

ON THE CONCENTRATION OF THE FOURIER COEFFICIENTS FOR PRODUCTS OF LAPLACE-BELTRAMI EIGENFUNCTIONS ON REAL-ANALYTIC MANIFOLDS

PHILIPPE CHARRON AND FRANÇOIS PAGANO

ABSTRACT. On a closed analytic manifold (M, g) , let ϕ_i be the eigenfunctions of Δ_g with eigenvalues λ_i^2 and let $f := \prod \phi_{k_j}$ be a finite product of Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions. We show that $\langle f, \phi_i \rangle_{L^2(M)}$ decays exponentially as soon as $\lambda_i > C \sum \lambda_{k_j}$ for some constant C depending only on M . Moreover, by using a lower bound on $\|f\|_{L^2(M)}$, we show that 99% of the L^2 -mass of f can be recovered using only finitely many Fourier coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Consider the set $\{\phi_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ of L^2 -normalized Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions¹ on a real-analytic closed manifold (M, g) :

$$-\Delta_g \phi_i = \lambda_i^2 \phi_i.$$

For $f \in L^2(M)$, let us consider the Fourier decomposition

$$f = \sum c_i(f) \phi_i, \quad c_i(f) := \int_M f \phi_i.$$

We are interested in the following question: if $f = \phi_j \phi_k$, how do the coefficients $c_i(f)$ decay? In other words, what is the error in the approximation of $\phi_j \phi_k$ by a finite number of eigenfunctions?

In [14], Seeley proved that for an arbitrary real-analytic function f , there exist $C(f)$ and $D(f)$ such that $|c_i(f)| \leq C e^{-D \lambda_i}$. Since the Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions are analytic on a real-analytic manifold, one can apply this result to $f = \phi_j \phi_k$ to deduce the exponential decay of $c_i(\phi_j \phi_k)$. However, it is unclear how the constants C, D depend on ϕ_j, ϕ_k and M .

Motivated by questions from number theory, Sarnak [13] proved the following result: if M is a d -dimensional closed real-analytic manifold of constant sectional curvature -1 and $f = \phi_{k_1} \phi_{k_2} \cdots \phi_{k_n}$, then there exist $B(d, n)$ and $C(f)$ such that $c_i(f) \leq C \lambda_i^B e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \lambda_i}$. In dimension two, Bernstein and Reznikov [1] later replaced the power loss with a logarithmic loss (see also [7]). In the case of general compact real-analytic manifolds, Zelditch [18] proved that $c_i(\phi_j^2) \leq C e^{-R \lambda_i}$ where R is strictly less than the maximal analytic Grauert tube radius, and C depends on j, M and R .

These results describe the behavior of $c_i(f)$ when f is a product of eigenfunctions as i goes to infinity, but they do not describe what happens for smaller values of i . For example, in the case of the flat torus $\mathbb{T}^d$, $c_i(\phi_j \phi_k) = 0$ if $\lambda_i > \lambda_j + \lambda_k$. A natural question to ask is the following: for a general analytic manifold, what are the conditions on i, j , and k such that $c_i(\phi_j \phi_k)$ decays exponentially?

In the case of smooth manifolds, some recent results indicate when $c_i(f)$ starts to decay when f is a product of eigenfunctions. Motivated by numerical applications for electronic structure computing [12], Lu, Sogge, and Steinerberger [10, 11, 16], showed that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $c_i(\phi_j \phi_k)$ has polynomial decay at any order as soon as $\lambda_i \geq \max(\lambda_j, \lambda_k)^{1+\epsilon}$. This result was recently improved by Wyman [17] with the weaker condition $\lambda_i \geq (2 + \epsilon) \max(\lambda_j, \lambda_k)$. To the best of our knowledge, it is an open problem whether exponential decay holds for $c_i(\phi_j \phi_k)$ in the smooth setting.

1.1. Main results. Our first main result shows quantitatively the exponential decay of $c_i\left(\prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j}\right)$ in the real-analytic setting:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a real-analytic closed Riemannian manifold of dimension d . Let $\{\phi_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions on M with eigenvalues λ_k^2 in increasing order.*

Date: March 28, 2024.

¹In this article, all the estimates involve the square root of the eigenvalues. Therefore, we define λ_i^2 as the eigenvalues rather than the more common λ_i in order to ease the notation.

Let $n \geq 2$ and consider a finite set of positive indices $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$. There exist $c(M)$ and $C(M)$ such that the following holds: for any $i > 0$,

$$(1) \quad \left| \int_M \phi_i \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right| \leq e^{-c\lambda_i} \prod_{j \leq n} e^{C\lambda_{k_j}}.$$

If we only consider indices i large enough we get the following straightforward Corollary:

Corollary 1.2. *Let (M, g) be a real-analytic closed Riemannian manifold of dimension d . Let $\{\phi_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions on M with eigenvalues λ_k^2 in increasing order. Let $n \geq 2$ and consider a finite set of positive indices $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$. There exists $C_1(M)$ and $C_2(M)$ such that if $\lambda_i \geq C_1 \sum_{j \leq n} \lambda_{k_j}$, then*

$$(2) \quad \left| \int_M \phi_i \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right| \leq e^{-C_2\lambda_i}.$$

The second result that we prove is a Lemma well-known to specialists: the L^2 norm of a product of Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions cannot be too small.

Lemma 1.3. *Let (M, g) be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold of dimension d . Let $\{\phi_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions on M with eigenvalues λ_k^2 in increasing order. Let $n \geq 2$ and consider a finite set of positive integers $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$. There exists $C_3(M)$ and $C_4(M, n)$ such that:*

$$(3) \quad \left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right\|_{L^2(M)} \geq C_3 \prod_{j \leq n} e^{-C_4\lambda_{k_j}}.$$

Remark 1.4. *We note that on $\mathbb{S}^2$, the L^2 norm of the product of $\Re(x + iy)^k$, $\Re(x + iz)^k$ and $\Re(y + iz)^k$ decays exponentially fast in k .*

In our last result, we show, by combining Corollary 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, that one can recover most of the L^2 mass of a product of Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions using only finitely many terms in the Fourier expansion:

Theorem 1.5. *Let (M, g) be a real-analytic closed Riemannian manifold of dimension d . Let $\{\phi_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions on M with eigenvalues λ_k^2 in increasing order. Let $n \geq 2$, consider a finite set of positive integers $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$. Denote $f := \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j}$ and denote by $c_i := \langle f, \phi_i \rangle_{L^2(M)}$ its Fourier coefficients. There exists $C_5(M, n)$ such that 99% of the L^2 mass of f is concentrated in the following way:*

$$(4) \quad \left\| \sum_{i \in A_n} c_i \phi_i \right\|_{L^2(M)} \geq 0.99 \|f\|_{L^2(M)} \quad \text{where } A_n := \left\{ i : \lambda_i \leq C_5 \sum_{j \leq n} \lambda_{k_j} \right\}.$$

Remark 1.6. *To fix the ideas, we chose 0.99 on the right-hand side of (4), but any other constant can be chosen. Note that it will yield a different value for C_5 .*

Theorem 1.1 complements the results cited above, however, our method of proof is quite different: as opposed to estimates on automorphic forms in [13], [1], [7] and microlocal estimates on the complex half-wave propagator in [18], we use elliptic estimates on harmonic functions and a quantitative version of Cauchy-Kovalevskaya's theorem to prove Theorem 1.1. As such, our method does not require the use of microlocal analysis.

Lemma 1.3 improves on a classical result of Donnelly and Fefferman [4, Proposition 4.1] which states that the supremum of a single eigenfunction cannot be too small on a fixed set. The main step in the proof of Lemma 1.3 involves finding a large set where every eigenfunction in the product is not too small. To do so, we use a recent quantitative version of Remez inequality [9, Lemma 4.2].

2. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Sasha Logunov for suggesting this problem to us. P.C. was funded by the SNSF. F.P. was funded by NCCR SwissMAP and by the SNSF.

3. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

3.1. The manifold: We will denote by (M, g) or sometimes only by M , a closed real-analytic Riemannian manifold of dimension d . We will denote the metric on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ by g' . For $p \in M$, $O_p \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ will denote a normal chart of M such that the image of p is 0 and $\psi_p : M \rightarrow O_p$ will be the map associated with O_p .

Let R_{inj} be the injectivity radius of M . We note that $R_{inj} > 0$ since M is a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary.

3.2. Multi-indices. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^k$, with $k > 0$. We denote by α_j the j -th component of α .

3.3. Partial derivatives. Let $l > 0$, $k > 0$. We define $\partial_{x_k}^l := \frac{\partial^l}{\partial x_k^l}$ and $\partial_{z_k}^l := \frac{\partial^l}{\partial z_k^l}$. If $l = 1$ we write ∂_{x_k} or ∂_{z_k} . We also define $\partial_x^\alpha := \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial_{x_k}^{\alpha_k}$ and $\partial_z^\alpha := \partial_{z_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{z_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial_{z_k}^{\alpha_k}$.

3.4. Polydisks. We will work with real and complex polydisks. For $k = d$ or $d + 1$, we denote

$$(5) \quad PD_{\mathbb{R}^k}(R) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^k : |y_i| < R, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

We will also use polydisks stretched in the last variable:

$$(6) \quad PD_{\mathbb{R}^k}(R, \delta) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^k : |y_i| < R, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \quad |y_k| \leq R\delta\}.$$

We define $PD_{\mathbb{C}^k}(R)$ and $PD_{\mathbb{C}^k}(R, \delta)$ in the same manner.

3.5. Power series. We will say that a function f has a power series expansion at 0 that converges on a polydisk $PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(r_0)$, $r_0 > 0$, if for all $x \in PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(r_0)$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial_x^\alpha f(0)}{\alpha!} x^\alpha$$

with absolute convergence. It implies that f has a holomorphic extension $f^{\mathbb{C}}$ to the polydisk $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(r_0)$ given by $f^{\mathbb{C}}(z) := \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial_x^\alpha f(0)}{\alpha!} z^\alpha$ for all $z \in PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(r_0)$, with absolute convergence. Furthermore, for any $x \in PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(r_0)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $\partial_x^\beta f(x) = \partial_z^\beta f^{\mathbb{C}}(x)$.

3.6. Laplace-Beltrami operator. On a chart $O_p \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of M around $p \in M$, we denote the positively-defined Laplace-Beltrami operator by $\Delta_g := -\operatorname{div}_g \cdot \nabla_g$. Throughout the paper, if the chart O_p is fixed we will use the convention

$$\Delta_g := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} a_\alpha(x) \partial_x^\alpha, \quad x \in O_p.$$

On a fixed chart $O_p \times \mathbb{R}$ on the product manifold $M \times \mathbb{R}$ with metric g' , the positively-defined Laplace operator will be denoted by

$$\Delta_{g'} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} a_\alpha(x) \partial_x^\alpha - \partial_{x_{d+1}}^2, \quad (x, x_{d+1}) \in O_p \times \mathbb{R}.$$

We will also consider the sequence ϕ_j of $L^2(M)$ normalized eigenfunctions of Δ_g

$$\Delta_g \phi_j = \lambda_j^2 \phi_j, \quad \|\phi_j\|_{L^2(M)} = 1$$

with eigenvalues arranged in increasing order.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

4.1. Outline of the proof. The proof of Theorem 1.1 naturally decomposes into two parts. The first part (sections 4.2 to 4.5) consists of finding a harmonic extension of a product of eigenfunctions with quantitative estimates.

We note that the existence and uniqueness of the harmonic extension are guaranteed by the theorem of Cauchy-Kovalevskaya. However, the classical real-valued version of this theorem does not give any (quantitative) information on the extension nor on the size of the domain where this extension is defined. On the other hand, there exists a lesser-known complex version of Cauchy-Kovalevskaya which includes quantitative estimates on both the supremum of this extension as well as on the size of the domain on which this extension is defined.

In Section 4.2, we show that for each $p \in M$, there is a normal chart at p which includes a polydisk in which the coefficients of Δ_g have a bounded holomorphic extension.

In Section 4.3, we show that on each chart, there exists a possibly smaller polydisk in which the Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions have a bounded holomorphic extension. We also estimate the supremum of the holomorphic extension of an eigenfunction in a fixed complex polydisk in terms of its eigenvalue.

The results from these two previous sections now allow us to consider a complex Cauchy problem. In Section 4.4, we show, using a complex version of Cauchy-Kovalevskaya's theorem, the existence of a unique holomorphic

harmonic extension of the product of eigenfunctions on a complex polydisk. We also find a quantitative estimate on the supremum of the extension and on the size of the polydisk in which this extension is well-defined.

In Section 4.5, we go back to the real setting and finish the construction.

In the second part (Section 4.6), we use this harmonic extension together with Green's formula to estimate the Fourier coefficients.

4.2. Radius of holomorphic extension of the coefficients of the Laplacian. In this section, we show that for each $p \in M$, there is a chart O_p containing a real polydisk of fixed radius (i.e., independent of p) and a complex extension of Δ_g on the complexification of this polydisk. More precisely, we have the following Proposition:

Proposition 4.1. *Let (M, g) be a closed real-analytic manifold of dimension d . There exists $R_1(M)$ such that for any $p \in M$, there exists a chart O_p with the following properties:*

- *The polydisk $PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(R_1)$ is fully contained in O_p .*
- *Let $\Delta_g = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} a_\alpha \partial_x^\alpha$ be the local expression for the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Then, for any multi-index $|\alpha| \leq 2$, the function $a_\alpha(x)$, initially defined on $PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(R_1)$, admits a bounded holomorphic extension $a_\alpha(z)$ to the complex polydisk $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_1)$.*

As a consequence, we can define the operator $L_g := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} a_\alpha(z) \partial_z^\alpha$ as a differential operator with bounded analytic coefficients on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_1)$.

Proof. Let $O_p = B_{R_{inj}}$ a normal coordinate chart. We immediately see that $PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\frac{R_{inj}}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \subset B_{R_{inj}}$. Since the metric is analytic, for each $p \in M$ the coefficients of the metric (in normal coordinates around p) can be expressed as a Taylor series which converges absolutely on a polydisk of radius $R'(p)$, but does not converge absolutely on any larger polydisk. We set

$$R' := \inf_{p \in M} R'(p) > 0.$$

We know that each coefficient a_α is determined only by the matrix g , its derivatives and its inverse. Therefore, the radius of convergence of the Taylor series of a_α is the same as the radius of convergence of the coefficients of g . This implies that every coefficient a_α has a holomorphic extension to $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R')$.

Denote $R'' := \min\left(\frac{R_{inj}}{\sqrt{d}}, R'\right)$. By construction, $PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(R'') \subset O_p$ and each coefficient $a_\alpha(x)$ has a holomorphic extension $a_\alpha(z)$ in $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R'')$. Setting $R_1 := \frac{R''}{2}$ ensures that each a_α is bounded and holomorphic in $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_1)$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1. $\square$

4.3. Holomorphic extension of Laplace eigenfunction. On a given chart O_p , we wish to holomorphically extend the Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions into complex polydisks.

Proposition 4.2. *Let R_1 be given by Proposition 4.1. There exists $R_2(M) < R_1$ and $C_6(M)$ and $C_7(M)$ such that for any $p \in M$, every eigenfunction ϕ_k of Δ_g in a chart O_p has a holomorphic extension in local coordinates on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_2)$ with the following estimate:*

$$(7) \quad \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_2)} |\phi_k| \leq C_6 e^{R_1 \lambda_k} (C_7 \lambda_k)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

Remark 4.3. *We emphasize that the coefficients a_α of the operator $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} a_\alpha(x) \partial_x^\alpha$ are holomorphic and bounded in the polydisk $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_2)$ and every eigenfunction ϕ_k has a bounded holomorphic extension to the polydisk $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_2)$.*

The proof of Proposition 4.2 immediately follows from the next two Lemmas:

Lemma 4.4 ([8], Remark (d)). *Let R_1 be given by Proposition 4.1. There exists $R_2(M) < R_1$ and $C_6(M)$ such that for any $p \in M$, every eigenfunction ϕ_k of Δ_g with eigenvalue λ_k^2 in a chart O_p has a holomorphic extension in local coordinates on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_2)$ with the following estimate:*

$$(8) \quad \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_2)} |\phi_k| \leq C_6 e^{R_1 \lambda_k} \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(R_1)} |\phi_k|.$$

Lemma 4.4 shows the holomorphic extension of Laplace eigenfunctions on analytic manifolds with an estimate. It has been proved by Donnelly and Fefferman [4] and by Lin [8], who used it to estimate the size of nodal sets of eigenfunctions on analytic manifolds. Here we refer to the simpler proof given by Lin.

Lemma 4.5 ([3], Theorem 1.6). *Let ϕ_k be an eigenfunction of Δ_g with eigenvalue λ_k^2 . There exists $C_7(M)$ such that $\sup_M |\phi_k| \leq (C_7 \lambda_k)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$.*

Different authors have proved Lemma 4.5 ([6, 15]) but we refer to the proof of Donnelly [3] since it only uses elliptic estimates and avoids the use of microlocal analysis.

4.4. Harmonic extension of the product of the complexified eigenfunctions. For $p \in M$, we recall that in Proposition 4.1, we defined a complex extension of the Laplace-Beltrami operator in a complex neighborhood $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_2)$ of $PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(R_2) \subset O_p$ by

$$(9) \quad L_g := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} a_\alpha(z) \partial_z^\alpha.$$

We now define on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_2) \times \mathbb{C}$ the operator $L_{g'} := L_g - \partial_{z_{d+1}}^2$.

Remark 4.6. Note that the operator $L_{g'}$ depends on the choice of chart, and hence on p .

We want to solve the following Cauchy problem for some $R_3 \leq R_2$ and for some $\delta > 0$ both depending only on (M, g) :

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} L_{g'} u_p = 0 & \text{on } PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta), \\ u_p = \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} & \text{on } \{z_{d+1} = 0\}, \\ \partial_{z_{d+1}} u_p = 0 & \text{on } \{z_{d+1} = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

We also want an estimate on u_p and $\partial_{z_{d+1}} u_p$ depending only on M and on the eigenvalues λ_{k_j} , $j \leq n$. The main result of this section is the following Proposition:

Proposition 4.7. Let R_2 be given by Proposition 4.2 and let $R_3 := R_2/4$. There exists $\delta(M, R_3) > 0$ and there exists a unique holomorphic solution u_p to the Cauchy problem (10). Furthermore, the following bounds on u_p hold:

$$(11) \quad \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta)} |u_p| \leq C_8 \prod_{j \leq n} C_6 e^{R_1 \lambda_{k_j}} (C_7 \lambda_{k_j})^{\frac{d-1}{2}}, \quad \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_3/2, \delta)} |\partial_{z_{d+1}} u_p| \leq \frac{2}{\delta R_3} \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta)} |u_p|,$$

with C_6 and C_7 as in Proposition 4.2, R_1 as in Proposition 4.1 and $C_8 := (\frac{1}{2^{2d+1}e^2} + 1)$.

To prove Proposition 4.7, we will use the following complex version of Cauchy-Kovalevskaya's Theorem.

Theorem 4.8. [5, Theorem 7.4.5] Consider the polydisk $PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R, \delta)$ where $R, \delta > 0$. Assume that the coefficients a_α in the differential equation

$$(12) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha \partial_z^\alpha u = f$$

are analytic in $PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R, \delta)$ and that $a_{(0, \dots, 0, m)} = 1$. If

$$(13) \quad 2(2^{d+1}e)^m \sum_{\alpha \neq (0, \dots, 0, m)} R^{m-|\alpha|} \delta^{m-\alpha_{d+1}} |a_\alpha(z)| \leq 1, \quad z \in PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R, \delta),$$

and if f is bounded and analytic in $PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R, \delta)$, then there is a unique analytic solution u to equation (12) in $PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R/2, \delta)$ satisfying the boundary conditions

$$(14) \quad \partial_{z_{d+1}}^j u = 0, \quad 0 \leq j < m, \quad z_{d+1} = 0.$$

We also have the estimate

$$(15) \quad \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R/2, \delta)} |u| \leq 2(R\delta)^m \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R, \delta)} |f|.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.7. The proof will be done in five steps:

Step 1: Find R such that the Cauchy problem (10) is well-defined. This is done in section 4.4.1.

Step 2: Transform the Cauchy problem (10) in the form $L_{g'} \widetilde{u}_p = f$ with the correct boundary conditions to apply Theorem 4.8. This is done in section 4.4.2.

Step 3: Find $\delta > 0$ which only depends on M such that condition (13) is fulfilled. We then apply theorem 4.8 to solve the new Cauchy problem. This is done in section 4.4.3.

Step 4: Go back to the original Cauchy problem (10). This is done in section 4.4.4.

Step 5: Find upper bounds on $|u_p|$ and $|\partial_{z_{d+1}} u_p|$. This is done in section 4.4.5.

4.4.1. *Step 1: Finding a suitable R .* By the definition of R_2 (see Remark 4.3), the operator $L_{g'}$ has holomorphic and bounded coefficients on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_2, \delta)$ for any $\delta \geq 0$. Moreover, by Proposition 4.2, complexified eigenfunctions are holomorphic and bounded on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_2)$. Trivially, they are also bounded on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_2, \delta)$ for any $\delta \geq 0$ as well since they only depend on the first d variables. Theorem 4.8 therefore suggests to choose $R_3 = R_2/2$ for the Cauchy problem (10). However, we will need to use Cauchy's estimates to bound derivatives of u_p in Section 4.4.5. If we choose a radius r for the Cauchy problem (10), then to use Cauchy's estimates, we will need that the eigenfunctions are holomorphic and bounded on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(4r)$. Since we only know that the eigenfunctions are holomorphic and bounded on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_2)$, we will instead choose $R_3 := R_2/4$ for the Cauchy problem (10).

4.4.2. *Step 2: Changing boundary conditions.* We rewrite the Cauchy problem (10) in a way that allows us to use Theorem 4.8. To this end, we note that if u_p solves the Cauchy problem (10), then $\widetilde{u}_p = u_p - \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j}$ solves the auxiliary Cauchy problem

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} L_{g'} \widetilde{u}_p = -L_g \left(\prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right) & \text{on } PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta), \\ \widetilde{u}_p = 0 & \text{on } \{z_{d+1} = 0\}, \\ \partial_{z_{d+1}} \widetilde{u}_p = 0 & \text{on } \{z_{d+1} = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

4.4.3. *Step 3: Finding a suitable δ .* To use Theorem 4.8, we need condition (13) to be satisfied. Recall that $L_{g'} := L_g - \partial_{z_{d+1}}^2$. Note that for any index α appearing in the definition of L_g , $\alpha_{d+1} = 0$ (i.e., the coefficients of L_g only depend on the first d variables). Therefore, condition (13) reads

$$2(2^{d+1}e)^2 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} (2R_3)^{2-|\alpha|} \delta^2 |a_\alpha(z)| \leq 1, \quad z \in PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(2R_3).$$

Recall that by the definition of R_3 (see Step 1 above), every coefficients $a_\alpha(z)$ is holomorphic and bounded on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(2R_3, \delta)$ for any $\delta \geq 0$. Therefore, we can make the following choice for δ : for $p \in M$, define $\delta_{0,p}$ by

$$(17) \quad \delta_{0,p} := 2(2^{d+1}e)^2 \sup_{z \in PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(2R_3)} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} |a_\alpha(z)| (2R_3)^{2-|\alpha|} \right),$$

where $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(2R_3)$ is the complexification of $PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(2R_3) \subset O_p$. We further define

$$(18) \quad \delta_0 := \sup_{p \in M} \delta_{0,p} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta := \sqrt{\frac{1}{\delta_0}}.$$

Since M is compact, we see that $\delta > 0$. With these choices of R_3 and δ , Theorem 4.8 ensures that the Cauchy problem (16) has a unique holomorphic solution $\widetilde{u}_p$ on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta)$. Moreover, the solution $\widetilde{u}_p$ satisfies the estimate

$$(19) \quad \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta)} |\widetilde{u}_p| \leq 2(2R_3\delta)^2 \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(2R_3)} \left| L_g \left(\prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right) \right|.$$

The supremum on the right-hand side is indeed on $PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(2R_3)$ since the eigenfunctions ϕ_{k_j} only depend on the first d variables.

4.4.4. *Step 4: Back to the original problem.* We will now go back to our initial Cauchy problem (10). To this end, we define $u_p := \widetilde{u}_p + \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j}$, where $\widetilde{u}_p$ solves (16). It is clear that u_p solves

$$(20) \quad \begin{cases} L_{g'} u_p = 0 & \text{on } PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta), \\ u_p = \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} & \text{on } \{z_{d+1} = 0\}, \\ \partial_{z_{d+1}} u_p = 0 & \text{on } \{z_{d+1} = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

We note that u_p is the unique holomorphic solution to (20) since $\widetilde{u}_p$ is the unique holomorphic solution to (16). Moreover, by (19), the following estimate holds for u_p :

$$(21) \quad \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta)} |u_p| \leq 2(2R_3\delta)^2 \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(2R_3)} \left| L_g \left(\prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \phi_{j_k} \right) \right| + \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(2R_3)} \left| \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \phi_{j_k} \right|.$$

4.4.5. *Step 5: The bounds on u_p .* It remains to estimate the right-hand side of (21). By Proposition 4.2,

$$\sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(R_2)} |\phi_{k_j}| \leq C_6 e^{R_1 \lambda_{k_j}} (C_7 \lambda_{k_j})^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

Since $R_3 = R_2/4$, we get the following bound:

$$(22) \quad \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(4R_3)} \left| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right| \leq \prod_{j \leq n} C_6 e^{R_1 \lambda_{k_j}} (C_7 \lambda_{k_j})^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

We will use Cauchy's estimate to bound the derivatives of a holomorphic function:

Lemma 4.9 (Cauchy's estimates). *Let $k \geq 1$, let $R, \delta > 0$ and let f be holomorphic and bounded in $PD_{\mathbb{C}^{k+1}}(2R, \delta)$. Then, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^k$*

$$(23) \quad \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^{k+1}}(R, \delta)} |\partial_z^\alpha f| \leq \alpha! R^{-|\alpha|} \delta^{-\alpha_{k+1}} \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^{k+1}}(2R, \delta)} |f|.$$

Estimate (23) directly implies the following :

$$(24) \quad \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(2R_3)} \left| \partial_z^\alpha \left(\prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right) \right| \leq \alpha! (2R_3)^{-|\alpha|} \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(4R_3)} \left| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right|.$$

Using (24), we get the following inequalities:

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} 2(2R_3\delta)^2 \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(2R_3)} \left| L_g \left(\prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right) \right| &\leq 2(2R_3\delta)^2 \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(2R_3)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} |a_\alpha| \left| \partial_z^\alpha \left(\prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right) \right| \\ &\leq 4(2R_3\delta)^2 \left(\sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(2R_3)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} |a_\alpha| (2R_3)^{-|\alpha|} \right) \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(4R_3)} \left| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of δ in (18) and using (25), we obtain the following:

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} 2(2R_3\delta)^2 \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(2R_3)} \left| L_g \left(\prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2^{2d+1}e^2} \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^d}(4R_3)} \left| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{2d+1}e^2} \prod_{j \leq n} C_6 e^{R_1 \lambda_{k_j}} (C_7 \lambda_{k_j})^{\frac{d-1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality following from (22). Combining bounds (22) and (26) into (21), we finally obtain the estimate

$$(27) \quad \sup_{PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta)} |u_p| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{2d+1}e^2} + 1 \right) \prod_{j \leq n} C_6 e^{R_1 \lambda_{k_j}} (C_7 \lambda_{k_j})^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

This completes the upper bound (11) on u_p . Finally, the bound (11) on $\partial_{z_{d+1}} u_p$ follows directly from Lemma 4.9. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.7. $\square$

4.5. Reduction to the real setting. So far, for any $p \in M$, we have constructed a holomorphic function u_p solving $L_{g'} u_p = 0$ on a complex neighborhood of $PD_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta)$ in $O_p \times \mathbb{R}$. We will use these functions to construct a global real solution H to $\Delta_{g'} H = 0$ on $M \times (-T, T)$ for some $T > 0$. We will denote by (p, t) a point in $M \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 4.10. *With R_3 and δ defined as in Proposition 4.7, let us define $T := \frac{R_3 \delta}{2}$. Then, there exists a real-analytic solution H to the following problem:*

$$(28) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_{g'} H = 0 & \text{on } M \times (-T, T), \\ H = \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} & \text{on } \{t = 0\}, \\ \partial_t H = 0 & \text{on } \{t = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we have the following bounds for H :

$$(29) \quad \sup_{M \times (-T, T)} |H| \leq C_8 \prod_{j \leq n} C_6 e^{R_1 \lambda_{k_j}} (C_7 \lambda_{k_j})^{\frac{d-1}{2}}, \quad \sup_{M \times (-T, T)} |\partial_t H| \leq \frac{1}{T} C_8 \prod_{j \leq n} C_6 e^{R_1 \lambda_{k_j}} (C_7 \lambda_{k_j})^{\frac{d-1}{2}},$$

where C_6, C_7, C_8, R_1 as in Proposition 4.7.

We will first construct this function H on charts by restricting the holomorphic functions u_p constructed in Section 4.4 to the real setting. To this end, we will use the following elementary property of holomorphic functions and (finite-order) differential operators with holomorphic coefficients:

Fact: Let A be a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}^k$, let $R > 0$ and let $b_\alpha^\mathbb{C} : PD_{\mathbb{C}^k}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be bounded holomorphic functions for $\alpha \in A$. Let $u^\mathbb{C} : PD_{\mathbb{C}^k}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be bounded and holomorphic as well. Let $b_\alpha^\mathbb{R} := b_\alpha^\mathbb{C}|_{PD_{\mathbb{R}^k}(R)}$ and $u^\mathbb{R} := u^\mathbb{C}|_{PD_{\mathbb{R}^k}(R)}$. Then,

$$(30) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in A} b_\alpha^\mathbb{C}(z) \partial_z^\alpha u^\mathbb{C} = 0, \quad \forall z \in PD_{\mathbb{C}^k}(R) \quad \implies \quad \sum_{\alpha \in A} b_\alpha^\mathbb{R}(x) \partial_x^\alpha u^\mathbb{R} = 0, \quad \forall x \in PD_{\mathbb{R}^k}(R).$$

This fact can easily be verified by expanding both Taylor series and comparing the coefficients.

Now, let $p \in M$, $PD_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta) \subset O_p \times \mathbb{R}$ and $u_p : PD_{\mathbb{C}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the solution to the Cauchy problem (10) constructed using Proposition 4.7. Let H_p be the restriction of u_p to $PD_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta) \subset O_p \times \mathbb{R}$.

By (30), H_p solves the following real-analytic Cauchy problem with real-valued boundary conditions:

$$(31) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_{g'} H_p = 0 & \text{on } PD_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta), \\ H_p = \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} & \text{on } \{x_{d+1} = 0\}, \\ \partial_{x_{d+1}} H_p = 0 & \text{on } \{x_{d+1} = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Note that H_p is real-valued by the real version of Cauchy-Kovalevskaya's Theorem (since the imaginary part of H_p solves the same Cauchy problem (31) but is identically zero at the boundary).

Using again the real version of Cauchy-Kovalevskaya's Theorem, we note that the restriction of H_p to any polydisk $Q \subset PD_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta)$ that intersects $\{x_{d+1} = 0\}$ is the only analytic solution to the following Cauchy problem:

$$(32) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_{g'} f = 0 & \text{on } Q, \\ f = \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} & \text{on } \{x_{d+1} = 0\}, \\ \partial_{x_{d+1}} f = 0 & \text{on } \{x_{d+1} = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Now, recall that for any $p \in M$, we defined $\psi_p : M \rightarrow O_p$ as the normal map associated with O_p . Let us take two points $p, q \in M$ such that $\psi_p^{-1}(PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(R_3)) \cap \psi_q^{-1}(PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(R_3)) \neq \emptyset$. If we transplant the solution H_p from this intersection to a subset of $O_q \times \mathbb{R}$ through the transition map it is a real-analytic solution to the Cauchy problem (32) in some polydisk $Q \subset PD_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}(R_3, \delta) \subset O_q \times \mathbb{R}$. By the uniqueness property for solutions to the Cauchy problem (32), H_q and H_p have to coincide on Q . By analyticity, the two functions coincide on $\psi_p^{-1}(PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(R_3)) \cap \psi_q^{-1}(PD_{\mathbb{R}^d}(R_3))$.

This allows us to patch all the solutions H_p into one global solution H on $M \times [-R_3\delta, R_3\delta]$. We now choose $T := R_3\delta/2$, and remark that H solves the Cauchy problem (28). Finally, the bounds (29) on H follow from the bounds (11) on u_p , as the bounds on u_p did not depend on the choice of p . This completes the proof of Proposition 4.10.

4.6. The final step. We are now ready to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let T and H be defined as in Proposition 4.10. Let $M_T := M \times [0, T]$ and denote $f_i(x, t) := \phi_i(x) e^{-\lambda_i t}$ for $(x, t) \in M_T$.

Since both H and f_i are harmonic on M_T , Green's formula yields

$$(33) \quad 0 = \int_{M_T} (f_i \Delta_{g'} H - H \Delta_{g'} f_i) = \int_{\partial M_T} (f_i \partial_n H - H \partial_n f_i),$$

where ∂_n denotes the outwards normal derivative on ∂M_T . Since M is a manifold without boundary, $\partial M_T = M \times \{0\} \cup M \times \{T\}$ and therefore

$$(34) \quad \int_{M \times \{0\}} (f_i \partial_t H - H \partial_t f_i) = \int_{M \times \{T\}} (f_i \partial_t H - H \partial_t f_i).$$

Since H solves the Cauchy problem (28), (34) becomes

$$\int_{M \times \{0\}} \lambda_i \phi_i \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} = \int_{M \times \{T\}} (\phi_i e^{-T\lambda_i} \partial_t H + H \lambda_i \phi_i e^{-T\lambda_i}).$$

Since $\lambda_i > 0$ for all $i > 0$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_M \phi_i \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right| \leq e^{-T\lambda_i} \frac{\text{Vol}(M)^{1/2}}{\lambda_i} (\|\partial_t H\|_{L^\infty(M_T)} \|\phi_i\|_{L^2(M)} + \lambda_i \|H\|_{L^\infty(M_T)} \|\phi_i\|_{L^2(M)}).$$

Since ϕ_i is normalized in $L^2(M)$ and applying the bounds on H from Proposition 4.10, we obtain

$$(35) \quad \left| \int_M \phi_i \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right| \leq e^{-T\lambda_i} \frac{\text{Vol}(M)^{1/2}}{\lambda_i} C_8 \left(\frac{1}{T} + \lambda_i \right) \prod_{j \leq n} C_6 e^{R_1 \lambda_{k_j}} (C_7 \lambda_{k_j})^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

By noticing that for $x > 0$, $x^{(d-1)/2} \leq d!e^x$, by denoting λ_1 the first non-zero eigenvalue of Δ_g on M , and by recalling that eigenvalues are arranged in increasing order, we obtain

$$(36) \quad \left| \int_M \phi_i \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right| \leq \text{Vol}(M)^{1/2} C_8 \left(\frac{1}{T\lambda_1} + 1 \right) e^{-T\lambda_i} \prod_{j \leq n} C_6 d! e^{(R_1 + C_7)\lambda_{k_j}}.$$

Now, since C_6, C_8, T depend only on M , there exists $C_9(M) > 0$ such that

$$\text{Vol}(M)^{1/2} C_8 \left(\frac{1}{T\lambda_1} + 1 \right) C_6 d! \leq e^{C_9 \lambda_1}.$$

Since eigenvalues are labelled in increasing order, by choosing $c := T$ and $C := R_1 + C_7 + C_9$, we finally obtain

$$\left| \int_M \phi_i \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right| \leq e^{-c\lambda_i} \prod_{j \leq n} e^{C\lambda_{k_j}},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

5. REMEZ LOWER BOUND

In this Section, we prove Lemma 1.3, on a lower bound for the L^2 norm of a product of Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions. To do that, we will find a set of fixed measure where all eigenfunctions appearing in the product will be bounded from below. This relies on estimates for Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions from [4] and for the size of sub-level sets of harmonic functions from [9]:

Theorem 5.1. [4, Proposition 4.1] *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact manifold without boundary. Let ϕ_k be a Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunction on M with eigenvalue λ_k^2 . Then, there exists R_4, C_9, C_{10} depending only on M such that the following holds: for any $p \in M$,*

$$\sup_{B(p, R_4)} |\phi_k| \geq e^{-C_9 \lambda_k - C_{10}} \|\phi_k\|_{L^\infty(M)}.$$

Theorem 5.2. [4, Theorem 4.2 (ii)] *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact manifold without boundary. Let ϕ_k be a Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunction on M with eigenvalue λ_k^2 . Then, there exists $R_5, C_{11}, C_{12} > 0$ and depending only on M such that the following holds: for any $p \in M$ and for any $r < R_5$,*

$$N(\phi_k, B(p, r)) := \ln \left(\frac{\sup_{B(p, 2r)} |\phi_k|}{\sup_{B(p, r)} |\phi_k|} \right) \leq C_{11} \lambda_k + C_{12}.$$

$N(\phi_k, B(p, r))$ is called the doubling index of ϕ_k in the ball $B(p, r)$.

The two previous results were proved by Donnelly and Fefferman in their work on the size of the nodal sets of Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions on real-analytic manifolds. We will also need the following Remez inequality due to Logunov and Malinnikova:

Theorem 5.3. [9, Lemma 4.2] *Let A be a uniformly elliptic matrix with Lipschitz coefficients. Suppose that $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u) = 0$ in $(20d)Q$, where $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a cube and $\sup_Q |u| = 1$. Let $N(u, Q) := \ln \left(\frac{\sup_{2Q} |u|}{\sup_Q |u|} \right)$ be the doubling index of u in Q . Let*

$$E_a := \left\{ x \in \frac{1}{2}Q : |u(x)| < e^{-a} \right\}.$$

We have the following bound:

$$(37) \quad \mu_d(E_a) \leq C_R e^{-\frac{\beta a}{N}} s(Q)^d$$

where μ_d denotes the d -dimensional Lebesgue measure, $s(Q)$ denotes the sidelength of Q and C_R, β depend on A and d only.

We will start the proof of Lemma 1.3. The first step is to find a large set where an eigenfunction is large.

Proposition 5.4. *Let (M, g) be a smooth closed manifold and ϕ_k be a Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunction on M with eigenvalue λ_k^2 . Then, there exists a chart O_p and a cube $Q \subset O_p$ of sidelength depending only on M with the following properties: for any n fixed, there exists $C_{14}, C_{15} > 0$ depending only on M, Q and n such that for any k ,*

$$(38) \quad \mu_d \left(\{ |\phi_{\lambda_k}| < e^{-C_{14}\lambda_k - C_{15}} \} \cap Q/2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2n} \mu_d(Q/2).$$

Proof. Let n be fixed. Let $p \in M$ be fixed and $O_p \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a normal chart. Let us fix a cube Q of sidelength r such that $20(d+1)Q \subset O_p$ and that both $r < R_4$ and $2r\sqrt{d} < R_5$, where R_4 comes from theorem 5.1 and R_5 from theorem 5.2. We also define $Q' := Q \times [-r/2, r/2]$.

From now on, every constant that will appear in the proof of Proposition 5.4 may depend on M and Q . To ease notation, since M and Q are fixed, we will not explicitly state the dependence of each constant.

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $h_k(x, y) := \phi_{\lambda_k}(x) e^{\lambda_k y}$. Then, h_k solves $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla h_k) = 0$ in $20(d+1)Q'$. For any $x \in Q/2$ and any $y \in [-r/4, r/4]$, $|h_k(x, y)| \leq e^{\frac{r}{4}\lambda_k} |\phi_k(x)|$. This implies that for any $L > 0$, we have the following inequality :

$$(39) \quad \mu_d \left(\{ |\phi_k| < e^{-\frac{r}{4}\lambda_k} L \} \cap Q/2 \right) \leq \frac{2}{r} \mu_{d+1} \left(\{ |h_k| < L \} \cap Q'/2 \right).$$

Note that the cube Q , which is centered at 0 and of sidelength r , is included within the ball $B(0, r\sqrt{d})$. Hence

$$N(\phi_k, Q) \leq \ln \left(\frac{\sup_{B(0, 2r\sqrt{d})} |\phi_k|}{\sup_{B(0, r)} |\phi_k|} \right).$$

Therefore, by applying Theorem 5.2 $\lfloor \ln_2(\sqrt{d}) \rfloor + 2$ times, we have that $N(\phi_k, Q) \leq C_{11}\lambda_k + C_{12}$, and therefore

$$(40) \quad N(h_k, Q) \leq C_{16}\lambda_k + C_{17}.$$

Similarly, since $B(0, r) \subset Q$, it comes by applying Theorem 5.2 $\lfloor \ln_2(\frac{R_4}{r}) \rfloor + 1$ times that

$$\sup_Q |\phi_k| \geq \sup_{B(0, r)} |\phi_k| \geq \sup_{B(0, R_4)} |\phi_k| e^{-C_{18}\lambda_k - C_{19}}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 5.1 and since $\|\phi_k\|_{L^\infty(M)} \geq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(M)^{1/2}}$ (as $\|\phi_k\|_{L^2(M)} = 1$), we get $\sup_Q |\phi_k| \geq e^{-C_{20}\lambda_k - C_{21}}$ which implies that

$$(41) \quad \sup_{Q'} |h_k| \geq e^{-C_{22}\lambda_k - C_{23}}.$$

The cube Q' and the function $h_k(\sup_{Q'} |h_k|)^{-1}$ both satisfy the conditions of theorem 5.4. Therefore, by (40), (41) and by theorem 5.4, there exists β and C_R , such that for any $a > 0$, we have the following inequality:

$$(42) \quad \mu_{d+1} \left(\{ |h_k| < e^{-a} e^{-C_{22}\lambda_k - C_{23}} \} \cap Q'/2 \right) \leq C_R e^{\frac{-\beta a}{C_{16}\lambda_k + C_{17}}}.$$

We now set $a := C_{24}(n)\lambda_k$ where C_{24} is large enough (and independent of λ_k) such that

$$C_R e^{-C_{24} \frac{\beta \lambda_k}{C_{16}\lambda_k + C_{17}}} \leq \frac{1}{2n} \mu_{d+1}(Q'/2).$$

With this choice of a , we obtain using (42) that

$$(43) \quad \mu_{d+1} \left(\{ |h_k| < e^{-(C_{24}+C_{22})\lambda_k - C_{23}} \} \cap Q'/2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2n} \mu_{d+1}(Q'/2).$$

Combining equations (39) and (43), and noticing that $\mu_{d+1}(Q'/2) = r/2 \mu_d(Q/2)$, we obtain the following inequality:

$$(44) \quad \mu_d \left(\{ |\phi_k| < e^{-(C_{24}+C_{22}+\frac{r}{4})\lambda_k - C_{23}} \} \cap Q/2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2n} \mu_d(Q/2).$$

Choosing $C_{14} := C_{24} + C_{22} + \frac{r}{4}$ and $C_{15} := C_{23}$, and noticing that they both only depend on M, Q and n , we finally obtain

$$\mu_d \left(\{ |\phi_k| < e^{-C_{14}\lambda_k - C_{15}} \} \cap Q/2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2n} \mu_d(Q/2).$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 5.4. $\square$

Now that we have found a small set where each eigenfunction is small, we can find a large set where all the eigenfunctions in the product are bounded from below.

Corollary 5.5. *Let (M, g) be a smooth closed manifold. Let $n \geq 1$. For any $0 < k_1 < \dots < k_n$, there exists a set E of measure at least C_{25} where $C_{25} > 0$ is independent of n and of the choice of k_j and there exists $C_{26}(M, n) > 0$ with the following property: for any $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have*

$$(45) \quad \inf_E |\phi_{k_j}| > e^{-C_{26}\lambda_{k_j}}.$$

Proof. Choose O_p and Q as in Proposition 5.4. By Proposition 5.4, we know that ,

$$\mu_d \left(\bigcup_{j \leq n} \{ |\phi_{k_j}| < e^{-C_{14}\lambda_{k_j} - C_{15}} \} \cap Q/2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu_d(Q/2),$$

and therefore,

$$\mu_d \left(\bigcap_{j \leq n} \{ |\phi_{k_j}| \geq e^{-C_{14}\lambda_{k_j} - C_{15}} \} \cap Q/2 \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mu_d(Q/2).$$

Then, denote

$$E' := \bigcap_{j \leq n} \{ |\phi_{k_j}| \geq e^{-C_{14}\lambda_{k_j} - C_{15}} \} \cap Q/2.$$

Set $E := \psi_p^{-1}(E')$ and observe that $e^{-C_{14}\lambda_{k_j} - C_{15}} \geq e^{-C_{26}\lambda_{k_j}}$ where $C_{26}(M, n) := C_{14} + \frac{C_{15}}{\lambda_1} > 0$. This finishes the proof of Corollary 5.5. $\square$

We can now complete the proof of Lemma 1.3:

Proof of Lemma 1.3. For n fixed, let the set E be as in Corollary 5.5. Let μ_g be the Lebesgue measure on M induced by g . We have the following inequalities:

$$\left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right\|_{L^2(M)} \geq \left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right\|_{L^2(E)} \geq \mu_g(E)^{1/2} \inf_E \left| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right| \geq \mu_g(E)^{1/2} \prod_{j \leq n} e^{-C_{26}\lambda_{k_j}}$$

where the last inequality follows from Corollary 5.5. We now set $C_3(M) := \mu_g(E)^{1/2}$ and $C_4(M, n) := C_{26}$ to finish the proof of Lemma 1.3. $\square$

6. THE FINITE APPROXIMATION

In this Section, we prove Theorem 1.5 about the finite approximation in L^2 for a product of Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $S := \sum_{i \geq 1} e^{-C_2 \lambda_i}$, where C_2 comes from Corollary 1.2. Note that $S < \infty$ by Weyl's asymptotic formula (see for instance [2]).

Let $C_5(M, n) \geq C_1$ be a large constant that will be defined later. Denote

$$A_n := \left\{ i : \lambda_i \leq C_5 \sum_{j \leq n} \lambda_{k_j} \right\}$$

and let $A_n^c = \mathbb{N} \setminus A_n$. Recall that we defined $c_i := \int_M \phi_i \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j}$. Since $\{\phi_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} - \sum_{i \in A_n} c_i \phi_i \right\|_{L^2(M)}^2 &= \sum_{i \in A_n^c} |c_i|^2, \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in A_n^c} e^{-2C_2 \lambda_i} \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Corollary 1.2 and the fact that $C_5 \geq C_1$. Hence, using Lemma 1.3, we get that

$$\left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} - \sum_{i \in A_n} c_i \phi_i \right\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \leq \left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \frac{1}{C_3^2} \prod_{j \leq n} e^{2C_4 \lambda_{k_j}} \sum_{i \in A_n^c} e^{-2C_2 \lambda_i}.$$

By the definition of A_n and S ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} - \sum_{i \in A_n} c_i \phi_i \right\|_{L^2(M)}^2 &\leq \left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \frac{1}{C_3^2} \prod_{j \leq n} e^{(2C_4 - C_2 C_5) \lambda_{k_j}} \sum_{i \in A_n^c} e^{-C_2 \lambda_i} \\ (46) \quad &\leq \left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \frac{S}{C_3^2} \prod_{j \leq n} e^{(2C_4 - C_2 C_5) \lambda_{k_j}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $2C_4 - C_2 C_5 \leq 0$, (46) becomes

$$(47) \quad \left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} - \sum_{i \in A_n} c_i \phi_i \right\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \leq \left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \frac{S}{C_3^2} e^{n(2C_4 - C_2 C_5) \lambda_1}.$$

Now, choose C_5 large enough so that $2C_4 - C_2 C_5 \leq 0$ and $\frac{S}{C_3^2} e^{n(2C_4 - C_2 C_5) \lambda_1} \leq \frac{1}{100^2}$. With this choice of C_5 , inequality (47) becomes

$$\left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} - \sum_{i \in A_n} c_i \phi_i \right\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \frac{1}{100} \left\| \prod_{j \leq n} \phi_{k_j} \right\|_{L^2(M)},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.5. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bernstein and A. Reznikov. Analytic continuation of representations and estimates of automorphic forms. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 150(1):329–352, 1999.
- [2] I. Chavel, B. Randol, and J. Dodziuk. *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*. ISSN. Elsevier Science, 1984.
- [3] H. Donnelly. Bounds of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on compact Riemannian manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 187(1):247–261, 2001.
- [4] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman. Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on riemannian manifolds. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 93(1):161–184, 1988.
- [5] L. Hörmander. *Linear partial differential operators*, volume 116 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* Springer, Cham, 1963.
- [6] L. Hörmander. The spectral function of an elliptic operator. *Acta Math.*, 121:193–218, 1968.
- [7] B. Krötz and Robert J. Stanton. Holomorphic extensions of representations. I: Automorphic functions. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 159(2):641–724, 2004.
- [8] F. H. Lin. Nodal sets of solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 44(3):287–308, 1991.
- [9] A. Logunov and E. Malinnikova. Quantitative propagation of smallness for solutions of elliptic equations. *arXiv.org*, 2017.
- [10] J. Lu, C. D. Sogge, and S. Steinerberger. Approximating pointwise products of Laplacian eigenfunctions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 277(9):3271–3282, 2019.
- [11] J. Lu and S. Steinerberger. Detecting localized eigenstates of linear operators. *Res. Math. Sci.*, 5(3):14, 2018. Id/No 33.

- [12] J. Lu and L. Ying. Compression of the electron repulsion integral tensor in tensor hypercontraction format with cubic scaling cost. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 302:329–335, 2015.
- [13] P. Sarnak. Integrals of products of eigenfunctions. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 1994(6):251–260, 1994.
- [14] R. T. Seeley. Eigenfunction expansions of analytic functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 21(3):734–738, 1969.
- [15] C. D. Sogge. Concerning the L^p norm of spectral clusters for second-order elliptic operators on compact manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 77(1):123–138, 1988.
- [16] S. Steinerberger. On the spectral resolution of products of Laplacian eigenfunctions. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 9(4):1367–1384, 2019.
- [17] E. L. Wyman. Triangles and triple products of Laplace eigenfunctions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 282(8):38, 2022. Id/No 109404.
- [18] S. Zelditch. Pluri-potential theory on Grauert tubes of real analytic Riemannian manifolds. I. In *Spectral geometry. Based on the international conference, Dartmouth, NH, USA, July 19–23, 2010*, pages 299–339. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2012.

(Philippe Charron) UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 1205, GENEVA, SWITZERLAND
Email address: philippe.charron@unige.ch

(François Pagano) UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 1205, GENEVA, SWITZERLAND
Email address: francois.pagano@unige.ch