

ON DEHN FUNCTIONS FOR FAMILY OF POLYCYCLIC GROUPS

KRISHNENDU GONGOPADHYAY AND LOKENATH KUNDU

ABSTRACT. In this note, we initiate the concept of Dehn functions for a family of finite groups. We investigate the Dehn function for some specific families of finite polycyclic groups. We also consider related notions of spherical Dehn function and mean Dehn function for family of finite simple cyclic groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Dehn functions estimate complexity of the word problem in finitely presented groups. It was originally proposed by computer scientists Madlener and Otto to delineate the complexity of the word problem for groups, cf. [6]. It was simultaneously introduced by Gromov as a geometric invariant for finitely presented groups [7]. An intriguing outcome of this study is the assertion that a group is hyperbolic if and only if it possesses a linear Dehn function, cf. [7].

Moreover, it is widely acknowledged that a finitely presented group achieves a solvable word problem precisely when its Dehn function is upper-bounded by a recursive function [6]. This link between the Dehn function and the decidability of the word problem emphasizes the significance of this invariant in the study of finitely presented groups.

Another quasi-isometry invariant property of any finitely generated group, akin to the Dehn function, is the growth of a group. The asymptotic behavior of the growth function and the Dehn function remains independent of the generating set for any group. In [2], Black introduced the concept of the growth function for a family of finite groups, which is strictly dependent on the generating sets. Analogous to the notion of ‘the growth of a family of finite groups’, we define the Dehn function for a family of finite groups as follows.

Recall that a family of finite groups $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) = (G_i, S_i)$, where each $G_i = \langle S_i \mid R_i \rangle$, is called a K -bounded family if the cardinality of S_i is less than or equal to K for all i . Specifically, this implies that $|S_i| \leq K$ holds for every index i in the family. A word w is considered null-homotopic in the K -bounded family of finite groups $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) = (G_i, S_i)$ if it represents identity in a group G_i for some index i .

Consider a K -bounded family of finite groups $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) = (G_i, S_i)$ characterized by the minimal relation set and the minimal length relators under the usual word metric. The

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F65; Secondary 05E16, 20E07.

Key words and phrases. Dehn function, family of finite groups, Dihedral group, polycyclic groups .

minimal length of the relators refers to the length of the word that is minimal and null-homotopic. The minimal relation set is defined such that no relator word can be derived from another through reduction or induction to the empty word, and no relator word is cyclically conjugated to another relator word.

Definition 1.1. *The Dehn function for a family of finite groups $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) = (G_i, S_i)$ is defined as:*

$$(1.1) \quad \delta_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) = \sup_{(G_i, S_i)} \delta_{(G_i, S_i)}(n),$$

where $\delta_{(G, S)}(n)$ is the Dehn function for the group G .

The Dehn function of a family of finite groups is also contingent on generating sets, mirroring the growth function of a family of finite groups. We demonstrate this by a concrete example, see Example 2.3.

We now describe the specific family of polycyclic groups whose Dehn function will be investigated.

G1. Family of finite cyclic groups of prime orders including all potential generating sets.

G2. A family of finite polycyclic groups consisting of the following polycyclic groups:

$$\{x_1^i, x_2^i, \dots, x_n^i \mid R\}$$

where the R consists of the following relations.

- (1) $(x_l^i)^{r_l} = 1; \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n$
- (2) $(x_l^i)^{s_l} = (x_{l+1}^i)^{a_{l,l+1}} \dots (x_n^i)^{a_{l,n}}; \quad 1 \leq l \leq n, \quad 0 \leq s_l \leq \infty$
- (3) $(x_j^i)^{-1} x_l^i x_j^i = (x_{j+1}^i)^{b_{l,j,j+1}} \dots (x_n^i)^{b_{l,j,n}}; \quad 1 \leq j < l \leq n$
- (4) $x_j^i x_l^i (x_j^i)^{-1} = x_l^i; \quad 1 \leq j < l \leq n.$

G3. A family of finite polycyclic groups whose members are

$$(H_p, X_p) = (\langle a, b \mid bab^{-1} = a^{p+1}, a^{p^2}, b^p \rangle, \{a, b\}).$$

In this context, we have the following theorems:

Theorem 1.2. *The following holds.*

- 1. *The family G1 exhibits a linear Dehn function.*
- 2. *The family G2 exhibits a quadratic Dehn function.*
- 3. *The family G3 exhibits an exponential Dehn function.*

Note that the Dihedral group D_{2n} , or simply D_n , are polycyclic for $n = 2^k$. However, this family is not included in the above theorem. We investigate the Dehn function for the family of Dihedral groups D_{2n} and prove the following. This theorem is independent of the generating sets.

Theorem 1.3. *The Dehn function for the family of finite Dihedral groups exhibits linear growth.*

Moreover, we introduce the concepts of the mean Dehn function and the spherical mean Dehn function for a family of finite groups, see Section 4. Such concepts are investigated for a group at several places in the literature, e.g. [5]. We have observed the following for the family of cyclic groups.

Theorem 1.4. *Both the mean and the spherical Dehn functions for the family of finite simple cyclic groups exhibit linear growth.*

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 revisits essential concepts and introduces key preliminary objects. Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 are established in Sections 3. In Section 4, Theorem 1.4 has been proven.

1.1. Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge support from the SERB core research grant CRG/2022/003680 during the course of this work.

Kundu is thankful to Dr. Manish Kumar Pandey, Dr. Anirban Bose, and Mr. Isif Iqbal for their support and encouragement.

1.2. Competing Interest. We declare that there are no competing interests associated with this manuscript.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we establish certain notations and revisit essential background information that will be employed consistently in the subsequent discussions within this document.

2.1. Dehn function of a group. Consider a finitely generated group G with the presentation $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$, implying that G is isomorphic to the quotient group $F(S)/N$, where $F(S)$ represents the free group generated by the set S , and N is a normal subgroup of the free group $F(S)$. The elements in R consist of cyclically reduced words, and every generator in N is a conjugate of an element in R . If a reduced word w in the free group $F(S)$ signifies the identity in G , we declare that w is null-homotopic, denoted as $w \equiv 1$ in G . Furthermore, this implies that w belongs to $N = \langle\langle R \rangle\rangle$. The area of any null-homotopic word is defined in the following way:

Definition 2.1. (Area of null-homotopic words) *If w is equivalent to 1 in the group G , then w can be expressed as the product $\prod_{i=1}^d g_i r_i^{\pm 1} g_i^{-1}$, where each r_i belongs to the set R . The area of w is defined by the expression:*

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Area}(w) = \min\{d \mid w = \prod_{i=1}^d g_i r_i^{\pm 1} g_i^{-1}\}.$$

The Dehn function quantifies the complexity of the word problem for any given group, and its definition is as follows:

Definition 2.2. *The Dehn function for a group G , denoted as $\delta_{(G,S)} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, is defined as:*

$$(2.2) \quad \delta_{(G,S)}(n) = \sup\{\text{Area}(w) \mid |w| \leq n, w \equiv 1\}.$$

Here, S is any generating set for G , and $|w|$ represents the word length of an element $w \in F(S)$.

The Dehn function for any group has been computed up to a certain equivalence relation, and this equivalence relation is defined as follows:

Two functions, f and g , defined on the interval $[0, \infty)$, are considered equivalent if $f \preceq g$ and $g \preceq f$. Here, $f \preceq g$ holds if and only if there exist positive constants a, b, c, d, e such that $f(x) \leq a \cdot g(bx) + cx + d$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$. If f and g are two such equivalent functions, we denote this relationship as $f \sim g$. The Dehn function $\delta_{(G,S)}(n)$ is independent of generating sets up to the above equivalence relation for any group $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$.

2.2. Dehn function for a family. In this section, we give an example to illustrate that the Dehn function for a family of finite groups depends on the choice of a generating set.

Example 2.3. Consider a family of finite groups $\{(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q)\}$ where p and q are two distinct primes. We examine the Dehn function of the family $\{(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q)\}$ for two different generating sets of $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$. First, we consider $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) = (\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q, \mathcal{S})$. Here, $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q = \langle S_{pq} \mid R_{pq} \rangle$ where $S_{pq} = \{a_{pq}\}$ and $R_{pq} = \{a_{pq}^{pq}\}$. Next, we consider $(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\mathcal{S}}) = (\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q, \bar{\mathcal{S}})$. Here, $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q = \langle \bar{S}_{pq} \mid \bar{R}_{pq} \rangle$ where $\bar{S}_{pq} = \{x, y\}$ and $\bar{R}_{pq} = \{x^p, y^q, xyx^{-1}y^{-1}\}$.

Now, concerning the generating set S_{pq} , we find that

$$(2.3) \quad \delta_{(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q, S_{pq})}(n) \sim n.$$

Thus,

$$(2.4) \quad \delta_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) = \sup_{(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q, S_{pq})} \delta_{(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q, S_{pq})}(n) \sim n.$$

Therefore, it is at most linear.

Now, we compute the Dehn function for the family $\{(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q)\}$ with respect to the second generating set \bar{S}_{pq} . Specifically, the Dehn function $\delta_{(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q, \bar{S}_{pq})}$ for a word like $x^n y^n x^{-n} y^{-n}$ is equivalent to n^2 . For any fixed n , we can always find a prime number p such that $4n < p < q$. Hence, the Dehn function is

$$(2.5) \quad \delta_{(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q, \bar{S}_{pq})}(n) \sim n^2, \forall n.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.6) \quad \delta_{(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\mathcal{S}})}(n) \sim n^2.$$

Consequently, the Dehn function of an infinite family of finite groups is dependent on generating sets.

Interestingly, the inclusion of null-homotopy words in the generating sets makes the Dehn function as large as possible. The following example illustrates this.

Example 2.4. Let us consider the family of finite simple cyclic groups $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})$ with 2-bounded generating set consisting the following groups

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \langle X_p \mid R_p \rangle.$$

Where $X_p = \{a, s\}$, and $R_p = \{s^p, a^k, s^{-1}asa^{-2}\}$, $5 \leq p < k$, k is a prime, and $k \nmid 2^p - 1$. A straight forward computation shows that $a \equiv_{\mathbb{Z}_p} 1$. Consider the null-homotopic word $w = as^{-n}as^na^{-1}s^{-n}a^{-1}s^n \in R_p \forall p \geq 5$. The area of the word w is equivalent to 2^n whenever $2n \ll p$. Hence

$$(2.7) \quad \delta_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) = \sup_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, X_p)} \delta_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, X_p)}(n) \sim 2^n.$$

Hence the Dehn function of the family $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})$ is at least exponential as null-homotopy words exist in the generating set. Due to this, we shall exclude null-homotopic words in the generating sets.

2.3. Polycyclic groups.

Definition 2.5. A group G is said to be a polycyclic group if there exists a descending chain of subgroups $G = G_1 \geq G_2 \geq \dots \geq G_{n+1} = \{1\}$ such that G_{i+1} is normal in G_i and G_i/G_{i+1} is cyclic. Such a chain of subgroups is known as a polycyclic series, and the integer n is attributed as the polycyclic length of the group G .

Given that the quotient group G_i/G_{i+1} is cyclic, it follows that there exists an element x_i in the group G such that the coset x_iG_{i+1} generates the entire quotient group G_i/G_{i+1} . Let the order of the coset x_iG_{i+1} be denoted as r_i . The resulting sequence (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) is commonly referred to as the sequence of relative orders. Additionally, the sequence of elements (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) is recognized as a polycyclic sequence.

Definition 2.6. A presentation $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid R \rangle$ of a group G is said to be a polycyclic presentation if there exists a sequence (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) with $s_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and integers $a_{i,k}, b_{i,j,k}, c_{i,j,k}$ such that R consists of the following relations:

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} x_i^{s_i} &= x_{i+1}^{a_{i,i+1}} \dots x_n^{a_{i,n}}; \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 0 \leq s_i \leq \infty \\ x_j^{-1}x_ix_j &= x_{j+1}^{b_{i,j,j+1}} \dots x_n^{b_{i,j,n}}, \quad 1 \leq j < i \leq n \\ x_jx_ix_j^{-1} &= x_{j+1}^{c_{i,j,j+1}} \dots x_n^{c_{i,j,n}}, \quad 1 \leq j < i \leq n \end{aligned}$$

If G is defined by such a polycyclic presentation then G is a PC-group.

For further elucidation on polycyclic groups, please consult the reference [1].

3. DEHN FUNCTIONS FOR POLYCYCLIC AND DIHEDRAL FAMILIES

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

- **G1 exhibits a linear Dehn Function.**

We will examine the following two scenarios:

Case 1. Now, let's examine the family of finite cyclic groups $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})$ consisting of the following 1-bounded generated groups $(\mathbb{Z}_p, X_p) = (\langle a_p \mid a_p^p \rangle, \{a_p\})$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}_p = \langle X_p \mid R_p \rangle$ where $X_p = \{a_p\}$ and $R_p = \{a_p^p\}$. Hence

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Area}(a_1^n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n < p \\ k & \text{if } n = k.p, \ k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

So, $\delta_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, X_p)}(n) \sim n$. Hence

$$(3.2) \quad \delta_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) = \sup_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, X_p)} \delta_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, X_p)}(n) \Rightarrow \delta_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) \sim n.$$

Case 2. Now we consider any K -bounded family of finite cyclic groups $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) = (\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p)$ where $\mathbb{Z}_p = \langle S_p \mid R_p \rangle$, and $|S_p| \leq K$. Let $S_p = \{a_1, a_1^{m_1}, \dots, a_1^{m_{l-1}} \mid l \leq K\}$ and $R_p = \{a_1^p, (a_1^{m_1})^p, \dots, (a_1^{m_{l-1}})^p\}$. So,

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Area}((a_1^{m_i})^n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n < p \\ k & \text{if } n = k.p, \ 1 \leq i \leq l-1, \ k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Also,

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Area}((a_1)^n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n < p \\ k & \text{if } n = k.p, \ 1 \leq i \leq l-1, \ k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Hence the Dehn function of the family of finite simple cyclic groups

$$(3.5) \quad \delta_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p)}(n) = \sup_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p)} \delta_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p)}(n) \sim n.$$

This demonstrates that the Dehn function of the family of finite groups is $\delta_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p)}(n) \sim n$.

- **G2 exhibits a quadratic Dehn Function.**

Let us consider an n -generated family of finite polycyclic groups of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) = (G_i, S_i) \ \forall i \in I$, consisting of the groups $G_i = \langle S_i \mid R_i \rangle$, where $S_i = \{x_1^i, x_2^i, \dots, x_n^i\}$, and R_i consists of the following words:

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (x_l^i)^{r_l^i} &= 1; \ l = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ (x_l^i)^{s_i} &= (x_{l+1}^i)^{a_{l,l+1}} \dots (x_n^i)^{a_{l,n}}, \ 1 \leq l \leq n, \ 0 \leq s_i \leq \infty \\ (x_j^i)^{-1} x_l^i x_j^i &= (x_{j+1}^i)^{b_{l,j,j+1}} \dots (x_n^i)^{b_{l,j,n}}; \ 1 \leq j < l \leq n \\ x_j^i x_l^i (x_j^i)^{-1} &= x_l^i; \ 1 \leq j < l \leq n \end{aligned}$$

Here I is an indexing set. Now $a_{l,l+1}, b_{l,j,j+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \forall l = 1, 2, \dots, n, 1 \leq j < l \leq n$. So, the normal closure $\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle$ of R contains words of the form $x_j^i x_l^i (x_j^i)^{-1} (x_l^i)^{-1}$. Hence $(x_j^i)^n (x_l^i)^n (x_j^i)^{-n} (x_l^i)^{-n} \in \langle\langle R \rangle\rangle$ whenever $n < \min\{r_l^i \mid l = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The expression

$$\text{Area}((x_j^i)^n (x_l^i)^n (x_j^i)^{-n} (x_l^i)^{-n}) = n^2,$$

hence the Dehn function $\delta_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) \geq n^2$. Therefore, this group will possess a Dehn function of at least quadratic complexity.

• **G3 exhibits an exponential Dehn Function.**

For this part of the theorem, we will examine the following group family $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ consisting of the following groups:

$$(H_p, X_p) = (\langle a, b \mid bab^{-1} = a^{p+1}, a^{p^2}, b^p \rangle, \{a, b\}).$$

each of order p^3 for a prime p . Now we consider the word $W := ab^n ab^{-n} a^{-1} b^n a^{-1} b^{-n}$ in $H_p \forall p, n < p$. The area of the word $\text{Area}(W) = (p+1)^n$. So, the Dehn function

$$\delta_{(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})}(n) = \sup_{(H_p, X_p)} \delta_{(H_p, X_p)} \geq 2^n.$$

So the Dehn function of the family of polycyclic groups could be greater than the exponential function. It can be seen from the following argument that the above family exhibits an exponential Dehn function.

Let W be a null-homotopic word in $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = (\langle a, b \mid bab^{-1} = a^{p+1}, a^{p^2}, b^p \rangle, \{a, b\})$. If W contains any letter $b^{\pm 1}$, so there must be a subword $b^{\pm 1} u b^{\mp 1}$, $u \in \{a, a^{-1}\}$ or $b^{\mp p-1}$. We only focus when the first case happens. It follows that there exists a null sequence for W which begins by replacing $b^{\pm 1} u b^{\mp 1}$ with a suitable power of a . We'll keep doing this until all pairs of $b^{\pm 1}$ are matched and eliminated. Then, we simplify the remaining word using only a and a^{-1} until it becomes empty. Summing the applications of a relator moves we use along the way gives our exponential upper bound. So $\delta_{(H_p, X_p)}(n) = 2^n$.

This completes the proof. □

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3.

We will examine the following scenarios:

Case 1. Consider the family $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})$ of dihedral groups with a 2-bounded generating set. This family consists of groups

$$(3.7) \quad (D_{2(n+1)}, S_{n+1}) = (\langle s, r \mid s^2, r^{n+1}, sr sr^{-n} \rangle, \{s, r\}) \forall n \geq 2$$

So $D_{2(n+1)} = \langle S_{n+1} \mid R_{n+1} \rangle$, then $S_{n+1} = \{s, r\}$ and $R_{n+1} = \{s^2, r^{n+1}, sr sr^{-n}\}$. Consider the elements $r^{k(n+1)}, s^{2k}, sr^{k(n+1)}s, rs^{2k}r, sr^k sr^{-nk}, sr^k sr^k sr^{-nk} sr^{-nk}$ which belong to $\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle$, where $\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle$ denotes the normal closure of R .

The area for the expressions $r^{k(n+1)}, s^{2k}, sr^{k(n+1)}s, rs^{2k}r^n$ can be easily achievable through straightforward mathematical calculations. Furthermore, the $\text{Area}(sr^k sr^{-nk}) \leq$

This suggests that the function $\delta_{D_{2(n+1)}}(k)$ asymptotically behaves as k *i.e.* $\delta_{D_{2(n+1)}}(k) \sim k$. Hence

Case 2. Consider the family of dihedral groups:

$$(3.9) \quad (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) = (D_{2(n+1)}, S_{n+1}) \text{ where} \\ (D_{2(n+1)}, S_{n+1}) = (\langle s, sr \mid s^2, (sr)^2, (ssr)^{n+1} \rangle, \{s, sr\}) \quad \forall n \geq 2$$

So, if $D_{2(n+1)} = \langle S_{n+1} | R_{n+1} \rangle$, then $S_{n+1} = \{s, sr\}$ and $R_{n+1} = \{s^2, (sr)^2, (ssr)^{n+1}\}$. Examine the elements $r^{k(n+1)}$, s^{2k} , $sr^{k(n+1)}s$, $rs^{2k}r$, $sr^k sr^{-nk}$, $sr^k sr^k sr^{-nk} sr^{-nk}$, all of which pertain to the group $\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle$, wherein $\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle$ signifies the normal closure of the set R . Using a similar line of reasoning as in Case 1, we will obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta_{(D_{2(n+1)}, S_{n+1})}(k) \sim k \\
 (3.10) \quad & \delta_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(k) = \sup_{(D_{2(n+1)}, S_{n+1})} \delta_{(D_{2(n+1)}, S_{n+1})}(k) \\
 & \Rightarrow \delta_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(k) \sim k \quad \forall n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider any K -generated family of dihedral groups

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad D_{2(n+1)} &= \langle S_{n+1} \mid R_{n+1} \rangle \\
 &= \langle s^k, sr^i, r^j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n+1, k \in \{0, 1\}, s^2 = 1, (sr^i)^2 = 1, \\
 &\quad (r^j)^{\frac{n+1}{\gcd(j, n+1)}} = 1, sr^i s = r^{-i} \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, $S_{n+1} = \{s^k, sr^i, r^j\}$, and $|\{s^k, sr^i, r^j\}| \leq K$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$, $k \in \{0, 1\}$, and $R_{n+1} = \{s^2, (sr^i)^2, (r^j)^{\frac{n+1}{\gcd(j, n+1)}}, sr^i s = r^{-i}\}$. Since the set S_{n+1} generates $D_{2(n+1)}$, so one of the following will certainly occur:

Case 1. Let $s \in S_{n+1}$. Then atleast $sr^l \in S_{n+1}$ or $r^l \in S_{n+1}$ where $\gcd(l, n+1) = 1$.

Case 2. Let $s \notin S_{n+1}$. Then there should be $sr^i, r^j \in S$ such that $i+j \equiv 0 \pmod{(n+1)}$, and $r^l \in S_{n+1}$ where $\gcd(l, n+1) = 1$.

In either case $sr^k sr^{-nk}$, $sr^k sr^k sr^{-nk} sr^{-nk} \in \langle\langle R_{n+1} \rangle\rangle$. According to our earlier arguments, the Dehn function $\delta_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(k) \sim k$.

Nest we examine a special family of dihedral groups

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) &= (D_{4n}, S_{4n}) \text{ consisting of} \\
 (D_{4n}, S_{4n}) &= (\langle s, r, t \mid s^2, r^n, t^2, (sr)^2, [s, t], [r, t] \rangle, \{s, r, t\}) \text{ where } n \text{ is an odd number.}
 \end{aligned}$$

The established result is that $D_{4n} \cong D_{2n} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ for any odd number n . So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad D_{4n} &= \langle S \mid R \rangle \\
 &\Rightarrow S = \{s, r, t\}, R = \{s^2, r^n, t^2, (sr)^2, [s, t], [r, t]\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is our only task now to examine the area of the words of the form $w = r^k t r^{-k} t^{-1} \in R$. The area of such a word is k . Therefore, $\delta_{(D_{4n}, S_{4n})}(n) \sim n$. Hence $\delta_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(k) \sim k$.

This shows that the Dehn function for the family of dihedral groups consistently demonstrates linear growth. \square

4. MEAN DEHN FUNCTION AND SPHERICAL MEAN DEHN FUNCTIONS OF A FAMILY

4.1. Mean Dehn function and spherical Mean Dehn function of a family of finite groups. Consider a group G given by $\langle X \mid R \rangle$. For any positive integer n , we define the sets as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad B_G(n) = \{w \mid |w| \leq n, w \equiv_G 1\}, \quad S_G(n) = \{w \mid |w| = n, w \equiv_G 1\}.$$

Definition 4.1. (*Mean Dehn function*) The mean Dehn function of G with respect to the presentation $G = \langle X \mid R \rangle$ is expressed as:

$$(4.2) \quad \delta_{mean}(n) = \frac{\sum_{w \in B_G(n)} \text{Area}(w)}{|B_G(n)|}$$

Definition 4.2. (*Spherical Mean Dehn function*) The spherical mean Dehn function of G with respect to the presentation $G = \langle X \mid R \rangle$ is defined as

$$(4.3) \quad \delta_{smean}(n) = \frac{\sum_{w \in S_G(n)} \text{Area}(w)}{|S_G(n)|}.$$

If $|S_G(n)| = 0$ then we understand $\delta_{smean}(n) = 0$.

The mean Dehn function and spherical mean Dehn function are contingent on the choice of generating sets. For further information, refer to [5].

The concept of mean Dehn function and spherical mean Dehn function can be extended to a family of finite groups $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) = (G_i, S_i)$ as follows:

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) &:= \cup_{G_i} B_{G_i}(n), \quad |B_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n)| = \sum_{G_i} |B_{G_i}(n)|. \\ S_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) &:= \cup_{G_i} S_{G_i}(n), \quad |S_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n)| = \sum_{G_i} |S_{G_i}(n)|. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.3. (*Mean Dehn function of a family of finite groups*) If the $|B_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n)| < \infty$, then the mean Dehn function of a family of finite $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})$ groups is defined as

$$(4.5) \quad \delta_{mean}^{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) = \frac{\sum_{w \in B_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n)} \text{Area}(w)}{|B_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n)|}$$

Definition 4.4. If the $|S_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n)| < \infty$, then the spherical mean Dehn function of a family of finite groups $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})$ is defined as

$$(4.6) \quad \delta_{smean}^{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) = \frac{\sum_{w \in S_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n)} \text{Area}(w)}{|S_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n)|}$$

If $|S_{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n)| = 0$ then $\delta_{smean}^{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) = 0$.

Remark 4.5. It is evident from the definition that the mean Dehn function and spherical mean Dehn function are specifically defined in cases where only finitely many occurrences of $|B_{G_i}(n)|$ and $|S_{G_i}(n)|$ exhibit non-zero values within the sets $|B_{(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{S})}(n)|$ and $|S_{(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{S})}(n)|$, respectively.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4.

- **The mean Dehn function of the family of a finite simple cyclic group is linear.** Let n be a positive integer and $\phi(n) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l\}$ be the collection of all primes that less than or equal to n . Consider the family of finite simple cyclic groups $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) = (\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p)$ where $S_p = \{a_p\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p = \langle a_p | a_p^p \rangle$. Now $p_1 \in \phi(n)$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1} = \langle a_{p_1} | a_{p_1}^{p_1} \rangle$. So $B_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}}(n) = \{ a^{p_1}, a^{2 \cdot p_1}, \dots, a^{\lfloor \frac{n}{p_1} \rfloor \cdot p_1} \}$. Hence $|B_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}}(n)| = \lfloor \frac{n}{p_1} \rfloor$. Similarly $|B_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_j}}(n)| = \lfloor \frac{n}{p_j} \rfloor$, $2 \leq j \leq l$. Now the area

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in B_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}}(n)} \text{Area}(w) &= \text{Area}(a_{p_1}^{p_1}) + \text{Area}(a_{p_1}^{2 \cdot p_1}) + \dots + \text{Area}(a_{p_1}^{p_1 \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{p_1} \rfloor}) \\ &= 1 + 2 + \dots + \lfloor \frac{n}{p_1} \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\sum_{w \in B_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p)}(n)} \text{Area}(w) = (1 + 2 + \dots + \lfloor \frac{n}{p_1} \rfloor) + (1 + 2 + \dots + \lfloor \frac{n}{p_2} \rfloor) + \dots + (1 + 2 + \dots + \lfloor \frac{n}{p_l} \rfloor)$. Now the mean Dehn function of the family of finite simple cyclic group is

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_{\text{mean}}^{(\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p)}(n) &= \frac{\sum_{w \in B_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p)}(n)} \text{Area}(w)}{|B_{(\mathbb{Z}_p, S_p)}(n)|} \\ &= \frac{(1 + 2 + \dots + \lfloor \frac{n}{p_1} \rfloor) + (1 + 2 + \dots + \lfloor \frac{n}{p_2} \rfloor) + \dots + (1 + 2 + \dots + \lfloor \frac{n}{p_l} \rfloor)}{\lfloor \frac{n}{p_1} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n}{p_2} \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor \frac{n}{p_l} \rfloor} \\ &\sim n. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the mean Dehn function for any K -bounded family of finite simple cyclic groups also demonstrates linear growth.

- **The spherical mean Dehn function for a family of finite simple cyclic groups is linear.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $D(n) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l\}$ be set of all prime divisors of n . So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}}(n) &= \{w \mid |w| = n, w \equiv_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}} 1\} \\
 &= \{a^{p_1 \cdot \frac{n}{p_1}}\} \\
 \text{So, } |S_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}}(n)| &= 1 \\
 \text{and, } Area_{w \in S_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}}(n)}(w) &= \frac{n}{p_1}. \\
 (4.9) \quad \text{So, } \delta_{smean}^{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) &= \frac{Area_{w \in S_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}}(n)}(w) + Area_{w \in S_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_2}}(n)}(w) + \dots + Area_{w \in S_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_l}}(n)}(w)}{l} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{n}{p_1} + \frac{n}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{n}{p_l}}{l} \\
 &\sim n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if we examine any K -bounded family of finite simple cyclic groups, then

$$\delta_{smean}^{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})}(n) \sim n.$$

This completes the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Eamonn O'Brien: Polycyclic groups, <https://www.icts.res.in/sites/default/files/1284624569notes.pdf> (2010).
- [2] Sarah Black: Asymptotic growth of finite groups J. Algebra. 209, 402–428 (1998).
- [3] T Riley: Dehn functions. in: Office hours with a geometric group theorist, 146–175. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2017
- [4] T Riley: What is Dehn function? https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~riley/papers/What_is_a_Deihn_function/What_is_a_Deihn_function.pdf
- [5] O. Bogopolski, E. Ventura: The mean Dehn functions of abelian groups representations. J. Group Theory 11 (2008), no.4, 569–586.
- [6] K. Madlener and F. Otto, Pseudonatural algorithms for the word problem for finitely presented monoids and groups, J. Symbolic Comput. 1, no. 4, 383–418, 1985.
- [7] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups, in: Geometric Group Theory. Vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 182, Cambridge University, Cambridge (1993), 1–295, (Sussex) 1991.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY,
SECTOR 81, SAS NAGAR, PUNJAB 140306, INDIA

Email address: krishnendu@iisermohali.ac.in

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY,
SECTOR 81, SAS NAGAR, PUNJAB 140306, INDIA.

Email address: lokenath@iisermohali.ac.in