

On the definition of the spin charge in asymptotically-flat spacetimes

Laurent Freidel,^a Seyed Farogh Moosavian,^{b,c} and Daniele Pranzetti^{d,e}

^a*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics,*

31 Caroline Street North, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada

^b*Department of Physics, McGill University, Ernest Rutherford Physics Building,*

3600 Rue University, Montréal, QC H3A 2T8, Canada

^c*Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Woodstock Road, Oxford, OX2 6GG, UK*

^d*Università degli Studi di Udine, via Palladio 8, I-33100 Udine, Italy*

^e*Institute for Fundamental Physics of the Universe (IFPU), Via Beirut 2, 34151 Trieste, Italy*

*E-mail: lfreidel@perimeterinstitute.ca, sfmoosavian@gmail.com,
daniele.pranzetti@uniud.it*

ABSTRACT: We propose a solution to a classic problem in gravitational physics consisting of defining the spin associated with asymptotically-flat spacetimes. We advocate that the correct asymptotic symmetry algebra to approach this problem is the generalized-BMS algebra \mathbf{gbms} instead of the BMS algebra used hitherto in the literature for which a notion of spin is generically unavailable. We approach the problem of defining the spin charges from the perspective of coadjoint orbits of \mathbf{gbms} and construct the complete set of Casimir invariants that determine \mathbf{gbms} coadjoint orbits, using the notion of vorticity for \mathbf{gbms} . This allows us to introduce spin charges for \mathbf{gbms} as the generators of area-preserving diffeomorphisms forming its isotropy subalgebra. To elucidate the parallelism between our analysis and the Poincaré case, we clarify several features of the Poincaré embedding in \mathbf{gbms} and reveal the presence of condensate fields associated with the symmetry breaking from \mathbf{gbms} to Poincaré. We also introduce the notion of a rest frame available only for this extended algebra. This allows us to construct, from the spin generator, the gravitational analog of the Pauli-Lubański pseudo-vector. Finally, we obtain the \mathbf{gbms} moment map, which we use to construct the gravitational spin-charges and gravitational Casimirs from their dual algebra counterparts.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Contributions of the paper	5
2	Background material and review of the issues	9
2.1	Motivation and background	10
2.2	Issues with the \mathfrak{bms} algebra	11
2.3	A warm-up example: The Poincaré algebra	18
3	\mathfrak{gbms} algebra and Poincaré embeddings	25
3.1	\mathfrak{gbms} : Algebra and Lie bracket	25
3.2	\mathfrak{gbms} : Coadjoint action and charge algebra	29
3.3	\mathfrak{gbms} : Supertranslation Goldstone mode	30
3.4	\mathfrak{gbms} : Poincaré embeddings	32
3.4.1	Poincaré embedding inside \mathfrak{gbms}	33
3.4.2	Lorentz and Poincaré charge algebras	37
4	\mathfrak{gbms} coadjoint orbits and spin generator	39
4.1	\mathfrak{gbms} : Coadjoint orbits	39
4.2	\mathfrak{gbms} : The spin generator	42
5	\mathfrak{gbms} reference frames and Goldstone modes	44
5.1	\mathfrak{gbms} reference frames: Rest vs Bondi frames	45
5.2	Stationary spacetimes	47
5.2.1	Mass and angular momentum aspects for stationary spacetimes	47
5.2.2	Mass and angular momentum aspects in a generic Lorentz frame	49
5.3	Condensate fields for mass and angular momentum aspects	51
5.3.1	Supertranslation condensate	51
5.3.2	Multi-particle mass aspect	53
5.3.3	Angular momentum condensate	54
6	Pauli–Lubański generator	55
6.1	Lorentz covariance	56
6.2	Pauli–Lubański embedding inside \mathfrak{bms}	57
7	Applications	59
7.1	\mathfrak{gbms} : The moment map	59
7.1.1	Radiative phase space at null-infinity	59
7.1.2	Non-radiative phase space	61

7.1.3	Gravitational moment map	63
7.2	Phase space quantities and their evolution	63
7.2.1	Gravitational Casimirs and the spin generator	64
7.2.2	Evolution equations	65
7.3	Gravitational Casimirs for the Kerr metric	66
7.3.1	The Kerr metric in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates	66
7.3.2	Constructing Casimirs for the Kerr Metric	67
7.3.3	Casimirs, Kerr parameters, and the No-Hair Theorem	67
8	Conclusions	68
A	Moment maps and coadjoint orbits	70
B	Dual Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector as a constant of motion	74
B.1	Coadjoint orbits of Poincaré group	75
B.2	Evolution of the dual Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector	77
C	Details of various computations	80
C.1	Proof of results in §3	81
C.2	Proof of results in §4	85
C.3	Poincaré charge algebra	88
C.4	Proof of (6.4).	90
C.5	Lorentz covariance of the Pauli–Lubański generator	90
C.6	Pauli–Lubański generator algebra	91
D	Conformal transformation	93
E	Condensate field decomposition	93
E.1	Supertranslation harmonic decomposition	93
E.2	Angular momentum harmonic decomposition	95
F	Details on the Kerr metric and Casimirs	99
F.1	Writing the Kerr metric in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates.	99
F.2	Gravitational Casimir functionals	105

1 Introduction

The power of symmetry, encoded in a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (or its corresponding group), in the study of dynamical physical systems has been known for quite some time. In ideal-enough cases, it may happen that the severe constraints of symmetries can be leveraged to completely unveil

the classical dynamics of the system which leads to the notion of integrability [1]. This power came to prominence with the work of Emmy Noether on the relation between symmetries of a system and conservation laws [2]. On the other hand, in the Hamiltonian formulation of dynamical system, the phase space Γ , the space of all possible classical configurations of the system, is a symplectic manifold, and \mathfrak{g} naturally acts on this space [3, 4]. The physical (or reduced) phase space is the quotient space with respect to this action, and under some mild requirements, the quotient space has a canonical symplectic structure. The procedure to construct this quotient space, called symplectic reduction, is the classical counterpart of the study of representation theory of the group [5, 6]. An essential tool in this construction is the notion of moment map $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ for the Hamiltonian \mathfrak{g} -action whose key property is its commutativity with the \mathfrak{g} -action or \mathfrak{g} -equivariance [7, 8]. This basically means that the action of \mathfrak{g} on Γ commutes with the coadjoint action of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{g}^* . In particular,

$$\{F, G\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \circ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} = \{F \circ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}, G \circ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}\}_{\Gamma}, \quad \forall F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}^*), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ is the linear Poisson structure on \mathfrak{g}^* , $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\Gamma}$ is the natural symplectic structure on Γ , and $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is the space of functions on \mathfrak{g}^* . Therefore, moment map is the tool to translate algebraic statements about \mathfrak{g}^* to statements about the phase space Γ . It simply represents the mathematical essence of Noether's theorems [2]. Furthermore, \mathfrak{g} -invariant objects on \mathfrak{g}^* , the Casimir functions, lead to \mathfrak{g} -invariant quantities on the phase space Γ . Casimirs are important because they lead to conserved quantities and therefore play a key role in understanding the dynamical evolution of the system under study.

Symmetries become even more important within quantum mechanics. As shown by Wigner [9], the generators of symmetries can be represented as linear operators acting on the Hilbert space of the quantum system, as the space of all possible quantum states. Hence, it can be realized as certain representation spaces of symmetries.¹ In the simplest case, the translation symmetry leads to the conservation of linear momentum. More interestingly is the angular momentum of the system which is conserved under the rotational symmetry and its quantization leads to many well-known theorems about quantum-mechanical dynamics of angular momentum [10]. Furthermore, the quantization amounts to replace $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ with the Lie algebra bracket $-i[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$, where the latter is the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g} . In particular, under this quantization map, Casimir functions on coadjoint orbits turn to Casimir elements of the algebra. On the other hand, there are systematic methods to construct the representation spaces of symmetry algebras among which are the method of induced representation of George Mackey [11–13] and the method of coadjoint orbits of Alexandre Kirillov [14–17]. In the latter method, the existence of the symmetry action on the phase space can be used to construct unitary representations. Indeed each coadjoint orbit of the action would lead to such a representation. Therefore, the study of coadjoint orbit is an important tool in the phase space quantization.

¹One needs to distinguish between the notion of global symmetries and gauge invariance. The physical Hilbert space carries a nontrivial action of the first while is inert to the action of the second.

Other than the construction of conserved quantities, by the pioneering work of Bargmann and Wigner, elementary particles are defined to be unitary irreducible representations of the isometry of the spacetime on which the theory lives [18]. In the absence of gravity, it is well-known that the isometry algebra is simply the Poincaré algebra, a case that has been extensively studied in the past including the classical treatments in [19–24].

The inclusion of gravity poses certain puzzles, the most important of which is the identification of a proper notion of symmetry of a given gravitational system that replaces the notion of isometry of a spacetime. In fact, there is no fixed spacetime in quantum gravity. Hence studying the isometry of a single spacetime and then, based on Bargmann–Wigner philosophy [18], defining elementary particles as its unitary irreducible representations do not make much sense. Furthermore, there is not a sensible notion of symmetry associated with compact spacetimes without boundaries. However, in the presence of boundaries, there is a way out as follows: One can fix an asymptotic structure² \mathcal{S}_∞ and then define the asymptotic symmetry group as those elements of the bulk diffeomorphisms³ of a physical spacetime M that fix \mathcal{S}_∞ . More precisely,⁴

$$\text{Asymptotic symmetries} := \frac{\text{Diff}(M, \mathcal{S}_\infty)}{\text{Diff}(M, 1_\infty)}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the group $\text{Diff}(M, \mathcal{S}_\infty)$ consists of diffeomorphisms of bulk physical spacetime preserving \mathcal{S}_∞ and $\text{Diff}(M, 1_\infty)$ is the group of those diffeomorphisms that goes off to identity asymptotically. In general, this asymptotic structure *is not* the isometry group of the boundary of the (conformal completion) of a spacetime, denoted as \mathcal{I} in the literature, and hence is not a property of a spacetime. Instead, one fixes a certain structure depending on \mathcal{I} being spacelike (asymptotically-dS), null (asymptotically-flat), or timelike (asymptotically-AdS).⁵ For the case of asymptotically-flat spacetimes, $\mathcal{I} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times S$, where S is the celestial sphere and the isomorphism is global.

The first example of asymptotic symmetries in gravity appeared in the foundational works of Bondi–Van der Burg–Metzner [27] and Sachs [28], the so-called BMS group, whose Lie algebra is denoted as bms . It is an asymptotic symmetry group of four-dimensional asymptotically-flat, Lorentzian spacetimes whose properties and representations have been extensively studied in the past including the classical works of McCarthy [29–35]. The analogous analysis for three-dimensional BMS group is performed in [36–38]. The study of asymptotic symmetries has been revived in recent years mainly due to the unraveling of the so-called

²The so-called universal structure in the language of [25].

³As the diffeomorphism group depends on the choice of a differentiable structure (up to equivalences), we also need to fix this structure first. Therefore, we are indeed considering all spacetimes with a fixed differentiable structure, fixed topology, and fixed asymptotic structure \mathcal{S}_∞ . One then needs to include all possible topologies and differentiable structure to obtain quantum gravity with a fixed asymptotic structure.

⁴Here, it is understood that M is the conformal completion of a physical space-time. We refer to [26] for the general definition of asymptotic symmetries in gravity.

⁵The question of which structure needs to be fixed is an important question. Depending on the type of structure that is being fixed, one obtains different asymptotic symmetries.

infrared triangle, the three-way relationship between asymptotic symmetries, soft theorems and memory effects [39]. These studies have uncovered larger asymptotic symmetry groups of four-dimensional asymptotically-flat, Lorentzian spacetimes such as Extended-BMS group [40–42], Generalized-BMS group [43, 44], and Weyl-BMS group [45].

As asymptotic symmetries provide a proper notion of symmetry in the gravitational context, in the sense that they act non-trivially on the phase space of the system, one can apply the above-mentioned procedure and ask the following two questions:

- Does it make sense to define the notion of “elementary particles” in the presence of gravity as representations of an asymptotic symmetry group?
- Can asymptotic symmetries be used to construct conserved quantities in the presence of gravity?

Regarding the first question, despite the fact that asymptotic symmetries have nothing to do with isometry of a spacetime, the view of defining “elementary particles” in the presence of gravity as unitary irreducible representations of an asymptotic symmetry group has been advocated in [28, 46, 47] and especially by McCarthy as the main motivation for his detailed study of the representation theory of the BMS group [29–35] (see in particular [48] and also the related work [49]). This perspective has also been recalled in [36] as a motivation to study the representations of three-dimensional BMS group. Hence, one can naturally apply the same philosophy to a larger asymptotic symmetry group, in particular the generalized BMS group and its algebra \mathfrak{gbms} , which would be the main focus of this work.

Regarding the second question, the possibility of constructing conserved quantities, such as energy-momentum and spin, in asymptotically-flat Lorentzian spacetimes has important implications not only for the quantum theory, as argued above. For instance, an unambiguous definition of spin would provide a key tool in the study of compact binary coalescences emitting gravitational waves, as it would allow for a more rigorous treatment of the asymptotic frame at \mathcal{I} in matching the quasi-normal mode modeling with the numerical relativity strain waveforms [50–52].

The idea of using \mathfrak{bms} as the “right” asymptotic symmetry algebra has led to many attempts in the past [53–71] (see [72] for a thorough review prior to recent developments). Let us briefly clarify the status of these attempts. The \mathfrak{bms} algebra has a unique translation subalgebra that enables one to define the so-called Bondi-Sachs energy-momentum tensor on \mathcal{I} [27, 28, 73]. However, there are the following undesired features of this algebra that prevent one from defining angular momentum and spin for asymptotically-flat spacetimes.

- (1) *Supertranslation ambiguity*: The fact that \mathfrak{bms} does not have a canonical Lorentz subalgebra [28, 74, 75].
- (2) *Center-of-mass ambiguity*: The fact that the frames where the shear vanishes at early and late times are generically different in the presence of radiation [76].

- (3) *Rest frame ambiguity*: There is no canonical notion of a rest frame, in which the total momentum is zero, associated with \mathbf{bms} , as the condition is cut-dependent.
- (4) *Undefined angular momentum aspect*: The fact that the knowledge of the angular momentum generator does not define uniquely the angular momentum aspect.
- (5) *Absence of spin generator*: It turns out that if the mass aspect is generic, one cannot associate a spin generator to the \mathbf{bms} algebra for the simple reason that the little group is \mathbb{Z}_2 , which is not a Lie group and hence there is no corresponding Lie algebra. This fact has been pointed out as early as the pioneering work of Sachs [28].

We will further elaborate on these issues in §2.2. The main proposal of this work is that these issues will be resolved once the algebra of asymptotic symmetries is enriched to be the \mathbf{gbms} algebra, which is defined as [43, 44]

$$\mathbf{gbms} = \text{diff}(S) \oplus \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\text{diff}(S)$ is the diffeomorphism algebra of the two-sphere S generated by a generic smooth vector field on S (or super-Lorentz transformations), and \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S is the algebra of supertranslations.⁶ The dual \mathbf{gbms}^* is labeled by a pair (j_A, m) , where j_A and m are the angular momentum and the mass aspect, respectively. Note that $\mathbf{j} = j_A d\sigma^A$ is a one-form on sphere equipped with coordinates σ^A and $m = m(\sigma)$ is simply a function on sphere.

Let us now briefly summarize the main results and formulas in this work.

1.1 Contributions of the paper

In this paper, we shed light on various aspects of the algebra \mathbf{gbms} , including some of the issues that might be known to the experts but are obscure in the literature. The main results and formulas can be summarized as follows.

Poincaré embeddings (§3). In §3.3 we revisit in our context previous approaches to the angular momentum problem within the standard \mathbf{bms} framework. More precisely, we introduce the notion of a supertranslation invariant *intrinsic angular momentum*, which requires the introduction of an electric supertranslation Goldstone mode. We show that this intrinsic angular momentum generator satisfies the Lorentz Lie algebra $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, when restricting to conformal Killing vector fields. The need to extend the Poincaré algebra with the addition of a Goldstone mode introduces a cut dependence which leads to the difficulties reviewed in §2.2; Moreover, differently from the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector, which is constructed purely from the generators of the Poincaré algebra itself, the intrinsic angular momentum does not satisfy an algebra isomorphic to the little group algebra. This is what motivates our analysis carried out in the following sections.

⁶The subscript -1 refers to the conformal weight of functions on the celestial sphere parametrizing supertranslations. See §2.2 for details.

Before moving on to this investigation, in §3.4 we clarify how the Poincaré embedding in \mathfrak{gbms} follows a symmetry-breaking pattern, which leads us to the notion of *condensate modes*, canonically conjugated to the Goldstone modes. These supertranslation and super-Lorentz condensates define an equivalence relation for the mass and angular momentum aspects, thus representing respectively the geometry of the quotient spaces $\mathfrak{bms}/\text{Poinc}$, $\mathfrak{gbms}/\mathfrak{bms}$. We then show how to explicitly construct a Poincaré charge algebra inside \mathfrak{gbms} for a general non-round sphere metric.

Algebraic aspects of \mathfrak{gbms} (§4 and §5). We then focus on purely algebraic properties of \mathfrak{gbms} , with no phase space involved. These consist of (1) the coadjoint orbits and their Casimir invariants and (2) the generators of the isotropy subalgebra. The motivation to study the first aspect has been explained above: the quantization of coadjoint orbits provides the representation spaces of \mathfrak{gbms} . Furthermore, a proper quantization of \mathfrak{gbms} involves the notion of spin, and as such, we construct \mathfrak{gbms} spin generator. Finally, we introduce the notion of rest frame for \mathfrak{gbms} , with no counterpart in \mathfrak{bms} algebra.

In §4, we first consider the \mathfrak{gbms} coadjoint action on a point of its dual labelled by the mass aspect and the angular momentum aspect 1-form (m, \mathbf{j}) (see (3.20) for the explicit action). Similar to the analysis of coadjoint orbits of corner symmetry algebra [77], we can classify the coadjoint orbits through an analogy with fluid mechanics. A crucial role is played by the notion of density $\rho = m^{\frac{2}{3}}$ whose \mathfrak{gbms} transformations are given in (4.4) and by the vorticity. For the algebra \mathfrak{gbms} , the vorticity 2-form is given by

$$\mathbf{w} = m^{-\frac{5}{3}} \left(m d\mathbf{j} - \frac{2}{3} dm \wedge \mathbf{j} \right). \quad (1.4)$$

The two crucial properties of this quantity are as follows: (1) Its invariance under supertranslation, and (2) the fact that it transforms as a 2-form (see (4.8)). It then follows that the Casimir invariants of coadjoint orbits are given by $(\rho := m^{\frac{2}{3}}$ whose \mathfrak{gbms} transformations are given in (4.4))

$$C_n(\mathfrak{gbms}) := \int_S w^n \rho \epsilon, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.5)$$

where w is the vorticity scalar associated to the two-form $\mathbf{w} = w\rho\epsilon$, and ϵ defines an area form on S which is preserved by the \mathfrak{gbms} action (see (3.12)).

We next move to the construction of spin generators for \mathfrak{gbms} . What we will call a spin generator has the following two defining properties: (1) it is a generator of the isotropy subalgebra of \mathfrak{gbms} . By definition, it is the subalgebra of \mathfrak{gbms} that preserves a given mass aspect m ; (2) it is invariant under any supertranslation. It turns out that the isotropy subalgebra of \mathfrak{gbms} is given by

$$\mathfrak{iso}(\mathfrak{gbms}) = \mathfrak{sdiff}_\rho(S) \oplus \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\text{sdiff}_\rho(S)$ is the algebra of area-preserving diffeomorphisms on S for the area element $\rho := \rho\epsilon = m^{\frac{2}{3}}\epsilon$. Having the isotropy subalgebra at hand, we identify its (smeared) generators as

$$\mathbf{S}_\chi = \int_S \chi \mathbf{w}, \quad \chi \in C^\infty(S), \quad (1.7)$$

where $C^\infty(S) \simeq \mathbb{R}_0^C$ is the space of functions on sphere. From the **gbms** transformations of ρ and w , it then turns out that \mathbf{S}_χ is supertranslation-invariant. As (1.7) has all the right properties, we define it to be the spin generator of **gbms**.

An overview of these results paralleled by the comparison with the familiar Poincaré algebra is summarized in Table 1.

	Poincaré	GBMS
Lie Group	$\text{SO}(3, 1)^\uparrow \times \mathbb{R}^4$	$\text{Diff}(S) \times \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S$
Lie Algebra	$\mathfrak{so}(3, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$	$\text{diff}(S) \oplus \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S$
Lie Coalgebra Elements	$(j_{\mu\nu}, p_\mu)$	(j_A, m)
Type of Orbits	Massive	Massive
Label of Orbits	$-p^2 > 0$	$m > 0$
Isotropy Subalgebra	$\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$	$\text{sdiff}_\rho(S) \oplus \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S$
Spin Generators	w_μ	\mathbf{S}_χ
Casimirs	$(-p^2, w^2)$	$\mathbf{C}_n, n = 0, 1, \dots$

Table 1: The comparison between the Poincaré algebra in four dimensions $\text{iso}(3, 1)$ and **gbms**. In this table, w_μ denotes the components of the (classical) Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector.

As **bms** suffers from the ambiguity of defining a notion of rest frame (unless we are in the particular case of a stationary spacetime), we investigate the possibility of defining rest frame for **gbms** in §5. The impossibility of reaching such a frame is one of the main reasons why the definition of spin is ambiguous within the BMS framework. At the same time, it turns out that due to the access to the full diffeomorphism group, it is possible to define such a notion in GBMS. This allows us to understand the spin generator (1.7) as the generator of superrotation in the generalised rest frame, in complete analogy to the Poincaré case.

Recalling that the Bondi frame is defined by the condition of a constant curvature R of S and non-constancy of the mass aspect m , we define the rest mass reference frame for **gbms** as the frame that satisfies

$$\partial_A m = 0, \quad \partial_A R \neq 0, \quad (1.8)$$

where $A = 1, 2$ denotes the coordinates on S . One can then derive an explicit **gbms** transformation that maps the Bondi frame to the rest frame and vice versa (see (5.7)).

As a concrete example of **gbms** reference frames, we consider the simple example of stationary spacetimes. It turns out that for such spacetimes, one can go to a frame which is both Bondi and at rest. We derive the form of mass and angular momentum aspects of stationary spacetimes in the rest frame in (5.15), as well as in a general boosted Lorentz frame in (5.28).

The last question that we touch on in §5.3.2 is whether the mass aspect of a configuration of massive particles can be obtained by applying **bms** transformations to a constant mass aspect. The motivation to study this question is obvious. If the answer is positive, then the necessity of enlarging the symmetry algebra to **gbms** would become dubious. However, we give a definite negative answer to this question using the harmonic decomposition of mass aspect, which we develop in §5.3.1. Furthermore, we obtain the harmonic decomposition of angular momentum aspect in §5.3.3. These decompositions reveal the following intriguing feature. While the $\ell = 0, 1$ global modes reproduce the standard 4-momentum and Lorentz piece of the angular momentum of a particle, the $\ell \geq 2$ condensate contributions of the mass and angular momentum aspects reproduce exactly the soft factors in the leading and subleading soft graviton theorems respectively.

Pauli–Lubański generators (§6). We next consider the question of constructing Pauli–Lubański generators for **bms** algebra. We construct it for the case of a mass aspect on the coadjoint orbit of the constant mass (see (6.8)) in (6.13) and show that it reproduces the Poincaré analog expression in (6.9).

Applications (§7). After developing the necessary tools, we define the **gbms** moment map and study several applications as follows.

Moment map for the **gbms action (§7.1).** Thinking of **gbms** as the symmetry algebra which acts on a phase space, the two natural questions are the following

- What is the phase space which carries a Hamiltonian action of **gbms**?
- What is the moment map for the Hamiltonian action?

The answer to the first question is provided by certain simplifying conditions on the radiative phase space studied in [78, 79]. These conditions are

$$\mathcal{I}^A = \mathcal{N}^{AB} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = 0, \quad (1.9)$$

where \mathcal{I}^A , \mathcal{N}^{AB} , and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ are the covariant energy current, radiative news, and covariant dual mass, respectively. We will call this phase space electric and non-radiative, and denote it as Γ_{ENR} . The moment map $\mu_{\text{gbms}} : \Gamma_{\text{ENR}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gbms}^*$ is then given by

$$\mu_{\text{gbms}}(\mathcal{M}) = m, \quad \mu_{\text{gbms}}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_A - u\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{M})\right) = j_A, \quad (1.10)$$

where \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{J}_A the covariant mass and the covariant angular momentum, respectively.

Gravitational Casimirs and the gravitational spin charge (§7.2). Equipped with the moment map, we can translate the algebraic properties of gbms^* . In particular, the gravitational Casimirs are given by

$$\mathcal{C}_n(\Gamma_{\text{ENR}}) := \mu_{\text{gbms}}^* \mathcal{C}_n(\text{gbms}) = \int_S \mathcal{M}^{\frac{2}{3}} \mathbf{w}^n \epsilon, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.11)$$

with the gravitational vorticity \mathbf{w} is defined as

$$\mathbf{w} := \mu_{\text{gbms}}^* w = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \left(\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathcal{J}_B \right). \quad (1.12)$$

Furthermore, the gravitational spin charge, which generates the action of (1.6) on Γ_{ENR} , is

$$\mathcal{S}_\chi := \mu_{\text{gbms}}^* \mathcal{S}_\chi = \frac{1}{2} \int_S \chi \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \left(\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathcal{J}_B \right) \epsilon. \quad (1.13)$$

A particular feature of (1.11) and (1.13) is their cut-independence, i.e. they do not depend on the Bondi coordinate u along $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{I}$.

Gravitational Casimirs for the Kerr spacetime (§7.3). Note that the expression for Casimirs involve the covariant mass \mathcal{M} and the covariant angular momentum \mathcal{J}_A . These quantities can be read-off from the asymptotic expansion of the metric in the Bondi-Sachs coordinates. We give an explicit example of the computation of gravitational Casimirs for the Kerr metric, where they are given by

$$\mathcal{C}_n(\Gamma_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-3a)^n}{n+1} M^{\frac{2-n}{3}}, & n = 0, 2, 4, \dots, \\ 0, & n = 1, 3, 5, \dots, \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

where M and a are the mass and the reduced angular momentum (defined in (F.4)), respectively.

Technical reviews and proofs of various results are collected in Appendices A, B, C, D, E, F.

2 Background material and review of the issues

This section is devoted to providing certain background material and motivation for the rest of the paper. In §2.1, we review general motivations and background on the study of coadjoint invariants, their relation to conserved quantities, and also asymptotic symmetries in gravity. We then turn to the issues with the bms algebra in §2.2. These issues motivate (and indeed demand) to consider an enlarged asymptotic symmetry algebras in the context of asymptotically-flat spacetimes. Finally, as a precursor and warm-up to the study of symmetry algebras in gravity, we review in some detail some relevant aspects of Poincaré algebra (such as massive representations, Casimir elements, and coadjoint orbits) in §2.3.

2.1 Motivation and background

By the pioneering work of Bargmann and Wigner, elementary particles are *defined* to be the unitary irreducible representations of the isometry group of a spacetime [18] (see also [48, 49]). Given the isometry (Lie) algebra \mathfrak{g} of a spacetime, Casimir elements, namely elements that are invariant under the whole algebra \mathfrak{g} , provide a convenient way to distinguish its irreducible representations, which are further constrained by the unitarity requirement. Casimir elements for (the universal enveloping algebra of) \mathfrak{g} are identified with a \mathfrak{g} -conserved (i.e. invariant under the \mathfrak{g} -action) quantity $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$. At the quantum level and through the Noether theorem, we know that the group action is given by the adjoint action

$$\delta_J \widehat{\mathcal{O}} := [J, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}], \quad J = \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} C_a J^a, \quad (2.1)$$

where C_a s are constants and J^a denote a basis of \mathfrak{g} generators. A Casimir is, by definition, a \mathfrak{g} -conserved operator $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfying

$$\delta_J \widehat{\mathcal{C}} = 0, \quad \forall J \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2.2)$$

The knowledge of the value of a complete set of Casimir elements associated with an isometry algebra \mathfrak{g} labels the representation \mathcal{R} of \mathfrak{g} .

The Lie algebras we are interested in this work (Poincaré, bms or gbms) are in the form of a semi-direct sum

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{n}, \quad (2.3)$$

where \mathfrak{k} is the quotient algebra, \mathfrak{n} is the Abelian normal ideal, and \oplus means that \mathfrak{k} acts on \mathfrak{n} by the Lie bracket (i.e. $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{n}] \in \mathfrak{n}$). The corresponding group is denoted $G = K \ltimes n$ where the Abelian subgroup is simply equal to its Lie algebra when it is represented additively.

Induced representations and coadjoint orbits. An established method for studying the representations of such Lie algebras is the so-called induced representation [11–13, 19]. In this method, one constructs representations of \mathfrak{g} from representations of the so-called Wigner’s little (or isotropy) subgroup, first introduced by Wigner in his study of representations of in-homogeneous Lorentz algebra [19]. To construct induced representations, one first starts by selecting an element $p \in \mathfrak{n}^*$ of the dual normal Lie algebra. Such an element represents a character $\chi_p(x) = e^{i\langle p|x \rangle}$, with $x \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ being a pairing between \mathfrak{n} and \mathfrak{n}^* , for the Abelian group represented additively. The adjoint action of K on \mathfrak{n} leads to a *coadjoint action*⁷ ad^* on \mathfrak{n}^* . The corresponding group action is denoted Ad_U^* for $U \in K$. The sets of elements Kp that can be reached from p by the coadjoint action of K is called the orbit of p . Given an element $p \in \mathfrak{n}^*$ one defines its little or isotropy subgroup to be given by

$$\text{Iso}(p) := \{U \in K \mid \text{Ad}_U^* p = p\}. \quad (2.4)$$

⁷This action is such that $\langle \text{ad}_u^* p | v \rangle = -\langle p | [u, v] \rangle$, for $p \in \mathfrak{n}^*$ and $u, v \in \mathfrak{k}$.

The corresponding Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{iso}(p) := \{u \in \mathfrak{k} \mid \mathfrak{ad}_u^* p = 0\}$. The isotropy groups of different points of Kp are isomorphic, so we can refer to the orbit isotropy group as designing the equivalence class.

Induced representations are therefore characterized by the choice of coadjoint orbits of \mathfrak{n}^* and by the choice of representation of the isotropy subgroup. Roughly speaking, once the orbit is fixed then the question of classification of all possible unitary irreducible representations boils down to studying the same question for its isotropy subalgebra, which has a much simpler structure [80, 81]. The subtle and often difficult question that has to be addressed is whether all representations of interests come from this construction. For example, in the case of the Poincaré algebra, the choice of orbit is encoded into the mass. For a positive mass, the spin corresponds to a choice of a representation of the little group's orbit. For positive mass, the little group is $SO(3)$ and we recover the usual definition of spin as a little group representation label. It then turns out that all unitary irreducible representations of the Poincaré group come from this construction [19].

Coming back to our initial question then, it is crucial to understand whether the BMS group is big enough to construct (quasi-local) conserved quantities in the presence of gravity. This idea has led to many attempts in the past to construct conserved quantities in asymptotically-flat spacetimes [53–71] (see [72] for a thorough review prior to recent developments). However, as advocated above, in order to construct well-defined physical conserved quantities in an asymptotically-flat spacetime with a fixed asymptotic structure \mathcal{S}_∞ , one needs first to identify the Casimir elements of the algebra of asymptotic symmetries. This viewpoint is a generalization of the familiar example of the Poincaré algebra where the values of the Casimir elements in a unitary irreducible representation determine the (bare) mass and the spin of an elementary particle, as we will briefly review in §2.3.

2.2 Issues with the bms algebra

In this section, we introduce the first example of asymptotic symmetry groups in gravity, the BMS group discovered in [27, 28], and furthermore elaborate on various issues in defining conserved quantities associated with this group.

The BMS group. Recalling that for asymptotically-flat spacetimes $\mathcal{I} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times S$, where S denotes the two-sphere, the BMS group is

$$\text{BMS} = \text{SO}(3, 1)^\uparrow \ltimes \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\text{SO}(3, 1)^\uparrow$ denotes the proper orthochronous subgroup of the Lorentz group and \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S denotes the infinite-dimensional Abelian ideal of supertranslations. Its elements are given by functions on S which transform under $\text{SO}(3, 1)^\uparrow$ as densities of weight⁸ $-1/2$. More explicitly,

⁸In the following we denote by \mathbb{R}_Δ^S the space of functions on the sphere that transform under $\text{SO}(3, 1)^\uparrow$ as densities of weight $\Delta/2$. The unit sphere measure ϵ transforms under Lorentz transformation g as $\epsilon \rightarrow g^* \epsilon = \omega_g^{-2} \epsilon$, where ω_g is given in (2.7).

if one choose complex coordinates (z, \bar{z}) on the unit round sphere with metric $ds^2 = \frac{4dzd\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}$, Lorentz transformations are represented by 2×2 complex matrices

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}). \quad (2.6)$$

The group $\widetilde{\text{BMS}} = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S$ is the universal cover of BMS [29]. Its elements act on \mathbb{R}_{Δ}^S as fractional transformation

$$(g \cdot f)(z, \bar{z}) := \omega_g^{-\Delta} f\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \frac{\bar{a}\bar{z} + \bar{b}}{\bar{c}\bar{z} + \bar{d}}\right), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\omega_g := \frac{|az + b|^2 + |cz + d|^2}{1 + |z|^2}.$$

In the following, we refer to Δ as the conformal weight or simply the weight of f . One has to remember that Δ is twice the density weight.⁹

The BMS algebra. The algebra of BMS group (2.5) is given by

$$\mathfrak{bms} = \mathfrak{so}(3, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$ is the Lorentz algebra and \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S denotes the algebra of supertranslations, and the symbol \oplus denotes the action of Lorentz part on supertranslations given by the \mathfrak{bms} Lie bracket. In the following, we also need to consider the dual \mathfrak{bms}^* of this Lie algebra, which is labeled by a pair (j_A, m) , where j_A and m are the \mathfrak{bms} angular momentum and mass aspects, respectively.

The pairing between elements of \mathbb{R}_{Δ}^S and its dual is given through an integral over the sphere. In order for this integral to be invariant under diffeomorphism it needs to be of conformal weight 2. This means that the duality operation maps \mathbb{R}_{Δ}^S into $\mathbb{R}_{2-\Delta}^S$, i.e.

$$(\mathbb{R}_{\Delta}^S)^* = \mathbb{R}_{2-\Delta}^S. \quad (2.9)$$

This means that the space of *mass aspects* which contains elements dual to supertranslations is given by \mathbb{R}_3^S , i. e. scalar operators of conformal weight 3. This fact was first established by Bondi [27].

⁹A density ρ on the sphere is such that under a map $(z, \bar{z}) \rightarrow (F(z), \bar{F}(\bar{z}))$, it transforms as

$$\rho \rightarrow \rho_F = |\partial F|^2(\rho \circ F),$$

and therefore $\rho_F/\rho = \omega_g^{-2}$ when $\rho = 2/(1 + |z|^2)^2$ is the round sphere measure and $F = g$ is a conformal transformation. Thus, a density of weight w is of conformal weight $\Delta = 2w$.

Issues in constructing BMS-conserved quantities. As our main aim in this work is to construct the spin generator in asymptotically-flat spacetimes, we need to explain first why the bms algebra, as a symmetry of asymptotically-flat spacetimes, is *not* suited for this purpose. To do this, let us elaborate on each of the issues (1–5) listed in the introduction.

- (1) *Supertranslation ambiguity.* It is well-known that the set of conformal Killing vectors (CKV) $Y^A \partial_A \in TS$ preserving, up to scale, the round sphere metric q form a closed algebra under Lie bracket isomorphic to the Lorentz algebra. In order to embed this representation of the Lorentz algebra into BMS, i. e. as a BMS subalgebra, one needs to choose a cut \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{I} . Such a cut is located at $u = G(\sigma)$ and G is a given function on the sphere that determines the supertranslation frame.¹⁰ The Lorentz generators associated with the cut \mathcal{C} are then represented by vectors on S of the form

$$\xi_Y^{\mathcal{C}} := Y^A \partial_A + Y[G] \partial_u + \frac{1}{2} D_A Y^A (u - G) \partial_u, \quad (2.10)$$

where we denoted $Y[G] := Y^A \partial_A G$. This vector is the only element of BMS which is tangent to the cut $u = G(\sigma)$ and acts as the CKV on the cut. From this definition, it is clear that two different cuts lead to two different notions of Lorentz transformations that are related to each other by a supertranslation $(Y[\Delta G] - \frac{1}{2} D_A Y^A \Delta G) \partial_u$ with parameter $\Delta G = G - G'$ given by the difference between the two cuts. This is simply a reflection of the fact that the supertranslation group plays the role that translations have in special relativity. In special relativity a translation changes the orbital component of the total angular momentum. In general relativity a supertranslation also change the angular momentum.¹¹

- (2) *Center-of-mass ambiguity.* This is a related puzzle to the above due to the memory effect: In non-radiative regions the news tensor vanishes by definition. The shear is therefore time independent and can be decomposed as a sum of an electric and a magnetic component $C_{AB} = -2(D_{\langle A} D_{B\rangle} G + D_{\langle A} \tilde{D}_{B\rangle} \tilde{G})$ where G and \tilde{G} are respectively the electric and magnetic supertranslation Goldstones. The angle bracket denotes the symmetric trace-free projection and $\tilde{D}_A = \epsilon_A^B D_B$ denotes the magnetic derivative. In stationary regions such as the asymptotic regions $u \rightarrow \pm\infty$, it is further assumed that the magnetic component of C_{AB} vanishes [82]. Therefore in stationary regions, we can choose the cuts to be such that $C_{AB} = 0$, hence $G = \tilde{G} = 0$ after fixing the supertranslation ambiguity. This choice is called the *center-of-mass frame*. The problem is

¹⁰Here G stands for ‘Goldstone’, as it will become clear shortly below.

¹¹In special relativity, this is reflected in the fact that the commutator between generators of translations and angular momentum is non-zero (see (2.20)). Considering BMS as the asymptotic symmetry group, the generator of supertranslations do not commute with angular momentum (generators of Lorentz rotations). In both cases, the semi-direct product structure of the associated group (or equivalently, the semi-direct sum structure of the corresponding Lie algebra) leads to this conclusion.

that the center-of-mass frames of early and late time are generically different. Indeed the supertranslation memory effect [83, 84] implies that in the presence of asymptotic radiation $G^+ \neq G^-$ where $C_{AB}^\pm = \lim_{u \rightarrow \pm\infty} C_{AB}$. Therefore the different Poincaré subgroup chosen by the good cut condition are different at the initial and final time.

If we are in a non-radiative region which is not stationary then there are even further issues since we can no longer impose that $C_{AB} = 0$. In this case we have to decide how one can fix the center-of-mass frame. There are two, not equivalent, viable proposals in literature to do so by fixing the supertranslations. The first one due to Newman–Penrose, is the *electric good cut condition* which imposes that $G = 0$ even when $\tilde{G} \neq 0$ [85, 86]. The other one due to Moreschi is the *nice cut condition* [87] which demands that the higher multipole moments

$$\mathbf{P}_{\ell m}^{\text{Mor}} := \int_S Y_{\ell m} m_{\text{Mor}}, \quad (2.11)$$

of the Moreschi mass aspect all vanish for $\ell \geq 1$. The Moreschi mass aspect is given in terms of the Bondi mass aspect by

$$m_{\text{Mor}} := m_{\text{B}} - \frac{1}{4} D_A D_B C^{AB}, \quad (2.12)$$

where m_{B} is the Bondi mass aspect.

- (3) *Rest frame ambiguity.* Given a supertranslation generator $T(\sigma)\partial_u$ and a Lorentz generator Y associated to a reference cut $u = 0$, we can construct the corresponding Noether charges \mathbf{P}_T that design the supermomentum charges associated with supertranslation parameter T , while \mathbf{J}_Y design the angular momentum charges associated with the vector field $Y = Y^A \partial_A$. These charges are obtained as integrals over the sphere of a corresponding mass and angular momentum aspects. The point is that, since T is an arbitrary function on the sphere, it can be expanded in spherical harmonics. This means that we have in general an infinity of supertranslation charges $\mathbf{P}_{\ell m}$, with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|m| \leq \ell$, given by the charge evaluation for $T = Y_{\ell m}$. \mathbf{P}_{00} represents the global energy and \mathbf{P}_{1i} the global momentum. We can still perform a Lorentz transformation that imposes $\mathbf{P}_{1i} = 0$ which means that we are in a naive rest frame. Generically, in this rest frame, we will have that $\mathbf{P}_{\ell m}^+ \neq 0$ for $\ell \geq 2$.¹² Therefore, this means that the initial and final rest frames are completely different frames. Note that since \mathbf{P}_T is a supertranslation generator, it is invariant under supertranslations.¹³ Therefore we see that the rest frame ambiguity is different from the previous ambiguity, that is, it cannot be cured by performing a supertranslation.

¹²The only exception is if we are in a stationary black hole spacetime (see §5.2).

¹³Note that the Moreschi supermomentum $\mathbf{P}_T^{\text{Mor}}$ discussed earlier is not supertranslation invariant in non-radiative spacetime and therefore does not qualify as a supertranslation charge in the sense of Noether while the Bondi supermomentum does.

- (4) *Undefined angular momentum aspect.* Given the round sphere metric q_{AB} on S , the Lorentz part of the BMS algebra is a subalgebra of $\text{Diff}(S)$ generated by conformal Killing vectors Y_{CKV} of (S, q_{AB}) . It is important to appreciate that, while the knowledge of

$$J_Y = \int_S Y^A j_A \epsilon, \quad (2.13)$$

for $Y \in \text{diff}(S)$ determines the angular momentum aspect $j_A(\sigma)$, the knowledge of the BMS charges $J_{Y_{\text{CKV}}}$ only determines an equivalence class $[j_A]$ of angular momentum aspects, where j_A is equivalent to j'_A if there exists a symmetric traceless tensor τ_{AB} such that

$$j'_A = j_A + D^B \tau_{BA}. \quad (2.14)$$

This clearly shows that for BMS it is hopeless to try to identify what is the angular momentum aspect from BMS symmetry. BMS only determine the *equivalence class* $[j_A]$ of angular momentum aspects under the transformations $j_A \rightarrow j'_A$ (see §3.4 for further implications on this important point). A similar conclusion applies to extended BMS (EBMS) group [40, 88], where in the corresponding algebra, the Lorentz part in (2.8) is enlarged to the Lie algebra of the local conformal diffeomorphisms of S . For instance, this ambiguity can help resolve the discussion about the quadratic ambiguity in the angular momentum aspect discussed in [68, 89] and given by $\int_S Y^A (4C_{AB} D_C C^{BC} + D_A (C_{BC} C^{BC})) \epsilon$. Elhashash and Nichols [64] have shown that this quadratic ambiguity vanishes when Y is a conformal Killing vector and when C_{AB} is purely electric.

- (5) *Absence of spin generator.* It is well known that the spin generator for Poincaré algebra, also called Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector, is defined as the canonical generator of transformations that preserves the momentum generator. This vector is invariant under translation. Analogously, in the case of **bms**, the isotropy algebra is defined as the subalgebra preserving the mass aspect m , and the spin aspect should be the generator of the isotropy subalgebra. However, it turns out that, unless the mass aspect is in the orbit of the constant mass aspect, one cannot associate a spin aspect to the **bms** algebra. This fact, which has been pointed out as early as the pioneering work of Sachs [28], can be seen as follows. Given a mass aspect $m(z, \bar{z})$, the BMS isotropy subgroup consists of elements $g \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$m \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \frac{\bar{a}\bar{z} + \bar{b}}{\bar{c}\bar{z} + \bar{d}} \right) = \omega_g^3 m(z, \bar{z}), \quad (2.15)$$

where ω_g is given in (2.7). The classification of orbits was first done by McCarthy in [29], where it was shown that the isotropy subgroups are given by

isotropy group	Fixed Points
\mathbb{Z}_2	$m(z, \bar{z})$
U(1)	$m(z)$
SU(2)	m_0

Table 2: Possible isotropy groups of the BMS group.

In this table $m(z, \bar{z})$ denotes a generic function on the sphere representing the mass aspect that cannot be written as a function of $|z|$, and m_0 is a constant. Therefore, a generic mass aspect, described by a function $m(z, \bar{z})$ that cannot necessarily be put into an axisymmetric form $m(|z|)$ or a constant m_0 does not have a Lie algebra. Hence, the isotropy algebra is not well-defined and as such there is no generator (i.e. spin) associated to it. This means that in `bms` there is no way to define the spin aspect for a generic mass aspect.

One exception to this result is for the most degenerate orbit where m is constant. In this case we recover as isotropy group the SU(2) group and, hence, we expect that there exists for this particular orbit a definition of the spin generator. This spin generator has been constructed explicitly by Compere et al. in [67]. Assuming that the mass aspect is in the Lorentz orbit of a constant mass, they produced an explicit expression for a spin generator invariant under supertranslation that reduces to the spatial components of the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector. We will discuss this result further in §6.2 and show how it connects to our result. However, what is important to realize is that in a multi-particle scattering process the mass aspect is usually generic, as we will show in §5.3.2, hence one cannot restrict to the constant mass aspect.

Another exception is the axisymmetric case where the mass aspect is only a function of $|z|$. No explicit construction of the U(1) generator has been achieved yet and we won't consider it here.

In order to formulate a theory that can be quantized using the gravitational symmetry group one needs to have a non-trivial spin aspect that would carry at the quantum level a nontrivial notion of spin. Such a notion cannot be generally defined using the algebra `bms`.

It is important to stress that the puzzles 3, 4 and 5 are present even in the *non-radiative* regions. This important fact seems to have been missed by most studies on angular momentum and it is the main point we would like to address. As we are going to see in §4.2, these undesirable features are due to the fact that the symmetry algebra (`bms` in this case) is taken to be *too small* and can be dealt with once we consider the Lie algebra of generalized BMS group [43], which we denote by `gbms`, as the symmetry algebra for asymptotically-flat spacetimes. A similar remark was already made by Barnich–Oblak in the asymptotically-flat

3D case, where the Virasoro extension (including central charges) of the 3D Lorentz group played a pivotal role to define an intrinsic angular momentum free from supertranslation ambiguities [36, 37].

We will argue that the quest of trying to define the proper notion of spin is only meaningful in the context of **gbms**. In fact, the main point of our paper is to show that we can resolve the issues (2), (3), (4) and (5) simply by enlarging the symmetry algebra from **bms** to **gbms**. In **gbms** the quotient subgroup is the group of all diffeomorphisms on the sphere. This simple fact allows us to circumvent the ambiguity in the angular momentum aspect and to provide a well-defined notion of rest frame and spin charge for non-radiative regions. This definition does not depend on a specific choice of cut, is thus free of the center-of-mass ambiguity. Therefore, the cut-independent nature of the spin charge vs. the angular momentum charge makes the supertranslation ambiguity (1) irrelevant in our construction, as no specific rotational vector field needs to be fixed in order to select a preferred Poincaré subgroup.

Alternatively in the literature, the first two puzzles (1) and (2) are often resolved in the non-radiative regions by resorting to the use of a supertranslation Goldstone G in order to define a spin generator through the notion of *intrinsic angular momentum* (see §2.3 for a review of this construction in special relativity). The supertranslation Goldstone transforms under supertranslation as $\delta_T G = T$ and it is not invariant under boost as it transforms as a weight -1 field

$$(g \cdot G)(z, \bar{z}) = \omega_g(z, \bar{z}) G \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \frac{\bar{a}\bar{z} + \bar{b}}{\bar{c}\bar{z} + \bar{d}} \right). \quad (2.16)$$

If one has access to such a Goldstone mode, one can define a notion of intrinsic angular momentum which is supertranslation invariant. Using the description of Compère–Oliveri–Seraj [63] the intrinsic angular momentum can be written as

$$l_Y := J_Y - P_{Y[G]} + \frac{1}{2} P_{GD_A Y^A}. \quad (2.17)$$

The last two terms that depend on a product of mass aspect and Goldstone mode represent a notion of super orbital momentum which is subtracted from the total angular momentum. This is a **bms** analog of the angular momentum subtraction (2.40) for the Poincaré algebra. As shown in Appendix C.1, this operator is invariant under supertranslation by construction and satisfies

$$\{l_Y, l_{Y'}\}_{\mathbf{bms}^*} = l_{[Y, Y']_S}, \quad (2.18)$$

where, $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathbf{bms}^*}$ denotes the linear Poisson structure on \mathbf{bms}^* ¹⁴ and $[Y, Y']_S$ denotes the Lie bracket of vector fields on S . The first one to propose such a definition for an intrinsic angular momentum was Moreschi [60, 90], since then other groups have developed similar formulation

¹⁴This is defined by (3.23) for **gbms**, with the charges as in (3.17), by restricting Y to be a conformal Killing vector (instead of a general vector field generating $\text{diff}(S)$).

from different motivations, such as Compère–Oliveri–Seraj [63], Chen–Wang–Yau [65, 66], Javadinezhad–Kol–Porrati [69].

It is important to appreciate though that the intrinsic angular momentum S_Y can only be defined once we extend the Poincaré algebra with the addition of a Goldstone mode dual to supertranslation and that it forms a representation of the full Lorentz algebra $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. This is very different from the construction of a spin operator such as the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector, which is constructed purely from the generator of the Poincaré algebra itself, without the introduction of any Goldstone mode operator. In particular, S_Y does not provide a definition of the rotational component of the bms algebra and it does not satisfy an algebra isomorphic to the little group algebra.

Moreover, the challenge of defining the super orbital angular momentum (i.e. the two terms on the RHS of (2.17) depending on G) consists of the possibility to define properly the Goldstone operator G , as reviewed above. In particular, whether one uses the good cut, electric good cut or nice cut conditions, the Goldstone mode is always defined from a condition on some of the shear components. The shear only determines the $\ell \geq 2$ components of G , so defining a Goldstone mode requires more information than simply the shear to be well defined. It requires the specification of the angular component $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$. These components did not originally appear in the definition of the intrinsic angular momentum in [63, 65, 66, 69], as only invariance under proper supertranslations (those of mode $\ell \geq 2$) was demanded. They were later included in [91, 92] in order to have a non-vanishing angular momentum flux in a perturbative expansion in powers of the Newton constant G_N starting at $\mathcal{O}(G_N^2)$, as obtained in [93–97]. However, fixing the $\ell = 0, 1$ components amounts to a choice of origin at future null infinity, namely a choice of a particular section of it—which is the equivalent of the choice of origin for the coordinate system used to define the intrinsic angular momentum in special relativity—. Moreover, these components depend on the choice of Lorentz frame as well, as seen from (2.16); in particular, a boost transformation mixes the higher harmonics of G with the $\ell = 0, 1$ ones. Therefore, neither of these definitions are indeed supertranslation invariant nor Lorentz covariant. A proposal for determining the first two harmonics of the Goldstone operator G in a Lorentz covariant manner was recently introduced in [98].

2.3 A warm-up example: The Poincaré algebra

To clarify the method we employ in this work, we provide in this section a brief review of the familiar example of the Poincaré algebra. We explain the Poincaré algebra in some detail, considering its massive representation, and the crucial role of the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector in the definition of intrinsic spin of a Poincaré particle (an irreducible representation of the Poincaré algebra); we then review its coadjoint action and coadjoint orbits. We refer to classic and standard literature for a complete discussion [18–24, 99–103].

The Poincaré algebra and the Lie bracket. The isometry group of the four-dimensional¹⁵ Minkowski spacetime, which is relevant to construct four-dimensional special-relativistic (classical or quantum) field theories, is the Poincaré algebra, denoted as $\text{iso}(3,1)$

$$\text{iso}(3,1) = \text{so}(3,1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^4, \quad (2.19)$$

where $\text{so}(3,1)$ denotes the Lie algebra of $\text{SO}(3,1)$ ¹⁶. It is generated by the momentum \mathbf{P}_μ , generating translations, and angular momentum $\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}$, generating Lorentz transformations. They satisfy the following Lie bracket

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{P}_\mu, \mathbf{P}_\nu] &= 0, & [\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}, \mathbf{P}_\rho] &= \mathbf{i}(\eta_{\mu\rho}\mathbf{P}_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho}\mathbf{P}_\mu), \\ [\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}, \mathbf{J}_{\rho\sigma}] &= \mathbf{i}(\eta_{\mu\rho}\mathbf{J}_{\nu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\sigma}\mathbf{J}_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\sigma}\mathbf{J}_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho}\mathbf{J}_{\mu\sigma}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where $\eta = [\eta_{\mu\nu}] = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1)$ is the Minkowski metric.

Construction of Casimir elements. As it is well-known, to study irreducible representation of Poincaré algebra, one needs to construct the Casimir elements for the Poincaré Lie algebra (2.19). Let us explain the construction briefly. We first need to define the change in a Poincaré-covariant object \mathcal{O} under the transformations generated by the Poincaré algebra

$$\delta_X \mathcal{O} = [X, \mathcal{O}], \quad \forall X \in \text{iso}(3,1). \quad (2.21)$$

From the general structure of (2.19), it is clear that on the orbit of a given covariant object¹⁷ (like a vector, tensor, etc) under the Lorentz algebra, a Lorentz-invariant object built out of generators of the normal subalgebra \mathbb{R}^4 is fixed. As \mathbb{R}^4 is generated by \mathbf{P}_μ , one thus has to look for the simplest Lorentz-invariant object built out of \mathbf{P}_μ . This is given by $-\mathbf{P}^2 = -\mathbf{P}_\mu \mathbf{P}^\mu$, where we have included an extra minus sign for later convenience.¹⁸ (2.21) can be written as $\delta_{\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}} \mathbf{P}^2 = [\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}, \mathbf{P}^2] = 0$. \mathbf{P}^2 is already invariant under the normal subalgebra \mathbb{R}^4 , i.e. $\delta_{\mathbf{P}_\mu} \mathbf{P}^2 = [\mathbf{P}_\mu, \mathbf{P}^2] = 0$. As any element \mathbf{X} of the Poincaré algebra can be written as a pair $\mathbf{X} = (x^\mu \mathbf{P}_\mu, \frac{1}{2} \psi^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu})$ for constant coefficients x^μ and $\psi^{\mu\nu}$, we conclude that $\delta_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{P}^2 =$

¹⁵There is nothing special about four dimensions and the discussion can be easily generalized to any dimensions.

¹⁶Technically-speaking, one has to distinguish between the in-homogeneous Lorentz group $\text{SO}(3,1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$ and its in-homogeneous proper orthochronous subalgebra $\text{SO}(3,1)^\uparrow \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$. It is the latter one that is identified as the Poincaré group and (2.19) is its algebra.

¹⁷By the orbit of an object \mathcal{O} under an algebra \mathfrak{g} , we mean

$$\text{Orb}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{O}) := \{\mathcal{O}' \mid \mathcal{O}' = X \triangleright \mathcal{O}, \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}\},$$

where $X \triangleright \mathcal{O}$ denotes the action of X on \mathcal{O} . In the case of Lorentz algebra $\text{so}(3,1)$, and say a vector V^μ , this is given by

$$\text{Orb}_{\text{so}(3,1)}(V^\mu) = \{V'^\mu \mid V'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu V^\nu, \forall \Lambda \in \text{so}(3,1)\}. \quad (2.22)$$

¹⁸For $\mathbf{P}^2 \leq 0$, one also need to consider the sign of \mathbf{P}_0 . We ignore this extra subtlety.

$[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}^2] = 0$ for any $\mathbf{X} \in \text{iso}(3, 1)$. Hence, we see that the (quadratic) Casimir element of the Poincaré algebra $\text{iso}(3, 1)$ is

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_2(\text{iso}(3, 1)) = -\mathbf{P}^2. \quad (2.23)$$

It turns out that the construction of the next Casimir element is more tricky [19]. First notice that by inspection, no cubic Casimir element exists since no Poincaré-invariant combination of $(\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}, \mathbf{P}_\mu)$ can be constructed. However, there is a (quartic) Casimir element of the Poincaré algebra given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_4(\text{iso}(3, 1)) = \mathbf{W}^2 = \mathbf{W}_\mu \mathbf{W}^\mu, \quad (2.24)$$

where \mathbf{W}_μ is the so-called Pauli–Lubański element¹⁹ [19, 104, 105]

$$\mathbf{W}_\mu := \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathbf{P}^\nu \mathbf{J}^{\rho\sigma}. \quad (2.25)$$

The key properties of this vector are as follows

- (1) Under Lorentz transformations, it transforms as

$$[\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}, \mathbf{W}_\rho] = i(\eta_{\nu\rho} \mathbf{W}_\mu - \eta_{\mu\rho} \mathbf{W}_\nu), \quad (2.26)$$

and most importantly, it is invariant under translation

$$[\mathbf{P}_\mu, \mathbf{W}_\nu] = 0, \quad (2.27)$$

Moreover, it satisfies the algebra

$$[\mathbf{W}_\mu, \mathbf{W}_\nu] = i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathbf{P}^\rho \mathbf{W}^\sigma. \quad (2.28)$$

- (2) \mathbf{W}_μ is orthogonal to the 4-momentum operator $\mathbf{P}_\mu \mathbf{W}^\mu = 0$. Moreover, if we diagonalize \mathbf{P}_μ and evaluate \mathbf{W}_μ when $\mathbf{P}_\mu = k_\mu$, we find that they satisfy the isotropy subalgebra of Lorentz transformation preserving the vector k .²⁰

- (3) Under a parity transformation, $x^0 \rightarrow x^0$ and $x^i \rightarrow -x^i$, (B.7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_0 &\mapsto +\mathbf{P}_0, & \mathbf{P}_i &\mapsto -\mathbf{P}_i, \\ \mathbf{J}_{0i} &\mapsto -\mathbf{J}_{0i}, & \mathbf{J}_{ij} &\mapsto +\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

¹⁹Note that \mathbf{W}_μ is a polynomial in the $\text{iso}(3, 1)$ generators and naturally belongs to the universal enveloping algebra.

²⁰Consider states satisfying $\mathbf{P}_\mu |p\rangle = k_\mu |p\rangle$. On such states, \mathbf{W}_μ generates Lorentz transformations that leave k^μ invariant. This can be seen by noting that $\mathbf{P}_\mu \mathbf{W}_\nu |p\rangle = \mathbf{W}_\nu \mathbf{P}_\mu |p\rangle = k_\mu \mathbf{W}_\nu |p\rangle$, which implies that $\mathbf{W}_\nu |p\rangle \propto |p\rangle$, hence \mathbf{W}_μ rotates the state $|p\rangle$ in the constant-momentum subspace determined by k_μ . However, due to $\mathbf{P}^\mu \mathbf{W}_\mu = 0$, there are less than four independent components. Indeed on such states, (2.28) is the defining relation of the isotropy subalgebra leaving k_μ fixed. For k_μ being time-like or light-like, the isotropy subalgebra is $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(2)$, respectively.

which in turn leads to

$$\mathbf{W}_0 \mapsto -\mathbf{W}_0, \quad \mathbf{W}_i \mapsto +\mathbf{W}_i. \quad (2.30)$$

This is the reverse of the transformation of a four-vector under the parity. Hence, by definition, \mathbf{W}_μ is a pseudo-vector.²¹

As (2.24) is manifestly Lorentz-invariant, we have $\delta_{\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}} \mathbf{W}^2 = 0$. Furthermore, (2.27) implies $\delta_{\mathbf{P}_\mu} \mathbf{W}^2 = [\mathbf{P}_\mu, \mathbf{W}^2] = 0$. Therefore, $\delta_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{W}^2 = 0$ for any $\mathbf{X} \in \text{iso}(3, 1)$, and hence \mathbf{W}^2 is indeed a Casimir element of $\text{iso}(3, 1)$. It turns out that these are all the Casimir elements for the Poincaré algebra [19].

The physical interpretation of Casimir elements. The above discussion implies that a general irreducible representation of the Poincaré algebra (i.e. an elementary particle in a Poincaré-invariant world *à la* Bargmann–Wigner philosophy) is labeled by the values of $-\mathbf{P}^2$ and \mathbf{W}^2 . We denote this representation as (m, s) and a state in this representation as $|m, s\rangle$, where m and s are the values of $-\mathbf{P}^2$ and \mathbf{W}^2 , respectively. We thus need to understand the physical significance of these Casimir elements.

The physical meaning of $-\mathbf{P}^2$ is clear: it determines the (bare) physical mass m of the corresponding particle via $-\mathbf{P}^2|m, s\rangle = m^2|m, s\rangle$. Depending on the value of $-\mathbf{P}^2$ (positive, zero, or negative), one has different unitary irreducible representations (massive, massless, and tachyonic, respectively).

The physical meaning of \mathbf{W}^2 is more subtle. Using $\mathbf{P}_\mu = (E, \mathbf{P}_i)$, \mathbf{J} (the rotation generators) with $\mathbf{J}_i := \varepsilon_i^{jk} \mathbf{J}_{jk}$, and \mathbf{K} (the boost generators) with $\mathbf{K}_i := \mathbf{J}_{0i}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_j] &= i\varepsilon_{ij}^k \mathbf{J}_k, \\ [\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{K}_j] &= i\varepsilon_{ij}^k \mathbf{K}_k, \\ [\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{K}_j] &= -i\varepsilon_{ij}^k \mathbf{J}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

we can write the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector in the component form

$$\mathbf{W}_0 = \mathbf{J}^i \mathbf{P}_i, \quad \mathbf{W}_i = E \mathbf{J}_i - (\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K})_i. \quad (2.32)$$

This form is suitable to extract some general lessons about representations of Poincaré algebra. In the following, we only consider massive representations.

Given a momentum $\mathbf{P}_\mu = (E, \mathbf{P}_i)$ one can always find a pure boost transformation $\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}$ which maps the rest frame momentum $\mathbf{P}_\mu^{\text{rest}} = (m, \mathbf{0})$ onto \mathbf{P}_μ , i.e. $(\mathbf{P}^{\text{rest}} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}})_\mu = \mathbf{P}_\mu$ and we use the notation $(\mathbf{P} \cdot \Lambda)_\nu := \mathbf{P}_\mu \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu$. Such a boost transformation is given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}})^0{}_0 &= \frac{E}{m}, & (\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}})^0{}_i &= \frac{\mathbf{P}_i}{m}, & (\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}})^i{}_0 &= \frac{\mathbf{P}^i}{m}, \\ (\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}})^i{}_j &= \delta^i_j + \frac{\mathbf{P}^i \mathbf{P}_j}{m(m + E)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

²¹This can also be seen by noting that for a general coordinate transformation Λ of Minkowski spacetime, we have $\mathbf{W}_{\mu'} = \det(\Lambda) \Lambda_{\mu'}{}^\mu \mathbf{W}_\mu$, which shows the axial nature of \mathbf{W}_μ .

Its inverse is given by $\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1} = \Lambda_{\widehat{\mathbf{P}}}$ where $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_\mu = (E, -\mathbf{P}_i)$ is the parity-reversed momentum. It is important to appreciate that in general, given a Lorentz transformation Λ we have that $\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}\Lambda$ differs from $\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}\cdot\Lambda}$ by a rotation

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}\Lambda = \mathbf{R}(\Lambda, \mathbf{P})\Lambda_{\mathbf{P}\cdot\Lambda}, \quad (2.34)$$

where $\mathbf{P}^{\text{rest}}\mathbf{R}(\Lambda, \mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{P}^{\text{rest}}$.

It turns out that the physical interpretation of the quartic Casimir (2.24) can be given in terms of the notion of spin. By definition, the spin generator of an algebra is the generator of its isotropy subalgebra. In the case of Poincaré algebra, the spin generator \mathbf{S}_i is defined as the spatial component of the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector in the rest frame. It is obtained by boosting the Pauli–Lubański vector into the rest frame [100, §7.2]

$$m\mathbf{S}_i := (\mathbf{W} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1})_i. \quad (2.35)$$

We find that

$$\mathbf{S}_i = \frac{1}{m} \left(\mathbf{W}_i - \frac{\mathbf{W}_0}{m+E} \mathbf{P}_i \right). \quad (2.36)$$

One can also verify that the spin vector corresponds to the rotation vector in the rest frame, namely

$$\varepsilon_i^{jk} \mathbf{J}_{jk}^{\text{rest}} = \varepsilon_i^{jk} (\mathbf{J} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1})_{jk} = \mathbf{S}_i. \quad (2.37)$$

From its definition or through a direct evaluation one can show that the spin vector commutes with \mathbf{P}_μ and satisfy the $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ algebra as expected²²

$$[\mathbf{P}_\mu, \mathbf{S}_i] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{S}_i, \mathbf{S}_j] = i\varepsilon_{ij}^k \mathbf{S}_k. \quad (2.38)$$

It can be also be checked that \mathbf{S}_i is preserved by rotations, i. e. $[\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{S}_j] = i\varepsilon_{ij}^k \mathbf{S}_k$ and that it is a pseudo-vector.²³ It is shown in [100, §7.2] that \mathbf{S} is uniquely determined by these conditions. On the other hand, the spin vector is not preserved under boost. One can check directly that $[\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{S}_j] \neq 0$. In particular, this means that $(0, \mathbf{S}_i)$ does not transform as a vector under Lorentz transformations as expected from the nontrivial precession (2.34). The spin vector is such that $\mathbf{W}^2 = m^2 \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}^i$. Since $\mathbf{S}^2 = \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}^i$ is the Casimir element for $\mathfrak{so}(3)$, its eigenvalues on an irreducible spin- s representation of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ ²⁴ are $s(s+1)$. Hence, we have

$$\mathbf{W}^2 |m, s\rangle = m^2 s(s+1) |m, s\rangle. \quad (2.39)$$

The upshot of the above discussion is that \mathbf{W}^2 as one of the Casimir elements of the Poincaré algebra provides an unambiguous definition of the spin of a particle in any special-relativistic system. Therefore, each elementary particle in such a system is distinguished by its mass and spin.

²²Since it is the isotropy subalgebra of Poincaré algebra for a generic massive momentum.

²³Note that orbital angular momentum $L_i = \varepsilon_i^{jk} x_j p_k$ is an axial vector under space reflection (taking into account that the Levi–Civita symbol is a tensor density of weight -1).

²⁴Here, we use the fact that irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ are spin- s representations of dimension $2s+1$.

Intrinsic angular momentum. The Poincaré algebra only contains momentum and angular momentum operators, and the spin generator is constructed purely in terms of them. If we extend the Poincaré algebra with the addition of a position operator x^μ conjugated to \mathbf{P}_μ , one can easily define now a translation invariant “intrinsic angular momentum”. The position operator x^μ can be understood as a translation Goldstone mode analogous to C described in §2.2, we can define the intrinsic angular momentum operator given by the difference between the total and orbital angular momentum

$$S_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu} - x_\mu \mathbf{P}_\nu - \mathbf{P}_\mu x_\nu. \quad (2.40)$$

This object is obviously translation invariant but it doesn’t have an algebraic interpretation since it depends on the position x . A canonical choice of position called Newton–Wigner (NW) position [106–109] is achieved if we demand that the spin-angular momentum is related to the spin-vector (2.36)

$$\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_i{}^{jk} S_{jk} = \mathbf{S}_i. \quad (2.41)$$

The NW position is uniquely defined by this requirement up to time translation $x_\mu \rightarrow x_\mu - \tau \mathbf{P}_\mu$. In the Newton–Wigner frame, we have that

$$\mathbf{K}_i = x_i \mathbf{P}_0 - \mathbf{P}_i x_0 + \frac{(\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{S})_i}{m + \mathbf{P}_0}. \quad (2.42)$$

What distinguishes the Newton–Wigner coordinates from any other choice of coordinates is that they are entirely determined by the momentum and angular momentum.²⁵ However the Newton–Wigner coordinates do not transform covariantly under boost.

Coadjoint orbits of Poincaré group. Up to now, we have explained the well-known representation theory of Poincaré algebra. However, beginning in §3.2, we work with coadjoint orbits of gravitational symmetry algebras. Therefore, as a warm-up to that study, we explain the coadjoint orbits of the Poincaré group. Here, we just collect the basic formulas and relegate all the details to Appendix B. For a discussion of coadjoint orbits of Poincaré group from a more general and abstract perspective see [77, §2.3].

We denote a basis for $\text{iso}(3, 1)$ and $\text{iso}(3, 1)^*$ as $\{\mathbf{P}_\mu, \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}\}$ and $\{\mathbf{X}^\mu, \mathbf{\Psi}^{\mu\nu}\}$, respectively. Generic elements $\mathbf{X} \in \text{iso}(3, 1)$ and $\mathbf{X}^* \in \text{iso}(3, 1)^*$ have the following expansions

$$\mathbf{X} = x^\mu \mathbf{P}_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \psi^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathbf{X}^* = p_\mu \mathbf{X}^\mu + \frac{1}{2} j_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{\Psi}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.43)$$

²⁵They are explicitly given by [107, 109]

$$x_\mu^{\text{NW}} = \frac{\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{P}^\nu + \mathbf{P}_{\text{rest}}^\nu)}{\mathbf{P} \cdot (\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}_{\text{rest}})}.$$

where (x, ψ) are Lie algebra coordinates, while (p, j) are dual Lie algebra coordinates and the pairing is simply

$$\langle \mathbf{X} | \mathbf{X}^* \rangle = x^\mu p_\mu + \psi^{\mu\nu} j_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.44)$$

The coadjoint actions of an element $g = (\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{a}) \in \text{Iso}(3, 1)$ on the dual coordinates $(p_\mu, j_{\mu\nu})$ are [80]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_g^* p_\mu &= (p \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda})_\mu, \\ \text{Ad}_g^* j_{\mu\nu} &= (\mathbf{\Lambda} \cdot j \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})_{\mu\nu} - [(p \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda})_\mu \mathbf{a}_\nu - \mathbf{a}_\mu (p \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda})_\nu]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

As $(p_\mu, j_{\mu\nu})$ can be thought of as a basis for linear functions on $\text{iso}(3, 1)^*$, we can compute the linear Poisson structure on $\text{iso}(3, 1)^*$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \{p_\mu, p_\nu\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= 0, & \{p_\mu, j_{\nu\rho}\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} p_\rho - \eta_{\mu\rho} p_\nu, \\ \{j_{\mu\nu}, j_{\rho\sigma}\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= \eta_{\mu\sigma} j_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\rho} j_{\nu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\rho} j_{\mu\sigma} - \eta_{\nu\sigma} j_{\mu\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

This familiar form is the classical analog of the Poincaré algebra (2.19).

Analogous to irreducible representations, which are distinguished by a complete set of Casimir elements, coadjoint orbits can be distinguished by the complete set of Casimir functions. The classical analogs of two Casimir elements $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_2(\text{iso}(3, 1))$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_4(\text{iso}(3, 1))$ of $\text{iso}(3, 1)$, defined in (2.23) and (2.24) respectively, are the two Casimir functions on coadjoint orbits of $\text{Iso}(3, 1)$, which are given by²⁶

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\text{iso}(3, 1)) := -\eta^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu, \quad \mathcal{C}_4(\text{iso}(3, 1)) := \eta^{\mu\nu} w_\mu w_\nu, \quad (2.47)$$

where

$$w_\mu := \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_\mu^{\nu\rho\sigma} p_\nu j_{\rho\sigma}, \quad (2.48)$$

is the dual²⁷ Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector (2.25), are invariant under the coadjoint action of $\text{Iso}(3, 1)$. (2.46) implies the classical analogs of (2.27), (2.26),

$$\{p_\mu, w_\nu\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} = 0, \quad \{j_{\mu\nu}, w_\rho\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} = \eta_{\mu\rho} w_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} w_\mu, \quad (2.49)$$

and (2.28)

$$\{w_\mu, w_\nu\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} p_\rho w_\sigma. \quad (2.50)$$

(2.46) and (2.49) imply that $\mathcal{C}_2(\text{iso}(3, 1))$ and $\mathcal{C}_4(\text{iso}(3, 1))$ are invariant under the coadjoint action (2.45), hence, generic coadjoint orbits of $\text{Iso}(3, 1)$, which are eight-dimensional, are labeled by the values of these functions.

²⁶Note that the Lie bracket of $\text{iso}(3, 1)$ encodes the adjoint action, the Lie Poisson structure on $\text{iso}(3, 1)^*$ encodes the coadjoint action. Since (2.46) is the classical version of (2.20), the proof of the invariance of these Casimir functions is the same as the construction of Casimir elements $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_2(\text{iso}(3, 1))$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_4(\text{iso}(3, 1))$ by using Poisson brackets (2.46) instead of Lie brackets (2.20).

²⁷Here, dual means an element of the coalgebra $\text{iso}(3, 1)^*$.

w_μ as a constant of motion. We would like to derive the evolution of w_μ . The motivation is to compare the result with the evolution of the analogous quantity for **gbms**, which we study in §7.2.2. This can be achieved by defining a set of coordinates on a coadjoint orbit of $\text{Iso}(3, 1)$. One can then show that w_μ is a constant of motion

$$\frac{dw_\mu}{d\tau} := \{w_\mu, H\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} = 0, \quad (2.51)$$

where the evolution in τ is determined through the Hamiltonian H . All the details can be found in Appendix B.2.

After a rather long de tour of the Poincaré algebra, we now move on to the main symmetry algebra of our interest, i.e. the generalized BMS algebra.

3 gbms algebra and Poincaré embeddings

In this section, we first describe in detail in §3.1 the algebraic aspects of the **gbms** algebra. To avoid functional analysis and representation theoretic questions, we present the analysis at the semi-classical level, i.e. at the level of coadjoint orbits, and study the **gbms** coadjoint action in §3.2. We also introduce in §3.3 the notion of intrinsic angular momentum charge, which has appeared in previous literature as a proposal to overcome some of the ambiguities reviewed above, and we derive its algebra. Finally, we explain in §3.4 how to embed the Poincaré algebra in **gbms**, which allows us to show the relationship of the **gbms** Casimirs with the usual notion of mass and spin associated with a Poincaré subalgebra. This analysis provides a precursory step in the program of asymptotic quantization of gravity in spacetimes with **gbms** as their asymptotic-symmetry group. For the sake of brevity, we sometime denote **gbms** as \mathfrak{g} and its dual **gbms**^{*} as \mathfrak{g}^* .

3.1 gbms: Algebra and Lie bracket

Let us start by reviewing the **gbms** algebra and its Lie bracket. This algebra was first proposed by Campiglia and Laddha to account for the subleading soft theorem from asymptotic symmetries and includes super-Lorentz transformations on the celestial sphere [43]. Since its introduction, it has been extensively studied [44, 45, 78, 110, 111] and shown to be the relevant symmetry algebra for the study of memory effects [112–114]. A first generalization of this algebra, allowing for local conformal rescaling of the 2D sphere metric, was introduced in [45]. This Weyl extension of the BMS algebra provides a useful tool, that we will exploit below, to classify the primary fields of the asymptotic symmetry group in terms of their conformal dimension and spin. Moreover, it allows us to recast the leading (in a large- r expansion) asymptotic dynamics in a compact and elegant form [79].

Afterwards, the **gbms** algebra was extended by a spin-2 generator realizing the sub-subleading symmetry [115], and then further generalized to include an entire tower of higher spin symmetry generators [116]. We now define this algebra and its Lie bracket.

The asymptotic ends of an asymptotically-flat spacetime includes spacelike infinity ι_0 , timelike infinity ι_{\pm} and null infinity \mathcal{I}_{\pm} . Null infinity has the topology of a trivial fiber bundle $\mathcal{I}_{\pm} = \mathbb{R} \times S$ over the celestial sphere S . Let us introduce Bondi coordinates $x^{\mu} = (u, r, \sigma^A)$ on spacetime where $y^a = (u, \sigma^A)$ denotes coordinates on \mathcal{I}_{+} . u is the retarded time labeling null outgoing geodesic congruences which intersect $2d$ spheres, r measures the sphere's radius along these geodesics and σ^A denote coordinates on the celestial sphere.²⁸ It is essential to note that \mathfrak{gbms} depends on the choice of a normalized reference area form on \mathcal{I}

$$\epsilon := \epsilon d^2\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{AB}d\sigma^A \wedge d\sigma^B, \quad \int_S \epsilon = 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Here ϵ denotes the two-form, ϵ denotes the density and ϵ_{AB} denotes the anti-symmetric two-form components. Importantly, ϵ is taken to be strictly positive.²⁹ The reference area form ϵ defines a choice of conformal frame at asymptotic infinity. It enters the definition of algebra as a structure constant and we denote $\mathfrak{gbms}_{\epsilon}$ the corresponding generalized BMS algebra. Note that by Moser Theorem [117], any two such area measure are related by a diffeomorphism.³⁰ This means that, while $\mathfrak{gbms}_{\epsilon}$ and $\mathfrak{gbms}_{\epsilon'}$ represent two *different* sub-algebras of \mathfrak{gbms} , they are canonically isomorphic.

The algebra $\mathfrak{gbms}_{\epsilon}$ depends on a pair (Y, T) , where $T(\sigma)$ is a function on S representing supertranslations and $Y = Y^A(\sigma)\partial_A$ is a vector field on S representing infinitesimal super-Lorentz transformations (infinitesimal diffeomorphisms in $\text{diff}(S)$). The data (Y, T) determine a spacetime vector field whose pullback on \mathcal{I}_{+} is given by

$$\xi_{(Y,T)} = T(\sigma)\partial_u + Y^A(\sigma)\partial_A + W_Y(\sigma)(u\partial_u - r\partial_r), \quad (3.2)$$

where W_Y , called the Weyl factor [45], is restricted to be proportional to the divergence of Y with respect to the given volume form

$$W_Y := \frac{1}{2}\text{div}_{\epsilon}(Y) = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{-1}\partial_A(\epsilon Y^A). \quad (3.3)$$

This expression for W_Y shows that it depends only on ϵ . From (3.2) it is direct to see that \mathfrak{gbms} has the following Lie bracket

$$[\xi_{(Y_1,T_1)}, \xi_{(Y_2,T_2)}]_{\mathfrak{gbms}} = \xi_{(Y_{12},T_{12})}, \quad (3.4)$$

²⁸Similarly on \mathcal{I}_{-} we chose advanced time coordinates (v, σ') .

²⁹As we will see §4.1, the isotropy subalgebra preserves a rescaled area form. The assumption of strict positivity of the area form enters the study of coadjoint orbits. This is analogous to the role of mass in the case of Poincaré algebra reviewed in §2.3, where mass can be positive (massive orbits), zero (massless orbits), and negative (tachyonic orbits). It would be interesting to work out the implications of zero and negative area forms. For a related discussion, see [77].

³⁰More precisely if ϵ and ϵ' are two such measure then there exist a sphere diffeomorphism $F : S \rightarrow S$ such that $\epsilon' = F^*\epsilon$.

with

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{12} &= [Y_1, Y_2]_S, \\ T_{12} &= (Y_1[T_2] - T_2 W_{Y_1}) - (Y_2[T_1] - T_1 W_{Y_2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Here, $[Y_1, Y_2]_S^A = (Y_1^B \partial_B Y_2^A - Y_2^B \partial_B Y_1^A)$ denotes the Lie bracket of vector fields on S and $Y[T] := Y^A \partial_A T$ denotes the action of a vector field on the function T . This means that the **gbms** Lie algebra can be written as a semi-direct sum

$$\mathbf{gbms} = \text{diff}(S) \oplus \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S. \quad (3.6)$$

As in §2.2, \mathbb{R}_Δ^S denotes the space of functions on the celestial sphere of conformal weight Δ and \oplus denotes the action

$$\delta_Y \phi_\Delta = Y[\phi_\Delta(\sigma)] + \Delta W_Y \phi_\Delta(\sigma), \quad (3.7)$$

of $\text{diff}(S)$ on \mathbb{R}_Δ^S . This action can be extended to the space of spin- s tensor fields³¹ of weight Δ , denoted as $V_{(\Delta, s)}$, with [115]

$$\delta_Y \mathcal{O}_{(\Delta, s)} = \mathcal{L}_Y[\mathcal{O}_{(\Delta, s)}] + (\Delta - s) W_Y \mathcal{O}_{(\Delta, s)}, \quad \forall \mathcal{O}_{(\Delta, s)} \in V_{(\Delta, s)}, \quad (3.8)$$

where \mathcal{L}_Y denotes the Lie derivative. With this notation, we have $T \in V_{(-1, 0)}$ and $Y \in V_{(-1, -1)}$.

We assume that the sphere is equipped with a 2D metric γ_{AB} compatible with ϵ , which means that the metric area form coincides with the given area form

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{\gamma}, \quad (3.9)$$

with $\gamma := \det(\gamma)$. The **gbms** algebra acts on the metric by conformal diffeomorphism.

$$\delta_{(Y, T)} \gamma_{AB} = \mathcal{L}_Y \gamma_{AB} - 2W_Y \gamma_{AB} = 2\mathcal{D}_{\langle A} Y_{B \rangle}, \quad (3.10)$$

where the angle bracket means that we take the symmetric traceless components and \mathcal{D}_A is the covariant derivative that preserves γ_{AB} , in terms of which the Weyl factor can simply be written as

$$W_Y = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{-1} \partial_A (\epsilon Y^A) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_A Y^A. \quad (3.11)$$

In particular, the transformation (3.10) shows that a supertranslation leaves the metric invariant. Moreover, it also makes explicit the fact that **gbms** preserves the area form

$$\delta_{(Y, T)} \sqrt{\gamma} = 0 = \delta_{(Y, T)} \epsilon. \quad (3.12)$$

This condition means that $\sqrt{\gamma} = \epsilon$ is a constant for the symmetry algebra, and \mathbf{gbms}_ϵ is an algebra, not an algebroid.

³¹By definition a spin s tensor is a symmetric and traceless covariant tensor $\tau_{A_1 \dots A_s}$, while a spin $-s$ tensor is a symmetric and traceless contravariant tensor $\tau^{A_1 \dots A_s}$.

We have seen that, by Moser Theorem, all \mathfrak{gbms}_ϵ algebras are canonically isomorphic. Therefore, we do not lose any information by fixing the reference area form. From now on we will work with $\epsilon = \mathring{\epsilon}$ being fixed to be the round sphere area form

$$\mathring{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1 + |z|^2)^2}, \quad (3.13)$$

where, as in §2.2, (z, \bar{z}) denotes the local complex coordinate on the sphere endowed with the round-sphere metric. We denote $\mathfrak{gbms} := \mathfrak{gbms}_{\mathring{\epsilon}}$ for $\mathring{\epsilon}$ given in (3.13).

The relation between \mathfrak{bms} and \mathfrak{gbms} . To connect with the previous section, we recall that \mathfrak{bms}_q is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{gbms}_ϵ which is characterized by the choice of a round sphere metric q_{AB} such that $R(q) = 2$ and such that $\sqrt{q} = \epsilon$. \mathfrak{bms}_q is obtained from the elements of \mathfrak{gbms} that preserve q , i. e. $(T, Y) \in \mathfrak{bms}_q$ if $\delta_{(T, Y)} q_{AB} = 0$. Explicitly, this means restricting the vector fields Y to be global conformal Killing vectors of the round sphere metric q_{AB} , namely

$$D_A Y_B + D_B Y_A = D_C Y^C q_{AB}, \quad (3.14)$$

where D_A is the covariant derivative that preserves q_{AB} . The subalgebra of these vector fields forms a finite dimensional algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. In [41, 118], Barnich and Troessaert proposed to promote this algebra to an infinite dimensional symmetry algebra called extended \mathfrak{bms} and denoted \mathfrak{ebms} by keeping the condition of local conformal Killing vectors (3.14), but allowing the vector fields to admit poles on S . We have that

$$\mathfrak{bms}_q \subset \mathfrak{ebms}_q \subset \mathfrak{gbms}. \quad (3.15)$$

Given two different round sphere metrics q and q' , there exists a sphere diffeomorphism $F \in \text{Diff}(S)$ such that $q' = F^*(q)$. Therefore, the algebras \mathfrak{bms}_q and $\mathfrak{bms}_{q'}$ are isomorphic, but they correspond to different sub-algebras of \mathfrak{gbms} . In particular one can easily see that \mathfrak{bms}_q and $\mathfrak{bms}_{q'}$ *do not commute* when $q \neq q'$. This situation is analogous to the choice of a rotation subgroup inside Lorentz. On the one hand, the rotation group $\text{SO}(3)$ is well-defined. On the other hand, there are infinite ways to view it as a subgroup of the Lorentz group labelled by the choice of a unit timelike vector t . As subgroups of the Lorentz group, $\text{SO}_t(3)$ and $\text{SO}_{t'}(3)$ are isomorphic but distinct and they do not commute.

In the following, when the round sphere metric representative is chosen to be the usual metric in spherical coordinates

$$\mathring{q}_{AB} d\sigma^A d\sigma^B = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2, \quad (3.16)$$

we use the label \mathring{q} .

3.2 gbms: Coadjoint action and charge algebra

Having collected the required background, we now study coadjoint actions and coadjoint orbits for gbms. As is well established [7, 8, 14–17, 119, 120], one way to construct the representations of any Lie algebra (subject to topological subtleties) is to first understand the coadjoint action of gbms on its dual gbms* and to describe its orbits. This is the subject of this section and §4.1. Let us emphasize again that the main reason we focus on gbms coadjoint orbits is that the orbits of bms are too degenerate to admit an interesting notion of spin [29].

The coadjoint action. We denote elements of gbms* by a pair of coordinates (m, j) , where m is a scalar dual to T and $j = j_A d\sigma^A$ is a one form dual to $Y = Y^A \partial_A$. m and j are called the *mass aspect* and the *angular momentum aspect*, respectively. Since gbms is a local group on the sphere, the canonical charges are realized as the integral of the charge aspects on the sphere

$$P_T := \int_S T m \epsilon, \quad J_Y := \int_S Y^A j_A \epsilon, \quad (3.17)$$

where ϵ is defined in (3.1). Note that these charges generate the coadjoint action of gbms on gbms*, which we make more precise below (see around (3.22)). The total charge is given by the sum of these charges. The canonical pairing $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : \text{gbms} \times \text{gbms}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\langle j, m | Y, T \rangle = P_T + J_Y. \quad (3.18)$$

As we will see in §7.1, the coadjoint-orbit angular momentum defined here through the canonical pairing is *half* the gravitational angular momentum.³² Using this pairing, we can define the infinitesimal coadjoint action of $(Y, T) \in \text{gbms}$ on $(j, m) \in \text{gbms}^*$ from

$$\langle \delta_{(Y_1, T_1)}(j, m) | Y_2, T_2 \rangle = -\langle j, m | Y_{12}, T_{12} \rangle. \quad (3.19)$$

Given this definition, one obtains that the coadjoint action reads (see Appendix C.1 for the calculation)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{(Y, T)} m &= Y^A \partial_A m + 3W_Y m, \\ \delta_{(Y, T)} j_A &= \mathcal{L}_Y j_A + 2W_Y j_A + \frac{3}{2} m \partial_A T + \frac{T}{2} \partial_A m, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_Y j_A = Y^B \mathcal{D}_B j_A + j_B \mathcal{D}_A Y^B$ is the Lie derivative of components of the one-form j . These transformation laws reveal that m and j_A are both of conformal weight 3

$$m \in V_{(3,0)}, \quad j_A \in V_{(3,1)}. \quad (3.21)$$

³²The normalization of the angular momentum is conventional and has varied over the years in the gravity literature. In recent years, the convention has settled down [61, 63, 115, 121]. If we require the coadjoint action to match the action on the gravitational angular momentum, one needs to choose $m = \frac{1}{4\pi G} m^{\text{Grav}}$ and $j_A = \frac{1}{8\pi G} j_A^{\text{Grav}}$. The numerical factors in the coadjoint action (3.20) match the ones obtained in [38].

This result, which is in agreement with [79, 115], ensures that the integrand $(Tm + Y^A j_A)$ of the pairing belongs to $V_{(2,0)}$, as $T \in V_{(-1,0)}$ and $Y \in V_{(-1,-1)}$. In other words, it is a scalar density that can be integrated over the sphere and it leads to a quantity invariant under diffeomorphism.

The gbms charge algebra. It is well-known that the dual of a Lie algebra is endowed with a canonical Poisson bracket [122–125] (see Appendix A). Due to the existence of this Lie–Poisson structure on \mathfrak{gbms}^* , we can define the change of an object on \mathfrak{gbms}^* using the charges (3.17). This provides the (classical) analog of (2.1). For any function $\mathcal{O} \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{gbms}^*)$, the coadjoint action of \mathfrak{gbms} on \mathfrak{gbms}^* is given by

$$\delta_{(Y,T)}\mathcal{O} := \{\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{P}_T + \mathbf{J}_Y\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}. \quad (3.22)$$

In particular, applying this formula to linear functions of the charges, we can read-off the \mathfrak{gbms} charge algebra readily from (3.20)

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{J}_{Y_1}, \mathbf{J}_{Y_2}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(j, m) &= \mathbf{J}_{[Y_1, Y_2]_S}, \\ \{\mathbf{J}_Y, \mathbf{P}_T\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(j, m) &= \mathbf{P}_{(Y[T] - TW_Y)}, \\ \{\mathbf{P}_{T_1}, \mathbf{P}_{T_2}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(j, m) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Note that the \mathfrak{gbms} algebra is analogous to the Poincaré algebra (2.20) where \mathbf{J}_Y and \mathbf{P}_T are the analogs of $\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}$ and \mathbf{P}_μ , respectively. One sees that the supertranslation generators \mathbf{P}_T commute, while the action of a super-angular momentum \mathbf{J}_Y on a supermomentum is a supermomentum with parameter $\delta_Y T = Y[T] - TW_Y$. This is the standard transformation for a weight -1 scalar that we already encountered. The same commutation relation can also be interpreted as the fact that the super-angular momentum is not invariant under supertranslation. This fact arises due to the semi-direct sum structure of the \mathfrak{gbms} algebra.

As a particular instance of (3.23), we can consider the linear Poisson brackets of the coordinates on \mathfrak{gbms}^* . They are given by (see Appendix C.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \{j_A(\sigma), j_B(\sigma')\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= j_A(\sigma')\partial_B\delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma') - j_B(\sigma)\partial'_A\delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma'), \\ \{j_A(\sigma), m(\sigma')\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= \frac{m(\sigma')}{2}\partial_A\delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma') - m(\sigma)\partial'_A\delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma'), \\ \{m(\sigma'), m(\sigma')\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

3.3 gbms: Supertranslation Goldstone mode

Before defining the spin generator for \mathfrak{gbms} in §4 by introducing the \mathfrak{gbms} isotropy algebra, let us revisit in our context the previous approaches in [63, 65, 66, 69] to the angular momentum problem in asymptotically-flat spacetimes based on the original proposal of [60, 90] and within the standard \mathfrak{bms} framework.

As mentioned in §2.2, the idea pursued in previous references to introduce the notion of a supertranslation invariant intrinsic angular momentum (2.17) requires the introduction of an electric supertranslation Goldstone, whose transformation properties are given by

$$\delta_T G = T, \quad \delta_Y G = (\mathcal{L}_Y - W_Y)G. \quad (3.25)$$

Let us consider that case where (Y, T) are vector fields generating **gbms** transformations. By definition, the shear associated to this Goldstone is purely electric and given by

$$C_{AB} = -2\mathcal{D}_{\langle A}\mathcal{D}_{B\rangle}G. \quad (3.26)$$

Given a Goldstone G , we can introduce the orbital angular momentum generator [63]

$$\mathsf{L}_Y := \mathsf{P}_{Y[G] - W_Y G} = \frac{1}{2} \int_S Y^A (3m \partial_A G + G \partial_A m), \quad (3.27)$$

and the intrinsic angular momentum is then defined as the difference between the total angular momentum and the orbital one as

$$\mathsf{l}_Y := \mathsf{J}_Y - \mathsf{L}_Y. \quad (3.28)$$

It is direct to check that this operator is translation invariant

$$\delta_T \mathsf{l}_Y = \{\mathsf{l}_Y, \mathsf{P}_T\} = 0. \quad (3.29)$$

In order to verify that this object has the desired properties, we need to check it satisfies the right algebra. In Appendix C.1, we verify first that the orbital momentum generator indeed transforms as a vector and it satisfies the $\text{diff}(S)$ algebra for **gbms** vector fields Y, Y' , namely

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathsf{L}_Y, \mathsf{J}_{Y'}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= \mathsf{L}_{[Y, Y']_S}, \\ \{\mathsf{L}_Y, \mathsf{L}_{Y'}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= \mathsf{L}_{[Y, Y']_S}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Given the first bracket in (3.23), this implies that also the intrinsic angular momentum generator represents the $\text{diff}(S)$ algebra through the **gbms*** linear Poisson structure (see Appendix C.1)

$$\{\mathsf{l}_Y, \mathsf{l}_{Y'}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \mathsf{l}_{[Y, Y']_S}. \quad (3.31)$$

As we show in §3.4, restricting to the BMS case as in [63], where the vector fields Y, Y' correspond to conformal Killing vectors, the Lie bracket of vector fields on S reduces to the Lorentz Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. The validity of the algebra (3.30) and (3.31) form a particularly interesting result which, up to our knowledge, was not derived before.

3.4 gbms: Poincaré embeddings

As Poincaré algebra is embedded in **gbms**, a natural task is to identify the charges generating the action of this algebra on **gbms**^{*}. The aim of this section is to construct the Poincaré charge algebra by first identifying the generators of this subalgebra, constructing the associated charges, and finally computing their brackets.

Symmetry-breaking pattern. We would like to show that the Poincaré embedding follows a symmetry-breaking pattern. More precisely, given a choice of round sphere metric³³ q_{AB} and angular momentum aspect j_A , we can uniquely identify a $\mathbf{bms}_{(j,q)}$ subalgebra of **gbms**. As the expressions (3.72) and (3.75) demonstrate, the choice of subalgebra generators depends on (j_A, q_{AB}) . Similarly, the data (m, j_A, q_{AB}) determine a choice of Poincaré subalgebra inside **gbms**. In summary, we have the embeddings

$$\mathbf{Poinc}_{(m,j,q)} \subset \mathbf{bms}_{(j,q)} \subset \mathbf{gbms}. \quad (3.32)$$

The embedding of the **bms** and Poincaré sub-algebras determines an equivalence class. Understanding the equivalence relation requires introducing the notion of *condensate*³⁴ modes (C_0, C_1^{AB}) , where C_0 is a scalar of dimension -1 while C_1^{AB} is symmetric traceless tensor of dimension 0. Given C_0 we denote

$$C_0^{AB} := -2D^{(A}D^{B)}C_0, \quad (3.33)$$

its symmetric traceless image of dimension $+1$. The equivalence relation is then described as follows. We say that $(m, j) \sim (m', j')$ if there exists (C_0, C_1^{AB}) such that

$$m' = m + D_{\langle A}D_{B\rangle}C_0^{AB}, \quad j'_A = j_A + D_{\langle A}D_B D_{C\rangle}C_1^{BC}, \quad (3.34)$$

where $\langle ABC \rangle$ denotes the symmetric traceless components. As we will see in §5, C_0 represents the supertranslation condensate while C_1^{AB} represents a super-Lorentz condensate. If $(m, j) \sim (m', j')$ then the Poincaré subalgebra is unchanged

$$\mathbf{Poinc}_{(m',j',q)} = \mathbf{Poinc}_{(m,j,q)}, \quad \mathbf{bms}_{(j',q)} = \mathbf{bms}_{(j,q)}. \quad (3.35)$$

An important point about the condensate is that it can be understood as a physical entity dual (or canonically conjugated) to the Goldstone modes. Let us illustrate this for supertranslations where the Goldstone operator is denoted by G and defined in §3.3. The action of the Goldstone operator on m modifies the condensate. The integrated Goldstone operator associated with the label c_0 , is defined as

$$G_{c_0} := \int_S c_0^{AB} D_{\langle A}D_{B\rangle}G. \quad (3.36)$$

³³We recall that q is compatible with the given volume form that defines $\mathbf{gbms} = \mathbf{gbms}_\epsilon$

³⁴In condensed matter the condensate operators are all the operations that commute with the Goldstone operator [126, 127].

The canonical action of this operator changes the supertranslation condensates

$$\{G_{c_0}, m\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = D_{\langle A D_B \rangle} c_0^{AB}. \quad (3.37)$$

This corresponds to the shift $C_0 \rightarrow C_0 + c_0$. At the quantum level this means that quantum states $|p\rangle$ and $e^{i\widehat{G}_{c_0}}|p\rangle$ carry the same value of the broken symmetry charges \widehat{p}^μ , but correspond to different condensates shifted by c_0 .

The analysis presented here shows that the condensate modes C_0 and C_1^{AB} represent respectively the geometry of the quotient spaces

$$\text{bms/Poinc}, \quad \text{gbms/bms}. \quad (3.38)$$

The total quotient group gbms/Poinc labels the different Poincaré vacua inside gbms . The perspective connecting the condensate operators (C_0, C_1^{AB}) to a symmetry breaking mechanism has been developed by Kapec et al. in [128–131]. As we will see in §5, there is a deep connection between the condensates and the soft factors appearing in the soft theorems.

3.4.1 Poincaré embedding inside gbms

With this conceptual characterization of the embedding pattern in mind, let us analyze its realization in more detail. In this section, we provide an explicit construction of the Poincaré generators. To achieve this goal, a first natural question is whether the restriction to a particular round metric \mathring{q} is necessary, and what happens when we change from the given round sphere metric to another one $q = F^*(\mathring{q})$. Moreover we also investigate whether choosing a round sphere metric is necessary at all to define Poincaré generators. We find that any choice of metric is admissible. This question arises since, let us recall, in order to define the GBMS group, we only introduced an area form, but no metric was needed (see §3.1).

More precisely, we can define the Poincaré subalgebra of gbms associated to any given non-round sphere metric γ , and this corresponds to the same Poincaré subalgebra one can associate to a general round sphere metric $q = F^*(\mathring{q})$, with $F : S \rightarrow S$ an orientation preserving diffeomorphism of the sphere, satisfying $R(q) = 2$ but not associated with a specific choice of spherical coordinates. In fact, the condition $R(q) = 2$ is invariant under $\text{diff}(S)$, so we can extend the standard BMS symmetry group to include a more general choice of sphere coordinates. The diffeomorphism F labels different embeddings of bms into gbms . While this last fact might be well known by the experts in the field, we have not seen it explained in some detail anywhere; that is why, in our attempt to be as pedagogical as possible, we are detailing in the following a clear explanation of this point.³⁵

Therefore, we will proceed in two steps. We are first going to show that bms_γ depends only on the conformal equivalence class of γ and is therefore given by bms_q , for q a round sphere

³⁵The relevance of this discussion was also revealed to us in discussion with A. Rignon-Bret and S. Speziale (see also [132]).

metric in that class. This ensures that the Lorentz sectors associated with the two metrics match; we then introduce a definition of translation generators invariant under conformal rescalings, which guarantees that $\text{Poinc}_\gamma = \text{Poinc}_q$. In a second step, we explicitly construct the generators of Poinc_q , by parametrizing a general round sphere metric $q = F^*(\mathring{q})$ in terms of null vectors defining an embedding of the 2-sphere into Minkowski space.

gbms and sphere metrics. Let us start with some preliminaries on non-round sphere metrics. Given a metric γ_{AB} on the sphere we denote $\gamma = \det(\gamma_{AB})$ its area form. We also denote \mathcal{D}_A its covariant derivative, $R(\gamma)$ its curvature tensor and $T_{AB}(\gamma)$ its stress tensor. $T_{AB}(\gamma)$ is defined as the unique symmetric traceless tensor that satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}_B T_A^B(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_A R(\gamma) = 0 \quad (3.39)$$

This tensor appears as the traceless component of the Geroch tensor [75, 79, 110].³⁶ The gbms condition (3.12) imposes that

$$\sqrt{\gamma} = \mathring{\epsilon} \quad (3.40)$$

where $\mathring{\epsilon}$ is the given area form that defines $\text{gbms} = \text{gbms}_\mathring{\epsilon}$. Given such γ_{AB} , there exists a round sphere metric q_{AB} and a conformal factor ϕ such that

$$\gamma_{AB} = e^{-2\phi} q_{AB}, \quad e^{2\phi} = \frac{\sqrt{\det q}}{\mathring{\epsilon}}, \quad R(q) = 2. \quad (3.41)$$

The second equality shows that $\phi = \phi_q$ is uniquely characterized by the choice of round sphere metric q . Since the stress tensor of a round sphere metric vanishes, the conformal rescaling for the curvature and stress tensor implies that³⁷

$$T_{AB}(e^{-2\phi} q) = -2e^{-\phi} D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} e^\phi, \quad R(e^{-2\phi} q) = 2e^{2\phi} (1 + \Delta\phi). \quad (3.43)$$

The action (3.10) of $\text{Diff}(S)$ on γ_{AB} is extended to the pair (ϕ, q_{AB}) as

$$\delta_Y \phi = Y[\phi] - W_Y, \quad \delta_Y q_{AB} = \mathcal{L}_Y q_{AB}. \quad (3.44)$$

This implies the following transformations for the stress tensor

$$\delta_Y T_{AB}(\gamma) = \mathcal{L}_Y T_{AB} + \mathcal{D}_{\langle A} \mathcal{D}_{B \rangle} W_Y. \quad (3.45)$$

Note that the condition (3.41) does not uniquely determine the metric q_{AB} . A rescaling $q_{AB} \rightarrow e^{-2\varphi} q_{AB}$ where φ is solution of the equations

$$D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} e^\varphi = 0, \quad (1 + \Delta\varphi) = e^{-2\varphi}, \quad (3.46)$$

³⁶The Geroch tensor is $\rho_{AB} = \frac{q_{AB}}{2} R - T_{AB}$.

³⁷If q is an arbitrary metric we have more generally that (see App. D)

$$T_{AB}(e^{-2\phi} q) = T_{AB}(q) - 2e^{-\phi} D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} e^\phi, \quad R(e^{-2\phi} q) = e^{2\phi} (R(q) + 2\Delta\phi). \quad (3.42)$$

with D_A the round sphere covariant derivative, is admissible. A way to fix this ambiguity and achieve (3.44) is to choose a reference round sphere metric and parametrise γ in terms of $F \in \text{Diff}(S)$ by demanding that $\gamma_{AB} = e^{-2\phi} F^*(\mathring{q}_{AB})$. This amounts to choosing $\varphi_{\mathring{q}} = 0$.

What is Poinc_γ ? In order to answer this question, we first provide the general definition of Poinc_γ as the set of translations and Lorentz transformations generated by the element $T \in V_{(-1,0)}$ and $Y \in V_{(-1,-1)}$ solutions of

$$\mathcal{D}_A(\gamma_{BC}Y^C) + \mathcal{D}_B(\gamma_{AC}Y^C) = \gamma_{AB}(\mathcal{D}_C Y^C), \quad \left(\mathcal{D}_{\langle A} \mathcal{D}_{B\rangle} + \frac{1}{2} T_{AB}(\gamma) \right) T = 0. \quad (3.47)$$

As we are going to see in more detail below, this space is 4-dimensional [75] and it forms a Poincaré subalgebra of \mathfrak{gbms} . In particular, given $(T_1, Y_1), (T_2, Y_2) \in \text{Poinc}_\gamma$ then $[(T_1, Y_1), (T_2, Y_2)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Poinc}_\gamma$.

We now want to use this definition (3.47) to relate Poinc_γ to Poinc_q . First of all, it is easy to see that if Y is a conformal Killing vector (CKV) of q_{AB} , solution of $\mathcal{L}_Y q_{AB} = (D_C Y^C) q_{AB}$, it is a CKV of γ_{AB} with a shifted Weyl factor. More precisely, from the definition of the divergence we have

$$\mathcal{D}_C Y^C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \partial_C (e^{-2\phi} \sqrt{q} Y^C) = D_C Y^C - 2Y^C \partial_C \phi. \quad (3.48)$$

From this, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_Y \gamma_{AB} = \mathcal{L}_Y (e^{-2\phi} q_{AB}) = e^{-2\phi} q_{AB} (D_C Y^C - 2Y^C \partial_C \phi) = \gamma_{AB} \mathcal{D}_C Y^C. \quad (3.49)$$

Hence, while the action of GBMS maps the reference round sphere metric \mathring{q} onto $\gamma_{AB} = e^{-2\phi} F^*(\mathring{q}_{AB})$, we have that $\mathfrak{bms}_\gamma = \mathfrak{bms}_{F^*\mathring{q}}$. This way, we can label the \mathfrak{bms} subgroups of \mathfrak{gbms} by the space of round sphere metrics $q = F^*(\mathring{q})$. This means that, for a given round sphere metric q_{AB} , the generators of the Lorentz subalgebra of \mathfrak{bms}_q identify as well the Lorentz embedding in \mathfrak{gbms} .

Next, in terms of the metric $q = F^*(\mathring{q})$, the second condition in (3.47) is given by

$$\left(\mathcal{D}_{\langle A} \mathcal{D}_{B\rangle} + \frac{1}{2} T_{AB}(\gamma) \right) T = e^{-\phi} D_{\langle A} D_{B\rangle} (e^\phi T) = 0, \quad (3.50)$$

The first equality is shown in App. D where we used $T_{AB}(q) = 0$. Therefore, the translation sectors associated to the two metrics coincide as well and thus

$$\text{Poinc}_\gamma = \text{Poinc}_q = F(\text{Poinc}_{\mathring{q}}) \quad (3.51)$$

under the map $(Y, T) \rightarrow (Y, e^\phi T)$. From this we see that the space of solution is 4-dimensional: A general solution is labelled by four constants X_μ and reads

$$T = e^{-\phi} X_\mu (\mathring{n}^\mu \circ F) \quad (3.52)$$

where $\mathring{n}^0 = 1$ and \mathring{n}^i are the component of the unit vector

$$\mathring{n}^i := (\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta). \quad (3.53)$$

Spherical metrics and vectors. Having identified the Poincaré algebra embedded in gbms with Poinc_q , we want to provide next an explicit construction of its generators. To do so it will prove convenient to parametrized the round metric q in terms of its embedding in 3D Euclidean space given, for a set of two intrinsic coordinates σ^A on the sphere, by the map

$$\iota : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \sigma^A \rightarrow n^i(\sigma), \quad n^i n_i = 1. \quad (3.54)$$

Such an embedding can be related to the canonical embedding \hat{i} with image \hat{n}^i by a diffeomorphism $F = \hat{i}^{-1} \circ \iota$. This implies that

$$n^i = R^i_j(\hat{n}^j \circ F). \quad (3.55)$$

where R is a rotation matrix.

We can then extend the embedding to Minkowski space introducing a pair of null vectors n, \bar{n} such that

$$n \cdot n = 0, \quad n \cdot \bar{n} = -2, \quad \bar{n} \cdot \bar{n} = 0; \quad (3.56)$$

these can be written as $n^\mu := \alpha(1, n^i)$ and $\bar{n}^\mu := \alpha^{-1}(1, -n^i)$. Without loss of generality, we can take $\alpha = 1$ so that

$$\partial_A(n + \bar{n}) = 0. \quad (3.57)$$

A general embedding is then defined in terms of the two space-like embedding frame fields [92] e_A^μ and a 2-sphere metric given by

$$e_A^\mu := \partial_A n^\mu, \quad q_{AB} := e_A^\mu e_B^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (3.58)$$

The two frames e_A form, together with the two null vectors, a basis of Minkowski space. In particular, $n_\mu e_A^\mu = 0 = \bar{n}_\mu e_A^\mu$, and the inverse 2-sphere metric is mapped to the rank two symmetric tensor

$$q^{\mu\nu} = e_A^\mu e_B^\nu q^{AB} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(n^\mu \bar{n}^\nu + \bar{n}^\mu n^\nu). \quad (3.59)$$

From the determinant definition, we obtain the identity

$$\epsilon_q^{AB} e_A^\mu e_B^\nu = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{n}^\rho n^\sigma, \quad (3.60)$$

where $\epsilon_q^{AB} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon^{AB}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor.

In Appendix C.1 we show that the frame field satisfies the following key compatibility condition

$$D_B e_A^\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{n}^\mu - n^\mu) q_{AB}, \quad (3.61)$$

from which we extract that

$$D^A D_A n^\mu = \bar{n}^\mu - n^\mu, \quad D_{\langle A} D_B \rangle n^\mu = 0. \quad (3.62)$$

Furthermore, (3.60) together with (3.61) imply the identity

$$n^\mu \partial_A n^\nu - n^\nu \partial_A n^\mu = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} n^\rho \epsilon_A{}^B \partial_B n^\sigma. \quad (3.63)$$

We can think of these relations as a connection between intrinsic coordinates σ^A and embedding coordinates n^μ for a general round sphere metric. We also see that n^μ is by construction, a basis of solution of (3.50) for the metric q in (3.58).

One can directly check that the 2-sphere metric (3.58) has scalar curvature $R(q) = 2$. To do so, we can apply the general identity for a 2D metric

$$[D_A, D_B] V^A = \frac{R}{2} V_B \quad (3.64)$$

to the 2D vectors $q^{AC} e_C^\mu$; this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R(q)}{2} e_B^\mu &= q^{AC} [D_A, D_B] e_C^\mu = \frac{q^{AC}}{2} [D_A(\bar{n}^\mu - n^\mu) q_{BC} - D_B(\bar{n}^\mu - n^\mu) q_{AC}] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_B(\bar{n}^\mu - n^\mu) = e_B^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (3.65)$$

from which we get that $R(q) = 2$.

3.4.2 Lorentz and Poincaré charge algebras

We now write down the charges which generate the coadjoint action of a Lorentz and Poincaré sub-algebras of gbms on gbms*.

The Lorentz charge algebra. To define the generator of a Lorentz subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$ of gbms, we use the null vectors

$$n^\mu = (1, n^i), \quad \bar{n}^\mu = (1, -n^i). \quad (3.66)$$

These satisfy the scalar products (3.56). The identities (3.62) for the unit vectors become the differential qualities

$$\Delta n^i = -2n^i, \quad D_{\langle A} D_B \rangle n^i = 0, \quad (3.67)$$

where $\Delta = D_A D^A$ denotes the sphere Laplacian associated with the round metric q . These express the fact that n^i spans the $\ell = 1$ spherical harmonics. Similarly, the identities (3.59), (3.60), (3.63) for the null vector spatial components yield respectively

$$\begin{aligned} q^{AB} \partial_A n^i \partial_B n^j &= \eta^{ij} - n^i n^j, & \epsilon_q^{AB} \partial_A n^i \partial_B n^j &= \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k n^k, \\ n^i \partial_A n^j - n^j \partial_A n^i &= \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \epsilon_A{}^B \partial_B n^k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.68)$$

The vector field associated with a Lorentz transformation Λ is given by [92, 121]

$$Y_\Lambda^A = -\Lambda^{\mu\nu} n_\mu \partial^A \bar{n}_\nu = (\Lambda^k q^{AB} + \tilde{\Lambda}^k \epsilon_q^{AB}) \partial_B n_k \quad (3.69)$$

where $\Lambda^k := \Lambda^{0k}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}^k := \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{ij} \epsilon_{ij}{}^k$ denote the boost and rotation components respectively. This means that the vector fields generating the rotation and boost are given by [63, 88, 121]

$$\tilde{Y}_i^A := \epsilon_q^{AB} \partial_B n_i, \quad Y_i^A := q^{AB} \partial_B n_i. \quad (3.70)$$

These vectors satisfy the conformal Killing property (3.14). Their divergences are

$$D_A \tilde{Y}_i^A = 0, \quad D_A Y_i^A = -2n_i. \quad (3.71)$$

Hence, by substituting³⁸ $Y_{\mu\nu}^A = -n_{[\mu} \partial^A \bar{n}_{\nu]}$ into the charge J_Y in (3.17), we define the charge generating the coadjoint action of a Lorentz subalgebra as

$$J_{\mu\nu} := \int_S Y_{\mu\nu}^A j_A \epsilon. \quad (3.72)$$

The rotation J_i and boost K_i generators are therefore given by substituting (3.70) in (3.72)

$$J_i := \int_S j_A \epsilon_q^{AB} \partial_B n_i \epsilon, \quad K_i := \int_S j_A q^{AB} \partial_B n_i \epsilon. \quad (3.73)$$

These generators satisfy the Lorentz charge algebra (see Appendix C.3 for the derivation)

$$\begin{aligned} \{J_i, J_j\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= -\varepsilon_{ij}{}^k J_k, \\ \{J_i, K_j\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= -\varepsilon_{ij}{}^k K_k, \\ \{K_i, K_j\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= +\varepsilon_{ij}{}^k J_k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.74)$$

The meaning of these relations is that (J_i, K_i) are the charges generating the coadjoint action of a Lorentz subalgebra of \mathfrak{gbms} .³⁹

The Poincaré charge algebra. The analog of four-momentum $P_\mu := (E, P_i)$ is given by

$$P_\mu := \int_S n_\mu m \epsilon, \quad (3.75)$$

³⁸The definition given here is consistent with the definition $\mathbf{K}_i := \mathbf{J}_{0i}$

³⁹The coadjoint action of the full \mathfrak{gbms} charge algebra is (3.23) for the charges (3.17). (3.73) is the specialization of those charges for particular choices, given in (3.70), of the vector field Y^A in (3.17)

$$J_i = J_{\tilde{Y}_i}, \quad K_i = J_{Y_i}, \quad J_{\mu\nu} = J_{Y_{\mu\nu}}.$$

Since the vector fields in (3.70) generate the Lorentz algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$, (3.73) are generating the coadjoint action of $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$ on \mathfrak{gbms}^* .

satisfying (which is a trivial consequence of $\delta_T m = 0$ (3.20) or equivalently the last bracket in (3.24))

$$\{P_\mu, P_\nu\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = 0. \quad (3.76)$$

Furthermore, we have (see Appendix C.3)

$$\{P_\mu, J_{\nu\rho}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \eta_{\mu\nu} P_\rho - \eta_{\mu\rho} P_\nu. \quad (3.77)$$

Taking into account (3.76) and (3.77) enhances the Lorentz charge algebra (3.74) to that of Poincaré algebra $\text{iso}(3, 1)$. These are the charges that generate the coadjoint action of $\text{iso}(3, 1)$ on \mathfrak{gbms}^* . It should be clear by now that the charges associated with different round sphere metrics corresponds to different Poincaré generators inside GBMS labelled by the vector $n^\mu = \hat{n}^\mu \circ F$.

Having obtained the Poincaré charges and their algebra for a Poincaré embedding inside \mathfrak{gbms} , the natural next step is to define the notion of Pauli–Lubański generator (hence the spin) for such an embedding. We take up this task in §6.1 after providing the ground in §4.2 and §5.2.2.

4 \mathfrak{gbms} coadjoint orbits and spin generator

In §3.2, we derived the coadjoint action of \mathfrak{gbms} (see (3.20)). The natural next step is studying the orbits of this action, which we do in §4.1 and it involves the construction of the invariants of a typical coadjoint orbit. A crucial role in the construction of these invariants is played by the notion of vorticity. Using this notion, and under the hypothesis that the mass aspect is positive, we then construct the generator of the isotropy subalgebra of \mathfrak{gbms} for a generic $m \in (\mathbb{R}_{-1})^*$, which furthermore turns out to be supertranslation-invariant. Hence, in §4.2 we naturally identify it as the spin generator for \mathfrak{gbms} .

4.1 \mathfrak{gbms} : Coadjoint orbits

Having the coadjoint action (3.20) of \mathfrak{gbms} at hand, we can study its coadjoint orbits. The coadjoint orbits are labelled by \mathfrak{gbms}^* Casimirs. These are the functionals of (j, m) which are invariant under the coadjoint action (3.20) and therefore represent the orbit invariants. When quantized, they provide labels for the representations of the quantum algebra.

The first remark is that the structure of the algebra \mathfrak{gbms} is similar to the symmetry algebra of 2-dimensional barotropic fluids [133–136]

$$\mathfrak{h} := \text{diff}(S) \oplus \mathbb{R}_0^S. \quad (4.1)$$

The key difference is that the conformal dimension of the normal factor \mathbb{R}_0^S is 0 (unlike the case of \mathfrak{gbms} , which is -1). The variables parametrizing the dual Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}^* are the fluid density $\rho \in V_{(2,0)}$ and the fluid densitized momentum $p_A \in V_{(1,1)}$. The Casimirs for this algebra have been constructed explicitly [77]. They are given by the *enstrophies* which are moments of the vorticity, defined by the two-form $\mathbf{w}_{\text{fluid}} := dp$, where $p = p_A d\sigma^A$.

The vorticity for gbms. To exploit these results, the strategy is to construct quantities in gbms^* that behave as ρ and p_A . We notice that (1) m has conformal dimension $+3$ and hence $m^{2/3}$ (like ρ) is in $V_{(2,0)}$; and (2) j_A has conformal dimension $+3$ and hence $j_A/m^{2/3}$ (like p_A) belongs to $V_{(1,1)}$. Hence, we identify the fluid-type variables (ρ, p_A) for gbms as

$$\rho := m^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad p_A := \rho^{-1} j_A. \quad (4.2)$$

This map can be inverted

$$m = \rho^{3/2}, \quad j_A = \rho p_A. \quad (4.3)$$

For this to hold, we have to assume that $m > 0$,⁴⁰ i.e. the mass aspect $m = m(\sigma)$ is a strictly positive function on S . From now on, we make this assumption.

Using the procedure developed in [77], we need to construct the analogue of vorticity in the case of gbms . This can be achieved as follows. From the transformations (3.20), we can easily see that ρ is (1) invariant under supertranslations and (2) is a density under $\text{diff}(S)$

$$\delta_T \rho = 0, \quad \delta_Y \rho = \mathcal{D}_A(\rho Y^A), \quad (4.4)$$

where $\delta_T := \delta_{(0,T)}$ and $\delta_Y := \delta_{(Y,0)}$. On the other hand, p_A transforms as a one form under diffeomorphism and in a simple manner under supertranslation (see Appendix C.2)

$$\delta_T p_A = \frac{3}{2} \partial_A(\sqrt{\rho} T), \quad \delta_Y p_A = \mathcal{L}_Y p_A. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that $\sqrt{\rho} T \in V_{(0,0)}$ is a dimensionless scalar. To define the vorticity, let us recall that ϵ_{AB} is the tensor that defines the sphere measure

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{\gamma} d^2 \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AB} d\sigma^A \wedge d\sigma^B, \quad (4.6)$$

where the right-hand side denotes the 2-form on S corresponding to the normalized density ϵ (3.1).⁴¹ The inverse of ϵ_{AB} is denoted ϵ^{AB} . It satisfies $\epsilon^{AC} \epsilon_{BC} = \delta^A_B$ and it can be obtained by raising indices $\epsilon^{AB} = \gamma^{AA'} \gamma^{BB'} \epsilon_{A'B'}$ with respect to the metric γ , which is compatible with ϵ in (4.6). We can now define the vorticity as

$$w := \rho^{-1} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A p_B = m^{-\frac{7}{3}} \left(m \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A j_B - \frac{2}{3} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A m j_B \right). \quad (4.7)$$

From this definition, together with (4.4) and (4.5), one obtains (see Appendix C.2)

$$\delta_T w = 0, \quad \delta_Y w = Y[w]. \quad (4.8)$$

⁴⁰Since we are taking a square root and ρ is always positive. It would be interesting to investigate the consequences of zero and negative mass aspects for our analysis.

⁴¹Since S is an orientable manifold, 1-densities, as sections of the density bundle on S , can be canonically identified with non-zero 2-forms, as sections of the second exterior power of T^*S . We assume that this identification between densities and two-forms is understood in the rest of the paper.

The first identity expresses the fact that the vorticity w is invariant under supertranslation. The second equality expresses the fact that $w \in V_{(0,0)}$ transforms as a scalar. Indeed terms as $m\epsilon^{AB}\partial_A j_B$ are scalars of dimension 7.⁴² This is offset by the factor $m^{-\frac{7}{3}}$. This key result is the fundamental property that we were looking for and allows us to define the spin aspect for **gbms**.

Orbit invariants for gbms. We can now easily construct the Casimir functionals for **gbms**. They are given by all the moments of the vorticity

$$C_n(\text{gbms}) := \int_S w^n \rho \epsilon. \quad (4.9)$$

The fact that they are invariant under **gbms** can be easily seen (see Appendix C.2)

$$\delta_{(Y,T)} C_n(\text{gbms}) = \int_S \partial_A (w^n \rho Y^A \epsilon) = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Therefore, these generic real values of these invariants provide the labels for coadjoint orbits of **gbms**. This completes our construction of Casimir functionals on coadjoint orbits of **gbms**.

Supertranslation orbits. One distinguished feature of the angular momentum aspect j_A is its non-trivial transformation under a supertranslation, as (3.20) implies. Under a supertranslation with parameter T , j_A shift to j'_A where

$$j'_A - j_A = \frac{3}{2} m \partial_A T + \frac{T}{2} \partial_A m. \quad (4.11)$$

These equations can be written in a simpler form by multiplying the both sides with $\frac{2}{3} m^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ and rearranging the equality. The result is

$$\frac{2}{3} m^{-\frac{2}{3}} (j' - j) = d(m^{\frac{1}{3}} T), \quad (4.12)$$

where we denote $j = j_A d\sigma^A$ and we recall that the parameter $m^{-\frac{1}{3}} T \in V_{(0,0)}$ is a scalar. In this relation, $d := d\sigma^A \partial_A$ is the de-Rham differential on S , satisfying $d^2 = 0$. This means that the translation orbits are labelled by the vorticity 2-form

$$w_j := d(m^{-\frac{2}{3}} j) = m^{\frac{2}{3}} w \epsilon. \quad (4.13)$$

This means that two elements j , and j' in the same translation orbit, if $w_j = w_{j'}$. Equivalently this means that we have the consistency relation

$$d\left(m^{-\frac{2}{3}} (j' - j)\right) = 0, \quad (4.14)$$

⁴²The spatial derivative ∂_A raises the conformal dimension by +1.

which follows from applying d to both sides of (4.14). The supertranslation parameter T relating \mathbf{j} and \mathbf{j}' can be determined through (4.12) if and only if (4.14) is satisfied. This, in particular, means that not any two angular momentum aspects \mathbf{j} and \mathbf{j}' can be related through a supertranslation.

Having (4.14) in mind, (4.12) can be integrated to determine T . It is given up to an overall constant as

$$T(\sigma) = m(\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left((m^{\frac{1}{3}}T)(\sigma_0) + \frac{2}{3} \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} m^{-\frac{2}{3}}(\mathbf{j}' - \mathbf{j}) \right), \quad (4.15)$$

where σ_0 denotes a reference point on the sphere and the integration in the second term can be done over an *arbitrary curve*⁴³ between σ_0 and a generic point labelled by σ .

4.2 gbms: The spin generator

As we have seen in our brief recap of the construction of Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector, which gives the definition of spin angular momentum of the Poincaré algebra in (2.36), a prominent role is played by the isotropy algebra of the Poincaré algebra. It was defined as the subalgebra of the Lorentz algebra that preserves a fixed three-momentum. As we have seen, for a massive particle,⁴⁴ this is the subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ generated by the spin element, which is invariant under translations and it corresponds to the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector in the rest frame. Our aim in this section is to repeat this exercise for gbms: we first determine the isotropy subalgebra of gbms. The knowledge of isotropy subalgebra would help us to construct its generators, the spin generator. We defer the task of constructing an analog of the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector for gbms to §6.1. The outcome of the construction will be an object whose phase space counterpart is the spin charge on the asymptotic phase space of asymptotically-flat spacetimes with gbms as their asymptotic symmetry group. We construct this phase space quantity in §7.2.1.

The isotropy subalgebra for gbms. To determine the isotropy (or little) algebra of gbms, we choose a generic⁴⁵ $m \in (\mathbb{R}_{-1})^*$, and study those gbms transformations that preserves m ,

⁴³For those \mathbf{j} , \mathbf{j}' , and m satisfying (4.14), Stokes Theorem guarantees that

$$\oint_{\Gamma} \left(m^{-\frac{2}{3}} (\mathbf{j}' - \mathbf{j}) \right) = 0,$$

where Γ is any arbitrary possibly non-simple closed curve on S , which is due to S being simply-connected. It then follows that the integral in (4.15) is independent of the curve between initial and final points. It of course depends on the endpoints, as the notation in (4.15) indicates.

⁴⁴The analog of mass in our situation is m and the fact that we are working with $m > 0$ is the analog of massiveness for the Poincaré algebra.

⁴⁵By generic, we mean a generic function $m = m(\sigma) = m(z, \bar{z})$. There are more specialized choices such as constant m or $m(|z|)$, which would affect the corresponding isotropy algebra.

i.e. $\delta_{(Y,T)}m = 0$. Hence, by definition, the isotropy subalgebra of **gbms** associated with a given mass aspect m is given by a pair (Y, T) such that

$$\delta_{(Y,T)}m = Y^A \partial_A m + 3W_Y m = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

which can be written as

$$Y^A \partial_A m + \frac{3}{2} m \mathcal{D}_A Y^A = \frac{3m^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2} \mathcal{D}_A \left(m^{\frac{2}{3}} Y^A \right) = \frac{3\sqrt{\rho}}{2} \operatorname{div}_\rho(Y) = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

where we have defined a rescaled measure

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} := \rho \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = m^{\frac{2}{3}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad (4.18)$$

and $\operatorname{div}_\rho(Y) := \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_A (\rho Y^A)$ is the divergence of Y with respect to the measure $\boldsymbol{\rho}$. Since we have assumed that $m > 0$, this relation shows that the isotropy subalgebra of **gbms** is the one that preserves $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, namely (compare with the isotropy subalgebra of the Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$)

$$\mathfrak{iso}(\mathbf{gbms}) = \mathfrak{sdiff}_\rho(S) \oplus \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S, \quad (4.19)$$

where $\mathfrak{sdiff}_\rho(S)$ is the subalgebra of area-preserving diffeomorphisms that preserves the measure $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ (rather than the round-sphere area form $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$). This subalgebra is generated by vector fields of the form

$$Y_\chi := -\rho^{-1} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \chi \partial_B, \quad \epsilon^{AB} = \frac{\epsilon^{AB}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}, \quad \chi \in C^\infty(S). \quad (4.20)$$

The Lie bracket of these vector fields is (see Appendix C.2)

$$[Y_\chi, Y_\psi]_S = Y_{\{\chi, \psi\}_\rho}, \quad (4.21)$$

where

$$\{\chi, \psi\}_\rho := \rho^{-1} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \chi \partial_B \psi \quad (4.22)$$

is the Poisson bracket on S given by the inverse of the rescaled symplectic structure

$$\rho_{AB} := \rho \epsilon_{AB}. \quad (4.23)$$

(4.21) is the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{sdiff}_\rho(S)$. Finally, note that the \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S factor of $\mathfrak{iso}(\mathbf{gbms})$ does not act on m at all, and hence in the following we only focus on $\mathfrak{sdiff}_\rho(S)$.⁴⁶

⁴⁶This is analog to the situation of the Poincaré algebra where a chosen momentum is invariant under translations. Hence, the isotropy subalgebra is enlarged from the isotropy subalgebra of the Lorentz part, which is $\mathfrak{so}(3)$, to the isotropy subalgebra of the Poincaré algebra, which is $\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$.

The spin generator of gbms. As we have seen in §2.3, for a massive particle, the spin angular momentum is defined to be the value of the angular momentum of a particle in its rest frame, which in turn can be written in terms of the spatial components of the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector (see (2.32)). Our goal is to provide an analogous construction for gbms and provide the generator of spin for gbms.

We propose that the gbms spin charge is the smeared version of the vorticity w . The charge is labelled by a function on S , $\chi \in \mathbb{R}_0^S = C^\infty(S)$, and is defined as

$$S_\chi := \int_S \chi \rho w \epsilon = \int_S \chi \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon, \quad \chi \in C^\infty(S). \quad (4.24)$$

From the transformation properties of w under gbms, given in (4.8), we can see that (see Appendix C.2)

$$\delta_T S_\chi = 0, \quad \delta_Y S_\chi = -S_{Y[\chi]}. \quad (4.25)$$

In particular, this implies

$$\{P_T, S_\chi\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = 0. \quad (4.26)$$

Furthermore, we can then show that the bracket of the spin charge forms a closed subalgebra (see Appendix C.2)

$$\{S_\chi, S_\psi\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = -S_{\{\chi, \psi\}_\rho}. \quad (4.27)$$

This relation implies that S_χ implements the action of $\text{sdiff}_\rho(S)$ on \mathfrak{gbms}^* . Therefore, both properties above are satisfied, namely

- (1) S_χ is invariant under supertranslations, i.e. $\delta_T S_\chi = 0$;
- (2) S_χ generates the isotropy algebra $\text{sdiff}(S)_\rho$ of gbms (4.27).

Hence, S_χ represents the spin generator for the gbms algebra. The first property is the analog of the fact that the (spatial components of) Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector commutes with the generators of translations, as encoded in (2.27). The second property is the analog of the fact that the spin vector generates in Poincaré the isotropy algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$.

5 gbms reference frames and Goldstone modes

In this section, we study natural reference frames associated with gbms, in order to make more transparent the contextualization of the gbms spin operator we just constructed within the familiar Poincaré framework reviewed in §2.3.

Recall that in the Poincaré setting, we define two important frames of reference: (1) the rest frame to be the frame where the velocity of the particle vanishes and (2) the center-of-mass frame to be the frame where where the center-of-mass remains at the origin. The rest

frame is reached by performing a boost, while the center-of-mass is achieved by performing a translation.

In the gravitational context, most of the discussion about a choice of **bms** frame has been, so far, around a choice of center-of-mass (supertranslation) frame and about the definition of the **bms** orbital angular momentum. However, in order to understand the physical nature of the spin operator we have constructed, we need to find the gravitational analog of the rest frame condition. In fact, since the spin operator is by construction invariant under supertranslations, it doesn't depend on which center-of-mass frame we are in. At the same time though, here we show that the spin generator can be understood as the generator of superrotation in the generalised rest frame defined by the condition $\partial_A m = 0$. Indeed, when this rest frame condition is satisfied, we have that

$$w = m^{-\frac{4}{3}} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A j_B, \quad (5.1)$$

which is the generator of superrotation. This is the analog of (2.37) for **gbms**. To reach such a rest frame, given a general mass aspect, is not possible with the BMS action, but it requires the action of GBMS.

In §5.1, we define the notion of rest frame for **gbms** and clarify its distinction with the usual notion of Bondi frame. In §5.2 we specialize to a stationary spacetime and derive the expressions for the mass and angular momentum aspects in the center-of-mass and rest frame. Furthermore, we compute these quantities in a generic boosted frame. In §5.3.1 we provide an explicit expansion of the boosted mass into spherical harmonics, which we use in §5.3.2 to show that the multi-particle mass aspect does not belong to the BMS coadjoint orbit with constant total mass representative. Finally, in §5.3.3 we perform the spherical harmonic decomposition for the boosted angular momentum aspect.

5.1 **gbms** reference frames: Rest vs Bondi frames

In this section, we elaborate on two reference frames associated with **gbms**. Let us consider an orientation preserving diffeomorphism of the sphere $F : S \rightarrow S$. For concreteness we denote σ^A the original coordinates and $\tilde{\sigma}^a = F^a(\sigma)$ the coordinates on the image sphere; also, the corresponding derivatives are respectively denoted as ∂_A and ∂_a . We also denote the metric on the original sphere as γ . Given the area form $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AB}(\sigma) d\sigma^A \wedge d\sigma^B$ with $\epsilon_{AB} = \sqrt{\gamma} \varepsilon_{AB}$, we can construct a density ρ_F such that $F^* \epsilon = \rho_F \epsilon$. One finds that

$$\rho_F = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A F^a \partial_B F^b \epsilon_{ab} \circ F = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \circ F}{\gamma}} \det(\partial_A F^a), \quad (5.2)$$

where $\epsilon_{ab} \circ F$ is the area tensor in the new coordinates. The inversion formula implies that $\rho_{F^{-1}} \circ F = 1/\rho_F$. Under such a diffeomorphism we have that the mass aspect and angular momentum aspect transform as

$$m \rightarrow m^F := \rho_F^{\frac{3}{2}} (m \circ F), \quad j_A \rightarrow j_A^F := \rho_F (j_a \circ F) \partial_A F^a. \quad (5.3)$$

Note that these come from the exponentiation of the coadjoint action (3.20) (see also [45, 121]). Furthermore, the metric and its curvature tensor (of the celestial sphere S) transform⁴⁷ as

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{AB} &\rightarrow \gamma_{AB}^F = \frac{(\gamma \circ F)_{ab} \partial_A F^a \partial_B F^b}{\rho_F}, \\ R &\rightarrow R^F := \rho_F (R \circ F + \Delta \ln \rho_F),\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

which again follow from the exponentiation of the **gbms** infinitesimal transformation (3.10). Let us emphasize that this $\text{Diff}(S)$ action of the GBMS group, called super-Lorentz transformations, on the metric differs from the naive $\text{Diff}(S)$ action; the latter is recovered for the diffeomorphisms such that $\rho_F = 1$. The subgroup of such diffeomorphisms is denoted $\text{SDiff}(S)$. It is composed of diffeomorphism preserving the area form. Infinitesimally this means that W_Y in (3.11) vanishes, and these transformations are called superrotations. While superrotations $F \in \text{SDiff}(S)$ preserve the Bondi gauge condition $R(\gamma) = 2$, they generically do not preserve the metric: $F^* \gamma_{AB} \neq \gamma_{AB}$ unless F belongs to the isotropy group of γ .

From this transformation, we can define two distinguished **gbms** frames by putting certain constraints on the doublet (m, R) .

- **Rest frame.** In the rest frame, the pair (m^R, R^R) satisfy

$$\partial_a m^R = 0, \quad \partial_a R^R \neq 0,\tag{5.5}$$

i.e. the mass aspect is constant.

- **Bondi frame.** In the Bondi frame, the pair (m^B, R^B) instead satisfies the following relations

$$\partial_A m^B \neq 0, \quad \partial_A R^B = 0.\tag{5.6}$$

Note that the *Bondi frame* is such that the curvature is constant. This frame is used in the **bms** literature since the condition $\partial_A R^B = 0$ basically means that the celestial sphere necessarily has the round-sphere metric (or a constant rescaled version thereof).

Finally, we see that the two frames are related by a diffeomorphism $F : S^B \rightarrow S^R$, which we take to go from the rest frame to the Bondi frame for convenience. We have

$$m^B = m^R \rho_F^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad R^R = \rho_{F^{-1}} (R^B + \Delta \ln \rho_{F^{-1}}).\tag{5.7}$$

As shown later, the only spacetimes which can be, at the same time, in the rest and Bondi frame are stationary ones.

An important comment is in order. Having the possibility to reach the rest frame is essential in our ability to define the spin as the angular momentum aspect in the rest frame, namely the gravitational analog of (2.37). In other words, in the case of Poincaré, one needs

⁴⁷We use that $R(e^{2\phi} \gamma) = e^{-2\phi} (R(\gamma) - 2\Delta\phi)$.

to be able to reach to the rest frame in order to define an intrinsic notion of spin, where the boost component of the angular momentum is zero. Once this intrinsic spin is defined, one can boost it to an arbitrary Lorentz frame. Similarly, in the case of **gbms**, we are able to go to the **gbms** rest frame, where m is constant, and this provides an intrinsic notion of spin. One can then “boost” this spin to an arbitrary **gbms** frame by performing a diffeomorphism (as supertranslations will not change the mass aspect). This important fact should be compared and contrasted with the fact that (in general) there is no notion of rest frame for **bms**. This is the source of many puzzles and ambiguities. The root of these puzzles is that for **bms**, one fixes a Bondi frame such that $\partial_A R^B = 0$, in which $\partial_A m^B \neq 0$ unless we are in the particular case of a stationary black hole spacetime (see §5.3.2). (5.7) shows that the extension to **gbms** takes care of this fundamental issue, since we can always, by a choice of diffeomorphism, reach the rest frame which is such that $\partial_A m^R = 0$. In this frame we have that $\partial_A R^R \neq 0$.

5.2 Stationary spacetimes

We now study the stationary spacetime condition and show, following [121], that in the rest and center-of-mass frame, the angular momentum aspect j_A is time-independent, and its electric parity component, as well as the $\ell \geq 2$ spherical harmonics of the magnetic component, can be set to zero. Let us see this explicitly.

5.2.1 Mass and angular momentum aspects for stationary spacetimes

The stationarity condition $\partial_u m = \partial_u j_A = \partial_u C_{AB} = 0$, is much stronger than the non-radiative condition $\partial_u C_{AB} = 0$.⁴⁸ Stationarity demands that spacetime is non-radiative, i. e. that the news vanish, but also that the mass, angular momentum and higher-spin charges (see [79] and §7.1.2) are conserved in time. This means that

$$\mathcal{D}_A m + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_A \tilde{m} = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\langle A} j_{B \rangle} = -\frac{3}{4}(C_{AB} m + \tilde{C}_{AB} \tilde{m}), \quad (5.10)$$

where $\tilde{m} = \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{D}_B \tilde{C}^{AB}$ denotes the dual mass, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_A = \epsilon_A^B \mathcal{D}_B$ is the dual derivative, and $\tilde{C}_{AB} = \epsilon_A^C C_{CB}$ is the dual of the shear tensor. The equation (5.9) implies that $\mathcal{D}_A m = 0 = \mathcal{D}_A \tilde{m}$. This means that $m = m^R$ is constant and that $\tilde{m} = 0$.⁴⁹ The constancy of the mass means that we are in the rest frame, while the vanishing of the dual mass means that the shear can be written entirely in terms of a Goldstone field $G \in \mathbb{R}_{-1}^S$ which characterises the supertranslation frame

$$C_{AB} = -2\mathcal{D}_{\langle A} \mathcal{D}_{B \rangle} G. \quad (5.11)$$

⁴⁸The more general non-radiative condition reads (see §7.1.2)

$$\partial_u^2 C_{AB} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_B \partial_u C_A^B = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_A R, \quad \mathcal{D}_{[A} C_{B]C} = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

⁴⁹Since $\tilde{m} = \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{D}_B \tilde{C}^{AB}$ only contains $\ell \geq 2$ terms, it vanishes if constant.

G is uniquely determined by C_{AB} if we assume that $G_{\ell=0} = G_{\ell=1} = 0$. (5.10) can then be written as

$$\mathcal{D}_{\langle A}(j_B) - \frac{3}{2}m^R \mathcal{D}_B G) = 0, \quad (5.12)$$

which means that $j_B - \frac{3}{2}m^R \mathcal{D}_B G$ is a constant $\ell = 1$ spherical harmonic. In other words, we find that for a stationary spacetime, we have

$$m = m^R, \quad j_A(n) = \frac{1}{2}J_{\mu\nu}n^{[\mu}\partial_{A}n^{\nu]} + \frac{3}{2}m^R \partial_A G(n), \quad (5.13)$$

where $J_{\mu\nu}$ is a constant angular momentum. Denoting (J_i, K_i) the rotational and boost components of $J_{\mu\nu}$ we can rewrite this as $(\tilde{\partial}_A = \epsilon_A^B \partial_B)$

$$j_A(n) = \tilde{\partial}_{A} n^i J_i + \partial_A \left(\frac{3}{2}m^R G(n) + K_i n^i \right). \quad (5.14)$$

The second term corresponds to a supertranslation with parameter $T = G + \frac{2K_i n^i}{3m^R}$. We can therefore eliminate this term by going to the rest and center-of-mass frame (RCM frame). The expressions for mass and angular momentum aspects in the RCM frame can be read-off from (5.13) as⁵⁰

$$m^{\text{RCM}} = m, \quad j_A^{\text{RCM}}(n) = \tilde{\partial}_{A} n^k J_k = (n^i \partial_{A} n^j) \epsilon_{ijk} J^k. \quad (5.15)$$

So we find that up to a supertranslation and a rotation, we can always choose the stationary angular momentum to be purely magnetic. We can still perform a rotation that rotates $J_i = J \delta_i^3$ along the 3rd axis say, where J is the black hole spin.

The case of Kerr spacetime. As we will show in §7.3 and Appendix F, for the Kerr metric,

$$j_A^{\text{Kerr}} d\sigma^A = \frac{1}{2}3aM(\cos\theta d\theta - \sin^2\theta d\varphi). \quad (5.16)$$

As $\tilde{\partial}_\varphi n^3 = -\sin\theta \partial_\theta n^3 = \sin^2\theta$ for the round sphere metric, comparing with (5.14) we find that the Kerr metric angular momentum corresponds to the rest frame expression (5.14) with

$$J^{\text{Kerr}} = -\frac{3aM}{2}, \quad G^{\text{Kerr}} = a \left(\sin\theta - \frac{\pi}{4} \right), \quad K_i^{\text{Kerr}} = 0, \quad (5.17)$$

consistently with [62, 63, 121]. The subtraction by $\pi/4$ ensure that G only contains $\ell \geq 2$ spherical harmonics as $\int_S \epsilon \sin\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$.⁵¹

⁵⁰Recall that a supertranslation does not change the mass aspect, as can be seen in (3.20).

⁵¹One can write $\sin\theta = \frac{2\sqrt{z\bar{z}}}{1+z\bar{z}}$ so the spherical harmonic expansion of $\sin\theta$ contains all $\ell = 2k, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{0+}$ and $m = 0$ components.

5.2.2 Mass and angular momentum aspects in a generic Lorentz frame

Next, we would like to derive expressions for mass and angular momentum aspects of stationary spacetimes in a generic boosted frame. For this, we can use (5.3). However, to use that equation, we first need to determine the conformal factor that corresponds to a Lorentz boost, which we now turn to.

Conformal rescaling as a Lorentz boost. The boost transformation simply results from a conformal map of the celestial sphere. To do so let us recall that we work with the null vector $n^\mu = \tau^\mu + \hat{n}^\mu$ where $\tau^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ is the rest frame vector and $\hat{n}^\mu = (0, n^i)$ is spacelike. Let Λ_v denote the boost that maps τ onto a unit vector in the hyperboloid of velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, with $v^\mu = (0, v^i)$, and let us denote the corresponding unit-norm momentum as

$$p_v^\mu := (\Lambda_v \tau)^\mu = \gamma_v (\tau^\mu + v^\mu) = \gamma_v (1, v^i), \quad (5.18)$$

where

$$\gamma_v := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}. \quad (5.19)$$

Going to such a boosted frame corresponds to applying an inverse boost $n^\mu \rightarrow (\Lambda_{-v} n)^\mu := (\Lambda_{-v})^\mu{}_\nu n^\nu$ to n . Under a boost the null vector stays null, hence we have that

$$\Lambda_{-v} n = \omega_v(n) n_v, \quad (5.20)$$

where $\omega_v(n)$ ⁵² is a rescaling factor given by

$$\omega_v(n) = -[\Lambda_{-v} n] \cdot \tau = n \cdot (\Lambda_v \tau) = -(n \cdot p_v) = \gamma_v (1 - v \cdot \hat{n}). \quad (5.21)$$

Therefore, using (2.33), we get that a boost yields a transformation of $n \rightarrow n_v$ given by

$$n_v^i = \omega_v^{-1}(n) \left(n^i + \left[\frac{\gamma_v v \cdot \hat{n}}{\gamma_v + 1} - 1 \right] \gamma_v v^i \right). \quad (5.22)$$

To relate the rescaling factor ω_v to the factor $\rho_{\Lambda_{-v}}$ defined in (5.2) for $F = \Lambda_{-v}$, we use the fact that ρ_{F_v} appears as the conformal rescaling of the metric, namely (see Footnote 9)

$$ds_v^2 = (d\hat{n}_v)^2 = (dn_v)^2 = \frac{(d[\omega_v n_v])^2}{\omega_v^2} = \frac{(d[\Lambda_{-v} n])^2}{\omega_v^2} = \frac{(dn)^2}{\omega_v^2} = \frac{(d\hat{n})^2}{\omega_v^2}. \quad (5.23)$$

This shows that the metric is simply rescaled under boost and satisfy the transformation $\Lambda_{-v}^* q = \rho_{\Lambda_{-v}} q$ with

$$\rho_{\Lambda_{-v}} = \omega_v^{-2}. \quad (5.24)$$

⁵²Since this is just a factor coming from a boost, we have used the same notation as the factor ω_g , defined in (2.7), as will see in (5.27).

This results are valid when $n^i = \hat{n}^i \circ F$ for any $F \in \text{Diff}(S)$. We can illustrate them using complex coordinates where

$$\hat{n}^i(z, \bar{z}) = \left(\frac{z + \bar{z}}{1 + |z|^2}, \frac{-i(z - \bar{z})}{1 + |z|^2}, \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 + |z|^2} \right). \quad (5.25)$$

Then $\hat{n}_v^i(z, \bar{z}) = \hat{n}^i(z', \bar{z}')$ with $z' = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ and the coefficients a, b, c, d can explicitly be expressed in terms of the velocity, see for instance [63, Eqs. (A.7)–(A.9)].

We can then express the RHS of (5.2) in complex coordinates as

$$\rho_F(z, \bar{z}) = |\partial_z F|^2 \frac{(1 + |z|^2)^2}{(1 + |F|^2)^2}, \quad (5.26)$$

for any conformal transformation. Taking $F = \Lambda$ to be an $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ transformation $F(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ we get

$$\omega_v = \frac{|cz + d|^2 + |az + b|^2}{1 + |z|^2} = \gamma_v (1 - v \cdot \hat{n}). \quad (5.27)$$

Boosted mass and angular momentum aspects. Now that we have given the expressions for the mass and angular momentum aspects (5.15) of a stationary spacetime in the center of mass frame, we can construct their general expressions for a boosted stationary spacetime. For a particle of mass m and spin J with velocity v these can be explicitly computed from the general transformation formulas (5.3) for a boost ($F = \Lambda_{-v}$); they are given by

$$m_v(n) = \frac{m}{[\gamma_v(1 - v \cdot \hat{n})]^3}, \quad j_A^v(n) = \frac{n^\mu \partial_A n^\nu J_{\mu\nu}^v}{[\gamma_v(1 - v \cdot \hat{n})]^4}, \quad (5.28)$$

where, in terms of the boost transformations Λ_v defined in (2.33) with $\mathbf{P}_i = \gamma_v m v_i$,

$$J_v^{\mu\nu} := \Lambda_v^\mu{}_j \Lambda_v^\nu{}_k \varepsilon^{ijk} J_j \quad (5.29)$$

is a boosted spin, constant on the celestial sphere and such that $p_{v\mu} J_v^{\mu\nu} = 0$. For the mass aspect, the derivation is direct and the expression was already given by Bondi et al. in [27]. For the angular momentum aspect this expression does not seem to have appeared in the gravity literature (see the statement in [63] and the discussion around Eq. (5.10)). One notable exception is the work of Campiglia which derives a similar expression from the subleading soft theorem [137]. To prove the statement we use the expression (5.15) for the rest and center-of-mass frame angular momentum to rewrite the transformation rule (5.3) in order to express the angular momentum aspect in a boosted frame. We start with $j_A^{\text{RCM}} = j_A^{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu}^0$, where $J_{0i}^0 = 0$ and $J_{ij}^0 = \varepsilon_{ij}{}^k J_k$ is the rest and center-of-mass frame angular momentum and after applying (5.3), we get

$$j_A^{[\mu\nu]} = \frac{n_v^{[\mu} \partial_A F^a \partial_a n_v^{\nu]}]}{\omega_v^2(n)}. \quad (5.30)$$

Now we use that

$$n_v^{[\mu} \mathbf{d}n_v^{\nu]} = \frac{\omega_v n_v^{[\mu} \mathbf{d}(\omega_v n_v^{\nu])}}{\omega_v(n)^2} = \Lambda_{-v\alpha}^\mu \Lambda_{-v\beta}^\nu \frac{n^{[\alpha} \mathbf{d}n^{\beta]}}{\omega_v(n)^2} = \Lambda_v^{\alpha\mu} \Lambda_v^{\beta\nu} \frac{n_{[\alpha} \mathbf{d}n_{\beta]}}{\omega_v(n)^2} \quad (5.31)$$

and use chain rule $\partial_A = \partial_A F^a \partial_a$ to establish the final identity for the boosted angular momentum aspect in (5.28).

5.3 Condensate fields for mass and angular momentum aspects

One of the motivations to study the algebra \mathbf{gbms} rather than \mathbf{bms} is to see whether a general multi-particle configuration belongs to the orbit of \mathbf{bms} with a constant-mass representative. It turns out that the study of this question requires us to understand the decomposition of the mass in spherical harmonics. This section is devoted to the study of this question. We first determine the harmonic decomposition of mass in §5.3.1. Equipped with this result, in §5.3.2, we show that the mass aspect of a generic multi-particle configuration *does not* belong to the \mathbf{bms} orbit with constant mass-aspect representative. Finally, we explain the harmonic decomposition of the angular momentum aspect in §5.3.3 and give the explicit construction of the respective condensate fields.

5.3.1 Supertranslation condensate

Let us start with the mass aspect and treat the angular momentum in §5.3.3. One defines the global momentum as $P^\mu = \mathbf{P}_{n^\mu} = M p_v^\mu$, given by the integral

$$P^\mu = \int_S m n^\mu, \quad (5.32)$$

which represents the $\ell = 0, 1$ modes of the mass aspect. The higher $\ell \geq 2$ modes are determined by a supertranslation condensate $C_0 = C_m$ (see §3.4) where the label m emphasize that the spin 0 condensate depends on the mass aspect. By definition, the supertranslation condensate C_m is such that the Bondi mass admits the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} m(n) &= m(n)|_{\ell=0,1} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta(\Delta + 2) C_m(n) \\ &= m(n)|_{\ell=0,1} + D_A D_B C_m^{AB}(n), \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

where Δ , as before, is the Laplacian on the sphere, and

$$m_P(n)|_{\ell=0} = -\tau \cdot P, \quad m_P(n)|_{\ell=1} = 3\hat{n} \cdot P, \quad (5.34)$$

where $\tau^\mu = (1, \vec{0})$ and $\hat{n}^\mu = (0, \vec{n})$. Since $-\Delta(\Delta + 2)C_m|_\ell = (\ell - 1)\ell(\ell + 1)(\ell + 2)C_m|_\ell$, only the components $C_m|_{\ell \geq 2}$ of the condensate are determined by this equation. We know that the mass aspect is invariant under supertranslation, i.e $\delta_T m = 0$. Therefore the condensate C_m being a function of m is also supertranslation invariant

$$\delta_T C_m = 0. \quad (5.35)$$

This important property means that we can use the condensate to fix the supertranslation frame, i.e. to chose a relationship between the supertranslation Goldstone and the supertranslation condensate.

This is exactly how Moreschi proposed to fix the supertranslation frame by demanding $G = 2C_m$. To see this, lets recall that the Moreschi mass aspect [87] (see also [60, 90]) is given by

$$m_{\text{Mor}} = m - \frac{1}{4}D_A D_B C^{AB}, \quad (5.36)$$

and it is such that it is strictly decreasing over time

$$\partial_u m_{\text{Mor}} = -\frac{1}{8}N_{AB}N^{AB}. \quad (5.37)$$

Moreover, its transformation under supertranslation is

$$\delta_T m_{\text{Mor}} = T\partial_u m_{\text{Mor}} + \frac{1}{4}(\Delta + R(\gamma))\Delta T + \frac{1}{2}\partial^A R(\gamma)\partial_A T. \quad (5.38)$$

It is valid even when we are in the radiative phase space, and follows from the following supertranslation transformations (see e.g. [79])

$$\delta_T(D \cdot C)^B = T\partial_u(D \cdot C)^B + N^{BA}\partial_A T - (R(\gamma)\partial^B T + \partial^B \Delta T), \quad (5.39)$$

which yield

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_T(D_A D_B C^{AB}) &= D_A(\delta_T D_B C^{AB}) \\ &= T\partial_u(D_A D_B C^{AB}) + 2D_B N^{BA}\partial_A T \\ &\quad - D_B(R(\gamma)\partial^B T + \partial^B \Delta T) + N^{AB}D_A D_B T, \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

and

$$\delta_T M = T\partial_u M + \left(\frac{1}{2}D_B N^{AB} + \frac{\partial^A R(\gamma)}{4} \right) \partial_A T + \frac{1}{4}N^{AB}D_A \partial_B T. \quad (5.41)$$

The transformation (5.38) simplifies when $R(\gamma) = 2$, which we now assume. Therefore, this means that, given a supertranslation Goldstone G (see §3.3) which determines a cut $u = G$ of \mathcal{I} , we have that

$$m_{\text{Mor}} - \frac{1}{4}\Delta(\Delta + 2)G \quad (5.42)$$

is supertranslation invariant. Choosing $G = 2C_m$ amounts to chose a supertranslation frame where the Moreschi mass aspect only contains global modes $\ell = 0, 1$ [87]. This is equivalent to the Bondi mass decomposition (5.33).

For a single black hole spacetime the global momentum (5.32) determines the mass aspect

$$m_P(n) = \frac{P^4}{(-P \cdot n)^3} = \frac{M}{\gamma_v^3(1 - v \cdot n)^3}, \quad (5.43)$$

where we have used (5.18). We can decompose this expression into spherical modes $P_{\ell m}(P) := P_{Y_{\ell m}}$ and evaluate the supertranslation condensate. In Appendix E.1, we show by an explicit calculation that the single black hole condensate can be taken to be [91, 92, 97]

$$C_P(n) = (n \cdot P) \ln \left(\frac{-n \cdot P}{M} \right), \quad (5.44)$$

where we denote $C_P := C_{m_P}$. An interesting aspect of this expression is that it contains $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ components for C which are usually left undetermined (see [98] for a discussion on how to fix the global modes of C_P). The corresponding shear is therefore given by

$$C_P^{AB}(n) = -2D^{(A}D^{B)}C_P(n) = 4 \frac{(D^A n \cdot P)(D^B n \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)}. \quad (5.45)$$

Quite remarkably, this expression for the shear reproduces exactly the soft factor in the leading soft graviton theorem [138], with n^μ representing the soft graviton 4-momentum and $D_A n^\mu$ its polarization tensor.

Under a boost transformation, by means of (5.20) and (5.21), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} C_P(n_\Lambda) &= C_P \left(\frac{\Lambda^{-1}n}{(-n \cdot \Lambda p)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n \cdot \Lambda P)}{\omega_\Lambda(n)} \ln \left(\frac{-n \cdot \Lambda p}{M\omega_\Lambda(n)} \right) \\ &= \frac{C_{\Lambda p}(n)}{\omega_\Lambda(n)} - \frac{1}{2} (n \cdot \Lambda P) \frac{\ln(\omega_\Lambda(n))}{\omega_\Lambda(n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.46)$$

where we denote $\omega_\Lambda(n) = -(n \cdot \Lambda \tau)$ and $p = P/M$. The first term in (5.46) corresponds to the expected transformation under boost of a weight -1 scalar. The second term implies that C_P transforms anomalously under boost transformation. This last term vanishes after we sum the contribution from in and out particles due to momentum conservation.

5.3.2 Multi-particle mass aspect

In this section, we show that multi-particle states belong to the same **gbms** orbit but different **bms** orbits. This is one of the main motivation for studying **gbms** instead of **bms**.⁵³ Consider a scattering process consisting of N particles of momenta $P_I = M_I(\gamma_I, \gamma_I v_I^i)$ for $I = 1, \dots, N$. From (5.28), the general mass aspect of this collection of N particles is given by

$$m_N(n) := \sum_{I=1}^N m_{P_I}(n) = \sum_{I=1}^N \frac{M_I}{[\gamma_I (1 - v_I^i n_i)]^3}. \quad (5.47)$$

The important fact we want to establish is that such a multi-particle state *does not* belong to a BMS coadjoint orbit with constant total mass M representative, namely $m_N \neq m_{P_{\text{tot}}}$ where $P_{\text{tot}} = \sum_i P_i$. The main point is to establish that while the two aspects have the same global charge, they differ significantly in the value of their supertranslation condensates.

⁵³We would like to thank G. Compere for a discussion that led to this section.

Let us consider the simple case of two particles. We have

$$m_2(n) := m_{P_1}(n) + m_{P_2}(n) = \frac{M_1}{[\gamma_1(1 - v_1^i n_i)]^3} + \frac{M_2}{[\gamma_2(1 - v_2^i n_i)]^3}. \quad (5.48)$$

Energy and momentum conservation $P_{\text{tot}} = P_1 + P_2$ imply that

$$m_{P_{\text{tot}}}(n) = \frac{(M_1^2 + M_2^2 + 2\gamma_1\gamma_2 M_1 M_2 (1 - v_1^i v_{2i}))^2}{[\gamma_1 M_1 (1 - v_1^i n_i) + \gamma_2 M_2 (1 - v_2^i n_i)]^3}. \quad (5.49)$$

Information about whether the multi-particle state belongs to the BMS orbit with constant mass representative given by (5.49) can be obtained by comparing the spherical harmonic components of (5.48) and (5.49). As it is clear from the expression (5.33), the $\ell = 0, 1$ components of the mass aspects agree by construction $m_{P_{\text{tot}}}(n)|_{\ell=0,1} = m_2(n)|_{\ell=0,1}$. On the other hand, the $\ell \geq 2$ components of the mass aspects (5.48) and (5.49) are different. This follows from the fact that they carry different condensates (see Appendix E.1)

$$D_A D_B (C_{P_1}^{AB} + C_{P_2}^{AB} - C_{P_{\text{tot}}}^{AB}) \neq 0, \quad (5.50)$$

where C_P and C_P^{AB} are given in (5.45) and (5.44). The combination $C_{P_1} + C_{P_2} - C_{P_{\text{tot}}}$ measures how much the initial state condensate differs from a single black-hole condensate.

This result means that in a general scattering process, the mass aspect of the initial and final states does not belong to the **bms** orbit with a constant-mass representative.⁵⁴ In other words, we cannot start with an arbitrary configuration consisting of many particles in generic Lorentz frames, and reach a constant mass representative by applying a **bms** transformation.⁵⁵ On the other hand, using the bigger group **gbms** we can map the multi-particle condensate onto the single particle one. Indeed as shown in §5.1, given m_2 there exists a diffeomorphism $F_2 \in \text{Diff}(S)$ such that $m_2^{F_2}$ is constant (see (5.3)). This diffeomorphism maps the condensate $C_{P_1} + C_{P_2}$ onto the trivial condensate. Similarly, for m_P one can find a diffeomorphism F_P that maps the condensate $C_P \rightarrow 0$. Therefore we find that m_P and m_2 are in the same **gbms** orbit.

We thus need to be able to have access to a larger set of transformations than the Lorentz transformations of **bms** to understand the symmetries of a scattering process. This is an important motivation to consider **gbms**, in which Lorentz transformations are replaced with $\text{diff}(S)$.

5.3.3 Angular momentum condensate

In the previous section we have given the decomposition of a general mass aspect in terms of the sum of total momentum aspect plus the condensate contribution. We give, here, a similar decomposition for the angular momentum aspect.

⁵⁴If the entire initial state ends up in a black hole, this means that while the initial state does not belong to the constant mass orbit, the final state does.

⁵⁵Recall that only the Lorentz part of **bms** changes the mass aspect, while a supertranslation preserves it (see (3.20) with Y restricted to be a conformal Killing vector).

Given a mass and angular momentum aspect (m, j_A) , we have that the total angular momentum is given by

$$J_{\mu\nu} := \int_S j_A (n_{[\nu} \partial^A \bar{n}_{\mu]}) \epsilon. \quad (5.51)$$

Inverting this relation means that we can write the angular momentum aspect in terms of a global angular momentum aspect $J_A(n) := j_A(n)|_{\ell=1}$ plus a piece that contains the $\ell \geq 2$ modes. That second piece is encoded into the super-boost condensate denoted $C_{(P,j)}$. In practice this means that we have

$$j_A^{(P,J)}(n) = J_A(n) + D_{\langle A} D_B D_{C \rangle} C_{(P,J)}^{BC}. \quad (5.52)$$

In fact, if we start with the boosted angular momentum aspect in (5.28) in the covariant form

$$j_A^{(P,J)}(n) = P^4 \frac{(n^\mu D_A n^\nu)}{(-n \cdot P)^4} J_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5.53)$$

we show in Appendix E.2, in exact analogy to the mass aspect, that this aspect can be decomposed into a contribution of $\ell = 1$ harmonics (corresponding to the standard Lorentz piece)

$$J_A(n) = (n^\mu D_A n^\nu) J_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5.54)$$

plus a $\ell \geq 2$ contribution given by the subleading soft factor, namely

$$C_{(P,J)}^{BC}(n) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{(D^{\langle B} n \cdot P)(n^\mu D^{\rangle C} n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)}. \quad (5.55)$$

It is quite remarkable that, as for the mass aspect, also in this case the identification between the condensate and the corresponding soft factor continues to hold [139, 140].

6 Pauli–Lubański generator

We have verified above that the Poisson bracket of charges (3.72) with vector fields (3.70) and (3.75) generate a Poincaré subalgebra of gbms. Since the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector (2.25) has a crucial role in constructing irreducible representations of the Poincaré algebra (2.19), a natural issue is the construction of analogous quantity for the Poincaré subalgebra of bms.

In fact, as we have explained in §2.2, a generic mass aspect does not have an isotropy subalgebra. On the other hand, from the work of McCarthy [29] summarized in Table 2, we know that for a constant mass aspect $m(z, \bar{z}) = \text{constant}$, there is a non-trivial isotropy Lie subalgebra of bms. Hence, one can ask whether there is an analog of Pauli–Lubański

generator, when restricting to coadjoint orbits with constant mass representatives, as the generator of this isotropy subalgebra. In this section, we answer this question: We construct the Pauli–Lubański generator for a Poincaré embedding inside \mathfrak{bms} . We will do this in two steps. First, in §6.1, we use the spin charge constructed in §4.2 to define an object that transforms covariantly under Poincaré transformations in analogy to (2.49), as this is the first requisite for the Pauli–Lubański generator. This can be achieved without any restriction on the mass aspect. Secondly, in order reproduce the algebra (2.50) representing the second defining property, we will need to restrict in §6.2 to the constant mass aspect orbit of \mathfrak{bms} in order to define the Pauli–Lubański generator from the object previously introduced; we also verify that its spatial components can be written as the gravitational analog of the Poincaré expression (2.32).

6.1 Lorentz covariance

Our aim is to define the analog of the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector for a Poincaré subalgebra of \mathfrak{bms} associated with a metric g and corresponding vector n_μ . We recall the definition of the spin charge⁵⁶ (4.24)

$$S[\chi] := \int_S \chi \rho w \epsilon, \quad \chi \in C^\infty(S). \quad (6.1)$$

We then define the following quantity

$$S_\mu := S[\sqrt{\rho} n_\mu], \quad (6.2)$$

and show that it satisfies the analog of (2.49), which is the first property ascribed to the Pauli–Lubański generator.

To begin, we immediately have

$$\{P_\mu, S_\nu\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = -\delta_{T=n_\mu} S_\nu = 0 \quad (6.3)$$

due to (4.4) and (4.8). Furthermore, in Appendix C.4 we show that the action of diffeomorphism on S_μ is given by

$$\delta_Y S_\mu = \frac{1}{2} S[\sqrt{\rho} D_A Y^A n_\mu] - S[\sqrt{\rho} Y[n_\mu]]. \quad (6.4)$$

In Appendix C.5 we show that when Y is a conformal vector field this expression, quite remarkably, reduces to

$$\{J_{\mu\nu}, S_\rho\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = (\eta_{\nu\rho} S_\mu - \eta_{\mu\rho} S_\nu). \quad (6.5)$$

If we denote by δ_μ the transformations generated by (6.2), by anti-symmetry of the canonical

⁵⁶We use the bracket notation $S[\chi]$ instead of the index notation S_χ for readability.

action (6.3) and (6.4), we derive the transformations

$$\delta_\mu m = 0, \quad \delta_\mu j_A = \frac{1}{2} n_\mu \partial_A (\sqrt{\rho} \epsilon^{BC} \partial_B p_C) + \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\rho} \partial_A n_\mu \epsilon^{BC} \partial_B p_C. \quad (6.6)$$

Using these transformations, one can verify that the vector (6.2) satisfies the algebra

$$- \{S_\mu, S_\nu\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \delta_\mu S_\nu = \mathbb{S}[\{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} n_\mu, \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} n_\nu\}_\rho], \quad (6.7)$$

as expected from the bracket (4.27) and the fact that the \mathfrak{bms}^* element ρ entering the smearing function in (6.2) commutes with the vorticity (4.26).

Therefore S_μ commutes with the momentum and transforms covariantly under Lorentz, as expected. As such it is a good candidate for a Pauli–Lubański generator. However, as we haven't put any restriction on the mass aspect entering the definition (6.2), its algebra doesn't close generally. It turns out though that its algebra reproduces the Pauli–Lubański algebra (2.50) if one restricts our construction to the mass aspect of a boosted black hole. That is what we do next.

6.2 Pauli–Lubański embedding inside \mathfrak{bms}

In order to complete our construction of the Pauli–Lubański generator, we can now use (6.2) and restrict to the constant mass aspect orbit of \mathfrak{bms} to show that the second defining property (2.50) can also be satisfied. In other words, we now restrict our attention to the case of a boosted stationary spacetime. In this case, we have that the density aspect is given by

$$\rho = \rho_v = \frac{\rho^R}{\gamma_v^2 (1 - v \cdot \hat{n})^2}, \quad (6.8)$$

where $\rho^R := M^{\frac{2}{3}}$ see (5.28). In this case, we can evaluate the expression for the spatial components of (6.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\frac{1}{3}} S_i &= -\sqrt{\rho^R} \int_S \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A (\sqrt{\rho_v} n_i) \rho_v^{-1} j_B \epsilon \\ &= -\gamma_v \int_S (1 - v^j n_j) \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A n_i j_B \epsilon - \gamma_v \int_S v^j n_i \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A n_j j_B \epsilon \\ &= \gamma_v J_i - \gamma_v \int_S v^j \epsilon^{AB} (n_i \partial_A n_j - n_j \partial_A n_i) j_B \epsilon \\ &= \gamma_v J_i - \varepsilon_i^{jk} \gamma_v v^j \int_S \epsilon^{AB} \epsilon_A^C \partial_C n_k j_B \epsilon \\ &= \gamma_v (J_i - \varepsilon_{ijk} v^j K^k) \\ &= M^{-1} (E J_i - \varepsilon_{ijk} P^j K^k) = M^{-1} W_j \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

where $P^\mu = (E, P_i) = M\gamma_v(1, v^i)$. We have used the last identity in (3.68), and the rotation and boost generator definitions (3.73). We thus recover the expression (2.32) for the spatial components of the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector in Poincaré.

It is important to note that this expression is invariant under supertranslation. To understand how this is possible we need to recall that McCarthy showed that the orbits associated with the constant mass aspects of \mathfrak{bms} algebra have non-trivial isotropy subalgebras. From Table 2, the isotropy group is isomorphic to $SU(2)$. In this restrictive case, we therefore expect a formula for the spin in terms of the \mathfrak{bms} generators. This is the formula we just unraveled in (6.9), that was first given in [67, Eq. 287] and where it was shown to be supertranslation invariant.

For completeness, we show in Appendix C.6 that the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector algebra (2.28) is reproduced when we perform the constant mass orbit restriction (6.8). Namely, we have

$$\mathbb{S}[\{\rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_\mu, \rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_\nu\}_\rho] = -M^{-\frac{4}{3}} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} P^\rho S^\sigma. \quad (6.10)$$

The main steps of the proof involve expanding

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}[\{\rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_\mu, \rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_\nu\}_\rho] &= \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho 0} \int_S n_\rho \epsilon^{CD} \partial_C p_D \epsilon \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_S \epsilon^{AB} (n_\mu \partial_B n_\nu - n_\nu \partial_B n_\mu) \rho_v^{-1} \partial_A \rho_v \epsilon^{CD} \partial_C p_D \epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

and using the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \rho_v^{-1} \partial_A \rho_v &= \frac{v^\ell \partial_A n_\ell}{(1 - v \cdot \hat{n})}, \\ \epsilon^{AB} (n_i \partial_B n_j - n_j \partial_B n_i) &= -\varepsilon_{ij}{}^k \partial^A n_k, \\ \epsilon^{AB} (n_i \partial_B n_0 - n_0 \partial_B n_i) &= -\epsilon^{AB} \partial_B n_i. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

In light of these results, we see that if we introduce the *Pauli–Lubański generator* for a Poincaré embedding inside \mathfrak{bms}

$$W_\mu := M^{\frac{4}{3}} \mathbb{S}[\sqrt{\rho_v} n_\mu], \quad P^\mu := \mathbb{P}[n_\mu]. \quad (6.13)$$

which is:

- supertranslation invariant, as encoded in (6.3),
- covariant under Lorentz transformations, as (6.5) implies, and
- satisfying the algebra

$$\{W_\mu, W_\nu\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} P^\rho W^\sigma. \quad (6.14)$$

7 Applications

In this section, we first introduce in §7.1 a phase space on which \mathfrak{gbms} acts by Hamiltonian transformations and construct the moment map for this action. We then consider two applications for the formalism developed so far: (1) In §7.2, we use the moment map to construct the gravitational spin charge and gravitational Casimirs in asymptotically-flat spacetimes with \mathfrak{gbms} as their asymptotic symmetries; (2) in §7.3, we write down explicitly the gravitational Casimir invariants for the Kerr metric.

7.1 \mathfrak{gbms} : The moment map

In §3 and §4, we studied algebraic aspects of the \mathfrak{gbms} algebra including the study of its coadjoint orbits, the invariants of these orbits, its isotropy subalgebra and defined its generator as the spin generator of \mathfrak{gbms} . We now want to translate these results into statements about gravitational physics. As usual, this is achieved by noting that there is a gravitational phase space Γ that carries the Hamiltonian action of the \mathfrak{gbms} algebra [78, 79, 141], and hence there is a moment map $\mu_{\mathfrak{gbms}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{gbms}^*$. By definition, $\mu_{\mathfrak{gbms}}$ is a smooth map, and as such can be used to construct the phase space quantities from those of \mathfrak{gbms}^* , which we have constructed in the previous sections, by the pull-back operation. Therefore, the aim of this section is two-fold: (1) we construct the gravitational phase space that carries a Hamiltonian action of \mathfrak{gbms} in §7.1.2, and then (2) we build the moment-map $\mu_{\mathfrak{gbms}}$ for the \mathfrak{gbms} action on this phase space in §7.1.3. The construction of $\mu_{\mathfrak{gbms}}$ will be used for the formulation of the gravitational spin charge and gravitational Casimirs in asymptotically-flat spacetimes, which is the subject of §7.2.

7.1.1 Radiative phase space at null-infinity

In this section, we review some of the main results established in [79], as these will be pivotal to the construction of the moment map in the following sections. In the Bondi–Sachs coordinates, the metric is given by [27, 28]

$$ds^2 = -2e^{2\beta} du (dr + \Phi du) + r^2 \Gamma_{AB} \left(d\sigma^A - \frac{\Upsilon^A}{r^2} du \right) \left(d\sigma^B - \frac{\Upsilon^B}{r^2} du \right). \quad (7.1)$$

This metric satisfies the Bondi gauge conditions given by $g_{rr} = 0, g_{rA} = 0, \partial_r \sqrt{\Gamma} = 0$. In addition to the gauge conditions we impose *extended*⁵⁷ Bondi asymptotic boundary conditions [40, 41, 118], which means that the metric components $(\Phi, \beta, \Gamma_{AB}, \Upsilon^A)$ admit the following asymptotic expansion

$$\Phi = \frac{R(\gamma)}{4} - \frac{M}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), \quad (7.2a)$$

⁵⁷Note that we do not require Ricci scalar of the 2D sphere metric $R(\gamma) = 2$, which is why we call our boundary conditions *extended*.

$$\beta = -\frac{1}{32} \frac{C_{AB}C^{AB}}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right), \quad (7.2b)$$

$$\Upsilon^A = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_B C^{BA} - \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{J}^A - \frac{1}{2} C^{AB} \mathcal{D}^C C_{CB} - \frac{1}{16} \partial^A (C_{BC} C^{BC}) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), \quad (7.2c)$$

$$\Gamma_{AB} = \gamma_{AB} + \frac{1}{r} C_{AB} + \frac{1}{4r^2} \gamma_{AB} (C_{CD} C^{CD}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right), \quad (7.2d)$$

where M is the Bondi mass, C_{AB} the asymptotic shear, while \mathcal{J}_A is the covariant angular momentum [79]. When evaluating asymptotic quantities, we use the metric γ_{AB} to lower and raise the indices $\{A, B, \dots\}$ on the 2-sphere.

The expansions of the different coefficients are needed to obtain the expansion of the metric ds^2 to order⁵⁸ $\mathcal{O}(r^{-1})$. The demand that the metric is asymptotically-flat and asymptotic Einstein's equations (EEs) are satisfied would impose that the asymptotic sphere metric is time independent $\partial_u \gamma_{AB} = 0$. In the following, we denote by \mathcal{D}_A the covariant derivative associated with γ_{AB} . All the other coefficients are time-dependent functions on the sphere, i.e. $M = M(u, \sigma^A)$. Because of the Bondi determinant gauge condition $r^4 \sin^2 \theta = \det(\gamma_{AB})$, the symmetric tensor C_{AB} is traceless when contracted with the inverse asymptotic metric γ^{AB} . The $\mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$ factor in the metric expansion is uniquely determined by the Bondi gauge condition and the demand that logarithmic anomalies vanish [142] (see e.g. [45, 110, 143] for a relaxation of this gauge condition).

We can now summarize the covariance properties revealed in [79] and the nested structure that organizes them. To study the dynamics of asymptotic gravity, it is important to split the observables associated into radiative observables and corner observables. To do so let us introduce some notation where N^{AB} is the covariant news and \mathcal{N}^{AB} is its time derivative

$$N^{AB} := \dot{C}^{AB} - T^{AB}, \quad \mathcal{N}^{AB} := \dot{N}^{AB} = \ddot{C}_{AB}, \quad (7.3)$$

where T^{AB} is the traceless component of the Geroch tensor [75] introduced above. Their transformations under the GBMS action are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{(\tau, Y)} q^{AB} &= [\mathcal{L}_Y + 2\dot{\tau}] q^{AB}, \\ \delta_{(\tau, Y)} C^{AB} &= [\mathcal{L}_Y + 3\dot{\tau}] C^{AB} - \left(2\mathcal{D}^{(A} \mathcal{D}^{B)} - \dot{C}^{AB} \right) \tau, \\ \delta_{(\tau, Y)} T_{AB} &= \mathcal{L}_Y T_{AB} - 2\mathcal{D}_{(A} \mathcal{D}_{B)} \dot{\tau}, \\ \delta_{(\tau, Y)} N^{AB} &= [\tau \partial_u + \mathcal{L}_Y + 4\dot{\tau}] N^{AB}, \\ \delta_{(\tau, Y)} \mathcal{N}^{AB} &= [\tau \partial_u + \mathcal{L}_Y + 5\dot{\tau}] \mathcal{N}^{AB}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

where we have introduced the parameter $\tau = \tau(T, Y)$ given by

$$\tau := T + \frac{u}{2} \mathcal{D}_A Y^A, \quad \dot{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_A Y^A, \quad \ddot{\tau} = 0. \quad (7.5)$$

⁵⁸Since dr is of order $\mathcal{O}(r)$, g_{ur} needs to be expanded to order $\mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$, since $g_{AB} = r^2 \Gamma_{AB}$, Γ_{AB} needs to be expanded to order $\mathcal{O}(r^{-3})$ and since $g_{uA} = \Gamma_{AB} \Upsilon^A$, Υ^A needs to be expanded to order $\mathcal{O}(r^{-1})$, to achieve $\mathcal{O}(r^{-1})$ for the expansion of the metric $g_{ab} dx^a dx^b$.

One also introduces the energy current⁵⁹

$$\mathcal{I}^A := \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_B\dot{C}^{AB} + \frac{1}{4}\partial^A R = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_B N^{AB}, \quad (7.6)$$

as well as the covariant mass and the covariant dual mass [79, 144–146]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &:= M + \frac{1}{8}C_{AB}(N^{AB} + T^{AB}), \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} &:= \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{D}_B\widetilde{C}^{AB} - \frac{1}{8}\widetilde{C}_{AB}(N^{AB} + T^{AB}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

where we used the complex structure to define the duality operation

$$\widetilde{C}^{AB} := \epsilon^A{}_C C^{CB}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_A := \epsilon_A{}^B \mathcal{D}_B. \quad (7.8)$$

The equations of motions are given by [79]

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{I}}^A &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_B\mathcal{N}^{AB}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{M}} &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{I}^A + \frac{1}{8}C_{AB}\mathcal{N}^{AB}, \\ \dot{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}^A + \frac{1}{8}C_{AB}\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{AB}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{J}}_A &= \mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{M} + \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_A\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} + C_{AB}\mathcal{I}^B. \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

7.1.2 Non-radiative phase space

As shown in [79], the no-radiation condition is obtained by demanding that

$$\mathcal{N}^{AB} = 0, \quad \mathcal{I}^A = 0. \quad (7.10)$$

This condition is preserved by the symmetry transformation and implies the presence of conserved corner charges constructed in [79]. This means that $\mathcal{N}^{AB}, \mathcal{I}^A$ represent radiative data. We denote the non-radiative phase space implementing (7.10) with $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{NR}}$. The ultimate goal of our study is to understand whether $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{NR}}$ can be understood as a gravitational phase space isomorphic to coadjoint orbits of some extended symmetry group.⁶⁰

In this paper, we tackle a simpler, but still highly non-trivial endeavour, which is to understand a more restricted phase space as the union of coadjoint orbits of the GBMS group. The simplification we take is to restrict the set of gravitational configurations which are non-radiative and also assume that the covariant dual mass (7.7) vanishes. This last phase space is the one universally studied in the asymptotic symmetry literature and exclusively studied in the celestial holography literature. It imposes

$$\mathcal{N}^{AB} = 0, \quad \mathcal{I}^A = 0, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = 0. \quad (7.11)$$

⁵⁹In order to conform to the standard convention for the angular momentum, we have implemented the following change of notation w.r.t. [79]: $\mathcal{J}_{\text{here}}^A = \mathcal{P}_{\text{there}}^A, \mathcal{I}_{\text{here}}^A = \mathcal{J}_{\text{there}}^A$.

⁶⁰A more precise statement is made in Appendix A.

We call this phase space electric⁶¹ and non-radiative (ENR) and denote it as Γ_{ENR} . Obviously, we have the inclusion $\Gamma_{\text{ENR}} \subset \Gamma_{\text{NR}}$. More precisely, we have the following relation between these phase spaces

$$\Gamma_{\text{ENR}} = \Gamma_{\text{NR}} / \{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = 0\}. \quad (7.12)$$

The important point is that the condition $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = 0$ is also preserved by the gbms symmetry transformations [79].

Corner symmetry transformations. Within the electric and strongly non-radiative phase space, the set of observables under consideration are the covariant corner observables $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J}_A)$. These variables correspond to the leading asymptotic contributions of the Weyl scalars $\text{Re}(\Psi_2)$, Ψ_1 respectively (\mathcal{N}^{AB} corresponding to the asymptotic value of Ψ_4 with \mathcal{I}^A corresponding to the asymptotic value of Ψ_3 and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ corresponding to the asymptotic value of $\text{Im}(\Psi_2)$). Within Γ_{ENR} , the corner symmetry transformations of these variables are given by⁶² [79]

$$\delta_{(\tau, Y)} \mathcal{M} = [\mathcal{L}_Y + 3\dot{\tau}] \mathcal{M}, \quad (7.13a)$$

$$\delta_{(\tau, Y)} \mathcal{J}_A = [\mathcal{L}_Y + 2\dot{\tau}] \mathcal{J}_A + 3\mathcal{M} \partial_{AT} + \tau \partial_A \mathcal{M}, \quad (7.13b)$$

where we have used the asymptotic equations of motion (in Γ_{ENR} , following from (7.9))

$$\dot{\mathcal{M}} = 0, \quad \dot{\mathcal{J}}_A = \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{M}. \quad (7.14a)$$

Conserved charges. In the following we will treat the metric variable γ^{AB} , C^{AB} , N^{AB} as background structure and refer to the phase space purely as functionals of the conserved charge aspects $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{j}_A)$, namely

$$\Gamma_{\text{ENR}} = C[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{j}_A], \quad (7.15)$$

keeping the metric and shear dependence as implicit. From the evolution equations (7.14), it is immediate to see that these u -independent aspects on the sphere are given by⁶³

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{M}, \quad (7.16)$$

$$\mathfrak{j}_A = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{J}_A - u \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{M}]. \quad (7.17)$$

⁶¹This refers to the fact that the mass and dual mass are the gravitational analog of the electric and magnetic charge aspects.

⁶²Analog transformations were found in [118, 147, 148] in the Penrose–Newman formalism for the extended BMS group [40, 88], where the diffeomorphisms are restricted to be local Killing vector fields. The derivation in [79] relaxed this restriction by including the full group of sphere diffeomorphism.

⁶³The shift of the angular momentum aspect by the derivative of the mass appeared in [149].

These conserved charge aspects on the asymptotic sphere parameterize the electric and strongly non-radiative phase space, as (7.15) entails. The associated charges which are conserved under the time evolution in Γ_{ENR} are given by

$$Q_{(Y,T)} = \int_S (T\mathbf{m} + Y^A j_A) \epsilon. \quad (7.18)$$

These are the phase space analogs of the sum of the gbms charges (3.17).

From (7.13), the gbms action on the conserved charge aspects parametrizing Γ_{ENR} is given by

$$\delta_{(Y,T)}\mathbf{m} = [\mathcal{L}_Y + 3W_Y]\mathbf{m}, \quad (7.19a)$$

$$\delta_{(Y,T)}j_A = [\mathcal{L}_Y + 2W_Y]j_A + \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{m}\partial_A T + \frac{T}{2}\partial_A \mathbf{m}. \quad (7.19b)$$

Having these transformations at hand, we are now ready to construct the moment map for the gbms action on the gravitational phase Γ_{ENR} , which we turn to.

7.1.3 Gravitational moment map

From the comparison of the first two of the symmetry transformations (7.19) with the infinitesimal coadjoint action (3.20), the moment-map $\mu_{\text{gbms}} : \Gamma_{\text{ENR}} \rightarrow \text{gbms}^*$ for the gbms action on $\Gamma_{\text{ENR}} = C[j_A, \mathbf{m}]$ can be straightforwardly found. It is simply given by

$$\mu_{\text{gbms}}(\mathbf{m}) = m, \quad \mu_{\text{gbms}}(j_A) = j_A. \quad (7.20)$$

In other words, \mathbf{m} is the charge aspect for the supertranslations and j_A is the charge aspect for the diffeomorphisms. An analog result for the BMS group was first shown by Barnich and Ruzziiconi [38] who recognized, using the Newman–Penrose formalism, that under the conditions that $\Psi_4 = \Psi_3 = \text{Im}(\Psi_2) = 0$, the asymptotic Weyl scalars $\text{Re}(\Psi_2)$ and Ψ_1 could be understood as coadjoint orbit labels for the BMS group. We recover the same results from (7.20) in a different and somewhat more direct fashion.

7.2 Phase space quantities and their evolution

In §4.2 and §6.1, we have defined three quantities belonging to gbms^* : the Casimirs $C_n(\text{gbms})$ in (4.9), the spin generator S_χ in (4.24), and the Pauli–Lubański-like element S_μ in (6.2). As we have the moment map, the natural next step is to find the quantities defined on Γ_{ENR} corresponding to these quantities. This can be achieved by pulling-back $C_n(\text{gbms})$, S_χ , and W_μ by μ_{gbms} (as a smooth map) to Γ_{ENR} . It is the aim of this section to determine these phase space quantities, and compute their time evolution.

7.2.1 Gravitational Casimirs and the spin generator

By construction, μ_{gbms} is an equivariant momentum map, hence is a Poisson map (see Theorem A.1). From (7.16) and (7.20), we see that the momentum map for gbms is given explicitly as

$$\mu_{\text{gbms}}(\mathcal{M}) = m, \quad \mu_{\text{gbms}}(2^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_A - u\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{M})) = j_A. \quad (7.21)$$

It then follows from (4.7) that

$$\mathbf{w} := \mu_{\text{gbms}}^* w = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \left(\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathcal{J}_B \right), \quad (7.22)$$

which shows that the phase space quantity associated with the vorticity only depends on the corner data on S .

Gravitational Casimir functionals. We can now define the phase space quantities corresponding to Casimir functionals (4.9) as

$$\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}) := \mu_{\text{gbms}}^* \mathcal{C}_n(\text{gbms}) = \int_S \mathcal{M}^{\frac{2}{3}} \mathbf{w}^n \epsilon, \quad (7.23)$$

where

$$\mathbf{w}^n = \frac{1}{2^n} \mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}n} \epsilon^{A_1 B_1} \dots \epsilon^{A_n B_n} \partial_{A_1} (\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathcal{J}_{B_1}) \dots \partial_{A_n} (\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathcal{J}_{B_n}). \quad (7.24)$$

We call $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}})$ the *gravitational Casimir functionals*. By construction, these quantities are invariant under gbms action on $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}$, namely $\delta_{(Y,T)} \mathcal{C}_n(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}) = 0$. This fact can be checked by a direct computation analog to the proof of (4.10).

Gravitational spin charge for gbms. In §4.2, we have identified the smeared vorticity (4.24) as the spin charge of gbms. We can define the associated phase space quantity by pulling it back with μ_{gbms} . Hence, we define the *gravitational spin charge* in asymptotically-flat spacetimes with gbms as their asymptotic symmetry group as

$$\mathcal{S}_\chi := \mu_{\text{gbms}}^* \mathcal{S}_\chi = \frac{1}{2} \int_S \chi \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \left(\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathcal{J}_B \right) \epsilon, \quad (7.25)$$

which, by construction, is the generator for the action of the isotropy algebra $\text{diff}_\rho(S)$ of gbms on $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}$, i.e.

$$\{\mathcal{S}_\chi, \mathcal{S}_\psi\}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}} = -\mathcal{S}_{\{\chi, \psi\}_\rho}. \quad (7.26)$$

This relation follows from (4.27) and (A.5).

Gravitational Pauli–Lubański generator. The next important quantity that we have constructed for **gbms** is the Pauli–Lubański generator for a Poincaré embedding. Its gravitational counterpart can be defined by considering first the pullback of the quantity S_μ in (6.2)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\mu &:= \mu_{\text{gbms}}^* S_\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_S \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{3}} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \left(\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathcal{J}_B \right) n_\mu \epsilon,\end{aligned}\tag{7.27}$$

From (6.3), (6.5), and (A.5), it follows that these quantities satisfy

$$\{\mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{S}_\nu\}_{\Gamma_{\text{ENR}}} = 0, \quad \{\mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{S}_\rho\}_{\Gamma_{\text{ENR}}} = \eta_{\nu\rho} \mathcal{P}_\mu - \eta_{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}_\nu,\tag{7.28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_\mu &:= \mu_{\text{gbms}}^* P_\mu = \int_S n_\mu \mathcal{M} \epsilon, \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu} &:= \mu_{\text{gbms}}^* J_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \int_S Y_{\mu\nu}^A \mathcal{J}_A \epsilon,\end{aligned}\tag{7.29}$$

are charges that generate the action of four-translations and Lorentz transformations on Γ_{ENR} , respectively.

Finally, using (6.13), we can then define the gravitational Pauli–Lubański generator as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_\mu &:= \mu_{\text{gbms}}^* W_\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\text{R}}^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_S \left(\frac{\epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \left(\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathcal{J}_B \right)}{\gamma_v (1 - v \cdot \hat{n})} \right) n_\mu \epsilon.\end{aligned}\tag{7.30}$$

where, \mathcal{M}_{R} , denotes the total rest mass. Note that (6.14) and (A.5) imply the algebra of gravitational Pauli–Lubański generator

$$\{\mathcal{W}_\mu, \mathcal{W}_\nu\}_{\Gamma_{\text{ENR}}} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathcal{P}^\rho \mathcal{W}^\sigma.\tag{7.31}$$

7.2.2 Evolution equations

In the presence of radiation (while keeping the condition $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = 0$), the charge aspects (7.17) are no longer conserved, but satisfy the equations of motion

$$\dot{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{I}^A + \frac{1}{8} C_{AB} \mathcal{N}^{AB},\tag{7.32}$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{J}}_A = \partial_A \mathcal{M} + C_{AB} \mathcal{I}^B.\tag{7.33}$$

Accordingly, the gravitational spin generator evolves as

$$\dot{\mathcal{S}}_\chi = \frac{1}{2} \int_S \chi \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \left(\dot{\mathcal{M}}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathcal{J}_B + \mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \dot{\mathcal{J}}_B \right) \epsilon$$

$$= \int_S \mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{A\chi} \left(\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{M}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{J}_B - \frac{1}{2} C_{BC} \mathcal{I}^C \right) \epsilon. \quad (7.34)$$

This charges are conserved when the non-radiative conditions $\mathcal{N}^{AB} = I^A = 0$ are imposed.

7.3 Gravitational Casimirs for the Kerr metric

In the previous section, we have constructed the phase space quantities associated with the Casimir invariants of **gbms**. In this section, we construct these quantities concretely for the particular example of the Kerr metric. Note that the asymptotic symmetries (in our case, the **gbms** algebra) belong to the boundary of (conformal completion) of an asymptotically-flat spacetime. As we are going to construct the conserved quantities, i.e. Casimir functionals, for the **gbms** algebra, we need to write the large-distance form of the metric of a given spacetime in a suitable coordinate system. As usual, it is the Bondi–Sachs coordinates that will be the most convenient for our purpose [27, 28, 150]. Therefore, we first write the Kerr metric in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates, which we then use to construct mass and angular momentum aspects, \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{J} , respectively, for the Kerr metric. We then use these quantities to construct gravitational Casimirs for the Kerr metric. Finally, we comment on the implications of the No-Hair Theorem for our gravitational Casimir invariants.

7.3.1 The Kerr metric in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates

In this section, we write the large-distance behavior of the Kerr metric in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates (regarding this expansion, see also [63, 88]). The procedure is to first consider the Kerr metric in the generalized Bondi–Sachs coordinates (see Eq. (F.5) for the definition), and then do a change of coordinate to the Bondi–Sachs coordinates, fixed by the so-called Bondi–Sachs gauge. In the following, we only mention the final results, and relegate the details of derivation following the procedure explained in [151] (which is basically a change of coordinates at large radius) to Appendix F.1.

We denote the Bondi–Sachs coordinates by $\{u, r, \theta, \varphi\}$. The components of the metric, which we denote as $g_{\mu\nu}$, are given as follows (see Appendix F.1). The components along u are

$$\begin{aligned} g_{uu} &= -1 + \frac{2M}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \\ g_{ur} &= -1 + \frac{a^2}{r^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \cos^2 \theta + \frac{1}{8} \left[\frac{1 + 4 \sin^2 \theta - 8 \sin^4 \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} \right] \right) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}), \\ g_{u\theta} &= \frac{a \cos \theta}{2 \sin^2 \theta} + \frac{a \cos \theta}{4r} \left(8M + \frac{a}{\sin^3 \theta} \right) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \\ g_{u\varphi} &= -\frac{2aM \sin^2 \theta}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.35)$$

and the other components are

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\theta\theta} &= r^2 + \frac{a}{\sin\theta}r + \frac{a^2}{2\sin^2\theta} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}), \\
g_{\theta\varphi} &= \frac{2a^2M\cos\theta}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \\
g_{\varphi\varphi} &= r^2\sin^2\theta - ar\sin\theta + \frac{a^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.36}$$

In these expressions, M , J , and a are the mass, angular momentum, and the reduced angular momentum, defined in (F.4). Equipped with this large- r form of the Kerr metric, we can now construct the gravitational Casimir functionals, to which we now turn to.

7.3.2 Constructing Casimirs for the Kerr Metric

The Casimirs \mathcal{C}_n have been defined in terms of the scalar vorticity w , which has been constructed in terms of m and j (see (4.7)). The corresponding phase space quantity was obtained in §7.1 by means of the gbms moment map. This is given by the expression (7.22). Hence, we need to construct the covariant mass \mathcal{M} and covariant angular momentum \mathcal{J} for the Kerr metric. They are given by (see Appendix F.2)

$$\mathcal{M} = M, \quad \mathcal{J} = 3aM(\cos\theta d\theta - \sin^2\theta d\varphi). \tag{7.37}$$

We can thus construct the Kerr gravitational vorticity \mathbf{w}^{Kerr} as

$$\mathbf{w}^{\text{Kerr}} = -3aM^{-\frac{1}{3}}\cos\theta. \tag{7.38}$$

Finally, the gravitational Casimir functionals (7.23) for the Kerr metric are given by (see Appendix F.2)

$$\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-3a)^n}{n+1}M^{\frac{2-n}{3}}, & n = 0, 2, 4, \dots, \\ 0, & n = 1, 3, 5, \dots \end{cases} \tag{7.39}$$

In particular, all the odd gravitational Casimir functionals vanish.

7.3.3 Casimirs, Kerr parameters, and the No-Hair Theorem

Having the explicit expression of the gravitational Casimirs for the Kerr metric, we can now compute them explicitly for any value of n . The first few of them are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}}) &= M^{\frac{2}{3}}, & \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}}) &= 0, \\
\mathcal{C}_2^{\text{Kerr}} &= 3a^2 = \frac{3J^2}{M^2c^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.40}$$

Therefore, we can express the Kerr parameters M and J in terms of $\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{Kerr}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_2^{\text{Kerr}}$ as

$$M = (\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}}))^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad J = \left(\frac{c^2}{3} \cdot \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}})^3 \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{7.41}$$

On the other hand, from (7.39), $\mathcal{C}_{2n}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{2n}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}}) &= \frac{3^{2n}}{2n+1} \cdot \frac{J^{2n} M^{\frac{2(1-2n)}{3}}}{c^{2n}} \\ &= \frac{3^n}{2n+1} \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}})^{n+1} \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}})^n.\end{aligned}\tag{7.42}$$

Therefore, all the non-zero higher Casimirs $\mathcal{C}_{2n}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}})$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ are determined by $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}})$ and $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}})$.

Recall that according to the No-Hair Theorem [152–154], mass-type M_n and spin-type S_n multipole moments of the Kerr metric are determined as a function of M and J in a unique fashion by the relation $M_n + iS_n = M(ia)^n$ for $n \geq 0$ [155, 156]. This is compatible with our result in the sense that all non-zero higher Casimirs are completely determined in terms of the first two through the relation (7.42). It is expected that all Casimirs are generic functions of J and M . This does not a priori determine what the precise dependence on (M, J) of the higher Casimirs is. As we have shown we can solve this infinite set of relations and express M and J in terms of just two of the Casimirs. This is the direct consequence of the No-Hair Theorem for our gravitational Casimirs.

8 Conclusions

In this work, we proposed a new definition of spin in asymptotically-flat spacetimes using the notion of asymptotic symmetries. After reviewing the issues of defining conserved quantities using the **bms** algebra, we argued that the issues can be resolved once the symmetry algebra is enhanced to **gbms**.

After elaborating on the **gbms** coadjoint action and charge algebra in §3.2, we introduced a Goldstone field associated with **gbms** supertranslations using which the intrinsic angular momentum was defined in §3.3. This quantity was shown to satisfy the right algebra. We then elaborated on the Poincaré embeddings inside **gbms** for a general metric on the celestial sphere in §3.4 and revealed the role of condensate fields characterising the symmetry breaking. The explicit Poincaré charges and algebra for a given embedding were derived in §3.4.2.

In §4, we studied the algebraic aspect of **gbms**. We showed that **gbms** has a very similar structure to the hydrodynamical algebra (4.1), whose coadjoint orbits have been studied and classified previously in [77]. Similar to the hydrodynamical algebra, an important role in the construction of invariants of coadjoint orbits is played by the notion of vorticity, which we identified in (4.7). Equipped with this definition, we then constructed an infinite set of Casimir functionals (4.9) whose level sets label coadjoint orbits of **gbms**. This motivated the definition of the spin charge for **gbms** as the smeared version of the vorticity, which was shown to be supertranslation-invariant (4.25), and the generator of the isotropy subalgebra of **gbms** (4.27).

§5 was devoted to the topic of reference frames for spacetimes with \mathfrak{gbms} as their asymptotic-symmetry group. We introduced the notion of rest frame for \mathfrak{gbms} and the transformation between the rest and the usual Bondi frame in §5.1. Using these reference frames, we then case-studied the stationary spacetimes and obtained their mass and angular momentum aspects in the rest and general Lorentz frames in §5.2. Finally, we obtained the harmonic decomposition of the mass and angular momentum aspects in terms of their condensate fields. Using the former one, we showed that a generic multi-particle configuration does not belong to a \mathfrak{bms} coadjoint orbit with a constant-mass representative.

§6 was devoted to the construction of the Pauli–Lubański generator associated with a Poincaré embedding inside \mathfrak{bms} . We used the spin generator in (6.2) to construct an object which is supertranslation invariant and transforms as a vector under Lorentz symmetry. This vector satisfies a closed algebra only when we restrict the mass aspect to be an element of the constant mass orbit, which is the orbit associated with stationary spacetimes. In this case our definition of spin recovers the usual Pauli–Lubański generator as shown in §6.2.

Finally, we explored several applications of our analysis to the gravitational phase space in §7. In particular, we derived the moment map for \mathfrak{gbms} in §7.1.3 and the evolution of the gravitational spin charge in §7.2.2. As a concrete example, we constructed the gravitational Casimirs for the particular example of the Kerr metric in §7.3.

Our work opens the way towards three main different future explorations. First, one would like to understand more deeply the symmetry-breaking mechanism and the role of the corresponding condensate fields described in §3.4 and §5.3. Second, we would like to understand whether the Casimirs we have constructed are relevant for the numerical study of gravitational dynamics. In fluid mechanics, the Casimirs are the slow variables that lead to long-term predictive behaviour in chaotic dynamics. We still have to see whether a similar interpretation can be given in gravity. Finally, our analysis is classical; it would be essential to develop the quantum representation theory to study S-matrix scattering amplitudes from the point of view of the unbroken GBMS symmetry group, instead of using the broken symmetry representation of Poincaré, as one usually does.

Acknowledgement We thank Glenn Barnich, Geoffrey Compère, and Christopher Wright for helpful discussions. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported in part by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Colleges and Universities. The work of S.F.M. is funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), funding reference number SAPIN/00047-2020, and in part by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, grant FG-2020-13768, and also in part by ERC Consolidator Grant #864828 “Algebraic Foundations of Supersymmetric Quantum Field Theory” (SCFTAlg). D.P. has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation

programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 841923. This work was supported by the Simons Collaboration on Celestial Holography.

A Moment maps and coadjoint orbits

In this appendix, we remind several useful notions such as moment map, coadjoint action, and coadjoint orbits [17, 157].

Moment map. Let G be a real Lie group whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g} . As a vector space, \mathfrak{g} has a dual \mathfrak{g}^* . Furthermore, let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold on which the group G acts by symplectomorphism. This notion can be defined by considering the G -action as a map $G \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$ which induces the map $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi^*\omega = \omega$, i.e. the symplectic form is preserved under a symplectomorphism. The action of G on M is Hamiltonian if there is a G -equivariant map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, called the *moment-map* satisfying certain properties as follows: Let $X^\#$ be the vector field on M generated by the flow $\exp(tX) \cdot p$ of X , where \cdot denotes the action of G on M . This is the vector field generated by the one-parameter subgroup $\{\exp(tX) \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}, t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset G$. Note that this map associates to any element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, a vector field $X^\#$ on M . More explicitly, this vector field is defined as

$$X^\#(p) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \varphi(\exp(tX)) \right|_{t=0} (p), \quad \forall p \in M. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

This vector field satisfies

$$d\mu_X = \iota_{X^\#} \omega = \omega(X^\#, \cdot), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $\mu_X(p) := \mu(p)(X)$ for any $p \in M$ denotes the component of μ along X . This relation basically means that $X^\#$ is the Hamiltonian vector field associated with the Hamiltonian function μ_X . On the other hand, G -equivariance means that the moment-map commutes with the G -action, i.e.

$$\mu(\varphi(p)) = \text{Ad}_g^*(\mu(p)), \quad p \in M, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ is the map we introduced above, and Ad_g^* denotes the coadjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g}^* , which we will define momentarily.

The Lie–Poisson structure on \mathfrak{g}^* . It is a well-known fact that for any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , its dual \mathfrak{g}^* is equipped with a Poisson bracket, the so-called Lie–Poisson structure [122–125]. For $F, G \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, we have

$$\{F, G\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mathbf{p}) := \langle \mathbf{p}, [d_{\mathbf{p}}F, d_{\mathbf{p}}G]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where $d_{\mathbf{p}}F : T_{\mathbf{p}}\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and the differential should be thought of as maps into \mathfrak{g} (rather than \mathfrak{g}^{**}). Note also that $T_{\mathbf{p}}\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}^*$, as a linear space.

Remark A.1. (Equivariant moment maps as Poisson morphisms). Let us make a comment about the equivariance of moment maps which we have assumed in its definition.

Note that the action of a group on a symplectic (or Poisson) manifold does not need to have an equivariant moment map. The general scenario for the emergence of such non-equivariant moment maps has been discussed in [158]. In general, having a smooth map between two Poisson manifolds, like a moment map, there is no natural way to pull-back the Poisson structure [159]. However, the elementary but important feature of equivariant moment maps is the following result [160–164]

Theorem A.1 (Equivariant Moment Maps are Poisson). Let $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_\rho)$ be a Poisson manifold carrying a G -action for a Lie group G with an equivariant moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$. Then, μ is a Poisson map (or Poisson morphism) preserving the Poisson brackets in the following sense

$$\mu^*\{F, G\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \{\mu^*F, \mu^*G\}_M, \quad \forall F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}^*), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ is the Lie–Poisson structure on \mathfrak{g}^* .

The importance of this theorem for us is that we are mainly concerned with the action of a symmetry algebra \mathfrak{g} on (asymptotic) gravitational phase space, which is naturally equipped with a Poisson structure, induced by its symplectic structure. Theorem A.1 implies that this Poisson structure is induced from the Lie–Poisson structure on \mathfrak{g}^* through the corresponding equivariant moment map. Furthermore, in §7.1, we explicitly construct the moment map for the action of \mathfrak{g} on a certain phase space (which we have dubbed as electric and non-radiative) of an asymptotically-flat spacetime.

Coadjoint actions. The coadjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g}^* is defined as follows

$$\langle \text{Ad}_g^* \mathbf{p}, Y \rangle := \langle \mathbf{p}, \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} Y \rangle, \quad \forall g \in G, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{g}^*. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Ad and Ad^* denote the adjoint and coadjoint actions of G , respectively. It can be shown that the vector fields (A.1) corresponding to the adjoint and coadjoint actions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_Y &:= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ad}_{\exp(tX)} Y \right|_{t=0} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{g}), \\ \hat{X}_{\mathbf{p}} &:= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ad}_{\exp(tX)}^* \mathbf{p} \right|_{t=0} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{g}^*), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $\mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ denote the space of vector fields on \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^* , respectively. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\tilde{X}_Y = [X, Y], \quad \langle \hat{X}_{\mathbf{p}}, Y \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{p}, [X, Y] \rangle, \quad \forall Y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Similarly, one can define the coadjoint action of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{g}^* by

$$\langle \text{ad}_X^* \mathbf{p}, Y \rangle := -\langle \mathbf{p}, \text{ad}_X Y \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{p}, [X, Y] \rangle, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where ad and ad^* denote the adjoint and coadjoint actions of \mathfrak{g} .

Coadjoint orbits and its properties. The coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}$ of the coadjoint action with the initial point \mathbf{p} is defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}} := \{\mathbf{p}' \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid \mathbf{p}' = \text{Ad}_g^* \mathbf{p}, \forall g \in G\}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Coadjoint orbits have some nice properties. In the following, we will mention some of the relevant ones.

- *Coadjoint orbits as a quotient.* A coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is diffeomorphic to $G/G_{\mathbf{p}}$, where $G_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the isotropy subgroup of the point \mathbf{p} defined by

$$G_{\mathbf{p}} := \{g \in G \mid \text{Ad}_g^* \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}\}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

- *Tangent space of coadjoint orbits.* The tangent space at a point $\mathbf{p}' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is generated by $\{\widehat{X}_{\mathbf{p}'} \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ with $\widehat{X}_{\mathbf{p}'}$ is given by (A.7). This is almost tautological since the definition given for $\widehat{X}_{\mathbf{p}'}$ in (A.7) is precisely the definition of tangent space at a point of coadjoint orbit. This and (A.11) imply

$$T_{\mathbf{p}'} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}} \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{p}'}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{p}'} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the isotropy subalgebra of \mathbf{p}' , the Lie algebra of $G_{\mathbf{p}'}$ defined in (A.11).

- *Canonical symplectic structure on coadjoint orbits.* Coadjoint orbits are endowed with the so-called Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau symplectic form [7, 8, 14–16, 119, 120]

$$\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}) = -\mathbf{p}'([X, Y]), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathbf{p}' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where \widehat{X} and \widehat{Y} are two vector fields on \mathfrak{g}^* generated by the \mathfrak{g} -actions of X and Y , respectively. More explicitly, we have (note that the tangent space of the orbit at \mathbf{p}' is generated by $\text{ad}_X^* \mathbf{p}'$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, as we explained above)

$$\omega_{\mathbf{p}'}(\text{ad}_X^* \mathbf{p}', \text{ad}_Y^* \mathbf{p}') = -\mathbf{p}'([X, Y]), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathbf{p}' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

This is a closed 2-form and furthermore, is invariant under the coadjoint action of G . This can be seen by choosing any pairing and noting that

$$\mathbf{p}([X, Y]) = \langle \mathbf{p}, [X, Y] \rangle \longmapsto \langle \text{Ad}_g^* \mathbf{p}, \text{Ad}_g [X, Y] \rangle = \langle \mathbf{p}, [X, Y] \rangle. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

This means that G -action on \mathfrak{g}^* is a symplectomorphism and therefore, $\omega_{\mathbf{p}}$ defines a canonical symplectic structure on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}$ which does not depend on the choice of an inner product on \mathfrak{g} . As a resulting of being symplectic, coadjoint orbits are always even-dimensional (even in a suitable sense, in infinite dimensions).

- *Coadjoint orbits as level sets of Casimir functions.* Another important property of coadjoint orbits is that they can be labeled by some functions $\mathcal{C} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}})$ of the coadjoint-orbit coordinates called the Casimir functionals satisfying

$$\left. \text{ad}_X^* \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_a \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial p^a} \text{ad}_X^* p^a \right) \right|_{\mathbf{p}} = \text{d}\mathcal{C}(\widehat{X}) = \omega_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{C}}, \widehat{X}) = 0, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

where $\{p^a\}$ is a set of local coordinates in the neighbourhood of \mathbf{p} , $\text{d}\mathcal{C} = \omega_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{C}}, \cdot)$ for the Hamiltonian vector field $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{C}}$ associated to \mathcal{C} , and $\widehat{X} = \text{ad}_X^* f^a \partial_{f^a}$ is a vector field on \mathfrak{g}^* corresponding to the action of $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Equation (A.16) just tells that Casimir functions are invariant under the coadjoint action. Thus, the level sets of a complete set of Casimir functions⁶⁴ label coadjoint orbits, i.e. for any level set $\{\mathcal{C}_n = C_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ for constants C_n , there is a coadjoint orbit. It then follows that any $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathbb{R})$ Poisson-commutes with all \mathcal{C} s

$$\{\mathcal{C}, F\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mathbf{p}) = \omega_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{V}_F) = 0, \quad F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}^*), \quad (\text{A.17})$$

where $\text{d}F = \omega_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathcal{V}_F, \cdot)$. Equation (A.17) follows from (A.16) by replacing \widehat{X} with \mathcal{V}_F . As coadjoint orbits can be realized as level sets of Casimir functions, we have the following decomposition of \mathfrak{g}^*

$$\mathfrak{g}^* = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}, \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where $\mathbf{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots\}$ is a set of numbers determining the level sets of Casimir functions and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is the corresponding coadjoint orbit.

- *Momentum map for the coadjoint action.* The moment-map for the coadjoint action of G of \mathfrak{g}^* is nothing but the inclusion map $\mathbf{i} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. We can show this by proving that the inclusion map is a) an equivariant map and b) it satisfies (A.2) with $\mu_X \rightarrow \mathbf{i}_X$. The first property follows immediately by noting that the required action is the restriction of coadjoint action, which is already equivariant. The second property can be proven by noting that $\mathbf{i}_X(\mathbf{p}') = \langle \mathbf{p}', X \rangle$ and hence $[\text{d}\mathbf{i}_X(\widehat{Y})](\mathbf{p}') = \langle \mathbf{p}', [X, Y] \rangle$, where to prove this we use the fact that \mathbf{i} is the inclusion map. On the other hand, $\iota_{\widehat{X}} \omega_{\mathbf{p}'}(\widehat{Y}, \cdot) = \langle \mathbf{p}', [X, Y] \rangle$. Comparing these results, it follows that the inclusion map satisfies (A.2), i.e. $\text{d}\mathbf{i}_X = \iota_{\widehat{X}} \omega_{\mathbf{p}'}$, which implies that it is the moment-map for the coadjoint action.
- *Compatibility of the Lie–Poisson structure on \mathfrak{g}^* and the symplectic structure on its coadjoint orbits.* For $F, G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, the Lie–Poisson structure $\{F, G\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ on \mathfrak{g}^* and the symplectic structure $\omega_{\mathcal{O}}$ on the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O} are compatible in the following sense

$$\left. \{F, G\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mathbf{p}) \right|_{\mathcal{O}} = \{F|_{\mathcal{O}}, G|_{\mathcal{O}}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mathbf{p}) = \omega_{\mathcal{O}}(X_F, X_G)(\mathbf{p}), \quad (\text{A.19})$$

⁶⁴By a complete set of Casimir functions, we mean a set which contains a maximal number of functions with two properties: 1) $\{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'\}(\mathbf{p}) = 0$ for any two functions \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' belonging to the set, and 2) $\{\mathcal{C}, F\}(\mathbf{p}) = 0$ for all functions $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, where $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ being the space of functions on \mathfrak{g}^* .

where $\cdot|_{\mathcal{O}}$ denotes the restriction to the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O} , and X_F and X_G are Hamiltonian vector fields generated by F and G .

The relevance of these concepts for us is as follows. What we actually have in mind is to realize a gravitational phase space as a union of inverse images of coadjoint orbits of some extended symmetry group under the moment map. This can be made a bit more precise as follows although still many many functional analysis questions are swept under the carpet. Consider an extended symmetry algebra \mathfrak{g} acting by Hamiltonian transformations on a gravitational phase space Γ . It is well-known that (see Appendix A)

$$\mathfrak{g}^* := \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}},$$

where \mathbf{C} labels the level set of Casimir functions of \mathfrak{g} . Due to the existence of the moment map $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ for the \mathfrak{g} -action on Γ , the latter can be partitioned into symplectic leaves of the pull-back of the Lie–Poisson structure (A.4) to the phase space Γ . Hence,

$$\Gamma = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{C}} \Gamma_{\mathbf{C}}, \quad \Gamma_{\mathbf{C}} := \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}),$$

where $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}})$ is the inverse image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$ under the moment-map $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Coadjoint orbits of semi-direct products. In the main body of the paper, we are dealing with coadjoint orbits of semi-direct product groups (or algebras in the form of a semi-direct sum). Such groups take the form $G = G' \ltimes N$, where G' is called the quotient factor, and N , called the normal factor, has the structure of an Abelian group.⁶⁵ The study of coadjoint orbits of such groups is well-known in the literature [77, 80, 81]. In brief, one first studies the isotropy subalgebra (the Lie algebra version of (2.4)), which by construction involves the normal part N . The invariants of coadjoint orbits for isotropy subalgebra would provide the analogs of spin for G . Furthermore, these invariants can then be lifted to the whole group by a judicious modification of these invariants. For an explicit example of this procedure relevant to gravity, see [77].

B Dual Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector as a constant of motion

In this appendix, we study the time evolution of dual Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector (2.48) on a coadjoint orbit of Poincaré group. For this purpose, we first find a set of canonical coordinates on a coadjoint orbit (as a symplectic manifold) and then define the time evolution. We finally show that the time evolution of w_{μ} , defined in (2.48), vanishes. Hence, it is a constant of motion.

⁶⁵The corner symmetry group, discovered in [165], is an example of a semi-direct product group with a non-Abelian normal factor. Using the reduction of this normal factor to an Abelian one, the classification of coadjoint orbits of this group is done in [77].

B.1 Coadjoint orbits of Poincaré group

The coadjoint orbits of Poincaré group is a very well-known subject. For a detailed construction and some applications see [77, 166–168].

Coadjoint actions of $\text{iso}(3,1)$ and $\text{Iso}(3,1)$. The adjoint action of the Poincaré algebra $\text{iso}(3,1)$ on itself is implemented by the brackets (2.20). Instead of coadjoint orbits, one can study the adjoint orbits since one can show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between adjoint and coadjoint orbits of Poincaré algebra [169, §3].

Let us begin by studying the coadjoint orbits of the Poincaré group. As $\{\mathbf{P}_\mu, \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}\}$ is a basis for $\text{iso}(3,1)$, a generic element of $\mathbf{X} \in \text{iso}(3,1)$ is expanded as

$$\mathbf{X} = x^\mu \mathbf{P}_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \psi^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

with $\psi^{\nu\mu} = -\psi^{\mu\nu}$. Similarly, a generic element $\mathbf{X}^* \in \text{iso}(3,1)^*$ has the following expansion

$$\mathbf{X}^* = p_\mu \mathbf{X}^\mu + \frac{1}{2} j_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{\Psi}^{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathbf{\Psi}^{\nu\mu} = -\mathbf{\Psi}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where $\{\mathbf{X}^\mu, \mathbf{\Psi}^{\mu\nu}\}$ is a basis for $\text{iso}(3,1)^*$. A pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ between $\text{iso}(3,1)$ and $\text{iso}(3,1)^*$ is given by

$$\langle \mathbf{X}^*, \mathbf{X} \rangle = x^\mu p_\mu + \psi^{\mu\nu} j_{\mu\nu}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

The adjoint action of $\text{iso}(3,1)$ on itself is given by the brackets (2.19), from which the coadjoint action of $\text{iso}(3,1)$ on $\text{iso}(3,1)^*$ is determined through⁶⁶

$$\langle \delta_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X}^*, \mathbf{X} \rangle := -i \langle \mathbf{X}^*, [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] \rangle. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The coadjoint actions are determined as follows. We expand \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{X}^* as above and \mathbf{Y} as $\mathbf{Y} = y^\mu \mathbf{P}_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \psi'^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}$. Substituting in (B.3) and equating terms with the same coefficients gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathbf{P}_\mu} p_\nu &= 0, \\ \delta_{\mathbf{P}_\mu} j_{\nu\sigma} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} p_\sigma - \eta_{\mu\sigma} p_\nu, \quad \delta_{\mathbf{J}_{\nu\sigma}} p_\mu = \eta_{\mu\sigma} p_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu} p_\sigma, \\ \delta_{\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}} j_{\rho\sigma} &= \eta_{\mu\rho} j_{\nu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\sigma} j_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\sigma} j_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\sigma} j_{\mu\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

As $(\mathbf{P}_\mu, \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu})$ can be thought of as a basis for linear functions on $\text{iso}(3,1)^*$, we get, by definition, the Lie–Poisson structure on $\text{iso}(3,1)^*$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \{p_\mu, p_\nu\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &:= \delta_{\mathbf{P}_\mu} p_\nu = 0, \\ \{p_\mu, j_{\nu\sigma}\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &:= \delta_{\mathbf{P}_\mu} j_{\nu\sigma} = -\delta_{\mathbf{J}_{\nu\sigma}} p_\mu = \eta_{\mu\sigma} p_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu} p_\sigma, \\ \{j_{\mu\nu}, j_{\rho\sigma}\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &:= \delta_{\mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}} j_{\rho\sigma} = \eta_{\mu\rho} j_{\nu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\sigma} j_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\sigma} j_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\sigma} j_{\mu\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

⁶⁶We included an extra factor of i to come up with the convenient expressions.

This familiar form is the classical analog of the Poincaré algebra (2.19), i.e. under quantization map $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} \mapsto -i[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{iso}(3,1)}$, (B.6) becomes (2.19). From these brackets, one can compute the brackets between any two elements of $\text{iso}(3,1)^*$.

Since the Poincaré group is connected, one can derive the finite transformations by successive application of transformations (2.19) and (B.5) to get the adjoint and coadjoint action of the Poincaré group $\text{Iso}(3,1)$, respectively. The adjoint action of an element $g = (\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{a}) \in \text{Iso}(3,1)$ on the generators $(\mathbf{P}_\mu, \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu})$ of $\text{iso}(3,1)$ as [80]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_g \mathbf{P}_\mu &= (\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda})_\mu, \\ \text{Ad}_g \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu} &= (\mathbf{\Lambda} \cdot \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda})_{\mu\nu} - [(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda})_\mu (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda})_\nu - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda})_\mu (\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda})_\nu], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda} \cdot \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda} = \Lambda^\mu{}_\rho \Lambda^\nu{}_\sigma \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}$.⁶⁷ Similarly, its coadjoint actions on the dual coordinates (the finite version of (B.5)), which is defined through

$$\langle \text{Ad}_g^* \mathbf{X}^*, \mathbf{X} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{X}^*, \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \mathbf{X} \rangle, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

are given by⁶⁸

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_g^* p_\mu &= (p \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})_\mu, \\ \text{Ad}_g^* \psi_{\mu\nu} &= (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \cdot \psi \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})_{\mu\nu} - [(p \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})_\mu (\mathbf{a})_\nu - (\mathbf{a})_\mu (p \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})_\nu]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Casimir functions on coadjoint orbits. We have seen in §2.3 that the representation of $\text{iso}(3,1)$ are determined by two Casimir elements $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_2(\text{iso}(3,1))$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_4(\text{iso}(3,1))$, defined in (2.23) and (2.24), respectively. The classical counterpart of this statement is that there are two Casimir functions on coadjoint orbits of $\text{iso}(3,1)$ whose values determine the coadjoint orbits. These invariants can be constructed by noting that the coadjoint actions (B.5) imply that

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\text{iso}(3,1)) := -\eta^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu, \quad \mathcal{C}_4(\text{iso}(3,1)) := \eta^{\mu\nu} w_\mu w_\nu, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where

$$w_\mu := \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p^\nu j^{\rho\sigma}, \quad (\text{B.11})$$

is a polynomial function⁶⁹ on $\text{iso}(3,1)^*$, the analog to the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector (2.25), are invariant under the coadjoint action of $\text{iso}(3,1)$. We call w_μ , the dual Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector.⁷⁰ Hence, (B.10) are the two Casimir functions on coadjoint orbits of $\text{iso}(3,1)$ whose level sets are the coadjoint orbits of the Poincaré algebra. The dimension of coadjoint orbits is easy to determine. The dimension of $\text{iso}(3,1)^*$ is 10, just like $\text{iso}(3,1)$, and there are

⁶⁷Here, we have used the notation $(\mathbf{\Lambda})^\mu{}_\nu = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu$.

⁶⁸Recall that $(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})^\mu{}_\nu = (\mathbf{\Lambda})_\nu{}^\mu = (\mathbf{\Lambda}^T)^\mu{}_\nu$, where $\mathbf{\Lambda}^T$ denotes the transpose of the matrix of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$.

⁶⁹Polynomial functions on a Lie co-algebra turn to elements of universal enveloping algebra of the corresponding Lie algebra.

⁷⁰This is just a terminology. The fact that it is a pseudo-vector is shown below.

two Casimir functions whose level sets are the coadjoint orbits. Hence, the dimension of all coadjoint orbits of $\text{iso}(3, 1)$ is 8.⁷¹

Analog to W_μ , w_μ has two important properties

(1) It follows from (B.6) that w_μ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \{p_\mu, w_\nu\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= 0, & \{j_{\mu\nu}, w_\sigma\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= \eta_{\mu\sigma} w_\nu - \eta_{\nu\sigma} w_\mu, \\ \{w_\mu, w_\nu\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p^\rho w^\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

These are the classical analogs of (2.27) and (2.28).

(2) (B.9) implies that under the parity transformation, represented by the matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &\mapsto +p_0, & p_i &\mapsto -p_i, \\ j_{0i} &\mapsto -j_{0i}, & j_{kl} &\mapsto +j_{kl}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Therefore, under the parity transformation, we have

$$w_0 \mapsto -w_0, \quad w_i \mapsto +w_i. \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Therefore, w_μ is also a pseudo-vector.

B.2 Evolution of the dual Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector

Having determined the coadjoint orbits of $\text{iso}(3, 1)$, we would like to define dynamics on these coadjoint orbits and evaluate the time evolution of w_μ . For this purpose, we need to define a set of local coordinates on a coadjoint orbits, to which we now turn.

Coordinate representatives in the rest frame. To determine the parameterization of the orbits, we proceed as we did for constructing the representation theory, namely, we use (B.5) to put $\{p_\mu, j_{\mu\nu}\}$ into simple forms, which we dub “the rest frame”. Let us set the value of the first Casimir to $\mathcal{C}_2(\text{iso}(3, 1)) = \kappa^2$ for some real positive κ . Then, by the Lorentz boost (2.33) with a different set of parameters ($\mathbf{P}_\mu \rightarrow p_\mu$ and $m \rightarrow \kappa$)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{\Lambda}_p)^0_0 &= \frac{p_0}{\kappa}, & (\mathbf{\Lambda}_p)^0_i &= \frac{p_i}{\kappa}, & (\mathbf{\Lambda}_p)^i_0 &= \frac{p^i}{\kappa}, \\ (\mathbf{\Lambda}_p)^i_j &= \delta^i_j + \frac{p^i p_j}{\kappa(\kappa + p^0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

we can take

$$p_\mu^{\text{R}} = (\kappa, 0, 0, 0), \quad (\text{B.16})$$

⁷¹The dimension of coadjoint orbits of $\text{iso}(d, 1)$ is $(d+1)(d+2)/2 - \lfloor (d+2)/2 \rfloor$, where the second term is the number of Casimir functions on coadjoint orbits of $\text{iso}(d, 1)$. The latter is the same as the number of Casimir elements for $\text{iso}(d, 1)$ [170, Eq. (5.7)].

where \mathbf{R} denotes the rest frame.⁷² It is clear that the set of transformations that preserve (B.16) consist of spatial rotations and translations, forming the isotropy subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. We can now fix the value of other coordinates $j_{\mu\nu}$ while keeping $p_\mu^{\mathbf{R}}$ fixed using the left-over rotations and translations. Under an infinitesimal translation with parameter ϵ_μ , (B.5) implies that

$$j_{0i} \rightarrow j_{0i} + \epsilon^\mu \delta_{\mathbf{P}_\mu} j_{0i} = j_{0i} - \kappa \epsilon^\mu \eta_{\mu i}. \quad (\text{B.17})$$

Therefore, by a judicious choice of the translation parameters ϵ^μ , we can set

$$j_{0i}^{\mathbf{R}} = 0. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

This will leave the rotation subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ to fix j_{kl} . Since $j_{kl} = -j_{lk}$, there are three independent coordinates j_{kl} , and hence they can be thought as components of a three-vector s^i , defined through $j_{kl} = \varepsilon_{kli} s^i$. Furthermore, using the rotation freedom, we can get an arbitrary three-vector s^i from a preferred three-vector $s_i^{\mathbf{R}} = (0, 0, s)$, by the virtue of which, we can set

$$j_{kl}^{\mathbf{R}} = \varepsilon_{kl} s, \quad k, l = 1, 2. \quad (\text{B.19})$$

There is no preference in choosing the form of $s_i^{\mathbf{R}}$; It can be taken to be $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, or any other fixed three-vector. Notice that using $s_i = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ikl} j^{kl}$ and (B.6), it follows that

$$\{s_i, s_j\}_{\mathfrak{iso}(3,1)^*} = \varepsilon_{ij}{}^k s_k. \quad (\text{B.20})$$

This means that s_i implements the action of the isotropy algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ on $\mathfrak{iso}(3,1)^*$. This is the classical counterpart of the fact that the spin three-vector S_i , defined in (2.36), satisfies the $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ algebra.

Using (B.16), (B.18), and (B.19), we can write the rest frame form of w_μ as

$$w_\mu^{\mathbf{R}} = (0, 0, 0, -\kappa s). \quad (\text{B.21})$$

This fixes the value of $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{iso}(3,1)) = -\kappa^2$ through the choice of (B.16) and the value of $\mathcal{C}_4(\mathfrak{iso}(3,1)) = \kappa^2 s^2$ through (B.21). Therefore, any pair $(\kappa, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ completely fixes the orbit and would be an orbit representative.⁷³ After the quantization, any such pair, where now s becomes integer or half-integer valued, provides a label for an irreducible representation of the Poincaré algebra.

Coordinate representatives in a general frame. Having found a representative $(p_\mu^{\mathbf{R}}, j_{\mu\nu}^{\mathbf{R}})$ of the coordinates on a coadjoint orbit in the rest frame, we can go to an arbitrary point by the coadjoint action of Poincaré algebra using (B.15) and an arbitrary translation. An analysis

⁷²Here, we use the coadjoint action to fix the form of $(p_\mu, j_{\mu\nu})$ while in §2.3, we use the adjoint action to fix the form of $(\mathbf{P}_\mu, \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu})$.

⁷³Similar to the representation theory, where there is an invariant condition $\mathbf{P}^0 > 0$ to preserve the casual type, there is an invariant $p^0 > 0$ which we have ignored but has to be considered.

similar to what we explain here using a complicated decomposition of the Lorentz transformation (B.15) is done in [168].

Applying the coadjoint action (B.9) to $(p_\mu^R, j_{\mu\nu}^R)$ for the Poincaré-group element $g = (\mathbf{\Lambda}_p, \mathbf{a})$, we find that⁷⁴

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu &= \left(p^R \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_p \right)_\mu \\ j_{0i} &= -p_0 a_i + p_i a_0 - \frac{1}{\kappa} \varepsilon^{ijk} p_j s_k, \\ j_{kl} &= -p_k a_l + p_l a_k + \varepsilon_{kli} s^i + \frac{(\varepsilon_{kij} p_l - \varepsilon_{lij} p_k)}{\kappa(\kappa + p^0)} p^i s^j. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.22})$$

One can eliminate the last term in j_{kl} by defining a new set of coordinates

$$\Sigma_i := -p_0 a_i + p_i a_0 - \frac{p^0}{\kappa(\kappa + p^0)} \varepsilon_{imn} p^m s^n, \quad (\text{B.23})$$

by which, we can write (B.22) as

$$\begin{aligned} j_{0i} &= \Sigma^i - \frac{1}{\kappa + p^0} \varepsilon_{imn} p^m s^n, \\ j_{kl} &= \frac{1}{p_0} (-p_k \Sigma_l + p_l \Sigma_k) + \varepsilon_{kli} s^i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.24})$$

Note that $p^0 > 0$ and the above expressions are well-defined. We can finally re-define $\Sigma_i/p_0 \rightarrow \Sigma_i$ and end-up with

$$\begin{aligned} j_{0i} &= p_0 \Sigma_i - \frac{1}{\kappa + p^0} \varepsilon_{imn} p^m s^n, \\ j_{kl} &= -p_k \Sigma_l + p_l \Sigma_k + \varepsilon_{kli} s^i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.25})$$

We see that the coordinates $(p_\mu, j_{\mu\nu})$ in a general frame can be written in terms of (p_μ, Σ_i, s_i) , which in total make 10 parameters. However, on the orbit labeled by (κ, s) , p_0 is uniquely determined through $-(p^0)^2 + (p^i)^2 = -\kappa^2$ and $p^0 > 0$, and $s^2 = \eta^{ij} s_i s_j$. These two constraints reduce the number of parameters by 2, and we are left with 8 parameters, which is the same as the dimension of a coadjoint orbit. This data provides a concrete way to coordinatize an orbit. For another way to provide a coordinate on coadjoint orbits see [77, §2.3]

Canonical coordinates on coadjoint orbits. To study dynamics on coadjoint orbits, we define a canonical set of “position” \mathcal{X}^μ , “momentum” \mathcal{P}_μ , and spin \mathcal{S}_μ coordinates whose defining relations are⁷⁵

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{X}^i, \mathcal{X}^j\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= 0, & \{\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_j\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= 0, \\ \{\mathcal{X}^i, \mathcal{S}_j\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= 0, & \{\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{S}_j\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= 0, \\ \{\mathcal{X}^i, \mathcal{P}_j\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= \delta^i_j, & \{\mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{S}_j\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} &= \varepsilon_{ij}{}^k \mathcal{S}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.26})$$

⁷⁴We use the notation $(\mathbf{a})_\nu = a_\nu$.

⁷⁵In the computation of these Poisson brackets, the restriction to the corresponding coadjoint orbit, fixed by (κ, s) , is understood.

The “time evolution” on coadjoint orbits, whose parameter we denote as τ , is defined by the Hamiltonian $H := \mathcal{P}^0$. Notice that, from (B.6), we have

$$\{p_k, j_{0l}\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} = +\eta_{kl}p_0, \quad (\text{B.27})$$

which, given the expression of j_{0i} in (B.25), is satisfied if we impose

$$\{p_i, \Sigma_j\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} = \eta_{ij}, \quad \{p_i, s_j\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*} = 0. \quad (\text{B.28})$$

These relations taken together with (B.20) imply that we can identify the canonical coordinates as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}^i &:= -\Sigma^i, & \mathcal{S}_i &:= s_i. \\ \mathcal{P}_0 &:= p_0, & \mathcal{P}_i &:= p_i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.29})$$

The coordinates $j_{\mu\nu}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} j_{0i} &= -\mathcal{X}_i\mathcal{P}_0 - \frac{1}{\kappa + \mathcal{P}^0}\varepsilon_{imn}\mathcal{P}^m\mathcal{S}^n, \\ j_{kl} &= -\mathcal{X}_k\mathcal{P}_l + \mathcal{X}_l\mathcal{P}_k + \varepsilon_{kli}\mathcal{S}^i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.30})$$

Evolution of the dual Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector. Finally, we can determine the time-evolution of w_μ (B.11). We define the time-evolution of a quantity \mathcal{Q} is determined through

$$\dot{\mathcal{Q}} = \frac{d\mathcal{Q}}{d\tau} := \{\mathcal{Q}, H\}_{\text{iso}(3,1)^*}, \quad (\text{B.31})$$

where $H = \mathcal{P}_0$ plays the role of the Hamiltonian of the system. From (B.23) (with the rescaling Σ_i/p_0 is understood) and $a^0 \rightarrow \tau$, we have $\dot{\mathcal{X}}^i = \mathcal{P}^i/\mathcal{P}^0$. Furthermore, from (B.6), (B.26), and (B.29), we get $\dot{\mathcal{P}}^i = \dot{\mathcal{S}}^i = 0$. Hence, from (B.30), we get

$$\dot{j}_{0i} = \mathcal{P}_i, \quad \dot{j}^{kl} = 0, \quad (\text{B.32})$$

from which we conclude that

$$\dot{w}_\mu = 0. \quad (\text{B.33})$$

This shows that w_μ is a constant of motion.

C Details of various computations

In this appendix, we provide the details of various computations leading to some of the results in the main body of the paper.

C.1 Proof of results in §3

Proof of intrinsic angular momentum algebra (3.28). In order to derive the algebra (3.31) of the intrinsic angular momentum (3.28), we use the coadjoint action (3.20), as well as $\delta_T G = T$, $\delta_Y G = (\mathcal{L}_Y - W_Y)G$ to compute first the actions

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{T|Y} &= \frac{3}{2} \int_S Y^A m \partial_A T \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_S T Y^A \partial_A m \epsilon - \int_S Y^A \partial_A T m \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_S m T D_A Y^A \epsilon \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_S Y^A \partial_A (Tm) \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_S m T D_A Y^A \epsilon = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{C.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{Y'|Y} &= \underbrace{\int_S Y^A (\mathcal{L}_{Y'} j_A + 2W_{Y'} j_A) \epsilon}_{:=\delta_{Y'}^1} - \underbrace{\int_S \left(Y^A \partial_A G - \frac{1}{2} G D_A Y^A \right) (Y'^B \partial_B m + 3W_{Y'} m) \epsilon}_{:=\delta_{Y'}^2} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\int_S \left[Y^A D_A (Y'^B \partial_B - W_{Y'}) G - \frac{1}{2} D_A Y^A (Y'^B \partial_B - W_{Y'}) G \right] m \epsilon}_{:=\delta_{Y'}^3},\end{aligned}\tag{C.2}$$

from which we see immediately that the intrinsic angular momentum (3.28) is supertranslation invariant. Moreover, we manipulate the second (angular momentum) action using

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{Y'}^1 &= \int_S Y^A \left(Y'^B D_B j_A + j_B D_A Y'^B + D_B Y'^B j_A \right) \epsilon \\ &= \int_S \left(-D_B (Y'^B Y^A) + Y^B D_B Y'^A + Y^A D_B Y'^B \right) j_A \epsilon \\ &= \int_S \left(-Y'^B D_B Y^A + Y^B D_B Y'^A \right) j_A \epsilon \\ &= \int_S [Y, Y']_S^A j_A \epsilon,\end{aligned}\tag{C.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{Y'}^2 &= - \int_S \left(Y^A \partial_A G - \frac{1}{2} G D_A Y^A \right) (Y'^B \partial_B m + 3W_{Y'} m) \epsilon \\ &= \int_S \left(\left[D_B \left(Y^A \partial_A G - \frac{1}{2} G D_A Y^A \right) \right] Y'^B - \frac{1}{2} \left(Y^A \partial_A G - \frac{1}{2} G D_A Y^A \right) D_B Y'^B \right) m \epsilon.\end{aligned}\tag{C.4}$$

We then look at all contributions of the action $\delta_{Y'}^2 + \delta_{Y'}^3$, that do not contain derivatives on the Goldstone field G , which give

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_S \left(-Y'^B D_B D_A Y^A + Y^A D_A D_B Y'^B \right) G m \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \int_S G D_A [Y, Y']_S^A m \epsilon, \quad (\text{C.5})$$

while the terms with derivatives on G give

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_S \left[Y^B D_B Y'^A - \frac{1}{2} Y^A D_B Y'^B + Y^A Y'^B D_B - \frac{1}{2} Y'^A D_B Y^B \right. \\ & \left. - Y'^B D_B Y^A - Y^A Y'^B D_B + \frac{1}{2} Y'^A D_B Y^B + \frac{1}{2} Y^A D_B Y'^B \right] \partial_A G m \epsilon \\ & = - \int_S \left[Y^B D_B Y'^A - Y'^B D_B Y^A \right] \partial_A G m \epsilon \\ & = - \int_S [Y, Y']_S^A \partial_A G m \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.6})$$

Combining (C.5) and (C.6) we obtain

$$\{\mathbb{L}_Y, \mathbb{J}_{Y'}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \delta_{Y'} \mathbb{L}_Y = \mathbb{L}_{[Y, Y']_S}. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

Including the action (C.3), we thus arrive at

$$\{\mathbb{I}_Y, \mathbb{J}_{Y'}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \delta_{Y'} \mathbb{I}_Y = \mathbb{I}_{[Y, Y']_S}. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

In order to compute the bracket $\{\mathbb{I}_Y, \mathbb{I}_{Y'}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ we need to include the action the Goldstone mode generator $\mathbb{G}_\varphi := \int_S \varphi G \epsilon$ on \mathbb{P}_T , namely $\delta_\varphi \mathbb{P}_T = -\delta_T \mathbb{G}_\varphi = -\int_S \varphi T \epsilon$, which yields the bracket

$$\{G(\sigma), m(\sigma')\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \delta^{(2)}(\sigma, \sigma'). \quad (\text{C.9})$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{L}_Y, \mathbb{L}_{Y'}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} & = \int_S \epsilon \int_S \epsilon' \{ (Y^A \partial_A G(\sigma) - \frac{1}{2} G(\sigma) D_A Y^A) m(\sigma), (Y'^B \partial_{B'} G(\sigma') - \frac{1}{2} G(\sigma') D_{B'} Y^{B'}) m(\sigma') \} \\ & = \int_S \epsilon \int_S \epsilon' \left[m(\sigma) Y'^B \partial_{B'} G(\sigma') Y^A \partial_A \delta^{(2)}(\sigma, \sigma') - \frac{1}{2} m(\sigma) G(\sigma') D_{B'} Y^{B'} Y^A \partial_A \delta^{(2)}(\sigma, \sigma') \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} D_A Y^A m(\sigma) Y'^B \partial_{B'} G(\sigma') \delta^{(2)}(\sigma, \sigma') + \frac{1}{4} m(\sigma) D_A Y^A D_{B'} Y^{B'} G(\sigma') \delta^{(2)}(\sigma, \sigma') - Y \leftrightarrow Y' \right] \\ & = \int_S \left[Y^A D_A (Y'^B \partial_{B'} G) - \frac{1}{2} Y^A D_A (G D_{B'} Y'^B) - \frac{1}{2} m Y'^B D_A Y^A \partial_{B'} G - Y \leftrightarrow Y' \right] m \epsilon \\ & = \int_S \left[Y^A D_A Y'^B \partial_{B'} G - \frac{1}{2} G Y^A D_A D_{B'} Y'^B - Y \leftrightarrow Y' \right] m \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_S \left([Y, Y']^B \partial_B G - \frac{1}{2} G D_B [Y, Y']^B \right) m \epsilon, \quad (\text{C.10})$$

where in the last passage we used $Y^A [D_A, D_B] Y'^B - Y \leftrightarrow Y' = 0$. Hence, we arrive at

$$\{\mathbb{L}_Y, \mathbb{L}_{Y'}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \mathbb{L}_{[Y, Y']_S}, \quad (\text{C.11})$$

as well as

$$\{\mathbb{l}_Y, \mathbb{l}_{Y'}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \mathbb{l}_{[Y, Y']_S}. \quad (\text{C.12})$$

Proof of gbms coadjoint action (3.20). The derivation goes as follows. Using the natural pairing of gbms and gbms*, the right-hand side of (3.19) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta_{(Y_1, T_1)}(j, m) | Y_2, T_2 \rangle &= -\langle j, m | Y_{12}, T_{12} \rangle = -\int_S (Y_{12}^A j_A + T_{12} m) \epsilon \\ &= -\int_S \left[(\mathcal{L}_{Y_1} Y_2^A) j_A + \left(Y_1 [T_2] - Y_2 [T_1] + \frac{1}{2} T_1 \mathcal{D}_A Y_2^A - \frac{1}{2} T_2 \mathcal{D}_A Y_1^A \right) m \right] \epsilon \\ &= \int_S \left[Y_2^A (\mathcal{L}_{Y_1} j_A + j_A \mathcal{D}_B Y^B) + Y_2^A \left(\partial_A T_1 m + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_A (T_1 m) \right) + T_2 \left(\mathcal{D}_A (Y_1^A m) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{D}_A Y_1^A) m \right) \right] \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where the Lie derivative action on a one-form is

$$\mathcal{L}_Y j_A = Y^B \mathcal{D}_B j_A + j_B \mathcal{D}_A Y^B. \quad (\text{C.13})$$

Collecting all terms, we have the following infinitesimal coadjoint action of gbms on gbms*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{(Y, T)} m &= \mathcal{D}_A (m Y^A) + \frac{m}{2} \mathcal{D}_A Y^A, \\ \delta_{(Y, T)} j_A &= \mathcal{L}_{Y_1} j_A + j_A \mathcal{D}_B Y^B + \frac{3}{2} m \partial_A T + \frac{1}{2} \partial_A (m T). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.14})$$

This proves (3.20).

Proof of gbms* coordinate Poisson brackets (3.24). The first relation in (3.24) can be proven as follows. From the first relation of (3.23),

$$\begin{aligned} \{J_{Y_1}, J_{Y_2}\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= \int_{S \times S'} Y_1^A(\sigma) Y_2^B(\sigma') \{j_A(\sigma), j_B(\sigma')\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = J_{[Y_1, Y_2]_S} \\ &= \int_S j_A(\sigma) (Y_1^B(\sigma) \partial_B Y_2(\sigma) - Y_2^B(\sigma) \partial_B Y_1^A(\sigma)). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.15})$$

where S' is the sphere with local coordinates σ' . The two terms in the last relation can be written as follows. The first term becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_S j_A(\sigma) Y_1^B(\sigma) \partial_B Y_2(\sigma) &= \int_{S \times S'} j_A(\sigma) Y_1^B(\sigma) \partial'_B Y_2^A(\sigma') \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma') \\
&= - \int_{S \times S'} j_A(\sigma) \partial'_B \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma') Y_1^B(\sigma) Y_2^A(\sigma') \\
&= - \int_{S \times S'} Y_1^A(\sigma) Y_2^B(\sigma') j_B(\sigma) \partial'_A \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma').
\end{aligned} \tag{C.16}$$

Similarly, the second term becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
- \int_S j_A(\sigma) Y_2^B(\sigma) \partial_B Y_1^A(\sigma) &= - \int_{S \times S'} j_A(\sigma') \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma') Y_2^B(\sigma') \partial_B Y_1^A(\sigma) \\
&= + \int_{S \times S'} Y_1^A(\sigma) Y_2^B(\sigma') j_A(\sigma') \partial_B \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma').
\end{aligned} \tag{C.17}$$

We can now read-off $\{j_A(\sigma), j_B(\sigma')\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ easily

$$\{j_A(\sigma), j_B(\sigma')\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = j_A(\sigma') \partial_B \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma') - j_B(\sigma) \partial'_A \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma'), \tag{C.18}$$

which is the first relation in (3.24). The proof of the second relation in (3.24) goes similarly. From (3.23), we know

$$\begin{aligned}
\{J_Y, M_T\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= \int_{S \times S'} Y^A(\sigma) T(\sigma') \{j_A(\sigma), m(\sigma')\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = M_{Y[T]-TW_Y} \\
&= \int_S m(\sigma) \left(Y^A(\sigma) \partial_A T(\sigma) - \frac{T(\sigma)}{2} \mathcal{D}_A Y^A(\sigma) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.19}$$

The first term can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_S m(\sigma) Y^A(\sigma) \partial_A T(\sigma) &= \int_{S \times S'} m(\sigma) Y^A(\sigma) \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma') \partial'_A T(\sigma') \\
&= - \int_{S \times S'} Y^A(\sigma) T(\sigma') m(\sigma) \partial'_A \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma'),
\end{aligned} \tag{C.20}$$

while the second term becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
- \int_S m(\sigma) \frac{T(\sigma)}{2} \mathcal{D}_A Y^A(\sigma) &= - \frac{1}{2} \int_{S \times S'} m(\sigma') T(\sigma') \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma') \mathcal{D}_A Y^A(\sigma) \\
&= + \int_{S \times S'} Y^A(\sigma) T(\sigma') \left(\frac{m(\sigma')}{2} \partial_A \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma') \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.21}$$

We thus have

$$\{j_A(\sigma), m(\sigma')\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \frac{m(\sigma')}{2} \partial_A \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma') - m(\sigma) \partial'_A \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma'), \quad (\text{C.22})$$

which is the desired result. Finally, $\{m(\sigma'), m(\sigma'')\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = 0$ is an immediate consequence of (3.23).

Proof of (3.61). We want to compute

$$D_B e_A^\mu = \partial_B e_A^\mu - e_C^\mu \Gamma_{AB}^C(q). \quad (\text{C.23})$$

We start computing

$$\begin{aligned} e_C^\mu \Gamma_{AB}^C(q) &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\mu D} (\partial_A q_{DB} + \partial_B q_{DA} - \partial_D q_{AB}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\mu D} (\partial_A (e_D^\nu e_{\nu B}) + \partial_B (e_D^\nu e_{\nu A}) - \partial_D q_{AB}) \\ &= (\eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (n^\mu \bar{n}^\nu + \bar{n}^\mu n^\nu)) \partial_A e_{\nu B} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} e^{\mu D} (e_{\nu B} \partial_D e_A^\nu + e_{\nu A} \partial_D e_B^\nu - \partial_D q_{AB}) \\ &= \partial_A e_B^\mu + \frac{1}{2} (n^\mu \bar{n}^\nu + \bar{n}^\mu n^\nu) \partial_A e_{\nu B} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.24})$$

where we used (3.59) and $\partial_A e_D^\nu = \partial_D e_A^\nu$. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} D_B e_A^\mu &= -\frac{1}{2} (n^\mu \bar{n}^\nu + \bar{n}^\mu n^\nu) \partial_A e_{\nu B} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (n^\mu \partial_A \bar{n}^\nu + \bar{n}^\mu \partial_A n^\nu) e_{\nu B} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{n}^\mu - n^\mu) q_{AB}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.25})$$

where we used $n_\mu e_A^\mu = 0 = \bar{n}_\mu e_A^\mu$ and $\partial_A \bar{n}^\mu = -\partial_A n^\mu$ (see (3.57)).

C.2 Proof of results in §4

Proof of (4.5). Using (3.20), we can easily derive the transformation rules (4.5). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_T p_A &= \delta_T (\rho^{-1} j_A) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \rho^{-1} \left(m \partial_A T + \frac{T}{3} \partial_A m \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \partial_A \left(m^{\frac{1}{3}} T \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \partial_A (\sqrt{\rho} T), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.26})$$

where in going to the second line, we have used $\delta_T \rho = 0$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_Y p_A &= \delta_Y(\rho^{-1} j_A) \\
&= -\rho^{-2} \delta_Y \rho + \rho^{-1} \delta_Y j_A \\
&= -\rho^{-2} \mathcal{D}_A(\rho Y^A) j_A + \rho^{-1} (\mathcal{L}_Y j_A + 2W_Y j_A) \\
&= -\rho^{-1} \mathcal{D}_A(\rho Y^A) p_A + \rho^{-1} Y^A \mathcal{D}_A \rho p_A + \mathcal{L}_Y p_A + \mathcal{D}_A Y^A p_A \\
&= \mathcal{L}_Y p_A.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.27}$$

This completes the proof of (4.5).

Proof of (4.8). First notice that

$$\epsilon_{AB} = \sqrt{\gamma} \varepsilon_{AB}, \quad \epsilon^{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \varepsilon^{AB}, \tag{C.28}$$

where ε_{AB} is the Levi-Civita symbol with $\varepsilon_{12} = 1$. Therefore, (3.12) implies that

$$\delta_{(Y,T)} \epsilon_{AB} = 0, \quad \delta_{(Y,T)} \epsilon^{AB} = 0. \tag{C.29}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_T w &= \rho^{-1} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \delta_T p_B \\
&= \frac{3}{2} \rho^{-1} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \partial_B (\sqrt{\rho} T) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.30}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_Y(w\rho) &= \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \delta_Y p_B \\
&= \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A (Y^C \mathcal{D}_C p_B + p_C \mathcal{D}_B Y^C) \\
&= \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A (Y^C \partial_C p_B + p_C \partial_B Y^C) \\
&= \epsilon^{AB} (\partial_A Y^C) (\partial_C p_B - \partial_B p_C) + Y^C \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \partial_C p_B \\
&= \epsilon^{AB} \epsilon_{CB} (\partial_A Y^C) w\rho + Y^C \partial_C (w\rho) - Y^C (\partial_C \epsilon^{AB}) \partial_A \bar{p}_B \\
&= (\mathcal{D}_C Y^C) w\rho + Y^C \partial_C (w\rho) \\
&= \mathcal{D}_A [w\rho Y^A],
\end{aligned} \tag{C.31}$$

where we used the following results (1) in the fifth line, we have used $\partial_C p_B - \partial_B p_C = \epsilon_{CB} \rho w$; and (2) we have

$$\partial_C \epsilon^{AB} = \partial_C \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \varepsilon^{AB} \right) = -\frac{\partial_C \sqrt{\gamma}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \epsilon^{AB}. \tag{C.32}$$

Hence, using the definition $\mathcal{D}_A Y^A = 1/\sqrt{\gamma} \partial_A (\sqrt{\gamma} Y^A)$, we end up with the first term in the sixth line. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_A (w\rho Y^A) &= \delta_Y (w\rho) \\
&= \delta_Y w\rho + w \delta_Y \rho \\
&= \delta_Y w\rho + w \mathcal{D}_A (\rho Y^A).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.33}$$

We thus end-up with

$$\delta_Y w = Y^A \partial_A w = Y[w], \quad (\text{C.34})$$

which is the desired result (4.8).

Proof of (4.10). We trivially have $\delta_T \mathcal{C}_n(\text{gbms}) = 0$, which follows from (3.12), (4.4), and (4.8). Furthermore, the same equations imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_Y \mathcal{C}_n(\text{gbms}) &= \int_S \delta_Y(w^n \rho \epsilon) \\ &= \int_S (\delta_Y w^n \rho \epsilon + w^n \delta_Y \rho \epsilon + w^n \rho \delta_Y \epsilon) \\ &= \int_S (Y^A \partial_A w^n \rho \epsilon + w^n \mathcal{D}_A(\rho Y^A) \epsilon) \\ &= \int_S \mathcal{D}_A(w^n \rho Y^A) \epsilon \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.35})$$

Proof of (4.21). This only requires writing down the Lie bracket

$$\begin{aligned} [Y_\chi, Y_\psi]^A &= Y_\chi^B \partial_B Y_\psi^A - Y_\psi^B \partial_B Y_\chi^A \\ &= m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{BC} \left[\partial_C \chi \partial_B \left(m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{AD} \partial_D \psi \right) - \partial_C \psi \partial_B \left(m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{AD} \partial_D \chi \right) \right] \\ &= m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{BC} \partial_B \left(m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{AD} \partial_C \chi \partial_D \psi \right) - m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{BC} \partial_B \left(m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{AD} \partial_D \chi \partial_C \psi \right) \\ &= m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{BC} \partial_B \left(m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{AD} \partial_C \chi \partial_D \psi \right) - m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{BD} \partial_B \left(m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{AC} \partial_C \chi \partial_D \psi \right) \\ &= (\epsilon^{BC} \epsilon^{AD} - \epsilon^{BD} \epsilon^{AC}) \left[\frac{m^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \partial_B \left(\frac{m^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \partial_C \chi \partial_D \psi \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.36})$$

where in the third line, we have used the anti-symmetry of ϵ^{BC} , and in the last line, we have used $\epsilon^{AB} = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^{AB}$. We now use the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{BC} \varepsilon^{AD} - \varepsilon^{BD} \varepsilon^{AC} &= \delta^{BA} \delta^{CD} - \delta^{BD} \delta^{CA} - \delta^{BA} \delta^{DC} + \delta^{BC} \delta^{DA} \\ &= \delta^{BC} \delta^{DA} - \delta^{BD} \delta^{CA} \\ &= -\varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{CD}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.37})$$

Using this relation, we would have

$$\begin{aligned} [Y_\chi, Y_\psi]^A &= -\varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{CD} \left[\frac{m^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \partial_B \left(\frac{m^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \partial_C \chi \partial_D \psi \right) \right] \\ &= -m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \varepsilon^{AB} \partial_B \left(m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \varepsilon^{CD} \partial_C \chi \partial_D \psi \right) \\ &= -m^{-\frac{2}{3}} \varepsilon^{AB} \partial_B \{\chi, \psi\}_\rho. \\ &= Y_{\{\chi, \psi\}_\rho}^A, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.38})$$

as we wished to prove.

Proof of (4.25). The proof goes as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_Y \mathcal{S}_\chi &= \int_S (\delta_Y \chi \rho w + \chi \delta_Y (\rho w)) \epsilon \\
&= \int_S \chi \mathcal{D}_A (\rho w Y^A) \epsilon \\
&= - \int_S Y^A \partial_A \chi \rho w \epsilon \\
&= -\mathcal{S}_{Y[\chi]},
\end{aligned} \tag{C.39}$$

where in the third line, we have used (C.31). Note also that in these expressions $\delta_{(Y,T)}$ denotes the coadjoint action and acts on elements of \mathfrak{gbms}^* . Therefore, $\delta_{(Y,T)} \chi = 0$ since it is not an element of \mathfrak{gbms}^* .

Proof of (4.27). This can be proven as follows. From the actions (4.25), we see immediately that $\delta_\chi \rho = 0$ and, at the same time, we can compute $\delta_\chi J_Y = -\delta_Y \mathcal{S}_\chi$ from which

$$\delta_\chi j_A = \partial_A \chi \epsilon^{BC} \partial_B p_C. \tag{C.40}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{S}_\chi, \mathcal{S}_\psi\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= -\delta_\chi \mathcal{S}_\psi = - \int_S \psi \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A (\rho^{-1} \delta_\chi j_B) \epsilon \\
&= - \int_S \psi \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A (\rho^{-1} \partial_B \chi \epsilon^{CD} \partial_C p_D) \epsilon \\
&= \int_S \rho^{-1} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \psi \partial_B \chi \epsilon^{CD} \partial_C p_D \epsilon \\
&= - \int_S \{\chi, \psi\}_\rho \rho w \epsilon \\
&= -\mathcal{S}_{\{\chi, \psi\}_\rho}.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.41}$$

C.3 Poincaré charge algebra

We derive first the Lorentz algebra (3.74) for the generators (3.73) by repeated use of the identities (3.68). We start with the algebra of the rotation generators. By means of (3.23)

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{J^i, J^j\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= \int_S (\epsilon^{BC} \partial_C n^i D_B (\epsilon^{AD} \partial_D n^j) - i \leftrightarrow j) j_A \epsilon \\
&= \int_S \epsilon^{AD} \epsilon^{BC} D_D (\partial_C n^i \partial_B n^j) j_A \epsilon \\
&= \int_S \epsilon^{AD} \partial_D (\epsilon^{BC} \partial_C n^i \partial_B n^j) j_A \epsilon \\
&= -\varepsilon^{ij}_k \int_S \epsilon^{AD} \partial_D n^k j_A \epsilon \\
&= -\varepsilon^{ij}_k J^k.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.42}$$

where we used $\epsilon^{AB} \partial_A n^i \partial_B n^j = \varepsilon^{ij}_k n^k$ see (3.68).

Next, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\{J_i, K_j\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= \int_S [\epsilon^{BC} \partial_C n_i D_B (q^{AD} \partial_D n_j) - q^{BD} \partial_D n_j D_B (\epsilon^{AC} \partial_C n_i)] j_A \epsilon \\
&= \int_S [\epsilon^{BC} q^{AD} \partial_C n_i D_B \partial_D n_j - q^{BD} \epsilon^{AC} \partial_D n_j D_B \partial_C n_i] j_A \epsilon \\
&= - \int_S [\epsilon^{BC} q^{AD} q_{BD} \partial_C n_i n_j - q^{BD} \epsilon^{AC} q_{BC} \partial_D n_j n_i] j_A \epsilon \\
&= - \int_S [\epsilon^{AC} (\partial_C n_i n_j - \partial_C n_j n_i)] j_A \epsilon \\
&= -\varepsilon_{ij}^k K_k,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.43}$$

where we used $D_B D_C n_i = -q_{BC} n_i$ see (3.67) and $n^i \partial_A n^j - n^j \partial_A n^i = \varepsilon^{ij}_k \epsilon_A^B \partial_B n^k$ see (3.68).

Next, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\{K_i, K_j\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} &= \int_S (q^{BC} \partial_C n_i D_B (q^{AD} \partial_D n_j) - i \leftrightarrow j) j_A \epsilon \\
&= \int_S [q^{BC} q^{AD} \partial_C n_i D_B \partial_D n_j - q^{BC} q^{AD} D_B \partial_D n_i \partial_C n_j] j_A \epsilon \\
&= - \int_S [q^{BC} q^{AD} q_{BD} (n_j \partial_C n_i - n_i \partial_C n_j)] j_A \epsilon \\
&= \varepsilon_{ij}^k \int_S [q^{AC} \epsilon_C^B \partial_B n_k] j_A \epsilon \\
&= \varepsilon_{ij}^k J_k.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.44}$$

Finally, we derive the Lorentz action on the four-momentum (3.77). From (3.20) we have

$$\delta_{T=n_\mu} j_A = \frac{3}{2} m \partial_A n_\mu + \frac{n_\mu}{2} \partial_A m. \quad (\text{C.45})$$

We can thus compute the brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{P_i, J_j\}_{\mathbf{g}^*} &= - \int_S Y_{J_j}^A \delta_{T=n_i} j_A \epsilon = - \int_S m \epsilon^{AB} \partial_B n_j \partial_A n_i \epsilon \\ &= -\varepsilon_{ij}^k \int_S n_k m \epsilon = -\varepsilon_{ij}^k P_k, \\ \{P_0, J_j\}_{\mathbf{g}^*} &= - \int_S Y_{J_j}^A \delta_{T=n_0} j_A \epsilon = -\frac{1}{2} \int_S \epsilon^{AB} \partial_B n_j \partial_A m \epsilon = 0, \\ \{P_i, K_j\}_{\mathbf{g}^*} &= - \int_S Y_{K_j}^A \delta_{T=n_i} j_A \epsilon = - \int_S \left(\frac{3}{2} m \partial_A n_i \partial^A n_j + \frac{1}{2} n_i \partial^A n_j \partial_A m \right) \epsilon \\ &= - \int_S \left(m \partial_A n_i \partial^A n_j - \frac{1}{2} m n_i \Delta n_j \right) \epsilon = -\eta_{ij} \int_S m \epsilon = -\eta_{ij} P_0, \\ \{P_0, K_j\}_{\mathbf{g}^*} &= - \int_S Y_{K_j}^A \delta_{T=n_0} j_A \epsilon = -\frac{1}{2} \int_S (\partial^A n_j \partial_A m) \epsilon = -P_j. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.46})$$

C.4 Proof of (6.4).

By means of (4.4), (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_Y S_\mu &= \int_S n_\mu \delta_Y (\rho^{\frac{3}{2}} w) \epsilon = \int_S n_\mu \left(\rho^{\frac{3}{2}} Y^A \partial_A w + \frac{3}{2} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} w \mathcal{D}_A (\rho Y^A) \right) \epsilon \\ &= \int_S n_\mu \mathcal{D}_A (\rho^{\frac{3}{2}} Y^A w) \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_S n_\mu \rho^{\frac{3}{2}} w \mathcal{D}_A Y^A \epsilon \\ &= - \int_S Y^A \partial_A n_\mu \rho^{\frac{3}{2}} w \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_S n_\mu \rho^{\frac{3}{2}} w \mathcal{D}_A Y^A \epsilon \\ &= -S[\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} Y[n_\mu]] + \frac{1}{2} S[\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} n_\mu \mathcal{D}_A Y^A]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.47})$$

C.5 Lorentz covariance of the Pauli–Lubański generator

We want to use the action (6.4) to verify the analog of the Lorentz action on the Pauli–Lubański pseudo-vector for the quantity (6.2), namely

$$[J_{\mu\nu}, S_\rho] = \mathbf{i}(\eta_{\nu\rho} S_\mu - \eta_{\mu\rho} S_\nu). \quad (\text{C.48})$$

We start with the rotation generators

$$[J_{ij}, S_0] = 0, \quad [J_{ij}, S_k] = \mathbf{i}(\eta_{jk} S_i - \eta_{ik} S_j), \quad (\text{C.49})$$

whose analog is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\{J_{ij}, S_k\}_{\mathbf{g}^*} &= -\delta_{Y_{ij}} S_k = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{S}[\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} Y_{ij}[n_k]] \\
&= \int_S \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon_{ij}{}^\ell \epsilon^{CD} \partial_D n_\ell \partial_C n_k \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon \\
&= \int_S \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon_{ij}{}^\ell \varepsilon_{k\ell m} n^m \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon \\
&= \int_S \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} (\eta_{im} \eta_{jk} - \eta_{ik} \eta_{jm}) n^m \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon \\
&= \eta_{jk} S_i - \eta_{ik} S_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.50}$$

At the same time, the commutator $\{J_{ij}, S_0\}_{\mathbf{g}^*} = 0$ is trivially reproduced.

Next, we look at the boost action

$$[J_{0i}, S_0] = i S_i, \quad [J_{0i}, S_j] = i \eta_{ij} S_0, \tag{C.52}$$

whose analog is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\{J_{0i}, S_0\}_{\mathbf{g}^*} &= -\delta_{Y_{0i}} S_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{S}[\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} n_0 D_A Y_{0i}^A] \\
&= \mathbb{S}[\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} n_i] = S_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.53}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\{J_{0i}, S_j\}_{\mathbf{g}^*} &= -\delta_{Y_{0i}} S_j = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{S}[\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} n_j D_A Y_{0i}^A] + \mathbb{S}[\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} Y_{0i}[n_j]] \\
&= \int_S n_i n_j \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon + \int_S q^{CD} \partial_D n_i \partial_C n_j \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon \\
&= \eta_{ij} \int_S \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon \\
&= \eta_{ij} S_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.54}$$

where we used the first identity in (3.68).

C.6 Pauli–Lubański generator algebra

We give the proof of (6.10). Let us start with $\mu = i, \nu = j$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\varepsilon_{ij\rho\sigma} P^\rho S^\sigma &= \varepsilon_{ijk} (P^0 S^k - P^k S^0) \\
&= \varepsilon_{ijk} M^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_S \frac{(n^k - v^k)}{(1 - v \cdot \widehat{n})} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.55}$$

We write the LHS of (6.10) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{S}[\{\rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_\mu, \rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_\nu\}_\rho] &= \int_S \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A(\rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_\mu) \partial_B(\rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_\nu) w \epsilon \\
&= \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \rho^0 \int_S n_\rho \epsilon^{CD} \partial_C \rho_D \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_S \epsilon^{AB} (n_\mu \partial_B n_\nu - n_\nu \partial_B n_\mu) \rho^{-1} \partial_A \rho \epsilon^{CD} \partial_C \rho_D \epsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.56}$$

and use the relations

$$\frac{1}{2} \rho_v^{-1} \partial_A \rho_v = \frac{v^\ell \partial_A n_\ell}{(1 - v \cdot \hat{n})} \tag{C.57}$$

$$\epsilon^{AB} (n_i \partial_B n_j - n_j \partial_B n_i) = -\varepsilon_{ij}^k \partial^A n_k, \tag{C.58}$$

from which, using (3.68), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{S}[\{\rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_i, \rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_j\}_\rho] &= \varepsilon_{ij}^k \int_S \left(n_k - \frac{v^\ell \partial_A n_\ell \partial^A n_k}{\gamma_v (1 - v \cdot \hat{n})} \right) \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon \\
&= \varepsilon_{ij}^k \int_S \left(n_k - \frac{v^\ell (\eta_{\ell k} - n_\ell n_k)}{(1 - v \cdot \hat{n})} \right) \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon \\
&= \varepsilon_{ij}^k \int_S \frac{(n_k - v_k)}{(1 - v \cdot \hat{n})} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.59}$$

as desired. Next we consider $\mu = i, \nu = 0$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} P^\rho S^\sigma &= \varepsilon_{ijk} P^k S^j \\
&= \varepsilon_{ijk} M^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_S \frac{n^j v^k}{(1 - v \cdot \hat{n})} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.60}$$

We use (C.56) and the relation

$$\epsilon^{AB} (n_i \partial_B n_0 - n_0 \partial_B n_i) = -\epsilon^{AB} \partial_B n_i, \tag{C.61}$$

to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{S}[\{\rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_i, \rho_v^{\frac{1}{2}} n_0\}_\rho] &= \int_S \frac{v^j \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A n_i \partial_B n_j}{(1 - v \cdot \hat{n})} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon \\
&= \varepsilon_{ijk} \int_S \frac{v^j n^k}{(1 - v \cdot \hat{n})} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_{APB} \epsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.62}$$

where we used (3.68) again. This concludes the derivation of (6.10).

D Conformal transformation

We look at a transformation $q_{AB} \rightarrow \gamma_{AB} = \omega^{-2}q_{AB}$ and we consider a function in \mathbb{R}_Δ such that $\tilde{\phi} = \omega^\Delta \phi$. Under this transformation we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\langle A} \mathcal{D}_{B \rangle} \tilde{\phi} &= D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} \tilde{\phi} + \frac{2}{\omega} D_{\langle A} \omega D_{B \rangle} \tilde{\phi}, \\ D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} \tilde{\phi} &= D_{\langle A} (\omega^\Delta D_{B \rangle} \phi + \Delta \phi \omega^{\Delta-1} D_{B \rangle} \omega) \\ &= \omega^\Delta \left[D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} \phi + 2\Delta \frac{D_{\langle A} \phi D_{B \rangle} \omega}{\omega} + \Delta \phi \left(\frac{D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} \omega}{\omega} + (\Delta - 1) \frac{D_{\langle A} \omega D_{B \rangle} \omega}{\omega^2} \right) \right], \\ \frac{1}{\omega} D_{\langle A} \omega D_{B \rangle} \tilde{\phi} &= \omega^\Delta \left[\frac{D_{\langle A} \omega D_{B \rangle} \phi}{\omega} + \Delta \phi \frac{D_{\langle A} \omega D_{B \rangle} \omega}{\omega^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.1})$$

Summing both gives

$$D_{\langle A} \mathcal{D}_{B \rangle} \tilde{\phi} = \omega^\Delta \left[D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} \phi + 2(\Delta + 1) \frac{D_{\langle A} \phi D_{B \rangle} \omega}{\omega} + \Delta \phi \left(\frac{D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} \omega}{\omega} + (\Delta + 1) \frac{D_{\langle A} \omega D_{B \rangle} \omega}{\omega^2} \right) \right]. \quad (\text{D.2})$$

Therefore, if one chooses $\Delta = -1$, we get that

$$D_{\langle A} \mathcal{D}_{B \rangle} \tilde{\phi} = \omega^{-1} \left[D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} \phi - \phi \left(\frac{D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} \omega}{\omega} \right) \right]. \quad (\text{D.3})$$

This means that we need to introduce the symmetric traceless tensor (Liouville stress tensor) such that

$$T_{AB}(\gamma) = T_{AB}(q) - \frac{D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} \omega}{\omega}, \quad (\text{D.4})$$

and we have that $(D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} + T_{AB}(q))$ is a conformal operator of weight⁷⁶ $(2, 2)$ acting on weight -1 scalars. From (D.3), we have

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\langle A} \mathcal{D}_{B \rangle} + T_{AB}(\gamma)) [\omega^{-1} \phi] = \omega^{-1} (D_{\langle A} D_{B \rangle} + T_{AB}(q)) \phi. \quad (\text{D.5})$$

Note that we also have

$$\frac{R(\gamma)}{2} = \omega^{-2} \left(\frac{R(q)}{2} + \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega} + \frac{D_C \omega D^C \omega}{\omega^2} \right). \quad (\text{D.6})$$

E Condensate field decomposition

E.1 Supertranslation harmonic decomposition

In this section we are showing that there exists a mode $C_P(n)$ such that

$$\frac{P^4}{(P \cdot n)^3} = \tau \cdot P - 3\hat{n} \cdot P + \frac{1}{2} \Delta(\Delta + 2) C_P(n)$$

⁷⁶It maps $V_{(-1,0)} \rightarrow V_{(1,2)}$

$$= \tau \cdot P - 3\hat{n} \cdot P - D_A D_B C_P^{AB}(n), \quad (\text{E.1})$$

where $\tau^\mu = (1, \vec{0})$ and $\hat{n}^\mu = (0, \vec{n})$. The proposal is that $C_P(n)$ is given by

$$C_P(n) = (n \cdot P) \ln \left(\frac{-n \cdot P}{M} \right), \quad (\text{E.2})$$

such that

$$C_P^{AB}(n) = -2D^{(A}D^{B)}C_P(n) = 4 \frac{(D^{(A}n \cdot P)(D^{B)}n \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)} \quad (\text{E.3})$$

corresponds to the leading soft factor for a (hard) particle of 4-momentum P^μ . We use that

$$n := (1, \vec{n}), \quad \bar{n} = (1, -\vec{n}) \quad (\text{E.4})$$

satisfy $n \cdot \bar{n} = -2$ and

$$\Delta n_\mu = \bar{n}_\mu - n_\mu, \quad D_A n_\mu D^A n_\nu = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(n_\mu \bar{n}_\nu + \bar{n}_\mu n_\nu). \quad (\text{E.5})$$

Then, noticing that

$$\Delta(\Delta + 2)(n \cdot P) \ln M = \Delta((n + \bar{n}) \cdot P) \ln M = 0, \quad (\text{E.6})$$

due to $D_A(n + \bar{n}) = 0$, we compute first

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta + 2)[(n \cdot P) \ln(-n \cdot P)] &= D^A[(D_A n \cdot P) \ln(-n \cdot P) + D_A n \cdot P] + 2(n \cdot P) \ln(-n \cdot P) \\ &= ((\Delta + 2)n \cdot P) \ln(-n \cdot P) + \frac{(D^A n \cdot P)(D_A n \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)} + \Delta n \cdot P \\ &= (\bar{n} + n) \cdot P \ln(-n \cdot P) + \frac{P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)} + (\bar{n} - n) \cdot P \\ &= (\bar{n} + n) \cdot P \ln(-n \cdot P) + \frac{P^2}{(n \cdot P)} + (2\bar{n} - n) \cdot P. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.7})$$

Next, applying Δ to this result, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(\Delta + 2)[(n \cdot P) \ln(-n \cdot P)] \\ &= (\bar{n} + n) \cdot P D^A \left[\frac{D_A n \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)} \right] - D^A \left[\frac{P^2 D_A n \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)^2} \right] + \Delta(2\bar{n} - n) \cdot P \\ &= (\bar{n} + n) \cdot P \left[\frac{\Delta n \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)} - \frac{(D_A n \cdot P)(D^A n \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)^2} \right] \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{P^2 \Delta n \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)^2} - 2 \frac{P^2 (D_A n \cdot P)(D^A n \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)^3} \right] + 3(n - \bar{n}) \cdot P \\ &= (\bar{n} + n) \cdot P \left[\frac{(\bar{n} - n) \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)} - \frac{P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -P^2 \left[\frac{(\bar{n} - n) \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)^2} - 2 \frac{P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)^3} \right] + 3(n - \bar{n}) \cdot P \\
& = (\bar{n} + n) \cdot P \left[-\frac{n \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)} - \frac{P^2}{(n \cdot P)^2} \right] - P^2 \left[-\frac{(\bar{n} + n) \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)^2} - 2 \frac{P^2}{(n \cdot P)^3} \right] + 3(n - \bar{n}) \cdot P \\
& = \left[2 \frac{P^4}{(n \cdot P)^3} + P^2 \frac{(\bar{n} + n) \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)^2} - P^2 \frac{(\bar{n} + n) \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)^2} \right] - (\bar{n} + n) \cdot P + 3(n - \bar{n}) \cdot P \\
& = 2 \frac{P^4}{(n \cdot P)^3} - (n + \bar{n}) \cdot P + 3(n - \bar{n}) \cdot P, \tag{E.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $D_A(n + \bar{n}) = 0$, and $n + \bar{n} = 2\tau, n - \bar{n} = 2\hat{n}$.

E.2 Angular momentum harmonic decomposition

For a particle of momentum P and angular momentum $J = P \wedge x$ we have that the angular momentum aspect is

$$j_A^{(P,J)}(n) = P^4 \frac{(n^\mu D_A n^\nu)}{(-n \cdot P)^4} J_{\mu\nu}. \tag{E.9}$$

We want to verify that this aspect can be decomposed in spherical harmonic components as

$$j_A^{(P,J)}(n) = (n^\mu D_A n^\nu) J_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{3} D_{\langle A} D_B D_C \rangle C_{(P,J)}^{BC}(n). \tag{E.10}$$

The first term $J_A := (n^\mu D_A n^\nu) J_{\mu\nu}$ is the $\ell = 1$ components of $j_A(n)$ while the second term involving $C_{(P,J)}^{BC}(n)$ includes the $\ell \geq 2$ components. This factor is the subleading soft factor, given by

$$C_{(P,J)}^{BC}(n) = \frac{(D^{\langle B} n \cdot P)(n^\mu D^C \rangle n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)}. \tag{E.11}$$

Let us verify that this decomposition is correct. We work in complex coordinates for simplicity and denote $D := D_z, \bar{D} := D^z$ —a similar but more cluttered derivation can be repeated for general coordinates—. We can use

$$D^2 n_\mu = 0 = \bar{D}^2 n_\mu, \quad D \bar{D} n_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{n}_\mu - n_\mu), \tag{E.12}$$

$$D n_{(\mu} \bar{D} n_{\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}(n_\mu \bar{n}_\nu + \bar{n}_\mu n_\nu), \tag{E.13}$$

to compute first

$$\begin{aligned}
& -D^2 C_{(P,J)}^{zz}(n) = D^2 \frac{(\bar{D} n \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{D} n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(-n \cdot P)} \\
& = -\frac{D^2 [(\bar{D} n \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{D} n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})]}{(n \cdot P)} + 2 \frac{D [(\bar{D} n \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{D} n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})] D n \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)^2} \\
& - 2 \frac{(\bar{D} n \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{D} n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(D n \cdot P)^2}{(n \cdot P)^3}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{D[(\bar{n} \cdot P - n \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})]}{(n \cdot P)} - \frac{D[(\bar{D}n \cdot P)(Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})]}{(n \cdot P)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{D[(\bar{D}n \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{n}^\nu J_{\mu\nu})]}{(n \cdot P)} \\
&+ \frac{(\bar{n} \cdot P - n \cdot P)(Dn \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)^2} + 2 \frac{(\bar{D}n \cdot P)(Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})Dn \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)^2} \\
&+ \frac{(\bar{D}n \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{n}^\nu J_{\mu\nu})Dn \cdot P}{(n \cdot P)^2} \\
&- \frac{(P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P))(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(Dn \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)^3}. \tag{E.14}
\end{aligned}$$

If we take $J_{0i} = 0$, then all the terms proportional to $n^\mu \bar{n}^\nu J_{\mu\nu}$ vanish and

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{1}{2} \frac{D[(\bar{n} \cdot P - n \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})]}{(n \cdot P)} - \frac{D[(\bar{D}n \cdot P)(Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})]}{(n \cdot P)} \\
&= -\frac{(\bar{n} \cdot P - n \cdot P)(Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(D\bar{n} \cdot P - Dn \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\bar{D}n \cdot P)((\bar{n}^\nu - n^\nu)Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)} \\
&= -\frac{(\bar{n} \cdot P - n \cdot P)(Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(D\bar{n} \cdot P - Dn \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)} \\
&+ \frac{(\bar{D}n \cdot P)(n^\nu Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)}, \tag{E.15}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$(\bar{n}^\nu - n^\nu)J_{\mu\nu} = -2n^\nu J_{\mu\nu} \tag{E.16}$$

due to $J_{0i} = 0$. We can thus write

$$\begin{aligned}
-D^2 C_{(P,J)}^{zz}(n) &= D^2 \frac{(\bar{D}n \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(-n \cdot P)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)^2} [2(Dn \cdot P)(\bar{n} \cdot P - n \cdot P) - (D\bar{n} \cdot P - Dn \cdot P)(n \cdot P)] \\
&+ \frac{Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu}}{(n \cdot P)^2} [(P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P)) - (\bar{n} \cdot P - n \cdot P)(n \cdot P)] \\
&+ \frac{(\bar{D}n \cdot P)(n^\nu Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)} \\
&- \frac{(P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P))(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(Dn \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)^3} \\
&= \frac{(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)^2} (Dn \cdot P)(\bar{n} \cdot P) \\
&+ Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu} \left(1 + \frac{P^2}{(n \cdot P)^2} \right) \\
&+ \frac{(\bar{D}n \cdot P)(n^\nu Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$- \frac{(P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P))(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(Dn \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)^3}, \quad (\text{E.17})$$

where in the first line of the last passage we used

$$D(n + \bar{n}) = 0. \quad (\text{E.18})$$

We now compute the D -derivative of these four terms separately. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & D \left[\frac{(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)^2} (Dn \cdot P)(\bar{n} \cdot P) \right] \\ &= \frac{(Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)^2} (Dn \cdot P)(\bar{n} \cdot P) - \frac{(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)^2} (Dn \cdot P)^2 \\ & - 2 \frac{(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)^3} (Dn \cdot P)^2 (\bar{n} \cdot P) \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{(Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)^2} (Dn \cdot P)(\bar{n} \cdot P)}_{\square} - \underbrace{\frac{(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)^3} (Dn \cdot P)^2 (n + 2\bar{n}) \cdot P}_{\triangle}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.19})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & D \left[Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu} \left(1 + \frac{P^2}{(n \cdot P)^2} \right) \right] \\ &= -n^\nu Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu} \left(1 + \frac{P^2}{(n \cdot P)^2} \right) - 2 \frac{Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu}}{(n \cdot P)^3} P^2 (Dn \cdot P), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.20})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & D \left[\frac{(\bar{D}n \cdot P)(n^\nu Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{((\bar{n} - n) \cdot P)(n^\nu Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu})}{(n \cdot P)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n^\nu Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu})(P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P))}{(n \cdot P)^2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} n^\nu Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu} \left(1 + \frac{P^2}{(n \cdot P)^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.21})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & D \left[-\frac{(P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P))(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(Dn \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)^3} \right] \\ &= -\frac{((\bar{n} - n) \cdot P)(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(Dn \cdot P)^2}{(n \cdot P)^3} \\ & - \frac{(P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P))(Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(Dn \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)^3} \\ & + 3 \frac{(P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P))(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(Dn \cdot P)^2}{(n \cdot P)^4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \underbrace{\frac{(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(Dn \cdot P)^2}{(n \cdot P)^3}}_{\triangle} (n + 2\bar{n}) \cdot P \\
&\quad - \frac{P^2(Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(Dn \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)^3} - \underbrace{\frac{(Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(Dn \cdot P)(\bar{n} \cdot P)}{(n \cdot P)^2}}_{\square} \\
&\quad + 3 \frac{P^2(n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu})(Dn \cdot P)^2}{(n \cdot P)^4}. \tag{E.22}
\end{aligned}$$

The contributions marked with the same symbol cancel each other and, combining the remaining ones, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
-D^3 C_{(P,J)}^{zz}(n) &= -\frac{3}{2} n^\nu Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad - \frac{3}{2} \frac{P^2}{(n \cdot P)^2} n^\nu Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad + 3 \frac{P^2}{(n \cdot P)^4} (n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu} (Dn \cdot P)^2 - Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu} (Dn \cdot P)(n \cdot P)). \tag{E.23}
\end{aligned}$$

The third line can be expanded with

$$\begin{aligned}
Dn^{(\sigma} \bar{D}n^{\nu)} &= \frac{1}{2} q^{z\bar{z}} (D_z n^\sigma D_{\bar{z}} n^\nu + D_z n^\nu D_{\bar{z}} n^\sigma) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} Dn^\sigma \bar{D}n^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}n^\sigma Dn^\nu \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\sigma\nu} + \frac{1}{4} (n^\sigma \bar{n}^\nu + \bar{n}^\sigma n^\nu), \tag{E.24}
\end{aligned}$$

from which

$$Dn^\sigma \bar{D}n^\nu = -\bar{D}n^\sigma Dn^\nu + \eta^{\sigma\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (n^\sigma \bar{n}^\nu + \bar{n}^\sigma n^\nu). \tag{E.25}$$

We then have

$$\bar{D}n^\nu (Dn \cdot P) = -Dn^\nu (\bar{D}n \cdot P) + P^\nu + \frac{1}{2} ((P \cdot n) \bar{n}^\nu + (P \cdot \bar{n}) n^\nu), \tag{E.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
n^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu} (Dn \cdot P)^2 &= -n^\mu Dn^\nu J_{\mu\nu} (Dn \cdot P) (\bar{D}n \cdot P) + n^\mu P^\nu J_{\mu\nu} (Dn \cdot P) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} n^\mu Dn^\nu J_{\mu\nu} (P^2 + (\bar{n} \cdot P)(n \cdot P)) + n^\mu P^\nu J_{\mu\nu} (Dn \cdot P), \tag{E.27}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $J_{0i} = 0$ again, and

$$\begin{aligned}
Dn^\mu \bar{D}n^\nu J_{\mu\nu} (Dn \cdot P)(n \cdot P) &= P^\nu Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu} (n \cdot P) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} Dn^\mu J_{\mu\nu} (n \cdot P) ((P \cdot n) \bar{n}^\nu + (P \cdot \bar{n}) n^\nu). \tag{E.28}
\end{aligned}$$

If we now plug (E.27) and (E.28) into (E.23), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
-D^3 C_{(P,J)}^{zz}(n) &= -\frac{3}{2} n^\nu D n^\mu J_{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad - \frac{3}{2} \frac{P^4}{(n \cdot P)^4} n^\mu D n^\nu J_{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad + 3 \frac{P^\nu J_{\mu\nu}}{(n \cdot P)^4} (n^\mu (D n \cdot P) - D n^\mu (n \cdot P)) .
\end{aligned} \tag{E.29}$$

It is now immediate to see that for the orbital part of $J_{\mu\nu}$, namely $L_{\mu\nu} = P_\mu x_\nu - P_\nu x_\mu$, we have

$$P^\nu L_{\mu\nu} (n^\mu (D n \cdot P) - D n^\mu (n \cdot P)) = 0. \tag{E.30}$$

As for the intrinsic spin we have $P^\nu S_{\mu\nu} = 0$, we finally arrive at the desired result

$$\frac{P^4}{(n \cdot P)^4} n^\mu D n^\nu J_{\mu\nu} = n^\mu D n^\nu J_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{3} D^3 C_{(P,J)}^{zz}(n). \tag{E.31}$$

F Details on the Kerr metric and Casimirs

In the main body of the paper, we have constructed the Casimir functionals and the spin charge for the Kerr metric. In this appendix, we provide the details of this construction. We start with the large-distance expansion of the Kerr metric in the Bondi-Sachs coordinates, from which we read off the phase space mass \mathcal{M} and angular momentum \mathcal{J}_A aspects. We then construct Casimir functionals and the spin charge for the Kerr spacetime.

F.1 Writing the Kerr metric in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates.

We start with writing the Kerr metric in the Bondi-Sachs coordinates, which we denote as $\{u, r, \theta, \varphi\}$, at large values of the luminosity radius r . We follow the procedure explained in [151] as follows: (1) We first consider the Kerr metric written in the generalized Bondi–Sachs (GBS) coordinates derived in [151, Eq. (48)]. Denoting the coordinates by $\{u, \bar{r}, \theta, \varphi\}$, the GBS coordinate system is defined by $g_{\bar{r}\bar{r}} = g_{\bar{r}\theta} = g_{\bar{r}\varphi} = 0$ [151, Eq. (4)] (2) We then use [151, Eq. (6)]

$$g_{\theta\theta} g_{\varphi\varphi} - g_{\theta\varphi}^2 = r^4 \sin^2 \theta, \tag{F.1}$$

the so-called Bondi–Sachs gauge, to define the luminosity radius r in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates [27, 28] in terms of \bar{r} . r in principle can be written exactly in terms of \bar{r} ; one just needs to solve the Bondi–Sachs gauge condition for arbitrary values of r . However, we only need $r \rightarrow \infty$ limit and only consider this case. (3) We use the coordinate transformation $\{u, \bar{r}, \theta, \varphi\} \mapsto \{u, r, \theta, \varphi\}$ to write the metric in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates.

The Kerr solution in the generalized Bondi-Sachs coordinates. The Kerr solution of mass M and spin angular momentum J [171] is given by⁷⁷

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{\text{BL}}^2 = & - \left(1 - \frac{2M\tilde{r}}{\tilde{\rho}^2} \right) d\tilde{t}^2 - \frac{4a\tilde{r}\sin^2(\tilde{\theta})}{\tilde{\rho}^2} d\tilde{t}d\tilde{\varphi} + \frac{\tilde{\rho}^2}{\tilde{\Delta}} d\tilde{r}^2 \\ & + \tilde{\rho}^2 d\tilde{\theta}^2 + \sin^2(\tilde{\theta}) \left(\tilde{A}^2 + \frac{2aM\tilde{r}\sin^2(\tilde{\theta})}{\tilde{\rho}^2} \right) d\tilde{\varphi}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.2})$$

where $\{\tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\varphi}\}$ are Boyer–Lindquist coordinates [172], and

$$\tilde{\rho}^2 := \tilde{r}^2 + a^2 \cos^2(\tilde{\theta}), \quad \tilde{\Delta}^2 := \tilde{r}^2 - 2M\tilde{r} + a^2, \quad \tilde{A}^2 := \tilde{r}^2 + a^2, \quad (\text{F.3})$$

and the reduced angular momentum is

$$a := \frac{J}{Mc}. \quad (\text{F.4})$$

The generalized Bondi–Sachs coordinate system, which we denote as $\{u, \bar{r}, \theta, \varphi\}$, is defined by [151, Eq. (4)]

$$g_{\bar{r}\bar{r}} = g_{\bar{r}\theta} = g_{\bar{r}\varphi} = 0. \quad (\text{F.5})$$

To write the Kerr metric in this coordinate system, certain conditions have to be imposed [151, §4]. The following relation between $\{\tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\varphi}\}$ and $\{u, \bar{r}, \theta, \varphi\}$ is necessary and sufficient to fulfill these conditions [151, Eqs. (19–22)]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t} &= u + f(\bar{r}, \theta), & \tilde{r} &= \bar{r}, \\ \tilde{\theta} &= \tilde{\theta}(\bar{r}, \theta), & \tilde{\varphi} &= \varphi + g(\bar{r}, \theta), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.6})$$

for some functions $f(\bar{r}, \theta)$ and $g(\bar{r}, \theta)$. Then, the Kerr metric in the GBS coordinates takes the following form [151, Eq. (48)]⁷⁸

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{\text{GBS}}^2 = & - \left(1 - \frac{2M\bar{r}}{\rho^2} \right) du^2 - \left(\frac{2\rho^2}{B} \right) dud\bar{r} - 2 \left(\left[1 - \frac{2M\bar{r}}{\rho^2} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{a \cos \theta}{C^2 \cosh^2 \alpha} \right] \right) dud\theta \\ & - \left(\frac{4aM\bar{r}D^2}{\rho^2 C^2} \right) dud\varphi + \left(\frac{\bar{r} [\bar{r}\rho^2 C^2 \cosh^2 \alpha + 2a^2 M \cos^2 \theta]}{\rho^2 C^4 \cosh^4 \alpha} \right) d\theta^2 \\ & + \left(\frac{4a^2 M\bar{r}D^2 \cos \theta}{\rho^2 C^4 \cosh^2 \alpha} \right) d\theta d\varphi + \left(\frac{D^2 [B^2 C^2 \cosh^2 \alpha + a^2 \Delta \cos^2 \theta]}{\rho^2 C^4 \cosh^2 \alpha} \right) d\varphi^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.7})$$

where the parameters are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2 &:= A^2 - a^2 \frac{D^2}{C^2}, & \Delta &:= \bar{r}^2 - 2M\bar{r} + a^2, \\ A^2 &:= \bar{r}^2 + a^2, & B^2 &:= A^4 - a^2 \Delta, \\ C &:= 1 + \tanh \alpha \sin \theta, & D &:= \tanh \alpha + \sin \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.8})$$

⁷⁷Notice that we have written the metric (and its expansion (F.7) in the generalized Bondi–Sachs coordinates) in the signature $(-, +, +, +)$, as is used in this paper, rather than the signature $(+, -, -, -)$ used in [151].

⁷⁸We have used the same notation as [151]. Some of these notations such as ρ conflict with the notation we used in the paper. This hopefully will not make any trouble for the careful reader.

and $\alpha = \alpha(r, a, M)$ is given by [151, Eq. (38)]

$$\alpha(\bar{r}) = - \int_{\bar{r}}^{\infty} \frac{ads}{\sqrt{s^4 + a^2s^2 + 2a^2Ms}}. \quad (\text{F.9})$$

Large- \bar{r} expansion in the generalized Bondi–Sachs coordinates. We can now take the large- \bar{r} behavior of the metric (F.7).

In the following, we would need the large- \bar{r} expansion of α . We have ($\bar{r} \geq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= - \int_{\bar{r}}^{\infty} \frac{ads}{\sqrt{s^4 + a^2s^2 + 2a^2Ms}} \\ &= - \int_{\bar{r}}^{\infty} \frac{ads}{s^2} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{2s^2} - \frac{a^2M}{s^3} + \mathcal{O}(s^{-3}) \right) \\ &= - \int_{\bar{r}}^{\infty} \frac{ads}{s^2} + \mathcal{O}(s^{-4}) \\ &= -\frac{a}{\bar{r}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-3}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.10})$$

The components of the Kerr metric in the large- \bar{r} expansion are given as follows. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{uu} &= -1 + \frac{2M\bar{r}}{\rho^2} = -1 + \frac{2M}{\bar{r}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-2}), \\ \bar{g}_{u\bar{r}} &= -\frac{\rho^2}{B} \\ &= -1 - \frac{a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{D^2}{C^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-3}) \\ &= -1 + \frac{a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \cos^2 \theta \right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-3}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.11})$$

where in the case of $g_{u\bar{r}}$, we have used the fact that as $\bar{r} \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(\bar{r}) \rightarrow 0$, which implies $\tanh \alpha \sim \alpha \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D^2}{C^2} &= \left(\frac{\tanh \alpha + \sin \theta}{1 + \tanh \alpha \sin \theta} \right)^2 \\ &\sim (\tanh \alpha + \sin \theta)^2 (1 - \tanh \alpha \sin \theta)^2 \\ &\sim \sin^2 \theta + 2 \tanh \alpha \sin \theta \cos^2 \theta, \\ &\sim \sin^2 \theta - \frac{2a}{\bar{r}} \sin \theta \cos^2 \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.12})$$

where we have used (F.10) in the last line. In the expansion of $g_{u\bar{r}}$, we only use the first term.

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}_{u\theta} &= - \left(1 - \frac{2M\bar{r}}{\rho^2} \right) \frac{a \cos \theta}{C^2 \cosh^2 \alpha} \\
&= - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{\bar{r}} \right) (a \cos \theta) (1 - 2 \tanh \alpha \sin \theta) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-2}) \\
&= - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{\bar{r}} \right) (a \cos \theta) \left(1 + 2 \frac{a}{\bar{r}} \sin \theta \right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-2}) \\
&= -a \cos \theta + \frac{2a \cos \theta}{\bar{r}} (M - a \sin \theta) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{F.13}$$

where in the third line, we have used (F.10). Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}_{u\varphi} &= - \frac{2aM\bar{r}}{\rho^2} \frac{D^2}{C^2} \\
&= - \frac{2aM}{\bar{r}} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \left(1 - \frac{D^2}{C^2} \right) \right) \frac{D^2}{C^2} \\
&= - \frac{2aM \sin^2 \theta}{\bar{r}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{F.14}$$

where in the third line, we have use (F.12). Next, we have written the expansion for $g_{\theta\theta}$

$$\bar{g}_{\theta\theta} = \frac{\bar{r}(\bar{r}\rho^2 C^2 \cosh^2 \alpha + 2a^2 M \cos^2 \theta)}{\rho^2 C^4 \cosh^4 \alpha}. \tag{F.15}$$

Since there are terms of order \bar{r}^2 , we ignore all terms of order \bar{r}^{-n} with $n \geq 1$. The second term can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2a^2 \bar{r} M \cos^2 \theta}{\rho^2 C^4 \cosh^4 \alpha} &= \frac{2a^2 M \cos^2 \theta}{\bar{r}} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \left(1 - \frac{D^2}{C^2} \right) \right) \left(1 + \frac{4a}{\bar{r}} \sin \theta \right) \left(1 - \frac{2a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \right) \\
&\sim \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-1}),
\end{aligned} \tag{F.16}$$

and can be safely ignored. The first term gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\bar{r}^2}{C^2 \cosh^2 \alpha} &= \bar{r}^2 (1 - 2 \tanh \alpha \sin \theta + 3 \tanh^2 \alpha \sin^2 \theta) (1 - \alpha^2) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-1}) \\
&= \bar{r}^2 \left(1 + \frac{2a}{\bar{r}} \sin \theta + \frac{3a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \sin^2 \theta \right) \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-1}) \\
&= \bar{r}^2 + 2a\bar{r} \sin \theta + a^2 (3 \sin^2 \theta - 1) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{F.17}$$

Putting together, we thus have

$$\bar{g}_{\theta\theta} = \bar{r}^2 + 2a\bar{r} \sin \theta + a^2 (3 \sin^2 \theta - 1) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-1}). \tag{F.18}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}_{\theta\varphi} &= \frac{2a^2 M \bar{r} \cos \theta D^2}{\rho^2 C^4 \cosh^2 \alpha} \\
&= \frac{2a^2 M \cos \theta}{\bar{r}} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \left(1 - \frac{D^2}{C^2}\right)\right) \left(1 + \frac{4a}{\bar{r}} \sin \theta\right) \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{\bar{r}^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-2}) \\
&= \frac{2a^2 M \cos \theta}{\bar{r}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{F.19}$$

Finally, we have

$$\bar{g}_{\varphi\varphi} = \frac{D^2(C^2 B^2 \cosh^2 \alpha + a^2 \Delta \cos^2 \theta)}{\rho^2 C^4 \cosh^2 \alpha}. \tag{F.20}$$

The first term can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{D^2 B^2}{\rho^2 C^2} &= \left(\sin \theta - \frac{a}{\bar{r}}\right)^2 \left(\bar{r}^2 + a^2 - \frac{2Ma^2}{\bar{r}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \cos^2 \theta\right) \left(1 + \frac{2a}{\bar{r}} \sin \theta + \frac{3a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \sin^2 \theta\right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-1}) \\
&= (\bar{r}^2 \sin^2 \theta - 2a\bar{r} \sin \theta + a^2 + a^2 \sin^2 \theta) \left(1 + \frac{2a}{\bar{r}} \sin \theta + \frac{3a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \sin^2 \theta\right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-1}) \\
&= \bar{r}^2 \sin^2 \theta - 2a\bar{r} \sin \theta \cos^2 \theta + a^2(1 - 4 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the second term gives

$$\frac{a^2 \cos^2 \theta D^2 \Delta}{\rho^2 C^4 \cosh^2 \alpha} = a^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-1}).$$

We thus get

$$\bar{g}_{\varphi\varphi} = \bar{r}^2 \sin^2 \theta - 2a\bar{r} \sin \theta \cos^2 \theta + a^2(1 - 3 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-1}). \tag{F.21}$$

Large- r expansion in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates. We can now find the luminosity radius in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates through the relation $g_{\theta\theta}g_{\varphi\varphi} - g_{\theta\varphi}^2 = r^4 \sin^2 \theta$ to write down \bar{r} in terms of r . By substituting the components of the metric in (F.1) and solving for r , we find that⁷⁹

$$\bar{r} = r + aF(\theta) + \frac{a^2}{8r}G(\theta) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \tag{F.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\theta) &:= \cot(2\theta) \cos \theta, \\
G(\theta) &:= \frac{1 + 4 \sin^2 \theta - 8 \sin^4 \theta}{\sin^2 \theta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{F.23}$$

We now do a coordinate transformation from the GBS $\bar{X} := \{u, \bar{r}, \theta, \varphi\}$ to the Bondi–Sachs coordinates $X := \{u, r, \theta, \varphi\}$ using

$$g_{\mu\nu}(X) = \frac{\partial \bar{X}^{\bar{\mu}}}{\partial X^\mu} \frac{\partial \bar{X}^{\bar{\nu}}}{\partial X^\nu} \bar{g}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}(\bar{X}) \Big|_{\bar{X}=\bar{X}(X)}. \tag{F.24}$$

⁷⁹Note that we have solved this equation in the large- r limit. In principle, one can find the exact solution and write $\mathbf{d}^2 s_{\text{GBS}}$ in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates. However, the large- r form of the solution is enough for our purpose, and hence we restrict ourselves to that situation.

First, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \bar{r}}{\partial r} &= 1 - \frac{a^2}{8r^2}G(\theta), \\ \frac{\partial \bar{r}}{\partial \theta} &= aF'(\theta) + \frac{a^2}{8r}G'(\theta) \\ &= -\frac{a}{2\sin^2\theta} (2\sin\theta\sin(2\theta) + \cos\theta\cos(2\theta)) - \frac{a^2}{4r} \left(4\sin(2\theta) + \frac{\cos\theta}{\sin^3\theta} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{F.25}$$

We get

$$g_{uu}(X) = \bar{g}_{uu}(\bar{X}) \Big|_{\bar{X}=\bar{X}(X)} = -1 + \frac{2M}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}).\tag{F.26}$$

Next, we have⁸⁰

$$\begin{aligned}g_{ur}(X) &= \frac{\partial \bar{r}}{\partial r} \bar{g}_{ur}(\bar{r}) \Big|_{\bar{X}=\bar{X}(X)} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{8r^2}G(\theta) \right) \left(-1 + \frac{a^2}{\bar{r}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \cos^2\theta \right) \right) \Big|_{\bar{X}=\bar{X}(X)} \\ &= -1 + \frac{a^2}{r^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \cos^2\theta + \frac{1}{8}G(\theta) \right) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}).\end{aligned}\tag{F.27}$$

Next component is

$$\begin{aligned}g_{u\theta}(X) &= \bar{g}_{u\theta}(X) + \frac{\partial \bar{r}}{\partial \theta} \bar{g}_{u\bar{r}}(X) \\ &= \frac{a\cos\theta}{2\sin^2\theta} + \frac{a\cos\theta}{4r} \left(8M + \frac{a}{\sin^3\theta} \right) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}).\end{aligned}\tag{F.28}$$

The other components are given by

$$g_{u\varphi}(X) = \bar{g}_{u\varphi}(X) = -\frac{2aM\sin^2\theta}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}),\tag{F.29}$$

and

$$g_{\theta\theta}(X) = \bar{g}_{\theta\theta}(X) = r^2 + \frac{a}{\sin\theta}r + \frac{a^2}{2\sin^2\theta} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}),\tag{F.30}$$

and

$$g_{\theta\varphi}(X) = \bar{g}_{\theta\varphi}(X) = \frac{2a^2M\cos\theta}{r} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{r}^{-2}),\tag{F.31}$$

and finally

$$g_{\varphi\varphi}(X) = \bar{g}_{\varphi\varphi}(X) = r^2\sin^2\theta - ar\sin\theta + \frac{a^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}).\tag{F.32}$$

⁸⁰This expansion has been done in [88, Appendix D]. However, there is a discrepancy between our g_{ur} component in (7.35) and the expression in the latter reference. This discrepancy is important in the construction of shear C_{AB} as we do in §F.2.

F.2 Gravitational Casimir functionals

Having the metric in the Bondi–Sachs coordinates, we can now compute the Casimir functionals for the Kerr metric. We do this in a few steps.

Covariant mass and covariant momentum. We next compute covariant mass and momentum. Consider the metric in the Bondi gauge given in (7.1) with coefficients for the large- r expansion as in (7.2). From (7.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{uA} &= -\Gamma_{AB}\Upsilon^B + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) \\ &= -\left(q_{AB} + \frac{1}{r}C_{AB}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}D_C C^{CB} + \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{2}{3}\mathcal{J}^B - \frac{1}{2}C^{BC}D^D C_{DC} - \frac{1}{16}\partial^B(C_{CD}C^{CD})\right)\right) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}q_{AB}D_C C^{CB} + \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{2}{3}\mathcal{J}_A - \frac{1}{16}\partial_A(C_{CD}C^{CD})\right) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

If we denote the coefficient of $r^{(n)}$ in the large- r expansion of $g_{\mu\nu}$ as $g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}$, we see that

$$\mathcal{J}_A = \frac{3}{2}g_{uA}^{(-1)} + \frac{3}{32}\partial_A(C_{BC}C^{BC}). \quad (\text{F.33})$$

On the other hand, from (7.1) and (7.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ur} &= -e^{2\beta} = -1 - 2\beta + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}) \\ &= -1 + \frac{1}{16}\frac{C_{AB}C^{AB}}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.34})$$

and hence, we have

$$C_{AB}C^{AB} = 16g_{ur}^{(-2)}. \quad (\text{F.35})$$

Together with (F.33), we thus conclude that

$$\mathcal{J}_A = \frac{3}{2}\left(g_{uA}^{(-1)} + \partial_A g_{ur}^{(-2)}\right). \quad (\text{F.36})$$

On the other hand, we can compute the covariant mass as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= M + \frac{1}{8}N^{AB}C_{AB} \\ &= M + \frac{1}{16}\partial_u(C_{AB}C^{AB}) \\ &= M + \partial_u g_{ur}^{(-2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.37})$$

where in the third line, we have used (F.35). Using these formulas, we can write the explicit form of covariant mass \mathcal{M} and covariant momentum $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_A d\sigma^A$; the former is easy: Note from (F.27) that g_{ur} is u -independent and hence (F.37) implies

$$\mathcal{M} = M. \quad (\text{F.38})$$

On the other hand, we see from (F.27), (F.28), (F.29), and (F.36) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_\theta &= +3aM \cos \theta, \\ \mathcal{J}_\varphi &= -3aM \sin^2 \theta.\end{aligned}\tag{F.39}$$

Hence, the covariant momentum one-form is given by

$$\mathcal{J} = 3aM(\cos \theta d\theta - \sin^2 \theta d\varphi).\tag{F.40}$$

Having the expression for the covariant mass and covariant momentum, we are now ready to construct the Casimir functional for the Kerr metric.

Asymptotic shear for the Kerr metric. Before proceeding further and for completeness, let us record the components of the asymptotic shear tensor C_{AB} for the Kerr metric, and confirm (F.35). From (7.1) and (7.2d), we look into the components

$$r^2 \Gamma_{AB} d\sigma^A d\sigma^B = (r^2 q_{AB} + r C_{AB} + \mathcal{O}(r^0)) d\sigma^A d\sigma^B, \quad A, B = \theta, \varphi,\tag{F.41}$$

from which it follows that

$$C_{AB} = g_{AB}^{(1)}, \quad A, B = \theta, \varphi,\tag{F.42}$$

From (F.30), (F.31), and (F.32), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}C_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{a}{\sin \theta}, \\ C_{\theta\varphi} &= C_{\varphi\theta} = 0, \\ C_{\varphi\varphi} &= -a \sin \theta.\end{aligned}\tag{F.43}$$

Taking into account that⁸¹

$$\begin{aligned}C^{\theta\theta} &= q^{\theta\theta} q^{\theta\theta} C_{\theta\theta} = \frac{a}{\sin \theta}, \\ C^{\theta\varphi} &= C^{\varphi\theta} = 0, \\ C^{\varphi\varphi} &= q^{\varphi\varphi} q^{\varphi\varphi} C_{\varphi\varphi} = -\frac{a}{\sin^3 \theta},\end{aligned}\tag{F.44}$$

we can compute

$$C_{AB} C^{AB} = \frac{2a^2}{\sin^2 \theta}.\tag{F.45}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{F.35}) - (\text{F.45}) &= 16g_{ur}^{(-2)} - \frac{2a^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \\ &= 16a^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \cos^2 \theta + \frac{1}{8} G(\theta) \right) - \frac{2a^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{F.46}$$

which confirms the expression (F.35).

⁸¹Recall that we raise and lower indices by the leading order round metric q_{AB} on the sphere.

Moment map for the Kerr metric. The next piece of information is the moment map $\mu_{\text{gbms}}^{\text{Kerr}} : \Gamma_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}} \rightarrow \text{gbms}^*$ for the **gbms** action on $\Gamma_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}}$, the non-radiative strongly electric phase space for the Kerr spacetime. From (7.21) and (F.38) (which implies $D_A \mathcal{M} = 0$), we conclude that

$$\mu_{\text{gbms}}^{\text{Kerr}}(\mathcal{M}) = m, \quad \mu_{\text{gbms}}^{\text{Kerr}}(2^{-1} \mathcal{J}) = j. \quad (\text{F.47})$$

Recall that $j = j_A d\sigma^A$. We are now in a position to construct phase space quantities, i.e. gravitational vorticity, gravitational Casimirs, and gravitational spin charge, for the Kerr metric.

Gravitational vorticity. The gravitational vorticity for the Kerr metric can be computed easily. From (7.22), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^{\text{Kerr}} &:= \mu_{\text{gbms}}^{\text{Kerr}*}(w(m, j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \epsilon^{AB} \partial_A \left(\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathcal{J}_B \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.48})$$

which using (F.38) and (F.39) can be explicitly written as

$$\mathbf{w}^{\text{Kerr}} = -3aM^{-\frac{1}{3}} \cos \theta, \quad (\text{F.49})$$

where we have used $\epsilon^{\theta\varphi} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta}$.

Gravitational Casimir functionals. Next, we compute the gravitational Casimir functionals using (7.23)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_n(\Gamma_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}}) &:= \mu_{\text{gbms}}^{\text{Kerr}*}(\mathcal{C}_n(\text{gbms})) \\ &= \int_S \mathcal{M}^{\frac{2}{3}} \mathbf{w}^n \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.50})$$

This can be explicitly computed using (F.49) and (F.38) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_n(\Gamma_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}}) &= \int_S \mathcal{M}^{\frac{2}{3}} \mathbf{w}^n \epsilon, \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \cdot (-3a)^n \cdot M^{\frac{2-n}{3}} \cdot \int_S d\theta d\varphi \cos^n \theta \sin \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.51})$$

where the factor of $1/4\pi$ in the second line comes from our normalization of integrals over the sphere (see (3.1)). We thus end up with the explicit form of the gravitational Casimirs for the Kerr metric

$$\mathcal{C}_n(\Gamma_{\text{ENR}}^{\text{Kerr}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-3a)^n}{n+1} M^{\frac{2-n}{3}}, & n = 0, 2, 4, \dots, \\ 0, & n = 1, 3, 5, \dots \end{cases} \quad (\text{F.52})$$

In deriving this expression, we used

$$\int_0^\pi d\theta \cos^n \theta \sin \theta = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{n+1}, & n = 0, 2, 4, \dots, \\ 0, & n = 1, 3, 5, \dots \end{cases} \quad (\text{F.53})$$

References

- [1] J. Liouville, *Note Sur l'intégration des Équations Différentielles de la Dynamique, présentée au Bureau des Longitudes le 29 juin 1853*, *J. Math. Pures Appl* (1855) 137. 2
- [2] E. Noether, *Invariante Variationsprobleme*, *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl.* **1918** (1918) 235 [[arXiv:physics/0503066](#)]. 2
- [3] W. R. Hamilton,
On a General Method of Expressing the Paths of Light and of the Planets by the Coefficients of a Characteristic Function, *Dublin Uni. Rev. Q. Mag.* **1** (1833) 795. 2
- [4] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1989. 2
- [5] J. Marsden and A. Weinstein, *Reduction of Symplectic Manifolds with Symmetry*, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **5** (1974) 121. 2
- [6] R. Sjamaar and E. Lerman, *Stratified Symplectic Spaces and Reduction*, *Ann. Math.* **134** (1991) 375. 2
- [7] J.-M. Souriau, *Structure des Systèmes Dynamiques: Maîtrises de Mathématiques*, Collection Dunod Université. Dunod, 1970. 2, 29, 72
- [8] J.-M. Souriau, *Structure of Dynamical Systems: A Symplectic View of Physics*. Birkhäuser Boston, 1997. 2, 29, 72
- [9] E. Wigner, *Gruppentheorie und ihre Anwendung auf die Quantenmechanik der Atomspektren*. Vieweg+Teubner Verlag, 1931. 2
- [10] J. Schwinger, *On Angular Momentum*, tech. rep., Nuclear Development Associates, Inc, jan, 1952. 2
- [11] G. W. Mackey, *Induced Representations of Locally-Compact Groups I*, *Ann. Math.* **55** (1952) 101. 2, 10
- [12] G. W. Mackey, *Induced Representations of Locally-Compact Groups II. The Frobenius Reciprocity Theorem*, *Ann. Math.* **58** (1953) 193.
- [13] G. W. Mackey, *Unitary Group Representations in Physics, Probability and Number Theory*. Benjamin-Cummings Publ.Comp, 1978. 2, 10
- [14] A. A. Kirillov, *Unitary Representations of Nilpotent Lie Groups*, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **17** (1962) 53. 2, 29, 72
- [15] A. A. Kirillov, *Elements of the Theory of Representations*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1976.
- [16] A. A. Kirillov, *Merits and Demerits of the Orbit Method*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36** (1999) 433. 72
- [17] A. Kirillov, *Lectures on the Orbit Method*. American Mathematical Society, jul, 2004. 2, 29, 70
- [18] V. Bargmann and E. P. Wigner, *Group Theoretical Discussion of Relativistic Wave Equations*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **34** (1948) 211. 3, 10, 18
- [19] E. Wigner, *On Unitary Representations of the Inhomogeneous Lorentz Group*,

- Ann. Math.* **40** (1939) 149. [3](#), [10](#), [11](#), [20](#), [21](#)
- [20] P. A. M. Dirac, *Unitary Representations of the Lorentz Group*, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **183** (1945) 284.
- [21] Harish-Chandra, *Infinite Irreducible Representations of the Lorentz Group*, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **189** (1947) 372.
- [22] I. M. Gel'fand and M. A. Naimark, *Unitary Representations of the Lorentz Group*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **11** (1947) 411.
- [23] M. A. Naimark, *Linear Representations of the Lorentz Group*, vol. 63 of *International Series of Monographs on Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Elsevier, 1964.
- [24] Y. S. Kim and E. P. Wigner, *Cylindrical Group and Massless Particles*, *J. Math. Phys* **28** (1987) 1175. [3](#), [18](#)
- [25] A. Ashtekar, *Geometry and Physics of Null Infinity*, *Surv. Differ. Geom.* **20** (2015) 99 [[1409.1800](#)]. [3](#)
- [26] G. Compère and A. Fiorucci, *Advanced Lectures on General Relativity*, [1801.07064](#). [3](#)
- [27] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burg and A. W. K. Metzner, *Gravitational Waves in General Relativity. VII. Waves from Axisymmetric Isolated Systems*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A.* **269** (1962) 21. [3](#), [4](#), [11](#), [12](#), [50](#), [59](#), [66](#), [99](#)
- [28] R. Sachs, *Asymptotic Symmetries in Gravitational Theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **128** (1962) 2851. [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [11](#), [15](#), [59](#), [66](#), [99](#)
- [29] P. J. McCarthy, *Representations of the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group I. Determination of the Representations*, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **330** (1972) 517. [3](#), [4](#), [12](#), [15](#), [29](#), [55](#)
- [30] P. J. McCarthy, *Representations of the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group II. Properties and Classification of the Representations*, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **333** (1973) 317.
- [31] P. J. McCarthy, *Representations of the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group III. Poincaré Spin Multiplicities and Irreducibility*, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **335** (1973) 301.
- [32] M. Crampin and P. J. McCarthy, *Physical Significance of the Topology of the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group*, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **33** (1974) 547.
- [33] P. J. McCarthy, *The Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group in the Nuclear Topology*, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **343** (1975) 489.
- [34] M. Crampin and P. J. McCarthy, *Representations of the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group IV. Cantoni Representations are Induced*, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **351** (1976) 55.
- [35] P. J. McCarthy, *Lifting of Projective Representations of the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group*, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **358** (1978) 141. [3](#), [4](#)
- [36] G. Barnich and B. Oblak, *Notes on the BMS Group in Three Dimensions: I. Induced Representations*, *J. High Energy Phys* **06** (2014) 129 [[1403.5803](#)]. [3](#), [4](#), [17](#)
- [37] G. Barnich and B. Oblak, *Notes on the BMS Group in Three Dimensions: II. Coadjoint Representation*, *J. High Energy Phys* **03** (2015) 033 [[1502.00010](#)]. [17](#)

- [38] G. Barnich and R. Ruzziconi, *Coadjoint Representation of the BMS Group on Celestial Riemann Surfaces*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2021) 079 [2103.11253]. 3, 29, 63
- [39] A. Strominger, *Lectures on the Infrared Structure of Gravity and Gauge Theory*. Princeton University Press, Dec., 2018, [arXiv:1703.05448v2]. 4
- [40] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *Symmetries of Asymptotically Flat 4-Dimensional Spacetimes at Null Infinity Revisited*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 111103 [0909.2617]. 4, 15, 59, 62
- [41] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *Aspects of The BMS/CFT Correspondence*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2010) 062 [1001.1541]. 28, 59
- [42] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *Supertranslations Call for Superrotations*, *PoS CNCFG2010* (2010) 010 [1102.4632]. 4
- [43] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *Asymptotic Symmetries and Subleading Soft Graviton Theorem*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 124028 [1408.2228]. 4, 5, 16, 25
- [44] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *New Symmetries for the Gravitational S-Matrix*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2015) 076 [1502.02318]. 4, 5, 25
- [45] L. Freidel, R. Oliveri, D. Pranzetti and S. Speziale, *The Weyl BMS Group and Einstein's Equations*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2021) 170 [2104.05793]. 4, 25, 26, 46, 60
- [46] A. Komar, *Quantized Gravitational Theory and Internal Symmetries*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **15** (1965) 76. 4
- [47] E. T. Newman, *A Possible Connexion between the Gravitational Field and Elementary Particle Physics*, *Nature* **206** (1965) 811. 4
- [48] P. J. McCarthy, *Asymptotically Flat Space-Times and Elementary Particles*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **29** (1972) 817. 4, 10
- [49] R. Arens, *Classical Lorentz-Invariant Particles*, *J. Math. Phys.* **12** (1971) 2415. 4, 10
- [50] K. Mitman et al., *Fixing the BMS frame of numerical relativity waveforms*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 024051 [2105.02300]. 4
- [51] L. Magaña Zertuche et al., *High precision ringdown modeling: Multimode fits and BMS frames*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 104015 [2110.15922].
- [52] K. Mitman et al., *Fixing the BMS frame of numerical relativity waveforms with BMS charges*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 084029 [2208.04356]. 4
- [53] J. Winicour, *Some Total Invariants of Asymptotically-Flat Space-Times*, *J. Math. Phys.* **9** (1968) 861. 4, 11
- [54] A. Ashtekar and R. O. Hansen, *A Unified Treatment of Null and Spatial infinity in General Relativity. I - Universal Structure, Asymptotic Symmetries, and Conserved Quantities at Spatial Infinity*, *J. Math. Phys.* **19** (1978) 1542.
- [55] R. Geroch and J. Winicour, *Linkages in General Relativity*, *J. Math. Phys.* **22** (1981) 803.
- [56] B. D. Bramson, *Relativistic Angular Momentum for Asymptotically-Flat Einstein-Maxwell Manifolds*, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **341** (1975) 463.
- [57] R. Penrose, *Quasi-Local Mass and Angular Momentum in General Relativity*,

- Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **381** (1982) 53.
- [58] A. Ashtekar and J. Winicour, *Linkages and Hamiltonians at Null Infinity*, *J. Math. Phys.* **23** (1982) 2410.
- [59] T. Dray and M. Streubel, *Angular Momentum at Null Infinity*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **1** (1984) 15.
- [60] O. M. Moreschi, *On Angular Momentum at Future Null Infinity*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **3** (1986) 503. 17, 30, 52
- [61] P.-N. Chen, M.-T. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Quasilocal Angular Momentum and Center of Mass in General Relativity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **20** (2016) 671 [1312.0990]. 29
- [62] P. T. Chrusciel, J. Jezierski and J. Kijowski, *Hamiltonian Field Theory in the Radiating Regime*, vol. 70. Springer Science & Business Media, 2003. 48
- [63] G. Compère, R. Oliveri and A. Seraj, *The Poincaré and BMS Flux-Balance Laws with Application to Binary Systems*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2020) 116 [1912.03164]. 17, 18, 29, 30, 31, 38, 48, 50, 66
- [64] A. Elhashash and D. A. Nichols, *Definitions of Angular Momentum and Super-Angular Momentum in Asymptotically-Flat Spacetimes: Properties and Applications to Compact-Binary Mergers*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 024020 [2101.12228]. 15
- [65] P.-N. Chen, M.-T. Wang, Y.-K. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Supertranslation Invariance of Angular Momentum*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **25** (2021) 777 [2102.03235]. 18, 30
- [66] P.-N. Chen, M.-T. Wang, Y.-K. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *BMS Charges Without Supertranslation Ambiguity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **393** (2022) 1411 [2107.05316]. 18, 30
- [67] G. Compère, S. E. Gralla and H. Wei, *An Asymptotic Framework for Gravitational Scattering*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **40** (2023) 205018 [2303.17124]. 16, 58
- [68] P.-N. Chen, D. E. Paraizo, R. M. Wald, M.-T. Wang, Y.-K. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Cross-Section Continuity of Definitions of Angular Momentum*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **40** (2023) 025007 [2207.04590]. 15
- [69] R. Javadinezhad, U. Kol and M. Porrati, *Supertranslation-Invariant Dressed Lorentz Charges*, *J. High Energy Phys* **04** (2022) 069 [2202.03442]. 18, 30
- [70] M.-T. Wang, *Angular Momentum and Supertranslation in General Relativity*, in *Current Developments in Mathematics, 2021*, no. 1, pp. 163–181, 9, 2023, 2303.02424.
- [71] O. Fuentealba, M. Henneaux and C. Troessaert, *Asymptotic Symmetry Algebra of Einstein Gravity and Lorentz Generators*, 2305.05436. 4, 11
- [72] L. B. Szabados, *Quasi-Local Energy-Momentum and Angular Momentum in General Relativity*, *Living Rev. Rel.* **12** (2009) 4. 4, 11
- [73] H. Bondi, *Gravitational Waves in General Relativity*, *Nature* **186** (1960) 535. 4
- [74] R. Penrose, *Conformal Treatment of Infinity*, in *Relativity, Groups, and Topology. Lectures*

- Delivered at Les Houches During the 1963 Session of the Summer School of Theoretical Physics* (C. DeWitt and B. DeWitt, eds.), pp. 565–584, Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, 1964. 4
- [75] R. Geroch, *Asymptotic Structure of Space-Time*, pp. 1–105. Springer US, Boston, MA, 1977. 4, 34, 35, 60
- [76] A. Ashtekar, T. De Lorenzo and N. Khera, *Compact Binary Coalescences: The Subtle Issue of Angular Momentum*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 044005 [[1910.02907](#)]. 4
- [77] W. Donnelly, L. Freidel, S. F. Moosavian and A. J. Speranza, *Gravitational Edge Modes, Coadjoint Orbits, and Hydrodynamics*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2021) 008 [[2012.10367](#)]. 6, 23, 26, 39, 40, 68, 74, 75, 79
- [78] M. Campiglia and J. Peraza, *Generalized BMS Charge Algebra*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 104039 [[2002.06691](#)]. 8, 25, 59
- [79] L. Freidel and D. Pranzetti, *Gravity from Symmetry: Duality and Impulsive Waves*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2022) 125 [[2109.06342](#)]. 8, 25, 30, 34, 47, 52, 59, 60, 61, 62
- [80] J. H. Rawnsley, *Representations of a Semi-Direct Product by Quantization*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **78** (1975) 345. 11, 24, 74, 76
- [81] P. Baguis, *Semidirect Products and the Pukanszky Condition*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **25** (1998) 245 [[dg-ga/9705005](#)]. 11, 74
- [82] L. Bieri, *Answering the Parity Question for Gravitational Wave Memory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 124038 [[1811.09907](#)]. 13
- [83] D. Christodoulou, *Nonlinear Nature of Gravitation and Gravitational Wave Experiments*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 1486. 14
- [84] K. S. Thorne, *Gravitational-Wave Bursts with Memory: The Christodoulou Effect*, *Phys. Rev. D* **45** (1992) 520. 14
- [85] E. T. Newman and R. Penrose, *Note on the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group*, *J. Math. Phys.* **7** (1966) 863. 14
- [86] A. Rizzi, *Angular Momentum in General Relativity: A New Definition*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 1150. 14
- [87] O. M. Moreschi, *Supercenter of Mass System at Future Null Infinity*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **5** (1988) 423. 14, 52
- [88] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *BMS Charge Algebra*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2011) 105 [[1106.0213](#)]. 15, 38, 62, 66, 104
- [89] G. Compère and D. A. Nichols, *Classical and Quantized General-Relativistic Angular Momentum*, [2103.17103](#). 15
- [90] O. M. Moreschi, *Intrinsic Angular Momentum in General Relativity*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004) 5409 [[gr-qc/0209097](#)]. 17, 30, 52
- [91] R. Javadinezhad and M. Porrati, *Supertranslation-Invariant Formula for the Angular Momentum Flux in Gravitational Scattering*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130** (2023) 011401 [[2211.06538](#)]. 18, 53

- [92] M. M. Riva, F. Vernizzi and L. K. Wong, *Angular Momentum Balance in Gravitational Two-Body Scattering: Flux, Memory, and Supertranslation Invariance*, [2302.09065](#). **18**, **36**, **38**, **53**
- [93] T. Damour, *Radiative Contribution to Classical Gravitational Scattering at the Third Order in G* , *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 124008 [[2010.01641](#)]. **18**
- [94] A. V. Manohar, A. K. Ridgway and C.-H. Shen, *Radiated Angular Momentum and Dissipative Effects in Classical Scattering*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** (2022) 121601 [[2203.04283](#)].
- [95] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg and R. Russo, *Angular momentum of zero-frequency gravitons*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2022) 172 [[2203.11915](#)].
- [96] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo and G. Veneziano, *Classical gravitational observables from the Eikonal operator*, *Phys. Lett. B* **843** (2023) 138049 [[2210.12118](#)].
- [97] G. Veneziano and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Angular Momentum Loss in Gravitational Scattering, Radiation Reaction, and the Bondi Gauge Ambiguity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **834** (2022) 137419 [[2201.11607](#)]. **18**, **53**
- [98] R. Javadinezhad and M. Porrati, *Three Puzzles with Covariance and Supertranslation Invariance of Angular Momentum Flux (with Solutions)*, [2312.02458](#). **18**, **53**
- [99] A. W. Knap, *Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups: An Overview Based on Examples*. Princeton University Press, dec, 1986. **18**
- [100] N. N. Bogolubov, A. A. Logunov, A. I. Oksak and I. T. Todorov, eds., *General Principles of Quantum Field Theory*. Springer Netherlands, 1990. **22**
- [101] A. L. Onishchik, *Lectures on Real Semisimple Lie Algebras and Their Representations*. EMS Press, feb, 2004.
- [102] B. C. Hall, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Representations: An Elementary Introduction*. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- [103] Y. Ohnuki, S. Kitakado and T. Sugiyama, *Unitary Representations of the Poincaré Group and Relativistic Wave Equations*. World Scientific, apr, 1988. **18**
- [104] J. K. Lubański, *Sur la Théorie des Particules Élémentaires de Spin Quelconque. I*, *Physica* **9** (1942) 310. **20**
- [105] J. K. Lubański, *Sur la Théorie des Particules Élémentaires de Spin Quelconque. II*, *Physica* **9** (1942) 325. **20**
- [106] M. H. L. Pryce, *The Mass Center in the Restricted Theory of Relativity and its Connection with the Quantum Theory of Elementary Particles*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A.* **195** (1948) 62. **23**
- [107] T. D. Newton and E. P. Wigner, *Localized States for Elementary Systems*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21** (1949) 400. **23**
- [108] T. Choi, *Newton-Wigner Position Operator and the Corresponding Spin Operator in Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **66** (2015) 877 [[1412.0351](#)].

- [109] L. Freidel, M. Geiller and D. Pranzetti, *Edge Modes of Gravity. Part III. Corner Simplicity Constraints*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2021) 100 [[2007.12635](#)]. 23
- [110] G. Compère, A. Fiorucci and R. Ruzziconi, *Superboost Transitions, Refraction Memory and Super-Lorentz Charge Algebra*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2018) 200 [[1810.00377](#)]. 25, 34, 60
- [111] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *BMS Algebra, Double Soft Theorems, and All That*, [2106.14717](#). 25
- [112] S. Pasterski, A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, *New Gravitational Memories*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2016) 053 [[1502.06120](#)]. 25
- [113] D. A. Nichols, *Spin memory effect for compact binaries in the post-Newtonian approximation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 084048 [[1702.03300](#)].
- [114] D. A. Nichols, *Center-of-mass angular momentum and memory effect in asymptotically flat spacetimes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 064032 [[1807.08767](#)]. 25
- [115] L. Freidel, D. Pranzetti and A.-M. Raclariu, *Sub-Subleading Soft Graviton Theorem from Asymptotic Einstein's Equations*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2022) 186 [[2111.15607](#)]. 25, 27, 29, 30
- [116] L. Freidel, D. Pranzetti and A.-M. Raclariu, *Higher Spin Dynamics in Gravity and $w_{1+\infty}$ Celestial Symmetries*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 086013 [[2112.15573](#)]. 25
- [117] J. Moser, *On the Volume Elements on a Manifold*, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **120** (1965) 286. 26
- [118] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *Finite BMS Transformations*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2016) 167 [[1601.04090](#)]. 28, 59, 62
- [119] B. Kostant, *Orbits, Symplectic Structures and Representation Theory*, in *Proceedings of the United States-Japan Seminar in Differential Geometry, Kyoto, Japan*, p. 71, 1965. 29, 72
- [120] B. Kostant, *Quantization and Unitary Representations*, in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 87–208. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1970. 29, 72
- [121] E. E. Flanagan and D. A. Nichols, *Conserved Charges of the Extended Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Algebra*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 044002 [[1510.03386](#)]. 29, 38, 46, 47, 48
- [122] F. A. Berezin, *Some Remarks about the Associated Envelope of a Lie Algebra*, *Funct. Anal. its Appl.* **1** (1968) 91–102. 30, 70
- [123] A. A. Kirillov, *Local Lie Algebras*, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **31** (1976) 55–75.
- [124] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, *Deformation Theory and Quantization. I. Deformations of Symplectic Structures*, *Ann. Phys.* **111** (1978) 61–110.
- [125] A. Weinstein, *The Local Structure of Poisson Manifolds*, *J. Differ. Geom.* **18** (1983) . 30, 70
- [126] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, *Qcd sum rules, a modern perspective*, in *At The Frontier of Particle Physics: Handbook of QCD (in 3 Volumes)* (M. Shifman and B. Ioffe, eds.), pp. 1495–1576. World Scientific, 2001. [hep-ph/0010175](#). 32
- [127] F. J. Burnell, *Anyon Condensation and its Applications*, *Ann. Rev. Condensed Matter Phys.* **9** (2018) 307 [[1706.04940](#)]. 32
- [128] D. Kapec, M. Perry, A.-M. Raclariu and A. Strominger, *Infrared Divergences in QED*,

- Revisited, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 085002 [1705.04311]. 33
- [129] D. Kapec and P. Mitra, *Shadows and Soft Exchange in Celestial CFT*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 026009 [2109.00073].
- [130] D. Kapec, Y. T. A. Law and S. A. Narayanan, *Soft Scalars and the Geometry of the Space of Celestial Conformal Field Theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 046024 [2205.10935].
- [131] D. Kapec, *Soft Particles and Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **41** (2024) 015001 [2210.00606]. 33
- [132] A. Rignon-Bret, *Generalized angular momentum via wald-zoupas*, nov, 2023. 10.48660/23110062. 33
- [133] J. E. Marsden, T. Rațiu and A. Weinstein, *Semidirect Products and Reduction in Mechanics*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc* **281** (1984) 147. 39
- [134] B. Khesin and Y. Chekanov, *Invariants of the Euler Equations for Ideal or Barotropic Hydrodynamics and Superconductivity in D Dimensions*, *Phys. D: Nonlinear Phenom.* **40** (1989) 119.
- [135] V. I. Arnold and B. A. Khesin, *Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics*, vol. 125. Springer Science & Business Media, 1999.
- [136] P. J. Morrison, *Hamiltonian Description of the Ideal Fluid*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70** (1998) 467. 39
- [137] M. Campiglia, *Null to Time-Like Infinity Green's Functions for Asymptotic Symmetries in Minkowski Spacetime*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2015) 160 [1509.01408]. 50
- [138] S. Weinberg, *Infrared Photons and Gravitons*, *Phys. Rev.* **140** (1965) B516. 53
- [139] D. Kapec, V. Lysov, S. Pasterski and A. Strominger, *Semiclassical Virasoro symmetry of the quantum gravity \mathcal{S} -matrix*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2014) 058 [1406.3312]. 55
- [140] D. Kapec, P. Mitra, A.-M. Raclariu and A. Strominger, *2D Stress Tensor for 4D Gravity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 121601 [1609.00282]. 55
- [141] A. Sudhakar and A. Suthar, *Phase Space of Gravity for the Dynamical Celestial Metric*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) 104058 [2303.04051]. 59
- [142] T. Mädler and J. Winicour, *Bondi-Sachs Formalism*, *Scholarpedia* **11** (2016) 33528 [1609.01731]. 60
- [143] L. Freidel and A. Riello, *Renormalization of conformal infinity as a stretched horizon*, 2402.03097. 60
- [144] H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar and C. N. Pope, *New Dual Gravitational Charges*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 024013 [1812.01641]. 61
- [145] H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar and C. N. Pope, *Tower of Subleading Dual BMS Charges*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2019) 057 [1812.06935].
- [146] H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar and C. N. Pope, *Dual Gravitational Charges and Soft Theorems*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2019) 123 [1908.01164]. 61
- [147] G. Barnich and P.-H. Lambert, *A Note on the Newman-Unti Group and the BMS Charge Algebra in Terms of Newman-Penrose Coefficients*, *Adv. Math. Phys.* **2012** (2012) 197385

[1102.0589]. 62

- [148] G. Barnich, P. Mao and R. Ruzziiconi, *BMS Current Algebra in the Context of the Newman–Penrose Formalism*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **37** (2020) 095010 [1910.14588]. 62
- [149] S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry and A. Strominger, *Superrotation Charge and Supertranslation Hair on Black Holes*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2017) 161 [1611.09175]. 62
- [150] L. A. Tamburino and J. H. Winicour, *Gravitational Fields in Finite and Conformal Bondi Frames*, *Phys. Rev.* **150** (1966) 1039. 66
- [151] S. J. Fletcher and A. W. C. Lun, *The Kerr Spacetime in Generalized Bondi-Sachs Coordinates*, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **20** (2003) 4153. 66, 99, 100, 101
- [152] W. Israel, *Event Horizons in Static Vacuum Space-Times*, *Phys. Rev.* **164** (1967) 1776. 68
- [153] B. Carter, *Axisymmetric Black Hole Has Only Two Degrees of Freedom*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26** (1971) 331.
- [154] D. C. Robinson, *Uniqueness of the Kerr Black Hole*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34** (1975) 905. 68
- [155] R. P. Geroch, *Multipole Moments. II. Curved Space*, *J. Math. Phys.* **11** (1970) 2580. 68
- [156] R. O. Hansen, *Multipole Moments of Stationary Space-Times*, *J. Math. Phys.* **15** (1974) 46. 68
- [157] M. Audin, *The Topology of Torus Actions on Symplectic Manifolds*. Birkhäuser Basel, 1991. 70
- [158] J. E. Marsden and G. Misiolek and M. Perlmutter and T. S. Ratiu, *Symplectic Reduction for Semidirect Products and Central Extensions*, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **9** (1998) 173. 71
- [159] E. Meinrenken, *Poisson Geometry from a Dirac Perspective*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **108** (2017) 447 [1612.00559]. 71
- [160] S. Lie, *Theorie der Transformationsgruppen Abschn. 2*. Teubner, 1890. 71
- [161] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *The Moment Map and Collective Motion*, *Ann. Phys.* **126** (1980) 278.
- [162] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Symplectic Techniques in Physics*. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [163] P. Holmes and J. Marsden, *Horseshoes and Arnold Diffusion for Hamiltonian Systems on Lie Groups*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **32** (1983) 273.
- [164] J. E. Marsden and T. Ratiu, *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry*, Texts in Applied Mathematics. Springer New York, NY, 1999. 71
- [165] W. Donnelly and L. Freidel, *Local Subsystems in Gauge Theory and Gravity*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2016) 102 [1601.04744]. 74
- [166] R. Cushman and W. van der Kallen, *Adjoint and Coadjoint Orbits of the Poincaré Group*, *Acta Appl. Math.* **90** (2006) 65 [math/0305442]. 75
- [167] R. Cushman and W. van der Kallen, *A New Interpretation for the Mass of a Classical Relativistic Particle*, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **24** (2006) 230.
- [168] K. Andrzejewski, C. Gonera, J. Gonera, P. Kosinski and P. Maslanka, *Spinning Particles*,

Coadjoint Orbits and Hamiltonian Formalism, *Nucl. Phys. B* **975** (2022) 115664
[2008.09478]. 75, 79

- [169] P. Arathoon, *A Bijection Between the Adjoint and Coadjoint Orbits of a Semidirect Product*, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **62** (2019) 267 [1806.08406]. 75
- [170] M. Chaichian, A. P. Demichev and N. F. Nelipa, *The Casimir Operators of Inhomogeneous Groups*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **90** (1983) 353. 77
- [171] R. P. Kerr, *Gravitational Field of a Spinning Mass as an Example of Algebraically Special Metrics*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **11** (1963) 237. 100
- [172] R. H. Boyer and R. W. Lindquist, *Maximal Analytic Extension of the Kerr Metric*, *J. Math. Phys.* **8** (1967) 265. 100