

NEW EVALUATIONS OF INVERSE BINOMIAL SERIES VIA CYCLOTOMIC MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES

JOHN M. CAMPBELL, M. LAWRENCE GLASSER, AND YAJUN ZHOU

ABSTRACT. Through the application of an evaluation technique based on cyclotomic multiple zeta values recently due to Au, we solve open problems on inverse binomial series that were included in a 2010 analysis textbook by Chen.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Hongwei Chen's 2010 textbook on classical analysis [9], the quest for provable closed forms of the following hypergeometric series was highlighted as an open problem [9, p. 215]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3 \binom{2n}{n}}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)^3 \binom{2n}{n}}. \quad (1.2)$$

Series involving inverted binomial coefficients, as in the hypergeometric series in (1.1)–(1.2), are ubiquitous in many areas of analysis, and the problem of evaluating such series is important in computational and experimental mathematics, with a particular regard toward the classic text *Experimentation in Mathematics* [4, §1.7]. For inverse binomial series involving higher powers as factors in the denominator, beyond linear or quadratic factors, the evaluation of such series is of a recalcitrant nature, even for the cubic case. This is evidenced by the rich history associated with the problem of proving the Chudnovsky brothers' formula [11]

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3 \binom{3n}{n} 2^n} = \pi G - \frac{33\zeta(3)}{16} + \frac{\log^3 2}{6} - \frac{\pi^2 \log 2}{24}, \quad (1.3)$$

Date: March 26, 2024.

Keywords: Binomial coefficients, cyclotomic multiple zeta values, multiple polylogarithms

MSC 2020: 33B30; 11B65; 11M32.

as described in [7], where $G := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)^2}$ is Catalan's constant and $\zeta(3) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3}$ is Apéry's constant. In addition to the work of the Chudnovsky brothers [11], notable research contributions on inverse binomial series with negative powers as in (1.1)–(1.3) include [1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 13, 19, 20, 23, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35]. These past references further motivate us to investigate the inverse binomial series (1.1) and (1.2). In this work, we solve Hongwei Chen's open problems indicated above [9, p. 215], using a recent evaluation technique due to Au based on *cyclotomic multiple zeta values* (CMZVs) and *multiple polylogarithms* (MPLs).

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In §2, we give a gentle introduction to CMZVs and MPLs, which provides background on the algorithms associated with our proofs of Theorems 1–4. In §3, we present computer-assisted proofs for the closed-form evaluations of (1.1) and (1.2), while handling integral representations of these series by Au's MultipleZetaValues package [3]. In §4, we perform an in-depth analysis of CMZVs and MPLs, accommodating to convergent series in the form of

$$\mathcal{S}_k(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(2n+1)^k \binom{2n}{n}} \quad (1.4)$$

for suitable positive integers k and complex numbers z , which generalize (1.1) and (1.2). In addition to Au's software [3], Panzer's HyperInt package [26] will also be essential to our manipulations of MPLs related to these generalizations of Chen's series.

2. CYCLOTOMIC MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES (CMZVs) AND MULTIPLE POLYLOGARITHMS (MPLs)

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the set of positive integers. A convergent series of the form

$$\text{Li}_{s_1, \dots, s_m}(z_1, \dots, z_m) := \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_m \geq 1} \frac{z_1^{n_1} \dots z_m^{n_m}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_m^{s_m}} \quad (2.1)$$

is referred to as a *cyclotomic multiple zeta value* (CMZV) of weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and level $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ if $s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $s_1 + \dots + s_m = k$, and $z_1^N = \dots = z_m^N = 1$. At level $N = 1$, CMZVs are reduced to the *multiple zeta values* (MZVs)

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) := \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_k^{s_k}},$$

which play important roles within experimental mathematics, as highlighted in the classic text by Borwein, Bailey, and Girgensohn [4, §3]. CMZVs at levels $N \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ feature prominently in the perturbative expansions of Feynman diagrams in quantum field theory [1, 2, 12, 13, 19, 20, 21, 22, 27, 31].

Collections of CMZVs defined in (2.1) with the same weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and level $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ span a \mathbb{Q} -vector space

$$\mathfrak{Z}_k(N) := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left\{ \text{Li}_{s_1, \dots, s_m}(z_1, \dots, z_m) \left| \begin{array}{l} s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \\ z_1^N = \dots = z_m^N = 1 \\ (s_1, z_1) \neq (1, 1) \\ \sum_{j=1}^m s_j = k \end{array} \right. \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

We retroactively define $\mathfrak{Z}_0(N) := \mathbb{Q}$ for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces enjoy a filtration property $\mathfrak{Z}_j(N)\mathfrak{Z}_k(N) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_{j+k}(N)$ for $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and any fixed level N [18, §1.2], namely, whenever we have two numbers $z_j \in \mathfrak{Z}_j(N)$ and $z_k \in \mathfrak{Z}_k(N)$, their product $z_j z_k$ is in $\mathfrak{Z}_{j+k}(N)$.

For small weights k and levels $N \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12\}$, Au's `MultipleZetaValues` package [3] allows us to express every member of $\mathfrak{Z}_k(N)$ as a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of the numbers in a spanning set¹. For example, we have

$$\mathfrak{Z}_2(4) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{iG, \pi^2, \pi i \log 2, \log^2 2\}$$

involving Catalan's constant $G := \text{Im Li}_2(i)$.

One may also consider convergent series in the form of (2.1) without imposing the cyclotomic constraint that $z_1^N = \dots = z_m^N = 1$. This defines a *multiple polylogarithm* (MPL) $\text{Li}_{s_1, \dots, s_m}(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ of weight $k = s_1 + \dots + s_m$. As a special case of MPLs, we have the *polylogarithm* function $\text{Li}_s(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^s}$ of weight $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

In particular, the polylogarithm of weight 3 leads us to a Catalan-like constant [6, 8]

$$\mathcal{G} := \text{Im Li}_3\left(\frac{i+1}{2}\right) = -\text{Im Li}_{2,1}(i, 1) - \frac{G \log 2}{2} + \frac{\pi \log^2 2}{32} + \frac{3\pi^3}{128}, \quad (2.3)$$

which becomes useful in the construction of a spanning set for $\mathfrak{Z}_3(4)$, namely

$$\mathfrak{Z}_3(4) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{\zeta(3), i\mathcal{G}, \pi G, iG \log 2, i\pi^3, \pi^2 \log 2, i\pi \log^2 2, \log^3 2\}.$$

Here, $\zeta(3) := \text{Li}_3(1)$ is Apéry's constant.

¹Putatively, the spanning set produced by the command `MZBasis[M, k]` in Au's `MultipleZetaValues` package [3] is indeed a \mathbb{Q} -vector basis for $\mathfrak{Z}_k(M)$, but there is no definitive evidence for such claims beyond the cases of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(M)$, $\mathfrak{Z}_2(1)$, and $\mathfrak{Z}_2(2)$.

From now on, we will follow the practices of [28, 33, 34], where special natural logarithms are abbreviated as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda := \log 2 = -\text{Li}_1(-1) \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(2), \\ \Lambda := \log 3 = -2 \text{Re Li}_1(e^{2\pi i/3}) \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(3), \\ \mathcal{L} := \log \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = \text{Re} [\text{Li}_1(e^{2\pi i/5}) - \text{Li}_1(e^{4\pi i/5})] \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(5), \\ \mathcal{L} := \log 5 = -2 \text{Re} [\text{Li}_1(e^{2\pi i/5}) + \text{Li}_1(e^{4\pi i/5})] \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(5), \\ \tilde{\lambda} := \log(1 + \sqrt{2}) = \text{Re} [\text{Li}_1(e^{\pi i/4}) - \text{Li}_1(e^{3\pi i/4})] \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(8), \\ \tilde{\Lambda} := \log(2 + \sqrt{3}) = 2 \text{Re Li}_1(e^{\pi i/6}) \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(12). \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

We bear in mind that products of these listed logarithms are CMZVs of higher weights, such as

$$\lambda \Lambda \in \mathfrak{Z}_2(6), \quad \lambda \mathcal{L}^2 \in \mathfrak{Z}_3(10),$$

by virtue of the natural embedding $\mathfrak{Z}_k(N) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(M)$ for $N \mid M$, together with Goncharov's filtration $\mathfrak{Z}_j(M)\mathfrak{Z}_k(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_{j+k}(M)$ [18, §1.2].

With the preparations so far, we can state the next two theorems to be proved in §3.

Theorem 1. *Recall $\mathfrak{Z}_k(N)$ from (2.2), \mathcal{G} from (2.3), and the abbreviations for special logarithms from (2.4). Chen's series in (1.1) admits the evaluation*

$$\frac{32\mathcal{G}}{3} - \frac{4\pi\text{Li}_2(2 - \sqrt{3})}{3} - \frac{\pi^3}{9} - \frac{\pi(\lambda - \tilde{\Lambda})^2}{3}, \quad (2.5)$$

which belongs to the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $i\mathfrak{Z}_3(12)$.

Theorem 2. *Set ϕ as the golden ratio $\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, so that $\mathcal{L} = \log \phi$. Chen's series in (1.2) evaluates to*

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{4\text{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{\phi^3}\right)}{3} - 4\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{\phi^3}\right)\mathcal{L} + \text{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{\phi}\right) - \frac{25\mathcal{L}^3}{3} + 6\lambda\mathcal{L}^2 \\ & + \frac{\pi^2\mathcal{L}}{10} + \frac{12\zeta(3)}{5} - \frac{\pi^2\lambda}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

a number that lies in $\mathfrak{Z}_3(10)$.

In §4, we will unify Theorems 1 and 2 into a form given below.

Theorem 3. *If $|w| \leq 1$, $\operatorname{Re} w > 0$, $\operatorname{Im} w \geq 0$, and $|1 - w^2| \leq 2|w|$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)^3 \binom{2n}{n}} \left(\frac{1-w^2}{w} \right)^{2n+1} \\
 &= -2 \left[\operatorname{Li}_3 \left(\frac{1+w}{2} \right) - \operatorname{Li}_3 \left(\frac{1-w}{2} \right) - \operatorname{Li}_3 \left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{w}}{2} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \operatorname{Li}_3 \left(\frac{1-\frac{1}{w}}{2} \right) \right] + \left[\operatorname{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1+w}{2} \right) - \operatorname{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-w}{2} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \operatorname{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{w}}{2} \right) - \operatorname{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-\frac{1}{w}}{2} \right) \right] \log w \\
 & \quad + \pi i \log \left(\frac{1+w}{2} \right) \log \left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{w}}{2} \right), \tag{2.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the dilogarithm $\operatorname{Li}_2(z)$ and the trilogarithm $\operatorname{Li}_3(z)$ are defined by (analytic continuations of) $\operatorname{Li}_s(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^s}$ for $|z| \leq 1$ and $s > 1$.

In §4, we will also reveal the CMZV structures for further generalizations of Chen's series, as stated in the theorem below.

Theorem 4. *Denote the least common multiple of two numbers a and b by $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b)$, and recall the definition of $\mathcal{S}_k(z)$ from (1.4).*

(a) *For $k-1, N-2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{S}_k \left(4 \sin^2 \frac{2m\pi}{N} \right) \sin \frac{2m\pi}{N} \in i \mathfrak{Z}_k(\operatorname{lcm}(2, N)). \tag{2.8}$$

(b) *For $k-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the following relations hold true:*

$$\mathcal{S}_k \left(-\frac{9}{4} \right) \in \mathfrak{Z}_k(6), \tag{2.9}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_k(-4) \in \mathfrak{Z}_k(8), \tag{2.10}$$

$$\sqrt{2} \mathcal{S}_k \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) \in \mathfrak{Z}_k(8), \tag{2.11}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_k(-1) \in \mathfrak{Z}_k(10), \tag{2.12}$$

$$\sqrt{5} \mathcal{S}_k \left(-\frac{16}{5} \right) \in \mathfrak{Z}_k(10), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\sqrt{3} \mathcal{S}_k \left(-\frac{4}{3} \right) \in \mathfrak{Z}_k(12). \tag{2.14}$$

Our proof of the last theorem will be both constructive and algorithmic. In particular, Au's MultipleZetaValues package [3] will provide us with many concrete CMZV characterizations of the infinite series $\mathcal{S}_k(z)$, such as [cf. (2.3) and (2.4) for notations]

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}\mathcal{S}_3(2) = & -8 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_3 \left(\frac{1 - e^{\pi i/4}}{2} \right) - 4 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_3 \left(i(\sqrt{2} - 1) \right) \\ & - \frac{\pi \left[48 \operatorname{Li}_2(\sqrt{2} - 1) - 12\lambda\tilde{\lambda} + 20\tilde{\lambda}^2 + 9\lambda^2 \right]}{32} + \frac{15\pi^3}{128} \in i\mathfrak{Z}_3(8), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}\mathcal{S}_4(2) = & -36 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_4 \left(1 - e^{\pi i/4} \right) - 12 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_4 \left(\frac{1 - e^{\pi i/4}}{2} \right) \\ & - 12 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_4 \left(i(1 - e^{\pi i/4}) \right) - 12 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_4 \left(i(\sqrt{2} - 1) \right) \\ & - \frac{9\beta(4)}{2} - 14\sqrt{2}L_{8,4}(4) + \frac{10\pi\sqrt{2}L_{8,2}(3)}{3} - \frac{9\pi \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{2} \\ & + \frac{63\pi\zeta(3)}{128} + \frac{\pi(78\lambda^2\tilde{\lambda} - 12\lambda\tilde{\lambda}^2 - 24\tilde{\lambda}^3 + 47\lambda^3)}{256} \\ & - \frac{3\pi^3(141\lambda - 98\tilde{\lambda})}{1024} \in i\mathfrak{Z}_4(8), \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{3}\mathcal{S}_3(3) = & -8 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_3 \left(\frac{1 - i\sqrt{3}}{4} \right) - 5 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_3 \left(\frac{1 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}}{2} \right) + \frac{\pi \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{3} \\ & + \frac{\pi\Lambda^2}{48} - \frac{7\pi^3}{432} \in i\mathfrak{Z}_3(6), \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{3}\mathcal{S}_4(3) = & 8 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_4 \left(\frac{3 + i\sqrt{3}}{4} \right) - 8 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_4 \left(\frac{1 - i\sqrt{3}}{4} \right) \\ & - 5 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_4 \left(\frac{1 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}}{2} \right) - \frac{45\sqrt{3}L_{3,2}(4)}{16} + \frac{\pi \left[\operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \right]}{3} \\ & - \frac{19\pi\zeta(3)}{36} + \frac{\pi(64\lambda^3 - 192\lambda^2\Lambda + 144\lambda\Lambda^2 - 41\Lambda^3)}{288} \\ & + \frac{\pi^3(144\lambda - 41\Lambda)}{864} \in i\mathfrak{Z}_4(6), \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_3(4) = 4\mathcal{G} - \frac{\pi\lambda^2}{8} - \frac{\pi^3}{32} \in i\mathfrak{Z}_3(4), \quad (2.19)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_4(4) = 8 \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_4 \left(\frac{1 + i}{2} \right) - 4\beta(4) + \frac{\pi\lambda^3}{24} + \frac{\pi^3\lambda}{32} \in i\mathfrak{Z}_4(4), \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_3\left(-\frac{9}{4}\right) &= \frac{4 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{3} + 2 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) - \frac{5\zeta(3)}{9} + 2 \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{2(6\lambda^3 - \Lambda^3)}{9} - \frac{\pi^2(3\lambda - 2\Lambda)}{9} \in \mathfrak{Z}_3(6), \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_4\left(-\frac{9}{4}\right) &= \frac{80 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{9} - \frac{40 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{3} + 8 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) + \frac{7 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{5 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)}{6} + 4 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \lambda + 3 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \lambda - \frac{50\zeta(3)\lambda}{9} \\ &\quad - \frac{35\lambda^4 - 54\lambda^2\Lambda^2 + 54\lambda\Lambda^3 - 9\Lambda^4}{27} - \frac{\pi^2\lambda(11\lambda - 36\Lambda)}{54} \\ &\quad - \frac{101\pi^4}{1620} \in \mathfrak{Z}_4(6), \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_3(-4) &= -2 \operatorname{Li}_3(\sqrt{2} - 1) + \frac{4\sqrt{2}L_{8,2}(3)}{3} + \frac{25\zeta(3)}{16} \\ &\quad - 2 \operatorname{Li}_2(\sqrt{2} - 1)\tilde{\lambda} - \frac{2\tilde{\lambda}^3}{3} + \frac{\lambda\tilde{\lambda}^2}{2} - \frac{\pi^2\lambda}{8} \in \mathfrak{Z}_3(8), \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_4(-4) &= \frac{40 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{7} + \frac{4 \operatorname{Li}_4(\sqrt{2} - 1)}{21} + \frac{4 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{7} \\ &\quad - \frac{27 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{28} - \frac{59 \operatorname{Li}_4\left((\sqrt{2} - 1)^2\right)}{14} \\ &\quad + \frac{19 \operatorname{Li}_4\left((\sqrt{2} - 1)^4\right)}{84} - \frac{2 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}{2}\right)}{21} \\ &\quad + \frac{8\sqrt{2}L_{8,2}(3)\tilde{\lambda}}{3} - 4 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\tilde{\lambda} + \frac{7\zeta(3)\tilde{\lambda}}{16} \\ &\quad + \frac{600\lambda^3\tilde{\lambda} + 1224\lambda^2\tilde{\lambda}^2 + 96\lambda\tilde{\lambda}^3 - 752\tilde{\lambda}^4 - 177\lambda^4}{4032} \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi^2(189\lambda\tilde{\lambda} - 61\tilde{\lambda}^2 - 30\lambda^2)}{504} + \frac{11\pi^4}{7560} \in \mathfrak{Z}_4(8), \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}\mathcal{S}_3\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) &= -80 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + 64\sqrt{2}L_{8,2}(3) + \frac{35\zeta(3)}{4} \\ &\quad - 20 \operatorname{Li}_2(\sqrt{2} - 1)\lambda + 10\lambda^2\tilde{\lambda} - 10\lambda\tilde{\lambda}^2 + \frac{5\lambda^3}{3} \\ &\quad - \frac{15\pi^2\lambda}{4} \in \mathfrak{Z}_3(8), \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{2}\mathcal{S}_4\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) &= \frac{1669 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{14} - \frac{2112 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{7} - \frac{704 \operatorname{Li}_4(\sqrt{2} - 1)}{21} \\
&\quad + \frac{24 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{7} + \frac{1510 \operatorname{Li}_4\left((\sqrt{2} - 1)^2\right)}{7} \\
&\quad - \frac{475 \operatorname{Li}_4\left((\sqrt{2} - 1)^4\right)}{42} + \frac{352 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}{2}\right)}{21} \\
&\quad - 100 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \lambda + \frac{224 \sqrt{2} L_{8,2}(3) \lambda}{3} + \frac{175 \zeta(3) \lambda}{16} \\
&\quad + \frac{2 \left(99 \lambda^3 \tilde{\lambda} - 297 \lambda^2 \tilde{\lambda}^2 - 132 \lambda \tilde{\lambda}^3 + 299 \tilde{\lambda}^4 + 309 \lambda^4\right)}{63} \\
&\quad + \frac{\pi^2 \left(1848 \lambda \tilde{\lambda} - 1336 \tilde{\lambda}^2 - 2115 \lambda^2\right)}{252} + \frac{397 \pi^4}{3780} \in \mathfrak{Z}_4(8),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{5}\mathcal{S}_3\left(-\frac{16}{5}\right) &= \frac{5 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)}{4} + \frac{27 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{\phi}\right)}{2} - 10 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right) - \frac{27 \zeta(3)}{20} \\
&\quad + \frac{5 \left[\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) - 4 \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right)\right] \mathcal{L}}{8} - \frac{9 \mathcal{L}^3}{2} + \frac{27 \pi^2 \mathcal{L}}{20} \\
&\quad - \frac{5 \pi^2 \mathcal{L}}{16} \in \mathfrak{Z}_3(10),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{3}\mathcal{S}_3\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right) &= -\frac{21 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{10} - \frac{7 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{40} - \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{\sqrt{3} - 1}{2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{11 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)}{20} + \frac{9 \operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{2 - \sqrt{3}}{3}\right)}{5} - 7 \operatorname{Li}_3(2 - \sqrt{3}) \\
&\quad + \frac{24 \operatorname{Li}_3(2\sqrt{3} - 3)}{5} + \frac{11 \operatorname{Li}_3(3\sqrt{3} - 5)}{5} + \frac{3\sqrt{3} L_{12,4}(3)}{5} \\
&\quad + \frac{39 \zeta(3)}{10} + \frac{3 \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \Lambda}{8} - 3 \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3} - 1}{2}\right) \Lambda \\
&\quad - 3 \operatorname{Li}_2(2 - \sqrt{3}) \Lambda - \frac{17 \lambda^2 \tilde{\Lambda}}{80} + \frac{71 \lambda \tilde{\Lambda}^2}{80} - \frac{3 \lambda \Lambda \tilde{\Lambda}}{4} - \frac{3 \Lambda^2 \tilde{\Lambda}}{20} \\
&\quad + \frac{21 \Lambda \tilde{\Lambda}^2}{40} - \frac{209 \tilde{\Lambda}^3}{240} + \frac{13 \lambda^3}{80} + \frac{3 \lambda^2 \Lambda}{8} + \frac{\Lambda^3}{20} \\
&\quad + \frac{7 \pi^2 \tilde{\Lambda}}{40} - \frac{7 \pi^2 \lambda}{20} - \frac{7 \pi^2 \Lambda}{80} \in \mathfrak{Z}_3(12).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Here in (2.16) and (2.20), the Dirichlet beta value $\beta(4) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)^4}$ is yet another generalization of Catalan's constant $G := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)^2}$; in (2.16), (2.18), (2.23), (2.24), (2.25), (2.26), and (2.28), we have the

Dirichlet L -values $L_{8,2}(3) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n(n+1)/2}}{(2n+1)^3}$, $L_{8,4}(4) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left[\frac{1}{(4n+1)^4} + \frac{1}{(4n+3)^4} \right]$, $L_{3,2}(4) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(3n+1)^4} - \frac{1}{(3n+2)^4} \right]$, and $L_{12,4}(3) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(12n+1)^3} - \frac{1}{(12n+5)^3} - \frac{1}{(12n+7)^3} + \frac{1}{(12n+11)^3} \right]$.

For each positive integer k greater than 1, it is also worth mentioning that the convergent series $\mathcal{S}_k(z)$ in (1.4) can be written as a generalized hypergeometric function

$$\mathcal{S}_k(z) = {}_{k+1}F_k \left(\overbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 1 \right)}^{k-1} \middle| \frac{z}{4} \right),$$

$\underbrace{\left(\frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{3}{2} \right)}_k$

which does not reduce to elementary expressions. (For $k = 2$, using `FunctionExpand` in Mathematica v14.0, one can check that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1-w^2}{w} {}_3F_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, 1 \middle| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1-w^2}{w} \right)^2 \\ &= -2[\text{Li}_2(w) - \text{Li}_2(-w)] - 2\log(w) \log \left(\frac{1-w}{1+w} \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

holds when $0 < w < 1$, but reductions for larger integers k are not automated yet.) Our results in Theorems 3 and 4 also admit natural extensions to analytic continuations of these ${}_{k+1}F_k$ functions, outside the domain of convergence for the infinite series $\mathcal{S}_k(z)$.

3. EVALUATIONS OF CHEN'S SERIES

To evaluate (1.1) and (1.2), we first convert them into integrals over polylogarithmic expressions, and then compute their integral representations by the function `MZIntegrate` in Au's `MultipleZetaValues` package [3].

Proof of Theorem 1. In view of the beta integral identity

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{x}{1+x^2} \right)^{2n+1} \frac{dx}{1+x^2} = \frac{1}{4(2n+1) \binom{2n}{n}} \quad (3.1)$$

together with the series bisection yielding

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)^2} = \frac{\text{Li}_2(z) - \text{Li}_2(-z)}{2},$$

we may recast Chen's series (1.1) into

$$2 \int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{x}{1+x^2}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(-\frac{x}{1+x^2}\right)}{1+x^2} dx, \quad (3.2)$$

by reversing the order of integration and infinite summation (which is justified by the dominated convergence theorem). Feeding

```
MZIntegrate[(2*(PolyLog[2, x/(1 + x^2)]
- PolyLog[2, -x/(1 + x^2)]))/(1 + x^2), {x, 0, 1}]
```

to Mathematica after loading Au's MultipleZetaValues package [3], we receive an output that is equivalent to the desired evaluation in (2.5). \square

Proof of Theorem 2. As a variation on (3.2), we may represent (1.2) by

$$\frac{2}{i} \int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{ix}{1+x^2}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(-\frac{ix}{1+x^2}\right)}{1+x^2} dx. \quad (3.3)$$

Unfortunately, the function MZIntegrate in the current version (v1.2.0) of Au's MultipleZetaValues package [3] does not automatically evaluate (3.3) in terms of CMZVs.

Nevertheless, we may reincarnate (3.3) into a form that is amenable to MZIntegrate, by considering symmetries and deforming contours. Concretely speaking, the inversion $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$ and reflection $x \mapsto -x$ symmetries allow us to identify (3.3) with

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{i} \int_0^\infty \frac{\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{ix}{1+x^2}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(-\frac{ix}{1+x^2}\right)}{1+x^2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{ix}{1+x^2}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(-\frac{ix}{1+x^2}\right)}{1+x^2} \log \frac{x}{i} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3')$$

Subsequently, we may close the contour upwards while exploiting a jump relation $\operatorname{Li}_2(\xi + i0^+) - \operatorname{Li}_2(\xi - i0^+) = 2\pi i \log \xi$ for $\xi \in (1, \infty)$, which yields

$$2i \int_{i/\phi}^i \frac{\log\left(-\frac{iz}{1+z^2}\right)}{1+z^2} \log \frac{z}{i} dz + 2i \int_i^{i\phi} \frac{\log\left(\frac{iz}{1+z^2}\right)}{1+z^2} \log \frac{z}{i} dz \quad (3.3'')$$

for $\phi := \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$. Now, throwing the last two integrals as

```
FullSimplify[MZIntegrate[2*I*(Log[(-I)*(z/(1 +
z^2))]/(1 + z^2))*Log[z/I]*D[I*((Sqrt[5] - 1)/2)*(1 - t) +
I*t, t] /. z -> I*((Sqrt[5] - 1)/2)*(1 - t) +
```

```

I*t, {t, 0, 1}] + MZIntegrate[2*I*(Log[I*(z/(1 + z^2))]/(1 +
z^2))*Log[z/I]*D[I*((Sqrt[5] + 1)/2)*t + I*(1 -
t), t] /. z -> I*((Sqrt[5] + 1)/2)*t + I*(1 -
t), {t, 0, 1}]]

```

into Mathematica, we may confirm (2.6). \square

4. FURTHER GENERALIZATIONS OF CHEN'S SERIES

Proof of Theorem 3. By a natural extension of (3.3''), we may equate the infinite series in (2.7) with

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2i \int_{iw}^i \frac{\log\left(\frac{1-w^2}{iw} \frac{z}{1+z^2}\right)}{1+z^2} \log \frac{z}{i} dz \\
 & + 2i \int_i^{i/w} \frac{\log\left(-\frac{1-w^2}{iw} \frac{z}{1+z^2}\right)}{1+z^2} \log \frac{z}{i} dz.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Integrals of this type can be handled by Panzer's HyperInt package [26] for Maple. For instance, one may type

```

f := (w, z) -> 2*I*log(-I*(1 - w^2)*z/(w*(1 + z^2)))
*log(-I*z)/(1 + z^2);
g := (w, z) -> 2*I*log((1 - w^2)*z*I/(w*(1 + z^2)))
*log(-I*z)/(1 + z^2);
fibrationBasis(fibrationBasis(fibrationBasis
(hyperInt(f(w, z), [z = 0 .. I]), [w])
- fibrationBasis(hyperInt(f(w, z), [z = 0 .. I*w]), [w])
- fibrationBasis(hyperInt(g(w, z), [z = 0 .. I]), [w])
+ fibrationBasis(hyperInt(g(w, z), [z = 0 .. I/w]), [w])
+ (2*polylog(3, (1 + w)/2) - 2*polylog(3, (1 - w)/2)
- 2*polylog(3, 1/2*(1 + 1/w)) + 2*polylog(3, 1/2*(1 - 1/w))
- (polylog(2, (1 + w)/2) - polylog(2, (1 - w)/2)
+ polylog(2, (1 + 1/w)/2) - polylog(2, (1 - 1/w)/2))*log(w)
- Pi*delta[w]*log((1 + w)/2)*log((1 + 1/w)/2)*I), [w]));

```

and check that the output is zero. This verifies (2.7) under the condition that $\text{Im } w > 0$, since the symbol $\text{delta}[w]$ in the last line of our code represents $\text{Im } w / |\text{Im } w|$ when $\text{Im } w \neq 0$ [26, (3.6)]. After this, the case where $\text{Im } w = 0$ can be determined by a limit procedure and a scrupulous analysis for the values of polylogarithms on their branch cuts. \square

Remark 1. One may recover the evaluations in Theorems 1 and 2 by setting $w = e^{\pi i/6}$ and $w = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ in (2.7) before invoking the function MZExpand in Au's MultipleZetaValues package [3]. \square

Now, so long as $\text{Im}(iw) > 0$ and $\text{Im}(i/w) > 0$, we may upgrade our derivations for (4.1) into

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)^k \binom{2n}{n}} \left(\frac{1-w^2}{w} \right)^{2n+1} \\
&= 2i \int_0^1 \frac{\text{Li}_{k-1} \left(\frac{1-w^2}{iw} \frac{x}{1+x^2} \right) - \text{Li}_{k-1} \left(-\frac{1-w^2}{iw} \frac{x}{1+x^2} \right)}{1+x^2} dx \\
&= \frac{2i}{(k-2)!} \int_{iw}^i \frac{\log^{k-2} \left(\frac{1-w^2}{iw} \frac{z}{1+z^2} \right)}{1+z^2} \log \frac{z}{i} dz \\
&\quad + \frac{2i}{(k-2)!} \int_i^{i/w} \frac{\log^{k-2} \left(-\frac{1-w^2}{iw} \frac{z}{1+z^2} \right)}{1+z^2} \log \frac{z}{i} dz,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

after recalling a jump relation $\text{Li}_s(\xi + i0^+) - \text{Li}_s(\xi - i0^+) = \frac{2\pi i}{(s-1)!} \log^{s-1} \xi$ for $\xi \in (1, \infty)$.

To evaluate the last two contour integrals in (4.2), we need generalized polylogarithms (GPLs), which are defined recursively by an integral along a straight line segment

$$G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; z) := \int_0^z \frac{G(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n; x) dx}{x - \alpha_1} \tag{4.3}$$

for $|\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_n| \neq 0$, with the boundary conditions that

$$G(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_m; z) := \frac{\log^m z}{m!}, \quad G(-; z) := 1. \tag{4.4}$$

One can convert GPLs to (analytic continuations of) MPLs [cf. (2.1)] via the following equation (see [26, (1.3)] or [16, (2.7)]):

$$\begin{aligned}
& G(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{a_1-1}, \underbrace{\tilde{\alpha}_1, 0, \dots, 0}_{a_2-1}, \underbrace{\tilde{\alpha}_2, \dots, 0, \dots, 0}_{a_n-1}, \tilde{\alpha}_n; z) \\
&= (-1)^n \text{Li}_{a_1, \dots, a_n} \left(\frac{z}{\tilde{\alpha}_1}, \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1}{\tilde{\alpha}_2}, \dots, \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{n-1}}{\tilde{\alpha}_n} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $\prod_{j=1}^n \tilde{\alpha}_j \neq 0$. Thanks to the GPL-MPL correspondence (4.5), we may reformulate (2.2) into

$$\mathfrak{Z}_k(N) := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left\{ G(z_1, \dots, z_k; z) \left| \begin{array}{l} z_1^N, \dots, z_k^N \in \{0, 1\} \\ z_1 \neq 1, z_k \neq 0, z^N = 1 \end{array} \right. \right\}. \tag{2.2'}$$

In view of (4.3) and (4.4), we have $G(\pm 1; z/i) = \log(1 \pm iz)$ and $G(0; z/i) = \log \frac{z}{i}$. The function $\log^{k-2} \left(\pm \frac{z/i}{1+z^2} \right) \log \frac{z}{i}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of GPLs in the form of $G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}; z/i)$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, as can be seen by repeated invocations of a shuffle product [16, (2.4)]

$$\begin{aligned} & G(\alpha; t) G(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r; t) \\ &= G(\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r; t) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} G(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_j, \alpha, \beta_{j+1}, \dots, \beta_r; t) \\ & \quad + G(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r, \alpha; t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the GPL recursion in (4.3) tells us that

$$i \int_{iw}^i \frac{\log^{k-2} \left(\frac{z/i}{1+z^2} \right) \log \frac{z}{i}}{1+z^2} dz \in \mathfrak{Z}_k(2) + \mathfrak{H}_k^{(w)}(2), \quad (4.6)$$

$$i \int_i^{i/w} \frac{\log^{k-2} \left(-\frac{z/i}{1+z^2} \right) \log \frac{z}{i}}{1+z^2} dz \in \mathfrak{Z}_k(2) + \mathfrak{H}_k^{(1/w)}(2), \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}_k^{(z)}(2) &:= \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left\{ (\pi i)^{r-\ell} G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell; z) \left| \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1^2, \dots, \alpha_\ell^2 \in \{0, 1\} \\ 0 \leq \ell \leq r, \alpha_1 \neq z \end{array} \right. \right\} \\ &\stackrel{[14, \text{p. 24}]}{=} \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left\{ (\pi i)^{r-\ell} G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m; z) \log^{\ell-m} z \left| \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1^2, \dots, \alpha_m^2 \in \{0, 1\} \\ 0 \leq m \leq \ell \leq r \\ \alpha_1 \neq z, \alpha_m \neq 0 \end{array} \right. \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space of *hyperlogarithms* [24, 25].

Proof of Theorem 4. (a) For the left-hand side of (2.8), there are four possible choices of w , namely, $\pm e^{2m\pi i/N}$ and $\pm e^{-2m\pi i/N}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\text{Im}(ie^{2m\pi i/N}) > 0$ and $\text{Im}(ie^{-2m\pi i/N}) > 0$, so that $w = e^{2m\pi i/N}$ is applicable to (4.2). Consequently, the right-hand sides of both (4.6) and (4.7) are subsets of $\mathfrak{Z}_k(\text{lcm}(2, N))$, as one can check by comparing (2.2') and (4.8) against the fact that $\pi i \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(N)$ for $N-2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ [3, Lemma 4.1]. In addition, the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \log^k \left(\frac{1-w^2}{w} \right) &= [G(-1; w) - G(0; w) + G(1; w)]^k \\ &\in \mathfrak{H}_k^{(w)}(2) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(\text{lcm}(2, N)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

also holds for $w = e^{2m\pi i/N}$. Therefore, the right-hand side of (2.8) is a result of Goncharov's filtration $\mathfrak{Z}_j(M)\mathfrak{Z}_k(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_{j+k}(M)$ [18, §1.2] for $M = \text{lcm}(2, N)$.

- (b) For $N-2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $w \neq 0$, we have an embedding $\mathfrak{H}_k^{(w)}(2) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(N)$ if $\log w \in \mathfrak{Z}_k(N)$ and

`IterIntDoableQ[{0, 1/w, -1/w}]`

returns a positive divisor of N in Au's `MultipleZetaValues` package [3]. Particular cases of such embeddings include

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}_k^{(2)}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(6), & \mathfrak{H}_k^{(1/2)}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(6), \\ \mathfrak{H}_k^{(\sqrt{2}+1)}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(8), & \mathfrak{H}_k^{(\sqrt{2}-1)}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(8), \\ \mathfrak{H}_k^{(\sqrt{2})}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(8), & \mathfrak{H}_k^{(1/\sqrt{2})}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(8), \\ \mathfrak{H}_k^{(\phi)}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(10), & \mathfrak{H}_k^{(1/\phi)}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(10), \\ \mathfrak{H}_k^{(\sqrt{5})}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(10), & \mathfrak{H}_k^{(1/\sqrt{5})}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(10), \\ \mathfrak{H}_k^{(\sqrt{3})}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(12), & \mathfrak{H}_k^{(1/\sqrt{3})}(2) &\subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_k(12). \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, we also have

$$\log\left(\frac{1-w^2}{w}\right) = \begin{cases} \log \frac{3}{2} \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(6) & \text{if } w = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \log 2 \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(2) \subset \mathfrak{Z}_1(8) & \text{if } w = \sqrt{2} - 1, \\ \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(2) \subset \mathfrak{Z}_1(8) & \text{if } w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } w = \frac{1}{\phi}, \\ \log \frac{4}{\sqrt{5}} \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(10) & \text{if } w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, \\ \log \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(6) \subset \mathfrak{Z}_1(12) & \text{if } w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the right-hand sides of (2.9)–(2.14) all result from Goncharov's filtrations $\mathfrak{Z}_j(N)\mathfrak{Z}_k(N) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_{j+k}(N)$ [18, §1.2] for $N \in \{6, 8, 10, 12\}$. \square

Remark 2. Evaluating the integral representations in part (a) explicitly for $w = e^{\pi i/4}$, $w = e^{\pi i/3}$, and $w = e^{\pi i/2}$ in Au's `MultipleZetaValues` package [3], we get (2.15)–(2.20). A similar service on part (b) brings us (2.21)–(2.24). \square

So far, we have limited the scope of this section to $\mathcal{S}_k(z)$ where $k-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Here, we point out that both $\mathcal{S}_1(z) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{z(4-z)}} \arcsin \frac{\sqrt{z}}{2}$ and $\mathcal{S}_0(z) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{4-z(4-z)}} \left(\sqrt{4-z} + \sqrt{z} \arcsin \frac{\sqrt{z}}{2} \right)$ are classical results, while the closed forms of $\mathcal{S}_k(z)$ for negative integers k can be deduced from the well-studied series of Apéry–Lehmer type [17, 15, 32]

$$S_\ell(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^\ell z^n}{\binom{2n}{n}} \quad (4.10)$$

where $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, |k|\}$.

Acknowledgements. J. M. C. gratefully acknowledges support by a Killam Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Killam Trusts and wants to thank Karl Dilcher for useful feedback related to the sums investigated in this article. The research of Y. Z. was supported in part by the Applied Mathematics Program within the Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research (ASCR) as part of the Collaboratory on Mathematics for Mesoscopic Modeling of Materials (CM4).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, C. G. Raab, and C. Schneider, Iterated binomial sums and their associated iterated integrals. *J. Math. Phys.*, 55(11):112301, 57 pages, 2014.
- [2] J. Ablinger, Discovering and proving infinite binomial sums identities, *Exp. Math.* 26(1):62–71, 2017.
- [3] K. C. Au, Iterated integrals and multiple polylogarithm at algebraic arguments. arXiv:2201.01676v2 [math.NT] (software available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357601353_Mathematica_package_MultipleZetaValues and <https://www.wolframcloud.com/obj/pisco125/MultipleZetaValues-1.2.0.paclet>), 2022.
- [4] J. Borwein, D. Bailey, and R. Girgensohn, Experimentation in mathematics, A K Peters, Ltd., Natick, MA, 2004.
- [5] J. M. Borwein, D. J. Broadhurst, and J. Kamnitzer, Central binomial sums, multiple Clausen values, and zeta values, *Experiment. Math.* 10(1):25–34, 2001.
- [6] J. M. Campbell and K.-W. Chen, Explicit identities for infinite families of series involving squared binomial coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 513(2):Paper No. 126219, 23, 2022.
- [7] J. M. Campbell and P. Levrie. Proof of a conjecture due to Chu and Gosper-type sums, *Aequationes Math.* (to appear)
- [8] J. M. Campbell, P. Levrie, C. Xu, and J. Zhao, On a problem involving the squares of odd harmonic numbers, *Ramanujan J.* 63(2):387–408, 2024.
- [9] H. Chen, Excursions in classical analysis, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 2010.
- [10] W. Chu, Further Apéry-like series for Riemann zeta function, *Math. Notes* 109(1-2):136–146, 2021.
- [11] D. V. Chudnovsky and G. V. Chudnovsky, Classification of hypergeometric identities for π and other logarithms of algebraic numbers, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95(6):2744–2749, 1998.
- [12] A. I. Davydychev and M. Yu. Kalmykov, New results for the ε -expansion of certain one-, two- and three-loop Feynman diagrams. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 605(1-3):266–318, 2001.
- [13] A. I. Davydychev and M. Yu. Kalmykov, Massive Feynman diagrams and inverse binomial sums. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 699(1-2):3–64, 2004.
- [14] C. Duhr and F. Dulat, PolyLogTools—polylogs for the masses. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (8):135, 2019.

- [15] F. J. Dyson, N. E. Frankel, and M. L. Glasser, Lehmer’s interesting series. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 120(2):116–130, 2013.
- [16] H. Frellesvig, D. Tommasini, and C. Wever, On the reduction of generalized polylogarithms to Li_n and $\text{Li}_{2,2}$ and on the evaluation thereof. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2016(3):189, 2016. arXiv:1601.02649v3 [hep-ph].
- [17] M. L. Glasser, A generalized Apéry series. *J. Integer Seq.*, 15:Article 12.4.3, 2012.
- [18] A. B. Goncharov, Multiple polylogarithms, cyclotomy and modular complexes. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 5(4):497–516, 1998.
- [19] M. Yu. Kalmykov and O. Veretin, Single-scale diagrams and multiple binomial sums. *Phys. Lett. B*, 483(1-3):315–323, 2000.
- [20] M. Yu. Kalmykov, B. F. L. Ward, and S. A. Yost, Multiple (inverse) binomial sums of arbitrary weight and depth and the all-order ε -expansion of generalized hypergeometric functions with one half-integer value of parameter. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2007(10):048, 2007.
- [21] S. Laporta, High-precision calculation of the 4-loop contribution to the electron $g - 2$ in QED. *Physics Letters B*, 772(Supplement C):232–238, 2017. arXiv:1704.06996 [hep-th].
- [22] S. Laporta and E. Remiddi, The analytical value of the electron $(g - 2)$ at order α^3 in QED. *Physics Letters B*, 379(1):283–291, 1996. arXiv:hep-ph/9602417.
- [23] D. H. Lehmer, Interesting series involving the central binomial coefficient, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 92(7):449–457, 1985.
- [24] D. Maître, HPL, a Mathematica implementation of the harmonic polylogarithms. *Comput. Phys. Comm.*, 174(3):222–240, 2006. arXiv:hep-ph/0507152v2 (software available at <https://www.physik.uzh.ch/data/HPL/>).
- [25] D. Maître, Extension of HPL to complex arguments. *Comput. Phys. Comm.*, 183(3):846, 2012. arXiv:hep-ph/0703052v2.
- [26] E. Panzer, Algorithms for the symbolic integration of hyperlogarithms with applications to Feynman integrals. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 188:148–166, 2015. (software available at <https://bitbucket.org/PanzerErik/hyperint/wiki/Home>).
- [27] O. Schnetz, The Galois coaction on the electron anomalous magnetic moment. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 12(2):335–354, 2018.
- [28] Z.-W. Sun and Y. Zhou, Evaluations of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k^2 \binom{3k}{k}}$ and related series. arXiv:2401.12083v1 [math.CO], 2024.
- [29] A. J. van der Poorten, Some wonderful formulae . . . footnotes to Apéry’s proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$, Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou, 20e année: 1978/1979. Théorie des nombres, Fasc. 2 (French), Exp. No. 29, 7, Secrétariat Math., Paris, 1980.
- [30] W. Wang and C. Xu, Alternating multiple zeta values, and explicit formulas of some Euler–Apéry-type series. *European J. Combin.*, 93:Paper No. 103283, 25 pp., 2021.
- [31] S. Weinzierl, Expansion around half integer values, binomial sums and inverse binomial sums. *J. Math. Phys.*, 45:2656–2673, 2004.
- [32] P. T. Young, From Madhava–Leibniz to Lehmer’s limit. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 129(6):524–538, 2022.
- [33] Y. Zhou, Hyper-Mahler measures via Goncharov–Deligne cyclotomy. arXiv:2210.17243v4 [math.NT], 2023.

- [34] Y. Zhou, Sun's series via cyclotomic multiple zeta values. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 19:Paper No. 074, 20 pp., 2023. arXiv:2306.04638v3 [math.NT].
- [35] I. J. Zucker, On the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} k^{-n}$ and related sums. *J. Number Theory*, 20(1):92–102, 1985.

(J. M. Campbell) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY, HALIFAX, NS, B3H 4R2, CANADA
Email address: jmaxwellcampbell@gmail.com

(M. L. Glasser) DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, CLARKSON UNIVERSITY, POTSDAM NY 13699, USA
Email address: laryg@clarkson.edu

(Y. Zhou) PROGRAM IN APPLIED AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS (PACM), PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ 08544, USA
Email address: yajunz@math.princeton.edu
Current address: ACADEMY OF ADVANCED INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES (AAIS), PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, P. R. CHINA
Email address: yajun.zhou.1982@pku.edu.cn