NESTED HILBERT SCHEMES ON HIRZEBRUCH SURFACES AND QUIVER VARIETIES

Ugo Bruzzo, abcd Valeriano Lanza, e and Pedro Henrique Dos Santos^b

^a SISSA (International School for Advanced Studies), Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy

^b Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba,

Campus I, Castelo Branco, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil

^c INFN (Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare), Sezione di Trieste

^d IGAP (Institute for Geometry and Physics), Trieste

^e Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade Federal

Fluminense, Rua Prof. M.F. de Freitas Reis, Niterói, RJ, Brazil

Email: bruzzo@sissa.it, vlanza@id.uff.br, pedro.santos@academico.ufpb.br

Abstract

For $n \geq 1$ we show that the length 1 nested Hilbert scheme of the total space X_n of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)$, parameterizing pairs of nested 0-cycles in X_n , is a quiver variety associated with a suitable quiver with relations. This generalizes previous work about nested Hilbert schemes on \mathbb{C}^2 in one direction, and about the Hilbert schemes of points of X_n in another direction.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Generalities 2.1 Quiver representations 2.2 Framed flags	3 3 4
3	The case of the projective plane	5
4	Framed sheaves on Hirzebruch surfaces	10
5	Nested Hilbert schemes of X_n as quiver varieties 5.1 Stability for the quiver $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}^n$	14 15

Date: March 26th, 2024

MSC 2020: 14C05, 14D20, 14D23, 14J10, 14J26, 16G20

Keywords: Framed flags, nested Hilbert schemes, Hirzebruch surfaces, moduli of quiver representations U.B.'s research is partly supported by PRIN 2022 "Geometry of algebraic structures: moduli, invariants, deformations" and INdAM-GNSAGA. Moreover, this investigation started while he was the recipient of the CNPq "Bolsa de Produtividade em Pesquisa 1B" 313333/2020. V.L.'s research is partly supported by the FAPERJ research grant "Jovem Cientista de Nosso Estado" n. E-26/200.280/2023. P.H.S. was supported by a CAPES PhD Fellowship (2020-2024) and has benefitted of the CNPq SWE fellowship n. 200861/2022-0.

1 Introduction

Quivers are magic. Starting from an often very simple directed graph one first constructs an associative algebra, then constructs a moduli space of its representations (called a *quiver variety*), and eventually discovers that this is also the moduli space of nontrivial geometric structures. For instance, if we consider instantons, i.e. anti-self-dual connections on the 4-sphere, including degenerate configurations, we have a singular moduli space, whose resolution of singularities on the one hand, is the moduli space of framed torsion-free sheaves on the complex projective plane; and on the other hand, thanks to Nakajima's work [19], can be regarded as the moduli space of representations of the path algebra of a quiver with relations — the ADHM quiver. Since a rank one torsion-free sheaf on \mathbb{P}^2 , framed on a line, may be identified with the ideal sheaf of a 0-cycle on \mathbb{C}^2 , also the Hilbert scheme of points of \mathbb{C}^2 is a quiver variety. Other examples of this correspondence are

- moduli spaces of instantons on ALE spaces [17];
- (equivariant) Hilbert schemes of points of ALE spaces ([18] and references therein);
- the crepant resolutions of singularities \mathbb{C}^3/G , where G is a finite subgroup of $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$, are moduli spaces of structures called G-constellations (a generalization of the G-Hilbert schemes), and are moduli spaces of representations of the McKay quivers [9, 10]. Related constructions and results can also be found in [8, 7] and other papers.

Another construction is described in [13, 24]. One considers framed flags on \mathbb{P}^2 , i.e., pairs (E, F), where E and F are torsion-free sheaves of the same rank on \mathbb{P}^2 , such that $E \subset F$, F is framed on a line, and the quotient F/E has dimension zero and is supported away from the line. The moduli space of these pairs turns out to be a quiver variety associated with an *enhanced ADHM quiver*. When $\operatorname{rk} E = \operatorname{rk} F = 1$ the moduli space is the *nested Hilbert scheme* of \mathbb{C}^2 , and parameterizes pairs of nested 0-cycles. (Nested Hilbert schemes were probably first considered by Keel [14] and were studied in some detail by Cheah in [6].)

By removing a suitable rational curve ℓ_{∞} from the *n*-th Hirzebruch surface Σ_n , with $n \geq 1$, one obtains the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)$, that we denote X_n . Rank 1 torsion-free sheaves on Σ_n framed on ℓ_{∞} , suitably twisted, are ideal sheaves of 0-cycles in X_n . Building on the monadic description of the moduli spaces of framed sheaves on Σ_n that was performed in [3], in [1, 2] it was shown that the Hilbert schemes of points $\operatorname{Hilb}^c(X_n)$ are quiver varieties associated with a suitable quiver \mathbf{Q}^n , which we call the *n*-th Hirzerbruch quiver. The aim of the present paper is to obtain a similar description in the case of the *nested Hilbert schemes of points* $\operatorname{Hilb}^{c',c}(X_n)$.

Since we are going to use a categorical language (so the main problem is to establish an isomorphism between the functor of families of representations of a certain quiver, and the functor of families of nested 0-cycles on X_n), we start by rephrasing the results of both [13] and [3] in full categorical language, in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. This is preceded by Section 2 where a few generalities about spaces of representations of a quiver and about framed flags of sheaves are recalled. In Section 5 the main result is proved. The trick for doing that is the same as in the case of the projective plane, i.e., to regard the spaces of representations of an "enhanced" quiver as a space of morphisms between two copies of the quiver \mathbf{Q}^n , although the present case is more complicated and technically more involved.

Acknowledgements. We thank Simone Marchesi for a useful conversation. The research on which this paper is based was initiated while U.B. was a Professor Titular Visitante at Universidade Federal da Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil; he thanks the colleagues at the Department of Mathematics for support and for their hospitality. P.H.S. thanks SISSA for the warm hospitality while he was visiting under a CNPq grant "Programa institucional de doutorado sanduíche no exterior."

2 Generalities

We start this section by reminding some generalities about families of quiver representations, basically following [16]. Then we introduce the functor of families of framed flags on a projective surface. By *scheme* we shall always mean a connected scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} . All locally free sheaves will have finite rank.

2.1 Quiver representations

Let \mathbf{Q} be a quiver; \mathbf{Q}_0 will denote the set of vertexes, and \mathbf{Q}_1 the set of arrows. A stability parameter Θ for \mathbf{Q} may be regarded as an element in $\mathbb{R}^{\#\mathbf{Q}_0}$. The maps $s, t: \mathbf{Q}_1 \to \mathbf{Q}_0$ are the *source* (tail) and *target* (head) maps, respectively.

Definition 1. 1. A family of representations of \mathbf{Q} parameterized by a scheme T is, for every $v \in \mathbf{Q}_0$, a locally free sheaf \mathcal{W}_v on T, and for any arrow $a \in \mathbf{Q}_1$, a sheaf morphism $\phi_a \colon \mathcal{W}_{s(a)} \to \mathcal{W}_{t(a)}$. Note that for every closed point $t \in T$ by taking the fiber at t one gets a representation of \mathbf{Q} in the usual sense.

2. A morphism between two families of representations $(T, \mathcal{W}_v, \phi_a)$ and $(S, \mathcal{U}_v, \psi_a)$ is a scheme morphism $f: T \to S$ and a collection of sheaf morphisms $\{F_v: \mathcal{W}_v \to f^*\mathcal{U}_v, v \in \mathbf{Q}_0\}$ such that for every arrow $a \in \mathbf{Q}_1$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{W}_{s(a)} \xrightarrow{F_{s(a)}} f^* \mathcal{U}_{s(a)} \\ \phi_a & \downarrow & \uparrow^* \psi_a \\ \mathcal{W}_{t(a)} \xrightarrow{F_{t(a)}} f^* \mathcal{U}_{t(a)} \end{array}$$

commutes. A morphism is an isomorphism when f and all morphisms F_v are isomorphisms.

3. A family of representations is Θ -stable if for every closed points $t \in T$ the representation corresponding to t is Θ -stable.

Note that if $(S, \mathcal{U}_v, \psi_a)$ is a family of representations parameterized by S, and $f: T \to S$ is a scheme morphism, then $(T, f^*\mathcal{U}_v, f^*\psi_a)$ is a family of representations parameterized by T.

Definition 2 (The representation moduli functor). The functor of families of representations of \mathbf{Q} is the functor

$$\mathfrak{R}^{\mathbf{Q}}$$
: Sch^{op} \rightarrow Set

$$T \qquad \mapsto \quad \begin{cases} \text{isomorphism classes of families of} \\ \text{representations of } \mathbf{Q} \text{ parameterized} \\ \text{by } T \end{cases}$$

where **Sch** is the category of connected schemes of finite type over \mathbb{C} . The action of this functor on morphisms is by pullbacks: if $f \in \text{Hom}(T, S)$, then

$$\mathcal{W}_{s(a)} \xrightarrow{\phi_a} \mathcal{W}_{t(a)} \quad is \ sent \ to \quad f^*\mathcal{W}_{s(a)} \xrightarrow{f^*\phi_a} f^*\mathcal{W}_{t(a)}$$

The dimension vector \mathbf{v} of a representation is the string of nonnegative integers $(d_1, \ldots, d_{\#\mathbf{Q}_0})$ where $d_i = \operatorname{rk} \mathcal{W}_i$. After fixing a dimension vector \mathbf{v} and a stability parameter Θ , one can also introduce the subfunctor $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{\mathbf{Q},s}$ of Θ -stable \mathbf{v} -dimensional representations. If \mathbf{v} is primitive, this functor is representable by a fine moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^s$ [16, Prop. 5.3].

Framed representations. Actually we shall be concerned with *framed representations* of quivers, in particular, representations that are framed at one vertex. One chooses a vertex in the given quiver, and fixes the vector space W associated with that vertex. Note that that fixes one component of the dimension vector. Moreover, one only considers morphisms of representations such that the morphism corresponding to that vertex is either zero or the identity. For families, we fix the vector bundle corresponding to the framing vertex to be $W \otimes \mathcal{O}_T$, where W is a fixed vector space. The functor of families of framed representations will be denoted by $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathbf{Q}^{\heartsuit}}$.

2.2 Framed flags

We introduce now the notion of framed flag of sheaves (of length 1). Let X be an irreducible projective smooth surface, and D a divisor in it (for the moment we only establish some notation, and at this level of generality we do not need to make any additional assumptions on X and D). A framed flag of length 1 and type (r, γ, c, ℓ) on (X, D) is a triple (E, F, ϕ) , where

- E and F are torsion-free sheaves on X, with $E \subset F$, $r = \operatorname{rk} E = \operatorname{rk} F$;
- the support of F/E is 0-dimensional and is disjoint from D;
- ϕ is an isomorphism of $F_{|D}$ with $\mathcal{O}_D^{\oplus r}$;
- $c_1(F) = \gamma \in NS(X); c = c_2(F), \ell = c_2(E) c_2(F) = h^0(X, F/E).$

As a consequence, ϕ also provides an isomorphism $E_{|D} \simeq \mathcal{O}_D^{\oplus r}$. Note that necessarily $c_1(E) = \gamma$ and $\gamma \cdot D = 0$.

We define the functor $\mathfrak{F}_{r,\gamma,c,\ell}^{X,D}$: Sch^{op} \to Set of families of length 1 framed flags on (X,D) as

$$\mathfrak{F}^{X,D}_{r,\gamma,c,\ell}(T) = \{\text{isomorphism classes of triples } (E,F,\phi)\}$$
(2.1)

where

- E, F are rank r torsion-free sheaves on $X \times T$, flat on T, with $E \subset F$;
- for all closed points $t \in T$, the support of $(F/E)_t$ is 0-dimensional and is disjoint from D;
- ϕ is an isomorphism $\phi: F_{|D \times T} \to \mathcal{O}_{D \times T}^{\oplus r};$
- for all closed $t \in T$, $c_2(F_t) = c$, $c_2(E_t) c_2(F_t) = \ell$, $c_1(F_t) = \gamma$;
- morphisms of families of framed flags are defined in the obvious way;
- the functor acts on scheme morphisms by pullback.

This functor was defined in [13] for $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ and D a line, that we denote as usual ℓ_{∞} (note that necessarily $\gamma = 0$ in that case). Again in [13], it was proved that in that case this functor is representable. This may be generalized as follow.

Theorem 3. Let X be a smooth, irreducible projective surface, and let D be a smooth, irreducible, big and nef divisor in X. Then for every choice of (r, γ, c, ℓ) , the functor $\mathfrak{F}_{r,\gamma,c,\ell}^{X,D}$ is representable.

Proof. According to Corollary 3.3 of [5] there exists a fine moduli space of torsion-free sheaves F on X, with invariants $\operatorname{rk} F = r$, $c_1(F) = \gamma$, $c_2(F) = c$, framed on D to the trivial sheaf. Then the proof of Proposition 1 in [13] applies verbatim.

Remark 4. This theorem can be further generalized by replacing the trivial sheaf on D with any semistable vector bundle of rank r.

We denote by $\mathcal{F}_{r,\gamma,c,\ell}^{X,D}$ the scheme representing the functor $\mathfrak{F}_{r,\gamma,c,\ell}^{X,D}$. Not much is known about the smoothness, and, more generally, irreducibility and reducedness of this scheme when r > 1 (see [24] in this connection). When r = 1 one can assume $\gamma = 0$, and then $\mathcal{F}_{1,0,c,\ell}^{X,D}$ is the nested Hilbert scheme Hilb^{$c,c+\ell$}(X_0), parameterizing pairs of nested 0-cycles of length c and $c + \ell$ in the quasi-projective smooth surface $X_0 = X \setminus D$. One knows from [6] that Hilb^{$c,c+\ell$}(X_0) is smooth if and only if $\ell = 1$.

3 The case of the projective plane

The enhanced ADHM quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the quiver

with the relations

$$ab - ba + ij = 0; \quad a\phi - \phi a' = 0; \quad b\phi - \phi b' = 0; \quad j\phi = 0; \quad a'b' - b'a' = 0.$$
 (3.2)

Jardim and von Flach in [13] proved that in the case $(X, D) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \ell_{\infty})$, for $\mathbf{v} = (\ell, c + \ell, r)$, and with a suitable choice of the stability parameter Θ , the functor of families of stable framed representations $\mathfrak{R}^{\bar{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes s}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}$ and the functor of families of framed flags $\mathfrak{F}_{r,c,\ell} = \mathfrak{F}^{\mathbb{P}^2,\ell_{\infty}}_{r,0,c,\ell}$ are isomorphic. We review here their proof, providing some more details, especially about the categorical formalization of the problem. This will be useful, as a comparison but also to provide some needed results, for what we shall do in the next sections about framed flags on Hirzebruch surfaces.

The first step will be to represent $\mathfrak{F}_{r,c,\ell}$ as a functor of families of representations of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. The components of the dimension vector of this quiver list the dimensions of the vector spaces attached to the vertexes from left to right.

The crux of the above mentioned result is the following theorem.

Theorem 5. [13, 24] Let $\mathbf{v} = (\ell, c + \ell, r)$, and let $\Theta = (\theta, \theta', \theta_{\infty}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$\theta' > 0, \qquad \theta + \theta' < 0 \quad and \quad \ell\theta + (c+\ell)\theta' + r\theta_{\infty} = 0.$$

Let $\mathfrak{R}^{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^{\bigotimes s}}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}$ be the functor of families of framed representations of the enhanced ADHM quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ depicted in equation (3.1) with the relations (3.2), framed at the vertex ∞ .¹ There exists a natural transformation $\eta: \mathfrak{R}^{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^{\bigotimes s}}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta} \to \mathfrak{F}_{r,c,\ell}$ which is an isomorphism of functors.

¹Note that the vector space W corresponding to the framing vertex has dimension r.

We shall also need to consider the standard ADHM quiver, which we shall denote by Q:



with the relation

$$ab - ba + ij = 0. ag{3.3}$$

We develop now some theory which will be needed to prove Theorem 5. We introduce the following categories:

• the category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ of families of representations of the ADHM quiver \mathbf{Q} with the relations (3.3). An object in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a collection $(T, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, A, B, I, J)$, where T is a scheme, \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} are vector bundles on T, and

$$A, B \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V}), \quad I \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}), \quad J \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$$

satisfying the condition

$$AB - BA + IJ = 0. ag{3.4}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}^{s}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the full subcategory of families of representations that are stable with respect to the standard stability condition [19].

The category Kom_{P²} of families of complexes of coherent sheaves on P². Objects are given by a scheme T and a complex of coherent sheaves on T × P²; the morphisms are the obvious ones. Kom^{flat}_{P²} is the full subcategory of families of complexes whose cohomology sheaves are flat over T.

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $\mathbf{Kom}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ are categories over the category **Sch** of schemes.² Their fiber categories over T =Spec \mathbb{C} are the category of representations of the ADHM quiver \mathbf{Q} (and then \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W} are just vector spaces) and the category of complexes of coherent sheaves over \mathbb{P}^2 , respectively. If T is a scheme, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}(T)$ the fiber of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ over T, i.e., the category of families of representations of \mathbf{Q} parameterized by T, with a similar meaning for $\mathbf{Kom}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(T)$.

Remark 6. By Nakajima's work we know that, fixing the dimension vector $\mathbf{v} = (c, r)$, the corresponding functor of families of stable representations of the quiver \mathbf{Q} is represented by a scheme which is isomorphic to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(r, c)$ of torsion-free sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 , of rank r and second Chern class c, with a framing to the trivial sheaf on a fixed line.

We introduce a functor

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Q}} \colon \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathbf{Kom}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$$

of categories over **Sch**; this is a relative version of the "absolute" standard functor which associates a complex with a representation of the ADHM quiver. The functor $\Re_{\mathbf{Q}}$ associates with a family of representations of **Q** parameterized by a scheme *T* the corresponding family of 3-term complexes on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times T$. Note that as we are not requiring the representations to be stable the 3-term complex

²Actually, since they admit pullbacks, both categories are fibered categories over **Sch**. See [23], Definition 3.5, or [22], Section 4.33.

may have nontrivial cohomology in every degree, in particular, it may not be a monad. If $\mathcal{X} = (T, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, A, B, I, J)$ is an object in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$, then $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{X})$ is the following complex supported in degree -1, 0 and 1, whose terms are sheaves on $T \times \mathbb{P}^2$:

$$0 \to \mathcal{V} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{V} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \to 0$$

where the morphisms α , β are given by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} zA + x1_{\mathcal{V}} \\ zB + y1_{\mathcal{V}} \\ zJ \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \beta = \left(-zB - y1_{\mathcal{V}}, \ zA + x1_{\mathcal{V}}, \ zI\right)$$

with (x, y, z) homogeneous coordinates in \mathbb{P}^2 . Note that $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$ due to the relation (3.4).

A morphism $\xi = (f, \xi_1, \xi_2)$ of families of representations

$$\mathcal{X} = (S, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, A, B, I, J) \xrightarrow{\xi} \tilde{\mathcal{X}} = (T, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{I}, \tilde{J})$$

is a morphism $f: S \to T$ and a pair of morphisms $\xi_1: \mathcal{V} \to f^* \tilde{\mathcal{V}}, \xi_2: \mathcal{W} \to f^* \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ satisfying

$$\xi_1 \circ A = f^* \tilde{A} \circ \xi_1, \qquad \xi_1 \circ B = f^* \tilde{B} \circ \xi_1, \qquad \xi_2 \circ J = f^* \tilde{J} \circ \xi_1, \qquad \xi_1 \circ I = f^* \tilde{I} \circ \xi_2.$$

The morphism $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi) \colon \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})$ between the corresponding monads is given by the diagram

Proposition 7. For every scheme T, the functor $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Q}}(T) : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}(T) \to \mathbf{Kom}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(T)$ is exact and faithful.

Proof. The proof of Proposition 2.3.5 in [4] applies verbatim.

The next result requires that the representations we consider are framed and stable. So we define $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\heartsuit s}$ as the subcategory of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ whose objects are families of framed representations of \mathbf{Q} , stable with respect to the standard stability condition. Note that this is not a full subcategory as the morphisms at the framing vertex are restricted.

Proposition 8. $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ maps the subcategory $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\heartsuit s}$ to the subcategory $\mathbf{Kom}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\mathrm{flat}}$.

Proof. The stability of the family of representations on which we act by $\Re_{\mathbf{Q}}$ implies that the morphism α is injective and β is surjective. Then we may reduce to prove the following fact: if

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{E}'' \to 0$$

is a complex of families of locally free coherent sheaves on $T \times \mathbb{P}^2$, with α injective and β surjective, then the cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H} = \ker \beta / \operatorname{im} \alpha$ is flat over T. To prove this we first consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker \beta \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'' \to 0,$$

where \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}'' are flat over T, so that ker β is flat as well. Then one applies Lemma 2.1.4 in [12] to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{im} \alpha \to \ker \beta \to \mathcal{H} \to 0.$$

Remark 9. The image $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\heartsuit s})$ is the subcategory of $\mathbf{Kom}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\mathrm{flat}}$ whose objects are families of monads for the ADHM quiver (in particular their cohomology is flat over T).

Now we construct the natural transformation $\eta: \mathfrak{R}^{\bar{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes s}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta} \to \mathfrak{F}_{r,c,\ell}$. The trick for doing that is to regard a representation of the enhanced ADHM quiver as a morphism of representations of the standard ADHM quiver. Let $(T, \mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, A', B', A, B, I, J, \Phi)$ be a family of representations of the enhanced ADHM quiver, framed at the vertex 0. So T is a scheme, and \mathcal{V}' and \mathcal{V} are vector bundles on T of rank c and $c + \ell$, respectively. \mathcal{W} is the trivial bundle $W \otimes \mathcal{O}_T$ for some fixed vector space Wof dimension r. Moreover,

 $A', B' \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}'), \quad A, B \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}), \quad I \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}), \quad J \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}), \quad \Phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}).$

Assume that this representation is stable as in Theorem 5. This implies that Φ is injective, and then Φ defines a morphism of families of representations of the standard ADHM quiver described by the following diagram



Let $\mathcal{V}'' = \mathcal{V}/\Phi(\mathcal{V}')$; note that \mathcal{V}'' is locally free (of rank ℓ) as Φ is injective on every fiber of \mathcal{V}' . The morphisms A, B, A', B', I, J induce morphisms

 $A'', B'' \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}''), \quad I'' \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}''), \quad J'' \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}'', \mathcal{W})$

which define a quotient family of representations of the ADHM quiver. This is represented by the diagram



i.e., we have an exact sequence of families of representations of the standard ADHM quiver

$$0 \to \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}'' \to 0.$$

Here \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}'' are families of stable representations (for the stability of \mathcal{X}'' see [13, p. 148]). Applying the exact functor $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ we obtain an exact sequence of complexes of coherent sheaves on $T \times \mathbb{P}^2$

$$0 \to E_{\mathcal{X}'} \to E_{\mathcal{X}} \to E_{\mathcal{X}''} \to 0$$

whose nonzero terms are in degree -1, 0 and 1. This exact sequence of complexes makes up the following commutative diagram with exact rows, whose columns are the complexes corresponding to

 $\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}''$, respectively:



Since \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}'' are stable, the associated long exact cohomology sequence reduces to

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}^0(E_{\mathcal{X}'}) \to \mathcal{H}^0(E_{\mathcal{X}}) \to \mathcal{H}^0(E_{\mathcal{X}''}) \to \mathcal{H}^1(E_{\mathcal{X}'}) \to 0$$

(note that $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(E_{\mathcal{X}''}) = 0$ as α'' is fiberwise injective, hence injective). We show that $\mathcal{H}^{0}(E_{\mathcal{X}'}) = \ker \beta' / \operatorname{im} \alpha' = 0$. First we note that, thinking of ℓ_{∞} as the line z = 0 is \mathbb{P}^{2} , we may write α', β' restricted to $T \times \ell_{\infty}$ as

$$\alpha'_{|T \times \ell_{\infty}} = \begin{pmatrix} x \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{V}'} \\ y \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{V}'} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \beta'_{|T \times \ell_{\infty}} = \begin{pmatrix} -y \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{V}'}, & x \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{V}'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As a simple computation shows,³ one has im $\alpha' = \ker \beta'$ on $T \times \ell_{\infty}$ so that $\mathcal{H}^0(E_{\mathcal{X}'})$ is zero on $T \times \ell_{\infty}$, hence it has rank 0. Then it must be zero as it injects into $\mathcal{H}^0(E_{\mathcal{X}})$ which is torsion-free.

Moreover one has:

- $F = \mathcal{H}^0(E_{\mathcal{X}''})$ is a torsion-free sheaf on $T \times \mathbb{P}^2$, with a framing ϕ to the trivial sheaf on $T \times \ell_{\infty}$, where ℓ_{∞} is a line in \mathbb{P}^2 . Moveover, for every closed point $t \in T$, the second Chern class of $F_{|\{t\} \times \mathbb{P}^2}$ is n.
- F and $E = \mathcal{H}^0(E_{\mathcal{X}})$ are flat over T by Proposition 8 as \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}'' are stable.
- $\mathcal{H}^1(E_{\mathcal{X}'})$ is a rank 0 coherent sheaf on $T \times \mathbb{P}^2$, supported away from $T \times \ell_{\infty}$. For every closed point $t \in T$, the restriction of the schematic support of $\mathcal{H}^1(E_{\mathcal{X}'})$ to the fiber over t is a length ℓ 0-cycle in \mathbb{P}^2 .
- $\mathcal{H}^1(E_{\mathcal{X}'})$ is flat over T as it is a quotient of flat sheaves. (One can also prove this directly as in Proposition 8.)

Thus the triple (E, F, ϕ) is a flat family of framed flags on \mathbb{P}^2 parameterized by the scheme T. This defines the natural transformation η . One can indeed show that for any scheme morphism $f: T \to S$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Re_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{\bar{\mathbf{Q}} \heartsuit s}(S) \xrightarrow{& \Re_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{\mathbf{Q} \heartsuit s}(f) \\ & & & & \\$$

³This computation will be made in the proof of Lemma 22 in the case of Hirzebruch surfaces.

commutes.

To show that η is actually an isomorphism one constructs a natural transformation going the opposite direction which is both a right and a left inverse to η . This is accomplished by tracing back the steps that led to the definition of η . Thus, given a family of framed flags on \mathbb{P}^2 with the required numerical invariants, one defines families of representations \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{X}'' of the standard ADHM quiver, with a surjection $\Psi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}''$. Then one defines \mathcal{X}' as the kernel of Ψ ; the families \mathcal{X}' and \mathcal{X} now combine to yield a family of representations of the enhanced ADHM quiver $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.

As we recalled in Section 2, the functor $\mathfrak{F}_{r,c,\ell}$ is representable, so that there is a fine moduli scheme $\mathcal{F}_{r,c,\ell}$ for framed flags on \mathbb{P}^2 with numerical invariants r, n, ℓ . So we have:

Corollary 10. The moduli scheme $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^{\heartsuit s}}$ representing the functor $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^{\heartsuit s}}$ is isomorphic to the moduli scheme $\mathcal{F}_{r,c,\ell}$.

4 Framed sheaves on Hirzebruch surfaces

The *n*-th Hirzbruch surface will be denoted Σ_n . We shall denote by \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{e} the cohomology classes of the sections of the fibration $\Sigma_n \to \mathbb{P}^1$ that square to n and -n, respectively, and by \mathfrak{f} the class of the fiber. We shall use $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{f})$ as a basis for $\operatorname{Pic}(\Sigma_n)$ over \mathbb{Z} . We denote by ℓ_∞ the image of a section having class \mathfrak{h} and call it "line at infinity." Note that $\Sigma_n \setminus \ell_\infty$ is isomorphic to the total space X_n of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)$. For $n \geq 1$, we shall consider torsion-free sheaves on Σ_n that are framed on ℓ_∞ to the trivial sheaf. Due to the framing, the first Chern class of such a sheaf \mathcal{E} has necessarily the form $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = a\mathfrak{e}$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As we already recalled in Section 2, by the results in [5] there exists a fine moduli scheme $\mathcal{M}^n(r, a, c)$ parameterizing isomorphism classes of framed sheaves \mathcal{E} on Σ_n , with

$$\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{E} = r, \qquad c_1(\mathcal{E}) = a\mathfrak{e}, \qquad c_2(\mathcal{E}) = c.$$

This moduli space was explicitly constructed in [3] using monadic techniques; there it was shown that the moduli scheme is nonempty if and only if the inequality $c + \frac{1}{2}na(a-1) \ge 0$ holds, and when nonempty, it is a (smooth, quasi-projective, irreducible) variety. Moreover in [3] a universal framed sheaf was constructed as the cohomology of a universal monad.

When r = 1, by twisting by a line bundle we can always set a = 0. The moduli scheme $\mathcal{M}^n(1, 0, c)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hilb}^c(X_n)$, the Hilbert scheme parametrizing length c 0-cycles on X_n . Exploiting the monadic description of $\mathcal{M}^n(1, 0, c) = \operatorname{Hilb}^c(X_n)$, it was shown in [1, 2] that $\operatorname{Hilb}^c(X_n)$ is isomorphic to a moduli space of representations of a suitable quiver with relations. Let us recall some details of this correspondence. We start by drawing the relevant quivers; we shall denote them \mathbf{Q}^n , where n refers to Σ_n . The case n = 1 must be treated separately.⁴ The quiver \mathbf{Q}^n will be called the *n*-th Hirzebruch quiver.

⁴A. King in his thesis [15] constructed the moduli space of framed vector bundles on Σ_1 as a Kähler quotient of a set of linear data satisfying a nondegeneracy (stability) condition. His thesis does not contain quivers but his linear data and relations correspond to the quiver \mathbf{Q}^1 of the present paper.



Denote by \mathcal{P}_n the path algebra of the quiver \mathbf{Q}^n , and let J_n be the ideal in \mathcal{P}_n generated by the relations

$$a_1b_1a_2 = a_2b_1a_1$$
 when $n = 1$;
 $a_1b_q = a_2b_{q+1}$, $b_qa_1 - b_{q+1}a_2 = i_qj$, $q = 1, \dots, n-1$ when $n > 1$. (4.1)

Let $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{P}_n/J_n$, fix the dimension vector $\mathbf{v} = (c, c, 1)$ (vertexes ordered as $(0, 1, \infty)$), and consider in the space of stability parameters the open cone

$$\Gamma_c = \left\{ \Theta = (\theta_0, \theta_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \theta_0 > 0, -\theta_0 < \theta_1 < -\frac{c-1}{c} \theta_0 \right\}.$$
(4.2)

The cone Γ_c is in fact a chamber (see Remark 3.3 in [2]), so that it makes sense to consider the space of framed representations of the algebra \mathcal{B}_n with dimension vector \mathbf{v} , stable with respect to any stability parameter Θ inside Γ_c . We denote this space by $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{B}_n, \mathbf{v})_{\Gamma_c}^{\heartsuit s}$. The main theorem in [1] and Theorem 3.8 in [2] yield

Theorem 11. For every $n \ge 1$ and $c \ge 1$ the Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}^{c}(X_{n})$ is isomorphic to the GIT quotient

$$\operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{B}_n, \mathbf{v})_{\Gamma_c}^{\heartsuit s} /\!\!/ \operatorname{GL}_c(\mathbb{C}) \times \operatorname{GL}_c(\mathbb{C}).$$

We shall see later on that this scheme represents the functor of families of framed stable representations of the quiver \mathbf{Q}^n . To that end we introduce:

• the category \mathcal{A}_n of families of representations of the quiver \mathbf{Q}^n with the relations (4.1). For $n \geq 2$, an object of \mathcal{A}_n is a collection

$$(T, \mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{W}, A_1, A_2, B_1, \dots, B_n, I_1, \dots, I_{n-1}, J)$$

where

- -T is a scheme;
- $-\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1$ are vector bundles on T;
- $-A_1, A_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1), B_1, \dots, B_n \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_0), I_1, \dots, I_{n-1} \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}_0), J \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{W}) \text{ satisfying the conditions}$

$$A_1B_q = A_2B_{q+1}, \quad B_qA_1 - B_{q+1}A_2 = I_qJ, \quad q = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

For n = 1 the objects are collections $(T, \mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{W}, A_1, A_2, B_1, J)$ with $A_1B_1A_2 = A_2B_1A_1$.

 $^{{}^{5}\}theta_{0}$ and θ_{1} are the components corresponding to the vertexes 0 and 1, the third is fixed by the normalization $\sum_{i} v_{i} \cdot \theta_{i} = 0$.

- For a fixed $\mathbf{v} = (c_0, c_1, r)$, $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{v})$ is the full subcategory of \mathcal{A}_n of families of representations of \mathbf{Q}^n with dimension vector \mathbf{v} , i.e., $\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{V}_0 = c_0$, $\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{V}_1 = c_1$, and $\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{W} = r$.
- For a fixed stability parameter Θ , $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{v})^s_{\Theta}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{v})$ whose objects are framed representations that are stable with respect to Θ .
- The category \mathbf{Kom}_n of families of complexes of coherement sheaves on the variety Σ_n .
- Its full subcategory $\mathbf{Kom}_n^{\text{flat}}$ whose objects are families of complexes of cohererent sheaves on Σ_n whose cohomology sheaves are flat on the base scheme.

Morphisms in these categories are defined as in the previous Section in the case of \mathbb{P}^2 .

The next step would be to define a functor $\mathcal{A}_n \to \mathbf{Kom}_n$. However we are unable to do that in full generality, and we need to restrict to representations satisfying a kind of nondegeneracy condition, corresponding to the regularity of the pencil $\nu_1 A_1 + \nu_2 A_2$, where $\boldsymbol{\nu} = [\nu_1, \nu_2] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ (see condition (P2) in [1], p. 2137). We consider a full subcategory $\mathcal{A}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ characterized by the condition that the homomorphism

$$A_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \nu_2 A_1 + \nu_1 A_2$$

is an isomorphism. Of course this fixes the second and third components of the dimension vector to be equal.

We want to define a functor

$$\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}\colon \mathcal{A}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}} \to \mathbf{Kom}_n$$

of categories over Sch.

We recall that we may represent the *n*-th Hirzebruch surface Σ_n as

$$\Sigma_n = \left\{ ([y_1, y_2], [x_1, x_2, x_3]) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \mid x_1 y_1^n = x_2 y_2^n \right\} ,$$

and for every $\boldsymbol{\nu} = [\nu_1, \nu_2] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ we introduce the additional pair of coordinates

$$[y_{1,\boldsymbol{\nu}}, y_{2,\boldsymbol{\nu}}] = [\nu_1 y_1 + \nu_2 y_2, -\nu_2 y_1 + \nu_1 y_2]$$

The set $\left\{y_{2,\nu}^q y_{1,\nu}^{h-q}\right\}_{q=0}^h$ is a basis for $H^0\left(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(0,h)\right) = H^0\left(\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(h)\right)$ for all $h \ge 1$, where $\pi \colon \Sigma_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the canonical projection. Furthermore the (unique up to homotheties) global section $s_{\mathfrak{e}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(\mathfrak{e})$ induces an injection $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(0,n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1,0)$, so that the set

$$\left\{(y_{2,\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{q}y_{1,\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{n-q})s_{\mathfrak{e}}\right\}_{q=0}^{n}\cup\{s_{\infty}\}$$

is a basis for $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1,0))$, where s_{∞} is a section whose vanishing locus is ℓ_{∞} .

We define the functor $\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}$ on objects. If $X = (T, \mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{W}, A_1, A_2, B_1, \ldots, B_n, I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1}, J)$ is an object in $\mathcal{A}_{n,\nu}$, then $\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}(X)$ is the complex⁶

$$0 \to \mathcal{V}_0^* \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(0, -1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \mathcal{V}_0^* \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1, -1) \oplus (\mathcal{V}_0^* \oplus \mathcal{W}^*) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n} \xrightarrow{\beta_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \mathcal{V}_0^* \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1, 0) \to 0$$
(4.3)

with the morphisms $\alpha_{\nu}, \beta_{\nu}$ given by

$$\alpha_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{id} \otimes (y_{2,\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{n} s_{\mathfrak{e}}) + A_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{*} B_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{*} \otimes s_{\infty} \\ \operatorname{id} \otimes y_{1,\boldsymbol{\nu}} + C_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{*} (A_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{*})^{-1} \otimes y_{2,\boldsymbol{\nu}} \\ -I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{*} \otimes y_{2\boldsymbol{\nu}} \end{pmatrix},$$

⁶The dual vector spaces and morphisms appear in the next formulas as the linear data chosen in [1] locally reduce to the transposes of Nakajima's linear data. This also has other consequences: our stability corresponds to Nakajima's *co-stability*, and, contrary to the case of \mathbb{P}^2 in the first part of this paper, the functor $\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}$ is contravariant.

$$\beta_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \left(\operatorname{id} \otimes y_{1,\boldsymbol{\nu}} + C_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^* (A_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^*)^{-1} \otimes y_{2,\boldsymbol{\nu}}, - \left(\operatorname{id} \otimes (y_{2,\boldsymbol{\nu}}^n s_{\mathfrak{e}}) + A_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^* B_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^* \otimes s_{\infty} \right), \quad J^* \otimes s_{\infty} \right),$$

where we have set

$$B_{\nu} = \sum_{q=1}^{n} \binom{n-1}{q-1} \nu_{1}^{n-q} \nu_{2}^{q-1} B_{q}, \quad C_{\nu} = \nu_{1} A_{1} - \nu_{2} A_{2}, \quad I_{\nu} = (\nu_{1}^{2} + \nu_{2}^{2}) \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-2}{q-1} \nu_{1}^{n-q-1} \nu_{2}^{q-1} I_{q}$$

(for n = 1 we understand that $B_{\nu} = 1$ and $I_{\nu} = 0$).

The action of $\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}$ on morphisms is defined as in the case of \mathbb{P}^2 , see (3.5). We omit the cumbersome but trivial details.

We see now some properties of the functor $\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}$. Let \mathcal{H}^{\bullet} denote the cohomology sheaves of a complex on $T \times \Sigma_n$.

Definition 12. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\nu}$ be a family of framed representations. If the dimension vector of \mathcal{X} is (1, c, c) for some c, and \mathcal{X} is stable with respect to any stability parameter in the chamber Γ_c , then we say that \mathcal{X} is Γ_c -stable. The same wording will be used for the absolute case.

Proposition 13. If $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is a family of framed representations, then $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X})) = 0$, and $\mathcal{H}^{0}(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}))$ is torsion-free. If \mathcal{X} is Γ_{c} -stable, then $\mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X})) = 0$.

Proof. This follows from the case $T = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$, which was proved in Section A.1 of [1].

Let $\mathcal{A}_{n,\nu}(c)^{\heartsuit s}$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\nu}$ whose objects are families of Γ_c -stable framed representations.

Proposition 14. 1. $\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}$ maps $\mathcal{A}_{n,\nu}(c)^{\heartsuit s}$ into $\operatorname{Kom}_{n}^{\operatorname{flat}}$.

2. If $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(c)^{\heartsuit s} \cap \mathcal{A}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}'}(c)^{\heartsuit s}$ then the complexes $\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}'}(\mathcal{X})$ are quasi-isomorphic.

Let $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}''$ be a surjective morphism in $\mathcal{A}_{n,\nu}^{\heartsuit}$ for a scheme T, where \mathcal{X} is Γ_c -stable and \mathcal{X}'' has dimension vector (0, c - c', c - c'). Let \mathcal{X}' be the corresponding kernel. Then:

3. $\mathcal{X}' \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(c')^{\heartsuit s}$ is $\Gamma_{c'}$ -stable.

4. The sequence of morphisms of complexes of coherent sheaves on $T \times \Sigma_n$

$$0 \to \mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}'') \to \mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}') \to 0$$

$$(4.4)$$

 $is \ exact.$

Proof. 1. This goes exactly as in Proposition 8.

- 2. This is essentially proved in [1], albeit in a different language.
- 3. It follows from a direct computation.

4. The sequence (4.4) can be written as a diagram with three rows and three columns; the second and third column are complexes as in (4.3), and the first column too, but with $\mathcal{W} = 0$. The exactness of the rows is equivalent to the exactness of the sequence $0 \to \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}'' \to 0$.

We conclude this section by stating and briefly discussing the correspondence between the functor of families of representations of the quivers \mathbf{Q}^n , and the Hilbert scheme functor for the varieties X_n ; that is, we categorize Theorem 11.



Figure 1: The enhanced Hirzebruch quiver for n = 1 (left) and $n \ge 2$ (right).

Theorem 15. Let $\mathfrak{R}_{c,\Theta}^{n\otimes s}$ be the functor of families of Γ_c -stable framed representations of the quiver with relations \mathbf{Q}^n . Let $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{X_n}^c$ be the functor of families of length c 0-cycles on the variety X_n . There is a natural transformation $\eta_n \colon \mathfrak{R}_{c,\Theta}^{n\otimes s} \to \mathfrak{Hilb}_{X_n}^c$ which is an isomorphism of functors.

Proof. The natural transformation η_n is defined by means of the functors $\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}$, also in view of part 1 of Proposition 14: if \mathcal{X} is a family of Γ_c -stable representations of \mathbf{Q}^n , it is in $\mathcal{A}_{n,\nu}(c)^{\heartsuit s}$ for some ν ; then $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}(\mathcal{X}))$ is a family of length c 0-cycles on X_n . That η_n is an isomorphism of functors is just the categorical way of stating Theorem 11, and ultimately is the main content of [1].

The version of Remark 6 in the present context is that the Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}^{c}(X_{n})$ represents the functor $\mathfrak{R}_{c,\Theta}^{n\heartsuit s}$.

5 Nested Hilbert schemes of X_n as quiver varieties

We come now to the main result of this paper, which is the analogue of Theorem 5 for rank 1 framed flags on Hirzerbruch surfaces; as we previously discussed, this result establishes an isomorphism between the moduli space of stable framed representations of a suitable quiver with relations, and the Hilbert scheme of nested 0-cycles on the varietes X_n , i.e., the varieties obtained by removing from the *n*-th Hirzebruch surfaces Σ_n the image of a section of the fibration $\Sigma_n \to \mathbb{P}^1$ squaring to *n*. The quiver is an "enhancement" of the Hirzebruch quiver \mathbf{Q}^n , which we shall denote $\mathbf{\bar{Q}}^n$, and will call the *n*-th enhanced Hirzebruch quiver. The quiver $\mathbf{\bar{Q}}^n$ is shown in Figure 1 and the relations in Table 1.

The result is expressed by the following theorem. As anticipated, this is a version of Theorem 5 with the projective plane replaced by a Hirzebruch surface, and restricted to the rank one case, and also a generalization of Theorem 15 to the nested case.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (n=1) & a_1c_1a_2 = a_2c_1a_1; & a_1''c_1''a_2'' = a_2''c_1''a_1''; & \varphi_1c_1 = c_1''\varphi_2; \\ & \varphi_2a_1 = a_1''\varphi_1; & \varphi_2a_2 = a_2''\varphi_1 \\ (n \geq 2) & a_1c_q = a_2c_{q+1}; & c_qa_1 + i_qj = c_{q+1}a_2; & a_1''c_q'' = a_2''c_{q+1}; & c_q''a_1'' = c_{q+1}'a_2''; \\ & \varphi_1i_q = 0; & \varphi_2a_p = a_p''\varphi_1; & \varphi_1c_t = c_t''\varphi_2 \\ & \text{with } q = 1, \dots, n-1; & p = 1, 2; & t = 1, \dots, n. \end{array}$$

Table 1: Relations for the enhanced Hirzebruch quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$.

Theorem 16. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{n \heartsuit s}$ be the moduli space of framed representations of the quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$ with dimension vector (c, c, c - c', c - c', 1),⁷ stable with respect to a stability parameter $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)$ such that

 $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \Gamma_c \ (cf. \ eq. \ (4.2)), \quad \theta_3, \ \theta_4 < 0, \quad \theta_1 + \theta_2 + (\theta_3 + \theta_4)(c - c') > 0.$ (5.6)

 $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{n\heartsuit s}$ is isomorphic to the nested Hilbert scheme Hilb^{c',c}(X_n).

We shall prove this first at the set-theoretic level, and then, after categorizing the construction, we shall prove the scheme-theoretic isomorphism. Notice that the conditions in eq. (5.6) identify an open cone in the space of stability parameters (which in this case is 4-dimensional; the last entry is fixed by the usual normalization). We do not know if this cone coincides with a chamber, but Lemma 18 ensures at least that it is part of one (i.e., all stability parameters satisfying conditions (5.6) are generic and define the same notion of semistability).

The rest of this Section is divided in three parts. In the first we characterize the stability we are interested in. In the second part we prove a set-theoretic version of Theorem 16. In the third part we categorize these constructions and conclude the proof of Theorem 16.

5.1 Stability for the quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$

We can express the stability conditions for the quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$ as follows. Consider a stability parameter $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and fix a dimension vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, r) \in \mathbb{N}^5$ (the order of the vertices is 1, 2, 3, 4, ∞). Let

$$X = (V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, W, A_1, A_2, C_1, \dots, C_n; A'_1, A'_2, C'_1, \dots, C'_n, \ell, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}, F_1, F_2),$$
(5.7)

where:

• V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4 and W are C-vector spaces of the dimensions given by **v**;

• $A_1, A_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(V_1, V_2);$ $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \operatorname{Hom}(V_2, V_1);$ $A'_1, A'_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(V_3, V_4);$ $C'_1, \dots, C'_n \in \operatorname{Hom}(V_4, V_3);$ $\ell \in \operatorname{Hom}(V_1, W);$ $h_1, \dots, h_{n-1} \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, V_1);$ $A_1 \in \operatorname{Hom}(V_1, V_3);$ $A_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(V_2, V_4)$

(for n = 1 it is understood there are no maps h_t);

⁷The vertexes are taken in the order 1, 2, 3, 4, ∞ .

• the maps satisfy the relations

 $(n = 1) A_1C_1A_2 = A_2C_1A_1; A_1''C_1''A_2'' = A_2''C_1''A_1''; F_1C_1 = C_1''F_2; F_2A_1 = A_1''F_1; F_2A_2 = A_2''F_1$

$$(n = 2) A_1C_q = A_2C_{q+1}; C_qA_1 + h_q\ell = C_{q+1}A_2; A'_1C'_q = A'_2C'_{q+1}; C'_qA'_1 = C'_{q+1}A'_2; F_2A_p = A'_pF_1; F_1C_t = C'_tF_2,; F_1h_q = 0 with q = 1, ..., n - 1; p = 1, 2; t = 1, ..., n.$$

We recall from [11] (Prop. 5.1.5) the following characterization of semistability.

Lemma 17. The representation X is Θ -semistable if the following conditions hold:

- 1. $\Theta \cdot \dim(S) := \theta_1 s_1 + \theta_2 s_2 + \theta_3 s_1' + \theta_4 s_2' \le 0$ for all subrepresentations $S = (S_1, S_2, S_1', S_2')$ such that $S_1 \subseteq \ker(\ell)$;
- 2. $\Theta \cdot \dim(S) \leq \Theta \cdot \dim(X)$ for all subrepresentations $S = (S_1, S_2, S'_1, S'_2)$ such that $S_1 \supseteq \operatorname{Im}(h_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

X is Θ -stable if the inequalities are strict for $0 \neq S \subsetneq X$.

Now we prove two Lemmas that allow us to characterize the stable representations of the quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$.

Lemma 18. Consider $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$. Suppose that

- $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \Gamma_c \ (cf. eq. (4.2));$
- $\theta_3, \ \theta_4 < 0;$
- $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + (\theta_3 + \theta_4)(c c') > 0.$

Let $X = (V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, W, A_1, A_2, C_1, \dots, C_n, A'_1, A'_2, C'_1, \dots, C'_n, \ell, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}, F_1, F_2)$ be a representation of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}^n$ with dimension vector (c, c, c - c', c - c', 1). The following statements are equivalent:

- 1. X is Θ -stable;
- 2. X is Θ -semistable;
- 3. X satisfies the following conditions:
 - (C1) F_1 and F_2 are surjective;
 - (C2) $\bar{X} := (V_1, V_2, W, A_1, A_2, C_1, \dots, C_n, \ell, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1})$ is a Γ_c -stable representation of the quiver \mathbf{Q}^n .

Before proving the lemma, we need to recall the following characterization of Γ_c -stability (Lemma 4.7 of [1]):

Lemma 19. A framed representation

 $(V_0, V_1, W, A_1, A_2, B_1, \dots, B_n, I_1, \dots, I_{n-1}, J)$

of the quiver \mathbf{Q}^n is Γ_c -stable if and only if:

- 1. For all subrepresentations (S_0, S_1) such that $S_0 \subseteq \ker J$, $\dim S_0 < \dim S_1$, unless $S_0 = S_1 = 0$.
- 2. For all subrepresentations (S_0, S_1) such that $S_0 \supseteq \operatorname{Im} I_1, \ldots, \operatorname{Im} I_{n-1}, \dim S_0 \leq \dim S_1$.

Proof of Lemma 18. If X is Θ -stable, then it is obviously Θ -semistable. We assume that X is Θ semistable and prove (C1) and (C2). Note that $\tilde{X} := (V_1, V_2, \operatorname{Im}(F_1), \operatorname{Im}(F_2))$ is a subrepresentation of X such that $V_1 = S_1 \supseteq \operatorname{Im}(h_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. By the Θ -semistability of X we have

$$\theta_3 \dim(\operatorname{Im}(F_1)) + \theta_4 \dim(\operatorname{Im}(F_2)) \le \theta_3(c - c') + \theta_4(c - c').$$

Since θ_3 and θ_4 are negative, we also have

$$\theta_3 \dim(\operatorname{Im}(F_1)) + \theta_4 \dim(\operatorname{Im}(F_2)) \ge \theta_3(c - c') + \theta_4(c - c').$$

By combining these inequalities we have

$$\theta_3 \dim(\operatorname{Im}(F_1)) + \theta_4 \dim(\operatorname{Im}(F_2)) = \theta_3(c - c') + \theta_4(c - c').$$

Therefore

$$\dim(\operatorname{Im}(F_1)) = \dim(\operatorname{Im}(F_2)) = c - c',$$

i.e., F_1 and F_2 are surjective.

Now we prove (C2) by using the characterization of Lemma 19. Consider a nonzero subrepresentation $S = (S_1, S_2)$ of \overline{X} such that $S_1 \subseteq \ker(\ell)$. Then

$$\tilde{X} := (S_1, S_2, V_3, V_4)$$

is a subrepresentation of X such that $S_1 \subseteq \ker(\ell)$. By the Θ -semistability of X

$$\theta_1 s_1 + \theta_2 s_2 + (\theta_3 + \theta_4)(c - c') \le 0$$

where $s_1 = \dim S_1$ and $s_2 = \dim S_2$. Suppose $s_1 \ge s_2$, and $s_1 \ne 0$. We have $\theta_2 s_2 \ge \theta_2 s_1$, since $\theta_2 < 0$. Thus,

$$\theta_1 s_1 + \theta_2 s_2 + (\theta_3 + \theta_4)(c - c') \ge (\theta_1 + \theta_2) s_1 + (\theta_3 + \theta_4)(c - c') \ge \theta_1 + \theta_2 + (\theta_3 + \theta_4)(c - c') > 0,$$

a contradiction. So $s_1 < s_2$, as wanted.

On the other hand, suppose that $S = (S_1, S_2)$ is proper and $S_1 \supseteq \text{Im}(h_t)$ for every $t \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. As before, we can consider $\tilde{X} := (S_1, S_2, V_3, V_4)$ as a subrepresentation of X, as we have the relations between the maps inherited from the path algebra. Using again the Θ -semistability of X we obtain

$$\theta_1 s_1 + \theta_2 s_2 \le (\theta_1 + \theta_2)c.$$

Since (θ_1, θ_2) are in Γ_c we know that

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 < \frac{\theta_1}{c}.$$

Then, we conclude that

$$\theta_1 s_1 + \theta_2 s_2 < \theta_1 \iff \theta_1 (s_1 - 1) + \theta_2 s_2 < 0.$$

If $s_1 > s_2$ we obtain

$$(\theta_1 + \theta_2)s_2 \le \theta_1(s_1 - 1) + \theta_2 s_2 < 0$$

However, this cannot happen, since $\theta_1 + \theta_2 > 0$ and $s_2 \ge 0$. So $s_1 \le s_2$ as wanted.

Finally we prove that (3) implies (1). Consider a nonzero representation $\tilde{X} = (S_1, S_2, S'_1, S'_2)$ of X, such that $S_1 \subseteq \ker(\ell)$. If (S_1, S_2) is a nonzero subrepresentation of \bar{X} we obtain $s_1 < s_2$. As we are under the hypothesis that (θ_1, θ_2) is in Γ_c we see that

$$\frac{c-1}{c} < -\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1} < 1.$$

Since $s_1 < s_2 \leq c$,

$$\frac{s_1}{s_2} \le \frac{c-1}{c} < -\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}$$

Consequently, we must have

$$\theta_1 s_1 + \theta_2 s_2 < 0.$$

Also, as θ_3 and θ_4 are both negative

$$\theta_3 s_1' + \theta_4 s_2' \le 0.$$

Adding these two inequalities we obtain the desired result.

If $s_1 = s_2 = 0$ then $s'_i > 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Again, as θ_3 and θ_4 are negative, one has

$$\theta_1 s_1 + \theta_2 s_2 + \theta_3 s_1' + \theta_4 s_2' = \theta_3 s_1' + \theta_4 s_2' < 0.$$

By the (θ_1, θ_2) -stability of \bar{X} , the maps h_1, \ldots, h_{n-1} are zero (see Theorem 3.8 in [2]), and we need to to prove that for any proper subrepresentation one has

$$\Theta \cdot (s_1, s_2, s_1', s_2') < \Theta \cdot (c, c, c - c', c - c').$$

Using again the condition (C2) we obtain $s_1 \leq s_2$ and then $\theta_2 s_2 \leq \theta_2 s_1$ as $\theta_2 < 0$. Therefore,

$$\theta_1 s_1 + \theta_2 s_2 + \theta_3 s_1' + \theta_4 s_2' \le (\theta_1 + \theta_2) s_1 + \theta_3 s_1' + \theta_4 s_2'.$$

If $s_1 = c$, we also have $s_2 = c$ and then S = X, as F_1 and F_2 are surjective. Thus we can assume $s_1 \leq c - 1$. So,

$$\theta_1 s_1 + \theta_2 s_2 + \theta_3 s_1' + \theta_4 s_2' \le (\theta_1 + \theta_2)(c - 1) + \theta_3 s_1' + \theta_4 s_2'.$$
(5.11)

By hypothesis

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 + (\theta_3 + \theta_4)(c - c') > 0$$

So,

$$\theta_3 s_1' + \theta_4 s_2' < \theta_1 + \theta_2 + (\theta_3 + \theta_4)(c - c')$$

as $\theta_3 s'_1 + \theta_4 s'_2 \leq 0$. Adding $(\theta_1 + \theta_2)(c-1)$ to both sides of this inequality we have

$$(\theta_1 + \theta_2)(c-1) + \theta_3 s_1' + \theta_4 s_2' < (\theta_1 + \theta_2)c + (\theta_3 + \theta_4)(c-c').$$
(5.12)

By combining (5.11) and (5.12) we obtain the result:

$$\theta_1 s_1 + \theta_2 s_2 + \theta_3 s_1' + \theta_4 s_2' < (\theta_1 + \theta_2)c + (\theta_3 + \theta_4)(c - c').$$

Lemma 20. Given Θ as in Lemma 18 and a Θ -stable representation X of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$ with dimension vector (c, c, c - c', c - c', 1), we can construct a $\Gamma_{c'}$ -stable representation X' by letting

$$X' := (\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2, W, \bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_2, \bar{C}_1, \dots, \bar{C}_n, \bar{\ell}, \bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_{n-1}),$$

where $\bar{V}_1 = \ker(F_1)$, $\bar{V}_2 = \ker(F_2)$ and the maps $\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2)$, $\bar{C}_1, \ldots, \bar{C}_n \in \operatorname{Hom}(\bar{V}_2, \bar{V}_1)$, $\bar{\ell} \in \operatorname{Hom}(\bar{V}_1, W)$ and $\bar{h}_1, \ldots, \bar{h}_{n-1} \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, \bar{V}_1)$ are defined by:

$$\bar{A}_i = A_i|_{\ker(F_1)}, \quad for \ i = 1, 2; \quad \bar{C}_i = C_i|_{\ker(F_2)}, \quad for \ i = 1, \dots, n;$$

 $\bar{h}_q = h_q, \quad for \ q = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad \bar{\ell} = \ell|_{\ker(F_1)}.$

Proof. We start by noticing that these maps are well-defined. In fact we have $\operatorname{Im}(\bar{A}_i) \subseteq \ker(F_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\bar{C}_i) \subseteq \ker(F_1)$, since $F_2A_i = A'_iF_1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $F_1C_i = C'_iF_2$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. The fact that we set $\bar{h}_q = h_q$ is justified by the relations $F_1h_q = 0$. Moreover, all maps satisfy the necessary relations in a straightforward way, for instance: take $x \in \ker(F_2)$ and observe that

$$\bar{A}_1\bar{C}_i(x) = \bar{A}_1C_i(x) = A_1C_i(x) = A_2C_{i+1}(x) = \bar{A}_2\bar{C}_{i+1}(x).$$

Hence, $\bar{A}_1\bar{C}_i = \bar{A}_2\bar{C}_{i+1}$. The other relations can be proved in an analogous way. For the $\Gamma_{c'}$ -stability, consider a subrepresentation $S = (S_1, S_2)$ of X' such that $S_1 \subseteq \ker(\bar{\ell})$; one can see S as a subrepresentation of \bar{X} (notation of Lemma 18), since we have the natural inclusions $i_1 : \bar{V}_1 = \ker(F_1) \to V_1$ and $i_2 : \bar{V}_2 = \ker(F_2) \to V_2$, and we also know that

$$S_1 \subseteq \ker(\overline{\ell}) = \ker(\ell|_{\ker(F_1)}) = \ker(\ell) \cap \ker(F_1) \subseteq \ker(\ell).$$

The Γ_c -stability of \bar{X} implies that $s_1 < s_2$ or $s_1 = s_2 = 0$. By the same token, we use $\bar{h}_i = h_i$ to show that if $S = (S_1, S_2)$ is a subrepresentation of X' with $S_1 \supseteq \operatorname{Im}(\bar{h}_i) = \operatorname{Im}(h_i)$, then by using the Γ_c -stability of \bar{X} one gets $s_1 \leq s_2$. Thus X' is $\Gamma_{c'}$ -stable by Lemma 19.

5.2 The set-theoretic correspondence

Let $\operatorname{Rep}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n)_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{\otimes s}$ be the space of representations of the enhanced Hirzebruch quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$, with the relations of Table 1 and dimension vector $\mathbf{v} = (c, c, c - c', c - c', 1)$, stable with respect to a stability parameter $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)$ satisfying the conditions in equation (5.6). This space is acted upon by a group G

$$G = \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_3) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_4) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_c(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_c(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_{c-c'}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_{c-c'}(\mathbb{C})$$

where V_i is the vector space attached to the *i*-th vertex. The action is, with reference to equation (5.7),

$$\begin{split} (g_1,g_2,g_3,g_4)(A_i,C_i,h_i,\ell,A_i'',C_i'',F_1,F_2) = \\ (g_2A_ig_1^{-1},g_1C_ig_2^{-1},g_1h_i,\ell g_1^{-1},g_4A_i''g_3^{-1},g_3C_i''g_4^{-1},g_3F_1g_1^{-1},g_4F_2g_2^{-1}). \end{split}$$

One can show that the stable representations are free points for this action (see [20] for a proof).

Theorem 21. There is a set-theoretical bijection between the moduli space of stable framed representations $\operatorname{Rep}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n)_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{\heartsuit s} /\!\!/ G$ and the nested Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}^{c',c}(X_n)$.

The proof of this result requires some intermediate steps. At first we shall describe some constructions that will allow us to build a nested 0-cycle out of a representation of the enhanced Hirzebruch quiver. We start by considering an element X in $\operatorname{Rep}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n)_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{\heartsuit s}$ as in equation (5.7). By Lemma 18 the maps F_1 and F_2 are surjective. Moreover, X includes the data for a representation of the Hirzebruch quiver \mathbf{Q}^n , corresponding to the left side of enhanced Hirzebruch quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$ (see Figure 1), which turns out to be stable. The maps F_1 and F_2 can be seen as a morphism of representations of the quiver \mathbf{Q}^n and therefore Lemma 20 yields a short exact sequence in the category of representations of the quiver \mathbf{Q}^n :

$$0 \to X_{c'} \xrightarrow{i} X_c \xrightarrow{F} X_{c-c'} \to 0,$$

where $X_{c'}$ is $\Gamma_{c'}$ -stable, X_c is Γ_c -stable and the maps *i* and *F* are

$$i = (i_1, i_2, \mathrm{Id}_W), \qquad F = (F_1, F_2, 0).$$

As X_c is Γ_c -stable, we know from [1, Prop. 4.9] and [2, Thm. 3.8] that the pencil A_{ν} is regular, and therefore there exists an m in the range $0, \ldots, c$ such that the map

$$A_{2m} := s_m A_1 + c_m A_2$$
 where $s_m = \sin \frac{m\pi}{c+1}$, $c_m = \cos \frac{m\pi}{c+1}$ (5.13)

is invertible. Moreover also the map

$$A'_{2m} := s_m A'_1 + c_m A'_2 = s_m A_1|_{\ker(F_1)} + c_m A_2|_{\ker(F_1)} = A_{2m}|_{\ker(F_1)}$$

is invertible. Varying m, these two conditions define open covers of the spaces of stable linear data, such that A_{2m} is invertible in the m-th open set. This in turn corresponds, as shown in [1], to an open cover $\{U_m^{nc}\}$, $m = 0, \ldots, c$, of $\operatorname{Hilb}^c(X_n)$, and an open cover $\{U_j^{nc'}\}$, $j = 0, \ldots, c$, of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{c'}(X_n)$ where each open is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and $\operatorname{Hilb}^{c'}(\mathbb{C}^2)$, respectively.⁸ Note that also in $\operatorname{Hilb}^{c'}(X_n)$ we have c + 1 open sets, not c' + 1.

Using [1, Proposition 3.3] we can build a short exact sequence in the category of representations of the ADHM quiver

$$0 \to X_{c'} \to X_c \to X_{c-c'} \to 0.$$

where $X_{c'}$ and X_c are co-stable in Nakajima's sense. So we can picture a diagram



where s = c - c' and \bar{b}_{1m} and \bar{b}_{2m} are the quotient maps, which satisfy $[\bar{b}_{1m}, \bar{b}_{2m}] = 0$ as $[b_{1m}, b_{2m}] = 0$. Note that the portion of the diagram within dashed lines is the dual enhanced ADHM quiver.

⁸This \mathbb{C}^2 is Σ_n deprived of the line at infinity and the fiber over the point $[c_m, s_m]$ of \mathbb{P}^1 .

Let V, W be vector spaces of dimension c and 1, respectively, and let $(b_1, b_2, e) \in \text{End}(V)^{\oplus 2} \oplus$ Hom(V, W), with $[b_1, b_2] = 0$. This may be regarded as a representation $X = (V, W, b_1, b_2, e, 0)$ of the ADHM quiver. For future use, out of these data we build a complex on Σ_n as in [1, p. 2151]:

$$M(X): \quad 0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(0,-1) \otimes V^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1,-1) \otimes V^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n} \otimes [V^* \oplus W^*] \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1,0) \otimes V^* \to 0,$$

where

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{id}_{V^*}(y_{2m}^n s_{\mathfrak{e}}) + b_2^* s_{\infty} \\ \operatorname{id}_{V^*} y_{1m} + b_1^* y_{2m} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{id}_{V^*} y_{1m} + b_1^* y_{2m}, \quad -\left(\operatorname{id}_{V^*}(y_{2m}^n s_{\mathfrak{e}}) + b_2^* s_{\infty}\right), \quad e^* s_{\infty} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, since we want a functorial correspondence between representations and complexes, given a morphism of ADHM representations $\varphi : X \to \tilde{X}$, where \tilde{X} is a representation with the same structure, one defines a morphism of complexes

where $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{W}}$ are the identity times the dual of the morphism $F: V \to \tilde{V}$ in φ . The morphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}$ is defined as

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{id} \otimes F^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{id} \otimes F^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \operatorname{id} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the entries below the diagonal are forced to be zero by the vanishing $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(-1,1)) = 0$. The check that this defines a morphism of complexes is done in detail in [20].

In this way we have defined a morphism

$$M(\tilde{X}) \to M(X) \tag{5.15}$$

between the complexes corresponding to X and \tilde{X} . The functorial correspondence $X \rightsquigarrow M(X)$ of course is just an avatar of the functor \mathfrak{K}_n .

Next, we construct a complex $M(X_{c-c'})$ from the datum $(\bar{b}_{1m}, \bar{b}_{2m})$, by looking $\bar{b}_{1m}, \bar{b}_{2m}$ as commuting elements in End(V'), where V' is a vector space of dimension c - c'. We shall get a vanishing result for the cohomology sheaves of this complex.

Lemma 22. The complex $M(X_{c-c'})$ given by

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(0,-1) \otimes V'^* \xrightarrow{\alpha''} (\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1,-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}) \otimes V'^* \xrightarrow{\beta''} \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1,0) \otimes V'^* \to 0,$$

where

$$\alpha'' = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{id}_{V'^*}(y_{2m}^n s_{\mathfrak{e}}) + \bar{b}_{2m}^* s_{\infty} \\ \operatorname{id}_{V'^*} y_{1m} + \bar{b}_{1m}^* y_{2m} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta'' = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{id}_{V'^*} y_{1m} + \bar{b}_{1m}^* y_{2m}, \quad -\left(\operatorname{id}_{V'^*}(y_{2m}^n s_{\mathfrak{e}}) + \bar{b}_{2m}^* s_{\infty}\right) \end{bmatrix},$$

satisfies

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(M(X_{c-c'})) = \mathcal{H}^{0}(M(X_{c-c'})) = 0.$$

Proof. First we show that $\operatorname{Im} \alpha'' = \ker \beta''$; of course we only have to check that $\operatorname{Im} \alpha'' \supset \ker \beta''$. The restrictions y_1, y_2 of y_{1m}, y_{2m} to ℓ_{∞} may be regarded as homogeneous coordinates on ℓ_{∞} ;⁹ moreover, the section $s_{\mathfrak{e}}$ has no zeroes on ℓ_{∞} (actually $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(1, -n)\Big|_{\ell_{\infty}}$ is trivial as $\mathfrak{e} \cdot \mathfrak{h} = 0$). Omitting to write the restriction to ℓ_{∞} , we have

$$\alpha'' = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{id}_{V'^*}(y_2^n s_{\mathfrak{e}}) \\ \operatorname{id}_{V'^*} y_1 + \bar{b}_{1m}^* y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\beta'' = \left(-(\mathrm{id}_{V'^*} y_1 + \bar{b}_{1m}^* y_2), \ \mathrm{id}_{V'^*}(y_2^n s_{\mathfrak{e}}) \right)$$

So $(v_1, v_2) \in \ker \beta''$ if and only if

$$(y_1 + y_2 \bar{b}_{1m}^*)v_1 = y_2^n s_{\mathfrak{e}} v_2.$$
(5.16)

If $y_2 \neq 0$, let (v_1, v_2) satisfy (5.16), and set

$$v = \frac{v_1}{y_2^n s_{\mathfrak{e}}}.$$

Then, taking (5.16) into account, one has $\alpha''(v) = (v_1, v_2)$.

In the patch $y_1 \neq 0$ the morphism $L = y_1 + y_2 b_1^*$ is invertible at $y_2 = 0$, hence it is invertible in a neighborhood of that point. Then setting $v = L^{-1}v_2$ we again have $\alpha''(v) = (v_1, v_2)$ in that neighborhood. As this neighborhood and the neighborhood $y_2 \neq 0$ cover ℓ_{∞} the claim follows.

Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(M(X_{c-c'})) = \ker(\alpha'')$ and α'' is injective by [1, Statement (i), p. 2151]. This finalizes the proof.

Using the morphism (5.15) we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \to M(X_{c-c'}) \to M(X_c) \to M(X_{c'}) \to 0.$$

This exact sequence is the following diagram with exact rows:



where $Z = \ker F_1 \colon V_1 \to V'_1$. The corresponding long exact sequence of cohomology contains the segment

$$\mathcal{H}^{0}(M(X_{c-c'})) \to \mathcal{H}^{0}(M(X_{c})) \to \mathcal{H}^{0}(M(X_{c'})) \to \mathcal{H}^{1}(M(X_{c-c'})) \to \mathcal{H}^{1}(M(X_{c})).$$
(5.17)

However since X_c is co-stable we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(M(X_c)) = \mathcal{H}^1(M(X_c)) = 0$$

⁹Note that (y_{1m}, y_{2m}) are sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_n}(0, 1)$, which restricted to $\ell_{\infty} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ as $\mathfrak{h} \cdot \mathfrak{f} = 1$.

and by Lemma 22, $\mathcal{H}^0(M(X_{c-c'}))$ is also zero, so that the sequence (5.17) reduces to

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}^0(M(X_c)) \to \mathcal{H}^0(M(X_{c'})) \to \mathcal{H}^1(M(X_{c-c'})) \to 0$$

The sheaves $E = \mathcal{H}^0(M(X_c))$ and $F = \mathcal{H}^0(M(X_{c'}))$ are rank 1 framed torsion-free sheaves with Chern character (1, 0, -c) and (1, 0, -c'), respectively. Moreover,

$$\operatorname{coker}(\beta'') = \mathcal{H}^1(M(X_{c-c'})) \simeq \frac{\mathcal{H}^0(M(X_c))}{\mathcal{H}^0(M(X_{c'}))}$$

is a rank 0 sheaf of length c - c' supported away from ℓ_{∞} , since the ranks of $\mathcal{H}^0(M(X_c))$ and $\mathcal{H}^0(M(X_{c'}))$ coincide and the two sheaves are framed on ℓ_{∞} . Thus, we get a framed flag of sheaves (E, F, φ) on Σ_n , which is actually a pair of nested 0-cycles.

Now we build the correspondence in the opposite direction, starting from $S \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{c',c}(X_n)$. One can write $S = (S^{c'}, S^c)$, where $S^{c'}$ and S^c are 0-cycles of length c' and c, respectively. Using the open cover $\{U_j^{nc'}\}$, $j = 0, \ldots, c$ of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{c'}(X_n)$ introduced earlier, we obtain an element $\widetilde{S} \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{c',c}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. By Theorem 5, there is a stable representation of the enhanced ADHM quiver with dimension vector (s = c - c', c, 1) providing the portion of diagram (5.14) within the dashed lines. The diagram then is completed by taking kernels as in Lemma 20, thus obtaining the left-hand side of (5.14).

One can rewrite this as en exact sequence of representations of the ADHM quiver

$$0 \to L \xrightarrow{\imath_1} M \xrightarrow{F_1} N \to 0,$$

where L and M are stable and dim L = (c', 1), dim M = (c, 1), dim N = (c - c', 0). One may use [1, Equations 3.13], which in this case read

$$A_{1} = c_{m}b_{1m} + s_{m} \operatorname{id}_{V}, \quad A_{2} = -s_{m}b_{1m} + c_{m} \operatorname{id}_{V},$$

$$\bar{A}_{1} = c_{m}\bar{b}_{1m} + s_{m} \operatorname{id}_{V'}, \quad \bar{A}_{2} = -s_{m}\bar{b}_{1m} + c_{m} \operatorname{id}_{V'}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{1} \\ \vdots \\ C_{n} \end{bmatrix} = (\sigma_{m}^{n-1} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{V}) \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{id}_{V} \\ b_{1m} \\ \vdots \\ b_{1m}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} b_{2m}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \bar{C}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \bar{C}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = (\sigma_{m}^{n-1} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{V'}) \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{id}_{V'} \\ \bar{b}_{1m} \\ \vdots \\ (\bar{b}_{1m})^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \bar{b}_{2m},$$

to obtain a representation X of the quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$ with dimension vector (c, c, c - c', c - c', 1). This representation is depicted in Figure 2. The matrix σ_m^{n-1} is defined by the condition

$$(s_m z_1 + c_m z_2)^p (c_m z_1 - s_m z_2)^{n-1-p} = \sum_{q=0}^{n-1} (\sigma_m^{n-1})_{pq} z_1^{n-1-q} z_2^q$$

for $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, where s_m , c_m are the numbers defined in equation (5.13).

This representation satisfies the relations in Table 1 (to see this one uses [1, Corollary 3.6]) and is stable. In fact, the map F_1 is surjective and the representation of the Hirzebruch quiver \mathbf{Q}^n given by the left portion of the quiver in Figure 2 is stable, by construction. Then, the stability of X follows from Lemma 18 and we get a point in $\operatorname{Rep}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n)_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{\bigotimes s}$. This in general depends on the choice of the open set in the cover $\{U_j^{nc'}\}$, but its class in the quotient under the action of the group G as in Theorem 21 is actually independent of it (see claim 2 of Proposition 14). Loosely speaking, this construction inverts the previous one up to the action of the group G, thus proving Theorem 21.



Figure 2:

More formally, we may consider the diagram

$$\frac{\operatorname{Rep}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^{n})_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{\heartsuit s}}{G} \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Rep}(\mathbf{Q}^{n})_{\mathbf{v}_{c'},\Gamma_{c'}}^{\heartsuit s}}{G_{c'}} \times \frac{\operatorname{Rep}(\mathbf{Q}^{n})_{\mathbf{v}_{c},\Gamma_{c'}}^{\heartsuit s}}{G_{c}} \\ \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Hilb}^{c',c}(X_{n}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}^{c'}(X_{n}) \times \operatorname{Hilb}^{c}(X_{n})$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{c} = (c, c, 1), \ \mathbf{v}_{c'} = (c', c', 1)$ and

$$G_{c'} = \operatorname{GL}_{c'}(\mathbb{C}) \times \operatorname{GL}_{c'}(\mathbb{C}), \qquad G_c = \operatorname{GL}_c(\mathbb{C} \times \operatorname{GL}_c(\mathbb{C})).$$

The left vertical arrow is provided by Theorem 11, while the horizontal arrows embed the schemes on the left as the naturally defined incidence subschemes. This defines the dotted arrow as an isomorphism.

5.3 The schematic isomorphism

We complete the proof of Theorem 16 by showing that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{n\otimes s}$ and $\operatorname{Hilb}^{c',c}(X_n)$ are isomorphic as schemes. This will be accomplished along the lines of the proof of Theorem 5 by showing that the following two functors are isomorphic:

- the functor $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{n \bigotimes s}$ of families of framed representations of the enhanced Hirzebruch quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$ with dimension vector $\mathbf{v} = (c, c, c c', c c', 1)$, stable with respect to a stability parameter Θ as in Theorem 16;
- the functor $\mathfrak{Hill}_{X_n}^{c',c}$ of families of nested 0-cycles of length (c',c) on X_n . This is the functor $\mathbf{Sch}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Set}$ that with every scheme T associates the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (Z', Z), where Z' and Z are closed subschemes of $T \times X_n$, flat over T, such that $Z' \subset Z$ and the

restrictions of the projection $T \times X_n \to T$ to Z' and Z are finite of degree c' and c, respectively. This functor is represented by a scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}^{c',c}(X_n)$ by the results in [14] (see [21], Section 4.5.1 for a detailed study of this result); moreover, it is naturally isomorphic with the functor $\mathfrak{F}_{1,0,c',c-c'}^{\Sigma_n,\ell_\infty}$ (using the notation of equation (2.1)) and therefore it is representable also by Theorem 3.

Proposition 23. There is a natural transformation $\eta_n : \bar{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{n \heartsuit s} \to \mathfrak{Hilb}_{X_n}^{c',c}$ which is an isomorphism of functors.

Again, the key for the construction of the natural transformation η_n is to regard a representation of the enhanced Hirzebruch quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$ as a morphism of representations of the Hirzebruch quiver \mathbf{Q}^n . Let

$$X = (T, \mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}'_0, \mathcal{V}'_1, A_1, A_2, B_1, \dots, B_n, I_1, \dots, I_{n-1}, J, A'_1, A'_2, B'_1, \dots, B'_n, F_1, F_2)$$

be a family of representations of the quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$, with T a scheme, $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}'_0, \mathcal{V}'_1$ vector bundles on T of rank c, c, 1, c - c', c - c', respectively, and

$$A_1, A_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1), \quad B_1, \dots, B_n \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_0), \quad I_1, \dots, I_{n-1} \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}_0)$$
$$J \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{W}), \quad A'_1, A'_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}'_0, \mathcal{V}'_1), \quad B'_1, \dots, B'_n \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}'_1, \mathcal{V}'_0),$$
$$F_1 \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}'_0), \quad F_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}'_1).$$

,

If we assume that X is stable as in Lemma 18 then F_1 and F_2 are surjective. This defines a surjective morphism of families of representations of the quiver \mathbf{Q}^n . Define $\mathcal{V}_0'' := \ker(F_1)$ and $\mathcal{V}_1'' := \ker(F_2)$; note that they are vector bundles on T of rank c'. The morphisms $A_1, A_2, B_1, \ldots, B_n, J$ induce morphisms

$$A_1'', A_2'' \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0'', \mathcal{V}_1''); \ B_1'', \dots, B_n'' \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_1'', \mathcal{V}_0''); \ J'' \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0'', \mathcal{W})$$

and this defines a kernel family of representations of the quiver \mathbf{Q}^n .

As we have natural inclusions $i_0 : \mathcal{V}_0'' \to \mathcal{V}_0$ and $i_1 : \mathcal{V}_1' \to \mathcal{V}_1$ and the isomorphism $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}$, we can build a short exact sequence of families of representations of the quiver \mathbf{Q}^n parameterized by T

$$0 \to \mathcal{X}'' \to \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}' \to 0.$$

By Lemmas 18 and 20, \mathcal{X}'' and \mathcal{X} are families of stable framed representations, so that Proposition 14 implies that the sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}') \to \mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}'') \to 0$$

is exact and by taking cohomology

$$\mathcal{H}^{0}(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}')) \to \mathcal{H}^{0}(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X})) \to \mathcal{H}^{0}(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}')) \to \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}')) \to \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}))$$
(5.21)

is exact as well.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}(\mathcal{X}')) = 0$, by Lemma 22 and $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}(\mathcal{X})) = 0$ as \mathcal{X} is stable. Thus (5.21) reduces to

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}^0(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X})) \to \mathcal{H}^0(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}'')) \to \mathcal{H}^1(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathcal{X}')) \to 0$$

Additionally, one has:

- $F := \mathcal{H}^0(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}(\mathcal{X}''))$ is a torsion-free coherent sheaf on $T \times \Sigma_n$, with a framing φ to the trivial sheaf on $T \times \ell_\infty$. Moreover, the second Chern class of $F_{|\{t\} \times \Sigma_n}$ is c' for every closed point $t \in T$.
- F and $E := \mathcal{H}^0(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}(\mathcal{X}))$ are flat over T by Proposition 14, since \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}' are stable.
- $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathfrak{K}_{n,m}(\mathcal{X}'))$ is a rank 0 coherent sheaf on $T \times \Sigma_n$, supported away from $T \times \ell_\infty$. For every closed point $t \in T$, the restriction of the schematic support of $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}(\mathcal{X}'))$ to the fiber over t is a 0-cycle on Σ_n of length c c'.
- $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathfrak{K}_{n,\nu}(\mathcal{X}'))$ is flat over T, as it is a quotient of flat sheaves.

Therefore, the pair (E, F) may be regarded as a flat family of nested 0-cycles parameterized by the scheme T. This defines the natural transformation η_n . To prove that η_n is indeed a natural transformation we need to show that for any scheme morphism $f: S \to T$ the diagram

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathfrak{R}}^{n \heartsuit s}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}(S) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathfrak{R}}^{n \heartsuit s}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}(f)} \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{R}}^{n \heartsuit s}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}(T) \\ & & & & & \\ \eta_{n,S} \\ & & & & & \\ \mathfrak{H}^{n,S}_{X_n}(S) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{H}^{n \circlearrowright s}_{X_n}(f)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{n \circlearrowright s}_{X_n}(T) \end{split}$$

commutes. If X is a family of representations parametrized by S we have indeed

$$\mathfrak{filb}_{X_n}^{c',c}(f) \circ \eta_{n,S} = (\mathrm{Id} \times f)^* \circ \eta_{n,S} = \eta_{n,T}(f^*) = \eta_{n,T} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{n \heartsuit s}(f)$$

The only nontrivial equality is the middle one. This follows from the Lemma

Lemma 24. Let \mathcal{M}^{\bullet} be a family of monads on Σ_n parametrized by a scheme T, and let $f: S \to T$ be a scheme morphism. Assume that the cohomology \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{M}^{\bullet} is flat over T. Then $(f \times id)^* \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}$ is a monad, whose cohomology is isomorphic to $(f \times id)^* \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.2 in [3].

Note that the flatness condition is fulfilled as \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}'' are stable.

To show that η_n is actually a natural isomorphism, we must construct a natural transformation

$$au_n:\mathfrak{Hilb}_{X_n}^{c',c}\to \bar{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mathbf{v},\Theta}^{n\heartsuit s}$$

which is both a right and left inverse to η_n . This can be done as follows (we omit the details as they should by now be quite straightforward).

- A family (Z', Z) of nested 0-cycles defines two families $\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{X}$ of representations of the standard ADHM quiver, with an injective morphism $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$.
- One defines \mathcal{X}'' as the quotient \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X}' . The exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}'' \to 0$$

corresponds to a diagram as in (5.14).

• Finally one uses [1, Equations (3.13)] to obtain a stable family of representations of the quiver $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$ with the required dimension vector and relations (this is the construction at the end of Section 5.2).

This finalizes the proof of Theorem 16.

References

- [1] C. BARTOCCI, U. BRUZZO, V. LANZA, AND C. L. S. RAVA, Hilbert schemes of points of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n)$ as quiver varieties, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 221 (2017), pp. 2132–2155.
- [2] —, On the irreducibility of some quiver varieties, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl., 16 (2020), pp. Paper No. 069, 13.
- [3] C. BARTOCCI, U. BRUZZO, AND C. L. S. RAVA, Monads for framed sheaves on Hirzebruch surfaces, Adv. Geom, 15 (2015), pp. 55-76. Erratum, Adv. Geom. 16 (2016), 531-534. Corrected version available as arXiv:1205.3613v5 [math.AG].
- [4] P. BORGES DOS SANTOS, ADHM construction of nested Hilbert schemes, PhD thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil, 2016.
- [5] U. BRUZZO AND D. MARKUSHEVICH, Moduli of framed sheaves on projective surfaces, Doc. Math., 16 (2011), pp. 399–410.
- [6] J. CHEAH, Cellular decompositions for nested Hilbert schemes of points, Pacific J. Math., 183 (1998), pp. 39–90.
- [7] A. CRAW, S. GAMMELGAARD, A. GYENGE, AND B. SZENDRŐI, Punctual Hilbert schemes for Kleinian singularities as quiver varieties, Algebr. Geom., 8 (2021), pp. 680–704.
- [8] —, Quot schemes for Kleinian orbifolds, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl., 17 (2021), pp. Paper No. 099, 21.
- [9] A. CRAW AND A. ISHII, Flops of G-Hilb and equivalences of derived categories by variation of GIT quotient, Duke Math. J., 124 (2004), pp. 259–307.
- [10] A. CRAW, D. MACLAGAN, AND R. R. THOMAS, Moduli of McKay quiver representations. I. The coherent component, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 95 (2007), pp. 179–198.
- [11] V. GINZBURG, Lectures on Nakajima's quiver varieties, in Geometric methods in representation theory. I, vol. 24-I of Sémin. Congr., Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2012, pp. 145–219.
- [12] D. HUYBRECHTS AND M. LEHN, The Geometry of Moduli Spaces of Sheaves, Aspects of Mathematics, Friedrick Vieweg & Sohn Verlagsgesellschaft MbH, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1997.
- M. JARDIM AND R. VON FLACH, Moduli spaces of framed flags of sheaves on the projective plane, J. Geom. Phys., 118 (2017), pp. 138–168.
- S. KEEL, Functorial construction of Le Barz's triangle space with applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 335 (1993), pp. 213–229.
- [15] A. D. KING, Instantons and holomorphic bundles on the blown-up plane, PhD thesis, Worcester College, Oxford, UK, 1987.
- [16] —, Moduli of representations of finite dimensional algebras, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 45 (1994), pp. 515–530.
- [17] P. B. KRONHEIMER AND H. NAKAJIMA, Yang-Mills instantons on ALE gravitational instantons, Math. Ann., 288 (1990), pp. 263–307.
- [18] A. KUZNETSOV, Quiver varieties and Hilbert schemes, Moscow Math. J., 7 (2007), pp. 673–697.
- [19] H. NAKAJIMA, Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces, vol. 18 of University Lecture Series, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

- [20] P. H. SANTOS, Nested Hilbert schemes on Hirzebruch surfaces and quiver varieties, PhD thesis, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil, 2024. Available from http://www.mat.ufpb.br/ppgmat/index.php/pt/egressos/teses.
- [21] E. SERNESI, *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, vol. 334 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [22] THE STACKS PROJECT AUTHORS, Stacks Project. https://stacks.math.columbia.edu.
- [23] A. VISTOLI, Notes on Grothendieck topologies, fibered categories and descent theory, in Fundamental algebraic geometry – Grothendieck's FGA explained, vol. 123 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 1–104. arXiv:math/0412512 [math.AG].
- [24] R. A. VON FLACH, M. JARDIM, AND V. LANZA, Obstruction theory for moduli spaces of framed flags of sheaves on the projective plane, J. Geom. Phys., 166 (2021), pp. 10, Paper No. 104255.