

# An Embedding of Schwartz Distributions in the Algebra of Asymptotic Functions

Michael Oberguggenberger

Institut für Mathematik und Geometrie

Universität Innsbruck

A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

michael@mat1.uibk.ac.at

and

Todor Todorov

Mathematics Department

California Polytechnic State University

San Luis Obispo, California 93407, USA

ttodorov@calpoly.edu

(Received October 28, 1996 and in revised form June 21, 1997)

## Abstract

We present a solution of the problem of multiplication of Schwartz distributions by embedding the space of distributions into a differential algebra of generalized functions, called in the paper “asymptotic function”, similar to but different from J. F. Colombeau’s algebras of new generalized functions.

**Key Words and Phrases:** Schwartz distributions, nonlinear theory of generalized functions, asymptotic expansion, nonstandard analysis, nonstandard asymptotic analysis.

**1991 AMS Subject Classification Codes:** 03H05, 12J25, 46F10, 46820.

## 1 Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the existence of an embedding  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}$  of the space of Schwartz distributions  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  into the algebra of asymptotic functions  ${}^p\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  which preserves all linear operations in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ . Thus, we offer a solution of the problem of multiplication of Schwartz distributions since the multiplication within  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  is impossible (L. Schwartz [1]).

The algebra  ${}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  is defined in the paper as a factor space of nonstandard smooth functions. The field of the scalars  ${}^{\rho}\mathbb{C}$  of the algebra  ${}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  coincides with the complex counterpart of the Robinson asymptotic numbers -known also as *Robinson's field with valuation* (Robinson's [2]) and Lightstone and Robinson [3]). The embedding  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}$  is constructed in the form  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega} = Q_{\Omega} \circ D \star \Pi \bullet *$ , where (in backward order):  $*$  is the extension mapping (in the sense of nonstandard analysis),  $\bullet$  is the Schwartz multiplication in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  (more precisely, its nonstandard extension),  $\star$  is the convolution operator (more precisely, its nonstandard extension),  $\circ$  denotes "composition",  $Q_{\Omega}$  is the quotient mapping (in the definition of the algebra of asymptotic functions) and  $D$  and  $\Pi_{\Omega}$  are fixed nonstandard internal functions with special properties whose existence is proved in this paper.

Our interest in the algebra  ${}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and the embedding  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \subset {}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ , is due to their role in the problem of multiplication of Schwartz distributions, the nonlinear theory of generalized functions and its applications to partial differential equations (Oberguggenberger [4]), (Todorov [5] and [6]). In particular, there is a strong similarity between the algebra of asymptotic functions  ${}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and its generalized scalars  ${}^{\rho}\mathbb{C}$ , discussed in this paper, and the algebra of generalized functions  $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$  and their generalized scalars  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , introduced by J. F. Colombeau in the framework of standard analysis (Colombeau [7], pp. 63, 138 and Colombeau [8], §8.3, pp.161-166). We should mention that the involvement of nonstandard analysis has resulted in some improvements of the corresponding standard counterparts; one of them is that  ${}^{\rho}\mathbb{C}$  is an algebraically closed field while its standard counterpart  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  in J. F. Colombeau's theory is a ring with zero divisors.

This paper is a generalization of some results in [9] and [10] (by the authors of this paper, respectively) where only the embedding of the tempered distributions  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  ${}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  has been established. The embedding of all distributions  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ , discussed in this paper, presents an essentially different situation. We should mention that the algebra  ${}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  was recently studied in (Hoskins and Sousa Pinto [11]).

Here  $\Omega$  denotes an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d$  is a natural number),  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) = C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) = C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  denote the usual classes of  $C^{\infty}$ -functions on  $\Omega$  and  $C^{\infty}$ -functions with compact support in  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}'(\Omega)$  denote the classes of Schwartz distributions on  $\Omega$  and Schwartz distributions with compact support in  $\Omega$ , respectively. As usual,  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and  $\mathbb{C}$  will be the systems of the natural, real, positive real and complex numbers, respectively, and we use also the notation  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ . For the partial derivatives we write  $\partial^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . If  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , we write  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$  and if  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we write  $x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_d^{\alpha_d}$ . For a general reference to distribution theory we refer to Bremermann [12] and Vladimirov [13].

Our framework is a nonstandard model of the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , with degree of saturation larger than  $\text{card}(\mathbb{N})$ . We denote by  ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ ,  ${}^*\mathbb{R}_+$ ,  ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ ,  ${}^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and  ${}^*\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  the nonstandard extensions of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , respectively. If  $X$  is a set of complex numbers or a set of (standard) functions, then  ${}^*X$  will be its nonstandard extension and if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a (standard) mapping, then  ${}^*f : {}^*X \rightarrow {}^*Y$  will be its nonstandard extension. For integration in  ${}^*\mathbb{R}^d$  we use the  $*$ -Lebesgue integral. We shall often use the same notation,  $\|x\|$ , for the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and its nonstandard extension in  ${}^*\mathbb{R}^d$ . For a short introduction to nonstandard analysis we refer to the Appendix in Todorov [6]. For a more detailed exposition we recommend Lindstrom [14], where the reader will find

many references to the subject.

## 2 Test Functions and Their Moments

In this section we study some properties of the test functions in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (in a standard setting) which we shall use subsequently.

Following (Colombeau [7], p. 55), for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the set of test functions:

$$A_k = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \varphi \text{ is real-valued, } \varphi(x) = 0 \text{ for } \|x\| > 1;$$

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx = 1 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x^\alpha \varphi(x) dx = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k\}.$$

Obviously,  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \dots$ . Also, we have  $A_k \neq \emptyset$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (Colombeau [7], Lemma (3.3.1), p. 55).

In addition to the above we have the following result:

**Lemma 2.2.** For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(2.2) \quad \inf_{\varphi \in A_k} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(x)| dx \right) = 1.$$

More precisely, for any positive real  $\delta$  there exists  $\varphi$  in  $A_k$  such that

$$1 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(x)| dx \leq 1 + \delta.$$

In addition,  $\varphi$  can be chosen symmetric.

**Proof.** We consider the one dimensional case  $d = 1$  first. Start with some fixed positive (real valued)  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\psi(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 1$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx = 1$  ( $\psi$  can be also chosen symmetric if needed). We shall look for  $\varphi$  in the form:

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^j}\right),$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . We have to find  $c_j$  for which  $\varphi \in A_k$ . Observing that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^j \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^j}\right) dx = \varepsilon^{(i+1)j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^j \psi(y) dy,$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , we derive the system for linear equations for  $c_j$ :

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^k c_j \varepsilon^j = 1, \\ \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^i \psi(y) dy \right) \sum_{j=0}^k c_j \varepsilon^{(i+1)j} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k. \end{cases}$$

The system is certainly satisfied, if

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^k c_j \varepsilon^j = 1, \\ \sum_{j=0}^k c_j \varepsilon^{(i+1)j} = 0, i = 1, \dots, k, \end{cases}$$

which can be written in the matrix form  $V_{k+1}(\varepsilon) C = B$ , where  $V_{k+1}(\varepsilon)$  is Vandermonde  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$  matrix,  $C$  is the column of the unknowns  $c_j$ , and  $B$  is the column whose top entry is 1 and all others are 0. For the determinant we have  $\det V_{k+1}(\varepsilon) \neq 0$  for  $\varepsilon \neq 1$ , therefore, the system has a unique solution  $(c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ . Our next goal is to show that this solution is of the form:

$$(2.3) \quad c_j = \pm \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha_j} (1 + \varepsilon P_j(\varepsilon))}{\varepsilon^\beta (1 + \varepsilon P(\varepsilon))},$$

where  $P_j$  and  $P$  are polynomials and

$$(2.4) \quad \alpha_j = \sum_{q=1}^{k-1} q(k+1-q) + \sum_{m=j}^{k-1} (k+1-m),$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq k$ , and

$$\beta = k + \sum_{q=1}^{k-1} q(k+1-q).$$

The coefficients  $c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  will be found by Cramer's rule. The formula for Vandermonde determinants gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{m=1}^k \Pi_{q=m+1}^{k+1} (\varepsilon^q - \varepsilon^m) &= \Pi_{m=1}^k (\Pi_{q=m+1}^{k+1} \varepsilon^m (\varepsilon^{q-m} - 1)) \\ &= \varepsilon^\beta \Pi_{m=1}^k \Pi_{q=m+1}^{k+1} (\varepsilon^{q-m} - 1) = \pm \varepsilon^\beta (1 + \varepsilon P(\varepsilon)), \end{aligned}$$

for some polynomial  $P$ , where

$$\beta = \sum_{m=1}^k m(k+1-m) = k + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} m(k+1-m).$$

To calculate the numerator in (2.3), we have to replace the  $j$ th column of the matrix by the column  $B$  (whose top entry is 1 and all others are 0) and calculate the resulting determinant  $D_j$ . Consider first the case  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ . By developing with respect to the  $j$ th column, we get

$$D_j = \pm \det \begin{pmatrix} 1, & \varepsilon^2, & \dots & \varepsilon^{2(j-1)}, & \varepsilon^{2(j+1)}, & \dots & \varepsilon^{2k} \\ 1, & \varepsilon^3, & \dots & \varepsilon^{3(j-1)}, & \varepsilon^{3(j+1)}, & \dots & \varepsilon^{3k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1, & \varepsilon^{k+1}, & \dots & \varepsilon^{(k+1)(j-1)}, & \varepsilon^{(k+1)(j+1)}, & \dots & \varepsilon^{(k+1)k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We factor out  $\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^4, \dots, \varepsilon^{2(j-1)}, \varepsilon^{2(j+1)}, \dots, \varepsilon^{2k}$  and obtain:

$$D_j = \pm \varepsilon^{1 \cdot 1} \varepsilon^{2 \cdot 2} \dots \varepsilon^{(j-1) \cdot 2} \varepsilon^{(j+1) \cdot 2} \dots \varepsilon^{2k} \\ \times \det \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 1, & 1, & \dots & 1, & 1, & \dots & 1 \\ 1, & \varepsilon, & \varepsilon^2 & \dots & \varepsilon^{(j-1)}, & \varepsilon^{(j+1)}, & \dots & \varepsilon^k \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1, & \varepsilon^{k-1}, & \varepsilon^{2(k-1)}, & \dots & \varepsilon^{(j-1)(k-1)}, & \varepsilon^{(j+1)(k-1)}, & \dots & \varepsilon^{k(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The latter is a Vandermonde determinant again, and we have

$$D_j = \pm \varepsilon^{1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2 + \dots + (j-1)2 + (j+1)2 + \dots + k \cdot 2} \\ \times (\varepsilon - 1)(\varepsilon^2 - 1) \dots (\varepsilon^{j-1} - 1)(\varepsilon^{j+1} - 1) \dots (\varepsilon^k - 1) \\ \times (\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon)(\varepsilon^3 - \varepsilon) \dots (\varepsilon^{j-1} - \varepsilon)(\varepsilon^{j+1} - \varepsilon) \dots (\varepsilon^k - \varepsilon) \\ \times \dots \dots \dots \times (\varepsilon^{j-1} - \varepsilon^{j-2})(\varepsilon^{j+1} - \varepsilon^{j-2}) \dots (\varepsilon^k - \varepsilon^{j-2}) \\ \times (\varepsilon^{j+1} - \varepsilon^{j-1}) \dots (\varepsilon^k - \varepsilon^{j-1}) \\ \times \dots \dots \dots \times (\varepsilon^k - \varepsilon^{k-1}).$$

Hence, factoring out  $\varepsilon^{(i-1)(k-i)}$  in the  $i$ th row above, we get  $D_j = \pm \varepsilon^{\alpha_j} (1 + \varepsilon P_j(\varepsilon))$  for some polynomials  $P_j(\varepsilon)$  and

$$\alpha_j = 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2 + \dots + (j-1) \cdot 2 + (j+1) \cdot 2 + \dots + k \cdot 2 \\ + 1 \cdot (k-2) + 2 \cdot (k-3) + \dots + (j-1) \cdot (k-j) \\ + (j+1) \cdot (k-j-1) + \dots + (k-1) \cdot 1 \\ = 1 \cdot k + 2 \cdot (k-1) + \dots + (j-1) \cdot (k-j+2) + (j+1) \cdot (k-j+1) + \dots + (k-1) \cdot 3 + k \cdot 2 \\ = \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} q(k+1-q) + \sum_{m=j}^{k-1} (m+1)(k+1-m) = \sum_{q=1}^{k-1} q(k+1-q) + \sum_{m=j}^{k-1} (k+1-m),$$

which coincides with the desired result (2.4) for  $\alpha_j$ , in the case  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ . For the extreme cases  $j=0$  and  $j=k$ , we obtain

$$\alpha_0 = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (m+1)(k+1-m) = \sum_{q=1}^{k-1} q(k+1-q) + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (k+1-m),$$

$$\alpha_k = \sum_{q=1}^{k-1} q(k+1-q),$$

which both can be incorporated in the formula (2.4) for  $\alpha_j$ . Finally, Cramer's rule gives the expression (2.3) for  $c_j$ .

Now, taking into account that  $\psi \geq 0$ , by assumption, and the fact that  $|1 + \varepsilon P(\varepsilon)| > |1 - \varepsilon P(\varepsilon)| = 1 - \varepsilon |P(\varepsilon)| > 0$  for all sufficiently small epsilon, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x)| dx \leq \sum_{j=0}^k |c_j| \varepsilon^j \leq \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\varepsilon^{j+\alpha_j} (1 + \varepsilon |P_j(\varepsilon)|)}{\varepsilon^\beta (1 - \varepsilon |P(\varepsilon)|)},$$

and this latter expression can be made smaller than  $1 + \delta$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  if a)  $j + \alpha_j - \beta > 0$  for  $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$ , and b)  $k + \alpha_k - \beta = 0$ . Now, b) is obvious, as for a), we have:

$$j + \alpha_j - \beta = j + \sum_{m=j}^{k-1} (k + 1 - m) - k = \frac{1}{2}(k - j)(k - j + 1) > 0,$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$ . To generalize the result for arbitrary dimension  $d$ , it suffices to consider a product of functions of one real variable. The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3 Nonstandard Delta Functions

We prove the existence of a nonstandard function  $D$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with special properties. The proof is based on the result of Lemma 2.2 and the Saturation Principle (Todorov [6], p. 687). We also consider a type of nonstandard cut-off-functions which have close counterparts in standard analysis. The applications of these functions are left for the next sections.

**Lemma 3.1** (Nonstandard Mollifiers). For any positive infinitesimal  $\rho$  in  ${}^*\mathbb{R}$  there exists a nonstandard function  $\theta$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with values in  ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ , which is symmetric and which satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $\theta(x) = 0$  for  $x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^d, \|x\| > 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\int_{{}^*\mathbb{R}^d} \theta(x) dx = 1$ ,
- (iii)  $\int_{{}^*\mathbb{R}^d} \theta(x) x^\alpha dx = 0$ , for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \alpha \neq 0$ ,
- (iv)  $\int_{{}^*\mathbb{R}^d} |\theta(x)| dx \approx 1$ ,
- (v)  $|\ln \rho|^{-1} \left( \sup_{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\alpha \theta(x)| \right) \approx 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ ,

where  $\approx$  is the infinitesimal relation in  ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ . We shall call this type of function *nonstandard  $\rho$ -mollifiers*

**Proof.** For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the set of test functions:

$$\overline{A}_k = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \varphi \text{ is real-valued and symmetric,}$$

$$\varphi(x) = 0 \text{ for } \|x\| \geq 1, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx = 1,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x^\alpha \varphi(x) dx = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(x)| dx < 1 + \frac{1}{k}\}$$

and the internal subsets of  ${}^*\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \{\varphi \in {}^*(\overline{A}_k) : |\ln \rho|^{-1} \left( \sup_{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\alpha ({}^*\varphi(x))| \right) < \frac{1}{k} \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq k\}.$$

Obviously, we have  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \supset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_2 \supset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_3 \supset \dots$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1 \supset \mathcal{A}_2 \supset \mathcal{A}_3 \supset \dots$ . Also we have  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_k \neq \emptyset$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by Lemma 2.2. On the other hand, we have  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_k \subset \mathcal{A}_k$  in the sense that  $\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}_k$  implies  ${}^*\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_k$ , since

$$\sup_{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\alpha ({}^*\varphi(x))| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| = \sup_{x \leq 1} |\partial^\alpha ({}^*\varphi(x))|,$$

is a real (standard) number and, hence,  $|\ln \rho|^{-1} \left( \sup_{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\alpha ({}^*\varphi(x))| \right)$  is infinitesimal. Thus, we have  $\mathcal{A}_k \neq \emptyset$  for all  $k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . By the Saturation Principle (Todorov [6], p. 687), the intersection  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_k$  is non-empty and thus, any  $\theta$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  has the desired properties.  $\square$

**Definition 3.2** ( $\rho$ -Delta Function). Let  $\rho$  be a positive infinitesimal. A nonstandard function  $D$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called a  $\rho$ -delta function if it takes values in  ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ , it is symmetric and it satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $D(x) = 0$  for  $x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\|x\| \geq \rho$ ;
- (ii)  $\int_{{}^*\mathbb{R}^d} D(x) dx = 1$ ,
- (iii)  $\int_{{}^*\mathbb{R}^d} D(x) x^\alpha dx = 0$ , for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,
- (iv)  $\int_{{}^*\mathbb{R}^d} |D(x)| dx \approx 1$ ,
- (v)  $|\ln \rho|^{-1} \left( \rho^{d+|\alpha|} \sup_{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\alpha D(x)| \right) \approx 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ ;

**Theorem 3.3** (Existence). For any positive infinitesimal  $\rho$  in  ${}^*\mathbb{R}$  there exists a  $\rho$ -delta function.

**Proof.** Let  $\theta$  be a nonstandard  $\rho$ -mollifier of the type described in Lemma 3.1. Then the nonstandard function  $D$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , defined by

$$(3.1) \quad D(x) = \rho^{-d} \theta(x/\rho), \quad x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^d$$

satisfies (i)-(v).  $\square$

**Remark.** The existence of nonstandard functions  $D$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with the above properties is in sharp contrast with the situation in standard analysis where there is no  $D$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  which satisfies both (ii) and (iii). Indeed, if we assume that  $D$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then (iii) implies  $\widehat{D}^{(n)}(0) = 0$ , for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\widehat{D}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $D$ . It follows  $\widehat{D} = \widehat{D}(0) = c$  for some constant  $c$ , since  $\widehat{D}$  is an entire function on  $\mathbb{C}^d$ , by the Paley-Wiener Theorem (Bremermann [12], Theorem 8.28, p. 97). On the other hand,  $D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  implies  $\widehat{D}|_{\mathbb{R}^d} \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  since  $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is closed under Fourier transform. Thus, it follows  $c = 0$ , i.e.  $\widehat{D} = 0$  which implies  $D = 0$  contradicting (ii).

For other classes of nonstandard delta functions we refer to (Robinson [15], p. 133) and to (Todorov [16]).

Our next task is to show the existence of an internal *cut-off function*.

**Notations.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

1) For any  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we define

$$B_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq \varepsilon\} \text{ and } \Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq \varepsilon\},$$

where  $\|x\|$  is the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\partial\Omega$  is the boundary of  $\Omega$  and  $d(x, \partial\Omega)$  is the Euclidean distance between  $x$  and  $\partial\Omega$ . We also denote:

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) : \text{supp } \varphi \subseteq B_\varepsilon\}, \quad \mathcal{E}'_\varepsilon(\Omega) = \{T \in \mathcal{E}'(\Omega) : \text{supp } T \subseteq \Omega_\varepsilon\}.$$

2) We shall use the same notation,  $\star$ , for the convolution operator  $\star: \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (Vladimirov [13]) and its nonstandard extension  $\star: {}^*\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \times {}^*\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as well as for the convolution operator  $\star: \mathcal{E}'_\varepsilon(\Omega) \times \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , defined for all sufficiently small  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and for its nonstandard extension:  $\star: {}^*\mathcal{E}'_\varepsilon(\Omega) \times {}^*\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(\Omega) \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\varepsilon \approx 0$ . We shall use the same notations,  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ , for the Euclidean norm and distance and their nonstandard extensions  ${}^*\|\cdot\|$  and  ${}^*d(\cdot, \cdot)$ , respectively.

3) Let  $\tau$  be the usual Euclidean topology on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We denote by  $\tilde{\Omega}$  the set of the nearstandard points in  ${}^*\Omega$ , i.e.

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{\Omega} = \bigcup_{x \in \Omega} \mu(x),$$

where  $\mu(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , is the system of monads of the topological space  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau)$  (Todorov [6], p. 687). Recall that if  $\xi \in {}^*\Omega$ , then  $\xi \in \tilde{\Omega}$  if and only if  $\xi$  is a finite point whose standard part belongs to  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** For any positive infinitesimal  $\rho$  in  ${}^*\mathbb{R}$  there exists a function  $\Pi$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  (a  $\rho$ -cut-off function) such that:

- a)  $\Pi(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \tilde{\Omega}$ ;
- b)  $\text{supp } \Pi \subseteq \Omega_\rho$ , where  $\Omega_\rho = \{\xi \in {}^*\Omega : d(\xi, \partial\Omega) \geq \rho\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\rho$  be a positive infinitesimal in  ${}^*\mathbb{R}$  and  $D$  be a  $\rho$ -delta function. Define the internal set  $X = \{\xi \in {}^*\Omega : \|\xi\| \leq 1/\rho, d(\xi, \partial\Omega) \geq 2\rho\}$  and let  $\chi$  be its characteristic function. Then the function  $\Pi = \chi \star D$  has the desired property.  $\square$

## 4 The Algebra of Asymptotic Functions

We define and study the algebra  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  of asymptotic functions on an open set  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The construction of the algebra  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ , presented here, is a generalization and a refinement of the constructions in [9] and [10] (by the authors of this paper, respectively), where the algebra  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  was introduced by somewhat different but equivalent definitions. On the other hand, the algebra of asymptotic functions  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  is somewhat similar to but different from the Colombeau algebras of new generalized functions (Colombeau [7], [8]). This essential difference between  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and Colombeau's algebras of generalized functions is the properties of the generalized scalars: the scalars of the algebra  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  constitutes an algebraically closed field (as any scalars should do) while the scalars of Colombeau's

algebras are rings with zero divisors (Colombeau [8], §2.1). This improvement compared with J. F. Colombeau's theory is due to the involvement of the nonstandard analysis.

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\rho \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$  be a positive infinitesimal. We shall keep  $\Omega$  and  $\rho$  fixed in what follows. Following (Robinson [2]), we define:

**Definition 4.1** (Robinson's Asymptotic Numbers). The field of the complex Robinson  $\rho$ -asymptotic numbers is defined as the factor space  ${}^\rho\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}}/\mathbb{C}_0$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}} &= \{\xi \in {}^*\mathbb{C} : |\xi| < \rho^{-n} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ \mathbb{C}_0 &= \{\xi \in {}^*\mathbb{C} : |\xi| < \rho^n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\},\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

( $\mathcal{M}$  stands for *moderate*). We define the embedding  $\mathbb{C} \subset {}^\rho\mathbb{C}$  by  $c \rightarrow q(c)$ , where  $q: \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow {}^\rho\mathbb{C}$  is the quotient mapping. The field of the real asymptotic numbers is defined by  ${}^\rho\mathbb{R} = q({}^*\mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}})$ .

It is easy to check that  $\mathbb{C}_0$  is a maximal ideal in  $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and hence  ${}^\rho\mathbb{C}$  is a field. Also  ${}^\rho\mathbb{R}$  is a real closed totally ordered nonarchimedean field (since  ${}^*\mathbb{R}$  is a real closed totally ordered field) containing  $\mathbb{R}$  as a totally ordered subfield. Thus, it follows that  ${}^\rho\mathbb{C} = {}^\rho\mathbb{R}(i)$  is an algebraically closed field, where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

The algebra of asymptotic functions is, in a sense, a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -counterpart of A. Robinson's asymptotic numbers  ${}^\rho\mathbb{C}$ :

**Definition 4.2** (Asymptotic Functions on  $\Omega$ ). (i) We define the class  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  of the  $\rho$ -asymptotic functions on  $\Omega$  (or simply, *asymptotic functions on  $\Omega$*  if no confusion could arise) as the factor space  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega)/\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ , where

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega) = \{f \in {}^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega) : \partial^\alpha f(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \text{ and all } \xi \in \tilde{\Omega}\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) = \{f \in {}^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega) : \partial^\alpha f(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}_0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \text{ and all } \xi \in \tilde{\Omega}\},$$

and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is the set of the nearstandard points of  ${}^*\Omega$  (3.2). The functions in  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega)$  are called  $\rho$ -moderate (or, simply, *moderate*) and those in  $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$  are called  $\rho$ -null functions (or, simply, *null functions*).

(ii) The pairing between  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  with values in  ${}^\rho\mathbb{C}$ , is defined by

$$\langle Q_\Omega(f), \varphi \rangle = q\left(\int_{{}^*\Omega} f(x) {}^*\varphi(x) dx\right),$$

where  $q: \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow {}^\rho\mathbb{C}$  and  $Q_\Omega: \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega) \rightarrow {}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  are the corresponding quotient mappings,  $\varphi$  is in  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  and  ${}^*\varphi$  is its nonstandard extension of  $\varphi$ .

(iii) We define the *canonical embedding*  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \subset {}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  the mapping  $\sigma_\Omega: f \rightarrow Q_\Omega({}^*f)$ , where  ${}^*f$  is the nonstandard extension of  $f$ .

**Example 4.3.** Let  $D$  be a nonstandard  $\rho$ -delta function in the sense of Definition 3.2. Then  $D \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In addition,  $D|{}^*\Omega \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega)$ , where  $D|{}^*\Omega$  denotes the pointwise restriction of  $D$  on  ${}^*\Omega$ . To show this, denote  $|\ln \rho|^{-1} \left( \rho^{d+|\alpha|} \sup_{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\alpha D(x)| \right) = h_\alpha$  and observe that  $h_\alpha \approx 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  by the definition of  $D$ . Thus, for any (finite)  $x$  in  ${}^*\mathbb{R}^d$

and any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  we have  $|\partial^\alpha D(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\alpha D(x)| = \frac{h_\alpha |\ln \rho|}{\rho^{d+|\alpha|}} < \rho^{-n}$ , for  $n = d + |\alpha| + 1$ , thus,  $D \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . On the other hand,  $D|{}^*\Omega \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega)$  follows immediately from the fact that  $\tilde{\Omega}$  consists of finite points in  ${}^*\mathbb{R}^d$  only.

**Theorem 4.4** (Differential Algebra). (i) The class of asymptotic functions  ${}^p\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  is a differential algebra over the field of the complex asymptotic numbers  ${}^p\mathbb{C}$ .

(ii)  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  is a *differential subalgebra* of  ${}^p\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  over the scalars  $\mathbb{C}$  under the canonical embedding  $\sigma_\Omega$ . In addition,  $\sigma_\Omega$  preserves the pairing in the sense that  $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \langle \sigma_\Omega(f), \varphi \rangle$  for all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and for all  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , where  $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \int_\Omega f(x) \varphi(x) dx$  is the usual pairing between  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** (i) It is clear that  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega)$  is a differential ring and  $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$  is a differential ideal in  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega)$  since  $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a ring and  $\mathbb{C}_0$  is an ideal in  $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and, on the other hand, both  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$  are closed under differentiation, by definition. Hence, the factor space  ${}^p\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  is also a differential ring. It is clear that,  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega)$  is a module over the ring  $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}}$ , and, in addition, the annihilator  $\{c \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}} : (\forall f \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega))(cf \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega))\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}}$  coincides with the ideal  $\mathbb{C}_0$ . Thus,  ${}^p\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  becomes an algebra over the field of the complex asymptotic numbers  ${}^p\mathbb{C}$ .

(ii) Assume that  $\sigma_\Omega({}^*f) = 0$  in  ${}^p\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ , i.e.  ${}^*f \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$  (applied for  $\alpha = 0$  and  $n = 1$ ), it follows  $f = 0$  since  ${}^*f$  is an extension of  $f$  and  $\rho$  is an infinitesimal. Thus, the mapping  $f \rightarrow \sigma_\Omega(f)$  is injective. It preserves the algebraic operations since the mapping  $f \rightarrow {}^*f$  preserves them. The preserving of the pairing follows immediately from the fact that  $\int_{{}^*\Omega} {}^*f(x) dx = \int_\Omega f(x) dx$ , by the Transfer Principle (Todorov [6], p. 686). The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 5 Embedding of Schwartz Distributions

Let  $\Omega$  be (as before) an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Recall that the *Schwartz embedding*  $L_\Omega: \mathcal{L}_{loc}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  from  $\mathcal{L}_{loc}(\Omega)$  into  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  is defined by the formula:

$$(5.1) \quad \langle L_\Omega(f), \varphi \rangle = \int_\Omega f(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega).$$

Here  $\mathcal{L}_{loc}(\Omega)$  denotes, as usual, the space of the locally (Lebesgue) integrable complex valued functions on  $\Omega$  (Vladimirov [13]). The Schwartz embedding  $L_\Omega$  preserves the addition and multiplication by a complex number, hence, the space  $\mathcal{L}_{loc}(\Omega)$  can be considered as a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ . In addition, the restriction  $L_\Omega|_{\mathcal{E}(\Omega)}$  of  $L_\Omega$  on  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  (often denoted also by  $L_\Omega$ ) preserves the partial differentiation of any order and in this sense  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  is a differential linear subspace of  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ . In short, we have the chain of linear embeddings:  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{L}_{loc}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ .

The purpose of this section is to show that the algebra of asymptotic functions  ${}^p\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  contains an isomorphic copy of the space of Schwartz distributions  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  and, hence, to offer a *solution of the Problem of Multiplication of Schwartz Distributions*. This result is a generalization of some results in [9] and [10] (by the authors of this paper, respectively)

where only the embedding of the tempered distributions  $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  has been established. The embedding of all distributions  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  discussed here, presents an essentially different situation.

The spaces  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega)$ , defined below, are immediate generalizations of the spaces  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , introduced in (Stroyan and Luxemburg [17], (10.4), p. 299):

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in {}^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega) : \partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \text{ is a finite number in } {}^*\mathbb{C} \text{ for all } x \in \tilde{\Omega} \text{ and all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d\},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in {}^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega) : \partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \text{ is a finite number in } {}^*\mathbb{C} \text{ for all}$$

$$(5.3) \quad x \in \tilde{\Omega}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \text{ and } \varphi(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in {}^*\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}\},$$

Obviously, we have  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega)$ . Notice as well that  $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega)$  implies  $\varphi \in {}^*\mathcal{D}(G)$  for some open relatively compact set  $G$  of  $\Omega$ . We have also the following simple result:

**Lemma 5.1.** If  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(G)$ , then  $\langle {}^*T, \varphi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}_0$ .

**Proof.** Observe that  $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega)$  implies  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap {}^*\mathcal{D}(G)$  for some open relatively compact set  $G$  of  $\Omega$ . By the continuity of  $T$  (and Transfer Principle) there exist constants  $C \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$|\langle {}^*T, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \sup_{x \in {}^*G} |\partial^\mu \varphi(x)|$$

On the other hand,  $C \sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \sup_{x \in {}^*G} |\partial^\mu \varphi(x)| < \rho^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ , by assumption. Thus,  $|\langle {}^*T, \varphi \rangle| < \rho^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

Let  $D$  be a  $\rho$ -delta function in the sense of Definition 3.2. We shall keep  $D$  (along with  $\Omega$  and  $\rho$ ) fixed in what follows.

**Definition 5.2** (*Embedding of Schwartz Distributions*). We define the embedding  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \subset {}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  by  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}: T \rightarrow Q_\Omega(({}^*T \Pi_\Omega) \star D)$ , where  ${}^*T$  is the nonstandard extension of  $T$ ,  $\Pi_\Omega$  is a (an arbitrarily chosen)  $\rho$ -cut-off function for  $\Omega$  (Lemma 3.4),  ${}^*T \Pi_\Omega$  is the Schwartz product between  ${}^*T$  and  $\Pi_\Omega$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  (applied by Transfer Principle),  $\star$  is the convolution operator and  $Q_\Omega: \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega) \rightarrow {}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  is the quotient mapping in the definition of  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  (Definition 4.2).

The cut-off function  $\Pi_\Omega$  can be dropped in the above definition, i.e.  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}: T \rightarrow Q_\Omega({}^*T \star D)$ , in some particular cases; e.g. when:

- a)  $T$  has a compact support in  $\Omega$ ;
- b)  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Proposition 5.3** (Correctness).  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  implies  $({}^*T \Pi_\Omega) \star D \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** Choose  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  and  $x \in \tilde{\Omega}$ . Since we have  $\partial^\alpha(({}^*T \Pi_\Omega) \star D)(x) = (\partial^\alpha({}^*T) \star D)(x)$  (by the definition of  $\Pi_\Omega$ ), we need to show that  $\partial^\alpha({}^*T \star D)(x) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{M}}$  only, i.e. that  $|\partial^\alpha({}^*T) \star D)(x)| < p^{-m}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $m$  might depend on  $\alpha$ ). We start with the case

$\alpha = 0$ . Denote  $D_x(\xi) = D(\xi - x)$ ,  $\xi \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$  and observe that  $\text{supp}(D_x) \subseteq {}^*G$  for some open relatively compact set  $G$  of  $\Omega$ , since  $D_x$  vanishes on  ${}^*\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}$ . Next, by the continuity of  $T$  (and the Transfer Principle), there exist constants  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , such that

$$|({}^*T \star D)(x)| = |({}^*T, D_x|{}^*\Omega)| \leq C \sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \sup_{\xi \in {}^*G} |\partial_\xi^\mu D(x - \xi)|$$

Finally, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \sup_{\xi \in {}^*G} |\partial_\xi^\mu D(x - \xi)| < p^{-n}$ , since  $D|{}^*G$  is a  $\rho$ -moderate function (Example 4.3). Combining these arguments, we have:  $|({}^*T \star D)(x)| \leq C\rho^{-n} < \rho^{-(n+1)}$ , as required. The generalization for arbitrary multiindex  $\alpha$  follows immediately since  $\partial^\alpha({}^*T \star D) = (\partial^\alpha({}^*T)) \star D = {}^*(\partial^\alpha T) \star D$ , by Transfer Principle, and  $\partial^\alpha T$  is (also) in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.4.**  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$  implies  $(f\Pi_\Omega) \star D - f \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \tilde{\Omega}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . Since we have  $\partial^\alpha[(f\Pi_\Omega) \star D](x) - \partial^\alpha f(x) = \partial^\alpha[(f \star D)(x) - f(x)]$  (by the definition of  $\Pi_\Omega$ ), we need to show that  $\partial^\alpha[(f \star D)(x) - f(x)] \in \mathbb{C}_0$  only. Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We need to show that  $|\partial^\alpha[(f \star D)(x) - f(x)]| < \rho^n$ . We start first with the case  $\alpha = 0$ . By Taylor's formula (applied by transfer), we have

$$f(x - \xi) - f(x) = \sum_{|\beta|=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|} \partial^\beta f(x)}{\beta!} \xi^\beta + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \sum_{|\beta|=n+1} \partial^\beta f(\eta(\xi)) \xi^\beta$$

for any  $\xi \in \tilde{\Omega}$ , where  $\eta(\xi)$  is a point in  ${}^*\Omega$  “between  $x$  and  $\xi$ .”. Notice that the point  $\eta(\xi)$  is also in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . It follows

$$(f \star D)(x) - f(x) = \int_{\|\xi\| \leq \rho} D(\xi) [f(x - \xi) - f(x)] d\xi = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \sum_{|\beta|=n+1} \int_{\|\xi\| \leq \rho} D(\xi) \xi^\beta \partial^\beta f(\eta(\xi)) d\xi$$

since  $\int_{\|\xi\| \leq \rho} D(\xi) \xi^\beta d\xi = 0$ , by the definition of  $D$ . Thus, we have

$$|(f \star D)(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\rho^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \left( \int_{{}^*\mathbb{R}^d} |D(x)| dx \right) \left( \sum_{|\beta|=n+1} \sup_{\|\xi\| \leq \rho} |\partial^\beta f(\eta(\xi))| \right) < \rho^n,$$

as desired, since, on one hand,  $\int_{{}^*\mathbb{R}^d} |D(x)| dx \approx 1$ , by the definition of  $D$  and on the other hand, the above sum is a finite number because  ${}^*\partial^\beta f(\eta(\xi))$  are all finite due to  $\eta(\xi) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ . The generalization for an arbitrary  $\alpha$  is immediate since  $\partial^\alpha[(f \star D)(x) - f(x)] = (\partial^\alpha f \star D)(x) - \partial^\alpha f(x)$ , by the Transfer Principle.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** (i)  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  implies  $({}^*f \Pi_\Omega) \star D - {}^*f \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ .

(ii)  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  implies  $({}^*\varphi \Pi_\Omega) \star D - {}^*\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** (i) follows immediately from the above proposition since  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  implies  ${}^*f \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ . (ii) Both  $({}^*\varphi \Pi_\Omega) \star D$  and  ${}^*\varphi$  vanish on  ${}^*\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}$  since their supports are within

an open relatively compact neighborhood  $G$  of  $\text{supp}(\varphi)$  and the latter is a compact set of  $\Omega$ , by assumption. Thus,  $(\varphi \Pi_\Omega) \star D - \varphi \in {}^*\mathcal{D}(G) \subset \check{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega)$  as required.  $\square$

Denote  $\check{D}(x) = D(-x)$  and recall that  $\check{D} = D$  since  $D$  is symmetric (Definition 3.2).

**Proposition 5.6.** If  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , then

$$\int_{*\Omega} (({}^*T \Pi_\Omega) \star D)(x) {}^*\varphi(x) dx - \langle T, \varphi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}_0$$

**Proof.** Using the properties of the convolution operator (applied by transfer), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{*\Omega} (({}^*T \Pi_\Omega) \star D)(x) {}^*\varphi(x) dx - \langle T, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle ({}^*T \Pi_\Omega) \star D, {}^*\varphi \rangle - \langle {}^*T, {}^*\varphi \rangle = \langle {}^*T \Pi_\Omega, {}^*\varphi \star \check{D} \rangle - \langle {}^*T \Pi_\Omega, {}^*\varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle {}^*T \Pi_\Omega, {}^*\varphi \star \check{D} - {}^*\varphi \rangle = \langle {}^*T, {}^*\varphi \star D - {}^*\varphi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}_0, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.1 since  ${}^*\varphi \star D - {}^*\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap \check{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega)$ , by Corollary 5.5.  $\square$

We are ready to state our main result:

**Theorem 5.7** (Properties of  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}$ ). (i)  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}$  preserves the pairing in the sense that for all  $T$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  and all  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  we have  $\langle T, \varphi \rangle = \langle \Sigma_{D,\Omega}(T), \varphi \rangle$ , where the left hand side is the (usual) pairing of  $T$  and  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ , while the right hand side is the pairing of  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}(T)$  and  $\varphi$  in  ${}^p\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  (Definition 4.2).

(ii)  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}$  is *injective* and it preserves *all linear operations* in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ : the addition, multiplication by (standard) complex numbers and the partial differentiation of any (standard) order.

(iii)  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}$  is *an extension of the canonical embedding*  $\sigma_\Omega$  defined earlier in Definition 4.2 in the sense that  $\sigma_\Omega = \Sigma_{D,\Omega} \circ L_\Omega$ , where  $L_\Omega$  is the Schwartz embedding (5.1) restricted on  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and  $\circ$  denotes composition. Or, equivalently, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{L_\Omega} & \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \\ & \searrow \sigma_\Omega & \downarrow \Sigma_{D,\Omega} \\ & & {}^p\mathcal{E}(\Omega). \end{array}$$

**Proof.** (i) Denote (as before)  $\check{D}(x) = D(-x)$  and recall that  $\check{D} = D$  (Definition 3.2). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Sigma_{D,\Omega}(T), \varphi \rangle &= \langle Q_\Omega(({}^*T \Pi_\Omega) \star D), \varphi \rangle - \langle T, \varphi \rangle \\ &= q \left( \int_{*\Omega} ((\Pi_\Omega {}^*T) \star D)(x) {}^*\varphi(x) dx \right) - q(\langle T, \varphi \rangle) \\ &= q \left( \int_{*\Omega} ((\Pi_\Omega {}^*T) \star D)(x) {}^*\varphi(x) dx - \langle T, \varphi \rangle \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\int_{*\Omega} ((*T \Pi_\Omega) \star D)(x) * \varphi(x) dx - \langle T, \varphi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}_0$ , by Proposition 5.6. Here  $\langle T, \varphi \rangle = q(\langle T, \varphi \rangle)$  holds because  $\langle T, \varphi \rangle$  is a standard (complex) number.

(ii) The injectivity of  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}$  follows from (i):  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}(T) = 0$  in  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  implies  $\langle \Sigma_{D,\Omega}(T), \varphi \rangle = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , which is equivalent to  $\langle T, \varphi \rangle = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , by (i), thus,  $T = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ , as required. The preserving of the linear operations follows from the fact that both the extension mapping  $*$  and the convolution  $\star$  (applied by Transfer Principle) are linear operators.

(iii) For any  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  we have  $\sigma(f) = Q_\Omega(*f) = Q_\Omega((*f \Pi_\Omega) \star D) = Q_\Omega((*L_\Omega(f) \Pi_\Omega) \star D) = \Sigma_{D,\Omega}(L_\Omega(f))$ , as required, since  $*f - (*f \Pi_\Omega) \star D \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ , by Corollary 5.5.  $\square$

**Remark 5.8** (Multiplication of Distributions). As a consequence of the above result, the Schwartz distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  can be multiplied within the associative and commutative differential algebra  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  (something impossible in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  itself). By the property (iii) above, the multiplication in  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  coincides on  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  with the usual (pointwise) multiplication in  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ . Thus, the class  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ , endowed with an embedding  $\Sigma_{D,\Omega}$ , presents a *solution of the problem of multiplication of Schwartz distributions* which, in a sense, is optimal, in view of the Schwartz impossibility results (Schwartz [1]) (for a discussion we refer also to (Colombeau [7], §2.4) and (Oberguggenberger [18], §2). We should mention that the existence of an embedding of  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  into  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  can be proved also by sheaf-theoretical arguments as indicated in (Oberguggenberger [18], §23).

**Remark 5.9** (Nonstandard Asymptotic Analysis). We sometimes refer to the area connected directly or indirectly with the fields  ${}^\rho\mathbb{R}$  as Nonstandard Asymptotic Analysis. The fields  ${}^\rho\mathbb{R}$  were introduced in Robinson [2] and are sometimes known as “Robinson’s nonarchimedean valuation fields”. The terminology “Robinson’s asymptotic numbers”, chosen in this paper, is due to the role of  ${}^\rho\mathbb{R}$  for the asymptotic expansions of classical functions (Lightstone and Robinson [3]) and also to stress the fact that in our approach  ${}^\rho\mathbb{C}$  plays the role of the scalars of the algebra  ${}^\rho\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ . Linear spaces over the field  ${}^\rho\mathbb{R}$  has been studied in Luxemburg [19] in order to establish a connection between nonstandard and nonarchimedean analysis. More recently  ${}^\rho\mathbb{R}$  has been used in Pestov [20] for studying Banach spaces. The field  ${}^\rho\mathbb{R}$  has been exploited by Li Bang-He [21] for multiplication of Schwartz distributions.

## References

- [1] SCHWARTZ, L., Sur l’impossibilité de la multiplication des distributions, *C.R. Acad. Sci.*, Paris, 239 (1954), 847-848.
- [2] ROBINSON, A., Function theory on some nonarchimedean fields, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 80 (6), Part II: Papers in the Foundations of Mathematics (1973), 87-109.
- [3] LIGHTSTONE, A.H. and ROBINSON, A., *Nonarchimedean Fields and Asymptotic Expansions*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 13, North Holland, Amsterdam-Oxford/American Elsevier, New York, 1975.

- [4] OBERGUGGENBERGER, M., Contributions of nonstandard analysis to partial differential equations, in *Development in Nonstandard Mathematics* (Eds. N.J. Cutland, V. Neves, F. Oliveira and J. Sousa-Pinto), Longman-Harlow, 1995, 130-150.
- [5] TODOROV, T., An existence result for a class of partial differential equations with smooth coefficients, in *Advances in Analysis, Probability and Mathematical Physics - Contributions to Nonstandard Analysis* (Eds. S. Albeverio, W.A.J. Luxemburg and M.P.H. Wolff), Kluwer Academic Publishers (Mathematics and Its Applications), Dordrecht/Boston/London, Vol. 314, 1995, 107-121.
- [6] TODOROV, T., An existence of solutions for linear partial differential equations with  $C^\infty$ - coefficients in an algebra of generalized functions, in *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* , 348, 2 (1996).
- [7] COLOMBEAU, J.F., *New Generalized Functions and Multiplication of Distributions*, North- Holland Math. Studies 84, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [8] COLOMBEAU, J.F., *Elementary Introduction to New Generalized Functions*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [9] OBERGUGGENBERGER, M., Products of distributions: nonstandard methods, *Z Anal. Anwendungen* 7 (1988), 347-365., Corrections: *ibid.* 10 (1991), 263-264.
- [10] TODOROV, T., Colombeau's new generalized function and non-standard analysis, in *Generalised Functions, Convergence Structures and their Applications* (Eds. B. Stankovic, E. Pap, S. Pilipovic and V.S. Vladimirov), Plenum Press, New York (1988), 327-339.
- [11] HOSKINS, R.F. and PINTO, J.S., Nonstandard treatments of new generalised functions, in *Generalized Functions and Their Applications* (Ed. R.S. Pathak), Plenum Press, New York, 1993, 95-108.
- [12] BREMERMAN, H., *Distributions, Complex Variables, and Fourier Transforms*, Addison- Wesley Publ. Co., Inc., ; Pal Alto, 1965.
- [13] VLADIMIROV, V., *Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics*, Mit-Publisher, Moscow, 1979.
- [14] LINDSTROM, T., An invitation to nonstandard analysis, in *Nonstandard Analysis and its Applications* (Ed. N. Cutland), London Mathematical Society Student Texts 10, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988, 1-105.
- [15] ROBINSON, A., *Non-Standard Analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1966.
- [16] TODOROV, T., A nonstandard delta function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 110 (1990), 1143-1144.
- [17] STROYAN, K.D. and LUXEMBURG, W.A.J., *Introduction to the Theory of Infinitesimals*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.

- [18] OBERGUGGENBERGER, M., Multiplication of distributions and applications to partial differential equations, *Pitman Research Notes Math.*, 259, Longman, Harlow, 1992.
- [19] LUXEMBURG, W.A.J., On a class of valuation fields introduced by A. Robinson, *Israel Journal Math.*, 25 (1976), 189-201.
- [20] PESTOV, V., On a valuation field invented by A. Robinson and certain structures connected with it, *Israel Journal Math.*, 74 (1991), 65-79.
- [21] BANG-HE, L., Non-standard analysis and multiplication of distributions, *Sci. Sinica*, 21 (1978), 561-585.