

PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS IN THE HALL ALGEBRA OF A CYCLIC QUIVER

by

Renda Ma

December 2022

A dissertation submitted to the
Faculty of the Graduate School of
the University at Buffalo, The State University of New York
in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Mathematics

Copyright by

Renda Ma

2022

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Professor Yiqiang Li for his patience, guidance and support towards me for my studies at University at Buffalo. I would also like to thank Professor Joseph Hundley and Professor David Hemmer for their comments and help on my thesis.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	ii
Abstract	vi
1 Introduction	1
2 Ring of symmetric functions	4
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Ring of Symmetric functions	4
2.3 Cyclic Symmetric Functions	5
3 Formula of p_n in ring of symmetric functions	8
3.1 Introduction	8
4 Hall algebra	14
4.1 Introduction	14
4.2 Finitary category	14
4.3 Hall algebra of a finitary category	15
4.3.1 Comultiplication on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$	16
4.3.2 $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a bialgebra over hereditary finitary category	17
5 Categories of representations of quivers	19
5.1 Introduction	19
5.2 Representations of quivers	19
5.2.1 Representations of Jordan quiver	20
5.2.2 Representations of Cyclic quiver	21
5.3 Hall algebra of quiver representations	21
5.3.1 Hall algebra is a Hopf algebra	22
5.4 Isomorphism between Hall algebra and quantum Lie group	22
6 Primitive elements in the Hall algebra	24
6.1 Introduction	24
6.2 Primitive element of Jordan Quiver case	24
6.3 Primitive elements in Hall algebra for Cyclic quiver	25
6.3.1 Isomorphism between $\Lambda[t]$ and central subalgebra of $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_N}^{nil}$	25
6.3.2 Primitive elements of Hall algebra of cyclic quiver	26

7	Examples	27
7.1	Examples of primitive elements	27
7.2	An identity of Hall number	28
7.3	Primitive elements for acyclic quiver	30
7.4	Primitive elements for Hall algebra of cyclic quiver for all case	31
7.5	Primitive elements for Hall algebra of 2-periodic complexes	32
7.6	Examples of z_n	32
	Bibliography	34

Abstract

We provide an explicit formula for primitive elements in the Hall algebras of nilpotent representations of cyclic quivers.

Chapter 1

Introduction

To a finitary category, Ringel attached an associative algebra which is commonly called the Ringel-Hall algebra or just the Hall algebra. The multiplication of the Hall algebra is related to the number of short exact sequences with fixed isomorphism classes. Later, Ringel showed that the structure constants are specializations of certain polynomials, called the Hall polynomials when the finitary category is the category of representations of a Dynkin quiver over a finite field. Further, J.A.Green proved that there is a topological coproduct for the Ringel-Hall algebra associated with any finitary category, and that, this coproduct gives the structure of a bialgebra in the case of the category of representation of a quiver [4]. Xiao discovered an antipode [7] which provides the Hall algebra with a twisted Hopf algebra structure. The twisting is related with the coproduct. One interesting property of the Hall algebra provided by Ringel is that for Dynkin quivers, there is an isomorphism between the Borel part of the quantum universal enveloping algebra and the extended Hall algebra of the category of representations of the corresponding quiver. Further, it is shown that for a general quiver without loops, the positive part of the quantum universal enveloping algebra is isomorphic to the composition algebra of the Hall algebra of the corresponding quiver. Moreover, this isomorphism is a twisted Hopf algebra isomorphism. By the Milnor-Moore theorem, any Hopf algebra generated by primitive elements is the universal enveloping

algebra of the Lie algebra structure on these elements. Thus, it is an interesting problem to describe primitive elements of the Hall algebra.

The Hall algebra of a cyclic quiver has been intensively studied since its incarnation. When the cyclic quiver is the Jordan quiver, the study of this Hall algebra goes back to Steinitz, Hall and Macdonald. Their work is the inspiration of Ringel's work. The Hall algebra of the Jordan quiver is a genuine Hopf algebra instead of a twisted one. Steinitz, Hall and Macdonald[6] showed that there is an isomorphism between the Hall algebra of nilpotent representations of the Jordan quiver and ring of symmetric functions over the same field. Based on this result, Macdonald showed that every primitive element in the Hall algebra of the category of nilpotent representations of a Jordan quiver has the formula

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (1 - q) \dots (1 - q^{l(\lambda)-1}) I_\lambda$$

where λ is a partition of n , $l(\lambda)$ is the length of λ , I_n is the $n - dimensional$ indecomposable representation of the Jordan quiver, and $I_\lambda = I_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus I_{\lambda_n}$. It was shown by Ringel, Hubery and Schiffmann that the Hall algebra of the category of nilpotent representations of a cyclic quiver is the tensor product of the center with its composition algebra. Hubery and Schiffmann extended Steinitz, Hall and Macdonald result, proving that there is an isomorphism between the ring of symmetric functions and the center of the Hall algebra of nilpotent representations of a cyclic quiver over a finite field [5]. Hubery asked a question about what primitive elements are like in the Hall algebra of nilpotent representations of a cyclic quiver[5]. In this paper, we answer this question, showing that primitive elements p_n for case \hat{A}_N have the formula as in Theorem 6.3.2

$$p_n = \frac{n}{1 - q^{-Nn}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} z_\lambda \quad (1.0.1)$$

In the process of proving the above result, we made use of the following ingredients. The first one is the isomorphism between the Hall algebra of the Jordan quiver and the ring of

symmetric functions. The second one is the recursive formula for primitive elements of the ring of symmetric functions in a base denoted as $c_\lambda(x, t)$. We are somewhat surprised to see that the formula in Prop 3.1.3

$$p_n = \frac{n}{1-t^n} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} c_\lambda(X; t)$$

is neglected in the literature. The third ingredient is the isomorphism between the ring of symmetric functions and center of Hall algebra of cyclic quiver. We can use this isomorphism to deduce result (3.1.7). This result can provide us some applications. For example, by comparing the two equations of primitive elements (3.1.7), (6.2.2) in the case of the Jordan quiver, we get an identity in proposition 6.1 which is related to the Hall number for a special case.

In section 2 we will recall some basic information about the ring of symmetric functions. Special symmetric functions called cyclic symmetric functions $c_n(x)$ are introduced. These are mapped to the generators of the center of the Hall algebra. In section 3, we present the formula for primitive elements in the ring of symmetric functions in terms of the basis of cyclic symmetric functions in Proposition 3.1.3. In section 4, Some basic information about representations of quiver are introduced. In section 5, our paper will present the main theorem for the case of a cyclic quiver and in Propostion 6.3 give a detailed description of the primitive elements of the Hall algebra over nilpotent representations of a cyclic quiver. We will give some examples of applications of our formula in section 7.

Chapter 2

Ring of symmetric functions

2.1 Introduction

Here we give a brief review of the ring of symmetric functions. The main reference of this chapter is [6].

2.2 Ring of Symmetric functions

Let $\mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring with n independent variables X_1, \dots, X_n . The symmetric group S_n acts on this ring by permutating variables $\sigma(X_i) = X_{\sigma(i)}$ where σ is in S_n , $1 \leq i \leq n$. The symmetric polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]^{S_n}$ is the fixed point locus in $\mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ under this action. The symmetric polynomials form a subring

$$\Lambda_n = \mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]^{S_n}$$

Moreover Λ_n is a graded ring, we have

$$\Lambda_n = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Lambda_n^k$$

where Λ_n^k is the homogeneous symmetric polynomials of degree k . For $m \geq n$, consider the homomorphism $\mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ by sending X_{n+1}, \dots, X_m to 0, and each other X_i to itself. This homomorphism is compatible with the actions of S_n and S_m . Restricting this homomorphism to Λ_n , gives a homomorphism $\rho_{m,n} : \Lambda_m \rightarrow \Lambda_n$. Further restriction to Λ_n^k , we have a homomorphism $\rho_{m,n}^k : \Lambda_m^k \rightarrow \Lambda_n^k$. We now form an inverse limit $\Lambda^k = \varprojlim \Lambda_n^k$ relative to the homomorphisms $\rho_{m,n}^k$. Now let $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Lambda^k$. We call Λ the ring of symmetric functions. Let $\{p_n(X)\}$ represent power sum symmetric functions, that is $p_n(X) = \sum_i X_i^n$. Then $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}[p_1, p_2, \dots]$ admits a Hopf algebra structure by setting

$$\Delta(p_n(X)) = p_n(X) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes p_n(X), \quad \epsilon(p_n(X)) = 0, \quad S(p_n) = -p_n(X).$$

By definition, we see that p_n are primitive elements of Λ . Because $p_n(X)$ are also generators of Λ , so all primitive elements of Λ are $p_n(X)$.

2.3 Cyclic Symmetric Functions

We now explain an important class of symmetric functions called cyclic symmetric functions, which are denoted by $c_n(X; t)$. They will be used later. Denote the extension $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[t]$ by $\Lambda[t]$. Along this extension, there is a generalization of symmetric functions in Λ . In Λ , the complete symmetric functions $h_n(X)$ is defined as

$$h_n(X) = \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n} X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_n}$$

The generating function for $h_n(X)$ is defined as,

$$H(T) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} h_n(X) T^n = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - X_i T)^{-1}$$

The relation between $H(T)$ and p_n is shown in [6]. Let \exp denote exponential function, we have

$$H(T) = \exp \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{p_n}{n} T^n$$

By following [5], define a generalization $c_n(X; t) \in \Lambda[t]$ of $h_n(X)$ in the following way:

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n(X; t) T^n = \frac{H(T)}{H(tT)} = \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - t^n}{n} p_n T^n \right) \quad (2.3.1)$$

We take the natural logarithm \ln of the equation (2.3.1) to get the relation between $c_n(X; t)$ and $p_n(X)$ in the following way:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - t^n}{n} p_n T^n = \ln \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n(X; t) T^n \right) \quad (2.3.2)$$

By taking derivative with respect to T for the above equation, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} (1 - t^n) p_n T^{n-1} = \frac{\sum_{n \geq 1} n c_n(X; t) T^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n(X; t) T^n}$$

Multiplying the denominator on both sides of the equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} n c_n(X; t) T^{n-1} &= \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} (1 - t^n) p_n T^{n-1} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n(X; t) T^n \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} (1 - t^n) p_n T^{n-1} \right) (c_0(X; t) T^0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n(X; t) T^n) \\ &= \left(\sum_{a \geq 1} (1 - t^a) p_a T^{a-1} \right) \left(\sum_{b \geq 0} c_b(X; t) T^b \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \geq 0} \sum_{a \geq 1} (1 - t^a) p_a c_b(X; t) T^{a+b-1} \end{aligned}$$

Let $c_0(X; t) = 1$, $a + b = n$ and matching the coefficient correspondingly, we have

$$nc_n(X; t) = \sum_{a=1}^n (1 - t^a) p_a c_{n-a}(X; t) \quad (2.3.3)$$

Chapter 3

Formula of p_n in ring of symmetric functions

3.1 Introduction

Here we provide our formula to describe primitive elements in $\Lambda[t]$. Solving the above equation (2.3.3) recursively, we have

Lemma 3.1.1. *The following formula for primitive elements in $\Lambda[t]$*

$$p_n = \frac{1}{1-t^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_k = n \\ i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 1}} i_k c_{i_k}(X; t) c_{i_{k-1}}(X; t) \dots c_{i_1}(X; t) \quad (3.1.1)$$

Proof. We will prove the lemma by induction.

- 1 When $n = 1$, by equation (3.1.1) we have $p_1 = \frac{1}{1-t} c_1(X; t)$ which satisfies equation (2.3.3).
- 2 Suppose p_j also satisfies equation (2.3.3) for $j \leq n - 1$.

3 Simplifying equation (3.1.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - t^n)p_n &= nc_n(X; t) - \sum_{i_1+i_2=n} i_2 c_{i_2}(X; t) c_{i_1}(X; t) + \dots \\
&+ (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_n=n} i_n c_{i_n}(X; t) \dots c_{i_1}(X; t) \\
&= nc_n(X; t) - \sum_{i_1=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i_2=n-i_1} i_2 c_{i_2}(X; t) + \dots \right. \\
&+ (-1)^n \sum_{i_n+\dots+i_2=n-i_1} i_n c_{i_n}(X; t) \dots c_{i_2}(X; t) \left. \right) c_{i_1}(X; t) \\
&= nc_n(X; t) - \sum_{i_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-i_1} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i_{k+1}+\dots+i_2=n-i_1} i_{k+1} c_{i_{k+1}}(X; t) \dots c_{i_2}(X; t) c_{i_1}(X; t)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.2}$$

Substituting $n - i_1 = a$ to the right hand of last equation as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - t^n)p_n &= nc_n(X; t) - \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^a (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i_{k+1}+\dots+i_2=a} i_{k+1} c_{i_{k+1}}(X; t) \dots c_{i_2}(X; t) c_{n-a}(X; t) \\
&= nc_n(X; t) - \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^a (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{j_k+\dots+j_1=a} j_k c_{j_k}(X; t) \dots c_{j_1}(X; t) c_{n-a}(X; t)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.3}$$

By assumption, p_a satisfies (3.1.1), so

$$p_a = \frac{1}{1 - t^a} \sum_{k=1}^a (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_k=a} j_k c_{j_k}(X; t) \dots c_{j_1}(X; t)$$

. Substituting p_a in (3.1.3), we have,

$$(1 - t^n)p_n = nc_n(X; t) - \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (1 - t^a) p_a c_{n-a}(X; t) \tag{3.1.4}$$

The formula (3.1.1) satisfies equation (2.3.3) for the case of n . So by induction, the formula for p_n satisfies equation (2.3.3) for all n . Because $\{c_n(X; t)\}$ is a basis of

$\Lambda[t]$, by equation (2.3.3), p_n are uniquely determined. Since the formula (3.1.1) also satisfies equation (2.3.3), so the formula (3.1.1) is a correct representation of p_n .

□

We can simplify equation (3.1.1) further. The goal is to express the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_k = n \\ i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 1}} i_k c_{i_k}(X; t) c_{i_{k-1}}(X; t) \dots c_{i_1}(X; t) \quad (3.1.5)$$

as the tautology

$$\sum_{\substack{r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + nr_n = n \\ r_1 + \dots + r_n = k}} \sum_{l=1}^n l g_{r_1, \dots, r_n}^l c_1^{r_1}(X; t) \dots c_n^{r_n}(X; t) \quad (3.1.6)$$

Here $r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0$. g_{r_1, \dots, r_n}^l representing the cardinality of monomials $c_{i_k}(X; t) \dots c_{i_1}(X; t)$ with a tuple of fixed multiplicity $m(c_1(X; t)) = r_1, \dots, m(c_n(X; t)) = r_n$. l here is an index $1 \leq l \leq n$. In other words, if $|\cdot|$ represents cardinality then,

$$g_{r_1, \dots, r_n}^l = \left| \left\{ (j_{k-1}, \dots, j_1) \vdash n - l : \begin{aligned} &|\{s \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} : j_s = 1\}| = r_1, \dots, \\ &|\{s \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} : j_s = l\}| = r_l - 1, \dots, \\ &|\{s \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} : j_s = n\}| = r_n \end{aligned} \right\} \right|$$

Lemma 3.1.2. For a fixed (r_1, \dots, r_n) , we have $g_{r_1, \dots, r_n}^l = \binom{r_1 + \dots + r_n - 1}{r_1, \dots, r_l - 1, \dots, r_n}$.

Proof. By definition

$$g_{r_1, \dots, r_n}^l = \left| \left\{ (j_{k-1}, \dots, j_1) \vdash n - l : |\{s \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} : j_s = 1\}| = r_1, \dots, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. |\{s \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} : j_s = l\}| = r_l - 1, \dots, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. |\{s \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} : j_s = n\}| = r_n \right\} \right|$$

Simply we can get that

$$g_{r_1, \dots, r_n}^l = \binom{r_1 + \dots + r_n - 1}{r_1} \binom{r_2 + \dots + r_n - 1}{r_2} \dots \binom{r_{n-1} + r_n}{r_{n-1}} \\ = \binom{r_1 + \dots + r_n - 1}{r_1, \dots, r_l - 1, \dots, r_n}$$

□

Let $r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0$, if the formula (3.1.1)

$$p_n = \frac{1}{1 - t^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_k = n \\ i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 1}} i_k c_{i_k}(X; t) c_{i_{k-1}}(X; t) \dots c_{i_1}(X; t)$$

being simplified as the tautology

$$= \frac{1}{1 - t^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{1r_1 + \dots + nr_n = n \\ r_1 + \dots + r_n = k}} \sum_{l=1}^t l g_{r_1, \dots, r_n}^l c_1(X; t)^{r_1} \dots c_n(X; t)^{r_n}$$

Substituting formula of g_{r_1, \dots, r_n}^l into equation above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p_n &= \frac{1}{1-t^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{1r_1 + \dots + nr_n = n \\ r_1 + \dots + r_n = k}} \sum_{l=1}^t l \binom{r_1 + \dots + r_n - 1}{r_1, \dots, r_l - 1, \dots, r_n} c_1(X; t)^{r_1} \dots c_n(X; t)^{r_n} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-t^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{1r_1 + \dots + nr_n = n \\ r_1 + \dots + r_n = k}} \sum_{l=1}^t l r_l \binom{r_1 + \dots + r_n - 1}{r_1, \dots, r_l, \dots, r_n} c_1(X; t)^{r_1} \dots c_n(X; t)^{r_n} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-t^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{1r_1 + \dots + nr_n = n \\ r_1 + \dots + r_n = k}} \sum_{l=1}^t l r_l \frac{1}{k} \binom{r_1 + \dots + r_n}{r_1, \dots, r_n} c_1(X; t)^{r_1} \dots c_n(X; t)^{r_n} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-t^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\substack{1r_1 + \dots + nr_n = n \\ r_1 + \dots + r_n = k}} \sum_{l=1}^t l r_l \binom{r_1 + \dots + r_n}{r_1, \dots, r_n} c_1(X; t)^{r_1} \dots c_n(X; t)^{r_n}
\end{aligned}$$

After summing over l , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p_n &= \frac{1}{1-t^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \frac{n}{k} \sum_{\substack{1r_1 + \dots + nr_n = n \\ r_1 + \dots + r_n = k}} \binom{r_1 + \dots + r_n}{r_1, \dots, r_n} c_1(X; t)^{r_1} \dots c_n(X; t)^{r_n} \\
&= \frac{n}{1-t^n} \sum_{1r_1 + \dots + nr_n = n} (-1)^{r_1 + \dots + r_n + 1} \frac{1}{r_1 + \dots + r_n} \binom{r_1 + \dots + r_n}{r_1, \dots, r_n} c_1(X; t)^{r_1} \dots c_n(X; t)^{r_n}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.7}$$

So we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1.3. *Let λ be a partition of n in the form that $\lambda = (1^{m_1(\lambda)}, \dots, n^{m_n(\lambda)})$, $m_i(\lambda)$*

is the multiplicity of i in λ . One explicit formula for primitive elements in $\Lambda[t]$ is

$$p_n = \frac{n}{1-t^n} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} c_\lambda(X; t) \quad (3.1.8)$$

where $l(\lambda)$ is the length of λ , $c_\lambda(X; t) = c_1(X; t)^{m_1(\lambda)} \dots c_n(X; t)^{m_n(\lambda)}$.

The equation (3.1.8) is important in our later discussion. One interesting point is that the right hand of (3.1.8) is in $\Lambda[t]$. We will begin introducing the Hall algebra of nilpotent representations of a cyclic quiver over a finite field.

Chapter 4

Hall algebra

4.1 Introduction

We will now introduce the Hall algebra of a finitary category, the main reference of this section is [9]

4.2 Finitary category

Shiffmann introduced a category called finitary category in [9], we will review it here. A category is called a small category if all of its objects form a set and all of its morphisms form a set. A small abelian category \mathcal{A} is called finitary if for any two objects $M, N \in \mathcal{A}$, they satisfy the condition,

$$|Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)| < \infty, \quad |Ext_{\mathcal{A}}^1(M, N)| < \infty$$

Here $Ext_{\mathcal{A}}^n(M, N)$ is the $n - th$ cohomology group. Let \mathcal{A} be a finitary category, we make additional assumptions that for arbitrary objects M, N in \mathcal{A} , there exists a number

$c_{M,N} > 0$ such that

$$Ext_{\mathcal{A}}^i(M, N) = \{0\}, \text{ for } i > c_{M,N}$$

$$|Ext_{\mathcal{A}}^i(M, N)| < \infty \text{ for all } i.$$

For any two objects M, N of \mathcal{A} , we put

$$\langle M, N \rangle = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} |Ext_{\mathcal{A}}^i(M, N)|^{(-1)^i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

By the assumption, the quantity $\langle M, N \rangle$ is well defined because for there exists an $c_{M,N}$ such that any $i > c_{M,N}$, $Ext_{\mathcal{A}}^i(M, N) = \{0\}$ for any objects M, N . \langle, \rangle is called multiplicative Euler form. It is also useful to introduce the symmetric Euler form $(M, N) = \langle M, N \rangle \cdot \langle N, M \rangle$. Now we can introduce the definition of the Hall algebra of a finitary category.

4.3 Hall algebra of a finitary category

Here we will introduce Hall algebra of a finitary category. The main reference is in [9].

Let \mathcal{A} be a finitary category and for an object M of \mathcal{A} , let $[M]$ denote the isomorphism class of M . With fixed objects $M, N, R \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote $\mathbf{P}_{M,N}^R$ as the cardinality of all short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Set $a_M = |Aut(M)|$. The Hall algebra $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined to be

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigoplus_{[M]} \mathbb{C}[M]$$

as vector space where $[M]$ runs over the set of isoclasses of objects in \mathcal{A} with multiplication given by

$$[M] \cdot [N] = \langle M, N \rangle \sum_R \frac{1}{a_M a_N} \mathbf{P}_{M,N}^R [R]$$

The summation in above definition is well-defined because $|Ext^1(M, N)| < \infty$, so there are only finitely many R . It is known that $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an associative algebra with unit $i : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ given by $i(c) = c[0]$, where 0 is the zero object of \mathcal{A} .

4.3.1 Comultiplication on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$

The main reference in this section is from [9] and [4]. Paraphrasing what Schiffmann said in [9], we can say that the multiplication in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ encodes all the ways of forming short exact sequences with object M on top of object N . It would be interesting to have an operation which is breaking an object R into two objects. By the assumption of \mathcal{A} , there are only finitely many extensions of two objects M, N . But for a fixed object R , there are possible infinitely many pairs (M, N) such that short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ exist. So we need to consider certain completion of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $K(\mathcal{A})$ denote the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{A} . For $\alpha \in K(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}[\alpha] = \bigoplus_{\bar{M}=\alpha} \mathbb{C}[M]$. Here \bar{M} stands for the class of M in Grothendieck group. Set

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}[\alpha] \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}[\beta] = \prod_{[M] \in \alpha, [N] \in \beta} \mathbb{C}[M] \otimes \mathbb{C}[N]$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} = \prod_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}[\alpha] \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}[\beta]$$

In other words, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the space of all formal (infinite) linear combinations $\sum_{M, N} c_{M, N} [M] \otimes [N]$, $c_{M, N} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 4.3.1. [4] *The following defines on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ the structure of a topological coassociative coproduct: for any object $R \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$,*

$$\Delta([R]) = \sum_{M, N} \langle M, N \rangle \frac{\mathbf{P}_{M, N}^R}{a_R} [M] \otimes [N]$$

with counit $\epsilon : \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\epsilon([M]) = \delta_{M, 0}$.

4.3.2 $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a bialgebra over hereditary finitary category

By the result of the previous section, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an algebra and coalgebra. But in general $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not a bialgebra. An algebra $B(\cdot, i, \epsilon, \delta)$ is called a bialgebra, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1 B is a vector space over a field k .
- 2 There are k -linear map (multiplication) $\cdot : B \otimes B \mapsto B$ and unit $i : k \mapsto B$ such that (B, \cdot, i) is an unital associative algebra.
- 3 There are $\Delta : B \mapsto B \otimes B$ and counit $\epsilon : B \mapsto k$ such that (B, Δ, ϵ) is a coalgebra.
- 4 Compatibility conditions expressed by the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\cdot} & B \xrightarrow{\Delta} B \otimes B \\
 \downarrow \cdot \otimes \Delta & & \uparrow \cdot \otimes \cdot \\
 B \otimes B \otimes B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}} & B \otimes B \otimes B \otimes B
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\cdot} & B \\
 \searrow \epsilon \otimes \epsilon & & \swarrow \epsilon \\
 & k \otimes k \cong k &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & k \otimes k \cong k & \\
 \swarrow i \otimes i & & \searrow i \\
 B \otimes B & \xleftarrow{\Delta} & B
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 k & & \\
 \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow i & \\
 & B & \\
 & \swarrow \epsilon & \\
 k & &
 \end{array}$$

Green proved that $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a bialgebra when \mathcal{A} is hereditary.[4] From now on we assume that \mathcal{A} is hereditary, i.e., that is for any two objects $M, N \in \mathcal{A}$, $\text{Ext}^i(M, N) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$.

We slightly twist the product on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$: Because $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a graded algebra, so it contains homogeneous elements in the sense of graded algebra. If x, y, z, w are homogeneous elements of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of respect weight $wt(x), wt(y), wt(z), wt(w) \in K(\mathcal{A})$, then define

$$(x \otimes y) \cdot (z \otimes w) = \langle wt(y), wt(z) \rangle \langle wt(z), wt(y) \rangle (xz \otimes yw)$$

The following is proved by Green [4].

Proposition 4.3.2. *(Green) Assume that \mathcal{A} is hereditary. The map $\Delta : \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an algebra homomorphism, i.e. for any two $x, y \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\Delta(x \cdot y) = \Delta(x) \cdot \Delta(y)$.*

Because the above proposition, we have that $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a bialgebra.

Chapter 5

Categories of representations of quivers

5.1 Introduction

In the previous section, we showed that $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a bialgebra. Furthermore Xiao in [7] proved that for the category of representations of a quiver, the extended hall algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$, which we define in section 5.3.1, is a Hopf algebra. So we introduce representations of quivers in this section. The main reference in this section is from [9]

5.2 Representations of quivers

Definition 5.2.1. A quiver Q is an oriented graph with vertices and arrows between vertices. (Multiple arrows and loop arrows are allowed in Q .) Let Q_0 denote the set of vertices and Q_1 denote the set of arrows. For $a \in Q_1$, denote $h(a)$ the vertex a points to, and $t(a)$ the other endpoint of a .

Definition 5.2.2. For a quiver Q , a representation of Q over a field k is an assignment of a vector space V_i over k for each $i \in Q_0$ and a linear morphism $\rho_a : V_i \rightarrow V_j$ for each $a \in Q_1$ from i to j . So, we denote a representation of a fixed Q as $(\bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} V_i, (\rho_a)_{a \in Q_1})$.

For a fixed quiver, a morphism between two representations $(V_i, \rho_a), (V'_i, \rho'_a)$ is $(f_i :$

$V_i \rightarrow V'_i$, $i \in Q_0$ such that the following diagram commute for any $i, j \in Q_0$ and any $a \in Q_1$ such that $h(a) = j, t(a) = i$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_i & & V_j \\ f_i \downarrow & \text{j} & \\ V'_i & & V'_j \end{array}$$

A representation is called a nilpotent representation if there exist an integer n , such that $\rho_{a_1} \rho_{a_2} \dots \rho_{a_n} = 0$ for any $a_i \in Q_0, i = 1, \dots, n-1$, satisfying $h(a_i) = t(a_{i+1})$.

A representation is called an indecomposable representation if it cannot be decomposed as a direct sum of subrepresentations.

A representation is called simple if there is no subrepresentation. We denote simple representations as $S_i, i \in Q_0$

5.2.1 Representations of Jordan quiver

Example 1. A quiver is called a Jordan quiver if $|Q_0| = 1$ and $|Q_1| = 1$. Up to isomorphism, there is only one Jordan quiver. All indecomposable representations of the Jordan quiver is given by a $n \times n$ matrix,


$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mu & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

where $n = \dim V$. Denote an indecomposable representation of dimension n , diagonal μ by $I_{[n;\mu]}$. When we consider nilpotent representations of Jordan quiver, then $\rho^t = 0$ for some $t \geq 0$, then the eigenvalue μ of ρ is $\mu = 0$. If we introduce the notation that $I_{[n]} = I_{[n;0]}$, the nilpotent representation looks like $(V, \rho) = I_{[1]}^{\oplus r_1} \oplus \dots \oplus I_{[n]}^{\oplus r_n}$. So Krull-Schmidt theorem for representations asserts that every representation $(V, \rho) = I_{[1]}^{\oplus r_1} \oplus \dots \oplus I_{[n]}^{\oplus r_n}$

where $n = 1r_1 + \dots + nr_n, r_i \geq 0$. This works for a field no matter that is algebraically closed or not. So if a partition λ of n is $\lambda = (1^{r_1}, \dots, n^{r_n})$, and $I_\lambda = I_{[1]}^{\oplus r_1} \oplus \dots \oplus I_{[n]}^{\oplus r_n}$, then every nilpotent representation $(V, \rho) = I_\lambda$.

5.2.2 Representations of Cyclic quiver

Example 2. The quiver is called the cyclic quiver of n vertices, denoted as \hat{A}_n , is the unique (up to isomorphism) quiver with the diagram looks like the following



For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $l \geq 1$. Consider $V' = \oplus_{j=i+1-l}^i ke_j$ and define $x \in \text{End}(V')$ by $x(e_j) = e_{j-1}, j \neq i+1-l$ and $x(e_{i+1-l}) = 0$. Let $V_h = \oplus_{j \equiv h} ke_j, V = \oplus_{h \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} V_h$. The indecomposable nilpotent representations of \hat{A}_n are all V with different i , we denote all these representations as $I_{[i;l]}$. Then any nilpotent representation is a direct sum of $I_{[i;l]}$ with various value for i, l

5.3 Hall algebra of quiver representations

Denote category of representations of quiver Q over field k as $\text{Rep}_k Q$, $\text{Rep}_k Q$ is a hereditary finitary category. So by the definition, there is a Hall algebra $\mathbf{H}_{\text{Rep}_k Q}$ for an arbitrary quiver Q , we shorten the denotation $\mathbf{H}_{\text{Rep}_k Q}$ as \mathbf{H}_Q . Furthermore we denote the category of nilpotent representation of quiver Q over field k as $\text{Rep}_k^{\text{nil}} Q$, and the Hall algebra over $\text{Rep}_k^{\text{nil}} Q$ as $\mathbf{H}_Q^{\text{nil}}$.

5.3.1 Hall algebra is a Hopf algebra

Xiao in [7] proves that extended Hall algebra of category of representations of quivers are Hopf algebras. We will introduce it here.

Definition 5.3.1. Let $\mathbf{K} = \mathbb{C}[K(\mathcal{A})]$. We define an *extended* Hall algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{K}$ where by adding the relations

$$\mathbf{k}_{\alpha}[M]\mathbf{k}_{\alpha}^{-1} = (\alpha, M)[M]$$

The extended Hall algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is still graded where $\deg(k_{\alpha}) = 0$. If we define the multiplication on $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ as $(x \otimes y)(z \otimes w) = xz \otimes yw$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is still a bialgebra when \mathcal{A} is hereditary with comultiplication

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}_{\alpha}) = \mathbf{k}_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\alpha},$$

$$\Delta([R]\mathbf{k}_{\alpha}) = \sum_{M, N} \langle M, N \rangle \frac{1}{a_R} \mathbf{P}_{M, N}^R [M] \mathbf{k}_{[N] + \alpha} \otimes [N] \mathbf{k}_{\alpha}.$$

We can extend the antipode S in Xiao's paper [7] to $S([M]\mathbf{k}_{\alpha}) = \mathbf{k}_{\alpha}^{-1}S([M])$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Hopf algebra.

5.4 Isomorphism between Hall algebra and quantum Lie group

Suppose that $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{b}'_+)$ is the positive half of the quantum group $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g}')$ corresponding to \mathfrak{g} . The detailed information about quantum group can be found in [9] appendix A.4. Here we have the following nice property.

Proposition 5.4.1. *(Ringel [8], Green [4]) There is an embedding of Hopf algebra*

$$\Phi : \mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{b}'_+) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_Q$$

by sending $E_i \rightarrow [S_i], K_i \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{S}_i}$ for $i \in I$. The map Φ is an isomorphism when Q is of finite type.

We can restrict the above map Φ to $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{n}'_+)$ which gives an embedding $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{n}'_+) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_Q$. The image of this map is called composition algebra, which is the subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_Q$ generated by simple representations.

Chapter 6

Primitive elements in the Hall algebra

6.1 Introduction

From this section, we introduce an isomorphism between ring of symmetric functions and center of Hall algebra over nilpotent representation of cyclic quiver. In Jordan quiver \hat{A}_0 , there is an formula for primitive elements in $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_0}^{nil}$ calculated by Hall, Steinitz and Macdonald [6]. By the isomorphism we will introduce, we can give an explicit formula for primitive elements for all $H_{\hat{A}_n}^{nil}$. The main reference is from [5].

6.2 Primitive element of Jordan Quiver case

For \hat{A}_0 being the Jordan quiver, $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_0}$ is defined over field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$. we introduce the isomorphism between $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_0}^{nil}$ and ring of symmetric functions. Suppose $P_\lambda(\mathbf{X}, t)$ denote Hall-Littlewood functions in $\Lambda[t]$.

Proposition 6.2.1. *(Steinitz, Hall, Macdonald [6]). For \hat{A}_0 being the Jordan quiver, the field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$. There is an isomorphism Φ between $\Lambda[t] \otimes \mathbb{Q}(t^{1/2})$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_0}^{nil}$ where*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \Lambda[t] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_0}^{nil} \\ t &\mapsto q^{-1}\end{aligned}\tag{6.2.1}$$

$$t^{n(\lambda)} P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{X}, t) \mapsto I_{\lambda}$$

where $n(\lambda) = \sum_i (i-1)\lambda_i$.

In $\Lambda[t]$, power sum $p_n(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_i X_i^n$ are the primitive elements. In [6], Macdonald shows that $p_n(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} t^{n(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)-1} (1-t^{-i}) P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{X}; t)$. Under proposition 6.2.1, we have

$$p_n(\mathbf{X}) \mapsto \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (1-q) \dots (1-q^{l(\lambda)-1}) I_{\lambda}\tag{6.2.2}$$

Because $p_n(\mathbf{X})$ in $\Lambda[t]$ are primitive elements, so $\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (1-q) \dots (1-q^{l(\lambda)-1}) I_{\lambda}$ are primitive elements in $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_0}^{nil}$.

6.3 Primitive elements in Hall algebra for Cyclic quiver

Now we extend the above map to the case of cyclic quiver \hat{A}_N , similarly the field is $k = \mathbb{F}_q$.

6.3.1 Isomorphism between $\Lambda[t]$ and central subalgebra of $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_N}^{nil}$

Proposition 6.3.1. (*Shiffmann[10], Hubery[5]*)

1 $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_N}^{nil} \cong C_N \otimes Z_N$ as self-dual graded Hopf algebra, where Z_N is the center of $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_N}^{nil}$.
 C_N is the composition algebra.

2 $z_N = \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})[z_1, z_2, \dots]$ where

$$z_r = (-q^{-1})^{rN} \sum_{\substack{\dim[M] = r\delta \\ \text{socle}(M) \text{ is square-free}}} (-1)^{\dim \text{End}(M)} |Aut(M)| [M] \quad (6.3.1)$$

Here $\text{socle}(M) = \sum_{N \text{ is a simple submodule of } M} N$

3 There is a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda[t] \otimes \mathbb{Q}(t^{\frac{1}{2N}}) &\rightarrow Z_N \\ t &\mapsto q^{-N} \\ c_r(X; t) &\mapsto z_r \end{aligned} \quad (6.3.2)$$

6.3.2 Primitive elements of Hall algebra of cyclic quiver

By our equation (3.1.8), primitive elements $p_n \in \Lambda[t]$ has formula,

$$p_n = \frac{n}{1-t^n} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} c_\lambda(X; t) \quad (6.3.3)$$

Taking p_n under the above isomorphism (5.3.1), we have

Theorem 6.3.2. *Primitive elements p_n of $H_{\hat{A}_N}^{nil}$ has formula that*

$$p_n = \frac{n}{1-q^{-Nn}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} z_\lambda \quad (6.3.4)$$

where $z_\lambda = z_{\lambda_1}^{m_1(\lambda)} \dots z_{\lambda_n}^{m_n(\lambda)}$

.

Chapter 7

Examples

7.1 Examples of primitive elements

For the case of $H_{\hat{A}_0}^{nil}$, we show some calculations of by using our formula.

$$\begin{aligned} z_r &= (-q)^{-r} (-1)^{\dim \text{End}(I_{(r)})} |\text{Aut}(I_{(r)})| [I_{(r)}] \\ &= (-q)^{-r} (-1)^{\dim \text{End}(I_{(r)})} q^r (1 - q^{-1}) [I_{(r)}] \\ &= (1 - q^{-1}) [I_{(r)}] \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}} z_1 = I_{(1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_2 &= \frac{2}{1-q^{-2}} [(-1)^2 \binom{1}{0,1} z_2 + (-1)^3 \frac{1}{2} \binom{2}{2,0} z_1^2] \\
&= \frac{2}{1-q^{-2}} [z_2 - \frac{1}{2} z_1^2] \\
&= \frac{2}{1-q^{-2}} [(1-q^{-1})I_{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}(1-q^{-1})^2 I_1^2] \\
&= \frac{2}{1-q^{-2}} [(1-q^{-1})I_{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}(1-q^{-1})^2 ((q+1)I_1 \oplus I_1 + I_2)] \\
&= I_2 + \frac{q^{-1}-1}{q^{-1}} I_1 \oplus I_1 \\
&= I_2 + (1-q)I_1 \oplus I_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_3 &= \frac{3}{1-q^{-3}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash 3} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} z_1^{m_1(\lambda)} z_2^{m_2(\lambda)} z_3^{m_3(\lambda)} \\
&= \frac{3}{1-q^{-3}} \left\{ (-1)^2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 z_3 + (-1)^3 \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 z_1 z_2 + (-1)^4 \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 z_1^3 \right\} \\
&= \frac{3}{1-q^{-3}} \left\{ (1-q^{-1})I_3 - (1-q^{-1})^2 I_1 \cdot I_2 + (1-q^{-1})^3 I_1^3 \right\} \\
&= \frac{3}{1-q^{-3}} \left\{ (1-q^{-1})I_3 - (1-q^{-1})^2 (qI_2 \oplus I_1 + I_3) + (1-q^{-1})^3 \left\{ \binom{2}{1}_+ \binom{3}{1}_+ I_1^{\oplus 3} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \left(\binom{2}{1}_+ + q \right) I_2 \oplus I_1 + I_3 \right\} \right\} \\
&= \frac{3}{q^3-1} \left\{ I_3 + (q-1)^2 (q^2 - q - 1) I_2 \oplus I_1 + (q-1)^3 (q+1)(q^2 + q + 1) I_1^{\oplus 3} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

7.2 An identity of Hall number

In $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_0}^{nil}$, the two formulas

$$p_n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (1-q) \dots (1-q^{l(\lambda)-1}) I_\lambda$$

and

$$p_n = \frac{n}{1 - q^{-n}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} (1 - q^{-1})^{l(\lambda)} I_{(1)}^{m_1(\lambda)} \dots I_{(n)}^{m_n(\lambda)}$$

represent same elements. Suppose that

$$I_{(1)}^{m_1(\lambda)} \dots I_{(n)}^{m_n(\lambda)} = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} f_{(1)^{m_1(\lambda)}, \dots, (n)^{m_n(\lambda)}}^{\mu} I_{\mu}$$

where $f_{(1)^{m_1(\lambda)}, \dots, (n)^{m_n(\lambda)}}^{\mu}$ is the corresponding Hall number, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (1 - q) \dots (1 - q^{l(\lambda)-1}) I_{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{n}{1 - q^{-n}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} (1 - q^{-1})^{l(\lambda)} I_{(1)}^{m_1(\lambda)} \dots I_{(n)}^{m_n(\lambda)} \\ &= \frac{n}{1 - q^{-n}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} (1 - q^{-1})^{l(\lambda)} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} f_{(1)^{m_1(\lambda)}, \dots, (n)^{m_n(\lambda)}}^{\mu} I_{\mu} \\ &= \frac{n}{1 - q^{-n}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} (1 - q^{-1})^{l(\lambda)} f_{(1)^{m_1(\lambda)}, \dots, (n)^{m_n(\lambda)}}^{\mu} I_{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

If we switch λ, μ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{n}{1 - q^{-n}} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\mu)+1} \frac{1}{l(\mu)} \binom{l(\mu)}{m_1(\mu), \dots, m_n(\mu)} (1 - q^{-1})^{l(\mu)} f_{(1)^{m_1(\mu)}, \dots, (n)^{m_n(\mu)}}^{\lambda} I_{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{n}{1 - q^{-n}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\mu)+1} \frac{1}{l(\mu)} \binom{l(\mu)}{m_1(\mu), \dots, m_n(\mu)} (1 - q^{-1})^{l(\mu)} f_{(1)^{m_1(\mu)}, \dots, (n)^{m_n(\mu)}}^{\lambda} I_{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

So we get the following theorem.

Theorem 7.2.1. *For a fixed λ , we have the identity for $f_{(1)^{m_1(\mu)}, \dots, (n)^{m_n(\mu)}}^{\lambda}$ that*

$$(1 - q) \dots (1 - q^{l(\lambda)-1}) = \frac{n}{1 - q^{-n}} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\mu)+1} \frac{1}{l(\mu)} \binom{l(\mu)}{m_1(\mu), \dots, m_n(\mu)} (1 - q^{-1})^{l(\mu)} f_{(1)^{m_1(\mu)}, \dots, (n)^{m_n(\mu)}}^{\lambda}$$

7.3 Primitive elements for acyclic quiver

For a tame acyclic quiver Q , tubes of homogeneous regular representation are parametrized by the set $\mathbf{Proj}k[x, y]$. So for $\rho \in \mathbf{Proj}k[x, y]$, the corresponding tubes denoted as τ_ρ . Because prime ideals in $k[x, y]$ are (0) , $(f(y))$ where $f(y)$ is an irreducible polynomial in $(k[x])[y]$, and $(p, f(y))$ where $p \in k[x]$ is prime, and $f(y)$ is irreducible in $(k[x]/(p))[y]$. For $\mathbf{Proj}k[x, y]$ are homogeneous prime ideals in $k[x, y]$, not containing $k[x, y]_+ = (x, y)$. So only elements in $\mathbf{Proj}k[x, y]$ are (0) and homogeneous cases for $(f(y))$. Then denote $\deg \rho$ as the degree of the generator of prime ideals. τ_ρ is equivalent to category of nilpotent representations of Jordan quiver. Consider the Hall algebra H_{τ_ρ} which is isomorphic to the classical Hall algebra, so primitive elements in H_{τ_ρ} are,

$$P_n(\rho) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)-1} (1 - q^{j \deg \rho}) \right) I_\lambda$$

For a fixed τ_ρ , $P_n(\rho) = p_n$ in the Hall algebra of Jordan quiver. But the above elements are not necessarily primitive in Hall algebra \mathbf{H}_Q because an object in τ_ρ can have preprojective subobjects and preinjective quotients. In paper by [1], the authors make conjecture 3.3 that the elements

$$P_n(\rho) - \frac{1}{N(\deg \rho)} \sum_{\rho' \in \mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}^1: \deg \rho' = \deg \rho} P_n(\rho') \quad (7.3.1)$$

are primitive in H_Q , where $N(d)$ is the number of elements of $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}^1$ of degree d . In paper [3], the authors proved this conjecture to be correct. In Hall algebra of Jordan quiver, we have our formula that,

$$p_n = \frac{n}{1 - q^{-n}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} z_\lambda$$

so we can substitute our formula into the formula (6.3.1) for $P_n(\rho)$. For a fixed d , $N(d) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k|d} \mu(\frac{d}{k}) q^k$, where $\mu(r)$ is the Möbius function. So we have that primitive elements have formula that

Corollary 1. For a tame acyclic quiver, elements p_n in the following form are primitive,

$$p_n = \frac{n}{1 - q^{-n}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{l(\lambda)+1} \frac{1}{l(\lambda)} \binom{l(\lambda)}{m_1(\lambda), \dots, m_n(\lambda)} \left(z_\lambda(\rho) - \frac{1}{N(\deg \rho)} \sum_{\rho'} z_\lambda(\rho') \right) \quad (7.3.2)$$

7.4 Primitive elements for Hall algebra of cyclic quiver for all case

Representation of cyclic quiver over finite field has the property that all representations are regular. So we have that

$$\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_N} = \mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_N}^{homo} \times \mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_N}^{nonhomo} \quad (7.4.1)$$

Here $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_N}^{homo}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_0}^{nil}$, $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_N}^{nonhomo}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_N}^{nil}$. So we have (7.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_Q &= \mathbf{H}_Q^{homo} \times \mathbf{H}_Q^{nonhomo} \\ &= \mathbf{H}_Q^{homo} \times Z(Q) \times C'(Q) \end{aligned}$$

So the center is $\mathbf{H}_Q^{homo} \times Z(Q)$ and the primitive elements are $(p_n, p_m, [0])$ where p_n is a primitive element of $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_0}^{nil}$ and p_m is a primitive element of $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{A}_N}^{nil}$.

7.5 Primitive elements for Hall algebra of 2-periodic complexes

Bridgeland defined a twisted Hall algebra $\mathbf{DH}(\mathcal{A})$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 - *graded* complexes for any finitary category \mathcal{A} in [2]. Bridgeland proves that when \mathcal{A} is finitary, hereditary, there is an isomorphism of algebra $\Phi : \text{Drinfeld double } \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbf{DH}(\mathcal{A})$. Later, Yanagida [11] proves that $\mathbf{DH}(\mathcal{A})$ is actually a bialgebra and $\mathbf{DH}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ as a bialgebra. Then we have for cyclic quiver case, we can use our method to prove primitive elements by maps $\Lambda[t] \rightarrow \text{center of } \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{DH}(\mathcal{A})$.

7.6 Examples of z_n

For the case of $\mathbf{H}_{\tilde{A}_1}^{nil}$, since

$$z_n = (-1)^n q^{-2n} \sum_{\substack{\dim[M] = n\delta \\ \text{soc}(M) \text{ is square-free}}} (-1)^{\dim \text{End}(M)} a_M[M]. \quad (7.6.1)$$

In order for $[M]$ to be square-free, $[M] = I_{[1;l_1]} \oplus I_{[0;l_0]}$ with $\dim I_{[1;l_1]} = (a_1, a_2)$, $\dim I_{[0;l_0]} = (b_1, b_2)$. $[M]$ only exists for

case 1 $a_1 = a_2$

$$[M] = I_{[1;2a]} \oplus I_{[0;2(n-a)]}, a_M = |\text{Aut}(I_{[1;2a]} \oplus I_{[0;2b]})| = (q-1)^2 q^{n-2}$$

case 2 $|a_1 - a_2| = 1$

$$[M] = I_{[1;2a-1]} \oplus I_{[0;2(n-a)+1]}, a_M = |\text{Aut}(I_{[1;2a-1]} \oplus I_{[0;2(n-a)+1]})| = (q-1)^2 q^{n-1}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
z_n &= q^{-2n} \left[\sum_{a=1}^n (-1)^n (q-1)^2 q^{n-2} I_{[1;2a]} \oplus I_{[0;2(n-a)]} + \sum_{a=1}^n (-1)^{n+1} (q-1)^2 q^{n-1} I_{[1;2a-1]} \oplus I_{[0;2(n-a)+1]} \right] \\
&= (-q^{-1})^n (1 - q^{-1})^2 \sum_{a=1}^n I_{[1;2a]} \oplus I_{[0;2(n-a)]} - q I_{[1;2a-1]} \oplus I_{[0;2(n-a)+1]}
\end{aligned}$$

Bibliography

- [1] Arkady Berenstein and Jacob Greenstein. “Primitively Generated Hall Algebras”. In: *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 281.2 (2016), pp. 287–330. DOI: 10.2140/pjm.2016.281.287.
- [2] Tom Bridgeland. “Quantum groups via Hall algebras of complexes”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 177 (2013), 739–759. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4007/annals.2013.177.3.1>.
- [3] Bangming Deng and Shiquan Ruan. “Hall polynomials for tame type”. In: (2015). arXiv: 1512.03504 [math.RT].
- [4] James A. Green. “Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 120 (1995), pp. 361–377.
- [5] Andrew Hubery. “Ringel-Hall Algebras of Cyclic Quivers”. In: *Sao Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 4 3 (2010).
- [6] I.G.Macdonald. *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*. Oxford University Press, 2015.
- [7] Xiao J. “Drinfeld double and Ringel-Green theory of Hall algebras”. In: *J.Algebra* 190.1 (1997), pp. 100–144.
- [8] Claus Michael Ringel. “Hall algebra and quantum groups”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 101 (1990), pp. 583–592.
- [9] Olivier Schiffmann. *Lecturs on Hall Algebras*. 2009. arXiv:math.RT/0611617 [math.RT].
- [10] Olivier Schiffmann. “The Hall algebra of a cyclic quiver and canonical bases of Fock spaces”. In: *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2000.8 (2000), pp. 413–440. DOI: 10.1155/S1073792800000234.
- [11] Shintarou Yanagida. *A note on Bridgeland’s Hall algebra of two-periodic complexes*. 2012. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.1207.0905. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.0905>.