

Key varieties for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds defined by Jordan algebras of cubic forms.

Part I

HIROMICHI TAKAGI

ABSTRACT. The first aim of this paper is to construct a 13-dimensional affine variety $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$ related with $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration. It is well-known that the affine cone of the Segre embedded $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is defined as the null loci of the so called $\sharp$ -mapping of a 9-dimensional nondegenerate quadratic Jordan algebra J of a cubic form. Inspired with this fact, we construct $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$ in the same way coordinatizing J with 8 parameters. We derive such a coordinatization of J using fixed three complementary primitive idempotents and the associated Peirce decomposition of J .

The second aim of this paper is to construct complex prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds of anti-canonical codimension 4 as weighted complete intersections of appropriate weighted projectivizations of $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$ or its subvarieties (possibly allowing some coordinates with weight 0). The affine variety $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$ and such weighted projectivizations of $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$ are called key varieties for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds. We show that a prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold of genus 3 with three $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1)$ -singularities belonging to the class of No.5.4 as in [Tak1] is constructed from one weighted projectivization of $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$ such that all the coordinates have positive weights. Conversely, we also show that any such a prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold is obtained in this way. Moreover, relating $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$ with the C_2 -cluster variety constructed by Coughlan and Ducat [CD1], we show that weighted projectivizations of $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$ or its subvarieties are key varieties for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds belonging to 108 classes in the online database [GRDB].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Background for classification of $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds	2
1.2. The case of anti-canonical codimension 4	3
1.3. Key varieties for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds	3
1.4. Quadratic Jordan algebra of a cubic form and its coordinatization	3
1.5. Affine variety $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$	3
1.6. $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold of genus 3 with three $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1)$ -singularities	4
1.7. Relation with the C_2 -cluster variety	4
1.8. Relation with our previous works and our future plan	4
1.9. Structure of the paper	5
2. Quadratic Jordan algebra J of cubic forms	5
3. Coordinatization of J with 8 parameters	7
3.1. Facts about three complementary primitive idempotents	7
3.2. Coordinatization of J using 3 complementary primitive idempotents	8
3.3. $\sharp$ -mapping and cubic form	10
4. Affine variety $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$	12
4.1. Definition of $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$	12
4.2. $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -action on $\mathcal{H}_A^{13}$	12
4.3. Cayley's hyperdeterminant	13

4.4.	$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration associated to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	15
4.5.	Nondegeneracy of $J_{\mathbb{P}}$	16
4.6.	Charts of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	16
4.7.	Gorensteinness and 9×16 graded minimal free resolution of the ideal of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	18
4.8.	Factoriality of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	19
4.9.	Singularities of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	20
5.	$\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-fold of No.5.4	21
5.1.	Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1)	21
5.2.	Proof of Theorem 1.2 (2)	22
6.	Relatives of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$-affine varieties $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$-	27
6.1.	Definition of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$	27
6.2.	$(\mathrm{GL}_2)^2$ -action on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$	27
6.3.	$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration related with $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$	28
6.4.	Definition of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$	28
6.5.	GL_3 -action on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$	28
6.6.	$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration related with $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$	29
7.	The C_2-cluster variety as a specialization of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	29
7.1.	The cluster variety X_{C_2} of type C_2	29
7.2.	X_{C_2} as a subvariety of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	29
7.3.	Subvarieties $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ related with X_{C_2}	30
7.4.	$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ as subvarieties of X_{C_2}	31
7.5.	$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ or $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ as key varieties	32
	References	32

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: 14J45, 14E30, 17C10, 17C50

Key words and phrases: $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold, key variety, $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration, Jordan algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background for classification of $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds. A complex projective variety is called a *$\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety* if it is a normal variety with only terminal singularities, and its anti-canonical divisor is ample. The classification of $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds is one of the central problems in Mori theory for projective 3-folds. Although the classification is far from completion, several systematic classification results have been obtained so far. In the online database [GRDB], a huge table of candidates of $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds are given. One kind of the classification results is that with respect to the codimension ac_X of a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold X in the weighted projective space of the minimal dimension determined by the anti-canonical graded ring of X . We call ac_X the *anti-canonical codimension*, which can be considered as a generalization of the genus of a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold. The invariant ac_X is expected not to be unreasonably big for *prime* $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds, namely, $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds whose anti-canonical divisors generate the groups of numerical equivalence classes of $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisors. Hereafter, we focus on prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds. For prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds X with $ac_X \leq 3$, the classification was completed if X are quasi-smooth, namely, the affine cones of X in the affine spaces associated to the anti-canonical graded rings are smooth outside the vertices; Fletcher [Fl] and Chen-Chen-Chen [CCC] did in the case of $ac_X \leq 2$, and Altınok [Al] did in the case of $ac_X = 3$.

1.2. The case of anti-canonical codimension 4. Now let us consider prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds X with $\text{ac}_X = 4$. In this case, the classification is not completed mainly because there is no suitable general structure theorem for Gorenstein graded rings of codimension 4 while there are such structure theorems in the case of codimension less than or equal to 3, which are foundations for the classification results mentioned as above; see [Se] in the case of codimension ≤ 2 and [BE] in the case of codimension 3.

1.3. Key varieties for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds. Under this circumstance, it is meaningful to construct examples of prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds X with $\text{ac}_X = 4$ systematically. In [CD1, CD2], Coughlan and Ducat do this as weighted complete intersections in weighted projectivizations of the cluster varieties of types C_2 and $G_2^{(4)}$, which are related with $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ - and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -fibrations, respectively. This type of construction is modeled after the classification of smooth prime Fano 3-folds by Gushel' [Gu1, Gu2] and Mukai [Mu2]. The idea of them is describing $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds X in appropriate projective varieties Σ of larger dimensions and usually with larger symmetries; in the case of [Mu2], Σ are rational homogeneous spaces, and in the case of [CD1, CD2], Σ are several weighted projectivizations of the cluster varieties. Such Σ or the affine cone of Σ are informally called *key variety* as in the title of this paper.

The purpose of this paper is to construct a new key variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds X with $\text{ac}_X = 4$ related with $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration. The variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ naturally grows out of the theory of the quadratic Jordan algebra of a cubic form.

1.4. Quadratic Jordan algebra of a cubic form and its coordinatization. In this subsection, we work over an algebraically closed field k . Let J be a 9-dimensional nondegenerate quadratic Jordan algebra of a cubic form over k with reasonable assumptions (for details, we refer to Assumption (a)–(c) in Section 2). The quadratic Jordan algebra J is endowed with a quadratic mapping called $\sharp$ -mapping, and a bi-linear product called $\sharp$ -product defined by the $\sharp$ -mapping (Section 2). By general theory of quadratic Jordan algebra of cubic form, J has three complementary primitive idempotents v_1, v_2, v_3 , which give the so called Peirce decomposition of J :

$$J = J_{11} \oplus J_{22} \oplus J_{33} \oplus J_{12} \oplus J_{13} \oplus J_{23},$$

where $J_{ii} = kv_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) and $J_{ij} \simeq k^2$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq 3$). Taking a suitable basis of each of the subspaces J_{ij} , we show that the $\sharp$ -products between elements of these bases can be described by introducing 8 parameters indexed as p_{ijk} ($i, j, k = 1, 2$) (Proposition 3.2).

Hereafter in Section 1, we assume for simplicity that $k = \mathbb{C}$, the complex number field.

1.5. Affine variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. It is well-known that the affine cone $C(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ of (the Segre embedded) $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is defined as the null loci of the $\sharp$ -mapping of J . Inspired with this fact, we construct the affine variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ in the same way as $C(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ coordinatizing J with 8 parameters p_{ijk} ($i, j, k = 1, 2$).

Let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the 17-dimensional affine space containing $J \simeq \mathbb{A}^9$ with p_{ijk} as additional 8 coordinates. Then we define $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}^{17}$ as the null loci of the $\sharp$ -mapping; we refer to Corollary 3.4 and Definition 4.1 for the explicit equations of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. Here we summarize properties of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *The affine variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ has the following properties:*

- (1) *There exists a $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -action on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ (Proposition 4.2).*
- (2) *Let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ be the affine space with coordinates p_{ijk} ($i, j, k = 1, 2$), and $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ the variety in $\mathbb{P}(J) \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ with the same equations as $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. The natural projection $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}: \widehat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is equidimensional outside the origin of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$, and a general $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$ -fiber is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ (Proposition 4.5).*
- (3) *The variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is a 13-dimensional irreducible normal variety with only factorial Gorenstein terminal singularities (Propositions 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 and 4.11).*
- (4) *The ideal of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is generated by 9 elements with 16 relations (Proposition 4.8).*

In Section 6, we also introduce two subvarieties $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ with $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^2$ - and GL_3 -action respectively, which turn out to be key varieties of many prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds (Section 7).

1.6. $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold of genus 3 with three $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularities. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1^{14}, 2^3)$ be the weighted projectivization of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ such that the 3 weights of the coordinates corresponding to v_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) are 2, and the other weights of coordinates are 1. We show that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ is the key variety for a prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold of No.5.4 as in [Tak1] of genus 3 with three $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularities, which corresponds to the numerical data No.20652 as in [GRDB]. Actually, we prove the following stronger result in Section 5:

Theorem 1.2. *The following assertions hold:*

- (1) *Let $L_1, \dots, L_9$ be general forms of weight 1 in $\mathbb{P}(1^{14}, 2^3)$. The subscheme*

$$X := \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} \cap L_1 \cap \dots \cap L_9$$

is a prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold of No.5.4 as in [Tak1] for any choice of the $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularities of X , and is of anticanonical codimension 4.

- (2) *Conversely, any prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with only $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularities of No.5.4 is a codimension 9 linear section of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$.*

We will see in the course of the proof of Theorem 1.2 (2) that the affine variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is obtained naturally from birational geometry of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold. We mention that we obtain similar results for other prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds in [Tak5].

1.7. Relation with the C_2 -cluster variety. As is mentioned in Subsection 1.3, several weighted projectivizations of the C_2 -cluster variety are key varieties for prime Fano 3-folds by [CD1, CD2]. We show that the C_2 -cluster variety is a subvariety of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ (Proposition 7.2). This implies that, corresponding to each of the 108 classes of prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds constructed by ibid., we can construct $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds from weighted projectivizations of 4 subvarieties $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$, which are more general than the ones constructed by ibid (Corollary 7.6).

1.8. Relation with our previous works and our future plan. We have constructed key varieties for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds in our previous papers [Tak3, Tak4, Tak6]. Here we clarify the relations of them and our future plan with the present paper.

In the papers [Tak3, Tak4], we construct key varieties related with $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration; the varieties $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$ and $\Upsilon_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$ are constructed in [Tak3] (see also [Tay] as for $\Upsilon_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$), and the variety $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is constructed in [Tak4] inspired by [Tay]. The method of the constructions is the theory of unprojection developed by Papadakis and Reid.

The variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ also appears in [Tak4] only to describe $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$. In this paper, we introduce $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ using a Jordan algebra of a cubic form, and treat it as a key variety for the first time. In our forthcoming paper [Tak7], we will reintroduce $\Upsilon_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ via other coordinatizations of the Jordan algebra J .

In the paper [Tak6], we construct key varieties for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds related with $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration, and study the relation of them with the $G_2^{(4)}$ -cluster variety. Our constructions of them are based on the theory of Freudenthal triple system.

1.9. Structure of the paper. Sections 2 and 3, and Subsection 4.5 are devoted to studying the quadratic Jordan algebra of a cubic form, and, in the remaining part, we are concerned with the classification of prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds.

After we review general facts about quadratic Jordan algebra of cubic form in Section 2 and Subsection 3.1, we obtain a coordinatization with 8 parameters of the 9-dimensional nondegenerate quadratic Jordan algebra J of a cubic form with some reasonable assumptions (Proposition 3.2). In Subsection 3.3, we write down the $\sharp$ -mapping and the cubic form of J using 8 parameters (Corollary 3.4). This leads us to our definition of the affine variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ (Definition 4.1). It turns out that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is naturally related with $2 \times 2 \times 2$ hypermatrix (Subsection 4.3). In the remaining part of Section 4, we show Theorem 1.1. Section 5 is occupied with the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 6, we introduce two subvarieties $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ with good group actions. In the final section 7, we show that the C_2 -cluster variety X_{C_2} is a subvariety of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. We also clarify relations of X_{C_2} with 4 subvarieties $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. Then we show that these 4 varieties are key varieties of prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds belonging to 108 classes (Corollary 7.6).

This paper contains several assertions which can be proved by straightforward computations; we often omit such computation processes. Some computations are difficult by hand but are easy within a software package. In our computations, we use intensively the software systems Mathematica [W] and SINGULAR [DGPS].

Acknowledgment: I am very grateful to Professor Shigeru Mukai; inspired by his article [Mu1], I was led to Jordan algebra to describe key varieties. Moreover, I was helped to find the key variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ by his inference as for dimensions of key varieties. I owe many important calculations in the paper to Professor Shinobu Hosono. I wish to thank him for his generous cooperations. This work is supported in part by Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research (C) 16K05090.

2. QUADRATIC JORDAN ALGEBRA J OF CUBIC FORMS

In this section, we review the construction of the Jordan algebra of a cubic form and collect some basic facts following [R, Mc2].

Let k be a field and J a finite dimensional k -vector space and $N(x)$ ($x \in J$) a cubic form on J . We fix an element $1 \in J$ such that

$$N(1) = 1.$$

We denote by $\partial_y N(x)$ the directional derivative of N in the direction $y \in J$, evaluated at $x \in J$.

For the cubic form $N(x)$, the following several forms are introduced:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Bilinear Trace Form} & : T(x, y) := -\partial_x \partial_y N(\mathbf{1}) + (\partial_x N(\mathbf{1}))(\partial_y N(\mathbf{1})) \\ \text{Linear Trace Form} & : T(x) := T(x, \mathbf{1}) = \partial_x N(\mathbf{1}), \end{cases}$$

where the last equality of the second line follows from the assumptions that $N(x)$ is a cubic form and $N(\mathbf{1}) = 1$. It follows that

$$T(\mathbf{1}) = \partial_{\mathbf{1}} N(\mathbf{1}) = 3$$

since $N(x)$ is a cubic form.

Now $\sharp$ -mapping and $\sharp$ -product are introduced as follows, which will guarantee that J has the structure of quadratic Jordan algebra defined from the cubic form $N(x)$:

$$\begin{cases} \sharp\text{-mapping} & : x \mapsto x^\sharp, \\ \sharp\text{-product} & : x \sharp y := (x + y)^\sharp - x^\sharp - y^\sharp \end{cases}$$

satisfying the following three conditions:

Sharp Condition:

$$\begin{aligned} (\sharp 1) \quad & T(x^\sharp, y) = \partial_y N(x), \\ (\sharp 2) \quad & x^{\sharp\sharp} = N(x)x, \\ (\sharp 3) \quad & \mathbf{1} \sharp y = T(y)\mathbf{1} - y. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, the $\sharp$ -product plays important roles.

The following fundamental theorem is due to McCrimmon ([Mc2, Const. 4.2.2]):

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that the cubic form $N(x)$ and $\mathbf{1} \in J$ are endowed with the $\sharp$ -mapping and the $\sharp$ -product satisfying the conditions $(\sharp 1)$ – $(\sharp 3)$. Then J has the structure of quadratic Jordan algebra with $\mathbf{1}$ as the unit element and with the following U -operator:*

$$U_x y := T(x, y)x - x^\sharp \sharp y.$$

We refer to [Mc2, Sect.4.3] and [R] the definition of quadratic Jordan algebra.

Definition 2.2. We call the quadratic Jordan algebra defined as in Theorem 2.1 *the quadratic Jordan algebra of the cubic form $N(x)$* .

Remark 2.3. In the situation of Theorem 2.1, if the characteristic of k is not 2, then the usual Jordan product $\bullet$ is defined as follows ([Mc2, Const. 4.2.2]):

$$x \bullet y := \frac{1}{2}(x \sharp y + T(x)y + T(y)x - S(x, y)\mathbf{1}).$$

The product $x \bullet x$ can be defined for any k as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad x \bullet x := x^\sharp + T(x)x - S(x)\mathbf{1}.$$

The introduction of the forms for J continues:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Quadratic Spur form} & : S(x) := T(x^\sharp) \\ \text{Bilinear Spur form} & : S(x, y) := T(x \sharp y) = T(x, y) - T(x)T(y), \end{cases}$$

where the last equality of the second line holds by [Mc1, p.497, (16)]

Now the following nondegeneracy condition for a Jordan algebra J of a cubic form is introduced, which will guarantee a good structure theorem of J :

Definition 2.4. We set

$$(2.2) \quad R_J := \{\sigma \in J \mid \forall y \in J, U_\sigma y = 0\}.$$

The quadratic Jordan algebra J of the cubic form $N(x)$ is said to be *nondegenerate* if $R_J = \{0\}$.

We note that a nonzero element of R_J is called in [R] *an absolute zero divisor*.

In [Pe, Cor.4.1.58], the following effective paraphrase of nondegeneracy is obtained:

Theorem 2.5. *The quadratic Jordan algebra J of the cubic form $N(x)$ is nondegenerate if and only if it holds that*

$$\{\sigma \in J \mid N(\sigma) = T(\sigma, J) = T(\sigma^\sharp, J) = 0\} = \{0\}.$$

Hereafter in this paper, we consider the quadratic Jordan algebra J of the cubic form $N(x)$ with the following assumptions:

Assumptions.

- (a) J is nondegenerate.
- (b) $N(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \neq 0$.
- (c) $J \not\cong k \oplus J(Q, \mathbf{1}')$, where $J(Q, \mathbf{1}')$ is the Jordan algebra of a quadratic form Q with the identity $\mathbf{1}'$.

In the paper [R], Racine obtains a coordinatization of the quadratic Jordan algebra J of a cubic form with the assumptions (a)–(c) ([ibid., Thm. 1.1]); he describe J using a 3×3 matrix over a composition algebra. We do not state his result in detail since we do not need the result itself in this paper. We, however, heavily use his arguments and several formulas contained in the proof of [ibid., Thm. 1.1], which we will recall in Subsection 3.1.

3. COORDINATIZATION OF J WITH 8 PARAMETERS

3.1. Facts about three complementary primitive idempotents. Let k be a field and J be the quadratic Jordan algebra of a cubic form with the assumptions (a)–(c) as in Section 2. A nonzero element $e \in J$ is called an *idempotent* if it satisfies $e \bullet e = e$ (cf. Remark 2.3), and an idempotent is called *primitive* if it also satisfies $e^\sharp = 0$. For $x_1, x_2, y \in J$, we define $U_{x_1, x_2}(y) := U_{\mathbf{1}}(y) - U_{x_1}(y) - U_{x_2}(y)$.

By [R], there exist three primitive idempotents v_1, v_2, v_3 such that $v_1 + v_2 + v_3 = \mathbf{1}$. Such three primitive idempotents are called *complementary*. They give the following decomposition of J , which is called the *Peirce decomposition* (associated to v_1, v_2, v_3):

$$J = J_{11} \oplus J_{22} \oplus J_{33} \oplus J_{12} \oplus J_{13} \oplus J_{23},$$

where

$$J_{ii} = kv_i, J_{ij} = U_{v_i, v_j}(J) \ (1 \leq i < j \leq 3).$$

If the characteristic of k is not 2, then it holds that

$$J_{ij} = \{\sigma \in J \mid v_i \bullet \sigma = v_j \bullet \sigma = \frac{1}{2}\sigma\} \ (1 \leq i < j \leq 3).$$

We define for convenience that $J_{12} = J_{21}$, $J_{13} = J_{31}$ and $J_{23} = J_{32}$. The subspace J_{ij} are called *the Peirce subspaces*. In [R, p.99], it is proved that

$$(3.1) \quad \dim J_{12} = \dim J_{13} = \dim J_{23}.$$

The following facts are obtained in [R] in the course of the proof of [ibid., Thm.1]:

Theorem 3.1. *Let i, j, k be three integers such that $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. The Peirce spaces have the following properties:*

- (i) *For $\sigma \in J_{jk}$, it holds that $T(\sigma) = 0$ ([R, (28)]).*
- (ii) *J_{ij} and J_{ik} are orthogonal with respect to the bilinear trace form T ([R, (33)]).*
- (iii) *For v_1, v_2, v_3 , and $\sigma \in J_{jk}$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^\sharp &= S(\sigma)v_i, \\ v_i^\sharp v_j &= v_k, \\ v_j^\sharp \sigma &= v_k^\sharp \sigma = 0, \\ v_i^\sharp \sigma &= -\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

([R, (33)]).

- (iv) *$J_{jk} \neq \{0\}$ and the quadratic form $S(\sigma)$ ($\sigma \in J_{jk}$) is not identically zero on J_{jk} ([R, p.98, 5th line from the bottom]).*
- (v) *For $\sigma_a \in J_{ij}$ and $\sigma_b \in J_{jk}$, it holds that $\sigma_a^\sharp \sigma_b \in J_{ik}$ ([R, (34)]).*
- (vi) *For $\sigma_a \in J_{ij}$ and $\sigma_b \in J_{jk}$, it holds that*

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma_a^\sharp(\sigma_a^\sharp \sigma_b) = -S(\sigma_a)\sigma_b$$

([R, (36)]).

Hereafter in this section, we keep considering the quadratic Jordan algebra J of a cubic form as in Subsection 3.1, and fixing the three complementary primitive idempotents v_1, v_2, v_3 . Moreover, we assume that

$$\dim J = 9.$$

Then, by (3.1), it holds that

$$\dim J_{12} = \dim J_{13} = \dim J_{23} = 2.$$

3.2. Coordinatization of J using 3 complementary primitive idempotents. We consider how to describe the cubic form N from the Peirce decomposition. For this, it suffices to compute $x^\sharp$ for any x since $x^{\sharp\sharp} = N(x)x$. We can compute $x^\sharp$ for any x if we know all the $\sharp$ products between the elements of the bases of the Peirce spaces since the $\sharp$ product is bilinear. By (iii), we already know the $\sharp$ products among v_1, v_2, v_3 , and an element x of a Peirce space. Hence it remains to know the $\sharp$ products $x^\sharp y$ when $x \in J_{ij}$ and $y \in J_{jk}$, or $x, y \in J_{ij}$. In the following proposition, assuming that k is algebraically closed, we show that everything is determined by taking an appropriate bases for J_{12}, J_{13}, J_{23} and giving 8 parameters that define the $\sharp$ products between the bases of J_{23} and J_{13} as in (3.3).

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that k is algebraically closed. Then there exist bases χ_{1i}, χ_{2i} of J_{jk} for each i, j, k with $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $p_{abc} \in k$ ($a, b, c = 1, 2$) such that*

the following hold:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_{11} \sharp \chi_{12} = p_{221} \chi_{13} + p_{222} \chi_{23}, \\ \chi_{11} \sharp \chi_{22} = -p_{211} \chi_{13} - p_{212} \chi_{23}, \\ \chi_{21} \sharp \chi_{12} = -p_{121} \chi_{13} - p_{122} \chi_{23}, \\ \chi_{21} \sharp \chi_{22} = p_{111} \chi_{13} + p_{112} \chi_{23}. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_{11} \sharp \chi_{13} = p_{212} \chi_{12} + p_{222} \chi_{22}, \\ \chi_{11} \sharp \chi_{23} = -p_{211} \chi_{12} - p_{221} \chi_{22}, \\ \chi_{21} \sharp \chi_{13} = -p_{112} \chi_{12} - p_{122} \chi_{22}, \\ \chi_{21} \sharp \chi_{23} = p_{111} \chi_{12} + p_{121} \chi_{22}. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_{12} \sharp \chi_{13} = p_{122} \chi_{11} + p_{222} \chi_{21}, \\ \chi_{12} \sharp \chi_{23} = -p_{121} \chi_{11} - p_{221} \chi_{21}, \\ \chi_{22} \sharp \chi_{13} = -p_{112} \chi_{11} - p_{212} \chi_{21}, \\ \chi_{22} \sharp \chi_{23} = p_{111} \chi_{11} + p_{211} \chi_{21}. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_{11} \sharp \chi_{11} = 2(-p_{212} p_{221} + p_{211} p_{222}) v_1, \\ \chi_{11} \sharp \chi_{21} = (-p_{122} p_{211} + p_{121} p_{212} + p_{112} p_{221} - p_{111} p_{222}) v_1, \\ \chi_{21} \sharp \chi_{21} = 2(-p_{112} p_{121} + p_{111} p_{122}) v_1, \\ \chi_{12} \sharp \chi_{12} = 2(-p_{122} p_{221} + p_{121} p_{222}) v_2, \\ \chi_{12} \sharp \chi_{22} = (p_{122} p_{211} - p_{121} p_{212} + p_{112} p_{221} - p_{111} p_{222}) v_2, \\ \chi_{22} \sharp \chi_{22} = 2(-p_{112} p_{211} + p_{111} p_{212}) v_2, \\ \chi_{13} \sharp \chi_{13} = 2(-p_{122} p_{212} + p_{112} p_{222}) v_3, \\ \chi_{13} \sharp \chi_{23} = (p_{122} p_{211} + p_{121} p_{212} - p_{112} p_{221} - p_{111} p_{222}) v_3, \\ \chi_{23} \sharp \chi_{23} = 2(-p_{121} p_{211} + p_{111} p_{221}) v_3. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.3. It is convenient to put $p_{abc} \in k(a, b, c = 1, 2)$ on the vertices of the cube as follows and we regard it as a hypermatrix P :

$$P := \begin{array}{ccc} & p_{122} & p_{222} \\ & \diagdown & \diagup \\ p_{121} & & p_{221} \\ & \diagup & \diagdown \\ & p_{112} & p_{212} \\ & \diagdown & \diagup \\ p_{111} & & p_{211} \end{array}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. For $\sigma \in J_{ij}$, we denote by

$$f_{ij}^\sigma: J_{ik} \rightarrow J_{jk} \text{ and } f_{ji}^\sigma: J_{jk} \rightarrow J_{ik}$$

the linear maps defined by $\sigma \sharp$. We choose a basis χ_{1i}, χ_{2i} of J_{jk} for any mutually distinct i, j, k . Let P_{ij}^σ be the representation matrix of f_{ij}^σ with respect to these bases. By (3.2), we have

$$(3.7) \quad P_{ij}^\sigma P_{ji}^\sigma = -S(\sigma)E,$$

where E is the identity matrix. Let $(P_{ij}^\sigma)^\dagger$ be the adjoint matrix of P_{ij}^σ . Multiplying the equality (3.7) with $(P_{ij}^\sigma)^\dagger$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad (\det P_{ij}^\sigma) P_{ji}^\sigma = -S(\sigma)(P_{ij}^\sigma)^\dagger.$$

Note that entries of P_{ij}^σ are linear forms and then $\det P_{ij}^\sigma$ is a quadratic form with respect to the coordinates of J_{ij} since the $\sharp$ -product is bi-linear and $\dim J_{jk} = 2$.

By Theorem 3.1 (iv), $S(\sigma) \neq 0$ for some $\sigma \in J_{ij}$, and then, by (3.7), $\det P_{ij}^\sigma \neq 0$ for such a σ . Now we show that, for some $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{k}$, $\det P_{ij}^\sigma = \alpha_{ij} S(\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in J_{ij}$ using (3.8). Assume the contrary. Then, for a reason of degree, the highest common factor of $S(\sigma)$ and $\det P_{ij}^\sigma$ is a linear form, say, $m(\sigma)$. We may write $S(\sigma) = m(\sigma)l(\sigma)$ with a linear form $l(\sigma)$ (note that $l(\sigma)$ does not divide $\det P_{ij}^\sigma$). Then, by (3.8), there is a constant 2×2 matrix M such that $P_{ji}^\sigma = l(\sigma)M$. Therefore, for a nonzero $\sigma_0 \in J_{ij}$ such that $l(\sigma_0) = 0$, we have $P_{ji}^{\sigma_0} = 0$. This means $\sigma_0 \sharp \tau = 0$ for any $\tau \in J_{jk}$. For a $\tau_0 \in J_{jk}$ with $S(\tau_0) \neq 0$ (cf. Theorem 3.1 (iv)), however, the linear map $f_{jk}^{\tau_0}$ is an isomorphism, thus $\sigma_0 \sharp \tau_0$ cannot be zero since $\sigma_0 \neq 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, for some $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{k}$, $\det P_{ij}^\sigma = \alpha_{ij} S(\sigma)$ as desired.

Note that, by replacing the basis χ_{1i}, χ_{2i} of J_{jk} with $\beta_{jk}\chi_{1i}, \beta_{jk}\chi_{2i}$ for some $\beta_{jk} \in \mathbb{k}^*$ and the basis χ_{1j}, χ_{2j} of J_{ik} with $\beta_{ik}\chi_{1j}, \beta_{ik}\chi_{2j}$ for some $\beta_{ik} \in \mathbb{k}^*$, the matrix P_{ij}^σ is multiplied with $\frac{\beta_{ik}}{\beta_{jk}}$. Choosing $\beta_{12}, \beta_{13}, \beta_{23}$ such that $\frac{\beta_{12}}{\beta_{23}} = \sqrt{\alpha_{13}}$ and $\frac{\beta_{12}}{\beta_{13}} = \sqrt{\alpha_{23}}$ (here we use the assumption that $\mathbb{k}$ is algebraically closed), and taking the corresponding base changes for J_{12}, J_{13}, J_{23} , we may assume that

$$(3.9) \quad \det P_{13}^\sigma = S(\sigma) \text{ and } \det P_{23}^\tau = S(\tau)$$

for $\sigma \in J_{13}$ and $\tau \in J_{23}$. Then, by (3.8), we have

$$(3.10) \quad P_{31}^\sigma = -(P_{13}^\sigma)^\dagger \text{ and } P_{32}^\tau = -(P_{23}^\tau)^\dagger$$

for $\sigma \in J_{13}$ and $\tau \in J_{23}$. Now, setting

$$P_{32}^{\chi_{11}} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{221} & -p_{211} \\ p_{222} & -p_{212} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } P_{32}^{\chi_{21}} = \begin{pmatrix} -p_{121} & p_{111} \\ -p_{122} & p_{112} \end{pmatrix},$$

the equality (3.3) holds. We have also the first three equalities of (3.6) by the first equality of Theorem 3.1 (iii), (3.9), (3.10), and the definition of $\sharp$ product. Since $P_{23}^\tau = -(P_{32}^\tau)^\dagger$ for $\tau \in J_{23}$ by (3.10), we have (3.4). By the commutativity of $\sharp$ product, (3.3) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{12} \sharp \chi_{11} &= p_{221} \chi_{13} + p_{222} \chi_{23}, \\ \chi_{12} \sharp \chi_{21} &= -p_{121} \chi_{13} - p_{122} \chi_{23}, \\ \chi_{22} \sharp \chi_{11} &= -p_{211} \chi_{13} - p_{212} \chi_{23}, \\ \chi_{22} \sharp \chi_{21} &= p_{111} \chi_{13} + p_{112} \chi_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $P_{31}^{\chi_{12}} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{221} & -p_{121} \\ p_{222} & -p_{122} \end{pmatrix}$ and $P_{31}^{\chi_{22}} = \begin{pmatrix} -p_{211} & p_{111} \\ -p_{212} & p_{112} \end{pmatrix}$. Then, since $P_{13}^\sigma = -(P_{31}^\sigma)^\dagger$ for $\sigma \in J_{13}$, we obtain (3.5). Finally we obtain the last six equalities of (3.6) in a similar way to obtain the first three equalities of (3.6). $\square$

3.3. $\sharp$ -mapping and cubic form. By Proposition 3.2 and direct computations, we obtain the formulas of $\sigma^\sharp$ for any $\sigma \in J$ and the explicit presentation of the cubic form of J as follows:

Corollary 3.4. *Under the same assumptions and notation as in Proposition 3.2, we also fix the bases χ_{1i}, χ_{2i} of J_{jk} for each i, j, k with $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $p_{abc} \in \mathbb{k} (a, b, c = 1, 2)$. Let $\sigma \in J$ be any element. We write*

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^3 (x_{1i}\chi_{1i} + x_{2i}\chi_{2i}) + \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j v_j,$$

$$\sigma^\sharp = \sum_{i=1}^3 (x_{1i}^\sharp \chi_{1i} + x_{2i}^\sharp \chi_{2i}) + \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j^\sharp v_j,$$

and set

$$\mathbf{x}_i := \begin{pmatrix} x_{1i} \\ x_{2i} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_i^\sharp := \begin{pmatrix} x_{1i}^\sharp \\ x_{2i}^\sharp \end{pmatrix} \quad (1 \leq i \leq 3).$$

For $i, j = 1, 2$ and $k = 1, 2, 3$, we define

$$D_{ij}^{(1)}(x) := \begin{vmatrix} p_{1ij} & x_{11} \\ p_{2ij} & x_{21} \end{vmatrix}, D_{ij}^{(2)}(x) := \begin{vmatrix} p_{i1j} & x_{12} \\ p_{i2j} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix}, D_{ij}^{(3)}(x) := \begin{vmatrix} p_{ij1} & x_{13} \\ p_{ij2} & x_{23} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$D_{ij}^{(1)}(x^\sharp) := \begin{vmatrix} p_{1ij} & x_{11}^\sharp \\ p_{2ij} & x_{21}^\sharp \end{vmatrix}, D_{ij}^{(2)}(x^\sharp) := \begin{vmatrix} p_{i1j} & x_{12}^\sharp \\ p_{i2j} & x_{22}^\sharp \end{vmatrix}, D_{ij}^{(3)}(x^\sharp) := \begin{vmatrix} p_{ij1} & x_{13}^\sharp \\ p_{ij2} & x_{23}^\sharp \end{vmatrix},$$

$$D^{(k)}(x) := \begin{pmatrix} -D_{12}^{(k)}(x) & D_{11}^{(k)}(x) \\ -D_{22}^{(k)}(x) & D_{21}^{(k)}(x) \end{pmatrix}, D^{(k)}(x, x^\sharp) := \begin{pmatrix} -D_{12}^{(k)}(x) & D_{11}^{(k)}(x^\sharp) \\ -D_{22}^{(k)}(x) & D_{21}^{(k)}(x^\sharp) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D^{(k)}(x^\sharp, x) := \begin{pmatrix} -D_{12}^{(k)}(x^\sharp) & D_{11}^{(k)}(x) \\ -D_{22}^{(k)}(x^\sharp) & D_{21}^{(k)}(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The coordinates of $\sigma^\sharp$ and the cubic form defining the quadratic Jordan structure of J are written as follows:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1^\sharp &= -u_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + D^{(3)}(x) \mathbf{x}_2, \\ \mathbf{x}_2^\sharp &= -u_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + D^{(1)}(x) \mathbf{x}_3, \\ \mathbf{x}_3^\sharp &= -u_3 \mathbf{x}_3 - (D^{(2)}(x))^\dagger \mathbf{x}_1, \\ u_1^\sharp &= u_2 u_3 + |D^{(1)}(x)|, \\ u_2^\sharp &= u_3 u_1 + |D^{(2)}(x)|, \\ u_3^\sharp &= u_1 u_2 + |D^{(3)}(x)|, \end{cases}$$

where $(D^{(2)}(x))^\dagger$ is the adjoint matrix of $D^{(2)}(x)$.

$$(3.12) \quad N_{\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{P}} := \frac{1}{3} \{ u_1(u_1^\sharp) - |D^{(1)}(x, x^\sharp)| - |D^{(1)}(x^\sharp, x)| \\ + u_2(u_2^\sharp) - |D^{(2)}(x, x^\sharp)| - |D^{(2)}(x^\sharp, x)| \\ + u_3(u_3^\sharp) - |D^{(3)}(x, x^\sharp)| - |D^{(3)}(x^\sharp, x)| \},$$

where the coefficient $1/3$ in (3.12) only appears in the expression and is canceled such that r.h.s. of (3.12) has only integer coefficients (thus, it works also when the characteristic of $\mathbb{k}$ is 3).

Notation. We denote the r.h.s. of (3.11) in order from the top by $G_1, \dots, G_6$, where G_1, G_2, G_3 are 2-dimensional vectors with polynomial entries, and G_4, G_5, G_6 are polynomials.

We also have the following converse statement, for which we do not need to assume that k is algebraically closed:

Proposition 3.5. *Let J be a 9-dimensional k -vector space with coordinates u_1, u_2, u_3, x_{ij} ($i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2$). Let $N_{\mathcal{H}, P}$ be the cubic form (3.12) on J with some hypermatrix P . We also define $\sigma^\sharp$ for $\sigma \in J$ as in (3.11). The vector space J has the structure of the quadratic Jordan algebra of the cubic form $N_{\mathcal{H}, P}$ with the $\sharp$ -mapping $J \ni \sigma \mapsto \sigma^\sharp \in J$.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check the sharp condition ($\sharp 1$)–($\sharp 3$) for the cubic form $N_{\mathcal{H}, P}$ and the map $J \ni \sigma \mapsto \sigma^\sharp \in J$. Then the assertion follows from Theorem 2.1. $\square$

Definition 3.6. We denote by J_P the quadratic Jordan algebra of the cubic form $N_{\mathcal{H}, P}$ constructed in Proposition 3.5.

J_P is not necessarily nondegenerate. We will revisit the problem to determine nondegeneracy of J_P in Subsection 4.5.

4. AFFINE VARIETY $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$

Let k be a field.

4.1. Definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the k -affine 17-space with coordinates u, u_2, u_3, x_{ij} ($i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2$) and p_{ijk} ($i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$). We define a morphism $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ by $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}} \ni \sigma \mapsto \sigma^\sharp \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ as in Corollary 3.4. In $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$, we define $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ to be the affine scheme defined by the condition

$$\sigma^\sharp = 0,$$

namely, the vanishing of the r.h.s. of (3.11).

Finally, we show that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is a variety, i.e., it is irreducible.

4.2. $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -action on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. In this subsection, we show the following:

Proposition 4.2. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ has a $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -action.

Proof. There exist three GL_2 -actions on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ defined by the following rules (1)–(3):

(1) For an element $g_1 \in \mathrm{GL}_2$, we define the GL_2 -action on the affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ by the rule

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\mapsto g_1 x_1, u_2 \mapsto (\det g_1) u_2, u_3 \mapsto (\det g_1) u_3, \\ \begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{112} & p_{121} & p_{122} \\ p_{211} & p_{212} & p_{221} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto g_1 \begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{112} & p_{121} & p_{122} \\ p_{211} & p_{212} & p_{221} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

with the other coordinates being unchanged. We can check that $G_1, \dots, G_6$ are changed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &\mapsto g_1 G_1, G_2 \mapsto (\det g_1) G_2, G_3 \mapsto (\det g_1) G_3, \\ G_4 &\mapsto (\det g_1)^2 G_4, G_5 \mapsto (\det g_1) G_5, G_6 \mapsto (\det g_1) G_6. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore this GL_2 -action on the affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ induces the action on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

(2) For an element $g_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_2$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_2 &\mapsto g_2 \mathbf{x}_2, u_1 \mapsto (\det g_2) u_1, u_3 \mapsto (\det g_2) u_3, \\ \begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{112} & p_{211} & p_{212} \\ p_{121} & p_{122} & p_{221} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto g_2 \begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{112} & p_{211} & p_{212} \\ p_{121} & p_{122} & p_{221} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and other coordinates being unchanged. The changes of the equations of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ are similar to the case (1), so we omit them. We can check that this GL_2 -action on the affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ induces the action on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

(3) For an element $g_3 \in \mathrm{GL}_2$, we set $\mathbf{x}_3 \mapsto g_3 \mathbf{x}_3$, $u_1 \mapsto (\det g_3) u_1$, $u_2 \mapsto (\det g_3) u_2$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{121} & p_{211} & p_{221} \\ p_{112} & p_{122} & p_{212} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto g_3 \begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{121} & p_{211} & p_{221} \\ p_{112} & p_{122} & p_{212} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix},$$

and other coordinates being unchanged. The changes of the equations of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ are also similar to the case (1), so we omit them. We can check that this GL_2 -action on the affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ induces the action on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

We can also check that these three GL_2 -actions on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ mutually commute. Thus these actions induce a $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3$ -action on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

We may define a natural S_3 -action by associating the three numbers 1, 2, 3 to the three coordinates u_1, u_2, u_3 , the three vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3$, and the three subscripts i, j, k for p_{ijk} . For example, by $(12) \in S_3$, u_1 and u_2 , $\mathbf{x}_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_2$, p_{ijk} and p_{jik} are interchanged respectively. Also, by $(123) \in S_3$, u_1, u_2, u_3 , and $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3$, and the indices of p_{ijk} , respectively are transformed cyclically.

We may check that the $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3$ -action and the S_3 -action are not commutative, and $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3$ is invariant by the conjugate of the S_3 -action. Therefore we have a $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -action on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. $\square$

4.3. Cayley's hyperdeterminant. Let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ be the k -affine 8-space with coordinates p_{ijk} ($i, j, k = 1, 2$). Note that the $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -action on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ induces the one on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ by the rules as in the proof of Proposition 4.2. Now we refer to the hypermatrix $\mathbb{P}$ as in Remark 3.3.

The induced $(\mathrm{SL}_2)^3$ -action on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is studied in [GKZ, Chap.14, Ex.4.5]; $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ has seven orbits. By this result and by considering the induced S_3 -action on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ together, we see that $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ has five $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -orbits when k is algebraically closed. To state the result, we set

- $p_1 :=$ the p_{111} -point,
- $p_2 :=$ the point with $p_{111} = p_{221} = 1$ and the other coordinates being zero,
- $p_3 :=$ the point with $p_{111} = p_{122} = p_{212} = 1$ and the other coordinates being zero,
- $p_4 :=$ the point with $p_{111} = p_{222} = 1$ and the other coordinates being zero.

Moreover, we set

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad D_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{P}) &:= p_{111}^2 p_{222}^2 + p_{112}^2 p_{221}^2 + p_{121}^2 p_{212}^2 + p_{122}^2 p_{211}^2 \\ &\quad - 2(p_{111} p_{122} p_{211} p_{222} + p_{111} p_{121} p_{212} p_{222} + p_{111} p_{112} p_{221} p_{222} \\ &\quad + p_{121} p_{122} p_{211} p_{212} + p_{112} p_{122} p_{211} p_{221} + p_{112} p_{121} p_{212} p_{221}) \\ &\quad + 4(p_{111} p_{122} p_{212} p_{221} + p_{112} p_{121} p_{211} p_{222}), \end{aligned}$$

which is the hyperdeterminant of the hypermatrix P , classically known as the Cayley hyperdeterminant. It is known that $\{D_{\mathcal{H}} = 0\}$ is stable under the $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -action on $\mathbb{A}_P$.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that k is algebraically closed. Then the affine space $\mathbb{A}_P$ has the following five $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -orbits:*

- (1) The origin of $\mathbb{A}_P$.
- (2) The 4-dimensional orbit of p_1 , which is the complement O_1 of the origin in the affine cone over the Segre variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.
- (3) The 5-dimensional orbit of p_2 , which is the complement O_2 of the affine cone over the Segre variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in the union of three copies of the affine cone over the Segre variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$.
- (4) The 7-dimensional orbit of p_3 , which is the complement O_3 of the union of three copies of the affine cone over the Segre variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ in $\{D_{\mathcal{H}} = 0\}$.
- (5) The open orbit of p_4 , which is the complement O_4 of $\{D_{\mathcal{H}} = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{A}_P$.

Proof. In [GKZ, Chap.14, Ex.4.5], the result is stated without proof for $k = \mathbb{C}$ (see [Pa] for a proof). We give a quick proof for convenience.

We may identify $\mathbb{A}_P$ with the affine space associated to the vector space $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$ with $V_i \simeq k^2$ ($1 \leq i \leq 3$), where GL_2 acts on V_i in a standard way. We may identify $V_2 \otimes V_3$ with the space of 2×2 k -matrices as $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ -representation, where $(G_1, G_2) \in \mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ acts on a 2×2 k -matrix A as $A \mapsto G_1 A G_2^{-1}$. Having this, we write an element p of $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$ as $e_1 \otimes A_1 + e_2 \otimes A_2$, where e_1, e_2 form a basis of V_1 , and A_1, A_2 are 2×2 k -matrices. We also take bases g_1, g_2 of V_2 and h_1, h_2 of V_3 . We determine the orbit of p . We may assume that $e_1 \otimes A_1 + e_2 \otimes A_2 \neq 0$, i.e., $A_1 \neq 0$ or $A_2 \neq 0$. By the S_3 -action, we may assume that $A_1 \neq 0$.

Assume that $\mathrm{rank} A_1 = 2$. Then, by the $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ -action, we may assume that A_1 is the identity matrix I . By a further transformation of the form $(I, A_2) \mapsto (I, G A_2 G^{-1})$, we may assume that $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ ($\alpha, \beta \in k$) (here we use the assumption k is algebraically closed).

In the former case, the element $p = e_1 \otimes I + e_2 \otimes A_2$ is identified with $(e_1 + \alpha e_2) \otimes g_1 \otimes h_1 + (e_1 + \beta e_2) \otimes g_2 \otimes h_2$. If $\alpha \neq \beta$, then, by the GL_2 -action on V_1 , we may assume that $p = e_1 \otimes g_1 \otimes h_1 + e_2 \otimes g_2 \otimes h_2$, which is nothing but the point p_4 . If $\alpha = \beta$, then, by the GL_2 -action on V_1 , we may assume that $p = e_1 \otimes (g_1 \otimes h_1 + g_2 \otimes h_2)$, which belongs to the orbit of the point p_2 .

In the latter case, the element $p = e_1 \otimes I + e_2 \otimes A_2$ is identified with $(e_1 + \alpha e_2) \otimes (g_1 \otimes h_1 + g_2 \otimes h_2) + e_2 \otimes g_1 \otimes h_2$. By the GL_2 -action on V_1 , we may assume that $p = e_1 \otimes (g_1 \otimes h_1 + g_2 \otimes h_2) + e_2 \otimes g_1 \otimes h_2$, which is nothing but the point p_3 .

Assume that $\mathrm{rank} A_1 = 1$. By the S_3 -action, we may assume that $A_2 = 0$ or $\mathrm{rank} A_2 = 1$. If $A_2 = 0$, then, by the $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ -action on $V_2 \otimes V_3$, we may assume that $p = e_1 \otimes g_1 \otimes h_1$, which is nothing but the point p_1 . Assume that $\mathrm{rank} A_2 = 1$. Then by the $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ -action on $V_2 \otimes V_3$, we may assume that $p = e_1 \otimes g_1 \otimes h_1 + e_2 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3$, where v_i is a nonzero vector of V_i ($i = 2, 3$). Now it is easy to see the following: If v_2 is parallel to g_1 and v_3 is parallel to g_2 , then p belongs to the orbit of p_1 . If v_2 is parallel to g_1 and v_3 is not parallel to g_2 , then p belongs to the orbit of p_2 . If v_2 is not parallel to g_1 and v_3 is not parallel to g_2 , then p belongs to the orbit of p_4 .

Now we have shown that all the orbits are those of o and p_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$). It is easy to check that $p_i \in O_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$), and O_i are $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -stable (note that the three copies of the Segre variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ as in the statement of (3) are nothing but $\mathbb{P}(V_1) \times \mathbb{P}(V_2 \otimes V_3)$, $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \otimes V_2) \times \mathbb{P}(V_3)$, $\mathbb{P}(V_2) \times \mathbb{P}(V_1 \otimes V_3)$). Since O_i are mutually disjoint, we conclude that O_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) coincide with the orbit of p_i . $\square$

4.4. $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration associated to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. Note that any equation of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is of degree two if we regard the coordinates of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ as constants. Therefore, considering the coordinates u_j, x_{ij} ($i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, 3$) as projective coordinates, we obtain a quasi-projective variety with the same equations as $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$, which we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$. We also denote by $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}: \widehat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ the natural projection.

Definition 4.4. We set

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ s_{12} & s_{22} & s_{23} \\ s_{13} & s_{23} & s_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma := \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and denote by $S^\dagger$ the adjoint matrix of S . We define

$$\mathbb{P}^{2,2} := \{(S, \sigma) \mid S^\dagger = O, S\sigma = \mathbf{0}\} \subset \mathbb{P}^8,$$

which is introduced in [Mu3] as a degeneration of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and is shown to be a singular del Pezzo 4-fold of degree six (see also [Fu]).

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that k is algebraically closed. The $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$ -fibers over points of the open subset $\{D_{\mathcal{H}} \neq 0\}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, and the $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$ -fibers over points of the unique nonempty $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -stable open subset of $\{D_{\mathcal{H}} = 0\}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$. Any $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$ -fibers except the fiber over the origin are 4-dimensional while the fiber over the origin is 5-dimensional. In particular, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is 13-dimensional.*

Proof. The assertions immediately follows by giving descriptions of all the $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$ -fibers. Note that the $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -action on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ induces that on $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$. Then $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$ -fibers are isomorphic to the fibers over the origin or the p_i -points for some $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, which are easily described by the equations of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ as follows:

- (1) The fiber over the origin is the union of $\{u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{P}^5$, and three $\mathbb{P}^3$'s $\{u_1 = u_2 = x_{13} = x_{23} = 0\}$, $\{u_1 = u_3 = x_{12} = x_{22} = 0\}$, and $\{u_2 = u_3 = x_{11} = x_{21} = 0\}$.
- (2) The fiber over the point p_1 is the union of three quadric 4-folds of rank 4;
 $\{u_1 = u_2 = x_{23} = u_3x_{13} - x_{21}x_{22} = 0\} \cup \{u_1 = u_3 = x_{22} = u_2x_{12} - x_{21}x_{23} = 0\}$
 $\cup \{u_2 = u_3 = x_{21} = u_1x_{11} - x_{22}x_{23} = 0\}.$
- (3) The fiber over the point p_2 is the union of a quadric 4-fold of rank 6 and the 4-dimensional cone over a hyperplane section of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$;

$$\begin{aligned} & \{u_1 = u_2 = x_{23} = u_3x_{13} - x_{11}x_{12} - x_{21}x_{22} = 0\} \\ & \cup \left\{ u_3 = 0, \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} x_{23} & u_2 & x_{11} & x_{21} \\ u_1 & -x_{23} & x_{22} & -x_{12} \end{pmatrix} \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

- (4) The fiber over the point p_3 :

$$\left\{ \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & x_{13} & x_{22} \\ x_{13} & u_2 & -x_{21} \\ x_{22} & -x_{21} & -u_3 \end{pmatrix} \leq 1, \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & x_{13} & x_{22} \\ x_{13} & u_2 & -x_{21} \\ x_{22} & -x_{21} & -u_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_{11} \\ x_{12} \\ x_{23} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{o} \right\},$$

which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$.

(5) The fiber over the point p_4 :

$$\left\{ \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & x_{13} & x_{22} \\ x_{23} & u_2 & x_{11} \\ x_{12} & x_{21} & u_3 \end{pmatrix} \leq 1 \right\},$$

which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

Therefore we have shown the assertions. $\square$

4.5. Nondegeneracy of J_P . Assume that k is algebraically closed. Now we revisit the quadratic Jordan algebra J_P of the cubic form $N_{\mathcal{H},P}$ for each value of $P \in \mathbb{A}_P$ as in Proposition 3.5; we study nondegeneracy of J_P . We may regard $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_P$ as a family of J_P . By the group action of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ as in Subsection 4.2, we have only to consider nondegeneracy over the origin, the p_1 -, p_2 -, p_3 -, and p_4 -point. For these points, we calculate the subvarieties R_{J_P} defined as (2.2), which measure how degenerate the quadratic Jordan algebras J_P are. The results as presented below follow from direct calculations:

The origin:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{J_P} &= \{u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = 0\}, \\ N_{\mathcal{H},P} &= u_1 u_2 u_3. \end{aligned}$$

The p_1 -point :

$$\begin{aligned} R_{J_P} &= \{u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = x_{22}x_{23} = x_{21}x_{23} = x_{21}x_{22} = 0\}, \\ N_{\mathcal{H},P} &= u_1 u_2 u_3. \end{aligned}$$

The p_2 -point:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{J_P} &= \{u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = x_{23} = x_{11}x_{12} + x_{21}x_{22} = 0\}, \\ N_{\mathcal{H},P} &= u_3(u_1 u_2 + x_{23}^2). \end{aligned}$$

The p_3 -point:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{J_P} &= \{u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = x_{21} = x_{22} = x_{13} = 0\}, \\ N_{\mathcal{H},P} &= u_1 u_2 u_3 - u_3 x_{13}^2 + u_1 x_{21}^2 + 2x_{13}x_{21}x_{22} + u_2 x_{22}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The p_4 -point: $R_{J_P} = \{0\}$, i.e., R_{J_P} is nondegenerate.

Consequently, we have the following:

Corollary 4.6. J_P is nondegenerate if and only if P belongs to the open $(\text{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -orbit, namely, $D_{\mathcal{H}}(P) \neq 0$.

In the remaining part of Section 4, we obtain several properties of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ which are needed to construct prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds from weighted projectivizations of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

4.6. Charts of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. For a coordinate $*$, we call the open subset of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ with $*$ $\neq 0$ the $*$ -chart. We describe the $*$ -chart such that $*$ is an entry of x_i , or u_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$). By the S_3 -action on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ as in Proposition 4.2, we may swap u_1, u_2, u_3 , and x_1, x_2, x_3 . We can also check that, by the action of

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in (\text{GL}_2)^3,$$

we may swap x_{1i} and x_{2i} for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore it suffices to treat the u_1 -, x_{11} -charts.

u_1 -chart: We note that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \cap \{u_1 \neq 0\}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \cap \{u_1 = 1\}) \times \mathbb{A}^{1*}$ by the map $(x_1, x_2, x_3, u_1, u_2, u_3, p_{ijk}) \mapsto ((u_1^{-1}x_1, u_1^{-1}x_2, u_1^{-1}x_3, u_1^{-1}u_2, u_1^{-1}u_3, p_{ijk}), u_1)$. This is because all the equations of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ are quadratic when we consider p_{ijk} are constants. Therefore it suffices to describe $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \cap \{u_1 = 1\}$. Solving regularly the 9 equations of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ setting $u_1 = 1$, we see that the 9 equations are reduced to the following 4 equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= D^{(3)}x_2 \\ u_3 &= -\det D^{(2)} \\ u_2 &= -\det D^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This description of the u_1 -charts shows that the u_1 -, u_2 -, and u_3 -charts of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{12} \times \mathbb{A}^{1*}$.

x_{11} -chart: Similarly to the u_1 -chart, it suffices to describe $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{x_{11} = 1\}$. When $x_{11} = 1$, we may eliminate the coordinate u_1 by $u_1x_1 - D^{(3)}x_2 = 0$ with $x_{11} = 1$. Then we may verify that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{x_{11} = 1\}$ is defined by the five 4×4 Pfaffians of the following skew-symmetric matrix:

$$M_T := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{13} & x_{23} & -x_{22} & x_{12} \\ & 0 & -u_2 & D_{21}^{(1)} & -D_{11}^{(1)} \\ & & 0 & D_{22}^{(1)} & -D_{12}^{(1)} \\ & & & 0 & u_3 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $x_{11} = 1$.

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &:= \{u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = 0, x_2 = x_3 = \mathbf{o}, D^{(1)} = O, x_1 \neq \mathbf{o}\}, \\ \Delta_2 &:= \{u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = 0, x_1 = x_3 = \mathbf{o}, D^{(2)} = O, x_2 \neq \mathbf{o}\}, \\ \Delta_3 &:= \{u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = 0, x_1 = x_2 = \mathbf{o}, D^{(3)} = O, x_3 \neq \mathbf{o}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta := \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3.$$

We have $\Delta \cap \{x_{ij} \neq 0\} = \Delta_j \cap \{x_{ij} \neq 0\}$ ($i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, 3$). Considering $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ as the subspace of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$, we see that $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

By the above descriptions of the charts and the $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -action on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$, we have the following:

Proposition 4.7. *The open subset $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \setminus \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is 13-dimensional and irreducible. Its singular locus is equal to Δ , and it has $c(\mathrm{G}(2, 5))$ -singularities along Δ , where we call a singularity isomorphic to the vertex of the cone over $\mathrm{G}(2, 5)$ a $c(\mathrm{G}(2, 5))$ -singularity.*

We will show that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ itself is 13-dimensional and irreducible (Proposition 4.9), and describe the singularities of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ along $\{x_i = 0, u_j = 0 \ (i, j = 1, 2, 3)\}$ in Subsection 4.9 when $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Hereafter till the end of the paper, we assume for simplicity that $k = \mathbb{C}$.

4.7. Gorensteinness and 9×16 graded minimal free resolution of the ideal of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{C}$ whose variables are u_1, u_2, u_3, p_{ijk} ($i, j, k = 1, 2$) and the entries of x_1, x_2, x_3 . Let $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the ideal of the polynomial ring $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ generated by the 9 equations (3.11) of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.*

- (1) *We give nonnegative weights for coordinates of $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that all the equations of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ are weighted homogeneous, and we denote by $w(*)$ the weight of the monomial $*$. We denote by $\mathbb{P}$ the corresponding weighted projective space, and by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}} \subset \mathbb{P}$ the weighted projectivization of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$, where we allow some coordinates being nonzero constants (thus $\dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}$ could be less than 12). We set*

$$c := w(x_{11}x_{21}u_1), d := w(u_1u_2u_3), \delta := c + d.$$

(1-1) *It holds that $\omega_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c - 4d)$.*

(1-2) *The ideal $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ has the following graded minimal $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ -free resolution*

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \leftarrow P_0 \leftarrow P_1 \leftarrow P_2 \leftarrow P_3 \leftarrow P_4 \leftarrow 0, \text{ where}$$

$$P_0 = S,$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 = & S(-(c - w(x_{11}))) \oplus S(-(c - w(x_{21}))) \oplus \\ & S(-(c - w(x_{12}))) \oplus S(-(c - w(x_{22}))) \oplus \\ & S(-(c - w(x_{13}))) \oplus S(-(c - w(x_{23}))) \oplus \\ & S(-(d - w(u_1))) \oplus S(-(d - w(u_2))) \oplus S(-(d - w(u_3))), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 = & S(-c)^{\oplus 2} \oplus S(-d)^{\oplus 2} \\ & \oplus S(-w(u_1u_2x_{11})) \oplus S(-w(u_1u_2x_{21})) \oplus S(-w(u_1u_3x_{11})) \oplus S(-w(u_1u_3x_{21})) \\ & \oplus S(-w(u_1u_2x_{12})) \oplus S(-w(u_1u_2x_{22})) \oplus S(-w(u_2u_3x_{12})) \oplus S(-w(u_2u_3x_{22})) \\ & \oplus S(-w(u_1u_3x_{13})) \oplus S(-w(u_1u_3x_{23})) \oplus S(-w(u_2u_3x_{13})) \oplus S(-w(u_2u_3x_{23})), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_3 = & S(-(d + w(x_{11}))) \oplus S(-(d + w(x_{21}))) \oplus \\ & S(-(d + w(x_{12}))) \oplus S(-(d + w(x_{22}))) \oplus \\ & S(-(d + w(x_{13}))) \oplus S(-(d + w(x_{23}))) \oplus \\ & S(-(c + w(u_1))) \oplus S(-(c + w(u_2))) \oplus S(-(c + w(u_3))), \end{aligned}$$

$$P_4 = S(-\delta).$$

(1-3) *It holds that*

$$(4.3) \quad \omega_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}}(c - 4d + \delta) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}}(2c - 3d).$$

(2) *$I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a Gorenstein ideal of codimension 4.*

(3) *$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is irreducible and reduced, thus $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a prime ideal.*

(4) *$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is normal.*

Proof. (1). We may compute the $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ -free resolution (4.2) of $R_{\mathcal{H}}$ by SINGULAR [DGPS]. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is codimension 4 in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ by Proposition 4.5, the length of an $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ -free resolution of $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is at least 4. Therefore the minimality of (4.2) follows. We have (1-1) by the conditions of weights of coordinates, and (1-3) follows from (1-1) and (1-2).

- (2). By (1), $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover, since the last term of the minimal free resolution is $S_{\mathcal{H}}(-\delta)$, which is of rank 1, $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is Gorenstein.
- (3). By Proposition 4.5, the $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$ -inverse image U of the open $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \rtimes S_3$ -orbit is irreducible since any fiber in the open subset is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Moreover, by ibid., we can verify that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \setminus U$ is 11-dimensional. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ has 13-dimensional irreducible open subset, and its complement is 12-dimensional. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is Gorenstein by (2), this implies that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is irreducible and reduced by unmixedness of a Gorenstein variety. We note that we can show (3) similarly using Proposition 4.7.
- (4). By Proposition 4.7, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is regular in codimension 1. By (2), $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ satisfies S_2 condition. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is normal. $\square$

4.8. Factoriality of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $S_{\mathcal{H}}$, $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ be as in Proposition 4.8. The ring $R_{\mathcal{H}} := S_{\mathcal{H}}/I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a UFD.*

Proof. Note that $R_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a domain by Proposition 4.8 (3). Therefore, by Nagata's theorem [Ma, Thm. 20.2], it suffices to show that the ring $(R_{\mathcal{H}})_{u_1}$ is a UFD and u_1 is a prime element of $R_{\mathcal{H}}$.

By the description of the u_1 -chart as in Subsection 4.6, we see that $(R_{\mathcal{H}})_{u_1}$ is a localization of a polynomial ring, thus is a UFD.

We can show that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \cap \{u_1 = 0\}$ is irreducible by a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.8 (3) using the fibration $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$; note that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \cap \{u_1 = 0\}$ is also Gorenstein, and any fiber of $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}|_{\{u_1=0\}}$ contained in the open subset U is irreducible since it is a hyperplane section of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Therefore u_1 is a prime element of $R_{\mathcal{H}}$. $\square$

Corollary 4.10. *Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ be the weighted projectivization of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ with some positive weights of coordinates. The following assertions hold:*

- (1) *Any prime Weil divisor on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ is the intersection between $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ and a weighted hypersurface. In particular, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and has Picard number one.*
- (2) *Let X be a quasi-smooth threefold such that X is a codimension 9 weighted complete intersection in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$, i.e., there exist 9 weighted homogeneous polynomials $G_1, \dots, G_9$ such that $X = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} \cap \{G_1 = 0\} \cap \dots \cap \{G_9 = 0\}$. Assume moreover that $\{u_1 = 0\} \cap X$ is a prime divisor. Then any prime Weil divisor on X is the intersection between X and a weighted hypersurface. In particular, X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and has Picard number one.*

Proof. (1) Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the homogeneous ideal defining a prime divisor D . Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is an ideal of height one. Since $R_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a UFD by Proposition 4.9, its ideal of height one is principal by [Ma, Thm. 20.1]. Thus the assertion follows.

(2) Once we check that $R_X := R_{\mathcal{H}}/(F_1, \dots, F_9)$ is a UFD, the proof of (1) works verbatim here. Let $R_{X,o}$ be the localization of R_X by the maximal irrelevant ideal. Note that, by [Sa, Prop. 7.4], R_X is a UFD if and only if so is $R_{X,o}$. Thus we have only to check the latter. To check $R_{X,o}$ is a UFD, we apply Nagata's theorem as in the proof of Proposition 4.9. For this, we need to check that u_1 is a prime element of $R_{X,o}$, which follows from our assumption, and $(R_{X,o})_{u_1}$ is a UFD, which we will check below. We denote by $R_{\mathcal{H},o}$ the localization of $R_{\mathcal{H}}$ by the maximal irrelevant ideal. Since $(R_{\mathcal{H},o})_{u_1}$ is a localization of a polynomial ring by the description of the u_1 -chart as in Subsection 4.6, we see that $(R_{X,o})_{u_1}$ is a localization of a complete

intersection ring. Therefore $(R_{X,o})_{u_1}$ is a complete intersection local ring of dimension 4. Since X is quasi-smooth, we see that $(R_{X,o})_{u_1}$ is a UFD by [SGA2, Cor. 3.10 and Thm. 3.13]. $\square$

4.9. Singularities of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

Proposition 4.11. *The variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ has only terminal singularities with the following descriptions:*

- (1) *The singular locus of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ coincides with $\Delta \cup \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$.*
- (2) *The singularities along the 6-dimensional locus Δ are $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities.*
- (3) *There exists a primitive K -negative divisorial extraction $f: \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ such that*
 - (a) *singularities of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ are only $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities along the strict transforms of $\bar{\Delta}$, and*
 - (b) *for the f -exceptional divisor $E_{\mathcal{H}}$, the morphism $f|_{E_{\mathcal{H}}}$ can be identified with $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}: \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ as in Subsection 4.4.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.7, the singular locus of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is contained in $\Delta \cup \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and the singularities of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ along Δ are $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities. It remain to check the singularities of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ along $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$.

Step 1. *Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ be the variety obtained by blowing up $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ along $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and taking the reduced structure of the blow-up. We denote by $f: \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ the natural induced morphism. We show that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ has only $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities.*

A crucial fact is that any equation of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is of degree two if we regard p_{ijk} as constants. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ has the same singularities as the x_{ij} - and u_j -charts ($i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, 3$) of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$, and then, by Subsection 4.6, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ has also only $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities, which are known to be Gorenstein terminal singularities. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ has only $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities, the singular locus of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is a smooth 6-dimensional closed subset. Moreover, it contains the strict transform of $\bar{\Delta}$ and, by the descriptions of the charts of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, the restriction of the singular locus of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ to the f -exceptional divisor is 5-dimensional. Therefore, the singular locus of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ coincides with the strict transform of $\bar{\Delta}$.

Step 2. *We show that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ has only Gorenstein terminal singularities also along $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$.*

Let E be the exceptional divisor of the blow-up $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}}^{17}$ along $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$. Let X_{ij}, U_j be the projective coordinates of $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ corresponding to x_{ij}, u_j . We see that the f -exceptional divisor $E_{\mathcal{H}} = E \cap \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is defined with the equation of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ by replacing x_{ij}, u_j with X_{ij}, U_j . Hence $E_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ can be identified with $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}: \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$. Therefore $E_{\mathcal{H}}$ is irreducible and reduced since so is $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ by Proposition 4.8 (3). By Proposition 4.8 (2) and (4), the variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is normal and Gorenstein, and by Step 1, so is $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Thus we may write

$$(4.4) \quad K_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = f^* K_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}} + a E_{\mathcal{H}}$$

with some integer a . Note that a general fiber of $E_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ by Proposition 4.5. Therefore we see that $a = 2$ restricting (4.4) to a general fiber. This implies that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ has only Gorenstein terminal singularities also along $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$ since so does $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ by Step 1.

Step 3. Finally we show that f is a K -negative primitive divisorial extraction.

Note that $-E_{\mathcal{H}}$ is f -ample by a general property of blow-up. Thus f is K -negative by (4.4) with $a = 2$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is affine and $-E_{\mathcal{H}}$ is f -ample, we may find an effective divisor D such that D is linearly equivalent to $n(-E_{\mathcal{H}})$ for sufficiently big $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, 1/nD)$ is a klt pair since $\mathcal{H}$ has only terminal singularities. Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is locally factorial since so is a $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularity. Therefore, by [BCHM, Cor. 1.4.1 and 1.4.2], we may run the K -MMP over $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ with scale $1/nD$. By the choice of the scale, the strict transforms of $E_{\mathcal{H}}$ are always negative for the contractions when we run the K -MMP. Therefore the final contraction of the K -MMP is the contraction of the strict transforms of $E_{\mathcal{H}}$. We denote by f' this contraction, by $\mathcal{H}'$ the source of f' and by $E'_{\mathcal{H}}$ the strict transforms of $E_{\mathcal{H}}$ on $\mathcal{H}'$. Since $R_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a UFD by Proposition 4.9, we see that the target of f' is equal to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ and $f'(E'_{\mathcal{H}}) = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$. Since the K -MMP induces a birational map $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ which is isomorphism in codimension one, f and f' have the common target $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$, and both f and f' are K -negative contractions, we conclude that the induced map $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ is actually an isomorphism by [Tak2, Lem. 5.5] for example. $\square$

5. $\mathbb{Q}$ -FANO 3-FOLD OF NO.5.4

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) . We may verify that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is positively graded by the following weights of coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} w(\mathbf{x}_i) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \\ w(p_{ijk}) &= 1 \quad (i, j, k = 1, 2), \quad w(u_i) = 2 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1^{14}, 2^3)$$

be the weighted projectivization of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ by these weights of coordinates.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1). In this proof, we denote $\mathbb{P}(1^{14}, 2^3)$ by $\mathbb{P}$ for simplicity. Using the equations of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$, we may easily verify that $\text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)| \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ consists of the u_i -points ($i = 1, 2, 3$), where the $*$ -point for a coordinate $*$ of $\mathbb{P}$ means the point of $\mathbb{P}$ such that all the coordinates except $*$ are zero. Note that, by Proposition 4.7, $\dim \text{Sing } \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is less than the codimension of X in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$. Therefore, by the Bertini theorem, we see that X is a smooth 3-fold outside the u_i -points. Computing the linear parts of the equations of X at each of the u_i -points (cf. LPC in [Tak3, Subsec. 5.1]), we see that X has a $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularity at each of the u_i -points. By [BH, Lem.4.1.13], we can read off the Hilbert numerator of X from (4.2). Then we have $(-K_X)^3 = 11/2$. By (4.3), we have $-K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Therefore, by the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have $g(X) := h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) - 2 = 3$. We show that $\rho(X) = 1$. By Corollary 4.10 (2), it suffices to check that $X \cap \{u_1 = 0\}$ is a prime divisor. This follows by the Bertini theorem since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} \cap \{u_1 = 0\}$ is irreducible by Proposition 4.8 (3), and the fact that $\text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)| \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ consists of the u_i -points. Hence X is a prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold of No.20652. Note that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ is of codimension 4 in the ambient weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1^{14}, 2^3)$. Therefore so is X in the ambient weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1^5, 2^3)$. By the classification of prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds with anti-canonical codimension ≤ 3 , the numerical data of X does not coincide with the data of those. This implies that $\text{ac}_X = 4$ as desired.

Finally, we show that X belongs to the class of No.5.4 as in [Tak1]. We choose a $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularity p and construct the Sarkisov link starting from the blow-up at p . By [Tak1, Tables 4 and 5], we can distinguish between prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds of No.4.1 and No.5.4 by the dimension of forms of weight 2 vanishing at p with weighted multiplicity ≥ 3 ; the dimension is 3 for No.4.1, and is 4 for No.5.4, which can be verified by looking at the rational map $X \dashrightarrow X'$ in the Sarkisov link (note that this map is defined by the linear system $|-2K_X - 3p|$). By the group action on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ described in Subsection 4.2, we may assume that p is the u_1 -point. Then, by the equation of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$, we see that the space of the forms of weight 2 vanishing at p with weighted multiplicity ≥ 3 on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ is generated by $x_{11}^2, x_{11}x_{21}, x_{21}^2$ and they are linearly independent on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$. They are still linearly independent on X and $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}}(2)) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2))$ since X is cut out from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ by linear forms. Therefore X belongs to that class of No.5.4 as in [Tak1, Tak2]. $\square$

Remark 5.1. In [Tak6], we will construct a prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefold of No.4.1 via another key variety $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ obtained in *ibid*.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (2). This section is occupied with the proof of Theorem 1.2 (2). The proof uses results of [Tak1] freely.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (2). Let X be a prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold of No.5.4 as in [Tak1] and assume that X has only $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularities. We denote by p_1, p_2, p_3 the $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularities of X . Let $g: Z \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up at (any) two of $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularities, say, p_1, p_2 . By [Tak1, II, Thm.1.0 (2)] and $(-K_Z)^3 = (-K_X)^3 - 2 \times 1/2 = 9/2 > 0$, we see that Z is a weak $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold. Let $h: Z \rightarrow W$ be the morphism defined by $|-mK_Z|$ with $m \gg 0$ (W is so-called the anti-canonical model of Z). Note that W is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with only canonical singularities.

In the sequel, Steps 1 to 5 consists of lengthy preparation, and the variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ will appear in Step 6, and we finish the proof in Step 7.

Step 1. We show that W is anticanonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}(1^5, 2)$ as a weighted complete intersection of two weight 3 hypersurfaces.

We will show this applying [Tak1, II, Thm.3.0] (see the last part of the proof of *ibid*). It suffices to check the conditions (1)–(5) of *ibid*.

(4) We show that $h^0(\mathcal{O}(-K_W)) \geq 4$. By the equality $(-K_Z) = h^*(-K_W)$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{O}(-K_W)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}(-K_Z))$. Since g has the minimal discrepancies at the centers p_1, p_2 and the discrepancies are positive, we have $h^0(\mathcal{O}(-K_Z)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}(-K_X))$. Therefore, since $h^0(\mathcal{O}(-K_X)) = 5$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{O}(-K_W)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}(-K_X)) \geq 4$.

It is convenient below to keep in mind that there are bijections among the linear systems $|-K_W|$, $|-K_Z|$ and $|-K_X|$ by the proof of (4).

(3) We show that $|-K_W|$ has a member with only canonical singularities. By [Tak1, II, Cor.1.2], there is a member D_X of $|-K_X|$ with only canonical singularities. Then, since $K_{D_Z} \leq (g|_{D_Z})^*K_{D_X}$, we see that the strict transform D_Z belongs to $|-K_Z|$ and D_Z has also only canonical singularities. The image D_W of D_Z belongs to $|-K_W|$. Moreover, D_W has also only canonical singularities since so is D_Z and $K_{D_Z} \sim 0$.

(5) We check that W has only one index two point and it is a $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularity. It suffices to show that there are no h -exceptional curves through the unique singularity q of Z (q is the point with $g(q) = p_3$). If there is such an h -exceptional curve

l , then $l \subset \text{Bs}|-K_Z|$ since $-K_Z \cdot l = 0$ and $q \in \text{Bs}|-K_Z|$. Then it holds that $g(l) \subset \text{Bs}|-K_X|$ and $g(l)$ contains the $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularity $g(q)$, a contradiction to [Tak1, Thm.1.0 (2)].

(2) We show that $|-K_W|$ has no base curve passing through its unique index two point. Assume the contrary. Then $|-K_Z|$ has also a base curve passing through its unique index two point q since h is isomorphic near the unique index two point of W by the proof of (5). Since g -exceptional divisors do not contain q , $|-K_X|$ has also a base curve passing through p_3 , a contradiction to [Tak1, Thm.1.0 (2)].

(1) We check that W is indecomposable, i.e., there are no effective Weil divisors A, B with $h^0(A) \geq 2$ and $h^0(B) \geq 2$ such that $-K_W \sim A + B$. Assume by contradiction that there are such A and B . By the check of (5), h is isomorphic near the unique index two point of W . Therefore $-K_W$ is a Cartier divisor near the images of h -exceptional divisors if exist. Hence $h^*(A + B)$ is an integral divisor. Then we have $h^*(A + B) = A' + B' + \sum E_i$, where A', B' are integral Weil Divisors such that $h'_*(A') = A, h^0(A') \geq h^0(A) \geq 2$, and $h'_*(B') = B, h^0(B') \geq h^0(B) \geq 2$, and E_i are h -exceptional divisors ($E_i = E_j$ could happen even if $i \neq j$). Thus we have $-K_X \sim g_*(A') + g_*(B') + \sum g_*(E_i)$. Since $h^0(g_*(A')), h^0(g_*(B')) \geq 2$, we have $g_*(A') \neq 0$ and $g_*(B') \neq 0$. This contradicts the assumption that X is prime.

Now, applying [Tak1, II, Thm.3.0] to W , we see that W is anticanonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}(1^5, 2)$ as a weighted complete intersection of two weight 3 hypersurfaces.

Before going to the next step, let E_1 and E_2 be the g -exceptional divisors and Π_1 and Π_2 the images on W of E_1 and E_2 respectively. We see that Π_1 and Π_2 do not contain the $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularity of W since E_1 and E_2 do not contain the $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularity q of Z and there are no h -exceptional curves through z as we see in the check of (5) in Step 1. Therefore, by the projection $\mathbb{P}(1^5, 2) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ from the unique $1/2(1^5)$ -point, Π_1 and Π_2 are mapped to planes, say, Π'_1 and Π'_2 in $\mathbb{P}^4$ since $(-K_Z)^2 E_1 = (-K_Z)^2 E_2 = 1$. Therefore E_1 and E_2 are mapped to planes in $\mathbb{P}^4$ isomorphically and hence E_1 and E_2 are mapped to Π_1 and Π_2 isomorphically.

Step 2. *We show that h has no exceptional divisors. In particular, W has only terminal singularities. Moreover the singular locus of W consists of the u_3 -point and the locus contained in $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2$.*

Since an h -exceptional curve is numerically trivial for $-K_Z$ while $-K_X$ is ample, we see that an h -exceptional curve intersects with E_1 or E_2 . Therefore the singular locus of W is contained in $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2$ outside of the u_3 -point.

Assume by contradiction that there exists an h -exceptional divisor F . Therefore, by the discussion in the above paragraph, $h(F)$ is contained in Π_1 or Π_2 , say, Π_1 . Since Π_1 is mapped to the plane Π'_1 in $\mathbb{P}^4$ isomorphically, there exists a member D_W of $|-K_W|$ containing Π_1 since $\mathcal{O}(-K_W) = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Since there are two independent linear forms to define a plane in $\mathbb{P}^4$, we can write $D_W = D'_W + \Pi_1$ with $h^0(D'_W) = 2$. Let D_Z be the total transform of D_W on Z and $D_X := g_* D_Z$. Then, by a similar argument to the check of (1) in Step 1, we see that $D_X \in |-K_X|$ and D_X contains at least the strict transform of some irreducible component of D'_W and the image of F . This contradicts the assumption that X is prime.

In the following steps, we also consider the blow-up $g': Z' \rightarrow X$ at the three $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularities p_1, p_2, p_3 . By a similar argument to Step 1, we can prove that Z' is a weak Fano 3-fold. Let $h': Z' \rightarrow W'$ be the morphism defined by $|-$

$mK_{Z'}$ with $m \gg 0$. By a similar argument to Step 2, we can prove that h' has no exceptional divisor.

Step 3. *We show that W' is a quartic 3-fold in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with only terminal singularities.*

By a similar argument to the one before Step 1, we can check that W' satisfies the conditions (1)–(5) of [Tak1, II, Thm.3.0], and applying [Tak1, II, Thm.3.0] to W' , we see that W' is a quartic 3-fold in $\mathbb{P}^4$ or a double cover of a quadric 3-fold in $\mathbb{P}^4$ branched along the intersection with a quartic 3-fold. Assume by contradiction that the latter case occurs, i.e., W' is the double cover of a quadric 3-fold Q . Similarly to the discussion just before Step 2, we see that the images on $\mathbb{P}^4$ of g' -exceptional divisors are planes. Therefore Q contains a plane, and then Q is singular. By the geometry of a singular quadric 3-fold, there is a hyperplane section H_Q which is the union of two planes P_1 and P_2 , and we may choose P_1 and P_2 such that their strict transforms are not g' -exceptional divisors. Then, pulling back H_Q to Z' and pushing down to X , we obtain a reducible anticanonical divisor of X , which contradicts the assumption that X is prime (cf. the argument in Step 2). Therefore W' is a quartic in $\mathbb{P}^4$. The assertion as for the singularities of W' follows similarly to Step 2.

Step 4. *We show that $\Pi'_1 \cap \Pi'_2$ consists of one point.*

First we show that $\Pi'_1 \cap \Pi'_2 \neq \emptyset$. To see this, let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up at p_1 and E the f -exceptional divisor. Then, since X is of No.5.4, there is a flop $Y \dashrightarrow Y_1$ and a flip $Y_1 \dashrightarrow Y'$ by [Tak1, I, Table 5]. Let E_{Y_1} be the strict transform of E on Y_1 . By *ibid.*, there is a flipping curve m on Y_1 with $-K_{Y_1} \cdot m = 1/2$ and $E_{Y_1} \cdot m > 0$ through the $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularity corresponding to p_2 . Since the flop $Y \dashrightarrow Y_1$ is crepant, we see that m is the strict transform of a curve m_Y on Y with $-K_Y \cdot m_Y = 1/2$ passing through the $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularity corresponding to p_2 . Moreover, since a flopping curve is positive for E , we have $E \cdot m_Y > 0$, or there exists a connected chain of flopping curves intersecting both E and m_Y . Regarding Z as the blow-up of Y at the $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularity corresponding to p_2 (then E_1 is the strict transform of the f -exceptional divisor E), we see that the strict transform m_Z of m_Y on Z satisfies $-K_Z \cdot m_Z = 0$. Therefore m_Z is contracted to a point on $\mathbb{P}^4$. Moreover m_Z intersects both with E_1 and E_2 , or m_Z intersects with E_2 and there exists a connected chain of flopping curves intersecting E_1 and m_Z . In any case, we conclude that $\Pi'_1 \cap \Pi'_2$ contains at least the image of m_Z .

Assume by contradiction that $\Pi'_1 \cap \Pi'_2$ does not consist of one point. Then $\Pi'_1 \cap \Pi'_2$ contains at least a line. We may easily check that the closure of the image of W by the projection $\mathbb{P}(1^5, 2) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is nothing but W' , which is shown to be a quartic 3-fold in $\mathbb{P}^4$ in Step 3. Then, since $\Pi'_1 \cap \Pi'_2$ contains at least a line and h' is birational, there exists an h' -exceptional divisor, which contradicts the argument just above Step 3. Thus we have shown that $\Pi'_1 \cap \Pi'_2$ consists of one point.

Let $x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{12}, x_{22}, x_{13}, u_3$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}(1^5, 2)$ such that the weight of u_3 is 2 and the weights of the other coordinates are 1 (this strange choice of notation for coordinates will be convenient for relating the construction below with the equation of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ as in Corollary 3.4).

Step 5. *We prove that we may assume $\Pi_1 = \{x_{11} = x_{21} = u_3 = 0\}$ and $\Pi_2 = \{x_{12} = x_{22} = u_3 = 0\}$ by coordinate changes.*

Since $\Pi'_1 \cap \Pi'_2$ consists of one point by Step 4, we may assume that $\Pi'_1 = \{x_{11} = x_{21} = 0\}$ and $\Pi'_2 = \{x_{12} = x_{22} = 0\}$. Therefore, since the degrees of Π_1 and Π_2 are one with respect to $\mathcal{O}(1)$, we have $\Pi_1 = \{x_{11} = x_{21} = f_1(x_{12}, x_{22}, x_{13}, u_3) = 0\}$

and $\Pi_2 = \{x_{12} = x_{22} = f_2(x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{13}, u_3) = 0\}$, where $f_1(x_{12}, x_{22}, x_{13}, u_3)$ and $f_2(x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{13}, u_3)$ are of weight two. Since Π_1, Π_2 do not contain the $1/2(1^5)$ -singularity of $\mathbb{P}(1^5, 2)$, we see that the polynomials f_1, f_2 contains u_3 . By a coordinate change, we may assume that $f_1 = u_3$ and $f_2 = u_3 + g_2(x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{13})$. Note that g_2 does not contain x_{13}^2 since otherwise $\Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2$ must be empty. Now we set $u'_3 = u_3 + g_2(x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{13})$. Then $\Pi_2 = \{x_{12} = x_{22} = u'_3 = 0\}$ and $\Pi_1 = \{x_{11} = x_{21} = u'_3 - g_2(x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{13}) = 0\} = \{x_{11} = x_{21} = u'_3 = 0\}$. Denoting u'_3 by u_3 , we obtain the desired expression of Π_1 and Π_2 .

Step 6. We extend W to a 12-dimensional weighted complete intersection $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ of two weight 3 hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}(1^{14}, 2)$.

We may write equations of W as follows since it contains Π_1 and Π_2 :

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_3 l_{13} - \begin{pmatrix} x_{12} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_{221} & -l_{121} \\ -l_{211} & l_{111} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} \\ x_{21} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \\ u_3 l_{23} - \begin{pmatrix} x_{12} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_{222} & -l_{122} \\ -l_{212} & l_{112} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} \\ x_{21} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where l_{ijk} 's, l_{st} are linear forms.

We show that l_{13} or l_{23} contains x_{13} . Assume by contradiction that neither l_{13} nor l_{23} contains x_{13} . Then both equations of (5.1) are singular at the x_{13} -point. Therefore W has a canonical but non-terminal singularity at the x_{13} -point. This contradicts Step 2.

By changing the order of the two equations of (5.1), we may assume that l_{13} contains x_{13} . Moreover, changing the coordinates, we may also assume that $l_{13} = x_{13}$. Now (5.1) can be written as follows:

$$(5.2) \quad u_3 \begin{pmatrix} x_{13} \\ l_{23} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} l_{211} & x_{12} \\ l_{221} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} l_{111} & x_{12} \\ l_{121} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} \\ - \begin{vmatrix} l_{212} & x_{12} \\ l_{222} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} l_{112} & x_{12} \\ l_{122} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1,$$

where we set $\mathbf{x}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} \\ x_{21} \end{pmatrix}$. We also set $\mathbf{x}_2 := \begin{pmatrix} x_{12} \\ x_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{x}_3 := \begin{pmatrix} x_{13} \\ x_{23} \end{pmatrix}$, and denote by $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, p_{ijk}, u_3)$ ($i, j, k = 1, 2$) the weighted projective space with the entries of $\mathbf{x}_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and p_{ijk} being weight one coordinates, and u_3 being a weight two coordinate. Then W is equal to

$$\left\{ u_3 \mathbf{x}_3 = D^{(2)} \mathbf{x}_1 \right\} \cap \{p_{ijk} = l_{ijk}, x_{23} = l_{23}\} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, p_{ijk}, u_3),$$

where $D^{(2)}$ is defined as in Corollary 3.4.

Finally, we set

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} := \left\{ u_3 \mathbf{x}_3 = D^{(2)} \mathbf{x}_1 \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, p_{ijk}, u_3).$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ be the weighted projectivization of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ such that the weights of u_1, u_2, u_3 is 2 and the weights of the other coordinates are 1. We set

$$X' := \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} \cap \{p_{ijk} = l_{ijk}, x_{23} = l_{23}\}.$$

Note that the projection $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, p_{ijk}, u_1, u_2, u_3) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, p_{ijk}, u_3)$ induces rational maps $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ and $X' \dashrightarrow W$.

Step 7. We show that X is isomorphic to X' , which finish the proof of Theorem 1.2 (2).

By [Tak2, Lem.5.5], it suffices to show that

- (i) X' is a normal 3-fold,
- (ii) $-K_{X'}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and ample, and
- (iii) the rational map $X \dashrightarrow X'$ induced by $X \dashrightarrow W$ and the inverse of $X' \dashrightarrow W$ is isomorphic in codimension 1.

Note that (ii) follows from (i) and the proof of Theorem 1.2 (1). Thus it suffices to show (i) and (iii). For this, we give preparatory argument.

It is easy to check that the rational map $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is defined outside the u_1 - and the u_2 -points. Moreover, using the equations (3.11), we see that, outside $\{x_1 = \mathbf{o}, u_3 = 0\} \cup \{x_2 = \mathbf{o}, u_3 = 0\}$, the coordinates u_1 and u_2 are recovered by this rational map with the equations $G_1 = \mathbf{o}$, $G_2 = \mathbf{o}$, $G_3 = \mathbf{o}$, $G_4 = 0$, $G_5 = 0$. Therefore it holds that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} \setminus \Gamma \simeq \overline{\mathcal{H}} \setminus ((\{x_1 = \mathbf{o}, u_3 = 0\} \cup \{x_2 = \mathbf{o}, u_3 = 0\})),$$

where we set

$$\Gamma := (\{x_1 = \mathbf{o}, u_3 = 0\} \cup \{x_2 = \mathbf{o}, u_3 = 0\}) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}.$$

This implies that

$$(5.3) \quad X' \setminus (\Gamma \cap X') \simeq W \setminus (\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2).$$

By direct computations, we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x_1 = \mathbf{o}, u_3 = 0\} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} = \\ & \{x_1 = \mathbf{o}, u_2 = u_3 = 0, D^{(3)}x_2 = \mathbf{o}, \det D^{(2)} = \det D^{(3)} = 0\} \\ & \cup \{x_1 = x_2 = \mathbf{o}, u_3 = 0, u_1u_2 + \det D^{(3)} = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and it is mapped by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ to the locus

$$S_1 := \{x_1 = \mathbf{o}, u_3 = 0, D^{(3)}x_2 = \mathbf{o}, \det D^{(2)} = 0\}$$

with 1-dimensional fibers. We note that $D^{(1)}x_3 = -D^{(3)\dagger}x_1$, where $D^{(3)\dagger}$ is the adjoint of $D^{(3)}$. Then, setting

$$S_2 := \{x_2 = \mathbf{o}, u_3 = 0, x_1 = \mathbf{o}, D^{(3)\dagger}x_1 = \mathbf{o}, \det D^{(1)} = 0\},$$

we can similarly check that $\{x_2 = \mathbf{o}, u_3 = 0\} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$ is mapped by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12} \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ to S_2 with 1-dimensional fibers. Therefore, $\Gamma \cap X'$ is mapped to the image $S_1|_W \cup S_2|_W$ with 1-dimensional fibers. On the other hand, using (5.2), we can directly check that $\text{Sing } W = \{\text{the } u_3\text{-point}\} \cup (S_1|_W \cup S_2|_W)$. Therefore, by Step 2, $\dim(S_1|_W \cup S_2|_W) = 0$, and then $\dim \Gamma \cap X' = 1$.

Now we show (i). By the above discussion, X' is 3-dimensional since X' is the union of a 1-dimensional closed subset $\Gamma \cap X'$ and a 3-dimensional open subset isomorphic to $W \setminus (\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2)$. Since then the affine cone $X'_{\mathbb{A}}$ of X' is of codimension 9 in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ and the ideal of $X'_{\mathbb{A}}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is generated by 9 elements, $X'_{\mathbb{A}}$ is Gorenstein and is of pure dimension 4 by unmixedness of the Gorenstein variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. Thus X' is an irreducible 3-fold and satisfies S_2 -condition. Since $W \setminus (\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2)$ has only one $1/2(1, 1, 1)$ -singularity by Step 2, X' satisfies the R_1 -condition. Consequently, X' is a normal 3-fold as desired.

It remains to show (iii). Since W has only terminal singularities by Step 2, the morphism h is a small contraction and the images of h -exceptional curves are contained in $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2$. Thus $W \setminus (\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2)$ is isomorphic to an open subset of X

obtained by removing a closed subset of codimension 2. Therefore, X and X' are isomorphic in codimension 1 as desired by (5.3) and the fact $\dim \Gamma \cap X' = 1$. $\square$

6. RELATIVES OF $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ -AFFINE VARIETIES $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ AND $S_{\mathbb{A}}^6$

We define two special subvarieties $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ and $S_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ with good group actions. In Section 7, it turns out that their several weighted projectivizations are key varieties of prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds.

6.1. Definition of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$.

Definition 6.1. We define $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ to be the affine scheme in the 12-dimensional affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$ with the coordinates implemented in

$$X_1 := \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & -x_1 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 := \begin{pmatrix} x_4 & x_5 \\ x_6 & x_7 \end{pmatrix}, W := \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \\ w_3 & -w_1 \end{pmatrix}, y, z,$$

defined by the following equations:

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} yz = \det X_2, \\ yW = X_2^\dagger X_1 X_2, \\ X_2 W = z X_1 X_2, \\ \det W = z^2 \det X_1, \end{cases}$$

where $X_2^\dagger$ is the adjoint matrix of X_2 .

Proposition 6.2. *The affine scheme $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \cap \{p_{221} = -1, p_{222} = 0, p_{211} = 0, p_{212} = 1, p_{121} = p_{112}\}$ coincides with $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ by changing the notation of the coordinates as follows:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & -x_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{112} & -p_{111} \\ p_{122} & -p_{112} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_4 & x_5 \\ x_6 & x_7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{13} & x_{12} \\ x_{23} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \\ w_3 & -w_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & -u_3 \\ -u_2 & -x_{11} \end{pmatrix}, y = -u_1, z = x_{21},$$

where the l.h.s. are the coordinates of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$, and the r.h.s. are those of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

6.2. $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^2$ -action on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$. By the equations (6.1) of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$, we can directly check the following:

Proposition 6.3. *The group $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^2$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ by the following rules: for any $(g_1, g_2) \in (\mathrm{GL}_2)^2$, we set*

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &\mapsto g_1 X_1 g_1^\dagger, X_2 \mapsto g_1 X_2 g_2^\dagger, W \mapsto g_2 W g_2^\dagger, \\ y &\mapsto (\det g_1)^2 y, z \mapsto (\det g_2)(\det g_1)^{-1} z \end{aligned}$$

where $g_1^\dagger, g_2^\dagger$ are the adjoint matrices of g_1, g_2 respectively.

6.3. $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration related with $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$. Let $\mathbb{A}_{X_1}$ be the affine 3-space with the coordinates x_1, x_2, x_3 . As in the case of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$, considering the coordinates in the equations of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ except those of $\mathbb{A}_{X_1}$ as projective coordinates, we obtain a quasi-projective variety with the same equations as $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$, which we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. We also denote by $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}: \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{X_1}$ the natural projection. Using the group action on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$, we can show the following in a similar way to Proposition 4.5:

Proposition 6.4. *The $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}$ -fibers over points of the complement of $\{\det X_1 = 0\}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, and the $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}$ -fibers over points of $\{\det X_1 = 0\} \setminus \{o\}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$. Any $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}$ -fibers are 4-dimensional. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ is irreducible and 8-dimensional.*

6.4. Definition of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$.

Definition 6.5. We define $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ to be the affine scheme in the 10-dimensional affine space $\mathbb{A}_S$ with the coordinates implemented in

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ s_{12} & s_{22} & s_{23} \\ s_{13} & s_{23} & s_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma := \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}, t$$

defined by the following equations:

$$(6.2) \quad S\sigma = 0, S^\dagger = t\sigma({}^t\sigma),$$

where $S^\dagger$ is the adjoint matrix of S .

Remark 6.6. The equations (6.2) appears in [GN, Ex.5.4].

Proposition 6.7. *The affine scheme $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \cap \{p_{221} = p_{212} = p_{122} = 1, p_{222} = p_{211} = p_{121} = p_{112} = 0\}$ coincides with $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ by changing the notation of the coordinates as follows:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ & s_{22} & s_{23} \\ & & s_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & x_{13} & x_{12} \\ & u_2 & x_{11} \\ & & u_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{21} \\ x_{22} \\ x_{23} \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = -p_{111},$$

where the l.h.s. are the coordinates of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$, and the r.h.s. are those of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

6.5. GL_3 -action on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$. By the equations (6.2) of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$, we can directly check the following:

Proposition 6.8. *The group GL_3 acts on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ by the following rules: for any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_3$, we set*

$$S \mapsto gS({}^tg), \sigma \mapsto ({}^tg)^\dagger \sigma, t \mapsto t$$

where $g^\dagger$ is the adjoint matrix of g respectively.

6.6. $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -**fibration related with $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$** . Let $\mathbb{A}_t$ be the affine line with the coordinates t . As in the case of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$, considering the coordinates in the equations of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ except t as projective coordinates, we obtain a quasi-projective variety with the same equations as $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$, which we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$. We also denote by $\rho_{\mathcal{S}}: \widehat{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_t$ the natural projection. Using the group action on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$, we can show the following in a similar way to Proposition 4.5:

Proposition 6.9. *The $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}$ -fibers except the one over the origin are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, and the $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}$ -fiber over the origin is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$. In particular, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ is irreducible and 6-dimensional.*

As for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$, we obtain similar properties to those of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ as in Subsections 4.6 – 4.9. We omit deriving these since the method is similar to that in the case of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. Actually, we need those properties of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ if we directly construct prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds from their weighted projectivizations. We can, however, take a shortcut using the result of [CD1] in Section 7.

7. THE C_2 -CLUSTER VARIETY AS A SPECIALIZATION OF $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$

7.1. **The cluster variety X_{C_2} of type C_2** . In the paper [CD1], inspired by mirror symmetry of log Calabi-Yau surfaces after Gross, Hacking and Keel [GHK], Coughlan and Ducat define the cluster variety of type C_2 as follows and produces, as weighted complete intersections of their weighted projectivizations, several examples of prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds X with $\text{ac}_X = 4$.

Definition 7.1. *The cluster variety of type C_2 , denoted by X_{C_2} , is the affine variety in the 13-affine space $\mathbb{A}_{C_2}$ with coordinates implemented as in*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_{12} & \theta_{23} & \theta_{31} & \theta_1 & \theta_2 & \theta_3 \\ A_{12} & A_{23} & A_{31} & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda,$$

defined by the following 9 equations:

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{cases} \theta_i \theta_j = A_{ij} \theta_{ij} + A_{jk} A_k A_{ki}, \\ \theta_{ki} \theta_{ij} = A_i \theta_i^2 + \lambda A_{jk} \theta_i + A_j A_{jk}^2 A_k, \\ \theta_i \theta_{jk} = A_{ij} A_j \theta_j + \lambda A_{ki} A_{ij} + A_k A_{ki} \theta_k, \end{cases}$$

where $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2)$.

7.2. X_{C_2} **as a subvariety of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$** . By direct computations, we may check the following:

Proposition 7.2. *The affine variety $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \cap \{p_{121} = p_{112} = p_{211} = 0, p_{111} = 1\}$ coincides with X_{C_2} by changing the notation of coordinates as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \theta_{12}, u_2 = \theta_{23}, u_3 = \theta_{31}, \\ \mathbf{x}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{12} \\ \theta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_{23} \\ \theta_1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} A_{31} \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ p_{212} &= -A_1, p_{221} = -A_2, p_{122} = -A_3, p_{222} = \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where the l.h.s. are the coordinates of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ and the r.h.s. are those of X_{C_2} .

By this proposition, we often consider X_{C_2} as a subvariety of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

7.3. Subvarieties $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ related with X_{C_2} .

Definition 7.3. We set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12} &:= \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \cap \{p_{111} = 1\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11} &:= \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12} \cap \{p_{121} = 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Actually, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12} \cap \{p_{121} = 1\}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12} \cap \{p_{112} = 1\}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12} \cap \{p_{221} = 1\}$ are transformed each other by permuting the coordinates $p_{121}, p_{112}, p_{211}$ by the S_3 -action. Therefore, abusing notation, we also denote $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12} \cap \{p_{112} = 1\}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12} \cap \{p_{221} = 1\}$ by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}$.

Note that X_{C_2} is a subvariety of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}$. Moreover, we can regard X_{C_2} as a specialization of a subvariety of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}$. Indeed, we can verify $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12} \cap \{p_{121} = 1\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12} \cap \{p_{121} = \alpha\}$ ($\alpha \neq 0$). Then X_{C_2} is a specialization of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12} \cap \{p_{121} = \alpha, p_{112} = p_{211} = 0\}$ by $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

The reason why we consider $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}$ is that, using these, we can generalize some examples of prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds obtained from X_{C_2} ; let us consider the following classes of prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds of [GRDB]:

- (A) No.1397,1405,2427,2511,5052,5305,5410,5516,5963,11222 (10 classes).
- (B) No.5530,5970,11437,11455,16339 (5 classes).

We can show directly that positive weights of coordinates are associated to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}$) by

- the data of [CD2] for the classes in the group (A) (resp. (B)) ,
- the transformations of the coordinates as in Proposition 7.2, and
- the set-up

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{cases} w(p_{121}) := w(p_{122}) + w(p_{221}) - w(p_{222}), \\ w(p_{112}) := w(p_{122}) + w(p_{212}) - w(p_{222}), \\ w(p_{211}) := w(p_{212}) + w(p_{221}) - w(p_{222}). \end{cases}$$

(we note that one of $w(p_{121})$, $w(p_{112})$ or $w(p_{211})$ is zero for the group (B), so (7.2) gives suitable weights of coordinates of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}$). We may also directly verify that all the equations of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{11}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{10}$ in each case are homogeneous with these weights of coordinates. Therefore, by [CD1], we can construct examples of prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds X for the classes in the group (A) (resp. (B)) as weighted complete intersection of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{11}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{10}$). Actually, more general examples are obtained since X_{C_2} is a specialization of a subvariety of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}$ or $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}$ as we see above.

We describe an example of a prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefold obtained from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{11}$.

Example 7.4. Let X be a prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefold $X \subset \mathbb{P}(1^2, 3, 4^2, 5^3)$ of No.5052 with three $1/5$ $(1, 1, 4)$ -singularities. Based on the data of weights of coordinates of X_{C_2} as in [CD2] and (7.2), we put the following weights of coordinates for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}$:

$$\begin{aligned}w(\mathbf{x}_1) &= w(\mathbf{x}_2) = w(\mathbf{x}_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \\ w(u_1) &= w(u_2) = w(u_3) = 5, \\ w(p_{112}) &= w(p_{121}) = w(p_{211}) = 1, \\ w(p_{122}) &= w(p_{212}) = w(p_{221}) = 2, \\ w(p_{222}) &= 3.\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain a weighted projectivization $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{11}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{15}(1^3, 2^3, 3^4, 4^3, 5^3)$. A general

$$X := \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{11} \cap (1) \cap (2)^3 \cap (3)^3 \cap (4)$$

is an example of a prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefold X of No.5052.

By [CD1], an example of such an X is obtained from X_{C_2} but two weight 1 coordinates are missing for X_{C_2} , thus it is necessary to take the cone over X_{C_2} with two weight 1 coordinates added. Therefore the example obtained here is more general than one in [CD1].

7.4. $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ as subvarieties of X_{C_2} .

Proposition 7.5. (1) By the isomorphism $\mathbb{A}_{C_2} \cap \{A_3 = 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$ defined by

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \lambda & A_2 \\ -A_1 & -1/2 \lambda \end{pmatrix}, X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & A_{31} \\ A_{23} & \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ W &= \begin{pmatrix} \theta_3 + 1/2 \lambda A_{12} & \theta_{23} \\ -\theta_{31} & -\theta_3 - 1/2 \lambda A_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \\ y &= \theta_{12}, z = A_{12}, \end{aligned}$$

the affine variety $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ is the image of $X_{C_2}^I := X_{C_2} \cap \{A_3 = 1\}$.

If we put the positive weights to the coordinates of $\mathbb{A}_{C_2} \cap \{A_3 = 1\}$ such that all the equations of $X_{C_2}^I$ are homogeneous, then we can define the positive weights to the coordinates of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$ by the equalities (7.3) and the isomorphism $X_{C_2}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ becomes homogeneous.

(2) Let $\mathbb{A}'_{\mathcal{S}}$ be the affine space obtained from $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{S}}$ by adding one coordinate σ_0 . By the isomorphism $\mathbb{A}_{C_2} \cap \{A_3 = 1, A_1 = -1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{S}}$ defined by

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} S &= \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{12} & -\theta_1 + 1/2 \lambda A_{23} & A_{31} - 1/2 \lambda \theta_2 \\ & -\theta_{31} & \theta_3 + 1/2 \lambda A_{12} \\ & & -\theta_{23} \end{pmatrix}, \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} A_{12} \\ \theta_2 \\ A_{23} \end{pmatrix}, \\ t &= -1/4 \lambda^2 - A_2, \sigma_0 = \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

the cone $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^7$ over $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ with the coordinate σ_0 added is the image of $X_{C_2}^{II} := X_{C_2} \cap \{A_3 = 1, A_1 = -1\}$.

If we put the positive weights to the coordinates of $\mathbb{A}_{C_2} \cap \{A_3 = 1, A_1 = -1\}$ such that all the equations of $X_{C_2}^{II}$ are homogeneous, then we can define the positive weights to the coordinates of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{S}}$ by the equality (7.4) and the isomorphism $X_{C_2}^{II} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^7$ becomes homogeneous.

Proof. By direct calculations, we see that the conditions of the weights of coordinates so that the equations (7.1) are homogeneous are reduced to the following if all the coordinates are nonzero:

$$\begin{aligned} w(\theta_1) &= w(A_{23}) + w(A_3) + w(A_{31}) - w(t_2), w(\theta_3) = w(A_1) + w(A_{12}) + w(A_{31}) - w(t_2), \\ w(A_2) &= w(A_1) + w(A_3) + 2w(A_{31}) - 2w(t_2), \\ w(\theta_{12}) &= -w(A_{12}) + w(A_{23}) + w(A_3) + w(A_{31}), \\ w(\theta_{23}) &= w(A_1) + w(A_{12}) - w(A_{23}) + w(A_{31}), \\ w(\theta_{31}) &= w(A_1) + w(A_{12}) + w(A_{23}) + w(A_3) + w(A_{31}) - 2w(t_2), \\ w(\lambda) &= w(A_1) + w(A_3) + w(A_{31}) - w(t_2). \end{aligned}$$

For the assertion (1), we have only to check that $\theta_3 + 1/2 \lambda A_{12}$ is weighted homogeneous, namely, it holds that $w(\theta_3) = w(\lambda) + w(A_{12})$. This is easily seen by the above conditions of the weights since $w(A_3) = 0$.

For the assertion (2), we have only to check that $-\theta_1 + 1/2 \lambda A_{23}$, $A_{31} - 1/2 \lambda \theta_2$, $\theta_3 + 1/2 \lambda A_{12}$ and $-1/4 \lambda^2 - A_2$ are weighted homogeneous, namely, it holds that $w(\theta_1) = w(\lambda) + w(A_{23})$, $w(\lambda) + w(\theta_2) = w(A_{31})$, $w(\theta_3) = w(\lambda) + w(A_{12})$, and $2w(\lambda) = w(A_2)$. These are again easily seen by the above conditions of the weights since $w(A_1) = w(A_3) = 0$. $\square$

7.5. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ or $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ as key varieties. By the above considerations in Section 7 and the result of [CD1, CD2], we have the following:

Corollary 7.6. *There exist quasi-smooth prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds X with $\text{ac}_X = 4$ for the 109 numerical data of [GRDB] such that they are obtained as weighted complete intersections of weighted projectivizations $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{11}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{10}$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}}^7$ or $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{P}}^5$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{12}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{11}$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}^8$ or $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{A}}^6$ respectively or suitable weighted cones of them. Precise information about which X can be constructed from which key varieties is as in the following table 1:*

key variety	# of classes
$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{12}$	1
$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{11}$	10
$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}}^{10}$	5
$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}}^8$	32
$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{P}}^5$ or $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{P}}^6$	61

TABLE 1. prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds obtained from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$

REFERENCES

- [GRDB] S. Altınok, G. Brown, A. Iano-Fletcher, M. Reid, and K. Suzuki, *The graded ring database*, Online database, available at <http://www.grdb.co.uk/forms/fano3>
- [Al] S. Altınok, *Graded rings corresponding to polarised $K3$ surfaces and $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Warwick, 1998, available at <https://homepages.warwick.ac.uk/staff/Miles.Reid/doctors/Selma/>.
- [BCHM] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon and J. McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), 405–468.
- [BE] A. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, *Algebra Structures for Finite Free Resolutions, and Some Structure Theorems for Ideals of Codimension 3*, Amer. J. of Math. **99**, No. 3 (1977), 447–485.
- [BH] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39** (Rev. ed.), Cambridge University Press.
- [CCC] Jheng-Jie Chen, Jungkai A. Chen and M. Chen, *On quasismooth weighted complete intersections*, J. Alg. Geom. **20** (2011), 239–262.
- [CD1] S. Coughlan and T. Ducat, *Constructing Fano 3-folds from cluster varieties of rank 2*, Compos. Math. **156**, Issue 9, September 2020, 1873–1914.
- [CD2] S. Coughlan and T. Ducat, *Big tables of Fano 3-folds in cluster formats*, available at sites.google.com/view/stephencoughlan/research/cluster-fanos.
- [DGPS] W. Decker, G. -M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann, *SINGULAR, Version 4-0-2—A computer algebra system for polynomial computations*, <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de> (2015).
- [FI] A. Iano-Fletcher, *Working with weighted complete intersections*, Explicit birational geometry of 3-folds, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. **281**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000, pp. 101–173.
- [Fu] T. Fukuoka, *Relative linear extensions of sextic del Pezzo fibrations over curves*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. **XXI** (2020), 1371–1409.

- [GKZ] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Basel.
- [GHK] M. Gross, P. Hacking and S. Keel, Mirror symmetry for log Calabi-Yau surfaces I, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **122** (2015), 65–168.
- [SGA2] A. Grothendieck and M. Raynaud, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. **2** (in French), Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Company (1968), vii+287.
- [GN] S. Güntürkün, U. Nagel, *Constructing homogeneous Gorenstein ideals*, *J. Algebra* **401** (2014), 107–124.
- [Gu1] N. P. Gushel', *Fano varieties of genus 6*, *N. P. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **46** (1982), no. 6, 1159–1174, 1343.
- [Gu2] N. P. Gushel', *Fano varieties of genus 8*, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **38** (1983), no. 1(229), 163–164
- [Ma] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics (M. Reid, Trans.) **8** (1989), Cambridge University Press.
- [Mc1] K. McCrimmon, *The Freudenthal-Springer-Tits constructions of exceptional Jordan algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (1969), 495–510.
- [Mc2] K. McCrimmon, *A taste of Jordan algebras*, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004. xxvi+562 pp.
- [Mu1] S. Mukai, *Geometry of Homogeneous space* (in Japanese), in the proceeding of the conference held at Waseda University in Sep., 1994.
- [Mu2] S. Mukai, *New developments in the theory of Fano threefolds: vector bundle method and moduli problems*, translation of *Sūgaku* **47** (1995), no. 2, 125–144], *Sugaku Expositions* **15** (2002), no. 2, 125–150.
- [Mu3] S. Mukai, *Degeneration of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$ with application to del Pezzo fibration*, the talk in the international conference Higher Dimensional Algebraic Varieties, at RIMS, Kyoto University during June 2–6 and 9–13, 1997.
- [Pa] P. G. Parfenov, *Orbits and their closures in the spaces $\mathbb{C}^{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{k_r}$* , *Mat. Sb.* **192** (2001), no. 1, 89–112. *Sb. Math.* **192** (2001), no. 1-2, 89–112.
- [Pe] H. P. Petersson, *The appendix to Tits polygons*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **275** (2022), no.1352, xi+114 pp.
- [R] M. L. Racine, *A note on quadratic Jordan algebras of degree 3*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **164** (1972), 93–103.
- [Sa] P. Samuel, *Lectures on unique factorization domains*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics **30** (1964), Bombay.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, *Sur les modules projectifs*, Séminaire Dubreil. Algèbre et théorie des nombres **14** (1960-1961), no. 1, Talk no. 2, 16 p.
- [Tak1] H. Takagi, *On classification of $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds of Gorenstein index 2. I, II*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **167**: 117–155, 157 – 216 (2002).
- [Tak2] H. Takagi, *Classification of primary $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds with anti-canonical Du Val $K3$ surfaces. I*, *J. Alg. Geom.* **15** (2006), no. 1, 31–85.
- [Tak3] H. Takagi, *Key Varieties for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds related with $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibrations*, Part I, arXiv:2103.11086v2.
- [Tak4] H. Takagi, *Key varieties for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds related with $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibrations*, Part II, arXiv:2111.14328v1.
- [Tak5] H. Takagi, *Classification of $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds of Gorenstein index 2 via key varieties constructed from projective bundles*, *Internat. J. Math.* **34** (2023), no. 10, Paper No. 2350060, 47 pp.
- [Tak6] H. Takagi, *Key varieties for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds defined by Freudenthal triple systems*, arXiv:2309.01057v1.
- [Tak7] H. Takagi, *Key varieties for prime $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds defined by Jordan algebras of cubic forms. Part II*, arXiv:2403.15991v2.
- [Tay] R. Taylor, *Type II unprojections, Fano threefolds and codimension four constructions*, PhD thesis, University of Warwick (2020), available at https://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/145363/1/WRAP_Theses_Taylor_2020.pdf.
- [W] Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica*, Version 12, Wolfram Research, Inc., <https://www.wolfram.com/mathematica>, Champaign, Illinois (2019).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GAKUSHUIN UNIVERSITY, MEJIRO, TOSHIMA-KU, TOKYO 171-8588,
JAPAN

Email address: hiromici@math.gakushuin.ac.jp