

SPACES OF MULTISCALED LINES WITH COLLISION

ANTONIOS-ALEXANDROS ROBOTIS

for my mother

ABSTRACT. We study varieties \mathcal{A}_n arising as equivariant compactifications of the space of n points in \mathbb{C} up to overall translation. We define \mathcal{A}_n and examine its basic geometric properties before constructing an isomorphism to an augmented wonderful variety as studied in [BHM⁺]. We show that \mathcal{A}_n is in a canonical way a resolution of the space of scaled curves considered in [Z1], proving along the way that the resolution constructed in [Z2] is equivalent to ours.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Connections to Bridgeland stability	4
Acknowledgements and author's note	5
Notation	5
2. Preliminaries on discrete structures	6
2.1. Level trees	6
2.2. Partitions	6
2.3. Matroids	7
2.4. Subspace arrangements from partitions	8
3. Multiscaled lines with collision	9
3.1. Multiscaled lines	10
3.2. Constructing the space	11
3.3. Level tree stratification	17
3.4. Symmetric group action and collision stratification	18
3.5. Multiscaled lines as an equivariant compactification	19
4. Comparison with the augmented wonderful variety	19
4.1. Iterated blowup constructions	19
4.2. The isomorphism	20
4.3. Consequences of the isomorphism	28
5. Multiscaled lines as a resolution of singularities	28
5.1. Comparison of moduli problems	28
5.2. Proof of Theorem 5.11	32
Appendix A. Blowup lemmas	36
Notes	38

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1928930 and by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation under grant G-2021-16778, while the author was in residence at the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute (formerly MSRI) in Berkeley, California, during the Spring 2024 semester.

1. INTRODUCTION

Configuration spaces are classical objects of study in mathematics and physics which often carry rich and intricate geometric structure. Roughly speaking, a configuration space of n points in a space X is a subset of X^n or a related space. For instance, one often considers $\text{Conf}_n(X) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n : x_i \neq x_j \text{ for all } i \neq j\}$ or its quotient space $\text{Conf}_n(X)/\mathfrak{S}_n$. However, spaces such as $\text{Conf}_n(X)$ have the defect that they are not compact; indeed, limit points are missing corresponding to paths in $\text{Conf}_n(X)$ in which some x_i and x_j become arbitrarily close. As such, a compactification of $\text{Conf}_n(X)$ consists, at least, of the information of what happens when a pair of points collide.

One potential strategy is to simply allow points to collide, and one has X^n as a compactification of $\text{Conf}_n(X)$ when X is compact. Sometimes, however, this is insufficient as one would like to remember more information about colliding points, such as their relative trajectories. A possible solution in algebraic geometry is to consider the Hilbert scheme of n points on X , $\text{Hilb}^n(X)$, which remembers information such as tangent directions of colliding points. For a smooth surface X , $\text{Hilb}^n(X)$ is smooth and quite well-behaved [F2, Thm. 2.4]. However, when $\dim X \geq 3$ these spaces are often rather singular; for instance, $\text{Hilb}^4(\mathbb{P}^3)$ is singular [F2, p.11].¹ An alternative compactification $X[n]$ is defined in [FM] with the benefit that it is nonsingular when X is.

As a final example, consider the space $\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{A}^1)/\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1)$, where $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ is the 2-dimensional group of affine transformations of \mathbb{A}^1 and $n \geq 3$. We can consider $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ as the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of automorphisms sending the point at infinity to itself. Since the action of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ on \mathbb{A}^1 is 2-transitive, we may identify $\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{A}^1)/\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ with an open subset of \mathbb{A}^{n-2} , given by the complement of the hyperplane arrangement: $\mathcal{G} = \{z_i = z_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n-2\} \cup \{z_i = 0, 1\}_{i=1}^{n-2}$. On the other hand, one can regard a point of $\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{A}^1)/\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ as an $(n+1)$ -marked genus 0 curve, $(\mathbb{P}^1, p_\infty, p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where we set $p_\infty = \infty, p_1 = 0$, and $p_2 = 1$. $\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{A}^1)/\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ thus admits a smooth compactification given by the Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space of genus 0 curves, $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}$ [K3]. Here, “bubbling” occurs when marked points collide, expressing limits of paths in $\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{A}^1)$ as reducible $(n+1)$ -marked nodal genus 0 curves. Moreover, for all $n \geq 2$ a result of Kapranov expresses $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}$ as an explicit blowup of a hyperplane arrangement in \mathbb{P}^{n-2} [K1, Thm. 4.3.3].

In the present work, we consider the space of configurations of n points in \mathbb{A}^1 up to translation, $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$, where \mathbb{G}_a acts diagonally. We construct a complex variety \mathcal{A}_n which is a smooth compactification of $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$. Our original motivation for constructing \mathcal{A}_n comes from Bridgeland stability [B3]: \mathcal{A}_n is used in forthcoming work joint work with Daniel Halpern-Leistner [HLR] to model degeneration of stability conditions converging in a partial compactification of $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})/\mathbb{G}_a$. We elaborate on this later in the introduction, focusing on the construction of \mathcal{A}_n for now.

We regard $p \in \mathbb{A}^n$ as a configuration of $n+1$ points in \mathbb{P}^1 , $(\infty, p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where the p_i can collide with each other, but not with ∞ . If z is a coordinate on $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$, then dz defines

¹Thanks to Ritvik Ramkumar for pointing out this example.

a meromorphic differential on \mathbb{P}^1 with a unique pole of order 2 at ∞ . $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ satisfies $\alpha^*(dz) = dz$ if and only if it restricts to a translation $p \mapsto p + a$ on \mathbb{A}^1 . As a consequence, an element of $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ is equivalent to an isomorphism class of the data $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \omega, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ where

- (1) Σ is compact Riemann surface of genus 0;
- (2) ω is a meromorphic differential on Σ with a unique pole of order 2 at p_∞ ; and
- (3) the marked points p_1, \dots, p_n may freely collide with each other, but not p_∞ .

Denote the space of such data by \mathcal{A}_n° . To compactify $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$, we thus need to understand what happens in \mathcal{A}_n° when one or more of the p_i tends towards p_∞ . As in the case of $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}$, bubbling occurs and the limiting object is a reducible arithmetic genus 0 curve with $n + 1$ marked points. Furthermore, the condition (3) above holds.

It is subtle matter to determine what structure the reducible genus 0 marked curves $(\Sigma, p_\infty, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ should carry which is a degeneration of the meromorphic differential ω . A natural guess would be to consider a meromorphic section of Ω_Σ with some prescribed zeros and poles, as in the irreducible case. However, the moduli spaces that one obtains in this fashion were already studied by Zahariuc in [Z1, Z2] under a slightly different guise (see §5.1 for the relation) and are mildly singular, rendering them insufficient for our intended applications. The insight for how to proceed came from [BCG⁺]. The input is twofold: (for more precise definitions see §3)

- (a) Augment the combinatorial structure associated to Σ : instead of considering the dual graph $\Gamma(\Sigma) = (V(\Sigma), E(\Sigma))$ as simply a tree, equip it with the structure of a *rooted level tree*.
 - (i) The root structure is a choice of a distinguished root vertex v_0 of $\Gamma(\Sigma)$, which is the one corresponding to the irreducible component containing p_∞ . This introduces a partial order on $V(\Sigma)$ by saying $u \subset v$ if u is closer to v_0 than v . A vertex u is called *terminal* if it is maximal for this partial order.
 - (ii) The level structure \preceq is determined by a function $\lambda : V(\Sigma) \rightarrow [\ell]$ such that $\lambda(v_0) = 0$, if $u \subset v$ then $\ell(u) < \ell(v)$, and λ maps all terminal vertices to ℓ . Two vertices are on the same *level* if their value under λ coincides.
- (b) Equip Σ as above with a meromorphic differential ω_v on each irreducible component Σ_v with a unique pole of order 2 at the node connecting it to the lower level components of the curve, or at p_∞ when $v = v_0$. We consider such collections $\omega_\bullet = \{\omega_v\}_{v \in V(\Sigma)}$ up to an equivalence relation involving rescaling differentials levelwise by \mathbb{C}^* , except for on the terminal level. Notably, the equivalence relation ensures that the terminal components of Σ are equipped with a *bona fide* meromorphic differential.

The space of such data $(\Sigma, \preceq, p_\infty, \omega_\bullet, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ up to isomorphism is called the space of *multiscaled lines with collision* and denoted \mathcal{A}_n . The moniker “with collision” is to distinguish these spaces from the ones considered in [BCG⁺] in which marked points are not allowed to collide. Nevertheless, we will simply say “multiscaled lines” in what follows for brevity. We give \mathcal{A}_n the structure of a complex variety by constructing a system of coordinate charts. With respect to this structure one has:

Theorem (3.16). \mathcal{A}_n is a compact complex algebraic manifold of dimension $n - 1$ such that $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ is an open immersion.

Despite its relatively *ad hoc* construction, \mathcal{A}_n possess some fairly miraculous properties. It is uniformly rational in the sense of [BB] and by Proposition 3.27 carries a natural algebraic action by $G := \mathbb{G}_a^n/\Delta$ which makes it an equivariant compactification of $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \cong \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$ as studied in [HT]. Furthermore, \mathcal{A}_n has a pair of natural stratifications. One of them is indexed by the combinatorial data of dual level trees and is quasi-affine, see Proposition 3.19. The other is by collision of points and reveals some recursive structures on the collection of spaces $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, see Proposition 3.24.

The space \mathcal{A}_n arose fairly early on in the writing of [HLR] and in §3 we give a preliminary version of the construction, based on joint work with Daniel Halpern-Leistner. We initially attempted to compactify $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ by writing down a certain moduli functor, equivalent to the one represented by \overline{P}_n in [Z1]. However, in [Z2] Zahariuc proves that \overline{P}_n has singularities for all $n \geq 4$, rendering \overline{P}_n unsuitable for our purposes. [Z2] gave a possible explanation for the abundance of structure on \mathcal{A}_n and inspired this paper. There, Zahariuc proves that an augmented wonderful variety W_n , as studied in [BHM⁺], provides a resolution of singularities $\gamma : W_n \rightarrow \overline{P}_n$ for all $n \geq 4$. On the other hand, based on the explicit descriptions of \mathcal{A}_n and $\overline{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (regarded as a complex variety) there is a natural set map $\xi : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \overline{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ which forgets the non-terminal differentials and level structure and restricts to the identity on $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ (see §5.1). This led to the following question:

Question 1.1. *Does there exist an isomorphism of algebraic varieties $\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow W_n$ such that the natural map $\xi : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \overline{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the resolution γ of [Z2]?*

The main results of this work answer this question in the affirmative:

Theorem (4.7). There exists an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow W_n$ of complex varieties.

Theorem (5.11). Under the isomorphism $f : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow W_n$, one has $\gamma \circ f = \xi$.

One might consider the compactification of $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ by \mathcal{A}_n analogous to the compactification of $\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{A}^1)/\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ by $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}$ as discussed above. W_n is defined explicitly as an iterated blowup of a subspace arrangement of projective space in the sense of [H2] so that one could also regard Theorem 4.7 as an analogue of the blowup description of $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}$ of Kapranov [K1]. This blowup description implies projectivity of \mathcal{A}_n (Corollary 4.23) and allows for explicit computation (Corollary 4.24) of the ring structure of $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{A}_n)$ by appealing to results of [BHM⁺]. One advantage of considering the resolution of [Z2] from this perspective is that one can see rather explicitly what the resolution morphism does. In particular, ξ is tautologically a G -equivariant resolution of singularities.

Given that they parametrize similar objects, one should expect a close relationship between the space $\mathbb{P}\Xi\overline{M}_{0,n+1}(2, 0, \dots, 0)$ of [BCG⁺] and \mathcal{A}_n . Thus, structures and properties of \mathcal{A}_n may give some insight into the spaces of *loc. cit.* In future work, we will investigate more closely the relationship between \mathcal{A}_n and the spaces of [BCG⁺]

Connections to Bridgeland stability. As mentioned above, our main motivation for considering the problem of compactifying $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ is the work in preparation [HLR], which constructs a modular partial compactification of a quotient of the space of Bridgeland stability conditions $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})$ of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} [B3]. For simplicity, we suppose here that $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ is of finite rank.¹ There is a natural \mathbb{G}_a -action on $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})$ and we pass to $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})/\mathbb{G}_a$ which is more suitable for partial compactification as the quotient operation

removes redundant non-compact directions. Bayer’s refinement [B] of Bridgeland’s deformation theorem implies that given $\sigma \in \text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})$ and a set of objects $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ whose classes generate $K_0(\mathcal{C})$, one obtains a holomorphic chart around σ by $\tau \mapsto (Z_\tau(E_1), \dots, Z_\tau(E_n)) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

When one passes to $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})/\mathbb{G}_a$, the idea needs to be modified since $(Z_\tau(E_1), \dots, Z_\tau(E_n))$ is not \mathbb{G}_a -invariant. However, one can define logarithms $\log Z_\tau(E_i)$ so that $\log Z_\tau(E_i) - \log Z_\tau(E_j)$ is \mathbb{G}_a -invariant for any pair of indices $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ [HLJR]. Consequently, $(\log Z_\tau(E_1), \dots, \log Z_\tau(E_n)) \in \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ is well-defined, and gives local coordinates around $[\sigma] \in \text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})/\mathbb{G}_a$. A key idea of the partial compactification in [HLR] is that by analogy to Bridgeland’s deformation theorem, a degeneration of a path $\sigma(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})/\mathbb{G}_a$ towards the boundary should be modeled by convergence of $(\log Z_{\sigma(t)}(E_1), \dots, \log Z_{\sigma(t)}(E_n))$ in a smooth modular compactification \mathcal{A}_n of $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$.

Smoothness is an essential feature of \mathcal{A}_n . Bridgeland’s deformation theorem says that deformations of a stability condition $\sigma \in \text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})$ are locally controlled by deformations of its central charge $Z \in \text{Hom}(K_0(\mathcal{C}), \mathbb{C})$. By analogy to this, one would like deformations of objects in a partial compactification of $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})/\mathbb{C}$ to be modeled on deformations in a smooth space. The model space used in [HLR] is a certain real oriented blowup of \mathcal{A}_n , which has the structure of a manifold with corners by smoothness of \mathcal{A}_n . Using this, one is able to formulate a proposal for a generalization of the deformation theorem to a more general class of objects.

A final important feature of \mathcal{A}_n is that the period functions $\Pi_{ij} : \mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\Pi_{ij}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_j - z_i$ extend to define morphisms $\Pi_{ij} : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, which on curves Σ for which p_i and p_j lie on the same terminal component Σ_τ , are given by $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) = \int_{p_i}^{p_j} \omega_\tau$; here, the integral means the line integral along any chosen path in the smooth locus of Σ_τ connecting p_i to p_j . The existence of these period functions on the compactification is necessary for the intended applications, where the period functions record differences between log central charges as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This was the main reason for which the related spaces in [BCG⁺] could not be used.

Acknowledgements and author’s note. I thank Benjamin Dozier for crucial input during the construction of \mathcal{A}_n and Samuel Grushevsky for helpful suggestions and patient explanations of parts of [BCG⁺]. It is my great pleasure to thank my advisor Daniel Halpern-Leistner for his support and guidance. I am also grateful to Max Hallgren and Andres Fernandez Herrero for helpful conversations and advice. I would also like to thank the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute for its hospitality while much of this work was prepared. Finally, I thank Maria Teresa for her unwavering love and encouragement, without which this work would not have been possible.

§§3.1-3.2 are a preliminary version of a construction in the forthcoming joint work [HLR] with Daniel Halpern-Leistner. Once the finalized version is available, §3 of the present work will be rewritten with references to results in that paper. As such, the current work should be regarded as a temporary preliminary version.

Notation. By default, we work over \mathbb{C} . However, later on in the paper it is essential to distinguish the field \mathbb{C} from the geometric space \mathbb{A}^1 . As such, we use \mathbb{A}^1 and \mathbb{C} interchangeably to refer to the corresponding geometric spaces with the exception of in §5. \mathbb{G}_a is used for the additive group, typically considered only over \mathbb{C} . When f is a function valued in a

field (rational, regular, or otherwise) on a space X , we write $Z(f)$ for its zero locus and $D(f) = Z(f)^c$.

For $n \geq 0$, we write $[n] = \{0 < \dots < n\}$, which is usually regarded as a totally ordered set. If X is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we sometimes write $\mu(X)$ as a shorthand for $\min X$.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON DISCRETE STRUCTURES

In this section, we recall some combinatorial notions that will be crucial in the sequel. Partitions and trees will be used throughout the paper, while matroids will be used in [Corollary 4.24](#) to give explicit generators and relations for the Chow rings of the spaces \mathcal{A}_n .

2.1. Level trees. Level trees are not a new structure, see for example [\[U, §2\]](#). However, our original motivation to consider these structures came from [\[BCG⁺\]](#). We briefly recall some definitions and constructions involving trees here.

Definition 2.1. A *tree* is an undirected graph (V, E) in which every pair of vertices may be joined by a unique simple path.²

- (1) A *rooted tree* is a tree (V, E, v_0) with a distinguished vertex $v_0 \in V$ called the *root*.
- (2) Let X be a set. An *X -marked rooted tree* (V, E, v_0, h) is a rooted tree (V, E, v_0) equipped with a function $h : X \rightarrow V$. We call (V, E, v_0, h) *n -marked* when $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 2.2. Associated to a rooted tree (V, E, v_0) is a partial order \subseteq on V : we say that $v \subseteq u$ if v lies on the unique simple path connecting u to v_0 . Maximal elements for \subseteq are called *terminal* vertices. Given $u, v \in V$, their *meet* $u \wedge v$ is the maximal vertex with respect to \subseteq where their unique simple paths to v_0 intersect.

Definition 2.3. Given a tree (V, E) , a *level function* is a function $\lambda : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ whose image is $[\ell]$. A tree equipped with a level function is called a *level tree*. We call ℓ as above the *length* of the level tree. When the tree is rooted, we require that $\lambda : (V, \subseteq) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, \leq)$ be a homomorphism³ of posets such that the terminal vertices are all mapped to ℓ and such that $\lambda^{-1}(0) = \{v_0\}$. For any $k \in [\ell]$, $\lambda^{-1}(k)$ is the set of level k vertices.

Definition 2.4. An n -marked rooted level tree $\Gamma = (V, E, v_0, h, \lambda)$ is called a *dual level tree* if

- (1) the image of h is the set of terminal vertices of V ;⁴ and
- (2) for each non-terminal vertex v , there are at least two edges connecting it to higher level vertices.

We denote the set of all such trees by $\Delta(n)$.

In what follows, we will often write Γ to mean a tree with any of the structures defined above, however it should be clear from the context which we are referring to.

2.2. Partitions. Let (X, \leq) denote a partially ordered set. A *chain* in X is a totally ordered subset of X . $\mathfrak{Ch}(X)$ denotes the set of chains in X . A poset (X, \leq) is a *lattice* if any pair of elements $x, y \in X$ has a least upper bound $x \vee y$, called their *join*, and a greatest lower bound $x \wedge y$, called their *meet*.

Definition 2.5. A *partition* of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is a collection of disjoint subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, called *blocks*, whose union is $\{1, \dots, n\}$. L_n denotes the set of such partitions. For $\rho \in L_n$, let $B(\rho)$ denote the set of blocks of ρ .⁵

There is a natural partial order on L_n ; given $\eta, \pi \in L_n$, write $\eta \leq \pi$ if π *refines* η ; i.e. if every block of π is contained in a block of η . In this case, there is an induced surjection $B(\pi) \rightarrow B(\eta)$ mapping each block of π to the block of η containing it. We may also regard $\rho \in L_n$ as an equivalence relation on $\{1, \dots, n\}$: for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we say $i \sim^\rho j$ if i and j are in the same block of ρ . Given $\rho \in L_n$ and $b \in B(\rho)$, we write $\mu(b) = \min(b)$ for brevity.

Lemma 2.6. (L_n, \leq) is a lattice with unique maximal element $\top = 1|2|\dots|n$ and minimal element $\perp = 12\dots n$ (i.e. the trivial partition).

Proof. Left as an exercise (cf. [B2]). □

We will write $L_n^- = L_n \setminus \{\perp\}$.

Lemma 2.7. For any $\eta, \pi \in L_n$,

- (1) $\eta \leq \pi$ is equivalent to the statement $i \sim^\pi j \Rightarrow i \sim^\eta j$; and
- (2) η and π are incomparable if and only if there exist $i, j, k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i \sim^\eta j$ but $i \not\sim^\pi j$ and $k \sim^\pi \ell$ but $k \not\sim^\eta \ell$.

Proof. $\eta \leq \pi$ iff for all $b \in B(\pi)$ there exists $b' \in B(\eta)$ such that $b \subset b'$. This in turn is equivalent to the statement $i \sim^\pi j \Rightarrow i \sim^\eta j$. η and π being incomparable is the negation of both $\eta \leq \pi$ and $\eta \geq \pi$, so (2) follows from (1). □

Construction 2.8. Let $\Delta(n)$ be as in Definition 2.4. We define a function $\Gamma : \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-) \rightarrow \Delta(n)$. Given $\rho_\bullet = \{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell\} \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$ we define $\Gamma(\rho_\bullet)$: on level 0 there is a single vertex; for $1 \leq k \leq \ell$, the level k vertex set is $B(\rho_k)$. $b \in B(\rho_k)$ is connected by an edge to $b' \in B(\rho_{k-1})$ iff $b \subset b'$. For all $1 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$, beginning with $k = \ell - 1$, delete any level k vertex v and all edges attached to it if it is connected to exactly one higher vertex v' and one level $k - 1$ vertex v'' ; add a “long” edge connecting v' and v'' . Finally, define $h : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow B(\rho_\ell)$ by $h(i) = b$ for $b \ni i$.

We define $\mathfrak{c} : \Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$ by assigning to Γ with level function $\lambda : V \rightarrow [\ell]$ the chain $\{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell\} \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$ where $p \sim^{\rho_i} q$ if and only if $\lambda(h(p) \wedge h(q)) \geq i$.⁶

Proposition 2.9. $\Gamma : \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-) \rightarrow \Delta(n)$ and $\mathfrak{c} : \Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$ are mutually inverse bijections.

Proof. We leave this to the reader - see [U, Fig. 7] for a visual explanation. Be warned, however, that our convention for drawing trees is the opposite of that in *loc. cit.* - the roots of our trees are at the bottom of the tree. □

2.3. Matroids.

Definition 2.10. A *matroid* on a finite set E is a collection of subsets \mathcal{I} of E , called the *independent subsets*, such that

- (1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$;
- (2) if $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $I' \subset I$, then $I' \in \mathcal{I}$; and
- (3) if I_1 and I_2 are in \mathcal{I} with $|I_1| < |I_2|$ then there exists $e \in I_2 \setminus I_1$ such that $I_1 \cup \{e\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

There are a number of equivalent ways to specify the data of a matroid on a finite set E ; see [O] for more information.

Example 2.11. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. The *graphic matroid* associated to G , denoted $M(G)$, has as its underlying set E , and as independent sets the forests in G , denoted F .⁷ It is an exercise to verify that $M(G) = (E, F)$ defines a matroid in the sense of Definition 2.10.⁸

Suppose given a graph $G = (V, E)$. For $A \subset E$ let $v(A)$ denote the number of vertices contained in the subgraph of G spanned by A . In the same notation, $\omega(A)$ denotes the number of connected components of the subgraph of G spanned by A . $M(G)$ has a *rank* function $r : 2^E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $r(A) = v(A) - \omega(A)$ and *corank* given by $\text{corank}(A) = v(E) - v(A) + \omega(A) = |V| - 1 - v(A) + \omega(A)$.

Given $A \subset E$, we define its *closure* $\text{cl}(A) = \{x \in E : r(A \cup \{x\}) = r(A)\}$. If $A = \text{cl}(A)$ then it is called a *flat* of the matroid. The collection of flats of a matroid M forms a lattice $L(M)$, partially ordered by inclusion.

Example 2.12. Of particular importance to us is the complete graph on n vertices, K_n . Its vertex set is $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and a unique edge connects any pair of distinct vertices. Let G denote a subgraph of K_n such that $V(G) = V(K_n)$ and G has as connected components complete graphs, i.e. graphs isomorphic to K_r for some $1 \leq r \leq n$. It is an exercise to see that the flats of $M(K_n)$ are exactly of the form $E(G)$ for such G .⁹

If A is a flat of $M_n := M(K_n)$, we define $\rho \in L_n$ given by saying $i \sim^\rho j$ if and only if i and j lie on the same connected component of the subgraph spanned by A . This gives a map $L(M_n) \rightarrow L_n$.

Proposition 2.13. $L(M_n) \rightarrow L_n$ is an anti-isomorphism of lattices such that the rank function on $L(M)$ corresponds to the function $r(\rho) = n - |B(\rho)|$ and $\text{corank}(\rho) = |B(\rho)| - 1$.

Proof. We can identify $\rho \in L_n$ with the equivalence relation that it defines, which can be regarded as a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}^2$. A flat in M_n is a collection of edges in K_n , which is also a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}^2$. The map $L(M_n) \rightarrow L_n$ is just the identification of these two perspectives. $L(M_n)$ is partially ordered by inclusion, but this is easily seen to be the same as the coarsening partial order (i.e. the opposite of refinement as defined above).¹⁰ \square

In spite of this anti-isomorphism, we continue to regard L_n as a poset ordered by refinement. This will be more natural in what follows.

2.4. Subspace arrangements from partitions. $V = \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \oplus \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ has coordinates P_{12}, \dots, P_{1n} , where $P_{ij}(p) = z_j(p) - z_i(p)$ for each i, j , and the copy of \mathbb{C} has coordinate t .

Definition 2.14. For $\rho \in L_n \setminus \{\top, \perp\}$, $H_\rho \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ denotes the linear subspace $Z(\{P_{ij} = 0 : i \sim^\rho j\} \cup \{t\})$. Define H_\top by $Z(t)$ and $H_\perp = \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\rho : \rho \in L_n\}$, regarded as a poset ordered by inclusion.

Definition 2.15. A pair of smooth closed subvarieties U and V of a smooth variety W are said to intersect *cleanly* if

- (1) the scheme theoretic intersection $U \cap V$ is smooth; and
- (2) for each $p \in U \cap V$ one has $T_p(U \cap V) = T_p(U) \cap T_p(V)$.

Lemma 2.16. $L_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ given by $\rho \mapsto H_\rho$ is an isomorphism of posets. Moreover,

- (1) each H_ρ is a smooth subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(V)$;
- (2) for all $\rho, \pi \in L_n$, H_ρ and H_π intersect cleanly;
- (3) $\dim H_\pi = |B(\pi)| - 2$; ⁴¹ and
- (4) $H_\rho \cap H_\pi = H_{\rho \wedge \pi}$.

Proof. $\rho \mapsto H_\rho$ is by construction bijective. If $\rho_1 < \rho_2$, then $i \sim^{\rho_2} j$ implies $i \sim^{\rho_1} j$ by Lemma 2.7 and thus $H_{\rho_1} \subset H_{\rho_2}$. (1) is clear as these are linear subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}(V)$. (2) follows from working locally in affine coordinates. Write $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\} = B(\pi)$; (3) is obtained by computing that there are $\sum_{j=1}^k (|b_j| - 1) + 1$ equations cutting out H_π irredundantly. Therefore, its dimension is $n - 2 + k - \sum_j |b_j| = |B(\pi)| - 2$. To prove (4), note that $H_\rho \cap H_\pi = Z(\{P_{ij} : i \sim^\rho j \text{ and } i \sim^\pi j\} \cup \{t\}) \subset H_{\pi \wedge \rho}$. Then, $\pi \wedge \rho \leq \rho, \pi$ implies $H_{\pi \wedge \rho} \subset H_\rho \cap H_\pi$. \square

Notation 2.17. For $\pi \in L_n$, we write $\dim \pi := \dim H_\pi = |B(\pi)| - 2 = \text{corank}(\rho) - 1$ (by Lemma 2.16) and $c(\pi) = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} H_\pi = n + 1 - |B(\pi)| = r(\pi) + 1$. $\dim \pi$ will be a relevant inductive parameter in §4. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $(L_n)_{\leq k}$ denotes the poset of partitions of dimension $\leq k$.

Definition 2.18. Given $\pi \in L_n$, we define $H_\pi^\circ = H_\pi \setminus \bigcup_{\rho < \pi} H_\rho$

Lemma 2.19. For all $\pi \in L_n$, one has $H_\pi = \bigsqcup_{\rho \leq \pi} H_\rho^\circ$.

Proof. Suppose given $\rho, \eta \leq \pi$ distinct. By Lemma 2.16, $H_\rho \cap H_\eta = H_{\rho \wedge \eta}$, and as $\rho \wedge \eta < \rho$ and η , one sees $H_\rho^\circ \cap H_\eta^\circ = \emptyset$. For $x \in H_\pi$, consider $\Lambda_x = \{\perp < \rho \leq \pi : x \in H_\rho\}$. Λ_x has minimal elements since L_n^- is a finite poset. However, if μ and ν are two such minimal elements, we have $x \in H_\mu \cap H_\nu = H_{\mu \wedge \nu}$, and consequently $\nu = \mu \wedge \nu = \mu$. Therefore, $x \in H_\mu^\circ$ and we have $H_\pi^\circ \subset \bigcup_{\rho \leq \pi} H_\rho^\circ$. The other inclusion is automatic. \square

Definition 2.20. Suppose $\rho < \pi$ are given in L_n . Define

$$N_{\rho|\pi} = \{(\mu(b), \mu(b')) : b \neq b' \in B(\pi), b \cup b' \subset B \in B(\rho), \text{ and } \mu(B) = \mu(b)\}.$$

To clarify, $b \cup b' \subset B \in B(\rho)$ means that there exists a $B \in B(\rho)$ in which both b and b' are contained. By convention, $N_{\rho|\pi} = \emptyset$. If $\rho < \pi < \top$, let $P_{\rho|\pi} = \{P_{ij} : (i, j) \in N_{\rho|\pi}\}$. Let $P_{\rho|\top} = \{P_{ij} : (i, j) \in N_{\rho|\pi}\} \cup \{t\} \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{O}(1))$.

Lemma 2.21. Let $\rho < \pi \in L_n$ be given

- (1) if $\rho \neq \perp$, $N_{\rho|\pi}$ is a minimal set of linear equations defining H_ρ as a subvariety of H_π ; and
- (2) $N_{\perp|\pi}$ restricts to a set of homogeneous coordinates for H_π .

Proof. By Lemma 2.16, $H_\rho \subset H_\pi$ and $\dim H_\pi - \dim H_\rho = |B(\pi)| - |B(\rho)|$. However, one can verify that $|B(\pi)| - |B(\rho)| = |N_{\rho|\pi}|$ and that $N_{\rho|\pi}$ is linearly independent. This proves (1). (2) follows from the following: Given V a vector space with f_1, \dots, f_n a basis of V^* , then f_{c+1}, \dots, f_n restrict to a basis of W^* where $W = Z(f_1, \dots, f_c)$. \square

3. MULTISCALED LINES WITH COLLISION

§§3.1-3.2 are based on part of a joint work with Daniel Halpern-Leistner [HLR].

3.1. Multiscaled lines.

Definition 3.1. A *multiscaled line* is a tuple $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet)$, where

- (1) Σ is a connected nodal complex genus 0 curve¹² with marked smooth point p_∞ . We let $\Gamma(\Sigma) = (V(\Sigma), E(\Sigma))$ denote the dual graph of Σ , and let $v_0 \in V(\Sigma)$ denote the vertex corresponding to the component containing p_∞ . This gives $\Gamma(\Sigma)$ the structure of a rooted tree with partial order \subseteq (see [Definition 2.1](#) and [Definition 2.2](#)).
- (2) \preceq is a total preorder on the set $V(\Sigma)$ of irreducible components of Σ such that:
 - (a) for all $v \in V(\Sigma) \setminus \{v_0\}$, there is a unique $w \in V(\Sigma)$ that is adjacent to v with $w \prec v$. The edge from v to w is called the edge *descending* from v ; and
 - (b) $v \sim w$, meaning $v \preceq w$ and $w \preceq v$, for any two vertices $v, w \in V(\Sigma)$ that are maximal with respect to \subseteq .
- (3) For every $v \in V(\Sigma)$, ω_v is a meromorphic section of Ω_{Σ_v} with a pole of order 2 at the node corresponding to the descending edge from v when $v \neq v_0$, or the marked point p_∞ when $v = v_0$, and no other zeros or poles.

A *complex projective isomorphism* of multiscaled lines is an isomorphism of nodal curves $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ that preserves the respective preorders and marked points such that $f^*(\omega'_v) = c_v \omega_v$ for some constant $c_v \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $c_v = c_w$ whenever $v \sim w$, and $c_v = 1$ if v is maximal.¹³

Condition (2) implies that $u \subseteq v \Rightarrow u \preceq v$, that *every* edge of Γ is the descending edge for one of its vertices, and that v_0 is the unique minimum with respect to the preorder \preceq .¹⁴ Also, terminal vertices ([Definition 2.2](#)) form an equivalence class under \sim .¹⁵

Definition 3.2. A *contraction* of totally preordered rooted trees $f : (\Gamma, \preceq, v_0) \rightarrow (\Gamma', \preceq', v'_0)$ is a surjection $f : V(\Gamma) \rightarrow V(\Gamma')$ such that¹⁶

- (1) $v \preceq w$ implies $f(v) \preceq' f(w)$;
- (2) for adjacent vertices $v, w \in V(\Gamma)$, $f(v)$ and $f(w)$ are either equal or adjacent.
- (3) for any $v \in V(\Gamma')$, $f^{-1}(v)$ spans a connected subgraph.

Condition (1) implies that $f(v_0) = v'_0$.¹⁷

Lemma 3.3. For any totally preordered rooted tree (Γ, \preceq, v_0) and any order preserving surjection of totally ordered sets

$$V(\Gamma)/\sim \rightarrow [n] := \{0 < \dots < n\},$$

there is a unique contraction $f : (\Gamma, \preceq, v_0) \rightarrow (\Gamma', \preceq', v'_0)$ such that $V(\Gamma')/\sim'$ is isomorphic to $[n]$ under $V(\Gamma)/\sim$.¹⁸

Proof. In this proof we write (i) for [Definition 3.2\(i\)](#) for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Write g for the composite $V(\Gamma) \rightarrow V(\Gamma)/\sim \rightarrow [n]$. Suppose $f : (\Gamma, \preceq, v_0) \rightarrow (\Gamma', \preceq', v'_0)$ is a contraction with the desired property. For $k \in [n]$, consider $g^{-1}(k)$. Given $v, w \in g^{-1}(k)$, any path connecting them also lies in $g^{-1}(k)$ and hence $g^{-1}(k)$ spans a disjoint union of trees, $\{T_k^i\}$.

Let $h : V(\Gamma')/\sim' \rightarrow [n]$ denote the isomorphism under $V(\Gamma)/\sim$; one has $V(T_k^i) \rightarrow h^{-1}(k)$ for each i . By (2), $f(T_k^i)$ is a single vertex for each i and by (3) $f(T_k^i) = f(T_k^j)$ implies $i = j$. So, for each $k \in [n]$ the level k vertices of Γ' correspond bijectively to $\{T_k^i\}$. \preceq' is uniquely determined by $V(\Gamma')/\sim' \cong [n]$; indeed, $v' \preceq' w'$ if and only if $g(v') \leq g(w')$. $T_0 = f^{-1}(v_0)$ is a subtree of Γ and $f(T_0)$ is the root of Γ' .

Finally, (2) implies that each $f(T_k^i)$ has a unique descending edge, and $f(T_k^i)$ and $f(T_j^{i'})$ are connected if and only if T_k^i and $T_j^{i'}$ are adjacent in Γ . There can be no other edges without

introducing a loop. This uniquely characterizes $(\Gamma', \preceq', v'_0)$. We leave it to the reader to verify that this defines a totally preordered rooted tree with the necessary properties.¹⁹ \square

If one identifies $V(\Gamma)/\sim \cong [\ell]$ for some $\ell \geq 0$, then [Lemma 3.3](#) says that contractions $(\Gamma, \preceq, v_0) \rightarrow (\Gamma', \preceq, v'_0)$ correspond bijectively to order-preserving surjections $[\ell] \rightarrow [n]$ for $n \in [0, \ell]$.

Example 3.4. There is a unique isomorphism $V(\Gamma)/\sim \cong [\ell]$ of totally ordered sets carrying v_0 to 0. Given $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, one defines the *contraction of the level k* as the contraction induced by the unique order preserving surjection $[\ell] \rightarrow [\ell - 1]$ such that $k, k - 1 \mapsto k - 1$. This can be regarded as a degeneracy map $\sigma_{k-1}^\ell : [\ell] \rightarrow [\ell - 1]$ defined by

$$\sigma_{k-1}^\ell(p) = \begin{cases} p & p \leq k - 1 \\ p - 1 & p \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Since every order preserving surjection $[\ell] \rightarrow [\ell - k]$ for $0 \leq k \leq \ell$ factorizes uniquely as $\sigma_{j_1}^{\ell-k+1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_{j_k}^\ell$ for $0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq \ell - 1$ (see [\[M, Lem. p. 173\]](#)), every contraction of $V(\Gamma)$ is determined by a choice of levels $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq \ell$ that are contracted. The corresponding contraction is given by $\sigma_{i_1-1}^{\ell-k+1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_{i_k-1}^\ell$. We call this the *contraction of levels $i_1 < \dots < i_k$* .

Definition 3.5. An n -marked multiscaled line is the data of $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet, p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet)$ is a multiscaled line and the p_i are smooth marked points lying on terminal components of Σ . An n -marked multiscaled line is called *stable* if each terminal component contains at least one of the p_i and each nonterminal component contains at least 3 nodes or at least 2 nodes and the point p_∞ .²⁰

Associated to an n -marked multiscaled line is an n -marked totally preordered rooted tree $(\Gamma, \preceq, v_0, h)$, where (Γ, \preceq, v_0) is the totally preordered rooted tree of $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet)$ and $h : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow V(\Sigma)_{\text{term}}$ is defined by $h(i) = v$ if p_i lies on Σ_v .

Associated to a totally preordered rooted tree (Γ, \preceq, v_0) is a unique isomorphism of totally ordered sets $\lambda : V(\Gamma)/\sim \rightarrow [\ell]$. This is called the *level function* of (Γ, \preceq, v_0) and ℓ is the number of levels. Note that $\lambda^{-1}(0) = \{v_0\}$ and that $\lambda^{-1}(\ell) = V(\Sigma)_{\text{term}}$. In particular, the dual tree of $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet, p_\bullet)$ is a dual level tree in the sense of [Definition 2.4](#). Conversely, every dual level tree arises from a n -marked stable multiscaled line.

In lieu of $(\Gamma, \preceq, v_0, h)$, we write (Γ, \preceq) to emphasize the level structure or sometimes simply Γ . Since the dual tree of an n -marked stable multiscaled line is a dual level tree as in [Definition 2.4](#), we may also think of (Γ, \preceq) as a chain of partitions $\{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell\} = \rho_\bullet$, by [Proposition 2.9](#), where ℓ is the length of Γ . In the notation of [Proposition 2.9](#), we will often write $\mathfrak{c}(\Sigma)$ instead of $\mathfrak{c}(\Gamma(\Sigma))$ for brevity.

Note that for $1 \leq k \leq \ell$, the contraction of level k as in [Example 3.4](#) corresponds at the level of chains of partitions to replacing $\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell$ with $\rho_1 < \dots < \hat{\rho}_k < \dots < \rho_\ell$, where the hat means “omitted.” In particular, all contractions of a dual tree correspond to removal of elements of its corresponding chain of partitions.

3.2. Constructing the space. The set \mathcal{A}_n consists of complex projective isomorphism classes of n -marked stable multiscaled lines $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet, p_\bullet)$. To simplify notation, we denote a point of \mathcal{A}_n by Σ , unless the other data are explicitly used.

Definition 3.6 (Period functions). For any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we define functions $\Pi_{ij} : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ as follows: If Σ is a stable n -marked multiscaled line, then $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) = \int_{p_i}^{p_j} \omega_v$ if p_i and p_j are both contained in the same terminal component Σ_v , and $\Pi_{ij} = \infty$ otherwise. The integral is taken over any path from p_i to p_j in the smooth locus of Σ_v .

Let $\mathcal{A}_n^\circ \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ denote the subset of isomorphism classes of multiscaled lines with irreducible underlying curve. \mathcal{A}_n° can be regarded as the configuration space of n points in \mathbb{A}^1 up to simultaneous translation, $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$. Indeed, $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \omega, p_\bullet) \in \mathcal{A}_n^\circ$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1, \infty, \omega, p_\bullet)$, where $p_i \neq \infty$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and ω has a order 2 pole at ∞ and no other zeros or poles. ω determines a coordinate $z : \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ up to translation such that $dz = \omega$. Consequently, $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \omega, p_\bullet)$ is equivalent to $(\mathbb{P}^1, \omega, dz)$ together with $(z(p_1), \dots, z(p_n)) \in \mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$. Subject to this identification, Π_{ij} restricts to the coordinate on $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$, given by $\Pi_{ij}(z) = z_j - z_i$.

Given a stable n -marked multiscaled line Σ , for each irreducible component Σ_v of Σ choose $n_v \in \Sigma_v$, where n_v denotes either an ascending node or a marked point when Σ_v is terminal. Write N_v for the set of ascending nodes on Σ_v when Σ_v is nonterminal or marked points when it is terminal.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose Σ is a stable n -marked multiscaled line with dual level tree $\Gamma(\Sigma)$. Σ is determined up to isomorphism by $\Gamma(\Sigma)$ and*

$$\left\{ \int_{n_v}^n \omega_v \mid n \in N_v \setminus \{n_v\} \right\}_{v \in \Gamma(\Sigma)}, \quad (1)$$

where $\int_{n_v}^n \omega_v$ is the integral along any path in Σ_v connecting n_v and n . It is uniquely determined up to complex projective isomorphism by $\Gamma(\Sigma)$ and

$$\left\{ \left[\int_{n_v}^n \omega_v \mid \begin{array}{l} v \in \lambda^{-1}(m) \\ n \in N_v \setminus \{n_v\} \end{array} \right]_{0 \leq m \leq \ell-1}, \left(\int_{n_v}^n \omega_v \mid \begin{array}{l} v \in \lambda^{-1}(\ell) \\ n \in N_v \setminus \{n_v\} \end{array} \right) \right\} \quad (2)$$

where latter data are regarded as elements of $\prod_{m=0}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{P}^{\nu_m} \times \mathbb{A}^{\nu_\ell}$ where $\nu_m = \sum_{v \in \lambda^{-1}(m)} |N_v \setminus \{n_v\}|$ for all $0 \leq m \leq \ell$.

Proof. First, suppose Σ is irreducible. Then $(\Sigma, \omega, p_\bullet) \in \mathcal{A}_n^\circ$ is uniquely determined by $\{\int_{p_1}^{p_j} \omega\}_{j=2}^n$ since this is equivalent to the statement that $\{\Pi_{1j}\}_{j=2}^n$ form coordinates on $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$.

For general Σ , an isomorphism $\alpha : (\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet, p_\bullet) \rightarrow (\Sigma', p'_\infty, \preceq', \omega'_\bullet, p'_\bullet)$ of stable n -marked multiscaled lines with dual tree Γ is equivalent to the data of $\{\alpha_v : v \in V(\Gamma)\}$, where writing n_- for the descending node of Σ_v and n'_- for that of Σ'_v (resp. p_∞ and p'_∞ for $v = v_0$), α_v is an isomorphism of irreducible scaled lines $(\Sigma_v, n_-, \omega_v, n \in N_v) \rightarrow (\Sigma'_v, n'_-, \omega'_v, n' \in N'_v)$. Thus, the isomorphism class of $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet, p_\bullet)$ is determined by (1).

When α is a complex projective isomorphism, we have again $\{\alpha_v : v \in V(\Gamma)\}$ except that $\alpha_v^*(\omega'_v) = c_v \omega_v$, where $c_v \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is a constant depending only on the level of v , and equal to 1 on level ℓ . The second claim follows. \square

For any stable n -marked multiscaled line Σ , let

$$I_{ij} := \int_{n_i}^{n_j} \omega_v$$

where $v := h(i) \wedge h(j) \in V(\Sigma)$ and $n_i, n_j \in \Sigma_v$ denote either

- (1) the marked points p_i and p_j if $h(i) = h(j)$; or
- (2) the nodes in Σ_v that connect to $\Sigma_{h(i)}$ and $\Sigma_{h(j)}$, respectively.

The integral is taken along any path connecting n_i and n_j in the smooth locus of Σ_v . For any given dual level tree Γ , we introduce the following subset of \mathcal{A}_n ,

$$U_\Gamma := \left\{ \Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n \mid \begin{array}{l} \exists \text{ contraction } \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma), \text{ and} \\ \Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) \neq 0 \text{ if } h(i) \neq h(j) \text{ in } \Gamma \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now consider a stable n -marked multiscaled line Σ whose isomorphism class lies in U_Γ , and let $f : \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma)$ be the (unique!) contraction. Suppose $\Gamma/\sim = [\ell]$ and for each $0 \leq m \leq \ell - 1$ choose a vertex v_m on level m . Also, choose $i_m, j_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $h(i_m) \wedge h(j_m) = v_m$. Put $s_\ell = 1$ and for each $0 \leq m \leq \ell - 1$, put $s_m = I_{i_m j_m}$. Next, for $1 \leq m \leq \ell$, let

$$t_m := \begin{cases} s_m/s_{m-1}, & \text{if } f(v_m) \sim f(v_{m-1}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Finally, for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ is on level m , put

$$z_{ij} := \frac{I_{ij}}{s_m} = \frac{1}{s_m} \int_{n_i}^{n_j} \omega_{f(v_m)}$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let A denote the set of pairs $i < j$ such that $h(i) \neq h(j)$ in $V(\Gamma)$, and B the set of pairs with $h(i) = h(j)$. The resulting function*

$$(z_{ij}, t_m)_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ 1 \leq m \leq \ell}} : U_\Gamma \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^A \times \mathbb{C}^B \times \mathbb{C}^\ell, \quad (3)$$

is well-defined. If different vertices v_m and indices i_m, j_m are chosen for each $m = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$, the resulting function differs from the original by composition with a monomial automorphism of $(\mathbb{C}^)^A \times \mathbb{C}^B \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the result changing one pair of indices $(i_m, j_m) = (i, j)$ to $(i'_m, j'_m) = (k, \ell)$ on some level $0 \leq m \leq \ell - 1$. Write z'_{ij} for the coordinates defined with respect to the indices (i'_m, j'_m) . One computes that $z_{\alpha\beta} = z'_{\alpha\beta}$ for all α, β with $h(\alpha) \wedge h(\beta)$ not on level m . Otherwise, we have $z'_{k\ell} = z_{ij}$ and $z'_{\alpha\beta} = z_{\alpha\beta}/z_{k\ell}$. For $k \notin \{m, m+1\}$, one has $t'_k = t_k$. Finally, $t'_m = t_m \cdot z_{k\ell}$ and $t'_{m+1} = t_{m+1}/z_{k\ell}$. It is an exercise to verify that this map is invertible.²¹ \square

The functions (z_{ij}, t_m) depend on a choice of level tree Γ which defines the open set U_Γ and indices $\{(i_m, j_m)\}_{m=0}^{\ell-1}$. We will always suppress the choice of indices from the notation, however to emphasize Γ we may write $(z_{ij}^\Gamma, t_m^\Gamma)$. By a *choice of indices for Γ* , we mean a choice of $\{(i_m, j_m)\}_{m=0}^{\ell-1}$ as above.²² The default notation will be to write an alternative choice of indices as $\{(i'_m, j'_m)\}_{m=0}^{\ell-1}$ and the resulting coordinates as (z'_{ij}, t'_m) .

Remark 3.9. We write $*$ for the tree with one vertex and note that $U_* = \mathcal{A}_n^\circ$. There are no t functions for $\Gamma = *$ and under the identification $U_* = \mathcal{A}_n^\circ = \mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$, the functions z_{ij}^* correspond to the period functions $\Pi_{ij}(z) = z_j - z_i$.

Corollary 3.10. *Let Σ be given whose equivalence class lies in U_Γ . $\{z_{ij}(\Sigma), t_m(\Sigma)\}$ depend only on the complex projective isomorphism class of Σ . Furthermore, if $\Gamma(\Sigma) = \Gamma$, then Σ is uniquely determined up to complex projective isomorphism by $\{z_{ij}(\Sigma)\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$.*

Proof. Since each z_{ij} is defined as a ratio $I_{ij}/I_{i_m j_m}$ where $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ is on level m , it depends only on the complex projective isomorphism class of Σ .²³ For each $0 \leq m \leq \ell - 1$, choose $n_v \in N_v$ for each $v \in \lambda^{-1}(m)$ such that n_v is the node connecting Σ_v to $\Sigma_{h(i_m)}$ when $v = v_m$.

$s_m = \int_{n_v}^n \omega_{v_m}$ for some $n \in N_{v_m}$, by definition. Choose $0 \leq m \leq \ell - 1$, $v \in \lambda^{-1}(m)$, and $n' \in N_v \setminus \{n_v\}$. Then $z_{ij}(\Sigma) = \int_{n_v}^{n'} \omega_v / \int_{n_{v_m}}^n \omega_{v_m}$, where $h(i) \wedge h(j) = v$, n_v connects Σ_v to $\Sigma_{h(i)}$, and n' connects Σ_v to $\Sigma_{h(j)}$. So, all ratios of homogeneous coordinates in (2) can be recovered from $\{z_{ij}(\Sigma)\}$. Similar reasoning shows that each $\int_{n_v}^n \omega_v$ for $v \in \lambda^{-1}(\ell)$ equals some $z_{ij}(\Sigma)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.7(2) we are done. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Suppose $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is the contraction of levels $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq \ell$. Then $U_\Gamma \cap U_{\Gamma'} = D(t_{i_1}^\Gamma \cdots t_{i_k}^\Gamma)$.*

Proof. One verifies that $U_\Gamma \cap U_{\Gamma'} = \{\Sigma \in U_\Gamma : \exists \text{ contraction } \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma)\}$.²⁴ This is equivalent to saying that $g : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma)$ factors through f . This, in turn, is equivalent to the statement: if f contracts a level m then g contracts the level m . This happens exactly on the locus where $t_{i_1}^\Gamma \cdots t_{i_k}^\Gamma$ does not vanish. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose Σ lies in $U_\Gamma \cap U_{\Gamma'}$ where $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is the contraction corresponding to $\alpha : [\ell] \rightarrow [\ell - k]$, deleting levels $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq \ell$. Given a choice of indices for Γ , there is a choice of indices for Γ' such that*

- (1) if $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ is on level m of Γ and
 - (a) $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq m+1}$ contains a maximal consecutive sequence $\{m+1, \dots, m+p\}$ for $p \geq 1$ then $z'_{ij} = z_{ij}/t_{m+1} \cdots t_{m+p}$;
 - (b) if $m+1 \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ then $z'_{ij} = z_{ij}$; and
- (2) for all nonzero $j \in [\ell - k]$, one has $t'_j = \prod_{i \in \alpha^{-1}(j)} t_i$.

Proof. A choice of indices for Γ is equivalent to a choice of scale parameter s_m for each $0 \leq m \leq \ell - 1$. For $m \in [\ell - k]$, put $s'_m := s_{\max \alpha^{-1}(m)}$. This is sensible since if $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ lies on a level in $\alpha^{-1}(m)$, then $h'(i) \wedge h'(j)$ lies on level m .

Suppose a single level $m+1$ is contracted and that $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ is on level k . If $k \leq m-1$, $z_{ij} = I_{ij}/s_k = z'_{ij}$. If $k = m$, then $h'(i) \wedge h'(j)$ is also on level m so $z_{ij} = I_{ij}/s_m$ and $z'_{ij} = I_{ij}/s'_m$. Thus, $z_{ij}/z'_{ij} = s_{m+1}/s_m = t_{m+1}$ and $z'_{ij} = z_{ij}/t_{m+1}$. Finally, if $k \geq m+1$, then $h'(i) \wedge h'(j)$ is on level $k-1$. So, $z_{ij} = I_{ij}/s_k = I_{ij}/s'_{k-1} = z'_{ij}$.

Next, $t'_m = s'_m/s'_{m-1} = s_{m+1}/s_{m-1} = t_m \cdot t_{m+1}$. If $1 \leq k < m$, then $t'_k = s'_k/s'_{k-1} = s_k/s_{k-1} = t_k$. For $m < k \leq \ell - 1$, one has $t'_k = s'_k/s'_{k-1} = s_{k+1}/s_k = t_{k+1}$. This verifies (2).

Consider the contraction of levels $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma''$, recalling from Example 3.4 that all contractions are of this form. It factorizes as $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma''$ where $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is the contraction of levels $i_2 < \dots < i_k$ and corresponds to $\beta : [\ell] \rightarrow [\ell - k + 1]$ and $\Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma''$ contracts $\beta(i_1) = i_1$ and corresponds to $\gamma : [\ell - k + 1] \rightarrow [\ell - k]$.²⁵ By induction, there are choices of indices for Γ'' and Γ' so that $t''_j = \prod_{i \in \gamma^{-1}(j)} t'_i$ and $t'_i = \prod_{l \in \beta^{-1}(i)} t_l$, whence $t''_j = \prod_{i \in \gamma^{-1}(j)} \prod_{l \in \beta^{-1}(i)} t_l = \prod_{l \in \alpha^{-1}(j)} t_l$. So, (2) follows.

Suppose $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ is on level m and that $\{m+1, \dots, m+p\}$ is a maximal consecutive sequence of integers in $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq m+1}$ containing $m+1$. If $m \geq i_1$ then $\{m+1, \dots, m+p\} \subset \{i_2, \dots, i_k\}$. So, $z'_{ij} = z_{ij}/t_{m+1} \cdots t_{m+p}$ by induction. Now, $\beta(m) \geq i_1$ so $\beta(m) + 1 > i_1$ and thus $z''_{ij} = z'_{ij} = z_{ij}/t_{m+1} \cdots t_{m+p}$.

If $m < i_1 - 1$ then $z''_{ij} = z'_{ij} = z_{ij}$. Finally, if $m = i_1 - 1$, one has $z'_{ij} = z_{ij}$ by induction. Since $\beta(m) = i_1 - 1$, we have $\beta(m) + 1 \in \{i_1\}$ and $z''_{ij} = z'_{ij}/t'_{i_1} = z_{ij}/\prod_{l \in \gamma^{-1}(i_1)} t_l = z_{ij}/t_{m+1} \cdots t_{m+p}$. \square

Corollary 3.13. *For any Γ , on $U_* \cap U_\Gamma$ one has $\Pi_{ij} = z_{ij}^\Gamma/t_{m+1} \cdots t_\ell$ where $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ is on level m of Γ .*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of [Lemma 3.12](#). \square

Proposition 3.14. *For any dual level tree Γ and choice of indices, (3) is injective and identifies U_Γ with a smooth algebraic variety of dimension $n - 1$.*

Proof. For each $v \in V(\Gamma)$, consider N_v as in the discussion preceding [Lemma 3.7](#). When $v = v_m$ for $0 \leq m \leq \ell - 1$, we choose n_v to be the node connecting Σ_{v_m} to $\Sigma_{h(i_m)}$. For each nonterminal v and each $n \in N_v$, choose $\iota_n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that n connects Σ_v to $\Sigma_{h(\iota_n)}$. We write $\iota_v = \iota_{n_v}$. When $v = v_m$, choose $\{\iota_n : n \in N_{v_m}\}$ so it contains i_m, j_m . When v is terminal, $N_v = \{p_i : h(i) = v\}$ and we define $\iota_i = i$. Consider

$$A' := \left(\bigcup_{v \neq \lambda^{-1}(\ell)} \{(\iota_v, \iota_n) : n \in N_v \setminus n_v\} \right) \setminus \{(i_m, j_m)\}_{m=0}^{\ell-1}, \quad B' := \bigcup_{v \in \lambda^{-1}(\ell)} \{(\iota_v, \iota_n) : n \in N_v \setminus n_v\}.$$

One has $A' \subset A$ and $B' \subset B$ and $|A' \cup B'| = n - 1 - \ell$. Consider $\mathbb{C}^A := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[Z_{ij} : (i, j) \in A]$, $\mathbb{C}^B = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[Z_{ij} : (i, j) \in B]$ and $\mathbb{C}^\ell = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[T_1, \dots, T_\ell]$. If we define $\mathbb{C}^{A'}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{B'}$ in the analogous fashion, then there is a natural inclusion map $\mathbb{C}^{A'} \times \mathbb{C}^{B'} \times \mathbb{C}^\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^A \times \mathbb{C}^B \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$. Define $\varphi : U_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{A'} \times \mathbb{C}^{B'} \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$ by $\varphi^*(Z_{ij}) = z_{ij}$ and $\varphi^*(T_m) = t_m$ for all relevant indices. One can verify that $V := \text{im}(\varphi)$ is the complement of a hyperplane arrangement defined by the conditions $Z_{\iota_v \iota_n} \neq 0$ and $Z_{\iota_v \iota_n} \neq Z_{\iota_v \iota_{n'}}$ for all v and $n, n' \in N_v \setminus \{n_v\}$.²⁶ In particular, V is a quasi-affine variety.

Finally, we define a morphism $g : \mathbb{C}^{A'} \times \mathbb{C}^{B'} \times \mathbb{C}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{A \setminus A'} \times \mathbb{C}^{B \setminus B'}$: For all $(i, j) \in (A \setminus A') \cup (B \setminus B')$ such that $h(i) \wedge h(j) = v$, put $g^*(Z_{ij}) = Z_{\iota_v \iota_{n'}} - Z_{\iota_v \iota_n}$ where Σ_v is connected to $\Sigma_{h(j)}$ by n' and to $\Sigma_{h(i)}$ by n . In particular, g is a smooth morphism which restricts to a map $g : V \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{A \setminus A'} \times \mathbb{C}^{B \setminus B'}$. It follows that $(\text{id}, g) \circ \varphi : U_\Gamma \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^A \times \mathbb{C}^B \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$ equals (3) and in particular this identifies the image of U_Γ with the graph of a smooth morphism of quasi-affine varieties. Projection to V implies that the image is dimension $n - 1$.²⁷ \square

Remark 3.15. In the proof of [Proposition 3.14](#), we have shown moreover that each U_Γ is algebraically isomorphic to the complement of a hyperplane arrangement in \mathbb{A}^{n-1} : indeed, projection from the image of U_Γ to V induces the isomorphism and $\{z_{ij}, t_m : (i, j) \in A' \cup B', 1 \leq m \leq \ell\}$ form a system of algebraic coordinates on U_Γ . This implies that \mathcal{A}_n is uniformly rational in the sense of [\[BB\]](#).²⁸

Theorem 3.16. *The set \mathcal{A}_n admits the unique structure of an algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} such that every U_Γ is a Zariski open subset, and the functions (3) are closed immersions. With respect to this structure:*

- (1) $\mathbb{A}^n / \mathbb{G}_a$ is an open dense subspace;
- (2) the functions Π_{ij} on $\mathbb{A}^n / \mathbb{G}_a$ extend to morphisms $\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$; and
- (3) \mathcal{A}_n is smooth and proper of dimension $n - 1$.

Proof. To emphasize dependence on Γ , we denote (3) by ψ_Γ . When Γ' is a coarsening of Γ , it follows from [Lemma 3.12](#) that $\psi_{\Gamma'} \circ \psi_\Gamma^{-1}$ is algebraic. For a general Γ' , $U_\Gamma \cap U_{\Gamma'}$ is covered by $U_\Gamma \cap U_{\Gamma'} \cap U_{\Gamma''}$ where Γ'' ranges over level trees that are a coarsening of both Γ' and Γ . However, on $U_\Gamma \cap U_{\Gamma'} \cap U_{\Gamma''}$ one has $\psi_{\Gamma'} \circ \psi_\Gamma^{-1} = (\psi_{\Gamma'} \circ \psi_{\Gamma''}^{-1}) \circ (\psi_{\Gamma''} \circ \psi_\Gamma^{-1})$ and as the composition of algebraic maps is algebraic, the result follows. (3) is by [Proposition 3.14](#). $\mathbb{A}^n / \mathbb{G}_a$ is identified with U_* as above and so openness is clear. For any Γ , $U_* \cap U_\Gamma = D(t_1 \cdots t_\ell)$ by [Lemma 3.11](#) and density follows.

For the remaining claims, we consider \mathcal{A}_n with its analytic topology. Suppose given a convergent net $(\Sigma_\alpha) \rightarrow \Sigma$ with Σ reducible. On $U_{\Gamma(\Sigma)} \cap U_*$, we have $\Pi_{ij} = z_{ij}/t_{m+1} \cdots t_\ell$ as in [Corollary 3.13](#), where $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ is on level m . Therefore, writing $t_k^\alpha = t_k(\Sigma_\alpha)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell$, one has $\lim_\alpha t_k^\alpha = 0$. Similarly, for all i, j where $h(i) \neq h(j)$, $\lim_\alpha z_{ij}^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Consequently, $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{ij}(\Sigma_\alpha) = \infty$ if and only if $h(i) \neq h(j)$ and if $h(i) = h(j)$, then $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{ij}(\Sigma_\alpha) = \Pi_{ij}(\Sigma)$. Therefore, $\Pi_{ij} : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is continuous.

A topological space is Hausdorff if and only if there is a dense subspace $Y \subset X$ such that any net (y_α) in Y has at most one limit in X .²⁹ In our case, let $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ denote the open subspace where $\Pi_{ij} \neq 0$ for all $i < j$ and consider a net (Σ_α) in Y .

Suppose given a net (Σ_α) in Y such that for all pairs $i < j$ and $k < l$ the nets $\Pi_{ij}^\alpha := \Pi_{ij}(\Sigma_\alpha)$ and $\Pi_{kl}^\alpha/\Pi_{ij}^\alpha$ converge in \mathbb{P}^1 . Write $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{ij}^\alpha = \Pi_{ij}$. We define an n -marked rooted level tree from these data as follows: define the level ℓ vertex set by $\{1, \dots, n\}/\sim$, where $i \sim j$ if $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{ij}^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Define the markings by $h(i) = [i]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We define a total preorder on $\{(i, j) : h(i) \neq h(j)\}$ by $(i, j) \leq (k, l)$ if $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{ij}^\alpha/\Pi_{kl}^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.³⁰ Note that this preorder depends only on the classes of i, j, k, l under \sim .³¹

Suppose a level forest $\Gamma_{\geq k+1}$ has been constructed with levels $k+1 \leq \dots \leq \ell$. Consider $\mathcal{F}_{k+1} = \{([i], [j]) : \nexists [i] \wedge [j] \in \Gamma_{\geq k+1}\}$. Define a level k vertex denoted $[i] \wedge [j]$ iff $([i], [j])$ are minimal with respect to the preorder \leq restricted to \mathcal{F}_{k+1} . Define edges between $[i] \wedge [j]$ and the vertices of minimal level in $\Gamma_{\geq k+1}$ to which $[i]$ and $[j]$ are connected, respectively. It follows that the output $\Gamma_{\geq k}$ is a level forest with levels $k \leq \dots \leq \ell$.³²

Since a preorder on a finite set always has minimal elements, $|\mathcal{F}_k| < |\mathcal{F}_{k+1}|$ and this process eventually terminates. At the last stage, one vertex is attached which we define to be the root. Shift the level indexing so that the root is on level 0. The output Γ is an n -marked rooted level tree: by induction Γ is an n -marked level forest and by construction for all terminal vertices $[i]$ and $[j]$, $[i] \wedge [j]$ exists.

Suppose $(\Sigma_\alpha) \rightarrow \Sigma$. We claim that $\Gamma = \Gamma(\Sigma)$. p_i and p_j are on the same terminal component of Σ iff $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) \neq \infty$, so level ℓ of the trees agrees. Suppose the trees agree up to level $k+1 \leq \ell$. i and j meet on level k of $\Gamma(\Sigma)$ if and only if (i, j) is minimal among pairs that do not meet on level $\geq k+1$ with respect \leq as above.³³ Therefore, $\Gamma = \Gamma(\Sigma)$.

Now, $\Sigma_\alpha \in U_\Gamma \cap U_*$ if and only if $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma_\alpha) \neq 0$ for all pairs i, j such that $h(i) \neq h(j)$. However, $h(i) = h(j)$ if and only if $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{ij}^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. So, if $h(i) \neq h(j)$ then $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{ij}^\alpha = \infty$ and so there exists α_0 such that $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ implies $\Sigma_\alpha \in U_\Gamma \cap U_*$.

Henceforth suppose $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. Since Σ_α is convergent in U_Γ , the associated coordinate nets $\{z_{ij}^\alpha, t_m^\alpha\}$ converge and determine Σ uniquely by [Corollary 3.10](#). This implies that \mathcal{A}_n is Hausdorff with its analytic topology. In particular, \mathcal{A}_n has the structure of a complex manifold. It follows that compactness is equivalent to every sequence in $Y \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ admitting a convergent subsequence in \mathcal{A}_n .³⁴

Consider a sequence $(\Sigma_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Y and up to passing to a subsequence suppose that Π_{ij}^α and $\Pi_{kl}^\alpha/\Pi_{ij}^\alpha$ converge in \mathbb{P}^1 for all $i < j$ and $k < l$. By the previous argument, we may associate to $\{\Pi_{ij}, \Pi_{kl}/\Pi_{ij}\}$ a level tree Γ . By construction, for all α sufficiently large, $\Sigma_\alpha \in U_\Gamma$. By [Lemma 3.12](#), if $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ is on level $0 \leq p \leq \ell$, one has $\Pi_{ij} = z_{ij}/t_{p+1} \cdots t_\ell$ and in particular $\Pi_{i_m j_m}^\alpha = 1/t_{m+1}^\alpha \cdots t_\ell^\alpha$. By construction of Γ , $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{i_m j_m}^\alpha/\Pi_{i_{m-1} j_{m-1}}^\alpha = \lim_\alpha t_m^\alpha = 0$ for each $1 \leq m \leq \ell$. Also, for any i, j with $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ on level m , $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{ij}^\alpha/\Pi_{i_m j_m}^\alpha = \lim_\alpha z_{ij}^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ for $m < \ell$ and is in \mathbb{C} for $m = \ell$. So, $(\Sigma_\alpha) \rightarrow \Sigma \in U_\Gamma$ with $\Gamma(\Sigma) = \Gamma$. This gives compactness.

Finally, a coordinate calculation shows that $\Pi_{ij} : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is holomorphic³⁵ and by proper GAGA [G, Exposé XII, Cor. 4.5], it follows that $\Pi_{ij} : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is algebraic. \square

3.3. Level tree stratification. Let Γ denote a dual level tree, by abuse of notation. Let $S_\Gamma^\circ \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ denote the set of Σ such that $\Gamma(\Sigma) = \Gamma$. By definition, $S_\Gamma^\circ \subset U_\Gamma$. Write S_Γ for the closure of S_Γ° in \mathcal{A}_n .

Lemma 3.17. *For any dual level tree Γ , one has $S_\Gamma = \{\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n : \exists \text{ contraction } \Gamma(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma\}$. Moreover, S_Γ is a smooth closed subvariety of codimension the length of Γ .*

Proof. Write ℓ for the length of Γ . We verify the claims using the open cover $\{U_{\Gamma'}\}$. $U_{\Gamma'} \cap S_\Gamma^\circ \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there is a contraction $\Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$ and in this case $U_{\Gamma'} \cap S_\Gamma^\circ$ corresponds to the locus where $t_{j_1} = \dots = t_{j_\ell} = 0$ for some $j_1 < \dots < j_\ell$ and no other t_m vanish. By this description, $\Sigma \in U_{\Gamma'} \cap (S_\Gamma \setminus S_\Gamma^\circ)$ if and only if $t_m(\Sigma) = 0$ for some $m \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_\ell\}$. So, $\Sigma \in U_{\Gamma'} \cap (S_\Gamma \setminus S_\Gamma^\circ)$ if and only if there exists a contraction $\Gamma(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma$. Furthermore, $U_{\Gamma'} \cap S_\Gamma = Z(t_{j_1}, \dots, t_{j_\ell})$ and so S_Γ is smooth of codimension ℓ . \square

In light of Proposition 2.9, Γ with $\Gamma/\sim \cong [\ell]$ corresponds to a unique chain $\mathbf{c}(\Gamma) = \{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell\} \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$. As a consequence, we write $S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$ for S_Γ° and S_{ρ_\bullet} for S_Γ . Put $S_\perp = U_*$ and note that $S_\perp = \mathcal{A}_n$. In what follows, we favor the partition notation as introduced in §2.

Lemma 3.18. *In the above notation*

- (1) $S_{\rho_\bullet} = \bigcup_{\rho_\bullet \subseteq \chi_\bullet} S_{\chi_\bullet}^\circ$, where χ_\bullet runs over $\mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$;
- (2) for any $\rho_\bullet \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$, $S_{\rho_\bullet} \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ is a smooth subvariety of codimension $|\rho_\bullet|$;
- (3) $S_{\rho_1} \cap S_{\rho_2} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in L_n$ are comparable;
- (4) $D = \bigcup_{\rho \in L_n^-} S_\rho$ is a simple normal crossings divisor;
- (5) For $\rho_\bullet = \{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell\}$, $S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ = (S_{\rho_1} \cap \dots \cap S_{\rho_\ell}) \setminus \bigcup_{\pi \in L_n^- \setminus \rho_\bullet} S_\pi$ and $S_{\rho_\bullet} = \bigcap_{i=1}^\ell S_{\rho_i}$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are restatements of Lemma 3.17. For (3), by Lemma 3.17 $S_{\rho_1} \cap S_{\rho_2}$ consists of those Σ for which there exist contractions $\Gamma(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma(\rho_1)$ and $\Gamma(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma(\rho_2)$. In particular, $\mathbf{c}(\Sigma)$ contains ρ_1 and ρ_2 which implies they are comparable. Conversely, if $\rho_1 < \rho_2$ are comparable, then Σ with $\mathbf{c}(\Sigma) = \{\rho_1 < \rho_2\}$ lies in $S_{\rho_1} \cap S_{\rho_2}$. For (4), for any U_Γ for which $U_\Gamma \cap S_{\rho_1} \cap S_{\rho_2} \neq \emptyset$, one has $S_\rho \cap U_\Gamma = Z(t_i)$ and $S_{\rho'} \cap U_\Gamma = Z(t_j)$ which implies the snc property. We leave (5) as an exercise.³⁶ \square

Proposition 3.19. *The collection $\{S_\perp^\circ\} \cup \{S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ\}_{\rho_\bullet \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)}$ gives a quasi-affine stratification of \mathcal{A}_n by smooth subvarieties.³⁷*

Proof. Consider $\rho_\bullet = \{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell\}$. $S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ = U_{\rho_\bullet} \cap Z(t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$, so each S_{ρ_\bullet} is locally closed and quasi-affine. Since each $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n$ has a unique dual level tree, $\mathcal{A}_n = S_\perp^\circ \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\rho_\bullet} S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$. Finally, if $S_{\rho_\bullet} \cap S_{\chi_\bullet}^\circ$, then there exists a coarsening $\Gamma(\chi_\bullet) \rightarrow \Gamma(\rho_\bullet)$ and so $S_{\chi_\bullet}^\circ \subset S_{\rho_\bullet}$. \square

We call this the *level tree stratification* of \mathcal{A}_n .

Remark 3.20. Proposition 3.19 combined with [EH, Prop. 1.17] implies that $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is generated as an Abelian group by the classes of the closed strata S_{ρ_\bullet} for $\rho_\bullet \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$ and \mathcal{A}_n . We will not pursue this here, however, as an explicit description of the ring structure $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{A}_n)$ will be an immediate corollary of Theorem 4.7 and results of [BHM⁺]: see Corollary 4.24.

3.4. Symmetric group action and collision stratification. Define $\mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ by $\sigma \cdot (\Sigma, \preceq, p_\infty, \omega_\bullet, p_1, \dots, p_n) = (\Sigma, \preceq, p_\infty, \omega_\bullet, p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(n)})$.

Next, given $(\Gamma, h) \in \Delta(n)$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define $\sigma \cdot (\Gamma, h) = (\Gamma, h^\sigma)$ where $h^\sigma(i) = h(\sigma^{-1}(i))$. This defines an action of \mathfrak{S}_n on $\Delta(n)$. We abusively write this as $\Gamma \mapsto \Gamma^\sigma$.

Proposition 3.21. $\mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ defines an algebraic action of \mathfrak{S}_n on \mathcal{A}_n .

Proof. That this defines an action in the category of sets is immediate. Given $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we show that $\sigma : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ is algebraic. Note that σ maps U_Γ to U_{Γ^σ} . Now, consider the functions $\{z_{ij}, t_m\}$ on U_Γ defined by choosing indices (i_m, j_m) for all $0 \leq m \leq \ell - 1$. We define functions $\{z'_{ij}, t'_m\}$ on U_{Γ^σ} by letting $(i'_m, j'_m) = (\sigma(i_m), \sigma(j_m))$ for all $0 \leq m \leq \ell - 1$. We leave it as an exercise to verify that with respect to these choices $\sigma^*(z'_{ij}) = z_{\sigma^{-1}(i)\sigma^{-1}(j)}$ and $\sigma^*(t'_m) = t_m$.³⁸ \square

Remark 3.22. The \mathfrak{S}_n action of [Proposition 3.21](#) is generically free, but not free. Indeed, the transposition (ij) stabilizes Σ if and only if $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) = 0$.

Definition 3.23. For $\rho \in L_n$, let $T_\rho = \{\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n : i \sim^\rho j \Rightarrow p_i = p_j\}$ and $T_\rho^\circ = \{\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n : p_i = p_j \iff i \sim^\rho j\}$.

Proposition 3.24. For all $\rho \in L_n$, T_ρ is a closed subvariety of \mathcal{A}_n and T_ρ° is a locally closed subspace of \mathcal{A}_n , dense in T_ρ . Furthermore, $\{T_\rho^\circ\}_{\rho \in L_n}$ forms a stratification of \mathcal{A}_n .

Proof. $T_\rho \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ is a closed subspace, since it is globally defined by the conditions $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) = 0$ for all $i \sim^\rho j$. T_ρ° is locally closed, being the intersection of T_ρ with the open sets $D(\Pi_{k\ell})$ for all $k \not\sim^\rho \ell$. The reader may verify that $\mathcal{A}_n = \bigsqcup_{\rho \in L_n} T_\rho^\circ$ and that given $\eta, \rho \in L_n$, $T_\eta^\circ \cap T_\rho^\circ \neq \emptyset$ implies that $T_\eta^\circ \subset T_\rho^\circ$.³⁹ \square

We call the stratification $\{T_\rho^\circ\}_{\rho \in L_n}$ of \mathcal{A}_n the *collision stratification*. Note that T_\perp consists of a single point, namely $[0] \in \mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$. On the other hand, T_\top° is the open dense subset of \mathcal{A}_n in which no points collide. Finally, note that by [Remark 3.22](#) this stratification can be interpreted as being indexed by the stabilizer subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n -action of [Proposition 3.21](#). Occasionally, the following notion will be useful.

Definition 3.25. Let A be a finite set. An A -marked multiscaled line is a multiscaled line $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet)$ equipped with a map $p : A \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that $p(a) =: p_a$ is a smooth point on a terminal component of Σ for all $a \in A$. $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet, p : A \rightarrow \Sigma)$ is *stable* if each terminal component contains at least one p_a and the other conditions of [Definition 3.5](#) hold.

We define complex projective isomorphism of these objects as in [Definition 3.1](#) and let \mathcal{A}_A denote the set of complex projective isomorphism classes of A -marked stable multiscaled lines. Choosing a bijection $q : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow A$ gives an identification $\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_A$ which we use to equip \mathcal{A}_A with the structure of a variety which is independent of choices up to isomorphism.⁴⁰

Proposition 3.26. For any $\rho \in L_n$, the map $\kappa_\rho : T_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{B(\rho)}$ given by

$$(\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet, p_1, \dots, p_n) \mapsto (\Sigma, p_\infty, \preceq, \omega_\bullet, \{p_{\mu(b)} : b \in B(\rho)\})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. $\kappa_\rho(\Sigma)$ is a stable $B(\rho)$ -marked multiscaled line by definition, since every terminal component contains at least one marked point. Define $\lambda_\rho : \mathcal{A}_{B(\rho)} \rightarrow T_\rho$ by attaching marked

points p_j for all $j \in b \setminus \{\mu(b)\}$ and $b \in B(\rho)$ such that $p_j = p_{\mu(b)}$ if $j \in b$. One can verify that λ_ρ and κ_ρ are mutually inverse.

Let $\Gamma \in \Delta(n)$ be given such that $U_\Gamma \cap T_\rho \neq \emptyset$ and choose indices (i_m, j_m) for Γ such that i_m and j_m are minimal in the blocks of ρ in which they lie.⁴¹ Let $\mathcal{I}(\rho) = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus (\bigcup_{b \in B(\rho)} b \setminus \mu(b))$ and observe that by definition, $\kappa_\rho(\Sigma)$ has the same underlying level tree as Σ , except that now it is marked by $\mathcal{I}(\rho)$ which is in canonical bijection with $B(\rho)$. Write the resulting $B(\rho)$ -marked level tree by Γ_- . Choose indices for $U_{\Gamma_-} \subset \mathcal{A}_{B(\rho)}$ by $i'_m = i_m$ and $j'_m = j_m$, possible by our choices above. Then, one can verify that $\kappa_\rho^*(z'_{ij}) = z_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \mathcal{I}(\rho)$ and $\kappa_\rho^*(t'_m) = t_m$ for all m .⁴² In particular, κ_ρ is an algebraic bijection between smooth varieties and consequently an isomorphism. \square

3.5. Multiscaled lines as an equivariant compactification. $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ carries a free and transitive \mathbb{G}_a^n/Δ -action. We put $G := \mathbb{G}_a^n/\Delta$ and prove that \mathcal{A}_n is a G -equivariant compactification of $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ as studied in [HT].

Given $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{G}_a^n$, and $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \omega_\bullet, p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ we define

$$a \cdot (\Sigma, \preceq, p_\infty, \omega_\bullet, p_1, \dots, p_n) := (\Sigma, \preceq, p_\infty, \omega_\bullet, p_1 + a_1, \dots, p_n + a_n).$$

Here, $p_i + a_i$ means translating the marked point p_i by a_i in its terminal component $\Sigma_{h(i)}$. This can be defined by choosing an isomorphism of the smooth locus of $\Sigma_{h(i)}$ with $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z]$ such that dz pulls back to ω . It is independent of choices up to isomorphism of Σ .

This defines an action $\mathbb{G}_a^n \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ in the category of sets which preserves dual level trees and extends the translation action of \mathbb{G}_a^n on $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$. The diagonal subgroup $\Delta \subset \mathbb{G}_a^n$ acts trivially and so this induces an action by G .

Proposition 3.27. $\mathbb{G}_a^n \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ defines an action in the category of algebraic varieties which induces a G -action with respect to which $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ is equivariant.

Proof. All of the claims follow from the above discussion except for algebraicity. Let $a \in \mathbb{G}_a^n$ be given and consider the induced map $a : U_\Gamma \rightarrow U_\Gamma$. Choose arbitrary indices for U_Γ and consider the resulting functions $\{z_{ij}, t_m\}$. One can verify that $a^*(z_{ij}) = z_{ij}$ for all i, j such that $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ is on level $m \leq \ell - 1$ and that for all m , $a^*(t_m) = t_m$. Finally, for i, j such that $h(i) = h(j)$, one has $a^*(z_{ij}) = z_{ij} + a_j - a_i$.⁴³ \square

Remark 3.28. Fixed points for the G -action are in general not isolated: one can verify that the G -fixed locus in \mathcal{A}_n is the divisor S_\top , i.e. the set of Σ for which each terminal component contains exactly one marked point.⁴⁴ Note that the \mathfrak{S}_n and G -actions do not commute.⁴⁵

4. COMPARISON WITH THE AUGMENTED WONDERFUL VARIETY

4.1. Iterated blowup constructions. In this section, we recall a construction of the augmented wonderful variety W_n as defined in [BHM⁺]. First, we recall some techniques for varieties constructed as iterated blowups from the literature [H2, L, U]. We have modified the notation slightly to be compatible with ours.

Definition 4.1. A set $\mathcal{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ of subvarieties of a nonsingular variety X is called an *arrangement* if

- (1) each H_i is smooth;
- (2) every pair H_i and H_j meets cleanly (Definition 2.15); and
- (3) $H_i \cap H_j = \emptyset$ or a disjoint union of H_ℓ .

Theorem 4.2 ([H2]). *Let X^0 be an open subset of a nonsingular algebraic variety X . Suppose $X \setminus X^0$ can be decomposed as a union $\bigcup_{i \in I} H_i$ of closed irreducible subvarieties that form an arrangement. It follows that $\mathcal{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a poset. Let r be the rank of \mathcal{H} . There is a sequence of well-defined blowups*

$$\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{H}} X \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{H}_{\leq r-1}} X \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{H}_{\leq 0}} X \rightarrow X$$

where $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{H}_{\leq 0}} X \rightarrow X$ is the blowup of X along H_i of rank 0, and, inductively, $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{H}_{\leq s}} X \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{H}_{\leq s-1}} X$ is the blowup of $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{H}_{\leq s-1}} X$ along the proper transforms of H_j of rank s , such that

- (a) $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{H}} X$ is smooth;
- (b) $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{H}} X \setminus X^0 = \bigcup_{i \in I} \widetilde{H}_i$ is a normal crossings divisor;
- (c) $\widetilde{H}_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap \widetilde{H}_{i_n}$ is nonempty if and only if H_{i_1}, \dots, H_{i_n} form a chain in the poset \mathcal{H} .
Consequently, \widetilde{H}_i and \widetilde{H}_j meet if and only if H_i and H_j are comparable.

Definition 4.3. We specialize now to \mathcal{H} as in Definition 2.14. By Lemma 2.16 this is an arrangement of varieties in the sense of Definition 4.1 inside of $\mathbb{P}(V)$. We define the *augmented wonderful variety* W_n to be the variety obtained by applying the procedure of Theorem 4.2 to \mathcal{H} . Write D_ρ for $\widetilde{H}_\rho \subset W_n$.

Remark 4.4. Definition 4.3 is equivalent to the one given in [BHM⁺], though the reader should be mindful of the fact that the “rank” in Theorem 4.2 is the corank $- 1$ in the language of [BHM⁺]. This is essentially a consequence of the choice of partial order on L_n (see Proposition 2.13, Notation 2.17, and the related discussion).

Corollary 4.5. W_n is a smooth projective variety equipped with a birational morphism $\pi : W_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$, containing $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \subset V$ such that

- (1) $W_n \setminus (\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a) = \bigcup_{\rho \in L_n^-} D_\rho$ is a simple normal crossings divisor; and
- (2) $D_{\rho_1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{\rho_k}$ is nonempty if and only if ρ_1, \dots, ρ_k form a chain in L_n .

Proof. This is all an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.2. □

4.2. The isomorphism. We first construct a morphism $f_0 : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow W_n$. There is a birational map $f_0 : \mathcal{A}_n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ given by identifying $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ with $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$. Thus,

$$\Pi_{ij} = f_0^*(P_{ij}/t) = f_0^*(P_{ij})/f_0^*(t). \quad (4)$$

Proposition 4.6. f_0 extends uniquely to a morphism of algebraic varieties $f_0 : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$.

Proof. Uniqueness is automatic if the extension exists. P_{12}, \dots, P_{1n}, t are global generators of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$. Let $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n$ be given with reducible underlying curve and write $\Gamma = \Gamma(\Sigma)$. Choose j such that $h(1) \wedge h(j)$ is the root. In particular, $\Pi_{1j} \neq 0$ on U_Γ . There is an induced rational map $f_0 : U_\Gamma \dashrightarrow D(P_{1j}) \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$. $D(P_{1j}) \cong \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\frac{t}{P_{1j}}, \frac{P_{1i}}{P_{1j}}]$ so f_0 extends to a morphism on U_Γ if the pullbacks of t/P_{1j} and the P_{1i}/P_{1j} are regular on U_Γ . $f_0^*(t/P_{1j}) = 1/\Pi_{1j}$ and $f_0^*(P_{1i}/P_{1j}) = \Pi_{1i}/\Pi_{1j}$ both of which are regular on U_Γ by our choice of j .⁴⁶ Consequently, f_0 extends to Σ . □

The rest of this section is dedicated to proving:

Theorem 4.7. *For any $n \geq 1$, there exists an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow W_n$ over $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Furthermore, for all $\rho_\bullet \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$, f maps $S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$ to $D_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$.*

Since W_n is constructed as a sequence of blowups, the proof consists of a fairly intricate induction. We construct a map $f_k : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V)$ inductively by applying [Lemma A.1](#). Then, we need to analyze the map f_k to deduce enough properties to build f_{k+1} . We begin by gathering some notation for later reference. The reader is also advised to refer to [Notation 2.17](#) and [Definition 2.20](#).

Notation 4.8. Fix $0 \leq k \leq n-2$.

- (1) $\text{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V)$ denotes the space $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{H}_{\leq k}} \mathbb{P}(V)$ in the notation of [Theorem 4.2](#). We write $\epsilon_k : \text{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{\leq k-1} \mathbb{P}(V)$ for the blowup morphism and $\epsilon_{\leq k}$ for the composite $\epsilon_1 \circ \cdots \circ \epsilon_k$.
- (2) If $\pi \in (L_n^-)_{\leq k}$, D_π is the divisor in $\text{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V)$ obtained by iterated strict transforms of H_π . This depends on k but it should be clear from the context which D_π we are referring to. Note also that this coincides with notation in [Definition 4.3](#) when $k = n-2$.
- (3) We write \widetilde{H}_π to refer to the strict transform of H_π in $\text{Bl}_{\leq j} \mathbb{P}(V)$ for $\dim \pi > j$. When $\dim \pi \leq j$, $\widetilde{H}_\pi = D_\pi$.
- (4) For $\rho_\bullet = \{\rho_1 < \cdots < \rho_\ell\} \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)_{\leq k}$, as subspaces of $\text{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V)$ put
 - (a) $D_{\rho_\bullet} = D_{\rho_1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{\rho_\ell}$
 - (b) $D_{\rho_\bullet}^k = D_{\rho_\bullet} \setminus (\bigcup_{\dim \lambda \leq k, \lambda \notin \rho_\bullet} D_\lambda)$
 - (c) $D_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ = D_{\rho_\bullet} \setminus (\bigcup_{\lambda \notin \rho_\bullet} \widetilde{H}_\lambda)$
 - (d) $S_{\rho_\bullet} = S_{\rho_1} \cap \cdots \cap S_{\rho_k}$
 - (e) $S_{\rho_\bullet}^k = S_{\rho_\bullet} \setminus (\bigcup_{\dim \lambda \leq k, \lambda \notin \rho_\bullet} S_\lambda)$
 - (f) $S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ = S_{\rho_\bullet} \setminus (\bigcup_{\lambda \notin \rho_\bullet} S_\lambda)$
 - (g) $\partial S_{\rho_\bullet} = S_{\rho_\bullet} \setminus S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$ and $\partial S_{\rho_\bullet}^k = S_{\rho_\bullet}^k \setminus S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$
 - (h) When $\ell = 1$, we write $D_{\rho_1} = D_{\rho_\bullet}$, etc.
- (5) Given $\pi < \eta < \top$ in L_n^- , we define $\mathbb{P}(N_{\pi|\eta}) = \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[s_{ij} : (i, j) \in N_{\pi|\eta}]$. For $\pi \in L_n^-$ with $\pi < \top$, we define $\mathbb{P}(N_{\pi|\top}) = \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[s_{ij}, T : (i, j) \in N_{\pi|\top}]$. Given $\pi < \eta < \top$, since $\eta > \pi$, for all $(i, j) \in N_{\eta|\top}$ we can write

$$P_{ij} = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in N_{\pi|\top}} a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} P_{\alpha\beta} \text{ where } a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Define $s_{ij} = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta)} a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} s_{\alpha\beta}$ and $Z(N_{\eta|\top}) = Z(\{s_{ij} : (i, j) \in N_{\eta|\top}\} \cup \{T\}) \subset \mathbb{P}(N_{\pi|\top})$. Also, we define $\mathbb{A}(N_{\pi|\top}) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[s_{ij}/T : (i, j) \in N_{\pi|\top}]$. In these constructions, the coordinates corresponding to pairs (i, j) are ordered lexicographically, and the coordinate corresponding to T is last.

- (6) For $\rho < \pi < \top$, put $\Pi_{\rho|\pi} = \{\Pi_{ij} : (i, j) \in N_{\rho|\pi}\}$ and $\Pi_{\rho|\top} = \{\Pi_{ij} : (i, j) \in N_{\rho|\pi}\} \cup \{1\}$, where we regard 1 as the constant function (compare with [Definition 2.20](#)). Finally, put $\Pi_{\rho|\top}^- = \{\Pi_{ij} : (i, j) \in N_{\rho|\pi}\}$.
- (7) For $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n$, and $\rho < \pi$ put

$$P_{\rho|\pi} \cdot P_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} := \begin{cases} \{P_{ij}/P_{\alpha\beta} : (i, j) \in N_{\rho|\pi}\} & \pi \neq \top \\ \{P_{ij}/P_{\alpha\beta} : (i, j) \in N_{\rho|\top}\} \cup \{t/P_{\alpha\beta}\} & \pi = \top \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Pi_{\rho|\pi} \cdot \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} := \begin{cases} \{\Pi_{ij}/\Pi_{\alpha\beta} : (i, j) \in N_{\rho|\pi}\} & \pi \neq \top \\ \{\Pi_{ij}/\Pi_{\alpha\beta} : (i, j) \in N_{\rho|\top}\} \cup \{\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}\} & \pi = \top. \end{cases}$$

- (8) Let X be a variety, $D \subset X$ a divisor, $U \subset X$ an open subset, and $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_c\} \subset \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ vanishing along $U \cap D$. If for any $x \in D$ one has $df_{i,x} \neq 0$ for some i , we write $[dF_x] := [df_{1,x} : \dots : df_{c,x}] \in \mathbb{P}^{c-1}$, where $df_{j,x}/df_{i,x} \in \mathbb{C}$ refers to the ratio of the values of $df_{j,x}$ and $df_{i,x}$ regarded elements of $(\mathcal{N}_{D|X})_x^\vee$.

Lemma 4.9. *For all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, one has $f_0^{-1}D(P_{ij}) = D(\Pi_{ij})$.*

Proof. This is immediate from (4). \square

Lemma 4.10. *For all $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$, $\bigcup_{\dim \pi \leq k} D_\pi$ is a simple normal crossings divisor in $\text{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V)$. Write $\text{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V) = U \sqcup \bigcup_{\dim \pi \leq k} \bar{D}_\pi$. Then $\{U\} \cup \{D_{\rho_\bullet}^k : \rho_\bullet \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)_{\leq k}\}$ is a stratification of $\text{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V)$.*

Proof. The simple normal crossings claim comes from applying the procedure of [Theorem 4.2](#) to the poset $\mathcal{H}_{\leq k}$. It is a general fact that a decomposition of a variety $X = U \cup D$ where $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_i$ is a simple normal crossings divisor induces a stratification of the claimed type. \square

Lemma 4.11. *For $\pi \in L_n^-$, if $\alpha \sim^\pi \beta$ and $i \not\sim^\pi j$ then $S_\pi \subset D(\Pi_{ij})$ and $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}/\Pi_{ij}$ vanishes along S_π .*

Proof. By [Lemma 3.18](#), $S_\pi = \{\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n : \pi \in \mathfrak{c}(\Sigma)\}$. So, $\pi \leq \max \mathfrak{c}(\Sigma)$ and p_i and p_j are on distinct terminal components of Σ . Therefore, $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) \neq 0$ on S_π and in fact $\Pi_{ij}^{-1}(\Sigma) = 0$. As $\alpha \sim^\pi \beta$, for all $\Sigma \in S_\pi^\circ$ we have $|\Pi_{\alpha\beta}(\Sigma)| < \infty$. Consequently, $(\Pi_{\alpha\beta}/\Pi_{ij})(\Sigma) = 0$ for all $\Sigma \in S_\pi^\circ$; however, as S_π is the closure of S_π° , the result follows. \square

Lemma 4.12. *For all $\pi \in L_n^-$, one has $f_0^{-1}(H_\pi) = \bigcup_{\rho \leq \pi} S_\rho$.*

Proof. We first prove $\bigcup_{\rho \leq \pi} S_\rho \subset f_0^{-1}(H_\pi)$. If $\Sigma \in S_\rho^\circ$ for $\rho \leq \pi$, then if $i \sim^\pi j$ and $k \not\sim^\rho \ell$, one has $k \not\sim^\pi \ell$ and thus $(\Pi_{ij}/\Pi_{k\ell})(\Sigma) = 0$ by [Lemma 4.11](#). By [Lemma 4.9](#), $\Pi_{k\ell}(\Sigma) \neq 0$ implies $f_0(\Sigma) \in D(P_{k\ell})$. So, $S_\rho^\circ \subset Z(\Pi_{ij}/\Pi_{k\ell} : i \sim^\pi j)$ and thus maps into $H_\pi \cap D(P_{k\ell})$. By closedness of H_π , it follows that $S_\rho \subset f_0^{-1}(H_\pi)$.

Suppose $\Sigma \in S_\eta \cap f_0^{-1}(H_\pi)$ and that η and π are not comparable. Write $\mu = \max \mathfrak{c}(\Sigma)$. Using [Lemma 2.7](#), choose (k, ℓ) and (α, β) such that $\alpha \sim^\pi \beta$ but $\alpha \not\sim^\eta \beta$, and $k \sim^\eta \ell$ but $k \not\sim^\pi \ell$. By [Lemma 4.11](#), $S_\eta \subset D(\Pi_{\alpha\beta})$. As $\Sigma \in f_0^{-1}(H_\pi)$, we also have $(\Pi_{\alpha\beta}/\Pi_{k\ell})(\Sigma) = 0$ where $\Pi_{k\ell}(\Sigma) \neq 0$ by [Lemma 4.9](#). Since $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}(\Sigma) \neq 0$, one has $\Pi_{k\ell}^{-1}(\Sigma) = 0$, and it follows that p_k and p_ℓ are on different components of Σ . That is: $k \sim^\eta \ell$ and $k \not\sim^\pi \ell$ implies $k \not\sim^\mu \ell$. However, this implies $\pi \leq \mu$: indeed, if $i \sim^\mu j$, then $i \sim^\eta j$ by $\eta \leq \mu$ and thus $i \sim^\pi j$, else by the previous sentence $i \not\sim^\mu j$. So, $\Sigma \in S_\mu \cap f_0^{-1}(H_\pi)$ with $\mu \geq \pi$ and it suffices to show $f_0^{-1}(H_\pi) \cap S_\eta = \emptyset$ for $\eta > \pi$.

Suppose $\eta > \pi$ and that $\Sigma \in S_\eta \cap f_0^{-1}(H_\pi)$ is given so that $\pi < \eta \leq \mu$. There exists (k, ℓ) such that $k \sim^\pi \ell$ but $k \not\sim^\mu \ell$. As H_π is covered by open sets of the form $H_\pi \cap D(P_{ij})$ for $i \not\sim^\pi j$, we may suppose $\Sigma \in f_0^{-1}(H_\pi \cap D(P_{ij}))$ for some such $i \not\sim^\pi j$ and by [Lemma 4.9](#) that $\Sigma \in D(\Pi_{ij})$. Now, $(\Pi_{k\ell}/\Pi_{ij})(\Sigma) \neq 0$ and so $\Sigma \notin f_0^{-1}(H_\pi)$, giving a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.13. *For any $\rho \in L_n^-$ of dimension k , one has $f_0^{-1}(H_\rho^\circ) = S_\rho^k$ and in particular $S_\rho^\circ \subset f_0^{-1}(H_\rho^\circ) \subset S_\rho$.*

Proof. Applying [Lemma 4.12](#), we have

$$f_0^{-1}(H_\rho^\circ) = f_0^{-1}(H_\rho \setminus \bigcup_{\chi < \rho} H_\chi) = f_0^{-1}(H_\rho) \setminus \bigcup_{\chi < \rho} f_0^{-1}(H_\chi) = \bigcup_{\chi \leq \rho} S_\rho \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\chi < \rho} \bigcup_{\eta \leq \chi} S_\eta \right) = S_\rho^k.$$

Now, as $S_\rho^\circ \subset S_\rho^k \subset S_\rho$, the result follows. \square

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\pi \in L_n^-$ be given and suppose $i \not\sim^\pi j$. By Lemma 4.11, $S_\pi \subset D(\Pi_{ij})$ so Π_{ij}^{-1} is regular in a neighborhood of S_π . For all $\Sigma \in S_\pi^\circ$, $d\Pi_{ij}^{-1}(\Sigma) \neq 0$.*

Proof. On U_π , there is a single coordinate $t = t_1$ and a pair of indices $(k, \ell) = (i_1, j_1)$ so that $\Pi_{k\ell} = 1/t_1$. Also, $S_\pi^\circ \subset U_\pi$. By a coordinate calculation, $(\Pi_{ij}/\Pi_{k\ell})(\Sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ for all $\Sigma \in S_\pi^\circ$ and $i \not\sim^\pi j$.⁴⁹ By Remark 3.15, we may choose indices (k, ℓ) such that $\{z_{ij}, t\}$ identifies U_π with the complement of a hyperplane arrangement in \mathbb{A}^{n-1} . Therefore, $dt = d(\Pi_{k\ell}^{-1})$ does not vanish at any $\Sigma \in S_\pi^\circ$ and for any $i < j$ as in the statement, the Leibniz rule gives $d(\Pi_{ij}^{-1})_\Sigma = (\Pi_{k\ell}/\Pi_{ij})(\Sigma) \cdot d(\Pi_{k\ell}^{-1})_\Sigma$ and the result follows by $(\Pi_{k\ell}/\Pi_{ij})(\Sigma) \neq 0$. \square

By default, ρ_\bullet denotes a chain $\{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell\} \subset \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$ of length ℓ . By convention we put $\rho_0 = \perp$ and $\rho_{\ell+1} = \top$.

Lemma 4.15. *The map $S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ \rightarrow \prod_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_k|\rho_{k+1}}) \times \mathbb{A}(N_{\rho_\ell|\top})$ given by*

$$\Sigma \mapsto ([\Pi_{\perp|\rho_1}(\Sigma)], \dots, [\Pi_{\rho_{\ell-1}|\rho_\ell}(\Sigma)], \Pi_{\rho_\ell|\top}^{-1}(\Sigma))$$

is well-defined and injective.

Proof. Under the correspondence of Construction 2.8 and Proposition 2.9, vertices on level $0 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$ of $\Gamma = \Gamma(\rho_\bullet)$ correspond to blocks $B \in B(\rho_k)$. Identify B with the vertex it corresponds to and let N_B denote the set of nodes connecting Σ_B to higher level components of Σ . N_B is naturally labeled as $\{n_b : b \in B(\rho_{k+1}) \text{ and } b \subset B\}$. For $\Sigma \in U_\Gamma$, let n_B be the node connecting Σ_B to the marked point of minimal index above it. $\cup_{B \in B(\rho_k)} \{(n_B, n_b) : n_b \in N_B \setminus n_B\} \rightarrow N_{\rho_k|\rho_{k+1}}$ given by $(n_B, n_b) \mapsto (\mu(B), \mu(b))$ is a bijection such that $\int_{n_B}^{n_b} \omega_B = I_{\mu(B)\mu(b)}$. In particular, for any $(i, j), (\alpha, \beta) \in N_{\rho_k|\rho_{k+1}}$ we have $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma)/\Pi_{\alpha\beta}(\Sigma) = z_{ij}/z_{\alpha\beta} = I_{ij}/I_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined and nonzero.⁵⁰ Conversely, in the notation of Lemma 3.7 for each $v \in \lambda^{-1}(k)$ and $n \in N_v \setminus \{n_v\}$, we can find $(i, j) \in N_{\rho_k|\rho_{k+1}}$ such that $\frac{1}{s_k} \cdot \int_{n_v}^n \omega_v = z_{ij}$. So, for each $v \in \lambda^{-1}(k)$ we have determined $[\int_{n_v}^n \omega_v : n \in N_v \setminus \{n_v\}]$.

In the case of $k = \ell$, all $(i, j) \in N_{\rho_\ell|\top}$ have $h(i) = h(j)$, or equivalently i, j lie in the same $b \in B(\rho_\ell)$, and $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) \in \mathbb{C}$. Consequently, we recover $\{\int_{p\mu(b)}^j \omega_b : j \in b \setminus \mu(b)\}_{b \in B(\rho_\ell)}$. By Lemma 3.7 these data determine $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n$ uniquely and injectivity follows. \square

Proposition 4.16. *There exists a morphism $f_1 : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{\leq 1} \mathbb{P}(V)$ such that*

(1) $\epsilon_1 \circ f_1 = f_0$

(2) *for all ρ of dimension 0, one has $f_1^{-1}(D_\rho) = S_\rho$ and there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_\rho & \xrightarrow{f_\rho} & D_\rho \\ & \searrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho|\top}) \end{array}$$

where f_ρ is the restriction of f_1 to S_ρ and dashed arrow is $\Sigma \mapsto \Pi_{\rho|\top}^\dagger(\Sigma)$ where

$$\Pi_{\rho|\top}^\dagger(\Sigma) = \begin{cases} [\Pi_{\rho|\top}^{-1}(\Sigma) : 0] & \Sigma \in \partial S_\rho \\ [\Pi_{\rho|\top}^{-1}(\Sigma) : 1] & \Sigma \in S_\rho^\circ. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

- (a) f_ρ restricts to an isomorphism $S_\rho^\circ \rightarrow D_\rho^\circ$
 - (b) D_ρ is mapped isomorphically onto $\mathbb{P}(N_{\rho|\top})$
 - (c) for all $\pi > \rho$, $\widetilde{H}_\pi \cap D_\rho$ corresponds under this isomorphism to $Z(N_{\pi|\top}) \subset \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho|\top})$
- (3) for all π with $\dim \pi = 1$, one has $f_1^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_\pi) = S_\pi$.

Proof. (1) Consider $\epsilon_1 : \text{Bl}_{\leq 1} \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ and $\rho \in L_n^-$ of dimension 0. $H_\rho \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ is a point by Lemma 2.16 and by Lemma 4.12, $f_0^{-1}(H_\rho) = S_\rho$. Each S_ρ is a smooth divisor in \mathcal{A}_n , so by Lemma A.1 there is an induced morphism $f_1 : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{\leq 1} \mathbb{P}(V)$ such that $\epsilon_1 \circ f_1 = f_0$.

(2) By definition, $D_\rho = \epsilon_1^{-1}(H_\rho)$. Since $P(N_{\rho|\top})$ is a minimal set of linear equations defining H_ρ by Lemma 2.21, one has $D_\rho = \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho|\top})$ by Lemma A.6. Restricting f_1 gives $f_\rho : S_\rho \rightarrow D_\rho = \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho|\top})$, whence (b). Given $i \not\sim^\rho j$, a minimal set of equations for H_ρ is $P_{\rho|\top} \cdot P_{ij}^{-1} = 0$ on $D(P_{ij})$. This pulls back along f_0 to $\Pi_{\rho|\top} \cdot \Pi_{ij}^{-1} = 0$ in $D(\Pi_{ij}) \supset S_\rho^\circ$.

So, by Lemma A.2, $f_\rho(\Sigma) = [d(\Pi_{\rho|\top} \cdot \Pi_{ij}^{-1})_\Sigma]$. Since $d\Pi_{ij}^{-1}$ does not vanish on S_ρ° by Lemma 4.14, Lemma A.2 implies $f_1|_{S_\rho^\circ}(\Sigma) = [\Pi_{\pi|\top}^{-1}(\Sigma) : 1]$. By Lemma 4.15, this is an isomorphism and (a) follows. For $\Sigma \in \partial S_\pi$, $\mathbf{c}(\Sigma) = \{\pi < \eta < \dots\}$ and so on $U_{\Gamma(\Sigma)}$ one sees $\Pi_{ij}^{-1} = t_2 \cdots t_\ell / z_{ij}$ and by Corollary 3.13 it follows that $(d\Pi_{ij}^{-1})_\Sigma = 0$. As a consequence, $\partial S_\pi \rightarrow Z(T) \subset \mathbb{P}(N_{\pi|\top})$ via the formula $\Sigma \mapsto [\Pi_{\pi|\top}^{-1}(\Sigma) : 0]$ by Lemma A.2. Next, for $\pi > \rho$, by Lemma A.6 one has $\widetilde{H}_\pi \cap D_\rho = Z(N_{\pi|\top}) \subset \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho|\top})$, yielding (c).

(3) Suppose $\dim \pi = 1$. By Lemma 2.19, $H_\pi = H_\pi^\circ \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\rho < \pi} H_\rho^\circ$ and so $\widetilde{H}_\pi = \epsilon_1^{-1}(H_\pi^\circ) \cup \bigcup_{\rho < \pi} \widetilde{H}_\pi \cap D_\rho$. By Lemma 4.13, $S_\pi^\circ \subset f_0^{-1}(H_\pi^\circ) = f_1^{-1}(\epsilon_1^{-1}(H_\pi^\circ)) \subset S_\pi$. The explicit description of f_ρ implies⁵¹

$$f_1^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_\pi \cap D_\rho) = \left\{ \Sigma \in \partial S_\rho \mid \frac{\Pi_{\alpha\beta}}{\Pi_{ij}}(\Sigma) = 0 \text{ for all } (\alpha, \beta) \in N_{\pi|\top}, (i, j) \in N_{\rho|\pi} \right\}.$$

Note that $N_{\rho|\pi} = \{(i, j)\}$ is a singleton, since $\dim \pi - \dim \rho = 1$. We prove that $f_1^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_\pi \cap D_\rho) \subset S_\pi$. For this, consider $\Sigma \in f_1^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_\pi \cap D_\rho)$. As $\Sigma \in \partial S_\rho$ and $\dim \rho = 0$, $\mathbf{c}(\Sigma) = \{\rho < \eta < \dots\}$. By hypothesis, $i \sim^\rho j$, so $p_i \wedge p_j$ in $\Gamma(\Sigma)$ is on the level of ρ or above. Also, as $\rho < \pi$, if $\alpha \sim^\pi \beta$ then $p_\alpha \wedge p_\beta$ is at or above the level of ρ . Since $(\Pi_{\alpha\beta}/\Pi_{ij})(\Sigma) = 0$, $p_\alpha \wedge p_\beta$ is at a strictly higher level of the tree than ρ .⁵² In particular, for all $\alpha \sim^\pi \beta$ we see $p_\alpha \wedge p_\beta$ is above $p_i \wedge p_j$. Consequently, $\alpha \sim^\eta \beta$ and thus $\eta \leq \pi$. However, as $\dim \pi = 1$, it follows that $\eta = \pi$. Therefore, $\Sigma \in S_\pi$.

Finally, since $f_1^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_\pi \cap D_\rho) \subset S_\pi$, $f_1^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_\pi)$ is a closed codimension zero subspace of S_π , whence $f_1^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_\pi) = S_\pi$ by irreducibility of S_π .⁵³ This gives (3). \square

Hypotheses 4.17. For $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$, consider $P(k)$:

- (1)_k There exist morphisms $f_j : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{\leq j} \mathbb{P}(V)$ for all $0 \leq j \leq k$ such that $\epsilon_j \circ f_j = f_{j-1}$.
- (2)_k For all $\rho \in (L_n^-)_{\leq k}$, $f_k^{-1}(D_\rho) = S_\rho$ and as a consequence for all $\rho_\bullet \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)_{\leq k}$, $f_k^{-1}(D_{\rho_\bullet}) = S_{\rho_\bullet}$ and $f_k^{-1}(D_{\rho_\bullet}^k) = S_{\rho_\bullet}^k$. Write $f_{\rho_\bullet}^k$ for the restriction of f_k to $S_{\rho_\bullet}^k$. There is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{\rho_\bullet}^k & \xrightarrow{f_{\rho_\bullet}^k} & D_{\rho_\bullet}^k \\ & \searrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \text{open} \\ & & \prod_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}) \end{array} \quad (5)$$

such that the dashed arrow is $\Sigma \mapsto ([\Pi_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}(\Sigma)]_{i=0}^{\ell-1}, \Pi_{\rho_\ell|\top}^\dagger(\Sigma))$ where

$$\Pi_{\rho_\ell|\top}^\dagger(\Sigma) = \begin{cases} [\Pi_{\rho_\ell|\top}^-(\Sigma) : 0] & \Sigma \in \partial S_{\rho_\bullet}^k \\ [\Pi_{\rho_\ell|\top}^-(\Sigma) : 1] & \Sigma \in S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

- (a)_k $f_{\rho_\bullet}^k$ restricts to an isomorphism $S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ \rightarrow D_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$;
 - (b)_k $D_{\rho_\bullet}^k$ is mapped isomorphically onto $\prod_{i=0}^{\ell} U_i$ where U_i is the complement of a linear subspace arrangement in $\mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}})$ for each i ; and
 - (c)_k for any $\pi > \max(\rho_\bullet)$, $\widetilde{H}_\pi \cap D_{\rho_\bullet}^k$ corresponds to $(\prod_{i=0}^{\ell-1} U_i) \times Z(N_{\pi|\top} \cap U_\ell) \subset \prod_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}})$.
- (3)_k For all π of dimension $k+1$, one has $f_k^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_\pi) = S_\pi$.

By [Proposition 4.16](#), $P(1)$ holds. We will show $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$.

Lemma 4.18. *If $P(k)$ holds then (1)_{k+1} holds, in particular there is a map $f_{k+1} : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{\leq k+1} \mathbb{P}(V)$ such that $\epsilon_{k+1} \circ f_{k+1} = f_k$.*

Proof. (1)_{k+1} follows from the definition of ϵ_{k+1} , (3)_k, and [Lemma A.1](#).⁵⁴ □

Lemma 4.19. *If $P(k)$ holds then for all $\pi \in (L_n^-)_{\leq k+1}$, one has $f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_\pi) = S_\pi$. Furthermore, for all $\rho_\bullet \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)_{\leq k+1}$, one has $f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_{\rho_\bullet}) = S_{\rho_\bullet}$ and $f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}) = S_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}$.*

Proof. By (3)_k, for all π of rank $k+1$, $f_k^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_\pi) = S_\pi$ and since $\epsilon_{k+1}^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_\pi) = D_\pi$, one has $f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_\pi) = S_\pi$.⁵⁵ Suppose next that π is of rank $\leq k$. If $f_{k+1}(\Sigma) \in \widetilde{D}_\pi$, then $f_k(\Sigma) = \epsilon_{k+1}(f_{k+1}(\Sigma)) \in \epsilon_{k+1}(\widetilde{D}_\pi) = D_\pi$. By (2)_k, $\Sigma \in S_\pi$ and so $f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_\pi) \subset S_\pi$. Because $\widetilde{D}_\pi \rightarrow D_\pi$ is an isomorphism over an open set of D_π , it follows that $f_{k+1}^{-1}(\widetilde{D}_\pi)$ contains an open subset of S_π and consequently equals S_π . For $\rho_\bullet \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)_{\leq k+1}$ since $D_{\rho_\bullet} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} D_{\rho_i}$, one has $f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_{\rho_\bullet}) = S_{\rho_\bullet}$. Similarly, $D_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} = D_{\rho_\bullet} \setminus (\cup_{\dim \lambda \leq k+1, \lambda \notin \rho_\bullet} D_\lambda)$ now implies that $f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}) = S_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}$. □

Lemma 4.20. *If $P(k)$ holds then for all $\rho_\bullet = \{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell\} \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)_{\leq k+1}$ there is a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}} & D_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} \\ & \searrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \text{open} \\ & & \prod_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}) \end{array} \quad (6)$$

such that $f_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}$ is the restriction of f_{k+1} to $S_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}$ and the dashed arrow is given by $\Sigma \mapsto ([\Pi_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}(\Sigma)]_{i=0}^{\ell-1}, \Pi_{\rho_\ell|\top}^\dagger(\Sigma))$ where $\Pi_{\rho_\ell|\top}^\dagger(\Sigma)$ is defined as in [Hypotheses 4.17](#). Furthermore, (a)_{k+1}, (b)_{k+1}, and (c)_{k+1} hold.

Proof. We break the proof into a series of steps. By [Lemma 4.19](#), f_{k+1} restricts to a map $f_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} : S_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} \rightarrow D_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}$.

Step 1: The result holds when $\dim \rho_\ell \leq k$.

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Bl}_{\leq k+1} \mathbb{P}(V) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{k+1}} & \mathrm{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V) & & \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
D_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} & \xrightarrow{\text{open}} & D_{\rho_\bullet}^k & \xrightarrow{\text{open}} & \prod_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}) \\
f_{k+1} \uparrow & & f_k \uparrow & \nearrow & \\
S_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} & \xrightarrow{\text{open}} & S_{\rho_\bullet}^k & &
\end{array}$$

The “open” arrows are open immersions, the middle one being the restriction of ϵ_{k+1} away from the exceptional locus, the middle right by applying $(b)_k$, and the bottom by $S_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} \subset S_{\rho_\bullet}^k$ being an open subspace. The description of the composite map $S_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} \rightarrow \prod_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}})$, $(a)_{k+1}$, and $(c)_{k+1}$ now follow from $(a)_k$ and $(c)_k$ respectively. $(b)_{k+1}$ also follows, except that the U_ℓ obtained by induction must be shrunk by removing $U_\ell \cap Z(N_{\pi|\top})$ for all $\pi > \rho_\ell$ of dimension $k+1$.

Step 2: Assume now $\dim \rho_\ell = k+1$. We show that $(b)_{k+1}$ and $(c)_{k+1}$ hold.

By [U, Lem. 1], any pair from $\{D_\pi : \mathrm{rk}(\pi) \leq k\} \cup \{\widetilde{H}_\pi : \mathrm{rk}(\pi) > k\}$ intersects cleanly in $\mathrm{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V)$. Thus, applying Lemma A.7, D_{ρ_\bullet} in $\mathrm{Bl}_{\leq k+1} \mathbb{P}(V)$ is the strict transform of $D_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}} \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V)$ intersected with D_{ρ_ℓ} which is the exceptional divisor associated to H_{ρ_ℓ} . Write $\widetilde{H}_{\rho_\ell}$ for the strict transform of H_{ρ_ℓ} in $\mathrm{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V)$, put $Z_{\rho_\bullet} := D_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap \widetilde{H}_{\rho_\ell} \hookrightarrow D_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k$, and consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \widetilde{D}_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap D_{\rho_\ell} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & E_\ell \\
& \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap S_{\rho_\ell} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Z_{\rho_\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\prod_{i=0}^{\ell-2} U_i) \times Z(N_{\rho_\ell|\top}) \cap U_{\ell-1} \\
\downarrow & \square & \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\
S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \prod_{i=0}^{\ell-1} U_i =: U_{\leq \ell-1}.
\end{array}$$

The isomorphism $D_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \rightarrow U_{\leq \ell-1}$ is by $(b)_k$. Commutativity of the bottom right square follows from $(c)_k$. $\widetilde{D}_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap D_{\rho_\ell}$ is identified with the exceptional divisor of $\mathrm{Bl}_{Z_{\rho_\bullet}}(D_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k)$ by Lemma A.3. The bottom right square induces an isomorphism from $\widetilde{D}_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap D_{\rho_\ell}$ to the blowup of $U_{\leq \ell-1}$ along ι . This isomorphism identifies the exceptional divisors as indicated by the top dotted arrow, where E_ℓ denotes the exceptional divisor of $\mathrm{Bl}_\iota(U_{\leq \ell-1})$.

By $(c)_k$, $\mathrm{Bl}_\iota(U_{\leq \ell-1})$ is the blowup of $U_{\leq \ell-1}$ along $U'_{\leq \ell-1}$, where $U'_{\leq \ell-1} := U_{\leq \ell-2} \times U'_{\ell-1}$ and $U'_{\ell-1} := Z(N_{\rho_\ell|\top}) \cap U_{\ell-1}$. By Lemma A.6, E_ℓ is identified with $U'_{\leq \ell-1} \times \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_\ell|\top})$.

By $(c)_k$ and Lemma A.6, for all $\pi > \rho_\ell$, \widetilde{H}_π intersects $\widetilde{D}_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap D_{\rho_\ell}$ in the locus corresponding to $U'_{\leq \ell-1} \times Z(N_{\pi|\top})$, whence $(c)_{k+1}$.

Now, remove \widetilde{H}_π for all $\dim \pi = k+1$ to form $U'_\ell \subset \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_\ell|\top})$, and $D_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} \cong U'_{\leq \ell-1} \times U'_\ell$ via the restricted map $D_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} \rightarrow U'_{\leq \ell-1} \times \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_\ell|\top})$, whence $(b)_{k+1}$.

Step 3: Constructing the induced map $S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap S_{\rho_\ell} \rightarrow \widetilde{D}_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap D_{\rho_\ell}$.

By [Lemma A.1](#), it suffices to show that the bottom left diagram is Cartesian. The composite map $\gamma : S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \rightarrow U_{\leq \ell-1}$ is $\gamma(\Sigma) = ([\Pi_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}(\Sigma)]_{i=0}^{\ell-2}, \Pi_{\rho_{\ell-1}|\top}^{\dagger}(\Sigma))$ by [\(2\)_k](#). Suppose $\Sigma \in \gamma^{-1}(U'_{\leq \ell-1})$. As $U'_{\ell-1} \subset Z(T)$, $\gamma(\Sigma) = ([\Pi_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}(\Sigma)]_{i=0}^{\ell-2}, [\Pi_{\rho_{\ell-1}|\top}^-(\Sigma) : 0])$.

By [\(a\)_k](#), $\Sigma \in \partial S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k$ and there exists $(i, j) \in N_{\rho_{\ell-1}|\top}$ such that $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) \neq 0$ and $(\Pi_{\alpha\beta}/\Pi_{ij})(\Sigma) = 0$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in N_{\rho_{\ell}|\top}$. Write $\mathfrak{c}(\Sigma) = \{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_{\ell-1} < \eta < \dots\}$. By definition, $i \sim^{\rho_{\ell-1}} j$ so $p_i \wedge p_j$ is on the level of $\rho_{\ell-1}$ or above. Since $\rho_{\ell-1} < \rho_{\ell}$, if $\alpha \sim^{\rho_{\ell}} \beta$, then $p_{\alpha} \wedge p_{\beta}$ is on or above the level of $\rho_{\ell-1}$. $(\Pi_{\alpha\beta}/\Pi_{ij})(\Sigma) = 0$, so $p_{\alpha} \wedge p_{\beta}$ is at a strictly higher level of $\Gamma(\Sigma)$ than $\rho_{\ell-1}$. Thus, for all $\alpha \sim^{\rho_{\ell}} \beta$, $p_{\alpha} \wedge p_{\beta}$ is above $p_i \wedge p_j$. Therefore, $\alpha \sim^{\rho_{\ell}} \beta$ and it follows that $\eta \leq \rho_{\ell}$. If $\eta = \rho_{\ell}$, then $\Sigma \in S_{\rho_{\ell}}$ and we are done. If $\eta < \rho_{\ell}$, we proceed, noting that $\dim \eta < \dim \rho_{\ell} = k + 1$, and consequently $\Sigma \in S_{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_{\ell-1} < \eta}^{\dim \eta}$. So, by [Lemma 4.19](#), $\Sigma \in f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_{\ell-1} < \eta}^{\dim \eta} \cap \widetilde{H}_{\rho_{\ell}})$. Repeating the same argument using the fact that $\dim \rho_{\ell} - \dim \eta$ decreases at each iteration yields the result. It follows that $\gamma^{-1}(U'_{\leq \ell-1}) = S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap S_{\rho_{\ell}}$.

Step 4: By [Lemma A.5](#), $S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap S_{\rho_{\ell}} \rightarrow \widetilde{D}_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{k-1}}^k \cap D_{\rho_k}$ is the restriction of f_{k+1} . We have thus constructed maps $S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap S_{\rho_{\ell}} \rightarrow D_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{k+1} \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}})$, where the second arrow is an open immersion. Denote the restricted map $r : S_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{k+1} \rightarrow \prod_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}})$

Step 5: $r(\Sigma) = ([\Pi_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}(\Sigma)]_{i=0}^{\ell-1}, \Pi_{\rho_{\ell}|\top}^{\dagger}(\Sigma))$.

$\gamma : S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k \cap S_{\rho_{\ell}} \rightarrow U'_{\leq \ell-1}$ is $\gamma(\Sigma) = ([\Pi_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}(\Sigma)]_{i=0}^{\ell-2}, [\Pi_{\rho_{\ell-1}|\top}^-(\Sigma) : 0])$. $U'_{\leq \ell-1}$ is covered by $\{V_{ij} = D(s_{ij}) \cap U'_{\leq \ell-1} : (i, j) \in N_{\rho_{\ell-1}|\rho_{\ell}}\}$. We claim

$$r(\Sigma) = \begin{cases} [\Pi_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}(\Sigma)]_{i=0}^{\ell-1}, [\Pi_{\rho_{\ell}|\top}^-(\Sigma) : 0] & \Sigma \in \partial S_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{k+1} \cap \gamma^{-1}(V_{ij}) \\ [\Pi_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}(\Sigma)]_{i=0}^{\ell-1}, [\Pi_{\rho_{\ell}|\top}^-(\Sigma) : 1] & \Sigma \in S_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{\circ} \cap \gamma^{-1}(V_{ij}). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

One verifies that $\gamma^*(T/s_{ij}) = \Pi_{ij}^{-1}$ and so $Z(N_{\rho_{\ell}|\top}) \subset U_{\leq \ell-1}$ pulls back under γ to $\Pi_{\rho_{\ell}|\top} \cdot \Pi_{ij}^{-1} = 0$.⁵⁶ Now, a calculation using [Corollary 3.13](#) implies $Z(d(\Pi_{ij}^{-1})) \cap \gamma^{-1}(V_{ij}) = \partial S_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{k+1} \cap \gamma^{-1}(V_{ij})$.⁵⁷ The claim now follows from [Lemma A.2](#).

Step 6: We verify [\(a\)_{k+1}](#).

$D_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{\circ}$ corresponds to $D(T) \subset U'_{\leq \ell-1} \times \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_{\ell}|\top})$ ⁵⁸ and by [\(7\)](#) it follows that $(f_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{k+1})^{-1}(D_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{\circ}) = S_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{\circ}$. The isomorphism claim is now immediate by [\(7\)](#) and [Lemma 4.15](#). \square

Lemma 4.21. For all π of dimension $k + 2$, $f_{k+1}^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_{\pi}) = S_{\pi}$.

Proof. $\widetilde{H}_{\pi} = \epsilon_{\leq k+1}(H_{\pi}^{\circ}) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{\rho_{\bullet} \in \mathfrak{Ch}_{\leq k+1}} D_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{k+1} \cap \widetilde{H}_{\pi})$ by [Lemma 4.10](#).⁵⁹ Thus, $f_{k+1}^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_{\pi}) = f_0^{-1}(H_{\pi}^{\circ}) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\rho_{\bullet} \in \mathfrak{Ch}_{\leq k+1}} f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{k+1} \cap \widetilde{H}_{\pi})$. By [Lemma 4.12](#), we have $f_0^{-1}(H_{\pi}^{\circ}) = S_{\pi}^{k+2}$. In particular, $f_0^{-1}(H_{\pi}^{\circ})$ contains S_{π}° so $f_{k+1}^{-1}(\widetilde{H}_{\pi}) \supset S_{\pi}$ by closedness. It remains to show that for all $\rho_{\bullet} \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)_{\leq k+1}$ one has $f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_{\rho_{\bullet}}^{k+1} \cap \widetilde{H}_{\pi}) \subset S_{\pi}$. For this, apply the argument in the penultimate paragraph of the proof of [Lemma 4.20](#), replacing the chain $\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_{\ell-1}$ by $\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_{\ell}$, k by $k + 1$, $\rho_{\ell} - 1$ by ρ_{ℓ} , and ρ_{ℓ} by π .⁶⁰ \square

Corollary 4.22. For all $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$, $P(k) \implies P(k + 1)$.

Proof. This is a combination of [Lemma 4.18](#), [Lemma 4.20](#), and [Lemma 4.21](#). \square

Proof of Theorem 4.7. Applying [Corollary 4.22](#), $P(n - 1)$ holds, so there exists a morphism $f := f_{n-1} : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow W_n$ over $\mathbb{P}(V)$ such that for any $\rho_{\bullet} \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$, f restricts to an isomorphism

$S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ \rightarrow D_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$. As $f : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow W_n$ is a birational morphism of proper and irreducible varieties, it is surjective. We only need to verify injectivity, but this follows from $\mathcal{A}_n = \bigsqcup_{\rho_\bullet \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)} S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$, injectivity of f restricted to S_{ρ_\bullet} , and disjointness of the $D_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ \subset W_n$. \square

4.3. Consequences of the isomorphism.

Corollary 4.23. \mathcal{A}_n is projective.

Proof. W_n is projective, being a sequence of blowups of $\mathbb{P}(V)$. \square

By [Theorem 4.7](#) we can obtain a precise description of the generators and relations for the Chow ring $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{A}_n)$ using the results of [\[BHM⁺\]](#). The authors define the *augmented Chow ring* of a matroid M as follows [\[BHM⁺, p.4\]](#). S_M is the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[y_e : e \in E] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[x_F : F \text{ is a proper flat of } M]$ and $\text{CH}(M) = S_M/(I_M + J_M)$ where

- (1) I_M is the ideal generated by $y_e - \sum_{e \notin F} x_F$ for each $e \in E$; and
- (2) J_M is the ideal generated by
 - (a) $x_{F_1}x_{F_2}$ for any pair of incomparable flats of M ; and
 - (b) $y_e x_F$ for any $e \in E$ and any proper flat F of M such that $e \notin F$.

Note that by convention the empty set is a proper flat.

When M is realizable, one can construct a variety X_M via an explicit blowup of a subspace arrangement of $\mathbb{P}(V \oplus \mathbb{C})$, for some complex vector space V , such that $\text{CH}(M) \cong \text{CH}^*(X_M)$; here, the right hand side is the Chow ring of the algebraic variety X_M and the isomorphism is as graded rings. X_M is called the *augmented wonderful variety* associated to the matroid M [\[BHM⁺, pp. 16-17\]](#).

The graphical matroid $M_n = M(K_n)$, as introduced in [Example 2.12](#) is our main example. The associated augmented wonderful variety is W_n that we have been considering. The lattice of flats of M_n is identified with L_n as in [Proposition 2.13](#). The whole set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ regarded as a flat corresponds to \perp . E is the edge set of K_n , and can be identified with $\{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. As such, we write

$$S_M = \mathbb{Q}[y_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[x_\rho : \rho \in L_n^-].$$

Corollary 4.24. $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{A}_n) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x_\rho : \rho \in L_n^-]/I$, where I is the ideal of relations generated by

- (1) $x_\rho x_\pi$ for π and ρ not comparable; and
- (2) $x_\pi \cdot \sum_{\rho: i \not\sim^\pi j} x_\rho$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ such that $i \not\sim^\pi j$.

Furthermore, under the isomorphism x_ρ corresponds to the class of S_ρ for all $\rho \in L_n^-$ and 1 to the class of $S_\perp = \mathcal{A}_n$.

Proof. We rewrite $\text{CH}(M_n) = S_M/(I_M + J_M) \cong \frac{S_M/I_M}{(I_M + J_M)/I_M}$ to obtain $\text{CH}(M_n) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x_\rho : \rho \in L_n^-]/I$.⁶¹ Under the isomorphism $\text{CH}(M_n) \rightarrow \text{CH}^*(W_n)$, x_ρ maps to the class of the divisor D_ρ and $1 \mapsto \mathcal{A}_n$ (see [\[BHM⁺, Rem. 2.13\]](#)) and the result follows from [Theorem 4.7](#). \square

5. MULTISCALED LINES AS A RESOLUTION OF SINGULARITIES

5.1. Comparison of moduli problems. In this section, we connect \overline{P}_n as defined in [\[Z1\]](#) to \mathcal{A}_n . We first recall the definition of \overline{P}_n .

Theorem 5.1. ([\[Z1\], Thm. 1.5](#)) Let F be the functor which associates to each Noetherian scheme S the set of all collections of data as follows, modulo isomorphism:

- a genus 0 prestable curve $\pi : C \rightarrow S$
- smooth sections $x_1, \dots, x_n, p_\infty : S \rightarrow C$ of π (possibly not disjoint); and
- an \mathcal{O}_C -module homomorphism $\phi : \omega_{C/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$

such that:

- (1) ϕ factors through the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_C(-2p_\infty(S)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$;
- (2) $x_i^* \phi : x_i^* \omega_{C/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ is an isomorphism for $i = 1, \dots, n$; and
- (3) the natural stability condition holds: for any geometric point $\bar{s} \rightarrow S$,
 - (a) with the possible exception of the component which contains $p_{\infty, \bar{s}}$, no irreducible component of $C_{\bar{s}}$ intersects exactly two other components;
 - (b) any irreducible component of $C_{\bar{s}}$ which intersects exactly one other irreducible component contains at least one of the points $x_{1, \bar{s}}, \dots, x_{n, \bar{s}}$ but not the point $p_{\infty, \bar{s}}$.

Then F is represented by a projective locally complete intersection flat geometrically integral scheme over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$.

There exists a scheme, denoted \bar{P}_n , representing F by [Theorem 5.1](#). We will often work over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ and write $\bar{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for the set of \mathbb{C} -points of \bar{P}_n regarded as a complex projective variety. We refer to these objects as *scaled curves*. There is an open dense subset of $\bar{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ where the points correspond to irreducible scaled curves. As in §3, this locus is identified with $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ (see [Corollary 5.9](#)).

The underlying curve of any $C \in \bar{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is genus 0 and so its dual graph, $\Gamma(C)$, is actually a tree. There is a canonical choice of root for the tree corresponding to the component on which p_∞ lies. There is also a notion of “distance” of a component from the root, given by how many nodes separate them. Thus, it makes sense to call an irreducible component of C *terminal* if it is not connected to an irreducible component further from the root (see §2). We call a component *intermediate* if it is neither the root component nor terminal. Note, however, that in general $\Gamma(C)$ does not possess a level structure \preceq . We regard the root component as the “bottom” of the tree and the terminal components as the “top.”

Recall that for any smooth subcurve $C' \subset C$ containing nodes n_1, \dots, n_k , $\omega_C|_{C'} \cong \omega_{C'}(n_1 + \dots + n_k)$ (see [\[HM, p.82\]](#)).⁶² We next describe the points of $\bar{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (see also [\[Z1\]](#)).

Lemma 5.2. *Consider $(C, p_\infty, s, p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \bar{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$, where $s \in \Gamma(C, \omega_C^\vee(-2p_\infty))$ is the logarithmic vector field corresponding to $\phi : \omega_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$.*

- (1) s restricts to the zero section on every non-terminal component of C ; and
- (2) on every terminal component s vanishes only at the node connecting that component to the rest of the tree (or at p_∞ if C is irreducible).

Proof. If $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, then $\omega_C^\vee(-2p_\infty) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$. Since $s(p_i) \neq 0$ for all i , it cannot vanish anywhere besides p_∞ . So, (1) and (2) hold. Suppose the length of the dual tree is ≥ 1 . There is a root component C_0 containing p_∞ with $k \geq 1$ ascending nodes n_1, \dots, n_k . $\omega_C^\vee(-2p_\infty)|_{C_0} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(-k)$ and as $k \geq 1$, $\mathcal{O}_{C_0}(-k)$ has no nonzero global sections, so $s|_{C_0} \equiv 0$.

Next, suppose C_μ is intermediate. C_μ contains a node n^+ connecting it to a higher component and a node n^- connecting it to a lower component. Since C_μ has at least 2 special points, $\omega_C^\vee(-2p_\infty)|_{C_\mu} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_\mu}(2-k)$ for $k \geq 2$ and there are only constant global sections. By inducting on the distance from the root component, we have $s(n) = 0$ and $s|_{C_\mu} \equiv 0$. Finally, suppose C_τ is terminal. C_τ contains only one node, n , connecting it to a lower component

and so $s(n) = 0$. Since C_τ contains only one node, $\omega_C^\vee(-2p_\infty)|_{C_\tau} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_\tau}(1)$. $s|_{C_\tau}$ cannot vanish at any other point, or else it would be identically zero, contradicting $s(p_i) \neq 0$, where there exists a $p_i \in C_\tau$ by stability. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let $(C, p_\infty, s, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be a stable scaled line. Every intermediate component C_μ is connected to at least two higher components.*

Proof. $s|_{C_\mu} \equiv 0$ by Lemma 5.2 and it follows from the stability assumption that C_μ must contain at least 3 special points. However, as $s(p_i) \neq 0$ on the marked points p_i , and p_∞ lies on the root component, there must be at least 3 node points on C_μ . One of these nodes must connect to an irreducible component closer to the root than C_μ . If two nodes have this property, then we the dual graph contains a loop, contradicting $g(C) = 0$. \square

$\overline{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is smooth for $n \leq 3$, however for $n \geq 4$ it is mildly singular [Z2]. One of the main results of [Z2] is to construct a resolution of singularities of $\overline{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$. In the following theorem, W_n is the augmented wonderful variety introduced in §4.

Theorem 5.4. ([Z2], Thm. 1.2) *There exists a small resolution of singularities $\gamma : W_n \rightarrow \overline{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ if $n \geq 4$.*

We now relate $\overline{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ to \mathcal{A}_n by reinterpreting the moduli functor in terms of meromorphic differentials, which we now define. Let X denote a scheme and \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf on X . Consider the rank 1 projective bundle $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow X$. If $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is given, a morphism $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L})$ over X is equivalent to an invertible sheaf \mathcal{F} on Y and a surjective morphism of sheaves $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ [H1, II.7.12]. Thus, sections of π correspond to invertible sheaves \mathcal{F} on X with a surjection $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Write $\text{Hom}_X^\pi(X, \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}))$ for the space of sections of π . Specifying $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ is equivalent to giving a morphism $s : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. We obtain an injection $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_X^\pi(X, \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}))$ by sending $s \mapsto (s, \text{id}) \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$.

Definition 5.5. Given a scheme X and $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$, we call $s \in \text{Hom}_X^\pi(X, \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_X))$ a *meromorphic section* of \mathcal{L} . The meromorphic section $s_0^\mathcal{L}$ of \mathcal{L} corresponding to $(\text{id}, 0) \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is called the *zero section*, while $s_\infty^\mathcal{L} = (0, \text{id}) \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ is called the *section at infinity*. For a related definition in a similar context, see [GSW, §2].

A line bundle quotient $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ corresponds to a line bundle quotient of $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}^\vee$ by twisting by \mathcal{L}^\vee . This also gives a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}^\vee)$.

Lemma 5.6. *The bijection between line quotients of $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$ and those of $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}^\vee$ gives a bijection between meromorphic sections of \mathcal{L} and meromorphic sections of \mathcal{L}^\vee . Under this bijection, $s_0^\mathcal{L}$ corresponds to $s_\infty^{\mathcal{L}^\vee}$ and $s_\infty^\mathcal{L}$ to $s_0^{\mathcal{L}^\vee}$.*

Proof. The first claim is true by the description of meromorphic sections in terms of line bundle quotients. For the second claim, we have $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}^\vee \oplus \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{L}^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}^\vee, \mathcal{L}^\vee)$ and under these maps $s_0^\mathcal{L} = (\text{id}, 0) \mapsto (\text{id}, 0) \mapsto (0, \text{id}) = s_\infty^{\mathcal{L}^\vee}$. \square

Definition 5.7. Given a $C \rightarrow S$ flat family of prestable nodal curves,

- (1) a meromorphic section ω of $\omega_{C/S}$ is called a *meromorphic differential* on $C \rightarrow S$.
- (2) For a smooth section $x : S \rightarrow C$ of π , we say ω has a *pole* of order $n \geq 1$ along x if the corresponding meromorphic section of $\omega_{C/S}^\vee$ vanishes to order n along x . I.e., if the induced map $\omega^\vee : \omega_{C/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ factors through $\mathcal{O}_C(-nx(S)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$.

Proposition 5.8. *The following two set-valued functors on Sch are isomorphic: $S \in \text{Sch} \mapsto$ flat families of arithmetic genus 0 curves $\pi : C \rightarrow S$ equipped with*

- (1) *a meromorphic section of $\omega_{C/S}^\vee$;*
- (2) *a meromorphic section of $\omega_{C/S}$.*

Suppose given a smooth section $x : S \rightarrow C$ of π . Under this correspondence, a meromorphic section of $\omega_{C/S}$ having a pole of order $n \geq 1$ along x corresponds to a meromorphic section of $\omega_{C/S}^\vee$ vanishing to order n along x .

Proof. Denote the functor of (1) by Ω^\vee and that of (2) by Ω . For $S \in \text{Sch}$, define $\Omega^\vee(S) \rightarrow \Omega(S)$ by sending a line bundle quotient $\omega_{C/S}^\vee \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \omega_{C/S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_{C/S}$. Functoriality of formation of the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{C/S}$ implies that this is an isomorphism of functors. \square

Corollary 5.9. *The functor F of [Theorem 5.1](#) is equivalent to the functor F^\vee associating to each scheme S the set of isomorphism classes of the following data:*

- *a genus 0 prestable curve $\pi : C \rightarrow S$;*
- *smooth sections $x_1, \dots, x_n : S \rightarrow C$ of π (not assumed disjoint); and*
- *a nonvanishing meromorphic section ω of $\omega_{C/S}$,*

such that

- (1) *ω has an order 2 pole along $p_\infty(S)$;*
- (2) *ω does not have a pole along any of the sections x_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$; and*
- (3) *the following stability condition holds: for any geometric point $\bar{s} \rightarrow S$,*
 - (a) *with the possible exception of the component containing $p_{\infty, \bar{s}}$, no irreducible component of $C_{\bar{s}}$ intersects exactly two other components;*
 - (b) *any irreducible component of $C_{\bar{s}}$ which intersects exactly one other irreducible component contains at least one of the points $x_{1, \bar{s}}, \dots, x_{n, \bar{s}}$ but not the point $p_{\infty, \bar{s}}$.*

Proof. This is a simple dualization of F , using the correspondence of [Proposition 5.8](#). \square

By [Theorem 5.1](#) and [Corollary 5.9](#), F^\vee is represented by $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_n$. So, an element of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_n(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to an $(n+1)$ -marked nodal genus 0 curve C equipped with a meromorphic section ω of ω_C , the dualizing sheaf, satisfying the stability conditions. Henceforth, we consider scaled curves $(C, p_\infty, \omega, p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n(\mathbb{C})$ to be equipped with meromorphic differentials.

By [Lemma 5.2](#), ω restricted to non-terminal components is identically equal to ∞ . Consequently, we may regard a closed point in $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_n(\mathbb{C})$ as $(C, p_\infty, \omega_{\text{term}}, p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where ω_{term} is the data of a nonzero meromorphic differential with a unique pole of order 2 at the descending node on each terminal component of C . We define a set map $\xi : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\xi(\Sigma, \preceq, p_\infty, \omega_\bullet, p_1, \dots, p_n) = (\Sigma, p_\infty, \omega_{\text{term}}, p_1, \dots, p_n).$$

ξ forgets the level structure \preceq on the dual tree to Σ and forgets the meromorphic differentials on all but the terminal components of Σ .

Proposition 5.10. *$\xi : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is G -equivariant and restricts to the identity between $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \subset \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ includes into \mathcal{A}_n by identifying $[z_1, \dots, z_n] \in \mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ with $(\mathbb{P}^1, \infty, dz, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ (see §3). The inclusion $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n$ is specified in exactly the same manner. It follows that

ξ is the identity when restricted to $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$. \mathcal{A}_n is a G -equivariant compactification of $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a$ by [Proposition 3.27](#), and the same is true of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_n$ by [\[Z1, pp. 4-5\]](#). Comparing the definitions of the actions immediately gives that ξ is G -equivariant. \square

We can now state the main theorem for this part of the paper. Here, $\gamma : W_n \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n$ denotes the resolution of [\[Z2\]](#).

Theorem 5.11. *Under the isomorphism $f : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow W_n$ of [Theorem 4.7](#), ξ corresponds to γ ; i.e. $\gamma \circ f = \xi$. In particular, $\xi : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a G -equivariant resolution of singularities.*

5.2. Proof of [Theorem 5.11](#). Write $\sigma = \gamma \circ f$. σ is a surjective birational morphism of algebraic varieties. Given a level tree (Γ, \preceq) , we write $|\Gamma|$ to emphasize the underlying tree without level structure. Given $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n$, by definition of ξ the dual tree of $\xi(\Sigma)$ is $|\Gamma(\Sigma)|$.

Lemma 5.12. *Given $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n$ with dual level tree $\Gamma(\Sigma)$, the dual tree of $\sigma(\Sigma)$ is $|\Gamma(\Sigma)|$.*

Proof. $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n$ has dual level tree $\Gamma(\rho_\bullet)$ if and only if $\Sigma \in S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$ for $\rho_\bullet \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$. However, $f(S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ) = D_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ \subset W_n$ by [Theorem 4.7](#). On the other hand, by [\[Z2, Remark 5.8\]](#) for $x \in D_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$, the dual tree of $\gamma(x)$ is $|\Gamma(\rho_\bullet)|$. \square

For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, let U_{ij} denote the set of $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that p_i and p_j lie on the same terminal component of Σ and likewise for $V_{ij}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n(\mathbb{C})$. We will often drop the \mathbb{C} from the notation, writing V_{ij} in lieu of $V_{ij}(\mathbb{C})$.

Corollary 5.13. *For any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, U_{ij} is open, $U_{ij} = \sigma^{-1}(V_{ij})$, and V_{ij} is open.*

Proof. U_{ij}^c consists of those Σ for which p_i and p_j lie on different components. One can verify that $U_{ij}^c = \bigcup_{\rho: i \neq \rho \neq j} S_\rho$ and thus U_{ij}^c is a closed subspace of \mathcal{A}_n . $C \in V_{ij}$ if and only if the half edges corresponding to p_i and p_j are attached to the same vertex of $\Gamma(C)$. Therefore, by [Lemma 5.12](#) we have $\sigma^{-1}(V_{ij}) = U_{ij}$.⁶³ This also implies that $\sigma^{-1}(V_{ij}^c) = U_{ij}^c$ and by surjectivity of σ we have $\sigma(U_{ij}^c) = V_{ij}^c$. However, U_{ij}^c is proper, being a closed subvariety of a proper variety and thus V_{ij}^c is closed. \square

For $C \in V_{ij}(\mathbb{C})$, define $I_{ij}(C) = \int_{p_i}^{p_j} \omega_v$, where ω_v is the differential on the terminal component containing both p_i and p_j and the integral is over any curve in the smooth locus of C_v . As in the case of \mathcal{A}_n , this is well-defined.⁶⁴ We now consider $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_n(\mathbb{C})$ as a complex analytic space, and regard I_{ij} as a function $V_{ij}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 5.14. *$I_{ij} : V_{ij} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous for the analytic topology.*

Proof. The open immersion $V_{ij} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n$ classifies a family $\pi : \mathcal{C}_{ij} \rightarrow V_{ij}$ of scaled curves. Consider $\mathcal{C}_{ij}^{\text{sm}} := \mathcal{C}_{ij} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{ij}^{\text{sing}}$. The fibres of $\pi : \mathcal{C}_{ij}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow V_{ij}$ are smooth and π is flat, being a composition of flat morphisms. So, by [\[S, Tag 01V8\]](#) $\pi : \mathcal{C}_{ij}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow V_{ij}$ is smooth.

Henceforth, we consider the induced morphism of analytic spaces (omitting $(-)^{\text{an}}$ from the notation). The marked points p_i and p_j defined fibrewise correspond to sections $s_i, s_j : V_{ij} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{sm}}$ of π . Now, fix a point $0 \in V_{ij}$ and denote the corresponding fibre by \mathcal{C}_0 . $s_i(0)$ and $s_j(0)$ can be connected by a C^∞ curve in the irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{sm}}$ on which they lie.⁶⁵ Parametrize this curve as $\eta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^{\text{sm}}$ such that $\eta(0) = s_i(0)$ and $\eta(1) = s_j(0)$. At any $p \in \eta(I)$, \mathcal{C}_0 is smooth and by [\[F1, Thm. p.159\]](#) π is an analytic submersion at p .

Consequently, by [F1, pp. 99-100] there exists an open neighborhood U_p around p such that π factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_p & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Delta_p \times D \\ \pi \downarrow & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 & \\ \Delta_p & & \end{array} \quad (8)$$

where $\Delta_p \subset V_{ij}$ is an open neighborhood of 0 and $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ the unit disk. By a compactness argument, there exists an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of $\eta(I)$ and $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 1$ in I such that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\eta(x_{i-1})$ and $\eta(x_i)$ lie in U_i and π restricted to U_i is trivialized as in (8). Put $\Delta = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \Delta_{p_i}$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, construct local sections $\sigma_i : \Delta \rightarrow U_i \cong \Delta \times D$ by $t \mapsto (t, 0)$. Over Δ , $I_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\sigma_{k-1}(t)}^{\sigma_k(t)} \omega_t$, so we prove that $\int_{\sigma_{k-1}(t)}^{\sigma_k(t)} \omega_t$ is continuous in t for each k . Using the trivialization, this corresponds to an integral $\int_0^{a(t)} f(z, t) dz$ for $t \in \Delta$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} a(t) = a$ and $f \in C^0(\Delta \times D, \mathbb{C})$. However, it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that for a sequence $(t_n) \rightarrow 0$ in Δ one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{a(t_n)} f(z, t_n) dz = \int_0^a f(z, t) dz$.⁶⁶ \square

Corollary 5.15. $\sigma^*(I_{ij}) = \Pi_{ij} : U_{ij} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Proof. $\sigma^*(I_{ij})$ is a continuous function that agrees with Π_{ij} on $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \cap U_{ij}$. $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a \cap U_{ij}$ is dense in U_{ij} and consequently $\sigma^*(I_{ij}) = \Pi_{ij}$ on U_{ij} . \square

Definition 5.16. Given $\rho \in L_n$, we define subfunctors of F on T -points by

- (1) $F_\rho(T) \subset F(T)$ parametrizes families such that $i \sim^\rho j \Rightarrow p_i = p_j$;
- (2) $G_\rho(T) \subset F(T)$ parametrizes families such that $p_i = p_j \Rightarrow i \sim^\rho j$; and
- (3) $F_\rho^\circ(T) = F_\rho(T) \times_{F(T)} G_\rho(T)$, i.e. $p_i = p_j \iff i \sim^\rho j$.

Lemma 5.17. For any $\rho \in L_n$, F_ρ is a closed subfunctor of F , G_ρ is an open subfunctor of F , and F_ρ° is a locally closed subfunctor of F .

Proof. The third claim follows from the first two. Given a T -point of F , $(\pi : C \rightarrow T, \omega, s_\infty, s_1, \dots, s_n)$, where the s_i are sections of π , the following square is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \longrightarrow & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_\rho & \longrightarrow & F, \end{array}$$

where, $W = \bigcap_{i \neq j} E_{ij}^c$, for $E_{ij} \subset T$ the equalizer subscheme of s_i and s_j . In particular, by [S, Tag 01KM] each E_{ij} is closed.⁶⁷ It follows that G_ρ is an open subfunctor of F . A similar argument implies that F_ρ is a closed subfunctor of F .⁶⁸ \square

Lemma 5.17 allows us to make the following definitions.

Definition 5.18. For $\rho \in L_n$, let Z_ρ denote the closed subscheme of \overline{P}_n representing F_ρ , Y_ρ the open subscheme of \overline{P}_n representing G_ρ , and $Z_\rho^\circ = Y_\rho \cap Z_\rho$ the locally closed subscheme representing F_ρ° . We have $Z_\top = \overline{P}_n$ and Z_\top° is the open subscheme of \overline{P}_n parametrizing curves on which no marked points collide.

In what follows, $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}$ denotes the Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space of stable $(n+1)$ -marked genus 0 curves [K3]. It is smooth and projective over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ of dimension $n-2$. Given a finite set A , we also consider $\overline{M}_{0,A}$ which is the moduli space of stable A -marked genus 0 curves. There are noncanonical isomorphisms $\overline{M}_{0,A} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,|A|}$ coming from choosing a bijection $\{1, \dots, |A|\} \rightarrow A$. $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1}$ denotes the stack of prestable $(n+1)$ -marked genus 0 curves (cf. [B1]) and $\mathfrak{M}_{0,A}$ is defined analogously. For any $\rho \in L_n$ it will be convenient to put $B^+(\pi) := B(\pi) \cup \{\infty\}$.

Given any $\rho \in L_n$, there is a forgetful map $Y_\rho \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0,B^+(\rho)}$, which on T -points is given by $(C \rightarrow T, \omega, p_\infty, p_1, \dots, p_n) \mapsto (C \rightarrow T, p_\infty, \{p_{\mu(b)} : b \in B(\rho)\})$. [K3] constructs a contraction morphism $c : \mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,n+1}$ which on closed points contracts unstable irreducible components to points. In particular, when $C \in \mathfrak{M}_{0,n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ has a component with one node point and one marked point, this component is deleted and a new marked point is placed in the position of the node point.

Definition 5.19. For any $\rho \in L_n$, define $\psi_\rho : Y_\rho \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,B^+(\rho)}$ by the composition $Y_\rho \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0,B^+(\rho)} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,B^+(\rho)}$ of the two maps above.

On \mathbb{C} -points, ψ_ρ is given by sending $(C, \omega, p_\infty, p_1, \dots, p_n) \mapsto (C, p_\infty, \{p_{\mu(b)} : b \in B(\rho)\}) \mapsto c(C, p_\infty, \{p_{\mu(b)} : b \in B(\rho)\})$.

Lemma 5.20. For any ρ , we have $\sigma^{-1}(Z_\rho(\mathbb{C})) = T_\rho$, $\sigma^{-1}(Y_\rho(\mathbb{C}))$ and $\sigma^{-1}(Z_\rho^\circ(\mathbb{C})) = T_\rho^\circ$.

Proof. For example $C \in Z_\rho(\mathbb{C})$ if $I_{ij}(C) = 0$ for all $i \sim^\rho j$. However, by Corollary 5.15, the same is true of any $\Sigma \in \sigma^{-1}(C)$ and conversely. The same argument applies for Z_ρ° . \square

Recall that T_\top° denotes the open dense subset of \mathcal{A}_n in which no marked points collide (see Proposition 3.24). By Lemma 5.20, σ maps $T_\top^\circ \rightarrow Z_\top^\circ = Y_\top^\circ$.⁶⁹

Proposition 5.21. Viewed as maps $T_\top^\circ \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ on closed points, $\psi_\top \circ \sigma = \psi_\top \circ \xi$.

Proof. We induct on the length of the dual tree. In the case of length 0, the maps agree because σ identifies $T_\top^\circ \cap (\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a)$ with $Y_\top^\circ \cap (\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_a)$. Suppose the result is known for all points of \mathcal{A}_n with dual tree of length $\leq k$. Consider $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n$ with dual tree of length $k+1$, corresponding to $\rho_\bullet = \{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_{k+1}\} \in \mathfrak{Ch}(L_n^-)$. Write $\Gamma = \Gamma(\Sigma)$ and consider U_Γ as in §3. Define $\beta : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow U_\Gamma$ by $z_{ij}(t) = z_{ij}(\Sigma)$ for all t , $t_i(t) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell-1$, and $t_\ell = t$. β restricts to a morphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k}^\circ$ and $\beta(0) = \Sigma$. By induction, $\psi_\top \circ \sigma \circ \beta = \psi_\top \circ \xi \circ \beta$ on $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$. As $\psi_\top \circ \sigma \circ \beta$ is continuous in the analytic topology, $(\psi_\top \circ \sigma)(\Sigma) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\psi_\top \circ \sigma \circ \beta)(t)$.

$(\psi_\top \circ \sigma \circ \beta) : \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,n+1}$ classifies a family $C^* \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ whose fibre over t is the contraction of the underlying curve of $\beta(t)$; this amounts to contracting the terminal components containing a single marked point. Forgetting the marked points, $C^* \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ is a trivial family and admits a trivial flat extension $C \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$.

Consider a terminal component of $\beta(t)$ corresponding to $B \in B(\rho_k)$, which we may assume is not a singleton since such components have been contracted. There is an associated family $\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ with sections corresponding to the marked points specified by regular functions on the base: $\{z_b/t + z_i : i \in b \in B(\rho_{k+1}) \text{ and } b \subset B\}$, with $z_b \neq z_{b'}$ for $b \neq b'$. We obtain an equivalent family by rescaling by t with sections $\{z_b + z_i t : i \in b \in B(\rho_{k+1}) \text{ and } b \subset B\}$.⁷⁰

As $t \rightarrow 0$, a pair of marked points on this component collides if and only if their constant terms are equal; in this case, they limit to the common value z_b . Blow up $\{z_b\}_{b \in B}$ on this terminal component. The strict transform of $z_b + tz_i$ intersects the exceptional divisor over z_b at the point $[z_b : 1]$ in projective coordinates.⁷¹ Denote the resulting $(n+1)$ -marked nodal curve in the central fibre by \tilde{C}_0 . We stabilize \tilde{C}_0 by contracting any exceptional divisor that contains a unique marked point, i.e. those corresponding to $b \in B$ which is a singleton. Comparing this to the stabilization of Σ , we have $(\psi_\top \circ \sigma)(\Sigma) = (\psi_\top \circ \xi)(\Sigma)$. \square

Lemma 5.22. $(C, \omega, p_\infty, p_1, \dots, p_n) \in Z_\rho^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ is determined up to isomorphism by the data of $\Gamma(C), \psi_\rho(C)$, and $\{I_{ij}(C)\}$, where i, j ranges over all pairs for which the half edges of p_i and p_j lie on the same vertex of $\Gamma(C)$.

Proof. Given $(\Gamma(C), \psi_\rho(C), \{I_{ij}(C)\})$, we reconstruct C as follows: $\psi_\rho(C)$ is a contraction of $(C, p_\infty, \{p_{\mu(b)} : b \in B(\rho)\})$ in the sense of [K3]. By the hypothesis that $(C, \omega, p_\infty, p_1, \dots, p_n) \in Z_\rho^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, each terminal component of $(C, p_\infty, \{p_{\mu(b)} : b \in B(\rho)\})$ contains at least one marked point.⁷² So, contraction only deletes terminal components C_τ containing a unique marked point $p_{\mu(b)}$ and places a new marked point in place of the node connecting C_τ to the rest of the curve. Associated to this is a surjective map $\Gamma(C) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma(\psi_\rho(C))$ which collapses edges corresponding to deleted nodes.

Suppose a marked point $p_{\mu(b)}$ on $\psi_\rho(C)$ corresponds to a half edge on $\Gamma(\psi_\rho(C))$ attached to a vertex with more than 1 preimage under $\Gamma(C) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma(\psi_\rho(C))$.⁷³ Attach $(\mathbb{P}^1, \infty, 0)$ to $\psi_\rho(C)$ by identifying ∞ with $p_{\mu(b)}$. Applying this procedure for all such $p_{\mu(b)}$ recovers $(C, p_\infty, \{p_{\mu(b)} : b \in B(\rho)\})$.

Now, on each terminal component C_τ of C , $\{I_{ij} : p_i, p_j \in C_\tau\}$ allows us to recover the structure of the irreducible scaled line $(C_\tau, \omega_\tau, p_i \in C_\tau)$. Reattach the relevant marked points and equip C_τ with differential ω_τ for each terminal component C_τ . This procedure recovers $(C, \omega, p_\infty, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ up to isomorphism of scaled lines. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.11. Suppose $\Sigma \in T_\top^\circ$ so that by Proposition 5.21 one has $\psi_\top(\xi(\Sigma)) = \psi_\top(\sigma(\Sigma))$. By Lemma 5.12, the dual tree of $\sigma(\Sigma)$ is $|\Gamma(\Sigma)|$ and by definition this is also the case for $\xi(\Sigma)$. Finally, by Corollary 5.15 $I_{ij}(\sigma(\Sigma)) = \Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) = I_{ij}(\xi(\Sigma))$ for all i, j for which p_i and p_j lie on the same component of Σ . By Lemma 5.20, $\sigma(\Sigma)$ and $\xi(\Sigma)$ are in Z_\top° so Lemma 5.22 implies that $\sigma(\Sigma) = \xi(\Sigma)$.

Now, we induct on the number of colliding points, having proved the $k = 0$ case. Let $T_{\leq k} \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ be the set of Σ for which $\leq k$ marked points collide. Suppose $\xi|_{T_{\leq k}} = \sigma|_{T_{\leq k}}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Consider $(\Sigma, p_\infty, \omega_\bullet, \preceq, p_1, \dots, p_n) \in T_\rho^\circ$ for which exactly $k+1$ points collide⁷⁴ and put $\Gamma = \Gamma(\Sigma)$. Up to reindexing and applying an automorphism, say $p_1 = p_2 = 0$. In U_Γ , we have $z_{12} = \Pi_{12}$.

We define a family of stable n -marked multiscaled lines $(\Sigma_t, p_\infty(t), \omega_\bullet(t), \preceq_t, p_1(t), \dots, p_n(t))$ depending on $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$. Let $(\Sigma_t, p_\infty(t), \omega_\bullet(t), \preceq_t) = (\Sigma, p_\infty, \omega_\bullet, \preceq)$ for all t . Put $p_1(t) = 0$, $p_2(t) = t$, and let $p_i(t) = p_i$ for all $3 \leq i \leq n$. $t \mapsto \Sigma_t$ defines a map $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow U_\Gamma$ which in coordinates is given by $t_i(t) = 0$ for all i , $z_{12}(t) = t$, and $z_{ij}(t) = z_{ij}(\Sigma)$ for all other $i < j$.

Write π for $\max \mathfrak{c}(\Sigma)$ and note that $\pi \leq \rho$. In particular, each terminal component of Σ contains at least one $p_{\mu(b)}$ for $b \in B(\rho)$. Write $B \in B(\pi)$ for the block containing 1 and 2 and Σ_B for the corresponding irreducible component of Σ . There is a nonempty open $W \subset \mathbb{A}^1$ such that $\varphi(W) \subset T_{\leq k}$ and so $(\sigma \circ \varphi)|_W = (\xi \circ \varphi)|_W$ by induction.⁷⁵ There are now two cases:

- (1) B contains only one block of ρ ; or

(2) B contains multiple blocks of ρ .

In case (1), $(\psi_\rho \circ \sigma)(t)$ is constant for all $t \in W$ and $(\psi_\rho \circ \xi)(t)$ is constant for all $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$.⁷⁶ This implies that $\psi_\rho(\xi(\Sigma)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\psi_\rho \circ \sigma)(t) = \psi_\rho(\sigma(\Sigma))$.⁷⁷

In case (2), the terminal component of $\xi(t)$ corresponding to $B \in B(\pi)$ is not contracted by ψ_ρ for any $t \in W$. We describe $\psi_\rho(\sigma(t))$ by describing the configuration of marked points on Σ_B as a function of t . Write this as $(\Sigma_B, p_{\mu(b)}(t) : b \subset B)$. By continuity of σ , the limit is given by $(\Sigma_B, p_{\mu(b)}(0) : b \subset B)$. However, this equals the corresponding configuration for $\xi(0) = \xi(\Sigma)$.

We have shown that $\psi_\rho(\sigma(\Sigma)) = \psi_\rho(\xi(\Sigma))$. By Lemma 5.12 they both have dual tree given by $|\Gamma(\Sigma)|$ and by Corollary 5.15 we know that $I_{ij}(\xi(\Sigma)) = I_{ij}(\sigma(\Sigma))$ whenever i and j lie on the same component. As $\sigma(\Sigma)$ and $\xi(\Sigma)$ both lie in Z_ρ° , we see that $\sigma(\Sigma) \cong \xi(\Sigma)$ by Lemma 5.22 and hence agree as elements of $\overline{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$. \square

APPENDIX A. BLOWUP LEMMAS

In this appendix we record lemmas related to the geometry of blowups of varieties and strict transforms. First we recall a version of the universal property of blowing up. For existence of blowups of Noetherian schemes, see [H1].

Lemma A.1. *Let W be a k -scheme. Let $Z \subset W$ denote a closed subspace and \mathcal{C} the full subcategory of Sch/W consisting of morphisms $Y \rightarrow W$ such that the inverse image of Z is an effective Cartier divisor on Y . The blowup $\pi : \text{Bl}_Z W \rightarrow W$ is a terminal object in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. See [S, Tag 085U]. \square

In what follows, we restrict ourselves to the case of a smooth variety W with smooth subvariety Z and $\epsilon : \text{Bl}_Z W \rightarrow W$ the associated blowup morphism. Let $V \subset W$ be a smooth subvariety such that $V \not\subset Z$ and \tilde{V} let denote strict its transform. Let \mathcal{I} denote the ideal sheaf of Z . Since Z is smooth in W , $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 = \mathcal{N}_Z^\vee$ is locally free on Z of rank $c = \text{codim}_Z X$.

Lemma A.2. *Suppose $Z = V(g_1, \dots, g_c)$ for $g_i \in H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq c$. Let $f : X \rightarrow W$ be given such that $f^{-1}(Z) = D$ is a smooth divisor and put $f_i = f^*(g_i)$ for all i .*

(1) $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow \text{Bl}_Z W$ from Lemma A.1 maps $D \rightarrow E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Z|W})$, by $\tilde{f}|_D(x) = (f(x), [df_{1,x} : \dots : df_{c,x}])$ where $df_i \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{N}_Z^\vee)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq c$.

(2) Write $f^*(g_c) = t$ and suppose $f_i = h_i \cdot t$ with $h_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that $v_D(h_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq c - 1$.⁷⁸ Then

$$\tilde{f}|_D(x) = \begin{cases} [h_1(x) : \dots : h_{c-1}(x) : 1] & \text{if } dt_x \neq 0 \\ [h_1(x) : \dots : h_{c-1}(x) : 0] & \text{if } dt_x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) Since Z is codimension c and cut out by g_1, \dots, g_c , \mathcal{N}_Z^\vee is trivialized by the global sections dg_1, \dots, dg_c . By definition, $\text{Bl}_Z(X) = \mathbf{Proj}_X(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}^n)$ and the restriction to Z is $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_Z) = \mathbf{Proj}_Z(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}^n/\mathcal{I}^{n+1})$ with homogeneous fibre coordinates over $q \in Z$ given by $dg_{1,q}, \dots, dg_{c,q}$. By [S, Tag 085D], \tilde{f} is classified by the map of graded sheaves $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} f^*(\mathcal{I}^n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_D^n$, where \mathcal{I}_D is the ideal sheaf of D . One can verify that $\tilde{f}|_D : D \rightarrow E$ is then classified by the surjection $f^*(\mathcal{N}_Z^\vee) = f^*(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_D/\mathcal{I}_D^2$. By the universal property

of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_Z)$ [H1, Prop. 7.12], $\tilde{f}|_D : D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_Z) \cong Z \times \mathbb{P}^{c-1}$ is $\tilde{f}(x) = (f(x), [df_{1,x} : \cdots : df_{c,x}])$, where df_i is regarded as a section of the line bundle \mathcal{N}_D^\vee .

(2) Suppose $dt_x \neq 0$. We compute $df_{i,x}/dt_x \in \mathcal{N}_{D,x}^\vee/\mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{N}_{D,x}^\vee \cong \mathbb{C}$. $f_i = t \cdot h_i$ so the Leibniz rule gives $df_i = dt \cdot h_i + t \cdot dh_i$. Evaluating at x gives $df_{i,x} = dt_x \cdot h_i(x)$. In the case where $dt_x = 0$, consider df_i/df_j for $i \neq j$. $df_i = dt \cdot h_i + t \cdot dh_i$, however since df_i is considered as a section along Z , t vanishes identically. Consequently, $df_{i,x}/df_{j,x} = (h_i/h_j)(x)$ where we assume without loss of generality that $h_j(x) \neq 0$. \square

Lemma A.3 ([H2] [U]). *Let Z and V be smooth closed subvarieties of a smooth variety W that intersect cleanly. There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bl}_{Z \cap V} V & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Bl}_Z W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \hookrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are closed immersions and the image of $\mathrm{Bl}_{Z \cap V} V$ is $\tilde{V} \subset \mathrm{Bl}_Z W$.

Proof. This follows from [H1, Cor. 7.15]. Indeed, if \mathcal{I} is the ideal sheaf of Z then $\mathrm{Bl}_Z W$ is the blowup of \mathcal{I} as in *loc. cit.* Let $i : V \rightarrow W$ denote the inclusion and consider the inverse image ideal sheaf $i^{-1}\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_V$ on V . By the clean intersection hypothesis, $i^{-1}\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_V$ is the ideal sheaf of $Z \cap V$ in V and consequently by *loc. cit.* the result follows. \square

Write E for the exceptional divisor in $\mathrm{Bl}_Z W$. By the construction of the blowup, it is a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Z|W}) \rightarrow Z$. One can also use [H1, Cor. 7.15] to prove that $\tilde{V} \cap E$ is the subbundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{V \cap Z|V})$ of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Z|W})|_{V \cap Z}$.

Lemma A.4. *If a proper irreducible variety S is given with a map $g : S \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_Z W$ such that $(\pi \circ g)(S) \subset V$ and $(\pi \circ g)(S) \not\subset Z$, then $g : S \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_Z W$ has image contained in \tilde{V} .*

Proof. $(\pi \circ g)^{-1}(V \setminus Z) = U$ is a nonempty open subset of S . $g(U) \subset \pi^{-1}(V \setminus Z)$ and thus since $S = \overline{U}$, one has $g(S) \subset \overline{g(U)} \subset \overline{\pi^{-1}(V \setminus Z)} = \tilde{V}$. \square

Lemma A.5. *Suppose V and Z meet cleanly. Let X be a smooth variety with a morphism $f : X \rightarrow W$, D a divisor in X , and $S \subset X$ a smooth and proper subvariety such that*

- (1) $f^{-1}(Z) = D$; and
- (2) $f^{-1}(V) = S$ and $f(S) \not\subset Z$.

By Lemma A.1, there is an induced $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_Z W$ which restricts to a morphism $\tilde{f}|_S : S \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ by Lemma A.4. By Lemma A.3, $\tilde{V} = \mathrm{Bl}_{Z \cap V} V$, and as $f|_S^{-1}(Z \cap V) = S \cap D$, there is an induced map $\tilde{g} : S \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{Z \cap V} V$ by Lemma A.1. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}|_S} & \tilde{V} \\ & \searrow \tilde{g} & \downarrow \psi \\ & & \mathrm{Bl}_{Z \cap V} V \end{array}$$

Proof. To verify that $\psi \circ \tilde{f}|_S$ is induced by the universal property, it suffices to use the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}|_S} & \tilde{V} & \longrightarrow & V \\ & \searrow \tilde{g} & \downarrow \psi & \nearrow \pi & \\ & & \text{Bl}_{Z \cap V} V & & \end{array}$$

to observe that the top row's composition is $f|_S$, and thus that \tilde{g} and $\psi \circ \tilde{f}|_S$ are both lifts of $f|_S : S \rightarrow V$, whence they agree by [Lemma A.1](#). \square

Lemma A.6. *Suppose $L = Z(f_1, \dots, f_c)$ for $f_1, \dots, f_c \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$ linearly independent. Let E denote the exceptional divisor of $\text{Bl}_L \mathbb{P}^n$. Then,*

- (1) $\mathcal{N}_L \cong \mathcal{O}_L(1)^{\oplus c}$ and consequently $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_L) \cong L \times \mathbb{P}^{c-1}$.
- (2) df_1, \dots, df_c define global sections of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_L^\vee)$ which are trivializing. Consequently, they define elements of $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_L), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_L}(1))$.
- (3) If $M = Z(g_1, \dots, g_b)$ for $g_i = \sum_{j=1}^c a_{ij} f_j$ for each $1 \leq i \leq b$ and $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ then $\tilde{M} \cap E$ corresponds to $L \times Z(dg_1, \dots, dg_b) \subset L \times \mathbb{P}^{c-1}$ where $dg_i = \sum_{j=1}^c a_{ij} df_j$ is regarded as an element of $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_L), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_L}(1))$.

Proof. (1) If $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a degree d hypersurface, then $\mathcal{N}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(d)$. As L is a complete intersection of c hyperplanes, $\mathcal{N}_L = \mathcal{O}_L(1)^{\oplus c}$. So, $\mathcal{N}_L = \mathcal{O}_L(1)^{\oplus c}$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_L) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_L(1)^{\oplus c}) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_L^{\oplus c})$, i.e. the trivial bundle.

(2) Consider $x \in L$ and an open neighborhood $x \in U \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Up to shrinking U , consider local nonvanishing sections $s, t \in \mathcal{O}(1)(U)$. We obtain a system of linear equations $\phi_i = f_i/s$ and $\psi_i = f_i/t$ in $\mathcal{O}(U)$ for $1 \leq i \leq c$ defining $U \cap L$. Let $i : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ denote the inclusion morphism. Regarded as sections of $i^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}(U \cap L)$, one has $d\phi_i = (t/s) \cdot d\psi_i$ where $t/s \in \mathcal{O}_L^*(U \cap L)$. Thus, the local sections $d\phi_i$ satisfy the cocycle condition necessary to globally trivialize $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_L^\vee)$. We denote the corresponding global sections of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_L^\vee)$ by df_1, \dots, df_c .

(3) For any $p \in L$, $df_{1,p}, \dots, df_{c,p}$ define elements of $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{L,p}), \mathcal{O}(1))$. By the definition of $\text{Bl}_L \mathbb{P}^n$, $E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_L)$. Suppose given $p \in L$, so that $E_p = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{L,p})$. By the properties of the blowup, $\tilde{M} \cap E_p = \mathbb{P}(T_p M \cap \mathcal{N}_{L,p}) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{L,p})$. However, one verifies in local coordinates that this is exactly $Z(dg_1, \dots, dg_b)$ \square

Lemma A.7. *Suppose D_1, \dots, D_k are smooth normal crossings divisors in a smooth variety X with $Z \subset X$ a smooth subvariety and $D_i \not\subset Z$ for all i . Consider $\pi : \text{Bl}_Z(X) \rightarrow X$ and let E denote the exceptional divisor. One has $\tilde{D}_1 \cap \dots \cap \tilde{D}_k \cap E = (D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_k)^\sim \cap E$.*

Proof. The question is local, so suppose each D_i is cut out by a function f_i for each i . Without loss of generality, suppose there exists $p \in D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_k \cap Z$. $\pi^{-1}(p) = E_p = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Z|X,p})$ and $\tilde{D}_i \cap E_p = Z(df_{i,p})$, where $df_{i,p} \in T_p^* X$ defines an element of $\mathcal{N}_{Z|X,p}^*$ by hypothesis. The strict transform of $D_{\leq k} = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_k$ is given by $\mathbb{P}(T_{D_{\leq k}} \cap \mathcal{N}_{Z|X}) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Z|X})|_{D_{\leq k}}$. However, this is just given fibrewise by $Z(df_{1,p}, \dots, df_{k,p})$. \square


NOTES

1. This assumption is not necessary at all in general, as one typically asks stability conditions to factor through a different finite rank Abelian group Λ when $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ is of infinite rank. However, it is not important to discuss this here.


2. By a simple path we mean a sequence of edges e_1, \dots, e_k in E so that e_i and e_{i+1} have one vertex in common for all $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.
3. That is, if $v \subseteq w$, then $\lambda(v) \leq \lambda(w)$.
4. This will translate to a stability condition when we construct \mathcal{A}_n : terminal components of the corresponding curves will be required to have at least one marked point.
5. In other articles, such as [Z2], $L_{[n]}$ is written for what we have denoted by L_n . We chose the latter notation to avoid confusion with partitions of $[n] = \{0 < \dots < n\}$.
6. Note that $\rho_i < \rho_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$: if $p \sim^{\rho_{i+1}} q$ then $\lambda(h(p) \wedge h(q)) \geq i + 1 \geq i$ so that $p \sim^{\rho_i} q$.
7. A forest F is a graph in which any pair of vertices can be connected by at most one simple path. It follows that a forest is a disjoint union of trees, as the name suggests.
8. Here we are committing a standard abuse of notation by conflating the set of edges in the forest with the forest they span. \emptyset is vacuously a forest. If F is a forest and $F' \subset F$ a subset, then any two vertices in the graph spanned by F' are connected by at most one path since the edge set is a subset of that of F . If F_1 and F_2 are forests with $|F_1| < |F_2|$ then in fact any edge $e \in F_2 \setminus F_1$ gives rise to a new forest $F_1 \cup \{e\}$.
9. It suffices to compute the closure of a set of edges $A \subset E$. This consists of adding edges to A ; if e increases the number of vertices in the graph spanned by $A \cup \{e\}$, then $r(A \cup \{e\}) > r(A)$, so any added edge e must connect vertices already spanned by A . On the other hand, adding an edge that unites two connected components of the subgraph spanned by A decreases the rank. So, $\text{cl}(A)$ is obtained by adding all edges that connect two vertices on the same connected component of the subgraph spanned by A . The result of this is a disjoint union of complete graphs.
10. Indeed, given a relations R and R' on a set X regarded as a subsets of X^2 , $R \subset R'$ means that every equivalence class of R is contained in an equivalence class for R' . That is, R refines R' .
11. Note that by convention, the empty set has dimension -1 and so the result holds even for $\pi = \perp$.
12. This is equivalent to saying that every component of Σ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , and the dual graph of Σ is a tree.
13. It follows from condition (3) of Definition 3.1 that the ω_v are all of the form $\lambda \cdot dz$ for a chosen affine coordinate $z : C_v \setminus \{n_v\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where n_v is the descending node of v , and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. A complex projective isomorphism of multiscaled lines is an isomorphism of nodal curves that does not preserve the data of any ω_v for v non-maximal, but does preserve the information of $\omega_v/\omega_{v'}$ for any v and v' .
14. As $V(\Gamma)$ equipped with \subseteq is a finite poset, one can define $\text{depth}(v)$ to be the maximum length of chains $v \subsetneq v_1 \subsetneq \dots$. We prove $v \subseteq u \Rightarrow v \preceq u$ by inducting on $\text{depth}(v)$. For depth 0, v is maximal for \subseteq and so $v = u$. Suppose the claim has been proven for depth $< k$ and let w of depth k be given. Suppose $w \subset v$ and that v and w are joined by an edge. It follows that $\text{depth}(v) \leq k - 1$. If $v \subseteq u$ then $v \preceq u$ by induction. On the other hand, there can be at most one vertex w such that $w \subset v$ since the graph is a tree. Consequently, w is the descending edge from v and $w \prec v$. So, for any $w \subseteq v \subseteq u$ we have $w \prec v \preceq u$ and the result follows.
 Since $v_0 \subseteq u$ for all $u \in V(\Gamma)$, it now follows that v_0 is a minimum element with respect to \preceq . Lastly, let $e \in E(\Gamma)$ be given connecting vertices v and w . Then $v \subset w$ or $w \subset v$. If $v \subset w$, $v \preceq w$ and so e is the descending edge from w to v .
15. We consider some examples of totally preordered rooted level trees. There is a unique length 0 totally preordered rooted level tree consisting of a single vertex and no edges. It is easy to write down many length 1 examples:



Indeed, the length 1 examples are classified by the number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of terminal vertices. The $n = 1$ case will not occur as the dual tree of a stable n -marked multiscaled line. In these graphs and the subsequent ones, the root node is placed at the bottom. $v \preceq w$ if w has y coordinate at least as large as that of v . The lowest level is regarded as level 0, the next lowest as level 1, etc. Here are some examples of length 2 totally preordered rooted level trees:



The first tree admits three level structures, while the second admits only one. Here are the possible level structures on the first tree:



16. This is a slight abuse of notation. We use the same symbol f to denote both the contraction, and the underlying surjection on vertex sets.
17. Indeed, v_0 is uniquely characterized by the property that $v_0 \preceq w$ for all $w \in \Gamma(V)$ and likewise for v'_0 . Therefore, by (1) it follows that $f(v_0) \preceq w'$ for all $w' \in V(\Gamma')$ and thus that $f(v_0) = v'_0$. Note that here we used the surjectivity of $f : V(\Gamma) \rightarrow V(\Gamma')$.
18. By this, we mean that there is an order-preserving bijection $V(\Gamma')/\sim \rightarrow [n]$ whose composition with the canonical map $V(\Gamma)/\sim \rightarrow V(\Gamma')/\sim$ induced by f agrees with the given map $V(\Gamma)/\sim \rightarrow [n]$. Such a map is unique if it exists.
19. To be precise, define the level k vertices of Γ' to be $\{T_k^i\}$. Attach T_k^i to T_{k-p}^j with $p \geq 1$ via an edge if and only if the unique maximal vertex of T_k^i is connected by an edge to a vertex in T_{k-p}^j . This connects each T_k^i to a unique vertex on a level $< k$. We define the marking function $h' : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow V(\Gamma')$ by $h' = f \circ h$. Finally, as mentioned in the body of the proof the total preorder \preceq' is uniquely determined by the condition $v' \preceq' w'$ iff $g(v') \leq g(w')$.
20. Note that a stable multiscaled line has trivial complex projective automorphism group.
21. Since $z_{\alpha\beta} = I_{\alpha\beta}/I_{ij}$ and $z'_{\alpha\beta} = I_{\alpha\beta}/I_{kl}$, the inverse map is given by $z_{\alpha\beta} = z'_{\alpha\beta} \cdot (z'_{ij})^{-1}$. Furthermore, one can verify that $t_k = t'_k$ for $k \neq m, m+1$, $t_{m+1} = t'_{m+1}/z'_{ij}$, and $t_m = t'_m \cdot z'_{ij}$.
22. By Lemma 3.8, the choice of indices does not affect the map $U_\Gamma \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^A \times \mathbb{C}^B \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$ up to a simple type of isomorphism. However, these indices are analogous to choosing a basis of a vector space and different choices are technically useful in different scenarios.
23. I.e., this quantity is invariant under levelwise rescaling of the differentials on nonterminal levels.
24. By definition, $U_\Gamma \cap U_{\Gamma'}$ consists of those Σ such that there exist contractions $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma)$ and $\Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma)$ and $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) \neq 0$ if $h(i) \neq h(j)$ or $h'(i) \neq h'(j)$. However, $h'(i) \neq h'(j)$ implies $h(i) \neq h(j)$ since Γ' is a contraction of Γ . Therefore, if $\Sigma \in U_\Gamma$, the condition of being in $U_{\Gamma'}$ is just that there exists a contraction $\Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma)$.
25. In the notation of Example 3.4, β can be written as $\sigma_{i_2-1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_{i_k-1}$ with some indices suppressed and as $i_1 \leq i_2 - 1 < \dots < i_k - 1$ we have that $\beta(i_1) = i_1$.
26. Elements of $\text{im}(\varphi)$ satisfy these nonvanishing conditions, by the description of the elements of U_Γ . Indeed, suppose $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is a contraction and Σ is given with $\Gamma(\Sigma) = \Gamma'$. If $z_{\iota_v \iota_n}(\Sigma) = 0$, it must be the case that $h'(\iota_v) = h'(\iota_n)$. Now, if $h(\iota_v) = h(\iota_n)$, then this does not correspond to one of the hyperplanes which has been removed. If $h(\iota_v) \neq h(\iota_n)$, the condition $z_{\iota_v \iota_n}(\Sigma) = 0$ is equivalent to $\Pi_{\iota_v \iota_n}(\Sigma) = 0$ which is prohibited

by definition of U_Γ . Analogous reasoning applies for the conditions $z_{\iota_v \iota_n} = z_{\iota_v \iota_{n'}}$. On the other hand, given a set of values $\{z_{ij}(\Sigma), t_m(\Sigma)\} \in V$ where $(i, j) \in A' \cup B'$ one can freely construct $\Sigma \in U_\Gamma$ with these coordinates.

27. Consider a morphism $f : V \rightarrow W$ of quasi-affine varieties. $\Gamma_f \subset V \times W$ is defined by $\{(x, f(x)) : x \in V\}$. Γ_f is a quasi-affine variety itself; writing $V \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ and $W \subset \mathbb{A}^m$ and f as $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x))$ one has that Γ_f is defined by the vanishing of the equations $\{y_i - f_i(x)\}_{i=1}^m$.
Next, there is a canonical map $(\text{id}, f) : V \rightarrow \Gamma_f$ by $x \mapsto (x, f(x))$ which is surjective. In our situation, we need to verify that $(\text{id}, g) \circ \varphi$ is the same as (3). If $(i, j) \in A' \cup B'$, one has $(\text{id}, g)^*(Z_{ij}) = Z_{ij}$, $\varphi^*(Z_{ij}) = z_{ij}$. If $(i, j) \in (A \setminus A') \cup (B \setminus B')$, then $(\text{id}, g)^*(Z_{ij}) = Z_{\iota_v \iota_{n'}} - Z_{\iota_v \iota_n}$, where n' and n are as in the body of the proof, and so $\varphi^*((\text{id}, g)^*(Z_{ij})) = z_{\iota_v j} - z_{\iota_v i} = z_{ij}$ and we are done.
28. Note that these coordinates are far from unique and we made many choices in their definition. In this sense, it is more canonical to work with all (z_{ij}, t_m) at once as in (3).
29. Suppose given is a dense subspace $Y \subset X$ such that the claimed property holds. Suppose $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is a net in X with limit points x and x' . Choose a net $(y_\alpha^\beta)_{\beta \in B_\alpha}$ such that $\lim_\beta y_\alpha^\beta = x_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$. By the theorem on iterated limits of nets [K2, p.69] it follows that the net (y_α^β) indexed by the product directed set $A \times \prod_{\alpha \in A} B_\alpha$ converges to x and x' . Therefore, $x = x'$. It follows that X is Hausdorff. Conversely, take $Y = X$.
30. Totality follows from the assumption that $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{ij}^\alpha / \Pi_{kl}^\alpha$ converges in \mathbb{P}^1 for all $i < j$ and $k < l$. Reflexivity is by $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{ij}^\alpha / \Pi_{ij}^\alpha = 1$ and transitivity follows from the identity $\frac{\Pi_{ij}^\alpha}{\Pi_{kl}^\alpha} \cdot \frac{\Pi_{kl}^\alpha}{\Pi_{mn}^\alpha} = \frac{\Pi_{ij}^\alpha}{\Pi_{mn}^\alpha}$.
31. Suppose $i \sim i'$. Then $\Pi_{i'j}^\alpha / \Pi_{kl}^\alpha = (\Pi_{i'i}^\alpha + \Pi_{ij}^\alpha) / \Pi_{kl}^\alpha$. Now, as $h(k) \neq h(l)$, $\Pi_{kl}^\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Pi_{i'i}^\alpha$ converges in \mathbb{C} . Therefore, $\Pi_{i'j}^\alpha / \Pi_{kl}^\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and we have $\lim_\alpha \Pi_{i'j}^\alpha / \Pi_{kl}^\alpha = \lim_\alpha \Pi_{ij}^\alpha / \Pi_{kl}^\alpha$. A similar argument applies for the indices in the denominator.
32. $\Gamma_{\geq k+1}$ is a level forest and we have connected each new $[i] \wedge [j]$ to distinct components. Therefore, each pair of vertices is connected by a unique path in $\Gamma_{\geq k}$ as needed.
33. By Lemma 3.12, if i and j meet on level k of $\Gamma(\Sigma)$ then in U_Γ coordinates we have $\Pi_{ij}^\alpha = z_{ij}^\alpha / t_{k+1}^\alpha \cdots t_\ell^\alpha$. So, if k and ℓ meet on level $p \leq k$ one has $\Pi_{ij}^\alpha / \Pi_{k\ell}^\alpha = \frac{z_{ij}^\alpha}{z_{k\ell}^\alpha} \cdot \frac{t_{p+1}^\alpha \cdots t_\ell^\alpha}{t_{k+1}^\alpha \cdots t_\ell^\alpha}$. This converges to 0 if and only if $p > k$ and to an element of \mathbb{C}^* otherwise.
34. Suppose $Y \subset X$ is given, where X is a manifold of positive dimension and Y is dense. Suppose every sequence in Y admits a subsequence convergent in X . X is metrizable so choose some metric d and consider a sequence (x_n) in X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ choose $y_n \in Y$ such that $d(y_n, x_n) < 1/n$. (y_n) admits a convergent subsequence with limit y , which we index again as y_n without loss of generality. Now, $d(x_n, y) \leq d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, y)$ and in particular $(x_n) \rightarrow y$.
35. Consider Σ where $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) = \infty$. Then on $\Gamma = \Gamma(\Sigma)$ one has $h(i) \neq h(j)$ and so on U_Γ we have $\Pi_{ij} \neq 0$. In particular, $\Pi_{ij}^{-1} = t_{p+1} \cdots t_\ell / z_{ij}$ where z_{ij} is nonvanishing on U_Γ and $p < \ell$. Therefore, Π_{ij}^{-1} is holomorphic at Σ as needed.
36. $(S_{\rho_1} \cap \cdots \cap S_{\rho_\ell}) \setminus \bigcup_{\pi \in I_n^- \setminus \rho_\bullet} S_\pi$ is the set of $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{c}(\Sigma)$ contains ρ_1, \dots, ρ_ℓ but no other π , by Lemma 3.17. This is exactly $S_{\rho_\bullet}^\circ$. S_{ρ_\bullet} consists of those Σ for which $\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_\ell\} \subset \mathfrak{c}(\Sigma)$. This is precisely $\bigcap_i S_{\rho_i}$.
37. By a stratification of a topological space X , we mean a collection $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ of locally closed subspaces such that (1) $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$, (2) $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i = X$, and (3) if $S_i \cap \overline{S_j} \neq \emptyset$, then $S_i \subset \overline{S_j}$.
38. First, observe that $\sigma : U_\Gamma \rightarrow U_{\Gamma^\sigma}$ lifts to a map $\tilde{U}_\Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{U}_{\Gamma^\sigma}$, where \tilde{U}_Γ denotes the set of stable n -marked multiscaled lines whose class lies in U_Γ . So, $h^\sigma(i) \wedge h^\sigma(j) = h(\sigma^{-1}(i)) \wedge h(\sigma^{-1}(j))$. It follows that $I_{ij}(\sigma(\Sigma)) = I_{\sigma^{-1}(i)\sigma^{-1}(j)}(\Sigma)$ and thus that $\sigma^*(I_{ij}) = I_{\sigma^{-1}(i)\sigma^{-1}(j)}$. Therefore, $\sigma^*(s'_m) = I_{\sigma(i_m)\sigma(j_m)} = I_{i_m j_m} = s_m$

and hence $\sigma^*(t'_m) = t_m$ for all m . Similarly, $z'_{ij}(\sigma(\Sigma)) = I_{ij}(\sigma(\Sigma))/s'_m(\sigma(\Sigma)) = I_{\sigma^{-1}(i)\sigma^{-1}(j)}/s_m = z_{\sigma^{-1}(i)\sigma^{-1}(j)}(\Sigma)$ as claimed.

39. To see $\mathcal{A}_n = \bigsqcup_{\rho \in L_n} T_\rho^\circ$ just note that each $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n$ is in T_ρ° if and only if $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) = \iff i \sim^\rho j$. This uniquely characterizes ρ . For the second claim, $T_\eta^\circ \cap T_\rho \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i \sim^\rho j$ implies $i \sim^\eta j$. In particular, it follows that $\eta \leq \rho$ and implies the desired containment.
40. Indeed, given another bijection $q' : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow A$, the variety structures on \mathcal{A}_A differ by a composition with an automorphism of \mathcal{A}_n given by a unique $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.
41. This is possible because $i \sim^\rho j$ implies that $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) = 0$ by definition of T_ρ and hence that $h(i) = h(j)$ by definition of U_Γ . Consequently, if $i_m \in b$ and $j_m \in b'$, then $h(i_m) = h(\mu(b))$ and $h(j_m) = h(\mu(b'))$ and so $h(i_m) \wedge h(j_m) = h(\mu(b)) \wedge h(\mu(b'))$.
42. \tilde{U}_Γ denotes the set of stable n -marked multiscaled lines whose class lies in U_Γ . The restriction of κ_ρ to U_Γ lifts to a map $\tilde{U}_\Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{U}_{\Gamma_-}$, which we denote by κ_ρ again by abuse of notation. Then, for all $i, j \in \mathcal{I}(\rho)$ one has $I_{ij}(\kappa_\rho(\Sigma)) = I_{ij}(\Sigma)$ and so $\kappa_\rho^*(I_{ij}) = I_{ij}$. Therefore, $\kappa_\rho^*(s'_m) = s_m$ for all m and $\kappa_\rho^*(t'_m) = t_m$ and $\kappa_\rho^*(z'_{ij}) = z_{ij}$.
43. $a : U_\Gamma \rightarrow U_\Gamma$ lifts to a map \tilde{U}_Γ , where \tilde{U}_Γ denotes the set of stable n -marked multiscaled lines whose class lies in U_Γ . We denoted the lifted map by a by abuse of notation. By definition of a , one has that $a^*(I_{ij}) = I_{ij}$ for all i, j such that $h(i) \neq h(j)$. In the case where $h(i) = h(j)$, p_i is translated by a_i and p_j by a_j , so that $z'_{ij}(a \cdot (\Sigma)) = z_{ij} + a_j - a_i$ as claimed.
44. The description of S_Γ is by Lemma 3.18(1). $(\Sigma, p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ is in the fixed locus of G if and only if $\Pi_{ij}(a \cdot \Sigma) = \Pi_{ij}(\Sigma)$ for all $a \in G$. This is only possible if no pair i, j lie on the same terminal component; if not, acting by a where $a_i \neq a_j$ would result in $\Pi_{ij}(a \cdot \Sigma) = \Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) + a_j - a_i \neq \Pi_{ij}(\Sigma)$.
45. This can be verified just by considering \mathfrak{S}_2 and \mathbb{G}_a^2/Δ acting on $\mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{G}_a$. Indeed, let $\sigma \neq e \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ and let $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{G}_a^2$ be given. One has $\sigma(a \cdot (x, y)) = (y + a_2, x + a_1)$ whereas $a \cdot (\sigma(x, y)) = (y + a_1, x + a_2)$.
46. By Lemma 3.12, $\Pi_{1j} = (t_1 \cdots t_\ell)^{-1}$. For any other i , let $h(1) \wedge h(i)$ be on level $m \geq 0$. Then, $\Pi_{1i}/\Pi_{1j} = (t_1 \cdots t_\ell)/(t_{m+1} \cdots t_\ell) = t_1 \cdots t_m$ and the ratio is regular on U_Γ .
47. $\Sigma \in f_0^{-1}(D(P_{ij}))$ if and only if $P_{ij}(f_0(\Sigma)) \neq 0$. If $f_0(\Sigma) \in D(t)$, then $P_{ij}(f_0(\Sigma)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) \neq 0$, by $f_0^*(t/P_{ij}) = \Pi_{ij}$. If $f_0(\Sigma) \in Z(t) \cap D(P_{ij})$ then $f_0(\Sigma) \in Z(t/P_{ij})$ and this is equivalent to $\Pi_{ij}^{-1}(\Sigma) = 0$.
48. Suppose given a decomposition of a variety $X = U \cup D$ where $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_i$ is a simple normal crossings divisor. We claim $\{U\} \cup \{D_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^\circ\}_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m}$ forms a stratification of X , where $D_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^\circ = D_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{i_k} \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} D_j$. Each $D_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^\circ$ is locally closed as is U . It is clear that each $x \in X$ lies either in U or some $D_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^\circ$. Finally, if $x \in D_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^\circ \cap D_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell} \neq \emptyset$, where $D_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell} = D_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{j_\ell}$ is the closure of $D_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell}^\circ$, we have that each D_{j_a} is one of the D_{i_b} for all $1 \leq a \leq \ell$. Consequently, $D_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^\circ \subset D_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell}$ as claimed.
49. $h(i) \neq h(j)$ so $\Pi_{ij} = z_{ij}/t$, where z_{ij} is nonvanishing on U_Γ . Consequently, $\Pi_{ij}/\Pi_{kl} = z_{ij}$.
50. $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ and $h(\alpha) \wedge h(\beta)$ are on the same nonterminal level k so that $\Pi_{ij}/\Pi_{\alpha\beta} = z_{ij}/z_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined and nonzero on U_Γ by Corollary 3.13.
51. \tilde{H}_π is given by $Z(N_{\pi|\Gamma}) \subset \mathbb{P}(N_{\pi|\Gamma})$. In particular, this is contained in $Z(T)$ and so $f_1^{-1}(\tilde{H}_\pi \cap D_\rho) = f_\rho^{-1}(\tilde{H}_\pi \cap D_\rho)$ is contained in ∂S_ρ . Pulling back the other defining conditions of $Z(N_{\pi|\Gamma})$ gives vanishing of $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}/\Pi_{ij}$, noting that Π_{ij} does not vanish on $\tilde{H}_\pi \cap D_\rho$.
52. By Corollary 3.13, $\Pi_{\alpha\beta} = z_{\alpha\beta}/t_{p+1} \cdots t_\ell$ for $h(\alpha) \wedge h(\beta)$ on level p and $\Pi_{ij} = z_{ij}/t_{p'+1} \cdots t_\ell$ for $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ on level p' . Then $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}/\Pi_{ij} = t_{p'+1} \cdots t_{p+1} \cdot (z_{\alpha\beta}/z_{ij}) = 0$, which implies that $p' < p$.

53. For instance, this holds because S_π° is an irreducible open dense subspace given by $Z(t)$ in the coordinates on U_Γ .
54. $\epsilon_{k+1} : \text{Bl}_{\leq k+1} \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V)$ is constructed by blowing up the strict transforms in $\text{Bl}_{\leq k} \mathbb{P}(V)$ of all H_π of dimension $k+1$. So, to construct the morphism by [Lemma A.1](#) we need to know that $f_k^{-1}(\tilde{H}_\pi)$ is a smooth divisor in \mathcal{A}_n for each such π . However, this follows from (3)_k.
55. By (1)_{k+1}, we have $\epsilon_{k+1} \circ f_{k+1} = f_k$ so $S_\pi = f_{k+1}^{-1}(\epsilon_{k+1}^{-1}(\tilde{H}_\pi)) = f_k^{-1}(D_\pi)$.
56. By (2)_k, when restricted to $S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^\circ \subset S_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell-1}}^k$, which is open and dense, we have

$$\Sigma \mapsto ([\Pi_{\rho_i|\rho_{i+1}}(\Sigma)]_{i=0}^{\ell-2}, [\Pi_{\rho_{\ell-1}|\top}(\Sigma) : 1]).$$

In particular, for all $(i, j) \in N_{\rho_{\ell-1}|\top}$ one has $\gamma^*(s_{ij}/T) = \Pi_{ij}$ and so $\gamma^*(T/s_{ij}) = \Pi_{ij}^{-1}$. The result now follows.

57. Consider $\Sigma \in S_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}$. By definition, $\mathfrak{c}(\Sigma)$ is of the form $\{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell < \pi_1 < \dots < \pi_p\}$. $h(i) \wedge h(j)$ is on level $\ell-1$ because $(i, j) \in N_{\rho_{\ell-1}|\rho_\ell}$. On U_Γ , we can thus write $\Pi_{ij}^{-1} = t_\ell \cdots t_{\ell+p}/z_{ij}$ by [Corollary 3.13](#). Now, if $p \geq 1$ (which is equivalent to $\Sigma \in \partial S_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}$), one has $d(\Pi_{ij})^{-1} = d(t_\ell/z_{ij}) \cdot (t_{\ell+1} \cdots t_{\ell+p}) + (t_\ell/z_{ij}) \cdot d(t_{\ell+1} \cdots t_{\ell+p}) = 0$. If $p = 0$, one has $d(t_\ell/z_{ij}) = \frac{1}{z_{ij}} d(t_\ell)$ which is nonzero on U_Γ .
58. By construction, D_{ρ_\bullet} does not intersect any D_π for $\dim \pi \leq k+1$ along $U'_{\leq \ell-1} \times \mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_\ell|\top})$. It only remains to remove the strict transforms of those π with $\dim \pi \geq k+2$. However, by (c)_{k+1} we can see that these are exactly in $Z(T)$; indeed, the strict transform of H_\top intersects D_{ρ_\bullet} along $Z(T)$ and the other strict transforms all satisfy $T = 0$.
59. By [Lemma 4.10](#), we know $\text{Bl}_{\leq k+1} \mathbb{P}(V) = U \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\rho_\bullet \in \mathfrak{c}^{\text{h}}(L_n^-)_{\leq k+1}} D_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}$ and so $\tilde{H}_\pi = U \cap \tilde{H}_\pi \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\rho_\bullet} D_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} \cap \tilde{H}_\pi$. U is the preimage under $\epsilon_{\leq k+1}$ of the complement of $\bigcup_{\dim \pi \leq k+1} H_\pi$. In particular, $U \cap \tilde{H}_\pi = \epsilon_{\leq k+1}^{-1}(H_\pi^{k+1})$.
60. Consider $\Sigma \in f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1} \cap \tilde{H}_\pi)$. Using the identifications of [Lemma 4.20](#), $f_{k+1}(\Sigma) \in V(N_{\pi|\top})$ and $\Sigma \in \partial S_{\rho_\bullet}^{k+1}$. So, there exists $(i, j) \in N_{\rho_\ell|\top}$ such that $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) \neq 0$ and $(\Pi_{\alpha\beta}/\Pi_{ij})(\Sigma) = 0$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in N_{\pi|\top}$.⁷⁹ Consider $\mathfrak{c}(\Sigma) = \{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell < \eta < \dots\}$. By hypothesis, $i \sim^{\rho_\ell} j$, so $p_i \wedge p_j$ is on the level of ρ_ℓ or above. Since $\rho_\ell < \pi$, if $\alpha \sim^\pi \beta$, then $p_\alpha \wedge p_\beta$ is on or above the level of ρ_ℓ . Since $(\Pi_{\alpha\beta}/\Pi_{ij})(\Sigma) = 0$, $p_\alpha \wedge p_\beta$ is at a strictly higher level of $\Gamma(\Sigma)$ than ρ_ℓ . Thus, for all $\alpha \sim^\pi \beta$ we see $p_\alpha \wedge p_\beta$ is above the level of $p_i \wedge p_j$. Consequently, $\alpha \sim^\eta \beta$ and it follows that $\eta \leq \pi$. If $\eta = \pi$, then $\Sigma \in S_\pi$.
If $\eta < \pi$, we proceed, noting that $\dim \eta < \dim \pi = k+2$ and consequently $\Sigma \in S_{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell < \eta}^{\dim \eta}$. So, by [Lemma 4.19](#), $\Sigma \in f_{k+1}^{-1}(D_{\rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell < \eta}^{\dim \eta} \cap \tilde{H}_\pi)$. So, repeating the same argument noting that $\dim \pi - \dim \eta$ decreases at each iteration yields the result.
61. S_M/I_M is generated by the (image of) $\{x_F\}$. The relations of J_M become $x_\rho \cdot x_\pi$ for π and ρ not comparable. The second set of relations is can be rewritten using $y_{ij} = \sum_{\rho: i \not\sim \rho \sim j} x_\rho$ as in (2).
62. We can apply [[S, Lemma 0E34](#)] with $Y = C'$ and $X = C$. We have a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{C'} \rightarrow i^* \omega_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C' \cap Z} \rightarrow 0$$

where Z denotes the scheme theoretic closure of $C \setminus C'$. This is in particular the node points n_1, \dots, n_k with their reduced subscheme structure. This implies $i^* \omega_C = \omega_{C'}(n_1 + \dots + n_k)$ as was to be shown.

63. Let $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n$ be given. We know $|\Gamma(\Sigma)| = |\Gamma(\sigma(\Sigma))|$ and since p_i and p_j lying on the same component is visible at the level of the dual tree, we see $\Sigma \in U_{ij}$ if and only if $\sigma(\Sigma) \in V_{ij}$.
64. Note, however, that here we can only define integrals between marked points lying on the same terminal component.

65. Indeed, the irreducible of \mathcal{C}_0 in which they lie is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 and meets the singular locus only at its single node point connecting it to the rest of the tree. So, the corresponding irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{sm}}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 .

66. Up to shrinking $\Delta \times D$, we may assume that $\Delta \times D$ is precompact and that f extends continuously to the boundary. Therefore, $f : \Delta \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is bounded. Consequently, choose C so that $|f| \leq C$ on $\Delta \times D$. Now,

$$\int_a^{a(t)} f(z, t) dz = \int_0^a f(z, t) dz + \int_a^{a+\epsilon(t)} f(z, t) dz$$

where $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \epsilon(t) = 0$. $|\int_a^{a+\epsilon(t)} f(z, t) dz| \leq \epsilon(t) \cdot C$ and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^{a(t_n)} f(z, t_n) dz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a f(z, t_n) dz$$

and the dominated convergence theorem implies the claim.

67. Note that we can apply the stronger version of the cited lemma because $\pi : C \rightarrow T$ is separated by definition.

68. In the case of $F_\rho \subset F$, the scheme in the fibre product diagram is the (scheme theoretic) intersection of the elements of $\{E_{ij}\}_{i \sim^\rho j}$ where $E_{ij} \subset T$ is the equalizer subscheme of T as in the body of the proof.

69. Y_\top° parametrizes curves for which $p_i = p_j$ implies $i \sim^\top j$, i.e. curves where no collision occurs. Z_\top parametrizes curves for which $i \sim^\top j$ implies $p_i = p_j$, i.e. with no condition imposed. So, $Z_\top = \overline{P}_n$. Thus, $Z_\top^\circ = Y_\top^\circ$.

70. Each terminal component with the ascending node removed corresponds to a trivial flat family $\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and we can obtain an equivalent family by \mathbb{G}_m fibrewise by $(t, x, t) \mapsto (tx, t)$.

71. The subscheme corresponding to the family of terminal components corresponding to a given $B \in B(\rho_k)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]$ with sections determined by $z_b + tz_i \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. Consider $\{z_b + tz_i : i \in b\}$ for some $b \in B$. As $t \rightarrow 0$ these points intersect z_b in the fibre over $t = 0$, which we blowup.

Slightly more precisely, the section $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[s, t]$ has image given by the subvariety $f_i(s, t) = 0$ for $f_i(s, t) = z_b + z_i t - s$. $T_{(0, z_b)} \mathbb{A}^2$ has basis given by $\{\partial_t, \partial_s\}$. Now, $df_i = z_i dt - ds$ and the strict transform of this section intersects $\mathbb{P}(T_{(0, z_b)} \mathbb{A}^2)$ in the zero locus $df_i = 0$. In homogeneous coordinates, this is $[1 : z_b]$ as was to be shown.

72. Given a terminal component C_τ of C , there exists $b \in B(\rho)$ such that $i \in B$ implies $p_i \in C_\tau$. Consequently, $p_{\mu(b)} \in C_\tau$.

73. The contraction map in this case only contracts terminal components with a single marked point. Consequently, $v \in V(\Gamma(\psi_\rho(C)))$ has multiple preimage points if and only if a terminal vertex of $\Gamma(C)$ has been contracted to it.

74. I.e., $\rho \in L_n$ and $p_i = p_j$ if and only if $i \sim^\rho j$.

75. We define W by removing the finitely many times at which p_2 collides with another p_i .

76. The only dependence of $\varphi(t) = \Sigma_t$ on t is the distance between p_1 and p_2 on the terminal component corresponding to $B \in B(\pi)$. However, applying ψ_ρ we see that this component is contracted to a point independently of t . Since $\xi \circ \varphi = \sigma \circ \varphi$ on $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$, this implies the claim.

77. $\psi_\rho(\sigma(\varphi(t)))$ is constantly equal to $\psi_\rho(\xi(\varphi(0)))$ for all $t \neq 0$ and then as $\psi_\rho \circ \sigma \circ \varphi$ is continuous, we have the result.

78. This is to say that the discrete valuation v_D associated to D vanishes on h_i ; i.e., h_i does not vanish along D for each i .

79. We show that there exists $(i, j) \in N_{\rho_\ell|\top}$ such that $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) \neq 0$. Note that the s_{ij} of Notation 4.8(5) give homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}(N_{\rho_\ell|\top})$ and that $f_{k+1}(\Sigma) \in V(N_{\rho_\ell|\top})$. Therefore, $T(\Sigma) = 0$, but some $s_{ij}(\Sigma) \neq 0$. In particular, the coordinate T/s_{ij} pulls back to Π_{ij}^{-1} and we see that $\Pi_{ij}^{-1}(\Sigma) = 0$, whence $\Pi_{ij}(\Sigma) \neq 0$.

REFERENCES

- [B] Arend Bayer, *A short proof of the deformation property of bridgeland stability conditions*, *Mathematische Annalen* **375** (2019), no. 3, 1597–1613.
- [BB] Fedor Bogomolov and Christian Böhning, *On uniformly rational varieties* (2013).
- [B1] Kai A. Behrend, *Gromov-witten invariants in algebraic geometry*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **127** (1996), 601–617.
- [B2] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, 3rd ed., American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1967.
- [B3] Tom Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **166** (2007), no. 2, 317–345. MR2373143
- [BCG⁺] Matt Bainbridge, Dawei Chen, Quentin Gendron, Samuel Grushevsky, and Martin Möller, *The moduli space of multi-scale differentials*, 2022.
- [BHM⁺] Tom Braden, June Huh, Jacob P. Matherne, Nicholas Proudfoot, and Botong Wang, *A semi-small decomposition of the chow ring of a matroid*, *Advances in Mathematics* **409** (2022), 108646.
- [EH] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris, *3264 and all that: A second course in algebraic geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [F1] Gerd Fischer, *Complex analytic geometry*, 1st ed., Springer Berlin, 1976.
- [F2] John Fogarty, *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface*, *American Journal of Mathematics* **90** (1968), no. 2, 511–521.
- [FM] William Fulton and Robert MacPherson, *A compactification of configuration spaces*, *Annals of Mathematics* **139** (1994), no. 1, 183–225.
- [G] Alexander Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (sga 1)*, Lecture notes in mathematics, vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [GSW] Eduardo Gonzalez, Pablo Solis, and Chris T. Woodward, *Properness for scaled gauged maps*, *Journal of Algebra* **490** (2017), 104–157.
- [H1] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 52, Springer, 1977.
- [H2] Yi Hu, *A compactification of open varieties*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **355** (2003), no. 12, 4737–4753.
- [HLJR] Daniel Halpern-Leistner, Jeffrey Jiang, and Antonios-Alexandros Robotis, *Stability conditions and semiorthogonal decompositions I: Quasi-convergence*, 2023.
- [HLR] Daniel Halpern-Leistner and Antonios-Alexandros Robotis, *Stability conditions and semiorthogonal decompositions II: Augmented stability conditions*, In preparation.
- [HM] Joe Harris and Ian Morrison, *Moduli of curves*, Graduate texts in mathematics, vol. 187, Springer New York, NY, 1998.
- [HT] Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel, *Geometry of equivariant compactifications of G_n* , *International Mathematics Research Notices* **1999** (199901), no. 22, 1211–1230, available at <https://academic.oup.com/imrn/article-pdf/1999/22/1211/2232289/1999-22-1211.pdf>.
- [K1] M. M. Kapranov, *Chow quotients of Grassmannians. I*, I. M. Gelfand Seminar, 1993, pp. 29–110. MR1237834
- [K2] J.L. Kelley, *General topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer New York, 1975.
- [K3] Finn F. Knudsen, *The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves, ii: The stacks m, g, n* , *Mathematica Scandinavica* **52** (1983), no. 2, 161–199.
- [L] Li Li, *Wonderful compactification of an arrangement of subvarieties*, *Michigan Mathematical Journal* **58** (2009), no. 2, 535–563.
- [M] Saunders MacLane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5.
- [O] James. Oxley, *Matroid theory*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, Providence, 2011.
- [S] The Stacks project authors, *The stacks project*, 2023.

- [U] AP Ulyanov, *Polydiagonal compactification of configuration spaces*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **11** (200201), 129–159.
- [Z1] Adrian Zahariuc, *Marked nodal curves with vector fields*, 2022.
- [Z2] ———, *Small resolutions of moduli spaces of scaled curves*, 2022.