

ON THE KORTEWEG-DE VRIES LIMIT FOR THE BOUSSINESQ EQUATION

YOUNGHUN HONG AND CHANGHUN YANG

ABSTRACT. The Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation is known as a universal equation describing various long waves in dispersive systems. In this article, we prove that in a certain scaling regime, a large class of rough solutions to the Boussinesq equation are approximated by the sums of two counter-propagating waves solving the KdV equations. It extends the earlier result [25] to slightly more regular than L^2 -solutions. Our proof is based on robust Fourier analysis methods developed for the low regularity theory of nonlinear dispersive equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation has been introduced at first by Boussinesq [3] and Korteweg and de Vries [22] as a model equation describing propagation of shallow water surfaces along a channel. However, it has played much more significant roles in vast areas in pure and applied mathematics, including the discovery of integrable systems and the development of the inverse scattering method. As for analysis of PDEs, the KdV equation has drawn huge attention in spite of its simplicity, because the derivative nonlinearity makes proving well-posedness challenging. There is a long history of the low regularity well-posedness theory for the KdV equation, which includes the celebrated work of Bourgain [2], Kenig-Ponce-Vega [16, 17, 18, 19] and Colliander-Keel-Staffilani-Takaoka-Tao [4], where general Fourier analysis methods have been developed for dispersive equations. Relatively recently, the optimal well-posedness is established in Kappeler and Topalov [15] and Killip and Visan [20], invoking the complete integrability of the equation.

On the other hand, in mathematical modeling, the KdV equation is thought to be a *universal* modulation equation for slowly varying long waves. It can be derived rigorously from various dispersive equations such as the water wave problem [5, 28, 29, 6], the Vlasov(-type) equations in plasma physics [10, 9], and the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam (FPU) system [31, 27, 12]. For more details, we refer to Schneider-Uecker [26, Chapter 12].

In this article, we are particularly interested in rigorous derivation of the KdV equation from the “good” Boussinesq equation

$$\partial_t^2 u - \partial_x^2 u + \partial_x^4 u + \partial_x^2(u^2) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $u(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The equation (1.1) is one of simplest models for dispersive fluids, and it can be derived from water wave problem modeling a two dimensional irrotational flow of an inviscid incompressible fluid in an infinitely long canal of fixed finite depth [3]. It is proved by Linares [23] that the Boussinesq equation is globally well-posed for small data in the energy space, and its regular solutions preserves the energy defined by

$$E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x^{-1} \partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 - \frac{1}{3} \|u(t)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R})}^3. \quad (1.2)$$

Moreover, by the Fourier analysis methods, the local well-posedness in a low regularity space is established by Farah [8] and Kishimoto [21].

It is proved in Schneider [25] that if slowly modulating solutions to the Boussinesq equation are sufficiently regular (see Remark 1.2), then they can be approximated by counter-propagating KdV

flows. More precisely, inserting the long wave ansatz

$$u(t, x) = \epsilon^2 w_+(\epsilon(x - t), \epsilon^3 t) + \epsilon^2 w_-(\epsilon(x + t), \epsilon^3 t) \quad (1.3)$$

for a small parameter $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ into (1.1) and equating the coefficients at ϵ^6 -order terms to zero yields the system of two decoupled KdV equations

$$2\partial_t w_\pm \mp \partial_x^3 w_\pm \pm \partial_x(w_\pm^2) = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where $w_\pm(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The approximation is valid on the time scale of order $\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon^3)$.

Our main result extends this earlier result to a larger class of low regularity solutions.

Theorem 1.1 (Korteweg-de Vries limit for the Boussinesq equation). *Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $0 < s \leq 5$. Suppose that*

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0, 1]} \|u_{\epsilon, 0}^\pm\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \leq R,$$

and let $u_\epsilon(t) \in C_t(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ be the solution to the Boussinesq equation (1.1) with initial data

$$(u_\epsilon(0, x), \partial_t u_\epsilon(0, x)) = \epsilon^2 \left((u_{\epsilon, 0}^+ + u_{\epsilon, 0}^-)(\epsilon x), \partial_x \sqrt{1 - \partial_x^2} ((u_{\epsilon, 0}^- - u_{\epsilon, 0}^+)(\epsilon x)) \right).$$

Then, there exists $T(R) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{|t| \leq \frac{T}{\epsilon^3}} \|u_\epsilon(t, x) - \epsilon^2 w_\epsilon^+(\epsilon^3 t, \epsilon(x - t)) - \epsilon^2 w_\epsilon^-(\epsilon^3 t, \epsilon(x + t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim_R \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2} + \min\{\frac{2}{5}s, \frac{1}{2}\}}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $w_\epsilon^\pm \in C_t(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ is the solution to the KdV equation (1.4) with the same initial data $u_{\epsilon, 0}^\pm$.

Remark 1.2 (Reduced regularity). (i) Compared to [25, Theorem 1] and [26, Theorem 12.1.1 and 12.4.1], the regularity requirement for the KdV limit (1.5) is reduced down to $s > 0$ from $s > \frac{9}{2}$, as well as a weighted norm bound $\|(1 + |x|^2)u_{\epsilon, 0}^\pm\|_{L^2}$ on initial data is removed for the counter-propagating wave case.

(ii) Lowering regularity and removing a weighed norm bound condition for the KdV limit might not be just a purely technical question, but it can also be used to extend the interval of validity. Indeed, in Theorem 1.1, the time interval $[-\frac{T}{\epsilon^3}, \frac{T}{\epsilon^3}]$ is given in terms of “sufficiently small” $T > 0$. However, if one could prove such a convergence for energy class solutions, there would be a hope to invoke the conservation law to show that $\|\dots(t)\|_{L^2}$ in (1.5) is bounded by $\sim e^{c(1+|t|)^m}$ or $\sim (1 + |t|)^m$ for “any” $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the interval of validity would be extended logarithmically or polynomially in $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$.

(iii) For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, the conservation law of the Boussinesq equation (1.2) controls the H^1 -norms of solutions. Nevertheless, by scaling, the conservation law loses its control on $\|\partial_x u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. It merely provides a bound for the L^2 -norm (see (2.3)). For this reason, it is desirable to obtain (1.5) for L^2 (or L^2 type)-solutions, which will be postponed in our future work.

Remark 1.3 (Rate of convergence). (i) In (1.5), the $O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}})$ -factor is simply from the long wave scaling (1.3). The additional $O(\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}s})$ -factor is the essential rate of convergence.

(ii) When $0 \leq s \leq \frac{5}{4}$, the additional $O(\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}s})$ -factor in (1.5) is optimal in the sense that the difference between the corresponding two flows is bounded by $O(\epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}s})$ (see Lemma 3.4).

(iii) Since the $O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}})$ -bound is obtained for localized regular solutions [25], one may expect that the bound (1.5) can be improved to $O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2} + \min\{\frac{2}{5}s, 2\}})$. However, we currently do not know how to prove it. Indeed, such a loss is from the bilinear estimates (Lemma 4.4 and 4.5) which measure interactions between the counter-propagating waves. There is also a possibility that putting a weighted norm

bound (see Remark 1.2 (i)) might be necessary for a better rate of convergence, because localization reduces interactions of two waves.

In the previous work [25], the KdV limit is justified by multi-scaling analysis, involving energy estimates for the residual $\text{Res}(u) = -\partial_t^2 u + \partial_x^2 u - \partial_x^4 u - \partial_x^2(u^2)$, where u is the ansatz (1.3), but this approach requires high Sobolev norm bounds on $w_{\pm}$. On the other hand, the proof of our main result is based on the well-established low regularity well-posedness theory, involving Fourier analysis methods, for nonlinear dispersive equations. A key observation is that reformulating the problem as a system of integral equations (see (2.9)), or the Duhamel representation, enables us to capture dispersive effects properly. Indeed, the linear evolutions in the reformulated equation (2.9) are given by $e^{\mp \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x (\sqrt{1-\epsilon\Delta}-1)}$. Therefore, developing the linear and bilinear estimates associated with these linear flows, one can deduce uniform bounds for low regularity nonlinear solutions.

By similar approaches, regularity requirements have been reduced for limit problems in recent work of the authors and their collaborators; [14, 11, 13] for continuum limit for discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations, and [12] for the KdV limit for the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam (FPU) system. We note that in some simpler cases [14, 11, 13], the interval of validity is extended arbitrarily by combining the energy conservation law.

For Theorem 1.1, we mainly follow the strategy in Hong-Kwak-Yang [12], where H^s -solutions to the KdV equation are derived from the FPU system, provided that $s > \frac{3}{4}$. In [12], the obstacle to go below $\frac{3}{4}$ is from that the Fourier restriction norm cannot be directly employed to measure the difference of two different equations (see [12, Appendix A]). The same difficulty also arises for the limit from the Boussinesq model. In this paper, a new idea is introduced to overcome this problem employing an auxiliary equation, namely, the frequency localized decoupled Boussinesq equation (see (2.14) below). It allows us to reduce the regularity requirement up to $s > 0$, and we expect that the same idea can be applied to other models. More detailed outline of the proof will be given in the next section.

1.1. Notations. Throughout this article, $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ is a small parameter which will be sent to zero. A very important remark is that we always denote

$$A \lesssim B \quad (\text{resp.}, A \gtrsim B, A \sim B)$$

if there exists $c > 0$, “independent of $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ ”, such that $A \leq cB$ (resp., $A \geq cB$, $\frac{1}{c}A \leq B \leq cA$). The standard Japanese bracket is expressed by $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1+x^2}$. Let η be a fixed smooth bump function $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \quad \eta \equiv 1 \text{ on } [-1, 1], \quad \text{supp} \eta \subset [-2, 2], \quad (1.6)$$

and we define the “sharp” frequency truncation operator P_N by

$$\widehat{P_{\leq N} u}(\xi) = \mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi| \leq N\}} \hat{u}(\xi) \quad \text{with} \quad N = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{-\frac{2}{5}}. \quad (1.7)$$

1.2. Acknowledgement. The first author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. RS-2023-00208824 and No. RS-2023-00219980). The second author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. 2021R1C1C1005700)

2. OUTLINE OF PROOF

In this section, we present the outline of the proof of our main result. First, in Section 2.1, we reformulate the original Boussinesq equation (1.1) as a coupled system of nonlinear integral equations

(2.9) in a way that the Fourier analysis method can be carried out for our limit problem. Then, the main theorem (Theorem 1.1) is rephrased accordingly (see Theorem 2.3). In Section 2.2, we introduce an auxiliary equation, namely, the frequency localized decoupled Boussinesq equation (2.14). It is a key tool to overcome a technical difficulty arising in application of the Fourier restriction norm to two different equations. Using this equation, we give a sketch of how we prove the theorem.

2.1. Reformulation of the Boussinesq equation. For a small parameter $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, we define

$$u_\epsilon(t, x) := \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} u\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon^3}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right), \quad (2.1)$$

where $u(t, x)$ is a solution to the Boussinesq equation (1.1). Then, $u_\epsilon(t, x)$ obeys the rescaled equation

$$\epsilon^4 \partial_t^2 u_\epsilon = \partial_x^2 (1 - \epsilon^2 \partial_x^2) u_\epsilon - \epsilon^2 \partial_x^2 (u_\epsilon^2), \quad (2.2)$$

and the conservation law (1.2) is also rescaled to

$$E_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) = \frac{\epsilon^4}{2} \|\partial_x^{-1} \partial_t u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \|\partial_x u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3} \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^3}^3. \quad (2.3)$$

By Duhamel's principle, the equation (2.2) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon(t) &= \cos\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} |\partial_x| \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle\right) u_\epsilon(0) + \epsilon^2 \frac{\sin\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} |\partial_x| \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle\right)}{|\partial_x| \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \partial_t u_\epsilon(0) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \sin\left(\frac{t-t_1}{\epsilon^2} |\partial_x| \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle\right) \frac{|\partial_x|}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (u_\epsilon(t_1))^2 dt_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\partial_x|$ and $\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle$ are the Fourier multipliers with symbol $|\xi|$ and $\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle$ respectively. By the decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \cos\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} |\partial_x| \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle\right) &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle}, \\ \sin\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} |\partial_x| \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle\right) |\partial_x| &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \partial_x + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \partial_x, \end{aligned}$$

the right hand side of the equation can be reorganized as

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon(t) &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} u_\epsilon(0) + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} u_\epsilon(0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \frac{\epsilon^2}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \partial_x^{-1} \partial_t u_\epsilon(0) - \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \frac{\epsilon^2}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \partial_x^{-1} \partial_t u_\epsilon(0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{\frac{t-t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (u_\epsilon(t_1))^2 dt_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{t-t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (u_\epsilon(t_1))^2 dt_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, separating with respect to the linear propagators $e^{\pm \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle}$ and translating by $\pm \frac{t}{\epsilon^2}$, we set

$$u_\epsilon^\pm(t, x) := e^{\mp \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle - 1} u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm \mp \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{\mp \frac{t-t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle - 1} \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} e^{\pm \frac{t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} (u_\epsilon(t_1))^2 dt_1, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left(u_\epsilon(0) \mp \frac{\epsilon^2}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \partial_x^{-1} \partial_t u_\epsilon(0) \right). \quad (2.5)$$

Note that by definitions,

$$u_\epsilon(t, x) = u_\epsilon^+\left(t, x - \frac{t}{\epsilon^2}\right) + u_\epsilon^-\left(t, x + \frac{t}{\epsilon^2}\right), \quad (2.6)$$

since $e^{-a\partial_x}$ is a translation operator, i.e., $e^{-a\partial_x}f(x) = f(x-a)$. Therefore, introducing the operator

$$S_\epsilon^\pm(t) = e^{\mp \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x (\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle - 1)} = e^{\mp i t s_\epsilon(-i\partial_x)}, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$s_\epsilon(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{\epsilon^2} (\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle - 1), \quad (2.8)$$

and using that $e^{a\partial_x}(f^2) = f(x+a)^2 = (e^{a\partial_x}f)^2$ for the nonlinear term, we may write (2.4) in a compact form as

$$u_\epsilon^\pm(t) = S_\epsilon^\pm(t)u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm \mp \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \left\{ (u_\epsilon^\pm(t_1) + e^{\pm \frac{2t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u_\epsilon^\mp(t_1))^2 \right\} dt_1. \quad (2.9)$$

From now on, we call (2.9) the *coupled Boussinesq system*.

Remark 2.1. Suppose that $(u_\epsilon^+, u_\epsilon^-)$ is a solution to the system (2.9) in a proper function space (see Proposition 5.2). Then, by construction together with (2.6) and the well-posedness theory for the original Boussinesq equation [23], the counter-propagating two-wave $\epsilon^2 u_\epsilon^+(\epsilon^3 t, \epsilon^2(x - \frac{t}{\epsilon})) + \epsilon^2 u_\epsilon^-(\epsilon^3 t, \epsilon^2(x + \frac{t}{\epsilon}))$ is a unique solution to the equation (1.1) with given initial data.

Remark 2.2. (1) The linear Boussinesq flow $S_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ formally converges to the Airy flow

$$S^\pm(t) = e^{\pm \frac{t}{2} \partial_x^3}, \quad (2.10)$$

because $s_\epsilon(\xi) = \frac{\xi^3}{1+\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle} \rightarrow s(\xi) = \frac{\xi^3}{2}$ for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that in (2.4), the spatial translation operator $e^{\pm \frac{t\partial_x}{\epsilon^2}}$ is inserted for this convergence by cancelling out the linear component in $\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x \langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle$.

- (2) In (2.9), $u_\epsilon^\pm$ is coupled with $u_\epsilon^\mp$ via the term $e^{\pm \frac{2t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u_\epsilon^\mp(t_1)$ in nonlinearity. However, this coupled term is expected to vanish as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, if $u_\epsilon^\pm(t, x) \approx S_\epsilon^\pm(t)u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm$ at least on a short time interval (by the perturbative nature of the nonlinear equation), then $e^{\pm \frac{2t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u_\epsilon^\mp(t_1)$ can be approximated by

$$e^{\pm \frac{t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x (\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle + 1)} u_{\epsilon,0}^\mp(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\pm \frac{it_1}{\epsilon^2} \xi (\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle + 1)} e^{ix\xi} \widehat{u_{\epsilon,0}^\mp}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Then, the diverging group velocity $(\pm \frac{\xi}{\epsilon^2} (\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle + 1))' = \pm \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle + 1 + \frac{\xi^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle}) \rightarrow \pm \infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ would lead fast dispersion.

- (3) By (1) and (2), each $u_\epsilon^\pm(t, x)$ formally converges to the solution to the KdV equation

$$w_\epsilon^\pm(t) = S^\pm(t)u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm \mp \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t S^\pm(t-t_1) \partial_x (w_\epsilon^\pm(t_1))^2 dt_1. \quad (2.11)$$

By the reformulation of the problem (see (2.1), (2.5) and (2.6)), our main result in the introduction can be translated as follows. The rest of this paper is devoted to its proof.

Theorem 2.3 (Reformulated Korteweg-de Vries limit for the Boussinesq equation). *Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, and suppose that $0 < s \leq 5$ and*

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0, 1]} \|u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm\|_{H^s} \leq R.$$

Then, there exist $T(R) > 0$ and a unique solution $u_\epsilon^\pm \in C_t([-T, T]; H^s)$ to the coupled Boussinesq system (2.9) with an initial data $u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm$ such that

$$\|u_\epsilon^\pm(t) - w_\epsilon^\pm(t)\|_{C_t([-T, T]; L^2)} \lesssim_R \epsilon^{\min\{\frac{2s}{5}, \frac{1}{2}\}},$$

where $w_\epsilon^\pm \in C_t(\mathbb{R}; H^s)$ is a unique solution to the KdV equation (2.11) with the initial data $u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm$.

Remark 2.4. (1) The constraint $s \leq 5$ is from the approximation lemma (Lemma 3.4), more essentially from the leading order term in the gap $s_\epsilon(\xi) - s(\xi) = -\frac{\epsilon^2 \xi^5}{8} + \frac{\epsilon^4 \xi^7}{16} \dots$.
 (2) When $\frac{5}{4} \leq s \leq 5$, assuming more regularity on initial data does not improve the $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ -rate of convergence. This is due to Lemma 4.4.

2.2. Frequency localized decoupled Boussinesq equation. Despite the positive aspects mentioned in Remark 2.2, we must pay attention to the high frequency contribution of solutions. Indeed, in this article, the Fourier restriction norm of Bourgain [1, 2] will be employed, because it is known to be a fundamental tool to capture smoothing properties from dispersion, in particular, for the low regularity theory, and our goal is to reduce the regularity requirement for the KdV limit. For $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$, the Fourier restriction norm associated with the Boussinesq flow $S_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ (resp., that associated with the Airy flow $S^\pm(t)$) is defined by

$$\|u\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{s,b}} := \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau \pm s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^b \tilde{u}(\tau, \xi)\|_{L_{\tau,\xi}^2} \quad \left(\text{resp., } \|u\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}} := \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau \pm s(\xi) \rangle^b \tilde{u}(\tau, \xi)\|_{L_{\tau,\xi}^2} \right), \quad (2.12)$$

where $\tilde{u}$ denotes the space-time Fourier transform of u , i.e.,

$$\tilde{u}(\tau, \xi) = \iint u(t, x) e^{-i(t\tau + x\xi)} dx dt. \quad (2.13)$$

However, a problem is that the Fourier restriction norm is very sensitive to the choice of the associated linear flow. In our situation, the linear Boussinesq flow $S_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ turns out to behave completely differently from the Airy flow $S^\pm(t)$ in high frequencies, because $s_\epsilon(\xi) \approx \frac{|\xi|\xi}{\epsilon}$ when $|\xi| \gg \frac{1}{\epsilon}$. For this reason, we are unable to directly measure the difference between (2.9) and (2.11) either in the $X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}$ -norm or in the $X_\pm^{0,b}$ -norm (see also Remark 3.2).

To overcome this obstacle, we introduce the following auxiliary equation

$$v_\epsilon^\pm(t) = S_\epsilon^\pm(t) P_{\leq N} u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm \mp \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) P_{\leq N} \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (P_{\leq N} v_\epsilon^\pm(t_1))^2 dt_1,$$

(2.14)

where $P_{\leq N}$ denotes the “sharp” frequency truncation operator defined by $\widehat{P_{\leq N} f}(\xi) = \mathbb{1}_{\{|\xi| \leq N\}} \hat{f}(\xi)$ and $N = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{-\frac{2}{5}}$. We call it the *frequency localized decoupled Boussinesq equation*, since the coupled nonlinearity is dropped and $P_{\leq N}$ cuts out high frequencies. Note that if $v_\epsilon^\pm$ is a solution, then it is localized in $|\xi| \leq N$ on the Fourier side. Hence, we may write $(P_{\leq N} v_\epsilon^\pm(t_1))^2 = (v_\epsilon^\pm(t_1))^2$ on the right hand side of (2.14).

By construction, it suffices to show that the following two differences converge to zero;

$$\begin{aligned} & (u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm)(t) \\ &= S_\epsilon^\pm(t) (1 - P_{\leq N}) u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm && \text{(high freq. linear flow)} \\ &\mp \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \{ (u_\epsilon^\pm + v_\epsilon^\pm)(u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm) \}(t_1) dt_1 && \text{(nonlinear difference)} \\ &\mp \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \left\{ 2u_\epsilon^\pm e^{\pm \frac{2t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u_\epsilon^\mp + e^{\pm \frac{2t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} (u_\epsilon^\mp)^2 \right\}(t_1) dt_1 && \text{(coupling nonlinearity)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm)(t) \\
&= (1 - P_{\leq N})w_\epsilon^\pm(t) \quad (\text{high freq. KdV flow}) \\
&\quad + (S_\epsilon^\pm(t) - S^\pm(t))P_{\leq N}u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm \quad (\text{linear low freq. error}) \\
&\quad \mp \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} P_{\leq N} \{ (v_\epsilon^\pm + w_\epsilon^\pm)(v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm) \}(t_1) dt_1 \quad (\text{nonlinear difference}) \quad (2.16) \\
&\quad \mp \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) - S^\pm(t-t_1)) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} P_{\leq N} (w_\epsilon^\pm(t_1))^2 dt_1 \quad (\text{nonlinear error 1}) \\
&\quad \pm \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t S^\pm(t-t_1) \partial_x \left(1 - \frac{1}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \right) P_{\leq N} (w_\epsilon^\pm(t_1))^2 dt_1. \quad (\text{nonlinear error 2})
\end{aligned}$$

For (2.15), we take the $X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}$ -norm. Then, the high frequency linear flow vanishes by the regularity gap; we measure it in $X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}$, assuming $\|u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm\|_{H^s}$ is uniformly bounded. On the other hand, the coupling nonlinearity converges to zero by fast dispersion. For (2.16), the high frequency part of the KdV flow vanishes by the regularity gap. The (frequency localized) linear error and the nonlinear errors converge to zero because of symbol convergences in low frequencies. An important remark is that even though two flows $S_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ and $S^\pm(t)$ are present in (2.16), we can take the $X_\pm^{0,b}$ -norm, since the $X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}$ - and the $X_\pm^{0,b}$ -norms are equivalent in low frequencies (see Lemma 3.3). Finally, the nonlinear differences in (2.15) and (2.16) can be dealt with by proving proper uniform bounds (see Proposition 5.2).

2.3. Outline of the paper. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we review basic properties of the Fourier restriction norm and prove linear estimates for the convergence of the linear Boussinesq flow $S_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ in low frequencies. In Section 4, we show uniform bilinear estimates to deal with the nonlinear terms in (2.9) and (2.14). Having these tools, in Section 5, we establish well-posedness and uniform bounds for nonlinear solutions. Finally, in Section 6, we prove the main theorem.

3. LINEAR ESTIMATES FOR THE LINEAR BOUSSINESQ FLOW

In this section, we prove some linear estimates in the Fourier restriction norms associated to the linear Boussinesq and the Airy flows (see (2.12) for the definitions). They will be used to show the convergence of the linear and the nonlinear errors in (2.16).

3.1. Basic properties of the Fourier restriction norm. We recall the general theory on Fourier restriction norms (see [24, 30] for details). For a general symbol $p = p(\xi) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the $X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b}$ -space as the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b}} := \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau - p(\xi) \rangle^b \tilde{u}(\tau, \xi)\|_{L_{\tau,\xi}^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})},$$

where $\tilde{u}$ is the space-time Fourier transform (see (2.13)).

Lemma 3.1 (Properties of the Fourier restriction norm). *Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then, the following hold for $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T \in (0, 1]$.*

- (1) (Nesting) If $s_1 \leq s_2$ and $b_1 \leq b_2$, then $X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s_1, b_1} \subseteq X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s_2, b_2}$.
- (2) (Duality) $(X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b})^* = X_{\tau=-p(-\xi)}^{-s, -b}$.
- (3) (Embedding) For $b > \frac{1}{2}$, $X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b} \subset C_t(\mathbb{R}; H_x^s)$.

(4) (Linear flow in $X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b}$) If $b > \frac{1}{2}$, then $\|\eta(\frac{t}{T})e^{itp(-i\partial_x)}u_0\|_{X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b}} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}-b}\|u_0\|_{H^s}$.

(5) (Stability with respect to time localization) If $-\frac{1}{2} < b' \leq b < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\|\eta(\frac{t}{T})u\|_{X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b'}} \lesssim T^{b-b'}\|u\|_{X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b}}.$$

If $\frac{1}{2} < b \leq 1$, then

$$\|\eta(\frac{t}{T})u\|_{X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b}} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}-b}\|u\|_{X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b}}.$$

(6) (Inhomogeneous term estimate) If $\frac{1}{2} < b \leq 1$, then

$$\left\| \eta(\frac{t}{T}) \int_0^t e^{i(t-t_1)p(-i\partial_x)} F(t_1) dt_1 \right\|_{X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b}} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \|F\|_{X_{\tau=p(\xi)}^{s,b-1}}.$$

3.2. Low frequency flows in the Fourier restriction norm. An important remark is that choosing a right symbol is crucial when we use the Fourier restriction norm.

Remark 3.2. Consider the Fourier restriction norm of the linear Boussinesq flow with respect to the Airy flow, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta(t)S_\epsilon^\pm(t)u_0\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}} &= \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau \pm s(\xi) \rangle^b \hat{\eta}(\tau \pm s_\epsilon(\xi)) \hat{u}_0(\xi)\|_{L_{\tau,\xi}^2} \\ &= \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau \pm (s(\xi) - s_\epsilon(\xi)) \rangle^b \hat{\eta}(\tau) \hat{u}_0(\xi)\|_{L_{\tau,\xi}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

provided that $b > 0$ and u_0 is merely in H^s . In spite of the convergence $s_\epsilon(\xi) \rightarrow s(\xi)$ in symbol (see Remark 2.2 (1)), this quantity diverges as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ due to the high frequency contribution, because $s(\xi) - s_\epsilon(\xi) \approx \frac{\xi^3}{2} - \frac{|\xi|\xi}{\epsilon} \approx \frac{\xi^3}{2}$ for $|\xi| \gg \frac{1}{\epsilon}$.

This may cause some technical issue when we directly measure the difference for two solutions $u_\epsilon^\pm$ and $w_\epsilon^\pm$ to (2.9) and (2.11) via the Fourier restriction norm. Nevertheless, the following lemma asserts that the different Fourier restriction norms are comparable if we restrict to low frequencies.

Lemma 3.3. Let $P_{\leq N}$ be the frequency cut-off given by (1.7) with $N = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{-\frac{2}{5}}$. Then, for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, we have the norm equivalence

$$\|P_{\leq N}u\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}} \sim \|P_{\leq N}u\|_{X_{\tau=\mp(\theta s_\epsilon(\xi) + (1-\theta)s(\xi))}^{s,b}}.$$

Proof. We only consider $-$ case. Suppose that $|\xi| \leq N$. Then, by Taylor's theorem, we have

$$|s_\epsilon(\xi) - s(\xi)| \leq \frac{1}{8}\epsilon^2|\xi|^5 \leq 2^{-8}, \quad (3.2)$$

and thus, $\langle \tau \pm (\theta s_\epsilon(\xi) + (1-\theta)s(\xi)) \rangle = \langle \tau \pm s(\xi) \pm \theta(s_\epsilon(\xi) - s(\xi)) \rangle \sim \langle \tau \pm s(\xi) \rangle$. By definition, this proves the lemma. $\square$

Moreover, in low frequencies, the linear Boussinesq flow can be approximated by that of the Airy flow in the Fourier restriction norm associated with the Airy flow.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a smooth bump function satisfying (1.6), and let P_N be the frequency cut-off given by (1.7) with $N = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{-\frac{2}{5}}$. Then, for $0 < T \leq 1$, $0 \leq s \leq 5$ and $\frac{1}{2} < b \leq 1$, we have

$$\|\eta(\frac{t}{T})(S_\epsilon^\pm(t) - S^\pm(t))P_{\leq N}u_0\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{3}{2}-b} \|u_0\|_{H^s} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\left\| \eta(\frac{t}{T}) \int_0^t (S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) - S^\pm(t-t_1)) \eta(\frac{t_1}{T}) (P_{\leq N}F)(t_1) dt_1 \right\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{3}{2}-b} \|F\|_{X_\pm^{s,b-1}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$e^{\mp it s_\epsilon(\xi)} - e^{\mp it s(\xi)} = \mp it (s_\epsilon(\xi) - s(\xi)) \left\{ \int_0^1 e^{\mp it (\theta s_\epsilon(\xi) + (1-\theta)s(\xi))} d\theta \right\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that interpolating two inequalities in (3.2), we have

$$|s_\epsilon(\xi) - s(\xi)| \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} |\xi|^s \quad \text{for } |\xi| \leq N. \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, by the definition of the Fourier restriction norm, it follows from Lemma 3.3, (3.6) and Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\eta(\frac{t}{T})(S_\epsilon^\pm(t) - S^\pm(t))P_{\leq N}u_0\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \|t\eta(\frac{t}{T})e^{\mp it(\theta s_\epsilon(-i\partial_x) + (1-\theta)s(-i\partial_x))}(s_\epsilon(-i\partial_x) - s(-i\partial_x))P_{\leq N}u_0\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} d\theta \\ & \leq \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T \int_0^1 \|\frac{t}{T}\eta(\frac{t}{T})e^{\mp it(\theta s_\epsilon(-i\partial_x) + (1-\theta)s(-i\partial_x))}u_0\|_{X_{\tau=\mp(\theta s_\epsilon(\xi) + (1-\theta)s(\xi))}^{s,b}} d\theta \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{3}{2}-b} \|u_0\|_{H^s}. \end{aligned}$$

For (3.4), we use (3.5) to write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t (S^\pm(t-t_1) - S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1))\eta(\frac{t_1}{T})(P_{\leq N}F)(t_1)dt_1 \\ & = \mp i \int_0^t e^{\mp i(t-t_1)(\theta s_\epsilon(-i\partial_x) - (1-\theta)s(-i\partial_x))}(t-t_1)(s_\epsilon(-i\partial_x) - s(-i\partial_x))\eta(\frac{t_1}{T})(P_{\leq N}F)(t_1)dt_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, as we proved (3.3), using Lemma 3.3, (3.6) and Lemma 3.1, one can show that the left hand side of (3.4) is bounded by $\sim \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{3}{2}-b} \|P_{\leq N}F\|_{X_{\tau=\mp(\theta s_\epsilon(\xi) + (1-\theta)s(\xi))}^{s,b-1}} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{3}{2}-b} \|F\|_{X_\pm^{s,b-1}}$. $\square$

4. BILINEAR ESTIMATES FOR THE LINEAR BOUSSINESQ FLOW

In this section, we prove various bilinear estimates associated with the linear Boussinesq flow, analogous to the well-known bilinear estimate for the Airy flow [19];

$$\|\partial_x(w_1 w_2)\|_{X_\pm^{s,b-1}} \lesssim \|w_1\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}} \|w_2\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}}.$$

for $s > -\frac{3}{4}$ and for some $b \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. In fact, for a nonnegative $s \geq 0$, the following improved bilinear estimate holds¹

$$\|\partial_x(w_1 w_2)\|_{X_\pm^{s,-\frac{1}{4}}} \lesssim \|w_1\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}} \|w_2\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}}. \quad (4.1)$$

for all $b > \frac{1}{2}$. The bilinear estimates for the Boussinesq flow will be used to obtain uniform bounds for the nonlinear solutions $u_\epsilon^\pm$ and $v_\epsilon^\pm$ and to prove that the coupled nonlinearity vanishes.

To begin with, we collect some elementary facts which will be used frequently.

Remark 4.1. The derivatives of the symbol $s_\epsilon(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{\epsilon^2}(\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle - 1) = \frac{\xi^3}{1 + \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle}$ are given by

$$s'_\epsilon(\xi) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle - 1) + \frac{\xi^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2}, \quad s''_\epsilon(\xi) = \frac{\xi(1 + 2\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2)}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^3}, \quad s'''_\epsilon(\xi) = \frac{3}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^5}.$$

Lemma 4.2. For $b' \geq b > \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dx}{\langle x - \alpha \rangle^{2b'} \langle x - \beta \rangle^{2b}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle \alpha - \beta \rangle^{2b}}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dx}{\langle x \rangle^{2b} \sqrt{|x - y|}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

¹See [7, Lemma 3.14], for example.

First, we prove the following bilinear estimate for the main nonlinear terms $\frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (u_\epsilon^\pm)^2$ and $\frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (v_\epsilon^\pm)^2$ in the equations (2.9) and (2.14).

Lemma 4.3 (Bilinear estimate I). *For $s \geq 0$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, we have*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (uv) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon, \pm}^{s, -\frac{1}{4}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{\epsilon, \pm}^{s, b}} \|v\|_{X_{\epsilon, \pm}^{s, b}}.$$

Proof. (Step 1. Reduction) We only prove the proposition for $\left\| \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (uv) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon, +}^{s, -\frac{1}{4}}}$, because the other can be proved by the same way. Indeed, by duality, it suffices to show that

$$\left| \iint \left(\frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (uv) \right) \bar{w} dx dt \right| \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{\epsilon, +}^{s, b}} \|v\|_{X_{\epsilon, +}^{s, b}} \|w\|_{X_{\epsilon, +}^{-s, \frac{1}{4}}}.$$

By Parseval's identity, the left hand side integral can be written as

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \iint \iint \frac{i\xi}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle} \tilde{u}(\tau_1, \xi_1) \tilde{v}(\tau - \tau_1, \xi - \xi_1) \overline{\tilde{w}(\tau, \xi)} d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau.$$

Hence, by the definition of the Fourier restriction norm, the above trilinear estimate is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint \iint \frac{\xi}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle} \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^s}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle^s \langle \xi - \xi_1 \rangle^s} \frac{U(\tau_1, \xi_1) V(\tau - \tau_1, \xi - \xi_1) \overline{W(\tau, \xi)}}{\langle \tau_1 + s_\epsilon(\xi_1) \rangle^b \langle \tau - \tau_1 + s_\epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^b \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}} d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau \right| \\ & \lesssim \|U\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^2} \|V\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^2} \|W\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For this inequality, one can see that by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the trivial inequality $\langle \xi \rangle \lesssim \langle \xi_1 \rangle \langle \xi - \xi_1 \rangle$ and Lemma 4.2 for the τ_1 -integration, the proof of the proposition can be reduced to show that

$$I_\epsilon(\tau, \xi) := \frac{\xi^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\xi_1}{\langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi_1) + s_\epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^{2b}}$$

is bounded uniformly in τ, ξ . Here, we may assume that $\xi > 0$, since $I_\epsilon(\tau, -\xi) = I_\epsilon(-\tau, \xi)$ by the change of variable $\xi \mapsto -\xi$ with $s_\epsilon(\xi) = -s_\epsilon(-\xi)$. We also note that the integrand in $I_\epsilon(\tau, \xi)$ is symmetric with respect to $\xi_1 = \frac{\xi}{2}$. Thus, we may write

$$I_\epsilon(\tau, \xi) = \frac{2\xi^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\frac{\xi}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi_1}{\langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi_1) + s_\epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^{2b}}. \quad (4.2)$$

(Step 2. Substitution) For the integral in (4.2), we assume that $\xi_1 \geq \frac{\xi}{2}$ and substitute

$$z = s_\epsilon(\xi_1) + s_\epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) = -\frac{\xi}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\xi_1}{\epsilon^2} \langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle + \frac{\xi - \xi_1}{\epsilon^2} \langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle$$

(see Remark 4.1). Indeed, direct computations, involving rationalization of $\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle - \langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle$, yield

$$\begin{aligned} z'(\xi_1) &= \frac{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\xi_1^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle} - \frac{\langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{(\xi - \xi_1)^2}{\langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle - \langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\xi_1^2 - (\xi - \xi_1)^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle} + (\xi - \xi_1)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle} - \frac{1}{\langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle} \right) \\ &= \xi(2\xi_1 - \xi) \frac{2\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle \langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle + 1}{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle \langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle (\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle + \langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle)} \geq \frac{\xi(2\xi_1 - \xi)}{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

because $\langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle \leq \langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle$ when $\xi_1 \geq \frac{\xi}{2}$. It implies that $z(\xi_1)$ is strictly increasing on $[\frac{\xi}{2}, \infty)$ so that we can make such a change of variable. Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\epsilon(\tau, \xi) &= \frac{2\xi^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{z(\frac{\xi}{2})}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\langle \tau + z \rangle^{2b} z'(\xi_1)} \\ &\leq \frac{2\xi}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{z(\frac{\xi}{2})}^{z(\xi + \frac{1}{\epsilon})} + \int_{z(\xi + \frac{1}{\epsilon})}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle}{\langle \tau + z \rangle^{2b} (2\xi_1 - \xi)} dz \\ &=: I_\epsilon^-(\tau, \xi) + I_\epsilon^+(\tau, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

(Step 3. Integral estimates) It remains to obtain uniform bounds for $I_\epsilon^\pm(\tau, \xi)$ assuming $\xi > 0$.

For $I_\epsilon^+(\tau, \xi)$, we note that if $\xi_1 \geq \xi + \frac{1}{\epsilon}$,

$$2\xi_1 - \xi = \xi_1 + (\xi_1 - \xi) \gtrsim \frac{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle}{\epsilon}. \quad (4.4)$$

Hence, it follows that

$$I_\epsilon^+(\tau, \xi) \lesssim \frac{\epsilon \xi}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dz}{\langle \tau + z \rangle^{2b}} \lesssim 1.$$

To estimate $I_\epsilon^-(\tau, \xi)$, we assume that $0 \leq \frac{\xi}{2} \leq \xi_1 \leq \xi + \frac{1}{\epsilon}$. Then, $0 \leq \epsilon \xi_1 \leq 1 + \epsilon \xi$ and $-\frac{\epsilon \xi}{2} \leq \epsilon(\xi_1 - \xi) \leq 1$. Thus, we have

$$\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle + \langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle \lesssim \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle. \quad (4.5)$$

On the other hand, it follows from Taylor's theorem to $z(\xi_1)$ near $\xi_1 = \frac{\xi}{2}$ with $z'(\frac{\xi}{2}) = 0$ (see (4.3)) that there exists $\frac{\xi}{2} < \xi_* < \xi_1$ such that $z(\xi_1) = z(\frac{\xi}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}z''(\xi_*)(\xi_1 - \frac{\xi}{2})^2$ and $z''(\xi_*) > 0$, in other words,

$$\frac{1}{2\xi_1 - \xi} = \frac{(z''(\xi_*))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\sqrt{2}(z(\xi_1) - z(\frac{\xi}{2}))^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (4.6)$$

We claim that if $\xi_1 > \frac{\xi}{2}$, then

$$z''(\xi_1) \lesssim \frac{\xi}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle}. \quad (4.7)$$

Indeed, by the derivative formulae for $s_\epsilon(\xi)$ (see Remark 4.1), s_ϵ'' is odd and increasing, and $0 \leq s_\epsilon''' \leq 3$. Thus, by the mean-value theorem, it follows that

$$z''(\xi_1) = s_\epsilon''(\xi_1) + s_\epsilon''(\xi - \xi_1) = s_\epsilon''(\xi_1) - s_\epsilon''(\xi_1 - \xi) \leq 3\xi$$

and

$$z''(\xi_1) = s_\epsilon''(\xi_1) + s_\epsilon''(\xi - \xi_1) \leq 2s_\epsilon''(\xi_1) = \frac{\xi_1(3 + 2\epsilon^2\xi_1^2)}{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle^3} \leq \frac{3}{\epsilon}.$$

Combining two upper bounds, we prove the claim. Now, we apply (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) and Lemma 4.2 to $I_\epsilon^-(\tau, \xi)$. Then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\epsilon^-(\tau, \xi) &\lesssim \frac{\xi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dz}{\langle \tau + z \rangle^{2b} (z - z(\frac{\xi}{2}))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\xi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau + z(\frac{\xi}{2}) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\xi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle s_\epsilon(\xi) - z(\frac{\xi}{2}) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sim 1, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step, we used that $s_\epsilon(\xi) - z(\frac{\xi}{2}) = \frac{\xi}{\epsilon^2}(\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle - \langle \frac{\epsilon \xi}{2} \rangle) = \frac{3\xi^3}{4(\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle + \langle \frac{\epsilon \xi}{2} \rangle)} \sim \frac{\xi^3}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle}$. $\square$

The next two bilinear estimates are for the coupling nonlinearities $u_\epsilon^\pm \cdot e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u_\epsilon^\mp$ and $(e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u_\epsilon^\mp)^2 = e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} (u_\epsilon^\mp)^2$ in the coupled Boussinesq system (2.9). Here, we also consider the case when higher regularity norms are present on the right hand side ($s' > s$) so that we can make use of the estimates to show that the coupling nonlinearities by the regularity gap.

Lemma 4.4 (Bilinear estimate II). *If $s' \geq s \geq 0$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} (uv) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon, \pm}^{s, -\frac{1}{4}}} \lesssim \epsilon^{\min\{s'-s, \frac{1}{2}\}} \|u\|_{X_{\epsilon, \mp}^{s', b}} \|v\|_{X_{\epsilon, \mp}^{s', b}}.$$

Proof. We only prove for $\left\| \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} e^{\frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} (uv) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon, +}^{s, -\frac{1}{4}}}$. Indeed, repeating the reduction step in the proof of Lemma 4.3 line by line but using that $\frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{2s}}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{2s'} \langle \xi - \xi_1 \rangle^{2s'}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{2(s-s')}} for $\xi_1 \geq \frac{\xi}{2}$, one can reduce the proof of the proposition to show that$

$$\sup_{\xi > 0, \tau \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{2\xi^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\frac{\xi}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi_1}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \tau - \frac{2\xi}{\epsilon^2} - s_\epsilon(\xi_1) - s_\epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^{2b}} \lesssim \epsilon^{\min\{2(s'-s), 1\}}.$$

Note that compared to (4.2), in $\langle \cdots \rangle^{2b}$, the additional term $-\frac{2\xi}{\epsilon}$ comes from the translation operator $e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x}$, while $s_\epsilon(\xi_1)$ and $s_\epsilon(\xi - \xi_1)$ have different signs, because $X_{\epsilon, -}^{s, b}$ -norms are taken on the right hand side. Suppose that $\xi > 0$, and substitute

$$z(\xi_1) = s_\epsilon(\xi_1) + s_\epsilon(\xi - \xi_1)$$

as before. Then, it follows from (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\xi^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\frac{\xi}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi_1}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \tau - \frac{2\xi}{\epsilon^2} - s_\epsilon(\xi_1) - s_\epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^{2b}} \\ &= \frac{2\xi^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{z(\frac{\xi}{2})}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \tau - \frac{2\xi}{\epsilon^2} - z \rangle^{2b} z'(\xi_1)} \\ &\leq \frac{2\xi}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{z(\frac{\xi}{2})}^{z(\xi + \frac{1}{\epsilon})} + \int_{z(\xi + \frac{1}{\epsilon})}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \tau - \frac{2\xi}{\epsilon^2} - z \rangle^{2b} (2\xi_1 - \xi)} dz \\ &=: I_\epsilon^-(\tau, \xi) + I_\epsilon^+(\tau, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

For $I_\epsilon^+(\tau, \xi)$, it follows from (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\epsilon^+(\tau, \xi) &\lesssim \frac{\epsilon \xi}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{z(\xi + \frac{1}{\epsilon})}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \tau - \frac{2\xi}{\epsilon^2} - z \rangle^{2b}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\epsilon \xi}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \xi + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rangle^{2(s'-s)}} \leq \epsilon^{2(s'-s)} \frac{\epsilon \xi}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^{2(1+s'-s)}} \lesssim \epsilon^{2(s'-s)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $I_\epsilon^-(\tau, \xi)$, we employ (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) and Lemma 4.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_\epsilon^-(\tau, \xi) &\lesssim \frac{\xi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{z(\frac{\xi}{2})}^{z(\xi + \frac{1}{\epsilon})} \frac{dz}{\langle \tau - \frac{2\xi}{\epsilon^2} - z \rangle^{2b} (z - z(\frac{\xi}{2}))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\xi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau - \frac{2\xi}{\epsilon^2} - z(\frac{\xi}{2}) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\xi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle \frac{2\xi}{\epsilon^2} + z(\frac{\xi}{2}) + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\xi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle \frac{\xi}{\epsilon^2} \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\epsilon \xi}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we used that $\frac{2\xi}{\epsilon^2} + z(\frac{\xi}{2}) + s_\epsilon(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{\epsilon^2} \langle \frac{\epsilon\xi}{2} \rangle + \frac{\xi}{\epsilon^2} \langle \epsilon\xi \rangle$. Hence, if $\xi \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, then $I_\epsilon^-(\tau, \xi) \sim \frac{\epsilon\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2(s'-s)}} \leq \epsilon^{\min\{2(s'-s), 1\}}$. On the other hand, if $\xi \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, then $I_\epsilon^-(\tau, \xi) \sim \frac{1}{\epsilon \xi^{2(s'-s)+1}} \leq \epsilon^{2(s'-s)}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.5 (Bilinear estimate III). *If $s' \geq s \geq 0$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \left(u \cdot e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} v \right) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon, \pm}^{s, -\frac{1}{4}}} \lesssim \epsilon^{\min\{s'-s, 1\}} \|u\|_{X_{\epsilon, \pm}^{s', b}} \|v\|_{X_{\epsilon, \mp}^{s', b}}.$$

Proof. We only prove that $\left\| \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (u \cdot e^{\frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} v) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon, +}^{s, -\frac{1}{4}}} \lesssim \epsilon^{s'-s} \|u\|_{X_{\epsilon, +}^{s', b}} \|v\|_{X_{\epsilon, -}^{s', b}}$, which can be reduced as in the proofs of the previous two lemmas to the following integral estimate

$$I_{\tau, \xi} := \frac{2\xi^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \tau + s_\epsilon(\xi) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\frac{\xi}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\langle \tau - \frac{2(\xi - \xi_1)}{\epsilon^2} + s_\epsilon(\xi_1) - s_\epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^{2b}} d\xi_1 \lesssim \epsilon^{2\min\{s'-s, 1\}}$$

for $\xi > 0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, changing the variable for the integral by

$$z(\xi_1) = \frac{2\xi_1 - \xi}{\epsilon^2} + s_\epsilon(\xi_1) - s_\epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) = \frac{\xi_1}{\epsilon^2} \langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle - \frac{\xi - \xi_1}{\epsilon^2} \langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle$$

with

$$z'(\xi_1) = \frac{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\xi_1^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi_1 \rangle} + \frac{(\xi - \xi_1)^2}{\langle \epsilon(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle} \geq \frac{\langle \frac{\epsilon\xi}{2} \rangle}{\epsilon^2},$$

it follows that

$$I_{\tau, \xi} \lesssim \frac{\xi^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^2 \langle \frac{\epsilon\xi}{2} \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dz}{\langle \tau - \frac{\xi}{\epsilon^2} + z \rangle^{2b}} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^2 \xi^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2(s'-s)} \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^3} \lesssim \epsilon^{2\min\{s'-s, 1\}}.$$

$\square$

5. UNIFORM BOUNDS FOR NONLINEAR SOLUTIONS

We recall the well-known well-posedness result for the KdV equation.

Proposition 5.1 (Local well-posedness of the KdV equation [17, 19]). *Let $s > -\frac{3}{4}$. There exists $b \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ such that for $u_0^\pm \in H^s$, there exist $T = T(\|u_0^\pm\|_{H^s}) > 0$ and a unique solution $w^\pm \in C_t([-T, T]; H^s)$ to the KdV equation (2.11) with an initial data $u_0^\pm$ such that $\|w^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s, b}} \lesssim \|u_0^\pm\|_{H^s}$.*

The goal of this section is to establish analogous well-posedness for the coupled/decoupled Boussinesq systems (2.9) and (2.14). Indeed, it is already shown in Linares [23] that the original Boussinesq equation (1.1) is locally well-posed in H^s for $s \geq 0$. However, this result or the argument of its proof does not directly provide what we need for the rescaled models, because its existence time $[-T_\epsilon, T_\epsilon]$ from the previous result may depend on the parameter $\epsilon > 0$ and there is a possibility that this interval shrinks to zero in the limit. To see this, we note that the nonlinear term $\frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (u_\epsilon^\pm + e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u_\epsilon^\mp)^2$ in (2.9) includes a differential operator $\frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle}$ of order 0. However, this differential formally converges to ∂_x in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, in order to establish well-posedness with ϵ -uniformity, we need one derivative gain in essence. For this reason, uniform linear/bilinear estimates analogous to those for the KdV equation are prepared in the previous sections.

In this section, we prove the following well-posedness with ϵ -uniformity.

Proposition 5.2 (Local well-posedness and uniform bounds for the Boussinesq systems). *Let $s \geq 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} < b < \frac{5}{8}$, and suppose that*

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0, 1]} \|u_{\epsilon, 0}^\pm\|_{H^s} \leq R.$$

There exist $T(R) > 0$, independent of $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, and a unique solution $u_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ (resp., $v_\epsilon^\pm(t)$) in $C_t([-T, T]; H^s)$ to the coupled Boussinesq equation (2.9) (resp., the frequency localized decoupled Boussinesq equation (2.14)) with an initial data $u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm$ (resp., $P_{\leq N} u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm$) such that

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1]} \|u_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{s,b}} \lesssim R \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1]} \|v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{s,b}} \lesssim R.$$

Proof. We only give a proof for the coupled Boussinesq equation (2.9). Indeed, the proof for the other equation (2.9) follows by the same way, because it has the same structure with less nonlinear terms and the frequency truncation $P_{\leq N}$ only makes terms smaller.

For notational convenience, we denote $\mathbf{X}_\epsilon^{s,b} := X_{\epsilon,+}^{s,b} \times X_{\epsilon,-}^{s,b}$ and $\mathbf{H}^s = H^s \times H^s$. Fix initial $\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon,0} = (u_{\epsilon,0}^+, u_{\epsilon,0}^-)$ such that $\|\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon,0}\|_{\mathbf{H}^s} \leq 2R$, and let $T > 0$ be a small number to be chosen later. For $\mathbf{u} = (u^+, u^-) \in \mathbf{X}_\epsilon^{s,b}$, we define

$$\Phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{u}) = (\Phi_\epsilon^+(\mathbf{u}), \Phi_\epsilon^-(\mathbf{u}))$$

by

$$\Phi_\epsilon^\pm(\mathbf{u}) = \eta_T(t) S_\epsilon^\pm(t) u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm \mp \frac{\eta_T(t)}{2} \int_0^t S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) \eta_T(t_1) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \left\{ (u_\epsilon^\pm(t_1) + e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u_\epsilon^\mp(t_1))^2 \right\} dt_1,$$

where η is a smooth bump function satisfying (1.6) and $\eta_T = \eta(\frac{\cdot}{T})$. Then, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_\epsilon^\pm(\mathbf{u})\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{s,b}} &\lesssim \|u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm\|_{H^s} + T^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \left\| \eta_T \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \left\{ u^\pm + e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u^\mp \right\}^2 \right\|_{X_{\pm,\epsilon}^{s, -(1-b)}} \\ &\lesssim R + T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} \left\| \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \left\{ u^\pm + e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u^\mp \right\}^2 \right\|_{X_{\pm,\epsilon}^{s, -\frac{1}{4}}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Then, applying the bilinear estimates (Lemma 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5) to the nonlinear term

$$\frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \left\{ u^\pm + e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u^\mp \right\}^2 = \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \left\{ (u^\pm)^2 + 2u^\pm \cdot e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u^\mp + e^{\pm \frac{2t}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} (u^\mp)^2 \right\},$$

we obtain

$$\|\Phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{u})\|_{\mathbf{X}_\epsilon^{s,b}} \leq cR + cT^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{X}_\epsilon^{s,b}}^2,$$

where $c > 0$ is independent of $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$. Similarly, for the difference, one can show that

$$\|\Phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{u}_1) - \Phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{u}_2)\|_{\mathbf{X}_\epsilon^{s,b}} \leq cT^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} (\|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{\mathbf{X}_\epsilon^{s,b}} + \|\mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}_\epsilon^{s,b}}) \|\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}_\epsilon^{s,b}}.$$

Therefore, taking $T = (8cR)^{-1/(\frac{5}{4}-2b)}$, we conclude that $\Phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{u})$ is contractive on $\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{X}_\epsilon^{s,b} : \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{X}_\epsilon^{s,b}} \leq 2cR\}$, and thus the equation (2.9) has a unique solution $u_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ with initial data $u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm$ such that $\|u_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{s,b}} \leq 2cR$. $\square$

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

We are ready to prove the main theorem (Theorem 2.3), which is equivalent to Theorem 1.1. For the proof, fix $0 < s \leq 5$ and $b \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{8})$ such that Proposition 5.1 and 5.2 hold. Given $R > 0$, we assume that $\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1]} \|u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm\|_{H^s} \leq R$, and let $u_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ and $w_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ be the solutions to the coupled Boussinesq system (2.8) and the KdV equation (2.11) with initial data $u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm$, respectively, constructed in Proposition 5.2 and 5.1, and let $v_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ be the solution to the frequency localized decoupled Boussinesq equation (2.14) with initial data $P_{\leq N} u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm$, given in Proposition 5.2. Here, we may assume that $u_\epsilon^\pm(t)$, $v_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ and $w_\epsilon^\pm(t)$ all exist on the same time interval $[-T, T]$, where

$$T = \min \left\{ \left(\frac{c_0}{R} \right)^{1/(\frac{5}{4}-2b)}, 1 \right\} \quad (6.1)$$

for some sufficiently small $c_0 > 0$ to be chosen later, and that they satisfy the uniform bounds,

$$\|u_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}}, \|v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}}, \|w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} \lesssim R. \quad (6.2)$$

Then, by the embedding for the Fourier restriction norm (Lemma 3.1 (3)), it suffices to show that

$$\|u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}}, \|v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} \lesssim_R \epsilon^{\min\{\frac{2s}{5}, \frac{1}{2}\}}.$$

6.1. Estimate for the difference $(u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm)$. Putting the temporal cut-off $\eta_T = \eta(\frac{\cdot}{T})$, where η is a smooth bump function satisfying (1.6), into (2.15), we write the difference $u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm)(t) &= \eta_T(t) S_\epsilon^\pm(t) (1 - P_{\leq N}) u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm \\ &\mp \frac{\eta_T(t)}{2} \int_0^t S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) \eta_T(t_1) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} ((u_\epsilon^\pm + v_\epsilon^\pm)(u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm))(t_1) dt_1 \\ &\mp \frac{\eta_T(t)}{2} \int_0^t S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) \eta_T(t_1) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (2u_\epsilon^\pm e^{\pm \frac{2t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u_\epsilon^\mp + e^{\pm \frac{2t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} (u_\epsilon^\mp)^2)(t_1) dt_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying the linear estimates in the Fourier restriction norms (Lemma 3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}} &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \|(1 - P_{\leq N}) u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm\|_{L^2} + T^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \left\| \eta_T(t) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} ((u_\epsilon^\pm + v_\epsilon^\pm)(u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm)) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,-(1-b)}} \\ &\quad + T^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \left\| \eta_T(t) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \left(2u_\epsilon^\pm e^{\pm \frac{2t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u_\epsilon^\mp + e^{\pm \frac{2t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} (u_\epsilon^\mp)^2 \right) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,-(1-b)}} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}-b} N^{-s} \|u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm\|_{H^s} + T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} \left\| \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} ((u_\epsilon^\pm + v_\epsilon^\pm)(u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm)) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,-\frac{1}{4}}} \\ &\quad + T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} \left\| \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} (u_\epsilon^\pm e^{\pm \frac{2t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} u_\epsilon^\mp) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,-\frac{1}{4}}} + T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} \left\| \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} e^{\pm \frac{2t_1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_x} ((u_\epsilon^\mp)^2) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,-\frac{1}{4}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from the bilinear estimates (Lemma 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5) and the uniform bounds (6.2) with $N = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{-\frac{2}{5}}$ and the choice of T (see (6.1)) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}} &\lesssim N^{-s} T^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \|u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm\|_{H^s} + T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} (\|u_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}} + \|v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}}) \|u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}} \\ &\quad + \epsilon^{\min\{s, \frac{1}{2}\}} T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} \|u_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{s,b}} \|u_\epsilon^\mp\|_{X_{\epsilon,\mp}^{s,b}} + \epsilon^{\min\{s, 1\}} T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} \|u_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{s,b}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{1}{2}-b} R + \frac{c_0}{R} \cdot 2R \cdot \|u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}} + \epsilon^{\min\{s, \frac{1}{2}\}} \cdot \frac{c_0}{R} \cdot R^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_0 > 0$ is small, this proves $\|u_\epsilon^\pm - v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_{\epsilon,\pm}^{0,b}} \lesssim_R \epsilon^{\min\{\frac{2s}{5}, \frac{1}{2}\}}$.

6.2. Estimate for the difference $(v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm)$. We consider the difference (2.16) of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm)(t) &= \eta_T(t) (1 - P_{\leq N}) w_\epsilon^\pm(t) + \eta_T(t) (S_\epsilon^\pm(t) - S^\pm(t)) P_{\leq N} u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm \\ &\mp \frac{\eta_T(t)}{2} \int_0^t S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) \eta_T(t_1) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} P_{\leq N} ((v_\epsilon^\pm + w_\epsilon^\pm)(v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm))(t_1) dt_1 \\ &\mp \frac{\eta_T(t)}{2} \int_0^t (S_\epsilon^\pm(t-t_1) - S^\pm(t-t_1)) \eta_T(t_1) \frac{\partial_x}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} P_{\leq N} (w_\epsilon^\pm(t_1))^2 dt_1 \\ &\pm \frac{\eta_T(t)}{2} \int_0^t S^\pm(t-t_1) \eta_T(t_1) \partial_x \left(1 - \frac{1}{\langle \epsilon \partial_x \rangle} \right) P_{\leq N} (w_\epsilon^\pm(t_1))^2 dt_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, as before, applying the linear estimates (Lemma 3.4 to the second and the fourth terms containing the difference of two propagators, and Lemma 3.1 to the other terms), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} &\lesssim N^{-s} \|w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}} + \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{3}{2}-b} \|u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm\|_{H^s} + T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} \|\partial_x((v_\epsilon^\pm + w_\epsilon^\pm)(v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm))\|_{X_\pm^{0,-\frac{1}{4}}} \\ &\quad + \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{9}{4}-b} \|\partial_x(w_\epsilon^\pm)^2\|_{X_\pm^{s,-\frac{1}{4}}} + \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} \|\partial_x(w_\epsilon^\pm)^2\|_{X_\pm^{s,-\frac{1}{4}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where for the last term, we used that $1 - \frac{1}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle} = \frac{\epsilon^2 \xi^2}{\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle(1 + \langle \epsilon \xi \rangle)} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}s} |\xi|^{\frac{2}{5}s} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2}{5}s} \langle \xi \rangle^s$ when $|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{-\frac{2}{5}}$. Then, the bilinear estimate (4.1) for the Airy flows yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} &\lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} \|w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}} + \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{3}{2}-b} \|u_{\epsilon,0}^\pm\|_{H^s} + T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} (\|v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} + \|w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}}) \|v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} \\ &\quad + \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{9}{4}-b} \|w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}}^2 + \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} \|w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by construction, the Fourier transform of $v_\epsilon^\pm$ is supported in $|\xi| \leq N$. Hence, by Lemma 3.3, $\|v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}} \sim \|v_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{s,\pm}} \lesssim R$. Thus, it follows from the uniform bounds (6.2) that

$$\|v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} R + \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{3}{2}-b} R + T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} R \|v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} + \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{9}{4}-b} R^2 + \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}} T^{\frac{5}{4}-2b} R^2.$$

Therefore, by the choice of T in (6.1), we conclude that $\|v_\epsilon^\pm - w_\epsilon^\pm\|_{X_\pm^{0,b}} \lesssim_R \epsilon^{\frac{2s}{5}}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain. Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. I. Schrödinger equations. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 3(2):107–156, 1993.
- [2] J. Bourgain. Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. II. The KdV-equation. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 3(3):209–262, 1993.
- [3] J. Boussinesq. Théorie des ondes et des remous qui se propagent le long d’un canal rectangulaire horizontal, en communiquant au liquide contenu dans ce canal des vitesses sensiblement pareilles de la surface au fond. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (2)*, 17:55–108, 1872.
- [4] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Sharp global well-posedness for KdV and modified KdV on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{T}$. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(3):705–749, 2003.
- [5] Walter Craig. An existence theory for water waves and the Boussinesq and Korteweg-de Vries scaling limits. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 10(8):787–1003, 1985.
- [6] Wolf-Patrick Düll. Validity of the Korteweg-de Vries approximation for the two-dimensional water wave problem in the arc length formulation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 65(3):381–429, 2012.
- [7] M. Burak Erdoğan and Nikolaos Tzirakis. *Dispersive partial differential equations*, volume 86 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. Wellposedness and applications.
- [8] Luiz Gustavo Farah. Local solutions in Sobolev spaces with negative indices for the “good” Boussinesq equation. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 34(1-3):52–73, 2009.
- [9] Yan Guo and Xueke Pu. KdV limit of the Euler-Poisson system. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 211(2):673–710, 2014.
- [10] Daniel Han-Kwan. From Vlasov-Poisson to Korteweg–de Vries and Zakharov-Kuznetsov. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 324(3):961–993, 2013.
- [11] Younghun Hong, Chulkwang Kwak, Shohei Nakamura, and Changhun Yang. Finite difference scheme for two-dimensional periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 21(1):391–418, 2021.
- [12] Younghun Hong, Chulkwang Kwak, and Changhun Yang. On the Korteweg–de Vries limit for the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam system. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 240(2):1091–1145, 2021.
- [13] Younghun Hong, Chulkwang Kwak, and Changhun Yang. On the continuum limit for the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a large finite cubic lattice. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 227:Paper No. 113171, 26, 2023.
- [14] Younghun Hong and Changhun Yang. Strong convergence for discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations in the continuum limit. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 51(2):1297–1320, 2019.
- [15] T. Kappeler and P. Topalov. Global wellposedness of KdV in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. *Duke Math. J.*, 135(2):327–360, 2006.

- [16] Carlos E. Kenig, Gustavo Ponce, and Luis Vega. Well-posedness of the initial value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4(2):323–347, 1991.
- [17] Carlos E. Kenig, Gustavo Ponce, and Luis Vega. The Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices. *Duke Math. J.*, 71(1):1–21, 1993.
- [18] Carlos E. Kenig, Gustavo Ponce, and Luis Vega. Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 46(4):527–620, 1993.
- [19] Carlos E. Kenig, Gustavo Ponce, and Luis Vega. A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(2):573–603, 1996.
- [20] Rowan Killip and Monica Viřan. KdV is well-posed in H^{-1} . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 190(1):249–305, 2019.
- [21] Nobu Kishimoto. Sharp local well-posedness for the “good” Boussinesq equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 254(6):2393–2433, 2013.
- [22] D. J. Korteweg and G. de Vries. On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular canal, and on a new type of long stationary waves. *Philos. Mag. (5)*, 39(240):422–443, 1895.
- [23] Felipe Linares. Global existence of small solutions for a generalized Boussinesq equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 106(2):257–293, 1993.
- [24] Felipe Linares and Gustavo Ponce. *Introduction to nonlinear dispersive equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, second edition, 2015.
- [25] Guido Schneider. The long wave limit for a Boussinesq equation. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 58(4):1237–1245, 1998.
- [26] Guido Schneider and Hannes Uecker. *Nonlinear PDEs*, volume 182. American Mathematical Soc., 2017.
- [27] Guido Schneider and C. Eugene Wayne. Counter-propagating waves on fluid surfaces and the continuum limit of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam model. In *International Conference on Differential Equations, Vol. 1, 2 (Berlin, 1999)*, pages 390–404. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2000.
- [28] Guido Schneider and C. Eugene Wayne. The long-wave limit for the water wave problem. I. The case of zero surface tension. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 53(12):1475–1535, 2000.
- [29] Guido Schneider and C. Eugene Wayne. The rigorous approximation of long-wavelength capillary-gravity waves. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 162(3):247–285, 2002.
- [30] Terence Tao. *Nonlinear dispersive equations*, volume 106 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. Local and global analysis.
- [31] Norman J Zabusky and Martin D Kruskal. Interaction of “solitons” in a collisionless plasma and the recurrence of initial states. *Physical review letters*, 15(6):240, 1965.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNG-ANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 06974, KOREA

Email address: yhhong@cau.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CHEONGJU-SI 28644, CHUNGCHEONGBUK-DO, KOREA

Email address: chyang@chungbuk.ac.kr