

On Structural Non-commutativity in Affine Feedback of SISO Nonlinear Systems

Venkatesh G. S.*

* Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
UiT The Arctic University of Tromsø, 9019 Tromsø, Norway.
(e-mail: subbarao.v.guggilam@uit.no)

Abstract: The affine feedback connection of SISO nonlinear systems modeled by Chen–Fliess series is shown to be a group action on the plant which is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of shuffle and additive group of non-commutative formal power series. The additive and multiplicative feedback loops in an affine feedback connection are thus proven to be structurally non-commutative. A flip in the order of these loops results in a net additive feedback loop.

Keywords: Nonlinear Systems, Affine Feedback, Structural Non-commutativity, Chen–Fliess Series, Formal Groups, Formal Lie Algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to *quantify* the structural non-commutativity between the additive and multiplicative feedback loops in an *affine feedback* connection of single-input single-output (SISO) nonlinear systems modeled by *Chen–Fliess* series. Chen–Fliess series is an weighted iterated integral series that models the input–output behavior of a (input-affine) nonlinear system. The prologue of using input-output maps for analysis of systems is that it is unique to the system (under analysis) and hence a coordinate free approach. Thus any properties proven via this paradigm is intrinsic while the state space realizations are co-ordinate dependent. The argument is akin to significance of Laplace-domain transfer functions/ time-domain input-output maps to state-space modeling in the domain of linear time-invariant systems. Affine feedback (as shown in Figure 1) is a pivotal interconnection in the design of both static and dynamic feedback linearization of nonlinear systems (Isidori, 1995; Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard, 2017).




Fig. 1. Affine Feedback Interconnection

The affine feedback interconnection of nonlinear systems modeled by Chen–Fliess was first dealt in the work of Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard (2017). The affine feedback group (transformation group in the affine feedback interconnection) was explicitly detailed there along with the Hopf algebra of coordinate functions on formal power series which provides a computational framework to compute the group product and the inverse. The work of Foissy (2018) has defined a *post-Lie* algebra purely from the Hopf algebra of coordinate functions corresponding to the affine feedback.

* Supported by MASCOT project in UiT The Arctic Univeristy of Tromsø.

Recently in the work of Ebrahimi-Fard et al. (2023), the affine feedback group is proved to be the *Grossman–Larson* or derived group of a *post-group* (post group is the image of exponential map in the universal enveloping algebra of the post-Lie algebra). The document will not delve into post-groups and post-Lie algebras. For more details on post-groups and post-Lie algebras, refer Al-Kaabi et al. (2023).

The document shows firstly that affine feedback is a group action on the plant, where the group is same as the group in the definition of post-group (explained in Section 4). The commutator of two subgroups, corresponding to the multiplicative feedback loop and additive feedback loop in Figure 1 quantifies the structural non-commutativity between the loops viz., if one flips the order between the inner(additive) and outer(multiplicative) loops, the overall input–output map ($v \mapsto y$) will not remain the same. As such, proving the *existence* of structural non-commutativity is not a difficult question but the contribution of the paper stands out in *quantifying* it via the commutator of subgroups corresponding to additive and multiplicative feedback loops (in its Lie algebra at the infinitesimal level).

The organization of the document is as follows: Section 2 introduces notations and defines the shuffle algebra of formal power series. Section 3 gives a brief on Chen–Fliess series. Section 4 defines the affine feedback transformation group and recollects some of the material from the works of Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard (2017) and Ebrahimi-Fard et al. (2023). Further, this section leads up to the main results of this document.

2. FORMAL POWER SERIES OVER \mathbb{R}

The section recalls some preliminaries on non-commutative formal power series and bialgebras. For more details regarding the latter, we refer to (Sweedler, 1969).

Let $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$ denote an alphabet, i.e., a set of non-commuting indeterminates.

- (i) $\mathbb{R}\langle X \rangle$ is the \mathbb{R} -algebra of non-commutative polynomials with indeterminates in X . As a vector space, it is spanned by the set of words, denoted by X^* , with letters from X . The unit is the empty word, which we

write $\mathbf{1}$, and the set of non-empty words is denoted by $X^+ := X^* \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$.

- (ii) $\mathbb{R}\langle X \rangle$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra, graded (by the word length, $|w| \geq 0$, $w \in X^*$) and connected, with catenation product and counit ϵ such that $\epsilon(w) = \delta_{|w|,0}$ for any $w \in X^*$. The coproduct is unshuffle Δ_{\sqcup} for which the elements $x_i \in X$ are primitive, i.e., $\Delta_{\sqcup}(x_i) = x_i \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes x_i$. A few examples of the unshuffle coproduct are given next. For letters $x_i, x_j \in X$:

$$\Delta_{\sqcup} x_i x_j = x_i x_j \otimes \mathbf{1} + x_i \otimes x_j + x_j \otimes x_i + \mathbf{1} \otimes x_i x_j,$$

$$\Delta_{\sqcup} x_i^2 = x_i^2 \otimes \mathbf{1} + 2x_i \otimes x_i + \mathbf{1} \otimes x_i^2.$$

Remark: Traditionally, the counit of $\mathbb{R}\langle X \rangle$ is denoted by \emptyset in the Chen–Fliess literature; for the rest of the article the presentation also follows this custom.

- (iii) The convolution algebra of linear maps from the counital coalgebra $(\mathbb{R}\langle X \rangle, \Delta_{\sqcup})$ to \mathbb{R} , is given by the space of formal power series denoted by $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. The dual basis is given by $\{\emptyset\} \cup X^+$, such that $\eta(\xi) = 1$ if $\eta = \xi$ in X^+ , and zero else. An element $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is represented by

$$c = c(\mathbf{1})\emptyset + \sum_{\eta \in X^+} c(\eta)\eta.$$

In the following, \emptyset is not explicitly written unless needed. The convolution product on $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is the shuffle product, which is defined for all $c, d \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle X \rangle$ by

$$(c \sqcup d)(p) = m_{\mathbb{R}} \circ (c \otimes d) \circ \Delta_{\sqcup}(p).$$

Here, $m_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the usual product in \mathbb{R} and the unit element is the counit \emptyset .

- (iv) The maximal ideal of $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is denoted by $\mathbb{R}_p \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle := \{c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle : c(\mathbf{1}) = 0\}$. The unit group is denoted by $G_{\sqcup} := \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \setminus \mathbb{R}_p \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. The shuffle group (M, \sqcup) is the normal subgroup of the unit group of $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ where

$$M = \{1\emptyset + c' : c' \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, c' \in \mathbb{R}_p \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle\}.$$

The group inverse in M is defined as:

$$c \sqcup^{-1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (\mathbf{1} - c)^{\sqcup k}.$$

- (v) The additive group of formal power series, denoted by G_+ is defined on the set $\delta + \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, where the group product \diamond respectively inverse are defined by

$$(\delta + c) \diamond (\delta + d) := \delta + (c + d),$$

$$(\delta + c)^{\diamond^{-1}} := \delta - c,$$

for $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. The unit element is δ . The group G_+ can be interpreted as translation of additive group of formal power series $(\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, +, 0)$ by the (fictitious) element δ such that $F_{\delta}[u] = u$. The context of δ becomes more lucid with the document.

Looking ahead, we define for $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ the Chen–Fliess series $F_c[u](t)$ as a functional series such that the basis (dual words) of $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ are identified with iterated integrals of the function u , a control signal (detailed in Section 3). In the context of a dynamical system, a Chen–Fliess series expresses its input-output behavior and provides a coordinate-independent framework to analyze the intrinsic properties of the system.




Fig. 2. Composition of Chen–Fliess series

3. CHEN–FLIESS SERIES

The section acts as a review of Chen–Fliess series and the affine feedback interconnection. Let $u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a L_1 measurable map over the compact interval $[0, T]$. Define the absolutely continuous function $U : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $U(0) = 0$ as

$$U(t) = \int_0^t d\tau u(\tau).$$

Define a monoid morphism F from the monoid of (dual) words $\{\emptyset\} \cup X^+$ to the monoid of time-ordered iterated integrals of u (where unit is constant map 1) as

$$F_{x_1 \eta}[u](t) = \int_0^t dU_i(\tau) F_{\eta}[u](\tau),$$

$$F_{x_0 \eta}[u](t) = \int_0^t d\tau F_{\eta}[u](\tau),$$

where $\eta \in X^+ \cup \{\emptyset\}$. The monoid morphism implies $F_{\emptyset}[u](t) = 1$. Given input $u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a non-commutative formal power series c , the associated *Chen–Fliess* series, denoted by $F_c[u]$ is formal functional series defined as:

$$F_c[u](t) = c(\mathbf{1}) + \sum_{\eta \in X^+} c(\eta) F_{\eta}[u](t). \quad (\text{Fliess, 1981})$$

The map $F : c \mapsto F_c$ is an algebra homomorphism from A_{\sqcup} to algebra of functions viz. $(F_c F_d)[u] = F_c[u] F_d[u] = F_{c \sqcup d}[u]$ for all $c, d \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ (Ree, 1958).

The composition of Chen–Fliess series $F_c[u] \circ F_d[u]$, as illustrated in Figure 2, is a Chen–Fliess series $F_{c \circ d}[u]$. The formal power series $c \circ d$ is called the composition product of c and d and is defined as

$$c \circ d = \sum_{\eta \in X^*} c(\eta) \eta \circ d, \quad (1)$$

where the composition product restricted to words is inductively defined as (Ferfera, 1980):

$$\emptyset \circ d = \emptyset,$$

$$x_0 \eta \circ d = x_0 (\eta \circ d),$$

$$x_1 \eta \circ d = x_0 (d \sqcup (\eta \circ d)),$$

(2)

for all $\eta \in X^+ \cup \{\emptyset\}$. The following theorem states that composition product distributes over the shuffle product on the left.

Theorem 3.1. (Ferfera, 1980) Let c, d and $e \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, then $(c \sqcup d) \circ e = (c \circ e) \sqcup (d \circ e)$.

Let $B_p(R, T) := \{u \in L_p[0, T] : \|u\|_p < R\}$ where $\|\cdot\|_p$ is the usual L_p -norm. A Chen–Fliess F_c is called *convergent* if is a well-defined mapping from $B_1(R, T)$ to $B_{\infty}(R, T)$ for $R, T > 0$ viz $F_c[u] \in L_{\infty}([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$. For more details on convergence of Chen–Fliess series see (Gray & Wang, 2002; Thitsa & Gray, 2012; Venkatesh, 2021). If convergence is guaranteed, a Chen–Fliess series F_c is called a *Fliess operator*. A Fliess operator F_c can be realized by a state-space realization if and only if its underlying formal power series c has a finite Lie-rank (Fliess, 1981). Thus, Fliess operators provide *more general* descriptors of an input-output relation of the underlying system.

4. AFFINE FEEDBACK INTERCONNECTION OF CHEN–FLIESS SERIES

The goal of this section is to review and refurbish certain concepts of affine feedback in the context of Chen–Fliess series, as illustrated in Figure 1, and defined in the work of Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard (2017). Prior going to details of how the closed-loop affine feedback product is defined, in the context of Chen–Fliess series, it is necessary to review the affine feedback group.

4.1 Affine Feedback Group

The affine feedback group is defined on a subset G of two-dimensional vectors of formal power series, $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle^2$, expressed in the basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$. The set $G \subset \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle^2$ is defined as

$$G := \{c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2 : c_1(\mathbf{1}) = 1\},$$

whence $G \cong M \times \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ as sets. The elements of G are represented by bold-face font. Interchangeably, $\mathbf{c} = c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2 \in G$ is represented as vector $[c_1 \ c_2]^t$, given the basis of $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle^2$ is fixed¹. The series $1e_1 + 0e_2$ in G is denoted by \mathbf{e} .

If $\mathbf{c} = [c_1 \ c_2]^t \in G$ then we define

$$F_{\mathbf{c}}[u] = uF_{c_1}[u] + F_{c_2}[u].$$

The component of e_1 is called *multiplicative component* (or channel) while the component of e_2 is termed as *additive component* (or channel). The composition of two formal power series, \circ defined in Section 3 is extended, when its right argument is in the set G .

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathbf{d} \in G$, with $\mathbf{d} = [d_1 \ d_2]^t$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, then the composition product of \mathbf{d} and c .

$$\mathbf{d} \circ c = [d_1 \circ c \ d_2 \circ c]^t.$$

Remark: Given $\mathbf{d} \in G$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, it is to be noted that the composition of Chen–Fliess series $(F_{\mathbf{d}} \circ F_c)[u] \neq F_{\mathbf{d} \circ c}[u]$. The \circ is merely extension of composition product, \circ , componentwise in G . The composition of Chen–Fliess series $F_c \circ F_{\mathbf{d}}$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $\mathbf{d} \in G$ (illustrated in Figure 3), is given by the *mixed composition product* of c and \mathbf{d} giving a formal power series denoted by $c \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d}$.

Definition 4.2. (Definition 3.1; (Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard, 2017)) Let $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $\mathbf{d} = [d_1 \ d_2]^t \in G$, then the mixed composition product $c \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is defined as

$$c \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} = \sum_{\eta \in X^*} c(\eta)(\eta \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d}), \quad (3)$$

where the mixed composition product (restricted to dual words) is defined inductively as

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} &= \emptyset, \\ x_0 \eta \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} &= x_0(\eta \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d}), \\ x_1 \eta \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} &= x_1(d_1 \sqcup (\eta \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d})) + x_0(d_2 \sqcup (\eta \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d})), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $\eta \in \{\emptyset\} \cup X^+$.

The mixed composition product is linear in its left argument (by definition) and distributes over the shuffle product, formally stated in the following theorem

¹ Note that the basis vectors e_1 and e_2 are referred to as δ and 1 in Section 2 as well as in the work of Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard (2017).




Fig. 3. Composition of Chen–Fliess series: F_c and $uF_{d_1} + F_{d_2}$

Theorem 4.1. (Lemma 3.3, (Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard, 2017)) Let $c, d \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $\mathbf{z} \in G$, then

$$(c \sqcup d) \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{z} = (c \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{z}) \sqcup (d \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{z}).$$

From (4), the following observations are evident and can be proved by induction on the length of words:

- (i) $x_0^k \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} = x_0^k$ for all $k \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{d} \in G$.
- (ii) If $\mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{e}$, $n \geq 1$ and $\eta = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}$ such that $\exists j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $x_{i_j} = x_1$, then $\eta \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} \neq \eta$.
- (iii) If for some $\mathbf{d} \in G$, $c \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} = c$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, then $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{e}$.

Thus, if $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle_q := \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle / \sim$, where the equivalence relation \sim on $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is defined as:

$$c \sim d \iff c - d \in \mathbb{R}[[x_0]] \quad \forall c, d \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle.$$

Lemma 4.1. If $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, then its corresponding coset in $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle_q$ is denoted by $[c]$. Let $\mathbf{d} \in G$, then define $[c] \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} = [c \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d}]$. The following statements are true:

- (1) If $h \in [c]$ then $[h \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d}] = [c \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d}]$ (Mixed Composition product is well-defined on $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle_q$).
- (2) If $[c] \neq [0]$, then $[c] \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} = [c]$ if and only if $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{e}$.

Lemma 4.1 states that if the Chen–Fliess series F_c in Figure 3 is affected by the input u (having a least one word containing x_1 in the support of c), then $y = F_c \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} = F_c[u]$ if and only if $F_{\mathbf{d}}[u] = u$.

The following theorem asserts a sort of mixed associativity of composition product \circ and mixed composition product $\tilde{\circ}$.

Theorem 4.2. (Ebrahimi-Fard et al., 2023) Let $h, c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $\mathbf{d} \in G$, then $h \circ (c \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d}) = (h \circ c) \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d}$.

The definition of mixed composition product, $\tilde{\circ}$, is extended as a set endomorphism of G by applying the product componentwise. The extended product is denoted by \triangleleft which is formally defined next.

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in G$ with $\mathbf{c} = [c_1 \ c_2]^t$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \triangleleft : G \times G &\longrightarrow G \\ (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) &\mapsto \mathbf{c} \triangleleft \mathbf{d} := [c_1 \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d} \ c_2 \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d}]^t. \end{aligned}$$

For Definition 4.3 to be valid, one needs to prove that $(c_1 \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{d})(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ which is evident from (4). Both \triangleleft and $\tilde{\circ}$ shall be addressed as mixed composition product in the document with no loss of clarity as the domain of both maps are not identical.

Remark: Given $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in G$, it is to be noted that the composition of Chen–Fliess series $(F_{\mathbf{c}} \circ F_{\mathbf{d}})[u] \neq F_{\mathbf{c} \triangleleft \mathbf{d}}[u]$. The \triangleleft is merely extension of the mixed composition product, $\tilde{\circ}$, componentwise in G . The product on G encoding the composition $(F_{\mathbf{c}} \circ F_{\mathbf{d}})[u]$ is in definition 4.4.

The following theorem asserts the interplay between the extended composition product $\bar{\circ}$ and modified composition products $\bar{\circ}$ and \triangleleft .

Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{y} \in G$, with $\mathbf{d} = [d_1 \ d_2]^t$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, then

$$\mathbf{d} \bar{\circ} (c \bar{\circ} \mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{d} \bar{\circ} c) \triangleleft \mathbf{y}.$$

Proof:

$$\mathbf{d} \bar{\circ} (c \bar{\circ} \mathbf{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \circ (c \bar{\circ} \mathbf{y}) \\ d_2 \circ (c \bar{\circ} \mathbf{y}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using Theorem 4.2 on each component,

$$\mathbf{d} \bar{\circ} (c \bar{\circ} \mathbf{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} (d_1 \circ c) \bar{\circ} \mathbf{y} \\ (d_2 \circ c) \bar{\circ} \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} = (\mathbf{d} \bar{\circ} c) \triangleleft \mathbf{y}.$$

The set G can be endowed with two different group structures that are vital in affine feedback interconnection, the first of which is explained next.

Theorem 4.4. (Ebrahimi-Fard et al., 2023) Let $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in G$ with $\mathbf{c} = [c_1 \ c_2]^t$ and $\mathbf{d} = [d_1 \ d_2]^t$. Define a binary product \odot on G as²

$$\mathbf{c} \odot \mathbf{d} = [c_1 \sqcup d_1 \ c_2 + (d_2 \sqcup c_1)]^t, \quad (5)$$

then (G, \odot) is a group with identity element being $\mathbf{e} := [1 \ 0]^t$. The group inverse for $\mathbf{c} \in G$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{c}^{\odot -1} = [c_1^{\sqcup -1} \ -c_1^{\sqcup -1} \sqcup c_2]^t. \quad (6)$$

The group (G, \odot) is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of M and the additive group of formal power series.

$$(G, \odot) \cong (M, \sqcup) \ltimes (\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, +), \quad (7)$$

with the split exact sequence of groups given by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M \longrightarrow 1, \quad (8)$$

where the monomorphism $i(c) = 1e_1 + ce_2$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and π_1 is the canonical projection onto the first component. Observe that the additive group $G_+ = (\delta + \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, \odot)$ defined in Section 2 is the embedding of $(\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, +)$ in G .

Theorem 4.5. (Ebrahimi-Fard et al., 2023) Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$ in G , then

- (i) $(\mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y}) \triangleleft \mathbf{d} = (\mathbf{x} \triangleleft \mathbf{d}) \odot (\mathbf{y} \triangleleft \mathbf{d})$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{e} \triangleleft \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{e}$,

where \mathbf{e} is the identity element of (G, \odot) .

Theorem 4.5 infers that \triangleleft respects the group structure (G, \odot) in its left argument. Identical to \triangleleft , the extended composition product, $\bar{\circ}$, respects the group structure in its left argument and is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. Let \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} be elements of the group (G, \odot) and $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, then

- (i) $(\mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y}) \bar{\circ} c = (\mathbf{x} \bar{\circ} c) \odot (\mathbf{y} \bar{\circ} c)$,
- (ii) $\mathbf{e} \bar{\circ} c = \mathbf{e}$,

where \mathbf{e} is the identity element of the group (G, \odot) .

Proof: (ii) is a straightforward verification from definition 4.1. (i) Suppose $\mathbf{x} = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2$ and $\mathbf{y} = y_1e_1 + y_2e_2$, then using (5) and definition 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y}) \bar{\circ} c &= \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \sqcup y_1 \\ x_2 + (y_2 \sqcup x_1) \end{array} \right] \bar{\circ} c \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c} (x_1 \sqcup y_1) \circ c \\ (x_2 + (y_2 \sqcup x_1)) \circ c \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 3.1 and (5),

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y}) \bar{\circ} c &= \left[\begin{array}{c} (x_1 \circ c) \sqcup (y_1 \circ c) \\ (x_2 \circ c) + ((y_2 \circ c) + (x_1 \circ c)) \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \circ c \\ x_2 \circ c \end{array} \right] \odot \left[\begin{array}{c} y_1 \circ c \\ y_2 \circ c \end{array} \right] = (\mathbf{x} \bar{\circ} c) \odot (\mathbf{y} \bar{\circ} c). \end{aligned}$$

Remark: Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6 infers that should a composition product on formal power series $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ distribute over shuffle product on the left, then its component extension to set G respects the group structure (G, \odot) in the left argument. ■

The set G possess another group structure, called *affine feedback group* and the group product is denoted by \star whose definition as a binary product on the set G is stated next. The definition for the product \star stems³ from encoding the composition of two Chen–Fliess series whose generating series are from the set G viz. $(F_{\mathbf{c}} \circ F_{\mathbf{d}})[u] = F_{\mathbf{c} \star \mathbf{d}}[u]$.

Definition 4.4. Let \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} in G , with $\mathbf{c} \star \mathbf{d}$, assuming $\mathbf{c} = c_1e_1 + c_2e_2$ and $\mathbf{d} = d_1e_1 + d_2e_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \star : G \times G &\longrightarrow G \\ (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) &\mapsto (\mathbf{c} \triangleleft \mathbf{d}) \odot \mathbf{d}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the coordinates of the product are given as

$$\mathbf{c} \star \mathbf{d} = [(c_1 \bar{\circ} \mathbf{d}) \sqcup d_1 \ ((c_1 \bar{\circ} \mathbf{d}) \sqcup d_2) + (c_2 \bar{\circ} \mathbf{d})]^t. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 4.7. (Theorem 3.8; (Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard, 2017)) The tuple (G, \star, \mathbf{e}) is a group where $\mathbf{e} := [1 \ 0]^t$ is the identity element.

The group inverse of $\mathbf{c} \in (G, \star)$ is denoted by $\mathbf{c}^{\star -1}$. Given \mathbf{c} , $\mathbf{c}^{\star -1}$ can be computed by the antipode of Hopf algebra of coordinate maps on $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ (Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard, 2017; Foissy, 2018).

Theorem 4.8. (Theorem 3.9, (Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard, 2017)) Let $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in (G, \star)$, then

- (i) $(c \bar{\circ} \mathbf{x}) \bar{\circ} \mathbf{y} = c \bar{\circ} (\mathbf{x} \star \mathbf{y})$,
- (ii) $c \bar{\circ} \mathbf{e} = c$.

Theorem 4.8 asserts that $\bar{\circ}$ is a right action on the set of formal power series $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ by the affine feedback group (G, \star) . An important but evidently straightforward observation from Theorem 4.8 and Lemma 4.1 is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. The following statements are true:

- (1) $\bar{\circ}$ is an effective but not a free right group action of affine feedback group (G, \star) on the set $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$.
- (2) $\bar{\circ}$ is a free right group action of feedback group (G, \star) on the quotient set $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle_q \setminus [0]$.

Following Theorem 4.8, Theorem 4.5 and definition 4.3, the following theorem is evident stating that mixed composition product \triangleleft , is a right group action of affine feedback group (G, \star) on the group (G, \odot) .

² In Ebrahimi-Fard et al. (2023), the notation is \cdot instead of \odot .

³ In (Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard, 2017), the notation for affine feedback group product is \circ .




Fig. 4. Affine Feedback Interconnection of F_c with F_d

Theorem 4.10. (Ebrahimi-Fard et al., 2023) Let \mathbf{x} in the set G and \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} in the group (G, \star) , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x} \triangleleft \mathbf{y}) \triangleleft \mathbf{z} &= \mathbf{x} \triangleleft (\mathbf{y} \star \mathbf{z}) \\ \mathbf{x} \triangleleft \mathbf{e} &= \mathbf{x}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{e} is the identity element of the group (G, \star) .

Theorem 4.9 asserts that the group action \triangleleft is effective but not free on (G, \odot) . A simple counterexample to the freeness of the group action is the following: Let $\mathbf{c} = [1 + x_0 \ x_0]^t \in (G, \odot)$. Then, $\mathbf{c} \triangleleft \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c}$ for all $\mathbf{d} \in (G, \star)$. The stabilizer subgroup of \mathbf{c} being the whole of (G, \star) implies that the right action \triangleleft is not free.

Remark: An interesting question would be to find a/the (or prove the non-existence of) non-trivial normal subgroup H of (G, \odot) such that \triangleleft acts freely on the quotient group $(G/H, \odot)$ by the group (G, \star) . However, the question is deferred for the future work.

To summarize this section, the set G has the following interacting *double* group structure : $(G, \odot, \star, \triangleleft)$, where (G, \odot) and (G, \star) are groups for which $\mathbf{e} = 1e_1 + 0e_2$ is the identity element for both the groups while \triangleleft is a right action on the group (G, \odot) by the group (G, \star) .

Remark: $(G, \odot, \triangleleft)$ actually forms a post-group with the affine feedback group (G, \star) as its Grossman–Larson group. For more on this, refer the work of Ebrahimi-Fard et al. (2023).

4.2 Affine Feedback Interconnection

The *affine feedback product* describing the closed-loop of the affine feedback interconnection (as illustrated in Figure 4) is explained with the *newer* group structures endowed with interaction (called post-group) $(G, \odot, \triangleleft, \star)$. The affine feedback is shown to be a group action on plant and the *structural* non-commutativity between the additive and multiplicative feedback loops is proven and *quantified*.

Remark: The affine feedback product was first worked out in (Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard, 2017). However the group action describing the closed-loop of the affine feedback and the structural non-commutativity of feedback loops are not evident from the cited work.

Theorem 4.11. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $\mathbf{d} = d_1 e_1 + d_2 e_2 \in (G, \odot, \triangleleft, \star)$. The affine feedback interconnection of the Chen–Fliess series F_c with the Chen–Fliess series F_d , as in Figure 4, is represented by a Chen–Fliess series $F_{c@ \mathbf{d}}$, where the affine feedback product $c@ \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ is defined as

$$c@ \mathbf{d} = c \tilde{\circ} ((\mathbf{d}^{\odot-1}) \bar{\circ} c)^{\star-1}. \quad (10)$$

Proof: Taking the expression on the RHS and using Theorem 4.6 and (6),

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{d}^{\odot-1}) \bar{\circ} c &= (\mathbf{d} \bar{\circ} c)^{\odot-1} \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c} (d_1 \circ c)^{\sqcup-1} \\ - (d_1 \circ c)^{\sqcup-1} \sqcup (d_2 \circ c) \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} c@ \mathbf{d} &= c \tilde{\circ} ((\mathbf{d}^{\odot-1}) \bar{\circ} c)^{\star-1} \\ &= c \tilde{\circ} \left[\begin{array}{c} (d_1 \circ c)^{\sqcup-1} \\ - (d_1 \circ c)^{\sqcup-1} \sqcup (d_2 \circ c) \end{array} \right]^{\star-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The expression on the RHS is exactly the affine feedback product defined in (3.7) of (Gray & Ebrahimi-Fard, 2017). ■

The notion that feedback can be described mathematically as a transformation group acting on the plant is well established in control theory (Brockett, 1978). The following theorem asserts the group action in the context of affine feedback as illustrated in Figure 4.

Theorem 4.12. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in (G, \odot, \triangleleft, \star)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (c@ \mathbf{x}) @ \mathbf{y} &= c@ (\mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y}), \\ (c@ \mathbf{e}) &= c, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where e is the identity element of $(G, \odot, \triangleleft, \star)$. The affine feedback is thus a right action by the group (G, \odot) on the set $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$.

Proof: Using Theorem 4.11,

$$\begin{aligned} (c@ \mathbf{x}) @ \mathbf{y} &= \left((c@ \mathbf{x}) \tilde{\circ} (\mathbf{y})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} (c@ \mathbf{x}) \right)^{\star-1} \\ &= \left[c \tilde{\circ} \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} c \right)^{\star-1} \right] \tilde{\circ} \\ &\quad \left[(\mathbf{y})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} \left(c \tilde{\circ} \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} c \right)^{\star-1} \right) \right]^{\star-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} (c@ \mathbf{x}) @ \mathbf{y} &= \left[c \tilde{\circ} \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} c \right)^{\star-1} \right] \tilde{\circ} \\ &\quad \left[\left((\mathbf{y})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} c \right) \triangleleft \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} c \right)^{\star-1} \right]^{\star-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 4.8 and using the fact $\mathbf{a}^{\star-1} \star \mathbf{b}^{\star-1} = (\mathbf{b} \star \mathbf{a})^{\star-1}$ for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in (G, \star)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (c@ \mathbf{x}) @ \mathbf{y} &= c \tilde{\circ} \left[\left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} c \right)^{\star-1} \star \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[\left((\mathbf{y})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} c \right) \triangleleft \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} c \right)^{\star-1} \right]^{\star-1} \right] \\ &= c \tilde{\circ} \left[\left(\left((\mathbf{y})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} c \right) \triangleleft \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} c \right)^{\star-1} \right) \star \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot-1} \bar{\circ} c \right) \right]^{\star-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using definition 4.4,




Fig. 5. Non-commutativity of Additive and Multiplicative Feedback Loops.

$$(c @ \mathbf{x}) @ \mathbf{y} = c \circ \left[\left(\left((\mathbf{y})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right) \triangleleft \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right)^{\star -1} \right) \triangleleft \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right) \odot \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right) \right]^{\star -1}.$$

Using Theorem 4.10,

$$\begin{aligned} (c @ \mathbf{x}) @ \mathbf{y} &= c \circ \left[\left(\left((\mathbf{y})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right) \triangleleft \left[\left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right)^{\star -1} \star \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right) \right] \odot \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right) \right)^{\star -1} \right] \\ &= c \circ \left[\left(\left((\mathbf{y})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right) \triangleleft \mathbf{e} \right) \odot \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right) \right]^{\star -1}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{e} is the identity element of group (G, \star) . Using Theorem 4.10 and Theorem 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} (c @ \mathbf{x}) @ \mathbf{y} &= c \circ \left[\left((\mathbf{y})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right) \odot \left((\mathbf{x})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right) \right]^{\star -1} \\ &= c \circ \left[(\mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y})^{\odot -1} \bar{\odot} c \right]^{\star -1} = c @ (\mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

The statement $c @ \mathbf{e} = c$ can be verified straightforward from the definition. ■


Thus, the affine feedback product is a right action by the group (G, \odot) on the set of formal power series $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$.

Remark: Additive feedback is an affine feedback whose multiplicative component is 1, while multiplicative feedback is an affine feedback whose additive component is 0. Thus, by (8), additive feedback product is action on the plant by the additive group of formal power series $(\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, +, 0)$ and respectively, the multiplicative feedback product is action on the plant by the shuffle group $(M, \sqcup, 1\emptyset)$. The assertions are in accordance with the literature (Gray et al., 2014a; Venkatesh, 2022; Ebrahimi-Fard & Venkatesh, 2023).

The additive and multiplicative feedback loops in the affine feedback configuration do not commute in general. This structural non-commutativity is illustrated via the Figure 5. Let $F_\omega[v] = y$, then the closed-loop generating series ω for the Figure 5 can be described as:

$$\omega = \left(\left(\left(c @ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) @ \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) @ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -d_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) @ \begin{bmatrix} d_1^{\sqcup -1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right). \quad (12)$$

If multiplicative and additive feedback loops did commute, then the additive feedback loops would cancel other (since $d_2 + (-d_2) = 0$) and multiplicative loops would negate each other (since $d_1 \sqcup d_1^{\sqcup -1} = 1$). Hence, if the loops commuted, Figure 5 is equivalent to the following diagram.



However, using Theorem 4.12 on (12):

$$\omega = c @ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -d_2 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} d_1^{\sqcup -1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Using Theorem 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= c @ \left(\begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} d_1^{\sqcup -1} \\ -d_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= c @ \begin{bmatrix} d_2 \sqcup (1 - d_1) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\omega = c @ [1 \ d_2 \sqcup (1 - d_1)]^t$ infers that the feedback loops do not negate each other completely, and there is a *residual* additive feedback loop (since multiplicative component is 1) amounting to F_c being in additive feedback with the $F_{d_2 \sqcup (1-d_1)}$. Hence, the diagram 5 is equivalent to the Figure 6.




Fig. 6. Residual Additive Feedback Loop of Figure 5.

Let $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_\odot$ denote the group commutator of \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} of (G, \odot) viz.

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_\odot = \mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y} \odot \mathbf{x}^{\odot -1} \odot \mathbf{y}^{\odot -1}.$$

If A and B are two subgroups of (G, \odot) , then

$$[A, B]_\odot := \{\mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y} \odot \mathbf{x}^{\odot -1} \odot \mathbf{y}^{\odot -1} : \forall \mathbf{x} \in A, \mathbf{y} \in B\}.$$

The computation of ω in (12) asserts that $[M, G_+]_\odot \subseteq G_+$ and is formally proven in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.13. The commutator subgroup $[\widehat{M, G_+}]_\odot$, defined as the group generated by the commutators $[M, G_+]_\odot$, is a normal subgroup of G_+ .

Proof: (i) Let $\mathbf{x} \in M$ and $\mathbf{y} \in G_+$, then

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_\odot = \mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y} \odot \mathbf{x}^{\odot -1} \odot \mathbf{y}^{\odot -1}.$$

Since G_+ is a normal subgroup of G , this implies $\mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y} \odot \mathbf{x}^{\odot -1} \in G_+$. Thus,

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_\odot = \{\mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y} \odot \mathbf{x}^{\odot -1}\} \odot \mathbf{y}^{\odot -1} \in G_+$$

Therefore, $[M, G_+]_\odot \subseteq G_+ \implies [\widehat{M, G_+}]_\odot \triangleleft G_+$ since a subgroup of a normal subgroup is normal. ■

Let $\mathbf{c} \in M$ such that $\mathbf{c} = ce_1 + 0e_2$. Let $\mathbf{d} \in G_+$ such that $\mathbf{d} = 1e_1 + de_2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}]_{\odot} &= \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ d \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} b \sqcup^{-1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -d \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ d \sqcup (1-b) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as a definition

$$[M, G_+]_{\odot} := \left\{ 1e_1 + (d \sqcup (1-b)) : d \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, b \in M \right\}. \quad (13)$$

(G, \odot) isomorphic to the character group of the graded connected combinatorial Hopf algebra of coordinate functions as discussed in (Ebrahimi-Fard et al., 2023). $(G, \odot) \cong M \ltimes (\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, +)$ is thus a formal Lie group. The formal Lie algebra of G is denoted by $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$. The Lie algebras of formal Lie subgroups M and G_+ are denoted \mathfrak{g}_{\sqcup} and \mathfrak{g}_+ respectively.

Observe that g_{\sqcup} is isomorphic to the vector space of $\mathbb{R}_p\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. If for some $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, $\mathbf{e} + tce_1 \in M$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ implies

$$t^{-1}(((\mathbf{e} + tce_1) - \mathbf{e})(\mathbf{1})) = 0 \Leftrightarrow c(\mathbf{1}) = 0.$$

Thus c is a proper series. Similarly, $\mathfrak{g}_+ \cong \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ as vector spaces. If for some $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, $1e_1 + tce_2 \in (G_+, \diamond)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ implies

$$t^{-1}((\mathbf{e} + tce_2) - \mathbf{e}) = 0e_1 + ce_2.$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}_+ \cong \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ as vector spaces.

The commutator in (13) is a map on the formal Lie groups given by:

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot, \cdot]_{\odot} : M \times G_+ &\longrightarrow G_+ \\ (ce_1 + 0e_2, 1e_1 + de_2) &\mapsto 1e_1 + (d \sqcup (1-c))e_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $c \in M$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. Linearizing the group commutator map at \mathbf{e} , the identity of (G, \odot) , gives the Lie bracket between the Lie subalgebras \mathfrak{g}_{\sqcup} and \mathfrak{g}_+ . Let $d_1e_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\sqcup}$ and $d_2e_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_+$, where $d_1 \in \mathbb{R}_p\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. Then using (13),

$$\begin{aligned} [d_1e_1, d_2e_2] &= [(1 + d_1)e_1, d_2e_2]_{\odot} - [1e_1, 0e_2]_{\odot} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ d_2 \sqcup d_1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ d_1 \sqcup d_2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the formal Lie group (G, \odot) has a formal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}_p\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \times \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ (as vector spaces), whose Lie bracket is defined as the following

$$\begin{aligned} [d_1e_1, d_2e_2] &= -[d_2e_2, d_1e_1] = (d_1 \sqcup d_2)e_2 \\ [d_1e_1, f_1e_1] &= [d_2e_2, f_2e_2] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $d_1, f_1 \in \mathbb{R}_p\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $d_2, f_2 \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. Note that \mathfrak{g}_{\sqcup} and \mathfrak{g}_+ are Abelian Lie algebras (as the corresponding group products are commutative). Henceforth in (14),

$$[d_ie_i, f_ie_i] = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Remark: The Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ in (14) is the Lie algebra which is a part of definition of the post-Lie algebra that is obtained by linearizing the affine feedback post group $(G, \odot, \triangleleft)$, in Ebrahimi-Fard et al. (2023). The post-Lie algebra was however first observed from the Hopf algebra of coordinate functions corresponding to affine feedback (Foissy, 2018).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author acknowledges the support and various useful comments from Prof. Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard of Department of Mathematical Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway and Center for Advanced Studies (CAS), Oslo, Norway and Prof. W. Steven Gray of Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Old Dominion University (ODU), Norfolk, Virginia, USA.

REFERENCES

- M. J. H. Al-Kaabi, K. Ebrahimi-Fard, and D. Manchon, Free post-groups, post-groups from group actions, and post-Lie algebras, [arxiv:2306.08284 \[math.QA\]](#) (2023).
- R. W. Brockett, Feedback Invariants for Nonlinear Systems, *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 11 (1978) 1115–1120.
- K. Ebrahimi-Fard, W. S. Gray, G. S. Venkatesh, On the Post-Lie Structure in SISO Affine Feedback Control Systems, [arXiv:2311.04070 \[math.OC\]](#) (2023).
- K. Ebrahimi-Fard, G. S. Venkatesh, A Formal Power Series Approach to Multiplicative Dynamic Feedback Interconnection, [arXiv:2301.04949 \[math.OC\]](#) (2023).
- A. Ferfera, Combinatoire du monoïde libre et composition de certains systèmes non linéaires, *Astérisque*, 75-76 (1980) 87–93.
- M. Fliess, Fonctionnelles causales non linéaires et indéterminées non commutatives, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 109 (1981) 3–40.
- L. Foissy, Extension of the Product of a Post-Lie Algebra and Application to the SISO Feedback Transformation Group, *Computation and Combinatorics in Dynamics, Stochastics and Control*, (2018), Abel Symp. organised by Hans et al.
- W. S. Gray, L. A. Duffaut Espinosa, and K. Ebrahimi-Fard, Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra of the output feedback group for multivariable Fliess operators, *Systems Control Lett.*, 74 (2014) 64–73.
- W. S. Gray and K. Ebrahimi-Fard, SISO output affine feedback transformation group and its Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 55 (2017) 885–912.
- W. S. Gray and Y. Wang, Fliess operators on L_p spaces: Convergence and continuity, *Systems Control Lett.*, 46 (2002) 67–74.
- A. Isidori, *Nonlinear Control Systems*, 3rd Ed., Springer, London, 1995.
- R. Ree, Lie elements and an algebra associated with shuffles, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 68 (1958) 210–220.
- M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin Inc., New York, 1969.
- M. Thitsa and W. S. Gray, On the Radius of Convergence of Interconnected Analytic Nonlinear Input-Output Systems, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 50 (2012) 2786–2813.
- G. S. Venkatesh, Wiener-Fliess Composition of Formal Power Series: Additive Static Feedback and Shuffle Rational Series, *Ph.D. Dissertation*, Old Dominion University, 2021.
- G. S. Venkatesh, A Formal Power Series Approach to Multiplicative Dynamic and Static Output Feedback, *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 55 (2022) 174–179.