# Degenerations of Poisson-type algebras<sup>\*</sup> Hani Abdelwahab<sup>†</sup>, Amir Fernández Ouaridi<sup>‡</sup> & Ivan Kaygorodov<sup>§</sup>

Abstract: The degenerations of Poisson-type algebras are studied in the following varieties in dimension two: Leibniz-Poisson algebras, transposed Leibniz-Poisson algebras, Novikov-Poisson algebras, commutative pre-Lie algebras, anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras and pre-Poisson algebras. For these varieties, the algebraic and geometric classifications are given. Also, the complete graph of degenerations is obtained, together with the description of the orbit closures of each of its algebras and parametric families up to isomorphism.

Keywords: Poisson-type algebras, classification, degeneration. MSC2020: , 17A40, 17B30, 17D99, 14D06, 14L30.

#### **CONTENTS**

Introduction	2
1. Preliminaries: the algebraic and geometric classifications	3
1.1. The algebraic classification of algebras	3
1.2. Degenerations and the geometric classification of algebras	4
2. Leibniz–Poisson algebras	6
2.1. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Leibniz algebras	6
2.2. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Leibniz–Poisson algebras	6
2.3. Degenerations of 2-dimensional Leibniz–Poisson algebras	8
3. Transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras	10
3.1. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras	10
3.2. Degenerations of 2-dimensional transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras	11
4. Novikov–Poisson algebras	13
4.1. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Novikov algebras	13
4.2. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebras	14
4.3. Degenerations of 2-dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebras	17
5. Commutative pre-Lie algebras	20
5.1. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebras	20
5.2. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional commutative pre-Lie algebras	21
5.3. Degenerations of 2-dimensional commutative pre-Lie algebras	23
6. Anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras	26
6.1. The algebraic classification of the 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie algebras	26
6.2. The algebraic classification of the 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras	26
6.3. Degenerations of 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras	29
7. Pre-Poisson algebras	33
7.1. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Zinbiel algebras	33
7.2. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional pre-Poisson algebras	34
7.3. Degeneration of 2-dimensional pre-Poisson algebras	35
References	36

\*The work is supported by the Spanish Government through the Ministry of Universities grant 'Margarita Salas', funded by the European Union - NextGenerationEU; FCT UIDB/MAT/00212/2020, UIDP/MAT/00212/2020 and 2022.02474.PTDC.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt; haniamar1985@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Cádiz, Puerto Real, España; amir.fernandez.ouaridi@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>CMA-UBI, University of Beira Interior, Covilhã, Portugal; kaygorodov.ivan@gmail.com

#### INTRODUCTION

The algebraic classification (up to isomorphism) of algebras of dimension n of a certain variety defined by a family of polynomial identities is a classic problem in the theory of non-associative algebras, see [1-5,8,10,20,21,26,27,29,39,41,44,45,48,51]. There are many results related to the algebraic classification of small-dimensional algebras in different varieties of associative and non-associative algebras. For example, algebraic classifications of 2-dimensional algebras [51], 3-dimensional evolution algebras [20], 3-dimensional anticommutative algebras [45], 4-dimensional division algebras [26, 27], 6-dimensional anticommutative nilpotent algebras [39] and 8-dimensional dual Mock Lie algebras [21] have been given. Geometric properties of a variety of algebras defined by a family of polynomial identities have been an object of study since the 1970's, see [4, 7–11, 19, 21, 24, 25, 29, 32, 34–37, 44, 54, 56, 57] and references in [38, 47]. Gabriel described the irreducible components of the variety of 4-dimensional unital associative algebras [32]. Cibils considered rigid associative algebras with 2-step nilpotent radical [25]. Chouhy proved that in the case of finite-dimensional associative algebras, the N-Koszul property is preserved under the degeneration relation [24]. Burde and Steinhoff constructed the graphs of degenerations for the varieties of 3-dimensional and 4-dimensional Lie algebras [19]. Grunewald and O'Halloran studied the degenerations for the variety of 5-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras [34]. Alvarez and Hernández described the degenerations for the variety of 5-dimensional nilpotent Lie superalgebras [9]. Alvarez and Vera described the degenerations for the variety of 3-dimensional Hom-Lie algebras [11]. Fernández Ouaridi, Kaygorodov, Khrypchenko and Volkov described all the degenerations in the variety of 4-dimensional commutative nilpotent algebras. Ignatyev, Kaygorodov, and Popov determined the dimensions of the irreducible components of *n*-dimensional 2-step nilpotent algebras (commutative and anticommutative) [36]. On the other hand, Kaygorodov, Khrypchenko, and Lopes studied the dimensions of the irreducible components of *n*-dimensional (all, commutative, anticommutative) nilpotent algebras [40]. Degenerations have also been used to study the level of complexity of an algebra [56, 57]. The notion of degenerations of binary algebras was also extended to degenerations of *n*-ary algebras [43] and degenerations of algebras with two multiplications [1–3, 5]. The study of degenerations of algebras is very rich and closely related to deformation theory, in the sense of Gerstenhaber [33].

Poisson algebras arose from the study of Poisson geometry in the 1970s and have appeared in an extremely wide range of areas in mathematics and physics, such as Poisson manifolds, algebraic geometry, operads, quantization theory, quantum groups, and classical and quantum mechanics. The study of Poisson algebras also led to other algebraic structures, such as noncommutative Poisson algebras, generic Poisson algebras, transposed Poisson algebras, Novikov–Poisson algebras, algebras of Jordan brackets and generalized Poisson algebras, F-manifold algebras, quasi-Poisson algebras, Gerstenhaber algebras, Poisson bialgebras, double Poisson algebras, Poisson n-Lie algebras, etc. All these classes are related in some way to Poisson algebras, for that reason we call them Poisson-type algebras.

The present paper is devoted to the algebraic, geometric, and degeneration classification of the complex algebras from the principal varieties of Poisson-type algebras in dimension two. In particular, we consider the varieties of Leibniz–Poisson algebras, transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras, Novikov–Poisson algebras, commutative pre-Lie algebras, anti-Pre-Poisson algebras and pre-Poisson algebras.

#### 1. PRELIMINARIES: THE ALGEBRAIC AND GEOMETRIC CLASSIFICATIONS

All the algebras below will be over  $\mathbb{C}$  and all the linear maps will be  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. For simplicity, every time we write the multiplication table of an algebra the products of basic elements whose values are zero or can be recovered from the commutativity or from the anticommutativity are omitted. In this section, introduce the techniques used to obtain our main results.

1.1. **The algebraic classification of algebras.** In this paper, we work with various types of algebras with two multiplications such that the "first" multiplication is associative and commutative (or no) and the "second" multiplication depends on the particular type of algebras under consideration. Let us review the method we will use to obtain the algebraic classification for an arbitrary variety of Poisson-type algebras (the present method, in the case of Poisson algebras, is given with more details in [5]).

**Definition 1.** A Poisson-type algebra is a vector space  $(A, \cdot, \bullet)$  equipped with one commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$  and another multiplication  $\bullet$  satisfying a family of polynomial identities  $\Omega_i^{\{\bullet\}}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n_i})$ , which includes only one multiplication  $\bullet$ . These two operations are required to satisfy a family of Leibniz type identities  $\Theta_i^{\{\cdot,\bullet\}}(x_1, \ldots, x_{k_i})$ , which includes two of these multiplications  $\cdot$  and  $\bullet$ . Main examples of Poisson-type algebras are the following: Poisson algebras, Leibniz–Poisson algebras, transposed Poisson algebras.

**Definition 2.** Let  $(A, \cdot)$  be a commutative associative algebra. Define  $Z^2(A, A)$  to be the set of all bilinear maps  $\theta : A \times A \longrightarrow A$  such that:

$$\Omega_i^{\{\bullet\to\theta\}}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n_i})=0 \text{ and } \Theta_i^{\{\cdot,\bullet\to\theta\}}(x_1,\ldots,x_{k_i})=0$$

where, by  $\bullet \to \theta$  we denote the changing of the multiplication  $\bullet$  to the cocycle  $\theta$ . Then  $Z^2(A, A) \neq \emptyset$  since  $\theta = 0 \in Z^2(A, A)$ .

Now, for  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ , let us define a multiplication  $\bullet_{\theta}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $x \bullet_{\theta} y = \theta(x, y)$  for all x, y in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \bullet_{\theta})$  is a Poisson-type algebra satisfying the two families of identities  $\Omega_i^{\{\bullet_{\theta}\}}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n_i})$  and  $\Theta_i^{\{\cdot, \bullet_{\theta}\}}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n_i})$ . Conversely, if  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \bullet)$  is a Poisson-type algebra satisfying the two families of identities  $\Omega_i^{\{\bullet_{\theta}\}}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n_i})$  and  $\Theta_i^{\{\cdot, \bullet\}}(x_1, \ldots, x_{k_i})$ , then there exists  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  such that  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \bullet_{\theta}) \cong (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \bullet)$ . To see this, consider the bilinear map  $\theta : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by  $\theta(x, y) = x \bullet y$  for all x, y in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \bullet_{\theta}) = (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \bullet)$ .

Let  $(A,\cdot)$  be a commutative associative algebra. The automorphism group,  ${\rm Aut\,}(A),$  of A acts on  $Z^2\left(A,A\right)$  by

$$(\theta * \phi) (x, y) = \phi^{-1} (\theta (\phi (x), \phi (y))),$$

for  $\phi \in Aut(A)$ , and  $\theta \in Z^{2}(A, A)$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $(A, \cdot)$  be a commutative associative algebra and  $\theta, \vartheta \in Z^2(A, A)$ . Then  $(A, \cdot, \bullet_{\theta})$  and  $(A, \cdot, \bullet_{\vartheta})$  are isomorphic if and only if there is a  $\phi \in Aut(A)$  with  $\theta * \phi = \vartheta$ .

*Proof.* If  $\theta * \phi = \vartheta$ , then  $\phi : (A, \cdot, \bullet_{\vartheta}) \longrightarrow (A, \cdot, \bullet_{\theta})$  is an isomorphism since  $\phi(\vartheta(x, y)) = \theta(\phi(x), \phi(y))$ . On the other hand, if  $\phi : (A, \cdot, \bullet_{\vartheta}) \longrightarrow (A, \cdot, \bullet_{\theta})$  is an isomorphism of Poisson-type algebras, then  $\phi \in Aut(A)$  and  $\phi(x \bullet_{\vartheta} y) = \phi(x) \bullet_{\theta} \phi(y)$ . Hence

$$\vartheta \left( x,y \right) \;\; = \;\; \phi^{-1} \left( \theta \left( \phi \left( x \right), \phi \left( y \right) \right) \right) \;\; = \;\; \left( \theta \ast \phi \right) \left( x,y \right)$$

and therefore  $\theta * \phi = \vartheta$ .

Consequently, we have a procedure to classify the Poisson-type algebras with given associated commutative associative algebra  $(A, \cdot)$ . It consists of three steps:

- (1) Compute  $Z^2(A, A)$ .
- (2) Find the orbits of Aut (A) on  $Z^2 (A, A)$ .
- (3) Choose a representative  $\theta$  from each orbit and then construct the Poisson-type algebra  $(A, \cdot, \bullet_{\theta})$ .

1.1.1. *The algebraic classification of* 2*-dimensional commutative associative algebras.* All algebras under our consideration are constructed on the base of 2-dimensional commutative associative algebras. Hence, the classification of them, which can be obtained by direct verification from [44], is given below.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(A, \cdot)$  be a 2-dimensional commutative associative algebra. Then A is isomorphic to one of the following algebras:

**Lemma 5.** The description of the group of automorphisms of every 2-dimensional commutative associative algebra is given.

- (1) If  $\phi \in Aut(A_{01})$ , then  $\phi \in S_2$ , i.e.  $\phi(e_1) = e_1$ ,  $\phi(e_2) = e_2$  or  $\phi(e_1) = e_2$ ,  $\phi(e_2) = e_1$ .
- (2) If  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(A_{02})$ , then  $\phi(e_1) = e_1$  and  $\phi(e_2) = \xi e_2$ , for  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .
- (3) If  $\phi \in Aut(A_{03})$ , then  $\phi(e_1) = e_1$  and  $\phi(e_2) = \xi e_2$ , for  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .
- (4) If  $\phi \in Aut(A_{04})$ , then  $\phi(e_1) = \xi e_1 + \nu e_2$  and  $\phi(e_2) = \xi^2 e_2$ , for  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ .

1.2. Degenerations and the geometric classification of algebras. Let us introduce the techniques used to obtain the geometric classification for an arbitrary variety of Poisson-type algebras. Given a complex vector space  $\mathbb{V}$  of dimension n, the set of bilinear maps

 $\operatorname{Bil}(\mathbb{V}\times\mathbb{V},\mathbb{V})\cong\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^{\otimes 2},\mathbb{V})\cong(\mathbb{V}^*)^{\otimes 2}\otimes\mathbb{V}$ 

is a vector space of dimension  $n^3$ . The set of pairs of bilinear maps

$$\operatorname{Bil}(\mathbb{V}\times\mathbb{V},\mathbb{V})\oplus\operatorname{Bil}(\mathbb{V}\times\mathbb{V},\mathbb{V})\cong(\mathbb{V}^*)^{\otimes 2}\otimes\mathbb{V}\oplus(\mathbb{V}^*)^{\otimes 2}\otimes\mathbb{V}$$

which is a vector space of dimension  $2n^3$ . This vector space has the structure of the affine space  $\mathbb{C}^{2n^3}$  in the following sense: fixed a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ , then any pair with multiplication  $(\mu, \mu')$ , is determined by some parameters  $c_{ij}^k, c_{ij}'^k \in \mathbb{C}$ , called structural constants, such that

$$\mu(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{p=1}^n c_{ij}^k e_k$$
 and  $\mu'(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{p=1}^n c_{ij}'^k e_k$ 

which corresponds to a point in the affine space  $\mathbb{C}^{2n^3}$ . Then a set of bilinear pairs S corresponds to an algebraic variety, i.e., a Zariski closed set, if there are some polynomial equations in variables  $c_{ij}^k, c_{ij}^{\prime k}$  with zero locus equal to the set of structural constants of the bilinear pairs in S. Given the identities defining a particular class of Poisson-type algebras, we can obtain a set of polynomial equations in variables  $c_{ij}^k, c_{ij}^{\prime k}$ . This class of *n*-dimensional Poisson-type algebras is a variety. Denote it by  $\mathcal{T}_n$ . Now, consider the following action of  $GL(\mathbb{V})$  on  $\mathcal{T}_n$ :

$$(g*(\mu,\mu'))(x,y) := (g\mu(g^{-1}x,g^{-1}y),g\mu'(g^{-1}x,g^{-1}y))$$

for  $g \in GL(\mathbb{V})$ ,  $(\mu, \mu') \in \mathfrak{T}_n$  and for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$ . Observe that the  $GL(\mathbb{V})$ -orbit of  $(\mu, \mu')$ , denoted  $O((\mu, \mu'))$ , contains all the structural constants of the bilinear pairs isomorphic to the Poisson-type algebra with structural constants  $(\mu, \mu')$ .

A geometric classification of a variety of algebras consists on describing the irreducible components of the variety. Recall that any affine variety can be represented as a finite union of its irreducible components uniquely. Note that describing the irreducible components of  $\mathcal{T}_n$  gives us the rigid algebras of the variety, which are those bilinear pairs with an open  $GL(\mathbb{V})$ -orbit. This is due to the fact that a bilinear pair is rigid in a variety if and only if the closure of its orbit is an irreducible component of the variety. For this reason, the following notion is convenient. Denote by  $\overline{O((\mu, \mu'))}$  the closure of the orbit of  $(\mu, \mu') \in \mathcal{T}_n$ .

**Definition 6.** Let T and T' be two *n*-dimensional Poisson-type algebras of a fixed class corresponding to the variety  $\mathfrak{T}_n$  and  $(\mu, \mu'), (\lambda, \lambda') \in \mathfrak{T}_n$  be their representatives in the affine space, respectively. The algebra T is said to degenerate to T', and we write  $T \to T'$ , if  $(\lambda, \lambda') \in \overline{O((\mu, \mu'))}$ . If  $T \ncong T'$ , then we call it a proper degeneration. Conversely, if  $(\lambda, \lambda') \notin \overline{O((\mu, \mu'))}$  then we say that T does not degenerate to T' and we write  $T \neq T'$ .

Furthermore, for a parametric family of algebras we have the following notion.

**Definition 7.** Let  $T(*) = \{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$  be a family of *n*-dimensional Poisson-type algebras of a fixed class corresponding to  $\mathcal{T}_n$  and let T' be another algebra. Suppose that  $T(\alpha)$  is represented by the structure  $(\mu(\alpha), \mu'(\alpha)) \in \mathcal{T}_n$  for  $\alpha \in I$  and T' is represented by the structure  $(\lambda, \lambda') \in \mathcal{T}_n$ . We say that the family T(\*) degenerates to T', and write  $T(*) \to T'$ , if  $(\lambda, \lambda') \in \overline{\{O((\mu(\alpha), \mu'(\alpha)))\}_{\alpha \in I}}$ . Conversely, if  $(\lambda, \lambda') \notin \overline{\{O((\mu(\alpha), \mu'(\alpha)))\}_{\alpha \in I}}$  then we call it a non-degeneration, and we write  $T(*) \not\rightarrow T'$ .

Observe that T' corresponds to an irreducible component of  $\mathcal{T}_n$  (more precisely,  $\overline{\mathrm{T}'}$  is an irreducible component) if and only if T  $\not\rightarrow$  T' for any *n*-dimensional Poisson-type algebra T and T(\*)  $\not\rightarrow$  T' for any parametric family of *n*-dimensional Poisson-type algebras T(\*). To prove a particular algebra corresponds to an irreducible compotent, we will use the next ideas. Firstly, since dim  $O((\mu, \mu')) = n^2 - \dim \mathfrak{Der}(\mathrm{T})$ , then if T  $\rightarrow$  T' and T  $\ncong$  T', we have that dim  $\mathfrak{Der}(\mathrm{T}) < \dim \mathfrak{Der}(\mathrm{T}')$ , where  $\mathfrak{Der}(\mathrm{T})$  denotes the Lie algebra of derivations of T. Secondly, to prove degenerations, let T and T' be two Poisson-type algebras represented by the structures  $(\mu, \mu')$  and  $(\lambda, \lambda')$  from  $\mathcal{T}_n$ , respectively. Let  $c_{ij}^k, c_{ij}'^k$  be the structure constants of  $(\lambda, \lambda')$  in a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ . If there exist  $n^2$  maps  $a_i^j(t) : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $E_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_i^j(t)e_j$  ( $1 \le i \le n$ ) form a basis of  $\mathbb{V}$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and the structure constants  $c_{ij}^k(t), c_{ij}'(t)$  of  $(\mu, \mu')$  in the basis  $E_1(t), \ldots, E_n(t)$  satisfy  $\lim_{t\to 0} c_{ij}^k(t) = c_{ij}^k$  and  $\lim_{t\to 0} c_{ij}'(t) = c_{ij}'^k$ , then T  $\to$  T'. In this case,  $E_1(t), \ldots, E_n(t)$  is called a parametrized basis for T  $\to$  T'. Thirdly, to prove non-degenerations we may use a remark that follows from this lemma, see [5].

**Lemma 8.** Consider two Poisson-type algebras T and T'. Suppose  $T \to T'$ . Let C be a Zariski closed in  $\mathcal{T}_n$  that is stable by the action of the invertible upper (lower) triangular matrices. Then if there is a representation  $(\mu, \mu')$  of T in C, then there is a representation  $(\lambda, \lambda')$  of T' in C.

In order to apply this lemma, we will give the explicit definition of the appropriate stable Zariski closed C in terms of the variables  $c_{ij}^k, c_{ij}'^k$  in each case. For clarity, we assume by convention that  $c_{ij}^k = 0$  (resp.  $c_{ij}'^k = 0$ ) if  $c_{ij}^k$  (resp.  $c_{ij}'^k$ ) is not explicitly mentioned on the definition of C.

**Remark 9.** Moreover, let T and T' be two Poisson-type algebras represented by the structures  $(\mu, \mu')$  and  $(\lambda, \lambda')$  from T<sub>n</sub>. Suppose T  $\rightarrow$  T'. Then if  $\mu, \mu', \lambda, \lambda'$  represents algebras T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T'<sub>0</sub>, T'<sub>1</sub> in the affine space  $\mathbb{C}^{n^3}$  of algebras with a single multiplication, respectively, we have T<sub>0</sub>  $\rightarrow$  T'<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub>  $\rightarrow$  T'<sub>1</sub>. So for example,  $(0, \mu)$  can not degenerate in  $(\lambda, 0)$  unless  $\lambda = 0$ .

Fourthly, to prove  $T(*) \to T'$ , suppose that  $T(\alpha)$  is represented by the structure  $(\mu(\alpha), \mu'(\alpha)) \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ for  $\alpha \in I$  and T' is represented by the structure  $(\lambda, \lambda') \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ . Let  $c_{ij}^k, c_{ij}^{\prime k}$  be the structure constants of  $(\lambda, \lambda')$  in a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ . If there is a pair of maps  $(f, (a_i^j))$ , where  $f : \mathbb{C}^* \to I$  and  $a_i^j : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}$  are such that  $E_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_i^j(t)e_j$   $(1 \leq i \leq n)$  form a basis of  $\mathbb{V}$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and the structure constants  $c_{ij}^k(t), c_{ij}^{\prime k}(t)$  of  $(\mu(f(t)), \mu'(f(t)))$  in the basis  $E_1(t), \ldots, E_n(t)$  satisfy  $\lim_{t\to 0} c_{ij}^k(t) = c_{ij}^k$  and  $\lim_{t\to 0} c_{ij}^{\prime k}(t) = c_{ij}^{\prime k}$ , then  $T(*) \to T'$ . In this case  $E_1(t), \ldots, E_n(t)$  and f(t) are called a parametrized basis and a parametrized index for  $T(*) \to T'$ , respectively. Fithly, to prove  $T(*) \not\to T'$ , we may use an analogous of Remark 9 for parametric families that follows from Lemma 10.

**Lemma 10.** Consider the family of Poisson-type algebras T(\*) and the Poisson-type algebra T'. Suppose  $T(*) \to T'$ . Let C be a Zariski closed in  $\mathcal{T}_n$  that is stable by the action of the invertible upper (lower) triangular matrices. Then if there is a representation  $(\mu(\alpha), \mu'(\alpha))$  of  $T(\alpha)$  in C for every  $\alpha \in I$ , then there is a representation  $(\lambda, \lambda')$  of T' in C.

Finally, the following remark simplifies the geometric problem.

**Remark 11.** Let  $(\mu, \mu')$  and  $(\lambda, \lambda')$  represent two Poisson-type algebras. Suppose  $(\lambda, 0) \notin \overline{O((\mu, 0))}$ , (resp.  $(0, \lambda') \notin \overline{O((0, \mu'))}$ ), then  $(\lambda, \lambda') \notin \overline{O((\mu, \mu'))}$ . As we construct the classification of a given class of Poisson-type algebras from a certain class of algebras with a single multiplication which remains unchanged, this remark becomes very useful. Namely, all the classes considered in this paper are constructed on a 2-dimensional commutative associative algebra. The geometric classification of this class was given in [44].

## 2. LEIBNIZ-POISSON ALGEBRAS

The notion of Leibniz–Poisson algebras is a non-anticommutative generalization of Poisson algebras and on the other hand, it is a particular case of algebras with bracket [23] and noncommutative Leibniz–Poisson algebras [22]. Other results related to Leibniz–Poisson algebras have appeared in [52].

## 2.1. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Leibniz algebras.

Definition 12. An algebra is called a Leibniz algebra if it satisfies the identity

$$[[x, y], z] = [[x, z], y] + [x, [y, z]].$$

The algebraic classification of Leibniz algebras was obtained by direct verification from [44].

**Theorem 13.** Let L be a nonzero 2-dimensional Leibniz algebra. Then L is isomorphic to one and only one of the following algebras:

# 2.2. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Leibniz–Poisson algebras.

**Definition 14.** A Leibniz–Poisson algebra is a vector space equipped with a commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$  and a Leibniz multiplication [-, -]. These two operations are required to satisfy the following identity:

$$[x \cdot y, z] = [x, z] \cdot y + x \cdot [y, z].$$

7

Note that the Leibniz–Poisson algebras  $(L, \cdot, [-, -])$  with zero multiplication  $\cdot$  are the Leibniz algebras. The classification of 2-dimensional Leibniz algebras is given in Theorem 13. Hence, we are studying the Leibniz–Poisson algebras defined on every commutative associative algebra from Theorem 4.

**Definition 15.** Let  $(A, \cdot)$  be a commutative associative algebra. Define  $Z^2_{LP}(A, A)$  to be the set of all bilinear maps  $\theta : A \times A \longrightarrow A$  such that:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta\left(\theta\left(x,y\right),z\right) &=& \theta\left(\theta\left(x,z\right),y\right) + \theta\left(x,\theta\left(y,z\right)\right),\\ \theta\left(x\cdot y,z\right) &=& \theta\left(x,z\right)\cdot y + x\cdot\theta\left(y,z\right). \end{array}$$

If  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{LP}(A, A)$ , then  $(A, \cdot, [-, -])$  is a Leibniz–Poisson algebra where  $[x, y] = \theta(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

2.2.1. Leibniz–Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{01}$ . Since  $Z_{LP}^2(A_{01}, A_{01}) = \{0\}$ , then there is only the trivial structure  $L_{04} = A_{01}$ .

2.2.2. Leibniz–Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{02}$ . From the computation of  $Z_{LP}^2(A_{02}, A_{02})$ , the Leibniz–Poisson structures defined on  $A_{02}$  are of the form:

$$\mathbf{L}_{5}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_{1} \cdot e_{1} &= e_{1}, \quad e_{1} \cdot e_{2} &= e_{2} \\ [e_{2}, e_{1}] &= \alpha_{1} e_{2} \end{cases}$$

Since the action of  $Aut(A_{02})$  on this structure is the identity, we obtain there are no isomorphic algebras in this family.

2.2.3. Leibniz–Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{03}$ . The Leibniz–Poisson structures defined on  $A_{03}$  are:

$$\mathbf{L}_{6}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_{1} \cdot e_{1} &= e_{1} \\ [e_{2}, e_{1}] &= \alpha e_{2} \end{cases}$$

Again, the action of  $Aut(A_{03})$  on this structure is the identity, hence all algebras from this family are not isomorphic.

2.2.4. Leibniz-Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{04}$ . The Leibniz-Poisson structures defined on  $A_{04}$  are:

$$\mathbf{L}_{7}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_{1} \cdot e_{1} &= e_{2} \\ [e_{1}, e_{1}] &= \alpha e_{2} \end{cases}$$

As in the previous cases of this section, the action of  $Aut(A_{04})$  on the structure above is the identity, hence all algebras from this family are not isomorphic.

2.2.5. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Leibniz–Poisson algebras.

**Theorem 16.** Let  $(L, \cdot, [-, -])$  be a nonzero 2-dimensional Leibniz–Poisson algebra. Then L is isomorphic to one Leibniz algebra listed in Theorem 13 or to one algebra listed below:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathbf{L}_{4} & : & e_{1} \cdot e_{1} = e_{1}, e_{2} \cdot e_{2} = e_{2} \\
\mathbf{L}_{5}^{\alpha} & : \\
\mathbf{L}_{6}^{\alpha} & : \\
\mathbf{L}_{6}^{\alpha} & : \\
\mathbf{L}_{7}^{\alpha} & : \\
\end{array}
\begin{cases}
\begin{array}{c}
e_{1} \cdot e_{1} & = & e_{1} \\
e_{2}, e_{1} & = & ae_{2} \\
e_{1} \cdot e_{1} & = & e_{2} \\
e_{1} \cdot e_{1} & = & e_{2} \\
e_{1} \cdot e_{1} & = & ae_{2}
\end{array}$$

2.2.6. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional generic Poisson algebras.

**Definition 17.** A generic Poisson algebra (see, [42]) is a vector space equipped with a commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$  and an anticommutative multiplication [-, -]. These two operations are required to satisfy the following identity:

$$[x \cdot y, z] = [x, z] \cdot y + x \cdot [y, z]$$

It is known [44], that each 2-dimensional anticommutative algebra is a Lie algebra. Hence, each 2-dimensional generic Poisson algebra is a Poisson algebra.

**Corollary 18.** Let  $(G, \cdot, [-, -])$  be a nonzero 2-dimensional generic Poisson algebra. Then G is isomorphic to one commutative associative algebra listed in Theorem 4 or to  $L_3$ .

# 2.3. Degenerations of 2-dimensional Leibniz–Poisson algebras.

**Lemma 19.** The graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations of the variety of 2-dimensional Leibniz–Poisson algebras is given in Figure 1, where the numbers on the right side are the dimensions of the corresponding orbits.



Legend:

– Round nodes: Leibniz–Poisson algebras with trivial commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$ .

– Squared nodes: Leibniz–Poisson algebras with non-trivial commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$ .

Figure 1. Graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations.

*Proof.* The dimensions of the orbits are deduced by computing the algebra of derivations. The primary degenerations of the Leibniz–Poisson algebras with trivial commutative associative multiplication follow by [44], the others are proven using the parametric bases included in following Table:

Degeneration	Parametrized	basis
$L_4 \rightarrow L_5^0$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$L_4 \rightarrow L_6^0$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$L_5^{\alpha} \rightarrow L_7^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$L_6^{\alpha} \rightarrow L_7^{-\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 - t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = e_2$

The primary non-degenerations of Leibniz–Poisson algebras with non-trivial commutative associative multiplication are proven using the sets from the following table:

Non-degeneration	Arguments
$L_4 \not\rightarrow L_1, L_3, L_7^{\alpha \neq 0}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{22}^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}^2 = c_{21}^2 \right\}$
$L_5^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow L_1, L_3, L_7^{\beta \neq \alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{11}^1 = c_{12}^2, c_{11}^1 = c_{21}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = \alpha c_{11}^2, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{11}^1 \end{array} \right\}$
$L_6^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow L_1, L_3, L_7^{\beta \neq -\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^2, c_{21}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}'^2 = -\alpha c_{11}^2, c_{21}'^2 = \alpha c_{11}^1 \right\}$

At this point, only the description of the closures of the orbits of the parametric families is missing. Although it is not necessary to study the closure of the orbits of each of the parametric families of the variety of 2-dimensional Leibniz–Poisson algebras in order to identify its irreducible components, we will study them to give a complete description of the variety.

**Lemma 20.** The description of the closure of the orbit of the parametric families in the variety of 2dimensional Leibniz–Poisson algebras are given below:

$$\frac{\overline{\{O(L_5^*)\}}}{\overline{\{O(L_6^*)\}}} \supseteq \left\{ \overline{\{O(L_7^\alpha)\}}, \overline{\{O(L_2)\}}, \overline{\{O(L_1)\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}} \right\} \\
\overline{\{O(L_6^*)\}} \supseteq \left\{ \overline{\{O(L_7^\alpha)\}}, \overline{\{O(L_2)\}}, \overline{\{O(L_1)\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}} \right\} \\
\overline{\{O(L_7^*)\}} \supseteq \left\{ \overline{\{O(L_1)\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}} \right\}$$

*Proof.* Thanks to Theorem 19 we have all necessarily degenerations. The closure of the orbits of suitable families are given using the parametric bases and the parametric index included in following Table:

Degeneration		Parametrized basis		
$\mathbf{L}_5^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$L_2$	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$\mathbf{L}_{6}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$L_2$	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$\mathbf{L}_7^{t-1}$	$\rightarrow$	$L_1$	$\overline{E_1(t)} = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$

Non-degeneration	Arguments	
$L_5^* \not\rightarrow L_3$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}^1 = c_{12}^2, c_{11}^1 = c_{21}^2 \right\}$	
$L_6^* \not\rightarrow L_3$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$	

By Lemma 19 and Lemma 20, we have the following result that summarizes the geometric classification of  $L_2$ .

**Theorem 21.** The variety of 2-dimensional Leibniz–Poisson algebras has four irreducible components, corresponding to the Leibniz–Poisson algebras  $L_3$  and  $L_4$  and the families of Leibniz–Poisson algebras  $L_5^*$  and  $L_6^*$ .

#### 3. TRANSPOSED LEIBNIZ-POISSON ALGEBRAS

The notion of transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras is a non-anticommutative generalization of transposed Poisson algebras. The notion of transposed Poisson algebras was introduced in [13]. Let us note, that they are related to many other interesting classes of algebras, such as commutative Gelfand-Dorfman algebras and F-manifold algebras [53]. The study of transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras is motivated by a question posed in [16].

#### 3.1. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras.

**Definition 22.** A transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebra is a vector space equipped with a commutative associative multiplication, denoted by  $\cdot$  and a symmetric Leibniz multiplication [-, -]. These two operations are required to satisfy the following identity:

$$2[x,y] \cdot z = [x \cdot z,y] + [x,y \cdot z].$$

**Definition 23.** Let  $(A, \cdot)$  be a commutative associative algebra. Define  $Z^2_{TLP}(A, A)$  to be the set of all bilinear maps  $\theta : A \times A \longrightarrow A$  such that:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \theta\left(\theta\left(x,y\right),z\right) &=& \theta\left(\theta\left(x,z\right),y\right) + \theta\left(x,\theta\left(y,z\right)\right),\\ \theta\left(x,\theta\left(y,z\right)\right) &=& \theta\left(\theta\left(x,y\right),z\right) + \theta\left(y,\theta\left(x,z\right)\right),\\ 2\theta\left(x,y\right)\cdot z &=& \theta\left(x\cdot z,y\right) + \theta\left(x,y\cdot z\right), \end{array}$$

for all x, y, z in A. If  $\theta \in Z^2_{TLP}(A, A)$ , then  $(A, \cdot, [-, -])$  is a transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebra where  $[x, y] = \theta(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

3.1.1. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional symmetric Leibniz algebras. By Theorem 13, we obtain that there are only two transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras with trivial multiplication  $\cdot$  and non-trivial multiplication  $[-, -] : T_1 = L_1, T_2 = L_3$ .

3.1.2. Transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{01}$ . Since  $Z^2_{TLP}(A_{01}, A_{01}) = \{0\}$ , the only transposed Leibniz–Poisson structure is trivial, denote it by  $T_3$ .

3.1.3. *Transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras defined on*  $A_{02}$ . From the computation of  $Z^2_{TLP}(A_{02}, A_{02})$ , the transposed Leibniz–Poisson structures defined on  $A_{02}$  are of the form:

We may assume  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ . Then, by Lemma 3, we obtain the following non-isomorphic transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras:

$$\mathbf{T}_4 := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, \ e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2, \\ [e_1, e_1] &= e_2. \end{cases} \qquad \mathbf{T}_5^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, \ e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2, \\ \{e_1, e_2\} &= \alpha e_2, \ [e_2, e_1] &= -\alpha e_2. \end{cases}$$

3.1.4. Transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{03}$ . Since  $Z^2_{TLP}(A_{03}, A_{03}) = \{0\}$ , the only transposed Leibniz–Poisson structure is trivial, denote it by  $T_6$ .

3.1.5. *Transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras defined on*  $A_{04}$ . The transposed Leibniz–Poisson structures defined on  $A_{04}$  are of the form:

$$\begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2, \\ [e_1, e_1] &= \alpha_1 e_2. \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2, \\ [e_1, e_2] &= \alpha_2 e_2, \\ [e_2, e_1] &= -\alpha_2 e_2. \end{cases}$$

We may assume  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ . Then, by Lemma 3, we obtain the following non-isomorphic transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras:

$$\mathbf{T}_{7}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_{1} \cdot e_{1} &= e_{2}, \\ [e_{1}, e_{1}] &= \alpha e_{2}. \end{cases} \qquad \mathbf{T}_{8} := \begin{cases} e_{1} \cdot e_{1} &= e_{2}, \\ [e_{1}, e_{2}] &= e_{2}, \\ [e_{2}, e_{1}] &= -e_{2}. \end{cases}$$

## 3.1.6. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras.

**Theorem 24.** Let  $(T, \cdot, [-, -])$  be a nonzero 2-dimensional transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebra. Then T is isomorphic to one symmetric Leibniz algebra listed in Subsection 3.1.1 or to one algebra listed below:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T_{3} & : & e_{1} \cdot e_{1} = e_{1}, e_{2} \cdot e_{2} = e_{2} \\ T_{4} & : & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e_{1} \cdot e_{1} & = & e_{1}, & e_{1} \cdot e_{2} & = & e_{2}, \\ [e_{1}, e_{1}] & = & e_{2}. \\ e_{1} \cdot e_{1} & = & e_{1}, & e_{1} \cdot e_{2} & = & e_{2}, \\ [e_{1}, e_{2}] & = & \alpha e_{2}, & [e_{2}, e_{1}] & = & -\alpha e_{2} \end{array} \right. \\ T_{6} & : & e_{1} \cdot e_{1} = e_{1}. \\ T_{7}^{\alpha} & : & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e_{1} \cdot e_{1} & = & e_{2}, \\ [e_{1}, e_{1}] & = & \alpha e_{2}. \\ [e_{1}, e_{1}] & = & \alpha e_{2}. \\ e_{1} \cdot e_{1} & = & e_{2}, \\ [e_{1}, e_{2}] & = & e_{2}, \\ [e_{1}, e_{2}] & = & e_{2}, \end{array} \right. \\ T_{8} & : & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e_{1} \cdot e_{1} & = & e_{2}, \\ e_{1} \cdot e_{1} & = & e_{2}, \\ [e_{1}, e_{2}] & = & e_{2}, \end{array} \right. \\ e_{2} \cdot e_{1} & = & -e_{2}. \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

3.1.7. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional transposed Poisson algebras.

**Definition 25.** A transposed Poisson algebra is a vector space equipped with a commutative associative multiplication, denoted by  $\cdot$  and a Lie multiplication [-, -]. These two operations are required to satisfy the following identity:

$$2[x,y] \cdot z = [x \cdot z,y] + [x,y \cdot z].$$

**Corollary 26.** Let  $(T, \cdot, [-, -])$  be a nonzero 2-dimensional transposed Poisson algebra. Then T is isomorphic to one algebra listed below:

$$T_2, T_3, T_5^{\alpha}, T_6, T_7^0, T_8$$

# 3.2. Degenerations of 2-dimensional transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras.

**Lemma 27.** The graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations of the variety of 2-dimensional transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras is given in Figure 2, where the numbers on the right side are the dimensions of the corresponding orbits.



- Round nodes: transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras with trivial commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$ .

- Squared nodes: transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras with nontrivial commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$ .

Figure 2. Graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations.

*Proof.* The dimensions of the orbits are deduced by computing the algebra of derivations. The primary degenerations are proven using the parametric bases included in following Table:

Degeneration	Parametrize	d basis
$T_3 \rightarrow T_5^0$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$T_3 \rightarrow T_6$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$T_4 \rightarrow T_1$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$
$T_4 \rightarrow T_5^0$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$
$T_4 \rightarrow T_7^{\alpha \neq 0}$	$E_1(t) = \alpha t e_1 + t e_2$	$E_2(t) = \alpha t^2 e_2$
$T_5^{\alpha} \rightarrow T_7^0$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$T_6 \rightarrow T_7^0$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = -te_2$
$T_8 \rightarrow T_2$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$
$T_8 \rightarrow T_7^0$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$

The primary non-degenerations are proven using the sets from the following table:

Non-degeneration	Arguments
$T_3 \not\rightarrow T_1, T_2, T_5^{\alpha \neq 0}, T_7^{\alpha \neq 0}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{22}^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}^2 = c_{21}^2 \right\}$
$T_4 \not\rightarrow T_2, T_5^{\alpha \neq 0}, T_6$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}^1 = c_{12}^2, c_{11}^1 = c_{21}^2 \right\}$
$T_5^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow T_1, T_2, T_7^{\beta \neq 0}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{11}^1 = c_{12}^2, c_{11}^1 = c_{21}^2, c_{12}^{\prime 1} = \alpha c_{11}^1, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = -c_{12}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$
$T_8 \not\rightarrow T_1, T_7^{\alpha \neq 0}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^2, c_{12}'^2, c_{21}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{21}'^2 = -c_{12}'^2 \right\}$

Legend:

At this point, only the description of the closures of the orbits of the parametric families is missing. Although it is not necessary to study the closure of the orbits of each of the parametric families of the variety of 2-dimensional transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras in order to identify its irreducible components, we will study them to give a complete description of the variety.

**Lemma 28.** The description of the closure of the orbit of the parametric families in the variety of 2dimensional transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras are given below:

$$\frac{\overline{\{O(\mathbf{T}_{5}^{*})\}}}{\overline{\{O(\mathbf{T}_{7}^{*})\}}} \supseteq \left\{ \overline{\{O(\mathbf{T}_{2})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{T}_{7}^{0})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{T}_{8})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^{8})\}} \right\} \\
\overline{\{O(\mathbf{T}_{7}^{*})\}} \supseteq \left\{ \overline{\{O(\mathbf{T}_{1})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^{8})\}} \right\}$$

*Proof.* Thanks to Theorem 27 we have all necessarily degenerations. The closure of the orbits of suitable families are given using the parametric bases and the parametric index included in following Table:

Degeneration	Parametrized basis	
$T_5^{t^{-1}} \rightarrow T_8$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$ $E_2(t) = t$	$e_2$
$T_7^{t^{-2}} \rightarrow T_1$	$E_1(t) = te_1 \qquad E_2(t) = e_1$	$\dot{c}_2$

Non-degeneration	Arguments
$T_5^* \not\rightarrow T_1, T_6, T_7^{\alpha \neq 0}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{11}^{11} = c_{12}^2, c_{11}^{11} = c_{21}^2, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = -c_{12}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$
$T_7^* \not\rightarrow T_2$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C} \end{array} \right\}$

By Lemma 27 and Lemma 28, we have the following result that summarizes the geometric classification.

**Theorem 29.** The variety of 2-dimensional transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras has three irreducible components, corresponding to the transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  and the family of transposed Leibniz–Poisson algebras  $T_5^*$ .

3.2.1. The geometric classification of 2-dimensional transposed Poisson algebras.

**Corollary 30.** The variety of 2-dimensional transposed Poisson algebras has two irreducible components, corresponding to the transposed Poisson algebra  $T_3$  and the family of transposed Poisson algebras  $T_5^*$ .

# 4. NOVIKOV-POISSON ALGEBRAS

The notion of Novikov–Poisson (also known as Gelfand-Dorfman-Novikov–Poisson [18]) algebras is introduced in a paper of Xu in order to study Novikov algebras [59]. He uses these new algebras to give the first examples of simple Novikov algebras. The next paper of Xu opens the systematic study of Novikov–Poisson algebras [58]. Novikov–Poisson algebras are related to Jordan superalgebras and transposed Poisson algebras [13]. A first tentative of the algebraic classification of 2- and 3-dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebras is given in [60], but the author did not separate isomorphic algebras. Our aim in the present section is to improve and extend the results results in [60].

# 4.1. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Novikov algebras.

Definition 31. An algebra is called Novikov if

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (x \circ y) \circ z - x \circ (y \circ z) &=& (y \circ x) \circ z - y \circ (x \circ z), \\ & (x \circ y) \circ z &=& (x \circ z) \circ y. \end{array}$$

The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Novikov algebras is given by [12].

**Theorem 32.** Let N be a nonzero 2-dimensional Novikov algebra. Then N is isomorphic to one and only one of the following algebras:

## 4.2. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebras.

**Definition 33.** A Novikov–Poisson algebra is a vector space equipped with a commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$  and a Novikov multiplication  $\circ$ . These two operations are required to satisfy the following identities:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (x \cdot y) \circ z &=& x \cdot (y \circ z), \\ (x \circ y) \cdot z - (y \circ x) \cdot z &=& x \circ (y \cdot z) - y \circ (x \cdot z). \end{array}$$

Novikov–Poisson algebras  $(N, \cdot, \circ)$  with zero commutative associative multiplication are the Novikov algebras given in Theorem 32. Hence, we are studying the Novikov–Poisson algebras defined on every commutative associative algebra from Theorem 4.

**Definition 34.** Let  $(A, \cdot)$  be a commutative associative algebra. Define  $Z^2_{NP}(A, A)$  to be the set of all bilinear maps  $\theta : A \times A \longrightarrow A$  such that:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta\left(x,\theta\left(y,z\right)\right)-\theta\left(\theta\left(x,y\right),z\right)&=&\theta\left(y,\theta\left(x,z\right)\right)-\theta\left(\theta\left(y,x\right),z\right),\\ &&\theta\left(\theta\left(x,y\right),z\right)&=&\theta\left(\theta\left(x,z\right),y\right),\\ &&\theta\left(x\cdot y,z\right)&=&x\cdot\theta\left(y,z\right),\\ &&\theta\left(x,y\right)\cdot z-\theta\left(y,x\right)\cdot z&=&\theta\left(x,y\cdot z\right)-\theta\left(y,x\cdot z\right), \end{array}$$

for all x, y, z in A. If  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{NP}(A, A)$ , then  $(A, \cdot, \circ)$  is a Novikov–Poisson algebra where  $x \circ y = \theta(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in A$ .

4.2.1. Novikov–Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{01}$ . The Novikov–Poisson structures defined on  $A_{01}$  are:

$$\mathbf{N}_{07}^{\alpha,\beta} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, \quad e_2 \cdot e_2 &= e_2\\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_1, \quad e_2 \circ e_2 &= \beta e_2 \end{cases}$$

Since  $Aut(A_{01}) = \mathbb{S}_2$ , we have  $N_{07}^{\alpha,\beta} \cong N_{07}^{\beta,\alpha}$ .

14

4.2.2. *Novikov–Poisson algebras defined on*  $A_{02}$ . The Novikov–Poisson structures defined on  $A_{02}$  are of the form:

$$\begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, & e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2 \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha_1 e_1 + \beta_1 e_2, & e_1 \circ e_2 &= \gamma_1 e_2, & e_2 \circ e_1 &= \alpha_1 e_2 \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 5, to study the action of  $Aut(A_{02})$ , we have to distinguish two cases:

• If  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ , then choose  $\xi = \beta_1$  and obtain the parametric family:

$$\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\beta} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, & e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2\\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_1 + e_2, & e_1 \circ e_2 &= \beta e_2, & e_2 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_2 \end{cases}$$

• If  $\beta_1 = 0$ , then, we have:

$$\mathbf{N}_{09}^{\alpha,\beta} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_1, & e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2\\ e_1 \circ e_1 = \alpha e_1, & e_1 \circ e_2 = \beta e_2, & e_2 \circ e_1 = \alpha e_2 \end{cases}$$

4.2.3. Novikov–Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{03}$ . The Novikov–Poisson structures defined on  $A_{03}$  are:

$$\begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_1, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 = \alpha_1 e_1, & e_2 \circ e_2 = \beta_1 e_2 \end{cases}$$

The action of  $Aut(A_{03})$  produces two cases:

• If  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ , then set  $\xi = \beta_1^{-1}$  and obtain the family:

$$\mathbf{N}_{10}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1 \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_1, & e_2 \circ e_2 &= e_2 \end{cases}$$

• If  $\beta_1 = 0$ , then we obtain the family:

$$\mathbf{N}_{11}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1 \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_1 \end{cases}$$

4.2.4. Novikov–Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{04}$ . The Novikov–Poisson structures defined on  $A_{04}$  are:

$$\begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2 \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha_1 e_1 + \beta_1 e_2, e_1 \circ e_2 &= \gamma_1 e_2, e_2 \circ e_1 &= \alpha_1 e_2 \end{cases}$$

The following cases arise from the action of  $Aut(A_{04})$  on this structure:

• If  $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ , then choose  $\xi = \gamma_1^{-1}$  and  $\nu = -\beta_1 \gamma_1^{-2}$  obtaining the family:

$$\mathbf{N}_{12}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2\\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_1, \ e_1 \circ e_2 &= e_2, \ e_2 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_2 \end{cases}$$

• If  $\gamma_1 = 0$ , then

- If  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ , then for  $\xi = \alpha_1^{-1}$ , we obtain:

$$\mathbf{N}_{13}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2\\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= e_1 + \alpha e_2, & e_2 \circ e_1 &= e_2 \end{cases}$$

– If  $\alpha_1 = 0$ , then we have the algebra:

$$\mathbf{N}_{14}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2\\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_2 \end{cases}$$

4.2.5. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Novikov-Poisson algebras.

**Theorem 35.** Let  $(N, \cdot, \circ)$  be a nonzero 2-dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebra. Then N is isomorphic to one Novikov algebra listed in Theorem 32 or to one algebra listed below:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{N}_{07}^{\alpha,\beta} &: \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} e_{1} \cdot e_{1} &= e_{1}, \quad e_{2} \cdot e_{2} &= e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{1}, \quad e_{2} \circ e_{2} &= \beta e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{1}, \quad e_{1} \cdot e_{2} &= e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{1} + e_{2}, \quad e_{1} \circ e_{2} &= \beta e_{2}, \quad e_{2} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{1}, \quad e_{1} \cdot e_{2} &= e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{1}, \quad e_{1} \circ e_{2} &= \beta e_{2}, \quad e_{2} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{1}, \quad e_{1} \circ e_{2} &= \beta e_{2}, \quad e_{2} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{2} \\ \mathbf{N}_{10}^{\alpha} &: \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e_{1} \cdot e_{1} &= e_{1} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{1}, \quad e_{2} \circ e_{2} &= e_{2} \\ e_{1} \cdot e_{1} &= e_{1} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{1}, \quad e_{1} \circ e_{2} &= e_{2}, \quad e_{2} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{2} \\ \mathbf{N}_{13}^{\alpha} &: \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e_{1} \cdot e_{1} &= e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= e_{1} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= e_{1} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= e_{1} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= e_{1} + \alpha e_{2} \circ e_{2} \circ e_{1} &= e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= e_{1} + \alpha e_{2} &e_{2} \circ e_{1} &= e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{2} \\ e_{1} \circ e_{1} &= \alpha e_{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

The only non-trivial isomorphism between these families is  $N_{07}^{\alpha,\beta} \cong N_{07}^{\beta,\alpha}$ .

4.2.6. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional pre-Lie Poisson algebras.

**Definition 36.** A pre-Lie algebra is a vector space P equipped with a pre-Lie algebra multiplication  $\circ$  satisfying the following condition:

$$(x \circ y) \circ z - (y \circ x) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z) - y \circ (x \circ z).$$

**Definition 37.** A pre-Lie Poisson algebra is a vector space P equipped with a commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$  and a pre-Lie algebra multiplication  $\circ$ . These two operations are required to satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (x \circ y) \cdot z - (y \circ x) \cdot z &=& x \circ (y \cdot z) - y \circ (x \cdot z) \,, \\ & & (x \cdot y) \circ z &=& x \cdot (y \circ z) \,. \end{array}$$

**Definition 38.** Let  $(P, \cdot)$  be a commutative associative algebra. Define  $Z^2_{PLP}(P, P)$  to be the set of all bilinear maps  $\theta : P \times P \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$  such that:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta\left(\theta(x,y),z\right)-\theta(x,\theta\left(y,z\right)) &=& \theta\left(\theta(y,x),z\right)-\theta\left(y,\theta(x,z)\right),\\ \theta\left(x,y\right)\cdot z-\theta\left(y,x\right)\cdot z &=& \theta\left(x,y\cdot z\right)-\theta\left(y,x\cdot z\right),\\ \theta\left(x\cdot y,z\right) &=& x\cdot\theta\left(y,z\right), \end{array}$$

for all x, y, z in P. If  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{PLP}(P, P)$ , then  $(P, \cdot, \circ)$  is a pre-Lie Poisson algebra where  $x \circ y = \theta(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in P$ .

The main tool for the algebraic classification of 2-dimensional pre-Lie Poisson algebras can be obtained by a direct computations and given in next lemma.

**Lemma 39.** Let P be a nonzero 2-dimensional commutative associative algebra, then  $Z^2_{PLP}(P,P) = Z^2_{NP}(P,P)$ 

It is know, that each Novikov algebra is a Pre-Lie algebra. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebras can be found in [17]. Hence, we have the following statement.

**Corollary 40.** Let  $(P, \cdot, \circ)$  be a nonzero 2-dimensional pre-Lie Poisson algebra. Then P is isomorphic to one Novikov–Poisson algebra listed in Theorem 35 or to one pre-Lie (non-Novikov) algebra listed below:

 $\begin{array}{rclcrcl} {\rm P}_{01}^{\alpha\neq 0} & : & e_2 \circ e_1 & = & -e_1, & e_2 \circ e_2 & = & \alpha e_2 \\ {\rm P}_{02} & : & e_1 \circ e_1 & = & e_2, & e_2 \circ e_1 & = & -e_1, & e_2 \circ e_2 & = & -2e_2 \\ {\rm P}_{03} & : & e_2 \circ e_1 & = & -e_1, & e_2 \circ e_2 & = & e_1 - e_2 \end{array}$ 

# 4.3. Degenerations of 2-dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebras.

**Theorem 41.** The graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations of the variety of 2-dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebras is given in Figure 3, where the numbers on the right side are the dimensions of the corresponding orbits.



Legend:

- Round nodes: Novikov-Poisson algebras with trivial commutative associative multiplication .

- Squared nodes: Novikov-Poisson algebras with non-trivial commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$ .

Figure 3. Graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations of 2-dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebras.

*Proof.* The dimensions of the orbits are deduced by computing the algebra of derivations. The primary degenerations of the Novikov–Poisson algebras with trivial commutative associative multiplication follow by [44], the others are proven using the parametric bases included in following Table:

Degeneration		Parametriz	ed basis
$N_{07}^{\alpha,\beta\neq\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$ N <sub>01</sub>	$E_1(t) = te_1 + te_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2(\alpha - \beta)e_1$
$N_{07}^{\alpha,\alpha}$	$\rightarrow N_{09}^{\alpha,\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = -te_2$
$N_{07}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\rightarrow N_{11}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$N_{07}^{\alpha,\alpha}$	$\rightarrow N_{14}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 - (t-1)te_2$	$E_2(t) = (t-1)t^3 e_2$
$N_{08}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\rightarrow$ N <sub>01</sub>	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$

a B	0		/ X = 1
$\mathrm{N}_{08}^{lpha, ho}$	$\rightarrow N_{09}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$
$N_{08}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\rightarrow N_{14}^{\gamma}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + t(\gamma - \beta)^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2(\gamma - \beta)^{-1}e_2$
$N_{09}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\rightarrow N_{14}^{\beta}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$N_{10}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$ N <sub>04</sub>	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$N_{10}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow N_{11}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$N_{10}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow N_{14}^{\beta_{\overline{7}}}$	$E_1(t) = t(\alpha - \beta)^{-1}e_1 + te_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2(\alpha - \beta)^{-2}e_1$
$N_{11}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow N_{14}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 - t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$N_{12}^{0}$	$\rightarrow$ N <sub>02</sub>	$E_1(t) = t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = -e_1$
$N_{12}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow N_{06}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = \alpha^{-1} e_1$
$N_{12}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow N_{14}^{\beta}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + \alpha te_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 \overline{e_2}$
$N_{13}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow N_{06}^0$	$E_1(t) = t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = e_1$
$N_{13}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow N_{14}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$

The primary non-degenerations of Novikov–Poisson algebras with non-trivial commutative associative multiplication are proven using the sets from the following table:

Non	-degeneration	Arguments
$ \mathbb{N}_{07}^{\alpha,\beta} \not\rightarrow \Big\{ \Big\} $	$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{N}_{06}^{\gamma}, \mathbf{N}_{02}, \mathbf{N}_{04}, \\ \mathbf{N}_{11}^{\gamma \neq \alpha, \beta}, \mathbf{N}_{09}^{\gamma, \delta} [\beta \neq \alpha] \end{array} \right\}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{2}, c_{12}^{2}, c_{21}^{2}, c_{22}^{2}, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{21}^{2} = c_{12}^{2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, \\ c_{11}^{\prime 1} = \alpha c_{11}^{1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = \beta c_{12}^{2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} = \beta c_{22}^{2} \end{array} \right\}$
$\mathbf{N}_{07}^{\alpha,\alpha} \not\rightarrow \left\{ \right.$	$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{N}_{06}^{0}, \mathbf{N}_{09}^{(\beta,\gamma) \neq (\alpha,\alpha)} \\ \mathbf{N}_{01}, \mathbf{N}_{14}^{\beta \neq \alpha} \end{array} \right\} $	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{2}, c_{12}^{2}, c_{21}^{2}, c_{22}^{2}, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{21}^{2} = c_{12}^{2}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = \alpha c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = \alpha c_{11}^{2}, \\ c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{12}^{2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{22}^{2} \end{array} \right\}$
$\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\beta} \not\rightarrow \left\{ \right\}$	$\left. \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{N}_{06}^{\gamma}, \mathbf{N}_{02}, \mathbf{N}_{04}, \\ \mathbf{N}_{11}^{\gamma}, \mathbf{N}_{09}^{(\gamma, \delta) \neq (\alpha, \beta)} \end{array} \right\}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{2}, c_{12}^{2}, c_{21}^{2}, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}^{2} = c_{11}^{1}, c_{21}^{2} = c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = \alpha c_{11}^{1}, \\ c_{12}^{\prime 2} = \beta c_{11}^{1}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{11}^{1} \end{array} \right\}$
$N_{09}^{\alpha,\beta} \not\rightarrow N_0$	$_{1},\mathrm{N}_{14}^{\gamma  eq eta}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{2}, c_{12}^{2}, c_{21}^{2}, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}^{2} = c_{11}^{1}, c_{21}^{2} = c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = \alpha c_{11}^{1}, \\ c_{21}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{11}^{1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = \beta c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{\prime 2} = \beta c_{11}^{2} \end{array} \right\}$
$N_{10}^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow N_{02}$	$_{2}, N_{06}^{\beta}, N_{09}^{\beta, \gamma}, N_{11}^{\beta \neq \alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{2}, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{11}^{\prime 1} = \alpha c_{11}^{1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{11}^{1} c_{21}^{\prime 2} = -c_{11}^{2} c_{22}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$
$N_{11}^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow N_0$	$_{1}, N_{14}^{\beta \neq \alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^1, c_{12}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}'^1 = \alpha c_{11}^1, c_{11}'^2 = \alpha c_{11}^2 \right\}$
$N_{12}^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow \left\{ \right.$	$\left. \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{N}_{09}^{\beta,\gamma}, \mathbf{N}_{11}^{\beta}, \mathbf{N}_{04}, \\ \mathbf{N}_{06}^{\beta \neq \alpha^{-1}}, \mathbf{N}_{02}(\alpha \neq 0) \end{array} \right\}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^1, c_{11}'^2, c_{12}'^2, c_{21}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}'^1 = \alpha c_{12}'^2, c_{21}'^2 = \alpha c_{12}'^2 \right\}$
$N_{13}^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow N_0$	$_{1}, \mathrm{N}_{14}^{\beta \neq \alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{11}^{\prime 2} = \beta c_{11}^2 \right\}$

At this point, only the description of the closures of the orbits of the parametric families is missing. **Lemma 42.** *The description of the closure of the orbit of the parametric families in the variety of* 2*dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebras are given below:* 

 $\overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{06}^*)\}} \supseteq \left\{ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{05})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{02})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{01})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}} \right\}$ 

$\overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{07}^*)\}}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{14}^{\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{12}^{1}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{11}^{\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{11}^{\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{00}^{\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}), \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}\}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}), \overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}, \overline{{O(\mathbf{N}_{08}^{\alpha,\alpha}, {O(\mathbf$
${O(N_{08}^*)}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{14}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{13}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{13}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{12}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{09}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{06}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \end{array} \right\}$
$\overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{09}^*)\}}$	⊇	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{14}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{13}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{06}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{05})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{05})\}}, \\ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{02})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{01})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}} \end{array}\right\}$
${O(N_{10}^*)}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{14}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{12}^{1})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{12}^{1})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{01}^{1})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{06}^{1})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{0$
$\overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{11}^*)\}}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{\overline{\{O(N_{14}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(N_{04})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(N_{01})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}}\right\}$
$\overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{12}^*)\}}$	⊇	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{14}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{13}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{06}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{05})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{05})\}}, \\ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{02})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{01})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}} \end{array}\right\}$
$\overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{13}^*)\}}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{\overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{14}^{\alpha})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{06}^{0})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{05})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{01})\}}, \ \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}}\right\}$
$\overline{\{O(\mathbf{N}_{14}^*)\}}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{\overline{\{O(\mathcal{N}_{01})\}},  \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}}\right\}.$

*Proof.* Thanks to Theorem 41 we have all necessarily degenerations. The closure of the orbits of suitable families are given using the parametric bases and the parametric index included in following Table:

$N_{07}^{t^{-1},t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$N_{03}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$N_{07}^{t(1+\alpha t^{-1}),\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$N_{08}^{\alpha\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = -te_1$
$N_{07}^{\alpha,t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	${\rm N}^{lpha}_{10}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$N_{08}^{\alpha t^{-1}, t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$\mathbf{N}_{12}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 - t^2 e_2$	$E_2(t) = -t^3 e_2$
$N_{09}^{t^{-1},\alpha t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	${\rm N}^{lpha}_{06}$	$E_1(t) = te_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$\mathbf{N}_{09}^{t^{-1},\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	${\rm N}^{lpha}_{13}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$\mathrm{N}_{10}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$N_{03}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$N_{10}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$N_{12}^{1}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_1$
$N_{11}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$N_{04}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$N_{12}^{t-1}$	$\rightarrow$	$N_{13}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + \alpha te_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$
$N_{13}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	N <sub>05</sub>	$E_1(t) = t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = e_1$
$N_{14}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	N <sub>01</sub>	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$

Non-degeneration	Arguments		
$\mathbf{N}_{07}^* \not\rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{02}, \mathbf{N}_{06}^{\alpha \neq 1}, \mathbf{N}_{09}^{\alpha, \beta \neq \alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{22}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{21}^2 = c_{12}^2, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{21}^2, c_{22}^{\prime 2} c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{12}^2 c_{22}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$		
$N_{08}^* \not\rightarrow N_{04}, N_{10}^{\alpha}, N_{11}^{\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}^2 = c_{11}^1, c_{21}^2 = c_{11}^1, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{21}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$		
$N_{09}^* \not\rightarrow N_{08}^{\alpha,\beta}, N_{12}^{\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}^2 = c_{11}^1, c_{21}^2 = c_{11}^1, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{11}^2 c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{11}^1 c_{11}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$		
$\mathbf{N}_{10}^{*} \not\rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{07}^{\alpha,\beta}, \mathbf{N}_{09}^{\alpha,\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^1, c_{12}'^1, c_{12}'^2, c_{21}'^2, c_{22}^{22} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}'^2 = c_{21}'^2, c_{11}^1 c_{21}'^2 = -c_{11}^2 c_{22}'^2 \end{array} \right\}$		
$N_{11}^* \not\rightarrow N_{06}^1$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}^1 c_{11}^{\prime 2} = c_{11}^2 c_{11}^{1} \right\}$		

$N_{12}^* \not\rightarrow N_{09}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{21}^{\prime 2} \right\}$
$N_{13}^* \not\rightarrow N_{02}, N_{06}^{\alpha \neq 0},$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^1, c_{11}'^2, c_{21}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}'^1 = c_{21}'^2 \right\}$

By Lemma 41 and Lemma 42, we have the following result that summarizes the geometric classification of 2-dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebras.

**Theorem 43.** The variety of 2-dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebras has two irreducible components, corresponding to the closures of the orbits of the families of Novikov–Poisson algebras  $N_{07}^*$  and  $N_{08}^*$ .

4.3.1. *The geometric classification of* 2-*dimensional pre-Lie Poisson algebras*. The geometric classification of 2-dimensional Novikov–Poisson algebras is given in theorem 43; a description of all degenerations of 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebras is given in [17]; a description of all orbit closures of families of 2-dimensional algebras is given in [44]. Summarizing, these cited results and corollary 40 we have the following statement.

**Corollary 44.** The variety of 2-dimensional pre-Lie Poisson algebras has four irreducible components, corresponding to the the Pre-Lie Poisson algebra  $P_{02}$  and the families of pre-Lie Poisson algebras  $P_{01}^*$ ,  $N_{07}^*$  and  $N_{08}^*$ .

#### 5. Commutative pre-Lie Algebras

Commutative pre-Lie algebras first appeared in [49] and after that, they appeared in [28, 30, 31, 46, 50]. A description of free commutative pre-Lie algebras with one generators in terms of partitioned trees is given in [30] and a relation between commutative pre-Lie algebras and *F*-manifold algebras is established in [28].

## 5.1. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebras.

**Definition 45.** An algebra is called a pre-Lie algebra if it satisfies the identity

$$(x \circ y) \circ z - (y \circ x) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z) - y \circ (x \circ z).$$

The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebras can be obtained by direct verification from [44].

**Theorem 46.** Let C be a nonzero 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebra. Then C is isomorphic to one and only one of the following algebras:

## 5.2. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional commutative pre-Lie algebras.

**Definition 47.** A commutative pre-Lie algebra is a vector space equipped with a commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$  and a pre-Lie multiplication  $\circ$ . These two operations are required to satisfy the following identities:

$$x \circ (y \cdot z) = (x \circ y) \cdot z + y \cdot (x \circ z).$$

Commutative pre-Lie algebras  $(C, \cdot, \circ)$  with zero commutative associative multiplication are pre-Lie algebras given in Theorem 46. Hence, we are studying commutative pre-Lie algebras defined on every commutative associative algebra from Theorem 4.

**Definition 48.** Let  $(A, \cdot)$  be a commutative associative algebra. Define  $Z^2_{CPL}(A, A)$  to be the set of all bilinear maps  $\theta : A \times A \longrightarrow A$  such that:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta\left(\theta\left(x,y\right),z\right)-\theta\left(x,\theta\left(y,z\right)\right) &=& \theta\left(\theta\left(y,x\right),z\right)-\theta\left(y,\theta\left(x,z\right)\right),\\ && \theta\left(x,y\cdot z\right) &=& \theta\left(x,y\right)\cdot z+y\cdot\theta\left(x,z\right). \end{array}$$

If  $\theta \in Z^2_{CPL}(A, A)$ , then  $(A, \cdot, \circ)$  is a commutative pre-Lie algebra where  $x \circ y = \theta(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

5.2.1. Commutative pre-Lie algebras defined on  $A_{01}$ . Since  $Z^2_{CPL}(A_{01}, A_{01}) = \{0\}$ , then there is only the trivial structure  $C_{09} = A_{01}$ .

5.2.2. Commutative pre-Lie algebras defined on  $A_{02}$ . From the computation of  $Z^2_{CPL}(A_{02}, A_{02})$ , the commutative pre-Lie structures defined on  $A_{02}$  are of the form:

If  $\alpha_2 = 0$ , then it is included in the first family. So we may assume  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ . Further, if we choose  $\xi = \alpha_2^{-1}$ , we then obtain the following non-isomorphic algebras:

$$C_{10}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, \quad e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2 \\ e_1 \circ e_2 &= \alpha e_2 \end{cases} \qquad \qquad C_{11} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, \quad e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2 \\ e_2 \circ e_2 &= e_2 \end{cases}$$

5.2.3. *Commutative pre-Lie algebras defined on*  $A_{03}$ . The commutative pre-Lie structures defined on  $A_{03}$  are:

$$\begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1 \\ e_1 \circ e_2 &= \alpha_1 e_2 \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1 \\ e_2 \circ e_2 &= \alpha_2 e_2 \end{cases}$$

As above, we may assume  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ . Then we obtain the following non-isomorphic algebras:

$$C_{12}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1 \\ e_1 \circ e_2 &= \alpha e_2 \end{cases} \qquad C_{13} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1 \\ e_2 \circ e_2 &= e_2 \end{cases}$$

5.2.4. Commutative pre-Lie algebras defined on  $A_{04}$ . The commutative pre-Lie structures defined on  $A_{04}$ . are:

$$\begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2 \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha_1 e_1 + \beta_1 e_2, \ e_1 \circ e_2 &= 2\alpha_1 e_2 \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2 \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha_2 e_1 + \beta_2 e_2, \ e_1 \circ e_2 &= 2\alpha_2 e_2 \\ e_2 \circ e_1 &= \gamma_2 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2, \ e_2 \circ e_2 &= 2\gamma_2 e_2 \end{cases}$$

Note that if  $\alpha_1 = 0$ , then it is included in the second one. So assume  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ . Then, we can choose  $\xi = \alpha_1^{-1}$  and  $\nu = -\beta_1 \alpha_1^{-2}$  to simplify the first family into:

$$C_{14} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 = e_1, & e_1 \circ e_2 = 2e_2 \end{cases}$$

Now, for the second family we have the following cases.

• If  $\gamma_2 \neq 0$ , then choose  $\xi = -\sqrt{\gamma_2^{-1}}$  and  $\nu = \alpha_2 \sqrt{\gamma_2^{-3}} - \sqrt{\gamma_2^{-3} (\alpha_2^2 - \beta_2 \gamma_2)}$  to obtain the family:

$$C_{15}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2\\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_1, e_1 \circ e_2 &= 2\alpha e_2, e_2 \circ e_1 &= e_1 + \alpha e_2, e_2 \circ e_2 &= 2e_2 \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $C_{15}^{\alpha} \cong C_{15}^{-\alpha}$ , setting  $\xi = -1$  and  $\nu = 0$ . • If  $\gamma_2 = 0$ , then if  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ , by choosing  $\xi = \alpha_2^{-1}$  and  $\nu = -\beta_2(2\alpha_2^2)^{-1}$ , we obtain the algebra:

$$C_{16} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2 \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= e_1, e_1 \circ e_2 &= 2e_2, e_2 \circ e_1 &= e_2 \end{cases}$$
we have the parametric family :

Otherwise, we have the parametric family :

$$C_{17}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2 \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_2 \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 49.** Let  $(C, \cdot, \circ)$  be a nonzero 2-dimensional commutative pre-Lie algebra. Then C is isomorphic to one pre-Lie algebra listed in Theorem 46 or to one algebra listed below:

Between these algebras, the only non-trivial isomorphism is  $C_{15}^{\alpha} \cong C_{15}^{-\alpha}$ .

# 5.3. Degenerations of 2-dimensional commutative pre-Lie algebras.

**Theorem 50.** The graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations of the variety of 2-dimensional commutative pre-Lie algebras is given in Figure 1, where the numbers on the right side are the dimensions of the corresponding orbits.



- Round nodes: commutative pre-Lie algebras with trivial commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$ .

- Squared nodes: commutative pre-Lie algebras with non-trivial commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$ .

# Figure 1. Graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations.

*Proof.* The dimensions of the orbits are deduced by computing the algebra of derivations. The primary degenerations are proven using the parametric bases included in following Table:

Degeneration		tion	Parametrized basis		
C <sub>01</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$C_{03}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$	
C <sub>01</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$C_{06}^{0}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$	
C <sub>02</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$C_{03}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$	
C <sub>02</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$C_{05}^{1}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$	
$C_{04}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{03}$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + te_2$	$E_2(t) = -t^2 e_2$	
$C_{05}^{\alpha \neq 1}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{03}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + t^{-1}(\alpha - 1)^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = e_2$	
$C_{06}^{\alpha \neq 0}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{03}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + t^{-1}\alpha^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = e_2$	
$C_{07}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{05}^{0}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$	
C <sub>07</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$C_{06}^{1}$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$	
C <sub>08</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$C_{05}^{1/2}$	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$E_2(t) = -\frac{t}{2}e_1 + te_2$	
C <sub>08</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$C_{06}^{2}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$	
C <sub>09</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$C_{10}^{0}$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$	
C <sub>09</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$C_{12}^{0}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$	

$C_{11}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{05}^{0}$	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$C_{11}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{10}^{0}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$C_{13}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{05}^{0}$	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$C_{13}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{12}^{0}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$C_{14}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{05}^{2}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$
$C_{15}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{05}^{1/2}$	$E_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$C_{16}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{06}^{2}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$
$C_{10}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{17}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$C_{12}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{17}^{-\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_1$
$C_{11}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{17}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + \beta te_2$	$E_2(t) = \beta t^2 e_2$
$C_{13}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{17}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = -te_1 + \beta te_2$	$E_2(t) = \beta t^2 e_2$
$C_{14}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{17}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + \alpha te_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$
$C_{15}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{17}^{\beta}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 - (\alpha t - t\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta})e_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$
$C_{16}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{17}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + \frac{\alpha t}{2}e_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$

The primary non-degenerations are proven using the sets from the following table:

Non-degeneration	Arguments
$C_{01} \not\rightarrow C^1_{05}$	$\Re = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{21}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$
$C_{02} \not\rightarrow C_{06}^0$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{11}^{\prime 1} \right\}$
$C_{04} \not\rightarrow C_{06}^0, C_{05}^1$	$ \Re = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 1}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{11}^{\prime 1} = -c_{21}^{\prime 2}, -c_{11}^{\prime 2}c_{21}^{\prime 1} = (c_{11}^{\prime 1})^2 \end{array} \right\} $
$C_{05}^{\alpha \neq 1} \not\rightarrow C_{05}^1, C_{06}^0$	$\Re = \left\{ c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{11}^{\prime 1} \right\}$
$C_{06}^{\alpha \neq 0} \not\rightarrow C_{05}^1, C_{06}^0$	$\Re = \left\{ c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = c_{11}^{\prime 1} \right\}$
$C_{07} \not\rightarrow C_{06}^{\alpha \neq 1}, C_{05}^{\alpha \neq 0}, C_{04}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = c_{12}^{\prime 2} \right\}$
$C_{08} \not\rightarrow C_{06}^{\alpha \neq 2}, C_{05}^{\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}}, C_{04}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 1}, c_{22}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ 2c_{21}^{\prime 1} = c_{22}^{\prime 2}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, 2c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{12}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$
$C_{10}^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow C_{17}^{\beta \neq \alpha}, C_{06}^{0}, C_{05}^{1}, C_{03}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}^2 = c_{11}^1, \\ c_{21}^2 = c_{11}^1, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{11}^1, c_{11}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{11}^2 \end{array} \right\}$
$C_{12}^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow C_{17}^{\beta \neq -\alpha}, C_{06}^{0}, C_{05}^{1}, C_{03}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^2, c_{12}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}'^2 = \alpha c_{11}^1, c_{11}'^2 = -\alpha c_{11}^2 \right\}$
$C_{09} \not\rightarrow C_{17}^{\alpha \neq 0}, C_{05}^{\alpha}, C_{06}^{\alpha}, C_{03}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{22}^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}^2 = c_{21}^2 \right\}$
$C_{11} \not\to C_{04}, C_{05}^{\alpha \neq 0}, C_{06}^{\alpha}, C_{12}^{\alpha}, C_{10}^{\alpha \neq 0}$	$ \left  \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}^2 = c_{11}^1, c_{21}^2 = c_{11}^1, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, \\ (c_{12}^{\prime 2})^2 = c_{11}^{\prime 2} c_{22}^{\prime 2}, c_{11}^2 c_{22}^{\prime 2} = c_{11}^1 c_{21}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\} $
$C_{13} \not\to C_{04}, C_{05}^{\alpha \neq 0}, C_{06}^{\alpha}, C_{12}^{\alpha \neq 0}, C_{10}^{\alpha}$	$ \Re = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^2, c_{12}'^2, c_{21}'^2, c_{22}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}'^2 = c_{21}'^2, \\ c_{11}'^2 c_{22}'^2 = (c_{12}'^2)^2, c_{11}^2 c_{22}'^2 = -c_{11}^1 c_{12}'^2 \end{array} \right\} $
$C_{14}  C_{05}^{\alpha \neq 2}, C_{06}^{\alpha}, C_{12}^{\alpha}, C_{10}^{\alpha}, C_{04}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^1, c_{11}'^2, c_{12}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}'^2 = 2c_{11}'^1 \right\}$
$C_{15}^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow C_{05}^{\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}}, C_{06}^{\beta}, C_{12}^{\beta}, C_{10}^{\beta}, C_{04}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, 2c_{21}^{\prime 1} = c_{22}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = 2c_{11}^{\prime 1}, \\ (c_{11}^{\prime 1})^2 = c_{11}^{\prime 2}c_{21}^{\prime 1} + \alpha^2 c_{11}^2 c_{21}^{\prime 1} \end{array} \right\}$
$C_{16} \not\rightarrow C_{05}^{\alpha}, C_{06}^{\alpha \neq 2}, C_{12}^{\alpha}, C_{10}^{\alpha}, C_{04}$	$\Re = \left\{ c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = 2c_{11}^{\prime 1} \right\}$

At this point, only the description of the closures of the orbits of the parametric families is missing. Although it is not necessary to study the closure of the orbits of each of the parametric families of the variety of 2-dimensional commutative pre-Lie algebras in order to identify its irreducible components, we will study them to give a complete description of the variety.

**Corollary 51.** *The description of the closure of the orbit of the parametric families in the variety of* 2*- dimensional commutative pre-Lie algebras.* 



*Proof.* Thanks to Theorem 50 we have all necessarily degenerations. All necessarily the closure of the orbits of suitable families are given using the parametric bases and the parametric index included in following Table:

Dege	enera	tion	Parametrized basis	
$C_{05}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{04}$	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$C_{05}^{t+1}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{02}$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$C_{06}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{04}$	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$C_{06}^t$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{01}$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$C_{10}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{04}$	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$C_{12}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{04}$	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$C_{15}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$C_{16}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$
$C_{15}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	C <sub>08</sub>	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = \frac{1}{2}e_2$
$C_{17}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	C <sub>03</sub>	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$

Non-degeneration	Arguments	
$C_{05}^* \not\rightarrow C_{17}^{\alpha}, C_{06}^{\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}'^1, c_{12}'^2, c_{12}'^2 \in \mathbb{C} \end{array} \right\}$	
$C^*_{06} \not\rightarrow C^{\alpha}_{17}, C^{\alpha}_{05}$	$\Re = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = c_{11}^{\prime 1} \end{array} \right\}$	
$C_{10}^* \not\rightarrow C_{05}^{\alpha}, C_{06}^{\alpha}, C_{12}^{\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}^2 = c_{11}^1, c_{21}^2 = c_{11}^1 \end{array} \right\}$	
$C_{12}^* \not\rightarrow C_{05}^{\alpha}, C_{06}^{\alpha}, C_{10}^{\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^2, c_{12}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}^1 c_{11}'^2 = -c_{11}^2 c_{12}'^2 \right\}$	
$C_{15}^{*} \not\to C_{05}^{\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}}, C_{06}^{\alpha \neq 2}, C_{12}^{\alpha \neq 2}, C_{12}^{\alpha}, C_{04}^{\alpha}, C_{12}^{\alpha}, C_{04}^{\alpha}, C_{04}^{$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, 2c_{21}^{\prime 1} = c_{22}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = 2c_{11}^{\prime 1} \end{array} \right\}$	
$C_{17}^* \not\rightarrow C_{05}^{\alpha}, C_{06}^{\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^2, c_{12}'^2, c_{21}'^2, c_{22}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}'^2 = c_{21}'^2, c_{22}'^2 c_{11}'^2 = (c_{12}'^2)^2 \end{array} \right\}$	

The geometric classification of 2-dimensional commutative pre-Lie algebras follows by Theorem 50 and Corollary 51.

**Theorem 52.** The variety of 2-dimensional commutative pre-Lie algebras has eleven irreducible components, corresponding to the rigid algebras  $C_{07}$ ,  $C_{09}$ ,  $C_{11}$ ,  $C_{13}$  and  $C_{14}$ , and the families of algebras  $C_{05}^*$ ,  $C_{06}^*$ ,  $C_{10}^*$ ,  $C_{12}^*$ ,  $C_{15}^*$  and  $C_{17}^*$ .

# 6. ANTI-PRE-LIE POISSON ALGEBRAS

The notion of anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras is introduced in [14].

## 6.1. The algebraic classification of the 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie algebras.

**Definition 53.** An algebra  $(A, \circ)$  is called anti-pre-Lie algebra if the following equations are satisfied:

 $\begin{aligned} x \circ (y \circ z) - y \circ (x \circ z) - (y \circ x - x \circ y) \circ z &= 0, \\ (x \circ y - y \circ x) \circ z + (y \circ z - z \circ y) \circ x + (z \circ x - x \circ z) \circ y &= 0. \end{aligned}$ 

It is obvious that any commutative algebra A is anti-pre-Lie algebra if and only if A is associative. So the algebraic classification of 2-dimensional commutative anti-pre-Lie algebras is given in Theorem 4. The algebraic classification of the 2-dimensional non-commutative anti-pre-Lie algebras is given by [14].

**Theorem 54.** Let  $(A, \circ)$  be a nonzero 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie algebra. Then  $(A, \circ)$  is isomorphic to one and only one of the following algebras:

 $: e_1 \circ e_1 = e_1,$  $\begin{array}{rcl} e_2 \circ e_2 &=& e_2 \\ e_1 \circ e_2 &=& e_2, \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{rcl} e_2 \circ e_1 &=& e_2 \end{array}$  $A_{01}$  $A_{02}$  $: e_1 \circ e_1 = e_1,$  $A_{03}$  $: e_1 \circ e_1 = e_1$  $A_{04}$  $: e_1 \circ e_1 = e_2$  $A_{05}$  $A_{06}^{\lambda}$  $A_{07}$  $A_{08}^{\lambda \neq -1} : e_1 \circ e_2 = (\lambda + 1) e_1, \quad e_2 \circ e_1 = \lambda e_1, \qquad e_2 \circ e_2 = (\lambda - 1) e_2$  $e_1 \circ e_2 = -e_1, \quad e_2 \circ e_1 = -2e_1, \quad e_2 \circ e_2 = e_1 - 3e_2.$  $A_{09}$ 

#### 6.2. The algebraic classification of the 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras.

**Definition 55.** An anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebra is a vector space equipped with a commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$  and an anti-pre-Lie multiplication  $\circ$ . These two operations are required to satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2\,(x\circ y)\cdot z-2\,(y\circ x)\cdot z&=&y\cdot (x\circ z)-x\cdot (y\circ z)\,,\\ &&2\,x\circ (y\cdot z)&=&(z\cdot x)\circ y+z\cdot (x\circ y)\,. \end{array}$$

Anti-pre-Lie algebras  $(A, \circ)$  with zero commutative associative multiplication are isomorphic to antipre-Lie Poisson algebras given in Theorem 57. Hence, we only have to study the anti-pre-Lie Poisson structures defined on every commutative associative algebra from Theorem 4 to obtain the algebraic classification of the 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras. To do this, we first need the following definition. **Definition 56.** Let  $(A, \cdot)$  be a commutative associative algebra. Define  $Z^2_{APL}(A, A)$  to be the set of all bilinear maps  $\theta : A \times A \longrightarrow A$  such that:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta\left(x,\theta\left(y,z\right)\right)-\theta\left(y,\theta\left(x,z\right)\right)-\theta\left(\theta\left(y,x\right)-\theta\left(x,y\right),z\right)&=&0,\\ \theta\left(\theta\left(x,y\right)-\theta\left(y,x\right),z\right)+\theta\left(\theta\left(y,z\right)-\theta\left(z,y\right),x\right)+\theta\left(\theta\left(z,x\right)-\theta\left(x,z\right),y\right)&=&0,\\ &2\theta\left(x,y\right)\cdot z-2\theta\left(y,x\right)\cdot z-y\cdot\theta\left(x,z\right)+x\cdot\theta\left(y,z\right)&=&0,\\ &2\theta\left(x,y\cdot z\right)-\theta\left(z\cdot x,y\right)-z\cdot\theta\left(x,y\right)&=&0. \end{array}$$

If  $\theta \in Z^2_{APL}(A, A)$ , then  $(A, \cdot, \circ)$  is an anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebra where  $x \circ y = \theta(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

6.2.1. Anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{01}$ . The anti-pre-Lie Poisson defined on  $A_{01}$  are:

$$\mathbf{A}_{10}^{\alpha,\beta} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, \quad e_2 \cdot e_2 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_1, \quad e_2 \circ e_2 &= \beta e_2. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\operatorname{Aut}(A_{01}) = \mathbb{S}_2$ , we have  $A_{10}^{\alpha,\beta} \cong A_{10}^{\beta,\alpha}$ .

6.2.2. Anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{02}$ . The anti-pre-Lie Poisson structures defined on  $A_{02}$  are:

$$\begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= (2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)e_1 + \alpha_1 e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_2 &= \alpha_2 e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_2 &= \alpha_2 e_2, \\ e_2 \circ e_1 &= \alpha_3 e_2. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3, to study the action of  $Aut(A_{02})$ , we have to distinguish two cases:

• If  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ , then choose  $\lambda_{22} = \alpha_1$  and obtain the parametric family:

$$A_{11}^{\alpha,\beta} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, & e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= (2\alpha - \beta) e_1 + e_2, & e_1 \circ e_2 &= \alpha e_2, & e_2 \circ e_1 &= \beta e_2. \end{cases}$$

• If  $\alpha_1 = 0$ , then we have:

$$\mathbf{A}_{12}^{\alpha,\beta} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, & e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= (2\alpha - \beta) e_1, & e_1 \circ e_2 &= \alpha e_2, & e_2 \circ e_1 &= \beta e_2. \end{cases}$$

6.2.3. Anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{03}$ . The anti-pre-Lie Poisson structures defined on  $A_{03}$  are:

$$\begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha_1 e_1, \\ e_2 \circ e_2 &= \alpha_2 e_2. \end{cases}$$

The action of  $Aut(A_{03})$  produces two cases:

• If  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ , then set  $\lambda_{22} = \alpha_2^{-1}$  and hence we obtain the algebra:

$$A_{13}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_1, & e_2 \circ e_2 &= e_2. \end{cases}$$

• If  $\alpha_2 = 0$ , then we obtain the family:

$$\mathbf{A}_{14}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_1. \end{cases}$$

6.2.4. Anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras defined on  $A_{04}$ . The anti-pre-Lie Poisson structures defined on  $A_{04}$  are:

$$\begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= (2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)e_1 + \alpha_1 e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= (2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)e_1 + \alpha_1 e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_2 &= \alpha_2 e_2, \\ e_2 \circ e_1 &= \alpha_3 e_2 \end{cases}$$

The following cases arise from the action of  $Aut(A_{04})$  on this structure:

• If  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ , then - If  $2\alpha_3 - \alpha_2 \neq 0$ , choose  $\lambda_{11} = \alpha_2^{-1}$  and  $\lambda_{21} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_3)}$  obtaining the family:  $A_{15}^{\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= (2 - \alpha) e_1, e_1 \circ e_2 &= e_2, e_2 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_2. \end{cases}$ 

Note that here  $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$  follows from  $2\alpha_3 - \alpha_2 \neq 0$ .

- If  $2\alpha_3 - \alpha_2 = 0$ , choose  $\lambda_{11} = \alpha_2^{-1}$  to obtain the family:

$$A_{16}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \frac{3}{2}e_1 + \alpha e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_2 &= e_2, \\ e_2 \circ e_1 &= \frac{1}{2}e_2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, observe that  $A_{15}^{\frac{1}{2}} = A_{16}^{0}$ .

• If  $\alpha_2 = 0$ , then

- If 
$$\alpha_3 \neq 0$$
, choose  $\lambda_{11} = \alpha_3^{-1}$  and  $\lambda_{21} = -\frac{\alpha_1}{2\alpha_3^2}$  obtaining the algebra:

$$\mathbf{A}_{17} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= -e_1, e_2 \circ e_1 &= e_2. \end{cases}$$

– If  $\alpha_3 = 0$ , then we have the family:

$$\mathbf{A}_{18}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_2. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 57.** Let  $(A, \cdot, \circ)$  be a nonzero 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebra. Then A is isomorphic to one anti-pre-Lie algebra listed in Theorem 54 or to one algebra listed below:

Between these algebras, the only non-trivial isomorphism is  $A_{10}^{\alpha,\beta} \cong A_{10}^{\beta,\alpha}$ .

# 6.3. Degenerations of 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras.

**Lemma 58.** The graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations of the variety of 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras is given in Figure 5, where the numbers on the right side are the dimensions of the corresponding orbits.



Legend:

– Round nodes: anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras with trivial commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$ .

- Squared nodes: anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras with non-trivial commutative associative multiplication  $\cdot$ .

Figure 5. Graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations.

*Proof.* The dimensions of the orbits are deduced by computing the algebra of derivations. The primary degenerations are proven using the parametric bases included in following Table:

Degeneration		ation	Parametrized basis	
A <sub>01</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$A_{02}$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
A <sub>01</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$A_{03}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$A_{02}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{04}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$A_{03}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{04}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_1$
$A_{05}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{06}^{0}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$A_{05}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{08}^0$	$E_1(t) = te_2$	$E_2(t) = e_1 + e_2$
$\mathbf{A}_{06}^{\alpha \neq -1}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{04}$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + te_2$	$E_2(t) = -t(1+\alpha)e_1$
A <sub>07</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$A_{04}$	$E_1(t) = te_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_1$
A <sub>07</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$A_{06}^{-1}$	$E_1(t) = t^{-1}e_1$	$E_2(t) = e_2$

$\mathbf{A}_{08}^{\alpha\neq-2}$	$\rightarrow$	A <sub>04</sub>	$E_1(t) = e_1 + te_2$	$E_2(t) = -t^2(2+\alpha)e_2$
A <sub>09</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$A_{04}$	$E_1(t) = te_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_1$
A <sub>09</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$A_{08}^{-2}$	$E_1(t) = t^{-1}e_1$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$\mathbf{A}_{10}^{\alpha,\beta\neq\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	A <sub>04</sub>	$E_1(t) = te_1 + te_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2(\alpha - \beta)e_1$
$A_{10}^{\alpha,\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{12}^{\alpha,\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$A_{10}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\rightarrow$	$A^{\alpha}_{14}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$\mathbf{A}_{10}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\to {}^{\P}$	$\mathbf{A}_{18}^{\gamma}$	$E_1(t) = \frac{t(\beta - \gamma)}{\alpha - \gamma} e_1 + t e_2$	$E_2(t) = \frac{t^2(\alpha - \beta)}{\alpha - \gamma} e_2$
$A_{11}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{04}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$
$A_{11}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\rightarrow$	$\mathbf{A}_{18}^{\gamma \neq 2\beta - \alpha}$	$E_1(t) = t(\alpha - 2\beta + \gamma)e_1 + te_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2(\alpha - 2\beta + \gamma)e_2$
$A_{11}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{12}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$
$A_{12}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\rightarrow$	$\mathbf{A}_{18}^{2\beta-\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$A_{13}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{14}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$A_{13}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{03}$	$E_1(t) = t^2 e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$A_{13}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$\mathbf{A}_{18}^{\beta \neq \alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + t(\alpha - \beta)e_2$	$E_2(t) = -t^2(\alpha - \beta)e_2$
$A_{14}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{18}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = -te_2$
$A_{15}^1$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{02}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$
$A_{15}^0$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{06}^{-2}$	$E_1(t) = t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = -e_1$
$\mathbf{A}_{15}^{\alpha\neq1}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{08}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$	$E_1(t) = t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}e_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}e_2$
$A_{15}^{\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{18}^{\beta}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + \frac{t\beta}{2\alpha - 1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$
$A_{16}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{18}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$
$A_{16}^{\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{08}^{-2}$	$E_1(t) = t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = -2e_1$
A <sub>17</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$A_{18}^{\alpha \neq 0}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + \frac{t\alpha}{2}e_2$	$E_2(t) = -\frac{t^2}{\alpha}e_1 + \frac{t^2}{2}e_2$
A <sub>17</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$A_{18}^0$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$
A <sub>17</sub>	$\rightarrow$	$A_{08}^0$	$E_1(t) = t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = e_1$

The primary non-degenerations are proven using the sets from the following table:

Non-degeneration	Arguments
$A_{07} \not\rightarrow A_{08}^{-2}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{ij}^{\prime k} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} + 2c_{21}^{\prime 2} = c_{12}^{\prime 2}, 2c_{12}^{\prime 1} + c_{22}^{\prime 2} = c_{21}^{\prime 1} \right\}$
$A_{09} \not\rightarrow A_{06}^{-1}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{ij}^{\prime k} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} + 5c_{21}^{\prime 2} = 4c_{12}^{\prime 2}, 5c_{12}^{\prime 1} + c_{22}^{\prime 2} = 4c_{21}^{\prime 1} \right\}$
$\mathbf{A}^{\alpha}_{06} \not\rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{-1}_{06}, \mathbf{A}^{-2}_{08}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, -\alpha c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{12}^{\prime 2}, \\ -(\alpha + 1)c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, -\alpha c_{21}^{\prime 1} = c_{22}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$
$A_{08}^{\alpha} \not\to A_{06}^{-1}, A_{08}^{-2}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 1}, c_{22}^{\prime 1}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ (2\alpha + 1)c_{21}^{\prime 2} + c_{11}^{\prime 1} = 0, (\alpha - 1)c_{21}^{\prime 1} = \alpha c_{22}^{\prime 2}, \\ c_{12}^{\prime 1} + c_{22}^{\prime 2} = 2c_{21}^{\prime 1} \end{array} \right\}$
$A_{05} \not\to A_{02}, A_{03}, A_{06}^{\alpha \neq 0}, A_{08}^{\alpha \neq 0}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 1}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, \in \mathbb{C}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = -c_{11}^{\prime 1} \end{array} \right\}$
$A_{12}^{\alpha,\beta} \not\to A_{04}, A_{06}^{-1}, A_{08}^{-2}, A_{18}^{\gamma \neq 2\beta - \alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 1} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}^2 = c_{11}^1, c_{21}^2 = c_{11}^1, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{11}^1, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = \beta c_{11}^1, \\ c_{11}^{\prime 2} = -(\alpha - 2\beta)c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{11}^1(2\alpha - \beta) \end{array} \right\}$
$\mathbf{A}_{14}^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{04}, \mathbf{A}_{06}^{-1}, \mathbf{A}_{08}^{-2}, \mathbf{A}_{18}^{\beta \neq \alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{11}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = \alpha c_{11}^1, c_{11}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{11}^2 \right\}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>Here  $\alpha \neq \beta, \beta \neq \gamma$  and  $\alpha \neq \gamma$ .

$A_{16}^{\alpha} \not\to A_{04}, A_{06}^{-1}, A_{18}^{\beta \neq \alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^{2}, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{11}^{\prime 1} = \frac{3}{2}c_{12}^{\prime 2}, \\ c_{21}^{\prime 2} = \frac{1}{2}c_{12}^{\prime 2}, \alpha c_{11}^{2} = c_{11}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$
$ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{A}_{02}, \mathrm{A}_{03}, \mathrm{A}_{06}^{\gamma}, \mathrm{A}_{08}^{\gamma}, \\ \mathrm{A}_{10}^{\gamma, \delta} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{A}_{02}, \mathrm{A}_{03}, \mathrm{A}_{06}^{\gamma}, \mathrm{A}_{08}^{\gamma}, \\ \mathrm{A}_{12}^{\gamma, \delta} [\operatorname{Not}(\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \delta)], \\ \mathrm{A}_{14}^{\gamma} [\alpha \neq \gamma \text{ and } \beta \neq \gamma] \end{array} \right\} \end{array} $	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^1, c_{ij}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{ij}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for } 1 \le i, j \le 2\\ \alpha c_{11}^1 = c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, \beta c_{22}^2 = c_{22}^{\prime 2},\\ c_{12}^2 = c_{21}^2, \beta c_{12}^2 = c_{12}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$
$ \mathbf{A}_{10}^{\alpha,\alpha} \not\rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{A}_{04}, \mathbf{A}_{06}^{-1}, \mathbf{A}_{08}^{-2}, \mathbf{A}_{18}^{\beta\neq\alpha}, \\ \mathbf{A}_{12}^{\beta,\gamma}[\operatorname{Not}(\alpha=\beta=\gamma)] \end{array} \right\} $	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^1, c_{ij}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{ij}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\\ \alpha c_{11}^1 = c_{11}^{\prime 1}, \alpha c_{11}^2 = c_{11}^{\prime 2}, \alpha c_{12}^2 = c_{12}^{\prime 2},\\ \alpha c_{21}^2 = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, \alpha c_{22}^2 = c_{22}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^2 = c_{21}^2 \end{array} \right\}$
$ \mathbf{A}_{11}^{\alpha,\beta} \not\rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{A}_{02}, \mathbf{A}_{03}, \mathbf{A}_{06}^{\gamma}, \mathbf{A}_{14}^{\gamma}, \mathbf{A}_{08}^{\gamma}, \\ \mathbf{A}_{12}^{\gamma,\delta}[\operatorname{Not}(\alpha = \gamma \text{ and } \beta = \delta)] \end{array} \right\} $	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{2}, c_{12}^{2}, c_{21}^{2}, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{11}^{1} = c_{12}^{2}, c_{21}^{2} = c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{11}^{1}(2\alpha - \beta), \\ c_{12}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{11}^{1}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = \beta c_{11}^{1} \end{array} \right\}$
$\mathbf{A}_{13}^{\alpha} \not\rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{02}, \mathbf{A}_{06}^{\beta}, \mathbf{A}_{08}^{\beta}, \mathbf{A}_{12}^{\beta,\gamma}, \mathbf{A}_{14}^{\beta\neq\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, \alpha c_{11}^1 = c_{11}^{\prime 1} \end{array} \right\}$
$\begin{bmatrix} A_{02}[\alpha \neq 1], A_{03}, \\ A_{15}^{\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}} & \not \rightarrow & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_{06}^{\beta}[\alpha \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta \neq -2], \\ A_{08}^{\beta \neq \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}}, A_{08}^{0}, A_{12}^{\beta, \gamma}, A_{14}^{\beta} \end{array} \right\}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{11}^{\prime 1} = (2 - \alpha)c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} = \alpha c_{12}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$
$A_{17} \not\rightarrow A_{02}, A_{03}, A_{06}^{\alpha}, A_{08}^{\alpha \neq 0}, A_{12}^{\alpha, \beta}, A_{14}^{\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^1, c_{21}'^2, c_{21}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{21}'^2 = -c_{11}'^1 \end{array} \right\}$
	Γ

At this point, only the description of the closures of the orbits of the parametric families is missing. Although it is not necessary to study the closure of the orbits of each of the parametric families of the variety of 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras in order to identify its irreducible components, we will study them to give a complete description of the variety.

**Lemma 59.** The description of the closure of the orbit of the parametric families in the variety of 2dimensional anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras are given below:

${O(A_{06}^*)}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{\overline{\{O(A_{03})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{04})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{07})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{07})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{08}^{-1})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}}\right\}$
${O(A_{08}^*)}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{\overline{\{O(A_{02})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{04})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{06}^{-2})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{09})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}}\right\}$
${O(A_{10}^*)}$	⊇	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\overline{\{O(A_{01})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{02})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{02})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{03})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{04})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{11}^{\alpha})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{12}^{\alpha,\alpha})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{12}^$
$\overline{\{O(\mathbf{A}_{11}^*)\}}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \overline{\{O(A_{02})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{04})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{04})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{08})\}}^1, \overline{\{O(A_{09})\}}^1, \overline{\{O(A_{12})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{12})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{12})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{12})\}}, \overline{\{O(C^8)\}} \end{array} \right\}$
$\overline{\{O(\mathbf{A}_{12}^*)\}}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \overline{\{O(A_{02})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{04})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{04})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{08})\}}^1, \overline{\{O(A_{09})\}}, \\ \overline{\{O(A_{16})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{18})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}}^1, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}} \end{array}\right\}$
$\overline{\{O(\mathbf{A}_{13}^*)\}}$	⊇	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\{O(A_{01})\}, \{O(A_{02})\}, \{O(A_{03})\}, \{O(A_{04})\}, \{O(A_{04})\}, \{O(A_{04})\}, \{O(A_{14})\}, \{O(A_{15})\}, \{O(A_{18}^{\alpha})\}, \{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}, \\ \end{array} \right\}$
$\{O(A_{14}^*)\}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{\overline{\{O(A_{03})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{04})\}}, \overline{\{O(A_{18})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}}\right\}$
${O(A_{15}^*)}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\overline{\{O(A_{02})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{04})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{04})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{08})\}}^1,\overline{\{O(A_{09})\}}^1,\overline{\{O(A_{09})\}},\\\overline{\{O(A_{16})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{17})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{17})\}},\overline{\{O(A_{18})\}},\overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}}\end{array}\right\}$
${O(A_{16}^*)}$	$\supseteq$	$\left\{\overline{\{O(\mathcal{A}_{04})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathcal{A}_{08}^{-2})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathcal{A}_{09})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathcal{A}_{18}^{\alpha})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}}\right\}$

$$\overline{\{O(\mathcal{A}_{18}^*)\}} \supseteq \left\{\overline{\{O(\mathcal{A}_{04})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}}\right\}$$

<sup>1</sup> Note that  $A_{08}^{-1} \cong A_{06}^{-2}$ .

*Proof.* Thanks to Theorem 58 we have all necessary degenerations between algebras. All necessarily the closure of the orbits of suitable families are given using the parametric bases and the parametric index included in following Table:

Degeneration			Parametrized basis	
$A_{06}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	A <sub>03</sub>	$E_1(t) = te_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$A_{06}^{t-1}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{07}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = -t^{-1}e_1 + e_2$
$A_{08}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{02}$	$E_1(t) = te_2$	$E_2(t) = e_1$
$A_{08}^{t-2}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{09}$	$E_1(t) = -te_2$	$E_2(t) = e_1 + e_2$
$A_{10}^{t^{-1},t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{01}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$A_{10}^{\frac{t^{-1}}{2},t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	${\rm A}^{1}_{15}$	$E_1(t) = 2te_1 + te_2$	$E_2(t) = -t^2 e_2$
$A_{10}^{\alpha,t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$\mathbf{A}^{\alpha}_{13}$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$\mathbf{A}_{10}^{\alpha,t+\alpha}$	$\rightarrow$	$\mathbf{A}_{11}^{\alpha,\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = e_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$A_{11}^{0,t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{17}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 - \frac{t^2}{2}e_2$	$E_2(t) = -\frac{t^3}{2}e_2$
$A_{11}^{-\frac{t^{-1}}{\sqrt{1-2\alpha}},-\frac{t^{-1}\alpha}{\sqrt{1-2\alpha}}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{15}^{\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}}$	$E_1(t) = -t\sqrt{1 - 2\alpha}e_1 + t^2e_2$	$E_2(t) = -t^3\sqrt{1-2\alpha}e_2$
$A_{11}^{t^{-1},t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{02}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$\mathbf{A}_{11}^{-2t^{-1},-t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{09}$	$E_1(t) = t^2 e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1$
$A_{11}^{t^{-1}, \frac{t^{-1}}{2}}$	$\rightarrow$	$\mathbf{A}_{16}^{\alpha\neq0}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + \frac{t}{\alpha}e_2$	$E_2(t) = \frac{t^2}{\alpha} e_2$
$A_{11}^{t^{-1}, \frac{t^{-1}}{2}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{16}^{0}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_2$
$A_{12}^{t^{-1},t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{02}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$A_{12}^{-2t^{-2},-(1+t)t^{-2}}$	$\rightarrow$	A <sub>09</sub>	$E_1(t) = t^3 e_1 - t e_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_1 + e_2$
$\mathbf{A}_{12}^{t^{-1},\frac{(1+t\alpha)t^{-1}}{2}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A^{\alpha}_{16}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = -\frac{t^2}{2}e_1 + \frac{t}{2}e_2$
$\mathbf{A}_{12}^{\alpha t^{-1},(1+\alpha)t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A^{\alpha}_{08}$	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$E_2(t) = te_1 + te_2$
$A_{13}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	A <sub>01</sub>	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$A_{13}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{15}^1$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + e_2$	$E_2(t) = -te_2$
$A_{14}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{03}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = e_2$
$A_{15}^{2+t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{17}$	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$
$A_{15}^{\frac{1}{2}+t}$	$\rightarrow$	A <sub>09</sub>	$E_1(t) = t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = -2e_1 - \frac{t^{-2}}{4}e_2$
$A_{15}^{2-\frac{3}{2(1+t)}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{16}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = (1+t)e_1 + \frac{(1+t)^2 t^{-1}\alpha}{3}e_2$	$E_2(t) = (1+t)^2 e_2$
$A_{15}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	$A_{08}^0$	$E_1(t) = e_2$	$\overline{E_2(t)} = te_1$
$A_{16}^{t^{-1}}$	$\rightarrow$	A <sub>09</sub>	$E_1(t) = 4t^{-1}e_2$	$E_2(t) = -2\overline{e_1}$
$A_{18}^{t-2}$	$\rightarrow$	A <sub>04</sub>	$E_1(t) = te_1$	$E_2(t) = e_2$

$A_{18}^* \not\rightarrow A_{06}^{\alpha}, A_{08}^{\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{ij}^k = c_{ij}'^k = 0 \text{ otherwise } \right\}$
$A_{06}^* \not\rightarrow A_{02}, A_{08}^{\alpha \neq -1}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 1}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} c_{21}^{\prime 1} = c_{11}^{\prime 1} c_{22}^{\prime 2}, \\ c_{12}^{\prime 2} c_{21}^{\prime 2} = c_{11}^{\prime 2} c_{22}^{\prime 2}, c_{11}^{\prime 2} c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{11}^{\prime 1} c_{21}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$
$\mathbf{A}_{08}^* \not\rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{03}, \mathbf{A}_{06}^{\alpha \neq -2}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}'^{l1}, c_{12}'^{l2}, c_{12}'^{l2}, c_{21}'^{l2}, c_{22}'^{l2}, c_{22}'^{l2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}'^{l1} + c_{22}'^{l2} = 2c_{21}'^{l1}, c_{12}'^{l2} = 2c_{21}'^{l2}, \\ (c_{11}'^{l1})^2 - 9(c_{21}'^{l2})^2 + 4c_{11}'^{l2}c_{22}'^{l2} = 0, \\ c_{11}'^{l1}c_{12}'^{l1} + 6c_{21}'^{l1}c_{21}'^{l2} - c_{11}'^{l1}c_{22}'^{l2} - 2c_{21}'^{l2}c_{22}'^{l2} = 0 \end{array} \right\}$
$A_{14}^* \not\rightarrow A_{02}, A_{06}^{\alpha}, A_{08}^{\alpha}, A_{12}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{11}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{11}^2 c_{11}^{\prime 1} = c_{11}^1 c_{11}^{\prime 2} \right\}$
$\begin{bmatrix} A_{16}^{*} \not\to & A_{02}, A_{03}, A_{06}^{\alpha}, \\ A_{08}^{\alpha \neq -2}, A_{12}^{\alpha, \beta}, A_{14}^{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^1, c_{12}'^2, c_{21}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, 2c_{11}'^1 = 3c_{12}'^2, 2c_{21}'^2 = c_{12}'^2 \end{array} \right\}$
$\begin{bmatrix} A_{12}^* \not\to & A_{03}, A_{06}^{\alpha \neq -2}, A_{11}^{\alpha, \beta}, \\ & A_{14}^{\alpha}, A_{15}^{\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}}, A_{17} \end{bmatrix}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{2}, c_{12}^{2}, c_{21}^{2}, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}^{2} = c_{11}^{1}, c_{21}^{2} = c_{11}^{1}, c_{11}^{2} (c_{12}^{\prime 2} - 2c_{21}^{\prime 2}) = -c_{11}^{1} c_{11}^{\prime 2}, \\ 2c_{12}^{\prime 2} - c_{21}^{\prime 2} = c_{11}^{\prime 1} \end{array} \right\}$
$A_{13}^* \not\rightarrow A_{06}^{\alpha}, A_{08}^{\alpha}, A_{10}^{\alpha,\beta}, A_{12}^{\alpha,\beta}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{11}'^1, c_{12}'^2, c_{21}'^2, c_{22}'^2 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{12}'^2 = c_{21}'^2 \right\}$
$A_{15}^* \not\rightarrow A_{03}, A_{06}^{\alpha \neq -2}, A_{12}^{\alpha, \beta}, A_{14}^{\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ c_{11}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, 2c_{12}^{\prime 2} - c_{21}^{\prime 2} = c_{11}^{\prime 1} \right\}$
$A_{10}^* \not\rightarrow A_{06}^{\alpha}, A_{08}^{\alpha}, A_{12}^{\alpha,\beta \neq \alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{22}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{12}^2 = c_{21}^2, c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{21}^{\prime 2}, c_{22}^{\prime 2} c_{12}^{\prime 2} = c_{12}^{\prime 2} c_{22}^{\prime 2} \end{array} \right\}$
$A_{11}^* \not\rightarrow A_{03}, A_{06}^{\alpha \neq -2}, A_{14}^{\alpha}$	$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{11}^1, c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2, c_{11}^{\prime 1}, c_{11}^{\prime 2}, c_{12}^{\prime 2}, c_{21}^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c_{11}^1 = c_{12}^2, c_{21}^2 = c_{11}^1, 2c_{12}^{\prime 2} - c_{21}^{\prime 2} = c_{11}^{\prime 1} \end{array} \right\}$

By Lemma 58 and Lemma 59, it follows the geometric classification.

**Theorem 60.** The variety of 2-dimensional anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras has three irreducible components, corresponding to the anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebra  $A_{05}$  and the families of anti-pre-Lie Poisson algebras  $A_{10}^*$  and  $A_{11}^*$ .

# 7. PRE-POISSON ALGEBRAS

Pre-Poisson algebras first appeared in [6] and have many applications in other areas of mathematics (see, for example, the citations of [6]). The operad of pre-Poisson algebras is isomorphic to the Manin black product of the Poisson operad with the preLie operad [55].

## 7.1. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Zinbiel algebras.

Definition 61. An algebra is called a (left) Zinbiel algebra if it satisfies the identity

$$x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (y \cdot x + x \cdot y) \cdot z.$$

The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional Zinbiel algebras can be obtained by direct verification from [44].

**Theorem 62.** Let A be a nonzero 2-dimensional Zinbiel algebra. Then A is isomorphic to the following algebra:

$$\mathbf{Z}_1 : e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2.$$

## 7.2. The algebraic classification of 2-dimensional pre-Poisson algebras.

**Definition 63.** A pre-Poisson algebra is a vector space equipped with a (left) Zinbiel multiplication  $\cdot$  and a (left) Pre-Lie multiplication  $\circ$ . These two operations are required to satisfy the following identities:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (x\circ y-y\circ x)\cdot z &=& x\circ (y\cdot z)-y\cdot (x\circ z);\\ (x\cdot y+y\cdot x)\circ z &=& x\cdot (y\circ z)+y\cdot (x\circ z). \end{array}$$

Pre-Poisson algebras  $(P, \cdot, \circ)$  with zero Zinbiel multiplication are isomorphic to pre-Lie algebras given in Theorem 46. Hence, we are studying pre-Poisson algebras defined on the non-trivial Zinbiel algebra from Theorem 62.

**Definition 64.** Let  $(A, \cdot)$  be a Zinbiel algebra. Define  $Z^2_{PP}(A, A)$  to be the set of all bilinear maps  $\theta$  :  $A \times A \longrightarrow A$  such that:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta\left(\theta\left(x,y\right),z\right)-\theta\left(x,\theta\left(y,z\right)\right) &=& \theta\left(\theta\left(y,x\right),z\right)-\theta\left(y,\theta\left(x,z\right)\right),\\ \left(\theta(x,y)-\theta(y,x)\right)\cdot z &=& \theta(x,y\cdot z)-y\cdot\theta(x,z),\\ \theta(x\cdot y+y\cdot x,z) &=& x\cdot\theta(y,z)+y\cdot\theta(x,z). \end{array}$$

If  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{PP}^{2}(A, A)$ , then  $(A, \cdot, \circ)$  is a pre-Poisson algebra where  $x \circ y = \theta(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

7.2.1. *Pre-Poisson algebras defined on*  $Z_1$ . From the computation of  $Z_{PP}^2(Z_1, Z_1)$ , the pre-Poisson structures defined on  $Z_1$  are of the form:

$$\begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \beta e_1 + \alpha e_2, e_1 \circ e_2 &= \beta e_2, e_2 \circ e_1 &= \beta e_2 \end{cases}$$

If  $\beta = 0$ , we obtain the family:

$$\mathbf{P}_{09}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= \alpha e_2 \end{cases}$$

If  $\beta \neq 0$ , we get the algebra:

$$\mathbf{P}_{10} := \begin{cases} e_1 \cdot e_1 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \circ e_1 &= e_1, e_1 \circ e_2 &= e_2, e_2 \circ e_1 &= e_2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have  $P_{09}^{\alpha} \cong P_{09}^{\beta}$  if and only if  $\alpha = \beta$ .

**Theorem 65.** Let  $(P, \cdot, \circ)$  be a nonzero 2-dimensional pre-Poisson algebra. Then P is isomorphic to one pre-Lie algebra listed in Theorem 46 or to one algebra listed below:

## 7.3. Degeneration of 2-dimensional pre-Poisson algebras.

**Theorem 66.** The graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations of the variety of 2-dimensional pre-Poisson algebras is given in Figure 3, where the numbers on the right side are the dimensions of the corresponding orbits.



Figure 3. Graph of primary degenerations and non-degenerations.

*Proof.* The dimensions of the orbits are deduced by computing the algebra of derivations. The primary degenerations are proven using the parametric bases included in the following Table:

Degeneration	Parametrized basis	
$P_{10} \rightarrow P_{09}^{\alpha}$	$E_1(t) = te_1 + \alpha te_2$	$E_2(t) = t^2 e_2$
$P_{10} \rightarrow P_{06}^1$	$E_1(t) = e_1$	$E_2(t) = t^{-1}e_2$

The primary non-degenerations are following from Theorem 50 and the following observations:  $(P_{10}, \circ)$  is commutative, but  $(P_{04}, \circ), (P_{05}^{\alpha}, \circ)$  and  $(P_{06}^{\alpha \neq 1}, \circ)$  are non-commutative.

At this point, only the description of the closures of the orbits of the parametric families is missing. Although it is not necessary to study the closure of the orbits of each of the parametric families of the variety of 2-dimensional pre-Poisson algebras in order to identify its irreducible components, we will study them to give a complete description of the variety. The next corollary follows from Corollary 51 and Theorem 66.

**Corollary 67.** The description of the closure of the orbit of the parametric families in the variety of 2dimensional pre-Poisson algebras.

$$\frac{\overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{05}^*)\}}}{\overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{06}^*)\}}} \supseteq \left\{ \frac{\overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{04})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{03})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{02})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}} \right\}}{\overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{06}^*)\}}} \supseteq \left\{ \frac{\overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{04})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{03})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{03})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{01})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}} \right\}}{\overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{09}^*)\}}} \supseteq \left\{ \overline{\{O(\mathbf{P}_{03})\}}, \overline{\{O(\mathbb{C}^8)\}} \right\}$$

The geometric classification of 2-dimensional pre-Poisson algebras follows by Theorem 66 and Corollary 67.

**Theorem 68.** The variety of 2-dimensional pre-Poisson algebras has five irreducible components, corresponding to the rigid algebras  $P_{07}$ ,  $P_{08}$  and  $P_{10}$ , and the families of algebras  $P_{05}^*$  and  $P_{06}^*$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abdelwahab H., Barreiro E., Calderón A.J., Fernández Ouaridi A., The classification of nilpotent Lie-Yamaguti algebras, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 654 (2022), 339–378.
- [2] Abdelwahab H., Barreiro E., Calderón A.J., Fernández Ouaridi A., The algebraic and geometric classification of nilpotent Lie triple systems up to dimension four, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas, 117 (2023), 1, 11.
- [3] Abdelwahab H., Barreiro E., Calderón A.J., Fernández Ouaridi A., The algebraic classification and degenerations of nilpotent Poisson algebras, Journal of Algebra, 615 (2023), 243–277.
- [4] Abdelwahab H., Calderón A.J., Kaygorodov I., The algebraic and geometric classification of nilpotent binary Lie algebras, International Journal of Algebra and Computation, 29 (2019), 6, 1113–1129.
- [5] Abdelwahab H., Fernández Ouaridi A., Martín González C., Degenerations of Poisson algebras, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, 2023, DOI: 10.1142/S0219498825500872
- [6] Aguiar M., Pre-Poisson algebras, Letters in Mathematical Physics, 54 (2000), 4, 263–277.
- [7] Alvarez M.A., Degenerations of 8-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras, Algebras and Representation Theory, 24 (2021), 5, 1231–1243.
- [8] Alvarez M., Fehlberg Júnior R., Kaygorodov I., The algebraic and geometric classification of Zinbiel algebras, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 226 (2022), 11, 107106.
- [9] Alvarez M.A., Hernández I., Varieties of Nilpotent Lie Superalgebras of dimension  $\leq 5$ , Forum Mathematicum, 32 (2020), 3, 641–661.
- [10] Alvarez M.A., Kaygorodov I., The algebraic and geometric classification of nilpotent weakly associative and symmetric Leibniz algebras, Journal of Algebra, 588 (2021), 278–314.
- [11] Alvarez M.A., Vera S., On rigid 3-dimensional Hom-Lie algebras, Journal of Algebra, 588 (2021), 166–188.
- [12] Bai C., Meng D., The classification of Novikov algebras in low dimensions, Journal of Physics A, 34 (2001), 8, 1581–1594.
- [13] Bai C., Bai R., Guo L., Wu Y., Transposed Poisson algebras, Novikov–Poisson algebras, and 3-Lie algebras, Journal of Algebra, 632 (2023), 535–566.
- [14] Bai C., Liu G., Anti-pre-Lie algebras, Novikov algebras and commutative 2-cocycles on Lie algebras, Journal of Algebra, 609 (2022), 337–379.
- [15] Beites P., Fernández Ouaridi A., Kaygorodov I., The algebraic and geometric classification of transposed Poisson algebras, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas, 117 (2023), 2, 55.
- [16] Beites P., Ferreira B. L. M., Kaygorodov I., Transposed Poisson structures, Results in Mathematics, 79 (2024), 2, 93.
- [17] Beneš T., Burde D., Degenerations of pre-Lie algebras, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 50 (2009), 11, 112102.
- [18] Bokut L., Chen Yu., Zhang Z., On free Gelfand-Dorfman-Novikov–Poisson algebras and a PBW theorem, Journal of Algebra, 500 (2018), 153–170.
- [19] Burde D., Steinhoff C., Classification of orbit closures of 4-dimensional complex Lie algebras. Journal of Algebra, 214 (1999), 2, 729–739.
- [20] Cabrera Casado Yo., Siles Molina M., Velasco M., Classification of three-dimensional evolution algebras, Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 524 (2017), 68–108.
- [21] Camacho L., Kaygorodov I., Lopatkin V., Salim M., The variety of dual Mock-Lie algebras, Communications in Mathematics, 28 (2020), 2, 161–178.
- [22] Casas J., Datuashvili T., Noncommutative Leibniz-Poisson algebras, Communications in Algebra, 34 (2006), 7, 2507-2530.
- [23] Casas J., Pirashvili T., Algebras with bracket, Manuscripta Mathematica, 119 (2006), 1, 1–15.
- [24] Chouhy S., On geometric degenerations and Gerstenhaber formal deformations, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 51 (2019), 5, 787–797.
- [25] Cibils C., 2-nilpotent and rigid finite-dimensional algebras, Journal of the London Mathematical Society (2), 36 (1987), 2, 211–218.

- [26] Darpö E., Rochdi A., Classification of the four-dimensional power-commutative real division algebras, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, Section A, 141 (2011), 6, 1207–1223.
- [27] Dieterich E., Öhman J., On the classification of 4-dimensional quadratic division algebras over square-ordered fields, Journal of the London Mathematical Society (2), 65 (2002), 2, 285–302.
- [28] Dotsenko V., Algebraic structures of *F*-manifolds via pre-Lie algebras, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (4), 198 (2019), 2, 517–527
- [29] Fernández Ouaridi A., Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Volkov Yu., Degenerations of nilpotent algebras, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 226 (2022), 3, 106850.
- [30] Foissy L., The Hopf algebra of Fliess operators and its dual pre-Lie algebra, Communications in Algebra, 43 (2015), 10, 4528–4552.
- [31] Foissy L., A pre-Lie algebra associated to a linear endomorphism and related algebraic structures, European Journal of Mathematics, 1 (2015), 1, 78–121.
- [32] Gabriel P., Finite representation type is open, Proceedings of the International Conference on Representations of Algebras (Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1974), pp. 132–155.
- [33] Gerstenhaber M., On the deformation of rings and algebras, Annals of Mathematics (2), 79 (1964), 59–103.
- [34] Grunewald F., O'Halloran J., Varieties of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension less than six, Journal of Algebra, 112 (1988), 315–325.
- [35] Grunewald F., O'Halloran J., A Characterization of orbit closure and applications, Journal of Algebra, 116 (1988), 163– 175.
- [36] Ignatyev M., Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu., The geometric classification of 2-step nilpotent algebras and applications, Revista Matemática Complutense, 35 (2022), 3, 907–922.
- [37] Ismailov N., Kaygorodov I., Volkov Yu., The geometric classification of Leibniz algebras, International Journal of Mathematics, 29 (2018), 5, 1850035.
- [38] Kaygorodov I., Non-associative algebraic structures: classification and structure, Communications in Mathematics, 32 (2024), 3, 1–62.
- [39] Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Lopes S., The algebraic and geometric classification of nilpotent anticommutative algebras, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 224 (2020), 8, 106337.
- [40] Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Lopes S., The geometric classification of nilpotent algebras, Journal of Algebra, 633 (2023), 857–886.
- [41] Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Popov Yu., The algebraic and geometric classification of nilpotent terminal algebras, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 225 (2021), 6, 106625.
- [42] Kaygorodov I., Shestakov I., Umirbaev U., Free generic Poisson fields and algebras, Communications in Algebra, 46 (2018), 4, 1799–1812.
- [43] Kaygorodov I., Volkov Yu., Degenerations of Filippov algebras, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 61 (2020), 2, 021701.
- [44] Kaygorodov I., Volkov Yu., The variety of 2-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 71 (2019), 4, 819–842.
- [45] Kobayashi Yu., Shirayanagi K., Takahasi S.-Ei., Tsukada M., Classification of three-dimensional zeropotent algebras over an algebraically closed field, Communications in Algebra, 45 (2017), 12, 5037–5052.
- [46] Liu J., Sheng Yu., Bai C., *F*-manifold algebras and deformation quantization via pre-Lie algebras, Journal of Algebra, 559 (2020), 467–495.
- [47] Lopes S., Noncommutative Algebra and Representation Theory: Symmetry, Structure & Invariants, Communications in Mathematics, 32 (2024), 3, 63–117.
- [48] Maletesta N., Siciliano S., Five-dimensional *p*-nilpotent restricted Lie algebras over algebraically closed fields of characteristic p > 3, Journal of Algebra, 634 (2023), 755–789.
- [49] Mansuy A., Preordered forests, packed words and contraction algebras, Journal of Algebra, 411 (2014), 259–311.
- [50] Mammez C., On the combinatorics of the Hopf algebra of dissection diagrams, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, 51 (2020), 4, 479–526.
- [51] Petersson H., The classification of two-dimensional nonassociative algebras, Results in Mathematics, 37 (2000), 1–2, 120–154.
- [52] Ratseev S., Growth of some varieties of Leibniz–Poisson algebras, Serdica Mathematical Journal, 37 (2011), 4, 331-340.
- [53] Sartayev B., Some generalizations of the variety of transposed Poisson algebras, Communications in Mathematics, 32 (2024), 2, 55–62.
- [54] Seeley C., Degenerations of 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras over C, Communications in Algebra, 18 (1990), 3493–3505.

- [55] Uchino K., Derived bracket construction and Manin products, Letters in Mathematical Physics, 93 (2010), 1, 37–53.
- [56] Volkov Yu., n-ary algebras of the first level, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 19 (2022), 1, 2.
- [57] Volkov Yu., Anticommutative algebras of the third level, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 662 (2023), 18–38.
- [58] Xu X., Novikov–Poisson algebras, Journal of Algebra, 190 (1997), 2, 253–279.
- [59] Xu X., On simple Novikov algebras and their irreducible modules, Journal of Algebra, 185 (1996), 3, 905–934.
- [60] Zakharov A., Novikov–Poisson algebras of low dimensions. (Russian), SEMR, 12 (2015), 381–393.