

MAHONIAN-STIRLING STATISTICS FOR PARTIAL PERMUTATIONS

MING-JIAN DING AND JIANG ZENG

ABSTRACT. Recently Cheng et al. (Adv. in Appl. Math. 143 (2023) 102451) generalized the inversion number to partial permutations, which are also known as Laguerre digraphs, and asked for a suitable analogue of MacMahon's major index. We provide such a major index, namely, the corresponding maj and inv statistics are equidistributed, and exhibit a Haglund-Remmel-Wilson type identity. We then interpret some Jacobi-Rogers polynomials in terms of Laguerre digraphs generalizing Deb and Sokal's alternating Laguerre digraph interpretation of some special Jacobi-Rogers polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots)$ be two sequences of combinatorial numbers or polynomials with $\beta_k \neq 0$ for all k . The **Jacobi-Rogers polynomials** $\mu_n := \mu_n(\beta, \gamma)$ are the Taylor coefficients of the generic J-fraction

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_0 t - \frac{\beta_1 t^2}{1 - \gamma_1 t - \frac{\beta_2 t^2}{1 - \dots}}}. \quad (1.1)$$

The **generalized Jacobi-Rogers polynomials** $\mu_{n,k} := \mu_{n,k}(\beta, \gamma)$ are defined by the recurrence

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{0,0} = 1, & \mu_{n,k} = 0 \quad (k \notin [0, n]), \\ \mu_{n,k} = \mu_{n-1,k-1} + \gamma_k \mu_{n-1,k} + \beta_{k+1} \mu_{n-1,k+1} & (n \geq k \geq 0). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

It is folklore [11, 14, 25, 1, 8] that $\mu_{n,k}$ is the generating function of the Motzkin paths from $(0, 0)$ to (n, k) , in which each rise gets weight 1, each fall from height i gets weight β_i , and each level step at level i gets weight γ_i . In particular, the Jacobi-Rogers polynomial μ_n is the enumerative polynomial of Motzkin paths from $(0, 0)$ to $(n, 0)$.

The infinity lower triangular matrix $J = [\mu_{n,k}]_{n,k \geq 0}$ is known as *generalized Catalan matrix*, *Stieltjes tableau*, *Catalan-Stieltjes tableau*, *Jacobi-Rogers matrix* [1, 15, 20, 8].

Date: April 3, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05A19; 05A15; Secondary 05A05; 05A30; 30B70.

Key words and phrases. partial permutations, Laguerre digraphs, generalized Jacobi-Rogers polynomials, major index, inversion, right-to-left minimum.

The inverse matrix $A = J^{-1} = [a_{n,k}]_{n,k \geq 0}$ is also lower triangular with diagonal 1, and the row polynomials $p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} x^k$ satisfy the recurrence

$$\begin{cases} p_{-1}(x) = 0, & p_0(x) = 1, \\ p_{n+1}(x) = (x - \gamma_n)p_n(x) - \beta_n p_{n-1}(x) & (n \geq 0). \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

By Favard's theorem the polynomial sequence $(p_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$ is orthogonal with respect to the linear operator $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \gamma][x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \gamma]$ defined by $\mathcal{L}(x^n) := \mu_n$, and

$$\mathcal{L}(p_m(x)p_n(x)) := \beta_0 \beta_1 \cdots \beta_n \delta_{m,n} \quad (\beta_0 = 1).$$

In this regard, the sequence $(\mu_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is called the *moment sequence* of the orthogonal polynomials $p_n(x)$'s. Let

$$P = (1, p_1(x), \dots, p_n(x), \dots)^T \text{ and } X = (1, x, \dots, x^n, \dots)^T.$$

Then, recurrence (1.3) is equivalent to

$$P = A X \iff X = J P, \quad (1.4)$$

that is,

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} x^k \iff x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \mu_{n,k} p_k(x). \quad (1.5)$$

The above scheme had its genesis probably in Euler's J-fraction [24]

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! x^n = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_0 t - \frac{\beta_1 t^2}{1 - \gamma_1 t - \frac{\beta_2 t^2}{1 - \dots}}} \quad (1.6a)$$

with $\gamma_k = 2k+1$ and $\beta_{k+1} = (k+1)^2$ for $k \geq 0$. The corresponding orthogonal polynomials are the monic (simple) **Laguerre polynomials** $L_n(x)$:


$$L_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \frac{n!}{k!} x^k \iff x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{n!}{k!} L_k(x), \quad (1.6b)$$

and the generalized Jacobi-Rogers polynomials are positive integers

$$\mu_{n,k} = \binom{n}{k} \frac{n!}{k!}. \quad (1.6c)$$

Let $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A **Laguerre configuration** on $[n]$ is a pair $\pi = (I, f)$, where I is a subset of $[n]$ and $f : I \rightarrow [n]$ is an injection, and is called a k -Laguerre configuration on $[n]$ if $|I| = n - k$. One can represent a Laguerre configuration by one of the following models [13, 8, 7].

- (1) A **Laguerre word** over the alphabet $[n] \cup \{\diamond\}$ is a word consisting of distinct letters in $[n]$ and the symbol $\diamond$. Each Laguerre configuration corresponds to a string of n symbols $\pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$, some of which are distinct numbers in the range from 1 to n and the remaining ones of which are some special “hole” symbols $\diamond$. In this formulation, the domain I of the Laguerre configuration consists with the positions in the string that do not contain a hole, and each such position is mapped to the number in that position. Clearly, a k -Laguerre configuration on $[n]$ corresponds to a Laguerre word on $[n]$ with k symbols $\diamond$. For example, word $\pi = 325\diamond 186\diamond$ is a 2-Laguerre word over $[8]$.
- (2) A **Laguerre digraph** on $[n]$ is a directed graph with vertex set $[n]$ such that each vertex has 0 or 1 in-degree and 0 or 1 out-degree. It is clear that there are two types of connected components in a Laguerre digraph: cycles and paths. A k -Laguerre configuration (I, f) corresponds to a Laguerre digraph on $[n]$ with k paths such that there is an arrow $i \rightarrow f(i)$ if and only if $i \in I$. For the above Laguerre word π the corresponding Laguerre digraph is depicted in Figure 1.

FIGURE 1. Laguerre digraph for $\pi = 325\diamond 186\diamond$

- (3) A **partial permutation** on $[n]$ is a bijection between two subsets of $[n]$. This can be described by a $n \times n$ $(0,1)$ -matrix such that each row and column has at most one 1. Clearly, a k -Laguerre word $\pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$ on $[n]$ can be encoded by a $(0,1)$ -matrix $[a_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^n$ with $a_{i,j} = 1$ if and only if $j = \pi_i$. Hence a k -Laguerre word corresponds to a $(0,1)$ -matrix with k 0-rows and k 0-columns.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_n^k$ be the set of all partial permutations on $[n]$ with k 0-rows (and k 0-columns). It is clear that the cardinality of $\mathfrak{S}_n^k$ is $\binom{n}{k} \frac{n!}{k!}$ with $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $\mathfrak{S}_n^0 = \mathfrak{S}_n$, i.e., the set of all permutations of $[n]$. In what follows, we shall mainly use Laguerre digraphs and words to describe statistics over Laguerre configurations or partial permutations.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the (β, q) -analogue of n by

$$[n]_{\beta,q} = \beta - 1 + q^0 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}, \quad [0]_{\beta,q} = 0,$$

and $[n]_{\beta,q}! := [1]_{\beta,q}[2]_{\beta,q}\cdots[n]_{\beta,q}$ with $[0]_{\beta,q}! = 1$. When $\beta = 1$ we write $[n]_q := [n]_{1,q}$ and $[n]_q! := [n]_{1,q}!$. The q -binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q![n-k]_q!} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

The following (β, q) -analogue of Euler's J-fraction expansion (1.1) is known

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n]_{\beta, q}! x^n = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_0 t - \frac{\beta_1 t^2}{1 - \gamma_1 t - \frac{\beta_2 t^2}{1 - \dots}}} \quad (1.7a)$$

with $\gamma_k = q^k([k]_q + [k+1]_{\beta, q})$, $\beta_{k+1} = q^{2k+1}[k+1]_q[k+1]_{\beta, q}$ for $k \geq 0$. The corresponding orthogonal polynomials $L_n(x; a|q)$ are the normalized monic little q -**Laguerre polynomials** $p_n(x; a|q)$ with $a = \frac{1-q}{(1-q)\beta+q}$, see [3, 10, 16], namely,

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(x; a|q) &= \frac{(aq/(1-q); q)_n}{a^n} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} p_n(ax; a/(1-q)|q) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} a^{k-n} \frac{(aq/(1-q); q)_n}{(aq(1-q); q)_k} x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} [k+1]_{\beta, q} \cdots [n]_{\beta, q} x^k. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7b)$$

The inversion formula reads

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q [k+1]_{\beta, q} \cdots [n]_{\beta, q} L_k(x; a|q). \quad (1.7c)$$

The combinatorics of classical (q) -Laguerre polynomials and their moments are well studied [13, 25, 10, 23, 15, 9, 21, 24]. In two recent papers, Cheng et al. [7] and Deb and Sokal [8] generalized several well-known permutation statistics to partial permutations or Laguerre digraphs. In particular, Cheng et al. [7] gave a combinatorial interpretation of the q -coefficients in (1.7c) using an inversion-like statistic **inv** on partial permutations and raised the problem [7, Problem 8.1] to find a *suitable* major index on partial permutations, while Deb and Sokal [8] extended cycle-alternating permutations to cycle-alternating Laguerre digraphs and interpreted some Jacobi-Rogers polynomials in terms of Laguerre digraphs. In this paper we shall provide such a major index **maj**, which is deemed appropriate because it is equidistributed with the inversion statistic **inv**, see Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. We then interpret some Jacobi-Rogers polynomials in terms of Laguerre digraphs generalizing Deb and Sokal's alternating Laguerre digraph interpretation of some special Jacobi-Rogers polynomials, see Theorem 2.3.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows, we will present our main results in Section 2. The first one is a major index **maj** on partial permutations such that the bi-statistic $(\text{maj}, \text{rlmin})$ of major index and right-to-left minimum is equidistributed with the bi-statistic $(\text{inv}, \text{rlmin})$ of inversion number and right-to-left minimum on partial permutations, the corresponding generating function is also got, see Theorem 2.1. The second one is to generalize the equidistribution of inversion number and major index over partial permutations to a Haglund-Remmel-Wilson type identity for partial permutations, see

Theorem 2.2. The last one is to extend cyclic statistics on permutations to partial permutations and evaluate the generalized Jacobi-Rogers polynomials, see Theorem 2.3. In Section 3, we give a proof of Theorem 2.1 by a bijection, and prove Theorem 2.2, which gives an answer to Problem 8.1 in [7]. Lastly, a proof of Theorem 2.3 will be given in Section 4.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let M be the multiset $\{1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots, r^{m_r}\}$, where m_i is the number of copies of letter i and $n = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_r$. Denote by $\mathfrak{S}_M$ the set of all permutations of set M . If all m_i are equal to 1, then $\mathfrak{S}_M$ is the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_M$ be a permutation with $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$. Define the set of all inversion indexes ending at position i of π by

$$\text{Inv}^{\square, i}(\pi) := \{j \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n, \pi_j > \pi_i\} \quad (2.1)$$

and denote by $\text{inv}^{\square, i}(\pi)$ the cardinality of set $\text{Inv}^{\square, i}(\pi)$, thus the *inversion number* of π is given by

$$\text{inv}(\pi) := \sum_{i=1}^n \text{inv}^{\square, i}(\pi).$$

Define the descent set of π by

$$\text{Des}(\pi) := \{i \in [n-1] \mid \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\}$$

and the number of descents of π is the cardinality of $\text{Des}(\pi)$, denoted by $\text{des}(\pi)$. The *major index* [19] of π is defined as

$$\text{maj}(\pi) := \sum_{i \in \text{Des}(\pi)} i.$$

Let (A, B) be an ordered partition of $[n]$ with $|A| = n - k$. Define the between-set inversion $\text{inv}(A, B) = |\{(a, b) \in A \times B \mid a > b\}|$. It is known [14, Lemma 2.6.6 (2)] that

$$\sum_{A \uplus B = [n], |A| = n-k} q^{\text{inv}(A, B)} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q. \quad (2.2)$$

In what follows, we shall consider an element $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k$ as a permutation $\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$ of the n -subset of multiset $\{1, 2, \dots, n, \diamond^k\}_<$, where the letters are ordered as $1 < 2 < \dots < n < \diamond$ and π has k “hole” symbols $\diamond$. A *descent* of a partial permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k$ is an index $i \in [n-1]$ such that $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$, and the descent set of π is defined by

$$\text{Des}(\pi) := \{i \in [n-1] \mid \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\}.$$

Let $\text{des}(\pi) = |\text{Des}(\pi)|$. For each $\pi = (I, f) \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k$, let $S_\pi = \{f(i) \mid i \in I\}$ and $\overline{S}_\pi = [n] \setminus S_\pi$. Define the inversion number of π by

$$\text{inv}(\pi) = \text{inv}_0(\pi) + \text{inv}(S_\pi, \overline{S}_\pi), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\text{inv}_0(\pi) := \sum_{i=1}^n \text{inv}^{\square, i}(\pi)$. We define the *major index* of π by

$$\text{maj}(\pi) := \text{maj}_0(\pi) + \text{inv}(S_\pi, \overline{S}_\pi), \quad (2.4)$$

where $\text{maj}_0(\pi) = \sum_{i \in \text{Des}(\pi)} i$.

Given $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k$, we say that letter $\pi_i \in [n]$ is a *right-to-left minimum* if all letters in $[\pi_i - 1]$ are in front of π_i in π . Note that 1 is always a right-to-left minimum. Denote by $\text{Rlmin}(\pi)$ the set of all right-to-left minima in π and $\text{rlmin}(\pi)$ its cardinality. We show that the bi-statistic $(\text{maj}, \text{rlmin})$ is equidistributed with $(\text{inv}, \text{rlmin})$ and their generating function has a product formula.

Theorem 2.1. *Let n, k be nonnegative integers. Then*

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} = \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q [k+1]_{\beta, q} \cdots [n]_{\beta, q}. \quad (2.5)$$

The second identity in (2.5) is due to Cheng et al. [7]. When $\beta = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)} = \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q!}, \quad (2.6)$$

which gives an answer to the open problem in [7, Problem 8.1]. When $k = 0$, Remmel and Wilson [22] generalized the first equality of (2.6) to the following identity

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} \prod_{i \in \text{Des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^{1+\text{inv}^{\square, i}(\pi)}} \right) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^{\text{des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^i} \right), \quad (2.7)$$

which had been conjectured by Haglund. Obviously, the $z = 0$ case of (2.7) is the first equality in (2.6) with $k = 0$. Actually, MacMahon [19] (see also Andrews [2, Theorem 3.6, Theorem 3.7]) has proved that the statistics inversion number and major index are equidistributed on $\mathfrak{S}_M$ and their common generating function has a product formula,

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_M} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_M} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)} = \frac{[n]_q!}{[m_1]_q! [m_2]_q! \cdots [m_r]_q!}. \quad (2.8)$$

In 2016 Wilson [26] extended identity (2.7) to multiset M ,

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_M} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} \prod_{i \in \text{Des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^{1+\text{inv}^{\square, i}(\pi)}} \right) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_M} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^{\text{des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^i} \right). \quad (2.9)$$

If $m_i = 1$ for all i , then identity (2.9) reduces to (2.7), and if $z = 0$, then identity (2.9) reduces to (2.8). Since then, an identity analogous to (2.7) connecting major-like and inv-like statistics is called *Haglund-Remmel-Wilson identity* [26, 17, 18, 27].

The following theorem is our second main result, which gives an answer to the open problem in [7, Problem 8.1] when $z = 0$.

Theorem 2.2. *For nonnegative integers n, k , we have*

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} \prod_{i \in \text{Des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^{1+\text{inv}^\square, i}(\pi)}\right) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^{\text{des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^i}\right). \quad (2.10)$$

Let $\mathbf{LD}_{n,k}$ be the set of all Laguerre digraphs on $[n]$ with k paths. In order to define suitable statistics on Laguerre digraphs, as in [28, 8], we need to make a convention about boundary conditions at the two-ends of a path. The idea is to extend a Laguerre graph G on the vertex set $[n]$ to a digraph $\widehat{G}$ on the vertex set $[n] \cup \{0\}$ by decreeing that any vertex $i \in [n]$ that has in-degree (resp. out-degree) 0 in G will receive an incoming (resp. outgoing) edge from (resp. to) the vertex 0. In this way, each vertex $i \in [n]$ will have a unique predecessor $p(i) \in [n] \cup \{0\}$ and a unique successor $s(i) \in [n] \cup \{0\}$. We then say that a vertex $i \in [n]$ is a

- (1) a peak (pk), if $p(i) < i > s(i)$;
- (2) a valley (val), if $p(i) > i < s(i)$;
- (3) a double ascent (da), if $p(i) < i < s(i)$;
- (4) a double descent (dd), if $p(i) > i > s(i)$;
- (5) a fixed point (fp), if $p(i) = i = s(i)$.

We write $\text{pk}(G)$, $\text{val}(G)$, $\text{da}(G)$, $\text{dd}(G)$, $\text{fp}(G)$ for the number of vertices $i \in [n]$ that are, respectively, peaks, valleys, double ascents, double descents and fixed points in Laguerre digraph G . We also write $\text{cyc}(G)$ for the number of cycles in Laguerre digraph G .

Theorem 2.3. *The generalized Jacobi-Rogers polynomial $\mu_{n,k}(\beta, \gamma)$ with*

$$\gamma_k = k(u_3 + u_4) + \alpha\beta, \quad \beta_k = k(\beta - 1 + k)u_1u_2 \quad (2.11)$$

is the following enumerative polynomial of Laguerre digraphs on $[n]$ with k paths

$$\mu_{n,k}(\beta, \gamma) = \sum_{G \in \mathbf{LD}_{n,k}} u_1^{\text{pk}(G)-k} u_2^{\text{val}(G)} u_3^{\text{da}(G)} u_4^{\text{dd}(G)} \alpha^{\text{fp}(G)} \beta^{\text{cyc}(G)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Remark 2.4. An *alternating Laguerre digraph* is a Laguerre digraph in which there are no double ascents, double descents or fixed points. Specializing Theorem 2.3 to $\alpha = u_3 = u_4 = 0$ and $u_1 = u_2 = 1$, we derive that the Jacobi-Rogers polynomial $\mu_{n,k}(\beta, \gamma)$ with $\beta_k = k(\beta - 1 + k)$ and $\gamma = \mathbf{0}$ enumerates alternating Laguerre digraphs on $[n]$ with k paths with a weight β for each cycle [8, Proposition 6.3].

3. MAHONIAN STATISTICS OVER PARTIAL PERMUTATIONS

For $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k$ let M_π be its corresponding $(0,1)$ -matrix. Originally Cheng et al. [7] defined the inversion number ($\widetilde{\text{inv}}$) of M_π as the number of *survival* 0's in M_π after deleting

- all 0's below 1 in each column,
- all 0's at the right of 1 in each row,
- all 0's if there is no 1 in neither their rows nor their columns.

Similarly we define the major index ($\widetilde{\text{maj}}$) of M_π as the number of 0's such that

- they are above the 1 (of their columns) which has another 1 at right in the upper row, or
- they are above the 1 (of their columns) which has no 1 in the upper row, or
- they are at left of a 1 of their rows (if their columns have no 1).

For example, if $\pi = 3\ 2\ 5\ \diamond\ 1\ 8\ 6\ \diamond \in \mathfrak{S}_8^2$, then

$$\text{Des}(\pi) = \{1, 4, 6\}, \quad S_\pi = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8\}, \quad \overline{S}_\pi = \{4, 7\}.$$

Hence $\text{inv}_0(\pi) = 8$, $\text{inv}(S_\pi, \overline{S}_\pi) = 4$, and

$$\text{inv}(\pi) = 12, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{maj}(\pi) = (1 + 4 + 6) + 4 = 15.$$

The following is the (0,1)-matrix M_π of π , where the survival 0's are replaced by x in the left and right matrices in (3.1) for the computation of $\text{inv}(\pi) = 12$ and $\text{maj}(\pi) = 15$ in terms of (0,1)-matrix M_π , respectively.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{x} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{x} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 0 & \mathbf{x} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 0 & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{x} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{x} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 1 & \mathbf{x} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 0 & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

The following result shows that the two latter definitions of inversion number and major index in terms of (0,1)-matrix are equivalent to the definitions on Laguerre words.

Proposition 3.1. *For $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k$, we have*

$$\text{inv}(\pi) = \widetilde{\text{inv}}(\pi), \quad \text{maj}(\pi) = \widetilde{\text{maj}}(\pi). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. We just prove the first identity for inversion numbers because the second can be proved similarly. Let M_π be the (0,1)-matrix associated to $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k$. There are two cases for a column having a survival 0.

- If a survival 0 in the j th column has a 1 below, say $\pi_i = j$, then this 0 must be in the ℓ th row with $\ell < i$ and j th column of M_π with a 1 at the right ($\pi_\ell > \pi_i$) or without 1 in the ℓ th row ($\pi_\ell = \diamond$), i.e., $\pi_\ell > \pi_i$, this corresponds to an inversion $\pi_\ell > \pi_i$ and $1 \leq \ell < i$ in $\text{Inv}^{\square, i}(\pi)$ and vice versa.
- If a survival 0 in the j th column does not have a 1 below, then there must be a 1 at the right. Assume that this 0 is at position (i, j) of M_π , then $j \in \overline{S}_\pi$ and there exists some $\ell > j$ such that $\pi_i = \ell$ and $\ell \in S_\pi$. Therefore, this 0 enumerates an inversion in $\text{Inv}(S_\pi, \overline{S}_\pi)$ and vice versa.

Combining the above cases, the proof is complete. $\square$

Given $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k$, define the set of all inversion indexes starting at a letter of π which is different from $\diamond$ as

$$\text{Inv}_\blacklozenge(\pi) := \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \pi_i > \pi_j, \pi_i \neq \diamond\} \quad (3.3)$$

and denote by $\text{inv}_\blacklozenge(\pi)$ the cardinality of set $\text{Inv}_\blacklozenge(\pi)$. Similarly, define the set of all inversion indexes starting at symbols $\diamond$ of π as

$$\text{Inv}_\diamond(\pi) := \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \pi_i > \pi_j, \pi_i = \diamond\} \quad (3.4)$$

and denote by $\text{inv}_\diamond(\pi)$ the cardinality of set $\text{Inv}_\diamond(\pi)$. Define $\widetilde{\text{inv}}_\blacklozenge(\pi) := \text{inv}_\blacklozenge(\pi) + \text{inv}_\diamond(\pi)$. By (2.3), we have

$$\text{inv}(\pi) = \widetilde{\text{inv}}_\blacklozenge(\pi) + \text{inv}_\diamond(\pi). \quad (3.5)$$

Let $\binom{[n]}{k}$ denote the set of all subsets of k elements of $[n]$. For $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ let

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n^k(I) = \{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k \mid \pi_i = \diamond \text{ for } i \in I\}.$$

For example, if $n = 3$ and $I = \{2\}$, then

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_3^1(\{2\}) = \{1\diamond 2, 1\diamond 3, 2\diamond 3, 2\diamond 1, 3\diamond 1, 3\diamond 2\}.$$

Let $w(\pi) = \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\widetilde{\text{inv}}_\blacklozenge(\pi)}$. Then the corresponding terms are as follows.

TABLE 1. The weights $w(\pi)$ in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_3^1(\{2\})$

π	$1\diamond 2$	$1\diamond 3$	$2\diamond 3$	$2\diamond 1$	$3\diamond 1$	$3\diamond 2$
$S_\pi \setminus \overline{S}_\pi$	$\{1, 2\} \setminus \{3\}$	$\{1, 3\} \setminus \{2\}$	$\{2, 3\} \setminus \{1\}$	$\{1, 2\} \setminus \{3\}$	$\{1, 3\} \setminus \{2\}$	$\{2, 3\} \setminus \{1\}$
$w(\pi)$	β^2	βq	q^2	βq	βq^2	q^3

Hence

$$\sum_{\pi \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_3^1(\{2\})} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\widetilde{\text{inv}}_\blacklozenge(\pi)} = (\beta + q)(\beta + q + q^2).$$

The following result was proved in [7, Theorem 3.2] using (0,1)-matrix. For completeness we provide a proof using Laguerre words.

Lemma 3.2. *We have*

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q [k+1]_{\beta, q} \cdots [n]_{\beta, q}. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. For any $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ let $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n$ with $\sigma_i = \diamond$ if $i \in I$ and empty otherwise. Recall that

$$\widetilde{\text{inv}}_\blacklozenge(\pi) := \text{inv}_\blacklozenge(\pi) + \text{inv}(S_\pi, \overline{S}_\pi). \quad (3.7)$$

We make up a Laguerre word $\pi \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n^k(I)$ by choosing $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n-k}$, successively, in $[n]$ and putting them at the feasible empty positions in σ from left. Let $I_0 = [n]$, and assume that j_ℓ is the j_ℓ^* -th smallest element in $I_{\ell-1} = [n] \setminus \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\ell-1}\}$, at step ℓ

($1 \leq \ell \leq n - k$) choosing j_ℓ in the ℓ -th feasible empty position from left results in sending the $j_\ell^* - 1$ smaller elements in $I_{\ell-1}$ to the right of j_ℓ or in $\widetilde{S}_\pi$, so its contribution to $\widetilde{\text{inv}}_\diamond(\pi)$ is $j_\ell^* - 1$; also j_ℓ is a right-to-left minimum if and only if $j_\ell = 1^*$, i.e., it is the minimum in $I_{\ell-1}$. Thus, the contribution of this insertion to the weight of π is $\beta + q + \cdots + q^{n-\ell}$ because there are $n - \ell + 1$ choices for j_ℓ in $I_{\ell-1}$. Thus we obtain the generating polynomial

$$\sum_{\pi \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n^k(I)} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\text{inv}_\diamond(\pi)} = \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-k} (\beta + q + \cdots + q^{n-\ell}), \quad (3.8)$$

which depends only on the size k of $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$. For $\pi \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n^k(I)$ let $w_I = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ with $w_i = 1$ if $i \in I$ and 0 otherwise. From (3.4) it is clear that $\text{inv}_\diamond(\pi) = \text{inv}(w_I) = \text{inv}(\bar{I}, I)$ with $\bar{I} = [n] \setminus I$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n^k} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} &= \sum_{I \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \sum_{\pi \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n^k(I)} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\widetilde{\text{inv}}_\diamond(\pi) + \text{inv}_\diamond(\pi)} \\ &= \sum_{I \in \binom{[n]}{k}} q^{\text{inv}(\bar{I}, I)} \sum_{\pi \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n^k(I)} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\widetilde{\text{inv}}_\diamond(\pi)} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q [k+1]_{\beta, q} \cdots [n]_{\beta, q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from (2.2) and (3.8). $\square$

We need some more definitions and preliminaries. Recall that $\mathfrak{S}_M$ is the all permutations of multiset $M = \{1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots, r^{m_r}\}$ with $m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_r = n$ and $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r \geq 0$. For any $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_M$ with $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$, we label the m_i copies of each letter $i \in [r]$ from left to right by the order of their occurrence as $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m_i}$ and let π^* be the nondecreasing rearrangement of the letters in π such that the equal letters are ordered by their labels,

$$\pi^* = \pi_1^* \pi_2^* \cdots \pi_n^* = 1_1 \cdots 1_{m_1} 2_1 \cdots 2_{m_2} \cdots r_1 \cdots r_{m_r}.$$

Let $I(\pi) := (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$, where b_i is the number of letters π_j to the right of π_i^* in π and $\pi_i^* > \pi_j$. Clearly, we have $b_i \leq i - 1$ and

$$\text{inv}(\pi) = b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_n. \quad (3.9)$$

For example, if we take $\pi = 2_1 1_1 2_2 6_1 5_1 4_1 4_2 3_1$, then $\pi^* = 1_1 2_1 2_2 3_1 4_1 4_2 5_1 6_1$, $I(\pi) = (0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 3, 4)$ and $\text{inv}(\pi) = 10$.

Carlitz's insertion algorithm. We now define a mapping ψ on $\mathfrak{S}_M$ recursively. This mapping was first used by Carlitz [6] in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and extended to $\mathfrak{S}_M$ in [12, 26].

Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_M$ with $I(\pi) = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$. For $i \in [n]$, one construct the words α_i on $M^i = \{\pi_1^*, \pi_2^*, \dots, \pi_i^*\}$ starting with $\alpha_1 = \pi_1^*$. At step $i + 1$, we label the $i + 1$ slots in α_i : in front of α_i , between two consecutive letters of α_i , and at the end of α_i , according to the following rule:

- (1) Label the rightmost slot in α_i with 0;
- (2) Label its descent slot from **right to left** with $1, 2, \dots, \text{des}(\alpha_i)$;
- (3) Label the leftmost slot with $\text{des}(\alpha_i) + 1$;
- (4) Label the other (non-descent) slots from the left to right with $\text{des}(\alpha_i) + 2, \text{des}(\alpha_i) + 3, \dots, i$.

Inserting π_{i+1}^* in the slot labelled b_{i+1} in α_i creates a permutation α_{i+1} . Repeat the process until M^n and define $\psi(\pi) := \alpha_n$. It is easy to verify that ψ is a bijection satisfying

$$\text{maj}(\alpha_{i+1}) - \text{maj}(\alpha_i) = b_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Thus

$$\text{maj}(\psi(\pi)) = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n = \text{inv}(\pi). \quad (3.10)$$

We omit the proof, and refer the reader to [26, Section 2.2] and [12] for more details.

For our running example $\pi = 21265443$, we have

$$\alpha_4 = {}_22_11_32_43_0,$$

with $\pi_5^* = 4$ and $b_5 = 1$. Inserting 4 at slot 1 in α_4 , we get $\alpha_5 = 24123$ and finally $\psi(\pi) = 26544123$.

Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_M$ with $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$. A letter π_i is called a **right-to-left minimum** if $\pi_i \leq \pi_j$ for all $j > i$ and π_i is the first occurrence (from left) in π . Denote by $\text{Rlmin}(\pi)$ the set of all right-to-left minima in π and $\text{rlmin}(\pi)$ the cardinality of $\text{Rlmin}(\pi)$. For instance, if $\pi = 21265443$, then $\psi(\pi) = 26544123$ and

$$\text{Rlmin}(\pi) = \{1, 3\} = \text{Rlmin}(\psi(\pi)).$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $M = \{1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots, r^{m_r}\}$. The mapping ψ is a bijection on $\mathfrak{S}_M$ such that*

$$\text{Rlmin}(\pi) = \text{Rlmin}(\psi(\pi)), \quad (3.11a)$$

$$(\text{inv}, \text{rlmin})(\pi) = (\text{maj}, \text{rlmin})(\psi(\pi)). \quad (3.11b)$$

Proof. By (3.9) and (3.10) we need only to verify (3.11a). For any $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_M$ with $\pi^* = \pi_1^*\pi_2^* \cdots \pi_n^*$ and $I(\pi) = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$, by definition, a letter π_i^* is in $\text{Rlmin}(\pi)$ ($i \in [n]$) if and only if $b_i = 0$ and π_i^* is the first occurrence from left in π .

Assume that $\pi_i^* \in \text{Rlmin}(\pi)$, then $b_i = 0$, by definition of mapping ψ , we have $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i-1}\pi_i^*$, so π_i^* is a right-to-left minimum in α_j for $j \geq i$ because $\pi_j^* \geq \pi_i^*$ and $b_j = 0$ if $\pi_j^* = \pi_i^*$, which implies that π_i^* is the first occurrence in $\psi(\pi)$. Hence $\pi_i^* \in \text{Rlmin}(\psi(\pi))$.

Assume that $\pi_i^* \in \text{Rlmin}(\psi(\pi))$, then π_i^* is the first occurrence in $\psi(\pi)$ and $b_i = 0$. If $b_i \geq 1$, then there would be a letter $\gamma < \pi_i^*$ at the right of π_i^* in α_i , and then in all α_j with $j \geq i$, which contradicts the assumption. According to the action of ψ , if π_i^* is not the first occurrence in π , then $b_i \geq 1$ because π_i^* is the first occurrence in $\psi(\pi)$.

Summarizing, we have proved that π_i^* is the first occurrence in π and $b_i = 0$, that is, $\pi_i^* \in \text{Rlmin}(\pi)$. $\square$

Remark 3.4. Identity (3.11) is known for $\mathfrak{S}_n$, see [5, Theorem 5.6].

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For any $J \in \binom{[n]}{n-k}$ let

$$\mathfrak{S}_n^k(J) = \{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k \mid S_\pi = J\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} &= \sum_{J \in \binom{[n]}{n-k}} q^{\text{inv}(J, \bar{J})} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k(J)} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\text{inv}_0(\pi)}, \\ \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)} &= \sum_{J \in \binom{[n]}{n-k}} q^{\text{inv}(J, \bar{J})} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k(J)} \beta^{\text{rlmin}(\pi)} q^{\text{maj}_0(\pi)}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k(J)$, we show that the bijection ψ in Theorem 3.3 has the property

$$\text{inv}_0(\pi) = \text{maj}_0(\psi(\pi)), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\text{rlmin}(\pi) = \text{rlmin}(\psi(\pi)). \quad (3.13)$$

Let i be the largest integer in $[n]$ such that $[i] \subseteq J$. Clearly $\text{Rlmin}(\pi) \subseteq [i]$ by definition. Thus, we need only to consider the restriction of π on $[i]$, denoted $\pi|_{[i]}$, which preserves the order of the elements of $[i]$ in π (as 3 2 1 in 3 2 5 $\diamond$ 1 8 6 $\diamond$). So, statistic $\text{rlmin}(\psi(\pi))$ only depends on $\pi|_{[i]}$. Applying Theorem 3.3 with $M = [i]$, the result is immediate. The proof is completed by Lemma 3.2. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $J_0 = [n - k]$. It is clear that the order preserving mapping from J_0 to any $J \in \binom{[n]}{n-k}$ leads to a bijection from $\mathfrak{S}_n^k(J_0)$ to $\mathfrak{S}_n^k(J)$, which keeps the traces of the integer valued statistics inv_0 , maj_0 and $\text{inv}^{\square, i}$, and the set valued statistic Des . Therefore, combining with (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} \prod_{i \in \text{Des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^{1+\text{inv}^{\square, i}(\pi)}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{J \in \binom{[n]}{n-k}} q^{\text{inv}(J, \bar{J})} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k(J)} q^{\text{inv}_0(\pi)} \prod_{i \in \text{Des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^{1+\text{inv}^{\square, i}(\pi)}} \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k(J_0)} q^{\text{inv}_0(\pi)} \prod_{i \in \text{Des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^{1+\text{inv}^{\square, i}(\pi)}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Similarly we derive

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k(J)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^{\text{des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^i} \right) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k(J_0)} q^{\text{maj}_0(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^{\text{des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^i} \right),$$

and then

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^{\text{des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^i} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k(J_0)} q^{\text{maj}_0(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^{\text{des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^i} \right). \quad (3.15)$$

Thus, it suffices to verify the following identity

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k(J_0)} q^{\text{inv}_0(\pi)} \prod_{i \in \text{Des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^{1+\text{inv}_0^{\square, i}(\pi)}}\right) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k(J_0)} q^{\text{maj}_0(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^{\text{des}(\pi)} \left(1 + \frac{z}{q^i}\right), \quad (3.16)$$

which follows from identity (2.9) with the multiset $M = \{1, \dots, n-k, \diamond^k\}$. $\square$

4. EULERIAN STATISTIC OVER LAGUERRE DIGRAPHS

Let $\mathfrak{S}_n$ denote the set of all permutations of $[n]$. Given a permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, each value i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, can be classified according to one of the following five cases:

- (1) a cycle peak (cpk), if $\pi^{-1}(i) < i > \pi(i)$;
- (2) a cycle valley (cval), if $\pi^{-1}(i) > i < \pi(i)$;
- (3) a cycle double rise (cdrise), if $\pi^{-1}(i) < i < \pi(i)$;
- (4) a cycle double fall (cdfall), if $\pi^{-1}(i) > i > \pi(i)$;
- (5) a fixed point (fix), if $\pi(i) = i$.

We write $\text{cpk}(\pi)$, $\text{cval}(\pi)$, $\text{cdrise}(\pi)$, $\text{cdfall}(\pi)$, $\text{fix}(\pi)$ for the number of values $i \in [n]$ that are, respectively, cycle peaks, cycle valleys, cycle double rises, cycle double falls and fixed points in permutation π . It is easy to see that $\text{cpk}(\pi) = \text{cval}(\pi)$. Define the refinement of Eulerian polynomials

$$P_n^{\text{cyc}}(\mathbf{u}, \alpha, \beta) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} u_1^{\text{cpk}(\pi)} u_2^{\text{cval}(\pi)} u_3^{\text{cdrise}(\pi)} u_4^{\text{cdfall}(\pi)} \alpha^{\text{fix}(\pi)} \beta^{\text{cyc}(\pi)} \quad (4.1)$$

with $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$, and the exponential generating function [28]

$$G^{\text{cyc}}(z) := 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^{\text{cyc}}(\mathbf{u}, \alpha, \beta) \frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.1. [28, Théorème 1] *We have*

$$G^{\text{cyc}}(z) = e^{\alpha\beta z} \left(\frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 e^{\alpha_2 z} - \alpha_2 e^{\alpha_1 z}} \right)^{\beta}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = u_1 u_2$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = u_3 + u_4$.

Lemma 4.2. [28, Théorème 3] *We have*

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^{\text{cyc}}(\mathbf{u}, \alpha, \beta) z^n = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_0 z - \frac{\beta_1 z^2}{1 - \gamma_1 z - \frac{\beta_2 z^2}{1 - \gamma_2 z - \dots}}}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\gamma_k = k(u_3 + u_4) + \alpha\beta$ and $\beta_{k+1} = (k + \beta)(k + 1)u_1 u_2$.

Define the enumerative polynomial of permutations with linear statistics and 0-0 boundary conditions by

$$P_n^{\text{lin}}(\mathbf{u}) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} u_1^{\text{ppk}(\pi)} u_2^{\text{pval}(\pi)} u_3^{\text{pda}(\pi)} u_4^{\text{pdd}(\pi)},$$

where $\text{ppk}(\pi)$, $\text{pval}(\pi)$, $\text{pda}(\pi)$ and $\text{pdd}(\pi)$ denote, respectively, the number of path peaks, path valleys, path double ascents and path double descent in the permutation π written as a word $\pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$, where we impose the boundary conditions $\pi_0 = \pi_{n+1} = 0$.

Lemma 4.3. [28, Proposition 4 and (2.5)] *We have*

$$G^{\text{lin}}(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^{\text{lin}}(\mathbf{u}) \frac{z^n}{n!} = u_1 \left(\frac{e^{\alpha_2 z} - e^{\alpha_1 z}}{\alpha_2 e^{\alpha_1 z} - \alpha_1 e^{\alpha_2 z}} \right),$$

where $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = u_1u_2$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = u_3 + u_4$. Moreover,

$$(G^{\text{lin}}(z))' = u_1 + (u_3 + u_4)G^{\text{lin}}(z) + u_2(G^{\text{lin}}(z))^2. \quad (4.5)$$

Let $J_k(z)$ be the exponential generating function of the k -th column of the Catalan matrix $J = [\mu_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})]_{n,k \geq 0}$ (see (1.2)), that is

$$J_k(z) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{z^k}{k!} + \mu_{k+1,k}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \frac{z^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} + \cdots, \quad (4.6)$$

in particular,

$$J_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

is the exponential generating function of the moments μ'_n s.

Lemma 4.4. [1, p. 310] *There exists a function $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n \frac{z^n}{n!}$ with $F(0) = 0$ such that*

$$J_k(z) = J_0(z) \frac{(F(z))^k}{k!} \text{ for all } k \quad (4.7)$$

if and only if $\gamma_k = a + ks$, $\beta_k = k(b + (k-1)u)$ and

$$\begin{cases} F'(z) = 1 + sF(z) + u(F(z))^2, \\ J'_0(z) = aJ_0(z) + bJ_0(z)F(z). \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We define the multivariate generating function of *Laguerre digraphs*

$$\widehat{L}_{n,k}(\mathbf{u}, \alpha, \beta) := \sum_{G \in \mathbf{LD}_{n,k}} u_1^{\text{pk}(G)} u_2^{\text{val}(G)} u_3^{\text{da}(G)} u_4^{\text{dd}(G)} \alpha^{\text{fp}(G)} \beta^{\text{cyc}(G)}. \quad (4.9)$$

A Laguerre digraph $G \in \mathbf{LD}_{n,k}$ consists of a permutation (that is a collection of disjoint cycles) on some subset $S \subseteq [n]$ together with k disjoint paths on $[n] \setminus S$. Each of these

paths can be considered as a permutation written in word form. Hence, the exponential generating function of $\widehat{L}_{n,k}(\mathbf{u}, \alpha, \beta)$ is the product of $G^{\text{cyc}}(z)$ and $(G^{\text{lin}}(z))^k/k!$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \widehat{L}_{n,k}(\mathbf{u}, \alpha, \beta) \frac{z^n}{n!} = G^{\text{cyc}}(z) \frac{(G^{\text{lin}}(z))^k}{k!}. \quad (4.10)$$

On the other hand, define the Jacobi-Rogers matrix $J = [\mu_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})]_{n,k \geq 0}$ with

$$\gamma_k = k(u_3 + u_4) + \alpha\beta, \quad \beta_{k+1} = (k + \beta)(k + 1)u_1u_2.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 that $J_0(z) := G^{\text{cyc}}(z)$. Then, by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3 we see that $F(z) = G^{\text{lin}}(z)/u_1$ is the solution of system (4.8) with

$$a = \alpha\beta, \quad b = \beta u_1u_2, \quad s = u_3 + u_4, \quad u = u_1u_2.$$

Comparing with (4.7) and (4.10) we obtain (2.12). $\square$

Remark 4.5. The generating function (4.10) is equivalent to [8, (6.11)]. Zhu [29] defined the triangular array $[T_{n,k}]_{n,k \geq 0}$ by the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n,k} = & \lambda(a_1k + a_2)T_{n-1,k-1} \\ & + [(b_1 - da_1)n - (b_1 - 2da_1)k + b - 2 - d(a_1 - a_2)]T_{n-1,k} \\ & + \frac{d(b_1 - da_1)}{\lambda}(n - k + 1)T_{n-1,k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $T_{0,0} = 1$ and $T_{n,k} = 0$ unless $0 \leq k \leq n$. It is shown [29] that this array and the corresponding polynomials $T_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n T_{n,k}x^k$ have properties similar to the classical Eulerian numbers and polynomials, and the generating function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n(x)t^n$ has the J-fraction expansion (1.1), see [29, Theorem 2.7], with

$$\gamma_k = (ka_1 + a_2)(\lambda + dx) + (kb_1 + b_2)x, \quad (4.11a)$$

$$\beta_{k+1} = (k + 1)((ka_1 + a_2)b_1 + a_1b_2)x(\lambda + dx). \quad (4.11b)$$

However, a combinatorial interpretation of $T_n(x)$ in its full generality is missing. In fact, such a combinatorial interpretation can be derived from Lemma 4.2 as in the following. Applying the following substitution in (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 = u_3 = a_1(\lambda + dx), \quad u_2 = u_4 = b_1x, \\ \alpha = (a_1b_1(a_2(\lambda + dx) + b_2x))/(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1), \quad \beta = a_2/a_1 + b_2/b_1, \end{aligned}$$

and comparing with (4.11), we obtain the following combinatorial interpretation of polynomials $T_n(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(x) = & \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} a_1^{\text{rise}(\pi) - \text{cyc}_2(\pi)} b_1^{\text{fall}(\pi) - \text{cyc}_2(\pi)} x^{\text{fall}(\pi)} \\ & \times (\lambda + dx)^{\text{rise}(\pi)} (a_2(\lambda + dx) + b_2x)^{\text{fix}(\pi)} (a_2b_1 + a_1b_2)^{\text{cyc}_2(\pi)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where $\text{cyc}_2(\pi) = \text{cyc}(\pi) - \text{fix}(\pi)$, and $\text{crise}(\pi)$, $\text{cfall}(\pi)$ and $\text{fix}(\pi)$ denote, respectively, the number of cycle rises ($i < \pi(i)$), cycle falls ($i > \pi(i)$) and fixed points ($i = \pi(i)$) in permutation π . 2

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author was supported by the *China Scholarship Council* (No. 202206060088). This work was done during his visit at Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1 in 2022-2023.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aigner, *A Course in Enumeration*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 238. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1976.
- [3] I. Area, E. Godoy, A. Ronveaux, A. Zarzo, Inversion problems in the q -Hahn tableau, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 28 (6) (1999) 767–776.
- [4] F. Bergeron, Combinatoire des polynômes orthogonaux classiques: une approche unifiée, (French) [Combinatorics of classical orthogonal polynomials: a unified approach] *European J. Combin.* 11 (5) (1990) 393–401.
- [5] A. Björner, M.L. Wachs, Permutation statistics and linear extension of posets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 58 (1) (1991) 85–114.
- [6] L. Carlitz, A combinatorial property of q -Eulerian numbers, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 82 (1975) 51–54.
- [7] Y.-J. Cheng, S.-P. Eu, H.-C Hsu, Statistics of partial permutations via Catalan matrices, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 143 (2023), Paper 102451, 30 pp.
- [8] B. Deb, A.D. Sokal, Continued fractions for cycle-alternating permutations, arXiv:2304.06545.
- [9] J.S. Kim, D. Stanton, The combinatorics of associated Laguerre polynomials, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* 11 (2015), Paper 039, 12 pp.
- [10] A. de Médicis, X.G. Viennot, Moments des q -polynômes de Laguerre et la bijection de Foata-Zeilberger, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 15 (3) (1994) 262–304.
- [11] P. Flajolet, Combinatorial aspects of continued fractions, *Discrete Math.* 32 (2) (1980) 125–161.
- [12] D. Foata, G.-N. Han, Fix-Mahonian calculus. I: Two transformations, *European J. Combin.* 29 (7) (2008) 1721–1732.
- [13] D. Foata, V. Strehl, Combinatorics of Laguerre polynomials, In: “Enumeration and design” (Waterloo, Ont., 1982), Academic Press, Toronto, (1984) 123–140.
- [14] I.P. Goulden, D.M. Jackson, *Combinatorial Enumeration*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1983.
- [15] M.E.H. Ismail, J. Zeng, Addition theorems via continued fractions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 362 (2) (2010) 957–983.
- [16] R. Koekoek, P.A. Lesky, R.F. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q -analogues*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 2010. (Springer Monographs in Mathematics; with a foreword by Tom H. Koornwinder).
- [17] S.-H. Liu, The Haglund-Rommel-Wilson identity for k -Stirling permutations, *European J. Combin.* 110 (2023), Paper 103676, 19 pp.
- [18] S.-H. Liu, The Haglund-Rommel-Wilson identity for set partitions, *Discrete Math.* 346 (8) (2023), Paper 113408, 6 pp.
- [19] P.A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, volume 1, Cambridge University Press, 1915.
- [20] Q. Pan, J. Zeng, Catalan-Stieltjes matrices, *Electron. J. Combin.* 23 (4) (2016), Paper 4.33, 18 pp.
- [21] Q. Pan, J. Zeng, Combinatorics of (q, y) -Laguerre polynomials and their moments, *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* 81 (2020), Art. B81e, 20 pp.

- [22] J.B. Remmel, A.T. Wilson, An extension of MacMahon's equidistribution theorem to ordered set partitions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 134 (2015) 242–277.
- [23] R. Simion, D. Stanton, Octabasic Laguerre polynomials and permutation statistics, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 68 (1-2) (1996) 297–329.
- [24] A.D. Sokal, J. Zeng, Some multivariate master polynomials for permutations, set partitions, and perfect matchings, and their continued fractions, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 138 (2022), Paper 102341, 122 pp.
- [25] G. Viennot, Une théorie combinatoire des polynômes orthogonaux généraux, Notes de conférences données à l'Université du Québec à Montréal septembre-octobre 1983. Available on-line at http://www.xavierviennot.org/xavier/polynomes_orthogonaux.html.
- [26] A.T. Wilson, An extension of MacMahon's equidistribution theorem to ordered multiset partitions, *Electron. J. Comb.* 23 (1) (2016), Paper 1.5, 21 pp.
- [27] S.H.F. Yan, S. Ling, L.H. Yang, R.D.P. Zhou, Further extension of Haglund-Remmel-Wilson identity, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 144 (2023), Paper 102459, 30 pp.
- [28] J. Zeng, Énumérations de permutations et J -fractions continues, *European J. Combin.* 14 (4) (1993) 373–382.
- [29] B.-X. Zhu, A generalized Eulerian triangle from staircase tableaux and tree-like tableaux, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 172 (2020), Paper 105206, 32 pp.

(Ming-Jian Ding) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATIC SCIENCES, DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
DALIAN 116024, P. R. CHINA

Email address: ding-mj@hotmail.com

(Jiang Zeng) UNIV LYON, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1, CNRS UMR 5208, INSTITUT
CAMILLE JORDAN, 43 BLVD. DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, F-69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE

Email address: zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr