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#### Abstract

In this paper, we employ a novel second and third-order differential subordination technique to establish the sufficient conditions for functions to belong to the classes $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\rho}^{*}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$ is the set of all normalized analytic functions $f$ satisfying $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \prec 1+\sin z$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\rho}^{*}$ is the set of all normalized analytic functions $f$ satisfying $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$.


## 1. Introduction

Consider the class $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, comprising analytic functions defined on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:$ $|z|<1\}$. For any positive integer $n$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$
\mathcal{H}[a, n]:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}: f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+a_{n+2} z^{n+2}+\ldots\right\} .
$$

We assume that $\mathcal{A}:=\mathcal{A}_{1}$ represents the class containing normalized analytic functions defined on $\mathbb{D}$ in the following format:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}: f(z)=z+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+a_{n+2} z^{n+2}+\ldots\right\} .
$$

Additionally, we define $\mathcal{S}$ as a subset of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of univalent functions, and $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ as a subset of $\mathcal{S}$ containing all starlike functions. A starlike function's analytic characterization is given by $\operatorname{Re}\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Now, considering two functions $f$ and $g$, both belonging to the class $\mathcal{A}$, we define the subordination relation as $f \prec g$ if there exists a Schwarz function $w$ that fulfills the conditions: $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)| \leq|z|$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$. Furthermore, if $g$ is univalent, the subordination $f \prec g$ holds if and only if $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{D})$.

Ma and Minda [12] introduced the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$, where $\varphi$ is an analytic univalent function with properties: $\operatorname{Re} \varphi(z)>0, \varphi(\mathbb{D})$ is symmetric about the real axis and starlike with respect to $\varphi(0)=1$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(0)>0$. This function $\varphi$ is referred to as the Ma-Minda function. The class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z)\right\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Researchers came across a number of interesting subclasses of $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ for the proper selections of the function $\varphi(z)$ in (1.1). We enlist below some of these subclasses in Table 1. In 2019, Cho et al. [3] introduced the class $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$ by choosing $\varphi(z)=1+\sin z$ and studied its radius problems. Within this context, a function $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$ if the ratio $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ resides within $\Omega_{s}:=$ $\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\arcsin (w-1)|<1\}$, which is an eight-shaped domain situated in the right half-plane. Similarly, in 2022, Arora and Kumar [2 introduced the class $\mathcal{S}_{\rho}^{*}$ for $\varphi(z)=1+\sinh ^{-1} z$. In this setting, a function $f$ is categorized as a member of $\mathcal{S}_{\rho}^{*}$ if the quotient $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ resides within $\Omega_{\rho}:=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\sinh (w-1)|<1\}$, a petal-shaped region.

The study of differential subordination is a more generalized version of differential inequalities on the real line to the complex plane. Miller and Mocanu 15 published their monograph

Table 1. List of subclasses of $\mathcal{S}^{*}$

| $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ | $\varphi(z)$ | Author(s) | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{S}^{*}[C, D]$ | $(1+C z) /(1+D z)$ | Janowski | $[6$ |
| $\mathcal{S}_{S G}^{*}$ | $2 /\left(1+e^{-z}\right)$ | Goel and Kumar | 4 |
| $\mathcal{S}_{e}^{*}$ | $1+z e^{z}$ | Kumar and Kamaljeet | 10 |
| $\mathcal{S}_{e}^{*}$ | $e^{z}$ | Mendiratta et al. | 14 |
| $\mathcal{S}_{q}^{*}$ | $z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}$ | Raina and Sokół | 18 |
| $\mathcal{S}_{L}^{*}$ | $\sqrt{1+z}$ | Sokół and Stankiewicz | 20 |

titled "Differential subordination and univalent functions". Using the concept of admissibility conditions as mentioned in [15], Saliu et al. [19] dealt with first and second-order differential implication for $p(z) \prec(1+z)^{\alpha}$ where $\alpha \in(0,1]$. Similarly, Mushtaq et al. 16 obtained the conditions on $\beta$ so that the first-order differential subordinations like $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{j}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+c z}$ and $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{j}(z) \prec 1+\sqrt{2} z+z^{2} / 2$ implies $p(z) \prec e^{z}$ for $j=0,1$ and 2. Furthermore, Naz et al. [17] and Goel and Kumar [4] have successfully deduced numerous first-order subordination implications employing admissible functions for the exponential and sigmoid functions, respectively. Also, Madaan et al. [13] established a series of first and second-order differential subordination implications for the lemniscate of Bernoulli, employing admissibility conditions. For a comprehensive exploration of the most recent advancements in this direction, one may see [8, 9, 11, 21]. Hence, drawing inspiration from the aforementioned considerations, this article distinctly directs its attention towards investigating second and third-order subordination implications for the class of starlike functions pertinent to the eight-shaped domain and second-order subordination implications for the class of starlike functions pertinent to the petal-shaped domain in Sections 2 and 3 , respectively. Subsequently, we introduce fundamental definitions and notations relevant to differential subordination.

Definition 1.1. (1) Let $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z): \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $h(z)$ be a univalent function in $\mathbb{D}$, if $p$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying the third-order differential subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z), z^{3} p^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \prec h(z) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p$ is called the solution of the differential subordination. The univalent function $q$ is said to be a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination if $p \prec q$ for all $p$ satisfying (1.2). A dominant $\bar{q}$ that satisfies $\bar{q} \prec q$ for all dominants $q$ of $(1.2)$ is said to be the best dominant of (1.2), which is unique upto the rotations of $\mathbb{D}$.

Moreover, suppose $Q$ be the set of analytic and univalent functions $q \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash \mathbb{E}(q)$, where

$$
\mathbb{E}(q)=\left\{\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D}: \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} q(z)=\infty\right\}
$$

such that $q^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{E}(q)$. The subclass of $Q$ for which $q(0)=a$ is denoted by $Q(a)$.
Lemma 1.2. [1] Let $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ and $r_{0}=\left|z_{0}\right|$. Let $f(z)=\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ be continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r_{0}}$ and analytic on $\mathbb{D} \cup\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ with $f(z) \neq 0$ and $n \geq 2$. If $\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\max \left\{|f(z)|: z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r_{0}}\right\}$ and $\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\max \left\{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|: z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r_{0}}\right\}$, then there exist real constants $m, k$ and $l$ such that

$$
\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}=m, \quad 1+\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}=k \quad \text { and } \quad 2+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right)=l
$$

where $l \geq k \geq m \geq n \geq 2$.
Lemma 1.3. [15, Theorem 2.3b] Let $\phi \in \Psi_{n}[\Omega, q]$ with $q(0)=a$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ satisfies

$$
\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega
$$

then $p \prec q$.
Note that if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then there exists a conformal mapping $h$ from $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\Omega=h(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, if the function $\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, then $\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega$ can be expressed in terms of subordination as

$$
\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \prec h(z) .
$$

For the Ma-Minda functions to satisfy the criteria for third-order differential subordination, Kumar and Goel [9] modified the results of Antonino and Miller [1] in 2020. Moreover, they derived the first, second and third-order differential subordination implications for the class $\mathcal{S}_{S G}^{*}$, using these modified results through admissibility conditions. Recently, Verma and Kumar 21 have obtained results pertaining to second and third-order differential subordination for the class $\mathcal{S}_{e}^{*}$. Thus, this study is motivated to establish parameter-specific conditions enabling the validity of second and third-order subordination implications for the class $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$, as well as second-order subordination implications for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\rho}^{*}$.

The modified definition and lemma of the third-order differential subordination implication by Kumar and Goel [9], accompanied by some lemmas are presented below, which are required to deduce our results in the subsequent sections.
Definition 1.4. 9 Let $\Omega$ be a set in $\mathbb{C}, q \in Q$ and $k \geq m \geq n \geq 2$. The class of admissible operators $\Psi_{n}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the admissibility conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega \quad \text { whenever } \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \\
r=q(\zeta), \quad s=m \zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta), \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{t}{s}\right) \geq m\left(1+\operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{u}{s} \geq m^{2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta^{2} q^{\prime \prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta}+3 m(k-1) \operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)} \quad \text { for } \quad \zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{E}(q)
$$

Lemma 1.5. [9] Let $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ with $m \geq n \geq 2$, and let $q \in Q(a)$ such that it satisfies

$$
\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right| \leq m \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \quad \text { and } \quad \zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{E}(q)
$$

If $\Omega$ is a set in $\mathbb{C}, \phi \in \Psi_{n}[\Omega, a]$ and

$$
\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z), z^{3} p^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \subset \Omega
$$

then $p \prec q$.
Lemma 1.6. [4] Let $r_{0} \approx 0.546302$ be the positive root of the equation $r^{2}+2 \cot (1) r-1=0$. Then

$$
\left|\log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)\right| \geq 1 \quad \text { on } \quad|z|=R \quad \text { if and only if } \quad R \geq r_{0}
$$

Lemma 1.7. [9, Lemma 4, Pg No. 192] For any complex number z, we have

$$
|\log (1+z)| \geq 1 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad|z| \geq e-1
$$

## 2. The Class $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$

We begin our study with the function $q(z):=1+\sin z$ and define the admissibility class $\Psi[\Omega, q]$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. We know that $q(z)$ is analytic and univalent on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}, q(0)=1$ and it maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the domain $\Omega_{s}:=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\arcsin (w-1)|<1\}$. Since $\mathbb{E}(q)=\phi$, for $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{E}(q)$ if and only if $\zeta=e^{i \theta}$ for $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$. Now, consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|=\sqrt{\cosh ^{2}(\sin \theta)-\sin ^{2}(\cos \theta)}=: n_{1}(\theta) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $n_{1}(\theta)$ achieves its minimum value at $\theta=0$, denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{0}:=n_{1}(0)=\sqrt{1-\sin ^{2} 1} \approx 0.540302 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is evident that $\min \left|q^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|>0$, which implies that $q \in Q(1)$, and consequently, the admissibility class $\Psi[\Omega, q]$ is well-defined. While considering $|\zeta|=1$, we observe that $q(\zeta) \in q(\partial \mathbb{D})=\partial \Omega_{s}=$ $\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\arcsin (w-1)|=1\}$. Consequently, $|\arcsin (q(\zeta)-1)|=1$ and $\arcsin (q(\zeta)-1)=e^{i \theta}$ for $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$, which implies that $q(\zeta)=1+\sin \zeta$. Moreover, $\zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta)=e^{i \theta} \cos \left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}=e^{i \theta} \tan \left(e^{i \theta}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing the real parts on both sides of (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right)=\frac{-\cos \theta \sin (2 \cos \theta)+\sin \theta \sinh (2 \sin \theta)}{\cos (2 \cos \theta)+\cosh (2 \sin \theta)}=: n_{2}(\theta) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $n_{2}(\theta)$ as defined in (2.4), attains its minimum at $\theta=0$, denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{1}:=n_{2}(0)=-\frac{\sin 2}{1+\cos 2} \approx-1.55741 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the class $\Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$ is precisely defined as the class of all functions $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi] \quad \text { and } \quad m \geq 1
$$

whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=q(\zeta)=1+\sin \left(e^{i \theta}\right) ; \quad s=m \zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta)=m e^{i \theta} \cos \left(e^{i \theta}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{t}{s}\right) \geq m\left(1+n_{2}(\theta)\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for $q(z)=1+\sin z$, we have

$$
\zeta^{2} \frac{q^{\prime \prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}=-e^{2 i \theta}
$$

On comparing the real parts of both the sides,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\zeta^{2} \frac{q^{\prime \prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right)=-\cos 2 \theta=: n_{3}(\theta)
$$

The minimum value of $n_{3}(\theta)$ is -1 , which is attained at $\theta=0$. Thus, if $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ then $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$, provided $\phi$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi] \quad \text { and } \quad k \geq m \geq 2
$$

whenever

$$
\begin{gathered}
r=q(\zeta)=1+\sin e^{i \theta} ; \quad s=m \zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta)=m e^{i \theta} \cos \left(e^{i \theta}\right) ; \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{t}{s}\right) \geq m\left(1+n_{2}(\theta)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{u}{s} \geq m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We now find conditions on the parameters $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ for different choices of $h(z)$ namely $\sqrt{1+z}$, $(1+C z) /(1+D z), 2 /\left(1+e^{-z}\right), z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z, 1+z e^{z}, e^{z}$ and $1+\sin z$, so that the following second order differential subordination implication holds:

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec h(z) \Longrightarrow p(z) \prec 1+\sin z
$$

Note that the values of $\nu_{0}$ and $\nu_{1}$ are given in (2.2) and (2.5) respectively, which are widely used in the upcoming results. We begin with the following Theorem, for the case when $h(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right)\left(\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right)-2\right) \geq 1$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.

Proof. Suppose $h(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w^{2}-1\right|<1\right\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. It is clear that $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$ provided $\phi(r, s, t, ; z) \notin \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(\phi(r, s, t ; z))^{2}-1\right| & =\left|\left(1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right)^{2}-1\right| \\
& \geq\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right|\left(\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right|-2\right) \\
& \geq\left|\beta_{1} s\right| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}\right)\left(\left|\beta_{1} s\right| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}\right)-2\right) \\
& \geq L(L-2),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L=m \beta_{1} n_{1}(\theta) \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\beta_{2}\left(m n_{2}(\theta)+m-1\right) / \beta_{1}\right)$. Here $n_{1}(\theta)$ and $n_{2}(\theta)$ are given in (2.1) and (2.4), respectively. Since $m \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(\phi(r, s, t ; z))^{2}-1\right| & \geq \beta_{1} n_{1}(\theta) \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} n_{2}(\theta)\right)\left(\beta_{1} n_{1}(\theta) \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} n_{2}(\theta)\right)-2\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right)\left(\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right)-2\right) \\
& \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$ and hence $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$. Now, the result follows at once by an application of Lemma 1.3 .

By considering the function $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ in Theorem 2.1, we derive the following corollary:
Corollary 2.2. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{f}(z):=1+\beta_{1}\left(S_{2}-S_{1}^{2}+S_{1}\right)+\beta_{2}\left(S_{3}+2 S_{2}+2 S_{1}^{3}-2 S_{1}^{2}-3 S_{1} S_{2}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}, \quad S_{2}=\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)} \quad \text { and } \quad S_{3}=\frac{z^{3} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$ provided $S_{f}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right)\left(\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right)-2\right) \geq 1$.
Theorem 2.3. Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $-1<D<C \leq 1$ with $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right)\left(1-D^{2}\right) \geq(C-D)(1+|D|)$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec \frac{1+C z}{1+D z}
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Let $h(z)=(1+C z) /(1+D z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then we have $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \mid w-(1-C D) /(1-$ $\left.\left.D^{2}\right) \mid<(C-D) /\left(1-D^{2}\right)\right\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. It
is clear that $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$ only when $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-\frac{1-C D}{1-D^{2}}\right| & =\left|1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t-\frac{1-C D}{1-D^{2}}\right| \\
& \geq\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right|-\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} \\
& \geq\left|\beta_{1} s\right| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}\right)-\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} \\
& \geq m \beta_{1} n_{1}(\theta) \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m n_{2}(\theta)+m-1\right)\right)-\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that $m \geq 1$ and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-\frac{1-C D}{1-D^{2}}\right| & \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right)-\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} \\
& \geq \frac{C-D}{1-D^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$ and hence $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$. Now, the result follows by an application of Lemma 1.3 .

Theorem 2.4. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq r_{0}$, where $r_{0} \approx 0.546302$ is the positive root of the equation $r^{2}+2 \cot (1) r-1=0$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec \frac{2}{1+e^{-z}} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Assuming $h(z)=2 /\left(1+e^{-z}\right)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\log (w /(2-w))|<1\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. We know that $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$ provided $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. First we consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & =\beta_{1}|s|\left|1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}\right| \\
& \geq \beta_{1}|s| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}\right) \\
& \geq m \beta_{1} n_{1}(\theta)\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m n_{2}(\theta)+m-1\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $m \geq 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq r_{0} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we consider

$$
\left|\log \left(\frac{\phi(r, s, t ; z)}{2-\phi(r, s, t ; z)}\right)\right|=\left|\log \left(\frac{1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t}{1-\left(\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right)}\right)\right|
$$

Through Lemma 1.6 and (2.9), we have

$$
\left|\log \left(\frac{1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t}{1-\left(\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right)}\right)\right| \geq 1
$$

which implies that $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$. Now, the result follows by an application of Lemma 1.3 .

Theorem 2.5. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq \sqrt{2}$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Let $h(z)=z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w^{2}-1\right|<2|w|\right\}=$ : $\Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. For $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. From the geometry of $z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}$ (see Fig. 11, we note that $\Omega$ is constructed by the circles

$$
C_{1}:|z-1|=\sqrt{2} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{2}:|z+1|=\sqrt{2}
$$



Figure 1. Graph of two circles, namely $C_{1}$ (blue boundary) and $C_{2}$ (orange boundary). While the shaded region represents $z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}$.

It is obvious that $\Omega$ contains the disk enclosed by $C_{1}$ and excludes the portion of the disk enclosed by $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$. We have

$$
|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right|
$$

Now, proceeding in the similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \sqrt{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that $\phi(r, s, t ; z)$ lies outside the circle $C_{1}$ suffices us to deduce that $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$ and hence $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$. Now, the result follows by an application of Lemma 1.3.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq e$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+z e^{z} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.

Proof. Assuming $h(z)=1+z e^{z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $\Omega:=h(\mathbb{D})$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. For $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$, we need to have $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. Through [10, Lemma 3.3], we observe that the smallest disk containing $\Omega$ is $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<e\}$. Thus

$$
|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| .
$$

Analogous to Theorem 2.4, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq e
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\phi(r, s, t ; z)$ lies outside the disk $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<e\}$ which suffices us to conclude that $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$ and the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3

Theorem 2.7. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $2 \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq \pi$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Let $h(z)=1+\sinh ^{-1} z$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\sinh (w-1)|<1\}=: \Omega_{\rho}$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. For $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{\rho}, 1+\sin z\right]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega_{\rho}$. Through [2, Remark 2.7], we note that the disk $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\pi / 2\}$ is the smallest disk containing $\Omega_{\rho}$. So,

$$
|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| .
$$

Analogous to Theorem 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\phi(r, s, t ; z)$ does not belong to the disk $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\pi / 2\}$ which suffices us to conclude that $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega_{\rho}$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{\rho}, 1+\sin z\right]$ and thus the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3 .

Theorem 2.8. Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq e-1$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec e^{z} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Suppose $h(z)=e^{z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\log w|<1\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. For $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. So,

$$
|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| .
$$

Proceeding similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq e-1 \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, we have

$$
\mid \log \left(\phi ( r , s , t ; z ) \left|=\left|\log \left(1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right)\right| .\right.\right.
$$

Through Lemma 1.7 and (2.10), we have

$$
\left|\log \left(1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right)\right| \geq 1,
$$

which implies that $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$ and thus the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3 .
Theorem 2.9. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq \sinh 1$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+\sin z .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Suppose $h(z)=1+\sin z$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\arcsin (w-1)|<1\}=: \Omega_{s}$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. For $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{s}, 1+\sin z\right]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega_{s}$. Through [3, Lemma 3.3], we note that $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\sinh 1\}$ is the smallest disk containing $\Omega_{s}$. So,

$$
|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| .
$$

Analogous to Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \sinh 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\phi(r, s, t ; z)$ lies outside the disk $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\sinh 1\}$ which is enough to conclude that $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega_{s}$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{s}, 1+\sin z\right]$ and the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3

By taking $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ in Theorems 2.7-2.12, we obtain the following corollary with $S_{f}$ as given by (2.7):
Corollary 2.10. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, $f \in \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$ if any of these conditions hold:
(i) $S_{f}(z) \prec(1+C z) /(1+D z)$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right)\left(1-D^{2}\right) \geq(C-D)(1+|D|)$, where $-1<D<$ $C \leq 1$.
(ii) $S_{f}(z) \prec 2 /\left(1+e^{-z}\right)$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq r_{0}$, where $r_{0} \approx 0.546302$ is the positive root of the equation $r^{2}+2 \cot (1) r-1=0$.
(iii) $S_{f}(z) \prec z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq \sqrt{2}$.
(iv) $S_{f}(z) \prec 1+z e^{z}$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq e$.
(v) $S_{f}(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$ and $2 \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq \pi$.
(vi) $S_{f}(z) \prec e^{z}$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq e-1$.
(vii) $S_{f}(z) \prec 1+\sin z$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}\right) \geq \sinh 1$.

Next, we determine the sufficient conditions on the positive constants $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ and $\beta_{3}$ for various selections of $h(z)$, notably including the case when $h(z)=1+\sin z$ itself. This study aims to establish third-order differential subordination implication, which is as follows:

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+\beta_{3} z^{3} p^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \prec h(z) \Longrightarrow p(z) \prec 1+\sin z .
$$

The derived conditions involve the variables $\nu_{0}$ and $\nu_{1}$, along with the constants $m$ and $k$, which are explicitly defined in $(2.2),(2.5)$ and Lemma 1.2 , respectively. We begin with the Theorem below by choosing $h(z)=\sqrt{1}+z$ as follows:

Theorem 2.11. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}>0$ and satisfy
$\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}+\beta_{3}\left(-m^{2}+3 m(k-1) \nu_{1}\right)\right)\left(\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}+\beta_{3}\left(-m^{2}+3 m(k-1) \nu_{1}\right)\right)-2\right) \geq 1$.

Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+\beta_{3} z^{3} p^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Suppose $h(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w^{2}-1\right|<1\right\}=$ : $\Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u$. We know that $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$ provided $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(\phi(r, s, t, u ; z))^{2}-1\right| & =\left|\left(1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right)^{2}-1\right| \\
& \geq\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right|\left(\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right|-2\right) \\
& \geq\left|\beta_{1} s\right| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}+\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{u}{s}\right)\left(\left|\beta_{1} s\right| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}+\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{u}{s}\right)-2\right) \\
& \geq M(M-2)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=m \beta_{1} n_{1}(\theta)\left(1+\beta_{2}\left(m n_{2}(\theta)+m-1\right) / \beta_{1}+\beta_{3}\left(m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta)\right) / \beta_{1}\right)$. As $m \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(1+n_{2}(\theta)\right)>0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(\phi(r, s, t, u ; z))^{2}-1\right| \geq & \beta_{1} n_{1}(\theta)\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} n_{2}(\theta)+\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta)\right)\right)\left(\beta_{1} n_{1}(\theta)(1+\right. \\
& \left.\left.\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} n_{2}(\theta)+\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta)\right)\right)-2\right) \\
\geq & \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}+\beta_{3}\left(-m^{2}+3 m(k-1) \nu_{1}\right)\right)\left(\nu _ { 0 } \left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}+\beta_{3}\left(-m^{2}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+3 m(k-1) \nu_{1}\right)\right)-2\right) \\
\geq & 1
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$ and thus $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$. The result follows as a consequence of Lemma 1.5 .

By considering $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ in Theorem 2.11, we have the following:
Corollary 2.12. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}>0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{f}(z):= & 1+\beta_{1} S_{1}+\left(\beta_{1}+2 \beta_{2}\right)\left(S_{2}-S_{1}^{2}\right)+\left(\beta_{2}+3 \beta_{3}\right)\left(2 S_{1}^{3}-3 S_{1} S_{2}+3 S_{3}\right) \\
& +\beta_{3}\left(S_{4}-3 S_{2}^{2}-6 S_{1}^{4}-4 S_{1} S_{3}+12 S_{1}^{2} S_{2}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ are mentioned in (2.8) and $S_{4}:=z^{4} f^{(i v)}(z) / f(z)$. Then, $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$ provided $\Theta_{f}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}+\beta_{3}\left(-m^{2}+3 m(k-1) \nu_{1}\right)\right)-2 \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}+\beta_{3}\left(-m^{2}+3 m(k-\right.\right.$ 1) $\left.\left.\nu_{1}\right)\right) \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.13. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}>0$ and $-1<D<C \leq 1$ with $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+\right.$ $\left.3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right)\left(1-D^{2}\right) \geq(1+|D|)(C-D)$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+\beta_{3} z^{3} p^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \prec \frac{1+C z}{1+D z}
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Let $h(z)=(1+C z) /(1+D z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w-(1-C D) /\left(1-D^{2}\right)\right|<\right.$ $\left.(C-D) /\left(1-D^{2}\right)\right\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u$.

For $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$, it is needed that $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)-\frac{1-C D}{1-D^{2}}\right| & =\left|1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u-\frac{1-C D}{1-D^{2}}\right| \\
& \geq\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right|-\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} \\
& \geq\left|\beta_{1} s\right| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}+\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{u}{s}\right)-\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} \\
& \geq m \beta_{1} n_{1}(\theta)\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m n_{2}(\theta)+m-1\right)+\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta)\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.11 and the fact that $m \geq 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)-\frac{1-C D}{1-D^{2}}\right| & \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}+\beta_{3}\left(-m^{2}+3 m(k-1) \nu_{1}\right)\right)-\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} \\
& \geq \frac{C-D}{1-D^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above calculations, we conclude that $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$ and hence the result follows as a consequence of Lemma 1.5.
Theorem 2.14. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}>0$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq r_{0}$, where $r_{0} \approx 0.546302$ is the positive root of the equation $r^{2}+2 \cot (1) r-1=0$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+\beta_{3} z^{3} p^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \prec \frac{2}{1+e^{-z}} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Assume $h(z)=2 /\left(1+e^{-z}\right)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\log (w /(2-w))|<1\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u$. For $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega$. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right| & =\beta_{1}|s|\left|1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}+\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{u}{s}\right| \\
& \geq \beta_{1}|s| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}+\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{u}{s}\right) \\
& \geq m \beta_{1} n_{1}(\theta)\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m n_{2}(\theta)+m-1\right)+\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $m \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(1+n_{2}(\theta)\right)>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)+\beta_{3}\left(m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta)\right)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq r_{0} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we consider

$$
\left|\log \left(\frac{\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)}{2-\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)}\right)\right|=\left|\log \left(\frac{1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u}{1-\left(\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right)}\right)\right| .
$$

In view of Lemma 1.6 and (2.12), we have

$$
\left|\log \left(\frac{1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u}{1-\left(\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right)}\right)\right| \geq 1
$$

which implies that $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$. Thus, The result follows as an application of Lemma 1.5 .
Theorem 2.15. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}>0$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq \sqrt{2}$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+\beta_{3} z^{3} p^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \prec z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Take $h(z)=z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w^{2}-1\right|<2|w|\right\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u$. For $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega$. From the geometry of $z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}$ (see Fig. 1), we note that $\Omega$ is constructed by two circles

$$
C_{1}:|z-1|=\sqrt{2} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{2}:|z+1|=\sqrt{2} .
$$

It is obvious that $\Omega$ contains the disk enclosed by $C_{1}$ and excludes the portion of the disk enclosed by $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$. We have

$$
|\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right|
$$

Analogous to Theorem 2.14, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)+\beta_{3}\left(m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta)\right)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \sqrt{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can say that $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)$ lies outside the circle $C_{1}$ which is sufficient to deduce that $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$ and thus the result follows as a consequence of Lemma 1.5

Theorem 2.16. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}>0$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq e$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+\beta_{3} z^{3} p^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+z e^{z} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Let $h(z)=1+z e^{z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $\Omega:=h(\mathbb{D})$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u$. For $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega$. From [10, Lemma 3.3], we note that the smallest disk containing $\Omega$ is $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<e\}$. Also,

$$
|\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right| .
$$

On the similar lines of the proof of Theorem 2.14, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)+\beta_{3}\left(m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta)\right)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq e
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)$ lies outside the disk $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<e\}$ which is enough to conclude that $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$ and hence, the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.5

Theorem 2.17. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}>0$ and $2 \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq \pi$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+\beta_{3} z^{3} p^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Assume $h(z)=1+\sinh ^{-1} z$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\sinh (w-1)|<1\}=: \Omega_{\rho}$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u$. For $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{\rho}, 1+\sin z\right]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega_{\rho}$. Through [2, Remark 2.7], we note that the smallest disk containing $\Omega_{\rho}$ is $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\pi / 2\}$. Thus

$$
|\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right|
$$

Proceeding on the similar lines of Theorem 2.14, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)+\beta_{3}\left(m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta)\right)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)$ lies outside the disk $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\pi / 2\}$ which suffices to prove that $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega_{\rho}$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{\rho}, 1+\sin z\right]$ and thus the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.5 .

Theorem 2.18. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}>0$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq e-1$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+\beta_{3} z^{3} p^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \prec e^{z}
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.
Proof. Take $h(z)=e^{z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\log w|<1\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u$. For $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin$ $\Omega$. So,

$$
|\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right|
$$

Proceeding on the similar lines of proof of Theorem 2.14 , we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)+\beta_{3}\left(m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta)\right)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq e-1 \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we consider

$$
\mid \log \left(\phi ( r , s , t , u ; z ) \left|=\left|\log \left(1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right)\right|\right.\right.
$$

Through Lemma 1.7 and 2.13 , we have

$$
\left|\log \left(1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right)\right| \geq 1
$$

which implies that $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi[\Omega, 1+\sin z]$ and the result follows as a consequence of Lemma 1.5 .
Theorem 2.19. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}>0$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq \sinh 1$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+\beta_{3} z^{3} p^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+\sin z
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sin z$.

Proof. Take $h(z)=1+\sin z$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\arcsin (w-1)|<1\}=: \Omega_{s}$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u$. For $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{s}, 1+\sin z\right]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega_{s}$. Through [3, Lemma 3.3], we note that the smallest disk containing $\Omega_{s}$ is $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\sinh 1\}$. So,

$$
|\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right| .
$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.14, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t+\beta_{3} u\right| & \geq n_{1}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{2}(\theta)+\beta_{3}\left(m^{2} n_{3}(\theta)+3 m(k-1) n_{2}(\theta)\right)\right) \\
& \geq \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \sinh 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z)$ lies outside the disk $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\sinh 1\}$ which is enough to conclude that $\phi(r, s, t, u ; z) \notin \Omega_{s}$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{s}, 1+\sin z\right]$ and thus the result holds through Lemma 1.5

Now, we summarize this section by providing a combined conclusion. If $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ is considered in Theorems 2.18-2.23 as in Corollary 2.12. We deduce the following
Corollary 2.20. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}>0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, $f \in \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$ if any of the conditions hold:
(i) $\Theta_{f}(z) \prec(1+C z) /(1+D z)$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right)\left(1-D^{2}\right) \geq(1+$ $|D|)(C-D)$, where $-1<D<C \leq 1$
(ii) $\Theta_{f}(z) \prec 2 /\left(1+e^{-z}\right)$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq r_{0}$, where $r_{0} \approx 0.546302$ is the positive root of the equation $r^{2}+2 \cot (1) r-1=0$.
(iii) $\Theta_{f}(z) \prec z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq \sqrt{2}$.
(iv) $\Theta_{f}(z) \prec 1+z e^{z}$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq e$.
(v) $\Theta_{f}(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$ and $2 \nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq \pi$.
(vi) $\Theta_{f}(z) \prec e^{z}$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq e-1$.
(vii) $\Theta_{f}(z) \prec 1+\sin z$ and $\nu_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \nu_{1}-m^{2} \beta_{3}+3 m \beta_{3}(k-1) \nu_{1}\right) \geq \sinh 1$.

## 3. The Class $\mathcal{S}_{\rho}^{*}$

Let us consider the function $q(z):=1+\sinh ^{-1} z$ and define the admissibility class $\Psi[\Omega, q]$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. We know that $q$ is analytic and univalent on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}, q(0)=1$ and it maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the domain $\Omega_{\rho}:=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\sinh (w-1)|<1\}$. Since $\mathbb{E}(q)=\phi$, for $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{E}(q)$ if and only if $\zeta=e^{i \theta}$ for $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$. Now, consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(\cos \theta)^{1 / 2}}=: n_{4}(\theta) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has a minimum value of $1 / \sqrt{2}$. It is evident that $\min \left|q^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|>0$, which implies that $q \in Q(1)$, and consequently, the admissibility class $\Psi[\Omega, q]$ is well-defined. While considering $|\zeta|=1$, we observe that $q(\zeta) \in q(\partial \mathbb{D})=\partial \Omega_{\rho}=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\sinh (w-1)|=1\}$. Consequently, we have $|\log q(\zeta)|=1$ and $\sinh (q(\zeta)-1)=e^{i \theta}(\theta \in[0,2 \pi])$, implies that $q(\zeta)=1+\sinh ^{-1} \zeta$. Moreover, $\zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta)=e^{i \theta} /\left(1+e^{2 i \theta}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}=-\frac{e^{2 i \theta}}{1+e^{2 i \theta}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing the real parts on both sides of (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}=: n_{5}(\theta) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $n_{5}(\theta)$ defined in (3.3) has minimum value $-1 / 2$ being a constant function. Moreover, the class $\Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$ is precisely defined as the class of all functions $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi] \quad \text { and } \quad m \geq 1,
$$

whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=q(\zeta)=1+\sinh ^{-1}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) ; \quad s=m \zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta)=\frac{m e^{i \theta}}{\sqrt{1+e^{2 i \theta}}} ; \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{t}{s}\right) \geq m\left(1+n_{5}(\theta)\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then the admissibility condition (3.4) becomes

$$
\phi\left(1+\sinh ^{-1}\left(e^{i \theta}\right), \frac{m e^{i \theta}}{\sqrt{1+e^{2 i \theta}}} ; z\right) \notin \Omega \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}, \theta \in[0,2 \pi], m \geq 1) .
$$

Now, we discuss the implications of the following second-order differential subordination

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec h(z) \Longrightarrow p(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z,
$$

by finding sufficient conditions on the parameters $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ for various choices of $h(z)$ including $1+\sinh ^{-1} z$ itself. We begin by considering $h(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$ in the following manner:

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $\left(2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\left(2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}-4 \sqrt{2}\right) \geq 8$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$.
Proof. Consider $h(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w^{2}-1\right|<1\right\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. It is clear that $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$ provided $\phi(r, s, t, ; z) \notin \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(\phi(r, s, t ; z))^{2}-1\right|= & \left|\left(1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right)^{2}-1\right| \\
\geq & \left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right|\left(\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right|-2\right) \\
\geq & \left|\beta_{1} s\right| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}\right)\left(\left|\beta_{1} s\right| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}\right)-2\right) \\
\geq & m \beta_{1} n_{4}(\theta) \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m n_{5}(\theta)+m-1\right)\right)\left(m \beta_{1} n_{4}(\theta) \operatorname{Re}(1+\right. \\
& \left.\left.\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m n_{5}(\theta)+m-1\right)\right)-2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $n_{4}(\theta)$ and $n_{5}(\theta)$ are given in (3.1) and (3.3). Since $m \geq 1$, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(\phi(r, s, t ; z))^{2}-1\right| & \geq \beta_{1} n_{4}(\theta) \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} n_{5}(\theta)\right)\left(\beta_{1} n_{4}(\theta) \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} n_{5}(\theta)\right)-2\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\beta_{1}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\beta_{1}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{2}\right)-2\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\left(2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}-4 \sqrt{2}\right)}{8} \\
& \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$ and hence $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$. Thus, result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3 .

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $-1<D<C \leq 1$ with $\left(2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\left(1-D^{2}\right) \geq 2 \sqrt{2}(C-$ $D)(1+|D|)$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec \frac{1+C z}{1+D z} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$.
Proof. Assume $h(z)=(1+C z) /(1+D z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \mid w-(1-C D) /(1-$ $\left.\left.D^{2}\right) \mid<(C-D) /\left(1-D^{2}\right)\right\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. It is clear that $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$ if $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-\frac{1-C D}{1-D^{2}}\right| & =\left|1+\beta_{1} s+\beta t-\frac{1-C D}{1-D^{2}}\right| \\
& \geq\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right|-\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} \\
& \geq\left|\beta_{1} s\right| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}\right)-\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} \\
& \geq m \beta_{1} n_{4}(\theta) \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m n_{5}(\theta)+m-1\right)\right)-\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that $m \geq 1$ and proceeding on the similar lines of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-\frac{1-C D}{1-D^{2}}\right| & \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\beta_{1}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{2}\right)-\frac{|D|(C-D)}{1-D^{2}} \\
& \geq \frac{C-D}{1-D^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$ and the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3 .
Theorem 3.3. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq 2 \sqrt{2} r_{0}$, where $r_{0} \approx 0.546302$ is the positive root of the equation $r^{2}+2 \cot (1) r-1=0$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec \frac{2}{1+e^{-z}} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$.
Proof. Suppose $h(z)=2 /\left(1+e^{-z}\right)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\log (w /(2-w))|<1\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. We know that $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$ only when $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. First we consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & =\beta_{1}|s|\left|1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}\right| \\
& \geq \beta_{1}|s| \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{t}{s}\right) \\
& \geq m \beta_{1} n_{4}(\theta)\left(1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\left(m n_{5}(\theta)+m-1\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $m \geq 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & \geq n_{4}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{5}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\beta_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}\right) \\
& \geq r_{0} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we consider

$$
\left|\log \left(\frac{\phi(r, s, t ; z)}{2-\phi(r, s, t ; z)}\right)\right|=\left|\log \left(\frac{1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t}{1-\left(\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right)}\right)\right|
$$

Through Lemma 1.6 and 3.5 , we have

$$
\left|\log \left(\frac{1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t}{1-\left(\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right)}\right)\right| \geq 1
$$

which implies that $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$. Therefore, result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3 .

Theorem 3.4. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq 4$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$.
Proof. Take $h(z)=z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w^{2}-1\right|<2|w|\right\}=$ : $\Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. For $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. From the geometry of $z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}$ (see Fig. 11), we note that $\Omega$ is constructed by the circles

$$
C_{1}:|z-1|=\sqrt{2} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{2}:|z+1|=\sqrt{2}
$$

It is obvious that $\Omega$ contains the disk enclosed by $C_{1}$ and excludes the portion of the disk enclosed by $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$. We have

$$
|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right|
$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & \geq n_{4}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{5}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\beta_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \sqrt{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that $\phi(r, s, t ; z)$ lies outside the circle $C_{1}$ suffices us to deduce that $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. Consequently, $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$ and thus the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3

Theorem 3.5. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq 2 \sqrt{2} \sinh 1$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+\sin z
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$.
Proof. Consider $h(z)=1+\sin z$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\arcsin (w-1)|<1\}=: \Omega_{s}$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. For $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{s}, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega_{s}$. From [3, Lemma 3.3], we note that the smallest disk containing $\Omega_{s}$ is $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\sinh 1\}$. So,

$$
|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right|
$$

Proceeding on the same lines of the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & \geq n_{4}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{5}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\beta_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \sinh 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\phi(r, s, t ; z)$ lies outside the disk $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\sinh 1\}$, which is enough to conclude that $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega_{s}$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{s}, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$ and the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3 .

Theorem 3.6. Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq 2 \sqrt{2}$ e. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+z e^{z} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$.
Proof. Let $h(z)=1+z e^{z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $\Omega:=h(\mathbb{D})$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. For $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$, we need to have $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. Through 10, Lemma 3.3], we observe that the smallest disk containing $\Omega$ is $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<e\}$. Thus

$$
|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| .
$$

Analogous to Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & \geq n_{4}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{5}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\beta_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}\right) \\
& \geq e
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\phi(r, s, t ; z)$ lies outside the disk $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<e\}$ which suffices us to conclude that $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$ and the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3 .
Theorem 3.7. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq 2 \sqrt{2}(e-1)$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec e^{z} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$.
Proof. Take $h(z)=e^{z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\log w|<1\}=: \Omega$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. For $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. So,

$$
|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| .
$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta t\right| & \geq n_{4}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{5}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\beta_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}\right) \\
& \geq e-1 . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, we have

$$
\mid \log \left(\phi ( r , s , t ; z ) \left|=\left|\log \left(1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right)\right| .\right.\right.
$$

Through Lemma 1.7 and (3.6), we have

$$
\left|\log \left(1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right)\right| \geq 1
$$

which implies that $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$ and thus the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3.
Theorem 3.8. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq \sqrt{2} \pi$. Let $p$ be analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
1+\beta_{1} z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta_{2} z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$.

Proof. Suppose $h(z)=1+\sinh ^{-1} z$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\sinh (w-1)|<1\}=: \Omega \rho$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $\phi(r, s, t ; z)=1+\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t$. For $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{\rho}, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$, we must have $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega_{\rho}$. Through [2, Remark 2.7], we note that the disk $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\pi / 2\}$ is the smallest disk containing $\Omega_{\rho}$. Now

$$
|\phi(r, s, t ; z)-1|=\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| .
$$

Proceeding on the same lines as in proof of Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{1} s+\beta_{2} t\right| & \geq n_{4}(\theta)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} n_{5}(\theta)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\beta_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\pi}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\phi(r, s, t ; z)$ does not belong to the disk $\{\delta \in \mathbb{C}:|\delta-1|<\pi / 2\}$, which suffices us to conclude that $\phi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega_{\rho}$. Therefore, $\phi \in \Psi\left[\Omega_{\rho}, 1+\sinh ^{-1} z\right]$ and thus the result follows as an application of Lemma 1.3.

We conclude this article through the following corollary, obtained from Theorems 3.5-3.10. This is accomplished by considering $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$, as demonstrated in Corollary 2.2 ,
Corollary 3.9. Suppose $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\rho}^{*}$ if any of the conditions hold:
(i) $S_{f}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ and $\left(2 \beta_{1}-\beta\right)\left(2 \beta_{1}-\beta-4 \sqrt{2}\right) \geq 8$.
(ii) $S_{f}(z) \prec(1+C z) /(1+D z)$ and $\left(2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\left(1-D^{2}\right) \geq 2 \sqrt{2}(C-D)(1+|D|)$, where $-1<$ $D<C \leq 1$.
(iii) $S_{f}(z) \prec 2 /\left(1+e^{-z}\right)$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq 2 \sqrt{2} r_{0}$, where $r_{0} \approx 0.546302$ is the positive root of the equation $r^{2}+2 \cot (1) r-1=0$.
(iv) $S_{f}(z) \prec z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq 4$.
(v) $S_{f}(z) \prec 1+\sin z$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq 2 \sqrt{2} \sinh 1$.
(vi) $S_{f}(z) \prec 1+z e^{z}$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq 2 \sqrt{2} e$.
(vii) $S_{f}(z) \prec e^{z}$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq 2 \sqrt{2}(e-1)$.
(viii) $S_{f}(z) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} z$ and $2 \beta_{1}-\beta_{2} \geq \sqrt{2} \pi$.
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