

IMPROVED BOUNDS FOR INTEGRAL POINTS ON MODULAR CURVES USING RUNGE'S METHOD

DAVID ZYWINA

ABSTRACT. Consider a modular curve X_G defined over a number field K , where G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ with $N > 2$. The curve X_G comes with a morphism $j: X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^1 = \mathbb{A}_K^1 \cup \{\infty\}$ to the j -line. For a finite set of places S of K that satisfies a certain condition, Runge's method shows that there are only finitely many points $P \in X_G(K)$ for which $j(P)$ lies in the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ of S -units of K . We prove an explicit version which shows that if $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ for some $P \in X_G(K)$, then the absolute logarithmic height of $j(P)$ is bounded above by $N^{12} \log N$. Explicit upper bounds have already been obtained by Bilu and Parent though they are not polynomial in N . The modular functions needed to apply Runge's method are constructed using Eisenstein series of weight 1.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fix an integer $N > 2$ and consider a subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ that contains $-I$. Associated to the group G is a modular curve X_G that is defined over the number field $K_G := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)^{\det(G)}$ and is smooth, projective and geometrically irreducible; see §2 for details. In particular, the curve X_G is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ when $\det(G) = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$. The curve X_G comes with a nonconstant morphism

$$j: X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K_G}^1 = \mathbb{A}_{K_G}^1 \cup \{\infty\}$$

to the j -line. The cusps of X_G are the points lying over ∞ . We define Y_G to be the open subvariety of X_G that is the complement of its cusps.

Fix a number field $K \supseteq K_G$. Let S be a finite set of places of K that contains all the infinite places and let $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ be the ring of S -integers in K . Let $\mathfrak{c}_{G,K}$ be the number of orbits of the action of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ on the set of cusps $X_G(\overline{K}) - Y_G(\overline{K})$. We say that the pair (K, S) satisfies Runge's condition for X_G if $|S| < \mathfrak{c}_{G,K}$.

Assume that (K, S) satisfies Runge's condition for X_G . Runge's method shows that there only finitely many points $P \in Y_G(K)$ with $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$. For background on Runge's method see [Sch08, Chapter 5], [BG06, §9.6.5] or [LF19, §4]. An effective version for modular curves was given by Bilu and Parent [BP11] where they showed that for all points $P \in Y_G(K)$ with $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$, we have

$$(1.1) \quad h(j(P)) \leq 36|S|^{|S|/2+1}(N^2|G|/2)^{|S|} \log(2N),$$

where h denotes the logarithmic absolute height.

Our main result gives a bound for $h(j(P))$ which is polynomial in N and independent of $|S|$; the bound in (1.1) is polynomial in N only when we bound $|S|$.

Theorem 1.1. *Fix an integer $N > 2$ and a subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ containing $-I$. Let μ be the degree of the morphism $j: X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K_G}^1$. Assume that (K, S) satisfies Runge's condition for X_G , where $K \supseteq K_G$ is a number field and S is a finite set of places of K that contains all the infinite places. Then for any point $P \in Y_G(K)$ with $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$, we have $h(j(P)) \leq 4(\mu + 4)^4 \log N$ and hence*

$$h(j(P)) \leq N^{12} \log N.$$

Remark 1.2.

- (i) Since G contains $-I$, we have $\mu = [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) : G \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})]$. In particular, we have $\mu \leq |\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}| \leq N^3/2$. Using that $N > 2$, we find that $4(\mu + 4)^4 \leq 4(N^3/2 + 4)^4 \leq N^{12}$. This explains how the first inequality of Theorem 1.1 implies the second one.

- (ii) Since h is the logarithmic absolute height, Theorem 1.1 implies that there are only finitely many points $P \in Y_G(K)$ with $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ as we vary over all pairs (K, S) satisfying Runge's condition for X_G , where K is a subfield of a fixed algebraic closure of K_G .
- (iii) Consider a subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ for which X_G has at least 3 distinct cusps. Take any number field $K \supseteq K_G$ and any finite set of places S of K . From a classical theorem of Siegel, there are only finitely many points $P \in X_G(K)$ for which $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$. Unfortunately, Siegel's theorem gives no way to bound the height of the j -invariants $j(P)$ that arise. Bilu [Bil95, §5] showed that the heights could actually be effectively bounded by making use of Baker's method. A quantitative version was given by Sha [Sha14] and see also [Cai22]. We will not state the explicit bound of Sha, but simply remark that it implies that $\log(h(j(P)) + 1) \leq C_{K,S} N \log N$ holds for all $P \in Y_G(K)$ with $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$, where $C_{K,S}$ is a positive constant depending only on the pair (K, S) . Note that when Runge's condition holds, the bounds in Theorem 1.1 will be stronger and will have no dependency on (K, S) .
- (iv) The condition $N > 2$ is used several times during the proof; for such N , the classical modular curve $X(N)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ is a fine moduli space. For the excluded case $N = 2$, one can simply lift G to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ to obtain bounds.
- (v) Let g be the genus of X_G . We have $\mu < 101(g + 1)$, see the comments after [CP03, Proposition 2.3]. For a pair (K, S) that satisfies Runge's condition for X_G , Theorem 1.1 implies that

$$h(j(P)) \leq 4((101(g + 1) + 4)^4 \cdot \log N$$

holds for all $P \in Y_G(K)$ with $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$.

- (vi) The points of our modular curves give important arithmetic information about elliptic curves which we now recall; this will not be used elsewhere. Fix a number field $K \supseteq K_G$. Let E be an elliptic curve over K with j -invariant $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\}$. The N -torsion subgroup $E[N]$ of $E(\overline{K})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank 2. The natural Galois action on $E[N]$ and a choice of basis gives a Galois representation $\rho_{E,N}: \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}(E[N]) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. One can show that $\rho_{E,N}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K))$ is conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ to a subgroup of G^t if and only if $j(E) = j(P)$ for some $P \in Y_G(K)$. Here G^t is the group obtained by taking the transpose of the elements of G . As a warning, we note that in the literature, our modular curve X_G is sometimes denoted X_{G^t} .

1.1. Overview. Fix an integer $N > 2$ and a subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Fix a number field $K \supseteq K_G$ and a finite set S of places of K containing all the infinite places. The set $\mathcal{C}_G := X_G(\overline{K}) - Y_G(\overline{K})$ of cusps has a natural action by $\mathrm{Gal}_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. Assume that Runge's condition for X_G holds for the pair (K, S) , i.e., the number of Gal_K -orbits on $\mathcal{C}_G$ is strictly greater than $|S|$.

Consider any nonempty and proper subset $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{C}_G$ that is Gal_K -stable. To apply Runge's method, we need a nonconstant function $\varphi \in K(X_G)$ that satisfies the following properties:

- The poles of φ occur only at cusps of X_G .
- The function φ is regular at each cusp in Σ .
- The function φ is a root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[j]$.

The existence of a nonconstant function $\varphi \in K(X_G)$ that satisfies the first two properties is an easy consequence of the Riemann–Roch theorem. Since such a function φ is integral over $\mathbb{Q}[j]$, we obtain the third property after scaling φ by an appropriate positive integer. However, this existence is not enough for our application since we also need good estimates on the function φ ; especially near the cusps.

The functions φ used by Bilu and Parent in [BP11] are *modular units*, i.e., their zeros and poles only occur at cusps. The existence of suitable modular units is not a consequence of the Riemann–Roch theorem but follows from the Manin–Drinfeld theorem. Modular units can be explicitly constructed by taking products and quotients of Siegel functions; these have many nice properties and have an explicit q -expansion at each cusp. One downside to dealing with modular units is that they can have poles of high order and their q -expansion can have relatively large coefficients. For example in the proof of Bilu and Parent, modular units on X_G arise for which the order of the poles might not be uniformly bounded by a polynomial in N .

Let Δ be the modular discriminant function; it is a cusp form of weight 12 for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ that is everywhere nonzero when viewed as a function of the complex upper half-plane. For a function $\varphi \in K(X_G)$ whose poles only occur at cusps, $\varphi \cdot \Delta^m$ will be a modular form of weight $12m$ on $\Gamma(N)$ for all sufficiently large m .

Now fix a positive integer m . Consider the finite dimensional K_G -vector space $M_{12m,G}$ consisting of those modular forms f of weight $12m$ on $\Gamma(N)$ for which f/Δ^m lies in $K_G(X_G)$. For each $f \in M_{12m,G}$, the function $f/\Delta^m \in K_G(X_G)$ has no poles away from the cusps. One advantage of such functions is that they have a uniformly bounded number of poles; in fact, they have fewer than $mN^3/2$ total poles when counted with multiplicity.

Let W_m be the K_G -subspace of $M_{12m,G}$ consisting of modular forms f for which $f/\Delta^m \in K_G(X_G)$ is regular at each cusp $c \in \Sigma$. For a fixed $f \in W_m$, $\varphi := f/\Delta^m \in K_G(X_G)$ will have all its poles at cusps and will be regular at all $c \in \Sigma$. Since we want φ to be nonconstant, we will also want to choose f so that so that it does not lie in the 1-dimensional subspace $K_G\Delta^m$. By taking m sufficiently large, we will see from the Riemann–Roch theorem that $\dim_{K_G} W_m \geq 2$ and hence we can find a suitable f . This is the source of our functions φ in this paper.

However, to understand the growth of φ near the cusps of X_G , we need to have suitable explicit bounds on the coefficients of the q -expansion of f at each cusp. As a first step, we will find a basis of the vector space $M_{12m,G}$ for which the q -expansions at each cusp have coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ which can be explicitly bounded with respect to any absolute value of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. Our basis will be expressed in terms of Eisenstein series of weight 1 on $\Gamma(N)$. We then use a version of Siegel’s lemma to show the existence of a modular form $f \in W_m - K_G\Delta^m$ with explicit bounds on the coefficients of the q -expansion at each cusp.

Let us give a brief overview of the sections of the paper. In §2, we give background on modular curves. In §3, we will show how around each cusp of X_G we can express rational functions analytically with respect to different places v . In §4, we state a theorem about the existence of a function $\varphi \in K(X_G)$ with the required properties. Assuming the existence of such a function φ , we then prove Theorem 1.1 in §5. The existence of the desired φ is proved in §10 after several sections on modular forms.

In §6, we give some background on modular forms. In particular, we define the vector spaces $M_{k,G}$ of modular forms in §6.3 and we give an explicit generating set for $M_{k,G}$ in terms of Eisenstein series in §6.5. Using this generating set, we prove in §7 the existence of a basis of $M_{k,G}$ whose q -expansions at each cusp have integral coefficients that can be bounded explicitly. In §8, we define the subspace W_m of $M_{12m,G}$ for each positive integer m and use the Riemann–Roch to show that $\dim_{K_G} W_m \geq 2$ for m large enough. For m large enough, we prove in §9 the existence of a modular form $f \in W_m - K_G\Delta^m$ so that its q -expansions at the cusps have integral coefficients that can be bounded explicitly.

1.2. Notation. Let ζ_N be the primitive N -th root of unity $e^{2\pi i/N}$ in $\mathbb{C}$. For each positive integer N , we define $q_N := e^{2\pi i\tau/N}$ which we view as a function in τ of the complex upper half-plane. When used with q -expansions, we will often view q_N as an indeterminate variable. For a positive divisor w of N , we have $q_N^{N/w} = q_w$. We set $q := q_1$.

For a field K , we define $\mathrm{Gal}_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, where $\overline{K}$ is a fixed algebraic closure of K . When $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, we will always take $\overline{K}$ to be the algebraic closure in $\mathbb{C}$.

Consider a number field L . We let M_L be the set of places of L . We let $M_{L,\infty} \subseteq M_L$ be the set of infinite places. Take any place v of L . We let L_v be the completion of L with respect to v . We let $|\cdot|_v$ be the corresponding absolute value on L_v normalized so that $|2|_v = 2$ if v is infinite and $|p|_v = p^{-1}$ if v induces the p -adic topology on $\mathbb{Q}$. For an algebraic closure $\overline{L}_v$ of L_v , the absolute value $|\cdot|_v$ uniquely extends to it. Define the integer $d_v = [L_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]$, where $\mathbb{Q}_v$ is the completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ in L_v . For any nonzero $a \in L$, we have the product formula $\prod_{v \in M_L} |a|_v^{d_v} = 1$.

Let C be a smooth projective and geometrically irreducible curve defined over a field K . For any point $c \in C(K)$, let $\mathrm{ord}_c : K(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be the discrete valuation whose valuation ring consists of the rational functions that are regular at c .

2. BACKGROUND: MODULAR CURVES

Fix a positive integer N and a group $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. The goal of this section is to give a quick definition of the modular curve X_G . While we could define X_G as a coarse moduli space, we will instead define it by explicitly giving its function field.

Let $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$, $d \mapsto \sigma_d$ be the group isomorphism for which $\sigma_d(\zeta_N) = \zeta_N^d$. We define $K_G = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)^{\det(G)}$ to be the subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ fixed by σ_d for all $d \in \det(G)$.

2.1. Modular functions. The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts by linear fractional transformations on the complex upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}$ and the extended upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let Γ be a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The quotient $\mathcal{X}_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}^*$ is a smooth compact Riemann surface (away from the cusps and elliptic points, use the analytic structure coming from $\mathcal{H}$ and extend to the full quotient). Denote the field of meromorphic functions on $\mathcal{X}_\Gamma$ by $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma)$.

Fix a positive integer N and let $\Gamma(N)$ be the congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of matrices that are congruent to the identity modulo N . Every $f \in \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma(N)})$ gives rise to a meromorphic function on $\mathcal{H}$ that satisfies

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f) q_N^n$$

for all $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ with sufficiently large imaginary component, where $q_N := e^{2\pi i \tau / N}$ and the $a_n(f)$ are unique complex numbers which are nonzero for only finitely many $n < 0$. This Laurent series in q_N is called the q -expansion of f (at the cusp at infinity) and it determines f uniquely. Let $\mathcal{F}_N$ be the subfield of $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma(N)})$ consisting of all meromorphic functions f for which $a_n(f)$ lies in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For example, $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathbb{Q}(j)$, where j is the modular j -invariant.

We now describe a right action $*$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{F}_N$. Note that the following two lemmas are both consequences of Theorem 6.6 and Proposition 6.9 of [Shi94].

Lemma 2.1. *There is a unique right action $*$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ on the field $\mathcal{F}_N$ such that the following hold for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_N$:*

- For $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, we have $(f * A)(\tau) = f(\gamma\tau)$, where $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is any matrix congruent to A modulo N .
- For $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, the q -expansion of $f * A$ is $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_d(a_n(f)) q_N^n$.

For each subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, let $\mathcal{F}_N^G$ be the subfield of $\mathcal{F}_N$ fixed by G under the action $*$ of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2.

- (i) *The matrix $-I$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{F}_N$ and the right action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}$ on $\mathcal{F}_N$ is faithful.*
- (ii) *We have $\mathcal{F}_N^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})} = \mathcal{F}_1 = \mathbb{Q}(j)$ and $\mathcal{F}_N^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)(j)$.*
- (iii) *The field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ is algebraically closed in $\mathcal{F}_N$.*

2.2. Modular curves. Take any subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. From Lemma 2.2, we find that the field $\mathcal{F}_N^G$ has transcendence degree 1 and that K_G is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathcal{F}_N^G$. We define the **modular curve** X_G to be the smooth, projective and geometrically irreducible curve over K_G that has function field $\mathcal{F}_N^G$. We have $j \in \mathcal{F}_N^G = K_G(X_G)$ which gives a nonconstant morphism

$$j: X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K_G}^1$$

whose degree we denote by μ .

Let $\bar{G}$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ generated by G and $-I$. Observe that $\mathcal{F}_N^G = \mathcal{F}_N^{\bar{G}}$ and hence $X_G = X_{\bar{G}}$. We have $\mu = [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) : \bar{G} \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})]$.

Let Γ_G be the congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of those matrices whose image modulo N lies in $\bar{G} \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$; it contains $-I$. We have an inclusion $\mathbb{C} \cdot K_G(X_G) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma_G})$ of fields that both have degree $\mu = [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_G]$ over $\mathbb{C}(j)$. Therefore, $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma_G}) = \mathbb{C}(X_G)$. Using this equality of function fields, we shall identify $X_G(\mathbb{C})$ with the Riemann surface $\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma_G}$.

2.3. Cusps. Fix notation as in §2.2. Let $\mathcal{C}_G$ be the set of cusps of $\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma_G} = X_G(\mathbb{C})$. i.e., the set of orbits of Γ_G on $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ or equivalently the points above ∞ under the morphism j . We have $\mathcal{C}_G \subseteq X_G(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$, see Lemma 2.3(ii).

Let c_∞ be the cusp at infinity, i.e., the orbit containing ∞ . We have a bijection

$$\Gamma_G \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_G, \quad \Gamma_G AU \mapsto A \cdot c_\infty,$$

where U is the group of upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ generated by $-I$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since the level of Γ_G divides N , we find that the cusp $A \cdot c_\infty$ of X_G depends only on A modulo N . In particular, it makes sense to talk about the cusp $A \cdot c_\infty$ for any $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

Now take any cusp $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$. We define w_c to be the ramification index of c over ∞ with respect to the morphism $j: X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K_G}^1$. Equivalently, w_c is the width of the cusp c for the congruence subgroup Γ_G . The integer w_c divides N . Since μ is the degree of $j: X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K_G}^1$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G} w_c = \mu.$$

Take any $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ for which $A \cdot c_\infty = c$. Then for any $f \in \mathbb{C}(X_G)$, the q -expansion of $f * A \in \mathcal{F}_N$ is a Laurent series in the variable q_{w_c} .

2.4. The modular curve $X(N)$. Let $X(N)$ be the modular curve corresponding to the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$; it is a curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ has a left action on the curve $X(N)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$ corresponding to the right action on the function field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)(X(N)) = \mathcal{F}_N$ given in Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.3.

- (i) *The cusp c_∞ of $X(N)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.*
- (ii) *For any subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, we have $\mathcal{C}_G \subseteq X_G(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$. The set $\mathcal{C}_G$ is stable under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/K_G)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of cusps of $X(N)(\mathbb{C})$. From [Zyw24, Lemma 5.2], we find that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq X(N)(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$ and that c_∞ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Take any subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ and let $\pi: X(N)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)} \rightarrow (X_G)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$ be the morphism corresponding to the inclusion $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)(X_G) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_N = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)(X(N))$ of function fields. We have $\pi(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}_G$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}_G \subseteq \pi(X(N)(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))) \subseteq X_G(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$, where the last equality uses that π is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. Since $j: X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K_G}^1$ is defined over K_G , the set $j^{-1}(\infty) = \mathcal{C}_G \subseteq X_G(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$ is stable under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/K_G)$. $\square$

Remark 2.4. Suppose that $N > 2$. The modular curve $X(N)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$ has an alternate description as a fine moduli space. We refer to Deligne and Rapoport [DR73] where this theory is fully developed. They define a smooth projective curve M_N over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ that is the moduli space for generalized elliptic curves with a level N structure (we use $N > 2$ to ensure the moduli problem is represented by a scheme and we have also base extended to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ from the ring $\mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_N]$). The curve M_N has $\phi(N)$ irreducible components. Our curve $X(N)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$ can be identified with an irreducible C component of M_N (in particular, the irreducible component consisting of generalized elliptic curves with a level N structure so that the Weil pairing of the basis is ζ_N). To prove this identification one need only identify the function field of C with $\mathcal{F}_N = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)(X(N))$; thus can be done using the material in [DR73, Chapter VII, §4] which compares modular forms with the classical theory.

For every $f \in \mathbb{Q}(X(N))$, its q -expansion (at c_∞) lies in $\mathbb{Q}[[q_N]]$ and it lies in $\mathbb{Q}[[q_N]]$ when f is regular at c_∞ . So with $\mathcal{O}$ the local ring of rational functions on $X(N)$ that are regular at c_∞ , q -expansions induces an isomorphism between the completion of $\mathcal{O}$ with $\mathbb{Q}[[q_N]]$. Equivalently, q -expansions induces an isomorphism between the formal completion of the curve $X(N)$ along c_∞ with the formal spectrum of $\mathbb{Q}[[q_N]]$.

Now consider any field $F \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$. If $\mathcal{O}$ is the local ring of rational functions on $X(N)_F$ that are regular at c_∞ , then we obtain an isomorphism between the completion of $\mathcal{O}$ with $F[[q_N]]$. So for any $f \in F(X(N)_F) = F(X(N))$, we obtain a q -expansion in $F((q_N))$ that lies in $F[[q_N]]$ when f is regular at c_∞ .

Consider any field $F \supseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $X(N)_F$ and hence acts on $F(X(N))$. The field $F(X(N))$ is the compositum of F and $\mathcal{F}_N$. The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ acts on the field $F(X(N))$ as the identity on F and as our action $*$ on $\mathcal{F}_N$; we also denote this action by $*$.

3. ANALYTIC EXPANSIONS AT THE CUSPS

Fix an integer $N > 2$. Fix a number field $L \supseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ and a place v of L .

Take any cusp c of $X(N)$ and choose an $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ for which $A \cdot c_\infty = c$. Consider a rational function f in $\bar{L}_v(X(N))$. As noted in §2.4, $f * A$ has a q -expansion in $\bar{L}_v((q_N))$ that we denote by $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f * A)q_N^n$. We will show that for all points in $X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$ near c , but maybe not equal to c , the function f can be expressed analytically in terms of the Laurent series of the q -expansion of $f * A$. In order to make this precise, we will define a subset $\Omega_{c,v} \subseteq X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$ that only contains the one cusp c and whose interior is an open neighborhood of c .

For a subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, we will also define similar subsets $\Omega_{c,v}$ of $X_G(\bar{L}_v)$ in §3.2.

3.1. The modular curve $X(N)$. As noted in §2.4, the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $X(N)_F$ and $F(X(N))$ for any field $F \supseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. For each $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, let ι_A be the corresponding automorphism of $X(N)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$. For any place v of L , ι_A gives a homeomorphism $X(N)(\bar{L}_v) \xrightarrow{\sim} X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$. Define the open ball $B_v := \{a \in \bar{L}_v : |a|_v < 1\}$ of $\bar{L}_v$.

Proposition 3.1. *Fix a place v of L . Take a matrix $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ and define the cusp $c := A \cdot c_\infty$ of $X(N)$. Then there is a unique continuous map*

$$\psi_{A,v} : B_v \rightarrow X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$$

such that the following hold:

- (a) *Take any rational function $f \in \bar{L}_v(X(N))$ and let r be the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n(f * A)x^n$ in $\bar{L}_v[[x]]$. Then for all nonzero $t \in B_v$ with $|t|_v < r$, we have*

$$f(\psi_{A,v}(t)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f * A)t^n$$

in $\bar{L}_v$.

- (b) *We have $\psi_{A,v}(0) = c$. For any nonzero $t \in B_v$, $\psi_{A,v}(t)$ is not a cusp and*

$$j(\psi_{A,v}(t)) = J(t^N)$$

in $\bar{L}_v$, where $J(q) = q^{-1} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}((q))$ is the q -expansion of j . When v is finite, we have $|j(\psi_{A,v}(t))|_v = |t|_v^{-N} > 1$ for all nonzero $t \in B_v$.

We have $\psi_{A,v} = \iota_A \circ \psi_{I,v}$.

Proof. We first assume that there is a unique continuous map $\psi_{I,v} : B_v \rightarrow X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$ satisfying (a) and (b). Define the continuous map $\psi_{A,v} := \iota_A \circ \psi_{I,v} : B_v \rightarrow X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$. We have $\psi_{A,v}(0) = \iota_A(\psi_{I,v}(0)) = \iota_A(c_\infty) = A \cdot c_\infty = c$. Take any $f \in \bar{L}_v(X(N))$. We have $f * A \in \bar{L}_v(X(N))$. Let r be the radius of convergence of $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n(f * A)x^n \in \bar{L}_v[[x]]$. For any nonzero $t \in B_v$ with $|t|_v < r$, we have

$$f(\psi_{A,v}(t)) = f(\iota_A(\psi_{I,v}(t))) = (f * A)(\psi_{I,v}(t)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f * A)t^n \in \bar{L}_v,$$

where the last equality uses our assumption that $\psi_{I,v}$ exists with the expected properties. For any nonzero $t \in B_v$, we also have $j(\psi_{A,v}(t)) = j(\iota_A(\psi_{I,v}(t))) = (j * A)(\psi_{I,v}(t)) = j(\psi_{I,v}(t))$. So (b) holds for $\psi_{A,v}$ by the corresponding property that we have assumed holds for $\psi_{I,v}$. Therefore, $\psi_{A,v}$ satisfies (a) and (b).

We now show that $\psi_{A,v}$ is unique. A similar argument as above shows that for any continuous $\psi_{A,v}$ satisfying (a) and (b), the map $\iota_A^{-1} \circ \psi_{A,v} : B_v \rightarrow X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$ is continuous and satisfies the same properties (a) and (b) as $\psi_{I,v}$. By our assumption that $\psi_{I,v}$ is unique, we deduce that $\psi_{I,v} = \iota_A^{-1} \circ \psi_{A,v}$. This proves the uniqueness of $\psi_{A,v}$ and that $\psi_{A,v} = \iota_A \circ \psi_{I,v}$.

So without loss of generality, we may assume that $A = I$ and hence $c = c_\infty$.

Before starting the case where v is infinite, let us recall the classic situation over $\mathbb{C}$. Define $B := \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < 1\}$. Let

$$\pi : \mathcal{H} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow \Gamma(N) \backslash \mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{X}_{\Gamma(N)} = X(N)(\mathbb{C})$$

be the natural quotient map with the last equality being the identification from §2.2. The map π can be expressed as the composition of $\mathcal{H} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow B$, $\tau \mapsto e^{2\pi i \tau / N}$ (where $\infty \mapsto 0$) with a unique function

$\psi: B \rightarrow X(N)(\mathbb{C})$. The map ψ is continuous and its image is all of $X(N)(\mathbb{C})$ except for those cusps that are not c_∞ . We have $\psi(0) = c_\infty$ and $\psi(t)$ is not a cusp for all nonzero $t \in B$. Take any $f \in \mathbb{C}(X(N)) = \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma(N)})$ that is regular at c_∞ . Let $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f) q_N^n \in \mathbb{C}((q_N))$ be the q -expansion of f and let r be its radius of convergence of $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n(f) x^n \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$. Take any nonzero $t \in B$ with $|t| < r$ and choose a $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ for which $t = e^{2\pi i \tau / N}$. We have

$$f(\psi(t)) = (f \circ \pi)(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f) e^{2\pi i \tau n / N} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f) t^n.$$

In the special case $f = j$, we have a q -expansion $J(q) = q^{-1} + 744 + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ and hence $j(\psi(t)) = J(t^N)$ for all nonzero $t \in B_v$.

We now consider the case where v is infinite. Fix an embedding $\sigma: L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies $|a|_v = |\sigma(a)|$ for all $a \in L$. By continuity, σ extends uniquely to an isomorphism $\bar{\sigma}: \bar{L}_v = L_v \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ of fields that respects absolute values (the field L_v is not real since it contains ζ_N with $N > 2$). Since $X(N)$ and the cusp c_∞ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, $\bar{\sigma}$ induces a homeomorphism $\bar{\sigma}_*: X(N)(\bar{L}_v) \xrightarrow{\sim} X(N)(\mathbb{C})$ that maps c_∞ to itself. Define the continuous function

$$\psi_{I,v}: B_v \xrightarrow{\sim} B \xrightarrow{\psi} X(N)(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} X(N)(\bar{L}_v),$$

where the first map is given by $\bar{\sigma}$ and the third map is the inverse of $\bar{\sigma}_*$. The image of $\psi_{I,v}$ is equal to $X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$ with all the cusps except c_∞ removed since ψ has this property. The desired properties for $\psi_{I,v}$ are now immediate consequences of the analogous properties of ψ .

The process of q -expansions induces an isomorphism between the formal completion of the curve $X(N)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$ along c_∞ with the formal spectrum of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)[[q_N]]$. We will require a stronger version of Deligne and Rapoport that we now recall. Define the ring $R := (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N][[q_N]]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$; we can view it as a subring of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)[[q_N]]$. From Deligne and Rapoport [DR73, Chapter VII, Corollary 2.4] with Remark 2.4, the Tate curve produces a morphism

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Spec } R \rightarrow X(N)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$$

that induces an isomorphism between the formal completion of $X(N)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$ along c_∞ and the formal spectrum of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)[[q_N]]$.

We now consider a finite place v of L . Define the $\bar{L}_v$ -algebra $R' := R \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)} \bar{L}_v$. Take any $t \in B_v$. Evaluating the power series in $R' \subseteq \bar{L}_v[[q_N]]$ at t gives a homomorphism $\phi_t: R' \rightarrow \bar{L}_v$ of $\bar{L}_v$ -algebras. Composing $\phi_t^*: \text{Spec } \bar{L}_v \rightarrow \text{Spec } R'$ with the morphism $\text{Spec } R' \rightarrow X(N)_{\bar{L}_v}$ obtained from base changing (3.1) produces a point $\psi_{I,v}(t)$ in $X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$. We thus have defined a map

$$\psi_{I,v}: B_v \rightarrow X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$$

and it is continuous. Note that $\psi_{I,v}(0) = c$.

Take any $t \in B_v$ and let R_t be the subring of $\bar{L}_v[[q_N]]$ consisting of those series whose radius of convergence is strictly greater than $|t|_v$. By base changing (3.1) by $\bar{L}_v$ and using the inclusion $R' \subseteq R_t$, we obtain a morphism $\text{Spec } R_t \rightarrow X(N)_{\bar{L}_v}$ that induces an isomorphism between the formal completion of $X(N)_{\bar{L}_v}$ at c_∞ and the formal spectrum of the power series ring $\bar{L}_v[[q_N]]$. Evaluating power series in R_t at t induces a morphism $\text{Spec } \bar{L}_v \rightarrow \text{Spec } R_t$ which after composing with $\text{Spec } R_t \rightarrow X(N)_{\bar{L}_v}$ gives the $\bar{L}_v$ -point $\psi_{I,v}(t)$. So for any rational function $f \in \bar{L}_v(X(N))$ regular at c_∞ for which $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n(f) q_N^n \in \bar{L}_v[[x]]$ lies in R_t , we have $f(\psi_{I,v}(t)) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n(f) t^n$.

The function j^{-1} is regular at c_∞ and its q -expansion is $h(q) := J(q)^{-1} = q - 744q^2 + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]] \subseteq R$. Take any nonzero $t \in B_v$. We have $j^{-1}(\psi_{I,v}(t)) = t^N - 744t^{2N} + \dots = h(t^N)$ in $\bar{L}_v$. Since $|t|_v < 1$, this series implies that $|j^{-1}(\psi_{I,v}(t))|_v = |t|_v^N$. In particular, $j^{-1}(\psi_{I,v}(t))$ is nonzero since t is nonzero. Therefore, $\psi_{I,v}(t)$ is not a cusp and $j(\psi_{I,v}(t)) = h(t^N)^{-1} = J(t^N)$. We have also shown that $|j(\psi_{I,v}(t))|_v = |t|_v^{-N} > 1$.

We have now shown that $\psi_{I,v}: B_v \rightarrow X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$ satisfies (b). It also satisfies (a) since we have shown that (a) holds for $f \in \bar{L}_v(X(N))$ regular at c_∞ and for j (for any $f \in \bar{L}_v(X(N))$, there is an integer m for which $f \cdot j^m$ is regular at c).

Finally, it remains to prove the uniqueness of $\psi_{I,v}$; we have already proved the existence. For $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$, let $\wp(z; \tau)$ be the Weierstrass elliptic function for the lattice $\mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. For integers $0 \leq r, s < N$ that are not

both zero, define the function $x_{(r,s)}(\tau) := 36E_4(\tau)E_6(\tau)\Delta(\tau)^{-1} \cdot (2\pi i)^{-2}\wp(\frac{r}{N} \cdot \tau + \frac{s}{N}; \tau)$, where E_4 and E_6 are the usual Eisenstein series of weight 4 and 6, respectively, on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The function $x_{(r,s)}$ lies in $\mathcal{F}_N$ with cusps only at the poles, see [Zyw24, Lemma 6.1]. The q -expansion of $12 \cdot (2\pi i)^{-2}\wp(\frac{r}{N} \cdot \tau + \frac{s}{N}; \tau)$ is of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q_N^n$ with $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ and $|a_n|_v \ll n$ for $n \geq 1$, see the proof of [Zyw24, Lemma 6.1] for the explicit q -expansion. From this, we deduce that the q -expansion of each $x_{(r,s)}$ will have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) \subseteq L$ and will have radius of convergence at least 1 when viewed as having coefficients in $\bar{L}_v$. In particular, the value $x_{(r,s)}(\psi_{I,v}(t))$ depends only on t by (a) (and not the choice of $\psi_{I,v}$). By (b), $j(\psi_{I,v}(t))$ also depends only on t . However, the functions $x_{(r,s)}$ along with j generate the field $\mathcal{F}_N$, cf. [Zyw24, Lemma 6.1], and hence also generate $\bar{L}_v(X(N))$ over $\bar{L}_v$. This implies that $\psi_{I,v}(t)$ does not depend on the choice of $\psi_{I,v}$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of cusps of $X(N)$; it is a subset of $X(N)(L)$ by Lemma 2.3(ii). Take any cusp $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and any place v of L . Choose an $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ for which $A \cdot c_{\infty} = c$. With $\psi_{A,v}$ as in Proposition 3.1, define the following subset of $X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$:

$$\Omega_{c,v} := \begin{cases} \psi_{A,v}(B_v) & \text{if } v \text{ is finite,} \\ \psi_{A,v}(\{t \in \bar{L}_v : |t|_v \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}/N}\}) & \text{if } v \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

The only cusp in the set $\Omega_{c,v}$ is c .

Lemma 3.2. *Take any place v of L .*

- (i) *For each cusp $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $\Omega_{c,v}$ does not depend on the choice of $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ for which $A \cdot c_{\infty} = c$.*
- (ii) *If v is finite, then $\bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \Omega_{c,v} = \{P \in X(N)(\bar{L}_v) : P \text{ a cusp or } |j(P)|_v > 1\}$.*
- (iii) *If v is infinite, then $\bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \Omega_{c,v} = X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$.*

Proof. Consider any integer b . We have $e^{2\pi i(\tau+b)/N} = \zeta_N^b q_N$. So for a matrix $U = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{F}_N$, the q -expansion of $f * U$ is $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f * U) q_N^n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f) \zeta_N^{bn} q_N^n$. The same thing thus holds for the q -expansion of $f * U$ for any $f \in \bar{L}_v(X(N))$.

Take any matrices $A, A' \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ for which $A \cdot c_{\infty} = A' \cdot c_{\infty}$. We have $A = A' \cdot U$ for some matrix U of the form $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. For any $f \in \bar{L}_v(X(N))$, the q -expansion of $f * A$ is $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f * A) q_N^n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n((f * A') * U) q_N^n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f * A') \zeta_N^{bn} q_N^n$. From the uniqueness of $\psi_{A,v}$ in Proposition 3.1, we deduce that $\psi_{A,v}(t) = \psi_{A',v}(\zeta_N^b t)$ for all $t \in B_v$. Part (i) follows immediately since $|\zeta_N^b|_v = 1$.

Since $\psi_{A,v} = \iota_A \circ \psi_{I,v}$ by Proposition 3.1, we find that $\mathcal{S} := \bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \Omega_{c,v}$ is equal to the set

$$\bigcup_{A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})} \iota_A(\Omega_{c_{\infty},v}).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$ is stable under the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ acts transitively on the fibers of $j: X(N)(\bar{L}_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{L}_v)$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{S} = \{P \in X(N)(\bar{L}_v) : j(P) \in j(\mathcal{S})\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{S}$ contains all the cusps $\mathcal{C}$, we need only compute the set $j(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{C}) \subseteq \bar{L}_v$ to prove (ii) and (iii).

First suppose that v is finite. We have $j(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{C}) \subseteq \{a \in \bar{L}_v : |a|_v > 1\}$ by Proposition 3.1(b). Now take any $a \in \bar{L}_v$ with $|a|_v > 1$. By [Sil94, Chapter V Lemma 5.1], there is a nonzero $t \in \bar{L}_v$ with $|t|_v < 1$ such that $a = J(t^N)$ with J as in Proposition 3.1(b). By Proposition 3.1(b), we have $a = J(t^N) = j(\psi_{I,v}(t)) \in j(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{C})$. Therefore, $j(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{C}) = \{a \in \bar{L}_v : |a|_v > 1\}$ and hence we obtain (ii) from (3.2).

Finally suppose that v is infinite. Using (3.2), we need only verify that $j(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{C}) = \bar{L}_v$ to prove part (iii). We have

$$j(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{C}) = \bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} j(\Omega_{c,v} - \{c\}) = \{J(t^N) : t \in \bar{L}_v - \{0\}, |t|_v \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}/N}\},$$

where the last equality uses the definition of $\Omega_{c,v}$ and Proposition 3.1(b). So after choosing an isomorphism $\bar{L}_v \cong \mathbb{C}$ that respects absolute values, we need only show that every $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is of the form $J(t^N)$ for some nonzero $t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|t| \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}/N}$. Take any $a \in \mathbb{C}$. There is a $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ for which $j(\tau) = a$, where we are viewing j as a holomorphic function of the upper half-plane. Using the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{H}$, we may further assume that $|\tau| \geq 1$ and $-1/2 \leq \mathrm{Re}(\tau) \leq 1/2$. In particular, $\mathrm{Im}(\tau) \geq \sqrt{3}/2$. Define

$u = e^{2\pi i \tau} \in \mathbb{C}$. We have $0 < |u| \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}}$. Choose a $t \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $u = t^N$. We have $0 < |t| \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}/N}$ and $J(t^N) = J(u) = j(\tau) = a$. $\square$

Remark 3.3. Consider the cusp $c := c_\infty$. The approach in §3 of [BP11] is to view $t_c := q_N$ as a parameter on $X(N)$ that defines a v -analytic function on a neighborhood of c in $X(N)(\overline{L}_v)$ that maps c to 0. They describe an open neighborhood of c for which their function is defined and analytic; locally it is a homeomorphism whose inverse will agree with the restriction of our function $\psi_{I,v}$. Bilu and Parent take a similar approach for any cusp of a general modular curve X_G . In the setting of this section, our set $\Omega_{c,v}$ will agree with that in §3 of [BP11] when v is finite (our sets are larger when v is infinite).

3.2. The sets $\Omega_{c,v}$ for a general modular curve. Take any subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. The modular curve X_G is defined over $K_G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) \subseteq L$. Let $\pi: X(N)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)} \rightarrow (X_G)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$ be the natural morphism corresponding to the inclusion of function fields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)(X_G) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_N$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of cusps in $X(N)(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$.

Fix a place v of L . For each cusp $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$, we define the subset

$$\Omega_{c,v} := \pi\left(\bigcup_{c' \in \mathcal{C} \text{ } \pi(c')=c} \Omega_{c',v}\right)$$

of $X_G(\overline{L}_v)$, where the sets $\Omega_{c',v} \subseteq X(N)(\overline{L}_v)$ are from §3.1. The set $\Omega_{c,v}$ contains c and no other cusps.

Lemma 3.4. *For any place v of L , we have*

$$\bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G} \Omega_{c,v} = \begin{cases} \{P \in X_G(\overline{L}_v) : P \text{ is a cusp or } |j(P)|_v > 1\} & \text{if } v \text{ is finite,} \\ X_G(\overline{L}_v) & \text{if } v \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have $\bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G} \Omega_{c,v} = \pi(\bigcup_{c' \in \mathcal{C}} \Omega_{c',v})$. The lemma now follows from Lemma 3.2. $\square$

4. PROPERTIES OF φ

Fix an integer $N > 2$ and let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ containing $-I$. Let μ be the degree of the morphism $j: X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K_G}^1$. The group Gal_{K_G} acts on the set of cusps $\mathcal{C}_G$ of X_G by Lemma 2.3(ii).

Let Σ be a proper subset of $\mathcal{C}_G$ that is stable under the Gal_{K_G} -action. Let m be the smallest positive integer for which $m \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G - \Sigma} w_c > g$, where g is the genus of X_G . Fix a number field $L \supseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. Define the real numbers

$$\beta := 2(2^3 4.5^{36m} N^{108m+15} m^{72m+1})^{m\mu+1} 4.5^{12m} N^{36m+4},$$

$C := 96.6 \cdot 0.1^{24m} N^{90m+4}$ and $C' := 22.16 N^{144m+7} 0.024^{24m}$.

The following theorem gives the existence of a rational function $\varphi \in K_G(X_G)$ suitable for our application of Runge's method; it makes use of the sets $\Omega_{c,v} \subseteq X_G(\overline{L}_v)$ from §3.2. The proof will given in §10 after several sections discussing modular forms.

Theorem 4.1. *Fix notation and assumptions as above. There is a nonconstant function $\varphi \in K_G(X_G)$ that satisfies the following properties:*

- (a) *The function φ is integral over $\mathbb{Z}[j]$, i.e., φ is the root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[j]$.*
- (b) *The function φ has no poles away from the cusps of X_G .*
- (c) *Take any cusp $c \in \Sigma$. The function φ is regular at c . Moreover, $\varphi(c)$ lies in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ and satisfies $|\varphi(c)|_v \leq \beta m^{24m}$ for all infinite places v of L .*
- (d) *For any cusp $c \in \Sigma$, place v of L and point $P \in \Omega_{c,v} - \{c\}$, we have*

$$|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq \begin{cases} |j(P)|_v^{-1/w_c} & \text{if } v \text{ is finite,} \\ |j(P)|_v^{-1/w_c} \cdot \beta C & \text{if } v \text{ is infinite and } |j(P)|_v > 3500, \\ \beta C/2 & \text{if } v \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

- (e) *Let K be any number field with $K_G \subseteq K \subseteq L$ and let Σ' be a Gal_K -orbit of Σ . Let w be the integer for which $w_c = w$ for all $c \in \Sigma'$. Then there is a nonzero $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that the following hold:*

- *We have $|\xi|_v \leq (\beta C')^{w|\Sigma'|}$ for all infinite place v of L .*

- Consider any point $P \in Y_G(K)$ for which $\varphi(P) = \varphi(c)$ and $P \in \Omega_{c,v}$ for some cusp $c \in \Sigma'$ and place v of L . Then

$$|\xi|_v \leq \begin{cases} |j(P)|_v^{-w} & \text{if } v \text{ is finite,} \\ |j(P)|_v^{-w} \cdot (\beta C')^{w|\Sigma'|} & \text{if } v \text{ is infinite and } |j(P)|_v > 3500. \end{cases}$$

For later use, we now give some simple bounds on m and μ in terms of N .

Lemma 4.2. *Fix notation as above.*

- (i) We have $\mu \leq \frac{1}{2}N^3$, $\mu + 1 \leq \frac{29}{54}N^3$ and $\mu + 2 \leq \frac{31}{54}N^3$.
- (ii) We have $m \leq \frac{1}{24}N^3$.

Proof. Since G contains $-I$, we have $\mu = [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \cap G]$. In particular, μ is a divisor of $|\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}|$ and thus $\mu \leq N^3/2$. Take any nonnegative real numbers b . From our bound on μ and $N \geq 3$, we have $\mu + b \leq (1/2 + b/27)N^3$. Part (i) is obtained by taking specific values of b .

We now bound m . We have $m \leq g + 1$ since $\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G - \Sigma} w_c \geq 1$. For every congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of level at most 5, X_Γ has genus 0, see [CP03]. So if $N \leq 5$, then $g = 0$ and hence $m = 1$; the bound $m \leq \frac{1}{24}N^3$ is immediate since $N \geq 3$. We can now assume that $N \geq 6$. By [Shi94, Proposition 1.40], we have $g + 1 \leq \mu/12 + 3/2$, where we have used that X_G has at least one cusp. If μ is a proper divisor of $|\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}|$, then $\mu \leq N^3/4$ and hence

$$m \leq g + 1 \leq \frac{1}{48}N^3 + \frac{3}{2} \leq \frac{1}{48}N^3 + \frac{3}{2 \cdot 6^3}N^3 \leq \frac{1}{24}N^3.$$

Finally assume that $\mu = |\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}|$ and hence $G \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. In this case, we have $g = 1 + \mu(N - 6)/(12N)$, cf. [Shi94, Equation (1.6.4)]. Therefore,

$$m \leq g + 1 \leq 2 + \frac{1}{2}N^3 \frac{N-6}{12N} \leq \frac{1}{24}N^3 - \frac{1}{4}N^2 + 2 \leq \frac{1}{24}N^3. \quad \square$$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Take any point P in $Y_G(K)$ with $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$. Let S' be the set of places v of K for which v is infinite or $|j(P)|_v > 1$. We have $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S'}$ and $|S'| \leq |S| < \mathfrak{c}_{G,K}$. So without loss of generality, we may assume that $S = S'$, i.e., a finite place v of K lies in S if and only if $|j(P)|_v > 1$.

We have $K_G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $K_G \subseteq K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Let $\bar{K}$ be the algebraic closure of K in $\mathbb{C}$ and define $\mathrm{Gal}_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Define the field $L := K(\zeta_N)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_G$ be the set of cusps in $X_G(\mathbb{C})$; we have $\mathcal{C}_G \subseteq X_G(L)$ by Lemma 2.3(ii).

Take any $v \in S$; it is a place of K . We choose a place of L that extends v which, by abuse of notation, we also denote by v . This choice of place of L will ultimately not matter since we are interested in $|j(P)|_v$ which does not depend on the choice of extension since $j(P) \in K$. As in §3, we define a subset $\Omega_{c,v} \subseteq X_G(\bar{L}_v)$ for each cusp $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$. By Lemma 3.4, there is a cusp $c_v \in \mathcal{C}_G$ for which P lies in $\Omega_{c_v,v}$. Intuitively, c_v is a cusp that is “nearby” P in $X_G(\bar{L}_v)$.

Let Σ be the minimal subset of $\mathcal{C}_G$ that contains $\{c_v : v \in S\}$ and is stable under the Gal_K -action. The action of Gal_K on Σ clearly has at most $|S|$ orbits. We have $|S| < \mathfrak{c}_{G,K}$ by assumption and hence Σ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{C}_G$. The set Σ is nonempty since S is nonempty.

Let m be the smallest positive integer for which $m \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G - \Sigma} w_c > g$, where g is the genus of X_G . Let $\varphi \in K_G(X_G) \subseteq K(X_G)$ be a nonconstant function satisfying all the properties of Theorem 4.1 with respect to the set Σ and the field L .

Let $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_h$ be the Gal_K -orbits of Σ . For each $1 \leq i \leq h$, the values w_c agree as we vary over all $c \in \Sigma_i$; we denote this common integer by w_i .

We now fix an integer $1 \leq i \leq h$. Let S_i be the set of places $v \in S$ such that $c_v \in \Sigma_i$ and such that $|j(P)|_v > 3500$ if v is infinite. Our goal is to find an upper bound for $\sum_{v \in S_i} d_v \log |j(P)|_v$, where the integers d_v are defined in §1.2. Once this is done we will combine these bounds for all i to obtain an upper bound for $h(j(P))$.

The function φ is regular at all $c \in \Sigma_i$ by Theorem 4.1(c). Define the function

$$g_i := \prod_{c \in \Sigma_i} (\varphi - \varphi(c)).$$

We now give some basic properties of g_i .

Lemma 5.1.

- (i) *The rational function g_i lies in $K(X_G)$ and any pole of g_i is a cusp of X_G that does not lie in the set Σ .*
- (ii) *We have $g_i(c) = 0$ for all $c \in \Sigma_i$.*
- (iii) *We have $\varphi(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ and $g_i(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$.*

Proof. For any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_K$ and $c \in \Sigma_i$, we have $\sigma(\varphi(c)) = \varphi(\sigma(c))$ since $\varphi \in K(X_G)$. Since Σ_i is stable under the Gal_K -action, the polynomial $Q_i(x) := \prod_{c \in \Sigma_i} (x - \varphi(c))$ lies in $K[x]$. Moreover, $Q_i(x) \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$ since $\varphi(c)$ is algebraic for all $c \in \Sigma$ by Theorem 4.1(c). Therefore, $g_i = Q_i(\varphi)$ is an element of $K(X_G)$. Since $g_i = Q_i(\varphi)$, any pole of g_i will also be a pole of φ . Part (i) thus follows from Theorem 4.1(b) and (c). Part (ii) is immediate from the definition of g_i .

We have $g_i = Q_i(\varphi)$ and hence $g_i(P) = Q_i(\varphi(P))$; the functions are regular at P since P is not a cusp. Since $Q_i(x)$ is a polynomial in $\mathcal{O}_K[x]$, to prove (iii) it suffices to show that $\varphi(P)$ lies in $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$. Since φ and P are defined over K , we have $\varphi(P) \in K$. By property (a) of Theorem 4.1, φ is the root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[j]$. Therefore, $\varphi(P)$ is the root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[j(P)] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$. We thus have $\varphi(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ since $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is integrally closed. $\square$

Define the numbers

$$\beta := 2(2^3 4.5^{36m} N^{108m+15} m^{72m+1})^{m\mu+1} 4.5^{12m} N^{36m+4}$$

and $C := 96.6 \cdot 0.1^{24m} N^{90m+4}$. We now bound the absolute value of $g_i(P)$ at infinite places.

Lemma 5.2. *For any infinite place v of K , we have*

$$|g_i(P)|_v \leq \begin{cases} (\beta C)^{|\Sigma_i|} \cdot |j(P)|_v^{-1/w_i} & \text{if } v \in S_i, \\ (\beta C)^{|\Sigma_i|} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Recall we have chosen a place of L extending v that we also denoted by v . We have also chosen a cusp $c_v \in \Sigma$ such that $P \in \Omega_{c_v, v}$. Since P is not a cusp, Theorem 4.1(d) implies that $|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c_v)|_v \leq \beta C/2$. Take any cusp $c \in \Sigma$. By Theorem 4.1(c), we have $|\varphi(c)|_v \leq \beta m^{24m}$. By using the bound on m from Lemma 4.2, one can show that $|\varphi(c)|_v \leq \beta C/4$.

Take any $c \in \Sigma_i$. We have $|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq |\varphi(P) - \varphi(c_v)|_v + |\varphi(c_v) - \varphi(c)|_v$ and hence $|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq \beta C/2 + \beta C/4 + \beta C/4 = \beta C$. Therefore,

$$|g_i(P)|_v = \prod_{c \in \Sigma_i} |\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq (\beta C)^{|\Sigma_i|}.$$

Finally suppose that $v \in S_i$, i.e., $c_v \in \Sigma_i$ and $|j(P)|_v > 3500$. Note that $w_{c_v} = w_i$. We get the other inequality of the lemma in the same manner except also using the bound $|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c_v)|_v \leq |j(P)|_v^{-1/w_i} \cdot \beta C$ from Theorem 4.1(d). $\square$

We now bound the absolute value of $g_i(P)$ at finite places.

Lemma 5.3. *For any finite place v of K , we have*

$$|g_i(P)|_v \leq \begin{cases} |j(P)|_v^{-1/w_i} & \text{if } v \in S_i \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Take any finite place v of K . First suppose that $v \notin S$. We have $g_i(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ by Lemma 5.1(iii) and hence $|g_i(P)|_v \leq 1$.

We can now assume that $v \in S$ and hence $|j(P)|_v > 1$. Recall we have chosen a place of L extending v that we also denoted by v . We have also chosen a cusp $c_v \in \Sigma$ such that $P \in \Omega_{c_v, v}$. Since P is not a cusp, Theorem 4.1(d) implies that $|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c_v)|_v \leq |j(P)|_v^{-1/w_{c_v}}$. In particular, $|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c_v)|_v \leq 1$.

Take any cusp $c \in \Sigma$. Since $\varphi(c)$ and $\varphi(c_v)$ are integral by Theorem 4.1(c), we find that

$$|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq \max\{|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c_v)|_v, |\varphi(c_v) - \varphi(c)|_v\} \leq 1.$$

Therefore, $|g_i(P)| = \prod_{c \in \Sigma_i} |\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq 1$. Now assume that $v \in S_i$ and hence $c_v \in \Sigma_i$. Using $w_{c_v} = w_i$, we have $|g_i(P)| = \prod_{c \in \Sigma_i} |\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq |\varphi(P) - \varphi(c_v)|_v \leq |j(P)|_v^{-1/w_i}$. $\square$

Define $C' := 22.16N^{144m+7}0.024^{24m}$.

Lemma 5.4. *We have*

$$[K : \mathbb{Q}]^{-1} \sum_{v \in S_i} d_v \log |j(P)|_v \leq w_i |\Sigma_i| \log(\beta C').$$

Proof. We first assume that $g_i(P) \in K$ is nonzero. We have $\prod_{v \in M_K} |g_i(P)|_v^{d_v} = 1$ by the product formula. Using the upper bounds on $|g_i(P)|_v$ from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, we have

$$1 \leq \prod_{v \in M_{K,\infty}} (\beta C)^{d_v |\Sigma_i|} \cdot \prod_{v \in S_i} |j(P)|_v^{-d_v/w_i}.$$

Taking logarithms and using that $\sum_{v \in M_{K,\infty}} d_v = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ gives

$$[K : \mathbb{Q}]^{-1} \sum_{v \in S_i} d_v \log |j(P)|_v \leq w_i |\Sigma_i| \log(\beta C).$$

To prove the lemma in this case, it thus suffices to show that $C \leq C'$. We have

$$C'/C = \frac{22.16}{96.6} N^{54m+3} \left(\frac{0.024}{0.1}\right)^{24m} \geq \frac{22.16 \cdot 3^3}{96.6} (3^{54} \cdot \frac{0.024^{24}}{0.1^{24}})^m > 6 \cdot 10^{10m} > 1,$$

where we have used that $N \geq 3$.

We may now assume that $g_i(P) = 0$. We have $\varphi(P) = \varphi(c)$ for some $c \in \Sigma_i$. Since $\varphi(c) = \varphi(P)$ is in K , φ is defined over K and Σ_i has a transitive Gal_K -action, we find that $\varphi(P) = \varphi(c')$ for all $c' \in \Sigma_i$. With our fields $K_G \subseteq K \subseteq L$ and $\Sigma' := \Sigma_i$, let ξ be a nonzero element of $\mathcal{O}_K$ as in Theorem 4.1(e).

Take any place $v \in S_i$. We have $P \in \Omega_{c_v, v} - \{c_v\}$ with $c_v \in \Sigma_i$. Moreover, $|j(P)|_v > 3500$ if v is infinite. By Theorem 4.1(e), we have $|\xi|_v \leq |j(P)|_v^{-w_i}$ if v is finite and $|\xi|_v \leq |j(P)|_v^{-w_i} \cdot (\beta C')^{w_i |\Sigma_i|}$ if v is infinite.

For any infinite place $v \notin S_i$ of K , we have $|\xi|_v \leq (\beta C')^{w_i |\Sigma_i|}$ by Theorem 4.1(e). Since ξ is integral, we have $|\xi|_v \leq 1$ for all finite places $v \notin S_i$ of K . The product formula and the above inequalities give

$$1 = \prod_{v \in M_K} |\xi|_v^{d_v} \leq \prod_{v \in S_i} |j(P)|_v^{-w_i d_v} \cdot \prod_{v \in M_{K,\infty}} (\beta C')^{w_i |\Sigma_i| d_v}.$$

By taking logarithms and using $\sum_{v \in M_{K,\infty}} d_v = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, we obtain

$$[K : \mathbb{Q}]^{-1} \sum_{v \in S_i} d_v \log |j(P)|_v \leq |\Sigma_i| \log(\beta C') \leq w_i |\Sigma_i| \log(\beta C'). \quad \square$$

Recall that a finite place v of K lies in S if and only if $|j(P)|_v > 1$. A place $v \in S$ lies in S_i for some $1 \leq i \leq h$ if v is finite or $|j(P)|_v > 3500$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} h(j(P)) &= [K : \mathbb{Q}]^{-1} \sum_{v \in M_K} d_v \log \max\{1, |j(P)|_v\} \\ &\leq [K : \mathbb{Q}]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{v \in S_i} d_v \log |j(P)|_v + [K : \mathbb{Q}]^{-1} \sum_{v \in M_{K,\infty}} d_v \log 3500. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.4 and $\sum_{v \in M_{K,\infty}} d_v = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, we obtain

$$h(j(P)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^h w_i |\Sigma_i| \log(\beta C') + \log 3500.$$

Observe that $\sum_{i=1}^h w_i |\Sigma_i| \leq \sum_{c \in \Sigma} w_c \leq \mu$, where the last inequality follows from (2.1). Therefore,

$$(5.1) \quad h(j(P)) \leq \mu \log(\beta C') + \log 3500.$$

This is our explicit upper bound for $h(j(P))$; note that the numbers β and C' are both expressed solely in terms of μ , m and N .

We finish by making some estimates to produce worse, though more aesthetically pleasing, bounds for $h(j(P))$.

Lemma 5.5. *We have $h(j(P)) \leq 4(\mu + 4)^4 \log N$.*

Proof. Using $m \leq \frac{1}{24}N^3$ from Lemma 4.2, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &\leq 2(2^3 4.5^{36m} (\frac{1}{24})^{72m+1})^{m\mu+1} 4.5^{12m} \cdot N^{(324m+18)(m\mu+1)+(36m+4)} \\ &= 2(\frac{1}{3})^{m\mu+1} (\frac{4.5}{24^2})^{36m(m\mu+1)} 4.5^{12m} N^{(324m+18)(m\mu+1)+(36m+4)}\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\beta C' \leq 44.32(\frac{1}{3})^{m\mu+1} (\frac{4.5}{24^2})^{36m(m\mu+1)} (4.5 \cdot 0.024^2)^{12m} \cdot N^d,$$

where $d := (324m + 18)(m\mu + 1) + (36m + 4) + (144m + 7)$. We have $\mu \geq 2$ (since otherwise X_G has only one cusp and hence $1 \leq |S| < \mathfrak{c}_{G,K} = 1$). Using that $m \geq 1$ and $m\mu + 1 \geq 3$, we deduce that $\beta C' \leq 44.32(\frac{1}{3})^3 (\frac{4.5}{24^2})^{108} (4.5 \cdot 0.024^2)^{12} N^d$. Taking logarithms, we find that $\log(\beta C') \leq d \log N - 594.98$. Since $\mu \geq 2$, we have

$$\mu \log(\beta C') + \log 3500 \leq \mu d \log N - 2 \cdot 594.98 + \log 3500 \leq \mu d \log N - 1181.$$

In particular, $\mu \log(\beta C') + \log 3500 \leq \mu d \log N$ and hence $h(j(P)) \leq \mu d \log N$ by (5.1).

By [Shi94, Proposition 1.40], we have $g + 1 \leq \mu/12 + 1$, where we have used that X_G has at least two cusps due to the Runge condition on X_G . Using $m \leq g + 1 \leq \mu/12 + 1$, we obtain an upper bound for μd that is a polynomial in μ . In particular, $\mu d \leq f(\mu)$, where $f(x) = (9x^4 + 222x^3 + 1536x^2 + 2132x)/4$. One can readily check that $f(x) \leq 4(x + 4)^4$ holds for all $x \geq 0$. Therefore, $\mu d \leq 4(\mu + 4)^4$ and hence $h(j(P)) \leq \mu d \log N \leq 4(\mu + 4)^4 \log N$. $\square$

By Lemma 4.2, we have $\mu \leq N^3/2$ and hence $h(j(P)) \leq 4(N^3/2 + 4)^4 \log N$ by Lemma 5.5. Using that $N \geq 3$, one can check that $4(N^3/2 + 4)^4 \leq N^{12}$ and hence $h(j(P)) \leq N^{12} \log N$.

6. BACKGROUND: MODULAR FORMS

In this section, we recall some facts about modular forms. For the basics on modular forms see [Shi94].

6.1. Notation. Recall that the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts by linear fractional transformations on the complex upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}$ and the extended upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$. Consider an integer $k \geq 0$. For a meromorphic function f on $\mathcal{H}$ and a matrix $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, define the meromorphic function $f|_k \gamma$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by $(f|_k \gamma)(\tau) := (c\tau + d)^{-k} f(\gamma\tau)$; we call this the **slash operator** of weight k .

For a congruence subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we denote by $M_k(\Gamma)$ the set of **modular forms** of weight k on Γ ; it is a finite dimensional complex vector space. Recall that each $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$ is a holomorphic function of the upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}$ that satisfies $f|_k \gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ along with the familiar growth condition at the cusps. For each modular form $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$, we have

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(f) q_w^n$$

for unique $a_n(f) \in \mathbb{C}$, where w is the width of the cusp ∞ of Γ and $q_w := e^{2\pi i \tau / w}$. We call this power series in q_w , the **q -expansion** of f (at the cusp ∞). Note that f is uniquely determined by its q -expansion and hence we can identify f with its q -expansion. For a subring R of $\mathbb{C}$, we denote by $M_k(\Gamma, R)$ the R -submodule of $M_k(\Gamma)$ consisting of modular forms whose q -expansion has coefficients in R .

6.2. Actions on $M_k(\Gamma(N))$. Fix positive integers N and k . Since $\Gamma(N)$ is normal in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the slash operator of weight k defines a right action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $M_k(\Gamma(N))$. Take any modular form $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(f) q_N^n$ in $M_k(\Gamma(N))$. For every field automorphism σ of $\mathbb{C}$, there is a unique modular form $\sigma(f) \in M_k(\Gamma(N))$ whose q -expansion is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma(a_n(f)) q_N^n$. This defines an action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ on $M_k(\Gamma)$.

The following lemma says that the above actions of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ induce a right action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ on $M_k(\Gamma(N))$. For each $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$, let σ_d be any automorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ for which $\sigma_d(\zeta_N) = \zeta_N^d$.

Lemma 6.1. *There is a unique right action $*$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ on $M_k(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$ such that the following hold:*

- if $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, then $f * A = f|_k \gamma$, where γ is any matrix in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ that is congruent to A modulo N ,
- if $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$, then $f * A = \sigma_d(f)$.

Proof. See [BN19, §3]. $\square$

6.3. The spaces $M_{k,G}$. Fix a positive integer N and let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. For each integer $k \geq 0$, define

$$M_{k,G} := M_k(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))^G,$$

i.e., the subgroup fixed by the G -action $*$ from Lemma 6.1. Note that $M_{k,G}$ is a vector space over $K_G = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)^{\det G}$. The following lemma explains how we will use modular forms to produce regular functions on X_G .

Lemma 6.2. *Fix an integer $k \geq 0$ and take any modular forms f and g in $M_{k,G}$ with $g \neq 0$. Then f/g is an element of $K_G(X_G) = \mathcal{F}_N^G$.*

Proof. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma(N)$, we have $(f/g)(\gamma\tau) = f(\gamma\tau)/g(\gamma\tau) = (f|_k \gamma)(\tau)/(g|_k \gamma)(\tau) = f(\tau)/g(\tau)$. Therefore, f/g is a modular function of level N . We have $f/g \in \mathcal{F}_N$ since the q -expansions of f and g both have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$.

For any $A \in G$, we have $(f/g) * A = (f * A)/(g * A) = f/g$, where the first $*$ -action is the one from Lemma 2.1. Therefore, f/g is an element of $\mathcal{F}_N^G = K_G(X_G)$. $\square$

Take any cusp $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$ of X_G . Choose an $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ for which $A \cdot c_\infty = c$, where c_∞ is the cusp at infinity. Set $w = w_c$. For any $f \in M_{k,G}$, the q -expansion of $f * A$ lies in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)[[q_w]]$. When f is nonzero, we define $\nu_c(f)$ to be the minimal integer n for which the coefficient of q_w^n in the q -expansion of $f * A$ is nonzero. When f is zero, we define $\nu_c(f) = +\infty$. Note that $\nu_c(f)$ does not depend on the choice of A . Now consider any $f, g \in M_{k,G}$ with g nonzero. We have $f/g \in K_G(X_G) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)(X_G)$ by Lemma 6.2. Moreover,

$$(6.1) \quad \mathrm{ord}_c(f/g) = \nu_c(f) - \nu_c(g).$$

6.4. Eisenstein series of weight 1. See [Kat04, §3] for the basics on Eisenstein series. For further information, we refer to §§2–3 of [BN19] where all the results below are summarized and referenced (except for the explicit constant c_0 in Lemma 6.3, see [Bru17, Lemma 3.1] instead). We will restrict our attention to weight 1 modular forms; our functions E_α are denoted $E_\alpha^{(1)}$ in [BN19].

Fix a positive integer N . Consider any pair $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ and choose $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\alpha \equiv (a, b) \pmod{N}$. With $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$, consider the series

$$(6.2) \quad E_\alpha(\tau, s) = \frac{1}{-2\pi i} \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau \\ \omega \neq -(a\tau + b)/N}} \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{N} + \omega \right)^{-1} \cdot \left| \frac{a\tau + b}{N} + \omega \right|^{-2s}.$$

The series (6.2) converges when the real part of $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is large enough. Hecke proved that $E_\alpha(\tau, s)$ can be analytically continued to all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Using this analytic continuation, we define the Eisenstein series

$$E_\alpha(\tau) := E_\alpha(\tau, 0).$$

For $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $E_\alpha|_1 \gamma = E_{\alpha\gamma}$, where $\alpha\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ denotes matrix multiplication. In particular, E_α is fixed by $\Gamma(N)$ under the slash operator of weight 1.

Lemma 6.3. *Take any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ be the image of (a, b) modulo N . The function E_α is a modular form of weight 1 on $\Gamma(N)$ with q -expansion*

$$c_0 + \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 1 \\ m \equiv a \pmod{N}}} \zeta_N^{bn} q_N^{mn} - \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 1 \\ m \equiv -a \pmod{N}}} \zeta_N^{-bn} q_N^{mn}$$

and $c_0 \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. Moreover,

$$c_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \equiv b \equiv 0 \pmod{N}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + \zeta_N^b}{1 - \zeta_N^b} & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \text{ and } b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}, \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{a_0}{N} & \text{if } a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}, \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq a_0 < N$ is the integer congruent to a modulo N .

The right action $*$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ on $M_1(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$, described in §6.2, acts on the Eisenstein series E_α in a pleasant manner.

Lemma 6.4. *We have $E_\alpha * A = E_{\alpha A}$ for all $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.*

6.5. Expressing modular forms in terms of Eisenstein series. Using the Eisenstein series of weight 1 from §6.4, we can generate higher weight modular forms.

Theorem 6.5 (Khuri-Makdisi). *Suppose $N > 2$. The $\mathbb{C}$ -subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k(\Gamma(N))$ generated by the Eisenstein series E_α with $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ contains all modular forms of weight k on $\Gamma(N)$ for all $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. This particular formulation of results of Khuri-Makdisi [KM12] is Theorem 3.1 of [BN19]. $\square$

The following is a direct consequence of the above theorem. It describes an explicit finite set of generators for $M_{k,G}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 6.6. *Fix integers $N > 2$ and $k \geq 2$. Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Then $M_{k,G}$, as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, is spanned by the set of modular forms of the form*

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{A \in G} \zeta_N^{j \det A} E_{\alpha_1 A} \cdots E_{\alpha_k A}$$

with $\alpha_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2 - \{0\}$ and $0 \leq j < [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Proof. Let S be the set of modular forms of the form $\zeta_N^j E_{\alpha_1} \cdots E_{\alpha_k}$ with pairs $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$ and an integer $0 \leq j < \phi(N) := [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) : \mathbb{Q}]$. Since $E_{(0,0)} = 0$, Theorem 6.5 implies that S spans the complex vector space $M_k(\Gamma(N))$. We have $S \subseteq M_k(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$ by Lemma 6.3. Since $k \geq 2$ and $N > 2$, the natural map $M_k(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_k(\Gamma(N))$ is an isomorphism of complex vector spaces, cf. [Kat73, §1.7]. Since $S \subseteq M_k(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$ spans $M_k(\Gamma(N))$, we deduce that S also spans the $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ -vector space $M_k(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$. Since $1, \zeta_N, \dots, \zeta_N^{\phi(N)-1}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$, we find S further spans $M_k(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Define the $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map $T: M_k(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)) \rightarrow M_{k,G}$, $f \mapsto \sum_{A \in G} f * A$. The map T is surjective since we have $T(f) = |G|f$ for all $f \in M_{k,G}$. Therefore, $M_{k,G}$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space is spanned by the set of $T(f)$ with $f \in S$. It remains to compute $T(f)$ for $f \in S$. Take any $f = \zeta_N^j E_{\alpha_1} \cdots E_{\alpha_k} \in S$. We have

$$T(f) = \sum_{A \in G} (\zeta_N^j E_{\alpha_1} \cdots E_{\alpha_k}) * A = \sum_{A \in G} \zeta_N^{j \det A} (E_{\alpha_1} * A) \cdots (E_{\alpha_k} * A).$$

Finally note that $T(f)$ equals (6.3) by Lemma 6.4. $\square$

Remark 6.7. In [Zyw24], under the extra assumption $\det(G) = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$, a version of Lemma 6.6 is required for an algorithm which finds an explicit basis of $M_{k,G}$ for any given even integer $k \geq 2$. Using a suitable weight $k \in \{2, 4, 6\}$, this basis can then be used to compute an explicit model of the curve X_G over $K_G = \mathbb{Q}$.

7. A BASIS OF MODULAR FORMS WITH RELATIVELY SMALL COEFFICIENTS

Fix an integer $N > 2$ and let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ that satisfies $-I \in G$. In §6.3, we defined a finite dimensional K_G -vector space $M_{k,G}$ of modular forms of level N and weight k . Since $-I \in G$, we find that $M_{k,G} = 0$ for k odd.

In this section, we prove the following result which shows that there is a basis of $M_{k,G}$, viewed as a vector over $\mathbb{Q}$, consisting of modular forms whose q -expansion at each cusp has integral and relatively small coefficients.

Theorem 7.1. *Fix an even integer $k \geq 2$. Then there is a basis $f_1, \dots, f_d$ of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space $M_{k,G}$ such that for every $1 \leq i \leq d$ and every $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, we have*

$$f_i * A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q_N^n,$$

where each coefficient a_n lies in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ and satisfies

$$|a_n|_v \leq 2|G|4.5^k N^k \max\{N^k, n^{2k}\}$$

for all infinite places v of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$.

7.1. Bounding coefficients. Fix an even integer $k \geq 2$. Lemma 6.6 shows that there is a basis of $M_{k,G}$ obtained from Eisenstein series of weight 1. We will want to bound the size of the Fourier coefficients that arise in such a basis. We first prove a simple lemma that will be needed for these bounds. For each positive integer n , let $d(n)$ be the number of positive divisors of n .

Lemma 7.2. *Take any positive integers j and n , and define the sum*

$$S_{j,n} := \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_j = n} \prod_{i=1}^j d(a_i)$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_j$ vary over all positive integers. Then $S_{j,n} \leq 2n^{j-1/2}(\log n + 1)^{j-1}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $j \geq 1$. We have $d(n) \leq 2n^{1/2}$ since for every positive divisor e of n at least one of e or n/e is bounded above $n^{1/2}$. The case $j = 1$ is now clear since $S_{1,n} = d(n)$.

Now consider any $j \geq 1$ for which the lemma holds. We have $S_{j+1,n} = \sum_{a=1}^n d(a) S_{j,n-a}$. Thus by our inductive hypothesis, we have $S_{j+1,n} \leq 2n^{j-1/2}(\log n + 1)^{j-1} \sum_{a=1}^n d(a)$. So to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that $\sum_{a=1}^n d(a) \leq n(\log n + 1)$.

We have inequalities $\sum_{a=1}^n d(a) = \#\{(b,c) \in \mathbb{N} : bc \leq n\} \leq \sum_{b=1}^n \lfloor n/b \rfloor \leq n \sum_{b=1}^n 1/b$. Therefore, $\sum_{a=1}^n d(a) \leq n(1 + \sum_{b=2}^n 1/b) \leq n(1 + \int_1^n 1/x dx) = n(\log n + 1)$ as desired. $\square$

Lemma 7.3. *Fix positive integers k and N . For any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$, the q -expansion of $E_{\alpha_1} \cdots E_{\alpha_k}$ is of form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q_N^n$ with $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ that satisfy $|a_0| \leq (N/4)^k$ and*

$$|a_n| \leq 2n^{-1/2}(N/4 + 2n(\log n + 1))^k$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. First take any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ and fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\alpha \equiv (a, b) \pmod{N}$ with $0 \leq a < N$ and $|b| \leq N/2$. Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(\alpha) q_N^n$ be the q -expansion of E_{α} . We have $c_n(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ by Lemma 6.3. Moreover, the explicit q -expansion given in Lemma 6.3 implies that $|c_n(\alpha)| \leq 2d(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

We claim that $|c_0(\alpha)| \leq N/4$. From Lemma 6.3, we have $|c_0(\alpha)| \leq 1/2$ if $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ or $b \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. So to prove the claim, we may assume from Lemma 6.3 that $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ and $c_0(\alpha) = 1/2 \cdot (1 + \zeta_N^b)/(1 - \zeta_N^b)$. We have $|c_0(\alpha)|^2 \leq |1 - \zeta_N^b|^{-2} = (2 - 2\cos(\theta))^{-1}$, where $\theta := 2\pi b/N \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Since $2 - 2\cos(x) \geq 4/\pi^2 \cdot x^2$ for all real $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and $\theta \neq 0$, we deduce that $|c_0(\alpha)|^2 \leq \pi^2/4 \cdot \theta^{-2} = N^2/(16b^2) \leq N^2/16$. Therefore, $|c_0(\alpha)| \leq N/4$ as claimed.

Multiplying the q -expansions of $E_{\alpha_1}, \dots, E_{\alpha_k}$ together, we have

$$(7.1) \quad a_n = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_k = n, n_i \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^k c_{n_i}(\alpha_i).$$

For $n = 0$, we have $|a_0| = \prod_{i=1}^k |c_0(\alpha_i)| \leq (N/4)^k$ which proves the lemma in this case.

Now take any integer $n \geq 1$. For each subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$, we can consider those terms in the sum (7.1) for which we have $n_i = 0$ exactly when $i \in I$. Using our bounds for the coefficients $c_n(\alpha)$, we deduce that

$$|a_n| \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \cdot (N/4)^{k-j} \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_j = n, a_i \geq 1} \prod_{i=1}^j 2d(a_i).$$

Equivalently, $|a_n| \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \cdot (N/4)^{k-j} \cdot 2^j S_{j,n}$ with $S_{j,n}$ as in Lemma 7.2. By Lemma 7.2, we obtain the upper bounds

$$|a_n| \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \cdot (N/4)^{k-j} \cdot 2^j n^{j-1/2} (\log n + 1)^j \leq 2n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} (N/4)^{k-j} (2n(\log n + 1))^j.$$

So by the binomial theorem, we have $|a_n| \leq 2n^{-1/2}(N/4 + 2n(\log n + 1))^k$. $\square$

Lemma 7.4. Fix positive integers k and N and take any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$. Define the modular form $h := (2N)^k E_{\alpha_1} \cdots E_{\alpha_k} \in M_k(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$. For any $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, the q -expansion of $h * A$ is of form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q_N^n$ so that each coefficient a_n is an element of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ that satisfy $|a_0| \leq N^{2k}/2^k$ and

$$|a_n| \leq 2n^{-1/2} N^k (N/2 + 4n(\log n + 1))^k$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.3, we find that h , and hence also $h * A$, are modular forms in $M_k(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$. Using Lemma 6.4, we have $h * A = (2N)^k (E_{\alpha_1} * A) \cdots (E_{\alpha_k} * A) = (2N)^k E_{\beta_1} \cdots E_{\beta_k}$, where $\beta_i := \alpha_i A$. Therefore, the desired bounds on the $|a_n|$ follow from those of Lemma 7.3 after multiplying by $(2N)^k$.

Finally, to prove that each a_n lies in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$, it suffices to show that the q -expansion of $2N \cdot E_{\beta_i}$ has coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. From the explicit q -expansions given in Lemma 6.3, it suffices to show that $N/(1 - \zeta_N^b)$ lies in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ for any integer $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. We have $N/(1 - \zeta_N^b) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ since $\zeta_N^b - 1$ is a root of $((x+1)^N - 1)/x = x^{N-1} + \cdots + N \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. $\square$

7.2. Proof of Theorem 7.1. By Lemma 6.6, there is a basis $f_1, \dots, f_d$ of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space $M_{k,G}$ such every f_i has a q -expansion of the form

$$(7.2) \quad (2N)^k \sum_{g \in G} \zeta_N^{j \det g} E_{\alpha_1 g} \cdots E_{\alpha_k g}$$

for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ and integer j .

Take any $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q_N^n$ be the q -expansion of $f_i * A$. Take any infinite place v of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. Since $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, there is a $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $|a|_v = |\sigma(a)|$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. There is a unique $d' \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ for which $\sigma(\zeta_N) = \zeta_N^{d'}$. Define $B := A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. By Lemma 6.1, we have $f_i * B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma(a_n) q_N^n$. Since the q -expansion of f_i is of the form (7.2), Lemma 7.4 implies that all of the $\sigma(a_n)$ are in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ and

$$|a_n|_v = |\sigma(a_n)| \leq \begin{cases} |G| \cdot N^{2k}/2^k & \text{if } n = 0, \\ |G| \cdot 2n^{-1/2} N^k (N/2 + 4n(\log n + 1))^k & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

It remains to prove that $|a_n|_v \leq 2|G|4.5^k N^k \max\{N^k, n^{2k}\}$. This is immediate for $n = 0$ from the above bound, so assume that $n \geq 1$. We have $\log n + 1 \leq n$, so $|a_n|_v \leq 2|G|N^k (N/2 + 4n^2)^k$. When $N \leq n^2$, we have $|a_n|_v \leq 2|G|N^k (4.5n^2)^k$. When $N \geq n^2$, we have $|a_n|_v \leq 2|G|N^k (4.5N)^k$. The theorem is now immediate.

8. RIEMANN–ROCH

Fix an integer $N > 2$ and let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ with $-I \in G$. Let g be the genus of the curve X_G and let μ be the degree of the morphism $j: X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K_G}^1$. The group Gal_{K_G} acts on the set of cusps $\mathcal{C}_G$ of X_G by Lemma 2.3(ii).

Consider a proper subset of $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{C}_G$ that is stable under the Gal_{K_G} -action. For our application, we will require a nonconstant function $\varphi \in K_G(X_G)$ whose poles are all at cusps and has no pole at any cusp $c \in \Sigma$. In this section, we use the Riemann–Roch theorem to find spaces of modular forms from which we can construct such φ .

Consider a divisor $D = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G} n_c \cdot c$ of X_G that is defined over K_G , i.e., $n_c = n_{\sigma(c)}$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}_{K_G}$. Define the K_G -vector space $\mathcal{L}(D) := \{\varphi \in K_G(X_G) : \mathrm{div}(\varphi) + D \geq 0\}$; equivalently, $\mathcal{L}(D)$ consists of those functions $\varphi \in K_G(X_G)$ whose poles all occur at cusps and $\mathrm{ord}_c(\varphi) + n_c \geq 0$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$. By the Riemann–Roch theorem, we have $\dim_{K_G} \mathcal{L}(D) \geq \deg(D) - g + 1$ with equality holding when $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$.

For a fixed positive integer m , define the divisors $D_0 := \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G} m w_c \cdot c$ and $D_1 := \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G - \Sigma} m w_c \cdot c$. The divisors D_0 and D_1 are defined over K_G since $\mathcal{C}_G$ and Σ are both stable under the Gal_{K_G} -action (also $w_{\sigma(c)} = w_c$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}_{K_G}$). The functions in $\mathcal{L}(D_0)$ have no poles away from the cusps. The functions in the subspace $\mathcal{L}(D_1) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D_0)$ are regular at all $c \in \Sigma$.

By Lemma 6.2, we have an injective K_G -linear map

$$T: M_{12m,G} \hookrightarrow K_G(X_G), \quad f \mapsto f/\Delta^m.$$

Let W_m be the K_G -subspace of $M_{12m,G}$ consisting of those modular forms f for which $\nu_c(f) \geq mw_c$ for all $c \in \Sigma$, where ν_c is defined in §6.3.

Lemma 8.1.

- (i) We have $T(M_{12m,G}) = \mathcal{L}(D_0)$ and $T(W_m) = \mathcal{L}(D_1)$.
- (ii) We have $\dim_{K_G} M_{12m,G} = m\mu - g + 1$ and

$$\dim_{K_G} W_m \geq m \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G - \Sigma} w_c - g + 1.$$

Proof. We first prove that $T(M_{12m,G}) = \mathcal{L}(D_0)$. Take any $f \in M_{12m,G}$ and define $\varphi := T(f) = f/\Delta^m \in K_G(X_G)$. Every pole of φ is a cusp since Δ , when viewed as a function of the upper half-plane, is holomorphic and everywhere nonzero. Take any cusp $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$. By (6.1), we have $\text{ord}_c \varphi = \nu_c(f) - \nu_c(\Delta^m) = \nu_c(f) - mw_c \geq -mw_c$. Therefore, $T(f) = \varphi \in \mathcal{L}(D_0)$. We have $T(M_{12m,G}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D_0)$ since f was an arbitrary element of $M_{12m,G}$.

Now take any $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(D_0)$. Define $f := \varphi \Delta^m$; it is a weakly modular form of weight $12m$. For each $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$, we have $\text{ord}_c(\varphi) + \nu_c(\Delta^m) = \text{ord}_c(\varphi) + mw_c \geq 0$, where the inequality uses our choice of φ . Therefore, f is a modular form of weight $12m$ on $\Gamma(N)$. We have $f \in M_{12m}(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$ since the q -expansions of φ and Δ have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. For any $A \in G$, we have $f * A = (\varphi \Delta^m) * A = (\varphi * A)(\Delta^m * A) = \varphi \Delta^m$. Therefore, $f \in M_{12m,G}$ and hence $\varphi = T(f)$ lies in $T(M_{12m,G})$. We have $T(M_{12m,G}) \supseteq \mathcal{L}(D_0)$ since φ was an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{L}(D_0)$. This completes the proof that $T(M_{12m,G}) = \mathcal{L}(D_0)$.

For any $f \in M_{12m,G}$, we have $\text{ord}_c(T(f)) = \nu_c(f) - mw_c$ for each $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$. So $T(f) \in \mathcal{L}(D_1)$ if and only if $\nu_c(f) \geq mw_c$ for each $c \in \Sigma$. Since $T(M_{12m,G}) = \mathcal{L}(D_0)$, we deduce that $T(W_m) = \mathcal{L}(D_1)$.

By the Riemann–Roch theorem, we have

$$\dim_{K_G} W_m = \dim_{K_G} \mathcal{L}(D_1) \geq \deg(D_1) - g + 1 = m \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C} - \Sigma} w_c - g + 1.$$

We have $\deg(D_0) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G} mw_c = m\mu$ by (2.1) and $2g - 2 < \mu/6$ by [Shi94, Proposition 1.40]. Therefore, $\deg(D_0) > 2g - 2$. By the Riemann–Roch theorem, we have $\dim_{K_G} M_{12m,G} = \dim_{K_G} \mathcal{L}(D_0) = \deg(D_1) - g + 1 = m\mu - g + 1$. $\square$

Take any $f \in W_m$ and define $\varphi := T(f) = f/\Delta^m$. By Lemma 8.1, $\varphi \in K_G(X_G)$ is a function that is regular at all $c \in \Sigma$ and all of its poles are at cusps. In order for φ to be nonconstant, we need f not to lie in the subspace $K_G \Delta^m \subseteq W_m$. Thus to construct a nonconstant φ in this manner, we need $\dim_{K_G} W_m \geq 2$; by Lemma 8.1 this holds for all sufficiently large m .

9. EXISTENCE OF A CERTAIN MODULAR FORM

Fix an integer $N > 2$ and let G be a subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ with $-I \in G$. Let g be the genus of the curve X_G and let μ be the degree of the morphism $j: X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K_G}^1$.

Let Σ be a proper subset of $\mathcal{C}_G$ that is stable under the Gal_{K_G} -action. Let m be the smallest positive integer for which $m \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G - \Sigma} w_c > g$. Define the real number

$$\beta := 2(2^3 4.5^{36m} N^{108m+15} m^{72m+1})^{m\mu+1} 4.5^{12m} N^{36m+4}.$$

Theorem 9.1. *There is a nonzero modular form $f \in M_{12m,G}$ that satisfies the following properties:*

- (a) We have $\nu_c(f) \geq mw_c$ for all $c \in \Sigma$.
- (b) The modular form f does not lie in $K_G \Delta^m$.
- (c) Take any $A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Define the cusp $c := A \cdot c_\infty$ of X_G , where c_∞ is the cusp at infinity, and set $w = w_c$. Then we have $f * A = \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n q_w^n$, where each coefficient b_n lies in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ and satisfies

$$|b_n|_v \leq \beta \max\{1, (n/w)^{24m}\}$$

for each infinite place v of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$.

9.1. Proof of Theorem 9.1. Set $k = 12m$ and define $d := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} M_{k,G}$. Fix a basis $f_1, \dots, f_d$ of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space $M_{k,G}$ satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 7.1. Using Lemma 8.1, we find that

$$d = [K_G : \mathbb{Q}] \dim_{K_G} M_{k,G} = [K_G : \mathbb{Q}] (m\mu - g + 1).$$

Let W be the K_G -subspace of $M_{k,G}$ consisting of those modular forms f for which $\nu_c(f) \geq mw_c$ for all $c \in \Sigma$. By Lemma 8.1 and our choice of m , we have $\dim_{K_G} W \geq 2$. We will now describe W as the kernel of a linear map on $M_{k,G}$.

Define $L := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. For each cusp $c \in \Sigma$, we choose a matrix $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ for which $A \cdot c_{\infty} = c$. For any $f \in M_{k,G}$, we have $f * A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{c,n}(f) q_{w_c}^n$ with $a_{c,n}(f) \in L$. Define the $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map

$$\psi_c : M_{k,G} \rightarrow L^{mw_c}, \quad f \mapsto (a_{c,0}(f), \dots, a_{c,mw_c-1}(f)).$$

Combining the maps ψ_c with $c \in \Sigma$, we obtain a $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map

$$\psi : M_{k,G} \rightarrow \prod_{c \in \Sigma} L^{mw_c}.$$

Note that the kernel of ψ is W . Let

$$\alpha_0 : \mathbb{Q}^d \rightarrow \prod_{c \in \Sigma} L^{mw_c}$$

be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map obtained by composing the isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}^d \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{k,G}$ coming from the basis $f_1, \dots, f_d$ with ψ . We have $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker(\alpha_0) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} W$.

We have an isomorphism $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{v \in M_{L,\infty}} L_v$ of real vector spaces induced by the inclusions $L \subseteq L_v$. Tensoring α_0 with $\mathbb{R}$ gives a $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$\alpha : V_1 \rightarrow V_2,$$

where $V_1 = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $V_2 = \prod_{c \in \Sigma} \prod_{v \in M_{L,\infty}} L_v^{mw_c}$. We have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \ker(\alpha) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} W$.

We define norms on V_1 and V_2 by $\|b\|_1 := \sum_{i=1}^d |b_i|$ and $\|(b_{c,v})\|_2 := \max_{c \in \Sigma, v \in M_{L,\infty}} |b_{c,v}|_v$, respectively. The group $M_1 := \mathbb{Z}^d$ is a lattice in V_1 ; it is generated by elements of norm 1. Let M_2 be the lattice in V_2 corresponding to the subgroup $\prod_{c \in \Sigma} \mathcal{O}_L^{mw_c}$ of $\prod_{c \in \Sigma} L^{mw_c}$. For each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and nonzero $b \in M_i$, we have $\|b\|_i \geq 1$. For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, we have $\psi_c(f_i) \in \mathcal{O}_L^{mw_c}$ for all $c \in \Sigma$ by our choice of basis $f_1, \dots, f_d$. Therefore, $\alpha(M_1) \subseteq M_2$.

The *norm* $\|\alpha\|$ of α is the minimal real number for which $\|\alpha(v)\|_2 \leq \|\alpha\| \|v\|_1$ holds for all $v \in V_1$. We now find an upper bound for $\|\alpha\|$.

Lemma 9.2. *We have $\|\alpha\| \leq 2|G|4.5^k N^{3k} m^{2k}$.*

Proof. Take any $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We have $\alpha(b) = \sum_{i=1}^d b_i \alpha(e_i)$, where $e_1, \dots, e_d$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Taking the norm gives $\|\alpha(b)\|_2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^d |b_i| \|\alpha(e_i)\|_2 \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \|\alpha(e_i)\|_2 \cdot \|b\|_1$. Therefore, $\|\alpha\| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \|\alpha(e_i)\|_2$ and hence

$$\|\alpha\| \leq \max\{|a_{c,n}(f_i)|_v : i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, n \in \{0, \dots, mw_c - 1\}, c \in \Sigma, v \in M_{L,\infty}\}.$$

Take any $1 \leq i \leq d$, $c \in \Sigma$ and $v \in M_{L,\infty}$. Recall that $f * A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{c,n}(f) q_{w_c}^n$ for some $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, $f * A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{c,n}(f) q_N^{nN/w_c}$. By Theorem 7.1, we have $|a_{c,0}(f_i)|_v \leq |G|N^{2k}/2^k$. Now take any $1 \leq n < mw_c$ and define the integer $n_0 := nN/w_c$. We have $1 \leq n_0 < mN$. By Theorem 7.1, we have

$$|a_{c,n}(f_i)|_v \leq 2|G|4.5^k N^k \max\{N^k, n_0^{2k}\} \leq 2|G|4.5^k N^{3k} m^{2k}.$$

Combining everything together, we have now shown that $\|\alpha\| \leq 2|G|4.5^k N^{3k} m^{2k}$. $\square$

Before proceeding, we recall the following version of Siegel's lemma due to Faltings.

Lemma 9.3. *Let V_1 and V_2 be finite dimensional real vector spaces with norms $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_2$, respectively. Let M_1 and M_2 be $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices of V_1 and V_2 , respectively. Let $\alpha : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ be a linear map that satisfies $\alpha(M_1) \subseteq M_2$. Let $C \geq 2$ be a real number such that α has norm at most C (i.e., $|\alpha(v)|_2 \leq C \cdot |v|_1$ for all $v \in V_1$), M_1 is generated by elements of norm at most C , and every nonzero element of M_1 and M_2 has norm at least C^{-1} . Define $a = \dim \ker(\alpha)$ and $b = \dim V_1$. Then for each $0 \leq i \leq a - 1$, $\ker(\alpha)$ contains linearly independent elements $m_1, \dots, m_{i+1}$ of M_1 satisfying*

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq i+1} |m_j|_1 \leq (C^3 \cdot b)^{b/(a-i)}.$$

Proof. See [Fal91, Proposition 2.18] or [Koo93, Lemma 4]; they give the upper bound $(C^{3b} \cdot b!)^{1/(a-i)}$ from which our follows by using that $b! \leq b^b$. $\square$

We now apply Siegel's lemma in our setting. Define $\mathcal{B} := (2^3 4.5^{3k} N^{9k+15} m^{6k+1})^{m\mu+1}$.

Lemma 9.4. *There is a $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\|u\|_1 \leq \mathcal{B}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^d u_i f_i$ lies in W but does not lie in the subspace $K_G \Delta^m$.*

Proof. Define $a := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \ker(\alpha) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} W = [K_G : \mathbb{Q}] \dim_{K_G} W \geq 2[K_G : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $b := \dim V_1 = d = [K_G : \mathbb{Q}](m\mu - g + 1)$. Using Lemma 9.2, we find that the conditions of Lemma 9.3 hold in our setting with $C := 2|G|4.5^k N^{3k} m^{2k}$.

Now take $i := [K_G : \mathbb{Q}]$; we have $i < a$. By Lemma 9.3, there are linearly independent vectors $m_1, \dots, m_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ in $\ker(\alpha)$ satisfying $\|m_j\|_1 \leq (C^3 b)^{b/(a-i)}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq i+1$. Since $i+1 > [K_G : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(K_G \Delta^m)$, there is a vector $u \in \{m_1, \dots, m_{i+1}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ so that

$$f := \sum_{i=1}^d u_i f_i$$

is a modular form in $M_{k,G}$ for which f does not lie in $K_G \Delta^m$. Since u is in the kernel of α , and hence also the kernel of α_0 , we find that f lies in W . We have $\|u\|_1 \leq (C^3 b)^{b/(a-i)}$ since $u = m_j$ for some j .

It remains to bound $(C^3 b)^{b/(a-i)}$ from above. We have $a - i \geq 2[K_G : \mathbb{Q}] - [K_G : \mathbb{Q}] = [K_G : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $b = [K_G : \mathbb{Q}](m\mu - g + 1)$, so $b/(a-i) \leq m\mu - g + 1 \leq m\mu + 1$. We have

$$C^3 b = 2^3 4.5^{3k} N^{9k} m^{6k} \cdot |G|^3 [K_G : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot (m\mu - g + 1).$$

We have $[K_G : \mathbb{Q}] \leq [\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) : G]$, so $|G|^3 [K_G : \mathbb{Q}] \leq |\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})|^3 \leq N^{12}$. We have $m\mu - g + 1 \leq m\mu + 1 \leq 2m\mu \leq mN^3$ by Lemma 4.2. Therefore, $C^3 b \leq 2^3 4.5^{3k} N^{9k+15} m^{6k+1}$ and hence $(C^3 b)^{b/(a-i)} \leq \mathcal{B}$. $\square$

Fix a $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ as in Lemma 9.4. Define $f := \sum_{i=1}^d u_i f_i$; it is an element of W that does not lie in $K_G \Delta^m$.

Take any matrix $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ and take any infinite place v of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. Define the cusp $c := A \cdot c_\infty$ and set $w = w_c$. We now consider the q -expansions $f * A = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n q_N^n$ and $f_i * A = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_{i,n} q_N^n$ with $1 \leq i \leq d$. Since $f = \sum_{i=1}^d u_i f_i$, we have $a_n = \sum_{i=1}^d u_i a_{i,n}$ for all $n \geq 0$. So for $n \geq 0$, we have $|a_n|_v \leq \|u\|_1 \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |a_{i,n}|_v \leq \mathcal{B} \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |a_{i,n}|_v$.

Since $f_1, \dots, f_d$ is a basis of $M_{k,G}$ satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 7.1, we find that

$$|a_n|_v \leq \mathcal{B} \cdot 2 \cdot 4.5^k N^{k+4} \max\{N^k, n^{2k}\}$$

where we have used the bound $|G| \leq N^4$. However, recall that we are interested in the coefficients of the q -expansion $\sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n q_w^n$ of $f * A$. We must have $b_n = a_{nN/w}$ for all $n \geq 0$. So for $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n|_v &= |a_{nN/w}|_v \leq \mathcal{B} \cdot 2 \cdot 4.5^k N^{k+4} \max\{N^k, (nN/w)^{2k}\} \\ &\leq \mathcal{B} \cdot 2 \cdot 4.5^k N^{2k+4} \max\{1, N^k (n/w)^{2k}\} \\ &\leq \mathcal{B} \cdot 2 \cdot 4.5^k N^{3k+4} \max\{1, (n/w)^{2k}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows since $k = 12m$ and hence $\beta = \mathcal{B} \cdot 2 \cdot 4.5^k N^{3k+4}$.

9.2. Some bounds. We now prove some technical lemmas that we will later use to bound functions near cusps. Note that the bound on c_n in the following lemma is, up to a constant factor, that of $|b_n|_v$ from Theorem 9.1.

Lemma 9.5. *Take any real number $0 \leq u \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}}$ and positive integer m . Fix a positive divisor w of N and let $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers that satisfy $c_n \leq \max\{1, (n/w)^{24m}\}$.*

- (i) *For any integer $B \geq 5mw$, we have $\sum_{n=B}^\infty c_n u^{n/w} \leq 230.8 w u^{B/w} (B/w)^{24m+1}$.*
- (ii) *For any integer $mw \leq B \leq 5mw$, we have $\sum_{n=B}^\infty c_n u^{n/w} \leq 231.6 w u^{B/w} (5m)^{24m+1}$.*

Proof. Set $u_0 := e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}}$ and $a := -24/\log(u_0) \cdot m = m \cdot 4.410 \dots$. Define the function $g(x) = x^{24m} u_0^x$. One can check that $g(x)$ is increasing for $0 \leq x \leq a$ and decreasing for $x \geq a$.

We first assume that $B \geq 5mw$. We have

$$\sum_{n=B}^{\infty} c_n u^{n/w} = u^{B/w} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n+B} u^{n/w} = u^{B/w} \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{w-1} c_{ew+r+B} u^{r/w} \right) u^e.$$

For all integers $e \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r < w$, we have

$$c_{ew+r+B} \leq ((ew+r+B)/w)^{24m} \leq (e+1+B/w)^{24m},$$

where the first bound uses that $(ew+r+B)/w \geq B/w \geq 1$. Using that $u^{r/w} \leq 1$ for all $0 \leq r < w$ and that $u \leq u_0$, we obtain

$$(9.1) \quad \sum_{n=B}^{\infty} c_n u^{n/w} \leq u^{B/w} w \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} (e+1+B/w)^{24m} u_0^e = u^{B/w} w u_0^{-1-B/w} C,$$

where $C := \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} g(e+1+B/w)$. Since $g(x)$ is decreasing for $x \geq 5m$ and $B/w \geq 5m$, we have

$$C \leq \int_0^{\infty} g(x+B/w) dx = \int_{B/w}^{\infty} g(x) dx = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i,$$

where $s_i := \int_{2^{i-1}B/w}^{2^i B/w} g(x) dx$. For $x \geq 5m$, we have $g(2x)/g(x) = 2^{24m} u_0^x \leq (2^{24} u_0^5)^m \leq 0.000026$. For each $i \geq 1$, we have

$$s_i = 2 \int_{2^{i-1}B/w}^{2^i B/w} g(2x) dx \leq 0.000026 \int_{2^{i-1}B/w}^{2^i B/w} g(x) dx = 0.000026 s_{i-1}.$$

Therefore, $s_i \leq (0.000026)^i s_0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and hence $C \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i \leq (1 - 0.000026)^{-1} s_0 \leq 1.00003 s_0$. Since $g(x)$ is decreasing for $x \geq 5m$ and $B/w \geq 5m$, we have $s_0 \leq g(B/w)B/w$ and hence $C \leq 1.00003(B/w)^{24m+1} u_0^{B/w}$. By (9.1), we deduce that

$$\sum_{n=B}^{\infty} c_n u^{n/w} \leq 1.00003 u_0^{-1} w u^{B/w} (B/w)^{24m+1} \leq 230.8 w u^{B/w} (B/w)^{24m+1}$$

which proves (i).

We now assume that $mw \leq B < 5mw$. From part (i), in the special case $B = 5mw$, we have

$$(9.2) \quad \sum_{n=5mw}^{\infty} c_n u^{n/w} \leq 230.8 w u^{5m} (5m)^{24m+1} \leq 230.8 w u^{B/w} (5m)^{24m+1}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{n=B}^{5mw-1} c_n u^{n/w} \leq u^{B/w} \sum_{n=B}^{5mw-1} (n/w)^{24m} u^{(n-B)/w} \leq u^{B/w} (5m)^{24m} (5mw - mw).$$

So $\sum_{n=B}^{5mw} c_n u^{n/w} \leq 0.8 w u^{B/w} (5m)^{24m+1}$ and by combining with (9.2) we deduce (ii). $\square$

Lemma 9.6. Take any positive integer m and let $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_n q^n$ be the q -expansion of Δ^m . Take any real number $0 \leq u \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}}$.

- (i) We have $|a_n| \leq 2n^{6m}$ for all $n \geq 2$.
- (ii) For any integer $B > 2m$, we have $\sum_{n=B}^{\infty} |a_n| u^n \leq 463 u^B (B-1)^{6m+1}$.
- (iii) For any integer $m < B \leq 2m$, we have $\sum_{n=B}^{\infty} |a_n| u^n \leq 465 u^B (2m)^{6m+1}$.

Proof. In [Rou08], Rouse describes a constant C_m for which $|a_n| \leq C_m d(n) n^{(12m-1)/2}$ holds for all $n \geq m$; this follows from expressing Δ^m as a linear combination of Hecke eigenforms and using bounds of Deligne. When $m > 1$, an explicit upper bound for C_m is given in the proof of Theorem 1 in [Rou08] from which we find that $C_m \leq 1$. When $m = 1$, we have $C_m = 1$ as noted in the appendix of [Rou08]. Therefore, $|a_n| \leq d(n) n^{(12m-1)/2}$. We obtain $|a_n| \leq 2n^{6m}$ by using the easy bound $d(n) \leq 2\sqrt{n}$. This proves (i).

Define $u_0 := e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}}$. Define the function $g(x) = x^{6m} u_0^x$; it is decreasing for all $x \geq a := -6/\log(u_0) \cdot m = m \cdot 1.102 \dots$

We first assume that $B \geq 2m$. Using (i) and $u \leq u_0$, we have

$$(9.3) \quad \sum_{n=B+1}^{\infty} |a_n| u^n \leq 2u^{B+1} \sum_{n=B+1}^{\infty} n^{6m} u_0^{n-B-1} = 2u^{B+1} u_0^{-B-1} C,$$

where $C := \sum_{n=B+1}^{\infty} g(n)$. Since $g(x)$ is decreasing for $x \geq B \geq 2m$, we have $C \leq \int_B^{\infty} g(x) dx = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i$, where $s_i := \int_{2^{i-1}B}^{2^{i+1}B} g(x) dx$. For $x \geq 2m$, we have $g(2x)/g(x) = 2^{6m} u_0^x \leq (2^6 u_0^2)^m \leq 0.0013$. For each $i \geq 1$, we have

$$s_i = 2 \int_{2^{i-1}B}^{2^i B} g(2x) dx \leq 0.0013 \int_{2^{i-1}B}^{2^i B} g(x) dx = 0.0013 s_{i-1}.$$

Therefore, $s_i \leq (0.0013)^i s_0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and hence $C \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i \leq (1 - 0.0013)^{-1} s_0 \leq 1.0014 s_0$. Since $g(x)$ is decreasing for $x \geq B \geq 2m$, we have $s_0 \leq g(B)B$ and hence $C \leq 1.0014 B^{6m+1} u_0^B$. By (9.3), we deduce that

$$\sum_{n=B+1}^{\infty} |a_n| u^n \leq 2 \cdot 1.0014 u_0^{-1} u^{B+1} B^{6m+1} \leq 463 u^{B+1} B^{6m+1}.$$

This implies (ii) after replacing B by $B - 1$.

Now take any $m < B \leq 2m$. We have

$$\sum_{n=B}^{2m} |a_n| u^n \leq u^B \sum_{n=B}^{2m} |a_n| \leq u^B \cdot 2(2m)^{6m} \cdot (2m - B + 1) \leq u^B (2m)^{6m+1}.$$

From (ii), we have $\sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} |a_n| u^n \leq 463 u^{2m+1} (2m)^{6m+1}$. By adding these sums, we obtain $\sum_{n=B}^{\infty} |a_n| u^n \leq 464 u^B (2m)^{6m+1}$. $\square$

10. PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1

With $k := 12m$, fix a modular form $f \in M_{k,G} = M_{12m,G}$ as in Theorem 9.1. Define $\varphi := f/\Delta^m$. We have $\varphi \in K_G(X_G)$ by Lemma 6.2. We now state some basic properties of φ .

Lemma 10.1.

- (i) The function $\varphi \in K_G(X_G)$ is nonconstant and has no poles away from the cusps of X_G .
- (ii) The q -expansion of $\varphi * A$ has coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ for each $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.
- (iii) For any cusp c of X_G , we have $\mathrm{ord}_c(\varphi) \geq -m\mu$.
- (iv) For any cusp $c \in \Sigma$, φ is regular at c . Moreover, $\varphi(c)$ lies in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ and satisfies $|\varphi(c)|_v \leq \beta m^{24m}$ for any infinite places v of L .
- (v) The rational function φ of X_G has at most $m\mu$ poles counted with multiplicity.

Proof. With notation as in §8, we have $f \in W_m$ by our choice of f and hence $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(D_1)$ by Lemma 8.1, where $D_1 := \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G - \Sigma} m\mu_c \cdot c$. Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(D_1)$, the function φ has no poles away from the cusps, $\mathrm{ord}_c \varphi \geq 0$ for all $c \in \Sigma$, and $\mathrm{ord}_c \varphi \geq -m\mu_c$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$. The function φ is nonconstant since $f \notin K_G \Delta^m$ by our choice of f . We have proved (i) and (iii). By (i) and (iii), the number of poles of φ is bounded above by $\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_G} m\mu_c = m\mu$, where the equality uses (2.1). This proves (v).

We now prove (ii). Since Δ^m lies in $q^m \cdot (1 + q\mathbb{Z}[[q]])$ and $\varphi * A = (f * A)/(\Delta^m * A) = (f * A)/\Delta^m$, it suffices to show that the q -expansion of $f * A$ has coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ for any $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Take any $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Define the cusp $c := A \cdot c_{\infty}$ of X_G , where c_{∞} is the cusp at infinity, and set $w = w_c$. By property (c) of Theorem 9.1, we have $f * A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q_w^n$ with $b_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$. For any $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, we have $f * (AB) = (f * A) * B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_d(b_n) q_w^n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N][[q_w]]$ by Lemma 6.1. This completes the proof of (ii) since any matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ is of the form AB for some $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$.

We finally prove (iv). Take any $c \in \Sigma$; we have already shown that φ is regular at c . Fix $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ for which $A \cdot c_{\infty} = c$ and set $w = w_c$. We have $\nu_c(f) \geq m\mu$, so $f * A = \sum_{n=m\mu}^{\infty} b_n q_w^n$ with $b_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$. We have $\Delta^m \in q^m \cdot (1 + q\mathbb{Z}[[q]]) = q_w^{mw} \cdot (1 + q\mathbb{Z}[[q]])$, so the constant term of the q -expansion of $\varphi = f * A / \Delta^m$ is $b_{m\mu}$. Therefore, $\varphi(c) = b_{m\mu}$ and in particular $\varphi(c) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$. For any infinite place v of L , we have $|\varphi(c)| = |b_{m\mu}| \leq \beta m^{2k} = \beta m^{24m}$ by Theorem 9.1(c). $\square$

From the previous lemma, we have proved (b) and (c). The following lemma proves (a).

Lemma 10.2. *The function φ is the root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[j]$.*

Proof. Define the polynomial $Q(x) = \prod_{A \in R} (x - \varphi * A)$, where R is a set of representatives of the right G -cosets of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Since φ is fixed by the right action of G on $\mathcal{F}_N$, we deduce that $Q(x)$ is independent of the choice of R and its coefficients lie in $\mathcal{F}_N^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})} = \mathbb{Q}(j)$.

By Lemma 10.1(i), the function φ has all of its poles at cusps. So for each $A \in R$, $\varphi * A$ has all of its poles at cusps. The coefficients of $Q(x)$ lie in $\mathbb{Q}(j)$ and have all of their poles at cusps as well. Therefore, the coefficients of $Q(x)$ lie in $\mathbb{Q}[j]$. The coefficients of $Q(x)$ all have q -expansions with integral coefficients by Lemma 10.1(ii). The lemma follows by noting that an element of $\mathbb{Q}[j]$ lies in $\mathbb{Z}[j]$ if and only if its q -expansion has integral coefficients (to see this use that $j = q^{-1} + 744 + 196884q + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}((q))$). $\square$

Now take any cusp $c \in \mathcal{C}_G$ and set $w = w_c$. Choose an $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ for which $A \cdot c_\infty = c$. By property (c) of Theorem 9.1, we have $f * A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q_w^n$ with $b_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$. We also have a q -expansion $\Delta^m = q^m \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24m} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_n q^n$ with $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that $\Delta^{-1} = q^{-1} h(q)$ with $h(x) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^{-24} \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. For later, we remark that a numerical computation shows that

$$(10.1) \quad |h(t^N)|_v \leq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}n})^{-24} < 1.1104$$

holds for any infinite place v of L and any $t \in \bar{L}_v$ with $|t|_v \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}/N}$. We now show that with respect to a place v of L , φ can be given by an explicit v -analytic expression on a neighborhood of c .

Lemma 10.3. *Take any place v of L and point $P \in \Omega_{c,v} - \{c\}$. Then there is a nonzero $t \in \bar{L}_v$ such that all the following hold:*

- We have $|t|_v < 1$. If v is infinite, then $|t|_v \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}/N}$.
- We have

$$(10.2) \quad \varphi(P) = t^{-mN} h(t^N)^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n t^{nN/w}$$

in $\bar{L}_v$.

- If v is finite, we have $|j(P)|_v = |t|_v^{-N}$.
- If v is infinite and $|j(P)|_v > 3500$, we have $|t|_v^N \leq 2|j(P)|_v^{-1}$.

Proof. Denote by $\pi: X(N)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)} \rightarrow (X_G)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$ the natural morphism corresponding to the inclusion $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)(X_G) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_N$ of function fields. By our definition of $\Omega_{c,v}$, there is a cusp c' of $X(N)$ and a point $P' \in \Omega_{c',v}$ such that $\pi(c') = c$ and $\pi(P') = P$. We have $P \neq c'$ since otherwise $P = c$. Since $\varphi \in K_G(X_G) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)(X(N))$, we can view φ as a rational function on $X(N)$ (equivalently, we denote $\varphi \circ \pi$ by φ as well). We have $\varphi(P) = \varphi(P')$ and $j(P) = j(P')$. So without loss of generality, we may assume that c is a cusp of $X(N)$, $P \in \Omega_{c,v} - \{c\} \subseteq X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$ and we may view φ as a function in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)(X(N)) = \mathcal{F}_N$.

We have

$$(10.3) \quad \varphi * A = \Delta^{-m} (f * A) = q^{-m} h(q)^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q_w^n = q_N^{-mN} h(q^N)^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q_N^{nN/w}$$

which when expanded is the q -expansion of φ . We claim that the radius of convergence of (10.2), viewed as a power series in $\bar{L}_v[[q_N]]$, is at least 1. Since the coefficients of h and all the b_n are integral, the claim is immediate when v is finite. When v is infinite, the claim follows from the bounds on $|b_n|_v$ given by property (c) of Theorem 9.1.

For our fixed A , let $\psi_{A,v}: B_v \rightarrow X(N)(\bar{L}_v)$ be the continuous map from Proposition 3.1. By the definition of $\Omega_{c,v}$, we have $P = \psi_{A,v}(t)$ for some nonzero $t \in B_v$ that also satisfies $|t|_v \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}/N}$ when v is infinite. The v -analytic expression (10.2) thus holds by (10.3) and Proposition 3.1. By Proposition 3.1, we also have $j(P) = t^{-N} + 744 + 196884t^N + 21493760t^{2N} + \dots$ in $\bar{L}_v$, where the coefficients are from the familiar q -expansion of j . When v is finite this implies that $|j(P)|_v = |t|_v^{-N}$. When v is infinite and $|j(P)|_v > 3500$, we have $|t|_v^N \leq 2|j(P)|_v^{-1}$, cf. [BP11, Corollary 2.2]. $\square$

We now assume that the cusp $c = A \cdot c_\infty$ lies in Σ . By Lemma 10.1(iv), φ is regular at c and $\varphi(c) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$. The function $\varphi - \varphi(c)$ lies in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)(X_G)$ and has a zero at c .

Fix an integer $1 \leq r \leq \text{ord}_c(\varphi - \varphi(c))$. Since $A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, we have $(\varphi - \varphi(c)) * A = \varphi * A - \varphi(c) = (f * A)/\Delta^m - \varphi(c)$. Since $r \leq \text{ord}_c(\varphi - \varphi(c))$, the q -expansion of $(f * A)/\Delta^m - \varphi(c)$ lies in $q_w^r \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)[[q_w]]$ and hence the q -expansion of $f * A - \varphi(c)\Delta^m$ lies in $q_w^{mw+r} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)[[q_w]]$. Therefore,

$$(10.4) \quad f * A - \varphi(c)\Delta^m = \sum_{n=mw+r}^{\infty} b_n q_w^n - \varphi(c) \sum_{n \geq m+r/w} a_n q^n.$$

Take any place v of L and take any point $P \in \Omega_{c,v} - \{c\}$ that satisfies $|j(P)|_v > 3500$ when v is infinite. Let $t \in \bar{L}_v$ be a nonzero element satisfying the conclusions of Lemma 10.3. We have

$$(10.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(P) - \varphi(c) &= t^{-mN} h(t^N)^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n t^{nN/w} - \varphi(c) \\ &= t^{-mN} h(t^N)^m \left(\sum_{n=mw+r}^{\infty} b_n t^{nN/w} - \varphi(c) \sum_{n \geq m+r/w} a_n t^{nN} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\Delta^{-m} = q^{-m} h(q)^m$ along with (10.4) which ensures early terms of our sums will cancel. Therefore,

$$(10.6) \quad \varphi(P) - \varphi(c) = t^{rN/w} h(t^N)^m \left(\sum_{n=mw+r}^{\infty} b_n t^{(n-mw-r)N/w} - \varphi(c) \sum_{n \geq m+r/w} a_n t^{(n-m-r/w)N} \right).$$

Consider the case where v is finite. From (10.6), we have $\varphi(P) - \varphi(c) = t^{rN/w} g(t)$, where g is a power series with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$. Therefore, $|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq |t|_v^{rN/w} = |j(P)|_v^{-r/w}$. We obtain $|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq |j(P)|_v^{-1/w}$ by taking $r = 1$. This proves (d) in the case that v is finite.

Now suppose that v is infinite and take $r = 1$. Starting with (10.5) and taking absolute values gives

$$(10.7) \quad |\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq u^{-m} |h(t^N)|_v^m \left(\sum_{n=mw+1}^{\infty} |b_n|_v u^{n/w} + |\varphi(c)|_v \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n|_v u^n \right),$$

where $u := |t|_v^N \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}}$. Using our bound $|b_n|_v \leq \beta(n/w)^{24m}$ for $n \geq mw$, Lemma 9.5(ii) with $B = mw + 1$ implies that

$$u^{-m} \sum_{n=mw+1}^{\infty} |b_n|_v u^{n/w} \leq \beta \cdot u^{1/w} \cdot 231.6w(5m)^{24m+1}.$$

By Lemma 9.6(iii) with $B = m + 1$, we have $u^{-m} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n|_v u^n \leq 465u(2m)^{6m+1}$ and hence

$$u^{-m} |\varphi(c)|_v \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n|_v u^n \leq \beta \cdot u \cdot 465 \cdot 2^{6m+1} m^{30m+1}$$

by Lemma 10.1(iv). We have $|h(t^N)|_v < 1.1104$ by (10.1). Combining the above bounds with (10.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v &\leq \beta \cdot 1.1104^m (u^{1/w} \cdot 231.6w(5m)^{24m+1} + u \cdot 465 \cdot 2^{6m+1} m^{30m+1}) \\ &\leq u^{1/w} \beta w \cdot 1.1104^m (231.6(5m)^{24m+1} + 465 \cdot 2^{6m+1} m^{30m+1}) \\ &\leq u^{1/w} \beta w \cdot 1.1104^m (231.6 \cdot 5^{24m+1} + 465 \cdot 2^{6m+1}) m^{30m+1} \\ &= u^{1/w} \beta w \cdot 1.1104^m 1158 \cdot 5^{24m} \left(1 + \frac{465}{231.6} \cdot \frac{2}{5} \cdot \left(\frac{2^6}{5^{24}}\right)^m\right) m^{30m+1} \\ &\leq u^{1/w} \beta C_0 / 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 := N \cdot 2 \cdot 1159 \cdot 5.022^{24m} m^{30m+1}$. Since $u^{1/w} \leq 1$, we deduce that $|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq \beta C_0 / 2$. Now assume further that $|j(P)|_v > 3500$. We have $u = |t|_v^N \leq 2|j(P)|_v^{-1}$ by Lemma 10.3 and our choice of t . So $u^{1/w} \leq (2|j(P)|_v^{-1})^{1/w} \leq 2|j(P)|_v^{-1/w}$ and hence $|\varphi(P) - \varphi(c)|_v \leq |j(P)|_v^{-1/w} \cdot \beta C_0$.

To complete the proof of (d) in the case that v is infinite, it suffices to show that $C_0 \leq C$. Since $m \leq \frac{1}{24}N^3$ by Lemma 4.2, we have

$$C_0 \leq 2 \cdot 1159 \cdot 5.022^{24m} \left(\frac{1}{24}\right)^{30m+1} N^{90m+4} \leq 96.6 \cdot 0.1^{24m} N^{90m+4} = C.$$

It remains to prove (e). Let K be any number field with $K_G \subseteq K \subseteq L$ and let Σ' be a Gal_K -orbit of Σ . We keep notation as above with a fixed cusp $c \in \Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ and we take $r = \text{ord}_c(\varphi - \varphi(c))$. We further assume that $\varphi(c) \in K$; if no such c exists then (e) holds trivially with $\xi = 1$. Since the divisor of $\varphi - \varphi(c)$ has degree 0, we have $r \leq m\mu$ by Lemma 10.1(v).

We have $f * A - \varphi(c)\Delta^2 = \gamma q_w^{mw+r} + \dots$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ nonzero. From (10.4), we find that

$$(10.8) \quad \gamma = \begin{cases} b_{mw+r} - \varphi(c)a_{m+r/w} & \text{if } w|r, \\ b_{mw+r} & \text{if } w \nmid r. \end{cases}$$

The rational function $h := (\varphi - \varphi(c))^w j^r$ lies in $K(X_G)$ and we have $\text{ord}_c h = wr - rw = 0$. Moreover, $h(c) = \gamma^w$. Since h is defined over K , we have $\gamma^w = h(c) \in K(c)$. Define

$$\xi := N_{K(c)/K}(\gamma^w) \in K.$$

We have $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_K$ since γ is integral. We have $\xi \neq 0$ since $\gamma \neq 0$.

We will prove that (e) holds with this particular ξ . Since $\varphi(c)$ lies in K , by assumption, and φ is defined over K , we deduce that $\varphi(c') = \varphi(c) \in K$ for all $c' \in \Sigma'$. We also have $K(c') = K(c)$ and $w_{c'} = w_c$ for all $c \in \Sigma'$ since Σ' has a transitive $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -action and $K(c)/K$ is abelian. Therefore, $h(c) = \gamma^w$ and $\xi = N_{K(c)/K}(\gamma^w)$ are independent of the choice of $c \in \Sigma'$. So for the rest of the proof we can work with our fixed cusp c .

We now find upper bounds for $|\gamma|_v$ for some places v of L . Define $C' := 22.16N^{144m+7}0.024^{24m}$.

Lemma 10.4. *For any infinite place v of L , we have $|\gamma|_v \leq \beta C'$.*

Proof. Take any infinite place v of L . By Theorem 9.1(c) and $r \leq m\mu$, we have

$$|b_{mw+r}|_v \leq \beta(m+r/w)^{24m} \leq \beta m^{24m}(1+\mu)^{24m}.$$

If w divides r , Lemma 9.6(i) and $r \leq m\mu$ implies that $|a_{m+r/w}| \leq 2(m+r/w)^{6m} \leq 2m^{6m}(1+\mu)^{6m}$ and hence

$$|\varphi(c)a_{m+r/w}|_v \leq \beta \cdot 2m^{30m}(1+\mu)^{6m}$$

by Lemma 10.1(iv). Using these bounds with (10.8), we obtain

$$|\gamma|_v \leq \beta m^{24m}(1+\mu)^{24m} + \beta \cdot 2m^{30m}(1+\mu)^{6m}.$$

Using the bounds on m and $\mu + 1$ from Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma|_v &\leq \beta \left(\left(\frac{1}{24} \cdot \frac{29}{54} \right)^{24m} N^{144m} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{24} \right)^{30m} \left(\frac{29}{54} \right)^{6m} N^{108m} \right) \\ &= \beta N^{144m} \left(\frac{1}{24} \cdot \frac{29}{54} \right)^{24m} \left(1 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{24} \right)^{6m} \left(\frac{54}{29} \right)^{18m} N^{-36m} \right) \\ &\leq \beta N^{144m} \left(\frac{1}{24} \cdot \frac{29}{54} \right)^{24m} \left(1 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{54}{29} \right)^3 3^{-6} \right)^{6m} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used that $N \geq 3$. Since $\frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{54}{29} \right)^3 3^{-6} \leq 1$, we have $|\gamma|_v \leq \beta N^{144m} \left(\frac{1}{24} \cdot \frac{29}{54} \right)^{24m} \left(1 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{54}{29} \right)^3 3^{-6} \right)^6 \right)$. It is now easy to verify that $|\gamma|_v \leq \beta C'$. $\square$

Lemma 10.5. *Consider any point $P \in Y_G(K)$ that satisfies $\varphi(P) = \varphi(c)$ and $P \in \Omega_{c,v}$ for some place v of L . Further suppose that $|j(P)|_v > 3500$ when v is infinite. Then*

$$|\gamma|_v \leq \begin{cases} |j(P)|_v^{-1} & \text{if } v \text{ is finite,} \\ |j(P)|_v^{-1} \cdot \beta C' & \text{if } v \text{ is infinite and } |j(P)|_v > 3500. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using equation (10.5) and $\varphi(P) - \varphi(c) = 0$, we obtain

$$(10.9) \quad 0 = \gamma + t^{-(mw+r)N/w} \sum_{n=mw+r+1}^{\infty} b_n t^{nN/w} - \varphi(c) t^{-(mw+r)N/w} \sum_{n>m+r/w} a_n t^{nN},$$

with $t \in \overline{L}_v$ satisfying $|t|_v < 1$. If v is finite, we also have $|j(P)|_v = |t|_v^{-N}$. If v is infinite, we also have $|t|_v \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}/N}$ and $|t|_v^N \leq 2|j(P)|_v^{-1}$. In particular, $\gamma = t^{N/w}g(t)$ in $\overline{L}_v$ for some power series $g(x)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$. So when v is finite, we have $|\gamma|_v = |t|_v^{N/w}|g(t)|_v \leq |t|_v^{N/w} = |j(P)|_v^{-1/w}$.

We can now assume that v is infinite. Define $u = |t|_v^N$; we have $u \leq e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}}$. Solving for γ in (10.9) and taking absolute values, we obtain

$$(10.10) \quad |\gamma|_v \leq u^{-(mw+r)/w} s_1 + |\varphi(c)|_v u^{-(mw+r)/w} s_2,$$

where $s_1 := \sum_{n=mw+r+1}^{\infty} |b_n|_v u^{n/w}$ and $s_2 := \sum_{n>m+r/w} |a_n|_v u^n$. Using Lemma 9.5 with $B = mw + r + 1$ and our bound $|b_n| \leq \beta \max\{1, (n/w)^{24m}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^{-(mw+r)/w} s_1 &\leq \beta \cdot 231.6 w u^{1/w} \max\{(mw+r+1)/w, 5m\}^{24m+1} \\ &\leq u^{1/w} \beta \cdot 231.6 N m^{24m+1} \max\{\mu+2, 5\}^{24m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality uses $1 \leq w \leq N$ and $r \leq m\mu$. Using the bounds on m and $\mu+2$ from Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$u^{-(mw+r)/w} s_1 \leq u^{1/w} \beta \cdot 231.6 N^{144m+7} \left(\frac{1}{24} \cdot \frac{31}{54}\right)^{24m+1} \leq u^{1/w} \beta \cdot 5.54 N^{144m+7} 0.024^{24m}.$$

Let B be the smallest integer for which $B > m + r/w$. By Lemma 9.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_2 &\leq 465 u^B \max\{m + r/w, 2m\}^{6m+1} \\ &\leq 465 u^{m+r/w+1/w} m^{6m+1} \max\{1 + \mu, 2\}^{6m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used that $u < 1$, $B \geq m + r/w + 1/w$, and $r/w \leq r \leq m\mu$. So by Lemma 10.1(iv),

$$|\varphi(c)|_v u^{-(mw+r)/w} s_2 \leq u^{1/w} \beta \cdot 465 m^{30m+1} \max\{1 + \mu, 2\}^{6m+1}.$$

Using the bounds on m and $\mu+1$ from Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(c)|_v u^{-(mw+r)/w} s_2 &\leq u^{1/w} \beta \cdot 465 N^{108m+6} \left(\frac{1}{24}\right)^{30m+1} \left(\frac{29}{54}\right)^{6m+1} \\ &\leq u^{1/w} \beta \cdot 10.41 N^{108m+6} 0.0162^{24m}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $|\varphi(c)|_v u^{-(mw+r)/w} s_2 \leq u^{1/w} \beta \cdot 5.54 N^{144m+7} 0.024^{24m}$ since $N \geq 3$.

Thus from (10.10) and the above bounds, we have

$$|\gamma|_v \leq 2 \cdot u^{1/w} \beta \cdot 5.54 N^{144m+7} 0.024^{24m} = u^{1/w} \beta C' / 2.$$

Since $u^{1/w} = |t|_v^{N/w} \leq (2|j(P)|_v^{-1})^{1/w} \leq 2|j(P)|_v^{1/w}$, we conclude that $|\gamma|_v \leq |j(P)|_v^{1/w} \beta C'$. $\square$

We have $\gamma^w \in K(c)$. For any place v of L , we have

$$|\xi|_v = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(c)/K)} |\sigma(\gamma^w)|_v.$$

Since the action of $\text{Gal}(K(c)/K)$ on Σ' is transitive and $c \in \Sigma'$, we have $|\text{Gal}(K(c)/K)| = |\Sigma'|$.

Suppose that v is infinite. We have $|\sigma(\gamma^w)|_v \leq (\beta C')^w$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(c)/K)$ by Lemma 10.4; note that the lemma holds for an arbitrary infinite place. Therefore,

$$|\xi|_v \leq (\beta C')^{w|\text{Gal}(K(c)/K)|} = (\beta C')^{w|\Sigma'|}.$$

Now suppose further that $|j(P)|_v > 3500$ and that there is a point $P \in Y_G(K)$ for which $\varphi(P) = \varphi(c)$ and $P \in \Omega_{c,v}$. By Lemma 10.5, we have $|\gamma^w|_v \leq |j(P)|_v^{-w} (\beta C')^w$. Therefore,

$$|\xi|_v \leq (\beta C')^{w(|\text{Gal}(K(c)/K)|-1)} |\gamma^w|_v \leq |j(P)|_v^{-w} (\beta C')^{w|\text{Gal}(K(c)/K)|} = |j(P)|_v^{-w} (\beta C')^{w|\Sigma'|}.$$

Finally suppose that v is finite and that there is a point $P \in Y_G(K)$ for which $\varphi(P) = \varphi(c)$ and $P \in \Omega_{c,v}$. Since γ is integral, we have $|\sigma(\gamma^w)|_v \leq 1$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(c)/K)$. Therefore, $|\xi|_v \leq |\gamma^w|_v$. By Lemma 10.5, we conclude that $|\xi|_v \leq |j(P)|_v^{-w}$.

REFERENCES

- [Bil95] Yuri Bilu, *Effective analysis of integral points on algebraic curves*, Israel J. Math. **90** (1995), no. 1-3, 235–252. MR1336325 [↑iii](#)
- [BP11] Yuri Bilu and Pierre Parent, *Runge’s method and modular curves*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **9** (2011), 1997–2027. MR2806555 [↑1](#), [1.1](#), [3.3](#), [10](#)
- [BG06] Enrico Bombieri and Walter Gubler, *Heights in Diophantine geometry*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 4, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR2216774 [↑1](#)
- [Bru17] François Brunault, *Régulateurs modulaires explicites via la méthode de Rogers-Zudilin*, Compos. Math. **153** (2017), no. 6, 1119–1152 (French, with English and French summaries). MR3705252 [↑6.4](#)
- [BN19] François Brunault and Michael Neururer, *Fourier expansions at cusps*, The Ramanujan Journal (2019). [↑6.2](#), [6.4](#), [6.5](#)
- [Cai22] Yulin Cai, *An explicit bound of integral points on modular curves*, Commun. Math. **30** (2022), no. 1, 161–174. MR4450724 [↑iii](#)
- [CP03] C. J. Cummins and S. Pauli, *Congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of genus less than or equal to 24*, Experiment. Math. **12** (2003), no. 2, 243–255. [↑v](#), [4](#)
- [DR73] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport, *Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques*, Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1973, pp. 143–316 (French). MR337993 [↑2.4](#), [3.1](#)
- [Fal91] Gerd Faltings, *Diophantine approximation on abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **133** (1991), no. 3, 549–576. MR1109353 [↑9.1](#)
- [Kat04] Kazuya Kato, *p -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, Astérisque **295** (2004), ix, 117–290 (English, with English and French summaries). Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques. III. MR2104361 [↑6.4](#)
- [Kat73] Nicholas M. Katz, *p -adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms*, Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 69–190. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 350. [↑6.5](#)
- [KM12] Kamal Khuri-Makdisi, *Moduli interpretation of Eisenstein series*, Int. J. Number Theory **8** (2012), no. 3, 715–748. MR2904927 [↑6.5](#)
- [Koo93] Robert Jan Kooman, *Faltings’s version of Siegel’s lemma*, Diophantine approximation and abelian varieties (Soesterberg, 1992), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1566, Springer, Berlin, 1993, pp. 93–96. MR1289008 [↑9.1](#)
- [LF19] Samuel Le Fourn, *A tubular variant of Runge’s method in all dimensions, with applications to integral points on Siegel modular varieties*, Algebra Number Theory **13** (2019), no. 1, 159–209. MR3917917 [↑1](#)
- [Rou08] Jeremy Rouse, *Bounds for the coefficients of powers of the Δ -function*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **40** (2008), no. 6, 1081–1090. MR2471957 [↑9.2](#)
- [Sch08] René Schoof, *Catalan’s conjecture*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2008. MR2459823 [↑1](#)
- [Sha14] Min Sha, *Bounding the j -invariant of integral points on modular curves*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **16** (2014), 4492–4520. MR3250041 [↑iii](#)
- [Shi94] Goro Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, vol. 11, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994. Reprint of the 1971 original; Kanô Memorial Lectures, 1. [↑2.1](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [8](#)
- [Sil94] Joseph H. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 151, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. MR1312368 [↑3.1](#)
- [Zyw24] David Zywin, *Explicit open images for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$* (2024). [arXiv:2206.14959](#) [math.NT]. [↑2.4](#), [3.1](#), [6.7](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY 14853, USA
 Email address: zywina@math.cornell.edu