given in (15), so it is larger than the asymptotic variance of the estimator for model (1) that incorporates the observed covariates. When the estimator $\tilde{\Theta}$ has a rank smaller than $d + r$, it has an asymptotically nonnegligible bias.

Table 4 shows the biases and the empirical coverage rates of 95% CI of three arbitrarily chosen estimators obtained at $g = 3$. Each value is calculated based on 500 simulation replicates. We observe that all the biases are very small, and the coverage rates are close to the nominal value except for the cases with a very small effective size ($n = m = 200$, $C = 1$). The empirical distributions of the Z -statistics of $\tilde{Y}_{i,j}$ for $(i, j) = (2, 3)$ are shown in Figure 1. We can see that the distributions are close to the standard normal (shaded area) in those cases with larger effective sample sizes. Results for settings in DGP 1 are similar and provided in the Supplementary Materials¹.

Table 1: The MSE of different estimators in DGP 1.

DGP 1		initial		iterative PCA				iterative LS			
n, m	π	$\hat{\beta}$	$\hat{\Gamma}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{pca}^{(3)}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{pca}^{(c)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(3)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(c)}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{ls}^{(3)}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{ls}^{(c)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(3)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(c)}$
200	0.2	0.614	7.469	0.258	0.157	3.075	0.419	0.197	0.176	0.631	0.457
	0.5	0.230	1.121	0.126	0.125	0.321	0.283	0.125	0.125	0.285	0.285
	0.8	0.145	0.430	0.119	0.119	0.258	0.258	0.119	0.119	0.258	0.258
	1	0.117	0.250	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
500	0.2	0.224	2.354	0.068	0.057	0.835	0.150	0.058	0.058	0.152	0.152
	0.5	0.087	0.399	0.047	0.047	0.116	0.108	0.047	0.047	0.108	0.108
	0.8	0.054	0.167	0.044	0.044	0.099	0.099	0.044	0.044	0.099	0.099
	1	0.043	0.096	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1000	0.2	0.110	0.726	0.030	0.029	0.246	0.074	0.029	0.029	0.074	0.074
	0.5	0.044	0.195	0.024	0.024	0.057	0.055	0.024	0.024	0.055	0.055
	0.8	0.028	0.084	0.023	0.023	0.050	0.050	0.023	0.023	0.050	0.050
	1	0.023	0.048	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

6.2 Rank Estimation

Table 2: Computing time in seconds* in each setting.

DGP 1		Time in sec to get estimators			Number of iterations		Ave. time for 1 iteration	
n, m	π	$\hat{\Gamma}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(c)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(c)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(c)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(c)}$	ls	pca
200	0.8	0.04	0.16	0.38	4.0	9.3	0.041	0.041
	0.4	0.05	0.28	1.37	7.0	33.6	0.040	0.041
	0.2	0.04	0.60	4.01	15.7	99.9	0.038	0.040
500	0.8	0.41	0.44	3.18	3.6	7.5	0.124	0.423
	0.4	0.42	0.58	10.06	5.0	23.5	0.115	0.429
	0.2	0.41	0.80	27.54	7.4	64.5	0.108	0.427
1000	0.8	3.43	1.05	24.24	3.0	6.9	0.351	3.514
	0.4	3.03	1.16	62.22	4.0	19.9	0.287	3.130
	0.2	2.96	1.39	149.12	5.5	48.8	0.252	3.056

* The values are calculated based on 100 simulation replicates

Table 3: The MSE of different estimators in DGP 2.

DGP 2		initial		iterative LS				
n, m	C	$\hat{\beta}$	$\hat{\Gamma}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{ls}^{(3)}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{ls}^{(c)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(3)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(c)}$	$N_{ls}^{(c)\dagger}$
200	1	0.420	5.993	0.183	0.178	0.516	0.456	12.7
	1.5	0.318	3.612	0.155	0.154	0.379	0.375	9.7
	2	0.268	2.315	0.142	0.141	0.337	0.334	8.4
500	1	0.192	3.271	0.067	0.066	0.185	0.182	8.9
	1.5	0.143	1.472	0.057	0.057	0.147	0.147	7.2
	2	0.119	0.888	0.053	0.053	0.132	0.132	6.3
1000	1	0.115	1.535	0.034	0.034	0.094	0.094	7.3
	1.5	0.085	0.721	0.030	0.030	0.077	0.077	6.1
	2	0.070	0.482	0.028	0.028	0.071	0.071	5.6

* The average number of complete iterations to get converged results.

The number of factors r is often unknown in practice. Section 4 introduces the estimator $\hat{r}^{\text{eIC}}$ to estimate the unknown rank r . With large n, m , Theorem 3 shows that this estimator can find the correct rank with a high probability if the penalty $h(n, m)$ satisfies the stated condition. We let

$$h(n, m) = C_h n^{\delta_h} \sqrt{(m+n)/(mn\hat{\alpha}_n)}, \quad (16)$$

with $C_h = 0.9$ and $\delta_h = 0.1$, where $\hat{\alpha}_n = \bar{\pi} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\pi}_i$ for DGP1 and $\hat{\alpha}_n = e^{\hat{\gamma}_{0,n}}$ for DGP2, so that $h(n, m)$ satisfies the condition given in Theorem 3. To see the performance of the eIC method for rank estimation, we use the 500 simulation replicates in each setting of DGP 1 and DGP 2, and estimate the rank using the eIC criterion given in (11). We set $g = 3$ for the eIC method because our iterative LS estimates perform well with three

Table 4: Average bias and 95% CI coverage rate for some estimators*.

DGP 2		Bias (10^{-2})						95% CI coverage rate					
n, m	C	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{11}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{23}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{35}$	$\tilde{Y}_{11}$	$\tilde{Y}_{23}$	$\tilde{Y}_{35}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{11}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{23}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{35}$	$\tilde{Y}_{11}$	$\tilde{Y}_{23}$	$\tilde{Y}_{35}$
200	1	0.3	-1.9	-1.9	-0.5	-2.6	-1.7	0.93	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
	1.5	-1.0	-0.1	-1.1	-0.5	-0.4	0.5	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96
	2	-0.1	-0.0	-2.0	-0.0	0.2	-0.4	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.93	0.95	0.95
500	1	0.7	-0.4	-0.4	0.3	-0.3	2.0	0.92	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.95	0.93
	1.5	0.5	-0.4	0.6	0.2	-0.4	1.9	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.95	0.96	0.95
	2	0.1	-0.8	0.5	-0.1	-0.4	1.4	0.95	0.95	0.93	0.95	0.96	0.95
1000	1	0.3	-2.1	1.3	-0.9	-1.0	-1.1	0.94	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.96
	1.5	0.7	-2.4	1.8	-0.3	-0.7	0.0	0.95	0.92	0.96	0.93	0.96	0.95
	2	0.9	-1.9	1.3	0.0	-0.4	0.0	0.96	0.93	0.95	0.93	0.95	0.95

* $\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}^{(3)}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{i,j} = X_i' \tilde{\beta}_j^{(3)} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}^{(3)}$.




Figure 1: The empirical distribution of $\frac{\tilde{Y}_{23} - \mu_{23}}{\hat{\sigma}_{n,m}(\tilde{Y}_{23})}$ in different simulation settings. The shaded area is the density of standard normal distribution.

iterations as shown in Section 6.1.

We report the accuracy (the percentage of obtaining the true rank) along with the average of the rank estimates based on 500 simulation replicates for all settings in Table 5. Note that cases with $\pi = 1$ in DGP 1 are omitted since we aim to find a method that can accurately estimate the rank when the data have missing entries. We see that our proposed eIC method performs well in all settings for both DGP 1 and DGP 2. Even with a relatively small sample size ($n = m = 200$) and low observation rate ($\pi = 0.2$ or $C = 1.0$), the eIC method can correctly estimate the true rank with high probability. Its performance further improves as the sample size becomes larger.

Table 5: The rank estimation results of $\hat{r}^{\text{elC}(3)}$ based on 500 simulations in each setting with the true rank $r = 3$.

n, m	DGP 1			DGP 2		
	π	Acc.*	Ave.†	C	Acc.*	Ave.†
200	0.2	93.8	2.95	1.0	97.8	3.02
	0.5	100.0	3.00	1.5	99.8	3.00
	0.8	100.0	3.00	2.0	99.8	3.00
500	0.2	100.0	3.00	1.0	100.0	3.00
	0.5	100.0	3.00	1.5	100.0	3.00
	0.8	100.0	3.00	2.0	100.0	3.00
1000	0.2	100.0	3.00	1.0	100.0	3.00
	0.5	100.0	3.00	1.5	100.0	3.00
	0.8	100.0	3.00	2.0	100.0	3.00

* The percentage of $\hat{r}^{\text{elC}(3)} = r$.

† The average of $\hat{r}^{\text{elC}(3)}$.

6.3 Simultaneous Inference for The Coefficients

In this section, we conduct hypothesis tests on $H_0 : A_j \cdot \beta_j = a_j^0$ for $j \in \mathcal{G}$ at the significant level $\alpha = 0.05$ by the multiplier bootstrap method given in Section 5. We consider the following hypotheses: (i) $H_0 : \beta_{j,p} = 0 \quad \forall j, p$; (ii) $H_0 : \beta_{j,p_0} = 0 \quad \forall j$.

Note that in (ii), p_0 is a fixed value (could be 1, 2 or 3 in our DGPs).

Remark. To follow the notation in Section 5, $A_j = I$ in (i), and $A_j = (1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1)$ in (ii) for $p_0 = 1, 2, 3$ respectively, and $\mathcal{G} = \{1, \dots, m\}$ in all the tests.

To see the performance of the testing procedure under null and different alternative hypotheses, we generate our β from $N(0, 4\rho^2 I)$ in DGPs 1 and 2. We run 500 simulation replications in each setting with $\rho = 0, e^{-3}, e^{-2.5}, e^{-2}, e^{-1.5}$ and e^{-1} , respectively. Note that the null hypothesis H_0 is true when $\rho = 0$. For each setting and ρ value, we compute the empirical rejection rate of each test based on the 500 simulation replicates.

The results for DGP 2, $r = 3$ are presented in Figure 2. The numerical results of all scenarios are relegated to the Supplementary Materials¹. We observe that except for the case with a small sample size $n = m = 200$ and $\pi = 0.2$, the rejection rate is very close to the significant level 0.05 under the null hypothesis ($\rho = 0$). The power approaches 1

quickly as the ρ value becomes larger or the sample size increases. This corroborates our theoretical results for the proposed simultaneous testing method given in Section 5. The rejection rate for the case with $n = m = 200$ and $\pi = 0.2$ is slightly larger due to the small effective sample size.




Figure 2: Empirical rejection rates at level $\alpha = 0.05$. Each column represents a hypothesis, and each row represents a sample size. When $x = \ln(\rho) = -\text{Inf}$, the null hypothesis is true.

7 Application

In this section, we apply the proposed method to the MovieLens 1M dataset¹. MovieLens is a website where people can sign up and rate movies in their database, and it is run by a lab at the University of Minnesota called GroupLens. They provide movie recommendations to the users based on their rating history. The 1M dataset contains 1,000,209 ratings on 3,952 movies from 6,040 users. Some demographic information of users is provided using an assigned ID, including the user's gender, age, occupation, and zip code. Each rating is a number between 0.5 and 5 with 0.5 gaps between two ratings, linked to a user and a movie.

¹website: <https://grouplens.org/datasets/movielens/>

The timestamp at which a rating was given was also recorded. In the dataset, each user has rated at least 20 movies. To provide appropriate recommendations to users, our goal is to : (i) estimate the ratings based on the proposed low-rank model with covariates given in Model (2) through our iterative LS procedure, (ii) conduct pointwise inference for each rating based on the established asymptotic distribution, and (iii) conduct simultaneous inference for the coefficients of the covariates based on our bootstrap procedure.

7.1 Application of The Proposed Method to MovieLens 1M

To apply our method to the MovieLens 1M dataset, we use Y to represent the rating matrix in which the i th row and j th column correspond to the i th user and j th movie, respectively. As a result, the dimension of Y should be $6,040 \times 3,952$. We consider gender and age as the covariates in Model (2); both of them may have effects on the movie ratings and the missingness of the ratings. Then, in the covariate matrix, the gender is encoded as "0" (female) and "1" (male); the age is factorized into 4 groups: "0-24", "25-34", "35-49", "50+", and it is represented by 3 dummy variables. We also include the interactive terms between gender and age groups in the covariate matrix X , and then the dimension of X including the intercept is $m = 6040$ by $d = 8$. The dataset is split into a training set and a test set, and the test set contains 60,400 ratings with 10 ratings from each user.

Let G_i be the indicator of the gender for the i^{th} user, and $A_{i,k}$, $1 \leq k \leq 3$, be the indicator of age groups "25-34", "35-49", "50+", respectively. Both gender and age may affect the missingness of movie ratings, so we fit a logistic model for $\pi_i = P(\xi_{i,j} = 1|X_i)$:

$$\text{logit}(\pi_i) = \gamma_0 + G_i\gamma_1 + A_{i,1}\gamma_2 + A_{i,2}\gamma_3 + A_{i,3}\gamma_4 + (G_i \cdot A_{i,1})\gamma_5 + (G_i \cdot A_{i,2})\gamma_6 + (G_i \cdot A_{i,3})\gamma_7, \quad (17)$$

where $\text{logit}(x) = \log(x/(1-x))$. Moreover, we fit the following model for the responses:

$$Y_{i,j} = \beta_{j,0} + G_i\beta_{j,1} + A_{i,1}\beta_{j,2} + A_{i,2}\beta_{j,3} + A_{i,3}\beta_{j,4} +$$

$$(G_i \cdot A_{i,1})\beta_{j,5} + (G_i \cdot A_{i,2})\beta_{j,6} + (G_i \cdot A_{i,3})\beta_{j,7} + L'_i F_j + \varepsilon_{i,j}. \quad (18)$$

We also consider the sub-model with only the main effects of G and $A_{\cdot,k}$ as well as other sub-models which include only partial interactions between gender and age groups to see which model has the best prediction. We use the eIC method with the penalty given in (16) with $C_h = 0.2$, $\delta_h = 0.1$ to obtain the estimated rank $\hat{r} = \hat{r}^{\text{eIC}(c)}$. Tables 2 and 3 in Section 6.1 show that the iterative LS algorithm in general only needs a few iterations to converge. Then, in the real data analysis, we estimate β_j and $\Gamma_{i,j}$ by running the iterative LS algorithm until convergence or stopped at step=30. With the estimated rank, we then obtain the estimated ratings in the training set and the predicted ratings in the test set by $\tilde{Y}_{i,j} = X'_i \tilde{\beta}_j + \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}$ where $\tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\beta}^{(c)}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j} = \tilde{L}_i^{(c)'} \tilde{F}_j^{(c)}$. In addition, since the rating is limited to be between 0.5 and 5, we define the adjusted estimated rating as $\tilde{Y}_{i,j}^{\text{adj}} = (\tilde{Y}_{i,j} \vee 0.5) \wedge 5$, so as to enable the estimated ratings to have values between (0.5, 5).

7.2 The Fitting Results

The fitting result of the logistic model for π_i given in (17) is shown in Table 6. It shows the estimate and the standard error of each coefficient, and the p -values for testing whether each coefficient is zero or not. Table 6 shows that the p -values are all close to zero, indicating that all the coefficients for both the main and interaction effects are significantly different from zero. This result further demonstrates that the two baseline covariates, gender and age, and their interactions should have significant effects on the missing pattern of the movie rates. We will use the full model (17) for π_i in the follow-up analysis.

Next, we calculate the root mean square error (RMSE) of the estimated ratings, where $\text{RMSE} = \left[(n_S)^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in S} (Y_{i,j} - \tilde{Y}_{i,j})^2 \right]^{1/2}$ respectively, for the training and test datasets, to check the prediction performance of each model. In the above formula, the S is the set

Table 6: The fitting result for the logistic model for π_i .

	(Intercept)	G	A_1	A_2	A_3	$G \cdot A_1$	$G \cdot A_2$	$G \cdot A_3$
Estimate	-3.347	0.148	0.140	-0.037	-0.304	0.061	0.083	0.072
Std. Error (10^{-3})	4.53	5.25	5.71	5.97	7.87	6.58	6.93	9.07
p -value	*	*	*	10^{-9}	*	*	*	10^{-14}

* Value $< 10^{-15}$

of observed indices in the training set or the indices in the test set, and $n_S = |S|$ is the number of elements in S . The RMSEs for the training and test datasets are provided in Table 7. Note that in this table, we also calculate the RMSE using the adjusted rating $\tilde{Y}_{i,j}^{adj}$. According to Table 7, we can see that all the estimated rank $\hat{r}$ by the eIC method is

Table 7: RMSE of different models in training and test set.

Model Covariate(s)	p	$\hat{r}$	RMSE		adj. RMSE [†]	
			training	tested	training	tested
$1 + G + A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + G \cdot A_1 + G \cdot A_2 + G \cdot A_3$	8	2	0.8381	0.9067	0.8379	0.8993
$1 + G + A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + G \cdot A_1 + G \cdot A_2$	7	2	0.8395	0.9046	0.8393	0.8982
$1 + G + A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + G \cdot A_1 + G \cdot A_3$	7	2	0.8398	0.9057	0.8396	0.8980
$1 + G + A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + G \cdot A_2 + G \cdot A_3$	7	2	0.8398	0.9064	0.8396	0.8982
$1 + G + A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + G \cdot A_1$	6	2	0.8411	0.9032	0.8409	0.8962
$1 + G + A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + G \cdot A_2$	6	2	0.8411	0.9043	0.8409	0.8966
$1 + G + A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + G \cdot A_3$	6	2	0.8415	0.9044	0.8413	0.8968
$1 + G + A_1 + A_2 + A_3$	5	2	0.8428	0.9020	0.8426	0.8949
1	1	2	0.8582	0.9813	0.8581	0.9010

* adj. RMSE uses $\tilde{Y}_{i,j}^{adj}$ in stead of $\tilde{Y}_{i,j}$ in the RMSE formula.

2 for all cases, and the best prediction which gives the lowest RMSE in the test set is the model with only the main effects, no matter we use the original estimators or the adjusted ones. As a result, we will use the model with only the main effects in the follow-up analysis, and it is formulated as

$$Y_{i,j} = \beta_{j,0} + G_i \beta_{j,1} + A_{i,1} \beta_{j,2} + A_{i,2} \beta_{j,3} + A_{i,3} \beta_{j,4} + L_i' F_j + \varepsilon_{i,j}. \quad (19)$$

7.3 Insight into MovieLens 1M

With the selected model (19), we can run contrast tests on the coefficient matrix β to see if any category in the covariates is unnecessary or if any two (or more) of them can be

combined. Since the tests concern the high dimensional coefficient matrix β , we use the multiplier bootstrap method provided in Section 5 to conduct simultaneous inference, and the results are presented in Table 8. All the p-values in Table 8 are very small, indicating

Table 8: The hypothesis testing results for all contrasts.

H_0	meaning	test statistic	p -value*
$\beta_{j1} = 0 \quad \forall j$	No difference in gender	5.25	< 0.001
$\beta_{j2} = 0 \quad \forall j$	No difference in age group (-24) and (25-34)	5.50	< 0.001
$\beta_{j3} = 0 \quad \forall j$	No difference in age group (-24) and (35-49)	10.75	< 0.001
$\beta_{j4} = 0 \quad \forall j$	No difference in age group (-24) and (50+)	6.15	< 0.001
$\beta_{j2} - \beta_{j3} = 0 \quad \forall j$	No difference in age group (25-34) and (35-49)	10.75	< 0.001
$\beta_{j2} - \beta_{j4} = 0 \quad \forall j$	No difference in age group (25-34) and (50+)	7.81	< 0.001
$\beta_{j3} - \beta_{j4} = 0 \quad \forall j$	No difference in age group (35-49) and (50+)	10.75	< 0.001

* Each p -value is calculated through 1000 bootstrap results.

that the different age and gender groups have significant effects on the prediction of movie ratings. For further illustration, Figure 3 shows the box plots of the estimated movie ratings in different gender and age groups for some movies. We can see that for the movie “Antonia’s Line”, the ratings are very different between genders, but they are similar among different age groups of the same gender. However, for some other movies such as “The Brain That Wouldn’t Die”, in which both age and gender significantly affect the movie ratings; see more boxplot examples in the supplementary materials¹.




Figure 3: Boxplots of the estimated ratings in different gender and age groups for some movies.

While the overall effects of different covariates on the ratings can be investigated through

Table 8, examples in Figure 3 motivate us to perform individual tests on each movie, so that we can understand the effect of covariate on each movie. A z -test is then conducted for each movie based on the asymptotic result in Theorem 1. Table S5 in Section H.1 of the Supplementary Materials shows the top 10 movies with the smallest p -values in each test. All the p -values shown in Table S5 are significant after a Bonferroni adjustment. In Figure 4, we select two movies in which either gender or age has a significant effect and draw a quantile plot with 90% point-wise confidence intervals (CI) to further illustrate the effects. The movie “Set It Off” is the one in which the ratings are significantly different in gender (non-overlapping CI bands), but not at all in age, and “Boys and Girls” shows the other way. Note that only the most significant pair of age groups are shown in this figure. We refer to the supplementary materials for the numerical results of more movie examples.




Figure 4: Estimated ratings and 90% point-wise confidence intervals in different groups. The y -axis is the rating and the x -axis is the percentile. Ratings are grouped by gender or age.

8 Conclusion

This paper studies statistical inference for noisy matrix completion with auxiliary information when the missing pattern of the responses depends on baseline covariates and the observed rates can go to zero as the sample size increases. We show that the iterative LS method has a computational advantage over the iterative PCA method, and it is supported by reliable statistical properties for inference. With only a finite number of iterations, the resulting estimators of the latent low-rank matrix and the coefficient matrix for the observed covariates are asymptotically unbiased and guaranteed to have asymptotic normality under mild conditions. A new information criterion eIC method based on the iterative LS estimation is proposed for rank estimation. It is supported by the consistency property and is demonstrated to have better numerical performance than the widely used IC criterion method based on the singular value estimation.

Moreover, we propose a simultaneous testing method for the high dimensional coefficient matrix β via a Gaussian multiplier bootstrap procedure. This inferential procedure can help us investigate the effects (or contrast effects) of the auxiliary covariates for the prediction of the missing entries. We have discussed in Section 6.1 and have shown in the real data application Section 7 that the use of the observed covariates in matrix completion does help the prediction and improves the prediction accuracy. Our proposed method has immediate applications in collaborative filtering, biological and social network recovery, recommender systems, and so forth. The semi-supervised model considered in our paper makes use of row-feature information such as the user’s demographic information to help the prediction of movie ratings. It is worth noting that [36] have considered a different model that incorporates user-specific and content-specific predictors by letting their coefficients be the same across all j and i , respectively. As an extended work, we can also consider

incorporating the column-feature information into our proposed framework. Moreover, the development of the asymptotic distributions of the iterative LS estimators in the setting with the growing number of factors [27] or Γ with high rank is also an interesting future research topic to explore.

9 Appendix

In this document, Section 10 derives the statistical properties of $\hat{\pi}_i$ which will be used later in the proofs of the main results, Sections 11-15 provide the technical proofs for Theorems 1-4, Section 16 provides an argument about the MSE pattern when eIC is constructed based on the initial estimates, and Section 17 contains additional numerical results of the simulation studies and the real data application.

10 Properties of $\hat{\pi}_i$

Proposition 1. *Denote the true value $\gamma = (\gamma_{0,n}, \gamma_1)$. Then, for any constant $q > 0$, we have*

$$\hat{\gamma} - \gamma = \frac{1}{nm\alpha_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m H_0^{-1}(\xi_{i,j} - \eta(\gamma_{0,n} + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1)) X_i + o_p((nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2}),$$

$$\hat{\gamma} = \gamma + O_p((nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2}), \quad (20)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \pi_i^{-1} \underset{P}{\lesssim} \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}, \quad \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \pi_i - 1| \underset{P}{\lesssim} (nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2} n^{1/q} = o_P(1),$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1} + \frac{(1 - \pi_i) X_i' (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma)}{\pi_i} \right| \underset{P}{\lesssim} \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1},$$

Proof. The first two results can be established by the usual analysis for logistic regressions.

For the third result, note that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|\exp(\gamma_0 + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1)| + |\exp(-(\gamma_0 + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1))|) \lesssim_P n^{1/q}$ for any $q > 0$ due to the sub-Gaussianity of $\|\tilde{X}_i\|$. This implies $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \pi_i^{-1} \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}$. In addition, we note that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $q > 0$,

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\hat{\pi}_i - \pi_i| \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \exp(C\|X_i\|) \|X_i\| \alpha_n (nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2} \lesssim_P \alpha_n (nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2} n^{1/q}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\hat{\pi}_i \pi_i^{-1} - 1| \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\hat{\pi}_i - \pi_i| \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \lesssim_P (nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2} n^{2/q} = o_P(1),$$

as q can be arbitrarily large. This further implies

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \pi_i - 1| \lesssim (nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2} n^{1/q} = o_P(1).$$

For the last result, we note that

$$\hat{\pi}_i - \pi_i = \pi_i(1 - \pi_i)X_i'(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\pi}_i(1 - \tilde{\pi}_i)(1 - 2\tilde{\pi}_i)(X_i'(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma))^2,$$

where $\pi_i = \eta(\gamma_{0,n} + \tilde{X}_i' \gamma_1)$, $\tilde{\pi}_i = \eta(\gamma_{0,n} + \tilde{r}_0 + \tilde{X}_i'(\gamma_1 + \tilde{r}_1))$, $\tilde{r}_0$ is between 0 and $\hat{\gamma}_0 - \gamma_{0,n}$, and $\tilde{r}_1$ is between 0 and $\hat{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_1$. This implies that for any $e > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that with probability greater than $1 - e$,

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\hat{\pi}_i - \pi_i - \pi_i(1 - \pi_i)X_i'(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma)| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_n |C \exp(C\|X_i\|)(X_i'(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma))^2| \lesssim_P n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1} = -\frac{\hat{\pi}_i - \pi_i}{\pi_i^2} + \frac{(\hat{\pi}_i - \pi_i)^2}{\pi_i^2 \hat{\pi}_i},$$

which implies

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1} + \frac{(1 - \pi_i)X_i'(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma)}{\pi_i} \right| \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{(\hat{\pi}_i - \pi_i)^2}{\hat{\pi}_i \pi_i^2} + \alpha_n^{-2} n^{3/q} (nm)^{-1}$$

$$\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} n^{5/q} (nm)^{-1}.$$

As q is an arbitrary positive constant, we obtain the desired result. $\square$

11 Auxiliary Results for Proof of Theorem 1 at $g = 1$

11.1 Notations

For the sake of simplicity, we define the operator $E_n(\cdot) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n$. Since we only focus on $g = 1$ in this section, we denote $\tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\beta}^{(1)}$, $\tilde{W} = \tilde{W}^{(1)}$, $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}^{(1)}$, $\tilde{L} = \tilde{L}^{(1)}$ and omit the iteration counter. Let $\Delta = \hat{\Gamma} - \Gamma$ be the difference between the true value and the initial estimator of the hidden matrix. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_j &= (E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} E_n (X_i (X_i' \beta_j + \Gamma_{i,j} + \varepsilon_{i,j} - \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j}). \\ &= \beta_j + (E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} E_n (X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j}) - (E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} E_n (X_i \Delta_{i,j} \xi_{i,j}). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Let $\delta_j = \hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j$,

$$u_{i,j} = Y_{i,j} - L_i' F_j - X_i' \hat{\beta}_j = \varepsilon_{i,j} - X_i' \delta_j,$$

$\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)'$, and $\hat{\pi} = (\hat{\pi}_1, \dots, \hat{\pi}_n)'$. Recall that

$$W_{i,j} = \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} (Y_{i,j} - X_i' \hat{\beta}_j) = \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} (L_i' F_j + u_{i,j}),$$

and we can define

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i,j} &= W_{i,j} - L_i' F_j \\ &= \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} L_i' F_j + \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} u_{i,j} - L_i' F_j \\ &= L_i' F_j (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} - 1) + \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} u_{i,j} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \cdot (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L'_i F_j + \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \cdot (\pi_i - \hat{\pi}_i) L'_i F_j + \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j \\
&= \xi_{i,j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) L'_i F_j + \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L'_i F_j + \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j. \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

In matrix notation, we can write

$$W = \text{diag}(\hat{\pi})^{-1} (Y - X\hat{\beta}) \circ \Xi = LF' + e.$$

Let $W = UDV'$ be the conventional SVD representation of W , and $D_r \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$, $U_r \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, $V_r \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$ be matrices of the largest r singular values and the corresponding singular vectors. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{L} &= \sqrt{n} U_r \\
\hat{F} &= n^{-1} W' \hat{L} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} V_r D_r
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\hat{\Omega}_r = D_r (nm)^{-1/2}$. By the definition of $\hat{L}, \hat{F}$, we have $W\hat{F} = n^{-1} \hat{L} D_r^2$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{L} &= n W \hat{F} D_r^{-2} = n (LF' + e) \hat{F} D_r^{-2} \\
&= L \left(m^{-1} F' \hat{F} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \right) + m^{-1} e \hat{F} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \\
&= LH + \Delta_L,
\end{aligned}$$

where $H = \left(m^{-1} F' \hat{F} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \right)$ and $\Delta_L = m^{-1} e \hat{F} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}$. Since $\hat{F} = n^{-1} (FL' + e') \hat{L}$, we have

$\hat{L} \hat{F}' = n^{-1} \hat{L} \hat{L}' (LF' + e)$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &= (n^{-1} \hat{L} \hat{L}' - I_n) LF' + n^{-1} \hat{L} \hat{L}' e \\
&= (n^{-1} \hat{L} \hat{L}' - I_n) (\hat{L} - \Delta_L) H^{-1} F' + n^{-1} \hat{L} \hat{L}' e \\
&= (I_n - n^{-1} \hat{L} \hat{L}') \Delta_L H^{-1} F' + n^{-1} \hat{L} \hat{L}' e.
\end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\Delta_{i,j} = \Delta'_{L,i} H^{-1} F_j - n^{-1} \hat{L}'_i \hat{L}' \Delta_L H^{-1} F_j + n^{-1} \hat{L}'_i \hat{L}' e_{\cdot,j}, \quad (23)$$

where $e_{\cdot,j} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the j -th column of e . We also write $e_{i,\cdot} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ to be the i -th row of e .

Thus,

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} e'_{1,\cdot} \\ \vdots \\ e'_{n,\cdot} \end{pmatrix} = (e_{\cdot,1} \quad \cdots \quad e_{\cdot,m}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}.$$

Based on (21), we only need to show

$$E_n(X_i \Delta_{i,j} \xi_{i,j}) = -E_n(\xi_{i,j} X_i L'_i) F_j + o_P(n^{-1/2}).$$

To show this, we observe that (23) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & E_n(X_i \Delta_{i,j} \xi_{i,j}) \\ &= E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \Delta'_{L,i} H^{-1} F_j - n^{-1} E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{L}'_i \hat{L}' \Delta_L H^{-1} F_j + n^{-1} E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{L}'_i \hat{L}' e_{\cdot,j}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

This is the key decomposition in the proof, and we will revisit it later. To begin with, we will establish some lemmas that give the asymptotic properties we need. We also define

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} \delta'_1 \\ \vdots \\ \delta'_m \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\beta} - \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}.$$

We use $X_{n,m} \underset{P}{\lesssim} a_{n,m}$ to denote $X_{n,m} = O_P(a_{n,m})$ as $n \wedge m \rightarrow \infty$. Throughout the supplement, we use q to denote a positive constant that can be arbitrarily large.

11.2 Auxiliary results

Lemma 1. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then we have the following asymptotic properties.*

(1)

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|(E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} - (E X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1}\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \quad (25)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) F_j F_j' \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{m \alpha_n \log n}, \quad (26)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n \pi_i^{-1/2} X_i L_i' \xi_{i,j}\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \log n}, \quad (27)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j}\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n \log n}, \quad (28)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_i^{-1} X_i F_j' \xi_{i,j} \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n}, \quad (29)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} F_{j,r} X_i X_i' \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n}, \quad (30)$$

(2)

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\delta_j - (E X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} (E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} + (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,j}) F_j)\| \lesssim_P n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{3/2} n, \quad (31)$$

(3)

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\delta_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{3/2} n}, \quad (32)$$

(4)

$$\|\delta\|_F^2 \lesssim_P m n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log n, \quad (33)$$

(5)

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n \log n}, \quad (34)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \pi_i^{-1} L_i F_j' \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n}, \quad (35)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} L_i L_i' F_j F_j' \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n} + n \log n, \quad (36)$$

(6)

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - \pi_i) L_i X'_i}{\pi_i} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \quad (37)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq r \leq R, 1 \leq k \leq K} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} L_{i,r} \xi_{i,j} X_{i,k} X_i \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \quad (38)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} L_i \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j X'_i \right\|^2 \lesssim_P m \alpha_n^{-2} \log^2 n, \quad (39)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} L_i \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \quad (40)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} L_i \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \quad (41)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} L_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| \lesssim_P n \log^{1/2} n, \quad (42)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i (L'_i F_j) (\xi_{i,j} \pi_i^{-1} - 1) \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log^2 n}, \quad (43)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \quad (44)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i X'_i - \Sigma_X\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \quad (45)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} \lesssim_P n m \alpha_n^2, \quad (46)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right) F'_j \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n m \alpha_n}, \quad (47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) L_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right) F'_j \right\| \\ & \lesssim_P (m \log n)^{1/2} + n^{1/2} \log^2 n (m \alpha_n)^{-1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F'_j \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{\alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \quad (49)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \left(\frac{(1 - \pi_i)}{\pi_i} \right) L'_i F_j F_j X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (m \log n)^{1/2}, \quad (50)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L'_i F_j F_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (m n \log^2 n)^{1/2}, \quad (51)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (mn \log^2 n)^{1/2}, \quad (52)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X_i' (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log n, \quad (53)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n} \log n + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \log n, \quad (54)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n} \log n + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \log n \quad (55)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X_i' \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n} \log n + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \log n, \quad (56)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i' \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n} \log n + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}, \quad (57)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \|X_i\|_2 \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \lesssim_P n \alpha_n n^{1/q}, \quad (58)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \|X_i\|_2 \pi_i^{-2} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \lesssim_P n^{1+1/q}, \quad (59)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X_i L_i' F_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \log n} + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}, \quad (60)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \pi_i^{-1} (1 - \pi_i) X_i L_i' F_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (n \alpha_n^{-2} \log^2 n)^{1/2}, \quad (61)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) F \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (mn \log n)^{1/2}, \quad (62)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (mn \log n)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1}, \quad (63)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (mn \log n)^{1/2}, \quad (64)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (mn \log n)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1}, \quad (65)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P m^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{5/4} n, \quad (66)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P m^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-3/2} \log^{5/4}. \quad (67)$$

Proof of Lemma 1. For (25), we note that $E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{ij} - E X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j} = E_n X_i X_i' (\xi_{ij} - \pi_i) +$

$(E_n - E)\pi_i X_i X_i'$. For the first term on the RHS of the above display, by Bernstein inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left(\max_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq K, 1 \leq j \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k_1} X_{i,k_2} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right| \geq t \middle| X \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq K, 1 \leq j \leq m} P \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k_1} X_{i,k_2} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right| \geq t \middle| X \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq K, 1 \leq j \leq m} 2 \exp \left(- \frac{t^2/2}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k_1}^2 X_{i,k_2}^2 \pi_i + M_n t/3} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $M_n = \max_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq K, 1 \leq i \leq n} |X_{i,k_1} X_{i,k_2}|$. We have $M_n \leq c \log n$ w.p.a.1. and

$\sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k_1}^2 X_{i,k_2}^2 \pi_i \lesssim_P n \alpha_n$. Then, by taking $t = C n \alpha_n \log n$ for sufficiently large C , we have

$$\max_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq K, 1 \leq j \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k_1} X_{i,k_2} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n \log n}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq K} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k_1} X_{i,k_2} \pi_i - E X_{i,k_1} X_{i,k_2} \pi_i \right| \lesssim_P \alpha_n \sqrt{n}.$$

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\alpha_n^{-1} E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{ij} - \alpha_n^{-1} E X_i X_i' \xi_{ij}\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}.$$

As $\sigma_K(\alpha_n^{-1} E X_i X_i' \xi_{ij}) > 0$ and $\log n = o(n \alpha_n)$, we have

$$\|(\alpha_n^{-1} E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{ij})^{-1} - (\alpha_n^{-1} E X_i X_i' \xi_{ij})^{-1}\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}.$$

We can establish (26) in the same manner.

We can establish (27) in part (1) by the same argument used in (25) and noticing that

$$E(\xi_{i,j} | X_i, L_i) = E(\xi_{i,j} | X_i) = \pi_i \text{ and } E(L_i | X_i) = 0.$$

For (28) in Part (1), because $E(\xi_{i,j}|X_i, \varepsilon_{i,j}) = E(\xi_{i,j}|X_i) = \pi_i$, we have

$$E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} = E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) + E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \pi_i.$$

Following the argument in the proof of (25), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)\| \underset{P}{\lesssim} \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n \log n}.$$

In addition, let $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. Rademacher random variable that is also independent of the data and $\mathcal{A}_n(C) = \{\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k}^2 \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \pi_i^2 \leq C n \alpha_n^2 \log n\}$. Then, for any $\eta > 0$, we can choose sufficiently large constants C' and C such that

$$P(\mathcal{A}_n^c(C)) \leq P(C' \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k}^2 \pi_i^2 \geq C n \alpha_n^2) + P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n} |\varepsilon_{i,j}^2| \geq C' \log n) \leq \eta.$$

Then, by van der Vaart (1996, Lemma 2.3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k_1 \leq K} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k} \varepsilon_{i,j} \pi_i\right| \geq t/2 \middle| X\right)\right) P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k_1 \leq K} \left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k} \varepsilon_{i,j} \pi_i\right| \geq t \middle| X\right) \\ & \leq 2P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k_1 \leq K} 4 \left|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i X_{i,k} \varepsilon_{i,j} \pi_i\right| \geq t \middle| X\right) \\ & \leq 2E\left[P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k_1 \leq K} 4 \left|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i X_{i,k} \varepsilon_{i,j} \pi_i\right| \geq t \middle| X, \varepsilon\right) 1\{\mathcal{A}_n(C)\} \middle| X\right] + \eta \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k_1 \leq K} E\left[P\left(4 \left|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i X_{i,k} \varepsilon_{i,j} \pi_i\right| \geq t \middle| X, \varepsilon\right) 1\{\mathcal{A}_n(C)\} \middle| X\right] + \eta \\ & \leq 4 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k_1 \leq K} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k}^2 \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \pi_i^2}\right) 1\{\mathcal{A}_n(C)\} + \eta \\ & \lesssim \exp\left(\log(mK) - \frac{t^2}{C n \alpha_n^2 \log n}\right) + \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = C \sqrt{n \alpha_n^2 \log^2 n}$ with a sufficiently large C . Then, by letting n, m diverge to infinity first followed by η converging to zero, the RHS of the above display will converge to zero.

In addition, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k_1 \leq K} P \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k} \varepsilon_{i,j} \pi_i \right| \geq C \sqrt{n \alpha_n^2 \log^2 n / 2} \middle| X \right) \lesssim_P \frac{n \alpha_n^2}{n \alpha_n^2 \log^2 n} \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k_1 \leq K} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,k} \varepsilon_{i,j} \pi_i \right| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^2 \log^2 n}. \quad (68)$$

Combining the above two results, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n X_{i,j} \xi_{i,j}\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n \log n}.$$

For (29) in Part (1), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_i^{-1} X_i F'_{j,s} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \pi_i^{-1} X_i F'_{j,s} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} X_i F'_s \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,s} \right\|. \quad (69)$$

For the first term of (69), we note that

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \text{Var}(\pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k} F_{j,r} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} | X, F, \varepsilon) \lesssim_P nm$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} X_{i,k}^2 F_{j,r}^2 \pi_i^2 \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \lesssim_P nm \alpha_n^2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq K, 1 \leq r \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k} F'_{j,r} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq K, 1 \leq r \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k} F_{j,r} (\xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,s} - \pi_i^2) \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq K, 1 \leq r \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} X_{i,k} F_{j,r} \pi_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \\ & \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n} + \log^{5/2} n + \sqrt{nm \alpha_n^2 \log n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n},$$

where the second last inequality is due to the Bernstein's inequality.

For the second term on the RHS of (69), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1 \leq r, 1 \leq k \leq K} |F_{s,r}| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k} \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,s} \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log^2 n},$$

where we use (28) and the fact that $\max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1 \leq r} |F_{r,s}| \lesssim_P \sqrt{\log n}$. Combining the two results, we have established (29). (30) can be established in the same manner.

For (31) is Part (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j &= (\alpha_n^{-1} E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} E_n X_i (L_i' F_j + \varepsilon_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j} \\ &= \left[(\alpha_n^{-1} E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} + O_p(\sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}) \right] \alpha_n^{-1} E_n X_i (L_i' F_j + \varepsilon_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j} \\ &= (\alpha_n^{-1} E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} E_n X_i (L_i' F_j + \varepsilon_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j} + O_p(n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{3/2} n), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (25), (27), (28) and the fact that F_j is sub-Gaussian.

Part (3) directly follows Part (2).

For part (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m \|\delta_j\|_2^2 &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^m \|(\alpha_n^{-1} E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} E_n X_i (L_i' F_j + \varepsilon_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j}\|_2^2 + O_p(mn^{-2} \alpha_n^{-2} \log^3 n) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^m \|\alpha_n^{-1} E_n X_i (L_i' F_j + \varepsilon_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j}\|_2^2 + O_p(mn^{-2} \alpha_n^{-2} \log^3 n) \\ &= \alpha_n^{-2} \text{trace} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,j})' (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,j}) F_j F_j' \right) + \alpha_n^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^m (E_n X_i' \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j}) (E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j}) \\ &\quad + O_p(mn^{-2} \alpha_n^{-2} \log^3 n) \\ &\lesssim_P mn^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log n, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by (27) and (28).

(34) in Part (5) is straightforward. For (35), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \pi_i^{-1} L_i F_j' \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \\
& \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} L_i F_j' \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,s} \right\| + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \pi_i^{-1} L_i F_j' \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n} + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \pi_i^{-1} L_i F_j' \xi_{i,s} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \\
& + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i F_j' \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
& \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n} + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \pi_i^{-1} L_i F_j' \xi_{i,s} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \\
& + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i F_j' \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the RHS of the above display, we note that $\{\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s}$ is independent conditional on the sigma field generated by $(L, F, X, \xi_{\cdot, s}, \varepsilon)$,

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \pi_i^{-1} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \xi_{i,s} \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^2 \right) \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j \neq s} \|F_j\|_2^2 \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \right) \lesssim_P mn$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \pi_i^{-1} |\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i| \|L_i\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 |\varepsilon_{i,j}| \xi_{i,s} \leq \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}.$$

Therefore, by the same argument leads to (80), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \pi_i^{-1} L_i F_j' \xi_{i,s} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n}.$$

In addition, we note that $\{\varepsilon_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ is independent conditionally on (L, F, X, ξ) ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 \xi_{i,s} E(\varepsilon_{i,j}^2 | L, F, X, \xi) \lesssim_P nm \alpha_n, \\
& \max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq s, j \leq m} \|L_i\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \xi_{i,s} |\varepsilon_{i,j}| \lesssim_P \log^{3/2} n.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Bernstein's inequality conditional on (L, F, X, ξ) , we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i F_j' \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \alpha_n \log n},$$

which implies the desired result in (35).

For (36), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} L_i L_i' F_j F_j' \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right\| \\ & \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} L_i L_i' F_j F_j' \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right\| + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' F_s F_s' \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (1 - \pi_i) \right\| \\ & \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n} + n \log n, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by the Bernstein's inequality conditional on $L, F, X, \{\xi_{i,s}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$.

The rest of the inequalities in Part (5) can be established in the same manner.

For (37) in Part (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - \pi_i) L_i X_i'}{\pi_i} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - \pi_i) L_i X_i'}{\pi_i} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \pi_i) L_i X_i' \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term on the RHS, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n E \left(\left(\frac{(1 - \pi_i) L_{i,r} X_{i,k}}{\pi_i} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \varepsilon_{i,j} \right)^2 \middle| X, L, \varepsilon \right) \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} n, \\ & \max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \frac{(1 - \pi_i) L_{i,r} X_{i,k}}{\pi_i} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \varepsilon_{i,j} \right| \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Bernstein's inequality conditional on X, L, ε , we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - \pi_i) L_i X_i'}{\pi_i} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n},$$

where we use the fact that q is arbitrary and $n \alpha_n$ diverges to infinity in a polynomial rate

in n .

In addition, we have $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \pi_i)^2 L_{i,r}^2 X_{i,k}^2 \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \lesssim n$. Following the same argument in the proof of (68), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \pi_i) L_i X_i' \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \log n},$$

which leads to the desired result.

Following the proof of (37), we can show (38).

For (39), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j X_i' \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{1 \leq r \leq R, 1 \leq k \leq K} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} L_{i,r} \xi_{i,j} X_{i,k} X_i' \right\|_2^2 \|\delta_j\|_2^2.$$

Note that $E(L_i | X_i) = 0$.

Then, by (38), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j X_i' \right\|^2 \lesssim_P n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n \sum_{j=1}^m \|\delta_j\|_2^2 \lesssim_P m \alpha_n^{-2} \log^2 n.$$

For (40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \right\| &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} L_i (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) X_i' \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i X_i' \right\| \\ &\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} L_i (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) X_i' \right\| + n^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, by the Bernstein's inequality conditional on (L, X) , we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} L_i (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) X_i' \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}.$$

This leads to the desired result.

For (41), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \right\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim_P \sqrt{n\alpha_n^{-1} \log n} + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P \sqrt{n\alpha_n^{-1} \log n} + \alpha_n^2 (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\| \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\| \\
&\quad + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i X_i' (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P \sqrt{n\alpha_n^{-1} \log n}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (42), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} L_i L_i' F_j \xi_{i,j} X_i' \right\| \\
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|X_i\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2^2 \|X_i\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P \sqrt{n\alpha_n^{-1} \log^2 n} + n \log^{1/2} n \lesssim_P n \log^{1/2} n.
\end{aligned}$$

We can establish (43) by the Bernstein's inequality conditional on (L, F, X) . (44) can be established in the same manner as (37).

For (45), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i X_i' - \Sigma_X\| \\
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} X_i X_i'\| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i X_i' - \Sigma_X\| \\
&\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} E_n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| E_n \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X_i' (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} X_i X_i' \right\| + \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log n} \\
&\lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log n},
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the facts that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| E_n \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X_i' (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} X_i X_i' \right\| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} E_n \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^3 \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 \lesssim_P (nm \alpha_n)^{-1/2}, \\
&\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i X_i' - \Sigma_X\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (46), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} \\
& \lesssim \max_P \sum_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} + n\alpha_n \\
& \lesssim \max_P \sum_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|L_i\|_2^2 \pi_i \right| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|F_j\|_2^2 \right) + n\alpha_n \\
& \lesssim \max_P \sum_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right| + nm\alpha_n^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $\{\xi_{i,j}\}_{i=1, \dots, n, 1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s}$ is independent conditional on $\mathcal{F}'_s$, where $\mathcal{F}'_s$ is the sigma field generated by $(L, X, F, \{\xi_{i,s}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n})$. In addition, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i \|L_i\|_2^4 \|F_j\|_2^4 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} \pi_i \|L_i\|_2^4 \|F_j\|_2^4 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^2 nm$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} |\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i| \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^4 \|F_j\|_2^4 \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m} \|L_i\|_2^4 \|F_j\|_2^4 \lesssim_P n^{1/q}.$$

Then, following the argument that leads to (80) and the Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right| \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm\alpha_n^2 \log n},$$

which leads to the desired result.

For (47), following the similar argument in (46) and by Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right) F'_j \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} \pi_i^{-1} \|X_i\|_2 \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 \\
& \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm\alpha_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (48), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) L_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right) F'_j \right\| \\
& \lesssim \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) L_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right) F'_j \right\| \\
& + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} (1 - \pi_i) L_i L'_i F_s \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right) F'_s \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) L_i L'_i F_j (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right) F'_j \right\| \\
& + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) L_i L'_i F_j ((1 - \pi_i) X'_i(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma)) F'_j \right\| \\
& + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} (1 - \pi_i) L_i L'_i F_s \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right) F'_s \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P ((mn)^{1/2} + (n\alpha_n \log n)^{1/2} m + n \log^2 n) (mn\alpha_n)^{-1/2} \\
& \lesssim_P (m \log n)^{1/2} + n^{1/2} \log^2 n (m\alpha_n)^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (49), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X'_i(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F'_j \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_{i,r_1} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_{j,r_2} \right\|_2 \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 \\
& \lesssim_P (nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2} \left[\max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} L_{i,r_1} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_{j,r_2} \right\|_2 \right] \\
& + (nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2} \left[\max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} L_{i,r_1} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} F_{s,r_2} \right\|_2 \right] \\
& \lesssim_P (nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2} \left[\max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} L_{i,r_1} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_{j,r_2} \right\|_2 \right] + m^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{-1} \log n.
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} L_{i,r_1} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_{j,r_2} \right\|_2 \\
& \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} L_{i,r_1} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X_i (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \varepsilon_{i,j} F_{j,r_2} \right\|_2 \\
& + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} L_{i,r_1} (1 - \pi_i) X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} F_{j,r_2} \right\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^2 \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right)^2 \|X_i\|_2^2 \pi_i \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 \lesssim_P mn \\
& \max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq s, j \leq m, j \neq s, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2 \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) \|X_i\|_2 |\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i| \varepsilon_{i,j} \|F_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the same argument that leads to (80) and the Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} L_{i,r_1} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X_i (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \varepsilon_{i,j} F_{j,r_2} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n}.$$

Similarly, we note that $\{\varepsilon_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s}$ is independent conditionally on the sigma field generated by (L, F, X, ξ) ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|X_i\|_2^2 E(\varepsilon_{i,j}^2 | L, F, X, \xi) \|F_j\|_2 \lesssim_P nm \alpha_n, \\
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2 \|X_i\|_2 |\varepsilon_{i,j}| \|F_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \log^2 n.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the same argument that leads to (80) and the Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} L_{i,r_1} (1 - \pi_i) X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} F_{j,r_2} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \alpha_n \log n},$$

which implies

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,s} L_{i,r_1} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_{j,r_2} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n}.$$

Combining this with (70), we obtain the desired result.

For (50), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) L'_i F_j F_j X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right\|_2 \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) L'_i F_j F_j X'_i \right\| \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq r, 1 \leq d_1 \leq d} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) L_{i,r_1} X_{i,d_1} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \xi_{i,j} F_{j,r_2} F_{j,r_1} \right) \right| \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P (m \log n)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by the Bernstein's inequality and the fact that

$$\max_{i,s,r_1,r_2} \left| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \xi_{i,j} F_{j,r_1} F_{j,r_2} \right| \lesssim_P \alpha_n m.$$

For (51), we note that

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq r} \left| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) F_{j,r_1} F_{j,r_2} \right| \lesssim_P (m \alpha_n \log n)^{1/2}.$$

Then, by the Bernstein's inequality conditional on (X, L, F) and $\{\xi_{i,j}\}_{j \neq s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L'_i F_j F_j \right\|_2 \\ & \lesssim \max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1, r_2, r_3 \leq r} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} L_{i,r_3} \left[\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) F_{j,r_1} F_{j,r_2} \right] \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (mn \log^2 n)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We can establish (52) and (53) in the same manner by noticing that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq r_1 \leq r} \left\| \sum_{j \neq s} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_{j,r_1} \right\| \lesssim_P (m \alpha_n \log n)^{1/2}.$$

For (54), we note that $\{\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is independent conditional on $(X, F, L, \xi_{i,s})$ when $s \neq j$. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq s \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2^2 \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} &\lesssim_P n \\ \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq n, s \neq j, 1 \leq i \leq n} \|L_i\|_2 \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} |\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i| &\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the conditional Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right\|_2 \\ &\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right\| \log^{1/2} n \\ &\lesssim_P \sqrt{n} \log n + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \log n \end{aligned}$$

For (55), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i (\xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} - \pi_i^2) L_i' F_j \pi_i^{-1} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' F_j \pi_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\ &\lesssim_P \sqrt{n} \log n + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \log n + \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' F_j \pi_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\ &\lesssim_P \sqrt{n} \log n + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \log n, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows the same argument in the proof of (54) and the last inequality is by the Bernstein's inequality conditional on (L, F, X) .

We note (56) can be established in the same manner above.

To see (57), we note

$$\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i' \right\| \leq \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) X_i' \right\| + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} X_i' \right\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) X_i' \right\| + \sqrt{n \alpha_n \log n} \\
& \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \log n} + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \log n,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows the same argument in the proof of Lemma (55).

To see (58), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \|X_i\|_2 \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \\
& \leq \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \|X_i\|_2 \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} - \pi_i^2) \right| + \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \|X_i\|_2 \pi_i \\
& \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \log n} + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} + n \alpha_n \lesssim_P n \alpha_n n^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can establish (59) in the same manner.

(60) can be established in the same manner of (55).

For (61), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \pi_i^{-1} (1 - \pi_i) X_i L_i' F_j \right\| \\
& \leq \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \pi_i^{-1} \pi_i^{-1} (1 - \pi_i) X_i L_i' F_j \right\| \\
& + \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \sum_{i=1}^n |\varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \pi_i^{-1} (1 - \pi_i)| \|X_i\| \|L_i\| \|F_j\| \\
& + \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \pi_i^{-2} (1 - \pi_i)^2 X_i X_i' (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) L_i' F_j \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P (n \alpha_n^{-2} \log^2 n)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (62), let $A^{(s)}$ be a matrix with its typical entry $\sum_{i=1}^n L_{i,r} \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)$. we first define $A^{(s,1)}$ as the same as $A^{(s)}$ except its s th column, which is instead just zero. We further define $A^{(s,0)} = A^{(s)} - A^{(s,1)}$ which contains all zero entries except its s th column.

Then, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A^{(s)}\| \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A^{(s,1)}\| + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A^{(s,0)}\|_F. \quad (71)$$

We first bound $\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A^{(s,0)}\|_F$. Note that

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A^{(s,0)}\|_F = \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_s \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) \right\|_2 \lesssim_P n \log^{1/2} n. \quad (72)$$

Next, we bound $\|A^{(s,1)}\|$. Note that $A^{(s,1)} = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^{(s,1)}$ where $A_i^{(s,1)}$ is a $R \times m$ matrix with its j th column being $L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)$ if $j \neq s$ and 0_m otherwise where 0_m is an $m \times 1$ vector of zeros. Then, we see that $A_i^{(s,1)}$ is independent across i and mean-zero conditional on (L, F, X) . In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq s \leq m} \|A_i^{(s,1)}\| &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq s \leq m} \|A_i^{(s,1)}\|_F \lesssim_P \sqrt{m \alpha_n^{-1}} n^{1/q}, \\ \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n E(A_i A_i' | L, F, X) \right\| &\leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq s} E(L_i L_i' \xi_{i,s}^2 (L_i' F_j)^2 \pi_i^{-2} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)^2 | L, F, X) \right\| \lesssim_P nm, \\ \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n E(A_i' A_i | L, F, X) \right\| &\leq \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n E(\|L_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,s}^2 (L_i' F_j)^2 \pi_i^{-2} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)^2 | L, F, X) \right\| \lesssim_P n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the matrix Bernstein inequality (Tropp [31, Theorem 1.6]), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A^{(s,1)}\| \lesssim (mn \log n)^{1/2}.$$

This leads to the desired result.

We can establish (63) in the same manner.

For (64), we note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \varepsilon_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,j}.$$

We note that $\max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m} \|\sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,j}\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n \log n}$ so that

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2^2 \lesssim mn \alpha_n \log n.$$

In addition, let $A^{(s)} \in \mathfrak{R}^{R \times m}$ be a matrix with its j th column being $\sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \varepsilon_{i,j}$. Then, following the same argument in the proof of (62), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A^{(s)}\| \lesssim_P (mn \log n)^{1/2},$$

which implies the desired result.

We can establish (65) in the same manner.

For (66), recall $B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,4,1)} = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j$. Then, we note that, for $t = 1, \dots, d$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,4,1)} &= \sum_{t=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^n (L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) X_{i,t}) \delta_{j,t} + \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} X_i' \delta_j \\ &\equiv \sum_{t=1}^d B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,4,1,t)} \delta_{j,t} + B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,4,1,0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $B^{(s,4,1,t)} = (B_{\cdot,1}^{(s,4,1,t)}, \dots, B_{\cdot,m}^{(s,4,1,t)})$ for $t = 0, \dots, d$. Following the above argument, we can show

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m, 1 \leq t \leq d} \|B^{(s,4,1,t)}\| \lesssim_P (nm \log n)^{1/2}.$$

In addition, by (32), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} X_i' \delta_j \right\|_2 \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} X_i' \right\| \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\delta_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \log^{5/4} n.$$

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,4,1,0)}\| \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,4,1,0)}\|_F \lesssim_P m^{1/2} \log^{5/4} n,$$

and thus,

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,4,1)}\| \leq \sum_{t=1}^d \|B^{(s,4,1,d)}\| \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\delta_j\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,4,1,0)}\| \lesssim_P m^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{5/4}.$$

We can establish (67) in the same manner.

□

Lemma 2. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then $\|e\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{\alpha_n^{-1}(m+n)} n^{1/q}$ for any $q > 0$ and $\|\Xi \circ \varepsilon\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{(m+n)\alpha_n} n^{1/q}$.*

Proof of Lemma 2. Recall $e_{i,j}$ in (22). In matrix notation, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \text{diag}(\hat{\pi}^{-1})((\Xi - \pi 1'_m) \circ \Gamma) + \text{diag}(\hat{\pi}_1^{-1}(\hat{\pi}_1 - \pi_1), \dots, \hat{\pi}_n^{-1}(\hat{\pi}_n - \pi_n))\Gamma \\ &\quad + \text{diag}(\hat{\pi}^{-1})(\Xi \circ \varepsilon) - \text{diag}(\hat{\pi}^{-1})(\Xi \circ (X\delta)'). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\Xi - \pi 1'_m) \circ \Gamma$ are independent conditional on (Γ, X) with mean zero. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} E\left(\sum_{j=1}^m (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)^2 \Gamma_{i,j}^2 | \Gamma, X\right) &\lesssim_P m \alpha_n \log n, \\ \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)^2 \Gamma_{i,j}^2 | \Gamma, X\right) &\lesssim_P n \alpha_n \log n, \\ \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} |\Gamma_{i,j}| &\lesssim_P \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Bandeira and Van Handel [5, Corollary 3.12 and Remark 3.13], there exist universal constants C and c that we have

$$P\left(\|(\Xi - \pi 1'_m) \circ \Gamma\| \geq C \sqrt{(m+n)\alpha_n \log n} + t | \Gamma, X\right) \leq (n+m) \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{c \log^2 n}\right).$$

By letting $t = C \log^{3/2} n$ for some sufficiently large constant C , we have

$$\|\text{diag}(\hat{\pi}^{-1})((\Xi - \pi 1'_m) \circ \Gamma)\| \leq \|\text{diag}(\hat{\pi}^{-1}\pi)\| \|\text{diag}(\pi^{-1})\| \|(\Xi - \pi 1'_m) \circ \Gamma\|$$

$$\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \sqrt{(m+n)\alpha_n}.$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\|\text{diag}(\hat{\pi}^{-1})(\Xi - \pi 1'_m) \circ \varepsilon\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \sqrt{(m+n)\alpha_n}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\|\text{diag}(\hat{\pi}^{-1})(\pi 1'_m) \circ \varepsilon\| \lesssim_P \max_{i \in [n]} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \pi_i) \|\varepsilon\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{(m+n)}.$$

Next, by Proposition 1, we have

$$\|\text{diag}(\hat{\pi}_1^{-1}(\hat{\pi}_1 - \pi_1), \dots, \hat{\pi}_n^{-1}(\hat{\pi}_n - \pi_n))\Gamma\| \lesssim (nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2} n^{1/q} \|\Gamma\| \lesssim \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q},$$

where we use the fact that $\|\Gamma\|^2 \leq \|\Gamma\|_F^2 \leq \|L\|_F^2 \|F\|_F^2 \lesssim_P mn$.

Last, by (32) and (33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} |X'_i \delta_j| &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2 \|\delta_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n\alpha_n^{-1} \log^{3/2} n}, \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} |X'_i \delta_j| &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|X_i\|_2 \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} \|\delta_j\|_2 \lesssim_P m \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{5/2} n} n^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Golub and Van Loan [17, Corollary 2.3.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|((\text{diag}(\hat{\pi}^{-1})\Xi) \circ (X\delta)')\| \\ &\leq \left[\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} |X'_i \delta_j| \right]^{1/2} \left[\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} |X'_i \delta_j| \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim_P (m\alpha_n^{-1})^{1/2} n^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the first desired result.

For the second result, we have

$$\|\Xi \circ \varepsilon\| \leq \|\Xi\| \max_i \pi_i + \|\Xi \circ (\varepsilon - \pi 1'_m)\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{(m+n)\alpha_n} n^{1/q}.$$

□

Lemma 3. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then*

1. $\|L'e\|_F = O_P(\sqrt{\alpha_n^{-1}mn \log n})$,
2. $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|L'e_{\cdot,j}\|_2 = O_P(\sqrt{n\alpha_n^{-1} \log n})$,
3. $\|F'e'\|_F = O_P(\sqrt{m(m+n)\alpha_n^{-1} \log^{3/2} n})$.

Proof of Lemma 3. For the first result, by (22) in Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L'e_{\cdot,j} &= \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L'_i F_j + \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) L'_i F_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n L_i (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

For the third term on the RHS of (73), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) L_i \xi_{i,j} L'_i F_j \right\|_2 \\ &\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i \xi_{i,j} L'_i F_j\|_2 \right] + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - \pi_i) L_i X'_i}{\pi_i} \xi_{i,j} L'_i F_j \right\| \right] \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 \\ &\lesssim_P m^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} + n^{1/2} (m \alpha_n)^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by Proposition 1 and the second inequality holds because of

$$(20), (42), \text{ and the fact that } \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i \xi_{i,j} L'_i F_j\|_2 \right] \lesssim_P \alpha_n n.$$

For the fourth term on the RHS of (73), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\ &\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}\|_2 \right] + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - \pi_i) L_i X'_i}{\pi_i} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \right] \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 \\ &\lesssim_P m^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by Proposition 1 and the second inequality is by (20), (37), and the fact that $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}\|_2 \right] \lesssim_P \alpha_n n$.

For the fifth term, by Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j \right\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j\|_2 \right] \\ & + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j X_i' \right\| \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j \right\|_2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

For the first term on the RHS of (74), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j\|_2 \right] & \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\delta_j\|_2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i'\|_F \right] \\ & \lesssim_P (m\alpha_n)^{-1} (n\alpha_n)^{-1/2} n^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use (32) and the fact that $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j\|_2 \right] \lesssim_P \alpha_n n$.

For the second term on the RHS of (74), by (20), (38), and (39), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j X_i' \right\| \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 \lesssim_P (mn)^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{-3/2} \log^{1/2} n.$$

For the third term on the RHS of (74), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j \right\|_2 \right] \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \right\| \right] \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\delta_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{5/4} n,$$

where the last inequality is by (32) and (40).

Combining the above three bounds, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} L_i \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{5/4} n.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| L' e_{\cdot, j} - \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L_i' F_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{5/4} n + n^{1/2} (m \alpha_n)^{-1/2}. \quad (75)$$

For the first term on the RHS of (73), we define $A_i \in \mathfrak{R}^{R \times m}$ with its (r, j) th entry $A_{i,r,j} = L_{i,r} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}$. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_i\| &\leq \|A_i\|_F \lesssim_P m^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}, \\ \|E(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i A_i' | L, X)\| &= mn \alpha_n^{-1}, \\ \|E(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i' A_i | L, X)\| &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2^2 \pi_i^{-1} E(\varepsilon_{i,j}^2 | X, L) = n \alpha_n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Tropp [31, Theorem 1.6], we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \right\| \lesssim_P (nm \alpha_n^{-1} \log n)^{1/2}.$$

Similarly, for the second term on the RHS of (73), we have

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n L_i \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,1} - \pi_i) L_i' F_1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,m} - \pi_i) L_i' F_m \right) \right\| \lesssim_P (nm \alpha_n^{-1} \log n)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, we have $\|L'e\| \lesssim_P (nm \alpha_n^{-1} \log n)^{1/2}$.

For the second result in Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 &\lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \\ \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L_i' F_j \right\|_2 &\lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \end{aligned}$$

as shown in (43) and (44). Combining the above bounds with (75), we obtained the desired result.

For the third result in Lemma 3, by (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m F_j e_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\
& \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) L'_i F_j \right\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L'_i F_j \right\|_2 \\
& + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m F_j (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\
& + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j \right\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m F_j (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j \right\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We can further show

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m F_j F'_j \xi_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P m \alpha_n, \\
& \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m F_j F'_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{m \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \\
& \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{m \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \\
& \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \delta_j F_j \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \right\|_2 \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\delta_j\|_2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|F_j\|_2 \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \right) \lesssim_P m \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{3/2} n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Following the previous argument, these bounds imply that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|F'_i e_i\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{m(1 + m/n) \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{3/2} n}, \quad (76)$$

which leads to the desired result. □

Lemma 4. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then $\|\hat{\Omega}_r^{-1}\| \lesssim_P 1$ and $\|H\| \lesssim_P 1$.*

Proof of Lemma 4. Note that $\sigma_r(W) \geq \sigma_r(LF') - \|e\|$ and $P((nm)^{-1/2} \sigma_r(LF') > b^2) \rightarrow 1$ as shown in the paper. Therefore, we have

$$P((nm)^{-1/2} \sigma_r(W) + (nm)^{-1/2} \|e\|) \geq P((nm)^{-1/2} \sigma_r(LF') > b^2) \rightarrow 1.$$

Since $(nm)^{-1/2}\|e\| = o_P(1)$ by Lemma 2. Note $\|\hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| = nm\sigma_r^{-2}(W)$. Therefore, $\|\hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\|$ is bounded above by $4/b^2$ with probability approaching one, i.e., $\|\hat{\Omega}_r^{-1}\| = O_P(1)$. Also note that

$$\|H\| = \|F'W'\hat{L}\hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}(nm)^{-1}\| \leq \|F\| \|W\| \|\hat{L}\| \|\hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| / (nm) = O_P(1).$$

□

Lemma 5. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then, we have*

1. $\|\Delta_L\| \underset{P}{\lesssim} \sqrt{\alpha_n^{-1}(1+n/m)}n^{1/q},$
2. $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\hat{L}'e_{\cdot,j}\|_2 = O_P(\sqrt{n(1+n/m)}\alpha_n^{-2}n^{1/q}),$
3. $\|\hat{L}'e\| = O_P(\sqrt{n(n+m)}\alpha_n^{-1}n^{1/q}).$

Proof of Lemma 5. We have

$$\|\Delta_L\| = \|eW'\hat{L}\hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}(nm)^{-1}\| \underset{P}{\lesssim} \|e\| \|W\| \|\hat{L}\| (nm)^{-1} \underset{P}{\lesssim} \sqrt{(1+n/m)\alpha_n^{-1}}n^{1/q}.$$

By (22), we have

$$e_{i,j} = \sum_{l=1}^4 A_{l,i,j},$$

where

$$A_{1,i,j} = \xi_{i,j}(\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1})L_i'F_j,$$

$$A_{2,i,j} = \pi_i^{-1}(\xi_{i,j} - \pi)L_i'F_j,$$

$$A_{3,i,j} = \hat{\pi}_i^{-1}\xi_{i,j}\varepsilon_{i,j},$$

$$A_{4,i,j} = -\hat{\pi}_i^{-1}\xi_{i,j}X_i'\delta_j.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_j \sum_{i=1}^n A_{1,i,j}^2 &\leq (m\alpha_n^2)^{-1} n^{1/q}, \\
\max_j \sum_{i=1}^n A_{2,i,j}^2 &\leq n\alpha_n^{-1} \log n, \\
\max_j \sum_{i=1}^n A_{2,i,j}^2 &\leq n\alpha_n^{-1}, \\
\max_j \sum_{i=1}^n A_{2,i,j}^2 &\leq n\alpha_n^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\max_j \|e_{\cdot,j}\| \underset{P}{\lesssim} \sqrt{n\alpha_n^{-1} \log n}.$$

By Lemmas 2 and 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\hat{L}' e_{\cdot,j}\|_2 &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|H' L' e_{\cdot,j}\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\Delta'_L e_{\cdot,j}\|_2 \\
&\leq \|H\| \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|L' e_{\cdot,j}\|_2 + \|\Delta_L\| \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|e_{\cdot,j}\| \\
&\underset{P}{\lesssim} \sqrt{n\alpha_n^{-1} \log n} + \sqrt{(1 + n/m)\alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}} \sqrt{n\alpha_n^{-1} \log n} \\
&\underset{P}{\lesssim} \sqrt{n(1 + n/m)\alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, we have

$$\|\hat{L}' e\| \leq \|\hat{L}\| \|e\| \underset{P}{\lesssim} \sqrt{n(n+m)\alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}}.$$

□

11.3 More auxiliary results: bound on $E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \Delta'_{L,i}$

Lemma 6 (bound on $E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \Delta'_{L,i}$). *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then, uniformly over j*

$$E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \Delta'_{L,i} = -(nm)^{-1} (E_n \pi_i X_i L'_i) F' F L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} + O_P(n^{-1} \log^2 n + (nm)^{-1/2} \log n),$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \Delta'_{L,i}\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n n^{-1/2} + n^{-1} \log^2 n + (nm)^{-1/2} \log n.$$

Proof of Lemma 6. By the definition of $\Delta_{L,i}$, we have

$$\Delta'_{L,i} = (nm)^{-1} e'_i F L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} + (nm)^{-1} e'_i e' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \Delta'_{L,i} &= n^{-2} m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i e'_i F L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} + n^{-2} m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i e'_i e' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \\ &\equiv Q_{1,j} + Q_{2,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 1: bound $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|Q_{2,j}\|_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i e'_i e' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \right\| &\leq \sqrt{\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 \right) \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|e'_i e' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\|_2^2 \right)} \\ &\lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n \text{trace} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \hat{L}' e e_i e'_i e' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \right)} \\ &\lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n \text{trace} \left(\hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \hat{L}' e e' e e' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \right)} \\ &\lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n} \|\hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| \|\hat{L}' e\| \|e\| \\ &\lesssim_P n(n+m) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|Q_{2,j}\| \lesssim_P n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1/2} (1 + n/m) n^{1/q}$.

Step 2: bound $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|Q_{1,j}\|_2$. By the definition of $e_{i,j}$ and $u_{i,j}$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i e_i' F \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \left(\sum_{s=1}^m e_{i,s} F_s' \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \left[\sum_{s=1}^m (L_i' F_s (\xi_{i,s} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - 1) + \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} u_{i,s}) F_s' \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \left[\sum_{s=1}^m (L_i' F_s \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) F_s') \right] + \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \left[\sum_{s=1}^m (L_i' F_s \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) F_s') \right] \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \left[\sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,s} F_s' \right] - \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \left[\sum_{s=1}^m (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} X_i' \delta_s) F_s' \right]. \tag{77}
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term on the RHS of (77), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \left[\sum_{s=1}^m (L_i' F_s \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) F_s') \right] \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2 \|L_i' F_s F_s\|_2 \xi_{i,s} \\
& + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2 \|X_i L_i'\| \left\| \sum_{s=1}^m \left(\xi_{i,s} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) F_s F_s' \right) \right\| \|(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma)\|_2 \\
& \lesssim_P n^{1/q} + (nm \alpha_n)^{1/2} \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \alpha_n},
\end{aligned}$$

where we rely on (20) and the facts that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2 \|L_i' F_s F_s\|_2 \xi_{i,s} \lesssim_P nm \alpha_n^2, \\
& \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{s=1}^m \left(\xi_{i,s} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) F_s F_s' \right) \right\| \lesssim_P m, \quad \text{and} \\
& \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2 \|X_i L_i'\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n n.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the RHS of (77), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \left[\sum_{s=1}^m (L_i' F_s \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) F_s') \right] \right\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1/2} \xi_{i,j} X_i L'_i \right\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{s=1}^m \left(\pi_i^{-1/2} (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) F_s F'_s \right) \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P \sqrt{n \log n} \sqrt{m \log n} \lesssim \sqrt{nm \log^2 n},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by (27) and the fact that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{s=1}^m \left(\pi_i^{-1/2} (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) F_s F'_s \right) \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{m \log n}.$$

For the third term on the RHS of (77), by (29) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \left[\sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,s} F'_s \right] \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \pi_i^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,s} F'_s \right\| \\
&+ \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2 \left(\sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,s} |\varepsilon_{i,s}| \|F_s\|_2 \right) \right\| \\
&+ \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,j} X_i \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,s} F'_s \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n} + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq r \leq R} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,j} \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} F_{s,r} X_i X'_i \right\| \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\| \\
&\lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last term on the RHS of (77), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \sum_{s=1}^m (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} X'_i \delta_s) F'_s = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \sum_{s \neq j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} X'_i \delta_s) F'_s + n (E_n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i X'_i) \delta_j F'_j. \tag{78}$$

For the first term on the RHS of (78), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \sum_{s \neq j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} X'_i \delta_s) F'_s \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \pi_i^{-1} X_i \sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} X'_i \delta_s) F'_s + \sum_{s \neq j} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i ((\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,s} X'_i \delta_s) F'_s
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{s \neq j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} X_i X_i' \right) \delta_s F_s' + \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \pi_i^{-1} X_i X_i' \left[\sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} \delta_s) F_s' \right] \\
&+ \sum_{s \neq j} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i ((\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,s} X_i' \delta_s) F_s'.
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

For the first term on the RHS of (79), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s \neq j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} X_i X_i' \right) \delta_s F_s' \\
&= \sum_{s \neq j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} X_i X_i' \right) [(E X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} (E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} + (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,s}) F_s)] F_s' \\
&+ \sum_{s \neq j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} X_i X_i' \right) [\delta_s - (E X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} (E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} + (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,s}) F_s)] F_s' \\
&= n \sum_{s \neq j} [E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} + (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,s}) F_s] F_s' + O_P(m \log^{3/2} n),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last $O_P(\cdot)$ term holds uniformly over j and the last equality holds by (31) and the facts that

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|(E_n \xi_{i,s} X_i X_i') (E \xi_{i,s} X_i X_i')^{-1} - I_K\| &\lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log n}, \\
\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{s \neq j} (E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} + E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,s} F_s) F_s' \right\| &\lesssim_P m \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n \log^2 n}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the RHS of (79), we note that when $s \neq j$, $\delta_s = \hat{\beta}_s - \beta_s$ is $\mathcal{F}_j$ -measurable where $\mathcal{F}_j$ is the sigma field generated by $(L, F, X, \{\varepsilon_{i,s}, \xi_{i,s}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq s \leq m, s \neq j})$.

In addition, conditionally on $\mathcal{F}_j$, $\{\xi_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is independent and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} E \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k} X_i' \left[\sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} \delta_s) F_{s,r} \right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_j \right\}^2 \\
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k}^2 (X_i' \sum_{s \neq j} \xi_{i,s} \delta_s F_{s,r})^2 \\
&\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq d, 1 \leq r \leq R} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k}^2 \|X_i\|_2^2 \left(\sum_{s \neq j} \xi_{i,s} \|\delta_s\|_2 |F_{s,r}| \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq k \leq d, 1 \leq r \leq R} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k}^2 \|X_i\|_2^2 \left(\sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|\delta_s\|_2 |F_{s,r}| \right)^2 \\
&\lesssim_P m^2 \log^{3/2} n.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq d, 1 \leq r \leq R} \left| (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k} X'_i \left[\sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} \delta_s) F_{s,r} \right] \right| \\
&\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \pi_i^{-1} \|X_i\|_2^2 \sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|\delta_s\|_2 \|F_s\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P mn^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\eta > 0$, we can choose a sufficiently large constant C_1 such that

$$P(\mathcal{E}_n^c) \leq \eta, \quad \mathcal{E}_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max_{1 \leq k \leq d, 1 \leq r \leq R} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k}^2 \|X_i\|_2^2 (\sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|\delta_s\|_2 |F_{s,r}|)^2 \leq C_1 m^2 \log^{3/2} n \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \pi_i^{-1} \|X_i\|_2^2 \sum_{s=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|\delta_s\|_2 \|F_s\|_2 \leq C_1 mn^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Further define

$$\mathcal{E}_{j,n} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max_{1 \leq k \leq d, 1 \leq r \leq R} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k}^2 \|X_i\|_2^2 (\sum_{s \neq j} \xi_{i,s} \|\delta_s\|_2 |F_{s,r}|)^2 \leq C_1 m^2 \log^{3/2} n \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq d, 1 \leq r \leq R} \left| (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k} X'_i \left[\sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} \delta_s) F_{s,r} \right] \right| \leq C_1 mn^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q} \end{array} \right\}$$

so that $\mathcal{E}_n \subset \mathcal{E}_{j,n}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{j,n} \in \mathcal{F}_j$.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&P \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \pi_i^{-1} X_i X'_i \left[\sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} \delta_s) F'_s \right] \right\| \geq Cm \log^2 n \right) \\
&\leq P \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \pi_i^{-1} X_i X'_i \left[\sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} \delta_s) F'_s \right] \right\| \geq Cm \log^2 n, \mathcal{E}_n \right) + \eta \\
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} P \left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \pi_i^{-1} X_i X'_i \left[\sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} \delta_s) F'_s \right] \right\| \geq Cm \log^2 n, \mathcal{E}_n \right) + \eta \\
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} P \left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \pi_i^{-1} X_i X'_i \left[\sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} \delta_s) F'_s \right] \right\| \geq Cm \log^2 n, \mathcal{E}_{j,n} \right) + \eta \\
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} EP \left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \pi_i^{-1} X_i X'_i \left[\sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} \delta_s) F'_s \right] \right\| \geq Cm \log^2 n \middle| \mathcal{F}_j \right) 1\{\mathcal{E}_{j,n}\} + \eta
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq d, 1 \leq r \leq R} EP \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \pi_i^{-1} X_{i,k} X'_i \left[\sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} \delta_s) F_{s,r} \right] \right| \geq Cm \log^2 n \middle| \mathcal{F}_j \right) 1\{\mathcal{E}_{j,n}\} + \eta \\
&\lesssim \exp \left(\log(dRm) - \frac{\frac{1}{2} C^2 m^2 \log^4 n}{C_1 m^2 \log^{3/2} n + \frac{1}{3} C_1 C m^2 (n \alpha_n)^{-1/2} n^{1/q} m \log^2 n} \right) + \eta,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by Bernstein's inequality and the definition of $\mathcal{E}_{j,n}$. By choosing a sufficiently large C and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ followed by $\eta \downarrow 0$, the RHS of the above display vanishes, which implies

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \pi_i^{-1} X_i X'_i \left[\sum_{s \neq j} (\xi_{i,s} \delta_s) F'_s \right] \right\| \lesssim_P m \log^2 n \quad (80)$$

For the third term on the RHS of (79), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{s \neq j} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i ((\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,s} X'_i \delta_s) F'_s \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,s} \|\delta_s\|_2 \|F_s\|_2 \\
&+ \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{s \neq j} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,s} X'_i \delta_s \right) F'_s \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P n^{1/q} \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{5/2} n} + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq K, 1 \leq r \leq R} \left\| \sum_{s \neq j} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_{i,k} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \xi_{i,s} X_i X'_i \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 \|\delta_s\|_2 \right) F_{s,r} \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P \sqrt{m \log^{3/2} n}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \sum_{s \neq j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} X'_i \delta_s) F'_s = n \sum_{s \neq j} [E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} + (E_n X_i L'_i \xi_{i,s} F_s)] F'_s + O_P(m \log^2 n),$$

where the $O_P(\cdot)$ term holds uniformly over j .

For the second term on the RHS of (78), by (45), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} n \left\| (E_n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i X'_i - \Sigma_X) \delta_j F'_j \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{7/4} n.$$

In addition, by (31), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} n \left\| \Sigma_X [\delta_j - (EX_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} (E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} + (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,j}) F_j)] F_j' \right\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{5/2} n.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} n (E_n \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i X_i') \delta_j F_j' &= n \Sigma_X (EX_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} (E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} + (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,j}) F_j) F_j' \\ &\quad + O_P(\alpha_n^{-1} \log^{5/2} n) \\ &= O_P(\sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log^3 n}) \end{aligned}$$

where the $O_P(\cdot)$ term holds uniformly over j .

Therefore, (78) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i \sum_{s=1}^m (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} X_i' \delta_s) F_s' \\ &= n \sum_{s \neq j} (E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} + (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,s}) F_s) F_s' + O_P(\sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log^3 n}) + O_P(m \log^2 n) \\ &= n \sum_{s=1}^m (E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} + (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,s}) F_s) F_s' + O_P(\sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1} \log^3 n}) + O_P(m \log^2 n), \end{aligned}$$

where $O_P(\cdot)$ term holds uniformly over j . Furthermore, (77) implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} X_i e_i' F = -n \sum_{s=1}^m (E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} + (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,s}) F_s) F_s' + O_P(m \log^2 n + (nm)^{1/2} \log n),$$

where $O_P(\cdot)$ term holds uniformly over j , which further implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|Q_{1,j} + (nm)^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^m (E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} + (E_n X_i L_i' \xi_{i,s}) F_s) F_s' L' \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| \\ &\lesssim_P (n^{-2} m^{-1}) (m \log^2 n + (nm)^{1/2} \log n) \|L' \hat{L}\| \lesssim_P n^{-1} \log^2 n + (nm)^{-1/2} \log n. \end{aligned}$$

We also note that

$$(nm)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{s=1}^m E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} F_s' L' \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \right\| \lesssim_P (nm)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} F_s' \right\| \lesssim_P (nm)^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2}$$

and

$$\left\| (nm)^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^m (E_n X_i L'_i (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i)) F_s F'_s L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \right\| \lesssim_P (nm)^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2}.$$

This implies that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| Q_{1,j} + (nm)^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^m (E_n X_i L'_i \pi_i) F_s F'_s L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \right\| \lesssim_P n^{-1} \log^2 n + (nm)^{-1/2} \log n.$$

Given the bound for $Q_{2,j}$ derived in Step 1, we obtain the desired result. □

11.4 More auxiliary results: bound on $E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{L}'_i$ and $\hat{L}' \Delta_L$

Lemma 7 (bound on $E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{L}'_i$). *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then, we have*

$$1. \quad \|L' e F\| \lesssim_P (nm \alpha_n^{-1})^{1/2} + m \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{5/4} n,$$

2.

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i e_{i,j} F'_j \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n} + m \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{5/4} n.$$

$$3. \quad \|L' \text{diag}(\pi) e F\| \lesssim_P (nm \alpha_n)^{1/2} + m \log^{5/4} n$$

Proof of Lemma 7. We have

$$\begin{aligned} L' e F &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i e_{i,j} F'_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) F'_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i L'_i F_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) F'_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F'_j - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j F'_j. \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

For the first term on the RHS of (81), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) F'_j \right\| &\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) L_i L'_i F_j F'_j \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} + (nm)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} \lesssim_P (nm)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the RHS of (81), by the Markov's inequality, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i L'_i F_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) F'_j \right\| \lesssim_P (nm)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2}.$$

For the third term on the RHS of (81), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F'_j \right\| &\lesssim_P \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \pi_i^{-1} \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} F'_j \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} F'_j \right\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|L_i\|_2 |\varepsilon_{i,j}| |\xi_{i,j}| \|F_j\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P (nm \alpha_n^{-1})^{1/2} + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \lesssim_P (nm)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last term on the RHS of (81), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j F'_j \right\| &\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| \sum_{j=1}^m \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
&\quad + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| \sum_{j=1}^m \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
&\quad + \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|L_i\|_2 |\xi_{i,j}| \|X_i\|_2 \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P m \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{5/4} n,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by (32), (40), and the fact that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1}} n^{1/q}$$

This implies

$$\|L'eF\| \underset{P}{\lesssim} (nm\alpha_n^{-1})^{1/2} + m\alpha_n^{-1} \log^{5/4} n.$$

For the second result of Lemma 7, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i e_{i,j} F'_j \right\| &\underset{P}{\lesssim} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) F'_j \right\| \\ &+ \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i L'_i F_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) F'_j \right\| \\ &+ \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F'_j \right\| \\ &+ \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j F'_j \right\|. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

For the first term on the RHS of (82), by (46) and (47), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) F'_j \right\| \\ &\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} \\ &+ \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right) F'_j \right\| \\ &\lesssim_P n^{1/q} + \sqrt{nm\alpha_n} \underset{P}{\lesssim} \sqrt{nm\alpha_n}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the RHS of (82), by (36), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i L'_i F_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) F'_j \right\| \underset{P}{\lesssim} \sqrt{mn \log n}.$$

For the third term on the RHS of (82), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F'_j \right\| &\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F'_j \right\| \\ &+ \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \alpha_n^{-2} (mn)^{-1} n^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2 \xi_{i,j} |\varepsilon_{i,j}| \|F_j\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F'_j \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is by (35), (49), and the fact that

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 |\varepsilon_{i,j}| \xi_{i,j} \lesssim_P nm \alpha_n^2.$$

For the last term on the RHS of (82), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j F'_j \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j F'_j \right\| \\
& + \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2 \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2 \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
& + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} \|L_i\|_2 \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) \|X_i\|_2^2 \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 \xi_{i,j} \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
& \lesssim_P \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \right) \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} L_i X'_i \pi_i^{-1} \right\| \\
& + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} L_i X'_i \pi_i^{-1} \right\| \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 + (n \alpha_n)^{-1/2} n^{1/q} + \sqrt{m \log^{3/2} n} \\
& \lesssim_P m \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by (32), (40), and (57).

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,s} L_i e_{i,j} F'_j \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{nm \log n} + m \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}.$$

For the last result of Lemma 7, we have

$$L' \text{diag}(\pi) e F = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \pi_i e_{i,j} F'_j$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \pi_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) F'_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i L'_i F_j (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) F'_j \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \pi_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F'_j - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \pi_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j F'_j. \tag{83}
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term on the RHS of (83), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \pi_i L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) F'_j \right\| &\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} \pi_i \|L_i\|_2^2 \|F_j\|_2^2 \\
&+ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} (1 - \pi_i) X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) L_i L'_i F_j F'_j \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P n^{1/q} + (nm)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} \lesssim_P (nm \alpha_n)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the RHS of (83), by the Markov's inequality, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i L'_i F_j (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) F'_j \right\| \lesssim_P (nm \alpha_n)^{1/2}.$$

For the third term on the RHS of (83), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \pi_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F'_j \right\| &\lesssim_P \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} F'_j \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i (1 - \pi_i) X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} F'_j \right\| \\
&+ \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|L_i\|_2 |\varepsilon_{i,j}| |\pi_i \xi_{i,j}| \|F_j\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P (nm \alpha_n)^{1/2} + n^{1/q} \lesssim_P (nm \alpha_n)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last term on the RHS of (83), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \pi_i \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j F'_j \right\| &\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| \sum_{j=1}^m \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
&+ \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i (1 - \pi_i) X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| \sum_{j=1}^m \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
&+ \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_i \|L_i\|_2 \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2 \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P m \log^{5/4} n,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by (32) and the facts that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| &\lesssim_P (n\alpha_n \log n)^{1/2}, \\ \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i (1 - \pi_i) X'_i \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| &\lesssim_P \sqrt{n\alpha_n} n^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\|L' \text{diag}(\pi) eF\| \lesssim_P (nm\alpha_n)^{1/2} + m \log^{5/4} n.$$

□

Lemma 8 (bound on $\hat{L}'\Delta_L$). *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{L}'_i\| &\lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n \log n}, \\ \|L' \Delta_L\| &\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} (1 + n/m) n^{1/q}, \\ \|\hat{L}' \Delta_L\| &\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} (1 + n/m) n^{1/q}, \\ \|H^{-1}\| &\lesssim_P 1, \\ H^{-1} &= \hat{\Omega}_r^2 \left(n^{-1} L' \hat{L} \right)^{-1} \Sigma_F^{-1} + O_P((n\alpha_n)^{-1} \log^{5/4} n + (m\alpha_n)^{-1} (1 + m/n)^{1/2} n^{1/q}), \\ H^{-1} \Sigma_L^{-1} &= H' + O_P((n\alpha_n)^{-1} (1 + n/m) n^{1/q}) \\ \|L' \text{diag}(\pi) \Delta_L\| &\lesssim_P (1 + n/m) n^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 8. Because $\hat{L} = LH + \Delta_L$, we have $\hat{L}'_i = L'_i H + \Delta'_{L,i}$, and thus,

$$E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{L}'_i = E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i L'_i H + E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \Delta'_{L,i}.$$

By Lemma 6, we have $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \Delta'_{L,i}\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n n^{-1/2} + n^{-1} \log^2 n + (nm)^{-1/2} \log n$.

In addition, we have $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i L_i'\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n \log n}$, which implies

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{L}_i'\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n \log n}.$$

By the definition of Δ_L and Lemmas 2 and 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L' \Delta_L\| &= \|L' e W' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| (nm)^{-1} \\ &\leq \|L' e F L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| (nm)^{-1} + \|L' e e' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| (nm)^{-1} \\ &\lesssim_P \|L' e F\| m^{-1} + \|L' e\| \|\hat{L} e\| (nm)^{-1} \\ &\lesssim_P \|L' e F\| m^{-1} + \|e\|^2 m^{-1} \\ &\lesssim_P (nm^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1})^{1/2} + n^{1/q} (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-1} \\ &\lesssim_P n^{1/q} (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This further implies

$$\|\hat{L}' \Delta_L\| \leq \|\Delta_L' \Delta_L\| + \|H' L' \Delta_L\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} (1 + n/m) n^{1/q},$$

where the second inequality is by Lemmas 4 and 5. Next, note that $I = \hat{L}' \hat{L} / n = (H' L' + \Delta_L') (H L + \Delta_L) / n$. This means

$$\begin{aligned} H' \Sigma_L H &= I - \Delta_L' L H / n - H' L' \Delta_L / n - \Delta_L' \Delta_L / n \\ &= I - O_P((n \alpha_n)^{-1} (1 + n/m) n^{1/q}) = I - o_P(1). \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

This implies $\Sigma_L = (H^{-1})' (I - o_P(1)) H^{-1}$, and thus, $H^{-1} = O_P(1)$. It also implies that

$$H^{-1} \Sigma_L^{-1} = H' + O_P((n \alpha_n)^{-1} (1 + n/m) n^{1/q}).$$

Last, we note that

$$H = F'W'\hat{L}\hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}(nm)^{-1} = \Sigma_F L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} n^{-1} + F' e' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} (nm)^{-1}$$

and by Lemmas 3, 4, 5, and 7,

$$\|\hat{L}' e F\| \leq \|H\| \|L' e F\| + \|\Delta_L\| \|F' e'\| \lesssim_P m \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{5/4} n + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \sqrt{n(m+n)}.$$

This implies

$$\|H - \Sigma_F L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} n^{-1}\| \lesssim_P (n \alpha_n)^{-1} \log^{5/4} n + (m \alpha_n)^{-1} (1 + n/m)^{1/2} n^{1/q},$$

and thus,

$$\|H^{-1} - \hat{\Omega}_r^2 (n^{-1} L' \hat{L})^{-1} \Sigma_F^{-1}\| \lesssim_P (n \alpha_n)^{-1} \log^{5/4} n + (m \alpha_n)^{-1} (1 + m/n)^{1/2} n^{1/q},$$

given $H^{-1} = O_P(1)$.

Last, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L' \text{diag}(\pi) \Delta_L\| &= \|L' \text{diag}(\pi) e W' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| (nm)^{-1} \\ &\leq \|L' \text{diag}(\pi) e F L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| (nm)^{-1} + \|L' \text{diag}(\pi) e e' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| (nm)^{-1} \\ &\lesssim_P \|L' \text{diag}(\pi) e F\| m^{-1} + \|L' \text{diag}(\pi) e\| \|\hat{L}' e\| (nm)^{-1} \\ &\lesssim_P \|L' \text{diag}(\pi) e F\| m^{-1} + \alpha_n n^{1/q} \|e\|^2 m^{-1} \\ &\lesssim_P (nm^{-1} \alpha_n)^{1/2} + n^{1/q} (1 + n/m) \\ &\lesssim_P n^{1/q} (1 + n/m). \end{aligned}$$

□

12 Proof of Theorem 1 at $g = 1$

We aim to show that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \tilde{\beta}_j^{(1)} - \beta_j - (EX_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} [E_n(X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} + \pi_i X_i L_i' F_j)] \right\|_2 = O_P(\kappa_n). \quad (85)$$

Recall $\Delta_{i,j} = \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j} = \Delta_{L,i}' H^{-1} F_j - n^{-1} \hat{L}_i' \hat{L}' \Delta_L H^{-1} F_j + n^{-1} \hat{L}_i' \hat{L}' e_{.,j}$. Then, we have

$$\tilde{\beta}_j^{(1)} - \beta_j = (E_n \xi_{i,j} X_i X_i')^{-1} E_n(X_i \xi_{i,j} (\varepsilon_{i,j} - \Delta_{i,j}))$$

and

$$E_n(\xi_{i,j} X_i \Delta_{i,j}) = E_n(\xi_{i,j} X_i \Delta_{L,i} H^{-1} F_j) - n^{-1} E_n(\xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{L}_i' \hat{L}' \Delta_L H^{-1} F_j) + n^{-1} E_n(\xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{L}_i' \hat{L}' e_{.,j}).$$

We first notice that uniformly over j ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(\xi_{i,j} X_i \Delta_{L,i} H^{-1} F_j) &= -(nm)^{-1} (E_n \pi_i X_i L_i') F' F L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} H^{-1} F_j + O_P((n^{-1} + (nm)^{-1/2}) \log^2 n) \\ &= -(nm)^{-1} (E_n \pi_i X_i L_i') F' F L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} (\hat{\Omega}_r^2 (n^{-1} L' \hat{L})^{-1} \Sigma_F^{-1} + R_{1,n}) F_j + O_P((n^{-1} + (nm)^{-1/2}) \log^2 n) \\ &= -E_n \pi_i X_i L_i' F_j + O_P((n^{-1} + (nm)^{-1/2}) \log^2 n) \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is by Lemma 6, $R_{1,n}$ in the second equality satisfies

$$\|R_{1,n}\| \lesssim_P (n\alpha_n)^{-1} \log^{5/4} n + (m\alpha_n)^{-1} (1 + m/n)^{1/2} n^{1/q} \log n$$

by Lemma 8, and the last inequality is by the fact that

$$\|E_n \pi_i X_i L_i\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n \sqrt{n^{-1} \log n}.$$

Next, we have, uniformly over j , that

$$n^{-1} |E_n(\xi_{i,j} X_i \hat{L}_i' \hat{L}' \Delta_L H^{-1} F_j)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq n^{-1}|E_n(\xi_{i,j}X_iL'_iH\hat{L}'\Delta_LH^{-1}F_j)| + n^{-1}|E_n(\xi_{i,j}X_i\Delta'_{L,i}\hat{L}'\Delta_LH^{-1}F_j)| \\
&\lesssim_P n^{-1}||E_n\xi_{i,j}X_iL'_i|||H|||\hat{L}'\Delta_L|||H^{-1}|||F_j||_2 + n^{-1}||E_n\xi_{i,j}X_i\Delta'_{L,i}|||\hat{L}'\Delta_L|||H^{-1}|||F_j||_2 \\
&\lesssim_P (n\alpha_n)^{-1/2}(n^{-1} + m^{-1})n^{1/q}
\end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality is by Lemmas 6 and 8 and the fact that $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} ||E_n\xi_{i,j}X_iL'_i|| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1}\alpha_n \log n}$.

Finally, we observe that, by Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{-1}||E_n\xi_{i,j}X_i\hat{L}_i\hat{L}'e_{\cdot,j}||_2 &\lesssim_P n^{-1}||E_n\xi_{i,j}X_i\hat{L}'_i|||\hat{L}'e_{\cdot,j}||_2 \\
&\lesssim_P n^{-1}(|E_n\xi_{i,j}X_iL'_i| + |E_n\xi_{i,j}X_i\Delta'_{L,i}|)|\hat{L}'e_{\cdot,j}|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P n^{-1}(\sqrt{n^{-1}\alpha_n \log n})\sqrt{n(1+n/m)\alpha_n^{-2}n^{1/q}} \\
&\lesssim_P (1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-1/2}n^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that, uniformly over j ,

$$E_nX_i\Delta_{i,j}\xi_{i,j} = -E_n\pi_iX_iL'_iF_j + O_P((1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-1/2}n^{1/q}).$$

In addition, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} ||E_n\pi_iX_iL'_iF_j|| \lesssim_P \alpha_n n^{-1/2} \log^{1/2} n \quad \text{and} \quad (EX_iX'_i\xi_{i,j})^{-1} = (EX_iX'_i\pi_i)^{-1} \lesssim \alpha_n^{-1}.$$

By (25), we have that, uniformly over j

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E_nX_iX'_i\xi_{i,j})^{-1}E_nX_i\Delta_{i,j}\xi_{i,j} \\
&= \left[(EX_iX'_i\xi_{i,j})^{-1} + O_P(\alpha_n^{-2}\sqrt{n^{-1}\alpha_n^{-1} \log n}) \right] [-E_n\pi_iX_iL'_iF_j + O_P((1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-1/2}n^{1/q})] \\
&= -(EX_iX'_i\xi_{i,j})^{-1}E_n\pi_iX_iL'_iF_j + O_P((1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-3/2}n^{1/q}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that, uniformly over j ,

$$(E_n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} = (E X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} E_n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} + O_P((1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-3/2} n^{1/q}).$$

This leads to the desired result.

13 Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 for $g \geq 1$

Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 for any finite g satisfying $g \geq 1$

13.1 Preliminary results

Lemma 9. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then*

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} e_i' \right\| \lesssim_P (mn)^{1/2} n^{1/q},$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \Delta_{L,i}' \right\| \lesssim_P (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q},$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) e_i' \right\| \lesssim_P (mn)^{1/2} n^{1/q},$$

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) \Delta_{L,i}' \right\| \lesssim_P (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}.$$

Proof of Lemma 9. For the first result of Lemma 9, let

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} \\ B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,2)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \\ B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,3)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \end{aligned}$$

$$B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,4)} = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j$$

and $B^{(s,d)} = (B_{\cdot,1}^{(s,d)}, \dots, B_{\cdot,m}^{(s,d)})$ for $d = 1, \dots, 4$. Then, by (22), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} e_i' \right\| \leq \sum_{d=1}^4 \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,d)}\|.$$

For $B^{(s,1)}$, by Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \|F_j\|_2 \\ & \quad + \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \|F_j\|_2 \pi_i^{-1} \|X_i\|_2 \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\| \\ & \lesssim_P m^{-1} n^{1/q} + (n\alpha_n)^{1/2} m^{-1/2} \log^{1/2} n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s=j} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} \|F_j\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} \|F_j\|_2 \pi_i^{-1} \|X_i\|_2 \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\| \\ & \lesssim_P (m\alpha_n)^{-1} n^{1/q} + n^{1/2} (m\alpha_n)^{-1/2} \log^{1/2} n. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,1)}\| \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,1)}\|_F \leq \left[\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} L_i' F_j (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} \right\|_2^2 \right]^{1/2} \lesssim_P (n\alpha_n \log n)^{1/2}.$$

For $B^{(s,2)}$, by (62), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,2)}\| \lesssim_P (mn \log n)^{1/2}.$$

For $B^{(s,3)}$, we define $B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,3,1)} = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}$ and $B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,3,2)} = B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,3)} - B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,3,1)} =$

$\sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}$, $B^{(s,3,1)} = (B_{\cdot,1}^{(s,3,1)}, \dots, B_{\cdot,m}^{(s,3,1)})$, and $B^{(s,3,2)} = (B_{\cdot,1}^{(s,3,2)}, \dots, B_{\cdot,m}^{(s,3,2)})$.

Note that, by (64), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,3,1)}\| \lesssim_P (mn \log n)^{1/2}.$$

We next bound $\|B^{(s,3,2)}\|$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} |\varepsilon_{i,j}| \\ & \quad + \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X'_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \|(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma)\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P m^{-1} n^{1/q} + (m \alpha_n)^{-1/2} \log^{1/2} n, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by (20) and the facts that

$$\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} |\varepsilon_{i,j}| \lesssim_P n \alpha_n^2$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X'_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P (n \log n)^{1/2}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j=s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \xi_{i,j} |\varepsilon_{i,j}| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \right) X'_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\| \|(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma)\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} m^{-1/2} \log^{1/2} n, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,3,2)}\| &\leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,3,2)}\|_F \\
&= \left[\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2^2 \right]^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim_P m^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{1/2} n + \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{1/2} n,
\end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,3)}\| \lesssim_P (mn)^{1/2} n^{1/q}.$$

For $B^{(s,4)}$, we define $B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,4,1)} = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j$, $B_{\cdot,j}^{(s,4,2)} = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j$, $B^{(s,4,1)} = (B_{\cdot,1}^{(s,4,1)}, \dots, B_{\cdot,m}^{(s,4,1)})$, and $B^{(s,4,2)} = (B_{\cdot,1}^{(s,4,2)}, \dots, B_{\cdot,m}^{(s,4,2)})$. Then, by (66), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,4,1)}\| \lesssim_P m^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{5/4}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j \right\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} X_i' \right\|_2 \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\delta_j\|_2
\end{aligned}$$

and by (58)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} X_i' \right\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \|X_i\|_2 \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \\
&\quad + \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \|X_i\|_2 \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} (mn)^{-1} n^{1/q} (n \alpha_n^2 + \log^2 n) + (mn \alpha_n)^{-1/2} (n \alpha_n n^{1/q})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim_P m^{-1/2} (n\alpha_n)^{1/2} n^{1/q}.$$

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P m^{-1/2} n^{1/q}.$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j \right\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} (mn)^{-1} n^{1/q} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2 \|\delta_j\|_2 \\ & \quad + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} (mn\alpha_n)^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|L_i\|_2 \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2 \|\delta_j\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P m^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{3/4} n. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,4,2)}\| \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,4,2)}\|_F \lesssim_P n^{1/q},$$

and thus,

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B^{(s,4)}\| \lesssim_P m^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{5/4} n.$$

Combining the four bounds for $(B^{(s,1)}, \dots, B^{(s,4)})$ above, we obtain that

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} e_i' \right\| \lesssim_P (mn)^{1/2} n^{1/q}.$$

For the second result in Lemma 9, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \Delta'_{L,i} \right\| \\ & = \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} \left(e_i' e' \hat{L} + \sum_{j=1}^m e_{i,j} F_j' L' \hat{L} \right) \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} (mn)^{-1} \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim_P (mn)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} e'_i \right\| \|\hat{L}' e\| + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \xi_{i,s} e_{i,j} F'_j \right\| \|L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| (nm)^{-1} \\
&\lesssim_P (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q} + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \xi_{i,s} e_{i,j} F'_j \right\| \|L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| (nm)^{-1} \\
&\lesssim_P (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q} + (n/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{5/4} n \lesssim_P (1 + n/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is by Lemma 5 and the third inequality is by Lemma 7 and the first result in Lemma 9 shown above.

The third and fourth results can be established in the same manner.

□

Lemma 10. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then*

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(E_n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}'_i \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} - (H' E (L_i L'_i \pi_i) H)^{-1} \right\| \lesssim_P n^{-1} (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-3} n^{1/q} + n^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{-1}.$$

Proof of Lemma 10. We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| E_n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}'_i (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right\| \\
&= \left\| E_n (H' L_i + \Delta_{L,i}) (L'_i H + \Delta'_{L,i}) (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right\| \\
&\leq \|H' (E_n L_i L'_i (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)) H\| + 2 \|H\| \|E_n L_i \Delta'_{L,i} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)\| + \|E_n \Delta_{L,i} \Delta'_{L,i} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|H' E_n L_i L'_i (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) H\| \lesssim_P (n^{-1} \alpha_n \log n)^{1/2}.$$

For the second term, by Lemma 9, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|H\| \|E_n L_i \Delta'_{L,i} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)\| \lesssim_P n^{-1} (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}.$$

For the third term, by Lemma 5, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|E_n \Delta_{L,i} \Delta'_{L,i} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i)\| \leq n^{-1} \|\Delta_L\|_F^2 \lesssim_P (n\alpha_n)^{-1} (1 + n/m) n^{1/q}.$$

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| E_n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}'_i (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right\| \lesssim_P (n\alpha_n)^{-1} (1 + n/m) n^{1/q}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}'_i \pi_i &= E_n (H' L_i + \Delta_{L,i}) (H' L_i + \Delta_{L,i})' \pi_i \\ &= H' E_n L_i L'_i \pi_i H + H' E_n L_i \Delta'_{L,i} \pi_i + (E_n L_i \Delta'_{L,i} \pi_i)' H + H' E_n \Delta_{L,i} \Delta'_{L,i} \pi_i H, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|H' E_n L_i \Delta'_{L,i} \pi_i\| \lesssim_P \|H\| n^{-1} \|L' \text{diag}(\pi) \Delta_L\| \lesssim_P n^{1/q} n^{-1} (1 + n/m),$$

and

$$H' E_n \Delta_{L,i} \Delta'_{L,i} \pi_i H \lesssim_P \alpha_n n^{1/q} n^{-1} \|\Delta_L\|^2 \lesssim_P n^{1/q} n^{-1} (1 + n/m).$$

Last, we have

$$\|E_n L_i L'_i \pi_i - E L_i L'_i \pi_i\| \lesssim_P n^{-1/2} \alpha_n.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(E_n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}'_i \xi_{i,j} \right) - (H' E (L_i L'_i \pi_i) H) \right\| \lesssim_P (n\alpha_n)^{-1} (1 + n/m) n^{1/q} + n^{-1/2} \alpha_n.$$

which implies

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(E_n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}'_i \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} - (H' E (L_i L'_i \pi_i) H)^{-1} \right\| \lesssim_P n^{-1} (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-3} n^{1/q} + n^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{-1}.$$

□

Lemma 11. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then*

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i u_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} - n^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \right\| \lesssim_P (n^{-1} + m^{-1}) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q},$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \tilde{u}_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} - n^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \right\| \lesssim_P (n^{-1} + m^{-1}) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}$$

where $u_{i,s} = \varepsilon_{i,s} - X_i' \delta_s$, $\tilde{u}_{i,s} = \varepsilon_{i,s} - X_i' \tilde{\delta}_s$, $\delta_s = \hat{\beta}_s - \beta_s$, and $\tilde{\delta}_s = \tilde{\beta}_s^{(1)} - \beta_s$.

Proof of Lemma 11. The first result of Lemma 11. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i u_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} - n^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \\ &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (H' L_i + \Delta_{L,i}) (\varepsilon_{i,s} - X_i' \delta_s) \xi_{i,s} - n^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \\ &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_{L,i} \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} - H' E_n L_i X_i' \delta_s \xi_{i,s} - E_n \Delta_{L,i} X_i' \delta_s \xi_{i,s}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, by (32) and Lemma 5, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|E_n L_i \xi_{i,s} X_i' \delta_s\|_2 \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|E_n L_i \xi_{i,s} X_i'\|_2 \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|\delta_s\|_2 \lesssim n^{-1} \log^{5/4} n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|E_n \Delta_{L,i} X_i' \delta_s \xi_{i,s}\|_2 &\leq n^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \|\Delta_{L,i}\|_2^2 \times \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} (X_i' \delta_s)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim_P n^{-1} \sqrt{\alpha_n^{-1} (1 + n/m)} n^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we turn to the first term. Note $\Delta'_{L,i} = (nm)^{-1} e_i' W' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} = (nm)^{-1} e_i' F L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} + (nm)^{-1} e_i' e' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}$. The rest of the proof proceeds in three steps.

Step 1: bound $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e_i' e \hat{L}$. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) L_i' F_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L_i' F_j$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i' \delta_j \\
& \equiv \sum_{l=1}^4 A_{l,s,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $A_{1,s,j}$, by (60), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} |A_{1,s,j}| \\
& \leq \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \sum_{i=1}^n |\varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j}| \|L_i\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
& + \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \pi_i^{-1} (1 - \pi_i) X_i' (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) L_i' F_j \right| \\
& \lesssim_P m^{-1} n^{1/q} + (\sqrt{n} \log n + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \log n) (nm \alpha_n)^{-1/2} \\
& \lesssim_P (m \alpha_n)^{-1/2} \log n
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} |A_{1,s,s}| & = \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) L_i' F_s \right| \\
& \leq \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n |\varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s}| \|L_i\|_2 \|F_s\|_2 \\
& + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (1 - \pi_i) X_i' (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) L_i' F_s \right| \\
& \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} m^{-1} n^{1/q} + \left(\sqrt{n \alpha_n^{-1}} n^{1/q} \right) (nm \alpha_n)^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A_{1,s}\| \leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A_{1,s}\|_F \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log n.$$

For $A_{2,s,j}$, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} |A_{2,s,j}| \lesssim_P n^{1/2+1/q}$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} |A_{2,s,s}| \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/2+1/q}.$$

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A_{2,s}\| \lesssim_P (mn \log n)^{1/2} n^{1/q}.$$

For $A_{3,s,j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} |A_{3,s,j}| &= \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right| \\ &\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \sum_{i=1}^n |\varepsilon_{i,s}| |\varepsilon_{i,j}| \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \\ &\quad + \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i(\gamma - \gamma) \right| \\ &\lesssim_P (n \log n)^{1/2} + n^{1/q} m^{-1} + (\sqrt{n} \log n + \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \log n) (nm \alpha_n)^{-1/2} \\ &\lesssim_P (n \log n)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} |A_{3,s,s}| &= \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,s}^2 \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \right| \\ &\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} \varepsilon_{i,s}^2 \pi_i^{-1} \right| \\ &\quad + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s}^2 \xi_{i,s} + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s}^2 \xi_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i(\gamma - \gamma) \right| \\ &\lesssim_P n. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A_{3,s}\| \lesssim_P (mn \log n)^{1/2} + n.$$

For $A_{4,s,j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} |A_{4,s,j}| &= \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j \right| \\ &\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j \right| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \sum_{i=1}^n |\varepsilon_{i,s}| |X'_i \delta_j| \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \\ &\quad + \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\gamma - \gamma) \right| \\ &\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{5/4} n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} |A_{4,s,s}| &\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s, j \leq m, s \neq j} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s}^2 \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} X'_i \delta_s \right| + \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n |\varepsilon_{i,s}| |X'_i \delta_s| \xi_{i,s} \\ &\quad + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} X'_i \delta_s \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\gamma - \gamma) \right| \\ &\lesssim_P n^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{3/4} n. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|A_{4,s}\| \lesssim_P (m^{1/2} + n^{1/2}) \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{5/4} n.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e'_i e \hat{L} \right\| &\leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e'_i \right\|_2 \|e \hat{L}\| \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left[\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e_{i,j} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \|e \hat{L}\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim_P n(n+m)\alpha_n^{-1/2}n^{1/q}.$$

Step 2: bound $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e'_i F$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e'_i F &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e_{i,j} F_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} (\hat{\pi}_i^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1}) L'_i F_j F_j + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L'_i F_j F_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_j - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j F_j \\ &\equiv \sum_{l=1}^4 B_{l,s}. \end{aligned}$$

For $B_{1,s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|B_{1,s}\|_2 &\leq \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q} (nm)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n |\varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \xi_{i,s}| |L'_i F_j| \|F_j\|_2 \\ &\quad + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} \left(\frac{(1 - \pi_i)}{\pi_i} \right) L'_i F_j F_j X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \right\|_2 \\ &\quad + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \left(\frac{(1 - \pi_i) X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma)}{\pi_i} \right) L'_i F_s F_s \right\|_2 \\ &\lesssim_P n^{1/q} + (m \log n)^{1/2} + \alpha_n^{-1} m^{-1/2} n^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by (50).

For $B_{2,s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L'_i F_j F_j \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L'_i F_j F_j \right\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,s} - \pi_i) L'_i F_s F_s \right\|_2 \\ &\lesssim_P (mn \log^2 n)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where by (51), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) L'_i F_j F_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (mn \log^2 n)^{1/2}$$

For $B_{3,s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_j \right\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_j \right\|_2 + \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n |\varepsilon_{i,s}| \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} |\varepsilon_{i,j}| \|F_j\|_2 \\ & + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_j \right\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_j \right\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s}^2 \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} F_s \right\|_2 + n^{1/q} \\ & + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_j \right\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s}^2 \xi_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) F_s \right\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P (mn \log^2 n)^{1/2} + n \log n, \end{aligned}$$

where by (52) and (53), we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (mn \log^2 n)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq s} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} F_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log n.$$

For $B_{4,s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \hat{\pi}_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j F_j \right\|_2 \\ & \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j F_j \right\|_2 + \alpha_n^{-2} (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n |\varepsilon_{i,s}| \xi_{i,s} \xi_{i,j} |X'_i \delta_j| \|F_j\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} X'_i \delta_j F_j \right\|_2 \\
& \lesssim_P \sum_{j=1}^m \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i \right\|_2 \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} X'_i \delta_s F_s \right\|_2 \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^m \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \xi_{i,j} X_i \right\|_2 \|\delta_j\|_2 \|F_j\|_2 \\
& + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X'_i (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) X'_i \delta_s F_s \right\|_2 + (n\alpha_n)^{-1/2} n^{1/q} \\
& \lesssim_P m\alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{5/4} n + \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{9/4} n,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the facts that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} \xi_{i,j} X_i \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (n \log n)^{1/2}, \\
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \pi_i^{-1} X_i \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (n\alpha_n^{-1} \log n)^{1/2}, \\
& \max_{1 \leq j, s \leq m, j \neq s} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} \xi_{i,j} X_i X'_i \right\| \lesssim_P (n \log n)^{1/2}, \\
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} \frac{1 - \pi_i}{\pi_i} X_i X'_i \right\| \lesssim_P (n\alpha_n^{-1} \log n)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all bounds, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e'_i F \right\|_2 \lesssim_P n \log n + m\alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{5/4} n.$$

Step 3: derive the final result. Combining the results in Steps 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \|E_n \Delta'_{L,i} \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s}\|_2 \\
& \leq n^{-2} m^{-1} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e'_i F L' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \right\|_2 + n^{-2} m^{-1} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e'_i e' \hat{L} \hat{\Omega}_r^{-2} \right\|_2 \\
& \leq n^{-2} m^{-1} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e'_i F \right\|_2 \|L' \hat{L}\| \|\hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| + n^{-2} m^{-1} \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} e'_i e' \hat{L} \right\|_2 \|\hat{\Omega}_r^{-2}\| \\
& \lesssim_P m^{-1} \log n + n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^{5/4} n + (1 + m/n)^{1/2} (nm\alpha_n)^{-1/2} n^{1/q}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim_P (n^{-1} + m^{-1}) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}.$$

The second result of Lemma 11. This can be proved in the same manner as the first result by noticing that $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\tilde{\delta}_j\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log^{3/2} n}$ due to (85). $\square$

13.2 Asymptotics for $\tilde{F}_j^{(1)}$

Lemma 12. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then*

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \tilde{F}_j^{(1)} - H^{-1} F_j - n^{-1} (H' E (L_i L_i' \pi_i) H)^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-3/2} n^{1/q}.$$

Proof of Lemma 12. For the sake of simplicity of notations, we denote $\tilde{F}^{(1)}$ as $\tilde{F}$. Since $\hat{L}_i' = L_i' H + \Delta_{L,i}$, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{F}_j - H^{-1} F_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}_i' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i (L_i' F_j + \tilde{u}_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j} - \hat{L}_i \hat{L}_i' \xi_{i,j} H^{-1} F_j \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}_i' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \tilde{u}_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right) \\ &+ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}_i' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i L_i' F_j \xi_{i,j} - \hat{L}_i \hat{L}_i' \xi_{i,j} H^{-1} F_j \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}_i' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \tilde{u}_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}_i' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \Delta_{L,i}' \xi_{i,j} \right) H^{-1} F_j. \quad (86) \end{aligned}$$

Now we bound $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \Delta_{L,i}' \xi_{i,j}$. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \Delta_{L,i}' \xi_{i,j} = H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \Delta_{L,i}' \xi_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_{L,i} \Delta_{L,i}' \xi_{i,j}.$$

To bound the second term, we notice that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_{L,i} \Delta_{L,i}' \xi_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P \sum_{i=1}^n \|\Delta_{L,i}\|_2^2 \leq r \|\Delta_L\|^2 \stackrel{(i)}{\lesssim_P} \alpha_n^{-1} (1 + n/m) n^{1/q},$$

where (i) follows by Lemma 5. To bound the first term, we notice that since $\|H\| = O_P(1)$ (due to Lemma 4). Then, by Lemma 9, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \Delta'_{L,i} \xi_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}.$$

Since $\|H^{-1}\| = O_P(1)$ (Lemma 8) and $\max_j \|F_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{\log m}$, Lemma 10 and the above display imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}'_i \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \Delta'_{L,i} \xi_{i,j} \right) H^{-1} F_j \right\|_2 \\ &= O_P((\alpha_n n)^{-1}) \cdot O_P((1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 10 and 11, we have that uniformly in j ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \hat{L}'_i \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i \tilde{u}_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right) \\ &= \left((H' E(L_i L'_i \pi_i) H)^{-1} + O_P(n^{-1}(1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-3} n^{1/q} + n^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{-1}) \right) \\ & \cdot \left(n^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + O_P((n^{-1} + m^{-1}) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}) \right) \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} n^{-1} (H' E(L_i L'_i \pi_i) H)^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + O_P((1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-3/2} n^{1/q}), \end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows by $\|H\| = O_P(1)$ (Lemma 4) and (34) in Lemma 1. We now combine (86) with the above two displays, obtaining

$$\max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \left\| \tilde{F}_j - H^{-1} F_j - n^{-1} (H' E(L_i L'_i \pi_i) H)^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-3/2} n^{1/q}.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

13.3 Auxiliary results for iterations

We would like to prove Theorem 1 and 2 for any $g \geq 1$ by induction. We already proved the result of Theorem 1 at $g = 1$ in 11, so now it is sufficed by proving that

- (1) for any $g \geq 1$, the representation of $\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}$ implies the representation of $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$, and
- (2) for any $g \geq 1$, the representation of $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$ implies the representation of $\tilde{\beta}^{(g+1)}$

Note that with $\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}$, the estimator $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$ is totally determined by $\tilde{F}^{(g)}$ because $\tilde{L}^{(g)}$ is actually a function of $\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}$, $\tilde{F}^{(g)}$ and the given data. Since We already have the asymptotic representation of $\tilde{F}^{(1)}$ in 13.2, the proof can also be completed by proving that

- (1) the representations of $\tilde{F}^{(g)}$ and $\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}$ imply the representation of $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$,
- (2) the representation of $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$ implies the representation of $\tilde{\beta}^{(g+1)}$, and
- (3) the representations of $\tilde{\beta}^{(g+1)}$ and $\tilde{F}^{(g)}$ imply the representation of $\tilde{F}^{(g+1)}$.

Now we make a supporting assumption that gives us the representation for $\tilde{F}^{(g)}$, and later we will prove that it is automatically satisfied under Assumption 1.

Assumption 1. Suppose that $\tilde{F}_j^{(g)} = H^{-1}F_j + a_j + b_j^{(g)}$, where

$$a_j = n^{-1} (H' E(L_i L_i' \pi_i) H)^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}$$

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|b_j^{(g)}\|_2 = O_P(\kappa_n)$$

where $\kappa_n = (1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-3/2} n^{1/q}$.

Lemma 13. Under Assumptions 1, if $\tilde{F}^{(g)}$ satisfies Assumption 1 and $\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}$ satisfies the representation in Theorem 1, then

$$\tilde{L}_i^{(g)} - H' L_i = H' \pi_i^{-1} \Sigma_F^{-1} m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - n^{-1} H' \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k' (E X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i + d_i^{(g)},$$

$$\equiv c_{1,i} + c_{2,i} + d_i^{(g)}$$

$$\max_i \|d_i^{(g)}\|_2 = O_P(\kappa_n).$$

In particular, $\tilde{L}^{(1)}$ satisfies the above representation.

Proof of Lemma 13. For notation simplicity, we denote $\tilde{F}^{(g)}, \tilde{L}^{(g)}, \tilde{\beta}^{(g)}, \tilde{W}^{(g)}, b_j^{(g)}$ by $\tilde{F}, \tilde{L}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{W}$ and b_j . By the definition of $\tilde{L}$ and Assumption 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_i &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \tilde{F}_j' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} \tilde{W}_{i,j} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \tilde{F}_j' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} (F_j' L_i + \tilde{u}_{i,j}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \tilde{F}_j' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} (\tilde{F}_j - a_j - b_j)' H' L_i + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \tilde{F}_j' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j} \\ &= H' L_i - \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \tilde{F}_j' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} (a_j + b_j)' H' L_i \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \tilde{F}_j' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j} \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

Step 1: Asymptotic of $\left(\sum_j \tilde{F}_j \tilde{F}_j' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1}$. Observe that, by Assumption 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \tilde{F}_j' \xi_{i,j} &= \sum_{j=1}^m (H^{-1} F_j + a_j + b_j) (H^{-1} F_j + a_j + b_j)' \xi_{i,j} \\ &= H^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m F_j F_j' \xi_{i,j} \right) H^{-1} + (H')^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m F_j (a_j + b_j)' \xi_{i,j} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (a_j + b_j) F_j' \xi_{i,j} \right) (H')^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j + b_j) (a_j + b_j)' \xi_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by (26) in Lemma 1 and the fact that $\|H^{-1}\| = O_P(1)$, we have

$$\max_i \left\| m^{-1} H^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m F_j F_j' \xi_{i,j} \right) (H')^{-1} - \pi_i H^{-1} \Sigma_F (H')^{-1} \right\| = O_P(\sqrt{m^{-1} \alpha_n \log n}).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 17 below, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_i \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j (a_j + b_j)' \xi_{i,j} \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P \max_i \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j a_j' \xi_{i,j} \right\| + \max_i \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \|F_j\|_2 \xi_{i,j} \right\| \kappa_n \\
& \lesssim_P (mn)^{-1/2} \log n + \alpha_n \kappa_n
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\max_i \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j + b_j)(a_j + b_j)' \xi_{i,j} \right\| \leq m^{-1} \max_i \sum_{j=1}^m \|a_j + b_j\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} = O_P(n^{-1} \log m + \alpha_n \kappa_n^2).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_i \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \tilde{F}_j' \xi_{i,j} - \pi_i H^{-1} \Sigma_F (H')^{-1} \right\| = O_P(\sqrt{m^{-1} \alpha_n \log n} + \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n \log m}) \quad \text{and} \\
& \max_i \left\| \left(m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \tilde{F}_j' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} - \pi_i^{-1} H' \Sigma_F^{-1} H \right\| = O_P\left(\left(\sqrt{m^{-1} \alpha_n^{-3}} + \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-3}}\right) n^{1/q}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

Step 2: Asymptotic of $\sum_j \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} (a_j + b_j)' H' L_i$. Following the above argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} (a_j + b_j)' H' L_i \\
& = m^{-1} H^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} (a_j + b_j)' H' L_i + m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j + b_j)(a_j + b_j)' \xi_{i,j} H' L_i \\
& = m^{-1} H^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} a_j' H' L_i + m^{-1} H^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} b_j' H' L_i \\
& \quad + m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j + b_j)(a_j + b_j)' \xi_{i,j} H' L_i \\
& \max_i \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} (a_j + b_j)' H' L_i \right\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n \kappa_n
\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

Step 3: Asymptotic of $\sum_j \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j}$. Recall that $\tilde{u}_{i,j} = \varepsilon_{i,j} - X_i'(\tilde{\beta}_j - \beta_j)$. Let $\tilde{\delta}_j = \tilde{\beta}_j - \beta_j$.

We have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j} = H^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - H^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} X_i' \tilde{\delta}_j + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j} + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j}$$

Since $\tilde{\beta}$ satisfies the representation in Theorem 1, and $\max_i \|X_i\|_2 = O_P(\sqrt{\log n})$, we have

$$\max_j \|\tilde{\delta}_j\|_2 = O_P(\sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log m}),$$

$$\max_{i,j} |\tilde{u}_{i,j}| = O_P(\sqrt{\log(nm)} + \sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log m \log n}) = O_P(\sqrt{\log n} + \sqrt{\log m}),$$

$$\max_i \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j} \right\|_2 = O_P(\alpha_n \kappa_n (\sqrt{\log n} + \sqrt{\log m})),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \max_i \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j} \right\|_2 &\leq \max_i \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 + \max_i \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \xi_{i,j} X_i' \tilde{\delta}_j \right\|_2 \\ &\lesssim_P \alpha_n \kappa_n + n^{-1} \log n \log m \\ &\lesssim_P \alpha_n \kappa_n, \end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 17.

By the representation of $\tilde{\delta}_j$ in Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} X_i' \tilde{\delta}_j &= m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} \tilde{\delta}_j' X_i \\ &= m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} \left(n^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k \varepsilon_{k,j} \xi_{k,j} + \pi_k X_k L_k' F_j) \right)' (EX_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i + s_j \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\max_j \|s_j\| \lesssim_P \kappa_n$. Observe that

$$\max_i \left\| (nm)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n F_j \xi_{i,j} \xi_{k,j} \varepsilon_{k,j} X_k' (EX_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i \right\|_2 = O_P((nm)^{-1/2} \log n),$$

$$\max_i \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} s_j \right\|_2 = O_P(\alpha_n \kappa_n),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_i \left\| n^{-1} \left(m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} F_j' \right) \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k' (E X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i - n^{-1} (\pi_i \Sigma_F) \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k' (E X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i \right\|_2 \\ &= O_P \left(\sqrt{m^{-1} \alpha_n \log n} \, n^{-1/2} \sqrt{\log n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j} = m^{-1} H^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - H^{-1} n^{-1} (\pi_i \Sigma_F) \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k' (E X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i + \tau_{1,i}, \quad (90)$$

where $\max_i \|\tau_{1,i}\|_2 = O_P(\alpha_n \kappa_n)$.

Step 4: Combining (87), (89), (90), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{L}_i - H' L_i \\ &= \left(m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j \tilde{F}_j' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(H^{-1} m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - H^{-1} n^{-1} (\pi_i \Sigma_F) \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k' (E X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i \right) + \tau_{2,i}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\max_i \|\tau_{2,i}\|_2 = O_P(\kappa_n \log n)$. Then by (88) and the fact that $\max_i \|m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}\|_2 = O_P(\sqrt{m^{-1} \alpha_n \log n})$ and $\max_i \|H^{-1} n^{-1} (\pi_i \Sigma_F) \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k' (E X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i\|_2 = O_P(n^{-1/2} \alpha_n \sqrt{\log n})$,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{L}_i - H' L_i \\ &= H' \pi_i^{-1} \Sigma_F^{-1} H \left(H^{-1} m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - H^{-1} n^{-1} (\pi_i \Sigma_F) \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k' (E X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i \right) + \tau_{3,i} \\ &= H' \pi_i^{-1} \Sigma_F^{-1} m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - n^{-1} H' \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k' (E X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i + \tau_{3,i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\max_i \|\tau_{3,i}\|_2 = O_P(\alpha_n^{1/2} \kappa_n + \kappa_n) = O_P(\kappa_n).$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 14. *Under the same assumption in Lemma 13, the estimator $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$ satisfies the representation in Theorem 2. That is*

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}^{(g)} - \Gamma_{i,j} - \Delta_{i,j} = t_{i,j}^{(g)}$$

where

$$\Delta_{i,j} = \frac{1}{n} L_i' (E L_i L_i \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n L_k \xi_{k,j} \varepsilon_{k,j} + \frac{1}{m \pi_i} \sum_{t=1}^m F_t' \xi_{i,t} \varepsilon_{i,t} \Sigma_F^{-1} F_j - \frac{1}{n} X_i' E (X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k X_k L_k' F_j$$

$$\max_{i,j} |t_{i,j}^{(g)}| = O_P(\kappa_n)$$

Proof of Lemma 14. Again, we denote $b_j^{(g)}$, $d_i^{(g)}$, $\tilde{F}^{(g)}$, $\tilde{L}^{(g)}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$ by b_j , d_i , $\tilde{F}$, $\tilde{L}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$. By Assumption 1 and Lemma 13, we have

$$\tilde{F}_j = H^{-1} F_j + a_j + b_j$$

$$\tilde{L}_i = H' L_i + c_{1,i} + c_{2,i} + d_i$$

and note that

$$\max_j \|a_j + b_j\|_2 = O_P\left(\sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log m}\right),$$

$$\max_j \|b_j\|_2 = O_P(\kappa_n),$$

$$\max_j \|c_{1,i} + c_{2,i} + d_i\|_2 = O_P\left(\sqrt{m^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}} + n^{-1/2} \log n\right),$$

$$\max_j \|d_i\|_2 = O_P(\kappa_n).$$

Thus, we have

$$\tilde{L}_i' \tilde{F}_j - L_i' F_j = L_i' H a_j + (c_{1,i} + c_{2,i})' H^{-1} F_j + \tau_{1,i,j},$$

where

$$\max_{i,j} |\tau_{1,i,j}| = \max_{i,j} |(c_{1,i} + c_{2,i} + d_i)'(a_j + b_j) + L_i' H b_j + d_i' H^{-1} F_j| \underset{P}{\lesssim} \kappa_n.$$

By Assumption 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_i' H a_j &= n^{-1} L_i' H (H' E L_i L_i \pi_i H)^{-1} H' \sum_{k=1}^n L_k \xi_{k,j} \varepsilon_{k,j} \\ &= n^{-1} L_i' (E L_i L_i \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n L_k \xi_{k,j} \varepsilon_{k,j}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 13,

$$(c_{1,i} + c_{2,i})' H^{-1} F_j = m^{-1} \pi_i^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^m F_t' \xi_{i,t} \varepsilon_{i,t} \Sigma_F^{-1} F_j - n^{-1} X_i' E (X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k X_k L_k' F_j$$

and therefore

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j} = \tilde{L}_i' \tilde{F}_j - L_i' F_j = \tilde{\Delta}_{i,j} + \tau_{1,i,j}$$

where

$$\max_{i,j} |\tau_{1,i,j}| \underset{P}{\lesssim} \kappa_n.$$

□

Lemma 15. *Under the same assumption in Lemma 13, $\tilde{\beta}^{(g+1)}$ has the following representation.*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_j^{(g+1)} - \beta_j &= n^{-1} E (X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} + \pi_i X_i L_i' F_j \right) + s_j^{(g)} \\ \max_j \|s_j^{(g)}\| &= O_P(\kappa_n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 15. For the sake of simplicity of notations, we denote $\tilde{\beta}^{(g+1)}$, $t_{i,j}^{(g)}$, and

$\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$ as $\tilde{\beta}$, $t_{i,j}$, and $\tilde{\Gamma}$, respectively. By Lemma 14, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_j - \beta_j &= \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i (\Delta_{i,j} + t_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

where

$$\Delta_{i,j} = \frac{1}{n} L_i' (E L_i L_i \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n L_k \xi_{k,j} \varepsilon_{k,j} + \frac{1}{m \pi_i} \sum_{t=1}^m F_t' \xi_{i,t} \varepsilon_{i,t} \Sigma_F^{-1} F_j - \frac{1}{n} X_i' E (X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k X_k L_k' F_j.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_j \left\| n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \xi_{i,j} L_i') (E L_i L_i \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^n (L_s \xi_{s,j} \varepsilon_{s,j}) \right\|_2 &= O_P(n^{-1} \log m), \\ \max_j \left\| (nm)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^m \pi_i^{-1} (X_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,t} \xi_{i,t}' F_t') \Sigma_F^{-1} F_j \right\|_2 &= O_P((nm)^{-1/2} \log m), \\ \max_j \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i t_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right\|_2 &= O_P(\kappa_n \alpha_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (91), we have

$$\tilde{\beta}_j - \beta_j = \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right) + n^{-1} E (X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k X_k L_k' F_j + s_{1,j}$$

$$\max_j \|s_{1,j}\| = O_P(\kappa_n).$$

Then, by the facts that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_j \|n^{-1} \sum_i X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j}\|_2 &= O_P(\sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n \log m}) \quad \text{and} \\ \max_j \|(n^{-1} \sum_i X_i X_i \xi_{i,j})^{-1} - [E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)]^{-1}\| &= O_P(\sqrt{n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-3} \log m}), \end{aligned}$$

we finally get

$$\tilde{\beta}_j - \beta_j = n^{-1} E (X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} + \pi_i X_i L_i' F_j \right) + s_j$$

$$\max_j \|s_j\| = O_P(\kappa_n).$$

□

Lemma 16. *Under the same assumption in Lemma 13, $\tilde{F}^{(g+1)}$ also satisfies the decomposition in Assumption 1 and has order*

$$\max_j \|b_j^{(g+1)}\|_2 \equiv \max_j \left\| \tilde{F}_j^{(g+1)} - H^{-1}F_j - a_j \right\|_2 = O_P(\kappa_n).$$

Proof of Lemma 16. For the sake of simplicity of notations, we denote $\tilde{L}^{(g)}$, $\tilde{F}^{(g+1)}$, $\tilde{\beta}^{(g+1)}$, $\tilde{W}^{(g+1)}$ by $\tilde{L}$, $\tilde{F}$, $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{W}$. By definition

$$\tilde{F}_j = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i \tilde{L}_i' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i \xi_{i,j} \tilde{W}_{i,j}$$

with the decomposition of $\tilde{L}_i$ in Lemma 13:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_i &= H' L_i + c_i + d_i \\ c_i &= H' \pi_i^{-1} \Sigma_F^{-1} m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - n^{-1} H' \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k' (E X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i \\ \max_i \|d_i\|_2 &= O_P(\kappa_n). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is very similar to the one for Lemma 13, so we only sketch it. Recall $\tilde{W}_{i,j} = L_i' F_j + \tilde{u}_{i,j} = (\tilde{L}_i - c_i - d_i)' H^{-1} F_j + \tilde{u}_{i,j}$,

$$\tilde{F}_j - H^{-1} F_j = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i \tilde{L}_i' \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i \xi_{i,j} (\tilde{u}_{i,j} - (c_i + d_i)' H^{-1} F_j).$$

Note that

$$\max_j \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i \xi_{i,j} d_i' H^{-1} F_j \right\|_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq n^{-1} \left(\max_j \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \right) \max_i \|\tilde{L}_i\|_2 \cdot \max_i \|d_i\|_2 \cdot \|H\| \cdot \max_j \|F_j\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P \alpha_n \kappa_n \log n
\end{aligned}$$

and since $\max_i \|c_i\|_2 = O_P(\alpha_n^{-1/2}(m^{-1/2} + n^{-1/2})\sqrt{\log n})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_j \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i \xi_{i,j} c'_i H^{-1} F_j \right\|_2 \\
&\leq \max_j \left\| n^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} c'_i H^{-1} F_j \right\|_2 + \max_j \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i + d_i) \xi_{i,j} c'_i H^{-1} F_j \right\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P \max_j \left\| n^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} c'_i \right\| \max_j \|F_j\|_2 + \max_i (c_i + d_i)^2 \max_j \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \right) \max_j \|F_j\|_2 \\
&= O_P((n^{-1} + m^{-1}) \log m \log n),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_s \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} c'_i \right\| &\lesssim_P \max_s (mn)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \xi_{i,s} F'_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \pi_i^{-1} \right\| \\
&\quad + \max_s \left\| n^{-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,s} X'_i \right) (E X_i X'_i \pi_i)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k \right) \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P (1/n + 1/m) \log^{1/2} n.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^n (H' L_i + c_i + d_i) \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j}$, first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_j \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j} \right\|_2 &\lesssim_P \max_j \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 + \max_j \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| \|\tilde{\delta}_j\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P \alpha_n \kappa_n \\
\max_j \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j} \right\|_2 &\leq \max_j \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 + \max_j \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \xi_{i,j} X'_i \right\| \|\tilde{\delta}_j\|_2 \\
&\lesssim_P (1/n + 1/m) \log^{1/2} n,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the facts that

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_s \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} c'_i \right\| &\lesssim_P \max_s (mn)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} F'_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \pi_i^{-1} \right\| \\
&\quad + \max_s \left\| n^{-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,s} \xi_{i,s} X'_i \right) (EX_i X'_i \pi_i)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k \right) \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P (1/n + 1/m) \log^{1/2} n
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_s \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xi_{i,s} c'_i \right\| &\lesssim_P \max_s (mn)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m X_i \xi_{i,s} F'_j \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \pi_i^{-1} \right\| \\
&\quad + \max_s \left\| n^{-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,s} X_i X'_i \right) (EX_i X'_i \pi_i)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k \right) \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P (1/n + 1/m) \log^{1/2} n + \alpha_n n^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \tilde{u}_{i,j} &= H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} - H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} X'_i \tilde{\delta}_j, \\
\max_j \left\| H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} X'_i \tilde{\delta}_j \right\|_2 &= O_P(\log m).
\end{aligned}$$

Last, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_j \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i \tilde{L}'_i \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} - H^{-1} (EL_i L'_i \pi_i)^{-1} (H')^{-1} \right\| \\
&= O_P(n^{-1} (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-3} n^{1/q} + n^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{-1}), \\
&\max_j \left\| H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 = O_P \left(\sqrt{n \alpha_n \log m} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combine all the results, we have

$$\tilde{F}_j - H^{-1} F_j = \left[H^{-1} (EL_i L'_i \pi_i)^{-1} + O_P \left(\sqrt{n \alpha_n \log m} \right) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + O_P(\alpha_n \kappa_n) \right] \\
& = \frac{1}{n} H^{-1} (E L_i L_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + \tau_{1,j} \\
& \max_j \|\tau_{1,j}\|_2 = O_P(\kappa_n).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 17. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then*

$$\max_i \|m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} a_j \varepsilon_{i,j}\|_2 \lesssim_P \alpha_n \kappa_n$$

and

$$\max_i \|m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} F_j a_j'\| \lesssim_P (mn)^{-1/2} \log n,$$

where $a_j = n^{-1} (H' E (L_i L_i' \pi_i) H)^{-1} H' \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}$.

Proof of Lemma 17. We proceed in two steps.

Step 1: we bound $\max_i \|m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} a_j \varepsilon_{i,j}\|_2$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} a_j \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\
& \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| (nm\alpha_n)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1}^n \xi_{i,j} L_h \xi_{h,j} \varepsilon_{h,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \\
& \leq (nm\alpha_n)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1, h \neq i}^n \xi_{i,j} L_h \xi_{h,j} \varepsilon_{h,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 + (nm\alpha_n)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \right\|_2, \quad (92)
\end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows by $\|H\| = O_P(1)$ due to Lemma 4. Let $L_{h,q}$ be the q -th entry of L_h for $q \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Note that conditional on (Ξ, L) , the term $\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1, h \neq i}^n \xi_{i,j} L_{h,q} \xi_{h,j} \varepsilon_{h,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}$ is a summation of $m(n-1)$ independent sub-Gaussian mean-zero variables given $(\Xi, L, \{\varepsilon_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^m)$.

Therefore, for any non-random $\lambda > 0$,

$$E \left[\exp \left(\lambda \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1, h \neq i}^n \xi_{i,j} L_{h,q} \xi_{h,j} \varepsilon_{h,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right) \middle| \Xi, L, \{\varepsilon_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^m \right] \leq \exp \left(C_1 \lambda^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1, h \neq i}^n L_{h,q}^2 \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \xi_{i,j} \right),$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is a constant. In other words,

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1, h \neq i}^n \xi_{i,j} L_{h,q} \xi_{h,j} \varepsilon_{h,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1, h \neq i}^n L_{h,q}^2 \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \xi_{i,j}}}$$

has bounded sub-Gaussian norm. Thus, by the exponential tail of sub-Gaussian variables and the standard union bound, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1, h \neq i}^n \xi_{i,j} L_{h,q} \xi_{h,j} \varepsilon_{h,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1, h \neq i}^n L_{h,q}^2 \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \xi_{i,j}}} \right| \lesssim_P \sqrt{\log n}.$$

Since we can apply the same analysis for all $q \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and k is bounded, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1, h \neq i}^n \xi_{i,j} L_h \xi_{h,j} \varepsilon_{h,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \max_i \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1, h \neq i}^n L_{h,q}^2 \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \xi_{i,j} \log n} \lesssim_P \sqrt{mn\alpha_n \log n}. \quad (93)$$

We also observe that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \right\|_2 \lesssim \|L\|_\infty \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \stackrel{(i)}{\lesssim_P} m\alpha_n \sqrt{\log n}.$$

where (i) follows by $\max_i \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \lesssim_P m\alpha_n$ (due to Lemma 1) and $\|L\|_\infty \lesssim_P \sqrt{\log n}$.

Combining the above two displays with (92), we obtain

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} a_j \varepsilon_{i,j} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \alpha_n \kappa_n.$$

Step 2: we bound $\max_i \|m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} F_j a'_j\|$.

Note that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} F_j a'_j H' L_i \right\|_2$$

$$\lesssim_P (nm\alpha_n)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} F_j \sum_{h=1}^n L'_h \xi_{h,j} \varepsilon_{h,j} \right\|.$$

Again, we observe that conditional on (Ξ, F, L) , $\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1}^n \xi_{i,j} F_j L'_h \xi_{h,j} \varepsilon_{h,j}$ is the sum of mn independent sub-Gaussian variables with mean zero. Therefore, by the same argument as in (93), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1}^n \xi_{i,j} F_j L'_h \xi_{h,j} \varepsilon_{h,j} \right\| &\lesssim_P \max_i \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{h=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \xi_{h,j} \|F_j\|_2 \|L_h\|_2 \log n} \\ &\lesssim_P \sqrt{nm\alpha_n^2 \log n} + \sqrt{\alpha_n m \log^2 n}. \end{aligned}$$

The above two displays imply that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{i,j} F_j a'_j \right\|_2 \lesssim_P (mn)^{-1/2} \log n.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

13.4 Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

Proof.

By Lemma 12, we have

$$\tilde{F}_j^{(1)} = H^{-1} F_j + a_j + b_j^{(1)}, \tag{94}$$

such that $\max_j \|b_j^{(1)}\|_2 = O_P(\kappa_n)$, where

$$\kappa_n = (n^{-1} + m^{-1}) \alpha_n^{-3/2} n^{1/q}$$

that satisfies Assumption 1. In Section 12, we have proved that $\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}$ satisfies the representation in Theorem 1. Now we have $\tilde{F}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}$ that satisfy Assumption 1 and Theorem 1 respectively. Next, we assume that there exists a positive integer g such that $\tilde{F}^{(g)}$ and

$\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}$ satisfy Assumption 1 and Theorem 1, respectively. Then by Lemma 15, we have

$$\left\| \tilde{\beta}^{(g+1)} - \beta - n^{-1} E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} + \pi_i X_i L_i' F_j \right) \right\|_{2,\infty} = O_P(\kappa_n)$$

that satisfies Theorem 1. By Lemma 16, we have

$$\max_j \left\| \tilde{F}_j^{(g+1)} - H^{-1} F_j - a_j \right\|_2 = O_P(\kappa_n),$$

which again satisfies Assumption 1. Thus, the induction for $\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}$ and $\tilde{F}^{(g)}$ is completed, and hence Theorem 1 is proved for all g . Lastly, since we also proved that $\tilde{F}^{(g)}$ satisfies Assumption 1 for all g , by Lemma 14, we can get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)} - \Gamma - \Delta \right\|_\infty = O_P(\kappa_n)$$

for all g , where Δ is a $n \times m$ matrix with its (i, j) th entry

$$\Delta_{i,j} = \frac{1}{n} L_i' (E L_i L_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n L_k \xi_{k,j} \varepsilon_{k,j} + \frac{1}{m \pi_i} \sum_{t=1}^m F_t' \xi_{i,t} \varepsilon_{i,t} \Sigma_F^{-1} F_j - \frac{1}{n} X_i' E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k X_k L_k' F_j.$$

□

14 Proofs of The Theorem of Rank Estimation

Notations. We now define the m -by- m diagonal matrix $\Xi_{i,\cdot}$ by $\Xi_{i,\cdot} = \text{diag}(\xi_{i,1}, \xi_{i,2}, \dots, \xi_{i,m}) = \text{diag}(\xi_{i,\cdot})$ and similarly $\Xi_{\cdot,j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. We first prove a special case of Theorem 3 at $g = 1$. Therefore, for the sake of simplicity of notation, we now denote $\tilde{L}^{k,(1)}$ and $\tilde{F}^{k,(1)}$ by $\tilde{L}^k$ and $\tilde{F}^k$ and **omit the iteration counter** for all their derivatives. Note that, unlike the estimation, we do not update $\hat{\beta}$ in each iteration. Again, $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and its SVD are defined as follows

$$W = \text{diag}(\hat{\pi})^{-1} \Xi \circ \left(L F' - X(\hat{\beta} - \beta)' + \varepsilon \right)$$

$$= UDV'$$

Furthermore, the rank- k SVD of W with k largest singular values is denoted by $U_k D_k V_k'$.

Also, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{W} &= \Xi \circ (Y - X\hat{\beta}') = \Xi \circ (LF' - X\hat{\delta}' + \varepsilon), \\ e &= \text{diag}(\hat{\pi})^{-1} \tilde{W} - LF',\end{aligned}$$

where both $\tilde{W}$ and e do not depend on k . We want to use the same strategy that separates the proof into the cases when $k \leq r$ and the cases when $k > r$. Before we start the proof, we need to generalize some of the previous lemmas to the cases when k is not equal to the true rank r .

Lemma 18. *Let $\hat{L}^k, \hat{F}^k$ be the estimators defined in Section 4. Under Assumption 1, for $k \leq r$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{L}^k &= LH_L^k + \Delta_L^k \\ \hat{F}^k &= FH_F^k + \Delta_F^k\end{aligned}$$

where $H_L^k = m^{-1}F'\hat{F}^k\hat{\Omega}_k^{-2}$, $H_F^k = n^{-1}L'\hat{L}^k$, $\hat{\Omega}_k = \frac{D_k}{\sqrt{nm}}$. Moreover, the matrices H_L^k , H_F^k , Δ_L^k , Δ_F^k satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\|H_L^k\| &= O_P(1), \quad \|H_F^k\| = O_P(1), \quad \|\Delta_L^k\| = O_P\left(\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{n}{m}\right)\alpha_n^{-1}n^{1/q}}\right), \\ \|\Delta_F^k\| &= O_P\left(\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{m}{n}\right)\alpha_n^{-1}n^{1/q}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 18. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{L}^k &= W\hat{F}^k \cdot n \cdot D_k^{-2} = (LF' + e)\hat{F}^k n D_k^{-2} \\ &= L\left(m^{-1}F'\hat{F}^k\hat{\Omega}_k^{-2}\right) + m^{-1}e\hat{F}^k\hat{\Omega}_k^{-2}\end{aligned}$$

$$= LH_L^k + \Delta_L^k$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{F}^k &= W' \hat{L}^k \cdot n^{-1} = (LF' + e)' \hat{L}^k n^{-1} \\ &= F \left(n^{-1} L' \hat{L}^k \right) + n^{-1} e' \hat{L}^k \\ &= FH_F^k + \Delta_F^k\end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments as in Lemma 4 would give $\|\hat{\Omega}_k\| = O_P(1)$, $\|\hat{\Omega}_k^{-1}\| = O_P(1)$ for $k \leq r$.

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|H_L^k\| &\leq \left\| \sqrt{m^{-1}} F \right\| \left\| \sqrt{m^{-1}} \hat{F}^k \right\| \left\| \hat{\Omega}_k^{-2} \right\| = O_P(1) \\ \|H_F^k\| &\leq \left\| \sqrt{n^{-1}} L \right\| \left\| \sqrt{n^{-1}} \hat{L}^k \right\| = O_P(1).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, $\|e\| = O_P(\sqrt{(m+n)\alpha_n^{-1}n^{1/q}})$, and thus we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_L^k\| &= O_P \left(\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{n}{m}\right) \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}} \right) \\ \|\Delta_F^k\| &= O_P \left(\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{m}{n}\right) \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 19. *Under Assumption 1, for $k \leq r$, the estimator $\tilde{F}^k = \tilde{F}^{k,(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ satisfies*

$$\tilde{F}^k = F \bar{H}_F^k + \tilde{\Delta}_F^k$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_F^k$ satisfies

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_F^k \right\| = O_P \left(((1 + m/n) \alpha_n^{-1})^{1/2} n^{1/q} \right),$$

$$\bar{H}_F^k = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' H_L^k \pi_i \right) \left(H_L^{k'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i H_L^k \right)^{-1} \text{ and } \|\bar{H}_F^k\| = O_P(n^{1/q}).$$

Proof of Lemma 19. Let $\hat{u}_{i,j} = \varepsilon_{i,j} - X_i' \hat{\delta}_j$. By definition, we have

$$\tilde{F}_j^k - \bar{H}_F^{k'} F_j$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k \hat{L}_i^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k (L'_i F_j + \hat{u}_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j} - \hat{L}_i^k \hat{L}_i^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \bar{H}_F^{k'} F_j \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k \hat{L}_i^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k \hat{u}_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right) \\
&+ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k \hat{L}_i^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k L'_i F_j \xi_{i,j} - \hat{L}_i^k \hat{L}_i^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \bar{H}_F^{k'} F_j \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_{L,i}^k \Delta_{L,i}^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \right) \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left((nm)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_{L,i}^k e'_i (F H_F^k + \Delta_F^k) \xi_{i,j} \right) \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left((nm)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_{L,i}^k e'_i F \xi_{i,j} \right) \right\| + (nm)^{-1} \|\Delta_L^k\| \|e\| \|\Delta_F^k\| \\
&\lesssim_P (nm)^{-1} \max_i \|F' e_i\|_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|\hat{\Delta}_{L,i}^k\|_2 \xi_{i,j} + (1/n + 1/m)^{3/2} \alpha_n^{-3/2} n^{1/q} \\
&\lesssim_P (nm)^{-1} \max_i \|F' e_i\|_2 \|\hat{\Delta}_L^k\| (n \alpha_n)^{1/2} + (1/n + 1/m)^{3/2} \alpha_n^{-3/2} n^{1/q} \\
&\lesssim_P (1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by (76). In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k L'_i \xi_{i,j} \right) - \left(H_L^{k'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L'_i \pi_i \right) \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(H_L^{k'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L'_i (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \right) \right\| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\Delta}_{L,i}^k L'_i \xi_{i,j} \right) \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P (\alpha_n n^{-1} \log n)^{1/2} + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left((nm)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{F}^{k'} e'_i L_i \xi_{i,j} \right) \right\| \\
&\lesssim_P (\alpha_n n^{-1} \log n)^{1/2} + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left((nm)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n F' e'_i L_i \xi_{i,j} \right) \right\| + (mn)^{-1/2} n^{1/q} (1 + m/n)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} \\
&\lesssim_P (\alpha_n n^{-1} \log n)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 7 and the fact that $(n \wedge m) \alpha_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$ in a

polynomial rate in n .

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k \hat{L}_i^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \right) - \left(H_L^{k'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i H_L^k \right) \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k L_i' \xi_{i,j} \right) - \left(H_L^{k'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i \right) \right\| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\Delta}_{L,i}^k \hat{\Delta}_i^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \right) \right\| \\
& = O_P \left((1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Last, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& H_L^{k'} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i \right) H_L^k \\
& \geq c H_L^{k'} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \right) H_L^k \alpha_n n^{-1/q} \\
& \geq c \alpha_n n^{-1/q} \left(I_k - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^{k'} \hat{\Delta}_{L,i}^k - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\Delta}_{L,i}^{k'} \hat{L}_i^k + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\Delta}_{L,i}^{k'} \hat{\Delta}_{L,i}^k \right) \\
& \geq c \alpha_n n^{-1/q} (I_k - o_P(1)),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k \hat{L}_i^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} - \left(H_L^{k'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i H_L^k \right)^{-1} \right\| \\
& = O_P \left((1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-3} n^{1/q} + \alpha_n^{-3/2} n^{-1/2} n^{1/q} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_j \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k \hat{L}_i^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k L_i' F_j \xi_{i,j} - \hat{L}_i^k \hat{L}_i^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \bar{H}_F^{k'} F_j \right) \right\| \\
& = \max_j \left\| \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k \hat{L}_i^{k'} \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k L_i' \xi_{i,j} \right) - \bar{H}_F^{k'} \right] F_j \right\| \\
& \lesssim_P (1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-3/2} n^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, by the same arguments in Lemmas 11 and 12 respectively, we have

$$\max_j n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^k \hat{u}_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right\| \lesssim_P \sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n n^{1/q}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tilde{F}^k - F \bar{H}_F^k \right\| &\lesssim_P \sqrt{m} \left((1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-3/2} n^{1/q} + \alpha_n^{-1} \sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n n^{1/q}} \right) \\ &= O_P \left(((1 + m/n) \alpha_n^{-1})^{1/2} n^{1/q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we define the function $\text{mse}(k)$ under rank k estimators as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mse}(k) &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\tilde{W}_{i,j} - \xi_{i,j} \tilde{L}_i^{k'} \tilde{F}_j^k \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} - \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k \tilde{L}_i^{k'} \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} - P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot}' M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot}, \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

where $M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} = I - P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k}$, $P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k}$ is a projection matrix, and we use the fact that $\Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} = \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot}$. By the definition of $\tilde{L}^k = \tilde{L}^{k,(1)}$, we can write $\text{eIC}^*(k)$ as a function of $\tilde{F}^k$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eIC}^*(k) &= \frac{1}{nm} \left\| \Xi \circ \left(Y - X \hat{\beta}' - \tilde{L}^{k,(1)} \tilde{F}^{k,(1)'} \right) \right\|_F^2 + k \cdot h^*(n, m) \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} - \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k \tilde{L}_i^k \right\|_2^2 + k \cdot h^*(n, m) \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} - \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k \left(\tilde{F}'^k \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k \right)^{-1} \tilde{F}'^k \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \right\|_2^2 + k \cdot h^*(n, m) \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot}' \left(I - P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \right) \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} + k \cdot h^*(n, m) \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot}' M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} + k \cdot h^*(n, m) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \text{mse}(k) + k \cdot h^*(n, m)$$

Therefore, the difference $\text{eIC}^*(r)$ and $\text{eIC}^*(k)$ is

$$\text{eIC}^*(r) - \text{eIC}^*(k) = \text{mse}(r) - \text{mse}(k) + (r - k) \cdot h^*(n, m)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mse}(r) - \text{mse}(k) &= \frac{1}{nm} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} \left(M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^r} - M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \right) \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} \left(P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} - P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^r} \right) \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to characterize $\text{eIC}^*(r) - \text{eIC}^*(k)$, we need to study the difference between $P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k}$ and $P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^r}$.

Lemma 20. *Under Assumption 1, for $k \leq r$, we have*

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \pi_i \left(\frac{\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k}{m} \right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{\bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k}{m} \right)^{-1} \right\| = O_P \left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q}} \right).$$

Proof of Lemma 20. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k}{\pi_i m} - \frac{\bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k}{m} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{\left(F \bar{H}_F^k + \tilde{\Delta}_F^k \right)' \Xi_{i,\cdot} \left(F \bar{H}_F^k + \tilde{\Delta}_F^k \right)}{\pi_i m} - \frac{\bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k}{m} \right\| \\ &\leq m^{-1} \pi_i^{-1} \left\| \bar{H}_F^{k'} F' \Xi_{i,\cdot} F \bar{H}_F^k - \pi_i \bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k \right\| \\ &\quad + 2m^{-1} \pi_i^{-1} \left\| \bar{H}_F^{k'} F' \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{\Delta}_F^k \right\| + m^{-1} \pi_i^{-1} \left\| \tilde{\Delta}_F^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{\Delta}_F^k \right\| \\ &= I_i + 2II_i + III_i \end{aligned}$$

For I_i , we have

$$I_i = \frac{1}{m\pi_i} \left\| \bar{H}_F^{k'} \sum_{j=1}^m F_j F_j' (\xi_{i,j} - \pi_i) \bar{H}_F^k \right\| \quad \text{and}$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} I_i = O_P((m\alpha_n)^{-1/2} n^{1/q})$$

by Lemmas 1 and 18. For II_i and III_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} II_i &\leq \frac{1}{m\pi_i} \|\bar{H}_F^k\| \|F' \Xi_{i,\cdot}\| \|\tilde{\Delta}_F^k\| = O_P\left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-2}} n^{1/q}\right) \quad \text{and} \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} III_i &\leq \frac{1}{m\pi_i} \|\tilde{\Delta}_F^k\|^2 = O_P\left((1/m + 1/n)\alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q}\right) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 18 and 19. Thus we have

$$\left\| \frac{\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k}{\pi_i m} - \frac{\bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k}{m} \right\| = O_P\left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-2}} n^{1/q}\right).$$

Moreover, since $k \leq r$, $m^{-1} \bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k$ converges to a full rank matrix whose smallest eigenvalue is bounded below by $cn^{-1/q}$, where the positive constant c depends on the smallest eigenvalue of Σ_F . This means both $\|(m^{-1} \pi_i^{-1} \tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k)^{-1}\|$ and $\|(m^{-1} \bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k)^{-1}\|$ are $O_P(n^{1/q})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(\frac{\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k}{\pi_i m} \right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{\bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k}{m} \right)^{-1} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k}{\pi_i m} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k}{\pi_i m} - \frac{\bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k}{m} \right) \left(\frac{\bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k}{m} \right)^{-1} \right\| \\ &= O_P\left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-2}} n^{1/q}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 21. *Under Assumption 1, for $k \leq r$, we have*

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \pi_i \tilde{F}^k \left(\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k \right)^{-1} \tilde{F}^{k'} - P_F \bar{H}_F^k \right\| = O_P\left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-2}} n^{1/q}\right).$$

Proof of Lemma 21. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_i \tilde{F}^k \left(\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k \right)^{-1} \tilde{F}^{k'} - P_{F \bar{H}_F^k} \\ &= m^{-1} \tilde{F}^k \left(\frac{\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k}{m \pi_i} \right)^{-1} \tilde{F}^{k'} - m^{-1} F \bar{H}_F^k \left(\frac{\bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k}{m} \right)^{-1} \bar{H}_F^{k'} F' \end{aligned}$$

With the fact $\tilde{F}^k = F \bar{H}_F^k + \tilde{\Delta}_F^k$, we can decompose the above difference as $I_i + II_i + III_i$,

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_i &= m^{-1} F \bar{H}_F^k \left[\left(\frac{\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k}{m \pi_i} \right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{\bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k}{m} \right)^{-1} \right] \bar{H}_F^{k'} F', \\ II_i &= m^{-1} F \bar{H}_F^k \left(\frac{\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k}{m \pi_i} \right)^{-1} \tilde{\Delta}_F^{k'} + m^{-1} \tilde{\Delta}_F^k \left(\frac{\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k}{m \pi_i} \right)^{-1} \bar{H}_F^{k'} F', \quad \text{and} \\ III_i &= m^{-1} \tilde{\Delta}_F^k \left(\frac{\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k}{m \pi_i} \right)^{-1} \tilde{\Delta}_F^{k'}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 18, 7, and 20, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|I_i\| &= O_P \left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q}} \right), \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|II_i\| &= O_P \left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}} \right), \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|III_i\| &= O_P \left((1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The above bounds lead to the desired result. □

Lemma 22. Under Assumption 1, we have, for $k < r$,

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} P \left(\frac{1}{nm} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot}' \left(P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k} - P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^r} \right) \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \right\} > \alpha_n \tau_k \right) = 1,$$

for some constant $\tau_k > 0$.

Proof of Lemma 22. Let $R_i = \tilde{F}^k \left(\tilde{F}^{k'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k \right)^{-1} \tilde{F}^{k'} - P_{F \bar{H}_F^k} / \pi_i$ and recall that $\text{diag}(\pi^{-1}) \tilde{W} =$

$LF' + e$. Then, Lemma 2 implies

$$\|\text{diag}(\pi^{-1})\tilde{W}\|_F^2 = \|LF' + e\|_F^2 \underset{P}{\lesssim} mn. \quad (96)$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} P_{F\bar{H}_F^k} \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} (P_{F\bar{H}_F^k} / \pi_i + R_i) \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} \pi_i^{-1}) \pi_i (P_{F\bar{H}_F^k} + \pi_i R_i) (\tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \pi_i^{-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} \pi_i^{-1}) \pi_i P_{F\bar{H}_F^k} (\tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \pi_i^{-1}) + \frac{1}{nm} \max_i \|\pi_i^2 R_i\| \|(\tilde{W} \text{diag}(\pi^{-1}))\|_F^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} \pi_i^{-1}) \pi_i P_{F\bar{H}_F^k} (\tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \pi_i^{-1}) + O_P(\alpha_n^2 \sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q}}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by Lemma 21 and (96).

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} P_{F\bar{H}_F^r} \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} &\geq \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} \pi_i^{-1}) \pi_i P_{F\bar{H}_F^r} (\tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \pi_i^{-1}) - O_P(\alpha_n \sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} \pi_i^{-1}) \pi_i P_F (\tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \pi_i^{-1}) - O_P(\alpha_n^2 \sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q}}), \end{aligned}$$

where the equality holds because $\bar{H}_F^r$ is a square matrix.

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} \pi_i P_F \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} - \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} \pi_i P_{F\bar{H}_F^k} \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n (FL_i + e_i)' \pi_i (P_F - P_{F\bar{H}_F^k}) (FL_i + e_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i' F' \pi_i (P_F - P_{F\bar{H}_F^k}) FL_i + 2 \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i' F' \pi_i (P_F - P_{F\bar{H}_F^k}) e_i \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i' \pi_i (P_F - P_{F\bar{H}_F^k}) e_i \end{aligned}$$

$$= I + 2II + III$$

Note that, since $k < r$, the column space of $F\bar{H}_F^k$ is a subspace of the column space of F , and $P_F - P_{F\bar{H}_F^k}$ is a (p.s.d.) projection matrix. Then it is obvious that $III \geq 0$.

For part II , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |II| &= \frac{1}{nm} \left| \text{trace} \left\{ F' \left(P_F - P_{F\bar{H}_F^k} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \pi_i L'_i \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \left| \text{trace} \left\{ F' \left(P_F - P_{F\bar{H}_F^k} \right) e' \text{diag}(\pi) L \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{r}{nm} \left\| F' \left(P_F - P_{F\bar{H}_F^k} \right) e' \text{diag}(\pi) L \right\| \\ &\lesssim_P (mn)^{-1} \|F\| \|e\| \|\text{diag}(\pi)\| \|L\| \\ &= O_P \left((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{1/q} \right) = o_P(\alpha_n). \end{aligned}$$

For part I , if we write down the explicit form of the two projection matrices, the term can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n L'_i F' \pi_i \left(F (F' F)^{-1} F' - F \bar{H}_F^k \left(\bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k \right)^{-1} \bar{H}_F^{k'} F' \right) F L_i \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \text{trace} \left\{ \text{diag}(\pi) L F' \left(F (F' F)^{-1} F' - F \bar{H}_F^k \left(\bar{H}_F^{k'} F' F \bar{H}_F^k \right)^{-1} \bar{H}_F^{k'} F' \right) F L' \right\} \\ &= \text{trace} \left\{ \frac{F' F}{m} \frac{L' \text{diag}(\pi) L}{n} - \frac{F' F}{m} \bar{H}_F^k \left(\bar{H}_F^{k'} \frac{F' F}{m} \bar{H}_F^k \right)^{-1} \bar{H}_F^{k'} \frac{F' F}{m} \frac{L' \text{diag}(\pi) L}{n} \right\} \\ &= \text{trace} \left\{ \left[\Sigma_F - \Sigma_F \bar{H}_F^k \left(\bar{H}_F^{k'} \Sigma_F \bar{H}_F^k \right)^{-1} \bar{H}_F^{k'} \Sigma_F \right] \frac{L' \text{diag}(\pi) L}{n} \right\} \\ &= \text{trace} \left\{ \left[\frac{L' \text{diag}(\pi) L}{n} \right]^{1/2} \Sigma_F^{1/2} \left[I_r - \Sigma_F^{1/2} \bar{H}_F^k \left(\bar{H}_F^{k'} \Sigma_F \bar{H}_F^k \right)^{-1} \bar{H}_F^{k'} \Sigma_F^{1/2} \right] \Sigma_F^{1/2} \left[\frac{L' \text{diag}(\pi) L}{n} \right]^{1/2} \right\} \\ &= \text{trace} \left\{ U' \Sigma_F^{1/2} \left[\frac{L' \text{diag}(\pi) L}{n} \right] \Sigma_F^{1/2} U \right\} \\ &\geq \lambda_{\min} \left(U' \Sigma_F^{1/2} \left[\frac{L' \text{diag}(\pi) L}{n} \right] \Sigma_F^{1/2} U \right) \\ &\geq \sigma_r \left(\Sigma_F^{1/2} \left[\frac{L' \text{diag}(\pi) L}{n} \right] \Sigma_F^{1/2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq c\alpha_n,$$

for some constant $c > 0$, where $U \in \mathfrak{R}^{r \times k}$ such that

$$U'U = I_k \quad \text{and} \quad UU' = I_r - \Sigma_F^{1/2} \bar{H}_F^k \left(\bar{H}_F^{k'} \Sigma_F \bar{H}_F^k \right)^{-1} \bar{H}_F^{k'} \Sigma_F^{1/2}$$

and the last inequality follows Assumption 1(vi).

Therefore, we can conclude that $I > \tau_k > 0$ for some positive value τ_k . Then the result follows. $\square$

For $k > r$, note that the matrix D_k is asymptotically singular, and thus the H_L^k defined in Lemma 18 might behave unpredictably. The following lemma gives another decomposition for $\tilde{L}^k$ for $k > r$.

Lemma 23. *Under Assumption 1, for $k > r$, we have*

$$\hat{F}^k = F \bar{H}_F^k + \hat{\Delta}_F^k$$

where $\bar{H}_F^k = n^{-1} L' \hat{L}^k$ and $\hat{\Omega}_k = (nm)^{-1/2} D_k$. Moreover, the matrices $\bar{H}_F^k$ and $\hat{\Delta}_F^k$ satisfy

$$\|\bar{H}_F^k\| = O_P(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\hat{\Delta}_F^k\| = O_P\left(\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{m}{n}\right) \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}}\right).$$

Proof of Lemma 23. Let $H_F^k = (n)^{-1} L' \hat{L}^k$. Then, we have

$$\hat{F}^k = n^{-1} W' \hat{L}^k = n^{-1} (L F' + e)' \hat{L}^k = F(n^{-1} L' \hat{L}^k) + n^{-1} e' \hat{L}^k = F H_F^k + \hat{\Delta}_F^k.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|H_F^k\| \leq \left\| \sqrt{n^{-1}} L \right\| \left\| \sqrt{n^{-1}} \hat{L}^k \right\| = O_P(1), \quad \|\hat{\Delta}_F^k\| = O_P\left(\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{m}{n}\right) \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}}\right).$$

$\square$

Recall that $\text{eIC}^*(k) - \text{eIC}^*(r) = \text{mse}(k) - \text{mse}(r) + (r - k) \cdot h^*(n, m)$, we still need to

study the difference between $\text{mse}(k)$ and $\text{mse}(r)$ for $k > r$. The following lemma gives a very good bound for any $\text{mse}(k)$.

Lemma 24. *Under Assumption 1, we have the following bounds*

$$u - v_k - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 v_k^{1/2} < \text{mse}(k) < u - v_k + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 v_k^{1/2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < u &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i' \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot}, \\ 0 < v_k &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i' P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \bar{F}^k} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot}, \\ 0 < \alpha_0 &= O_P\left((1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q}\right), \\ 0 < \alpha_1 &= O_P\left(\sqrt{(1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 24. Note $\bar{H}_F^k \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times k}$ for $r < k$. This implies there exists a pseudo inverse $\bar{H}_F^{k+} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times r}$ such that $\bar{H}_F^k \bar{H}_F^{k+} = I_r$. In addition, we note that

$$\|\bar{H}_F^{k+}\| = \|[n^{-1} L' \hat{L}^k]^+\| = \left\| \left[(H')^{-1} n^{-1} (\hat{L}^r - \hat{\Delta}_L^r)' \hat{L}^k \right]^+ \right\| \leq \|H\|^{-1} \|(I_r, 0) - n^{-1} \hat{\Delta}_L^{r'} \hat{L}^k\| \lesssim_P 1,$$

where the last inequality is by the fact that the r th singular value of $(I_r, 0) - n^{-1} \hat{\Delta}_L^{r'} \hat{L}^k$ is bounded away from zero.

By definition, $\tilde{W}_{i,\cdot}$ has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} &= \Xi_{i,\cdot} \left(F L_i - (\hat{\beta} - \beta) X_i + \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \right) \\ &= \Xi_{i,\cdot} \left(F \bar{H}_F^k \bar{H}_F^{k+} L_i - (\hat{\beta} - \beta) X_i + \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \right) \\ &= \Xi_{i,\cdot} \left(\tilde{F}^k - \tilde{\Delta}_F^k \right) \bar{H}_F^{k+} L_i - \Xi_{i,\cdot} \left(\hat{\beta} - \beta \right) X_i + \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \\ &= \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k \bar{H}_F^{k+} L_i - \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{\Delta}_F^k \bar{H}_F^{k+} L_i - \Xi_{i,\cdot} \left(\hat{\beta} - \beta \right) X_i + \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \\ &= \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k \bar{H}_F^{k+} L_i - a_i - b_i + \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \end{aligned}$$

and thus we can write the mean-square-error as

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{mse}(k) &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n (-a_i - b_i + \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot})' M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} (-a_i - b_i + \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot}) \\
&= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i' M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} a_i + \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i' M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} b_i + \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i' \Xi_{i,\cdot} M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} + \\
&\quad \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i' M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} b_i - \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i' M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} - \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i' M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \quad (97)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we determine the order of each term above. Since $M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k}$ is a projection matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i' M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} a_i < \frac{1}{nm} \sum_i \|a_i\|_2^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_i \sum_j \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^r \xi_{i,j} [\tilde{\Delta}_F^k \bar{H}_F^{k+}]_{j,l} L_{i,l} \right\}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{k}{nm} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_{l=1}^r \xi_{i,j} [\tilde{\Delta}_F^k \bar{H}_F^{k+}]_{j,l}^2 L_{i,l}^2 \\
&\leq \left(\max_{j,l} \sum_i \xi_{i,j} L_{i,l}^2 \right) \frac{r}{nm} \sum_j \sum_{l=1}^r [\tilde{\Delta}_F^k \bar{H}_F^{k+}]_{j,l}^2 \\
&= \left(\max_{j,l} \sum_i \xi_{i,j} L_{i,l}^2 \right) \frac{r}{nm} \|\tilde{\Delta}_F^k \bar{H}_F^{k+}\|_F^2 \\
&\leq \left(\max_{j,l} \sum_i \xi_{i,j} L_{i,l}^2 \right) \frac{r^2}{nm} \|\tilde{\Delta}_F^k \bar{H}_F^{k+}\|^2 \\
&\lesssim_P n \alpha_n \frac{r^2}{nm} (1 + m/n) \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q} \\
&\lesssim_P (1/m + 1/n) n^{1/q},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second last line follows Lemma 23.

By a similar argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i' M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} b_i < \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \left(\max_{j,l} \sum_i \xi_{i,j} X_{i,l}^2 \right) \frac{d^2}{nm} \|\hat{\beta} - \beta\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim_P (1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q}.$$

We then write the third term as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon'_i \Xi_{i,\cdot} M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon'_i \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} - \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon'_i P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \\ &= u - v_k \end{aligned}$$

For the cross term with a_i , b_i , we can use Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} b_i \right| &\leq \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\| \|M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} b_i\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \|M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} b_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim_P (1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equation is from the previous results. For the other two cross terms, we use the fact $M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} = I - P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k}$ to split the term, and then either bound it directly or use Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to find the relation. The details are as follows.

$$\frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} = \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} - \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot}$$

For each term above,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \right| &= \frac{2}{nm} \left| \text{trace} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n L'_i (H_F^{k+})' (\tilde{\Delta}_F^k)' \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{2}{nm} \left| \text{trace} \left\{ (H_F^{k+})' (\tilde{\Delta}_F^k)' \sum_{i=1}^n \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} L'_i \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2r}{nm} \|H_F^{k+}\| \|\tilde{\Delta}_F^k\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} L'_i \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{2r}{nm} \|H_F^{k+}\| \|\tilde{\Delta}_F^k\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} L'_i \right\|_F \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{2r}{nm} \|H_F^{k+}\| \|\tilde{\Delta}_F^k\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} L'_i \right\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= O_P \left(\frac{1}{nm} \cdot 1 \cdot \sqrt{(1+m/n)\alpha_n^{-1}n^{1/q}} \cdot \sqrt{m \cdot n\alpha_n \log m} \right) \\
&= O_P \left((1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \right| &\leq \left(\frac{4}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \|a'_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon'_i P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \right)^{1/2} \\
&= O_P \left(\sqrt{(1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q}} \right) v_k^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, for the last cross term in (97), we have

$$\frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n b'_i M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} = \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n b'_i \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} - \frac{2}{nm\hat{\pi}} \sum_{i=1}^n b'_i P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot}$$

and each has the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n b'_i \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \right| &\leq \frac{2p}{nm} \|\hat{\delta}\|_F \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} X'_i \right\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= O_P \left(\frac{1}{nm} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}} \cdot \sqrt{m \cdot n \log m} \right) \\
&= O_P \left(\frac{\sqrt{\log m}}{n} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n b'_i P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \right| &\leq \left(\frac{4}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \|b'_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon'_i P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^k} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \right)^{1/2} \\
&= O_P \left(\sqrt{(1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q}} \right) v_k^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the result

$$u - v_k - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 v_k^{1/2} < \text{mse}(k) < u - v_k + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 v_k^{1/2}$$

for some $0 < \alpha_0 = O_P \left((1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q} \right)$, $0 < \alpha_1 = O_P \left(\sqrt{(1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q}} \right)$.

□

Note that the value u does not depend on k , so the above lemma implies that the upper and lower bounds for $\text{mse}(k) - \text{mse}(r)$ do not involve the value u . As a result, the next step is to find the bound for v_k . The difficulty here is from the projection matrix $P_{\Xi_i, \tilde{F}^k}$. This matrix varies from different i , so it is not possible to get a good bound by the same techniques used in Lemma 4, [3], or in Lemma C.1, [26]. Thus, we need a mediator to help us get the desired bounds. Therefore, we would like to introduce the solution proposed by [23]. In the article, they implement iterative SVD with rank $\bar{r}$ by replacing the missing values in $\tilde{W}$ with the corresponding estimated values from the previous step. Specifically, suppose

$$(\dot{L}^{\bar{r}}, \dot{F}^{\bar{r}}) = \arg \min_{L, F} \left\| \Xi \circ (Y - X\hat{\beta}' - LF') \right\|_F^2, \quad (98)$$

where (L, F) are chosen so that $L \in \Re^{n \times \bar{r}}$, $F \in \Re^{m \times \bar{r}}$ and $L'L = I_{\bar{r}}$.

Remark. The solution $(\dot{L}^{\bar{r}}, \dot{F}^{\bar{r}})$ following [23] is only necessary for the proof as a mediator. We do not need to find them when applying the proposed method in this article. The nature of the SVD solution is better for theoretical work. However, as we mentioned in the main article, one needs to implement SVD multiple times until converges to get this solution, and hence it is computationally expensive.

Let $\dot{W}$ be the matrix by replacing the missing values in $\tilde{W}$ with the corresponding values in $\dot{L}^{\bar{r}} \dot{F}^{\bar{r}'}$.

$$\dot{W} = \tilde{W} + (1_n 1'_m - \Xi) \circ \dot{L}^{\bar{r}} \dot{F}^{\bar{r}'}$$

and denote its SVD (and reduced rank SVD) as

$$\dot{W} = \dot{U} \dot{D} \dot{V}' = \dot{U}_{\bar{r}} \dot{D}_{\bar{r}} \dot{V}_{\bar{r}}' + res$$

where $\dot{U}_{\bar{r}}\dot{D}_{\bar{r}}\dot{V}_{\bar{r}}'$ includes the $\bar{r}$ largest singular values. Since $\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}, \dot{L}^{\bar{r}}$ are the converged estimators, so we have $\dot{U}_{\bar{r}}\dot{D}_{\bar{r}}\dot{V}_{\bar{r}}' = \dot{L}^{\bar{r}}\dot{F}^{\bar{r}'}$.

Lemma 25. *The mse of the final solution $\dot{L}^{\bar{r}}\dot{F}^{\bar{r}'}$ is defined and can be written as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mse}^* &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\dot{W}_{i,\cdot} - \dot{F}^{\bar{r}}\dot{L}_i^{\bar{r}}\|_2^2 \left(= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} - \Xi_{i,\cdot}\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}\dot{L}_i^{\bar{r}}\|_2^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{W}_{i,\cdot}'(I - P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}})\dot{W}_{i,\cdot} = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{W}_{i,\cdot}'M_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}}\dot{W}_{i,\cdot} \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\text{mse}^* = u + \alpha_2$$

where $u = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,\cdot}'\Xi_{i,\cdot}\varepsilon_{i,\cdot}$ is the same as in $\text{mse}(k)$, and α_2 has the bound

$$\alpha_2 = O_P\left((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2}\alpha_n^{1/2}n^{1/q}\right).$$

Proof of Lemma 25. We observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{W}_{i,\cdot} &= \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} + (I - \Xi_{i,\cdot})\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}\dot{L}_i^{\bar{r}} \\ &= \Xi_{i,\cdot}\left(FL_i - (\hat{\beta} - \beta)X_i + \varepsilon_{i,\cdot}\right) + (I - \Xi_{i,\cdot})\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}\dot{L}_i^{\bar{r}} \\ &= \Xi_{i,\cdot}\left(FL_i - \dot{F}^{\bar{r}}\dot{L}_i^{\bar{r}} - (\hat{\beta} - \beta)X_i + \varepsilon_{i,\cdot}\right) + \dot{F}^{\bar{r}}\dot{L}_i^{\bar{r}} \\ &= \dot{F}^{\bar{r}}\dot{L}_i^{\bar{r}} + \Xi_{i,\cdot}\varepsilon_{i,\cdot} + \Xi_{i,\cdot}\left(FL_i - \dot{F}^{\bar{r}}\dot{L}_i^{\bar{r}}\right) - \Xi_{i,\cdot}\left(\hat{\beta} - \beta\right)X_i \\ &= \dot{F}^{\bar{r}}\dot{L}_i^{\bar{r}} + \Xi_{i,\cdot}\varepsilon_{i,\cdot} + a_i - b_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\text{mse}^* = (\Xi_{i,\cdot}\varepsilon_{i,\cdot} + a_i - b_i)'M_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}}(\Xi_{i,\cdot}\varepsilon_{i,\cdot} + a_i - b_i)$$

The proof is similar to the one for Lemma 24. The only difference is the terms involving

a_i . Note that

$$\frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{nm} \left\| \Xi \circ \left(FL' - \dot{F}^{\bar{r}} \dot{L}^{k'} \right) \right\|_F^2 = O_P \left((1/m + 1/n) n^{1/q} \right). \quad (99)$$

The second equality follows the same argument as in the proof of Jin et al. [23, Theorems 3.1. and 3.2]. In particular, their Lemma B.5 is still applicable in our setting because (1) $\{\xi_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ are independent conditional on X and (2) the results in Lemma B.5 still holds if the matrix entries are independent but not identically distributed.

This gives the bounds for $\sum_{i=1}^n b'_i M_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}} a_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n a'_i M_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}} a_i$ in mse^* . We also have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b'_i M_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}} b_i \underset{P}{\lesssim} (1/m + 1/n) n^{1/q}.$$

On the other hand, since $P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}}$ does not depend on i , we can simply write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (nm)^{-1} \sum_i \varepsilon'_{i,\cdot} \Xi_{i,\cdot} P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}} a_i \right| &= (nm)^{-1} \left| \text{trace} \left\{ P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}} \sum_i a_i \varepsilon'_{i,\cdot} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \right\} \right| \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} k(nm)^{-1} \|P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}}\| \|A(\Xi \circ \varepsilon)\| \\ &\leq k(nm)^{-1} \|A(\Xi \circ \varepsilon)\| \\ &\leq k(nm)^{-1} \|\Xi \circ \varepsilon\| \|A\|_F \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{=} O_P \left((mn)^{-1/2} \sqrt{(m+n) \alpha_n n^{1/q}} \sqrt{(1/m + 1/n) n^{1/q}} \right) \\ &= O_P \left((1/m + 1/n) \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{1/q} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is the matrix $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. The inequality (i) comes from the fact that $\text{rank}(P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}}) = k$, and equation (ii) are again from (99) and Lemma 2.

Next, we focus on the term $(nm)^{-1} \sum_i \varepsilon'_{i,\cdot} \Xi_{i,\cdot} a_i$. Since $\Xi_{i,\cdot}^2 = \Xi_{i,\cdot}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (nm)^{-1} \left| \sum_i \varepsilon'_{i,\cdot} \Xi_{i,\cdot} a_i \right| &= (nm)^{-1} \left| \text{trace} \left\{ \sum_i a_i \varepsilon'_{i,\cdot} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \right\} \right| \\ &= (nm)^{-1} |\text{trace} \{A(\Xi \circ \varepsilon)\}| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim_P (nm)^{-1} \|A\|_F \|\Xi \circ \varepsilon\|_F \\
&\leq (nm)^{-1/2} (1/m + 1/n)^{1/2} n^{1/q} (m \wedge n)^{1/2} \|\Xi \circ \varepsilon\| \\
&\lesssim_P (1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Last but not least, for the term $(nm)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon'_{i,\cdot} \Xi_{i,\cdot} P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(nm)^{-1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon'_{i,\cdot} \Xi_{i,\cdot} P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \right| &= (nm)^{-1} \left| \text{trace} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon'_{i,\cdot} \Xi_{i,\cdot} P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \right\} \right| \\
&= (nm)^{-1} \left| \text{trace} \left\{ P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}} \sum_{i=1}^n \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon'_{i,\cdot} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \right\} \right| \\
&\leq (nm)^{-1} \|P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}}\|_* \|(\Xi \circ \varepsilon)'(\Xi \circ \varepsilon)\| \\
&= O_P((1/m + 1/n) \alpha_n n^{1/q})
\end{aligned}$$

because of the fact $\|P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}}\|_* = \text{rank}(P_{\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}}) = \bar{r}$ and Lemma 2. Then the result follows. $\square$

Now we can use the bound for mse^* to find the bound for v_k .

Lemma 26 (Bound for v_k and $\text{mse}(k)$ for $k \geq r$). *Let v_k be the value defined in Lemma 24. Under Assumption 1, for $k \geq r$, we have*

$$v_k = O_P((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{1/q}).$$

In particular, the $\text{mse}(k)$ has the form

$$\text{mse}(k) = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon'_{i,\cdot} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \varepsilon_{i,\cdot} + O_P((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{1/q}). \quad (100)$$

Proof of Lemma 26. First, by the definitions of $\dot{L}^{\bar{r}}$ and $\dot{F}^{\bar{r}}$ with $k \leq \bar{r}$, we have

$$\text{mse}^* < \text{mse}(k).$$

Then by Lemmas 24 and 25,

$$u + \alpha_2 < u - v_k + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 v_k^{1/2}$$

$$\left(v_k^{1/2}\right)^2 - \alpha_1 v_k^{1/2} + \alpha_2 - \alpha_0 < 0$$

for some $\alpha_0 = O_P((1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q})$, $0 < \alpha_1 = O_P(\sqrt{(1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q}})$, and $\alpha_2 = O_P((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2}\alpha_n^{1/2}n^{1/q})$. Then we can solve the quadratic form and get

$$0 < v_k^{1/2} \leq \frac{|\alpha_1| + \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + 4|\alpha_2|}}{2} = O_P\left(\sqrt{(1/m + 1/n)n^{1/q} + (1/n + 1/m)^{1/2}\alpha_n^{1/2}n^{1/q}}\right)$$

$$0 < v_k = O_P((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2}\alpha_n^{1/2}n^{1/q})$$

and (100) follows the bounds given in Lemma 24. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3 at $g = 1$. Recall that

$$\text{eIC}(k) - \text{eIC}(r) = \log\left(\frac{\text{mse}(k)}{\text{mse}(r)}\right) + (k - r)h(n, m).$$

Step 1: show $P(\text{eIC}(k) - \text{eIC}(r) > 0) \xrightarrow{P} 1$ for $k < r$.

Note that

$$\text{mse}(k) - \text{mse}(r) = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} \left(M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} - M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^r} \right) \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} = \frac{1}{nm} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} \left(P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^r} - P_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \right) \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \right\}$$

By Lemma 22, there exist a positive value τ_k such that, for $k < r$,

$$P(\text{mse}(k) - \text{mse}(r) > \alpha_n \tau_k) \rightarrow 1$$

Also note that, for any k ,

$$0 < \text{mse}(k) = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}'_{i,\cdot} M_{\Xi_{i,\cdot}, \tilde{F}^k} \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} \leq \frac{1}{nm} \|\tilde{W}\|_F^2 = O_P(\alpha_n).$$

Thus, there exist a constant $\iota_k > 0$, such that

$$P\left(\frac{\text{mse}(k)}{\text{mse}(r)} > 1 + \iota_k\right) \rightarrow 1$$

and that gives

$$P\left(\log\left(\frac{\text{mse}(k)}{\text{mse}(r)}\right) > \zeta_k\right) \rightarrow 1$$

for some $\zeta_k > 0$. As a result,

$$P(\text{eIC}(k) - \text{eIC}(r) > 0) \rightarrow 1$$

if $h(n, m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \wedge m \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 2: show that $P(\text{eIC}(k) - \text{eIC}(r) > 0) \rightarrow 1$ for $k > r$.

By Lemma 26, we know that, for $k \geq r$

$$\text{mse}(k) = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \xi_{i,j} + O_P\left((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{1/q}\right)$$

and note that $\frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \xi_{i,j} = O_P(\alpha_n)$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{mse}(k)}{\text{mse}(r)} - 1 &= O_P\left((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}\right), \\ \log\left(\frac{\text{mse}(k)}{\text{mse}(r)}\right) &= O_P\left((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &P(\text{eIC}(k) - \text{eIC}(r) \leq 0) \\ &= P\left((k - r)h(n, m) + O_P\left((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1/2} n^{1/q}\right) \leq 0\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P(\text{eIC}(k) - \text{eIC}(r) \leq 0) \xrightarrow{P} 0$ if $\sqrt{\frac{mn\alpha_n}{(m+n)}}h(n, m) \rightarrow \infty$ in a polynomial rate in n

as $n \wedge m \rightarrow \infty$.

□

Now, if we consider $g > 1$ (and thus we bring back the notation $\tilde{L}^{k,(g)}$ and $\tilde{F}^{k,(g)}$), the key is to prove the analogues of Lemma 19. We will show it by induction.

Lemma 27. *Under Assumption 1, for $k \leq r$, the estimator $\tilde{F}^{k,(g)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ satisfies*

$$\tilde{F}^{k,(g)} = F \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)} + \tilde{\Delta}_F^{k,(g)} \quad \text{for all } g \geq 1$$

where $\|\bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}\| = O_P(n^{1/q})$, $\sigma_k(\bar{H}_F^{k,(g)' } \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}) \geq c > 0$, and $\tilde{\Delta}_F^{k,(g)} = \tilde{F}^{k,(g)} - F \bar{H}_F^k$ satisfies

$$\|\tilde{\Delta}_F^{k,(g)}\| = O_P\left(\sqrt{(1 + m/n)\alpha_n^{-1}n^{1/q}}\right). \quad (101)$$

Proof of Lemma 27.

Note that the condition is satisfied when $g = 1$ by Lemma 19 and

$$\bar{H}_F^{k,(1)} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' H_L^k \pi_i\right) \left(H_L^{k'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i H_L^k\right)^{-1}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(\bar{H}_F^{k,(1)' } \bar{H}_F^{k,(1)}) &\gtrsim_P \alpha_n^{-2} \sigma_k \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' H_L^k \pi_i \right)' \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' H_L^k \pi_i \right) \right) \\ &\gtrsim_P n^{-1/q} \sigma_k \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' H_L^k \right)' \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' H_L^k \right) \right) \\ &\gtrsim \alpha_n^{-2} \sigma_k \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^r \hat{L}_i^{k'} \right)' H^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{L}_i^r \hat{L}_i^{k'} \right) - O_P((1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-1}n^{1/q}) \right) \\ &\gtrsim n^{-1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by the fact that

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i \right)^2 \geq \alpha_n^2 n^{-1/q} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \right)^2.$$

We now assume it is also satisfied by $\tilde{F}^{k,(g)}$ and $\bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}$.

Let $\bar{H}_L^{k,(g)} = \left(\frac{F' F \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}}{m} \right) \left(\frac{\bar{H}_F^{k,(g)'} F' F \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}}{m} \right)^{-1}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} - \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} L_i &= \left(\frac{1}{m\pi_i} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{m\pi_i} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{W}_{i,\cdot} - \bar{H}_L^{k',(g)} L_i \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{m\pi_i} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{m\pi_i} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j^{k,(g)} \xi_{i,j} (\varepsilon_{i,j} - X_i' \hat{\delta}_j) \\ &\quad + \left[\left(\frac{1}{m\pi_i} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{m\pi_i} \sum_{j=1}^m (\tilde{F}_j^{k,(g)} \xi_{i,j} F_j') - \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \right] L_i. \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that

$$\max_{j'} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j'} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{m\pi_i} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{m\pi_i} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j^{k,(g)} \xi_{i,j} (\varepsilon_{i,j} - X_i' \hat{\delta}_j) \right\|_2^2 \lesssim_P (1 + n/m) n^{1/q}.$$

In addition, similar to Lemma 20, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \pi_i \left(\frac{\tilde{F}^{k',(g)} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)}}{m} \right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{\bar{H}_F^{k,(g)'} F' F \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}}{m} \right)^{-1} \right\| = O_P \left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q}} \right).$$

We can also show

$$\left\| \frac{1}{m\pi_i} \sum_{j=1}^m (\tilde{F}_j^{k,(g)} \xi_{i,j} F_j') - \left(\frac{\bar{H}_F^{k,(g)'} F' F}{m} \right) \right\| = O_P \left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-2} n^{1/q}} \right)$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\bar{H}_F^{k,(g)'} F' F \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}}{m} \right)^{-1} \right\| = O_P \left(n^{1/q} \right).$$

Then, we have

$$\max_{j'} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j'} \left\| \left[\left(\frac{1}{m\pi_i} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)'} \Xi_{i,\cdot} \tilde{F}^{k,(g)} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{m\pi_i} \sum_{j=1}^m (\tilde{F}_j^{k,(g)} \xi_{i,j} F_j') - \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \right] L_i \right\|_2^2 \lesssim_P (1 + n/m) \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\tilde{L}^{k,(g)} = L \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)} + \tilde{\Delta}_L^{k,(g)}$$

such that

$$\left\| \max_{j'} \sum_{i=1}^n |\tilde{\Delta}_{L,i}^{k,(g)}|^2 \xi_{i,j'} \right\| = O_P((1+n/m)\alpha_n^{-1}n^{1/q}).$$

We follow the proof of Lemma 19 and define

$$\bar{H}_F^{k,(g+1)} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)} \pi_i \right) \left(\bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)} \right)^{-1}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{F}_j^{k,(g+1)} - \bar{H}_F^{k,(g+1)'} F_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)'} \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} (L_i' F_j + \hat{u}_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j} - \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)'} \xi_{i,j} \bar{H}_F^{k,(g+1)'} F_j \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)'} \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} \hat{u}_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right) \\ &+ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)'} \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} L_i' F_j \xi_{i,j} - \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)'} \xi_{i,j} \bar{H}_F^{k,(g+1)'} F_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} L_i' \xi_{i,j} \right) - \left(\bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Delta}_i^{k,(g)} L_i' \xi_{i,j} \right) \right\| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(\bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' (\pi_i - \xi_{i,j}) \right) \right\| \\ & = O_P \left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-1}n^{1/q}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} \hat{L}_i^{k,(g)'} \xi_{i,j} \right) - \left(\bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i H_L^{k,(g)} \right) \right\| \\ & \lesssim_P \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} L_i' \xi_{i,j} \right) - \left(\bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i \right) \right\| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\| \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Delta}_{L,i}^{k,(g)} \tilde{\Delta}_i^{k,(g)'} \xi_{i,j} \right) \right\| \\ & = O_P \left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m)\alpha_n^{-1}n^{1/q}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Last, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_k(\bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)}) &= \sigma_k \left(\left(\frac{\bar{H}_F^{k,(g)'} F' F \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}}{m} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{F' F \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}}{m} \right)' \left(\frac{F' F \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}}{m} \right) \left(\frac{\bar{H}_F^{k,(g)'} F' F \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}}{m} \right)^{-1} \right) \\ &\gtrsim_P \left\| \frac{\bar{H}_F^{k,(g)'} F' F \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}}{m} \right\|^{-2} \sigma_k(\bar{H}_F^{k,(g)'} \bar{H}_F^{k,(g)}) \gtrsim_P n^{-1/q}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i \right) \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)} \\ \geq c \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \right) \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)} \alpha_n n^{-1/q} \\ \geq c \alpha_n n^{-1/q} (\Sigma_L - o_P(1)).\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\max_j \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)'} \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} L_i' F_j \xi_{i,j} - \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)'} \xi_{i,j} \bar{H}_F^{k,(g+1)'} F_j \right) \right\| \\ = \max_j \left\| \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)'} \xi_{i,j} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} L_i' \xi_{i,j} \right) - \bar{H}_F^{k,(g+1)'} \right] F_j \right\| \\ = O_P \left(\sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n^{-1} n^{1/q}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

In addition, by the same arguments in Lemmas 11 and 12, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\max_j n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i^{k,(g)} \hat{u}_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right\| \\ \lesssim_P \max_j n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Delta}_i^{k,(g)} \hat{u}_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right\| + \max_j n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \hat{u}_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right\| \\ \leq n^{-1} \max_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\tilde{\Delta}_i^{k,(g)}\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} \right)^{1/2} \max_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_{i,j}^2 \xi_{i,j} \right)^{1/2} + \max_j n^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \hat{u}_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \right\| \\ \lesssim_P \sqrt{(1/n + 1/m) \alpha_n n^{1/q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left\| \tilde{F}^{k,(g+1)} - F \bar{H}_F^{k,(g+1)} \right\| = O_P \left(((1 + m/n) \alpha_n^{-1})^{1/2} n^{1/q} \right).$$

In addition, we have $\|\bar{H}_F^{k,(g+1)}\| = O_P(n^{1/q})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_k(\bar{H}_F^{k,(g+1)'} \bar{H}_F^{k,(g+1)}) \\ & \gtrsim \left\| \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \pi_i \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)} \right\|^{-2} \sigma_k \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)} \pi_i \right)' \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)} \pi_i \right) \right) \\ & \gtrsim_P n^{-1/q} \sigma_k \left(\bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i L_i' \right)^2 \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)} \right) \\ & \gtrsim_P n^{-1/q} \sigma_k \left(\bar{H}_L^{k,(g)'} \bar{H}_L^{k,(g)} \right) \\ & \gtrsim_P n^{-1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is then completed by induction. □

Lemma 28. *Under Assumption 1, for $k \geq r$, we have*

$$\text{mse}(k, g) - \text{mse}(r, g) = O_P \left((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{1/q} \right),$$

where $\text{mse}(k, g)$ is the analogue of $\text{mse}(k)$ defined by (95), in g^{th} iteration. (In fact, $\text{mse}(k) \equiv \text{mse}(k, 1)$.)

Proof of Lemma 28.

Note the definition of mse^* in Lemma 25 does not depend on (k, g) . Then by definition,

$$\text{mse}^* \leq \text{mse}(k, g) \leq \text{mse}(k, 1) = \text{mse}(k)$$

for any $k \geq r$ and $g \geq 1$, and thus

$$\text{mse}(k, g) - \text{mse}(r, g) \leq \text{mse}(k) - \text{mse}^* \stackrel{(i)}{=} O_P \left((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{1/q} \right),$$

$$\text{mse}(r, g) - \text{mse}(k, g) \leq \text{mse}(r) - \text{mse}^* \stackrel{(ii)}{=} O_P \left((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{1/q} \right),$$

where (i) and (ii) are from Lemmas 25 and Lemma 26, respectively. Therefore

$$|\text{mse}(k, g) - \text{mse}(r, g)| = O_P \left((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{1/q} \right)$$

and the result follows. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3 for $g > 1$.

Since we already proved that, for any finite $g \geq 2$,

$$\text{mse}(k, g) - \text{mse}(r, g) \geq \alpha_n \tau_k > 0 \quad \text{for } k < r, \text{ and}$$

$$\text{mse}(k, g) - \text{mse}(r, g) = O_P \left((1/n + 1/m)^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{1/q} \right) \quad \text{for } k > r.$$

We can follow **Proof of Theorem 3 at $g = 1$** , and have

$$P(\text{eIC}(k | g) - \text{eIC}(r | g) > 0) \xrightarrow{P} 1$$

if $h(n, m) \rightarrow 0$ and $\sqrt{\frac{mn\alpha_n}{(m+n)}}h(n, m)$ diverges to infinity in a polynomial rate in n . Therefore, the statement $P(\hat{r}^{\text{eIC}(g)} = r) \rightarrow 1$ for $g > 1$ is also shown. $\square$

15 Proof of The Inference Results on β

In this section, we will prove the inference result of $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ based on our estimators. For the sake of simplicity of notations, we fix a finite $g > 0$ and denote the estimator $\tilde{\beta}^{(g)}$, $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)}$ as $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

15.1 A more general result and proof of Theorem 4

Let $B \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times md}$ be a non-random matrix. Recall $\omega_{i,j} = E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i (\xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + \pi_i L_i' F_j)$ and $\hat{\omega}_{i,j} = E_n(X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-1} X_i (\xi_{i,j} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i,j} + \hat{\pi}_i \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j})$. Let $\omega_i = (\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_m)' \in \mathbb{R}^{md}$ and $\hat{\omega}_i =$

$(\hat{\omega}'_1, \dots, \hat{\omega}'_m)' \in \mathbb{R}^{md}$. Consider

$$T_{(B)}^o = \left\| n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_i B \omega_i \right\|_{\infty}$$

and

$$T_{(B)}^* = \left\| n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_i B \hat{\omega}_i \right\|_{\infty}.$$

Proposition 2. *Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. Assume that $r \leq md$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

1. *there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that the ℓ_1 -norm of each row of B is bounded by C_1 .*
2. *there exist constant $C_2, C_3 > 0$ such that the diagonal entries of the matrix $B \alpha_n (E \omega_i \omega_i') B'$ are in $[C_2, C_3]$.*

Then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P \left(\sqrt{n \alpha_n} \|B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)\|_{\infty} \leq x \right) - P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)}^* \leq x \mid \{\hat{\omega}_i\}_{i=1}^n \right) \right| = o_P(1).$$

With the help of Proposition of 2, we now prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $W_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the $|\mathcal{G}| \times m$ selection matrix such that for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $b_{\mathcal{G}} = W_{\mathcal{G}} b$. Clearly, in each row of $W_{\mathcal{G}}$, all the entries are zero except that one entry is one. Then let $B = W_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes I_k \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{G}|k \times mk}$, where $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker product and I_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix. Clearly, each row of B has ℓ_1 -norm equal to one and $|\mathcal{G}|k \leq mk$ (due to $|\mathcal{G}| \leq m$).

We observe that

$$E \omega_{i,j} \omega_{i,j}' = E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} (E X_i X_i' \pi_i \varepsilon_{i,j}^2) E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} + E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} (E X_i X_i' \pi_i^2 (L_i' F_j)^2) E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1}.$$

Let $\sigma_{\min}(\cdot)$ and $\sigma_{\max}(\cdot)$ denote the minimal and maximal eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix.

We now take B to be the mk by mk identity matrix. Since $E(\varepsilon_{i,j}^2 \mid X) \geq M_1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n \sigma_{\min}(E\omega_{i,j}\omega'_{i,j}) &\geq \sigma_{\min}[\alpha_n E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} (E X_i X_i' \pi_i \varepsilon_{i,j}^2) E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1}] \\ &\geq M_1 \sigma_{\min}(\alpha_n E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since X_i , L_i , F_j and $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ are sub-Gaussian, $\sigma_{\max}(\alpha_n E\omega_{i,j}\omega'_{i,j})$ is bounded.

It follows that diagonal entries of $\alpha_n E\omega_{i,j}\omega'_{i,j}$ are bounded away from zero and infinity.

Therefore, we can apply Proposition 2, obtaining that under H_0 : $\beta_j = \beta_j^o$ for all $j \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(T \leq x) - P(T^* \leq x \mid \{\hat{\omega}_i\}_{i=1}^n)| \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(\sqrt{n\alpha_n}T \leq x) - P(\sqrt{n\alpha_n}T^* \leq x \mid \{\hat{\omega}_i\}_{i=1}^n)| \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P\left(\sqrt{n\alpha_n}\|B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)\|_{\infty} \leq x\right) - P\left(\alpha_n^{1/2}T_{(B)}^* \leq x \mid \{\hat{\omega}_i\}_{i=1}^n\right) \right| = o_P(1). \end{aligned}$$

The desired result follows. □

15.2 Proof of Proposition 2

We first prove three auxiliary results before proving Proposition 2.

Lemma 29. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then*

1. $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} (\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j})^2 \lesssim_P (n^{-1} + m^{-1})(\log n \vee m)^2.$
2. $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j})^2 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} (n^{-1} + m^{-1})(\log n \vee m)^2.$
3. $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\tilde{\beta}_j - \beta_j\|_2 \lesssim_P (n\alpha_n)^{-1/2} (\log n \vee m)^2.$
4. $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_2^2 (\xi_{i,j} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i,j} - \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j})^2 \lesssim_P (m^{-1} + n^{-1})(\log n \vee m)^2.$

Proof. We proceed in three steps.

Step 1: show $\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} (\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j})^2 \lesssim_P (n^{-1} + m^{-1})(\log n \vee m)^2$.

Denote $\kappa_n = (n^{-1} + m^{-1})\alpha_n^{-3/2}n^{1/q}$. In Theorem 2, we have proved

$$\left\| \tilde{\Gamma}^{(g)} - \Gamma - \Delta_{i,j} \right\|_{\infty} = O_P(\kappa_n)$$

for all g , where Δ is a $n \times m$ matrix with its (i, j) th entry

$$\Delta_{i,j} = \frac{1}{n} L'_i (E L_i L_i \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n L_k \xi_{k,j} \varepsilon_{k,j} + \frac{1}{m \pi_i} \sum_{t=1}^m F'_t \xi_{i,t} \varepsilon_{i,t} \Sigma_F^{-1} F_j - \frac{1}{n} X'_i E (X_i X'_i \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k X_k L'_k F_j.$$

Recall that $\max_i \|L_i\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{\log n}$ due to the sub-Gaussian assumption. By Lemma 1, $\max_j \|E L_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{\alpha_n n^{-1} \log m}$, which means that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 \left| \frac{1}{n} L'_i (E L_i L_i \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n L_k \xi_{k,j} \varepsilon_{k,j} \right|^2 \\ & \leq \| (E L_i L_i \pi_i)^{-1} \|^2 \cdot \left(\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|L_i\|_2^2 \|X_i\|_2^2 \right) \cdot \max_j \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^n L_s \xi_{s,j} \varepsilon_{s,j} \right\|_2^2 \\ & = O_P(n^{-1} \log m). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we have $\max_i \left\| \sum_{t=1}^m \xi_{i,t} F_t \varepsilon_{i,t} \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{m \alpha_n \log n}$. By $\max_j \|F_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{\log m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 \left| \frac{1}{m \pi_i} \sum_{t=1}^m F'_t \xi_{i,t} \varepsilon_{i,t} \Sigma_F^{-1} F_j \right|^2 \\ & \leq \|\Sigma_F^{-1}\|^2 \cdot \left(\max_j \|F_j\|_2^2 \right) \cdot \left(\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 \pi_i^{-2} \right) \cdot \left(\max_i \left\| (m)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^m \xi_{i,t} F_t \varepsilon_{i,t} \right\|_2^2 \right) \\ & = O_P(m^{-1} \log m \log n). \end{aligned}$$

The above three displays imply that

$$\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j} + \frac{1}{n} X'_i E (X_i X'_i \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k X_k L'_k F_j \right|^2 \lesssim_P (n^{-1} + m^{-1}) \log^2(m + n).$$

By the elementary inequality of $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 (\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j})^2 \\
& \leq 2 \max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} X_i' E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k X_k L_k' F_j \right)^2 + O_P((n^{-1} + m^{-1}) \log^2(m + n)) \\
& = 2 \max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 (n^{-1} F_j' A_n E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i)^2 + O_P((n^{-1} + m^{-1}) \log^2(m + n)) \\
& = 2n^{-2} \max_j F_j' A_n E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} (E_n X_i X_i' \|X_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j}) E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} A_n F_j + O_P((n^{-1} + m^{-1}) \log^2(m + n)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k L_k X_k'$. Since $A_n = O_P((n\alpha_n^2)^{1/2})$ and $\max_j \|F_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{\log m}$, the above display implies

$$\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 (\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j})^2 = O_P((n^{-1} + m^{-1}) \log^2(m + n)).$$

The second result can be derived in a similar manner.

Step 2: show $\max_j \|\tilde{\beta}_j - \beta_j\|_2 \lesssim_P (n\alpha_n)^{-1/2} (\log n \vee m)^2$.

By Theorem 1,

$$\max_j \left\| \tilde{\beta}_j - \beta_j - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i (\xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + \pi_i L_i' F_j) \right\|_2 \lesssim_P \kappa_n.$$

Similar to Step 1, we have $\max_j \|E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} E_n(X_i \varepsilon_{i,j} \xi_{i,j})\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{(n\alpha_n)^{-1} \log m}$. By $\max_j \|F_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{\log m}$, we have $\max_j \|E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} (E_n \pi_i X_i L_i') F_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{n^{-1} \log m}$. Therefore,

$$\max_j \|\tilde{\beta}_j - \beta_j\|_2 \lesssim_P \sqrt{(n\alpha_n)^{-1} \log m} + \kappa_n \lesssim_P (n\alpha_n)^{-1/2} (\log n \vee m)^2.$$

Step 3: show $\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_2^2 (\xi_{i,j} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i,j} - \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j})^2 \lesssim_P (m^{-1} + n^{-1}) (\log n \vee m)^2$.

By the definition of $\hat{\varepsilon}_{i,j}$, we observe that

$$\xi_{i,j} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i,j} - \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} = \xi_{i,j} \left(X_i' (\beta_j - \tilde{\beta}_j) + (\Gamma_{i,j} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}) \right).$$

By the elementary inequality of $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_2^2 (\xi_{i,j} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i,j} - \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j})^2 \\ & \leq 2 \left(\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} \left(X'_i(\beta_j - \tilde{\beta}_j) \right)^2 \right) + 2 \left(\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} (\Gamma_{i,j} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j})^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, we have

$$\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 \left(X'_i(\beta_j - \tilde{\beta}_j) \right)^2 \lesssim_P \|E_n(X_i X'_i \|X_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j})\| \|\tilde{\beta}_j - \beta_j\|_2^2 \lesssim_P (m^{-1} + n^{-1})(\log n \vee m)^2.$$

The second term is simple because

$$\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^2 (\Gamma_{i,j} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j})^2 \lesssim_P (m^{-1} + n^{-1})(\log n \vee m)^2. \quad (\text{Step 1})$$

Therefore, we have

$$\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_2^2 (\xi_{i,j} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i,j} - \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j})^2 \lesssim_P (m^{-1} + n^{-1})(\log n \vee m)^2.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Lemma 30. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Assume that $r \leq mk$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

1. *there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that the ℓ_1 -norm of each row of B is bounded by C_1 .*
2. *there exist constant $C_2, C_3 > 0$ such that the diagonal entries of the matrix $\alpha_n B(E\omega_i \omega'_i) B'$ are in $[C_2, C_3]$.*

Then

$$\left\| B \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \omega'_i - \hat{\omega}_i \hat{\omega}'_i \right) B' \right\|_\infty \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-3/2} (m^{-1/2} + n^{-1/2}) (\log n)^{5/2}.$$

Proof. Let $\zeta = \|B(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \omega'_i - \hat{\omega}_i \hat{\omega}'_i) B'\|_\infty$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &\leq C_1^2 \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \omega'_i - \hat{\omega}_i \hat{\omega}'_i \right\|_\infty = C_1^2 \max_{j_1, j_2} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{i, j_1} \omega'_{i, j_2} - \hat{\omega}_{i, j_1} \hat{\omega}'_{i, j_2} \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq C_1^2 \max_{j_1, j_2} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{i, j_1} \omega'_{i, j_2} - \hat{\omega}_{i, j_1} \hat{\omega}'_{i, j_2} \right\|_F, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{i, j} = E(X_i X'_i \pi_i)^{-1} X_i (\xi_{i, j} \varepsilon_{i, j} + \pi_i L'_i F_j)$ and $\hat{\omega}_{i, j} = E_n(X_i X'_i \xi_{i, j})^{-1} X_i (\xi_{i, j} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i, j} + \hat{\pi}_i \tilde{\Gamma}_{i, j})$.

We proceed in two steps.

Step 1: show that $n^{-1} \max_j \sum_{i=1}^n \|\omega_{i, j} - \hat{\omega}_{i, j}\|_2^2 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} (m^{-1} + n^{-1}) (\log(m+n))^2$.

By the elementary inequality of $\|a + b\|_2^2 \leq 2\|a\|_2^2 + 2\|b\|_2^2$, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\hat{\omega}_{i, j} - \omega_{i, j}\|_2^2 \\ &\lesssim_P \left\| E_n(X_i X'_i \xi_{i, j})^{-1} X_i (\xi_{i, j} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i, j} - \xi_{i, j} \varepsilon_{i, j} + \hat{\pi}_i \tilde{\Gamma}_{i, j} - \pi_i \Gamma_{i, j}) \right\|_2^2 \\ &+ \left\| (E(X_i X'_i \pi_i)^{-1} - E_n(X_i X'_i \xi_{i, j})^{-1}) X_i (\xi_{i, j} \varepsilon_{i, j} + \pi_i L'_i F_j) \right\|_2^2 \\ &\lesssim_P \|E_n(X_i X'_i \xi_{i, j})^{-2}\| \|X_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i, j} (\hat{\varepsilon}_{i, j} - \varepsilon_{i, j})^2 + \|E_n(X_i X'_i \xi_{i, j})^{-2}\| \|X_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i, j} |\hat{\pi}_i - \pi_i|^2 \Gamma_{i, j}^2 \\ &+ \|E_n(X_i X'_i \xi_{i, j})^{-2}\| \hat{\pi}_i^2 (\tilde{\Gamma}_{i, j} - \Gamma_{i, j})^2 + \left\| (E(X_i X'_i \pi_i)^{-1} - E_n(X_i X'_i \xi_{i, j})^{-1}) \right\|^2 \|X_i (\xi_{i, j} \varepsilon_{i, j} + \pi_i L'_i F_j)\|_2^2 \\ &\equiv A_{1, i, j}^2 + \dots + A_{4, i, j}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\max_j \|(E X_i X'_i \pi_i)^{-1}\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_j \|(E(X_i X'_i \pi_i)^{-1} - E_n(X_i X'_i \xi_{i, j})^{-1})\| \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} (n \alpha_n)^{-1/2} \log^{1/2} n.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 29, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n A_{1, i, j}^2 &\lesssim_P n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-2} \max_j \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i, j} (\hat{\varepsilon}_{i, j} - \varepsilon_{i, j})^2 \\ &\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2} (n^{-1} + m^{-1}) (\log n \vee m)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n A_{3,i,j}^2 &\lesssim \alpha_n^{-2} \max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\pi}_i^2 (\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j})^2 \\
&\lesssim_P n^{1/q} \max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j})^2 \\
&\lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} (n^{-1} + m^{-1}) (\log n \vee m)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, by Proposition 1, we have

$$\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n A_{2,i,j}^2 \lesssim_P n^{-1} (nm\alpha_n)^{-1} n^{1/q} \max_j \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_n(X_i X_i' \xi_{i,j})^{-2}\| \|X_i\|_2^2 \xi_{i,j} \pi_i^2 \Gamma_{i,j}^2 \lesssim_P (nm)^{-1} n^{1/q}.$$

Last, we have

$$\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n A_{4,i,j}^2 \lesssim_P n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-2} (n\alpha_n)^{-1} \log^{1/2} n (n\alpha_n + n\alpha_n^2 \log n) \lesssim_P n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-2} (\log^{1/2} n + \alpha_n \log n).$$

Combining the bounds for $A_{1,i,j}^2$ to $A_{4,i,j}^2$, we obtain the desired result.

Step 2: show that the desired result.

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta &\lesssim \max_{j_1, j_2} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{i,j_1} \omega'_{i,j_2} - \hat{\omega}_{i,j_1} \hat{\omega}'_{i,j_2} \right\|_F \\
&\leq \max_{j_1, j_2} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \omega_{i,j_1} \omega'_{i,j_2} - \hat{\omega}_{i,j_1} \hat{\omega}'_{i,j_2} \right\|_F \\
&= \max_{j_1, j_2} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| (\omega_{i,j_1} - \hat{\omega}_{i,j_1}) \omega'_{i,j_2} + \hat{\omega}_{i,j_1} (\omega_{i,j_2} - \hat{\omega}_{i,j_2})' \right\|_F \\
&\leq \max_{j_1, j_2} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left\| (\omega_{i,j_1} - \hat{\omega}_{i,j_1}) \omega'_{i,j_2} \right\|_F + \left\| \hat{\omega}_{i,j_1} (\omega_{i,j_2} - \hat{\omega}_{i,j_2})' \right\|_F \right) \\
&\leq \max_{j_1, j_2} \sqrt{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \omega_{i,j_1} - \hat{\omega}_{i,j_1} \right\|_2^2} \times \sqrt{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \omega_{i,j_2} \right\|_2^2} \\
&\quad + \max_{j_1, j_2} \sqrt{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \omega_{i,j_2} - \hat{\omega}_{i,j_1} \right\|_2^2} \times \sqrt{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \hat{\omega}_{i,j_1} \right\|_2^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \hat{\omega}_{i,j_1} \right\|_2^2 \leq 2n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \omega_{i,j_1} \right\|_2^2 + 2n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \hat{\omega}_{i,j_1} - \omega_{i,j_1} \right\|_2^2$. By

Step 1, we have that

$$\zeta \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} (m^{-1} + n^{-1})^{1/2} (\log(m+n)) \cdot \sqrt{\max_j n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\omega_{i,j}\|_2^2}.$$

Since $\omega_{i,j} = E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i (\xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + \pi_i L_i' F_j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_j \sum_{i=1}^n \|\omega_{i,j}\|_2^2 &\leq 2 \max_j \sum_{i=1}^n \|E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j}\|_2^2 + 2 \max_j \sum_{i=1}^n \|E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i \pi_i L_i' F_j\|_2^2 \\ &\lesssim_P n \alpha_n^{-1} + n \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved

$$\zeta \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} (m^{-1/2} + n^{-1/2}) (\log(m+n))^2 \times \sqrt{\alpha_n^{-1} \log n} \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-3/2} (m^{-1/2} + n^{-1/2}) (\log n)^{5/2}.$$

□

Lemma 31. *Let Assumption 1 hold. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

1. *there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that the ℓ_1 -norm of each row of B is bounded by C_1 .*
2. *there exist constant $C_2, C_3 > 0$ such that the diagonal entries of the matrix $\alpha_n B(E\omega_i \omega_i') B'$ are in $[C_2, C_3]$.*

Assume that $r \leq mk$. Also assume that entries of X_i have bounded sub-Gaussian norm.

Then

$$\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n B \omega_i \omega_i' B' - B(E\omega_i \omega_i') B' \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim_P \sqrt{(n \alpha_n^3)^{-1} \log(n)}.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 30, we observe that

$$\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n B \omega_i \omega_i' B' - B(E\omega_i \omega_i') B' \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \max_{j_1, j_2} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{i,j_1} \omega_{i,j_2}' - E\omega_{i,j_1} \omega_{i,j_2}' \right\|_F. \quad (102)$$

Since the dimension of $\omega_{i,j}$ is bounded, we use Lemma A.1 of [12] (applied to each entry of $\omega_{i,j}$) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E \max_{j_1, j_2} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{i,j_1} \omega'_{i,j_2} - E \omega_{i,j_1} \omega'_{i,j_2} \right\|_F \\ & \lesssim \sqrt{(n\alpha_n^3)^{-1} \log(m^2 \alpha_n^{-1})} + n^{-1} \log(m^2 \alpha_n^{-1}) \sqrt{E \max_{i,j} \|\omega_{i,j}\|_2^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

where we use the fact that

$$\max_{j_1, j_2} E(\omega_{i,j_1} \omega_{i,j_2})^2 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-3}.$$

Recall $\omega_{i,j} = E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i (\xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + \pi_i L_i' F_j)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E \max_{i,j} \|\omega_{i,j}\|_2^4 & \leq E \max_{i,j} \|E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i\|_2^4 |\xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + \pi_i L_i' F_j|^4 \\ & \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-4} E \max_{i,j} \|X_i\|_2^4 (\varepsilon_{i,j}^4 \xi_{i,j}^4 + \pi_i^4 \|L_i\|_2^4 \|F_j\|_2^4). \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

We now derive an elementary bound. Let $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be random variables with bounded sub-Gaussian norms, i.e., $P(|W_i| > t) \leq \exp(1 - t^2/K)$ for any i and $t \geq 0$. Then by the union bound, for any $z \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(\max_i |W_i| > z + \sqrt{K \log n}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P\left(|W_i| > z + \sqrt{K \log n}\right) \\ & \leq n \exp\left(1 - \left[z + \sqrt{K \log n}\right]^2 / K\right) \leq n \exp\left(1 - [z^2 + K \log n] / K\right) = \exp(1 - z^2/K). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\max_i |W_i| - \sqrt{K \log n}$ is sub-Gaussian. Thus, $E(\max_i |W_i| - \sqrt{K \log n})^8 = O(1)$. Since $(a+b)^8 \leq 2^7(a^8 + b^8)$ for any $a, b \geq 0$, we have that $E \max_i |W_i|^8 = O((\log n)^4)$.

Now we apply this bound to $W_i = \|X_i\|_2$, obtaining $E \max_i \|X_i\|_2^8 \lesssim \log^4 n$. We also apply this bound to $W_i = \xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + \pi_i L_i' F_j$; since $L_i' F_j$ is sub-exponential, we only need to slightly modify the bound and obtain $E \max_{i,j} |\xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + \pi_i L_i' F_j|^8 \lesssim (\log(mn))^8$. Therefore, by (104),

we have

$$E \max_{i,j} \|\omega_{i,j}\|_2^4 \lesssim \alpha_n^{-4} (\log n)^2 \times (\log(mn))^4 \stackrel{(i)}{\lesssim} \alpha_n^{-4} (\log m)^6,$$

where (i) follows by $\log m \asymp \log n$ (due to the assumption of $n^{1/2} \log(m+n) \lesssim m \lesssim n^2$).

Thus, by (102) and (103), we have

$$E \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n B \omega_i \omega_i' B' - B(E \omega_i \omega_i') B' \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \sqrt{(n \alpha_n^3)^{-1} \log(n)} + (n \alpha_n^2)^{-1} \log^4(n).$$

The desired result follows. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.

Define $\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)} = \|Z\|_{\infty}$, where $Z \sim N(0, V_n)$ and $V_n = n^{-1} \alpha_n \sum_{i=1}^n E B \omega_i \omega_i' B'$.

Step 1: show that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P \left(\sqrt{n} \|B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta^o)\|_{\infty} \leq x \right) - P \left(T_{(B)} \leq x \right) \right| = o_P(1)$.

Recall that $\omega_{i,j} = E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i (\xi_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} + \pi_i L_i' F_j)$ and $L_i' F_j = \Gamma_{i,j}$. Since the diagonal entries of $\alpha_n B(E \omega_i \omega_i') B'$ are in $[C_2, C_3]$, it follows that each component of $\alpha_n^{1/2} B \omega_i$ has second moment bounded below by C_2 . We have

$$E \|\omega_{i,j}\|_2^3 \leq E \left(\|E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i\|_2^3 (|\varepsilon_{i,j}| |\xi_{i,j} + \pi_i \Gamma_{i,j}|)^3 \right) \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-2}.$$

Since the ℓ_1 -norm of each row of B is bounded, each component of $\alpha_n^{1/2} B \omega_i$ has third moment bounded by $C \alpha_n^{-1/2}$ for some constant $C > 0$.

Similarly, we have

$$\max_j E \|\alpha_n^{1/2} \omega_{i,j}\|_2^4 \leq E \left(\|E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i\|_2^{7/2} (|\varepsilon_{i,j}| |\xi_{i,j} + \pi_i \Gamma_{i,j}|)^{7/2} \right) \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1}.$$

and

$$E \max_j \|\alpha_n^{1/2} \omega_{i,j}\|_2^{9/2} \leq \alpha_n^{9/4} E \left(\|E(X_i X_i' \pi_i)^{-1} X_i\|_2^{9/2} \max_j (|\varepsilon_{i,j}| |\xi_{i,j} + \pi_i \Gamma_{i,j}|)^{9/2} \right) \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-9/4} \log^{9/2} n.$$

Since the ℓ_1 -norm of each row of B is bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{r_1=1,\dots,|\mathcal{G}|k} E[\alpha_n^{1/2} B_{r_1,\cdot} \omega_i]^3 &\lesssim_P \max_j E \|\alpha_n^{1/2} \omega_{i,j}\|_2^3 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1/2} \\ \max_{r_1=1,\dots,|\mathcal{G}|k} E[\alpha_n^{1/2} B_{r_1,\cdot} \omega_i]^4 &\lesssim_P \max_j E \|\alpha_n^{1/2} \omega_{i,j}\|_2^4 \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-1} \\ E \max_{r_1=1,\dots,|\mathcal{G}|k} [\alpha_n^{1/2} B_{r_1,\cdot} \omega_i]^{9/2} &\lesssim_P E \max_j \|\alpha_n^{1/2} \omega_{i,j}\|_2^{9/2} \lesssim_P \alpha_n^{-9/4} \log^{9/2} n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, conditions (M.1), (M.2) and (E.2) in Proposition 2.1 of [14] are satisfied with $q = 9/2$ and $B_n = C\alpha_n^{-1/2} \log^2 n$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.1 of [14], we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P \left(\left\| n^{-1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n B \omega_i \right\|_{\infty} \leq x \right) - P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)} \leq x \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{(\log(rn))^9}{n\alpha_n} \right)^{1/6} + \left(\frac{(\log(rn))^5}{n^{1-2/(9/2)} \alpha_n} \right)^{1/3}. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

For $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_r)' \in \mathbb{R}^r$, note that $T_{(B)} = \|Z\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} |Z_j|$ can be written as $\max\{Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_r, -Z_1, -Z_2, \dots, -Z_r\}$. Hence, by Corollary 1 of [13], it follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)} \in [x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon] \right) \leq \kappa_1 \varepsilon \sqrt{\log(r/\varepsilon)}, \quad (106)$$

where $\kappa_1 > 0$ is a constant depending only on C_2, C_3 .

By Theorem 1,

$$\left\| \tilde{\beta} - \beta - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim_P \kappa_n.$$

Since the ℓ_1 -norm of each row of B is bounded, it follows that

$$\left\| B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta) - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n B \omega_i \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim_P \kappa_n. \quad (107)$$

Let M_n be a sequence such that $(n\alpha_n)^{1/2} \kappa_n \ll M_n$ and $M_n \sqrt{\log m} = o(1)$. This is possible

because $(n\alpha_n)^{1/2}\kappa_n \ll (\log m)^{-1/2}$. The choice of M_n and (107) imply that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(P \left(\|\sqrt{n\alpha_n}B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)\|_\infty \leq x \right) - P \left(\left\| \alpha_n^{1/2} n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n B\omega_i \right\|_\infty \leq x + M_n \right) \right) \leq o(1).$$

By (105), we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(P \left(\|\sqrt{n\alpha_n}B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)\|_\infty \leq x \right) - P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)} \leq x + M_n \right) \right) \leq o(1).$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(P \left(\|\sqrt{n\alpha_n}B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)\|_\infty \leq x \right) - P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)} \leq x \right) \right) \leq o(1) + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)} \in (x, x + M_n] \right). \quad (108)$$

Similarly, (107) and the definition of M_n imply

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(P \left(\left\| (n\alpha_n)^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n B\omega_i \right\|_\infty \leq x - M_n \right) - P \left(\|\sqrt{n\alpha_n}B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)\|_\infty \leq x \right) \right) \leq o(1)$$

and thus we can combine it with (105), obtaining

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)} \leq x - M_n \right) - P \left(\|\sqrt{n\alpha_n}B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)\|_\infty \leq x \right) \right) \leq o(1),$$

which means

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)} \leq x \right) - P \left(\|\sqrt{n\alpha_n}B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)\|_\infty \leq x \right) \right) \leq o(1) + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)} \in [x - M_n, x] \right). \quad (109)$$

Combining (108) and (109), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P \left(\|\sqrt{n\alpha_n}B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)\|_\infty \leq x \right) - P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)} \leq x \right) \right| \\ & \leq o(1) + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2} T_{(B)} \in [x - M_n, x + M_n] \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows, by (106), that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P \left(\|\sqrt{n\alpha_n}B(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)\|_\infty \leq x \right) - P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2}T_{(B)} \leq x \right) \right| \leq o(1) + O(M_n \sqrt{\log(r/M_n)}) \stackrel{(i)}{=} o(1),$$

where (i) holds by $r \leq mk$ and the definition of M_n .

Step 2: show that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2}T_{(B)} \leq x \right) - P \left(\alpha_n^{1/2}T_{(B)}^* \leq x \mid \{\hat{\omega}_i\}_{i=1}^n \right) \right| = o_P(1)$.

Recall that $\alpha_n^{1/2}T_{(B)} = \|Z\|_\infty$, where $Z \sim N(0, V_n)$ and $V_n = n^{-1}\alpha_n^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n EB\omega_i\omega_i'B'$. Also recall that $\alpha_n^{1/2}T_{(B)}^* = \|Z^*\|_\infty$, where Z^* is a mean-zero Gaussian vector with covariance matrix $\hat{V}_n = V_n = n^{-1}\alpha_n \sum_{i=1}^n B\hat{\omega}_i\hat{\omega}_i'B'$. By Lemmas 30 and 31, we have

$$\|V_n - \hat{V}_n\|_\infty \underset{P}{\lesssim} \alpha_n^{-1/2}(m^{-1/2} + n^{-1/2})(\log n)^{5/2}.$$

Note that the diagonal entries of V_n are in $[C_2, C_3]$. By Theorem 2 of [13], it suffices to show that

$$\zeta(\log(r/\zeta))^2 = o_P(1),$$

where $\zeta = \|V_n - \hat{V}_n\|_\infty$. Note that $\zeta(\log(r/\zeta))^2 = \zeta(\log r - \log \zeta)^2 \leq \zeta[2(\log r)^2 + 2(\log \zeta)^2]$.

Since $r \lesssim m$ and $\log m \asymp \log n$, it follows that

$$\zeta(\log r)^2 \underset{P}{\lesssim} \zeta(\log m)^2 \underset{P}{\lesssim} \alpha_n^{-1/2}(m^{-1/2} + n^{-1/2})(\log n)^{9/2} = o_P(1).$$

The desired result follows. □

16 Pattern of the MSE Values With Different Ranks

When The Initial Estimates Are Used

16.1 A heuristic argument

We have an interesting finding that the MSE-based method for rank selection cannot be constructed based on the initial estimates $\hat{\beta}$, $\hat{L}^k$ and $\hat{F}^k$, where $\hat{L}^k$ and $\hat{F}^k$ are rank k SVD estimates of L and F , because the MSE value may not be decreasing as k increases when the observation rate of the responses is small. For simplicity of illustration, we let $\pi_i = \pi$, so the observation rate is a constant for all responses. Let $\pi < 0.5$. A heuristic argument is given below, and the numerical illustration is provided in Section 16.2.

The MSE based on the estimates $\hat{\beta}$, $\hat{L}^k$ and $\hat{F}^k$ is given as follows.

$$\text{mse}(k, \hat{\Gamma}^k) = \frac{1}{nm\hat{\pi}} \left\| \Xi \circ \left(Y - X\hat{\beta}' - \hat{L}^k \hat{F}^{k'} \right) \right\|_F^2. \quad (110)$$

Each entry contributing to the Frobenius norm in (110) is $\xi_{i,j}^2 (\hat{\pi} W_{i,j} - \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k)^2$, and we know that $\xi_{i,j} W_{i,j} = W_{i,j}$ by definition and $\xi_{i,j}^2 = \xi_{i,j}$ since $\xi_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$. Define $\Delta_k = -2\hat{\pi}(nm)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left(W_{i,j} - \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k \right) \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k (\hat{\pi}^{-1} \xi_{i,j} - 1)$. We can write (110) as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mse}(k, \hat{\Gamma}^k) &= \hat{\pi}(nm)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\left(W_{i,j} - \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k \right)^2 + \left(\hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k \right)^2 \left(\hat{\pi}^{-1} \xi_{i,j} - 1 \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad - 2\hat{\pi}(nm)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left(W_{i,j} - \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k \right) \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k (\hat{\pi}^{-1} \xi_{i,j} - 1) \\ &= \hat{\pi}(nm)^{-1} \left[\sum_{l>k} \sigma_l^2(W) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k \right)^2 \left(\hat{\pi}^{-2} \xi_{i,j} - 2\hat{\pi}^{-1} \xi_{i,j} + 1 \right) \right] + \Delta_k \\ &= \hat{\pi}(nm)^{-1} \left[\|W\|_F^2 + \left\| \hat{\Gamma}^k \circ \Xi \right\|_F^2 \left(\hat{\pi}^{-2} - 2\hat{\pi}^{-1} \right) \right] + \Delta_k \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \pi(nm)^{-1} \left[\|W\|_F^2 + (\pi^{-1} - 2) \sum_{l=1}^k \sigma_l^2(W) \right] + o_P(1) + \Delta_k, \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

where equation (i) can be derived by using the same technique as Lemma 1 in Appendix 11. In the form of (111), if $\pi^{-1} > 2$ (or $\pi < 0.5$), the first term increases with k , so its behavior depends somehow on the value Δ_k . In fact, we have shown in Figure 5 in Section 16.2 that in some cases, Δ_k is small, so the $\text{mse}(k, \hat{\Gamma}^k)$ value increases as k increases. Therefore, we can not use (110) in a rank estimation criterion.

16.2 Numerical illustration

In Section 4 of the main article, we have discussed the motivation for using eIC which is based on MSE using the iterative LS estimates. The basic idea is to avoid any missing values instead of padding them as zeros when calculating the MSE. We have also provided an interesting finding in Section 16.1 about why the initial estimates $\hat{\Gamma}^k$ cannot be used to define eIC: $\text{mse}(k, \hat{\Gamma}^k)$ does not always decrease as k increases when $\pi < 0.5$ in Section 16.1.

Here, we demonstrate the aforementioned phenomenon through simulations to show that the value of $\text{mse}(k, \hat{\Gamma}^k)$ can increase with k when the initial estimate $\hat{\Gamma}^k$ is used. In Figure 5, a plot of $\text{mse}(k, \hat{\Gamma}^k)$ versus k is shown on the left, and the values are calculated using data generated from DGP 1 with $n, m = 200$, $r = 3$, $\pi = 0.2$. For comparison, the right side shows the value of $\text{mse}(k, \tilde{\Gamma}^k)$, which is used in eIC, where $\tilde{\Gamma}^k$ is the iterative LS estimate obtained at step=3. We can see that $\text{mse}(k, \tilde{\Gamma}^k)$ decreases steadily whereas $\text{mse}(k, \hat{\Gamma}^k)$ increases with k .




Figure 5: Values of $\text{mse}(k, \hat{\Gamma}^k)$ and $\text{mse}(k, \tilde{\Gamma}^k)$ at step $g = 3$, versus different rank k values. Each point is the average value of 500 simulations. Settings: $n, m = 200$, $\pi = 0.2$ from DGP 1.

17 Additional Numerical Results

17.1 Additional simulation and empirical results

The tables and figures in this section provide all numerical results for DGP1 in the simulation studies section (Section 6), and additional results of the real data analysis in Section 7. Because of the space limit, we present all simulation results for DGP2 in the main text, while relegating partial simulation results for DGP1 to Section 17.1 in the Supplement.

- Table S9, S10: the full comparison of empirical MSEs between the iterative PCA and iterative LS methods under DGP 1 (Associated with Section 6.1, Table 1).
- Table S11: full table of computational time comparison between the iterative PCA and iterative LS algorithms. (Associated with Section 6.1, Table 2).
- Table S12: empirical bias and 95% CI coverage rate of the LS estimator under DGP 1 (Associated with Section 6.1, Table 4).
- Table S13: the results of the hypothesis testing for each movie in Section 7.3. Movies with the top 10 smallest p-values in each test are shown in order.
- Figure S6: empirical distribution of the Z -statistic of the selected $\hat{E}(Y_{i,j})$ of DGP 1.

(Associated with Section 6.1, Figure 1).

- Figure S7: rejection rates of hypothesis testing for β in different settings under DGP

1. (Associated with Section 6.3, Figure 2).

- Figure S8, S9: additional boxplots and point-wise CI curves of predicted movie ratings. (Associated with Section 7.3, Figure 3, 4).

Table S9: The MSE of different estimators of β in each simulation setting of DGP1.

DGP 1			Initial		iterative PCA				iterative LS			
n, m	π	$\hat{\beta}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{pca}^{(1)}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{pca}^{(2)}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{pca}^{(3)}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{pca}^{(c)}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{ls}^{(1)}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{ls}^{(2)}$	$\tilde{\beta}_{ls}^{(3)}$			
200	1	0.117	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	0.8	0.145	0.119	0.119	0.119	0.119	0.119	0.119	0.119			
	0.5	0.230	0.132	0.127	0.126	0.125	0.132	0.126	0.125			
	0.2	0.614	0.389	0.281	0.258	0.157	0.389	0.231	0.197			
500	1	0.043	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	0.8	0.054	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.044			
	0.5	0.087	0.047	0.047	0.047	0.047	0.047	0.047	0.047			
	0.2	0.224	0.084	0.073	0.068	0.057	0.084	0.060	0.058			
1000	1	0.023	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	0.8	0.028	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023			
	0.5	0.044	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024			
	0.2	0.110	0.033	0.031	0.030	0.029	0.033	0.029	0.029			

Table S10: The MSE of different estimators of Γ in each simulation setting of DGP1.

DGP 1			Initial	iterative PCA			iterative LS		
n, m	π	$\hat{\beta}_{ls}^{(c)}$	$\hat{\Gamma}$	$\hat{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(1)}$	$\hat{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(2)}$	$\hat{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(3)}$	$\hat{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(c)}$	$\hat{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(1)}$	$\hat{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(2)}$
200	1	—	0.250	—	—	—	—	—	—
	0.8	0.119	0.430	0.269	0.259	0.258	0.258	0.259	0.258
	0.5	0.125	1.121	0.513	0.372	0.321	0.283	0.305	0.285
	0.2	0.176	7.469	4.186	3.456	3.075	0.419	1.975	1.021
500	1	—	0.096	—	—	—	—	—	—
	0.8	0.044	0.167	0.102	0.099	0.099	0.099	0.099	0.099
	0.5	0.047	0.399	0.182	0.131	0.116	0.108	0.110	0.108
	0.2	0.058	2.354	1.447	1.065	0.835	0.150	0.341	0.162
1000	1	—	0.048	—	—	—	—	—	—
	0.8	0.023	0.084	0.052	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050
	0.5	0.024	0.195	0.090	0.064	0.057	0.055	0.055	0.055
	0.2	0.029	0.726	0.479	0.334	0.246	0.074	0.085	0.074




Figure S6: The empirical distribution of $\frac{\tilde{Y}_{ij} - \mu_{ij}}{\hat{\sigma}_{n,m}(\tilde{Y}_{ij})}$ in different simulation settings of DGP1. The shaded area is the density of standard normal distribution.

Table S11: Computing time in seconds* in each setting of DGP1.

DGP 1		Time in sec to get estimators			Number of iterations		Ave. time for 1 iteration	
n, m	π	$\hat{\Gamma}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(c)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(c)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{ls}^{(c)}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{pca}^{(c)}$	ls	pca
200	0.8	0.04	0.16	0.38	4.02	9.29	0.04	0.041
	0.4	0.05	0.28	1.37	7.01	33.62	0.04	0.041
	0.2	0.04	0.60	4.01	15.72	99.94	0.04	0.040
500	0.8	0.41	0.44	3.18	3.56	7.52	0.12	0.423
	0.4	0.42	0.58	10.06	5.01	23.48	0.12	0.429
	0.2	0.41	0.80	27.54	7.40	64.53	0.11	0.427
1000	0.8	3.43	1.05	24.24	3.00	6.90	0.35	3.514
	0.4	3.03	1.16	62.22	4.03	19.88	0.29	3.130
	0.2	2.96	1.39	149.12	5.52	48.80	0.25	3.056

* The values are calculated based on 100 simulation replicates

Table S12: Average bias and 95% CI coverage rate for some estimators*.

DGP 1		Bias (10^{-2})						95% CI coverage rate					
n, m	π	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{11}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{23}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{35}$	$\tilde{Y}_{11}$	$\tilde{Y}_{23}$	$\tilde{Y}_{35}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{11}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{23}$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{35}$	$\tilde{Y}_{11}$	$\tilde{Y}_{23}$	$\tilde{Y}_{35}$
200	0.8	-2.2	-5.8	3.3	-0.5	-0.0	-0.7	0.96	0.95	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
	0.4	0.4	0.1	1.0	-0.7	0.9	-2.2	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.92
	0.2	-3.5	4.4	2.3	-5.7	6.0	2.6	0.88	0.89	0.91	0.88	0.87	0.90
500	0.8	-0.4	-0.2	-1.0	-0.7	0.8	1.0	0.94	0.95	0.97	0.94	0.97	0.97
	0.4	-2.9	1.7	0.3	-2.2	2.2	-0.1	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
	0.2	2.4	-0.1	-2.4	0.1	-2.1	-1.0	0.96	0.95	0.96	0.93	0.93	0.95
1000	0.8	1.1	0.9	-0.9	0.4	0.5	-1.5	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.95	0.95
	0.4	-1.2	-0.2	-0.8	-0.7	0.6	0.3	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.94	0.95
	0.2	0.9	1.7	2.7	0.2	1.9	-0.7	0.97	0.95	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.94

* $\tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}^{(s)}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{i,j} = X_i' \tilde{\beta}_j^{(s)} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{i,j}^{(s)}$.




Figure S7: Empirical rejection rates at level $\alpha = 0.05$. Each column represents a hypothesis, and each row represents a sample size. When $x = \ln(\rho) = -\text{Inf}$, the null hypothesis is true.

Table S13: Hypothesis testing results for each movie. Movies^{*†} with the top 10 smallest p-values in each test are shown in order.

ID	movie name	p -value	ID	movie name	p -value	ID	movie name	p -value
$H_0 : \beta_{j1} = 0$			$H_0 : \beta_{j2} = 0$			$H_0 : \beta_{j2} - \beta_{j3} = 0$		
1592	Air Bud	$< 10^{-10}$	3690	Porky's Revenge	$< 10^{-14}$	2170	Wrongfully Accused	$< 10^{-14}$
203	Thanks for Everythin	$< 10^{-9}$	3689	Porky's II: The Next	$< 10^{-12}$	1667	Mad City	$< 10^{-13}$
1979	Friday the 13th Part	$< 10^{-8}$	2382	Police Academy 5: As	$< 10^{-11}$	1592	Air Bud	$< 10^{-11}$
1978	Friday the 13th Part	$< 10^{-8}$	520	Robin Hood: Men in T	$< 10^{-11}$	502	The Next Karate Kid	$< 10^{-10}$
15	Cutthroat Island	$< 10^{-8}$	3099	Shampoo	$< 10^{-10}$	1984	Halloween III: Seaso	$< 10^{-10}$
1088	Dirty Dancing	$< 10^{-8}$	1978	Friday the 13th Part	$< 10^{-10}$	12	Dracula: Dead and Lo	$< 10^{-10}$
1707	Home Alone 3	$< 10^{-7}$	2379	Police Academy 2: Th	$< 10^{-9}$	2162	The NeverEnding Stor	$< 10^{-9}$
2106	Swing Kids	$< 10^{-6}$	2550	The Haunting	$< 10^{-9}$	1085	The Old Man and the	$< 10^{-9}$
506	Orlando	$< 10^{-6}$	3017	Creepshow 2	$< 10^{-9}$	1205	The Transformers: Th	$< 10^{-9}$
1201	The Good, The Bad an	$< 10^{-6}$	1996	Poltergeist III	$< 10^{-9}$	3873	Cat Ballou	$< 10^{-9}$
$H_0 : \beta_{j3} = 0$			$H_0 : \beta_{j2} - \beta_{j4} = 0$			$H_0 : \beta_{j3} - \beta_{j4} = 0$		
$H_0 : \beta_{j4} = 0$			$H_0 : \beta_{j3} - \beta_{j4} = 0$			$H_0 : \beta_{j3} - \beta_{j4} = 0$		
2173	The Navigator: A Med	$< 10^{-20}$	358	Higher Learning	$< 10^{-36}$	2195	Dirty Work	$< 10^{-35}$
1205	The Transformers: Th	$< 10^{-19}$	2195	Dirty Work	$< 10^{-35}$	2907	Superstar	$< 10^{-19}$
2550	The Haunting	$< 10^{-17}$	2907	Superstar	$< 10^{-19}$	65	Bio-Dome	$< 10^{-19}$
1150	The Return of Martin	$< 10^{-16}$	65	Bio-Dome	$< 10^{-19}$	3901	Duets	$< 10^{-14}$
1238	Local Hero	$< 10^{-16}$	3901	Duets	$< 10^{-14}$	3177	Next Friday	$< 10^{-13}$
3341	Born Yesterday	$< 10^{-16}$	3177	Next Friday	$< 10^{-13}$	2860	Blue Streak	$< 10^{-12}$
1592	Air Bud	$< 10^{-15}$	2860	Blue Streak	$< 10^{-12}$	2606	Idle Hands	$< 10^{-11}$
3690	Porky's Revenge	$< 10^{-14}$	2606	Idle Hands	$< 10^{-11}$	3225	Down to You	$< 10^{-11}$
3099	Shampoo	$< 10^{-14}$	3225	Down to You	$< 10^{-11}$	258	A Kid in King Arthur	$< 10^{-10}$
1985	Halloween 4: The Ret	$< 10^{-13}$	258	A Kid in King Arthur	$< 10^{-10}$	$H_0 : \beta_{j3} - \beta_{j4} = 0$		
65	Bio-Dome	$< 10^{-31}$	2195	Dirty Work	$< 10^{-27}$	2195	Dirty Work	$< 10^{-27}$
358	Higher Learning	$< 10^{-28}$	65	Bio-Dome	$< 10^{-22}$	65	Bio-Dome	$< 10^{-22}$
2860	Blue Streak	$< 10^{-16}$	358	Higher Learning	$< 10^{-19}$	358	Higher Learning	$< 10^{-19}$
2119	Maximum Overdrive	$< 10^{-16}$	3177	Next Friday	$< 10^{-18}$	3177	Next Friday	$< 10^{-18}$
2195	Dirty Work	$< 10^{-13}$	2907	Superstar	$< 10^{-16}$	2907	Superstar	$< 10^{-16}$
3146	Deuce Bigalow: Male	$< 10^{-12}$	2860	Blue Streak	$< 10^{-12}$	2860	Blue Streak	$< 10^{-12}$
2296	A Night at the Roxbu	$< 10^{-10}$	818	A Very Brady Sequel	$< 10^{-10}$	818	A Very Brady Sequel	$< 10^{-10}$
3177	Next Friday	$< 10^{-10}$	3627	Carnival of Souls	$< 10^{-9}$	3627	Carnival of Souls	$< 10^{-9}$
104	Happy Gilmore	$< 10^{-10}$	122	Boomerang	$< 10^{-9}$	122	Boomerang	$< 10^{-9}$
2907	Superstar	$< 10^{-9}$	3693	The Toxic Avenger	$< 10^{-9}$	3693	The Toxic Avenger	$< 10^{-9}$

* Names are trimmed to 20 characters.

† Only movies with more than 100 ratings are considered.




Figure S8: Boxplots of the estimated ratings in different gender and age groups for some movies.




Figure S9: Estimated ratings and 90% point-wise confidence intervals in different groups. The y -axis is the rating and the x -axis is the percentile. Ratings are grouped by gender or age.

17.2 Comparison of estimation performance with other methods

Under a similar model as (1), [27] proposed a penalized estimation method to estimate the target matrix Θ using the Frobenius-norm and nuclear-norm regularization and derived the convergence rate of the resulting penalized estimator. Although they focus on the estimation and our work, on the other hand, provides statistical inference for the matrix completion problem, we also compare the estimation performance of their penalized method and our iterative LS method. We generate the data using same the model given in Table 2 of Section 6 in [27] with the true rank $r = 10$ for the latent row-rank matrix Γ .

For comparison, we report the empirical root mean squared error (RMSE) for the estimators of β , Γ and Θ given in model (2), respectively, as well as the test errors (Test Err.) calculated based on 100 simulation replicates. The formula for calculating the RMSE and the test error are given in Section 6 of [27]. In addition, we also report the average value of the absolute bias (Absolute bias) for the estimates of β , Γ and Θ and the average of the rank estimates based on 100 simulation replicates. For any estimator $\tilde{\theta}$ of an unknown parameter θ , the absolute bias is calculated by: $\text{Absolute bias}(\theta) = |\text{nsim}^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^{\text{nsim}} \tilde{\theta}^s - \theta|$, where $\tilde{\theta}^s$ is the estimate of θ in the s^{th} simulation replication, and nsim is the number of simulation replicates. We estimate the rank using the proposed eIC method with $h(n, m)$ given in (16) with $C_h = 0.15$ and $\delta_h = 0.1$. [27] considered four estimators which have similar performance. For computational convenience, we only report the numerical results of their estimate SVT-1 and denote this method by MCW.

Table S14 summarizes the simulation results for the estimates of unknown parameters obtained by our method (Ours) and the method (MCW) in [27] under different sample sizes. We see that our method yields slightly smaller RMSEs and test errors than the MCW method at $n, m = 800$. However, as the sample size increases to $n, m = 1000$ and

1200, the RMSEs and test errors obtained by our method decrease faster than those values obtained by the MCW method. For example, when the sample size is increased from 800 to 1200, the test error is reduced from 0.4281 to 0.2290 for our method, and it is decreased from 0.4293 to 0.3300 for the MCW method. Our method yields smaller RMSEs than the MCW method when the sample size is large, because our iterative LS estimator is asymptotically unbiased. However, the penalized estimators in general have a nonnegligible bias that can contribute to the RMSE value. From Table S14, we see that our iterative LS estimator has smaller absolute bias values than the penalized estimator for all cases. Table S14 also shows that our proposed eIC method can accurately estimate the true rank.

Table S14: Empirical root mean squared errors (RMSEs), test errors (Test Err.), average value of absolute biases (Absolute bias), and estimated ranks by the two methods under different sample sizes.

n, m	Method	RMSE			Absolute bias			Test Err.	Rank
		β	Γ	Θ	β	Γ	Θ		
800	Ours	0.5149	2.6585	3.4951	0.0413	0.2661	0.3220	0.4304	10.00
	MCW	0.5175	2.6463	3.4997	0.2337	1.9308	2.1944	0.4260	51.35
1000	Ours	0.4346	2.1471	2.8708	0.0350	0.1885	0.2432	0.2977	10.00
	MCW	0.4669	2.4631	3.2073	0.1955	1.7770	1.9807	0.3716	62.06
1200	Ours	0.3871	1.8960	2.5598	0.0310	0.1589	0.2102	0.2291	10.00
	MCW	0.4346	2.3627	3.0537	0.1691	1.6987	1.8612	0.3280	70.85

References

- [1] Alaya, M. Z. and O. Klopp (2019). Collective matrix completion. *Journal of Machine Learning* 20, 1–43.
- [2] Athey, S., M. Bayati, N. Doudchenko, G. Imbens, and K. Khosravi (2021). Matrix completion methods for causal panel data models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 116(536), 1716–1730.
- [3] Bai, J. and S. Ng (2002). Determining the number of factors in approximate factor models. *Econometrica* 70(1), 191–221.
- [4] Bai, J. and S. Ng (2021). Matrix completion, counterfactuals, and factor analysis of missing data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 116(536), 1746–1763.
- [5] Bandeira, A. S. and R. Van Handel (2016). Sharp nonasymptotic bounds on the norm of random matrices with independent entries. *The Annals of Probability* 44(4), 2479–2506.

- [6] Cahan, E., J. Bai, and S. Ng (2022). Factor-based imputation of missing values and covariances in panel data of large dimensions. *Journal of Econometrics*.
- [7] Cai, T. T. and W.-X. Zhou (2016). Matrix completion via max-norm constrained optimization. *Electronic Journal of Statistics* 10(1), 1493–1525.
- [8] Candes, E. J. and Y. Plan (2010, jun). Matrix completion with noise. *Proceedings of the IEEE* 98(6), 925–936.
- [9] Carpentier, A., O. Klopp, M. Löffler, and R. Nickl (2018). Adaptive confidence sets for matrix completion. *Bernoulli* 24, 2429–2460.
- [10] Chen, Y., Y. Chi, J. Fan, C. Ma, and Y. Yan (2020). Noisy matrix completion: Understanding statistical guarantees for convex relaxation via nonconvex optimization. *SIAM journal on optimization* 30(4), 3098–3121.
- [11] Chen, Y., J. Fan, C. Ma, and Y. Yan (2019, oct). Inference and uncertainty quantification for noisy matrix completion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116(46), 22931–22937.
- [12] Chernozhukov, V., D. Chetverikov, and K. Kato (2013, 12). Gaussian approximations and multiplier bootstrap for maxima of sums of high-dimensional random vectors. *The Annals of Statistics* 41(6), 2786–2819.
- [13] Chernozhukov, V., D. Chetverikov, and K. Kato (2015). Comparison and anti-concentration bounds for maxima of gaussian random vectors. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 162(1), 47–70.
- [14] Chernozhukov, V., D. Chetverikov, and K. Kato (2017). Central limit theorems and bootstrap in high dimensions. *Annals of Probability* 45(4), 2309–2352.
- [15] Chiang, K., C. Hsieh, and I. S. Dhillon (2015). Matrix completion with noisy side information. *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems* 2, 3447–3455.
- [16] Fithian, W. and R. Mazumder (2018). Flexible low-rank statistical modeling with side information. *Statistical Science* 33(2), 238–260.
- [17] Golub, G. H. and C. F. Van Loan (2016). *Matrix computations*. JHU press.
- [18] Graham, B. S. (2020). Sparse network asymptotics for logistic regression. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- [19] Hastie, T., R. Mazumder, J. D. Lee, and R. Zadeh (2015). Matrix completion and low-rank svd via fast alternating least squares. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 16(1), 3367–3402.
- [20] Ibriga, H. S. and W. W. Sun (2023). Covariate-assisted sparse tensor completion. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 118, 2605–2619.

- [21] Javanmard, A. and A. Montanari (2014). Confidence intervals and hypothesis testing for high-dimensional regression. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 15, 2869–2909.
- [22] Jin, H., Y. Ma, and F. Jiang (2022). Matrix completion with covariate information and informative missingness. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 23, 1–62.
- [23] Jin, S., K. Miao, and L. Su (2021). On factor models with random missing: Em estimation, inference, and cross validation. *Journal of Econometrics* 222(1, Part C), 745–777.
- [24] Klopp, O. (2014). Noisy low-rank matrix completion with general sampling distribution. *Bernoulli* 20(1), 282–303.
- [25] Koltchinskii, V., K. Lounici, and A. B. Tsybakov (2011). Nuclear-norm penalization and optimal rates for noisy low-rank matrix completion. *The Annals of Statistics* 39(5), 2302–2329.
- [26] Li, D., J. Qian, and L. Su (2016). Panel data models with interactive fixed effects and multiple structural breaks. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 111(516), 1804–1819.
- [27] Mao, X., S. X. Chen, and R. K. W. Wong (2019). Matrix completion with covariate information. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 114(525), 198–210.
- [28] Negahban, S. and M. J. Wainwright (2012). Restricted strong convexity and weighted matrix completion: Optimal bounds with noise. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 13(1), 1665–1697.
- [29] Rosenbaum, P. R. and D. B. Rubin (1983). The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects. *Biometrika* 79(1), 41–55.
- [30] Sun, R. and Z. Luo (2016). Guaranteed matrix completion via non-convex factorization. *IEEE Transactions on Information and Theory* 62(11), 6535–6579.
- [31] Tropp, J. A. (2012). User-friendly tail bounds for sums of random matrices. *Foundations of computational mathematics* 12, 389–434.
- [32] Xia, D. and M. Yuan (2021). Statistical inferences of linear forms for noisy matrix completion. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B* 83, 58–77.
- [33] Xiong, R. and M. Pelger (2023). Large dimensional latent factor modeling with missing observations and applications to causal inference. *Journal of Econometrics* 1, 271–301.
- [34] Xu, M., R. Jin, and Z. Zhou (2013). Speedup matrix completion with side information: Application to multi-label learning. *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems* 2, 2301–2309.
- [35] Zhou, Y., D. Wilkinson, R. Schreiber, and R. Pan (2008). *Large-scale parallel collaborative filtering for the Netflix prize in Algorithmic Aspects in Information and Management*. Springer.
- [36] Zhu, Y., X. Shen, and C. Ye (2016). Personalized prediction and sparsity pursuit in latent factor models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 111, 241–252.